





Anomalies in the Process of Ionization of Analytes in MALDI Electronic Transfer Vs. Proton Transfer Via Quantum Chemistry

María J. Álvarez^{1,3}, Luis M. Diaz^{2,4}, Marianny Y. Combariza^{2,5}, Cristian Blanco^{2,6} and Aldo F. Combariza^{1,7}

1. Molecular Modelling and Computational Simulation Research Group, Universidad de Sucre 2. FisicoQuímica Teórica y Experimental Research Group, Universidad Industrial de Santander 3. <u>maria.alvarez@unisucrevirtual.edu.co</u> 4. <u>luismiguellmds@gmail.com</u>, 5. <u>marianny@uis.edu.co</u>, 6. <u>cblancot@uis.edu.co</u>,

7. <u>aldo.combariza@unisucre.edu.co</u>

<u>@InsilicoRG</u> In Silico insilico0101@gmail.com

Matrix-Assisted Laser Ionization/Desorption (MALDI) makes possible to ionize any target molecule (with low or high molecular weight and/or with low or high concentration) indirectly, mediated by analyte interaction with with an organic compound that serves as a matrix. The FisicoQuímica Teórica y Experimental (GIFTEX) Research Group of the Universidad Industrial de Santander (UIS) have been working for years on the development of MALDI matrices for the analysis of a broad spectrum of chemical species. Matrixes developed by GIFTEX-RG, such as α -cyanophenylenevinylene (α -CNFV), are suggested as better analytical medators than commercial matrices, such as DCTB. Therefore, we propose the use of mechano-quantum methods to calculate physical-chemical parameters of the compounds involved in the study (matrixes and analytes) to evaluate CNFV as possible Proton Transfer (PT) matrices. The first step is to obtain the geometries of matrix and analyte structures, followed by geometry optimization, using the quantum chemistry software ORCA, both in neutral and protonic chemical state. Geometry optimizations will be performed using *ab initio* (RHF/6-311G(2d,2p)) and DFT (B3LYP/6-311G(2d,2p)) theory levels. Later on, the system energy and the most favorable protonation site will be evaluated. Calculations will be performed in gaseous phase, subtracting the energy difference between the neutral optimised structure and the protonate optimised structure. The results will be analyzed to explain the anomalous protonation of analytes using

electron transfer matrices.



Methodology: Quantum Calculations

Reported Empirical Data

Comp. Chem. calculation: Protonic Affinities (PA)

Molecular Structures \rightarrow **Analytes:** Phytoplankton pigments and their protonated derivatives





Geometric optimizations \rightarrow Methods

Low levels of theory → Hartree-Fock (HF)



 $H_{e}(r;R)\Psi_{e}(r;R) = E_{e}(R)\Psi_{e}(r;R)$

Precise levels of theory
➡ Møller Plesset (MP)
➡ Coupled-Cluster (CC)

.inp file ! RHF def2-TZVP ! OPT %pal



Proton Affinity (PA) calculation
➡ Hoppilliard y Bourcier

FUNCTIONAL DENSITY				
Basis sets	6-31 + G (d, p)	6-311 + G (2d, 2p)		
$PA = -\Delta H = -(\Delta E + \Delta nRT), \ \Delta n = -1$ $\Delta E = [E_{\rm T}({\rm MH}^+) - E_{\rm T}({\rm M})] + [ZPVE({\rm MH}^+) - ZPVE({\rm M})] - 3/2RT$ $PA = -(\Delta E_{\rm T} + \Delta ZPVE - 5/2RT)$				

	£1
V177	

PROPERTIES: MOLECULES TARGET				
Molecules	pka (Strongest Basic)	pkb (Strongest Acidic)		
Chlorophyll a	6.92	-1.2		
Pheophytin a	7.24	-0.71		
Pheophorbide A	6.92	-1.2		
β-Carotene	-4.3	UNKNOW		
Lutein	-0.91	18.22		

 $\mathbf{pH} = \mathrm{pka} + \log([\mathrm{A-}]/[\mathrm{HA}])$

pKa = -logKa

Theoretical value: proton affinity (PA)		
DHB (neutral)	852.9 kJmol-1	
DCTB	UNKNOW	
Bixine	UNKNOW	
\propto -CNPV-OCH3	UNKNOW	



∞ -CNPV-CH3	UNKNOW
Chlorophyll a	UNKNOW
Carotenoids	UNKNOW

Bibliography

Acknowledgements

- Castellanos-García, L. J., Agudelo, B. C., Rosales, H. F., Cely, M., Ochoa-Puentes, C., Blanco-Tirado, C., Combariza, M. Y. (2017) Journal of The American Society for Mass Spectrometry, 28(12), 2548–2560. doi:10.1007/s13361-017-1783-z
- 2. Ramírez-Pradilla, J. S., Blanco-Tirado, C., & Combariza, M. Y. (2019). ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces. doi:10.1021/acsami.8b22246
- 3. Eliise Tammekivi, Ali Ghiami-Shomami, Sofja Tshepelevitsh, Aleksander Trummal, Mihkel Ilisson, Sigrid Selberg, Signe Vahur, Anu Teearu, Märt Lõkov, Pilleriin Peets, Todd Pagano, and Ivo Leito (2021). Journal of the American Society for Mass Spectrometry. 32 (4), 1080-1095. DOI: 10.1021/jasms.1c00037
- Universidad Nacional de San Agustín (UNSA), Arequipa, Perú for providing access to INKARI (High Performance Computer) facilitis, located at the Observatorio Astronómica Pedro Paulet. Wilson M. Castro Silupo for Building the scientific cooperation bridges UNSA-UNISUCRE, and Wilder Nina C. and Ronald Apaza for technological support with INKARI.
- Supercomputación y Cálculo científico UIS Research Group for providing access to GUANE cluster (Parque tecnológico Guatiguará, Piedecuesta, Santander, Colombia).
 We also acknowledge a graduate fellowship and financial support from SGR- BPIN: 2019000100020.