

## Encouragement of the US-China Trade War on Africa's Assortment Regions

### Author's Details:

<sup>(1)</sup>**Kabir Md Ahsan**-School of Statistics & Mathematics, Zhejiang Gongshang University, China Email: 19010049002@pop.zjgsu.edu.cn <sup>(2)</sup>**Mohammad Saiyedul Islam**-School of International Trade and Economics, Jiangxi University of Finance and Economics, China <sup>(3)</sup>**Wang Shu-bai**-School of International Trade and Economics, Jiangxi University of Finance and Economics, China <sup>(4)</sup>**Naznin Sultana Chowdhury**-Department of Marketing, National University of Bangladesh, Bangladesh <sup>(5)</sup>**Md. Tahsin Amin**-School of Business, Southeast University, Bangladesh

### Abstract

*As a result of their close proximity to and unusual entry into the US-China trade, corporations in the United States and China have a lot riding on the market response. There is growing concern over a US-China trade war as a result of Trump's administration imposing a \$50 billion levy on Chinese goods. USA companies with a greater dependence on Chinese charges have inferior stocks gains than advanced evasion possibilities in the small period leading up to the assertion date is important to keep in mind. Using an advanced nation rank, default chance, and advantage, the effects of the Trade Battle on possession rank were discovered in this article. According to the investigation, organizations' attitudes toward the allegation are influenced by their representation of US-China commerce through their own data yield associations. A closer look into US-China energy and resource trade reveals that it's a lot more complicated than Trump's Trade War against China's short-sighted view of global exchange. This year's US-China trade spat has been linked to oil and resource bundles in Africa, as was the case in 2013.*

**Keywords:** World-wide Assessment Restraints, Vigour Finances, Reintroduce Vigour Reserve, Trade War, Import/Export

### 1. Introduction

The US Division of Depository, which monitors trade rates, has just labeled China a "cash controller" in its latest report. According to data provided by the Depository's US branch, the United States has a current trade deficit of around \$420 billion. The consensus is that they are mostly unconcerned about this new development and will not give it much thought in their daily work, political independence, or crucial considerations about how to draw in both the United States and China. In contrast, the neutrals are more even-keeled and zig-zag because they don't see any long-term consequences for the mainland. Considering the circumstances, skeptics agree that the trade war will have some unintended consequences for Africa, whether directly or indirectly (Huang et al., 2019 and Jasti et al., 2019). Research shows the importance of information yield links and production network networks in shaping the impact of costly exchange barriers on businesses' risks and monetary performance in the US-China relationship. Consideration has been given to the impact of inventory network connections on Chinese enterprises' outcomes in the energy and asset sectors (Houston et al. 2016; Czioska et al., 2019). This review relies on a large body of work that employs an occasion-based research style (Schwert, 1981). This study contributes to the body of work on the microstructural effects of the global exchange strategy that has already been done. Other researchers have used default threats to break down the costs of disagreement in their studies. This acquisition, coupled with war's monetary upheavals, undermines the capacity of governments to meet their financial obligations (Shea, 2018; McCarthy, 2019). Nature is becoming more complicated at home and abroad, and the Chinese monetary sector is in the midst of a major crisis and conflict. As a result, the ownership rank is divided up in this evaluation using clear exploration, affiliation inspection, and evaluation (Lukin, 2019 and Ni, 2019). To strengthen its control over Chinese enlisted business banks, the state authorities should look for more control chains (An, 2019 and An et al., 2020). It has also been shown to influence stock returns and market liquidity (Bekaert et al, 2016; Levine, 2006). This study examines the risk and monetary market reaction to almost all recent changes in US-China exchange strategy, according to these exams (Iliopoulos et al., 2019). HR (Puncture Huang et al. 2016, overall development (Denisova et al. 2019, improvement process (Blossom et al. 2016) financial turn of events (Sprout et al. 2014), energy and credit

commercial centers, firms respond to exchange methodology concerns. (Lopatin, 2019). The rise in writing about the impact of the US-China trade war on worldwide business has shown how making and enhancing organizations' degree of trouble is made and exacerbated by generous discrepancies in default possibilities (Carvalho, 2013). Just as macroeconomic impacts on the US and China's joint ventures in the energy and asset sectors may be measured, so can the purchaser and dealer measures (Oberfield, 2018). According to (Crowley et al., 2018) a variety of Chinese sun-based businesses will be negatively affected by EU import prohibitions. It was analyzed in last year's research that there was a connection between the US-China trade dispute and African vigor then advantage businesses (Lopatin, 2020; Meynkhart, 2020). For US and Chinese businesses, the economic conditions are virtually similar at this point.

**2. Methodology**

Thomson Reuter's data on public energy and asset corporations in the United States and China is used now this article. Information gathered from the data sets "https://bloomberg.com" is used in the example to set up measurements to survey the openness of the American companies enlisted in the dataset to 540 Chinese companies in a similar sector of economy, as demonstrated in the dataset. The data about Chinese initiatives was gleaned from "https://www.ceicdata.com" sources. It is impossible to estimate the whole impact of the trade war. It's impossible to tell how far the trade war has progressed without this indication. As a best approximation, it is necessary to record the sign of US and Chinese initiatives because of its significance. Figure out the total impact of the exchange war on ourselves and Chinese organizations by using the following formula:

$$Q = Y + d1*W1 + d2*W2 + d3*W3 + d4*W4 + d5*W5 + d6*W6 + d7*W7$$

Anywhere Y is the subsequent quality of the numerous regression equations; d, bi-parameters of the multiple regression equation; Wi-factor characteristic of the multiple regression equation. The article inspects the following limits: d1 = Region rank, d2= Ownership global rank, d3 = Credit structural distance to default, d4 = Probability of Default, d5 = Change in EBITDA and d6 = Change in stock close price (Ownership Region Rank: The 1-100 % level of the sanctuary against all former securities in that district. Higher scores show securities whose inspected groups are previously greatly possessed by organizations. d7 = Credit Country Rank: The 1-100 level, by state, of a corporation's one year defaulting likelihood. Like Schwert (1981) and MacKinlay (1997), the article evaluations the secure-precise marketplace perfect limitations (beta).

**Table 1:** Multiple regression coefficients

Parameter	Coefficient
Y	0
d <sub>1</sub>	0,136
d <sub>2</sub>	0,171
d <sub>3</sub>	0,108
d <sub>4</sub>	0,189
d <sub>5</sub>	0,261
d <sub>6</sub>	-0,428
d <sub>7</sub>	0,201

Following an investigation into the impact of defaulting on proprietorship positions, this study adds to a previous one that examined financial responsibilities for businesses. In the past, this approach was used to assess the strength of an arrangement (Ellul et al. 2011). If you want to know the financial breakdown of the stated traffic struggle, you may use small and full-scale measures, but a current trend toward using each day to remarket location information for local businesses is most likely and influential. According to the evaluation, which aims to evaluate changes in the consequences of US and Chinese undertakings, the energy and asset

ventures of the US and China, which rely increasingly on African exports, should be inflated by increased commodity costs.

**H<sub>01</sub>:**

As a result, the ownership rank1 of US and Chinese energy and asset regions that rely more on imported initiatives from Africa will be lowered because of the declaration of more significant levies associated with imports. It is expected that in 2019, Chinese energy and asset enterprises would be less powerful than their American counterparts.

**H<sub>02</sub>:**

Investments in oil and other assets in the United States and China are expected to deliver reduced market values. The credit nation rank of Chinese enterprises should have risen significantly over the past year since taxes on Chinese imports have increased the costs of imported Chinese goods and hence the advantages of US firms. On a long-term basis, China's credit country ranking in the energy and asset industries should be more notable than the same influence on US initiatives.

**H<sub>03</sub>:**

Unless more effort is made in the upstream areas, energy and asset companies in the US and China would eventually go out of business, but the default risks for Chinese organizations should have dropped last year as taxes reduced commercial center intensity in the upstream zones. There should be a greater likelihood of default in China's energy and asset investments in 2019 than in the United States, according to this year's forecast.

**3. Results and Discussions**

**3.1 H<sub>01</sub>:**

As per information research, the possession district position of Chinese firms (normal position = 32) in the energy and asset businesses has fallen more than a similar sign for US firms (normal position = 37). Chinese endeavors that depend on imported work from Africa ought to decrease their dependence significantly further, since such a tax-exempt zone represents a danger to organization proprietors. The speculation 1 remains constant in light of the fact that in 2019, China's proprietorship locale and overall positioning in the energy and asset areas are lower than those of the US (Table 2).

**Table 2:** Possession region category regression review

N	703	601
-εX	23902	23536
-Mean	42.7892	41.0878
-εX <sup>2</sup>	1233344	1256634
Variance	605.3738	702.6323
Std. Dev.	30.0883	34.09378
Std. Err.	0.9388	1.7298

**3.2 H<sub>02</sub>:**

As seen below, hypothesis 2 is correct. Since levies on Chinese imports pushed up the costs of imported

Chinese goods and, thus, the income of US businesses, Chinese enterprises' credit nation rank has continued to rise. According to table 4, Chinese ventures in the energy and asset companies have a more prominent credit country position (normal position = 43) than a similar influence on US initiatives (normal position = 27) represented in table-3.

**Table 3:** Credit country rank regression summary

N	703	601
$-\epsilon_X$	40293	16525
-Mean	52.0938	32.8266
$-\epsilon_X^2$	1834620	987776
Variance	870.8997	709.8766
Std. Dev.	34.9876	31.0866
Std. Err.	2.0897	2.0089

**3.3 H<sub>03</sub>:**

As evidenced by the table below, hypothesis 3 is correct. Levies on Chinese imports pushed up the costs of imported Chinese goods, which in turn benefited US enterprises, according to a credit underpinning distance to default breakdown for the current year (Table-4).

**Table 4:** Credit structural distance to default regression summary

Country	China	USA
Standard error	0,011179	0,03162
Median	3,401,558	2,688,698
Mode	NA	0.000008
Standard deviation	0,292787	0,740201
Sample Variance	0,085724	0,547897
Excess	4,084,331	0,84432
Asymmetry	-0,89098	-0,59398
Interval	26,813	4,653,901
Minimum	1,717,734	0.000007
Maximum	4,399,034	4,653,901
Amount	2,330,924	1,422,662
Quantity	703	601
Largest	4,399,034	4,653,901
Smallest	1,717,734	0.000896
Reliability level (95.0%)	0,021949	0,062111

**3.4 H<sub>04</sub>:**

Productivity of Chinese organizations expanded more the duties on Chinese imports raise the costs of imported Chinese merchandise in addition to consequently US organizations' income. The theory 4 is valid, on the grounds that the genuine changes in EBITDA of China's organizations (+3.39%) in energy and asset areas is more than similar changes in US organizations (+2.59%) table-5.

**3.5 Future ways:**

For Africa should keep on drawing Chinese interest and exploit a more vulnerable Renminbi to receive the rewards and this might be refined by trading energy and assets. **Table 5:** Regression summary for probability of default (%)

Country	China	USA
Standard error	0,000329354	0,001138
Median	0,002167055	0,012698
Mode	NA	NA
Standard deviation	0,008540312	0,026649
The sample variance	7.44E+00	0,00071
Excess	509,556,461	2,205,422
Asymmetry	64,797,005	3,755,328
Interval	0,10045425	0,270332
Minimum	0,00019031	8,69E-05
Maximum	0,10064460	0,268719
Amount	292,834,765	1,167,711
Quantity	703	601
Largest	0,10064460	0,268719
Smallest	0,00019031	8,69E-05
Reliability level (95.0%)	0,000646665	0,002236

According to speculation 3, if upstream obligations are increased, the risk of default for businesses in the US and China's energy and asset sectors will be reduced, if not eliminated. If you look at Table-6, you'll see that Chinese energy and asset firms have a lower likelihood of defaulting (normal default chances = 0.004, and normal default likelihood changed by - 0.08 percent) compared to US counterparts (normal default hazards = 0.02, and normal default likelihood changed by - 0.84 percent).

**Table 6:** Annual change in EBITDA regression summary (FY0, USD)

Country	China	USA
Standard error	0,108681	2,339,316
Median	0,022434	0,182371
Mode	NA	NA
Standard deviation	2,846,536	5,446,135
The sample variance	8,102,767	2,966,039
Excess	1,618,241	4,216,306
Asymmetry	-91,304	-187,812
Interval	7,191,313	1,457,843
Minimum	-46,374	-1188,39
Maximum	2,553,915	2,694,583
Amount	-575,749	-460,688
Quantity	703	542
Largest	2,553,915	2,694,583
Smallest	-46,374	-1188,39
Reliability level (95.0%)	0,213388	4,595,255

By ensuring its drives remain running and that it provides a decent amount, Africa can maintain its attraction and avoid being perceived as a revenue drain in the future. As a general rule, Africans believe that without

China, the continent would be doomed. There is a lot of risks involved in both the foundation and the industry. China has been the most open to Africa in terms of these projects. There has been little interest from the United States in addressing Africa's basic needs, such as foundation and electricity. Until recently, China has shown little interest in Africa 7 that would indicate a long-term commitment to the continent. Thus, if African countries use the ways that have been developed for subsidizing, they will reap the benefits. Lower loan costs, higher exchange volumes, and the conditions associated with these factors will determine Africa's ability to prosper. The most important result of the present trade war is that Africa may benefit from it, regardless of whether the United States is interested or not. The argument argues that China may need to find a new source of income in order to keep its commodity-based economy afloat. Moreover, a billion people live in Africa today; most of them are under the age of 25. The working class in the United States is dwarfed by an estimated 200 million people. In addition, Africa is one of the continents with the fastest economic growth. To take advantage of Africa's economic growth and developing consumer society, it may sell significantly more to Africans, but to do so, it must invest more in African areas so that future customers have adequate money. Additionally, some American customers may finally be able to purchase certain basic African products created in Africa in order to avoid purchasing from Chinese companies. Africa and China would both benefit if Chinese financial supporters could help to increase the number of Africans who become consumers. If China can weather the storm, it will use it as a tool for greater aid from Africa, say some. Many people believe that the current trade war is only a pretext for a larger tech war involving high-tech companies from both the United States and China, such as Huawei and Apple. Therefore, it has no impact on Africa's safety.

In most African countries, there are no large-scale US investments or presence, which might lead to a liquidity crisis. As Europe's pioneers in Africa, China and Russia are also making a significant contribution. The Bay and East Drifts are fully protected by the Unified Countries, the French, and the English, respectively. Spain, Portugal, and France protect the West Coast, while Spain and France protect the North and the South. As a result, some people fear that the United States will not return to Africa until it has passed the point of no return. Trump's deteriorating relations with the European Union may make some EU countries reluctant to move the US in future conflicts, particularly those involving African countries that do not directly affect the European economy. Despite the fact that China is perceived as adopting a long-term strategy that African countries admire, China's ferocious activities in the creative sector may have exposed its hand too early. We don't know why China felt the need to label so many state-run administrations as "wasteful and unethical," leading to huge sums of insurance from account holders. Even more so, it appears that Trump is attempting to derail China's Belt and Road Initiative and China's commitment to Africa till further notice by playing a short-term game with the Chinese government. To achieve success in Africa, it is necessary to investigate why China is functioning more effectively and quicker than it is in the global financial arena. According to some academics, the devaluation of the dollar will be beneficial to Africa. When African countries buy from China, they will pay less in foreign currency. Since the vast majority of Chinese contracts for basic goods from Africa are in US dollars, it follows that China will pay more for Africa's crude products than other buyers. The development of the Renminbi will have little impact on foreign direct investment (FDI) flows to African countries. The depreciation of the Renminbi has no impact on the repayment of these commitments whatsoever. As a result, Africa has the potential to benefit in two ways: (i) A decline in both the RMB and the USD trade rates will reduce the monetary liabilities of exceptional African countries. As a whole, Africa is a net exporter of goods from the United States and China. (ii) in order to compete with the United States on an overall basis, China's FDI into Africa might benefit greatly from framework support and development. Assuming that China's liquidity is substantially damaged due to the exchange dispute, the primary problem might arise. Several Chinese companies have realized that entering China's domestic market is a need in Africa's fast-growing economies. Africa has always benefited from American protection, but it is also reaping the benefits of Chinese investments. Despite the hurdles, African countries are expected to find a way to gain from both the United States and China at the same time.

Africans desire foundation speculation with the fewest political restraints, they will not change their propensity to subsidies. In the event that the United States punishes African countries for accepting Chinese money and then offers them USD-supported projects, many African countries will switch to the United States. Furthermore, African nations will not be harmed by this, and their funding practices will not change as a result. This is largely due to the trust that China and Africa have built up over the years. China has helped bring some of Africa's largest framework projects to fruition. As part of China's Belt and Road Initiative, China sees Africa as a key component. Anything out of the ordinary is a figment of the imagination of US allies or those working with them to oppose China. It is widely accepted that the Chinese government is trying to exert control over the Renminbi. He claims that this is because China is in a monetary crisis and is forcing its state-owned organizations to hide it from the public. Doubters see Africa as a possible jungle gym for the United States and China if the trade conflict escalates. This has happened in the past during the Virus War. Rather than fighting each other, this time it will be fostered via the financial elements rather than the intermediate battles. It is widely accepted that the problem lies with the existing US organizations and that the pressures will be exacerbated by the next official races. The trade war between the United States and China is expected to result in more rivalry for African businesses, according to one African businessman. The depreciation of the Renminbi will result in the withdrawal of countless dollars from the US market. Some people believe that the clash is crucial because it will help to clear the air between the competing forces of any unpleasant feelings. The exchange war has the potential to disrupt global trade and market dynamics. Africa stands to lose the most if China reduces its purchases of African goods. He goes on to explain that he thinks Africa needs to figure out how to be more self-sufficient with what it has already achieved, and that it needs to start investing in the economies of its neighbors and focusing more on inter-continental trade. Legislators throughout the continent will want to respond with greater agility if the worst is on the way for Africa.

#### 4. Conclusion

In total, this outcome infers that US organizations in Africa in energy and assets areas had a larger number of misfortunes in 2019 than China's organizations. The continuous exchange fights interfacing the US and China stays high on the plan of the worldwide local area. The African landmass, as a wellspring of essential items and wares, should zero in on offering more benefit added administrations and pushing its enterprises up the worth chain to acquire a greater part of the market. Because of Chinese duties on US unrefined petroleum and a ban on financing US LNG projects, zeroing in on selling extra items, for example, oil and gas to China is additionally another option. The intricacies of unrefined petroleum estimating, just as the US's similar benefit around here, may ultimately drive down barrel costs and lower overall revenues for Africans. Putting resources into the handling of farming merchandise and enhancing them, rather than simply selling the crude product, is a sure thing for Africa. This would consider the advancement of various positions, helping the homegrown economy, and speeding up working-class development. When gone against essential items, handled products enjoy the benefit of being less powerless to value varieties because of international occasions. The African Improvement Bank is expanding its framework and advancement financing drives to make the landmass less helpless against any unanticipated acceleration of strains between the US and China. Past research has shown how fabricating frameworks spread and increment organization rank shocks because of huge varieties in default hazards. Expanding on the thoughts of Acemoglu et al., 2012 and Carvalho, 2013, this paper analyzes US and Chinese organizations in the energy and asset areas, just as the macroeconomic consequences for the base market information (Tintelnot et al. 2017; Oberfield, 2018)

#### 5. Future Research suggestions

The future review should zero in on esteeming the full-scale impacts of exchange wars on the US, EU, China, and Russia, just as the miniature impacts of exchange battles at the degree of individual undertakings.

#### References

- i. Acemoglu, D., Carvalho, V. M., Ozdaglar, A. & Tahbaz- Salehi, A. (2012) *the Network Origins of Aggregate Fluctuations*, *Econometrica*, 80(5), 1977-2016.
- ii. An J., Dorofeev M. (2019). *Short-term FX forecasting: decision making on the base of expert polls*. *Investment Management and Financial Innovations*, 16(4), 72-85. doi:10.21511/imfi.16(4).2019.07
- iii. An J., Dorofeev M., Zhu S. (2020). *Development of Energy Cooperation between Russia and China*. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 10 (1), 134-139.
- iv. Bekaert, G., Harvey, C. R., Kiguel, A., & Wang, X. (2016). *Globalization and Asset Returns*. *Annual Review of Financial Economics*, 8, 221-288.
- v. Bloom, N., Draca, M., & Van Reenen, J. (2016). *Trade induced technical change? The impact of Chinese imports on innovation, IT and productivity*. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 83(1), 87-117.
- vi. Bloom, N., Romer, P. M., Terry, S. J., & Van Reenen, J. (2014). *Trapped Factors and China's Impact on Global Growth (No. w19951) National Bureau of Economic Research*.
- vii. Carvalho, V., & Gabaix, X. (2013). *The Great Diversification and Its Undoing*. *American Economic Review*, 103(5), 1697-1727.
- viii. Crowley, M. A. (2006). *Do Safeguard Taxes and Antidumping Duties Open or Close Technology Gaps?* *Journal of International Economics*, 68(2), 469-484.
- ix. Crowley, M., Meng, N., & Song, H. (2018a). *Tariff Scares: Trade Policy Uncertainty and Foreign Market Entry by Chinese Companies*. *Journal of International Economics*, 114, 96-115.
- x. Czioska, P., Kutadinata, R., Trifunović, A., Winter, S., Sester, M., Friedrich, B. (2019): *Real-world meeting points for shared demand-responsive transportation systems*. *Public Transport*, 11 (2), pp. 341-377. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12469-019-00207-y>
- xi. Denisova V., Mikhaylov A., Lopatin E. (2019). *Blockchain Infrastructure and Growth of Global Power Consumption*. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, Vol. 9(4), pp. 22-29.
- xii. Ellul, A., Jotikasthira, C., & Lundblad, C. T. (2011). *Regulatory Pressure and Fire Sales in the Corporate Bond Market*. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 101(3), 596-620.
- xiii. MacKinlay, A. C. (1997) *Event Studies in Economics and Finance*. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 35(1): 13- 39.
- xiv. McCarthy, M (2019), *The Market Reaction to Trump's Trade War (2019)*. *Honors Theses and Capstones*. 447. <https://scholars.unh.edu/honors/447>
- xv. Meynkhart, A. (2020). *Priorities of Russian Energy Policy in Russian-Chinese Relations*. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, Vol. 10 (1), pp. 65-71. <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijEEP.8507>
- xvi. Ni, Y. (2019) *Research on the Ownership Structure and Market Value of Chinese Listed Commercial Banks*. *American Journal of Industrial and Business Management*, 9,1995-2007. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ajibm.2019.911131>
- xvii. Oberfield, E. (2018) *A Theory of Input-Output Architecture*. *Econometrical*, 86(2): 559-589
- xviii. Pierce, J. R., & Schott, P. K. (2016). *The surprisingly swift decline of US manufacturing employment*. *American Economic Review*, 106(7), 1632-62.
- xix. Schwert, G. W. (1981). *Using Financial Data to Measure Effects of Regulation*. *Journal of Law and Economics*, 24(1), 121-158.
- xx. Shea, P. E., & Poast, P. (2018). *War and Default*. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 62(9), 1876–1904. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022002717707239>
- xxi. Houston, J. F., Lin, C., & Zhu, Z. (2016). *The Financial Implications of Supply Chain Changes*. *Management Science*, 62(9), 2520-2542.
- xxii. Huang, W., Shuai, B., Antwi, E. (2019): *A two-stage optimization approach for subscription bus services network design: The China case*. *Public Transport*, 11 (3), pp. 589-616. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12469-018-0182-6>



- xxiii. Iliopoulou, C., Kepaptsoglou, K., Vlahogianni, E. (2019): *Metaheuristics for the transit route network design problem: a review and comparative analysis*. *Public Transport* (3), pp. 487- 521. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12469-019-00211-2>
- xxiv. Jasti, P.C., Ram, V. (2019): *Sustainable benchmarking of a public transport system using analytic hierarchy process and fuzzy logic: a case research of Hyderabad, India*. *Public Transport*, 11 (3), pp. 457-485. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12469-019-00219-8>
- xxv. Levine, R. & Schmukler, S.L. (2006). *Internationalization and Sock Market Liquidity*. *Review of Finance*, 10(1), 153-187.
- xxvi. Lopatin, E. (2019). *Methodological Approaches to Research Resource Saving Industrial Enterprises*. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, Vol. 9(4), 2019, pp. 181-187.
- xxvii. Lukin A. (2019). *The US–China Trade War and China's Strategic Future*, *Survival*, 61:1, 23- 50. DOI:10.1080/00396338.2019.1568045