Studies on the Preparation of 2-Styrylbenzimidazole

P. K. DUBEY^{*a}, D. ESWARA RAO^a, J. RAMANATHAM^a, RAMESH KUMAR^a and J. S. GROSSERT^b

"Department of Chemistry, College of Engineering, J. N. T. University, Hyderabad-500 072

 b Department of Chemistry, Dalhousie University, Halifax, N.S., Canada B3H 4J3</sup>

Manuscript received 7 *March 1997, revised 18 November 1997, accepted 5 March 1998*

Studies on the preparation of 2-styrylbenzimidazole by three different methods, namely, (i) condensation of o-phenylenediamine with -cinnamic acid (dchydrative cyclisation), (ii) condensation of o-phcnylencdiaminc with cinnamaldehyde (dehydrogcnative cyclisation) and (iii) condensation of2-methylbenzimidazole with benzaldehyde (styrylation) arc reported. Optimum conditions are described for the styrylation of 2-methylbenzimidazole with benzaldehyde.

Benzimidazoles are an important group of biologically active compounds¹. Of these, the 2-substituted derivatives have been found to be most potent. This has stimulated further research into the syntheses of substituted benzimidazoles.

During our studies on the syntheses of benzimidazoles, we had the occasion to prepare 2-styrylbenzimidazole. A methyl group attached to the 2-position of benzimidazole ring system constitutes an activated methylene group as it is α to an *sp*² nitrogen similar to the one in α -picoline². Hence, it condenses with aromatic aldehydes yielding styryl derivatives. In this paper, we report our studies on the preparation of2-styrylbenzimidazole by different methods and on the optimisation of conditions for the styrylation of 2 methylbenzimidazole with benzaldehyde.

Results and Discussion

2-Styrylbenzimidazole was prepared by the following three methods.

(i) Condensation of a-phenylenediamine with cinnamic acid: Treatment of a-phenylenediamine (t) with cinnamic acid in the presence of 4 NHCl under Phillips' conditions³ did not yield the expected 2-styrylbenzimidazole (2). Attempted preparations of the latter compound by condensation of o -phenylenediamine with cinnamic acid in refluxing methanol containing a trace of H_2SO_4 or in refluxing benzene containing a trace of p-toluenesulphonic acid with Dean-Stark removal of water were also unsuccessful. However, reaction of l with cinnamic acid in polyphosphoric acid⁴ at 180-200° for 4 h gave 2 (30%).

(ii) *Condensation of a-phenylenediamine with cinnamaldehyde* : Reaction of l with cinnamaldehyde in the presence of nitrobenzene in retluxing methanol gave 2 styrylbenzimidazole (57%). That the latter reaction goes through the intermediacy of the mono-anil was shown by performing the reaction of *o*-phenylenediamine with cinnamaldehyde in 1 : 1 molar ratio in methanol at room temperature. A yellow product separated out having m.p. 138-39°, different (m.p. and tlc) from that of 1 (m.p. I 04°) and also from that of 2 (m.p. 203-05) . It analysed for a $1:1$ composition, showed the presence of NH₂ group in the ir spectrum and on heating in methanolic nitrobenzene yielded 2. However, due to the labile nature of the compound, the mono-anil (3) could not be preserved for longer times and had to be used immediately as it showed signs of decomposition on storage.

(iii) Condensation of 2-methylbenzimidazole (4) *with benzaldehyde* : Compound 1 was condensed with acetic acid under Phillips' conditions³ to yield 4. The latter on heating with benzaldehyde at 170-80° for 3 h followed by processing gave 2. Processing was done by either of the following four methods: (a) trituration with n-hexane, (b) HCl method, (c) sulphate method and (d) oxalate method.

It may be mentioned here that out of the four methods described above, styrylation of 4 with benzaldehyde appeared to be the best for preparing 2 (Scheme I) and that

the ox late method is the best method for isolating the product clean and in excellent yields (Table 1).

Since oxalic acid is a dibasic acid and 2 is a monoacidic base, it is conceivable that the oxalate of 2 could be a I : 1 or a 2 : I product. However, it has been found from simple volumetric estimation that it is a 1 : 1 product having structure 5 and not 2 : 1 product as in structure 6 (Scheme 2). Similarly, it was established in a simple way that the sulphate of 2 is a $1:1$ salt having structure 7 rather than a 2: l salt having structure 8 (Scheme 2).

It has been reported in literature that the styrylation of a compound containing an active methylene group such as

Scheme 2

 α -picoline² or *y*-picoline⁵ with benzaldehyde is acidcatalysed6·7, base-catalysed and an uncatalysed (neutral or thermal) reaction⁷. With a view to obtain the optimum conditions for the styrylation of 4 with benzaldehyde, this reaction was studied under a variety of conditions and the results are shown in Table 2. It is obvious that acidic conditions (with the exception of $ZnCl₂$), basic conditions (with the exception of K^{\oplus} t-BuO^{\ominus}/DMSO), neutral/thermal conditions (upto 150°) or even phase-transfer catalysed reactions did not specifically favour the formation of styryl compound from 4.

Experimental

Preparation of2from 1 *and cinnamic acid in PPA:*

A mixture of 1 (1.1 g, 10 mmol), cinnamic acid (1.5 g, 10 mol) and polyphosphoric acid (IS ml) was heated at 180-200° for 4 h. It was then poured in water and neutralised with aq. $NH₃$. The separated solid was washed with water and dried (0.66 g, 30%).

Preparation of2 from 1 *and cinnamaldehyde* :

A mixture of 1 (1.1 g, 10 mmol), cinnamaldehyde (1.26 ml, 10 mmol), methanol (10 ml) and nitrobenzene (5 ml) was refluxed for 3 h. It was then cooled to room temperature and treated with a solution of oxalic acid $(2 g)$ in methanol (10 ml). The separated solid (which is the oxalate salt

TABLE 2-STYRYLATION OF 4 WITH BENZALDEHYDE UNDER DIFFERENT CONDITIONS 4 **Benzal-** Reaction **Reaction Yield*** Remarks used dehyde conditions of used product 2.64 g 2.1 ml Reflux in AcOH for Nil Reaction followed

(20 mmol) (20 mmol) 3 h by tic and processed to obtain starting material 2.64 g 2.1 ml Reflux in AcOH for 0.528 g Reaction mixture

20 h (12%) processed by pour newslet of the contract of the model of the contract o (20 mmol) (20 mmol) 20 h (12%) processed by pouring in warer, extraction with ether and trituration with hexane 2.64 g 2.1 ml Reflux in Ac₂O for Nil Reaction followed by (20 mmol) (20 mmol) 3 h tlc and processed to obtain starting material 264 g 2.1 ml Reflux in Ac₂O for 1.012 g Reaction mixture
 20 mmol (20 mmol) 20 h (23%) processed by pour processed by pouring in water, extraction with ether, evaporation of ether and trituration of residue with hexane 2.64 g 4.2 ml Heating at 170–80° in 3.168 g Reaction mixture

2.64 g 4.2 ml Heating at 170–80° in 3.168 g Reaction mixture

(72%) processed by pouring (20 mmol) (40 mmol) presence of anh. (72%) processed by pouring in the case of anh. (72%) processed by pouring in $ZnCl_2$ aq HCl, filtration of the HCI salt and neutralisation of the salt with aq NH₃ 2.64 g 2.1 ml Toluene/p-toluene- Nil Reaction followed by

2.0 mmol) and the sulphonic acid/-H-O the and processed to (20 mmol) (20 mmol) sulphonic acid/-H₂O tic and processed to the starting (Dean-Stark)/ $A/3$ h tecover the starting (Dean-Stark)/ Δ /3 h material 2.64 g 2.1 ml PPA/ Δ /100°/3 h Nil Reaction mixture on (20 mmol) $(20 \$ starting material 2.64 g 2.1 ml Reflux in pyridine 0.44 g Reaction mixture

(2.0 mmol) 6 (2.0 mmol) for 3 h (10%) processed by adding i-(20 mmol) (20 mmol) for 3 h (10%) processed by adding ipropanol followed by oxalic acid, isolating the oxalate and then decomposing it with aq. NH₃ 2.64 g 2.1 ml MeOH/KOH/RT/48 h Nil Reaction followed by

2.0 mmol) (20 mmol) (20 mmol) (20 mmol) (20 mmol) (20 mmol) cover starting material 2.64 g 2.1 ml MeOH/KOH/Reflux Nil Reaction followed by

2.0 mmol) (20 mmol) 6 h

2.0 mmol) (20 mmol) (20 mmol) 6 h tic and processed to recover starting material 1.32 g 1.0 ml 1.0 m DMSO^a (10 ml)/aq. Nil Reaction followed by

10 mmol) 10 mmol) NaOH (50%; 4 ml)/ 10 mmol by the and processed to r (10 mmol) $\text{NaOH} (50\%; 4 \text{ ml})/$ tic and processed to recover (10 mmol) $\text{NaOH} (50\%; 4 \text{ ml})/$ tic and processed to recover starting material 1 32 g 1.0 ml DMF^a (15 ml)/aq. Nil Reaction followed by the 132 g 1.0 ml DMF^a (15 ml)/aq. Nil Reaction followed by the 1 (10 mmol) (10 mmol) $\text{NaOH} (50\%; 4 \text{ ml})/$ and processed to recover the RT/24 h starting material starting material 2.64 g 2.1 ml K^{\oplus} t-BuO^G/DMSO/RT 0.321 g Reaction followed by tic

(20 mmol) 45 min (7.3%) and processed by pouring (20 mmol) (20 mmol) (20 mmol) 45 min (7.3%) and processed by pouring in water, neutralisation with AcOH and extraction with ether to isolate the product 2.64 g 2.1 ml K^{\bigoplus} -BuO^O/t-BuOH/ Nil Reaction followed by tic

2.1 ml K^{\bigoplus} -BuO^O/t-BuOH/ Nil Nil Reaction followed by tic

and processed to recover (20 mmol) (20 mmol) RT/24 h and processed to recover

starting material

*Yields are reported to the extent product has been isolated from the reaction mixtures. *Ref.* 9. b Phase transfer catalyst (PTC) used was triethylbenzylammonium chloride (TEBAC).

of 2) was neutralised with aq. NH₃ to obtain 2 (1.254 g, 57%).

Preparation of 2 *from* 4 *and benzaldehyde* :

An intimate mixture of 4 (1.32 g, 10 mmol) and benzaldehyde (4 ml, 40 mmol) was heated at $170-80^\circ$ for 3 h. It was then cooled to room temperature and processed by different methods given below.

(a) Trituration method: The reaction mixture was triturated with n-hexane to obtain 2 as residue (1.76 g, 80%).

(b) HCl method : The reaction mixture was dissolved in benzene (75 ml) and treated with aq. HCl (20%; 50 ml). The separated HCI-salt was neutralised with aq. $NH₃$ to get 2 as free base (1.232 g, 56%). The aq. HCI layer was neutralised with aq. $NH₃$ to obtain a second lot of 2 (0.09 g, 4%). Total yield of 2 was 1.322 g (60%).

(c) Sulphate method : The reaction mixutre was dissolved in acetone (75 ml) and treated with dil. H_2SO_4 (20%; 10 ml). The separated sulphate salt was neutralised with aq. NH₃ to obtain 2 (1.056 g, 48%).

(d) Oxalate method : The reaction mixture was dissolved in isopropanol (10 ml) and treated with a solution of oxalic acid (1.5 g) in isopropanol (10 ml) . The separated oxalate salt was neutralised with aq. $NH₃$ to obtain 2 $(1.87 g, 85%)$.

A portion of this solid was crystallised from boiling toluene to get a pure product, m.p. $203-05^{\circ}$ (lit.⁸ 201-02°); V_{max} (KBr) 3100-2500 (NH), 1640, 1595, 1530, 1420, 1310 and 1280 cm⁻¹; ¹H nmr δ (DMSO-d₆/TMS) 7.2-7.8 (11H, complex m, $^{3}J_{H-H}$ for vinylic 16.5 Hz, five phenyl and four aryl and two vinylic protons), 12.04 (1H, NH); ¹³C nmr δ114.93, 117.10, 122.61, 126.93, 128.76, 128.81, 135.36, 135.79, 139.23, 151.65 (for all the carbons of2); m/z 221 (6%, M+1), 220 (40%, M⁺), 219 (100%, M-1), 218 (12%, M-H2), 110 (8%), 109 (12%), 108 (4%), 92 (4%), 91 (6%), 77 (3%), 51 (2%).

Determination of structure of the oxalate salt of2 by titration method :

The oxalic acid content in the oxalate salt of 2 was determined volumetrically by using standard $KMnO₄$ solution. $KMnO₄$ itself was standardised by titration against Mohr's salt¹⁰. In this method ¹⁰, a known quantity of the oxalate salt of 2 was suspended in water (5 ml) and neutralised with bicarbonate solution (5%; 15 ml). The separated solid (which is 2 as free base) was washed with water (5 ml) . The filtrate (consisting of aq. sodium oxalate) was acidified with dil. H_2SO_4 (25%; 10 ml) and titrated against standardised $KMnO₄$ at 90-95°.

Determination of structure of the sulphate salt of2 :

The sulphate salt of $2(1.15 g)$ was suspended in water (15 ml) and neutralised with aq. NH_3 (pH ~10.0). The separated solid was washed with water and dried to obtain the free base (0.80 g). That is, 1.15 g of sulphate salt of 2 contains 0.80 g of 2 and 0.35 g of H_2SO_4 . Therefore, molar ratio of 2 and H_2SO_4 is 1 : 1.

Acknowledgement

The authors are very grateful to U.G.C., New Delhi, for financial support.

References

- I. "Benzimidazoles and Congeneric Tricyclic Compounds", ed. P. N. PRESTON, Interscience-Wiley, New York, 1980, Part 2, Chap. 10, p. 531; M. R. GRIMMETT, "Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry", eds. K. T. POTTS, A. R. KATRITZKY and K. W. REES, Pergamon, Oxford, 1984, Vol. 5, Chap. 4.08, p. 457; K. HOFMANN in "The Chemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds", ed. A. WEISSBERGER, Wiley-Interscience, New York, l953. Part I, p. 247; P. N. PRESTON, *Chern. Rev.,* 1974, 74, 279.
- 2. R. M. ACHESON, "An Introduction to the Chemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds", Wiley, London, 1967, p. 204; K. SCHOFIELD, "Heterocyclic Nitrogen Compounds- Pyrroles and Pyridines", Butterworths, London, 1967, p. 334; "Pyridine and.

Its Derivatives", ed. E. KLINGSBERG, Interscience, London, 1961, Vol. II, Chap. V, p. 191.

- 3. M.A. PHILLIPS, *J. Chern. Soc.,* 1928, 172.
- 4. D. W. HElN, R. J. ALHElM and J. J. LEAVITT, J. *Am. Chern. Soc.,* 1957, 79,427.
- 5. A. R. KATRITZKY, D. J. SHORT and A. J. BOULTON, J. *Chern.* Soc., 1960, 1519.
- 6. R. 0. C. NORMAN, "Principles of Organic Synthesis", 2nd. ed.,

Chapman and Hall, London, 1978, Chap. 8, p. 273;8. D. SHAH and E. A. WAGSTAFF, J. *Chern. Soc.,* 1933, 77.

- 7. C. S. MAHAJANSHETTI and G. A. BHATT, *Indian* J. *Chern.,* 1974, 12, 54.
- 8. R. WEIDENHAGEN, *Chern. Ber.,* 1936, 69, 2263.
- 9. V. DRYANSKA. CHR. IVANOV, *Synthesis,* 1976, 37.
- 10. "Vogel's Textbook of Quantitative Inorganic Analysis", eds.J. BASSET, J. MENDHAM, G. H. JEFFERY and R. C. DENNEY, 4th. ed., ELBS, London, 1994, p. 35 I.