Study of Transition Temperature Through Mixed Crystal Formation. Part II.

B. C. PURKAYASTHA & NITYA RANJAN DAS

Nuclear Chemistry Division, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, 92, Acharya Prafulla Chandra Road, Calcutta-9

Manuscript received 10 November 1970, revised manuscript received 13 December 1971; accepted for publication 9 *February* 1972

In continuation of our previous work, distribution measurements with cobalt sulphate as host and copper sulphate at tracer concentration as guest at different temperatures were undertaken in an aqueous medium. It has been observed that there is a continuous decrease in partition values with the rise of temperature upto 45.1° where there is a sharp change in partition value and above this temperature no uptake of copper by the host was recorded. Transition temperature of the host component, $CoSO₄$.7H₂O has been found by this new method to be $45.1 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$.

In view of the sharpness of the inflexion point it has been argued that in favourable circumstances, accurate transition temperature of the host component can be determined by the study of mixed crystal formation in the way proposed.

A glance at the literature¹⁻³ will show that different values in between 40° -50° have been reported as the transition temperature of $\cos O_4.7H_2O$ to $\cos O_4.6H_2O$. In our study of uptake of copper tracer by $CoSO₄$.7H₂O⁴ we observed that distribution factors undergo diminishing trend upto the transition point of $CoSO₄·7H₂O$ to its lower hydrate. At the point of morphological change it was anticipated that a prominent change in the partition value may take place to help us to determine the transition point of $CoSO₄·7H₂O$ with a higher degree of precision.

It was further observed that the extent of uptake of copper by $CoSO_a$.7H₃O at lower temperature is very high (e.g., $D = 1.332$ at 5°)⁴. Maximum amount of copper that can be accommodated in $CoSO_4.7H_2O$ as $CuSO_4.7H_2O$ at a temperature below its transition point became, therefore, the subject matter of study.

EXPERIMENTAL

Cobalt sulphate, copper sulphate and all other reagents used were of $B.D.H.,$ AnalaR. grade. The method of study of distribution coefficients was similar to that reported in our previous communication4• In these series of measurements the saturated solution of cobalt sulphate host impregnated with negligibly small amount of copper tracer was prepared in aqueous medium. It was found that the distribution factor comes out constant on equilibration of the system for 24 hrs. We however, equilibrated the system for 48 hr. to work at a safe margin. For better accuracy of result, each point in the curve represents

an average value of the three distribution factors at each temperature. Verification for the constancy of D values was also examined in aqueous solution in both tracer and finite scale of the guest ion.

When distribution factors were studied to find out the maximum accommodation of copper in $CoSO₄$.7H₂O as $CuSO₄$.7H₂O, a saturated solution of cobalt and copper was made in $0.5 N$ H₂SO₄ at a particular temperature. Powdered solid cobalt sulphate host was then added to the saturated solution containing finite amount of copper and wae shaken for two days to attain complete equilibrium. Isolation of the mixed crystals containing finite quantities of copper was done at different temperatures in a specially designed appa· ratus which was reported in an earlier communication⁵.

In order to obtain pure $\cos A_4.6H_2O$ free from copper about 200 gms. of cobalt sulphate were mixed with 2 gms. of copper sulphate and a fraction of about 25% was crystallised from the solution at a temperature in between 48° to 50°. The crystals were first washed with water, then with a mixture of water and alcohol (50 : 50) and finally water was removed with absolute alcohol at the same temperature and it was analysed. In all these cases copper, cobalt and sulphate were estimated in the usual ways⁴ and water was determined by difference.

The method of determining the distribution coefficients at different temperatures was similar to that used by V . G. Khlopin⁶ and finally the distribution coefficient, D , was calculated from the following expression due to Henderson and Kracek'.

$$
\left(\frac{\text{Tracer}}{\text{Carrier}}\right)_{\text{solid}} = D \qquad \left(\frac{\text{Tracer}}{\text{Carrier}}\right)_{\text{solution}}
$$

Experimental results are given in tables and figures.

DISCUSSION

It will be evident from the Table 1 that the distribution factor comes out constant in aqueous solution over a wide range of temperatures. Cobalt sulphate, therefore, takes up copper through mixed crystal formation in aqueous solution. Values of distribution co-efficient in aqueous medium at different temperatures have been shown [Fig. 1, curve (A)]. For a comparative view distribution co-efficients determined in acid solution were also shown in [Fig. 1, curve (B)]. Though the two curves (A) $\&$ (B) are almost similar in nature, the distribution values at corresponding temperatures differ to a considerable extent. In the curve (A), the value at $45·1°$ is of particular interest. At this temperature the partition value is comparatively small and it does not fall in the same line drawn through the points found at lower temperatures. The uptake of copper by cobalt sulphate after $45·l^{\circ}$ is either nil or negligibly small. The sudden change in partition value at $45·1°$ can only be explained if we assume that at $45·l°$ certain fraction of the heptahydrated host component has been transformed to its lower hydrated form and both the phases of the host components $CoSO₄$. $7H_2O$ and $CoSO_4.6H_2O$ exist side by side. The comparatively small uptake in question is only due to heptahydrated cobalt sulphate fraction. Our calculations of D values are

based on the assumption that the solid phase consists of only heptahydrated cobalt sulphate and such a change in D value is expected. Further increase of temperature even by 0.1° brings us to a zone of no uptake. From these points in view the method in question is very sensitive. In consideration of the sensitivity of the thermostat $(+0.05^{\circ})$, the transition temperature of $CoSO_4.7H_2O$ should therefore be $45.1^{\circ}+0.05^{\circ}$.

Fig. 1. On the study of transition temperature of $CoSO_4.7H_2O$ — $6H_2O$ through mixed crystal formation between $CoSO_4.7H_2O$ as host and copper tracer as guest.

Curve (A) and (B) :

Study of transition point as determined from the variation of homogeneous distribution coefficient at different temperatures in aqueous and in $0.5N$ H_2SO_4 medium respectively.

-Left hand side scale.

Curve (C) :

Transition temperature of $CoSO_4.7H_2O$ as measured from the variation of solubility of cobalt sulphate in aqueous medium with respect to temperature.

-Right hand side scale.

It is evident from the Fig. 1, curve (B) that the characteristic change in phase transformation appears at a lower temperature in $0.5 N H_2SO_4$ medium than what is found in aqueous medium. This phase transformation at lower temperature is due to the presence of H_2SO_4 and perhaps that of the tracer component in question. In order to avoid the effect due to the presence of H_2SO_4 the measurements have been carried out in aqueous medium and the results were shown in Table 1. The concentration of the guest ion in question was nearly $2.5 \times 10^{-2} M$. The chemical similarity of the host and the guest may annul the effect due to the presence of guest ion to a considerable extent. We also measured the distribution coefficients with the guest ion in question having nearly $1.4 \times 10^{-2} M$ and 5.0×10^{-2} *M* concentration respectively at 45°, 45.1° and 45.2°. At each time the transition temperature comes out to be the same as was observed with guest ion concentration of nearly 2.5×10^{-2} M, though the partition values do not remain the same at all concentrations of copper. It can therefore be concluded that in such systems where the guest ion concentration is small, the effect of guest ion concentration is negligible and the transition

TABLE 1

The distribution of copper tracer in preformed $CoSO_4.7H_2O$ and its saturated solution *in aqueous and in* 0·5 N *H*2*S0*4 *medium respectively.*

- *N.B.* (a) Initial copper concentration, $2.5 \times 10^{-2} M$ (approx.).
	- (b) Experimental data in series A and B were determined in aqueous and in $0.5N$ $\rm H_2SO_4$ medium .respectively.

TABLE 2

A study on the extent of uptake of finite amount of copper by OoS04 .7H20 and the composition of the mixed crystal at 4^o

point lies within the temperature fluctuation of the thermostat range $(\pm 0.05^{\circ})$. It may be mentioned here that the transition temperature of $\cos\theta_a$.7H₂O derived by the vapour pressure method³ is $45·l$ ° which is same as that obtained by our method.

It is to be noted that in an earlier investigation⁸ the transition temperature of $\cos A$. $7H₂O$ was determined through mixed crystal formation with ^{60}Co as guest and $FeSO₄·7H₂O$ as host, where a higher value of the transition temperature $(47\pm0.5^{\circ})$ was reported. The authors, however, detected two forms of monoclinic $\cos 0.7H_2O$. Transition temperature of thus far unknown metastable variety of $CoSO_4.7H_2O$ was found to be 30°. It was assumed by the authors that because of the sudden rise of the partition values after the transition point (30°) the unknown variety could not exercise any appreciable influence on the distribution co-efficient at higher temperature. But from critical analysis of the data⁹ it was evident that the curve above 30° would have been steeper which would bring it in close agreement with our data. It is therefore, essential for the correct determination of transition temperature that the characteristic nature of the curve at lower temperature should undergo thorough investigation.

In view of the fact that there is almost no uptake of copper by cobalt sulphate above 45.1°, it can be inferred that probably hexahydrated copper sulphate morphologically analogous to hexahydrated cobalt sulphate which is stable in this particular range does not exist.

We have also verified the transition temperature of the host component by measuring the solubility of $CoSO_4.7H_2O$ at different temperatures in presence of trace amount of copper along with each distribution measurement and the transition point found by solubility method is in close agreement with that derived by the new mode of approach $[Fig. I, curve (C)].$

In order to have an idea about the maximum accommodation of copper by $CoSO₄.7H₂O$ as $CuSO₄·7H₂O$ we performed the distribution measurements with different amounts of copper at 5° and it has been found (table 2), that upto about 45% of copper was taken up by cobalt through mixed crystal formation. Solid phase in one of such cases has also been isolated in the way described in an earlier communication⁵ and was analysed. The composition of the solid phase was found to be a mixture of $CoSO₄.7H₂O$ and $CuSO₄.7H₂O.$

It is, further, to be noted that we could not detect any copper in 50 gms of hexahy· drated cobalt sulphate crystallised from an aqueous solution containing about 200 gms of cobalt sulphate at a temperature (48°-50°). Cobalt sulphate was analysed for copper by electrolysing its aqueous solution by using platinum electrodes and testing the deposit on the cathode after dissolving in $HNO₃$ with KI and starch in the usual way. The limit for detection of copper is 0·1 mg. As we could not detect any copper in the crystallised sample, the presence of copper will be less than 0·1 mg. and thus the purity of cobalt sulphate was of higher order than that of the AnalaR variety. It can, therefore, be claimed to be a very simple and useful procedure for the preparation of pure cobalt sulphate free from copper.

REFERENCES

- 1. I. Koppel and H. Wetzel, *Ziet. Phys. Chem.,* 1905, 52, 395.
- 2. H. Chihara and S. Seki, *Bull. Chem. Soc.* Japan, 1953, 26, 88.
- 3. C. D. Carpenter and E. R. Jette, J. *Amer. Chem. Soc.,* 1923, 45, 578.
- 4. B. C. Purkayastha and Nityaranjan Das, J. *Indian Chem. Soc.,* 1971, 48, 70.
- 5. B. C. Purkayastha and D. K. Bhattacharyya., *J. Indian Ohem. Soc.,* 1968, 45, 583.
- 6. V. G. Khlopin and Merkulova, *Doklady Akad, Nauk. SSSR,* 1949, 65, 861; 1950 , 71, 689.
- 7. L. M. Henderson and F. C. Kracek, J. *Amer. Ohem. Soc.,* 1927, 49, 738.
- 8. B. C. Purkayastha and Samir Sarkar, J. Inorg. Nuclear. Chem., 1966, 28, 347.
- 9. B. C. Purkaya.stha and Samir Sarkar, J. *Indian Chem. Soc.,* 1969, 46, 9, 787.