

CONSERVATION GENETICS OF THE EUROPEAN WILDCAT (FELIS SILVESTRIS SILVESTRIS)

GENETICA DELLA CONSERVAZIONE DEL GATTO SELVATICO (FELIS SILVESTRIS SILVESTRIS)

FEDERICA MATTUCCI Area per la Genetica della Conservazione (BIO-CGE), Istituto Superiore per la Protezione e la Ricerca Ambientale (ISPRA), via Ca' Fornacetta 9 Ozzano Emilia (BO) federica.mattucci@isprambiente.it

Abstract. Introgression of domestic cat genes and habitat fragmentation are the main conservation threats for the European wildcat (*Felis silvestris silvestris*). Highly informative molecular markers combined with advanced statistical approaches were recently used to improve our knowledge on the evolution of the European wildcat populations in Europe and their conservation status. Here were showed some of the results obtained.

Riassunto. L'introgressione di geni di gatto domestico e la frammentazione dell'habitat sono le principali minacce per la conservazione del gatto selvatico europeo (Felis silvestris silvestris). Alcuni marcatori molecolari altamente informativi, combinati con approcci statistici avanzati, sono stati recentemente utilizzati per migliorare le nostre conoscenze sull'evoluzione delle popolazioni di gatto selvatico europeo in Europa e sul loro stato di conservazione. Il lavoro riporta alcuni dei risultati ottenuti.

The European wildcat Felis silvestris silvestris is a medium-sized carnivore widely spread across Europe (PIERPAOLI et al. 2003; O'BRIEN et al. 2008; OLIVEIRA et al. 2008a,b; HERTWIG et al. 2009; MATTUCCI et al. 2016) in fragmented populations both at regional and local scales (MATTUCCI et al. 2016) resulting from hundreds of years of intense anthropogenic persecution and from the loss of suitable habitat (STAHL & ARTOIS 1995; YAMAGUCHI et al. 2015). Although there is recent evidence of increasing population sizes and natural recolonization of the species' historic range in at least some regions (STEYER et al. 2016; NUSSBERGER et al. 2018; VELLI et al. 2015; GAVAGNIN et al. 2018), the European wildcat is legally protected in Europe (EC 2015) both under the Bern Convention and the European Habitats Directive, and is listed as "least concern" in the IUCN red list.

Main conservation threats include habitat loss and fragmentation, road mortality, persecution and crossbreeding with free-ranging domestic cats (KLAR *et al.* 2008, 2009; LOZANO & MALO 2012; YAMAGUCHI *et al.* 2015).

The domesticated form originally derived from *Felis silvestris libyca* populations inhabiting the Near East/North Africa which gradually spread around the world following the human-mediated dispersal routes (DRISCOLL *et al.* 2007; OTTONI *et al.* 2017).

The widespread diffusion of stray or feral cat populations, often living in much higher density than

wildcats (SUNQUIST & SUNQUIST 2002) and the full fertility of their hybrid offspring (RAGNI 1993) likely promoted reproductive interaction between the two subspecies, increasing the risk of introgression of domestic alleles into the wildcat genome (RANDI *et al.* 2001; YAMAGUCHI *et al.* 2015).

Even if recent studies documented cases of beneficial introgression of domestic mutations in wild populations (Coulson *et al.* 2011; GROSSEN *et al.* 2014), hybridization between free-ranging domestic animals and their wild conspecifics may spread artificially-selected maladaptive variants causing fitness declines, outbreeding depression and gradual alterations of locally adapted gene complexes, thus increasing the risk of extinction of wild populations or entire species (RANDI 2008; RHYMER & SIMBERLOFF 1996; TUREK *et al.* 2013; TODESCO *et al.* 2016).

Putative wild x domestic cat hybrids were detected in most regions where hybridization was investigated, but the degree of introgression varied considerably (PIERPAOLI *et al.* 2003; LECIS *et al.* 2006; OLIVEIRA *et al.* 2008a,b; RANDI 2008; SAY *et al.* 2012; NUSSBERGER *et al.* 2014b, 2018; STEYER *et al.* 2018; BEUGIN *et al.* 2020; TIESMEYER *et al.* 2020), leading to a complete hybrid swarm in wild-living cats in Scotland (BEAUMONT *et al.* 2001).

Such geographical heterogeneity in admixture levels might be explained by different environmental conditions and ecological barriers (GIL-SÁNCHEZ *et al.* 2015), or by the choice of markers and sampling design (STEYER *et al.* 2018)

In absence of strong ecological barriers,

hybridization can potentially threat some of the extant wildcat populations.

Therefore the accurate detection of hybrids and the quantification of introgression in hybridizing populations are needed for developing appropriate wildcat conservation plans and correctly allocate resources for their application (NUSSBERGER *et al.* 2013; OLIVEIRA *et al.* 2015; GIL-SÁNCHEZ *et al.* 2020).

Recently, hybridization has been more reliably assessed using molecular markers, mainly small panels of hypervariable microsatellites (short tandem repeats, STRs) and short mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) sequences. However, the resolution of hybridization is limited due to the relatively low availability, repeatability between laboratories and technical capacity to analyze microsatellite markers (BEAUMONT et al. 2001: DANIELS et al. 2001: RANDI et al. 2001; LECIS et al. 2006; OLIVEIRA et al. 2008a,b; HERTWIG et al. 2009; O'BRIEN et al. 2009; SAY et al. 2012; DEVILLARD et al. 2014; STEYER et al. 2016). Consequently, standardized and more powerful panels of molecular markers are required to lower the risk of underestimating the prevalence of introgressive hybridization in natural wildcat populations.

Over the last decades, next-generation sequencing technologies offered the possibility to assemble extensive and cost-effective panels of ancestry-informative markers (AIMs) such as Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs), which represent the most widespread source of genome-wide variation (DAVEY *et al.* 2011).

SNPs have been shown to be highly accurate and sensitive in identifying hybrid individuals between wildcats and domestic cats, irrespective of origin and available reference database, and quality of biological samples (OLIVEIRA *et al.* 2015; STEYER *et al.* 2018; MATTUCCI *et al.* 2019; TIESMEYER *et al.* 2020; VON THADEN *et al.* 2020).

In particular, the recently released Illumina Infinium iSelect 63k DNA cat array containing 62,897 variants that are mostly polymorphic within the domestic cats (GANDOLFI *et al.* 2018) offered a suitable molecular tool to further investigate the ancestry of European wildcat populations in conservation and monitoring projects (GANDOLFI *et al.* 2018).

Recent studies showed how the employment of thousands of markers might help to unveil previously undetectable backcrosses (older than two-three generations in the past), estimate the timing from the admixture events (HOHENLOHE *et al.* 2013; GALAVERNI *et al.* 2017), and allow researchers to better understand the dynamics and consequences of anthropogenic hybridization, helping to face specific management and conservation issues (MCFARLANE & PEMBERTON 2019).

A wide sampling (n = 182) of European wildcats, domestic cats and known or putative admixed cats from a large part of the European wildcat home range distribution (n = 10 European countries) was genotyped with the Illumina Infinium iSelect 63k DNA and analysed by applying



Fig. 1. - PC1 versus PC2 results from an exploratory principal component analysis (PCA) computed in SVS on the 57k SNP panel set (after quality pruning procedures) and including domestic cats (blue dots; DC), putatively admixed wildcats (orange dots; HY) and European wildcats (green dots; WC). The two axes are not to scale, in order to better distinguish individuals along PC2.

multivariate, Bayesian and gene-search analysis tools (MATTUCCI *et al.* 2019).

Results of a preliminary genomic screening, based on pairwise FST values, multivariate (Fig.1) and assignment tools, showed that wild and domestic cats remain highly differentiated and welldistinguished.

On average, 17% of the analyzed putative admixed wildcats show genomic domestic ancestry which likely originated from hybridization events occurring from 6 to 22 generations before sampling (MATTUCCI *et al.* 2019). The most ancient admixture traces were detected in individuals which had been misclassified as pure in previous microsatellite-based analyses (MATTUCCI *et al.* 2016), confirming the deeper diagnostic power of genomic data in detecting past backcrossing.

The availability of efficient AIMs widely distributed across the entire genome can allow us to identify introgressed linkage blocks hosting candidate genes that may be associated with functional traits that are still unknown (TwyFORD & ENNOS 2012; MCFARLANE & PEMBERTON 2019), further help to unravel historical and contemporary admixture (PAYSEUR & RIESEBERG 2016) by analyzing the distribution of haplotype block lengths (PALAMARA *et al.* 2012; MCFARLANE & PEMBERTON 2019).

Approximately more than 600 coding genes with an excess of wild or domestic ancestry were identified in the admixed wildcats. These genes were significantly enriched for Gene Ontology categories mainly related to social behavior, functional and metabolic adaptive processes (wildlike genes), involved in cognition and neural crest development (domestic-like genes), or associated with immune system functions and lipid metabolism (parental-like genes; MATTUCCI *et al.* 2019)

However, such genes provide just a preliminary insight on the inheritance patterns of domestic and wild ancestry block. Hence, in the future all these data will need to be integrated with information on fitness, survival and breeding rates of the admixed individuals, in order to better understand the adaptive patterns of wild-living admixed individuals.

Genomic ancestry analyses could be reliably applied to unravel dynamics, direction and consequences of anthropogenic hybridization (McFARLANE & PEMBERTON 2019), helping to face specific management and conservation issues.

However, to design more efficient conservation plans in European wildcats and other hybridizing populations, knowledge of population dynamics, species' distribution, and natural/anthropogenic causes of genetic structure are further needed (BURGMAN *et al.* 1993; LANCIA *et al.* 1994). Past climate changes, historical evolutionary events and, eventually, more recent anthropogenic pressures shaped the genetic diversity within and among populations (HEWITT 1999) in Europe. In addition, the fragmentation of populations due to habitats loss and alterations, may have eroded the neutral and adaptive genetic diversity, reducing the effective population size and the inter-population connectivity (JOHANSSON *et al.* 2007), and increasing the risk of genetic bottleneck.

Extant populations of European wildcat are fragmented across the continent.

A comprehensive range-wide study of their population structure (n = 668 from 15 European countries) was designed aiming at quantifying their genetic variability, reconstructing patterns of population clustering and fragmentation, and obtaining estimates of population divergence times (MATTUCCI *et al.* 2016).

Results of Model-based structure analyses and non-model multivariate clustering using a panel of 31 domestic cat-derived microsatellites concordantly identified five main biogeographic clusters, respectively: the Iberian Peninsula, central Europe, central Germany, Italian Peninsula and the island of Sicily, and the Dinaric Alps (north-eastern Italy and northern Balkan regions), Fig. 2A-B. Approximate Bayesian Computation simulations suggested a model of late Pleistocene-early Holocene population splittings (from c. 60k to 10k years ago), contemporary to the last Ice Age climatic changes. At a smaller geographic scale, wildcats in peninsular Italy are differentiated into three genetic groups coherently distributed in Sicily, peninsular Italy and the Alps, following a model of LGM isolation and genetic diversification into Mediterranean glacial refuges (HEWITT 1999; Fig. 3A-B).

A more recent differentiation have been described in the Maremma area of the central peninsular Italy, where the population might have experienced periods of isolation and local adaptation to a peculiar Mediterranean-type habitat (MATTUCCI *et al.* 2013; Fig. 3C). The studied populations of the European wildcat have maintained relative high levels of genetic diversity, nevertheless some isolated small patches within these groups might have been exposed to the deleterious consequences of genetic drift and inbreeding (MATTUCCI *et al.* 2016).

Hence, the use of powerful computational tools and hypervariable molecular markers proved to be efficient for assessing species' phylogeographic pattern which might be used to improve our understanding, thus helping to identify the most appropriate conservation issues (HICKERSON *et al.* 2010).



Fig. 2 - A) Approximate distributions of five European wildcat (*F. s. silvestris*) biogeographic groups identified through multivariate and Bayesian cluster analyses across Europe with 31 autosomal microsatellite loci. The grey areas represent the European wildcats distributions (obtained from © IUCN-International Union for Conservation of Nature- Red List 2015 *Felis silvestris*_Downloaded on 09 May 2019; YAMAGUCHI *et al.* 2015). B) Patterns of hierarchical splitting of European wildcat populations assuming K = 5, the "admixture" and the "F" models in STRUCTURE; PRITCHARD & WEN 2003). Each cat genotype is represented by a vertical bar split in K colored sections, according to its relative assignment to the K genetic clusters.

Long-term monitoring programs and legal protection of this endangered species should focus on saving the local functional wildcats (see also DANIELS & CORBETT 2003), for instance, protecting the environmental conditions that favor pure wildcats, guaranteeing natural ecological corridor to interconnect fragmented habitats, mapping the distribution of non-introgressed natural populations, providing information on the health status of wild-living individuals (through the analysis of genes related to illness, immune response, reproductive patterns or adaptation to specific ecological pressure, ALLENDORF *et al.* 2010), and identifying appropriate evolutionary and management units (ESU and MU; FUNK *et al.* 2012) where apply appropriate local management practices.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A kindly thank to all the people who have contributed to the collection of cat samples, in

particular the Portuguese National Tissue Bank/ National Institute for Nature and Biodiversity Conservation (BTVS/ICNF), the Tissue Collection at the Zoological Museum of ISPRA (Ozzano dell 'Emilia, Bologna, Italy), the Italian Ministry of Environment (MATTM), the Italian Forestry Authority (CFS) and the Italian Institute for Environmental Protection and Research (ISPRA), M. Herrman, F. Suchentrunk, M. Liberek, A. Kitchener, M. Beaumont, B. Szolt, L. Szemethy, A. Sforzi, B. Ragni, L. Lapini, A. De Faveri, K. Hupe, I. Eckert, H. Potocnik, M. Moes, F. Vercillo, L. Bizzarri, J. Godoy, M. Malsaña, M. Mejias, J.M. Fernández, J.L. Robles, G.D. Penafiel, E.B. Duperón, M. Moleón, P. Monterroso, F. Álvares, J.C. Brito, J. Rodrigues, P. Lyberakis. A kindly thank to E. Velli, N. Mucci, R. Caniglia, M. Galaverni, L. Pagani L.A. Lyons, P.C. Alves, R. Oliveira and E. Randi. Thank to all anonymous veterinarians and biologists that assisted in samples collection.



Fig. 3 - A) European wildcats (*F. s. silvestris*) biogeographic distribution identified through Bayesian cluster analyses across Italy with 35 autosomal microsatellite loci: Eastern Alps (in green), Maremma (areas in Tuscany and Lazio Maremma in the western Italian peninsula, in orange), Apennines (areas in Marche, Umbria, Abruzzo, Campania, and Basilicata regions, in blue), and Sicily (in red). The gray areas indicate the approximate wildcat distribution ranges in Italy. African wildcats living in Sardinia (*F. s. lybica*) are further showed in light blue. B) Scatterplot of a Discriminant Analysis of Principal Component (DAPC) obtained with ADEGENET showing genetic distinctions among the four European wildcat subpopulations identified in Italy: The proportion of genetic diversity described by each Principal Component (PCA eigenvalues) is showed in the barplots (see the insert). C) Spatial Principal Component Analysis (sPCA, obtained with ADEGENET) of European wildcats sampled in central Apennines. Individual genotype scores are interpolated and represented by circles. Contour lines quantified the degree of genetic differentiation among individuals.

References

- ALLENDORF FW, HOHENLOHE PA & LUIKART G., 2010 -Genomics and the future of conservation genetics. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, 11: 697–709.
- BEAUMONT M.. BARRATT E. M., GOTTELLI D., KITCHENER A. C., DANIELS M. J., PRITCHARD J. K., BRUFORD M. W., 2001 - Genetic diversity and introgression in the Scottish wildcat. *Mol. Ecol.* 10: 319–36.
- BEUGIN MP., SALVADOR O., LEBLANC G., QUENEY G., NATOLI E., PONTIER D., 2020 - Hybridization between *Felis* silvestris silvestris and *Felis silvestris catus* in two contrasted environments in France. *Ecol. Evol*, 10: 263-276, https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5892.
- BURGMAN MA, FERSON S & AKC, AKAYA HR., 1993 Risk assessment in conservation biology. *Springer Science* & *Business Media*.
- COULSON T., MACNULTY D.R., STAHLER D.R, VONHOLDT B., WAYNE R.K., SMITH D.W., 2011 - Modeling effects of environmental change on wolf population dynamics, trait evolution, and life history. *Science* 33: 1275– 1278, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1209441.
- DANIELS MJ., BEAUMONT M.A, JOHNSON P.J., BALHARRY D., MACDONALD D.W., BARRATT E., 2001 - Ecology and genetics of wild-living cats in the north-east of Scotland and the implications for the conservation of the wildcat. J. Appl. Ecol., 38: 146–161.
- DANIELS MJ & CORBETT L., 2003 Redefining introgressed protected mammals: when is a wildcat a wild cat and a dingo a wild dog? *Wildl. Res.*, 30(3): 213-218.
- DAVEY JW., Hohenlohe P.A., Etter P.D., Boone J.Q., Catchen J.M., Blaxter M.L., 2011 - Genome-wide

genetic marker discovery and genotyping using nextgeneration sequencing. Nat. Rev. Genet., 12: 499–510.

- DEVILLARD S., JOMBART T., LÉGER F., PONTIER D., SAY L., RUETTE S., 2014 - How reliable are morphological and anatomical characters to distinguish European wildcats, domestic cats and their hybrids in France? J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res., 52: 154–162.
- DRISCOLL CA., MENOTTI-RAYMOND M., ROCA A.L., HUPE K., JOHNSON W.E., GEFFEN E., HARLEY E.H., DELIBES M., PONTIER D., KITCHENER A.C., YAMAGUCHI N., O'BRIEN S.J., MACDONALD D.W., 2007 - The Near Eastern origin of cat domestication. *Science*, 317: 519–523.
- FUNK WC, MCKAY JK, HOHENLOHE PA & ALLENDORF FW., 2012 - Harnessing genomics for delineating conservation units. *Trends Ecol. Evolut.*, 27: 489–496.
- GALAVERNI M., CANIGLIA R., PAGANI L., FABBRI E., BOATTINI A., RANDI E., 2017 - Disentangling timing of admixture, patterns of introgression, and phenotypic indicators in a hybridizing wolf population. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 34: 2324–2339.
- GANDOLFI B., Alhaddad H., Abdi M., Bach L.H., Creighton E.K., Davis B.W., Decker J.E., Dodman N.H., Ginns E.I., Grahn J.C., Grahn R.A., Haase B., Haggstrom J, Hamilton M.J., Helps C.R., Kurushima J.D., Lohi H., Longeri M., Malik R., Meurs K.M., Montague M.J., Mullikin J.C., Murphy W.J., Nilson S.M., Pedersen N.C., Peterson C.B., Rusbridge C., Saif R., Shelton G.D., Warren W.C., Wasim M., Lyons L.A., 2018 -Applications and efficiencies of the first cat 63K DNA array. Sci. Rep., 8: 7024, https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-018-25438-0.

- GAVAGNIN P., LAPINI L., MATTUCCI F., MORI E. A. SFORZI, 2018 - Sulle tracce del Gatto selvatico in Piemonte e Liguria: nuove segnalazioni e riflessioni biogeografiche sulle Alpi occidentali e orientali. VII Convegno Regionale Le scienze naturali in Piemonte, Domodossola (VB), 10 Novembre.
- GIL-SÁNCHEZ JM, BAREA-AZCÓN JM & JARAMILLO J., 2015 - Strong spatial segregation between wildcats and domestic cats may explain low hybridization rates on the Iberian Peninsula. *Zoology*, 118: 377–385.
- GIL-SÁNCHEZ JM., BAREA-AZCÓN JM., JARAMILLO J., HERRERA-SÁNCHEZ F.J., JIMÉNEZ J., VIRGÓS E., 2020 -Fragmentation and low density as major conservation challenges for the southernmost populations of the European wildcat. *PloS one* 15(1), e0227708, https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227708.
- GROSSEN C, KELLER L, BIEBACH I, INTERNATIONAL T & GENOME G., 2014 - Introgression from domestic goat generated variation at the major histocompatibility complex of Alpine ibex. *PLoS Genet.*, 10(6), e1004438, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004438.
- HERTWIG ST., SCHWEIZER M., STEPANOW S., JUNGNICKEL A., BÖHLE U.R., FISCHER M. S., 2009 - Regionally high rates of hybridization and introgression in German wildcat populations (*Felis silvestris*, Carnivora, Felidae). J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res., 47: 283–297.
- HEWITT G., 1999 Postglacial recolonization of European Biota. *Biol. J. Linnean Soc.*, 68: 87–112.
- HICKERSON MJ., CARSTENSB B.C., CAVENDER-BARESC K.A., CRANDALLD C.H., GRAHAME J.B., JOHNSOND L., RISSLERF P.F, VICTORIANOG A.D., YODER J., CAVENDER-BARESC K.A., CRANDALLD C.H., GRAHAME J.B., JOHNSOND L., RISSLERF P.F., VICTORIANOG A.D., YODER A.D., 2010 -Phylogeography's past, present and future: 10 years after Avise. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 54, 291–301.
- HOHENLOHE PA., DAY M.D., AMISH S.J., MILLER M.R., KAMPS-HUGHES N., BOYER M.C., MUHLFELD C.C., ALLENDORF F.W., JOHNSON E.A., LUIKART G., 2013 -Genomic patterns of introgression in rainbow and westslope cutthroat trout illuminated by overlapping paired-end RAD sequencing. *Mol. Ecol.*, 22: 3002– 3013.
- JOHANSSON M, PRIMMER CR & MERILA J., 2007 Does habitat fragmentation reduce fitness and adaptability? A case study of the common frog (*Rana temporaria*). *Mol. Ecol.*, 16: 2693-2700.
- LANCIA RA, NICHOLS J D & POLLOCK KN., 1994 Estimation of number of animals in wildlife populations. In: Research and management techniques for wildlife and habitats. *Bookhout R editor*, Bethesda: The Wildlife Society: 215–253.
- LECIS R., PIERPAOLI M., BIRÒ Z. S., SZEMETHY L., RAGNI B., VERCILLO F., RANDI E., 2006 - Bayesian analyses of admixture in wild and domestic cats (*Felis silvestris*) using linked microsatellite loci. *Mol. Ecol.*, 15: 119–131.
- LOZANO J & MALO AF., 2012 Conservation of the European wildcat (*Felis silvestris*) in Mediterranean environments: a reassessment of current threats. In: WILLIAMS GS (ed) Mediterranean ecosystems: dynamics, management and conservation. *Nova*

Science Publisher's Inc., Hauppauge, pp 2–31.

- MATTUCCI F., OLIVEIRA R., BIZZARRI L., VERCILLO F., ANILE S., RAGNI B., LAPINI L., SFORZI A., ALVES P. C., LYONS L. A., RANDI E., 2013 - Genetic structure of wildcat (*Felis silvestris*) populations in Italy. *Ecol. Evol.*, 3(8): 2443–2458, https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.569
- MATTUCCI F, OLIVEIRA R, LYONS LA, ALVES PC & RANDI E., 2016 - European wildcat populations are subdivided into five main biogeographic groups: Consequences of Pleistocene climate changes or recent anthropogenic fragmentation? *Ecol. Evol.* 6: 3–22.
- MATTUCCI F., GALAVERNI M., LYONS L.A., ALVES P.C., RANDI E., VELLI E., PAGANI L., CANIGLIA R., 2019 - Genomic approaches to identify hybrids and estimate admixture times in European wildcat populations. *Sci. Rep. 9*, 11612. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-48002-w
- McFARLANE SE., PEMBERTON JM., 2019 Detecting the true extent of introgression during anthropogenic hybridization. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 34(4): 315-326, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2018.12.013
- NUSSBERGER B, GREMINGER MP, GROSSEN C, KELLER LF & WANDELER P., 2013 - Development of SNP markers identifying European wildcats, domestic cats, and their admixed progeny. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.*, 13: 447–460.
- NUSSBERGER B, WANDELER P, WEBER D. & KELLER LF., 2014b - Monitoring introgression in European wildcats in the Swiss Jura. *Conserv. Genet.*, 15(5): 1219–1230.
- NUSSBERGER B, CURRAT M, QUILODRAN CS, PONTA N & KELLER LF., 2018 - Range expansion as an explanation for introgression in European wildcats. *Biol. Conserv.*, 218: 49–56, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biocon.2017.12.009
- KLAR N., FERNÁNDEZCA N., KRAMER-SCHADTAD S., HERRMANNE M., TRINZENF M., BÜTTNERF I., NIEMITZB C., 2008 - Habitat selection models for European wildcat conservation. *Biol. Conserv.*, 141: 308–319.
- KLAR N, HERRMANN M & KRAMER-SCHADT S., 2009 -Effects and mitigation of road impacts on individual movement behavior of wildcats. J. Wildl. Manage., 73: 631–638.
- O'BRIEN SJ., JOHNSON W., DRISCOLL C., PONTIUS J., PECON-SLATTERY J., MENOTTI-RAYMOND M., 2008 - State of cat genomics. *Trends Genet.*, 24(6): 268–279, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.tig.2008.03.004
- O'BRIEN J., DEVILLARD S., SAY L., VANTHOMME H., LÉGER F., RUETTE S., PONTIER D., 2009 - Preserving genetic integrity in a hybridising world: are European Wildcats (*Felis silvestris silvestris*) in eastern France distinct from sympatric feral domestic cats? *Biodivers*. *Conserv.*, 18: 2351–2360.
- OLIVEIRA R, GODINHO R, RANDI E, FERRAND N & ALVES PC., 2008a - Molecular analysis of hybridisation between wild and domestic cats (*Felis silvestris*) in Portugal: implications for conservation. *Conserv. Genet.*, 9: 1–11.
- OLIVEIRA R, GODINHO R, RANDI E & ALVES PC., 2008b -Hybridization versus conservation: are domestic cats threatening the genetic integrity of wildcats (*Felis silvestris silvestris*) in Iberian Peninsula? *Philos*. *Trans. R. Soc. L. B. Biol. Sci.*, 363: 2953–2961.

- OLIVEIRA R., RANDI E., MATTUCCI F., KURUSHIMA J.D., LYONS L.A., ALVES P.C., 2015 - Toward a genomewide approach for detecting hybrids: informative SNPs to detect introgression between domestic cats and European wildcats (*Felis silvestris*). *Heredity*, 15: 195–205, https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2015.25
- OTTONI C., VAN NEER W., DE CUPERE B., DALIGAULT J., GUIMARAES S., PETERS J., SPASSOV N., PRENDERGAST M.E., BOIVIN N., MORALES-MUÑIZ A., BĂLĂȘESCU A., BECKER C., BENECKE N., BORONEANT A., BUITENHUIS H., CHAHOUD J., CROWTHER A., LLORENTE L., MANASERYAN N., MONCHOT H., ONAR V., OSYPIŃSKA M., PUTELAT O., QUINTANA MORALES E.M., STUDER J., WIERER U., DECORTE R., GRANGE T., GEIGL E., 2017 - The palaeogenetics of cat dispersal in the ancient world. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.*, 1: 0139.
- PALAMARA PF., LENCZ T., DARVASI A., PE'ER I., 2012 -Length distributions of identity by descent reveal finescale demographic history. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, 91: 809–822.
- PAYSEUR BA & RIESEBERG LH., 2016 A genomic perspective on hybridization and speciation. *Mol. Ecol.*, 25: 2337–2360.
- PIERPAOLI M., BIRÒ Z. S., HERRMANN M., HUPE K., FERNANDES M., RAGNI B., SZEMETHY L., RANDI E., 2003 - Genetic distinction of wildcat (*Felis silvestris*) populations in Europe, and hybridization with domestic cats in Hungary. *Mol. Ecol.*, 12: 2585–2598.
- PRITCHARD JK & WEN W., 2003 Documentation for STRUCTURE software: Version 2. Available at http:// pritch.bsd.uchicago.edu (accessed July 2012).
- RAGNI B., 1993 Status and conservation of the wildcat in Italy. Counc. Eur. Environ. Encount. Ser., 16: 40–41.
- RANDI E, PIERPAOLI M, BEAUMONT M, RAGNI B & SFORZI A., 2001 - Genetic identification of wild and domestic cats (*Felis silvestris*) and their hybrids using Bayesian clustering methods. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 18: 1679–93.
- RANDI E., 2008 Detecting hybridization between wild species and their domesticated relatives. *Mol. Ecol.* 17: 285–293.
- RHYMER JM & SIMBERLOFF D., 1996 Extinction by hybridization and introgression. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst., 27: 83–109.
- SAY L, DEVILLARD S, LÉGER F, PONTIER D & RUETTE S., 2012
 Distribution and spatial genetic structure of European wildcat in France. *Anim. Conserv.*, 15: 18–27.
- STAHL P & ARTOIS M., 1995 Status and conservation of the wildcat (*Felis silvestris*) in Europe and around the Mediterranean rim. Nature and environment, vol 69. Council of Europe, Strasbourg (1995).
- STEYER K., KRAUS R.H.S., MÖLICH T., ANDERS O., COCCHIARARO B., FROSCH C., GEIB A., GÖTZ M., HERRMANN M., HUPE K., KOHNEN A., KRÜGER M., MÜLLER F., PIR J.B., REINERS T.E., ROCH S., SCHADE

U., SCHIEFENHÖVEL P., SIEMUND M., SIMON O., STEEB S., STREIF S., STREIT B., THEIN J., TIESMEYER A., TRINZEN M., VOGEL B., NOWAK C., 2016 - Large-scale genetic census of an elusive carnivore, the European wildcat (*Felis s. silvestris*). *Conserv. Genet.* 17, 1183–1199. https://doi.org/10.1007/s1059 2-016-0853-2

- STEYER K, TIESMEYER A, MUÑOZ-FUENTES V & NOWAK C., 2018 - Low rates of hybridization between European wildcats and domestic cats in a human-dominated landscape. *Ecol. Evol.*, 8: 2290–2304, https://doi. org/10.1002/ece3.3650
- SUNQUIST ME & SUNQUIST FC., 2002 Wild Cats of the World. *The University of Chicago Press*, Chicago, USA, 1–452.
- TIESMEYER A., RAMOS L., LUCAS J.M., STEYER K., ALVES P.C., ASTARAS C., BRIX M., CRAGNOLINI M., DOMOKOS C., HEGYELI Z., JANSSEN R., KITCHENER A.C., LAMBINET C., MESTDAGH X., MIGLI D., MONTERROSO P., MULDER J.L., SCHOCKERT V., YOULATOS D., PFENNINGER M., NOWAK C., 2020 - Range-wide patterns of humanmediated hybridisation in European wildcats. *Conserv. Genet.*, 21: 247–260, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-019-01247-4
- Todesco M., PASCUAL M.A., OWENS G.L., OSTEVIK K.L., MOYERS B.T., HÜBNER S., HEREDIA S.M., HAHN M.A., CASEYS C., BOCK D.G., RIESEBERG L.H., 2016 -Hybridization and extinction. *Evol. Appl.*, 9: 892–908, https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12367
- TWYFORD A.D., ENNOS R.A., 2012 Next-generation hybridization and introgression. *Heredity (Edinb.)* 108, 179–189.
- TUREK KC, PEGG MA & POPE KL., 2013 Review of the negative influences of non-native salmonids on native fish species. *Great Plains Res.*, 23: 39–49.
- YAMAGUCHI N, KITCHENER A, DRISCOLL C & NUSSBERGER B., 2015 - Felis silvestris. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2015: e.T603547 12A50652361. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.2305/iucn.uk.2015-2.rlts.t60354712a50652361.en
- VELLI E., BOLOGNA M.A., RAGNI B., RANDI E., 2015 -Noninvasive monitoring of the European Wildcat (Felis silvestris silvestris Schreber 1777): evaluation of a multidisciplinary approach. *Eur. J. Wildl. Res.* 61, 657–668, doi: 10.1007/s10344-015-0936-2
- VON THADEN A., NOWAK C., TIESMEYER A., REINERS T.E., ALVES P.C., LYONS L.A., MATTUCCI F., RANDI E., CRAGNOLINI M., GALIÁN J., HEGYELI Z., KITCHENER A.C., LAMBINET C., LUCAS J.M., MÖLICH T., RAMOS L., SCHOCKERT V., COCCHIARARO B., 2020 - Applying genomic data in wildlife monitoring: Development guidelines for genotyping degraded samples with reduced single nucleotide polymorphism panels. *Mol Ecol Resour.*, 20: 662–680, https://doi. org/10.1111/1755-0998.13136