# **Controllability For Underactuated Compliant Arms**

Michele Pierallini<sup>1,2</sup>, Franco Angelini<sup>1,2</sup>, and Manolo Garabini<sup>1,2</sup>

Abstract—Underactuated compliant arms are a promising technology to outperform traditional rigid robots in interaction tasks, thanks to their adaptability and shock-absorbing properties. However, the control problem is yet to be solved. In this paper, we study the controllability for a class of planar underactuated compliant arms under gravity. We prove the Kalman rank condition for a system with one actuated elastic joint, i.e., base joint, and a generic number of unactuated elastic ones. The proof relies on the induction principle on the dimension of the reachability matrix. Finally, we generalize the result for a generic number of actuated elastic joints in the chain.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Underactuated compliant robots include systems with elastic elements lumped at the joints, i.e., articulated robots [1], flexible links robots [2], and continuum robots [3]. Despite the great effort into the design of highly performant and compliant structures [4], the control problem is still open.

To tackle this challenge, it is necessary to analyze the controllability [5], and in particular, the small-time local controllability (STLC). Roughly speaking, if the system is STLC from a state, there exists a control action capable to bring the robot from the initial state to the final one in a finite time. Additionally, the reachability set is not empty in a neighborhood of the initial state [6].

In the literature, many articles investigate the controllability for planar rigid structures [7]. However, when the number of robot Degrees of Freedom (DoFs) increases the analysis results are complicated [8]. Thus, strong hypotheses are required to prove the controllability, e.g., in [9], the Authors consider links in the chain without masses. Surprisingly, these systems are accessible as showed in [10]. However, the sufficient conditions developed in [6], [11], and [12] can not prove the STLC also for a 2-DoFs robot, namely Pendubot. For this reason, in [13], the analysis is carried out for a multi-link rigid planar robot with one input deriving rank conditions, which depend on the dynamic parameters of the robot. The same kind of system is studied in [14], the controllability descends from the use of a global stabilizing feedback control law, which guarantees the convergence of the state. Then, in [15], the case of multiple actuators is considered. However, in all these articles, no elasticity is embedded in the robot model. Thus, the controllability of a

This research has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under Grant Agreement No. 101016970 (Natural Intelligence).

<sup>2</sup>Dipartimento di Ingegneria dell'Informazione, Università di Pisa, Largo Lucio Lazzarino 1, 56126 Pisa, Italy

michele.pierallini@gmail.com

planar underactuated compliant n-link arm with any number of actuators is still an open problem.

Finally, the main contribution of this work is the proof of the STLC property for the class of compliant arms. The proof relies on the Kalman rank condition using the induction principle on the dimension of the reachability matrix.

### **II. PROBLEM DEFINITION**

We model the underactuated compliant arm such as a combination of active and passive elastic joints [16], i.e.,

$$M(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + G(q) + D\dot{q} + Kq = S\tau , \qquad (1)$$

where  $q, \dot{q}, \ddot{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are the joint position, velocity, and acceleration vectors, respectively. We indicate with  $n_a$  the number of actuated joints.  $M(q) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such as  $M(q) \succ 0, \forall q \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $C(q, \dot{q}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are the inertia and Coriolis matrix of the robot, respectively.  $G(q) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  includes the gravity effect, and  $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is diagonal damping matrix such as  $D \succ 0$ .  $K \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is the spring matrix computed such as  $K \succ 0$ . In torque term  $S\tau$ ,  $\tau$  is the control input, and  $S : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n_a} \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is the underactuation matrix.

The system (1) can be written in the classical state-space affine form by defining the state vector  $x = [q^{\top}, \dot{q}^{\top}]^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ 

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t)) + g(x(t))u(t) , \qquad (2)$$

where  $t \in [0, t_{\rm f}]$  is the time variable, while  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{\rm a}}$  is the control action, i.e.,  $\tau$  in (1).  $f(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, t_{\rm f}] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $g(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, t_{\rm f}] \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n_{\rm a}}$  are the drift and control vector field, respectively, i.e.,

$$f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{q} \\ -M^{-1}(q)Q(q,\dot{q}) \end{bmatrix}, \ g(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n \times n_{\rm a}} \\ M^{-1}(q)S \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where  $Q(q,\dot{q}) \triangleq C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + G(q) + Kq + D\dot{q}$ .

Finally, the goal of this article in to prove that the underactuated compliant arm (1)-(2) is STLC from an equilibrium point, i.e., verify the Kalman rank condition.

## III. MAIN RESULT

Recalling the systems (1)-(2), any underactuated compliant arm has a rich equilibria set, which is equal to

$$\Theta_{\text{eq}} = \left\{ x(0) = \left[ q^{\top}(0), 0_{n \times 1}^{\top} \right]^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \tau(0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{\text{a}}} \\ \left| G(q(0)) + Kq(0) = S\tau(0) \right\}.$$
(4)

It is worth nothing that  $G(q(0)) + Kq(0) = S\tau(0)$  is a nonlinear system of *n* equations containing n+1 unknowns, thus the number of solutions is infinite.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Centro di Ricerca "Enrico Piaggio", Università di Pisa, Largo Lucio Lazzarino 1, 56126 Pisa, Italy

In the following proposition, we prove the STLC property [5] of the system (1)-(2).

**Proposition 1.** The system (1)-(2) is STLC from the equilibrium point  $x(0) = [q^{\top}(0), 0_{n\times 1}^{\top}]^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ ,  $u(0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_a}$  with  $(x(0), u(0)) \in \Theta_{eq}$ , if rank $\{\partial G(q)/\partial q|_{q(0)} + K\} = n$ .

*Proof.* Linearizing the system (2) around the equilibrium point  $(x(0), u(0)) \in \Theta_{eq}$ , leads to  $\dot{\xi} = A\xi + Bv$ , where  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_a}$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ , and  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times n_a}$  are computed as Taylor series. Without loss of generality, let us consider  $q(0) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n_a = 1$ , and  $u(0) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . If

$$\operatorname{rank}\left\{\left[B, AB, A^{2}B, \cdots, A^{2n-1}B\right]\right\} = \operatorname{rank}\left\{\mathscr{R}\right\} = 2n, (5)$$

then the system (1)-(2) is STLC from  $x(0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

The expressions of *A* and *B* are

$$A \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} 0_n & I_n \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}, B \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n \times n_a} \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix},$$
(6)

where

$$A_{21} = -M^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial G}{\partial q} + K \right) \Big|_{q(0),u(0)} ,$$
  

$$A_{22} = -M^{-1}D \Big|_{q(0),u(0)} ,$$
  

$$B_{2} = M^{-1}S \Big|_{q(0),u(0)} .$$
(7)

Let us define the block-diagonal matrix  $\mathscr{B} =$ blkdiag $(B_2, \dots, B_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2 \times nn_a}$ . Then, we can rewrite (5) such as

$$\mathscr{R} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} R_0 & R_1 & R_2 & R_3 & \cdots \end{bmatrix} \mathscr{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_n & I_n & A_{22} & A_{21} + A_{22} & \cdots \\ I_n & A_{22} & A_{21} + A_{22} & A_{22} (A_{21} + A_{22}) + A_{21} A_{22} & \cdots \end{bmatrix} \mathscr{B},$$
(8)

where

$$R_{i} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} A_{[1]}^{i} \\ A_{[2]}^{i} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{[2]}^{i-1} \\ A_{21}A_{[1]}^{i-1} + A_{22}A_{[2]}^{i-1} \end{bmatrix}, i = 1, \cdots, 2n-1.$$
(9)

From direct computation, we have that

- i)  $\operatorname{rank}\{A_{21}\} = \operatorname{rank}\{A_{22}\} = n$ .
- ii) rank{ $A_{21} + A_{22}$ } = *n*.
- iii) rank{ $A_{21}A_{22}$ } = rank{ $A_{22}A_{21}$ } = *n*.
- iv) rank $\{A_{21}B_2\}$  = rank $\{A_{22}B_2\}$  = rank $\{B_2\}$  =  $n_a = 1$ .

Now, we proceed by induction on the dimension of  $\mathscr{R}$  in (8). Let  $h = 0, \dots, 2n-1$  be the inductive index.

The base step h = 0 leads to rank  $\{R_0B_2\} = n_a = 1$ . Analogously, from direct computation we have rank  $\{[R_0B_2 \ R_1B_2]\} = 2n_a = 2$  when h = 1.

Now, we apply the induction hypotheses on  $h = 2, \dots, 2n - 2$ , which yields to rank  $\{ \begin{bmatrix} R_0 B_2 & \cdots & R_{2n-2} B_2 \end{bmatrix} \} = 2n - 1$ .

The induction step h = 2n - 1 leads to  $\mathscr{R}$  in (8). Due to the inductive hypotheses,  $R_{2n-2}B_2$  is linear independent form  $[R_0B_2, \ldots, R_{2n-3}B_2]$ . Hence, recalling (9) and (i)-(iv) we have also that  $R_{2n-1}B_2$  is full rank and linearly independent from  $[R_0B_2, \ldots, R_{2n-2}B_2]$  leading to the thesis, i.e., (5) holds.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 1.** The extension to  $n_a \in \mathbb{N}$  such as  $n_a \leq n$  actuators can be trivially obtained considering  $A^{\lceil 2n/n_a \rceil - 1}$  products instead of  $A^{2n-1}$  in (5), and following analogous steps.

## **IV. CONCLUSION**

This article proposes proof of the controllability for compliant underactuated arms. The proof relies on the Kalman rank condition using the induction principle on the dimension of the reachability matrix. Future work will investigate the observability.

#### REFERENCES

- Alin Albu-Schaffer, Oliver Eiberger, Markus Grebenstein, Sami Haddadin, Christian Ott, Thomas Wimbock, Sebastian Wolf, and Gerd Hirzinger. Soft robotics. *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine*, 15(3):20–30, 2008.
- [2] Alessandro De Luca and Bruno Siciliano. Closed-form dynamic model of planar multilink lightweight robots. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, 21(4):826–839, 1991.
- [3] Daniela Rus and Michael T Tolley. Design, fabrication and control of soft robots. *Nature*, 521(7553):467–475, 2015.
- [4] Chiwon Lee, Myungjoon Kim, Yoon Jae Kim, Nhayoung Hong, Seungwan Ryu, H Jin Kim, and Sungwan Kim. Soft robot review. *International Journal of Control, Automation and Systems*, 15(1):3– 15, 2017.
- [5] Rudolf Emil Kalman. Mathematical description of linear dynamical systems. Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Series A: Control, 1(2):152–192, 1963.
- [6] Hector J Sussmann. A general theorem on local controllability. SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 25(1):158–194, 1987.
- [7] John Hauser. On the controllability of the pendubot. *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, 43(14):114–118, 2010.
- [8] Stefano Iannitti and Alessandro De Luca. Dynamic feedback control of xynr planar robots with n rotational passive joints. *Journal of Robotic Systems*, 20(5):251–270, 2003.
- [9] Simon Lam and Edward J Davison. The real stabilizability radius of the multi-link inverted pendulum. In 2006 American Control Conference, pages 6–pp. IEEE, 2006.
- [10] Tan Chen and Bill Goodwine. Controllability and accessibility results for an *n*-link horizontal planar pendubot. In 2019 18th European control conference (ECC), pages 2596–2602. IEEE, 2019.
- [11] Hector J Sussmann. Lie brackets and local controllability: a sufficient condition for scalar-input systems. SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 21(5):686–713, 1983.
- [12] Alessandro De Luca and Stefano Iannitti. A simple stlc test for mechanical systems underactuated by one control. In *Proceedings* 2002 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (Cat. No. 02CH37292), volume 2, pages 1735–1740. IEEE, 2002.
- [13] Yannian Liu and Xin Xin. Controllability and observability of an *n*-link planar robot with a single actuator having different actuator-sensor configurations. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 61(4):1129– 1134, 2015.
- [14] Keigo Kobayashi and Tsuneo Yoshikawa. Controllability of underactuated planar manipulators with one unactuated joint. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 21(5-6):555–561, 2002.
- [15] Yuqing Hao, Zhisheng Duan, and Guanghui Wen. Controllability and observability of an n-link robot with multiple active links. *International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control*, 27(18):4633–4647, 2017.
- [16] Michele Pierallini, Franco Angelini, Riccardo Mengacci, Alessandro Palleschi, Antonio Bicchi, and Manolo Garabini. Trajectory tracking of a one-link flexible arm via iterative learning control. In 2020 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), pages 7579–7586. IEEE, 2020.
- [17] Patrizio Tomei. A simple pd controller for robots with elastic joints. IEEE Transactions on automatic control, 36(10):1208–1213, 1991.
- [18] Cosimo Della Santina. The soft inverted pendulum with affine curvature. In 2020 59th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC), pages 4135–4142. IEEE, 2020.