

ALL ABOUT BIBLICAL KINDS

(AronRa's Phylogeny Challenge Accepted)

Edgar Alberto Postrado

Founder of the New Intelligent Design <id>

Discoverer of the Topic of Intelligence and Non-Intelligence

Promoter of the New Intelligent Design <id> and Biological Interrelation, BiTs

Real Science Starts Here.

TM



Intelligent Design. The Real Science.

Intentional Design Important Design.

CONTACT ME: If you want to invite me to an intellectual and scientific discussion, debate, seminar, conference and public presentation of the contents of this book/article for educational purposes, please, contact me through this e-mail address:

iamintellen@yahoo.co.jp

Official YouTube Channel: https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCfJ48gi0UHI-5pF29cpO_0Q

My FREE science articles in Zenodo:

About the new ID <id>: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5091161>

About the new ID <id> 2: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5061724>

About the Origin of COVID-19: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5893574>

ABSTRACT:

I love to share my new discoveries in science. Since they are all powerful, then, I am not afraid to accept any scientific challenges that will come on my way. They will be crushed by my discoveries and they will never defeat my new discoveries. You cannot defeat reality, unless you concede or support reality. Try it. Willing and able. One example of this is the *Phylogeny Challenge* from AronRa. In this article, you can see how I answer, with the power of the new Intelligent Design <id>, the Phylogeny Challenge! AronRa, this is your chance to read my article FREE, here in *Zenodo*.

The Phylogeny Challenge

The image shows a screenshot of a YouTube channel page for 'AronRa'. The channel has 193,607 subscribers and a 'SUBSCRIBE 193K' button. The main video featured is 'Phylogeny Challenge', which has 353,343 views and was uploaded 7 years ago. The video description reads: 'This is the ultimate challenge to be answered by anyone hoping to promote creationism; the identification of "created kinds"? Any intrepid creationist who attempts to meet this challenge will instead discover a truth which John Muir once described thus: "When we try to pick out anything by itself, we find it hitched to everything else in the Universe."' The video player shows a thumbnail with a tree diagram and a video of a frog. Below the main video, there are four 'Uploads' listed, all related to a debate between Aron and Kent Hovind. The 'RELATED CHANNELS' section includes 'The Young Turks', 'The Atheist Experience', 'Kent Hovind OFFICIAL', 'TheThinkingAtheist', 'Logicked', and 'Viced Rhino'. The Windows taskbar at the bottom shows the date as 2018/11/23 and the time as 12:38.

AronRa
193,607 subscribers

HOME VIDEOS PLAYLISTS COMMUNITY CHANNELS ABOUT

Phylogeny Challenge
353,343 views • 7 years ago

This is the ultimate challenge to be answered by anyone hoping to promote creationism; the identification of "created kinds"? Any intrepid creationist who attempts to meet this challenge will instead discover a truth which John Muir once described thus: "When we try to pick out anything by itself, we find it hitched to everything else in the Universe."

READ MORE

RELATED CHANNELS

- The Young Turks
SUBSCRIBE
- The Atheist Experience
SUBSCRIBED
- Kent Hovind OFFICIAL
SUBSCRIBE
- TheThinkingAtheist
SUBSCRIBE
- Logicked
SUBSCRIBE
- Viced Rhino
SUBSCRIBE

Uploads PLAY ALL

- Debate: Hovind vs Ra - Rnd 2 Pt 2 Aron
86 views • 20 minutes ago
- Debate: Hovind v Ra Rnd 1 Pt 7 Kent
107 views • 21 minutes ago
- Debate: Hovind v Ra Rnd 1 Pt 6 Kent
112 views • 21 minutes ago
- Debate: Hovind v Ra Rnd 1 Pt 5 Kent
87 views • 22 minutes ago

Systematic Classification of Life PLAY ALL

ここに入力して検索

12:38
2018/11/23





Figure 20. Still Pictures of Phylogeny Challenge from AronRa’s YouTube channel.

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r0zpkOIPFU>

Hi, AronRa. This is MrID again, Edgar, the discoverer in science. We discussed and talked many topics both in *The Non-Sequitur Show* and *The Atheist Experience* and you knew very well that I claimed that I discovered the actual, correct and universal scientific definition of *intelligence* and *non-intelligence*. I told you that I will be rebutting and answering your YouTube video about the *Phylogeny Challenge* that you had uploaded many years ago and you are using that in any debate, including debates with Kent Hovind.

Now, I told you that I’ve written science book on that, titled, “Phylogeny Challenge...”, (link: https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B07R6947T5/ref=dbs_a_def_rwt_bibl_vppi_i1), since you knew, I love to document many science articles in writing, so that all of you could read and analyze and rebut! I am publishing in here, *Zenodo*, some excerpts and info, but I will be mainly discussing the BIBLICAL KINDS. I am giving the whole world free info, maybe they don’t have money to buy my books, so, I give them some major info FREE! **ENJOY!** See you again in many shows!



Answer to AronRa's Phylogeny Challenge:

https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B07R6947T5/ref=dbs_a_def_rwt_bibl_vppi_i1

^{1/14}What is the Phylogeny Challenge actually? This challenge is a challenge made by AronRa, as uploaded in his YouTube channel to, probably, *challenge to think* those persons who are against Biological Evolution, or Evolution. Probably, it was based on AronRa's experience in dealing with those persons who are against Evolution. He thought that nobody in this world could rebut and uproot ToE. Thus, Phylogeny Challenge too is too hard to be rebutted, for Phylogeny Challenge is talking about reality. Phylogeny Challenge is a challenge to non-evolution supporters (like me) to make another model for the relatedness of all life forms - a new classification method, just like Phylogeny tree, based on

the Biblical principle of “kinds”. AronRa thought that the supporters of Creationism, of old Intelligent Design (ID) and some religious folks had no models to fight head-to-head, science vs science and intellect vs intellect against Evolution. Thus, giving them this unanswerable challenge is both a win to AronRa and a defeat to those who are against Evolution.

(Sorry, I did not include the whole chapter since it would be too long for you.

Lol! I am lazy to copy, you know. Lol)

Challenge 1: God definitely created different “kinds”?

Challenge 1: God definitely created different “kinds”?

.....play at 8:51

^{1/45}This is the first question, as a challenge, by AronRa, from his Phylogeny Challenge’s video, to show that he has confidence of winning any arguments/debates by using the Theory of Evolution (ToE). He thought that nobody from the camps of both ID, Creationism, and others could NEVER answer the challenge - starting from his first difficult question. Now, let me answer the first question. The question has two topics and I will answer them both. The first topic is “God”, specifically, the “Existence of God” the second is “Biblical Kinds”. So, I will deal them one at a time.

Existence Of God

²Before I will discuss that topic, we need first to take note that the Theory of Evolution (ToE) did not discuss nor include in Biology the existence of any agent/agents/Agent who could design/create both the universe, the whole existence and life. ToE is dead on this topic, like Flat Earth, on which there are many topics in reality that Flat Earth could never explain, like the pictures from NASA as taken from outer space. But ToE supporters ignorantly claim that God, as the Creator, does not exist. Of course, those supporters have no evidence whatsoever to support their claim of the non-existence of God, the Intelligent Agent.

³Let us continue. Actually, before I deal with this Phylogeny Challenge, I am already writing another science book which titled *Scientifically, God Exist*. The reason is to show to the world that “God”, aka, “Intelligent Designer or Intelligent Agent” or “Intentional Designer or Intentional Agent” really exist. The book is still in progress but the outline was already finished.

⁴To those of you who had read one of my published science e-books titled *The New Intelligent Design, Turning the Scientific World Upside Down*, the book had explained the real “intelligence” and also the book had shown that “intelligence” has pinpointedly predicted the nature of an Intelligent Designer or Intelligent Agent, IA. Again, the shortest, logical and reasonable definition of *intelligence* is *problem-solution-solution* and this definition was derived from the experiment made for the derivation of intelligence, as discussed in the same book above. From here, we could easily see that in the definition of “intelligence”, we could count three tiers that make the definition complete. The first tier is: **problem**, second tier is **solution**, and the third tier is another **solution** – three (3) tiers, thus, it follows that if there is an Intelligent Designer (IDer) who had designed both the universe, existence and life, this IDer must have at least three nature (as identical with three tiers). We can call it as Trinitarian Nature of an Intelligent Designer (IDer), aka, God.

⁵The best thing in my discovery of “intelligence” is that I also nailed down the limits of “intelligence”, from minimum “intelligence” to maximum intelligence, as shown in *Figure 40*. You can see that my discovery had explained both natural (non-intentional/non-intelligence), intelligence/intentional/ instinct and importance, which its corresponding limit value.

LIMIT/Range **$0 \leq P \leq 1$** **symmetrical**
for Probability, (natural probability), naturen

$1 \leq In \leq 1.49$ **symmetrical**
for instinct.....naturen-instinct

$1.5 \leq iP \leq 3$ **asymmetrical**
for iProb, intellen, (iProb = Intelligence
Probability or Probability of Intelligence)

Principle of Importance > 3 **asymmetrical**

naturen = naturally made X
intellen = intelligently designed X

From the new Intelligent Design 

Figure 40. Limits of Reality and Its Range.

⁶As you can see that intelligence has this limit, $1.5 < iP < 3$, which means, the Minimum intelligence is 1.5 and the maximum or perfect intelligence is 3. See *Figure 41*.

Both the Bible Creation Account, Intelligent Design 
and Biological Interrelation, BiTs, uses this limit.

$$1.5 \leq iP \leq 3$$




**for iProb, intellen,
(iProb = Intelligence
Probability
or
Probability of Intelligence)**

From the new Intelligent Design 

Figure 41. Limit of Intelligence. (That handsome picture is me! Lol)

⁷From this limit of intelligence and from the shortest but the most correct definition of intelligence, we can predict that the nature of the perfect Intelligent Agent, IA, has three natures. See *Figure 42* below. This is based on the prediction from “intelligence”, as derived from the egg and tissue experiment.



²⁴ Therefore whosoever heareth these sayings of mine, and doeth them, I will liken him unto a wise man, which built his house upon a rock:

²⁵ And the rain descended, and the floods came, and the winds blew, and beat upon that house; and it fell not: for it was founded upon a rock.

²⁶ And every one that heareth these sayings of mine, and doeth them not, shall be likened unto a foolish man, which built his house upon the sand:

²⁷ And the rain descended, and the floods came, and the winds blew, and beat upon that house; and it fell: and great was the fall of it.


At first, this idea of three tiers had never entered into my mind. Someone in the online Hang-out had seen it and told me. I agreed with that person!



Figure 42. Two Tiers for the Two Nature of an Intelligent Designer; Jesus Christ

⁸Thus, this directly pinpoints that the Intelligent Designer or Intelligent Agent is the biblical God since the biblical God has three nature or “Person” as Trinity. See *Figure 44*. Both the Bible and the new Intelligent Design <id> had the same conclusion and explanation of the nature of God. Again, both the Bible and intelligence directly pinpoints the God of the Bible as Trinitarian. So, the first part of the challenge had been answered correctly, scientifically and realistically. God exists! That is the most compelling truth that we need to accept!

⁹But the most probable minimum nature of an Intelligent Agent has probably two natures. See *Figure 43* below. These two “natures” is corresponding to the Biblical God, the Trinity – on which one is in a physical form, one is spirit and the other is spirit. And the minimum nature of the nature of “Intelligent Designer” is two, which corresponds to **Jesus Christ** since He has both a physical body and spiritual body.



²⁴ Therefore whosoever heareth these sayings of mine, and doeth them, I will liken him unto a wise man, which built his house upon a rock:

²⁵ And the rain descended, and the floods came, and the winds blew, and beat upon that house; and it fell not: for it was founded upon a rock.


²⁶ And every one that heareth these sayings of mine, and doeth them not, shall be likened unto a foolish man, which built his house upon the sand:

²⁷ And the rain descended, and the floods came, and the winds blew, and beat upon that house; and it fell: and great was the fall of it.



Figure 43. *Non-Intelligence Kills and Destroys Humans*

¹⁰Thus, it is stupidity and illogical to use the word “nature” or the phrase “Natural Philosophy” without including an Intelligent Agent (IA) aka, God-Creator, as predicted by “intelligence”. To violate this simple rule in science and reality is to become intellectually and professionally dishonest.



Jesus Christ, or the Christian's **TRINITY**, is the perfect and exact Candidate for Intelligent Agent, IA, as predicted by "intelligence". Sorry, Allah or Krishna or Amaterasu or etc, **intelligence** kicks you out of reality.



From the new Intelligent Design 

Figure 44. *Intelligence Trinity or Three Tiers of Intelligence for Intelligent Designer*

¹¹Now, AronRa, my counter Challenge to you is to make another experiment showing that an Intelligent Designer and Intelligent Agent does not exist. If you cannot do it, you are done and you must admit that you are wrong and apologize for your mistakes.

¹²To falsify this specifically, I challenge AronRa to nail down the topic of intelligence better than me. AronRa, you must rebut and smash my new explanation of "intelligence", to show that I am wrong of my explanation of intelligence. Of course, I am specifically telling AronRa to falsify me if you will dis-agree with me. Let us fight science vs science explanations. Tell me the correct definition and explanation of "intelligence" and its dividing line between *intelligence* to *non-intelligence* better than my explanation. If you cannot do it, then, it means that your basis is wrong and you have no clue on reality, not even in the biological world. PUT UP or SHUT UP, AronRa.

¹³Either I am wrong in the explanation of intelligence, which means I am also wrong in my explanations of biological world or you, AronRa, as the wrong one. I had shown it here that I am right. But since you don't know the topic of intelligence, then, basically, you

are wrong and have no right to criticize me without any correct explanation of “intelligence”. Again, “PUT UP or SHUT UP” if you want a fair explanation in science.

¹⁴Now, the second part of the question: about “KINDS”.

Biblical and Biological Kinds

¹⁵The second part of the question is about “Kind”. Now, in here, I commend AronRa for including the biblical “Kind” to his challenge. It is so funny that even though AronRa does not believe in the biblical God, but through his arrogance, he ignorantly used the biblical “kind” as the only contender for the Evolution’s definition of “species” or any other type of definitions. AronRa had probably thought that the Bible has no realistic explanation of reality in biological world. I think that AronRa had thought that no one will never beat them (he and the supporters of evolution). But God had specifically given AronRa to me so that I could re-educate AronRa and his fellow supporters of ToE about reality and about change and origin in biological world, from the basis of “intelligence”, of course. Lol! (Wow! I am so arrogant now! Lol!!)

¹⁶Here are the passages for the biblical “Kinds”, from the Bible, *King James Version*, 1611. I will be using *KJV 1611* in all of my biblical explanations and biblical reference.

Genesis 1

⁹ *And God said, Let the waters under the heaven be gathered together unto one place, and let the dry land appear: and it was so.*

¹⁰ *And God called the dry land Earth: and the gathering together of the waters called he Seas: and God saw that it was good.*

¹¹ *And God said, Let the earth bring forth grass, the herb yielding seed, and the fruit tree yielding fruit after his kind, whose seed is in itself, upon the earth: and it was so.*

12 And the earth brought forth grass, and herb yielding seed after his kind, and the tree yielding fruit, whose seed was in itself, after his kind: and God saw that it was good.

20 And God said, Let the waters bring forth abundantly the moving creature that hath life, and fowl that may fly above the earth in the open firmament of heaven.

21 And God created great whales, and every living creature that moveth, which the waters brought forth abundantly, after their kind, and every winged fowl after his kind: and God saw that it was good.

22 And God blessed them, saying, Be fruitful, and multiply, and fill the waters in the seas, and let fowl multiply in the earth.

23 And the evening and the morning were the fifth day.

24 And God said, Let the earth bring forth the living creature after his kind, cattle, and creeping thing, and beast of the earth after his kind: and it was so.

25 And God made the beast of the earth after his kind, and cattle after their kind, and every thing that creepeth upon the earth after his kind: and God saw that it was good.

26 And God said, Let us make man in our image, after our likeness: and let them have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over the cattle, and over all the earth, and over every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth.

27 So God created man in his own image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them.

28 And God blessed them, and God said unto them, Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth, and subdue it: and have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over every living thing that moveth upon the earth.

29 And God said, Behold, I have given you every herb bearing seed, which is upon the face of all the earth, and every tree, in the which is the fruit of a tree yielding seed; to you it shall be for meat.

30 And to every beast of the earth, and to every fowl of the air; and to every thing that creepeth upon the earth, wherein there is life, I have given every green herb

for meat: and it was so.

¹⁷To an educated person, if you are going to read the above passages, you could easily read the following words or phrases, such as *earth, upon the earth, creeping, waters, fly, and open firmaments*. So, the God of the Bible, the Creator of life, to show and explain His creation to any biologist and scientist (and ordinary people around the world, in all times), this God had subdivided the whole Life Forms into three divisions. This is the best way to explain to all human beings, whether they are scientists or not, on how life forms are divided and classified based on their **functions and mobility**. And this is where the Bible had used the word “kind” as its basis for division of all life forms.

¹⁸The Bible in Genesis account had used this basis or point of reference (POR) to divide “kinds” in the entire animal and plant kingdom and all included living organisms (life forms), ***except human kind***. Here they are:

Land-Based Kind: By using the words as “earth”, “upon the earth”, “creeping”

Water-Based Kind: By using the word as “waters”

Air-Based Kind: By using the words as “fly”, “open firmament”

Based on transportation or movement, or mobility.

¹⁹As you can see, the way the Creator of life had subdivided His creation for biology and taxonomy and their classifications is too different from the way humans are doing the classification and divisions. Thus, once again, the Bible had used the above basis to denote the word “kind”, in where both animals and plants are included in the “Land-Based Kind”, “Water-Based Kind” and “Air-Based Kind”. And by using our present (2018) taxonomy, we can expect the followings that inside the:

Category 1. *Land-Based Kind*. Plants such as Fungi and Chromista and

Animals such as Bacteria, Archea and Protozoa are included. This complete any life forms that could be found in Land.

Category 2. *Water-Based Kind.* Plants such as Fungi and Chromista and Animals such as Bacteria, Archea and Protozoa are included. This complete any life forms that could be found in Water, that included oceans, seas, lakes, rivers and the likes.

Category 3. *Air-Based Kind.* Plants such as Fungi and Chromista and Animals such as Bacteria, Archea and Protozoa are included. This complete any life forms that could be found in Air or anything that does not belong to Category 1 and 2. See *Figure 45.*




Figure 45. SKALO Biblical Classifications of Life Forms as Included in Kind

²⁰The God and Creator of the Universe and Life is so clever that He made the

division of life forms as seen in *Figure 45*, SKALO, so simple and yet so profound and expandable. As explained in *Figure 45*, that the Bible is clearly stating the following:

1. Three places of habitations are explained from the Bible. They are both *land, water and air*
2. The Bible had explicitly explained two divisions or biological dominions. They are animal and plant;
3. The Bible had used “travelling” or “moving” of all living organisms as basis. From this, it is implied that animals must use feet and wings (can be improved) in classifying “kinds”. In classifying plants, *bindweed, stationary and colonize* (terms can be improved) must be used to classify “kinds”; *See Figure 46*
4. The Bible had already subdivided biblical dominions into two, thus, to simplify classifying all kinds in ANIMAL only (as example), detailed additional Segmental Division is needed.

Animal Kingdom is used as example. *See Figure 47.*


²¹Below is some pictures depicting both *bindweeds, stationary* and *colonize* as examples of plants’ mobility.



Bindweed



Stationary



Colonize

In Plant Kingdom, these are probably some of the most obvious examples of “moving” or “un-moving” plants as explained in the Genesis account for classifying “kinds”.

Figure 46. *Plant’s Mobility as Seen in Reality*

²²By using an *Animal Kingdom* for biological classification, any person can easily detail the classifications of biblical “Kind” since the Creator of Life and Universe had already given us a pattern and guide into where we should start doing the classifications of the created/designed life forms. The basis is to separate both Plant Kingdom and Animal Kingdom for easy detailed classification. But, to combine them is not difficult, if space is provided for plotting the connections between major segments to the last detailed segment of any given “kind”.

²³In *Figure 47* below, you could easily see that I pinpointedly detailed the Animal Kingdom by basing the three bases from the Bible, of land, water and air. *Figure 47* is the most probable correct classification of biological life forms of Animal Kingdom by using not only the Bible but by using the rule of thumb or Occam’s razor. The simplest and best method is simply the best explanation in science for reality. Thus, the biblical standard classifications of all life forms should be used as both pattern and standard in Biology and Taxonomy.




Figure 47. “Apes” in Biblical Classification of Kinds

²⁴Now, for actual application, where will any reasonable person put *ape* into this detailed *SKALO* for Animal Kingdom, as in *Figure 47* above and *Figure 48* below? By using the biblical way of classifying Animal Kingdom, where will we should put “ape” as our example? If we assume that ape has four feet, then, we put ape in the segment named “4 feet land/water” but if we decide that ape has two arms and two feet, then, we put “ape” into the segment named “2 feet land/water”. In here, we can see that biologist or taxonomist must decide on which are feet or not feet to satisfy *SKALO*. Some apes have four feet and no arms, some probably are the opposites. Also, ape can live in water such as in ponds or lakes, etc. without any aid from other animals. Live here means temporarily habitat or place of recreation, such as soaking into a hot spring or river etc. Thus, we can put ape in that segment named “4 feet land/water”.

²⁵Now, both *Figure 47* and *Figure 48* stated that humans are not part of Animal

Kingdom. I will explain it later. In *Figure 48* below, as an example here, those animals that could fly and consider “Air-Based Kind” could be subdivided into smaller segments, as shown in the segment named “2 feet wings”. These subdivisions could also be subdivided in detailed segment as long as it coincides with the major segmental kind, which is the segment named “2 feet wings”. They did not violate the classification of Biblical Kind.




Figure 48. Ape-kind and human kind? Where is human-kind in SKALO?

²⁶In *Figure 411* (see below), I assumed that *APE* could live an average lifespan of 50 years, and I easily computed the variations changes based on these data.




Figure 49. Renaming and Division of Segment

²⁷In *Figure 49* above, I also proposed another method of detailing a biblical kind by using numerical designation. By using a number designation, we could easily designate every segment based on numerical digit. For example, *S1*...then, the smaller division is *S101* or *S102* and the next numerical designation is *S10101*...or something like that. In this, I am just giving any biologist a hint to name the segment. Anybody can do it. For another designation. The best way is to put two names for any segment, whether they are a major segment of a detailed segment to augment bigger segment.

²⁸Now, let us compare biblical Kinds to Linnaean Species:

Biblical Kinds

1. **Biblical Kinds could interbreed.** If they don't want to interbreed but it is still

inside the Segmental Kind, then, considered the same kind. It is wrong to call them “they no longer interbreed”. They can probably interbreed but they do not want to interbreed;

2. **Biblical Kinds change or interact over time (interrelate, not evolve)** but it is always guided by intelligence and Biological Interrelation, BiTs, from the new Intelligent Design <id>;
3. **Biblical Kinds change due to internal and external pressures**, looking from the viewpoint of living organisms. But the changes are limited and controlled by intelligence or the living organisms will die;
4. **Biblical Kinds varies inside the Segmental Kind** but the variation is not outside the original location of Segmental Kind. To depart from its original Segmental Kind is to die;
5. **Biblical Kinds do change, as all life forms change**, but it is still in the same original Segmental Kind. The change always follows this limit: $1.5 < iP < 3$ or better. I will explain it later here in detail.

WHILE Linnaean SPECIES

How Biological Evolution sees Species.

1. *Linnaean Species could interbreed*. If they don't want to interbreed, they called them as “they no longer interbreed”. WRONG.
2. *Linnaean Species change over time (evolve)* but it is always non-intelligence-guided. A disaster to biological living organisms if non-intelligence ($0 < P < 1$) is to be followed! DISASTER and DEATH!
3. *Linnaean Species change due to internal and external pressures*, looking from the viewpoint of living organisms. But the changes are non-intentional and non-intelligence. DISASTER and DEATH;
4. *Linnaean Species varies inside the clade* but the variation is not intentional

and non-intelligence. DISASTER and DEATH;

5. *Linnaean Species do change* but it always follows this natural limit: $0 < P < 1$. I will explain it later here in detail.

Humans Are Not Part Of Animal Kingdom

²⁹As I had shown you in *Figure 48* and *Figure 49*, humans are not part of Animal Kingdom since humans were created/designed differently. *Figure 410* below shows it all.

³⁰The Bible had given us a clear and concise explanation on why humans are not part of Animal Kingdom and this reality is against the Theory of Evolution (ToE) and against the Phylogeny Tree, as endorsed by supporters of ToE. Although both life forms and humans are the creations of the biblical God, aka, IDer, but the Bible had explicitly separated the two: animals are part of Animal Kingdom and humans are ***not part*** of Animal Kingdom. *Figure 410* below is a good analogy on where an architect can build many houses and many buildings in a given subdivision, and yet this Architect will surely separate his house in where he lives.




Figure 410. Analogy on How an Architect Builds Houses and His House

³¹In a segment in *SKALO* in where *ape* as the main segmented kind, as in *Figure 411* below, you can see that I assumed that apes has two feet and has two arms, that could live in land/water, as I explained a while ago. This “ape” segment could be subdivided into several segments, but they are still in the main segment of “2 feet Land/water”. Take note very carefully that I am using APE as an example. In this segment named “Two feet land/water”, anyone can freely put any animals that satisfy the main segment, whether these animals are mammals or whatever, as long as they have “two feet land/water” which means, has *two feet and can live or adapt on both land and water*. But, in this segment or any other detailed segments underneath the major segment, humans are not included. I repeat, **humans are not part of Animal Kingdom**.

³²The Biblical Classification of Kind is much simpler and much straightforward of explaining the biological world based on their relatedness. It gives human the pinnacle of pedestal versus every other creations of the whole existence.




Figure 411. Division of Segment and Ape's Life Span

³³Below, as seen in *Figure 412* and *Figure 413* below, explains some biblical reasons on why humans are not part of Animal Kingdom. Human has both physical body, spiritual body and soul whereas all animals and plants have physical bodies and souls only. Thus, humans are not classified as animals or plants or inorganic matters.

Humans are part of God's Kingdom.

Humans are **not** part of Designated Domains/Kingdoms in Biology.



From the Bible.

When all humans are formed, even still in their mother's wombs, they are already possessing three elements of existence: physical **body**, **soul** and **spirit** - a perfect image of God as Trinity!

1 Thessalonians 5

²³ And the very God of peace sanctify you wholly; and I pray God your whole **spirit** and **soul** and **body** be preserved blameless unto the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ.

KJV 1611

Hebrews 4

¹² For the word of God is quick, and powerful, and sharper than any twoedged sword, piercing even to the dividing asunder of **soul** and **spirit**, and of the joints and marrow, and is a discerner of the thoughts and intents of the heart.

Figure 412. Humans Are Not Part of Animal Kingdom

Humans are not part of designated Biological Domains or Biological Kingdoms for two reasons:



From the Bible.

1. Humans are composed of three elements of existence: *physical body*, *soul* and *spirit* while all living organisms are composed of only two elements of existence: physical body and soul (life);
2. Humans are capable of achieving the level of both intelligence ($1.5 < i_P < 3$) and importance (importance > 3) while all living organisms are capable of achieving natural limit ($0 < P < 1$) only and sometimes, some living organisms, could reach the level/limit of instinct ($1 < I_n < 1.49$).

Figure 413. Explanations on Why Humans Are Not Part of Animal Kingdom

³⁴Of course, some of you may argue that nobody could never test a *soul* and *spirit* in science, thus, the qualifications or criteria of humans as distinct from animals or plants are maybe wrong. OK, let us follow that. But, the topic of intelligence had given us a clear distinctive division between human to animals and plants. Both animals and plants have instinct (non-intelligence) only. They cannot possess and cannot practice intelligence. Only humans can have both intelligence and non-intelligence (instinct), thus, there is a clear distinction and division between humans to animals and plants.

³⁵Thus, it very clear and reasonable to exclude humans in the Animal or Plant Kingdom. Any person who will include humans in either Animal Kingdom or Plant Kingdom or Inorganic Kingdom are either fooling humans, practicing pseudo-science, or simply showing stupidity.

Humans are part of God's Domain.

Humans are **not** part of Designated Domains/Kingdoms in Biology.

Matthew 6

³³ But seek ye first the **kingdom of God**, and his righteousness; and all these things shall be added unto you.

Mark 10

¹⁵ Verily I say unto you, Whosoever shall not receive the **kingdom of God** as a little child, he shall not enter therein.

Mark 10

²³ And Jesus looked round about, and saith unto his disciples, How hardly shall they that have riches enter into the **kingdom of God!**

²⁴ And the disciples were astonished at his words. But Jesus answereth again, and saith unto them, Children, how hard is it for them that trust in riches to enter into the **kingdom of God!**

Luke 17

²¹ Neither shall they say, Lo here! or, lo there! for, behold, the **kingdom of God** is within you.

Luke 18

¹⁷ Verily I say unto you, Whosoever shall not receive the **kingdom of God** as a little child shall in no wise enter therein.

John 3

³ Jesus answered and said unto him, Verily, verily, I say unto thee, Except a man be born again, he cannot see the **kingdom of God.**

⁵ Jesus answered, Verily, verily, I say unto thee, Except a man be born of water and of the Spirit, he cannot enter into the **kingdom of God.**

Figure 414. Verses and Passages From The Bible on Human Position

³⁶ *Figure 414* above had shown us the biblical reasons on why humans are **not part** of Animal Kingdom or Plant Kingdom or Inorganic Kingdom. Humans are part of Kingdom of God, the Kingdom of the Creator of the universe and life. **Thus, it is a severe insult** to both the Creator and humans if humans are classified as animals or below that.

³⁷ The difference is that humans are part in the Kingdom of God based on reality and science, while based on evolution, humans are parts in the Animal Kingdom, as seen in *Figure 415* below.

Matthew 6 (Biblical Version)

³³ But seek ye first the **kingdom of God**, and his righteousness; and all these things shall be added unto you.



Matthew 6 (Evolution Version)

³³ But seek ye first the **kingdom of animal**, and **no** righteousness; and all these things shall be **subtracted** unto you.

Figure 415. Differences Between Reality (Biblical Classification) and Pseudo-Science (Evolution's Phylogeny Tree)

The World Wide Flood and Biblical Kinds

³⁸It is very interesting to read the biblical passages on how the Creator (aka, Intelligent Designer) of universe and Life had used the word “kind” in a worldwide flood, on Noah’s time. Below are the passages from the Bible.

Genesis 6

¹⁸*But with thee will I establish my covenant; and thou shalt come into the ark, thou, and thy sons, and thy wife, and thy sons' wives with thee.*

¹⁹*And of every living thing of all flesh, two of every sort shalt thou bring*

into the ark, to keep them alive with thee; they shall be male and female.

²⁰Of fowls after their kind, and of cattle after their kind, of every creeping thing of the earth after his kind, two of every sort shall come unto thee, to keep them alive.

²¹And take thou unto thee of all food that is eaten, and thou shalt gather it to thee; and it shall be for food for thee, and for them.

²²Thus did Noah; according to all that God commanded him, so did he.

Genesis 7

¹³In the selfsame day entered Noah, and Shem, and Ham, and Japheth, the sons of Noah, and Noah's wife, and the three wives of his sons with them, into the ark;

¹⁴They, and every beast after his kind, and all the cattle after their kind, and every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth after his kind, and every fowl after his kind, every bird of every sort.

³⁹If you could read in *Genesis 6:20*, the Bible had detailed those animals that are in the Ark. They are the “fowls’ kind” (or in our present classification is the *Air-Based Kind*), the “cattle kind” or the *Land-Based Animals* and the last is the “creeping thing kind” or simply as *Land-Based Kind*, but detailed in a different segment. But, the *Water-Based Kind* and the Plant Kingdom were not included in the Ark! Which means, it is intended by the Creator-God to just cease temporarily the life of all those *affected* life forms on the time of Earth’s Flooding and easily restored them, as the original life forms before the world wide Flood.

⁴⁰Since it was peanut for the Creator God to design intelligently both universe, life and existence, then, it was also peanut for Him to flood the whole Earth and restored the life of all life forms that should be restored, as recorded in the Bible.

⁴¹If I am the Intelligent Designer who could design both the universe, life and existence, and if I would like to flood one planet like Earth worldwide, I could easily do it and it is so easy. I will just cease temporarily all affected innocence animal and plants (life

forms) to protect them from harms and restore their life after the worldwide flood.

Humans and Dinosaurs Lived Together?

⁴²It may seem out of topic in here but I think that since I discussed the existence of biblical God, the biblical Kinds, the biblical worldwide Flood and intelligence, I think I have the responsibility to discuss too if dinosaurs really lived with humans. See *Figure 416* and *Figure 417* below.

⁴³The answer is YES. Planet Earth would be a lonely place for humans if God created/designed few small size animals and live together with humans. Thus, a reasonable and logical Intelligent Designer will design huge and big sized animal together with humans. Earth's surface area is wide!

⁴⁴Just compare the size of the universe with the size of planet Earth! Now, let us compare land surface and water surface of Earth.

Surface Area:

Land area, about 148,300,000 sq. km, or about 30% of total surface area;

Water area, about 361,800,000 sq. km, or about 70% of total surface area

^{45/45}When your own Palace is designed; it is logical that you will include all "good" things that you owned and built. Thus, it is stupidity to think that an Intelligent Agent will never design both humans and big dinosaurs on the same time, of the same planet Earth! It is like saying that the universe is just composed of few planets like Earth and some distant stars and comets and no GALAXIES! Are you kidding me??!!

Surface Area: Land area, about 148,300,000 sq km, or about 30% of total surface area;

Water area, about 361,800,000 sq km, or about 70% of total surface area

From the new Intelligent Design 



Planet Earth would be a lonely place for humans if God created/designed few small size animals and live together with humans. Thus, a reasonable and logical Intelligent Designer will design a huge and big sized animals together with humans. Earth's surface area is wide!

Just compare the size of the universe with the size of planet Earth!

Figure 416. *Dinosaurs With Humans.*

When your own Palace is designed, it is logical that you will include all "good" things that you owned and built.

From the new
Intelligent Design 



Thus, it is stupidity to think that an Intelligent Agent will never design both humans and big dinosaurs on the same time, of the same planet Earth! It is like saying that the universe is just composed of few planets like Earth and some distant stars and comets and **no GALAXIES!** Are you kidding me??!!


Figure 417. You Are Stupid and Moron if You Think That Humans Did Not Live With Dinosaurs

Section 05.

Challenge 2: Creation has a mysterious limit that cannot be defined?

Challenge 2: Creation has mysterious limit that cannot be defined?

.....play at 9:02



The Battle of Limits and its Application!

^{1/38} *Creation? Has a mysterious limit? Funny question! That cannot be defined??*
Hilarious! Both Creation and Biological Interrelation (BiTs) use *intelligence*, thus, there will be no mystery in reality unless you are supporters of the Theory of Evolution (ToE) who use *non-intelligence*. Non-intelligence will surely turn your intellect to mystery. It is so obvious, right?

²This will be the second question of the **Phylogeny Challenge**, as seen in AronRa's YouTube video – basically, about the topic of *limit*. In here, AronRa thought that Creation...

(Sorry that I did not include this chapter completely.

Our topic here is mainly about Biblical Kinds)