


Effects of soybean cropping on arthropods

Leonardo Amthauer Gallardo and Jens Dauber



The decline in the diversity and biomass of arthropods, insects in particular, in agricultural landscapes poses a major challenge to agriculture. There is little evidence about the effect of introducing grain legumes into cropping systems on this group of organisms. In a review of the international literature, we found that, except for soybean, there is almost no information on the impact of grain legumes on arthropod diversity and activity density. A quantitative analysis of the available information on soybean showed that soybean crops have a greater activity density and species richness of arthropods compared to other arable crops such as maize and wheat. Against the background of the critical state of agroecosystem biodiversity, we conclude that the introduction of soybean into cropping systems otherwise dominated by cereals is unlikely to cause a further decline in arthropods with the likelihood of some gains.

Background

Many agroecosystems are biodiversity-depleted ecosystems. The expansion of arable land and the intensification of its use has displaced natural habitats and reduced the biodiversity of entire landscapes. Since agriculture dominates land use over most of Europe, increasing on-farm biodiversity is a challenge for policymakers, scientists and land managers. Securing and enhancing the amount of semi-natural habitats, flower strips, intercropping (polyculture), extended crop rotations, the use of perennial crops, organic farming, and the increase in the production of biodiversity-enhancing arable crops are all relevant approaches. The positive impact of perennial forage legume species on agricultural habitats is well documented. Less is known about the effects of grain legumes. The question addressed here is what we can



Soybean grown in a field experiment, Müncheberg, Germany. Photograph: Gunhild Rosner

Table 1. Number of studies examining specific biodiversity parameters in relation to crop species, management and locational (landscape) drivers

Driver	Activity density	Evenness	Shannon Diversity	HRI	Species richness	Taxa rich-ness
[n] studies per organism groups or functional groups						
Crop species	3A, 1Ac, 3Ar, 2C, 1D, 1F, 1G, 1De, 1Fu, 1He, 1Pa, 1Po, 1Pr	2C	2C	1C	1A, 4Ar, 2C, 1Pa, 1Po	-
Sequence	2A, 1Ar, 3C, 1D, 1F, 1G,	1C	2C	-	2C	-
Polyculture	2A, 1He, 1nHe	-	-	-	2A - 1He, 1nHe	-
Fertilisation	1Ar, 1He, 1Pr	-	-	-	-	-
Tillage	1A, 1Ar, 1C, 1De, 1Fu, 1He, 1Pa, 1Pr	-	-	-	-	-
Weed control	1SO	1C	1C	1C	1A, 1C	-
Landscape	1Ap, 1He, 1Po, 1Pr	-	-	-	1Ap, 1F, 1He, 1Po, 1Pr	1Ap, 1F

HRI: Hierarchical richness index, A: *Arthropoda*, Ar: *Aranea*, C: *Carabidae*, D: *Diplopoda*, F: *Formicidae* G: *Gryllidae*, Ac: *Acari*, Ap: *Apiformes.*, SO: Single organism species (*A. glycines*, *D. texanus*), De: Detritivores, Fu: Fungivores, He: Herbivores, nHe: non-Herbivores, Pa: Parasitoids, Po: Pollinating Insects, Pr: Predatory arthropods

conclude about the effects of soybean on farmland biodiversity, arthropods in particular, from the existing scientific evidence. We searched the world-wide academic literature for reports of studies that compared grain legume crops with the crops they replace with respect to the number of individuals of invertebrate taxa (activity density), the number of species (species richness), and the distribution of individuals and species (Shannon diversity and evenness). This assessment covered a range of taxa and functional species groups. It examined the crop species grown and crop management as factors that might drive the effects of growing soybean on biodiversity.

Evidence

It was immediately obvious from the search of the literature that there is a scarcity of peer-reviewed evidence about the effects on arthropods of introducing grain legumes into cropping systems, especially for grain legumes other than soybean. We found 21 reliable studies on soybean. Most sources originated from North and South America. Of these, 16

compared soybean with other crop species, six focused on cropping sequence, and two examined intercropping of soybean with each wheat and sunflower. Five sources compared the effect of crop management factors such as tillage, fertilisation, and weed control. Only four sources included landscape scale effects. Table 1 provides an overview of the range of studies identified.

Activity density was the most studied parameter, followed by species richness. Shannon diversity, evenness, and hierarchical richness index were only rarely studied. Overall, and taking all organism groups into account, information on soybean effects on arthropods is fragmented and was studied in combination with a wide range of crop management parameters. Since only about half of the studies indicated an error or variance analysis, a classical meta-analysis could not be conducted. Therefore, our analysis is based on the relative differences between means with the effect's direction shown by a plus/minus sign, i.e. plus when soybean had a positive effect, minus when soybean had a negative effect (Formula 1).

$$\text{Formula 1: Relative difference} = \left(\left(\frac{\text{Higher value (BDSOY or BDOther)}}{\text{Lower value (BDSOY or BDOther)}} \right) * 100 \right) - 100$$

BDsoy is the value of the chosen biodiversity parameter for soy. BDOther is the value of the chosen biodiversity parameter for the other crop with which soy is compared. The relative difference is positive (+) when the higher value is for soybean, and negative (-) when the higher value is for the other crop that soybean.

For example, for the biodiversity parameter species richness with a value of 20 for soy and 10 for maize, the relative difference amounts +100%. There were comparisons of soybean with roughly 16 different arable crops, with maize being the most common. We averaged all comparisons between soybean and other mainly non-leguminous arable crops (grouped as "other crops") to allow us to consider all the data available. We treated observations from different experiments and years as replications for the testing of effects with the minimum number of replications being four. In a second evaluation step, we combined data on groups of organisms to generate estimates for all arthropods. We also aggregated data according to functional groups. Species that are primarily herbivores were distinguished from all predominantly predatory taxa such as spiders and ground beetles which together with parasitoid wasps were grouped as natural enemies.

higher, followed by predator activity density. The species richness of natural enemies was also higher in soybean compared to other crops. Furthermore soy increased the activity density of mites and ground beetles. From nine individual comparisons with other arable crops, soy had a higher activity density of spiders in six cases. For the assessment of the sequence two kinds of data were available; one in which soybean was part of two sequences, but one sequence was short (two years), and the other was long (four years). The other kind of data were comparisons between sequences with and without soybean. For arthropods as a whole, the differences in diversity and activity density associated with crop sequence length or soybean use or absence was slight. A pre-crop effect could be identified on the activity density of arthropods and ground beetles in particular, which was respectively higher in the crop succeeding soybean. Most diversity parameters of arthropods in soy were lower in soy with cover crop in comparison to soy as sole crop. Intercropping of soybean with a partner crop revealed no clear picture regarding activity density, species richness or Shannon diversity of arthropods. Landscape heterogeneity, increased by the presence of semi-natural habitats, positively affected arthropods in soybean crops. A high percentage of cropped area, including soybean cultivation, in

Results

The evidence available allows us to consider the effect of soybean with reasonable confidence. Soybean crops had overall a higher activity density and species richness of arthropods compared to widely grown crops (Figure 1). Herbivore activity, density in particular was




Figure 1. Mean relative differences in arthropods, herbivores and natural enemies diversity parameters between soybean and widely grown alternative crops. The bars are the standard error for each mean. A positive value indicates a positive effect of soybean.

a landscape resulted in losses of activity density and species richness of e.g. wild bees, other pollinators, ants as well as natural enemies and herbivores. Across all management factors, only for weed control we found reliable information: increasing weed control measures reduced arthropod activity density and species diversity.

Conclusions

The biodiversity in an arable crop is the consequence of the crop species, crop management, and its landscape context. In assessing the findings presented here, it must be remembered that all observations relate to biodiversity-depleted agro-ecosystems. The differences observed generally relate to very few studies and hence a low evidence base, in particular for European soy-based cropping systems. That said, there is still reasonable consensus in the literature that soybean crops can support a higher abundance and species richness of arthropods compared to other crops. This may be due to the protein-rich biomass combined with the more open canopy architecture in the young crop. The increased activity density of herbivores in soybean compared to other widespread crops is striking, even if it is based on few replicates. Presumably, this is related to the aforementioned attractiveness as a food source. However, it is critical to consider whether increasing soybean cultivation in Europe would have to be accompanied by more intensive plant protection measures with potentially negative effects on non-target arthropods. The observed pre-crop effect on arthropods may be related to the high nutrient value of soybean crop residues. It could be expected that a higher length of a crop rotation would increase microvariability in the field over time but such impacts were not thoroughly investigated so far.

The evidence available indicates that the introduction of soybean into cropping systems otherwise dominated by cereals increases in-field activity density and species richness of arthropods. All in all we think that, especially considering the wider agro-ecological contexts in Europe, the integration of soybean in European crop sequences is likely to have a positive effect on in-field biodiversity, as long as soybean cultivation would stimulate crop diversification.

In order to increase the availability of information regarding this topic we recommend to increase systemic research on biodiversity impacts of grain-legume supported cropping systems in Europe. A cataloguing of organism groups (trophic groups and taxa) associated with the respective arable crops as well as cropping systems with and without legumes especially in grain legumes other than soybean from field to landscape scale would be helpful for guiding further developments of grain legume cultivation.

Definitions


Activity density (AD): the number of individuals or species moving over a defined area or crossing a defined border in a given time.

Species richness (S): the number of species per unit area.

Shannon diversity index (H): an index of diversity based on the number of species and individuals per species.

Evenness (E): how equal the distribution of individuals of species is between samples. This is a structural parameter for comparing different communities.

Hierarchical richness index (HRI): comparative assessment index of the dominance of different organism groups calculated from abundance scores.



Soybean flower. Photograph: IFVCNS

Sources

- Adams III, P. R., Orr, D. B., Arellano, C., & Cardoza, Y. J., 2017. Soil and foliar arthropod abundance and diversity in five cropping systems in the coastal plains of North Carolina. *Environmental Entomology*, 46(4), 771-783.
- Amthauer Gallardo, D. A., Everwand, G., Stichnothe, H., Dauber, J., 2020. Environmental performance of cropping systems. Legumes Translated practice guide. Available from www.legumestranslated.eu
- Brust, G. E., Stinner, B. R., & McCartney, D. A., 1986. Predator activity and predation in maize agroecosystems. *Environmental Entomology*, 15(5), 1017-1021.
- Culin, J. D., & Yeargan, K. V., 1983. Comparative study of spider communities in alfalfa and soybean ecosystems: Foliage-dwelling spiders. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 76(5), 825-831.
- Culin, J. D., & Yeargan, K. V., 1983. Comparative study of spider communities in alfalfa and soybean ecosystems: ground-surface spiders. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 76(5), 832-838.
- De la Fuente, E. B., Suárez, S. A., Lenardis, A. E., & Poggio, S. L., 2014. Intercropping sunflower and soybean in intensive farming systems: evaluating yield advantage and effect on weed and insect assemblages. *NJAS-Wageningen Journal of Life Sciences*, 70, 47-52.
- Dunbar, M. W., O'Neal, M. E., & Gassmann, A. J., 2016. Increased risk of insect injury to maize following rye cover crop. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 109(4), 1691-1697.
- Ellsbury, M. M., Powell, J. E., Forcella, F., Woodson, W. D., Clay, S. A., & Riedell, W. E., 1998. Diversity and dominant species of ground beetle assemblages (Coleoptera: Carabidae) in crop rotation and chemical input systems for the Northern Great Plains. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 91(5), 619-625.
- French, B. W., Chandler, L. D., Ellsbury, M. M., Fuller, B. W., & West, M., 2004. Ground beetle (Coleoptera: Carabidae) assemblages in a transgenic maize-soybean cropping system. *Environmental Entomology*, 33(3), 554-563.
- González, E., Salvo, A., & Valladares, G., 2017. Arthropod communities and biological control in soybean fields: forest cover at landscape scale is more influential than forest proximity. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 239, 359-367.
- House, G. J., & All, J. N., 1981. Carabid beetles in soybean agroecosystems. *Environmental Entomology*, 10(2), 194-196.
- Le Féon, V., Poggio, S. L., Torretta, J. P., Bertrand, C., Molina, G. A., Burel, F., ... & Ghersa, C. M., 2016. Diversity and life-history traits of wild bees (Insecta: Hymenoptera) in intensive agricultural landscapes in the Rolling Pampa, Argentina. *Journal of Natural History*, 50(19-20), 1175-1196.
- Molina, G. A., Poggio, S. L., & Ghersa, C. M., 2014. Epigeal arthropod communities in intensively farmed landscapes: effects of land use mosaics, neighbourhood heterogeneity, and field position. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 192, 135-143.
- Monasterolo, M., Musicante, M. L., Valladares, G. R., & Salvo, A., 2015. Soybean crops may benefit from forest pollinators. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 202, 217-222.
- Mujica, N., & Kroschel, J., 2011. Leafminer fly (Diptera: Agromyzidae) occurrence, distribution, and parasitoid associations in field and vegetable crops along the Peruvian coast. *Environmental Entomology*, 40(2), 217-230.
- Nelson, J. L., Hunt, L. G., Lewis, M. T., Hamby, K. A., Hooks, C. R., & Dively, G. P., 2018. Arthropod communities in warm and cool grass riparian buffers and their influence on natural enemies in adjacent crops. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 257, 81-91.

O'Rourke, M. E., Liebman, M., & Rice, M. E., 2014. Ground beetle (Coleoptera: Carabidae) assemblages in conventional and diversified crop rotation systems. *Environmental Entomology*, 37(1), 121-130.

Pacheco, R., Vasconcelos, H. L., Groc, S., Camacho, G. P., & Frizzo, T. L., 2013. The importance of remnants of natural vegetation for maintaining ant diversity in Brazilian agricultural landscapes. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 22(4), 983-997.

Rypstra, A. L., & Marshall, S. D., 2005. Augmentation of soil detritus affects the spider community and herbivory in a soybean agroecosystem. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, 116(3), 149-157.

Shelton, M. D., & Edwards, C. R., 1983. Effects of weeds on the diversity and abundance of insects in soybeans. *Environmental Entomology*, 12(2), 296-298.

Wheelock, M. J., Rey, K. P., & O'Neal, M. E., 2016. Defining the insect pollinator community found in Iowa maize and soybean fields: Implications for pollinator conservation. *Environmental Entomology*, 45(5), 1099-1106.

Young, O. P., & Edwards, G. B., 1990. Spiders in United States field crops and their potential effect on crop pests. *Journal of Arachnology*, 1-27.

About this practice note and Legumes Translated

Authors: Leonardo Amthauer Gallardo and Jens Dauber

Publisher: Thünen Institute of Biodiversity

Production: Donau Soja

Permalink: www.zenodo.org/record/6367989

Copyright: © The Authors, 2022. Reproduction and dissemination is permitted for non-commercial purposes provided the authors and source are fully acknowledged.

This practice note was prepared within the Legumes Translated project funded by the European Union through Horizon 2020, Project Grant Number 817634.

Citation: Amthauer Gallardo, L. and Dauber, J., 2022. Effects of soybean cropping on arthropods. Thünen Institute of Biodiversity. Legumes Translated Practice Note 62. www.legumestranslated.eu

The content is solely the responsibility of the authors. No warranties, expressed or implied, are made with respect to the information provided. Information relating to the use of plant protection products (pesticides) must be checked against the product label or other sources of product registration information.



This project is funded
by the European Union

