B. Anish Babu et al

CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB

ISSN 2349-7750

ISSN: 2349-7750



INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF

PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

SJIF Impact Factor: 7.187

Available online at: <u>http://www.iajps.com</u>

Review Article

A REVIEW ON CURRENT AND EMERGING TREATMENT STRATEGIES OF ULCERATIVE COLITIS

B. Anish Babu¹, Dr. R.Margret Chandira², Dr. B. S. Venkateswarlu³

¹Research Scholar, Vinayaka Missions Research Foundation, Salem, Tamilnadu, India- 636008., Assistant professor in St.Marys College of Pharmacy, Guntur, Chebrolu

² Professor Department of Pharmaceutics, Vinayaka Mission's Research Foundation, Sankari Main Road, Ariyanur, Tamil Nadu -636308.

³ Professor & Principal, Vinayaka Mission's Research Foundation, Sankari Main Road, Ariyanur, Tamil Nadu -636308

Tullin Tullu 050500		
Article Received: February 2022	Accepted: February 2022	Published: March 2022
Abstract: Historically, medical therapy for ulcerative colitis (UC) was limited to corticosteroids. Excitingly, over the past just 1–2 decades, the options for medical therapy have expanded and include biologics and small molecules, with more agents actively being developed. In this article, we review the current and emerging treatment strategies for UC stratified according to disease severity. Keywords: ulcerative colitis; biologic; small molecule; colectomy		
Corresponding author: Mr. B. Anish Babu _{M.Pharm., (Ph.D)} , Research Scholar, Vinayaka Mission, Salem, Tamilnadu, India- 636008. E-mail: bandreddyanesh92@gmail.c		QR code

Mobile: 9056783456.

Please cite this article in press **B.** Anish Babu et al, A Review On Current And Emerging Treatment Strategies Of Ulcerative Colitis., Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2022; 09(3)

1. INTRODUCTION:

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic inflammatory disorder defined by mucosal inflammation that involves the colon and rectum in a continuous pattern [1-3]. The peak age of onset is 30–40 years old, and men and women are affected equally [4]. While still not yet fully defined, the pathogenesis of UC is multifactorial and implicates environmental factors, aberrant host immune responses, and likely intestinal dysbiosis in genetically susceptible individuals [3]. The global burden of UC continues to rise, along with the associated healthcare and societal costs. In the US alone, the annual direct and indirect costs related to UC are estimated to be \$8.1 billion-\$14.9 billion [5]. Because UC is a chronic disease with no known preventative or curative interventions, save colectomy, therapy is most often lifelong. The natural course of UC includes periods of remission interspersed with periods of acute exacerbations or disease flares, which might require escalation of therapy, hospitalization, and, in severe cases, colectomy. The goal of treatment is to achieve disease remission and prevent disease-related complications such as infection, surgery, and neoplasia, as well as preserve patients' quality of life.

1.1. Selection of Therapy

Every effort should always be made to ensure there is shared therapeutic decision making between physicians and patients. There are many factors to consider when discussing therapeutic options with patients diagnosed with UC, including both diseaserelated (e.g., disease extent, inflammation severity) and patient-related factors (e.g., preferences, cost, comorbidities). Unfortunately, we are not yet in an era where we can reliably predict individuals' responses to specific medical therapies, for example, based on individual serum or tissue analyses. The most important disease-related factors to consider include endoscopic/histologic and clinical disease severity as well as disease extent.

Disease extent is defined as proctitis if inflammation is limited to the rectum, past the splenic flexure, the disease is reclassified as either left-sided or extensive/pancolitis, respectively [2,6].

Limited proctitis occurs in 30–60% of adult patients with UC and manifests as hematochezia and tenesmus, left-sided colitis in 16–45% as proctitis plus diarrhea and abdominal cramping, and extensive colitis in 15–35% as left-sided colitis plus constitutional symptoms, fatigue, and fever (Figure 1) [3]. In all patients, triggering factors such as infection (e.g., Clostridiodes difficile, cytomegalovirus) should be evaluated for and managed appropriately. Appropriate treatment of infection should be initiated in conjunction with UC treatment in symptomatic patients with positive stool studies. These patients should be closely monitored after initiation of UC treatment as they may have a suboptimal response due to concomitant infection. J. Clin. Med. 2019, 8, x FOR PEER REVIEW 2 of 12 to the splenic flexure.

2. Mild-Moderate Ulcerative Colitis

Mild-moderate UC is defined clinically as and laboratory abnormalities including elevated inflammatory markers and anemia [9,10]. Mildmoderate UC is defined endoscopically as mucosal erythema, decreased or absent vascularization, friability, and erosions [11]. Mesalamines are the first-line therapy for induction of remission in mildmoderate UC. There are different formulations of mesalamines, including oral, suppository, or liquid enema (Table 1). Selection among mesalamine formulations for treatment of mild-moderate UC depends primarily on disease extent. Indeed, based on a meta-analysis of 17 studies evaluating 2925 patients with mild-moderate UC on mesalamine therapy, there was no significant difference in the efficacy or safety of different mesalamine formulations [12].

Proctitis is managed with mesalamine suppository 1 g/day to target the involved rectum. Suppositories should be self-administered at bedtime and retained for 1-3 h for maximal benefit. Left-sided UC is managed with oral mesalamine 2-3 g/day and topical mesalamine 4 g/day enema formulation, which will reach the splenic flexure with appropriate use. Enemas should be administered at bedtime and retained overnight for approximately eight hours. Extensive mild-moderate UC is managed with oral mesalamine 2-3 g/day and topical mesalamine in either enema 4 g/day or suppository 1 g/day formulation. Clinical response is typically high, with 40-70% of patients expected to respond within 14 days; however, it can take up to eight weeks to achieve clinical and endoscopic remission [13,14]. In patients with prominent arthritic symptoms, sulfasalazine is an acceptable alternative to mesalamine, though often poorly tolerated due to side effects such as headache, nausea, diarrhea, and rash [9].

Second-line therapies for patients with mild-moderate UC who do not respond to mesalamine are corticosteroids.

Systemic corticosteroids and budesonide-multimatrix (MMX) are both effective in induction of remission; however, the latter formulation has the important benefit of minimal systemic absorption due to high

first-pass hepatic metabolism and, thus, more favorable side effect profile [15–17]. In a placebocontrolled randomized clinical trial (RCT) of 510 patients with mild-moderate UC and inadequate response to mesalamine, 13% of patients randomized to budesonide-MMX reached the primary endpoint of combined endoscopic and clinical remission at eight weeks compared to 7.5% of patients randomized to placebo [18].

Patients typically demonstrate clinical response within seven to 10 days. Budesonide-MMX is dosed as 9 mg daily for six to 10 weeks for induction of remission. In patients who respond, the dose is tapered to 9 mg every other day for two weeks followed by discontinuation, for a total of eight to 12 weeks of therapy. If patients do not show initial response to budesonide-MMX, then systemic corticosteroids, namely prednisone, is an option to induce remission. Prednisone is started at 40 mg per day and clinical response should be expected within 1-2 weeks. After two weeks, the dose should be tapered by 5-10 mg per week [19]. Rectal steroids are available in suppository and liquid or foam enema formulations and are effective in induction of remission with a relative risk of 0.73 when compared to placebo [20-22].

mesalamine is superior to Rectal rectal corticosteroids for induction of remission [9]. In a meta-analysis of 13 trials comparing rectal mesalamine and rectal corticosteroids, topical mesalamine (enema formulation 1-4 g/day or suppository formulation 1 g/day) was superior to topical corticosteroids for inducing remission. [9] Given this, in addition to the potential safety concerns with long-term rectal corticosteroids, rectal mesalamine is preferred for mild-moderate UC. However, patients may prefer corticosteroid foam enemas to mesalamine liquid enemas because of ease of delivery and retention [23,24].

Patients who achieve remission with mesalamine therapy should continue on the same medication [13]. Steroids are not appropriate for maintenance of remission due to adverse effects and lack of longterm efficacy.

3. Moderate-Severe Ulcerative Colitis

Moderate-severe UC is clinically defined as 4–6 bowel movements per day with moderate-severe rectal bleeding in the absence of constitutional signs or symptoms [10]. Moderate-severe UC is defined endoscopically as marked mucosal erythema, absent vascularization, friability, granularity, spontaneous bleeding, and ulcerations [11]. As of this writing,

agents currently approved for the induction and maintenance of remission of moderate-severe UC include the biologics infliximab, adalimumab, golimumab, vedolizumab, and ustekinumab, in addition to the small-molecule Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitor tofacitinib (Table 2) [25-30]. Generally speaking, prior to starting these agents and immunomodulators, all patients should have appropriate pre-initiation safety labs and vaccinations, although the latter are sometimes not possible due to acute presentation, as well as ongoing interval surveillance of healthcare maintenance needs.

Infliximab, adalimumab, and golimumab are monoclonal antibodies that target tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-alpha, an inflammatory cytokine that mediates intestinal tract inflammation and is increased in patients with active UC. In a metaanalysis of six studies including 1823 patients with moderate-severe UC, patients treated with anti-TNF agents were 2.5-fold more likely to achieve clinical remission compared to patients treated with placebo (relative risk 2.45, 95% CI: 1.72-3.47); no single agent was clinically superior to the others [31]. The expected time to clinical response after initiation of these agents ranged from one to eight weeks [32]. Infliximab is administered intravenously, while adalimumab and golimumab are administered subcutaneously.

Infliximab, adalimumab, and golimumab are monoclonal antibodies that target tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-alpha, an inflammatory cytokine that mediates intestinal tract inflammation and is increased in patients with active UC. In a metaanalysis of six studies including 1823 patients with moderate-severe UC, patients treated with anti-TNF agents were 2.5-fold more likely to achieve clinical remission compared to patients treated with placebo (relative risk 2.45, 95% CI: 1.72-3.47); no single agent was clinically superior to the others [31]. The expected time to clinical response after initiation of these agents ranged from one to eight weeks [32]. Infliximab is administered intravenously, while adalimumab and golimumab are administered subcutaneously.

Vedolizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody that recognizes the $\alpha 4\beta 7$ cell surface glycoprotein expressed on circulating B and T lymphocytes and selectively blocks gut lymphocyte trafficking [35]. In a meta-analysis of four studies including 606 patients with moderate-severe UC, vedolizumab was superior to placebo for induction of clinical and endoscopic remission [36]. Vedolizumab is administered intravenously in an induction and then maintenance phase, with patients typically demonstrating clinical response within six weeks of the first dose [30]. In the only head-to-head trial of biologic agents in patients with moderate-severe UC, vedolizumab was superior to adalimumab with respect to clinical remission and endoscopic improvement [37].

Vedolizumab has a more favorable side effect profile compared to the anti-TNF inhibitors given its gut selectivity, and is not significantly associated with an increased risk of serious infection or malignancy [36]. The combination of infliximab and azathioprine is superior in the achievement of corticosteroid-free remission than infliximab or azathioprine monotherapy alone [33]. In a trial of 239 patients with moderate-severe UC previously naïve to TNF inhibitors, patients who received infliximab and azathioprine experienced higher rates of corticosteroid-free clinical remission at 16 weeks compared with patients who received either infliximab or azathioprine alone [33]. The decision of combination therapy, however, must consider patientand disease-related factors, a full discussion of which is beyond the scope of this review. Notably, there is no incremental benefit in continuing mesalamine therapy in patients with moderate-severe UC who are escalated to anti-TNF therapy. [34]

Ustekinumab, a monoclonal antibody directed against the p40 subunit of interleukin-12 and interleukin-23, is the newest biologic approved for moderate-severe UC. In a randomized, placebocontrolled trial of 961 patients with moderate-severe UC, patients treated with ustekinumab had significantly higher rates of clinical remission and endoscopic improvement at week eight compared to placebo [29]. Although the induction dose is administered intravenously as a one-time dose, the subsequent maintenance doses are administered subcutaneously, and might be more appealing for some patients. Clinical response is expected within three to six weeks of induction [29]. Similar to vedolizumab, ustekinumab offers a favorable infectious safety profile compared to the anti-TNF agents. The rates of serious adverse events in randomized clinical trials were equivalent in the ustekinumab and placebo groups [38].

4. Acute Severe Ulcerative Colitis

Acute severe ulcerative colitis (ASUC) is defined as the presence of ≥ 6 bloody bowel movements per day plus tachycardia ≥ 90 bpm, fever ≥ 37.8 °C, hemoglobin 30 mm/h [10]. ASUC is a lifethreatening condition for which hospitalization is required. Patients are at risk for bowel perforation, toxic megacolon, or colectomy. Currently approved

medical therapies for patients hospitalized with ASUC are steroids, infliximab, and cyclosporine. Care of hospitalized patients with ASUC involves a multidisciplinary approach with gastroenterology, medicine, and surgery teams, given the risk of significant morbidity and mortality [42]. The immediate goal of therapy is hemodynamic stability and clinical improvement. Patients should be counseled at the outset regarding expectations of medical therapy and that total colectomy might ultimately be indicated. Initial workup includes history, examination, appropriate lab workup, including infectious workup if indicated, endoscopic evaluation, and possibly imaging depending on the clinical scenario. Systemic steroids administered as methylprednisolone 20 mg intravenously every eight hours, or equivalent, are still the mainstay as the initial therapy for hospitalized patients with ASUC. Approximately 65% of patients will have symptomatic response, typically within three to five days of steroid initiation [43].

Patients with no improvement after five days of systemic steroids are unlikely to respond, and inpatient escalation to infliximab or cyclosporine should be considered if medical management is still deemed appropriate [44,45]. In the absence of enteric infection, antibiotics are not indicated. As discussed above, infliximab is a TNF inhibitor with a rapid onset of action. Patients with ASUC typically experience clinical improvement with less stool frequency, less hematochezia, and decreased inflammatory markers within three to five days of infliximab initiation. Colectomy rates are significantly lower in hospitalized patients with ASUC treated with infliximab compared to those treated with immunomodulators, mesalamines, or no therapy [46]. In a randomized, placebo-controlled trial in 45 patients with severe UC, patients who received infliximab had significantly lower rates of colectomy or death at three months [25].

There are mixed observational data regarding the optimal dosing of infliximab for ASUC, specifically among patients with objective evidence of a particularly high inflammatory burden. Some data support higher upfront dosing with 10 mg/kg instead of the standard induction dose of 5 mg/kg while other data support an accelerated dosing regimen [47–49]. While we eagerly await prospective randomized, controlled trials to inform dosing of infliximab for ASUC, both patient- and disease-related factors must be considered when deciding on dosing regimen. Patients who respond to infliximab during admission should continue standard maintenance dosing.

Cyclosporine directly inhibits calcineurin, a component of cytokine gene transcription, and downregulates IL-2, IL-3, IL-4, and TNF-alpha. In a randomized, placebo-controlled trial of 11 patients with ASUC, 82% of patients treated with cyclosporine had clinical response within seven days [50]. Cyclosporine is administered as a continuous intravenous infusion for hospitalized patients with ASUC with close monitoring of levels every two days to achieve target concentrations [51]. Clinical response is typically seen within two to three days, and colectomy rates have been shown to be less in patients treated with cyclosporine [50,52]. Patients who have improvement of stool frequency to the decision to escalate to infliximab or cyclosporine depends on patient co-morbidities, physician experience, insurance considerations, and patient preference. For example, patients with renal disease, hypertension, history of seizures, or low serum cholesterol are not appropriate candidates for cyclosporine. The efficacy and safety profiles are not significantly different between infliximab and cyclosporine for patients with ASUC refractory to systemic steroids [55-58].

5. Surgery

The most common surgery performed for patients with medically refractory UC but without complications, such as perforation, is the restorative proctocolectomy (RPC) with ileal pouch anal anastomosis (IPAA). This continence-preserving procedure involves the complete removal of the colon and rectum with construction of a 'J' shaped pouch from the terminal ileum to serve as an internal pelvic reservoir for intestinal contents. RPC with IPAA is typically performed in three stages: Stage 1 is the removal of the colon and creation of an end ileostomy, stage 2 is the removal of the rectum and construction of the IPAA with a diverting ileostomy. and stage 3 is the reversal of ileostomy and restoration of intestinal continuity and fecal stream. TPC with IPAA is associated with improved quality of life; however, it may be complicated by inflammatory conditions such as acute and chronic pouchitis.

6. Therapies with Limited Evidence

Curcumin has immunomodulatory and pro-apoptotic properties and is well tolerated without significant harmful effects. Results from a meta-analysis of six randomized controlled trials of 349 patients with mild-moderate UC on standard dose mesalamine suggest that adjuvant curcumin was effective in the induction of clinical remission, endoscopic remission, and endoscopic improvement, but not clinical improvement [62]. Due to limited evidence, society guidelines make no formal recommendations regarding the use of curcumin [9].

Probiotics have frequently been studied in patients with UC. In a meta-analysis of 22 studies examining the impact of probiotics on inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), there was no benefit of probiotics for induction of remission in patients with ulcerative colitis. However, when only studies of VSL#3 probiotic were included, there was a noted benefit in induction of remission (relative risk 0.74, 95% CI 0.63–0.87). Evidence regarding probiotic use in ulcerative colitis is limited due to small sample sizes, significant methodological heterogeneity, and risk of bias. Society guidelines recommend further study before use of probiotics [63].

The use of fecal microbiota transplant (FMT) for mild-moderate UC is considered experimental. Pooled analysis of RCTs that enrolled patients with mild-moderate UC noted that FMT was effective in the induction of clinical and endoscopic remission [64–67]. However, there was significant heterogeneity regarding donor stool, formulation, and administration schedule. Society guidelines recommend that FMT be performed only in the context of a clinical trial in patients with mildmoderate UC without Clostridiodes difficile at this time [9].

Therapies with Limited Evidence Curcumin has immunomodulatory and pro-apoptotic properties and is well tolerated without significant harmful effects. Results from a meta-analysis of six randomized controlled trials of 349 patients with mild-moderate UC on standard dose mesalamine suggest that adjuvant curcumin was effective in the induction of clinical remission, endoscopic remission, and endoscopic improvement. but not clinical improvement [62]. Due to limited evidence, society guidelines make no formal recommendations regarding the use of curcumin [9]. Probiotics have frequently been studied in patients with UC. In a meta-analysis of 22 studies examining the impact of probiotics on inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), there was no benefit of probiotics for induction of remission in patients with ulcerative colitis. However, when only studies of VSL#3 probiotic were included, there was a noted benefit in induction of remission (relative risk 0.74, 95% CI 0.63-0.87). Evidence regarding probiotic use in ulcerative colitis is limited due to small sample sizes, significant methodological heterogeneity, and risk of bias. Society guidelines recommend further study before use of probiotics [63].

The use of fecal microbiota transplant (FMT) for mild-moderate UC is considered experimental. Pooled analysis of RCTs that enrolled patients with mild-moderate UC noted that FMT was effective in the induction of clinical and endoscopic remission However. [64–67]. there was significant heterogeneity regarding donor stool, formulation, and administration schedule. Society guidelines recommend that FMT be performed only in the context of a clinical trial in patients with mildmoderate UC without Clostridiodes difficile at this time

7. Emerging Therapies

The use of tofacitinib has been investigated in ASUC, given its rapid onset of action, its appropriateness and efficacy as both an induction and maintenance agent, and, relatedly, its safety profile. In a small retrospective series of four hospitalized patients with ASUC, high intensity tofacitinib dosed as 10 mg three times a day was associated with rapid improvement in clinical symptoms and inflammatory biomarkers [68]. These results suggest tofacitinib could be an effective therapeutic option for patients with ASUC who previously failed TNF inhibitors.

Hyperbaric oxygen therapy has also been investigated in ASUC. The hypothesized mechanism of action is that pure excess oxygen delivery might reverse the tissue hypoxia that occurs in UC, based on experimental data demonstrating that hyperbaric oxygen therapy stimulates colonic stem cells and induces mucosal healing [69]. In a prospective case series of 32 patients with medically refractory UC, all patients reported clinical improvement and resolution of hematochezia by the 40th cycle of hyperbaric oxygen therapy [69]. In a subsequent Phase 2A, randomized, double blind, sham-controlled trial of 18 patients with ASUC, a significantly higher proportion of patients treated with hyperbaric oxygen therapy achieved clinical remission at study day five and 10. A larger trial examining the use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in patients with ASUC is currently underway (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier CT03494764).

8. CONCLUSIONS:

Appropriate treatment options for patients with ulcerative colitis vary according to disease severity. The positioning of biologics and small molecules depends on patients' disease extent and severity, previous medication exposure, and preference. Medication risks and therapeutic benefits should be incorporated in patient discussions to ensure informed decision making.

9. REFERENCES:

- Abraham, C.; Cho, J.H. Inflammatory bowel disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 2009, 361, 2066–2078. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Silverberg, M.S.; Satsangi, J.; Ahmad, T.; Arnott, I.D.; Bernstein, C.N.; Brant, S.R.; Caprilli, R.; Colombel, J.-F.; Gasche, C.; Geboes, K.; et al. Toward an integrated clinical, molecular and serological classification of inflammatory bowel disease: Report of a Working Party of the 2005 Montreal World Congress of Gastroenterology. Can. J. Gastroenterol. 2005, 19 (Suppl. A), 5a– 36a. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ungaro, R.; Mehandru, S.; Allen, P.B.; Peyrin-Biroulet, L.; Colombel, J.F. Ulcerative colitis. Lancet 2017, 389, 1756–1770. [CrossRef]
- Cosnes, J.; Gower-Rousseau, C.; Seksik, P.; Cortot, A. Epidemiology and natural history of inflammatory bowel diseases. Gastroenterology 2011, 140, 1785–1794. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cohen, R.D.; Yu, A.P.; Wu, E.Q.; Xie, J.; Mulani, P.M.; Chao, J. Systematic review: The costs of ulcerative colitis in Western countries. Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther. 2010, 31, 693–707. [CrossRef]
- Roda, G.; Narula, N.; Pinotti, R.; Skamnelos, A.; Katsanos, K.H.; Ungaro, R.; Burisch, J.; Torres, J.; Colombel, J.F. Systematic review with metaanalysis: Proximal disease extension in limited ulcerative colitis. Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther. 2017, 45, 1481–1492. [CrossRef]
- Shah, S.C.; Colombel, J.F.; Sands, B.E.; Narula, N. Mucosal Healing Is Associated with Improved Long-term Outcomes of Patients with Ulcerative Colitis: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 2016, 14, 1245–1255.e
- [CrossRef] 8. Peyrin-Biroulet, L.; Sandborn, W.; Sands, B.E.; Reinisch, W.; Bemelman, W.; Bryant, R.V.; D'Haens, G.; Dotan, I.; Dubinsky, M.; Feagan, B.; et al. Selecting Therapeutic Targets in Inflammatory Bowel Disease (STRIDE): Determining Therapeutic Goals for Treat-to-Target. Am. J. Gastroenterol. 2015, 110, 1324–1338. [CrossRef] J. Clin. Med. 2020, 9, 94 9 of 12
- Ko, C.W.; Singh, S.; Feuerstein, J.D.; Falck-Ytter, C.; Falck-Ytter, Y.; Cross, R. AGA Clinical Practice Guidelines on the Management of Mildto-Moderate Ulcerative Colitis. Gastroenterology 2019, 156, 748–764. [CrossRef]
- Truelove, S.C.; Witts, L.J. Cortisone in ulcerative colitis; final report on a therapeutic trial. Br. Med. J. 1955, 2, 1041–1048. [CrossRef]
- 11. Schroeder, K.W.; Tremaine, W.J.; Ilstrup, D.M. Coated oral 5-aminosalicylic acid therapy for mildly to moderately active ulcerative colitis. A

randomized study. N. Engl. J. Med. 1987, 317, 1625–1629. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Feagan, B.G.; Chande, N.; MacDonald, J.K. Are there any differences in the efficacy and safety of different formulations of Oral 5-ASA used for induction and maintenance of remission in ulcerative colitis? evidence from cochrane reviews. Inflamm. Bowel Dis. 2013, 19, 2031– 2040. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bressler, B.; Marshall, J.K.; Bernstein, C.N.; Bitton, A.; Jones, J.; Leontiadis, G.I.; Panaccione, R.; Steinhart, A.H.; Tse, F.; Feagan, B. Clinical practice guidelines for the medical management of nonhospitalized ulcerative colitis: The Toronto consensus. Gastroenterology 2015, 148, 1035–1058.e3. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lichtenstein, G.R.; Rutgeerts, P. Importance of mucosal healing in ulcerative colitis. Inflamm. Bowel Dis. 2010, 16, 338–346. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Van Assche, G.; Manguso, F.; Zibellini, M.; CabriadaNuno, J.L.; Goldis, A.; Tkachenko, E.; Varoli, G.; Kleczkowski, D.; Annese, V.; D'Heygere, F.; et al. Oral prolonged release beclomethasone dipropionate and prednisone in the treatment of active ulcerative colitis: Results from a double-blind, randomized, parallel group study. Am. J. Gastroenterol. 2015, 110, 708–715. [CrossRef]
- 16. Sandborn, W.J.; Danese, S.; D'Haens, G.; Moro, L.; Jones, R.; Bagin, R.; Huang, M.; David Ballard, E.; Masure, J.; Travis, S. Induction of clinical and colonoscopic remission of mild-tomoderate ulcerative colitis with budesonide MMX 9 mg: Pooled analysis of two phase 3 studies. Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther. 2015, 41, 409–418. [CrossRef]
- 17. Danese, S.; Siegel, C.A.; Peyrin-Biroulet, L. Review article: Integrating budesonide-MMX into treatment algorithms for mild-to-moderate ulcerative colitis. Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther. 2014, 39, 1095–1103. [CrossRef]
- In Proceedings of the Abstracts from the 2014 Advances in Inflammatory Bowel Diseases Crohn's & Colitis Foundation's National Clinical & Research Conference, 4–6 December 2014, Orlando, FL, USA. Inflamm. Bowel Dis. 2014, 20 (Suppl. 1), S1–S124.
- Kornbluth, A.; Sachar, D.B. Ulcerative colitis practice guidelines in adults: American College Of Gastroenterology, Practice Parameters Committee. Am. J. Gastroenterol. 2010, 105, 501–523. quiz 524. [CrossRef]
- Naganuma, M.; Aoyama, N.; Suzuki, Y.; Nishino, H.; Kobayashi, K.; Hirai, F.; Watanabe, K.; Hibi, T. Twice-daily Budesonide 2-mg Foam

Induces Complete Mucosal Healing in Patients with Distal Ulcerative Colitis. J. Crohns Colitis 2016, 10, 828–836. [CrossRef]

- Sandborn, W.J.; Bosworth, B.; Zakko, S.; Gordon, G.L.; Clemmons, D.R.; Golden, P.L.; Rolleri, R.L.; Yu, J.; Barrett, A.C.; Bortey, E.; et al. Budesonide foam induces remission in patients with mild to moderate ulcerative proctitis and ulcerative proctosigmoiditis. Gastroenterology 2015, 148, 740–750.e2. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Hanauer, S.B.; Robinson, M.; Pruitt, R.; Lazenby, A.J.; Persson, T.; Nilsson, L.G.; Walton-Bowen, K.; Haskell, L.P.; Levine, J.G. Budesonide enema for the treatment of active, distal ulcerative colitis and proctitis: A doseranging study. U.S. Budesonide enema study group. Gastroenterology 1998, 115, 525–532. [CrossRef]
- Gross, V.; Bar-Meir, S.; Lavy, A.; Mickisch, O.; Tulassay, Z.; Pronai, L.; Kupcinskas, L.; Kiudelis, G.; Pokrotnieks, J.; Kovacs, A.; et al. Budesonide foam versus budesonide enema in active ulcerative proctitis and proctosigmoiditis. Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther. 2006, 23, 303–312. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Mulder, C.J.; Fockens, P.; Meijer, J.W.; van der Heide, H.; Wiltink, E.H.; Tytgat, G.N. Beclomethasone dipropionate (3 mg) versus 5aminosalicylic acid (2 g) versus the combination of both (3 mg/2 g) as retention enemas in active ulcerative proctitis. Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 1996, 8, 549–553. [CrossRef]
- 25. Jarnerot, G.; Hertervig, E.; Friis-Liby, I.; Blomquist, L.; Karlen, P.; Granno, C.; Vilien, M.; Strom, M.; Danielsson, A.; Verbaan, H.; et al. Infliximab as rescue therapy in severe to moderately severe ulcerative colitis: Α placebo-controlled randomized. study. Gastroenterology 2005, 128, 1805-1811. [CrossRef]
- Rutgeerts, P.; Sandborn, W.J.; Feagan, B.G.; Reinisch, W.; Olson, A.; Johanns, J.; Travers, S.; Rachmilewitz, D.; Hanauer, S.B.; Lichtenstein, G.R.; et al. Infliximab for induction and maintenance therapy for ulcerative colitis. N. Engl. J. Med. 2005, 353, 2462–2476. [CrossRef] J. Clin. Med. 2020, 9, 94 10 of 12
- 27. Reinisch, W.; Sandborn, W.J.; Hommes, D.W.; D'Haens, G.; Hanauer, S.; Schreiber, S.; Panaccione, R.; Fedorak, R.N.; Tighe, M.B.; Huang, B.; et al. Adalimumab for induction of clinical remission in moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis: Results of a randomised controlled trial. Gut 2011, 60, 780–787. [CrossRef]

- Sandborn, W.J.; Feagan, B.G.; Marano, C.; Zhang, H.; Strauss, R.; Johanns, J.; Adedokun, O.J.; Guzzo, C.; Colombel, J.F.; Reinisch, W.; et al. Subcutaneous golimumab induces clinical response and remission in patients with moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis. Gastroenterology 2014, 146, 85–95. quiz e14–5. [CrossRef]
- Sands, B.E.; Sandborn, W.J.; Panaccione, R.; O'Brien, C.D.; Zhang, H.; Johanns, J.; Adedokun, O.J.; Li, K.; Peyrin-Biroulet, L.; Van Assche, G.; et al. Ustekinumab as Induction and Maintenance Therapy for Ulcerative Colitis. N. Engl. J. Med. 2019, 381, 1201–1214. [CrossRef] 30. Feagan, B.G.; Rutgeerts, P.; Sands, B.E.; Hanauer, S.; Colombel, J.F.; Sandborn, W.J.; Van Assche, G.; Axler, J.; Kim, H.J.; Danese, S.; et al. Vedolizumab as induction and maintenance therapy for ulcerative colitis. N. Engl. J. Med. 2013, 369, 699–710. [CrossRef]
- Stidham, R.W.; Lee, T.C.; Higgins, P.D.; Deshpande, A.R.; Sussman, D.A.; Singal, A.G.; Elmunzer, B.J.; Saini, S.D.; Vijan, S.; Waljee, A.K. Systematic review with network metaanalysis: The efficacy of anti-tumour necrosis factor-alpha agents for the treatment of ulcerative colitis. Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther. 2014, 39, 660–671. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vasudevan, A.; Gibson, P.R.; van Langenberg, D.R. Time to clinical response and remission for therapeutics in inflammatory bowel diseases: What should the clinician expect, what should patients be told? World J. Gastroenterol. 2017, 23, 6385–6402. [CrossRef] [PubMed] 33. Panaccione, R.; Ghosh, S.; Middleton, S.; Marquez, J.R.; Scott, B.B.; Flint, L.; van Hoogstraten, H.J.; Chen, A.C.; Zheng, H.; Danese, S.; et al. Combination therapy with infliximab and azathioprine is superior to monotherapy with either agent in ulcerative colitis. Gastroenterology 2014, 146, 392–400.e3. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Singh, S.; Proudfoot, J.A.; Dulai, P.S.; Jairath, V.; Fumery, M.; Xu, R.; Feagan, B.G.; Sandborn, W.J. No Benefit of Concomitant 5-Aminosalicylates in Patients with Ulcerative Colitis Escalated to Biologic Therapy: Pooled Analysis of Individual Participant Data From Clinical Trials. Am. J. Gastroenterol. 2018, 113, 1197–1205. [CrossRef]
- 35. Soler, D.; Chapman, T.; Yang, L.L.; Wyant, T.; Egan, R.; Fedyk, E.R. The binding specificity and selective antagonism of vedolizumab, an anti-alpha4beta7 integrin therapeutic antibody in development for inflammatory bowel diseases. J.

Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2009, 330, 864–875. [CrossRef]

- 36. Bickston, S.J.; Behm, B.W.; Tsoulis, D.J.; Cheng, J.; MacDonald, J.K.; Khanna, R.; Feagan, B.G. Vedolizumab for induction and maintenance of remission in ulcerative colitis. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 2014, Cd007571. [CrossRef]
- Sands, B.E.; Peyrin-Biroulet, L.; Loftus, E.V., Jr.; Danese, S.; Colombel, J.F.; Toruner, M.; Jonaitis, L.; Abhyankar, B.; Chen, J.; Rogers, R.; et al. Vedolizumab versus Adalimumab for Moderate-to-Severe Ulcerative Colitis. N. Engl. J. Med. 2019, 381, 1215–1226. [CrossRef]
- Feagan, B.G.; Sandborn, W.J.; Gasink, C.; Jacobstein, D.; Lang, Y.; Friedman, J.R.; Blank, M.A.; Johanns, J.; Gao, L.L.; Miao, Y.; et al. Ustekinumab as Induction and Maintenance Therapy for Crohn's Disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 2016, 375, 1946–1960. [CrossRef]
- Hanauer, S.; Panaccione, R.; Danese, S.; Cheifetz, A.; Reinisch, W.; Higgins, P.D.R.; Woodworth, D.A.; Zhang, H.; Friedman, G.S.; Lawendy, N.; et al. Tofacitinib Induction Therapy Reduces Symptoms within 3 Days for Patients with Ulcerative Colitis. Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 2019, 17, 139–147. [CrossRef]
- 40. Sandborn, W.J.; Ghosh, S.; Panes, J.; Vranic, I.; Su, C.; Rousell, S.; Niezychowski, W. Tofacitinib as Induction and Maintenance Therapy for Ulcerative Colitis. N. Engl. J. Med. 2017, 376, 1723–1736. [CrossRef] Cohen, D.; Grey, M.; Hilton, M.; Hutchings, H.; Morgan, J.; et al. Randomised controlled trial. Comparison Of iNfliximab and ciclosporin in STeroid Resistant tribute.