RAISING INTERCULTURAL AWARENESS AND BUILDING INTERCULTURAL COMMUNICATION COMPETENCE IN PROFESSIONAL LANGUAGE CLASSES / SENSIBILISATION INTERCULTURELLE ET RENFORCEMENT DES COMPETENCES EN COMMUNICATION INTERCULTURELLE DANS LES COURS DE LANGUES PROFESSIONNELS DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.6366415

Abstract: The present article aims to point out the necessity to raise intercultural awareness and to build intercultural communication competence in Professional Foreign Language Classes. After defining the main concepts involved and having as a starting point G. Hofstede's cultural dimension's theory, the article brings forward several proposals of activities and makes reference to certain available and useful resources and methods that could serve the announced objective. Key words: Intercultural Awareness, Intercultural Communication Competence, Professional Foreign Language, G. Hofstede

Introduction

Professionally oriented foreign language is commonly defined as that branch of language teaching and learning which aims to address the functioning of a foreign language in different professional spheres and to prepare students to proficiently use a foreign language as a working language.

Professional language courses are commonly dedicated to undergraduate students or to professionals in various domains of activity. It is a complex field of study which involves building competences in listening/speaking, reading and writing by addressing such skills as: communicate effectively in face-to-face professional contexts, exchange information, participate in and chair meetings, negotiate, persuade, bargain, make compromise, make presentations, use business words and structures, write and reply emails, communicate in videoconferences, etc.

On the other hand, if scholars unanimously define professional language as "[...] a type of a social dialect or sociolect [...] a historical and national category resulted from the interaction of various factors and cultures" (E. Malyuga, 2012: 1) and given that in the general context, professionals interact more and more frequently with individuals belonging to different cultures, it is obvious that raising intercultural awareness and developing intercultural and cross-cultural competences within professional language courses take pride of place.

The Common European Framework of Reference for Languages states that "in an intercultural approach, it is a central objective of language education to promote the favourable development of the learner's whole personality and sense of identity in response to the enriching experience of otherness in language and culture" and that "Knowledge, awareness, and understanding of the relation (similarities and distinctive differences) between the world of origin and the world of target community produce intercultural awareness [...] The linguistic and cultural competences in respect of each language are modified by knowledge of the other and contribute to intercultural awareness, skills and know-how" (cf. CEFRL: 1, 103).

Raising intercultural awareness presupposes therefore both the process of asking questions and that of knowledge building, regarding such aspects as values, beliefs, mentalities, lifestyles, characteristics of social groups, taboos, prejudices, stereotypes,

_

¹ Ana-Maria **IONESCU**, University of Pitești, Romania, anamaria.ionescu@upit.ro

history and social history, social and communicative behaviour, ritualistic behaviour, personal, social and cultural identity etc.

The main purpose and the expected result of raising intercultural awareness is to develop *intercultural competence* and *intercultural communication competence*. Byram (1997: 34) defines intercultural competence as the "knowledge of others; knowledge of self; skills to interpret and relate; skills to discover and/or to interact; valuing others' values, beliefs, and behaviours; and relativizing one's self. Linguistic competence plays a key role", *intercultural communication competence* being therefore acknowledged as a key component of foreign language learning. On the other hand, *intercultural communication competence* is commonly defined as "the ability to effectively and appropriately execute communication behaviours that negotiate each other's cultural identity or identities in a culturally diverse environment" (Chen, Starosta, 1999: 28).

Intercultural Communication Competence in Professional Foreign Language Classes:

How could the above-mentioned complex and challenging aspects become part of professionally oriented foreign language courses?

The first step is to encourage students to improve their general awareness and general knowledge with emphasis on: knowledge (*savoir*), know-how (*savoir-fair*) and being (*savoir-être*) (*cf.* Čaňková *et alii*, 2007: 27).

The second step would be to urge and stimulate students to address cultural universals which, even though are predominant and more significant, do not exclude cultural variables (cf. Ionescu 2019). For example, in G. Murdock's (1945) list of cultural universals we encounter etiquette and politeness as common denominators of all cultures. However, the expression of etiquette and politeness vary by culture. Therefore, there is an increasing necessity for companies and organisations throughout the world to educate their employees in the rules of personal and business etiquette. Of course, this is only one aspect of the targeted intercultural communication competence.

One way to raise awareness and introduce new intercultural communicative roles and contexts in professionally oriented foreign language classes is to seek contact with individuals belonging to different cultures, to organise visits, meetings and to host events, whenever and as often as possible.

The extensive and frequent use of didactic materials (books, handbooks, movies, media resources, interviews etc.) bring up culturally bound issues and help students explore and understand how cultural experiences are reflected in different linguistic expressions and different communicative behaviours.

The use of various questionnaires has the advantage of both raising intercultural awareness and measuring or (self) assessing, at a certain extent, the intercultural communicative skills. The questionnaires could be adapted to the level and the specific characteristics of each group of students or various online resources could be used. The main purposes of such questionnaires are, for example, "to identify attitudes and perspectives regarding cultural diversity"; "to help become aware of and understand prejudices and biases"; "to help understand the potential consequences of a certain approach to diversity at the workplace". (https://edge.sagepub.com/sites/default/files/9.3 cultural diversity awareness question naire.pdf).

For an extensive list of online assessment tools of intercultural competence see the list of Alvin Fantini and Bratteleboro (2006) at https://cwil.saintmarys.edu/files/cwil/old-content/php/intercultural.learning/documents/feil_appendix_f.pdf.

Geert Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions Model:

The most renowned metric of culture is Geert Hofstede's (1980, 1984, 1991, 2001, 2010) five-dimensional model of cultural variables:

- 1. High Power Distance vs. Low Power Distance a parameter which measures "the extent to which the less powerful members of institutions and organisations within a country expect and accept that power is distributed unequally";
- 2. Uncertainty avoidance "the extent to which the members of a culture feel threatened by uncertain or unknown situations";
- 3. Individualism vs. Collectivism individualism "pertains to societies in which the ties between individuals are loose: everyone is expected to look after himself or herself and his or her immediate family", while collectivism is specific to societies which place a greater importance "on the goals and well-being of the group";
- 4. Masculinity vs. Femininity Masculinity refers to "the dominant male sex role pattern in the vast majority of both traditional and modern societies."; Femininity reflects the preference of a more consensus-oriented society for cooperation, modesty, caring for the disadvantaged or deprived;
- 5.Long-term orientation vs. Short-term orientation refers to the oppositions: focus on the distant future vs. focus on the near future; delay short term success vs. emphasis on rapid success.

Having as a starting point Hofstede's model, numerous instruments which aim to measure cultural variables and values have been developed, in order to point out the advantages or the disadvantages of the model, the methodological issues or the validity of the various implemented scales.

A valuable and easily accessible online resource for professional foreign language students could be the Hofstede Insight Program which provides a set of very useful tools and skills and three models regarding organisational culture, national culture and cultural connections (https://www.hofstede-insights.com). For example, at a simple search, the program provides a synthetic description and an estimation chart for each of the five cultural parameters enumerated above, but adding a new parameter indulgence (defined as "the extent to which people try to control their desires and impulses") -, in all the cultures of the world. See below their results for Romania, United Kingdom and France:

Romania

United Kingdom

France

1. Power Distance

in which everybody has a should further popular, subordinates expect score autocrat.

he at

Romania scores high on this At 35 Britain sits in the lower With a score of 68, France dimension (score of 90) rankings of PDI - i.e. a scores fairly high on Power which means that people society that believes that Distance. Children are raised accept a hierarchical order inequalities amongst people to be emotionally dependent, to minimized. a degree, on their parents. This place and which needs no Interestingly is that research dependency will be transferred justification. shows PD index lower to teachers and later on to Hierarchy in an organization amongst the higher class in superiors. It is, therefore, a is seen as reflecting inherent Britain than amongst the society in which a fair degree inequalities, centralization is working classes. The PDI of inequality is accepted. first seems Power is not only centralised to be told what to do and the incongruent with the well in companies and government, ideal boss is a benevolent established and historical but also geographically. Just British class system and its look at the road grid in

tensions in the British culture Paris. - between the importance of Many not limit how far you can more some way as equals.

exposes one of the inherent France; most highways lead to

comparative studies birth rank on the one hand have shown that French and a deep seated belief that companies have normally one where you are born should or two hierarchical levels than comparable travel in life. A sense of fair companies in Germany and the play drives a belief that UK. Superiors have privileges people should be treated in and are often inaccessible. CEO's of big companies are called Mr. PDG, which is a more prestigious abbreviation than CEO, meaning President Director General. These PDGs have frequently attended the most prestigious universities called "grandes écoles", big schools.

2. Uncertainty Avoidance

Uncertainty to work) time is money, very precision and punctuality individual motivation.

dimension and thus has a on Uncertainty Avoidance high intolerant of unorthodox information comes to light. receive emotional need for rules ambiguous situations - the developing Britishway with the values of fair play). Distance be clear (due to high MAS) and changing

Romania scores 90 on this At 35 the UK has a low score At 86, French culture scores onUncertainty very high preference for which means that as a nation Avoidance. This is clearly uncertainty. they are quite happy to wake evident in the following: The Countries exhibiting high up not knowing what the day French don't like surprises. Avoidance brings and they are happy to Structure and planning are maintain rigid codes of belief 'make it up as they go along' required. Before meetings and and behaviour and are changing plans as new negotiations they like to all necessarv behaviour and ideas. In As a low UAI country the information. As a consequence, these cultures there is an British are comfortable in the French are good in (even if the rules never seem term 'muddling through' is a technologies and systems in a of stable environment, such as in people have an inner urge to expressing this. There are the case of nuclear power be busy and work hard, generally not too many rules plants, rapid trains and the in British society, but those aviation industry. There is also are the norm, innovation that are there are adhered to a need for emotional safety may be resisted, security is (the most famous of which of valves as a high score on an important element in of course the British love of Uncertainty Avoidance and the queuing which has also to do combination of high Power and high In work terms this results in Individualism strengthen each planning that is not detail other, so to speak. The French, oriented - the end goal will for example, are very talkative "engueuler", giving but the detail of how we get someone the sharp edge of there will be light and the one's tongue happens often. actual process fluid and There is a strong need for flexible to emerging and laws, rules and regulations to environment. structure life. This, however, Planning horizons will also doesn't mean that most be shorter. Most importantly Frenchmen will try to follow the combination of a highly all these rules, the same as in Individualist and curious other Latin countries. Given nation is a high level of the high score on Power

is attractive! This emerges power its humour. marketing, engineering.

creativity and strong need for Distance, which means that innovation. What is different power holders have privileges, holders throughout the society in both necessarily feel obliged to heavy follow all those rules which consumerism for new and are meant to control the people innovative products and the in the street. At the same time, fast highly creative industries commonners try to relate to it thrives in – advertising, power holders so that they can financial also claim the exception to the rule.

Individualism vs. Collectivism

extended over-rides most societal rules everyone family link), hiring and culture. promotion decisions take account of the employee's in-group, management is the management of groups.

Romania, with a score of At a score of 89 the UK is France, with a score of 71, is 30 is considered a amongst the highest of the shown to be an individualist collectivistic society. This Individualist scores, beaten only society. Parents make their is manifest in a close long- by some of the commonwealth children term commitment to the countries it spawned i.e. independent with regard to member 'group', be that a Australia and the USA. The groups in which they belong. family, extended family, or British are a highly Individualist This means that one is only relationships. and private people. Children are supposed to take care of Loyalty in a collectivist taught from an early age to think oneself and one's family. culture is paramount, and for themselves and to find out The French combination of a other what their unique purpose in life high score on and is and how they uniquely can Distance and a high score on regulations. The society contribute to society. The route Individualism is fosters strong relationships to happiness is through personal unique. We only find the takes fulfillment. As the affluence of same responsibility for fellow Britain has increased throughout Belgium and, to some members of their group. In the last decade, with wealth also degree, collectivist societies offence 'spreading North', a much northern Italy. leads to shame and loss of discussed phenomenon is the rise This combination is not only employer/employee of what has been seen as unique, but it also creates a relationships are perceived rampant consumerism and a contradiction, so to speak. in moral terms (like a strengthening of the 'ME'

emotionally combination in Spain

Only so to speak, because scores in the model don't influence anything. They just give a structured reflection of reality. This combination manifests itself in France in the following ways:

It is claimed that one reason why the French are less obese than people in other *EU-countries* is that parents still have more sway over children than in other EUcountries. Whether this is true or not is not known by us. All the same, what is true is that the family has still more emotional glue than in other Individualist cultures. This is a reflection of the high score on Power Distance with its stronger

respect for the elderly [...].

4. Masculinity vs. Femininity

Feminine society. status is not shown.

Romania scores 42 on this At 66, Britain is a Masculine With a score of 43, France dimension and is thus society - highly success oriented has a somewhat Feminine considered a relatively and driven. A key point of culture. At face value this In confusion for the foreigner lies may be indicated by its Feminine countries the in the apparent contradiction famous welfare system focus is on "working in between the British culture of (securité sociale), the 35order to live", managers modesty and understatement hour working week, five strive for consensus, people which is at odds with the weeks of holidays per year value equality, solidarity underlying success driven value and its focus on the quality and quality in their system in the culture. Critical to of life. French culture in working lives. Conflicts are understanding the British is terms of the model has, resolved by compromise being able to 'read between the however, another unique and negotiation. Incentives lines" What is said is not always characteristic. The upper such as free time and what is meant. In comparison to class scores Feminine while flexibility are favoured. Feminine cultures such as the the working class scores Focus is on well-being, Scandinavian countries, people Masculine. in the UK live in order to work characteristic has not been and have a clear performance found in any other country. ambition.

This difference may be reflected by the following: Top managers earn on average less than one would expect given the high score on Power Distance. Married couples of high society could go public with a lover negative without consequences, at least certainly in the past. The scandal in the US about Clinton and Lewinsky has never been understood in France. In addition, "crime passionel", i.e. crimes of passion, have always been sentenced very leniently in comparison to other murder trials.

5. Long-term orientation vs. Short-term orientation

Romania has an intermediate score of 52 on this dimension.

With an intermediate score of 51 France scores high (63) in in this dimension, a dominant this dimension, making it preference in British culture pragmatic. In societies with cannot be determined.

a pragmatic orientation, people believe that truth depends very much on situation, context and time. They show an ability to adapt traditions easily to changed conditions, a strong propensity to save and thriftiness, invest, perseverance in achieving results.

6. Indulgence

Also, in Indulgent gratification orientation have perception that actions are Restrained by social norms and feel that indulging themselves is somewhat wrong.

20, Romanian culture is the British culture is one that is the middle (48) where it one of Restraint. Societies classified as Indulgent. People in concerns Indulgence versus with a low score in this societies classified by a high Restraint. dimension have a tendency score in Indulgence generally combination to cynicism and pessimism. exhibit a willingness to realise score contrast to their impulses and desires with Avoidance, implies that the societies, regard to enjoying life and French are less relaxed and Restrained societies do not having fun. They possess a enjoy life less often than is put much emphasis on positive attitude and have a commonly assumed. Indeed, leisure time and control the tendency towards optimism. In France scores not all that of their addition, they place a higher high on the happiness desires. People with this degree of importance on leisure indices. the time, act as they please and their spend money as they wish.

With a very low score of A high score of 69 indicates that France scores somewhat in This, with a high on Uncertainty

Source: Hofstede Insight Program https://www.hofstede-insights.com/

It must be stated that Hofstede's model does not operate rigid classifications, given that characteristics pertaining to all the above-mentioned parameters are to be found in all the cultures of the world, in a larger or a smaller extent, as a result of various factors, such as personal experience of individuals, education and personality, regional differences within the same culture, the evolution and the transformation of social and cultural systems, the influences of other cultures in various circumstances, etc. The main purpose of Hofstede's model and of the measurements derived from it is to emphasize and observe the general tendencies within cultures, to make predictions regarding the attitudes and the behaviour of individuals with a different cultural background and to facilitate human interaction in intercultural communication contexts. It is therefore a valuable instrument for professionally oriented foreign language courses.

Conclusions

Given the complexity of the topic, the conclusions of the present article can only be partial. However, we believe to have successfully argued the necessity to raise intercultural awareness and to build intercultural communication competence when delivering professional foreign language classes. The article also points out several guidelines and activities which are meant to sustain the above-mentioned objective. It also makes reference to some very useful instruments, both for the development of intercultural competence and sensitivity (among which Hofstede's model is the most significant), and for the assessment of intercultural competence. The references and the online resources mentioned below are, of course, only a very small part of the literature dedicated to the subject.

Bibliography

Byram, M., Teaching and Assessing Intercultural Communicative Competence, Multilingual

Čaňková M, et alii, Developing and assessing intercultural communicative competence. A guide for language teachers and teacher educators, Council of Europe Publishing, 2007;

Chen, G.M., Starosta, W.J., A review of the concept of intercultural awareness. In Human Communication, 2, 1999;

Hofstede G, Culture's Consequences: International Differences in Work-Related Values, Beverly Hills, Sage, 1984;

Hofsted G, Minkov, M., Culturi și organizații. Softul mental. Cooperarea interculturală și importanța ei pentru supraviețuire, București, Humanitas, [2010] 2012;

Malyuga, E., Professional Language in Formal and Business Style, in *Global Journal of Human Social Science*, vol. 12, issue 3, Global Journals Inc (USA), 2012;

Murdock G. P., The Common Denominator of Cultures. In: Ralph Linton (ed.) *The Science of Man in the World Crisis*, New York University Press, 1945;

Ionescu, A.M., Dicționar de comunicare interpersonală și comunicare interculturală, Paralela 45, 2019:

Şerbănescu, A., Cum gândesc și cum vorbesc ceilalți, Polirom, 2007;

Online resources:

https://www.hofstede-insights.com/

https://www.coe.int/en/web/common-european-framework-reference-languages

https://edge.sagepub.com/sites/default/files/9.3 cultural diversity awareness questionn aire.pdf

https://cwil.saintmarys.edu/files/cwil/old-

content/php/intercultural.learning/documents/feil appendix f.pdf

Ana-Maria IONESCU is Associate professor at the Faculty of Theology, Letters, History and Arts, University of Piteşti and Doctor in Humanities (Philology). She completed her thesis in 2012 at "Iorgu Iordan" Institute of Linguistics (Romanian Academy of Sciences). Her professional and scientific competences are mainly subscribed to the following fields of research: Theoretical and Applied Linguistics, Pragmatics, Discourse Analysis and Conversation Analysis, Culture/ Civilisation/ Mentalities, Translation and Contrastive Studies.