1	Optimizing sampling strategies in high-resolution paleoclimate records
2	
3	Niels J. de Winter ^{1,2} *, Tobias Agterhuis ¹ , Martin Ziegler ¹
4	
5	¹ Department of Earth Sciences, Utrecht University, Princetonlaan 8a, 3584 CB Utrecht, the Netherlands
6	² AMGC research group, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussels, Belgium
7	
8	Correspondence to: Niels J. de Winter (n.j.dewinter@uu.nl)

10 Abstract

11 The aim of paleoclimate studies resolving climate variability from noisy proxy records can in essence be 12 reduced to a statistical problem. The challenge is to extract meaningful information about climate variability 13 from these records by reducing measurement uncertainty through combining measurements for proxies 14 while retaining the temporal resolution needed to assess the timing and duration of variations in climate 15 parameters. In this study, we explore the limits of this compromise by testing different methods for 16 combining proxy data (smoothing, binning and sample size optimization) on a particularly challenging 17 paleoclimate problem: resolving seasonal variability in stable isotope records. We test and evaluate the 18 effects of changes in the seasonal temperature and the hydrological cycle as well as changes in accretion 19 rate of the archive and parameters such as sampling resolution and age model uncertainty on the reliability 20 of seasonality reconstructions based on clumped and oxygen isotope analyses in 33 real and virtual 21 datasets. Our results show that strategic combinations of clumped isotope analyses can significantly 22 improve the accuracy of seasonality reconstructions compared to conventional stable oxygen isotope 23 analyses, especially in settings where the isotopic composition of the water is poorly constrained. 24 Smoothing data using a moving average often leads to an apparent dampening of the seasonal cycle, 25 significantly reducing the accuracy of reconstructions. A statistical sample size optimization protocol yields 26 more precise results than smoothing. However, the most accurate results are obtained through monthly 27 binning of proxy data, especially in cases where growth rate or water composition cycles obscure the 28 seasonal temperature cycle. Our analysis of a wide range of natural situations reveals that the effect of 29 temperature seasonality on oxygen isotope records almost invariably exceeds that of changes in water 30 composition. Thus, in most cases, oxygen isotope records allow reliable identification of growth seasonality 31 as a basis for age modelling in the absence of independent chronological markers in the record. These 32 specific findings allow us to formulate general recommendations for sampling and combining data in 33 paleoclimate research and have implications beyond the reconstruction of seasonality. We briefly discuss 34 the implications of our results for solving common problems in paleoclimatology and stratigraphy.

35

36 **1. Introduction**

37 Improving the resolution of climate reconstructions is a key objective in paleoclimate studies because it 38 allows climate variability to be studied on different timescales and sheds light on the continuum of climate 39 variability (Huybers and Curry, 2006). However, the temporal resolution of climate records is limited by the 40 accretion rate (growth or sedimentation rate) of the archive and the spatial resolution of sampling for climate 41 reconstructions, which is a function of the sample size required for a given climate proxy. This tradeoff 42 between sample size and sampling resolution is especially prevalent when using state-of-the-art climate 43 proxies which require large sample sizes, such as the carbonate clumped isotope paleothermometer (Δ_{47} ; 44 see applications in Rodríguez-Sanz et al., 2017; Briard et al., 2020; Caldarescu et al., 2021) or stable 45 isotope ratios in specific compounds or of rare isotopes (e.g. phosphate-oxygen isotopes in tooth apatite, 46 triple oxygen isotopes in speleothems or carbon isotopes of CO₂ in ice cores; Jones et al., 1999; Schmitt 47 et al., 2012; Sha et al., 2020). The challenge of sampling resolution persists on a wide range of timescales: 48 from attempts to resolve geologically short-lived (kyr-scale) climate events from deep sea cores with low 49 sedimentation rates (e.g. Stap et al., 2010; Rodríguez-Sanz et al., 2017) to efforts to characterize tidal or 50 daily variability in accretionary carbonate archives (e.g. Warter and Müller, 2017; de Winter et al., 2020a). 51 What constitutes "high-resolution" is therefore largely dependent on the specifics of the climate archive.

52 Sample size limitations are especially important in paleoseasonality reconstructions. Reliable archives for 53 seasonality (e.g. corals, mollusks and speleothem records) are in high demand in the paleoclimate 54 community, because the seasonal cycle is one of the most important cycles in Earth's climate and 55 seasonality reconstructions complement more common long-term (kyr to Myr) records of past climate 56 variability (e.g. Morgan and van Ommen, 1997; Tudhope et al., 2001; Steuber et al., 2005; Steffensen et 57 al., 2008; Denton et al., 2005; Huyghe et al., 2015; Vansteenberge et al., 2019). A more detailed 58 understanding of climate dynamics at the human timescale is increasingly relevant for improving climate 59 projections (IPCC, 2013). Unfortunately, the growth and mineralization rates of archives that capture high-60 resolution variability (only exceeding 10 mm/yr in rare exceptions, e.g. Johnson et al., 2019) limit the 61 number and size of samples that can be obtained at high temporal resolutions (e.g. Mosley-Thompson et 62 al., 1993; Passey and Cerling, 2002; Treble et al., 2003; Goodwin et al., 2003). In addition, accurate

63 positioning of samples within the seasonal cycle is challenging. In absence of fine-scale growth markings 64 (e.g. daily laminae in mollusk shells; e.g. Schöne et al., 2005; de Winter et al., 2020a), this dating problem 65 relies on modelling or interpolation of the growth of the archive, which introduces uncertainty on the age of 66 samples (e.g. Goodwin et al., 2009; Judd et al., 2018). These problems are exacerbated by the fact that 67 accurate methods for climate reconstructions may require comparatively large sample sizes, or rely on 68 uncertain assumptions. A case in point is the popular carbonate stable oxygen isotope temperature proxy 69 $(\delta^{18}O_c)$ which relies on assumptions of the water composition $(\delta^{18}O_w)$ that become progressively more 70 uncertain further back in geological history (e.g. Veizer and Prokoph, 2015). In contrast, the clumped 71 isotope proxy (Δ_{47}) does not rely on this assumption but requires larger amounts of sample (e.g. Müller et 72 al., 2017)

73 A promising technique for circumventing sample size limitations is to analyze larger numbers of small 74 aliquots from the same sample or from similar parts of the climate archive. These smaller aliquots typically 75 have poor precision but averaging multiple aliquots into one estimate while propagating the measurement 76 uncertainty leads to a more reliable estimate of the climate variable (Dattalo, 2008; Meckler et al., 2014; 77 Müller et al., 2017; Fernandez et al., 2017). This approach yields improved sampling flexibility since aliquots 78 can be combined in various ways after measurement. It also allows outlier detection at the level of individual 79 aliquots, thereby spreading the risk of instrumental failure and providing improved control on changes in 80 measurement conditions that may bias results.

81 Previous studies have applied several different methods for combining data from paleoclimate records to 82 reduce analytical noise or higher order variability, and extract variability with a specific frequency (e.g. a 83 specific orbital cycle or seasonality; e.g. Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005; Cramer et al., 2009). These data 84 reduction approaches can in general be categorized into smoothing techniques, in which a sliding window 85 or range of neighboring datapoints is used to smooth high resolution records (see e.g. Cramer et al., 2009) 86 or **binning** techniques, in which the record is divided into equal bins in the sampling direction (e.g. time, 87 depth or length in growth direction; e.g. Lisiecki and Raymo, 2004; Rodríguez-Sanz et al., 2017). In addition, 88 a third approach is proposed here based on optimization of sample size for dynamic binning of data along 89 the climate cycle using a moving window in the domain of the climate variable (as opposed to the sampling

domain) combined with a T-test routine (see section 2.1). All three approaches have advantages and
caveats.

In this study, we explore the (dis)advantages of these three data reduction approaches by testing their reliability in resolving seasonal variability in sea surface temperature (SST) and water stable oxygen isotope composition ($\delta^{18}O_w$), both highly sought-after variables in paleoclimate research. We compare reconstructions of SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ in real and virtual datasets from accretionary carbonate archives (e.g. shells, corals and speleothems) using the clumped isotope thermometer (Δ_{47}) combined with stable oxygen isotope ratios of the carbonate ($\delta^{18}O_c$).

98

99 2. Methods

100 **2.1 Reconstruction approaches**

101 Throughout the remainder of this work, the three approaches for combining data for reconstructions are 102 defined as follows (see also **Fig. 1**):

103 **Smoothing** refers to the reconstruction of SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ based on **moving averages** of Δ_{47} and $\delta^{18}O_c$ 104 records (Fig. 1B). For every dataset, the full possible range of moving window sizes (from 1 sample to the 105 full length of the record) for SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ reconstructions was explored. The window size that resulted in 106 the most significant difference between maximum and minimum Δ_{47} values (based on a student's T-test) 107 was applied to reconstruct SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ from Δ_{47} and $\delta^{18}O_c$ records. SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ were calculated for 108 all case studies using a combination of empirical temperature relationships by Kim and O'Neil (1997; δ¹⁸O_c-109 δ^{18} Ow-temperature relationship) and Bernasconi et al. (2018; Δ_{47} -temperature relationship). To obtain δ^{18} Ow 110 values, the $\delta^{18}O_c$ - $\delta^{18}O_w$ -temperature relationship (Kim and O'Neil, 1997) was solved for $\delta^{18}O_w$ using the 111 temperature reconstruction obtained from Δ_{47} measurements. Here and in other approaches, a typical analytical uncertainty on measurements of Δ_{47} (one standard deviation of 0.04‰) and $\delta^{18}O_c$ (one standard 112 113 deviation of 0.05‰) was used to include uncertainty due to measurement precision. These analytical 114 uncertainties were chosen based on typical uncertainties reported for these measurements in the literature 115 (e.g. Schöne et al., 2005; Huyghe et al., 2015; Vansteenberge et al., 2016) and long-term precision

116 uncertainties obtained by measuring in-house standards using the MAT253+ with Kiel IV setup in the 117 clumped isotope laboratory at Utrecht University (e.g. Kocken et al., 2019). The measurement uncertainty 118 was propagated through all calculations using a Monte Carlo simulation (N = 1000) in which Δ_{47} and $\delta^{18}O_c$ 119 records were randomly sampled from a normal distribution with the virtual Δ_{47} and $\delta^{18}O_c$ values as means 120 and analytical uncertainties as standard deviations. Resulting SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ values were grouped into 121 monthly time bins using the age model of the archive.

122 **Binning** refers to reconstructions of SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ based on binning of Δ_{47} and $\delta^{18}O_c$ records into monthly 123 time bins (**Fig. 1C**). The Δ_{47} and $\delta^{18}O_c$ data from each case study were grouped into monthly time bins and 124 converted to SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ using the Kim and O'Neil (1997) and Bernasconi et al. (2018) formulae. Here 125 too, Monte Carlo simulation (N = 1000) was applied to propagate measurement uncertainties onto monthly 126 SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ reconstructions. Note that the prerequisite for this method is that the data is aligned using 127 a (floating) age model accurate enough to allow samples to be placed in the right bin. The age of virtual 128 samples in this study is known so this prerequisite poses no problems in this case. However, in the fossil 129 record this alignment might be less certain in the absence of accurate chronologies within the archive (e.g. 130 through daily growth increments in mollusk shells; e.g. Schöne et al., 2008; Huyghe et al., 2019; see 4.1.3).

131 **Optimization** refers to reconstructions of SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ based on sample size optimization in Δ_{47} records 132 (Fig. 1D). In this approach aliquots of each dataset are ordered from warm (low $\delta^{18}O_c$) to cold (high $\delta^{18}O_c$) 133 data) samples, regardless of their position relative to the seasonal cycle. From this ordered dataset, 134 increasingly large samples of multiple aliguots (from 2 aliguots to half the length of the record) are taken 135 from both the warm ("summer") and the cold ("winter") side of the distribution. Summer and winter samples 136 were kept equal (symmetrical grouping) to reduce the number of possible sample size combinations and 137 allow for more efficient computation. However, asymmetrical grouping with differing sample sizes on the 138 summer and winter ends of the $\delta^{18}O_c$ -spectrum are possible (see 4.1.3 and 4.2.2). Sample sizes with significant difference in Δ_{47} value between summer and winter groups (p \leq 0.05 based on a student's T-139 140 test) were selected as optimal sample sizes. The moving window T-test in the proxy domain ensures that 141 an optimal compromise is reached between high precision and resolving differences between seasonal 142 extremes. For each successful sample size, SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ values were calculated from Δ_{47} and $\delta^{18}O_c$ data

according to Kim and O'Neil (1997) and Bernasconi et al. (2018) formulae. The relationship between SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ obtained from these reconstructions was used to convert all Δ_{47} and $\delta^{18}O_c$ data to SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$, which are then grouped into monthly SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ reconstructions along the archive's age model. Measurement uncertainties were propagated through the entire approach by Monte Carlo simulation (N = 1000).

For comparison, we also include reconstructions based solely on $\delta^{18}O_c$ measurements with an (often inaccurate) assumption of a constant $\delta^{18}O_w$ (equal to the modern ocean value of 0‰ VSMOW), which form the most common method for carbonate-based temperature reconstructions in paleoclimate research (see e.g. Schöne et al., 2005; Westerhold et al., 2020; **Fig. 1A**; hereafter: $\delta^{18}O$). For these reconstructions, $\delta^{18}O_c$ records were grouped into monthly time bins with analytical uncertainties propagated using the Monte Carlo approach (N = 1000) and were directly converted to SST using the Kim and O'Neil (1997) temperature relationship.

For each reconstruction, SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ results were aggregated into monthly averages, medians, standard deviations, and standard errors. Step by step documentation of calculations made for the three Δ_{47} -based reconstruction approaches and the $\delta^{18}O_c$ reconstructions are given in **Supplmentary Data S7** and in the complementary R package (de Winter, 2021a).

159 **2.2 Benchmarks for accuracy and precision**

Accuracy and precision of reconstructions were evaluated against official USGS definitions of climate
 parameters (O'Donnell and Ignizio, 2012):

- 162 1. mean annual SST (MAT), defined as the average of all 12 monthly temperature reconstructions.
- 163 2. seasonal range in SST, defined as the temperature difference between warmest and coldest164 month.
- 165 3. mean annual δ^{18} O_w, defined as the average of all 12 monthly δ^{18} O_w reconstructions.
- 166 4. seasonal range in $\delta^{18}O_w$, defined as the $\delta^{18}O_w$ difference between most enriched (highest $\delta^{18}O_w$) 167 and most depleted (lowest $\delta^{18}O_w$) monthly reconstruction.

- 168 Accuracy was defined as the absolute offset of the reconstructed climate parameter from the "true" value.
- 169 Precision was defined as the (relative) standard deviation of the reconstruction, as calculated from the
- 170 variability within monthly time bins resulting from Monte Carlo error propagation (see **2.1**). An overview of

171 monthly SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ reconstructions using the four approaches in all cases is given in **S4**. Raw data

- and figures of reconstructions of all cases using all sampling resolutions are compiled in **S8**.
- 173

Figure 1: Schematic overview of the four approaches for seasonality reconstructions: (**A**) δ^{18} **O**-based reconstructions, assuming constant δ^{18} O_w. (**B**) Reconstructions based on **smoothing** δ^{18} O_c and Δ_{47} data using a moving average. (**C**) Reconstructions based on binning δ^{18} O_c and Δ_{47} data in monthly time bins. (**D**) Reconstructions based on **optimization** of the sample size for combining δ^{18} O_c and Δ_{47} data (see description in **2.1**). Colored points represent virtual δ^{18} O_c (blue) and Δ_{47} (red) series in sampling domain. Black curves represent reconstructed monthly SST and δ^{18} O_w averages.

180

181 **2.3 SST and** $\delta^{18}O_w$ datasets

- 182 The three reconstruction approaches were tested and compared based on three types of data. Firstly, a set
- of datasets based on fully artificial environmental SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ data (case 1-29; see Fig. 2) converted to

184 virtual Δ_{47} and $\delta^{18}O_c$ records. Secondly, data based on actual measurements of natural variability in SST

and sea surface salinity (SSS; case 30-33) converted to virtual Δ_{47} and $\delta^{18}O_c$ records. Thirdly, measured

186 proxy data from a real specimen of a Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*, syn. *Magallana gigas*) compared

to measured environmental (SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$) data reported in Ullmann et al. (2010).

Figure 2: Overview of time series of all virtual test cases. Colored curves represent time series of SST (red), $\delta^{18}O_w$ (blue) and growth rate (orange, abbreviated as "GR"). Horizontal axes in all plots are 12 years long (see legend below case 6). Vertical axis of all plots has the same scale (SST: 10 to 30°C; $\delta^{18}O_w$: -1 to +1‰; Growth rate: 0–50 µm/day; see legend in bottom right corner). Horizontal error bars and labels on the right side of cases 25-29 represent standard errors introduced on the age model (bars not to scale). The $\delta^{18}O_c$ and Δ_{47} records resulting from these virtual datasets are provided in **S6** (see also **Fig. 3** for natural examples).

	Sensitivi	ty cases	Natural cases	Varying seasonality	Varying age model uncertainty
		7. $\delta^{18}O_w$ seasonality in phase with SST		19. Control case with reduced SST amplitude (~5°C)	
1.	Control	8. $\delta^{18}O_w$ seasonality in antiphase with SST	14. Full marine case with ontogenetic GR trend	20. Control case with reduced SST amplitude (~3°C)	25. Case 9 with ±1 day age model uncertainty
	Growth ops <12°C	9. δ ¹⁸ O _w seasonality lags SST by ¼ year	15. Coastal case with spring $\delta^{18}O_w$ decrease and decreasing GR trend	21. Control case with reduced SST amplitude (~1°C)	26. Case 9 with ±5 days age model uncertainty
-	Growth ops >28°C	10. Negative $\delta^{18}O_w$ in spring	16. Lagoonal case with summer $\delta^{18}O_w$ increase	Varying record length	27. Case 9 with ±15 days age model uncertainty
	Linear crease in R	11. Positive $\delta^{18}O_w$ in summer	17. Tropical monsoon case with confined SST seasonality and strong multi-annual SST cycle	22. Control case shortened to 6 yr	28. Case 9 with ±45 days age model uncertainty
sea	GR asonality phase with ST	12. Multi- annual (5 yr) SST cycle	18. Worst-case scenario with growth limited to summer half of the year	23. Control case shortened to 3 yr	29. Case 9 with ±90 days age model uncertainty
sea lag	GR asonality js SST by year	13. Multi- annual (5 yr) $\delta^{18}O_w$ cycle		24. Control case shortened to 1 yr	

195 **Table 1**: Overview of virtual cases 1-29 used to test the reconstruction methods. Case descriptions are abbreviated. Details on the SST, growth rate and $\delta^{18}O_w$ included in each case are described in detail in **S1**.

197 SST, growth rate and $\delta^{18}O_w$ records of all cases are shown in **Fig. 2**. "GR" = growth rate.

198

199 2.3.1 Cases 1-29: Virtual environmental data, virtual proxy data

200 Virtual SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ time series were artificially constructed to test the effect of various SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$

scenarios on the effectivity of the reconstruction methods. The default test case (case 1) contained an ideal,

202 12-year sinusoidal SST curve with a period of 1 year (seasonality), a mean value of 20°C and a seasonal

amplitude of 10°C, a constant $\delta^{18}O_w$ value of 0‰ and a constant growth rate of 10 mm/yr. Other cases

204 contain various deviations from this ideal case (see also **Fig. 2**, **Table 1** and **S1**):

• Linear and/or seasonal changes in growth rate, including growth stops (cases 2-6, 14-18)

- Seasonal and/or multi-annual changes in δ¹⁸O_w (cases 7-11, 13-18)
- Multi-annual trends in SST superimposed on the seasonality (cases 12, 15 and 17)
- Variations in the seasonal SST amplitude (cases 19-21)
- Change in the total length of the time series (cases 22-24).
- Variation in uncertainty on the age of each virtual datapoint (cases 25-29)

211 Comparison of the virtual time series (case 1-29; Fig. 2) with the natural variability (case 30-33; Fig. 3) 212 shows that the virtual cases are not realistic approximations of natural variability in SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$. Natural 213 SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ variability are not limited to the seasonal or multi-annual scale but contain a fair amount of 214 higher order (daily to weekly scale) variability. To simulate this natural variability, we extracted the seasonal 215 component of SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ variability from our highest resolution record of measured natural SST and 216 SSS data (case 30: data from Texel, the Netherlands, see 2.3.2 and Fig. 3). The standard deviation of 217 residual variability of this data after subtraction of the seasonal cycle was used to add random highfrequency noise to the SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ variability in virtual cases. Note that while sub-annual environmental 218 219 variability can be approximated by Gaussian noise (Wilkinson and Ivany, 2002), this representation is an 220 oversimplification of reality. In the case of our Texel data, the SST and SSS residuals are not normally 221 distributed (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test: D = 0.010; $p = 7.2^{*}10^{-14}$ and D = 0.039; $p < 2.2^{*}10^{-16}$ for SST and 222 SSS residuals respectively; see **S2-4**). SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ data from cases 1-29 was converted to the sampling 223 domain and subsampled at a range of sampling resolutions following the same procedure applied to cases 224 30-33 (see 2.3.2).

225

226 2.3.2 Cases 30-33: Measured environmental data, virtual proxy data

227 Four test cases were based on time series of real measured SST and SSS data from four different locations,

- selected to capture a variety of environments with different SST and SSS variability (see Fig. 3):
- 1. Tidal flats of the Wadden Sea near Texel, the Netherlands (case 30)
- 230 2. Great Barrier Reef in Australia (case 31)
- 3. Gulf of Aqaba between Egypt and Saudi Arabia (case 32)

4. Northern Atlantic Ocean east of Iceland (case 33).

Daily measurements of SST and SSS for case 31-33 were obtained from worldwide open-access datasets of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA, 2020) and European Space Agency (ESA, 2020) respectively. Hourly SST and SSS measured *in situ* in the Wadden Sea (case 30) were obtained from the Dutch Institute for Sea Research (NIOZ, Texel, the Netherlands). Since direct, *in situ* measurements of δ^{18} O_w variability at a high temporal resolution were not available, δ^{18} O_w was estimated from more widely available SSS data using a mass balance (equation 1 and 2; following e.g. Ullmann et al., 2010):

240
$$\delta^{18}O_{sw} = \delta^{18}O_{w,freshwater} * f + \delta^{18}O_{w,ocean} * (1-f)$$
(1)

241
$$f = \frac{SSS_{sample} - SSS_{ocean}}{SSS_{freshwater} - SSS_{ocean}}$$
(2)

242 Here, we assume salinity (SSS_{sample}) results from a mixture of a fraction (f) isotopically light and low-salinity 243 $(\delta^{18}O_{w,freshwater} = -8\%; SSS_{freshwater} = 0)$ freshwater and a fraction (1-f) ocean water ($\delta^{18}O_{w,ocean} = 0\%;$ 244 $SSS_{ocean} = 35$), with negative amounts of freshwater contribution (f < 0) representing net evaporation 245 $(SSS_{sample} > SSS_{ocean})$. The value for $\delta^{18}O_{w, freshwater}$ was based on the $\delta^{18}O_{w}$ of rain in the Netherlands (-8%; 246 Mook, 1970; Bowen, 2020). Applying this mass balance on the SSS record of the Wadden Sea tidal flats 247 (case 30) results in $\delta^{18}O_w$ values and a SSS- $\delta^{18}O_w$ relationship in agreement with measurements in this 248 region (Harwood et al., 2008). SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ time series for all cases are given in **Supplementary Data** 249 S4 and natural cases are plotted in Fig. 3.

250 For all virtual proxy datasets (cases 1-33), records of SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ were converted to the sampling 251 domain (along the length of the record) by defining a virtual growth rate in the sampling direction. Adding 252 this growth rate as a variable allowed us to test the sensitivity of approaches to changes in the extension 253 rate of the archive, including hiatuses (growth rate = 0). This is important, because fluctuations in linear 254 extension rate and periods in which no mineralization occurs (hiatuses or growth cessations) are common 255 in all climate archives (e.g. Treble et al., 2003; Ivany, 2012). After conversion to the sampling domain, virtual aliquots were subsampled at equal distance from the SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ series of all cases using six sampling 256 257 intervals: 0.1 mm, 0.2 mm, 0.45 mm, 0.75 mm, 1.55 mm and 3.25 mm. The four largest sampling intervals

- were chosen such that the standard growth rate (10 mm/yr) was not an integer multiple of the sampling interval (e.g. 0.45 mm instead of 0.5 mm, and 3.25 mm instead of 3 mm). This decision prevents sampling the same parts of the seasonal cycle (e.g. same months) every year, which biases both the mean value and the precision of monthly SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ reconstructions. This bias towards certain parts of the seasonal cycle is much stronger at low sample sizes (large sampling intervals) and is illustrated in the **Supplementary Figure S2**.
- 264

Figure 3: Overview of the four cases of virtual data based on natural SST and SSS measurements explored in this study. (**A**) Case 30: Tidal flats on the Wadden Sea, Texel, the Netherlands. (**B**) Case 31 Great Barrier Reef, Australia). (**C**) Case 32: Gulf of Aqaba between Egypt and Saudi Arabia. (**D**) Case 33: Atlantic Ocean east of Iceland. For all cases, graphs on top show environmental data, with SST plotted in red, $\delta^{18}O_w$ in blue and growth rate (abbreviated as "GR") in orange (as in **Fig. 2**). The graph below shows virtual $\delta^{18}O_c$ (blue) and Δ_{47} (red) records created from these data series using a sampling interval of 0.45 mm and including analytical noise (see **3.3**). Note that the scale of vertical axes varies between plots.

- 272
- 273 2.3.3 Modern oyster: Measured environmental data, measured proxy data

274 Environmental SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ data from the List Basin in Denmark (54°59.25N, 8°23.51E), where the 275 modern oyster specimen lived, were obtained from local in situ measurements of SST and SSS described 276 in Ullmann et al. (2010). Since direct, in situ measurements of $\delta^{18}O_w$ variability at a high temporal resolution 277 were not available, $\delta^{18}O_w$ was estimated from more widely available SSS data using the mass balance described in **2.3.2**. The value for $\delta^{18}O_{w,freshwater}$ was based on the discharge weighted average $\delta^{18}O_w$ of 278 279 water in the nearby Elbe and Weser rivers (see Ullmann et al., 2010). All $\delta^{18}O_w$ values throughout the text 280 are with reference to the VSMOW scale. Contrary to the virtual datasets (cases 1-33; see 2.3.1 and 2.3.2), 281 the Ullmann et al. (2010) data was already available in the sampling domain, hence no subsampling was 282 required.

284 **2.4 Conversion to** $\delta^{18}O_c$ and Δ_{47} data

After subsampling, SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ series (cases 1-33) were converted to $\delta^{18}O_c$ and Δ_{47} using a carbonate model based on empirical relationships between Δ_{47} and $\delta^{18}O_c$ with SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ (equation 3 and 4; Kim and O'Neil, 1997; Kele et al., 2015; Bernasconi et al., 2018) and the conversion of $\delta^{18}O$ values from VSMOW to VPDB scale (equation 5; Brand et al., 2014).

289
$$\Delta_{47} = \frac{0.0449 * 10^6}{(SST + 273.15)^2} + 0.167$$
 (3)

290
$$1000 * \ln \frac{\binom{^{18}0}{_{16}0}_{caCO_3}}{\binom{^{18}0}{_{H_20}}_{H_20}} = 18.03 * \left(\frac{^{10^3}}{_{(SST+273.15)}}\right) - 32.42$$
 (4)

291
$$\delta^{18}O_{VPDB} = 0.97002 * \delta^{18}O_{VSMOW} - 29.98$$
 (5)

292 For the modern oyster data (Ullmann et al., 2010; see 2.3.3), only the Δ_{47} data needed to be created 293 because $\delta^{18}O_c$ was directly measured. As a result, each case study yielded records of Δ_{47} and $\delta^{18}O_c$ in the sampling domain and corresponding "true" SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ records in the time domain, allowing assessment 294 295 of the reliability of the reconstruction approaches in different scenarios (Fig. 4). The result of applying these 296 steps is illustrated on case 31 (Great Barrier reef data, Fig. 5). All calculations for creating Δ_{47} and $\delta^{18}O_c$ 297 series in sampling domain were carried out using the open-source computational software R (R core team, 298 2013), and scripts for these calculations are given in Supplementary Data S7 and compiled in the 299 documented R package "seasonalclumped" (de Winter, 2021a). All Δ_{47} and $\delta^{18}O_c$ datasets are provided in 300 Supplementary Data S6.

302

303 **Figure 4**: Flow diagram showing the steps taken to create virtual data (Δ_{47} and $\delta^{18}O_c$; cases 1-33) and 304 compare results of SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ reconstructions with the actual SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ data the record was 305 based on (counterclockwise direction). Steps 1-3 outline the procedure for creating virtual Δ_{47} and $\delta^{18}O_c$ 306 datasets (see sections 2.3 and 2.4), step 4 shows the application of the different reconstruction methods on this virtual data (see Fig. 2 for details) and step 5 illustrates how the reconstructions are compared with 307 308 the original ("true") SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ data to calculate accuracy and precision of the reconstruction 309 approaches. Note that step 1 is different for cases 1-29 (based on fully artificial SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ records; **2.3.1**) than for cases 30-33 (SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ records based on real SST and SSS data; see **2.3.2**). 310

311

- 312 Figure 5: An example of the steps highlighted in Fig. 4 using case 31 (Great Barrier Reef data) to illustrate
- 313 the data processing steps. Virtual data plots include normally distributed measurement uncertainty on Δ_{47}
- 314 and $\delta^{18}O_c$

316 3. Results

317 3.1 Real example

318 Measured ($\delta^{18}O_c$) and simulated (Δ_{47}) data from the Pacific oyster from the Danish List Basin yielded 319 estimates of SST and δ^{18} O_w seasonality using all reconstruction approaches (**Fig. 6**). While a model of shell 320 $\delta^{18}O_c$ based on SST and SSS data closely approximates the measured $\delta^{18}O_c$ record (**Fig. 6C**), basing SST 321 reconstructions solely on $\delta^{18}O_c$ data without any *a priori* knowledge of $\delta^{18}O_w$ variability (assuming constant 322 $\delta^{18}O_w$ equal to the global marine value) leads to high inaccuracy in mean annual SST (**Fig. 6D**). Note that, 323 in absence of significant $\delta^{18}O_w$ seasonality (as in this case study), seasonal temperature range 324 reconstructions from $\delta^{18}O_c$ measurements can be very accurate. However, assuming constant $\delta^{18}O_w$ year-325 round may introduce considerable bias (see Fig. 7 and 8). The in-phase relationship between SST and 326 SSS (Fig. 6B) slightly dampens the seasonal $\delta^{18}O_c$ cycle, causing underestimation of temperature 327 seasonality, while a negative mean annual $\delta^{18}O_w$ value in the List Basin biases SST reconstructions towards 328 higher temperatures. In terms of SST reconstructions, the smoothing, binning and optimization 329 approaches based on Δ_{47} and $\delta^{18}O_c$ data yield more accurate reconstructions, albeit with a reduced 330 seasonality and a bias towards the summer season. The latter is a result of severely reduced growth rates 331 in the winter season, which was therefore undersampled (see Fig. 6A and 6C). Approaches including Δ47 332 data also yield far more accurate $\delta^{18}O_w$ estimates than the $\delta^{18}O$ approach. However, the accuracy of $\delta^{18}O_w$ 333 seasonality and mean annual δ^{18} O_w estimates is low in these approaches too, largely because of the limited 334 sampling resolution, especially in winter. The optimization approach suffers from the strong in-phase 335 relationship between SST and SSS, which obscures the difference between the $\delta^{18}O_w$ effect and the temperature effect on shell carbonate. Yet, disentangling SST from $\delta^{18}O_w$ seasonality is central to the 336 337 success of the approach (see **3.4**). Fig. 6D does not show the precision on SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ estimates, which 338 is much lower for the smoothing approach than for the binning an optimization approaches due to the 339 limited data in the winter seasons (see Supplementary Data S6). These results show that several 340 properties of carbonate archives, such as growth rate variability, phase relationships between SST and 341 $\delta^{18}O_w$ seasonality and sampling resolution, can impact the reliability of paleoseasonality reconstructions.

342 The virtual and real data cases in this study were tailored to test the effects of these archive properties

more thoroughly.

344

Figure 6: (A) Plot of $\delta^{18}O_c$ and (virtual) Δ_{47} data from a modern Pacific oyster (Crassostrea gigas; see 345 Ullmann et al., 2010). (**B**) shows SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ data from the List Basin (Denmark) in which the oyster 346 grew. (C) shows the fit between $\delta^{18}O_c$ data and modelled $\delta^{18}O_c$ calculated from SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ on which 347 the shell age model was based. (D) Shows a summary of the results of different approaches for 348 349 reconstructing SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ from the $\delta^{18}O_c$ and Δ_{47} data. The vertical colored bars show the reconstructed 350 seasonal variability using all methods with ticks indicating warmest month, coldest month, and annual mean. The grey horizontal bars show the actual seasonal variability in the environment. Precision standard 351 352 deviation on monthly reconstructions are not shown but are given in S4.

353

354 3.2 Case-specific results

355 A case-by-case breakdown of the precision (Fig. 7) and accuracy (Fig. 8) of reconstructions using the four 356 approaches shows that reliability of reconstructions varies significantly between approaches and is highly 357 case-specific. In general, precision is highest in $\delta^{18}O$ reconstructions, followed by optimization and 358 binning, with smoothing generally yielding the worst precision. Average standard deviations of the underperforming methods (**binning** and **smoothing**) are up to 2-3 times larger than those of $\delta^{18}O$ (e.g. 359 respectively 3.9°C and 3.5°C vs. 1.3°C for **518O** MAT reconstructions; see also **Supplementary** 360 361 **Information**). It is worth noting that precision on δ^{18} O-based estimates is mainly driven by measurement precision (which is better for $\delta^{18}O_c$ than for Δ_{47} measurements, see section 4.1.1). Δ_{47} -based reconstructions 362 lose precision due to the higher measurement error on Δ_{47} measurements and the method used for 363 364 combining measurements for seasonality reconstructions. On a case-by-case basis, the hierarchy of 365 approaches can vary, especially if strong variability in growth rate is introduced, such as in case 14, where 366 the size of hiatuses in the record increases progressively, or in case 18, in which half of the year is missing due to growth hiatuses (see Table 1, Supplementary Data S1 and S4). Of the Δ_{47} -based methods 367 (smoothing, binning and optimization), optimization is rarely outcompeted in terms of precision in both 368 369 SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ reconstructions.

The comparison based on precision alone is misleading, as the most precise approach ($\delta^{18}O$) runs the risk of being highly inaccurate (offsets exceeding 4°C on some MAT reconstructions; see **Fig. 8A**), especially in cases based on natural SST and SSS measurements (case 30-33). The **smoothing** approach also often 373 yields highly inaccurate results, especially in cases with substantial variability in $\delta^{18}O_w$ (e.g. case 9-11; Fig. 374 8). Accuracy of optimization and binning outcompete the other methods in most circumstances. Binning outperforms optimization in reconstructions of $\delta^{18}O_w$ seasonality, making it overall the most accurate 375 376 approach. Interestingly, optimization is less accurate specifically in cases with sharp changes in growth 377 rate in summer (e.g. cases 11, 14, 16 and 17), while binning performs better in these cases. 378 Reconstructions of mean annual SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ in case 18 are especially inaccurate regardless of which 379 method is applied. This extreme case with growth only during one half of the year combined with seasonal 380 fluctuations in both SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ presents a worst-case scenario for seasonality reconstructions leading 381 to strong biases in mean annual temperature reconstructions. In situations like case 18, the optimization 382 approach is most accurate in MAT and SST seasonality reconstructions, but $\delta^{18}O_w$ is more accurately 383 reconstructed using the binning approach. Finally, it is worth noting that in natural situations (Fig. 3), 384 variability in SST almost invariably has a larger influence on $\delta^{18}O_c$ and Δ_{47} records than $\delta^{18}O_w$, such that 385 fluctuations in $\delta^{18}O_c$ records closely follow the SST seasonality even in cases with relatively large $\delta^{18}O_w$ variability (e.g. case 30). Chronologies based on these $\delta^{18}O_c$ fluctuations are therefore generally accurate. 386

387

Figure 7: Overview of precision (propagated standard deviation of variability within reconstructions, see **2.2**) of reconstructions of mean annual temperature (**A**), seasonal temperature range (**B**), mean annual $\delta^{18}O_w$ (**C**) and seasonal range in $\delta^{18}O_w$ (**D**), with higher values (darker colors) indicating lower precision (more variability between reconstructions) based on average sampling resolution (sampling interval of 0.45 mm). The different cases on the horizontal axis are color coded by their difference from the control case (case 1; see legend on the right-hand side). Grey boxes indicate cases for which reconstructions were not successful. All data on precision (standard deviation values) is provided in **Supplementary Data S4**.

395

Figure 8: Overview of accuracy (absolute offset from "true" values) of reconstructions of mean annual temperature (**A**), seasonal temperature range (**B**), mean annual $\delta^{18}O_w$ (**C**) and seasonal range in $\delta^{18}O_w$ (**D**), with higher values (darker colors) indicating lower accuracy (higher offsets) based on average sampling resolution (sampling interval of 0.45 mm). The different cases on the horizontal axis are color coded by their difference from the control case (case 1; see legend on the right-hand side). Grey boxes indicate cases for which reconstructions were not successful. All data on accuracy (difference between reconstructed and "true" values) is provided in **Supplementary Data S4**.

403

404 **Figure 9**: Effect of sampling resolution (in samples per year, see **S5**) on the precision (one standard 405 deviation) of results of reconstructions of mean annual $\delta^{18}O_w$ (**A**), seasonal range in $\delta^{18}O_w$ (**B**), mean annual 406 SST (**C**) and seasonal range in SST (**D**). Effect on the accuracy (absolute offset from actual value) of results 407 of reconstructions of mean annual $\delta^{18}O_w$ (**E**) and seasonal range in $\delta^{18}O_w$ (**F**), mean annual SST (**G**) and seasonal range in SST (*H*). Color coding follows the scheme in *Fig. 1* and *Fig. 4.3.3* Effect of sampling
 resolution

410 As expected, increasing the temporal sampling resolution (i.e. number of samples per year) almost 411 invariably increases the precision and accuracy (Fig. 9) of reconstructions using all methods. An exception 412 to this rule is the precision of δ^{18} O reconstructions, which decreases with increasing sampling resolution 413 (see Fig. 9C-D). Precision standard deviations of all Δ_{47} -based approaches eventually converge with the initially much higher precision of $\delta^{18}O$ reconstructions when sampling resolution increases. However, the 414 sampling resolution required for Δ_{47} -based reconstructions to rival or outcompete the $\delta^{18}O$ reconstructions 415 416 differs, with optimization requiring lower sampling resolutions than the other methods (e.g. 20-40 417 samples/year compared to 40-80 samples/year for smoothing and binning; Fig. 9A-D). Accuracy also improves with sampling resolution (Fig. 9E-H). When grouping all cases together, it becomes clear that 418 419 δ^{18} O reconstructions can only approach the accuracy of Δ_{47} -based approaches for reconstructions of MAT. 420 Seasonality in both SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ is most accurately reconstructed using **binning**, and the **smoothing** 421 approach once again performs worst.

422

Figure 10: Effect of SST seasonality range (difference between warmest and coldest month) in the record 423 on the relative precision of SST seasonality reconstructions ("RSD", defined as one standard deviation 424 divided by the mean value). A shows precision results if random variability ("weather patterns") in both SST 425 and $\delta^{18}O_w$ as well as measurement uncertainty is added to the records (see 2.3.3 and S1). B shows 426 precision of records with random variability in SST and measurement uncertainty only. C shows precision 427 if only measurement uncertainty is considered. Color coding follows the scheme in Fig. 1 and Fig. 4. 428 Shaded dots represent results at various sampling resolutions, while bold lines are averages for all 429 430 reconstruction approaches. Black circles highlight the places where curves cross the threshold of two 431 standard deviations, which indicates the minimum SST seasonality that can be resolved within 2 standard 432 deviations (~95% confidence level) using the reconstruction approach.

433

434 **3.4 Resolving SST seasonality**

- 435 Comparison of cases 19, 20 and 21 (SST seasonality of 9.7° C, 5.7° C and 2.1° C respectively) with control 436 case 1 (SST seasonality of 19.3° C) shows how changes in the seasonal SST range affect the precision of 437 measurements (**Fig. 10**; see also **Table 1** and **Supplementary Data S1**). The data reconfirms that δ^{18} O 438 reconstructions are most precise; a deceptive statistic given the risk of highly inaccurate results this
- 439 approach yields (see Fig. 8). Taking into consideration only analytical uncertainty, all approaches except

for **smoothing** can confidently resolve at least the highest SST seasonality within a significance level of two standard deviations (~95%) using a moderate sampling resolution (mean of all resolutions shown in **Fig. 10**). Increasing sampling resolution improves the precision of Δ_{47} -based reconstructions (see **Fig. 9D**), so high sampling resolutions (0.1 or 0.2 mm) allow smaller seasonal differences to be resolved. When random sub-annual variability is added to the SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ records (see **2.3.3**), the minimum seasonal SST extent that can be resolved decreases for all approaches (**Fig. 10B** and **10C**). Nevertheless, $\delta^{18}O$ and **optimization** reconstructions remain able to resolve a relatively small SST seasonality of 2-4°C.

447

Figure 11: Effect of record length (in years) on the relative precision (one standard deviation as fraction of
 the mean value) of results of reconstructions of mean annual SST (A) and SST seasonality (B). Colored
 dots represent results for the six different sampling resolutions. Solid lines connect averages for cases 1,
 22, 23 and 24 for each reconstruction approach.

452

453 3.5 Effect of record length

454 The effect of variation in the length of the record was investigated by comparing cases 22, 23 and 24 (record 455 lengths of 6 years, 3 years and 1 year, respectively) with the control case (record length of 12 years; see 456 Fig. 11 and Table 1). Precision of MAT and SST seasonality reconstructions slightly increase in larger 457 datasets (longer records) for **optimization** and **binning**, but not for **smoothing** and δ^{18} O reconstructions. 458 Differences between reconstruction approaches remain relatively constant regardless of the length of the record, with precision hierarchy generally remaining intact ($\delta^{18}O$ > optimization > binning > smoothing). 459 460 However, in very short records (1-2 years) **smoothing** generally gains an advantage over other Δ_{47} -based 461 methods due to its lack of sensitivity to changes in the record length, and **binning** reconstructions are not 462 precise enough to resolve SST seasonality within two standard deviations (~95% confidence level). Variation in precision is largely driven by very low precision of reconstructions in records with low sampling 463 resolutions (sampling intervals of 1.55 mm or 3.25 mm; see also Fig. 9A-D). As a result, most of the 464 465 reduction in precision in shorter records can be mitigated by denser sampling.

⁴⁶⁷ **Figure 12**: Effect of uncertainty in age model on the precision (standard deviation on estimate) of results 468 of reconstructions of mean annual $\delta^{18}O_w$ (**A**) and seasonal range in $\delta^{18}O_w$ (**B**), mean annual SST (**C**) and 469 seasonal range in SST (**D**). Effect of uncertainty in age model on the accuracy (offset from true value) of

470 results of reconstructions of mean annual $\delta^{18}O_w$ (*E*) and seasonal range in $\delta^{18}O_w$ (*F*), mean annual SST 471 (*G*) and seasonal range in SST (*H*). Color coding follows the scheme in *Fig. 1* and *Fig. 4*.

472

473 3.6 Effect of age model uncertainty

474 Uncertainty in the age model has a significant effect on both the precision and the accuracy (Fig. 12) of reconstructions using all approaches. The $\delta^{18}O$ reconstructions are most strongly affected by uncertainties 475 476 in the age model and suffer from a large decrease in precision with increasing age model uncertainty (Fig. 477 **12C-D**). The high precision of the δ^{18} O approach in comparison with the Δ_{47} approaches quickly disappears 478 when age model uncertainty increases beyond 20-30 days. Accuracy of $\delta^{18}O_c$ -based SST seasonality 479 reconstructions initially improves with age model uncertainty (Fig. 12H). However, this observation is likely 480 caused by the fact that age model uncertainty was compared based on conditions in case 9, which features a phase offset between SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ seasonality causing the $\delta^{18}O$ method to be highly inaccurate even 481 482 without age model uncertainty. The precision of smoothing and optimization approaches also decreases 483 with increasing age model uncertainty (Fig 12A-D), and the optimization approach loses its precision 484 advantage over the **binning** and **smoothing** approaches when age model uncertainty increases beyond 485 30 days. The monthly binning approach is most resilient against increasing age model uncertainty. Seasonality reconstructions through both the **binning** and **optimization** approach quickly lose accuracy 486 487 when age model uncertainty increases but the accuracy of the **smoothing** approach remains the worst of all Δ_{47} -based approaches in regardless of age model uncertainty except in the case of $\delta^{18}O_w$ seasonality at 488 489 exceptionally high (>60 days) age uncertainty (Fig. 12E-H).

490

Figure 13: Overview of averages and ranges of accuracy (absolute offset from real value) and precision (one standard deviation from the mean) on mean annual $\delta^{18}O_w$ (**A**) and seasonal range in $\delta^{18}O_w$ (**B**), mean annual SST (**C**) and seasonal range in SST (**D**) within all cases using the four different reconstruction approaches. Color coding follows the scheme in **Fig. 1** and **Fig. 4**. Box-whisker plots for precision and accuracy cross at their median values and outliers (colored symbols) are identified based on 2x the interquartile difference (thick lines).

498 4. Discussion

499 **4.1 Performance of reconstruction approaches**

500 4.1.1 $\delta^{18}O_c$ vs Δ_{47} -based reconstructions

501 Figure 13 summarizes the general reliability of the four approaches. $\delta^{18}O$ reconstructions are generally 502 less accurate than Δ_{47} -based reconstructions (especially **binning** and **optimization**; see also 503 **Supplementary Data S9**). This is a consequence of the assumption that $\delta^{18}O_w$ remains constant year-504 round, and that one knows its true value. Both these assumptions are problematic in the absence of 505 independent evidence of the value of $\delta^{18}O_w$, especially in deep time settings (see e.g. Veizer and Prokoph, 506 2015; Henkes et al., 2018). The risk of this assumption is made clear when comparing cases in which $\delta^{18}O_w$ 507 is indeed constant year-round at the assumed value (0%; e.g. cases 1-6 and 19-24) with cases in which 508 shifts in $\delta^{18}O_w$ occur, especially when these shifts are out of phase with respect to the SST seasonality (e.g. 509 cases 9-11, 18 and 25-33; Fig. 8C-D). Cases mimicking or based on natural SST and SSS variability (cases 510 14-18 and 30-33) as well as the modern oyster data (Fig. 6) yield stronger inaccuracies in MAT and 511 seasonality reconstructions, showing that even in many modern natural circumstances the assumption of 512 constant $\delta^{18}O_w$ is problematic.

513 It is important to consider that the value of mean annual $\delta^{18}O_w$ remained very close to the assumed value 514 of 0% (within 0.15%) in all cases except for natural data cases 30 (-1.55%), 32 (1.01%; see 515 Supplementary Data S5) and the real oyster data (-1.42%; Fig. 5). The SST values of these cases 516 reconstructed using $\delta^{18}O_c$ data show large offsets from their actual values (+6.7°C, -4.7°C and +10.3°C for 517 case 30, case 32 and the real ovster data respectively; see Fig. 6 and 8 and Supplementary Data S5). 518 These offsets are equivalent to the temperature offset one might expect from inaccurately estimating $\delta^{18}O_w$ 519 (~-4.6 °C/‰; Kim and O'Neil, 1997) and are only rivaled by the offset in MAT reconstructions of case 18 520 (+5.0°C), which has growth hiatuses obscuring the coldest half of the seasonal cycle. The fact that such 521 differences in $\delta^{18}O_w$ exist even in modern environments should not come as a surprise, given the available 522 data on worldwide variability of δ^{18} O_w (at least -3‰ to +2‰; e.g. LeGrande and Schmidt, 2006) and SSS 523 (30 to 40; ESA, 2020) in modern ocean basins. However, it should warrant caution in using $\delta^{18}O_c$ data for 524 SST reconstructions even in modern settings. Implications for deep time reconstructions are even greater,

525 given the uncertainty on and variability in global average (let alone local) $\delta^{18}O_w$ values (Jaffrés et al., 2007; 526 Veizer and Prokoph, 2015). The complications of using $\delta^{18}O_c$ as a proxy for marine temperatures in deep 527 time are discussed in detail in O'Brien et al. (2017), and Tagliavento et al. (2019). Complications arising 528 from variability in $\delta^{18}O_w$ are more serious in climate records from euryhaline carbonate producers (e.g. 529 oysters) than from stenohaline organisms (e.g. corals), as they are mainly driven by salinity fluctuations. 530 For example, seasonal salinity variability in the North Sea in offshore sites away from freshwater sources 531 can be as low as 0.25 (Harwood et al., 2008), compared to 3-4 in the coastal Texel site simulated in case 532 30. Given this variability, studies using the $\delta^{18}O_c$ proxy for SST reconstructions are recommended to either 533 reconstruct $\delta^{18}O_w$ through additional measurements (e.g. including clumped isotope analysis) or constrain 534 $\delta^{18}O_w$ variability through isotope-enabled modelling (e.g. Williams et al., 2009)

The analytical uncertainty of individual $\delta^{18}O_c$ aliquots (typically 1 S.D. of 0.05‰; e.g. de Winter et al., 2018) 535 536 represents only ~1.1% of the variability in $\delta^{18}O_c$ over the seasonal cycle (~4.3‰ for the default 20°C 537 seasonality in case 1, following Kim and O'Neil, 1997). This is much smaller than the analytical uncertainty 538 of Δ_{47} (typically 1 S.D. of 0.02-0.04%; e.g. Fernandez et al., 2017; de Winter et al., 2020b), which equates 539 to 25-50% of the seasonal variability in Δ_{47} (~0.08‰ for 20°C seasonality, following Bernasconi et al., 2018; 540 see **Supplementary Data S7**). This roughly 20-fold difference in relative precision causes $\delta^{18}O_c$ based SST reconstructions to be much more precise (see Figs 7, 9-12) than those based on Δ_{47} , and forces the 541 542 necessity for grouping Δ_{47} data in reconstructions. However, as discussed above, the high precision of δ^{18} O 543 reconstructions is a misleading statistic if they are highly inaccurate.

544 Our results show that paleose sonality reconstructions based on $\delta^{18}O_c$ can only be relied upon if there is strong independent evidence of the value of $\delta^{18}O_w$ and if significant sub-annual variability in $\delta^{18}O_w$ (>0.3‰, 545 546 equivalent to a 2-3°C SST variability; see Fig. 9-10; Kim and O'Neil, 1997) can be excluded with confidence. 547 Examples of such cases include fully marine environments unaffected by influxes of (isotopically light) freshwater or evaporation (increasing δ^{18} O_w; Rohling, 2013). Carbonate records from environments with 548 more stable $\delta^{18}O_w$ conditions include, for example, the *A. islandica* bivalves from considerable depth (30-549 550 50m) in the open marine Northern Atlantic (e.g. Schöne et al., 2005, on which case 33 is based). However, 551 even here variability in $\delta^{18}O_{sw}$ due to, for example, shifting influence of different bottom water masses cannot be fully excluded. Previous reconstruction studies show that $\delta^{18}O_w$ in smaller basins are heavily influenced by the processes affecting $\delta^{18}O_w$ on smaller scales, such as local evaporation and freshwater influx from nearby rivers (e.g. Surge et al., 2001; Petersen et al., 2016). Consequently, accurate quantitative reconstructions of seasonal range in shallow marine environments with extreme seasonality may not be feasible using the $\delta^{18}O$ approach, because these environments are invariably characterized by significant fluctuations in $\delta^{18}O_w$ and growth rate.

558 While variability in $\delta^{18}O_w$ compromises accurate $\delta^{18}O$ -based seasonality reconstructions, the compilation 559 in **Fig. 3** shows that its influence on the $\delta^{18}O$ records is too small to affect the shape of the record to such 560 a degree that seasonality is fully obscured. While natural situations with $\delta^{18}O_w$ fluctuations large enough to 561 totally counterbalance the effect of temperature seasonality on $\delta^{18}O$ records are imaginable, these cases 562 are likely rare. This means that chronologies based on $\delta^{18}O$ seasonality, which are a useful tool to anchor 563 seasonal variability in absence of independent growth markers (e.g. Judd et al., 2018; de Winter, 2021b), 564 are reliable in most natural cases.

565 *4.1.2* Seasonality reconstructions using moving averages (*smoothing*)

566 Of the three methods for combining Δ_{47} data, the **smoothing** approach clearly performs worst in all four 567 reconstructed parameters (MAT, SST seasonality, mean annual $\delta^{18}O_w$ and $\delta^{18}O_w$ seasonality), both in 568 terms of accuracy and precision (Fig. 13). While applying a moving average may be a good strategy for 569 lowering the uncertainty of Δ_{47} -based temperature reconstructions in a long time series (e.g. Rodríguez-570 Sanz et al., 2017), the method underperforms in cases where baseline and amplitude of a periodic 571 component (e.g. MAT and SST seasonality) are extracted from a record. This is likely due to the smoothing 572 effect of the moving average, which reduces the seasonal cycle and causes highly inaccurate seasonality 573 reconstructions (offsets mounting to $>6^{\circ}C$; Fig. 13). This bias is especially detrimental in cases where the 574 seasonal cycle is obscured by seasonal growth halts (e.g. case 18), multi-annual trends in growth (e.g. 575 case 4, 14 and 17) and multi-annual trends in SST (e.g. case 15 and 17; see Fig. 7 and 8). The poor 576 performance of the smoothing approach can be slightly mitigated by increasing sampling resolution (Fig 577 9), but even at high sampling resolutions (every 0.1 or 0.2 mm) the method still fails to reliably resolve 578 seasonal SST ranges below 5°C even in idealized cases (case 19-21; Fig. 10). Increasing the number of samples by analyzing longer records does not improve the result, because smoothing of the seasonal cycle
by a moving average window introduces the same dampening bias if the temporal sampling resolution
(number of samples per year) remains equal (Fig. 11).

582 More critically, employing the **smoothing** method may give the illusion that seasonality is more reduced, 583 and severely bias reconstructions. This bias highlights the importance of using the official meteorological 584 definition of seasonality as the difference between the averages of warmest and coldest month in 585 paleoseasonality work (O'Donnell and Ignizio, 2012). This definition is much more robust than the "annual range" often cited based on maxima and minima in $\delta^{18}O_c$ records. This "annual range" strongly depends on 586 sampling resolution, which is typically <12 samples/yr (Goodwin et al., 2003), equivalent to the third lowest 587 588 sampling interval (0.75 mm) simulated in this study. Therefore, we strongly recommend future studies to 589 adhere to the monthly definition of seasonality to foster comparison between studies. While inter-annual 590 variability is lost by combining data from multiple years into monthly averages, this approach increases 591 precision, accuracy and comparability of paleoseasonality results. Inter-annual variability can still be 592 discussed from plots of raw data plotted in time or sampling domain.

593 4.1.3 Monthly **binning**, sample size **optimization** and age model uncertainty

594 Overall, the most reliable paleoseasonality reconstructions can be obtained from either binning or 595 optimization (Fig. 13). In general, optimization is slightly more precise, while binning yields more 596 accurate estimates of seasonal range in SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ (Fig. 13B and D). The more flexible combination 597 of aliquots in the optimization routine yields improved precision (especially on mean annual averages) in 598 cases where parts of the record are undersampled or affected by hiatuses and simultaneous fluctuations 599 in both SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ (e.g. case 3-6, 14-18, 30-33). The downside of this flexibility is that in the case of 600 larger sample sizes, the seasonal variability may be dampened, like in the **smoothing** approach (see 4.1.2). 601 This apparent dampening effect may be reduced by allowing the sample size of summer and winter samples 602 to vary independently in the **optimization** routine, at the cost of higher computational intensity due to the 603 larger number of sample size combinations (see 2.1 and 4.2.2). The rigid grouping of data in monthly bins 604 in **binning** prevents this dampening and therefore yields slightly more accurate estimates of seasonal 605 ranges in SST and δ^{18} Ow. A caveat of **binning** is that it requires a very reliable age model of the record, at least on a monthly scale. If the age model has a large uncertainty, there is a risk that samples are grouped in the wrong month, which compromises the accuracy of **binning** reconstructions, especially for reconstructions of seasonal range (**Fig 12H**). This problem is exacerbated by potential phase shifts between seasonality in paleoclimate variables (SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$) and calendar dates, which may occur in the presence of a reliable age model.

611 Previous authors attempted to circumvent the dating problem by analyzing high-resolution $\delta^{18}O_c$ transects 612 and subsequently sampling the seasonal extremes for clumped isotope analyses (Keating-Bitonti et al., 613 2011; Briard et al., 2020). While this approach does not require sub-annual age models, it has several 614 disadvantages compared with the binning and optimization approaches: Firstly, it requires separate 615 sampling for $\delta^{18}O_c$ and Δ_{47} , which may not be possible in high-resolution carbonate archives due to sample 616 size limitations. Analyzing small aliquots for combined $\delta^{18}O_c$ and Δ_{47} analyses consumes less material. 617 Secondly, individual summer and winter temperature reconstructions require large (> 1.5 mg; e.g. 618 Fernandez et al., 2017) Δ_{47} samples from seasonal extremes, which causes more time-averaging than the 619 approaches combining small aliquots. Finally, the position of seasonal extremes estimated from the $\delta^{18}O_c$ 620 record may not reflect the true seasonal extent if seasonal SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ cycles are not in phase (as in 621 case 9), causing the seasonal Δ_{47} -based SST reconstructions to underestimate the temperature 622 seasonality. In such cases, $\delta^{18}O_c$ and Δ_{47} analyses on small aliquots allow the seasonality in SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ 623 to be disentangled, yielding more accurate seasonality reconstructions.

624 Techniques for establishing independent age models for climate archives range from counting of growth 625 layers or increments (Schöne et al., 2008; Huyghe et al., 2019), modelling and extracting of rhythmic 626 variability in climate proxies through statistical approaches (e.g. De Ridder et al., 2007; Goodwin et al., 627 2009; Judd et al., 2018; de Winter, 2021b) and interpolation of uncertainty on absolute dates (e.g. Scholz 628 and Hoffman, 2011; Meyers, 2019; Sinnesael et al., 2019). While propagating uncertainty in the data on 629 which age models are based onto the age model is relatively straightforward, errors on underlying a priori 630 assumptions such as linear growth rate between dated intervals, (quasi-)sinusoidal forcing of climate cycles 631 and the uncertainty on human-generated data such as layer counting are very difficult to quantify (e.g. 632 Comboul et al., 2014) and may not be normally distributed. Results of cases 25-29 show that uncertainties

633 in the age domain can significantly compromise reconstructions (Fig. 12). Within the scope of this study, 634 only the effect of symmetrical, normally distributed uncertainties on an artificial case with phase decoupled 635 SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ seasonality (case 9) was tested. The effects of other types of uncertainties on the reconstructions remain unknown, highlighting an unknown uncertainty in paleoseasonality and other high-636 637 resolution paleoclimate studies that may introduce bias or lead to over-optimistic uncertainties on 638 reconstructions. Future research could quantify this unknown uncertainty by propagating estimates of 639 various types of uncertainty on depth values of samples and on the conversion from sampling to time 640 domain in age models.

641 **4.2 Conditions influencing success of reconstructions**

The reliability (accuracy and precision) of SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ reconstructions depend on case-specific conditions. The range of case studies tested in this study allowed us to evaluate the effect of variability in SST, growth rate, $\delta^{18}O_w$, sampling resolution and record length relative to the control case (case 1; see **Supplementary Data S1**). A summary of the effects of these changes is given in **Table 2**.

Variable	cases	Metric	Effect on reconstructions			
Vallable			δ ¹⁸ Ο	smoothing	binning	optimization
	12 15	Precision	0	+++	+	0
SST	17 19-21 30-33	Accuracy	+	+	0	+
	2-6	Precision	+	++	++	+
Growth rate	14-18 30-33	Accuracy	+	++	0	+
	7-11	Precision	+	++	0	0
δ ¹⁸ O _w	13-18 30-33	Accuracy	+++	+++	+	++
Sampling resolution	1-33	Precision	0	+++	++	++
Sampling resolution		Accuracy	+	+	+++	+
Pocord longth	22-24	Precision	0	0	+++	++
Record length		Accuracy	+	0	++	++
Age model	25-29	Precision	+++	++	0	++
uncertainty?		Accuracy	+	+	++	++

647 **Table 2**: Qualitative summary of the effects of changes in variables relative from the ideal case on 648 reconstructions using the four approaches. The "cases" column lists cases in which the changes in the 649 respective variable relative to the control case (case 1) were represented (see **Table 1** and **S1**). "0" = 650 negligible effect, "+" = weak increase in uncertainty, "++" = moderate increase in uncertainty, "++" = strong 651 increase in uncertainty. Precision and accuracy of all tests is given in **S9**.

652

653 4.2.1 SST variability

654 Variability in water temperature most directly affects the proxies under study. By default (case 1), SST 655 varies sinusoidally around a MAT of 20°C with an amplitude of 10°C (see 2.3.3, Fig. 2 and Supplementary 656 Data S1). In cases in which multi-annual variability in SST is simulated (e.g. case 15 and 17), the accuracy of SST reconstructions using δ^{18} O and optimization are reduced, while the binning approach is less 657 658 strongly affected. Examples of such multi-annual cyclicity are El-Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO; 659 Philander, 1983) or North Atlantic Oscillation (NOA; Hurrell, 1995). The effect is especially large in case 17, 660 which simulates a tropical environment with reduced SST seasonality and a strong multi-annual cyclicity. 661 This type of environment is analogous to the environment of tropical shallow water corals, which are often 662 used as archives for ENSO variability (e.g. Charles et al., 1997; Fairbanks et al., 1997) and is similar to tropical cases from the Australian Great Barrier Reef (case 31) and Red Sea (case 32; see Fig. 3). We 663 664 therefore recommend using the **binning** approach on carbonate records where multi-annual cyclicity is

prevalent and if a reliable age model can be established for these records (as in e.g. Sato, 1999; Scourse
et al., 2006; Miyaji et al., 2010).

667 *4.2.2 Growth rate variability and hiatuses*

668 Figures 7 and 8 show that variations in the growth rate of records, including the occurrence of hiatuses, 669 have a strong effect on reconstructions, especially using the smoothing approach. In general, hiatuses 670 and slower growth reduce precision of monthly SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ reconstructions by reducing mean temporal 671 sampling resolution (samples/yr; see Fig. 9), and because parts of the record are undersampled. The effect 672 on accuracy depends strongly on the timing of changes in growth rate or the occurrence of hiatuses. Cases 673 2-6 simulate specific growth rate effects and can be used to test these differences. The **smoothing** method 674 is especially sensitive to changes in growth rate that take place in specific seasons, such as hiatuses in 675 winter (case 2) or summer (case 3) and growth peaks in summer (case 5) or spring (case 6). The other 676 reconstruction approaches are less affected by this bias, because they generally do not mix samples from different seasons. The δ^{18} O method is especially well suited to deal with changes in growth rate because 677 678 it does not require combining different aliguots for accurate SST reconstructions. The **binning** and 679 optimization approaches are slightly less reliable in cases where growth rate decreases linearly or 680 seasonally along the entire record (cases 4-6; Fig. 2). Because these two methods consider all samples in 681 the records at once, they are more sensitive to changes in temporal sampling resolution along the record. 682 It is worth noting that **optimization** is especially sensitive to sharp changes in growth rate in summer (e.g. 683 cases 11, 14, 16 and 17) because those conditions force the optimization routine to use larger sample 684 sizes or include samples outside the warmest month for summer temperature estimates. A potential solution 685 to this problem could be to allow sample sizes of summer and winter groups to vary independently in the 686 optimization routine (see 2.1). This would allow sample size in the undersampled season (in this case: 687 summer) to become larger than that at the other end of the $\delta^{18}O_c$ spectrum, reducing uncertainty on the 688 more densely sampled season and therefore improving the entire seasonality reconstruction.

A worst-case scenario is represented by case 18, where the cold half of the year is not recorded. Such cases result in strong biases in reconstructions of mean annual and seasonal ranges in SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$, regardless of which method is used. In such extreme cases the record simply contains insufficient

information to reconstruct variability in growth rate, SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$, and it seems that no statistical method would enable this missing information to be recovered. The solution for these reconstructions would be to establish reliable age models, independent of $\delta^{18}O$ or Δ_{47} data, which show that a large part of the seasonal cycle is missing. All methods used in this study rely on a conversion of SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ reconstructions to the time domain to define monthly time bins. This conversion breaks down in fossil examples when the seasonal cycle cannot be extracted from the archive, which happens when half of the seasonal cycle or more is obscured by growth hiatuses, as exemplified in case 18.

699 While hiatuses encompassing half of the seasonal cycle are uncommon, changes in growth rate are 700 common in accretionary carbonate archives because conditions for (biotic or abiotic) carbonate 701 mineralization often vary over time. This variability is either driven by biological constraints, such as 702 senescence (e.g. Schöne, 2008; Hendriks et al., 2012), the reproductive cycle (Gaspar et al., 1999) or 703 stress (Surge et al., 2001; Compton et al., 2007) or by variations in the environment that promote or inhibit 704 carbonate production, such as seasonal variations in temperature (Crossland, 1984; Bahr et al., 2017) or 705 precipitation (Dayem et al., 2010; Van Rampelbergh et al., 2014). In general, such conditions occur more 706 frequently in mid- to high-latitude environments than in low-latitudes, and in more coastal environments 707 rather than in open marine settings, because these environments contain stronger variations in the factors 708 that influence growth rates (e.g. temperature, precipitation or freshwater influx; e.g. Surge et al., 2001; 709 Ullmann et al., 2010). This difference was simulated in the cases representing natural variability (case 14-710 18 and 30-33). Accuracy in the coastal high-latitude settings (cases 16, 18 and 29) are indeed more strongly 711 affected by changes in growth rate. Because in such highly variable environments growth rate variability 712 often co-occurs with variability in $\delta^{18}O_w$, using $\delta^{18}O_c$ -based reconstructions is not advised, unless $\delta^{18}O_w$ 713 variability can be constrained or neglected (which is rare in these environments).

Additional complications include the lack of constraint on growth rate variability because of uncertainties in the record's age model (see **4.1.3**) and the effect of growth rate variability on the sampling resolution. The effect of growth rate on time-averaging within samples was not specifically tested in this study but introduces uncertainty in practice when archives with variable growth rate are sampled at a constant sampling resolution in the depth domain. In this case, parts of the archive with a lower growth rate yield

more time-averaged samples, potentially dampening one extreme of the seasonal cycle (e.g. Goodwin et al., 2003). In highly dynamic environments it is challenging to isolate all variables that introduce bias, and irregular variability in growth rate and $\delta^{18}O_w$ will invariably introduce uncertainty in SST reconstructions, even when applying the best Δ_{47} -based approaches (e.g. **binning** and **optimization**). In such examples, the results of natural variability cases (14-18 and 30-33) and of the real oyster data (**Fig. 6**) serve as benchmarks for the degree of uncertainty that may remain unexplained in these records.

725 4.2.3 Variability in $\delta^{18}O_w$

726 As discussed in 4.1.1, these variations in $\delta^{18}O_w$ have a large effect on the accuracy of $\delta^{18}O_c$ -based 727 reconstructions, and their occurrence constitutes the main advantage of applying the Δ_{47} thermometer 728 (Eiler, 2011). However, results of cases 7-11 in Fig. 8 and Table 2 show that $\delta^{18}O_w$ variations can also bias 729 Δ_{47} -based reconstructions, especially those of seasonal ranges and those using the **smoothing** approach. **Smoothing** reconstructions are biased by these $\delta^{18}O_w$ shifts in much the same way as they are affected 730 731 by shifts in growth rate (see **4.2.2**). The **optimization** approach is sensitive to seasonal changes in $\delta^{18}O_w$ 732 in antiphase with SST seasonality and by increases in $\delta^{18}O_w$ in summer (e.g. due to excess evaporation; 733 e.g. case 11), especially when used for reconstructions of $\delta^{18}O_w$ seasonality. This effect arises because 734 the **optimization** approach orders data based on $\delta^{18}O_c$ and Δ_{47} seasonality to isolate the $\delta^{18}O_w$ -SST relationship. Both antiphase $\delta^{18}O_w$ seasonality and summer evaporation dampen the seasonal $\delta^{18}O_c$ cycle 735 736 and therefore influence the reconstruction of the $\delta^{18}O_w$ -SST relationship. A good example of this is seen in 737 the real oyster data (**Fig. 6**), where $\delta^{18}O_w$ and SST vary in phase and $\delta^{18}O_w$ dampens the SST seasonality. The **binning** approach is more robust against $\delta^{18}O_w$ variability that dampens the seasonal cycle and is 738 739 therefore a better choice for absolute SST reconstructions in environments where summer evaporation or 740 other $\delta^{18}O_w$ variability in phase with SST seasonality is expected to occur, if the age model is reliable 741 enough to allow monthly binning of raw data (see 4.1.3). Indeed, reconstructions from the lagoonal 742 environment (case 16) and Red Sea case (case 32 which is characterized by strong summer evaporation; 743 e.g. Titschack et al., 2010) show that **binning** is the most reliable choice in these environments.

744 4.2.4 Variability in sampling resolution and record length

745 Other factors influencing the effectiveness of reconstructions are the sampling resolution and the length of 746 the record. Many of the cases discussed in this study represent idealized cases with comparatively high 747 sampling resolutions over comparatively long (12 yr) paleoseasonality records, which yield large sample 748 sizes. By comparison, the typical age of mollusks, which are often used as paleoseasonality archives, is 2-749 5 years (Ivany, 2012). Records with the highest sampling resolutions (0.1 and 0.2 mm) contain up to 1200 750 samples. Generating such records is not impossible, but it is highly unlikely to be applied in paleoclimate 751 studies given the limitation of resources (e.g. instrument time) and the desire to analyze multiple records 752 from different specimens, species, localities or ages to gain a better understanding of the variability in 753 paleoseasonality (e.g. Goodwin et al., 2003; Schöne et al., 2006; Petersen et al., 2016). In some cases 754 large datasets are meticulously collected from single carbonate records (e.g. Schöne et al., 2005; 755 Vansteenberge et al., 2016; de Winter et al., 2020a; Shao et al., 2020). However, in such studies, the aim 756 is often to investigate variability at a higher (e.g. daily; de Winter et al., 2020a) resolution or longer 757 timescales (e.g. decadal to millennial; Schöne et al., 2005; Vansteenberge et al., 2016; Shao et al., 2020) 758 in addition to the seasonal cycle, rather than to improve the reliability of reconstructing one type of variability 759 (e.g. seasonality) alone.

760 Fig. 9 shows that increasing temporal sampling resolution (samples/yr) improves both the accuracy and 761 precision of all Δ_{47} -based reconstructions. This occurs because Δ_{47} samples have a large analytical 762 uncertainty (see 4.1.2) and grouping of data therefore improves reconstructions. The decrease in precision 763 of $\delta^{18}O_c$ -based reconstructions (Fig. 9C-D) is explained by the fact that the analytical uncertainty of $\delta^{18}O_c$ 764 measurements is much smaller than the variability introduced by natural sub-annual variability in SST and 765 $\delta^{18}O_w$ unrelated to the seasonal cycle (see **Supplementary Data S4**). Therefore, higher sampling 766 resolutions allow $\delta^{18}O_c$ records to better capture this sub-seasonal variability, which introduces more noise 767 to the seasonal cycle (reducing precision) but causes monthly mean SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ to be more accurately 768 reconstructed. Towards higher sampling resolutions, the gap in precision between $\delta^{18}O_{c}$ - and Δ_{47} -based 769 reconstructions closes, eventually (in an ideal case) diminishing the advantage of high analytical precision in $\delta^{18}O_c$ measurements (Fig. 9C-D). 770

771 An optimum sample resolution can be defined for each method after which improving sampling resolution 772 does not significantly improve the reliability of the reconstruction (as in de Winter et al., 2017). Figure 9 773 shows that this optimum varies depending on which variable (MAT, SST seasonality, mean annual $\delta^{18}O_w$ 774 or $\delta^{18}O_w$ seasonality) is reconstructed. Therefore, Fig. 9 will allow future researchers to determine the 775 sampling resolution that is tailored to their purpose. In general, the improvement after a sample size of 20-776 30 samples per year is negligible for the **binning** and **optimization** methods if the total number of samples 777 (depending on both sampling resolution and record length) is sufficient for monthly temperature 778 reconstructions. Our data show that 200-250 paired $\delta^{18}O_c$ and Δ_{47} measurements are in general sufficient 779 for a standard deviation of 2-3°C on monthly SST reconstructions using the **binning** or **optimization** 780 approach, preferably when spread over multiple growth years to eliminate the effect of short-term weather 781 events or years with exceptional seasonality (Fig. 10; Supplementary Data S5).

782 Record length only has a minimal influence on the optimization method but for very short records (<2 783 years) binning becomes very imprecise, especially at low sampling resolutions (Fig. 11). The reason is 784 that the sample size within monthly time bins becomes too small in these cases, while the more flexible 785 sample size window of the optimization routine circumvents this problem. The choice between these two 786 approaches should therefore be based on a tradeoff between the length of the record (in time) and the 787 number of samples that can be retrieved from it. As a result, shorter-lived, fast-growing climate archives, 788 such as large or fast-growing (e.g. juvenile) mollusk shells, are best sampled using a high temporal 789 resolution (>30 samples/yr) sampling strategy with the optimization approach. Longer lived archives with 790 a lower mineralization rate, such as annually laminated speleothems, corals and gerontic mollusks, are 791 best sampled using long time series at monthly resolution using the **binning** approach.

A simplified decision tree that could guide sampling strategies for future paleoseasonality studies is shown in **Figure 14**. Note that choices and tradeoffs for these reconstructions may differ depending on the archive and environment in which it formed (see discussion above).

795

Figure 15: Schematic guide to choosing the right approach for reconstructing annual mean or seasonality in SST and $\delta^{18}O_W$ from accretionary carbonate archives. Recommendations are based on the results of testing all four approaches on the entire range of cases. Researchers can follow the six steps (questions Q1-6) to decide on the right approach for reconstructing the target variable. Guidelines are based on 800 maximizing both accuracy and precision (see details in **Supplementary Data S9**). Note that the **smoothing** 801 approach is never the best choice. The choice between the two remaining Δ_{47} -based approaches (**binning** 802 and **optimization**) relies heavily on the situation and may be driven by a preference for more accurate or 803 more precise results.

804

4.3 Implications for clumped isotope sample size

The **optimization** technique for grouping Δ_{47} aliquots for accurate SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ reconstructions allows 806 807 us to assess the limitations of the clumped isotope thermometer for temperature reconstructions from high-808 resolution carbonate archives. The optimal sample size given by the approach is different for different cases 809 and depends on the temporal sampling resolution and the characteristics of the record (see S4). As 810 expected, in cases more like the ideal case (case 1), optimal sample sizes are low (~14-24), while sample 811 sizes increase in more complicated cases based on simulated natural environments (case 14-18) or cases 812 based on actual SST and SSS data (cases 30-33). More confined SST seasonality (cases 19-21) also 813 requires larger samples to reconstruct (up to 100 samples in some cases). This is not surprising, because 814 variability within samples will increase in records in which the seasonality is smaller or more obscured by 815 other environmental variability. The optimal sample size between cases and sampling resolutions is not 816 normally distributed but tails towards high sample sizes with some extreme outliers (Shapiro Wilk test p << 817 0.05; Supplementary Data S10). The median sample size of all our simulations is 17 aliguots. This number 818 lies between the minimum number of 14 ~100 µg replicates of standards calculated by Fernandez et al. 819 (2017) and the minimum of 20-40 ~100 µg aliquots required for optimal paleoseasonality reconstruction 820 from fossil bivalves by de Winter et al. (2020b). This is to be expected since many of the cases explored in 821 this study represent ideal cases compared with the natural situation. However, in these virtual cases a 822 measure of random sub-annual variability in SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$ was added (see Fig. 4 and Supplementary 823 **Data S2**), simulating a more realistic environment and resulting in poorer precision than replicates of a 824 carbonate standard (as in Fernandez et al., 2017). Our simulations show that the optimum number of 825 samples to be combined in seasonality studies depends on both the analytical uncertainty of Δ_{47} 826 measurements (as represented by the estimate in Fernandez et al., 2017) and the variability between 827 aliquots pooled within a sample that is attributed to actual variability within the record (as represented by 828 our simulations and the estimate in de Winter et al., 2020b). The optimal sample size is therefore a good

829 measure for the limitations of temperature variability that can be resolved in a record and can help 830 researchers decide which strategy to apply for combining measurements to obtain the most reliable 831 paleoseasonality estimates, or to decide whether extra sampling is required, even if the chosen approach 832 is not to use the **optimization** routine itself. Note that the optimum sample size is kept equal for summer 833 and winter samples in this study, and that the optimization approach can likely achieve better performance 834 by considering unequal sample sizes in opposite seasons (see 4.1.3 and 4.2.2). While this added flexibility 835 comes at a higher computational cost due to the increased number of possible sample size combinations 836 to be considered, future studies should investigate whether this updated optimization approach could yield 837 more reliable seasonality reconstructions.

838 **4.4 Implications for other sample size problems**

839 While the discussion above focuses on optimizing approaches for combining samples for clumped 840 isotope analyses in paleoseasonality reconstructions, the problem of combining samples to reduce 841 uncertainty and isolate variation in datasets is very common (e.g. Zhang et al., 2004; Merz and Thieken, 842 2005: Tsukakoshi, 2011). Therefore, the approaches outlined and tested in this study have applications 843 beyond paleoseasonality reconstructions. Examples of other problems that could benefit from applying 844 similar approaches for reducing the uncertainty of estimates of target variables while minimizing the 845 number of analyses required to meet analytical requirements include: (1) reconstructing 846 paleoenvironmental variability in the terrestrial realm from tooth bioapatite (e.g. Passey and Cerling, 847 2002; Kohn, 2004; Van Dam and Reichart, 2009; de Winter et al., 2016), (2) guantitative time series 848 analysis of orbital cycles in stratigraphic records (e.g. Lourens et al., 2010; de Vleeschouwer et al., 2017; 849 Noorbergen et al., 2018; Westerhold et al., 2020), (3) strontium isotope dating (e.g McArthur et al., 2012; 850 de Winter et al., 2020c), (4) reconstructing sub-seasonal variability from ultra-high-resolution records (e.g. 851 from fast-growing mollusks and gastropods; e.g. Sano et al., 2012; Warter and Müller, 2017, de Winter et 852 al., 2020d; Yan et al., 2020), and (5) reconstructing sea surface and deep-sea temperatures across short-853 lived (10–100 kyr) episodes of climate change or climate shifts from deep marine archives characterized 854 by low sedimentation rates (e.g. Lear et al., 2008; Jenkyns, 2010; Stap et al., 2010; Lauretano et al.,

855 2018). A more detailed discussion of the implications for other sample size problems is provided in the

856 Supplementary Information.

858 5. Conclusions and recommendations

859 The performance of three Δ_{47} -based approaches to reconstruct seasonality from accretionary carbonate 860 archives was evaluated in comparison with conventional $\delta^{18}O_c$ -based reconstructions in a wide range of 861 case studies. From the results, we conclude that while $\delta^{18}O_c$ -based reconstructions ($\delta^{18}O$) yield superior 862 precision for SST reconstructions, this method runs a high risk of yielding inaccurate results due to innate 863 assumptions about the value of δ^{18} Ow, which must be estimated and assumed constant year-round. Unless 864 $\delta^{18}O_w$ can be independently constrained or variability in $\delta^{18}O_w$ can be neglected, Δ_{47} -based reconstructions 865 should be the method of choice for absolute mean annual temperature and SST seasonality 866 reconstructions. Various techniques for combining Δ_{47} data were evaluated. Our findings suggest that 867 smoothing Δ_{47} data using a moving average almost always results in a dampening of the seasonal cycle 868 which severely hampers recovery of seasonality. Applying the **smoothing** approach results in inaccuracies 869 in reconstructions of MAT as well, especially in cases where part of the seasonal cycle is obscured by 870 variability in growth rate or multi-annual trends. More reliable seasonality reconstructions are achieved with 871 two approaches for combining Δ_{47} data using time binning (**binning**) or applying a flexible sample size 872 optimization (optimization) approach. Of these two approaches, optimization achieves better precision 873 and can resolve smaller seasonal temperature differences with confidence. However, binning is often more 874 accurate, and outperforms optimization as the most reliable approach. This is especially true in cases with 875 growth stops or $\delta^{18}O_w$ changes in phase with temperature seasonality (e.g. strong seasonal evaporation or 876 freshwater influx) and in longer multi-annual time series with a reliable age model. Optimization is the 877 better choice for shorter (<3 years) records, especially if the sampling resolution can be increased, such as 878 in short, fast growing climate archives.

Despite the focus on the problem of resolving seasonality in carbonate archives, the findings in this study have applications for other problems in earth science where sample size and sampling resolution put limits on the ability to resolve specific trends, events, and cycles from time series. While the above-mentioned recommendations of the **optimization** and **binning** methods are likely valid for most studies aiming to quantify the mean and amplitude of a specific cycle or event (equivalent to MAT and SST seasonality),

884 (dynamic) moving averages (**smoothing**) are expected to yield the best results in studies quantifying
 885 aperiodic trends from longer data series.

886

887 Code availability

All scripts used to make the calculations described in this study are compiled in the documented R package "seasonalclumped", which is freely available on the open-source online R-database CRAN (de Winter, 2021a; <u>https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/seasonalclumped</u>). Annotated R scripts used to make calculations for this study are available in the digital supplement uploaded to the open-source online repository Zenodo (<u>www.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3899926</u>).

893

894 Data availability

Supplementary data, figures and tables as well as all scripts used to do the calculations and create the virtual datasets used in this study are deposited in the open-source online repository Zenodo (<u>www.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3899926</u>). Virtual datasets generated within the context of this study are also made available as datafiles within the R package that contains the scripts used for this study ("seasonalclumped"; de Winter, 2021a; see https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/seasonalclumped).

900

901 Author contributions

902 NJW designed the study, wrote the scripts for all calculations, and created a first draft of the manuscript 903 text and figures. MZ, TA and NJW worked together from the first draft towards the final manuscript. All 904 authors contributed to the representation of the data and methods in figures and to the discussion of the 905 implications of the data in the discussion.

906

907 Competing Interests

908 The authors have no potential conflicts of interest to declare with regards to this study.

909

910 Acknowledgements

- 911 The authors would like to thank editor Alberto Reyes for his helpful suggestions for improving the
- 912 manuscript and for moderating the review process. Thanks to Andrew Johnson and two anonymous
- 913 reviewers for their comments which helped improve the manuscript. All members of the Clumped Isotope
- 914 research group of Utrecht University, most notably Ilja Kocken and dr. Inigo Müller, are acknowledged for
- 915 their comments and recommendations on a presentation of the initial results of this study.
- 916

917 Financial support

- 918 NJW is funded by the European Commission through a Marie Sklodowska Curie Individual Fellowship
- 919 (UNBIAS, grant # 843011) and by the Flemish Research Council (FWO) through a Junior Postdoctoral
- 920 Fellowship (12ZB220N).
- 921

922 References

- Bahr, K. D., Jokiel, P. L. and Rodgers, K. S.: Seasonal and annual calcification rates of the Hawaiian reef
 coral, Montipora capitata, under present and future climate change scenarios, ICES J Mar Sci, 74(4),
 1083–1091, https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsw078, 2017.
- Bernasconi, S. M., Müller, I. A., Bergmann, K. D., Breitenbach, S. F., Fernandez, A., Hodell, D. A., Jaggi,
 M., Meckler, A. N., Millan, I. and Ziegler, M.: Reducing uncertainties in carbonate clumped isotope
 analysis through consistent carbonate-based standardization, Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems,
 19(9), 2895–2914, 2018.
- Brand, W. A., Coplen, T. B., Vogl, J., Rosner, M. and Prohaska, T.: Assessment of international reference
 materials for isotope-ratio analysis (IUPAC Technical Report), Pure and Applied Chemistry, 86(3), 425–
 467, https://doi.org/10.1515/pac-2013-1023, 2014.

Briard, J., Pucéat, E., Vennin, E., Daëron, M., Chavagnac, V., Jaillet, R., Merle, D. and de Rafélis, M.:
Seawater paleotemperature and paleosalinity evolution in neritic environments of the Mediterranean
margin: Insights from isotope analysis of bivalve shells, Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,
Palaeoecology, 543, 109582, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2019.109582, 2020.

- Bowen, G.J. Waterlsotopes.org: http://wateriso.utah.edu/waterisotopes/index.html, last access: 28 July
 2020.
- Caldarescu, D. E., Sadatzki, H., Andersson, C., Schäfer, P., Fortunato, H. and Meckler, A. N.: Clumped isotope thermometry in bivalve shells: A tool for reconstructing seasonal upwelling, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 294, 174–191, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2020.11.019, 2021.
- Charles, C. D., Hunter, D. E. and Fairbanks, R. G.: Interaction between the ENSO and the Asian monsoon
 in a coral record of tropical climate, Science, 277(5328), 925–928, 1997.
- 944 Comboul, M., Emile-Geay, J., Evans, M. N., Mirnateghi, N., Cobb, K. M. and Thompson, D. M.: A
 945 probabilistic model of chronological errors in layer-counted climate proxies: applications to annually
 946 banded coral archives, Climate of the Past, 10(2), 825–841, 2014.
- Compton, T. J., Rijkenberg, M. J. A., Drent, J. and Piersma, T.: Thermal tolerance ranges and climate variability: A comparison between bivalves from differing climates, Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 352(1), 200–211, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2007.07.010, 2007.
- Cook, E. R. and Kairiukstis, L. A.: Methods of dendrochronology: applications in the environmental
 sciences, Springer Science & Business Media., 2013.
- Cramer, B. S., Toggweiler, J. R., Wright, J. D., Katz, M. E. and Miller, K. G.: Ocean overturning since the
 Late Cretaceous: Inferences from a new benthic foraminiferal isotope compilation, Paleoceanography,
 24(4), https://doi.org/10.1029/2008PA001683, 2009.
- Crossland, C.: Seasonal variations in the rates of calcification and productivity in the coral Acropora formosa
 on a high-latitude reef, Marine Ecology Progress Series, 15, 135–140,
 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps015135, 1984.
- Dattalo, P.: Determining Sample Size: Balancing Power, Precision, and Practicality, Oxford University
 Press, USA., 2008.
- Dayem, K. E., Molnar, P., Battisti, D. S. and Roe, G. H.: Lessons learned from oxygen isotopes in modern
 precipitation applied to interpretation of speleothem records of paleoclimate from eastern Asia, Earth and
 Planetary Science Letters, 295(1–2), 219–230, 2010.
- De Ridder, F., de Brauwere, A., Pintelon, R., Schoukens, J., Dehairs, F., Baeyens, W. and Wilkinson, B.
 H.: Comment on: Paleoclimatic inference from stable isotope profiles of accretionary biogenic hardparts—
 a quantitative approach to the evaluation of incomplete data, by Wilkinson, B.H., Ivany, L.C., 2002.
 Palaeogeogr. Palaeocl. Palaeoecol. 185, 95–114, Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology,
 248(3–4), 473–476, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2006.08.004, 2007.
- De Vleeschouwer, D., Vahlenkamp, M., Crucifix, M. and Pälike, H.: Alternating Southern and Northern
 Hemisphere climate response to astronomical forcing during the past 35 my, Geology, 45(4), 375–378,
 2017.
- de Winter, N. J., Snoeck, C. and Claeys, P.: Seasonal Cyclicity in Trace Elements and Stable Isotopes of
 Modern Horse Enamel, PloS one, 11(11), e0166678, 2016.
- de Winter, N., Sinnesael, M., Makarona, C., Vansteenberge, S. and Claeys, P.: Trace element analyses of
 carbonates using portable and micro-X-ray fluorescence: Performance and optimization of measurement
 parameters and strategies., Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry, 32(6), 1211–1223,
 https://doi.org/10.1039/C6JA00361C, 2017.

- de Winter, N. J., Vellekoop, J., Vorsselmans, R., Golreihan, A., Soete, J., Petersen, S. V., Meyer, K. W.,
 Casadio, S., Speijer, R. P. and Claeys, P.: An assessment of latest Cretaceous Pycnodonte vesicularis
 (Lamarck, 1806) shells as records for palaeoseasonality: a multi-proxy investigation, Climate of the Past,
 14(6), 725–749, 2018.
- de Winter, N. J., Goderis, S., Malderen, S. J. M. V., Sinnesael, M., Vansteenberge, S., Snoeck, C., Belza,
 J., Vanhaecke, F. and Claeys, P.: Subdaily-Scale Chemical Variability in a *Torreites Sanchezi* Rudist
 Shell: Implications for Rudist Paleobiology and the Cretaceous Day-Night Cycle, Paleoceanography and
 Paleoclimatology, 35(2), e2019PA003723, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019PA003723, 2020a.
- de Winter, N. J., Müller, I. A., Kocken, I. J., Thibault, N., Ullmann, C. V., Farnsworth, A., Lunt, D. J., Claeys,
 P. and Ziegler, M.: First absolute seasonal temperature estimates for greenhouse climate from clumped isotopes in bivalve shells, Nature Communications, in review, https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-39203/v1,
 2020b.
- de Winter, N. J., Ullmann, C. V., Sørensen, A. M., Thibault, N., Goderis, S., Van Malderen, S. J. M., Snoeck,
 C., Goolaerts, S., Vanhaecke, F. and Claeys, P.: Shell chemistry of the boreal Campanian bivalve *Rastellum diluvianum*; (Linnaeus, 1767) reveals temperature seasonality, growth rates and life cycle of
 an extinct Cretaceous oyster, Biogeosciences, 17(11), 2897–2922, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-28972020, 2020c.
- de Winter, N. J., Vellekoop, J., Clark, A. J., Stassen, P., Speijer, R. P. and Claeys, P.: The giant marine
 gastropod Campanile giganteum (Lamarck, 1804) as a high-resolution archive of seasonality in the
 Eocene greenhouse world, Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 21(n/a), e2019GC008794,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GC008794, 2020d.
- de Winter, N. J.: seasonalclumped: Toolbox for Clumped Isotope Seasonality Reconstructions.
 https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=seasonalclumped, last access: 4 February 2021, 2021a.
- de Winter, N. J.: ShellChron 0.2.8: A new tool for constructing chronologies in accretionary carbonate
 archives from stable oxygen isotope profiles, Geoscientific Model Development Discussions, 1–37,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-401, 2021b.
- 1003Denton, G. H., Alley, R. B., Comer, G. C. and Broecker, W. S.: The role of seasonality in abrupt climate1004change,QuaternaryScienceReviews,24(10),1159–1182,1005https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2004.12.002, 2005.
- 1006 Eiler, J. M.: Paleoclimate reconstruction using carbonate clumped isotope thermometry, 30, 3575–3588, 2011.
- Fairbanks, R. G., Evans, M. N., Rubenstone, J. L., Mortlock, R. A., Broad, K., Moore, M. D. and Charles,
 C. D.: Evaluating climate indices and their geochemical proxies measured in corals, Coral Reefs, 16(1),
 S93–S100, https://doi.org/10.1007/s003380050245, 1997.
- Fernandez, A., Müller, I. A., Rodríguez-Sanz, L., van Dijk, J., Looser, N. and Bernasconi, S. M.: A
 reassessment of the precision of carbonate clumped isotope measurements: implications for calibrations
 and paleoclimate reconstructions, Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 18(12), 4375–4386, 2017.
- 1014 Gaspar, M. B., Ferreira, R. and Monteiro, C. C.: Growth and reproductive cycle of Donax trunculus L.,
 1015 (Mollusca: Bivalvia) off Faro, southern Portugal, Fisheries Research, 41(3), 309–316,
 1016 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-7836(99)00017-X, 1999.

- 1017 Goodwin, D. H., Schöne, B. R. and Dettman, D. L.: Resolution and fidelity of oxygen isotopes as 1018 paleotemperature proxies in bivalve mollusk shells: models and observations, Palaios, 18(2), 110–125, 1019 2003.
- Goodwin, D. H., Paul, P. and Wissink, C. L.: MoGroFunGen: A numerical model for reconstructing intraannual growth rates of bivalve molluscs, Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 276(1), 47–55, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2009.02.026, 2009.
- Harwood, A. J. P., Dennis, P. F., Marca, A. D., Pilling, G. M. and Millner, R. S.: The oxygen isotope composition of water masses within the North Sea, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 78(2), 353–359, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2007.12.010, 2008.
- Hendriks, I. E., Basso, L., Deudero, S., Cabanellas-Reboredo, M. and Álvarez, E.: Relative growth rates of
 the noble pen shell Pinna nobilis throughout ontogeny around the Balearic Islands (Western
 Mediterranean, Spain), Journal of Shellfish Research, 31(3), 749–756, 2012.
- Henkes, G. A., Passey, B. H., Grossman, E. L., Shenton, B. J., Yancey, T. E. and Pérez-Huerta, A.:
 Temperature evolution and the oxygen isotope composition of Phanerozoic oceans from carbonate
 clumped isotope thermometry, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 490, 40–50,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.02.001, 2018.
- Hurrell, J. W.: Decadal trends in the North Atlantic Oscillation: regional temperatures and precipitation,
 Science, 269(5224), 676–679, 1995.
- Huybers, P. and Curry, W.: Links between annual, Milankovitch and continuum temperature variability,
 Nature, 441(7091), 329, 2006.
- Huyghe, D., Lartaud, F., Emmanuel, L., Merle, D. and Renard, M.: Palaeogene climate evolution in the
 Paris Basin from oxygen stable isotope (δ18O) compositions of marine molluscs, Journal of the
 Geological Society, 172(5), 576–587, 2015.
- Huyghe, D., de Rafélis, M., Ropert, M., Mouchi, V., Emmanuel, L., Renard, M. and Lartaud, F.: New insights
 into oyster high-resolution hinge growth patterns, Marine biology, 166(4), 48, 2019.
- IPCC: IPCC, 2013: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to
 the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 1535 pp, Cambridge
 Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, and New York., 2013.
- 1045 Ivany, L. C.: Reconstructing paleoseasonality from accretionary skeletal carbonates—challenges and 1046 opportunities, The Paleontological Society Papers, 18, 133–166, 2012.
- Jaffrés, J. B. D., Shields, G. A., and Wallmann, K.: The oxygen isotope evolution of seawater: A critical review of a long-standing controversy and an improved geological water cycle model for the past 3.4 billion years, Earth-Science Reviews, 83, 83–122, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2007.04.002, 2007.
- Jenkyns, H. C.: Geochemistry of oceanic anoxic events, Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 11(3),
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GC002788, 2010.

Johnson, A. L. A., Valentine, A. M., Leng, M. J., Schöne, B. R., and Sloane, H. J.: Life history, environment
 and extinction of the scallop *Carolinapecten eboreus* (Conrad) In the Plio-Pleistocene of the U.S. eastern
 seaboard, PALAIOS, 34, 49–70, https://doi.org/10.2110/palo.2018.056, 2019.

- Jones, A. M., Iacumin, P. and Young, E. D.: High-resolution d18O analysis of tooth enamel phosphate by
 isotope ratio monitoring gas chromatography mass spectrometry and ultraviolet laser fluorination, , 8,
 1999.
- Judd, E. J., Wilkinson, B. H. and Ivany, L. C.: The life and time of clams: Derivation of intra-annual growth
 rates from high-resolution oxygen isotope profiles, Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology,
 490, 70–83, 2018.
- Keating-Bitonti, C. R., Ivany, L. C., Affek, H. P., Douglas, P. and Samson, S. D.: Warm, not super-hot,
 temperatures in the early Eocene subtropics, Geology, 39(8), 771–774,
 https://doi.org/10.1130/G32054.1, 2011.
- Kele, S., Breitenbach, S. F., Capezzuoli, E., Meckler, A. N., Ziegler, M., Millan, I. M., Kluge, T., Deák, J.,
 Hanselmann, K. and John, C. M.: Temperature dependence of oxygen-and clumped isotope fractionation
 in carbonates: a study of travertines and tufas in the 6–95 C temperature range, Geochimica et
 Cosmochimica Acta, 168, 172–192, 2015.
- Kim, S.-T. and O'Neil, J. R.: Equilibrium and nonequilibrium oxygen isotope effects in synthetic carbonates,
 Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 61(16), 3461–3475, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(97)001695, 1997.
- Kocken, I. J., Müller, I. A. and Ziegler, M.: Optimizing the Use of Carbonate Standards to Minimize
 Uncertainties in Clumped Isotope Data, Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 20(11), 5565–5577,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GC008545, 2019.
- 1074 Kohn, M. J.: Comment: tooth enamel mineralization in ungulates: implications for recovering a primary
 1075 isotopic time-series, by BH Passey and TE Cerling (2002), Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 68(2),
 1076 403–405, 2004.
- Lauretano, V., Zachos, J. C. and Lourens, L. J.: Orbitally Paced Carbon and Deep-Sea Temperature
 Changes at the Peak of the Early Eocene Climatic Optimum, Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology,
 33(10), 1050–1065, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018PA003422, 2018.
- Lear, C. H., Bailey, T. R., Pearson, P. N., Coxall, H. K. and Rosenthal, Y.: Cooling and ice growth across
 the Eocene-Oligocene transition, Geology, 36(3), 251–254, 2008.
- LeGrande, A. N. and Schmidt, G. A.: Global gridded data set of the oxygen isotopic composition in
 seawater, Geophysical research letters, 33(12), 2006.
- Lisiecki, L. E. and Raymo, M. E.: A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally distributed benthic δ180
 records, Paleoceanography, 20(1), https://doi.org/10.1029/2004PA001071, 2005.
- Lourens, L. J., Becker, J., Bintanja, R., Hilgen, F. J., Tuenter, E., Van de Wal, R. S. and Ziegler, M.: Linear
 and non-linear response of late Neogene glacial cycles to obliquity forcing and implications for the
 Milankovitch theory, Quaternary Science Reviews, 29(1–2), 352–365, 2010.
- McArthur, J. M., Howarth, R. J. and Shields, G. A.: Strontium isotope stratigraphy, The geologic time scale,
 1, 127–144, 2012.
- Meckler, A. N., Ziegler, M., Millán, M. I., Breitenbach, S. F. and Bernasconi, S. M.: Long-term performance
 of the Kiel carbonate device with a new correction scheme for clumped isotope measurements, Rapid
 Communications in Mass Spectrometry, 28(15), 1705–1715, 2014.

- 1094 Merz, B. and Thieken, A. H.: Separating natural and epistemic uncertainty in flood frequency analysis, 1095 Journal of Hydrology, 309(1–4), 114–132, 2005.
- 1096 Meyers, S. R.: Astrochron: R An package for astrochronology, http://cran.r-1097 project.org/package=astrochron. 1098 http://scholar.google.com/scholar?cluster=14876361610707754388&hl=en&oi=scholarr, last access: 30 1099 May 2017, 2014.
- Meyers, S. R.: Cyclostratigraphy and the problem of astrochronologic testing, Earth-Science Reviews, 190,
 190–223, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2018.11.015, 2019.
- Miyaji, T., Tanabe, K., Matsushima, Y., Sato, S., Yokoyama, Y. and Matsuzaki, H.: Response of daily and annual shell growth patterns of the intertidal bivalve Phacosoma japonicum to Holocene coastal climate change in Japan, Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 286(3), 107–120, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2009.11.032, 2010.
- Mook, W. G.: Stable carbon and oxygen isotopes of natural waters in the Netherlands, Isotope hydrology,
 1970, 163–190, 1970.
- 1108 Morgan, V. and van Ommen, T. D.: Seasonality in late-Holocene climate from ice-core records, The 1109 Holocene, 7(3), 351–354, https://doi.org/10.1177/095968369700700312, 1997.
- Mosley-Thompson, E., Thompson, L. G., Dai, J., Davis, M. and Lin, P. N.: Climate of the last 500 years:
 High resolution ice core records, Quaternary Science Reviews, 12(6), 419–430, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791(05)80006-X, 1993.
- 1113 Müller, I. A., Fernandez, A., Radke, J., van Dijk, J., Bowen, D., Schwieters, J. and Bernasconi, S. M.: 1114 Carbonate clumped isotope analyses with the long-integration dual-inlet (LIDI) workflow: scratching at 1115 the lower sample weight boundaries: LIDI as key for more precise analyses on much less carbonate Spectrometry, 1116 Mass 1057-1066, material. Rapid Communications in 31(12), 1117 https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.7878, 2017.
- Noorbergen, L. J., Abels, H. A., Hilgen, F. J., Robson, B. E., Jong, E. de, Dekkers, M. J., Krijgsman, W.,
 Smit, J., Collinson, M. E. and Kuiper, K. F.: Conceptual models for short-eccentricity-scale climate control
 on peat formation in a lower Palaeocene fluvial system, north-eastern Montana (USA), Sedimentology,
 65(3), 775–808, https://doi.org/10.1111/sed.12405, 2018.
- O'Brien, C. L., Robinson, S. A., Pancost, R. D., Sinninghe Damsté, J. S., Schouten, S., Lunt, D. J., Alsenz,
 H., Bornemann, A., Bottini, C., Brassell, S. C., Farnsworth, A., Forster, A., Huber, B. T., Inglis, G. N.,
 Jenkyns, H. C., Linnert, C., Littler, K., Markwick, P., McAnena, A., Mutterlose, J., Naafs, B. D. A.,
 Püttmann, W., Sluijs, A., van Helmond, N. A. G. M., Vellekoop, J., Wagner, T., and Wrobel, N. E.:
 Cretaceous sea-surface temperature evolution: Constraints from TEX 86 and planktonic foraminiferal
 oxygen isotopes, 172, 224–247, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.07.012, 2017.
- 1128 O'Donnell, M. S. and Ignizio, D. A.: Bioclimatic predictors for supporting ecological applications in the 1129 conterminous United States, US Geological Survey Data Series, 691(10), 2012.
- Passey, B. H. and Cerling, T. E.: Tooth enamel mineralization in ungulates: implications for recovering a primary isotopic time-series, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 66(18), 3225–3234, 2002.
- Petersen, S. V., Tabor, C. R., Lohmann, K. C., Poulsen, C. J., Meyer, K. W., Carpenter, S. J., Erickson, J.
 M., Matsunaga, K. K., Smith, S. Y. and Sheldon, N. D.: Temperature and salinity of the Late Cretaceous
 western interior seaway, Geology, 44(11), 903–906, 2016.

- 1135 Philander, S. G. H.: El Nino southern oscillation phenomena, Nature, 302(5906), 295–301, 1983.
- 1136 R Core Team: R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical 1137 Computing, Vienna, Austria. http://www.R-project.org/, 2013.
- Rodríguez-Sanz, L., Bernasconi, S. M., Marino, G., Heslop, D., Müller, I. A., Fernandez, A., Grant, K. M.
 and Rohling, E. J.: Penultimate deglacial warming across the Mediterranean Sea revealed by clumped
 isotopes in foraminifera, Scientific Reports, 7(1), 1–11, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-16528-6,
 2017.
- Rohling, E. J.: Oxygen isotope composition of seawater, The Encyclopedia of Quaternary Science.
 Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2, 915–922, 2013.
- Sano, Y., Kobayashi, S., Shirai, K., Takahata, N., Matsumoto, K., Watanabe, T., Sowa, K. and Iwai, K.:
 Past daily light cycle recorded in the strontium/calcium ratios of giant clam shells, Nature
 Communications, 3, 761, 2012.
- Sato, S.: Temporal change of life-history traits in fossil bivalves: an example of Phacosoma japonicum from
 the Pleistocene of Japan, Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 154(4), 313–323,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182(99)00106-6, 1999.
- Schmitt, J., Schneider, R., Elsig, J., Leuenberger, D., Lourantou, A., Chappellaz, J., Kohler, P., Joos, F.,
 Stocker, T. F., Leuenberger, M. and Fischer, H.: Carbon Isotope Constraints on the Deglacial CO2 Rise
 from Ice Cores, Science, 336(6082), 711–714, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1217161, 2012.
- Scholz, D. and Hoffmann, D. L.: StalAge–An algorithm designed for construction of speleothem age models,
 Quaternary Geochronology, 6(3–4), 369–382, 2011.
- Schöne, B. R.: The curse of physiology—challenges and opportunities in the interpretation of geochemical
 data from mollusk shells, Geo-Marine Letters, 28(5–6), 269–285, 2008.
- Schöne, B. R., Fiebig, J., Pfeiffer, M., Gleβ, R., Hickson, J., Johnson, A. L., Dreyer, W. and Oschmann, W.:
 Climate records from a bivalved Methuselah (Arctica islandica, Mollusca; Iceland), Palaeogeography,
 Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 228(1–2), 130–148, 2005.
- Schöne, B. R., Rodland, D. L., Fiebig, J., Oschmann, W., Goodwin, D., Flessa, K. W. and Dettman, D.:
 Reliability of multitaxon, multiproxy reconstructions of environmental conditions from accretionary
 biogenic skeletons, The Journal of geology, 114(3), 267–285, 2006.
- Scourse, J., Richardson, C., Forsythe, G., Harris, I., Heinemeier, J., Fraser, N., Briffa, K. and Jones, P.: 1163 1164 First cross-matched floating chronology from the marine fossil record: data from growth lines of the long-967–974. lived mollusc Arctica 1165 bivalve islandica, The Holocene, 16(7), 1166 https://doi.org/10.1177/0959683606hl987rp, 2006.
- Sha, L., Mahata, S., Duan, P., Luz, B., Zhang, P., Baker, J., Zong, B., Ning, Y., Brahim, Y. A., Zhang, H.,
 Edwards, R. L. and Cheng, H.: A novel application of triple oxygen isotope ratios of speleothems,
 Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 270, 360–378, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2019.12.003, 2020.
- Shao, D., Mei, Y., Yang, Z., Wang, Y., Yang, W., Gao, Y., Yang, L. and Sun, L.: Holocene ENSO variability
 in the South China Sea recorded by high-resolution oxygen isotope records from the shells of Tridacna
 spp., Scientific Reports, 10(1), 3921, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-61013-2, 2020.

- Sinnesael, M., De Vleeschouwer, D., Zeeden, C., Batenburg, S. J., Da Silva, A.-C., de Winter, N. J.,
 Dinarès-Turell, J., Drury, A. J., Gambacorta, G. and Hilgen, F. J.: The Cyclostratigraphy Intercomparison
 Project (CIP): consistency, merits and pitfalls, Earth-Science Reviews, 102965, 2019.
- Stap, L., Lourens, L. J., Thomas, E., Sluijs, A., Bohaty, S. and Zachos, J. C.: High-resolution deep-sea
 carbon and oxygen isotope records of Eocene Thermal Maximum 2 and H2, Geology, 38(7), 607–610,
 2010.
- Steffensen, J. P., Andersen, K. K., Bigler, M., Clausen, H. B., Dahl-Jensen, D., Fischer, H., Goto-Azuma,
 K., Hansson, M., Johnsen, S. J. and Jouzel, J.: High-resolution Greenland ice core data show abrupt
 climate change happens in few years, Science, 321(5889), 680–684, 2008.
- Steuber, T., Rauch, M., Masse, J.-P., Graaf, J. and Malkoč, M.: Low-latitude seasonality of Cretaceous
 temperatures in warm and cold episodes, Nature, 437(7063), 1341–1344,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04096, 2005.
- Surge, D., Lohmann, K. C. and Dettman, D. L.: Controls on isotopic chemistry of the American oyster,
 Crassostrea virginica: implications for growth patterns, Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,
 Palaeoecology, 172(3), 283–296, 2001.
- Tagliavento, M., John, C. M., and Stemmerik, L.: Tropical temperature in the Maastrichtian Danish Basin:
 Data from coccolith Δ47 and δ18O, 47, 1074–1078, 2019.
- Titschack, J., Zuschin, M., Spötl, C. and Baal, C.: The giant oyster Hyotissa hyotis from the northern Red
 Sea as a decadal-scale archive for seasonal environmental fluctuations in coral reef habitats, Coral
 Reefs, 29(4), 1061–1075, 2010.
- Treble, P., Shelley, J. M. G. and Chappell, J.: Comparison of high resolution sub-annual records of trace elements in a modern (1911–1992) speleothem with instrumental climate data from southwest Australia, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 216(1), 141–153, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(03)00504-1, 2003.
- 1197 Tsukakoshi, Y.: Sampling variability and uncertainty in total diet studies, Analyst, 136(3), 533–539, 1198 https://doi.org/10.1039/C0AN00397B, 2011.
- 1199 Tudhope, A. W.: Variability in the El Nino-Southern Oscillation Through a Glacial-Interglacial Cycle, 1200 Science, 291(5508), 1511–1517, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1057969, 2001.
- Ullmann, C. V., Wiechert, U. and Korte, C.: Oxygen isotope fluctuations in a modern North Sea oyster
 (Crassostrea gigas) compared with annual variations in seawater temperature: Implications for
 palaeoclimate studies, Chemical Geology, 277(1), 160–166, 2010.
- van Dam, J. A. and Reichart, G. J.: Oxygen and carbon isotope signatures in late Neogene horse teeth
 from Spain and application as temperature and seasonality proxies, Palaeogeography,
 Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 274(1–2), 64–81, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2008.12.022,
 2009.
- Van Rampelbergh, M., Verheyden, S., Allan, M., Quinif, Y., Keppens, E. and Claeys, P.: Seasonal variations
 recorded in cave monitoring results and a 10 year monthly resolved speleothem δ18O and δ13C record
 from the Han-sur-Lesse cave, Belgium, Climate of the Past Discussions, 10, 1821–1856, 2014.

Vansteenberge, S., Verheyden, S., Cheng, H., Edwards, R. L., Keppens, E. and Claeys, P.: Paleoclimate
in continental northwestern Europe during the Eemian and early Weichselian (125–97 ka): insights from
a Belgian speleothem, Clim. Past, 12(7), 1445–1458, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-12-1445-2016, 2016.

Vansteenberge, S., Winter, N. de, Sinnesael, M., Verheyden, S., Goderis, S., Malderen, S. J. M. V.,
Vanhaecke, F. and Claeys, P.: Reconstructing seasonality through stable isotope and trace element
analysis of the Proserpine stalagmite, Han-sur-Lesse Cave, Belgium: indications for climate-driven
changes during the last 400 years, Climate of the Past Discussions, 1–32, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp2019-78, 2019.

- Veizer, J. and Prokoph, A.: Temperatures and oxygen isotopic composition of Phanerozoic oceans, Earth-Science Reviews, 146, 92–104, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2015.03.008, 2015.
- Vleeschouwer, D. D., Vahlenkamp, M., Crucifix, M. and Pälike, H.: Alternating Southern and Northern
 Hemisphere climate response to astronomical forcing during the past 35 m.y., Geology, 45(4), 375–378,
 https://doi.org/10.1130/G38663.1, 2017.
- Warter, V. and Müller, W.: Daily growth and tidal rhythms in Miocene and modern giant clams revealed via
 ultra-high resolution LA-ICPMS analysis—A novel methodological approach towards improved
 sclerochemistry, Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 465, 362–375, 2017.
- Westerhold, T., Marwan, N., Drury, A. J., Liebrand, D., Agnini, C., Anagnostou, E., Barnet, J. S., Bohaty,
 S. M., De Vleeschouwer, D. and Florindo, F.: An astronomically dated record of Earth's climate and its
 predictability over the last 66 million years, Science, 369(6509), 1383–1387, 2020.
- Wilkinson, B. H. and Ivany, L. C.: Paleoclimatic inference from stable isotope profiles of accretionary biogenic hardparts a quantitative approach to the evaluation of incomplete data, Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 185(1), 95–114, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182(02)00279-1, 2002.
- Williams, M., Haywood, A. M., Harper, E. M., Johnson, A. L. A., Knowles, T., Leng, M. J., Lunt, D. J.,
 Okamura, B., Taylor, P. D., and Zalasiewicz, J.: Pliocene climate and seasonality in North Atlantic shelf
 seas, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering
 Sciences, 367, 85–108, https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2008.0224, 2009.
- Yan, H., Liu, C., An, Z., Yang, W., Yang, Y., Huang, P., Qiu, S., Zhou, P., Zhao, N. and Fei, H.: Extreme
 weather events recorded by daily to hourly resolution biogeochemical proxies of marine giant clam shells,
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2020.
- Zhang, L., Tang Wilson H., Zhang Lulu, and Zheng Jianguo: Reducing Uncertainty of Prediction from
 Empirical Correlations, Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, 130, 526–534,
 https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0241(2004)130:5(526), 2004.