2022 CSDI Workshop Paris, 4 April 2022



AUTOMATIC SCORING OF **COGNITION DRAWINGS**

Arne Bethmann **SHARE** Germany **TU Munich / MEA MPISOC**





This project has received funding from European Union under grant agreement SOCPL No 101052589 and the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant greements No 870628, No 101015924.

SPONSORED BY THE





Federal Ministry and Research

Drawing Exercises



 Since wave 8 SHARE contains three "constructional praxis tests" (Wagner & Douhou, 2021)



 Part of several screening tests (e.g. MoCA) for early signs of cognitive decline

Overview





Progress so far



- Scanned 2,109 SHARE DE recording booklets
- Linked to survey data
- Processed and enhanced images

Thank you, Amany, Julia, Pandora, Claudia and Charlotte!

 Collected ~10,000 recording booklets from 9 additional countries

Models



- Convolutional Neural Networks
 - Work horse for image recognition tasks
 - Initially used to digitize handwritten numbers (LeCun et al. 1989)
 - Made dramatical improvements in recent years and is the basis of the Deep Learning "hype"



"Fully connected convolutional neural network" by Aphex34 is licensed under CC BY-SA 4.0



			% classified correctly		
Model architecture	Layers	Trainable parameters (millions)	Clock / Cube / Loops 3 classes	Cube: (partially) correct / wrong 2 classes	Cube: correct / partially / wrong 3 classes
AlexNet ^[1]	8	62	99.8	84.9	68.4
VGG (BN) ^[2]	19	144	99.8	86.6	71.2
ResNet ^[3]	18	11	99.8	86.7	70.3
	50	26	99.8	86.2	68.4
			N _{Clock} = 1,907 N _{Cube} = 1,882 N _{Loops} = 1,891	$N_{(Partially) Correct} = 1,417 (80.5\%)$ $N_{Wrong} = 343$	$N_{Correct} = 1,088 (61.8\%)$ $N_{Partially} = 329$ $N_{Wrong} = 343$

Best out of 20 epochs; Validation set = 30%; All models pretrained on ImageNet (<u>https://www.image-net.org/</u>) ^[1] Krizhevsky, Sutskever & Hinton (2012); ^[2] Simonyan & Zisserman (2015); ^[3] He et al. (2015) Software: PyTorch 1.10.0, fastai 2.5.3; Hardware: NVIDIA GeForce RTX 3070 Ti



Fiddle with models

longer training, hyperparameter tuning, newer architectures, class imbalances, data augmentation, better pre-training datasets ...

Get more data

scan available recording booklets, collect wave 9 drawings

Improve scoring

Prediction performance could be impaired by bad scoring due to:

- Interviewers not sticking to the rules
- Rules being sub-optimal
- Scoring not lending itself well to exact rules
- \rightarrow Investigate error sources and get closer to bayes error rate

Ideas for investigating error sources



- Make "gold standard" scoring
 - Check performance of interviewers compared to gold standard
 - Use as improved labels for the training data

• Visualize predictive features in drawings

(cf. e.g. Zeiler & Fergus 2013)

- Check whether interviewers stick to rules: features indicated by rules shoud be predictive of interviewers' scores
- Check if rules work as intended: try to predict other criteria of cognitive decline with drawings and see if features indicated by rules are predictive

Next steps



- Phase 1 (2020-2022):
 - Scan initial batch of SHARE DE drawings (~2,000)
 - Make proof-of-concept models
 - Assess feasibility and sketch further plans
- Phase 2 (2022-2023):
 - Find funding
 - Scan all available wave 8 drawings (~10,000)
 - First substantial publications
- Phase 3 (2023-2024):
 - Scan wave 9 drawings (~60,000)
 - More data intensive analyses (e.g. clock draws)
 - Release as well curated, strictly anonymous open dataset
 - Release access restricted linked dataset









This project has received funding from the European Union under grant agreement SOCPL No 101052589 and the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreements No 870628, No 101015924. SPONSORED BY THE





References



- He, Kaiming; Zhang, Xiangyu; Ren, Shaoqing; Sun, Jian (2016). Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition. 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). Las Vegas, NV, USA: IEEE. pp. 770–778. arXiv:1512.03385 https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.03385
- Krizhevsky, Alex; Sutskever, Ilya; Hinton, Geoffrey E. (2012). "ImageNet classification with deep convolutional neural networks" (PDF). Communications of the ACM. 60 (6): 84–90. doi:10.1145/3065386.
- LeCun, Y.; Boser, B.; Denker, J. S.; Henderson, D.; Howard, R. E.; Hubbard, W.; Jackel, L. D. (December 1989). "Backpropagation Applied to Handwritten Zip Code Recognition". Neural Computation. 1 (4): 541–551. doi:10.1162/neco.1989.1.4.541.
- Simonyan, Karen & Zisserman, Andrew. (2014). Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale Image Recognition. arXiv 1409.1556. https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1556
- Wagner, M. and Douhou, S. (2021). Cognitive Measures. In: Bergmann, M. and A. Börsch-Supan (Eds.). SHARE Wave 8 Methodology: Collecting Cross-National Survey Data in Times of COVID-19. Munich: MEA, Max Planck Institute for Social Law and Social Policy.
- Zeiler M.D., Fergus R. (2014) Visualizing and Understanding Convolutional Networks. In: Fleet D., Pajdla T., Schiele B., Tuytelaars T. (eds) Computer Vision – ECCV 2014. ECCV 2014. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 8689. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-10590-1_53