Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

# In Vivo Transcriptomic Profiling using Cell Encapsulation Identifies Effector Pathways of Systemic Aging

Omid Mashinchian<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Xiaotong Hong<sup>1,3,\*</sup>, Joris Michaud<sup>1,Ω</sup>, Eugenia Migliavacca<sup>1,Ω</sup>,
 Gregory Lefebvre<sup>1</sup>, Christophe Boss<sup>1</sup>, Filippo De Franceschi<sup>1</sup>, Emmeran Le Moal<sup>4</sup>,
 Jasmin Collerette-Tremblay<sup>4</sup>, Joan Isern<sup>3</sup>, Sylviane Metairon<sup>1</sup>, Frederic Raymond<sup>1</sup>,
 Patrick Descombes<sup>1</sup>, Nicolas Bouche<sup>1</sup>, Pura Muñoz-Cánoves<sup>3,5</sup>,
 Jerome N. Feige<sup>1,2,#</sup>, C. Florian Bentzinger<sup>1,4,#</sup>

- Nestlé Institute of Health Science, Nestlé Research Société des Produits Nestlé S.A. Lausanne, Switzerland
- School of Life Sciences
   École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL)
   Lausanne, Switzerland
- 3. Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Cardiovasculares (CNIC), Madrid, Spain
- 4. Département de Pharmacologie-Physiologie
  Institut de Pharmacologie de Sherbrooke
  Centre de Recherche du Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Sherbrooke
  Faculté de Médecine et des Sciences de la Santé
  Université de Sherbrooke
  Sherbrooke, Canada
- Department of Experimental and Health Sciences, Pompeu Fabra University (UPF), CIBER on Neurodegenerative Diseases (CIBERNED) and Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), Barcelona, Spain
- $^{*,\ \Omega}$  Equal contribution
- Corresponding authors & equal contribution:
   Florian Bentzinger: *cf.bentzinger@usherbrooke.ca* Jerome Feige: *Jerome.Feige@rd.nestle.com*

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

### Abstract

1 Sustained exposure to a young systemic environment rejuvenates aged organisms and 2 promotes cellular function. However, due to the intrinsic complexity of tissues it remains challenging to pinpoint niche-independent effects of circulating factors on specific cell 3 4 populations. Here we describe a method for the encapsulation of human and mouse skeletal muscle progenitors in diffusible polyethersulfone hollow fiber capsules that can be used to 5 6 profile systemic aging *in vivo* independent of heterogeneous short-range tissue interactions. 7 We observed that circulating long-range signaling factors in the old systemic environment lead to an activation of Myc and E2F transcription factors, induce senescence and suppress 8 9 myogenic differentiation. Importantly, in vitro profiling using young and old serum in 2D 10 culture does not capture all pathways deregulated in encapsulated cells in aged mice. Thus, in vivo transcriptomic profiling using cell encapsulation allows for the characterization of 11 12 effector pathways of systemic aging with unparalleled accuracy.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

#### Main

Systemic crosstalk between tissues has emerged as an important determinant of organismal 1 aging (Demontis et al., 2013). Supporting this notion, several features of tissue aging can be 2 3 slowed or reversed by heterochronic parabiosis (Bert, 1864; Conboy et al., 2015). Restoration of a youthful systemic environment has been shown to improve the function of 4 muscle, heart, liver, brain, and other tissues in aged mice (Baht et al., 2015; Conboy et al., 5 6 2005; Katsimpardi et al., 2014; Loffredo et al., 2013; Ruckh et al., 2012; Sinha et al., 2014; 7 Smith et al., 2015: Villeda et al., 2011). Interestingly, the positive effects of young blood on 8 aged tissues appear to be milder than the pronounced negative effects of aged blood on 9 young tissues (Rebo et al., 2016). This observation suggests the presence of dominant pro-10 aging factors in the systemic circulation, which cross-talk with local tissue niches to induce 11 the global decline in organ function associated with old age. Recent studies have aimed at 12 identifying the circulating factors involved in systemic aging, and some of the experimental 13 interpretations have led to considerable controversy in the field (Conese et al., 2017).

14 It has long been known that a range of endocrine hormones are perturbed in later stages of life. Aging affects the somatotroph axis leading to decreased levels of growth 15 16 hormone and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) (Garcia et al., 2000). Levels of sex hormones such as testosterone and estrogen drop in aged individuals (Institute of Medicine, 17 2004). Old age is also associated with increased systemic inflammation that often goes along 18 19 with a metabolic syndrome attributed to insulin resistance and an excessive flux of fatty acids 20 (Dominguez and Barbagallo, 2016; Franceschi and Campisi, 2014). Next to these broad 21 biological processes, several distinct pro- and anti-aging factors have been identified in the 22 systemic environment. These include growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15), eotaxin,  $\beta$ 2microglobulin, and transforming growth factor- $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ ), which negatively affect brain or 23 24 muscle tissue in aging (Lehallier et al., 2019; Smith et al., 2015; Tanaka et al., 2018; Villeda et al., 2011; Yousef et al., 2015). Factors activating Notch, growth differentiation factor 11 25 (GDF11) and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases 2 (TIMP2), on the other hand, have been 26 suggested to have rejuvenating effects (Castellano et al., 2017; Conboy et al., 2005; Conboy 27 28 and Rando, 2002; Mahmoudi et al., 2019), although the role of GDF11 is still controversial 29 (Egerman et al., 2015; Egerman and Glass, 2019; Harper et al., 2016; Schafer et al., 2016;

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

1 Sinha et al., 2014). In addition, transcript levels of the prolongevity protein Klotho in 2 circulating extracellular vesicles decreases with aging (Sahu et al., 2021).

3 The modulation of age affected signaling pathways, represents a promising alternative 4 to supplying or inhibiting systemic factors for rejuvenation. However, the study of pathways 5 that are responsive to systemic changes is complicated by the heterogeneous composition of tissues, which contain a multitude of cell types that communicate through secreted short-6 7 range signals. Systemic factors do not always act in a direct manner on tissue resident cell 8 populations but can instead trigger paracrine propagation and modulation of signals through 9 accessory cell types in the niche. In the intestinal crypt, paneth cells are known to transmit 10 systemic signals induced by caloric restriction to intestinal stem cells (Yilmaz et al., 2012). Moreover, fibro-adipogenic progenitors, an age-affected support cell population for skeletal 11 12 muscle stem cells, are highly susceptible to systemic cytokines (Biferali et al., 2019; 13 Lukjanenko et al., 2019). Factors in the aging circulation also alter accessory cell signaling in 14 the neurogenic niche, which contributes to neural stem cell dysfunction (Smith et al., 2018). 15 These examples illustrate that profiling of aging pathways that are directly affected by systemic long-range signaling factors, requires an approach that allows to subtract the 16 pervasive noise generated by the heterogeneous tissue environment. 17

18 Here we present a method that allows for the encapsulation of homogeneous cell 19 populations in diffusible hollow fiber capsules that can be transplanted subcutaneously to profile the effects of an aged systemic environment in the absence of short-range cellular 20 21 interactions (Fig. 1a). This approach makes it possible to expose cells from multiple species 22 and different genetic backgrounds to heterotypic physiological environments to characterize 23 gene-environment relationships. It provides a paradigm to disentangle direct effects mediated 24 by systemic signals from cell intrinsic programming and input relayed by accessory cells in 25 the tissue niche.

#### 26 Encapsulation of myogenic progenitors in PES hollow fiber membranes

Given their wide use in ultra-filtration applications, their oxidative, thermal and hydrolytic stability, and their favorable mechanical properties we chose polyethersulfone (PES) hollow fiber membrane (HFM) tubes for encapsulation of primary human (hskMPs) and C57BL/6J mouse derived skeletal muscle progenitors (mskMPs) (Zhao et al., 2013).

1 Importantly, PES fibers are biocompatible, have low immunogenicity, and become 2 vascularized when subcutaneously implanted (Hunter et al., 1999). In order to maximize the 3 number of encapsulated cells and, at the same time, allow for adequate oxygen diffusion throughout the capsule, we selected a tubular HFM with an outer diameter of 0.9 mm and an 4 inner diameter of 0.7 mm (Fig. 1b). The spongy-like, cross-linked architecture of PES-HFM 5 allows for the diffusion of molecules up to 280 kDa freely through the membrane but prevents 6 7 infiltration by cells. To provide a suitable adhesion matrix, cells were embedded in growth 8 factor reduced Matrigel (Kleinman et al., 1982). Based on flow cytometric quantification of 9 apoptosis. Matrigel resulted in cellular viability >90% following a ten-day culture period that 10 was superior to other extracellular matrix substrates or hydrogel (Supplementary Fig. 1a-c). After mounting of the HFM to an adaptor hub, the exposed end and the external hub interface 11 12 were sealed using a bio-compatible photopolymerizing medical grade polyacrylate adhesive 13 (Fig. 1c and Supplementary Fig. 1d). Sealing of devices was verified using a submersion 14 air pressure decay test. Trypsinized hskMPs or mskMPs mixed with Matrigel were injected 15 into the capsule trough the adaptor hub and the loaded capsule was transferred onto a 3D printed autoclavable USP Class VI plastic cutting and sealing platform (Supplementary Fig. 16 **1e,f**). Following cutting of the adaptor hub, the loaded capsule remained protected in the UV 17 18 impermeable plastic device while the second adhesive seal was photopolymerized.

#### 19 *In vitro* characterization of encapsulated myogenic progenitors

20 For validation of our experimental setup and to interrogate the behavior of 21 encapsulated cells, we performed a series of *in vitro* experiments. Capsules loaded with 22 hskMPs or mskMPs were placed into culture dishes, immersed in growth media, and were 23 maintained on a horizontal shaker platform in a tissue culture incubator. Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) staining of cryosections from 24 25 capsules that were kept in culture for ten days revealed that over 90% of the cells remained viable (Fig. 2a,b). Indicative of proper oxygen and nutrient supply, cell density increased 26 27 slightly in capsules in culture (Fig. 2c,d). Following ten days in culture, hskMPs and mskMPs 28 were distributed across the entire diameter of the capsules (Fig. 2e.f). Over the ten-day 29 encapsulation time-course, hskMPs and mskMPs showed a mild ≤37% reduction in the 30 number of cells positive for the myogenic marker Pax7, while ≥80% of the cells remained

1 positive for MyoD (Fig. 2g-I). Indicating that the capsule 3D context favors the maintenance 2 of myogenic markers, hskMPs in classic 2D culture downregulated Pax7 and MyoD 3 expression by 62% and MyoD by 37% over the same ten-day period (Supplementary Fig. 4 **1g**). No apparent difference in the distribution of mitochondria was observed when hskMPs or 5 mskMPs in 2D culture were compared to encapsulated cells (Supplementary Fig. 2a-d). When compared to cells in 2D culture, 3D exposure to Matrigel in the capsules led to a higher 6 7 cytoskeletal complexity with an 123% increase in filopodia in mskMPs (Supplementary Fig. 8 **2a-e**). Growth factor deprivation over a four-day differentiation period, induced a robust  $\geq$ 80% 9 reduction of Pax7 in hskMPs and mskMPs, reduced MyoD by 43% in human cells, and, in 10 spite of spatial restraints due to 3D embedding, increased the terminal differentiation marker myosin heavy chain (MHC) by  $\geq$ 170% in both cell types (Fig. 2m-s). After encapsulation, 11 12 proliferative cells could be recovered from the capsules by enzymatic liberation 13 (Supplementary Fig. 3a). Collectively, these observations demonstrate that encapsulated 14 hskMPs and mskMPs remain viable and proliferative, retain the expression of myogenic 15 markers, and are capable to respond to pro-differentiative signals.

#### 16 *In vivo* characterization of encapsulated myogenic progenitors

17 We next established the capsule implantation and recovery procedure in adult 18 male C57BL/6J mice. Since it is easily accessible and in contact with the extensive 19 subcutaneous vasculature, skeletal muscle, and bone, resembling the systemic environment 20 myogenic progenitors are exposed to *in situ*, we chose the myofascia of the ribcage as an implantation site. The animals were anesthetized, and incisions were made on the back 21 22 slightly posterior to each scapula. Three to four capsules containing hskMPs or mskMPs 23 were introduced in varying locations into the subcutaneous fascia over the ribcage separated 24 by 3-5 mm (Fig. 3a). Capsule insertion was performed using a hypodermal plastic tube with a sliding metal plunger. In case of hskMPs, an immune reaction to secreted xenogeneic 25 26 proteins was prevented by subcutaneous implantation of an osmotic pump supplying the 27 immunosuppressant FK-506 on the flank contralateral to the capsules. After ten days of in vivo insertion the capsules showed external vascularization and minimal connective tissue 28 29 build-up (Fig. 3b). The porous capsule wall served as an effective barrier for host cells, and 30 we did not observe any CD31 positive blood vessels that were able to penetrate the devices

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

(Supplementary Fig. 3b). TUNEL apoptosis assays using cross-sections from explanted capsules revealed that ≥80% of hskMPs and mskMPs remained viable (Fig. 3c,d), and that Pax7, MyoD and MHC were still expressed by the cells (Fig. 3e-m). Out of a panel of 40 inflammatory markers, not a single factor was upregulated in serum from C57BL/6J mice that received capsules containing syngeneic cells in the absence of immunosuppression (Supplementary Fig. 4a and Supplementary Table 1). Thus, PES hollow fiber capsules do not cause an apparent immunogenic response.

#### 8 In vivo profiling of systemic aging

9 To apply our systemic profiling protocol, we implanted young and aged C57BL/6J mice 10 with capsules containing hskMPs and mskMPs. hskMPs take about ten days to fully fuse into 11 myotubes (Cheng et al., 2014). Thus, in order to account for eventual differentiative effects of the systemic environment on the encapsulated cells, we chose this time point for isolation 12 13 and analysis. The RNA yield was sufficient for genome wide transcriptomic profiling for both cell types (**Supplementary Fig. 5a,b**). Gene set enrichment analysis using the hallmark 14 database revealed that targets of the Myc and E2F family of transcription factors that have 15 16 previously been implicated in aging and senescence, were induced in both hskMPs and 17 mskMPs exposed to an aged systemic environment (Dimri et al., 2000; Hofmann et al., 2015; Shavlakadze et al., 2018) (Fig. 4a-c and Supplementary Table 2-5). To confirm these 18 19 findings independently, we performed semi-guantitative PCR using mRNA isolated from 20 capsules containing hskMPs after ten days of implantation in young and aged mice. This 21 experiment confirmed age-mediated a 157% increase of the Myc target small nuclear 22 ribonucleoprotein polypeptide A' (Snrpa1) and a 92% increase of the E2F target transferrin 23 receptor (Tfrc) that were part of the respective gene sets upregulated in encapsulated cells in 24 old mice (Supplementary Fig. 5c,d).

Myc is induced by mitogens and inflammatory processes (Frank et al., 2001; Liu et al., 26 2015). However, aging is known to downregulate systemic sex hormones and the 27 somatotroph axis leading to decreased levels of critical growth factors and mitogens such as 28 IGF-1 and growth hormone (Garcia et al., 2000). Thus, in order to determine whether Myc 29 induction goes along with elevated systemic proinflammatory markers, we profiled serum 30 from aged C57BL/6J mice and detected increased levels of B lymphocyte chemoattractant

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

1 (BLC, CXCL13), intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1, CD54), Leptin, monokine 2 induced by gamma (MIG, CXCL9) and TIMP-1 when compared to the young condition 3 (**Supplementary Fig. 6a and Supplementary Table 6**). Interestingly, immunostaining for Ki-4 67 showed that increased levels of Myc signaling in the aged condition did not lead to a 5 higher rate of proliferation in encapsulated cells (**Supplementary Fig. 7a,b**). However, we 6 observed an 39% increase in  $\beta$ -galactosidase positive senescent cells in capsules explanted 7 from aged mice (**Supplementary Fig. 7c,d**).

Further gene set analysis revealed that the categories myogenesis, epithelialmesenchymal transition (EMT), interleukin, interferon, and p53 signaling were found to be suppressed in hskMPs and mskMPs by an aged systemic environment (**Fig. 4d and Supplementary Table 7,8**). In agreement with an anti-myogenic effect in the aged circulation, we observed that encapsulated hskMPs explanted from old mice showed a 51% lower fusion index than in the young condition (**Supplementary Fig 7e,f**).

14 To determine which features of aging are directly controlled by long-range secreted 15 factors in the systemic circulation as opposed to signals transduced by the physiological tissue niche, we compared our dataset to freshly isolated myogenic progenitors from young 16 and aged C57BL/6J mice (Fig. 4e and Supplementary Table 9,10). Next to many 17 18 exclusively niche controlled processes, we observed an overlap with respect to an 19 upregulation of Myc and E2F targets, as well as a downregulation of myogenesis and EMT. 20 Collectively, these results demonstrate that aging is characterized by an increased 21 abundance of systemic inflammatory molecules that correlates with higher activity of Myc and 22 E2F transcription factors, cellular senescence, and a reduced differentiation potential of 23 myogenic progenitors.

#### 24 Exposure to aged serum only captures a fraction of systemically affected pathways

We next set out to determine whether the systemic aging signature we observed using encapsulated cells *in vivo* can be reproduced using a simple cell culture paradigm. To this end we exposed hskMPs in 2D culture for four and ten days to young and aged human serum, isolated RNA, and performed and genome wide transcriptomic profiling. Gene set enrichment analysis revealed that aged human serum led to a weak induction of pathways at day four, while more gene categories and a partial overlap with the profile obtained from

1 encapsulated myogenic progenitors in old mice was observed at day ten (Supplementary 2 Fig. 8a and Supplementary Table 11,12). In particular, the top three pathways, Myc targets, 3 oxidative phosphorylation, and E2F targets, were similarly induced by aged human serum in vitro and in encapsulated myogenic progenitors in old mice. Counterintuitively, the category 4 5 myogenesis was upregulated by aged serum *in vitro* after both four and ten days of exposure. Moreover, other gene set categories such as fatty acid metabolism that were strongly 6 7 induced in both encapsulated cells in old mice and in aged freshly isolated myogenic 8 progenitors, were not affected by aged serum in vitro.

After both four or ten days of exposure of hskMPs to aged human serum *in vitro*, we observed a robust response in downregulated gene sets (**Supplementary Fig. 8b and Supplementary Table 13,14**). However, in particular the top downregulated gene sets showed poor overlap with the profile obtained from encapsulated hskMPs or mskMPs in aged mice or in freshly isolated old myogenic progenitors. Moreover, myogenesis, which was consistently downregulated in both encapsulated cells and in freshly isolated cells in the aged condition, was not repressed by aged serum *in vitro*.

In contrast to our observations using encapsulated cells (**Supplementary Fig. 7a-f**), we observed that aged human serum *in vitro* did not increase the abundance of senescenceassociated beta-galactosidase positive cells or affect the fusion index of the cells (**Supplementary Fig. 9a-f**). Overall, these results demonstrate that the effects of systemic aging observed in encapsulated myogenic progenitors in old mice can only partially be reproduced *in vitro*.

We conclude that cell encapsulation allows to capture the transcriptional effects of systemic aging on myogenic progenitors at unprecedented resolution. Long-range signals in the aged circulation lead to a deregulation of a wide variety of pathways, including an activation of Myc and E2F transcription factors, as well as an induction of anti-myogenic and senescence related processes.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

#### Discussion

1 Our study demonstrates that encapsulation of syn- and xenogeneic cells allows for 2 systemic transcriptional profiling independent of short-range heterogenous cellular 3 interactions. The effects of aged serum on cells in 2D culture only partially overlapped with our observations in encapsulated cells in young and old mice. This result supports the notion 4 5 that certain factors in the systemic circulation are unstable in vitro or have a very short half-6 life. Compared to other types of capsules that have been used in mice, for instance planar 7 macroencapsulation devices (Lathuiliere et al., 2014), hollow fiber capsules are miniaturized 8 to a diameter of 0.7 mm and a length of 1cm that can be varied according to need. PES is 9 one of the most frequently used polymers in medical applications and, due to its low 10 immunogenicity, has been studied extensively in the context of artificial organs and medical 11 devices used for blood purification in humans (Samtleben et al., 2003; Tullis et al., 2002; 12 Werner et al., 1995; Zhao et al., 2001). Underlining its biocompatibility, we observed that subcutaneous implantation of PES capsules did not induce systemic inflammatory markers. 13

14 The myofascia of the ribcage is extensively vascularized and in close proximity to bone and skeletal muscle. Thus, resembling the endogenous niche environment of myogenic 15 16 cells, it was well suitable as an implantation site for our study. However, for investigation of other cell types that require implantation in different tissues or organs the hollow fiber capsule 17 format may not be ideal and may have to be adapted. Further miniaturization of the capsules 18 19 would likely yield insufficient mRNA for bulk transcriptomics and either extensive amplification 20 or single-cell sequencing would be required for downstream processing. Given, the broad 21 interest of the aging field in using skeletal muscle stem cells as a model system for tissue maintenance and repair (Drew, 2018; Evano and Tajbakhsh, 2018; Gopinath and Rando, 22 23 2008), we selected myogenic progenitors for our profiling experiments. We observed that 24 after explantation of capsules viable proliferative cells can be enzymatically liberated. Thus, 25 our method is not limited to bulk transcriptomic profiling, but could also be modified to allow for single cell sequencing or for the *in vitro* study of long-lasting intrinsic adaptations induced 26 27 by exposure to an aged systemic environment.

Worldwide, the population of aged individuals has grown to an unprecedented size, and by 2050 more than 430 million people will be over the age of 80 (Drew, 2018). The characterization of *bona fide* aging signatures in defined cell populations independent of the

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

1 heterogenous niche context, could potentially allow for the identification of novel therapeutic 2 targets for the systemic treatment of age-associated cellular dysfunction in frail individuals. 3 Importantly, cell encapsulation allows to filter out the dominant noise of the aged tissue that is 4 in direct contact with the cell population of interest. Only long-range signaling factors are able 5 to diffuse over the capsule membrane, which allows to read out effects of these molecules independent of extracellular matrix, heterologous cell-cell contacts, and short-range paracrine 6 7 growth factors secreted by accessory cells in the tissue. Therefore, in contrast to profiling of 8 cells directly extracted from aged niches or following parabiotic pairing, encapsulation allows 9 to obtain a pure and unbiased transcriptional signature of the systemic environment in 10 defined cell types of choice and makes this amenable to cellular signaling across different species. As such, encapsulation is not an alternative to studying cells in their endogenous 11 12 niche, but a complementary approach that allows to dissect specific questions on systemic 13 versus tissue-mediated interactions.

14 Using gene set enrichment analysis, we observed that the aged systemic environment induces senescence, is anti-myogenic, and activates the Myc and E2F family of transcription 15 factors in both mouse and human myogenic progenitors. E2F1, which interacts with the 16 17 retinoblastoma tumor suppressor, has been shown to have a role in promoting cellular 18 senescence (Dimri et al., 2000). Mice haploinsufficient for Myc exhibit an increased lifespan 19 and are resistant to osteoporosis, cardiac fibrosis and immunosenescence (Hofmann et al., 2015). Rapalogs, which inhibit the activity of mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1, 20 21 increase life span and delay hallmarks of aging in many species. It has been shown that the 22 rapalog RAD001, counter-regulates Myc in aged kidneys (Shavlakadze et al., 2018). 23 Moreover, Myc is known to be induced by mitogens and inflammatory processes (Frank et 24 al., 2001; Liu et al., 2015). In agreement with these observations, we detected significantly increased levels of systemic pro-inflammatory factors in aged mice. Interestingly, 25 26 fundamental biological mechanisms such as RNA processing and inflammation related 27 processes affected in our encapsulation study, were also changed in brain tissue of heterochronic parabionts (Baruch et al., 2014). Altogether, our study suggests that these 28 29 cellular mechanisms are a consequence of systemic aging that occur independent of the 30 influence of heterologous tissue-resident accessory cells. In contrast, comparison to myogenic cells directly isolated from skeletal muscle tissue, indicates that processes such as 31

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

1 apoptosis are imposed by the aged niche and are not directly affected by the systemic

2 environment.

Importantly, our protocol is not limited to aging and might also allow to assess the impact of other multisystemic conditions on defined cell populations of interest. Moreover, in future applications, the combination of encapsulation technology with genetically engineered or induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) derived cells, could allow to study systemic effects under controlled physiological conditions at an unprecedented level of detail.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

#### Acknowledgements

1 We are grateful to Nagabhooshan Hegde, José Sanchez and the Nestlé Institute of Health 2 Science Musculo-Skeletal Health and Cell Biology departments and community for discussion and support. We thank Phoukham Phothirath and Oliver Rizzo of the preclinical 3 4 investigations group of Nestlé Research for expert advice and support with in vivo experiments. O.M., J.N.F. and C.F.B are supported by the Fondation Suisse de Recherche 5 6 sur les Maladies Musculaires (FSRMM). C.F.B. is supported by the Canadian Institutes of 7 Health Research (CIHR, PJT-162442), the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research 8 Council of Canada (NSERC, RGPIN-2017-05490), the Fonds de Recherche du Québec -Santé (FRQS, Dossiers 296357, 34813, and 36789), the ThéCell Network (supported by the 9 10 FRQS), the Canadian Stem Cell Network, and a research chair of the Centre de Recherche Médicale de l'Université de Sherbrooke (CRMUS). P.M.C is supported by ERC-2016-AdG-11 12 741966, La Caixa-HEALTH-HR17-00040, MDA, AFM, MWRF, UPGRADE-H2020-825825, RTI2018-096068-B-I00, a María de Maeztu Unit of Excellence award to UPF (MDM-2014-13 14 0370), and a Severo Ochoa Center of Excellence award to the CNIC (SEV-2015-0505). X.H 15 is recipient of a Severo Ochoa FPI (SEV-2015-0505-17-1) predoctoral fellowship.

#### **Author Contributions**

O.M., X.H., C.B., F.D.F., J.M., and N.B. contributed to experimental design, conducted
experiments, and analyzed results. E.LM., J.C.T, J.I., and P.M.C. provided critical reagents
and experimental support. E.M. and G.L. performed bioinformatic analysis. S.M., F.R. and
P.D. developed the RNA isolation methodology and performed transcriptional profiling. O.M.,
X.H., J.N.F, and C.F.B. directed the study, designed experiments, analyzed and interpreted
results, and wrote the manuscript.

## **Competing Financial Interests**

O.M., X.H., E.M., G.L., C.B., F.D.F., J.M., S.M., F.R., P.D., N.B., J.N.F. and C.F.B. were or
are employees of the Société des Produits Nestlé S.A., Switzerland.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

#### **Figure legends**

#### 1 Fig. 1 | PES hollow fiber capsules for transcriptomic profiling of long-range systemic

signals. a, Scheme outlining interactions in the tissue niche in the context of the systemic 2 circulation. Using cell encapsulation, the direct effects of long-range diffusible factors, 3 including growth factors, nutrients, gases and ions, on maintenance and differentiation of 4 defined cell types of interest can be assessed independent of the influence of accessory cells 5 6 presenting cell-cell adhesion receptors or secreting extracellular matrix and short-range 7 signaling factors, b. Scanning electron micrographs of the polyethersulfone (PES) hollow fiber membrane. Scale bars: 200 µm (top left), 20 µm (bottom left) and 5 µm (right). c, 8 9 Schematic outlining the capsule loading procedure and photograph of a PES hollow fiber 10 membrane mounted to the adaptor hub.

11 Fig. 2 | In vitro characterization of encapsulated myogenic progenitors. a,b, Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) based quantification of 12 13 apoptosis in encapsulated human (hskMP) or mouse (mskMP) skeletal muscle progenitors 14 maintained in growth media for ten days. c.d DNA staining based quantification of hskMP or 15 mskMP numbers in cross sections of capsules maintained for 4, 8 or 10 days in growth 16 media. e,f, Representative DNA stainings of cross sections from a capsule containing hskMPs or mskMPs maintained ten days in growth media. Scale bars: 75 µm. g, 17 18 Representative Pax7 immunostainings of cross sections from capsules containing hskMPs or 19 mskMPs maintained ten days in growth media. Scale bars: 150 µm. h,i, Quantification of 20 Pax7 positive cells in capsules containing hskMPs or mskMPs maintained for 4, 8 or 10 days 21 in growth media. j, Representative MyoD immunostainings of cross sections from capsules 22 containing hskMPs or mskMPs maintained ten days in growth media. Scale bars: 150 µm. k.l. Quantification of MyoD positive cells in capsules containing hskMPs or mskMPs maintained 23 24 for 4, 8 or 10 days in growth media. **m-p**, Quantification of Pax7 and MyoD in cross sections 25 from capsules maintained for four days under proliferative (Prolif.) conditions in growth media or in differentiation (Diff.) media. **q**, Representative myosin heavy chain (MHC) 26 27 immunostainings of cross sections from capsules containing hskMPs or mskMPs maintained for four days in differentiation media. Scale bars: 75 µm. r.s. Quantification of MHC positive 28 29 cells in capsules containing hskMPs or mskMPs maintained for four days in growth media

compared to differentiation media. All graphs represent means +/- s.e.m. n≥3 cross sections
 from different capsules were quantified for each experiment and time-point. \*\*\*\*P<0.0001,</li>
 \*\*\*P<0.001, \*\*P<0.01, \*P<0.05. Two-way comparisons were made with a student's t-test and</li>
 multiple comparisons by one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-test.

5 Fig. 3 | In vivo characterization of encapsulated myogenic progenitors. a, Schematic of 6 the implantation strategy for the PES hollow fiber capsules in mice. In case of hskMPs, an 7 osmotic pump supplying immunosuppressant was implanted at the contralateral flank. b, 8 Photograph of the capsules in the connective tissue under the skin of mice ten days after 9 implantation. Arrows are pointing at blood vessels in proximity of the capsules. Scale bar: 0.2 10 cm. c,d, Quantification of TUNEL negative hskMP or mskMP skeletal muscle progenitors 11 after ten days in vivo. e, Representative Pax7 immunostainings of cross sections from 12 capsules containing hskMPs or mskMPs after ten days in vivo. f.g. Quantification of Pax7 13 positive cells in capsules containing hskMPs or mskMPs after ten days in vivo. h, 14 Representative MyoD immunostainings of cross sections from capsules containing hskMPs 15 or mskMPs after ten days in vivo. i,j, Quantification of MyoD positive cells in capsules containing hskMPs or mskMPs after ten days in vivo. k, Representative MHC 16 17 immunostainings of cross sections from capsules containing hskMPs or mskMPs after ten 18 days in vivo. I,m, Quantification of MHC positive cells in capsules containing hskMPs or mskMPs after ten days in vivo (c,d,f,g,i,j,l,m) Cross sections of capsules explanted from n=3 19 mice were analyzed for each experiment. Graphs represent means +/- s.e.m. (e,h,k) Scale 20 21 bars: 150 µm. Comparisons were made by one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-22 hoc test.

23 Fig. 4 | Transcriptomic profiling of systemic aging. a, Gene set enrichment analysis 24 (GSEA) of age-induced genes in encapsulated hskMPs or mskMPs compared to the young control after ten days in vivo. Shaded bars represent gene sets overlapping between human 25 26 and mouse cells. Snowflakes indicate gene sets that are also upregulated by aged serum in 27 vitro (Supplementary fig. 8a). **b,c**, GSEA barcode plots depicting enrichment of Myc targets 28 with age in encapsulated hskMPs or mskMPs compared to the young control after ten days in 29 vivo. d, GSEA of genes downregulated with age in encapsulated hskMPs or mskMPs 30 compared to the young control after ten days in vivo. Shaded bars represent gene sets

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

overlapping between human and mouse cells. Snowflakes indicate gene sets that are also 1 downregulated by aged serum in vitro (Supplementary fig. 8b). e, GSEA of genes up- or 2 3 downregulated with age in freshly isolated niche resident skeletal muscle stem cells. Shaded bars represent gene sets overlapping with encapsulated hskMPs or mskMPs in young and 4 aged mice. Snowflakes indicate gene sets that are also up- or downregulated by aged serum 5 6 in vitro (Supplementary fig. 8a,b). Data from n=8 young or aged mice. (a-e) Samples and 7 data are derived from capsules of  $n \ge 5$  mice in each age group. False discovery rate (FDR) = 8 Adjusted p-value using Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

#### Methods

#### 1 Cell culture

2 Human skeletal myoblasts (hskMPs, Lonza, CC-2580) isolated from donated human tissue of 3 20 year old healthy Caucasians were used at passages 3-5 after obtaining permission for 4 their use in research applications by the Cantonal Ethical Commission of canton de Vaud 5 (CER-VD). For *in vitro* expansion, the cells were maintained in human skeletal muscle myoblast growth medium (Zenbio, SKM-M) in human fibronectin coated dishes fibronectin 6 7 (Corning, 356008) in a 37°C, 5% CO2 incubator, and were passaged once confluency 8 reached 50%. Primary mouse myoblasts (mskMPs) from 3-week-old C57BI6/J (Charles 9 River, C57BL/6NCrl) mice were maintained in collagen I coated dishes (Sigma-Aldrich, 10 C3867-1VL) in Ham's F10 media (Wisent, 318-051-CL) containing 20% FBS (Wisent, 80450, 11 lot 115714), 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin solution (Wisent, 450-201-EL) and 2,5 ng/mL bFGF 12 (VWR, 10821-962) in a 37°C, 5% CO2 incubator at a confluence under 80%. For transcriptomic profiling of hskMPs in vitro, the cells were seeded into human fibronectin 13 coated dishes (Corning, 356008) in human skeletal muscle myoblast growth medium (Zenbio, 14 15 SKM-M). After 8 hours, the cells were washed and maintained in medium containing 1% human skeletal muscle myoblast growth medium (Zenbio, SKM-M) and 9% of human serum 16 17 from 3 different 19-20 year old (young) or 3 different 60-64 year old (aged) healthy Caucasian 18 donors (HumanCells Biosciences, FP-006-C200) after obtaining permission for their use in 19 research applications by informed consent and legal authorization for 4 or 10 days. The cells were maintained under humidified conditions at 37°C in a 5% CO2 incubator and medium 20 was changed every other day. 21

#### 22 Embedding of cells

Growth factor reduced Matrigel (Corning, 354230) and MaxGel ECM (Sigma-Aldrich, E0282) were thawed at 4 °C and pipette tips were chilled at -20 °C before starting the experiment. All material was kept on ice or at 4 °C during the procedure. All mixing steps were carried out with caution to avoid generating bubbles. Cells were harvested with trypsin, numbers were quantified using a cell counter (Vi-CELL, AT39233), and the sample was centrifuged. The pellet was resuspended in ice-cold medium at a concentration of 20k cells/µl and kept on ice. The cell mixture was mixed with 1 volume Matrigel or MaxGel by gentle pipetting, giving rise

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

to a final concentration of 10k cells/µl. Hydrogels (Sigma-Aldrich, TrueGel3D Hydrogel Kit,
TRUE7) of ~10kPa were prepared by thawing of the SLO-DEXTRAN solution, TrueGel3D
buffer at room temperature. SLO-DEXTRAN solution and TrueGel3D buffer were then mixed
with the cells as described above for Matrigel and MaxGel. For hydrogel polymerization
peptide based crosslinker (Sigma-Aldrich, TRUECD) was added to the cell suspension mix.

#### 6 Device mounting

7 Polyethersulfone (PES) hollow fiber membranes (HFM, AKZO NOBEL) were cut into pieces 8 of 1.2 cm (Fig. 1b,c). The adaptor hub (Supplementary fig. 1d) was produced by 9 assembling a plastic loading head (Neurotech Pharmaceuticals) with a piece of PEBAX 10 single lumen tubing (Medical Extrusion Technologies). The piece of HFM was then connected to the adaptor hub and sealed at the external interface and the exposed end using a bio-11 12 compatible photopolymerizing medical grade adhesive (Loctite, Henkel, L37DAI9124). Polymerization was induced using a BlueWave LED Prime UVA high-intensity spot-curing 13 system (Dymax, 40322) emitting two 5 second pulses of UV. The remaining empty lumen (1 14 15 cm) of the capsule holds a volume of 4 µL. Sealing of each device was verified using an air-16 leak test. While immerged in sterile double distilled water, filtered air was injected at a 17 pressure of 17.58 hPa (2.5 Psi) for 5 s. Devices showing pressure decay greater than 100 Pa over 5 s were discarded. Assembled devices were sterilized with ethylene oxide gas before 18 19 further use.

#### 20 Cell encapsulation

10 µl of the cell-Matrigel mixture was loaded into each capsule using a Hamilton gastight 21 22 syringe (50 µl) through the adaptor hub. Once the injected volume exceeded the inner 23 volume of the device, a fraction of the total volume was ultrafiltrating through the porous 24 membrane. The loaded capsule was transferred onto the 3D printed autoclavable USP Class 25 VI plastic cutting and sealing platform (**Supplementary fig. 1e,f**), cut with a razor blade and 26 sealed with the medical grade adhesive while left protected in the UV blocking plastic device. 27 The capsule was then transferred to pre-warmed media and maintained on a shaker (80 rpm) in a 37° C, 5% CO2 incubator. Media was changed every day. For *in vivo* studies, freshly 28 29 loaded capsules were maintained in the incubator overnight before implantation. To re-isolate 30 live cells from capsules, they were cut with a razor blade on both ends. A Hamilton gastight

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

syringe was then used to perfuse with StemPro accutase (Thermo Fisher Scientific,
 A1110501).

#### 3 Surgery

4 Capsule implantation experiments were performed using 6-week to 22-month-old male 5 C57BL/6J mice (Janvier, C57BL/6JRj) in accordance with the Swiss regulation on animal experimentation and the European Community Council directive (86/609/EEC) for the care 6 7 and use of laboratory animals. Experiments were approved by the Vaud cantonal authorities 8 under license VD3085, and by the Animal Care and Ethics Committee of the Spanish 9 National Cardiovascular Research Center (CNIC) and regional authorities. Mice had access 10 to water and food ad libitum at all time. Animals were randomized by body weight within 11 experimental groups. Before surgery, mice were anesthetized using isoflurane and lidocaine 12 was applied onto the shaved skin. Capsules were implanted through a small incision on the back, slightly posterior to the scapulae. Separated by 3-5 mm, 3-4 capsules were inserted 13 through the incision into the subcutaneous fascia over the rip-cage using hypodermic venflon 14 15 plastic tube (BD) sliding over a metal plunger. The metal plunger held the capsule in place while the plastic applicator tube was withdrawn over it. For encapsulated human cells, an 16 17 osmotic minipump (Alzet 1002, Charles River) supplying 2.5 mg/kg/day of FK-506 in 70% 18 ethanol (VWR Chemicals BDH, 153386F) was implanted through a second incision on the opposite side of the spine. Subsequently the incisions were closed using surgical staples. 19 20 After surgery, mice were kept in single housing with daily surveillance and bodyweight 21 measurement. 10 days after implantation, the mice were euthanized and the capsules were retrieved, washed in warm PBS, incubated in 37 °C warm trypsin for 5 minutes, and washed 22 23 again before processing. Mice that showed weight loss >15% or displayed signs of wound infection and inflammation, were excluded from the study. 24

#### 25 Cryo-sample preparation

Gelatin solution was heated up to and kept at 39 °C until completely melted. Capsules were placed on a layer of gelatin applied to a thin plastic mold. Subsequently, another layer of gelatin was applied to cover the capsules. The sample was then kept at 4 °C for 5 minutes to ensure complete gelation. The sample was then snap frozen in a liquid nitrogen chilled isopentane slurry for 1 minute and transferred to dry ice.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

#### 1 Stainings

2 Capsule cryosections were fixed with 4% PFA (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 28908). Fixed 3 samples were washed with PBS and permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich, T8787) for 15 minutes at room temperature. The sections were then blocked with 4% IgG-4 5 free BSA (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 001000162) for 1 hour at room temperature. Samples were incubated with primary antibody at 4°C overnight or for 2 hours at room temperature in 6 7 blocking buffer. After washing, the sections were incubated with the corresponding secondary 8 antibodies and 40, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Thermo Fisher Scientific, D1306) for 45 9 minutes at room temperature. After further washing, the slide was dried and mounted 10 (ProLong Diamond Antifade Mountant, P36965). Imaging was carried out using a DMI6000 11 inverted microscope (Leica, DM14000B) or VS120 slide scanner (Olympus, EVK-L100-042FL). Primary antibodies were anti-Pax7 (DHSB, 528428), anti-MyoD antibody (C-20) 12 13 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-304), anti-myosin heavy chain (Merck Millipore, A4.1025), anti-CD31 (Abcam, ab32457), Ki-67 (ab833, Abcam), and anti-β-galactosidase (Abcam, 14 ab9361). Hoechst (B2261, Sigma-Aldrich) was used to stain DNA. Mitochondria were 15 labeled using MitoTracker Red CMXRos (ThermoFisher Scientific, M7512) and F-actin 16 staining was performed using CytoPainter-Phalloidin-iFluor 488 reagent (Abcam, 176753). 17 TUNEL staining was performed using the In Situ Cell Death Detection Kit (Roche, 18 19 11684795910) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

20 Fluorescence-activated cell sorting

21 Cells were isolated after 4 and 10 days of embedding into Matrigel, MaxGel and hydrogel 22 using the TrypLE express enzyme (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 12605010) and StemPro 23 accutase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A1110501). Cell viability was determined using a LSRFortessa SORP FACS analyzer (BD Biosciences, H647800N0001), CytoCalcein (Pacific 24 25 Blue) and Apopxin (FITC) (Abcam, ab176749) were used as indicators for live and apoptotic cells. Data was recorded with the BD FACSDiva software version 8.0.2. All data were 26 subsequently analyzed with FCS Express Flow Cytometry version 6.06.0014 (De Novo 27 28 Software, 4193).

29 Mouse inflammatory cytokines

30 Serum cytokines were quantified using the ELISA based Quantibody Mouse Inflammation

1 Array Q1 Kit (Raybiotech, QAM-INF-1-1). Following incubation of the cytokine-specific 2 immobilized antibodies with serum and standard cytokines, a biotinylated antibody cocktail 3 recognizing the different bound cytokines was added. For detection, Cy3-labelled streptavidin 4 was added, and fluorescence was quantified using the InnoScan 710 AL microarray scanner 5 (Innopsys, Innoscan-710). Data was extracted and computed using MAPIX software (Innopsys, version 8.2.7) and Quantibody Q-Analyzer software (Raybiotech, QAM-INF-1-6 7 SW). Cytokine concentration in the samples was determined by comparing signals from 8 unknown samples to the control cytokine standard curve.

#### 9 RNA extraction and transcriptomic analysis

10 2-3 devices from the same mouse were pooled and added to a Lysing Matrix D tube (MP Biomedicals, 116913500) on ice. After addition of 450µL of Agencourt RNAdvance Tissue 11 12 lysis buffer (Beckman Coulter, A32646) the capsules were homogenized using a FastPrep-24 13 (MP Biomedicals). RNA was extracted using the Agencourt RNAdvance Tissue Kit (Beckman 14 Coulter, A32646) following the manufacturer's instructions. For encapsulated hskMPs, two 15 rounds cRNA synthesis starting with 5 ng of total RNA were performed using the 16 MessageAmp II aRNA amplification kit (Life Technologies, AM1751) and MessageAmp II-17 biotin enhanced aRNA amplification kit (Life Technologies, AM1791) according to the 18 manufacturer's instructions. RNA and cRNA were quantified using the Quant-iT RiboGreen 19 RNA Assay Kit (Invitrogen, 10207502) using a Spectramax M2 (Molecular Devices, M2). 20 RNA quality assessment was performed using a Bioanalyzer 2100 with RNA 6000 Pico Kit 21 (Agilent Technologies, 5067-1513). cRNA guality assessment was done using a Fragment 22 Analyzer-96 with the Standard Sensitivity RNA Analysis Kit (15-nt) (Advanced Analytical 23 Technologies, DNF-471-0500). Hybridization of 750ng of cRNA on Human HT-12 v4.0 Expression BeadChip (Illumina, BD-103-0604), were performed according to the 24 25 manufacturer's instructions. Scanning of the microarrays was performed on Illumina HiScan 26 (Illumina, SY-103-1001). No signal was observed on human-specific microarrays using 27 similar amounts of RNA/cRNA from connective tissue isolated in the immediate periphery of 28 the implants. For encapsulated mskMPs, 50 ng of total-RNA was used to generate QuantSeg 29 libraries using the QuantSeg-3' mRNA-Seg-Library Prep Kit FWD for Illumina (Lexogen, 15.384) following 20 cycles of PCR amplification. Libraries were guantified with the Quant-iT 30 31 Picogreen (Invitrogen, 10545213) on a FilterMax F3 (Molecular Devices, F3). Size pattern

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

1 was assessed with Fragment Analyzer-96 with the DNF-474-0500 High Sensitivity NGS 2 Fragment Analysis Kit (Agilent Technologies, DNF-474-0500). Libraries (Average size: 295) bp) were pooled at an equimolar ratio and clustered at a concentration of 9 pM on a single 3 read sequencing flow cell. 65 cycles of sequencing were performed on an Illumina HiSeq 4 5 2500 (Illumina, SY-401-2501) in rapid mode using a 50 cycles SBS Kit (Illumina, GD-402-4002, FC-402-4022) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The generated data were 6 7 demultiplexed using bcl2fastq v2.19. Reads were aligned to the mouse genome (GRCm38) 8 using STAR (Dobin et al., 2013), and the number of reads mapped within genes was 9 quantified by HTSeq (Anders et al., 2015). Samples had a sequencing depth between 6.9-10 14.6 million reads, of which between 4.9-10.6 million reads were uniquely mapped. Freshly isolated niche resident cells were isolated and transcriptomically analyzed as previously 11 12 described (Lukjanenko et al., 2016). Briefly, extracted RNA were subjected to 3' microarray 13 analysis on Illumina MouseRef-8 V2 chips. Semi-quantitative PCR was performed using a 14 LightCycler 480 (Roche Diagnostics) and the LightCycler DNA green master mix (Roche Molecular Systems, 05573092001). Tagman probes (ThermoFisher Scientific) were Tfrc 15 (Hs00951083 m1), Snrpa1 (Hs00795392 mH) and GAPDH (Hs02758991 g1) as a 16 housekeeper. 17

#### 18 3D-printing

Design of the capsule cutting-sealing platform was carried out using Solidworks software (Dassault Systèmes, SW PRO). Printing was done using the ProJet 3500 HDMax (3D systems) 3D printer. VisiJet M3 Crystal and VisiJet S300 (3D systems, 1.0000-M06 and 1.0000-M03) served as printing material and support material, respectively. Schematics are deposited on www.thingiverse.com/thing:4005301.

#### 24 Statistical analysis

After visual inspection and exclusion of microarrays presenting low signal (log2 median expression <6) or low variability (standard deviation <0.1), Illumina expression signals were quantile-normalized. We applied a nonspecific filter to discard probes with low average signal and retained 6969 Illumina probes whose mean expression was greater than the third quartile of expression of all probes. Genes (represented by probes) were tested for differential expression using the moderated t-statistic as implemented in LIMMA(Smyth, 2004). RNA-

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

sequencing data were normalized by the Trimmed Mean of M-values (TMM) method using 1 2 the calcNormFactors function in edgeR (Robinson et al., 2010) after selecting genes with 3 more than 4 counts per-million in at least 5 samples. Differentially expressed genes were defined by fitting a guasi-likelihood negative binomial generalized log-linear model to count 4 data using glmQLFTest function in edgeR. The mean-rank gene-set enrichment (Michaud et 5 al., 2008) procedure as implemented in LIMMA was applied to investigate pathway 6 7 perturbations between gene profiles derived from encapsulated cells exposed to a young and 8 aged environment using the hallmark and C5 (GO) gene set collections from MSigDB 9 (Liberzon et al., 2015) version 6.2. All genome wide statistical analyses were performed using R, version 3.3.3 (microarray data), version 3.5.3 (RNA-sequencing data) and Bioconductor 10 libraries. For cytokine arrays, the mean fluorescence intensities of positive controls were 11 12 utilized for normalization. Values below the Limit of Detection (LOD) were substituted by 13 LOD/sqrt(2) while the values above the highest standard were replaced by the highest 14 standards. The non-parametric statistical (two-sample Wilcoxon) tests were used for the 15 analysis. For visualization data were log-transformed.

#### 16 Accession codes

17 The data discussed in this publication will be deposited in NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus.

18 GEO Series accession numbers are GSE111401 and GSE81096. The remaining datasets

19 are presently processed.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

# References

Anders, S., Pyl, P.T., and Huber, W. (2015). HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics *31*, 166-169.

Baht, G.S., Silkstone, D., Vi, L., Nadesan, P., Amani, Y., Whetstone, H., Wei, Q., and Alman, B.A. (2015). Exposure to a youthful circulaton rejuvenates bone repair through modulation of beta-catenin. Nat Commun *6*, 7131.

Baruch, K., Deczkowska, A., David, E., Castellano, J.M., Miller, O., Kertser, A., Berkutzki, T., Barnett-Itzhaki, Z., Bezalel, D., Wyss-Coray, T., *et al.* (2014). Aging. Aging-induced type I interferon response at the choroid plexus negatively affects brain function. Science *346*, 89-93.

Bert, P. (1864). Expériences et Considérations Sur la Greffe Animale. J Anatomie Physiologie 1, 69–87.

Biferali, B., Proietti, D., Mozzetta, C., and Madaro, L. (2019). Fibro-Adipogenic Progenitors Cross-Talk in Skeletal Muscle: The Social Network. Front Physiol *10*, 1074.

Castellano, J.M., Mosher, K.I., Abbey, R.J., McBride, A.A., James, M.L., Berdnik, D., Shen, J.C., Zou, B., Xie, X.S., Tingle, M., *et al.* (2017). Human umbilical cord plasma proteins revitalize hippocampal function in aged mice. Nature *544*, 488-492.

Cheng, C.S., El-Abd, Y., Bui, K., Hyun, Y.E., Hughes, R.H., Kraus, W.E., and Truskey, G.A. (2014). Conditions that promote primary human skeletal myoblast culture and muscle differentiation in vitro. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol *306*, C385-395.

Conboy, I.M., Conboy, M.J., and Rebo, J. (2015). Systemic Problems: A perspective on stem cell aging and rejuvenation. Aging (Albany NY) *7*, 754-765.

Conboy, I.M., Conboy, M.J., Wagers, A.J., Girma, E.R., Weissman, I.L., and Rando, T.A. (2005). Rejuvenation of aged progenitor cells by exposure to a young systemic environment. Nature *433*, 760-764.

Conboy, I.M., and Rando, T.A. (2002). The regulation of Notch signaling controls satellite cell activation and cell fate determination in postnatal myogenesis. Dev Cell *3*, 397-409.

Conese, M., Carbone, A., Beccia, E., and Angiolillo, A. (2017). The Fountain of Youth: A Tale of Parabiosis, Stem Cells, and Rejuvenation. Open Med (Wars) *12*, 376-383.

Demontis, F., Piccirillo, R., Goldberg, A.L., and Perrimon, N. (2013). The influence of skeletal muscle on systemic aging and lifespan. Aging Cell *12*, 943-949.

Dimri, G.P., Itahana, K., Acosta, M., and Campisi, J. (2000). Regulation of a senescence checkpoint response by the E2F1 transcription factor and p14(ARF) tumor suppressor. Mol Cell Biol *20*, 273-285.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M., and Gingeras, T.R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics *29*, 15-21.

Dominguez, L.J., and Barbagallo, M. (2016). The biology of the metabolic syndrome and aging. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care *19*, 5-11.

Drew, L. (2018). Fighting the inevitability of ageing. Nature 555, S15-S17.

Egerman, M.A., Cadena, S.M., Gilbert, J.A., Meyer, A., Nelson, H.N., Swalley, S.E., Mallozzi, C., Jacobi, C., Jennings, L.L., Clay, I., *et al.* (2015). GDF11 Increases with Age and Inhibits Skeletal Muscle Regeneration. Cell Metab *22*, 164-174.

Egerman, M.A., and Glass, D.J. (2019). The role of GDF11 in aging and skeletal muscle, cardiac and bone homeostasis. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol *54*, 174-183.

Evano, B., and Tajbakhsh, S. (2018). Skeletal muscle stem cells in comfort and stress. NPJ Regen Med *3*, 24.

Franceschi, C., and Campisi, J. (2014). Chronic inflammation (inflammaging) and its potential contribution to age-associated diseases. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 69 Suppl 1, S4-9.

Frank, S.R., Schroeder, M., Fernandez, P., Taubert, S., and Amati, B. (2001). Binding of c-Myc to chromatin mediates mitogen-induced acetylation of histone H4 and gene activation. Genes Dev *15*, 2069-2082.

Garcia, J.M., Merriam, G.R., and Kargi, A.Y. (2000). Growth Hormone in Aging. In Endotext, K.R. Feingold, B. Anawalt, A. Boyce, G. Chrousos, K. Dungan, A. Grossman, J.M. Hershman, G. Kaltsas, C. Koch, P. Kopp, *et al.*, eds. (South Dartmouth (MA)).

Gopinath, S.D., and Rando, T.A. (2008). Stem cell review series: aging of the skeletal muscle stem cell niche. Aging Cell *7*, 590-598.

Harper, S.C., Brack, A., MacDonnell, S., Franti, M., Olwin, B.B., Bailey, B.A., Rudnicki, M.A., and Houser, S.R. (2016). Is Growth Differentiation Factor 11 a Realistic Therapeutic for Aging-Dependent Muscle Defects? Circ Res *118*, 1143-1150; discussion 1150.

Hofmann, J.W., Zhao, X., De Cecco, M., Peterson, A.L., Pagliaroli, L., Manivannan, J., Hubbard, G.B., Ikeno, Y., Zhang, Y., Feng, B., *et al.* (2015). Reduced expression of MYC increases longevity and enhances healthspan. Cell *160*, 477-488.

Hunter, S.K., Kao, J.M., Wang, Y., Benda, J.A., and Rodgers, V.G. (1999). Promotion of neovascularization around hollow fiber bioartificial organs using biologically active substances. ASAIO J *45*, 37-40.

Katsimpardi, L., Litterman, N.K., Schein, P.A., Miller, C.M., Loffredo, F.S., Wojtkiewicz, G.R., Chen, J.W., Lee, R.T., Wagers, A.J., and Rubin, L.L. (2014). Vascular and neurogenic rejuvenation of the aging mouse brain by young systemic factors. Science *344*, 630-634.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

Kleinman, H.K., McGarvey, M.L., Liotta, L.A., Robey, P.G., Tryggvason, K., and Martin, G.R. (1982). Isolation and characterization of type IV procollagen, laminin, and heparan sulfate proteoglycan from the EHS sarcoma. Biochemistry *21*, 6188-6193.

Lathuiliere, A., Cosson, S., Lutolf, M.P., Schneider, B.L., and Aebischer, P. (2014). A high-capacity cell macroencapsulation system supporting the long-term survival of genetically engineered allogeneic cells. Biomaterials *35*, 779-791.

Lehallier, B., Gate, D., Schaum, N., Nanasi, T., Lee, S.E., Yousef, H., Moran Losada, P., Berdnik, D., Keller, A., Verghese, J., *et al.* (2019). Undulating changes in human plasma proteome profiles across the lifespan. Nat Med *25*, 1843-1850.

Liberzon, A., Birger, C., Thorvaldsdottir, H., Ghandi, M., Mesirov, J.P., and Tamayo, P. (2015). The Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set collection. Cell Syst *1*, 417-425.

Liu, T., Zhou, Y., Ko, K.S., and Yang, H. (2015). Interactions between Myc and Mediators of Inflammation in Chronic Liver Diseases. Mediators Inflamm *2015*, 276850.

Loffredo, F.S., Steinhauser, M.L., Jay, S.M., Gannon, J., Pancoast, J.R., Yalamanchi, P., Sinha, M., Dall'Osso, C., Khong, D., Shadrach, J.L., *et al.* (2013). Growth differentiation factor 11 is a circulating factor that reverses age-related cardiac hypertrophy. Cell *153*, 828-839.

Lukjanenko, L., Jung, M.J., Hegde, N., Perruisseau-Carrier, C., Migliavacca, E., Rozo, M., Karaz, S., Jacot, G., Schmidt, M., Li, L., *et al.* (2016). Loss of fibronectin from the aged stem cell niche affects the regenerative capacity of skeletal muscle in mice. Nat Med *22*, 897-905.

Lukjanenko, L., Karaz, S., Stuelsatz, P., Gurriaran-Rodriguez, U., Michaud, J., Dammone, G., Sizzano, F., Mashinchian, O., Ancel, S., Migliavacca, E., *et al.* (2019). Aging Disrupts Muscle Stem Cell Function by Impairing Matricellular WISP1 Secretion from Fibro-Adipogenic Progenitors. Cell Stem Cell.

Mahmoudi, S., Xu, L., and Brunet, A. (2019). Turning back time with emerging rejuvenation strategies. Nat Cell Biol *21*, 32-43.

Medicine, I.o. (2004). Testosterone and Aging: Clinical Research Directions (Washington, DC: The National Academies Press).

Michaud, J., Simpson, K.M., Escher, R., Buchet-Poyau, K., Beissbarth, T., Carmichael, C., Ritchie, M.E., Schutz, F., Cannon, P., Liu, M., *et al.* (2008). Integrative analysis of RUNX1 downstream pathways and target genes. BMC Genomics *9*, 363.

Rebo, J., Mehdipour, M., Gathwala, R., Causey, K., Liu, Y., Conboy, M.J., and Conboy, I.M. (2016). A single heterochronic blood exchange reveals rapid inhibition of multiple tissues by old blood. Nat Commun *7*, 13363.

Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J., and Smyth, G.K. (2010). edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics *26*, 139-140.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

Ruckh, J.M., Zhao, J.W., Shadrach, J.L., van Wijngaarden, P., Rao, T.N., Wagers, A.J., and Franklin, R.J. (2012). Rejuvenation of regeneration in the aging central nervous system. Cell Stem Cell *10*, 96-103.

Sahu, A., Clemens, Z.J., Shinde, S.N., Sivakumar, S., Pius, A., Bhatia, A., Picciolini, S., Carlomagno, C., Gualerzi, A., Bedoni, M., *et al.* (2021). Regulation of aged skeletal muscle regeneration by circulating extracellular vesicles. Nature Aging *1*, 1148-1161.

Samtleben, W., Dengler, C., Reinhardt, B., Nothdurft, A., and Lemke, H.D. (2003). Comparison of the new polyethersulfone high-flux membrane DIAPES HF800 with conventional high-flux membranes during on-line haemodiafiltration. Nephrol Dial Transplant *18*, 2382-2386.

Schafer, M.J., Atkinson, E.J., Vanderboom, P.M., Kotajarvi, B., White, T.A., Moore, M.M., Bruce, C.J., Greason, K.L., Suri, R.M., Khosla, S., *et al.* (2016). Quantification of GDF11 and Myostatin in Human Aging and Cardiovascular Disease. Cell Metab *23*, 1207-1215.

Shavlakadze, T., Zhu, J., Wang, S., Zhou, W., Morin, B., Egerman, M.A., Fan, L., Wang, Y., Iartchouk, O., Meyer, A., *et al.* (2018). Short-term Low-Dose mTORC1 Inhibition in Aged Rats Counter-Regulates Age-Related Gene Changes and Blocks Age-Related Kidney Pathology. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci *73*, 845-852.

Sinha, M., Jang, Y.C., Oh, J., Khong, D., Wu, E.Y., Manohar, R., Miller, C., Regalado, S.G., Loffredo, F.S., Pancoast, J.R., *et al.* (2014). Restoring systemic GDF11 levels reverses age-related dysfunction in mouse skeletal muscle. Science *344*, 649-652.

Smith, L.K., He, Y., Park, J.S., Bieri, G., Snethlage, C.E., Lin, K., Gontier, G., Wabl, R., Plambeck, K.E., Udeochu, J., *et al.* (2015). beta2-microglobulin is a systemic pro-aging factor that impairs cognitive function and neurogenesis. Nat Med *21*, 932-937.

Smith, L.K., White, C.W., 3rd, and Villeda, S.A. (2018). The systemic environment: at the interface of aging and adult neurogenesis. Cell Tissue Res *371*, 105-113.

Smyth, G.K. (2004). Linear models and empirical bayes methods for assessing differential expression in microarray experiments. Stat Appl Genet Mol Biol *3*, Article3.

Tanaka, T., Biancotto, A., Moaddel, R., Moore, A.Z., Gonzalez-Freire, M., Aon, M.A., Candia, J., Zhang, P., Cheung, F., Fantoni, G., *et al.* (2018). Plasma proteomic signature of age in healthy humans. Aging Cell *17*, e12799.

Tullis, R.H., Duffin, R.P., Zech, M., and Ambrus, J.L., Jr. (2002). Affinity hemodialysis for antiviral therapy. I. Removal of HIV-1 from cell culture supernatants, plasma, and blood. Ther Apher *6*, 213-220.

Villeda, S.A., Luo, J., Mosher, K.I., Zou, B., Britschgi, M., Bieri, G., Stan, T.M., Fainberg, N., Ding, Z., Eggel, A., *et al.* (2011). The ageing systemic milieu negatively regulates neurogenesis and cognitive function. Nature *477*, 90-94.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021

Werner, C., Jacobasch, H.J., and Reichelt, G. (1995). Surface characterization of hemodialysis membranes based on streaming potential measurements. J Biomater Sci Polym Ed 7, 61-76.

Yilmaz, O.H., Katajisto, P., Lamming, D.W., Gultekin, Y., Bauer-Rowe, K.E., Sengupta, S., Birsoy, K., Dursun, A., Yilmaz, V.O., Selig, M., *et al.* (2012). mTORC1 in the Paneth cell niche couples intestinal stem-cell function to calorie intake. Nature *486*, 490-495.

Yousef, H., Conboy, M.J., Morgenthaler, A., Schlesinger, C., Bugaj, L., Paliwal, P., Greer, C., Conboy, I.M., and Schaffer, D. (2015). Systemic attenuation of the TGF-beta pathway by a single drug simultaneously rejuvenates hippocampal neurogenesis and myogenesis in the same old mammal. Oncotarget *6*, 11959-11978.

Zhao, C., Xue, J., Ran, F., and Sun, S. (2013). Modification of polyethersulfone membranes – A review of methods. Progress in Materials Science *58*, 76-150.

Zhao, C.S., Liu, T., Lu, Z.P., Cheng, L.P., and Huang, J. (2001). An evaluation of a polyethersulfone hollow fiber plasma separator by animal experiment. Artif Organs *25*, 60-63.









Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

# *In Vivo* Transcriptomic Profiling using Cell Encapsulation Identifies Effector Pathways of Systemic Aging

Omid Mashinchian, Xiaotong Hong, Joris Michaud, Eugenia Migliavacca, Gregory Lefebvre, Christophe Boss, Filippo De Franceschi, Emmeran Le Moal, Jasmin Collerette-Tremblay, Joan Isern, Sylviane Metairon, Frederic Raymond, Patrick Descombes, Nicolas Bouche, Pura Muñoz-Cánoves, Jerome N. Feige, C. Florian Bentzinger

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Figures 1-9 Supplementary Table 1-14

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information





g



Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

**Supplementary fig. 1** | **a**, Flow cytometry strategy used to identify live and apoptotic cells. CytoCalcein is sequestered in the cytoplasm of live cells, while apoptosis is measured by labeling of cell surface phosphatidylserine using Apopxin. **b**, Quantification of live and apoptotic human (hskMP) or mouse (mskMP) skeletal muscle progenitors embedded into different biomaterials and maintained in growth media for four or ten days. A=Growth factor reduced Matrigel, B=Hydrogel, C=MaxGel extracellular matrix. Values were obtained from pooled cells of n=6 replicates. **c**, Schematic outlining the construction of the adaptor hub used for mounting and loading of the capsules. **d**,**e**, Schematics and graphical representations of the parts of the 3D printed capsule cutting and sealing platform. The photograph in the upper left of (**f**) shows the assembled platform loaded with a capsule mounted to the adaptor hub. **g**, Quantification of Pax7 and MyoD positive hskMPs over a time course of ten days in 2D culture. Graphs represent means +/- s.e.m. n=6 replicates for each time-point. \*\*\*\*P<0.0001, \*\*\*P<0.001 by one–way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post–test.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information



Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

**Supplementary fig. 2 | a-d**, Representative phalloidin and MitoTracker stainings of hskMPs and mskMPs in cross sections of PES hollow fiber capsules and in 2D culture. Scale bars: 50 µm and 25 µm for inserts. **e**, Quantification of the number of filopodia in hskMPs and mskMPs in PES hollow fiber capsules and in 2D culture. n=8 replicates for each condition. Graphs represent means +/- s.e.m. \*P<0.05 by one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-test.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information



CD31 / DNA

**Supplementary fig. 3** | **a**, Representative bright field images of hskMPs and mskMPs in 2D culture after enzymatic liberation after encapsulation. Scale bars: 40  $\mu$ m. **b**, Representative CD31 immunostainings of cross sections of PES hollow fiber capsules after ten days *in vivo*. Each image was taken capsules explanted from different animals. As a positive control CD31 staining of a mouse tibialis anterior muscle cross section is shown. Scale bars: 75  $\mu$ m.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information



**Supplementary fig. 4 | a**, Multiplexed enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) array signal heatmaps for levels of inflammatory factors in serum of mice that underwent surgical implantation of PES hollow fiber capsules compared to untreated controls ten days after the procedure. n $\geq$ 7 mice. Asterisks indicate factors changed with a p-value of  $\leq$ 0.05 in a two-sample Wilcoxon test. TNF-RI and MIP-1g were excluded from the analysis since signals were outside of the detection range.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information



**Supplementary fig. 5 | a,b**, RNA yield from capsules containing hskMPs or mskMPs after ten days *in vivo* in young and aged mice. For each replicate two capsules from one mouse were pooled. Graphs represent means +/- s.e.m. Data is derived from capsules of n≥5 mice in each group. \*P<0.05 by student's t–test. **c**,**d**, mRNA levels of the E2F target gene Tfrc and the Myc target Snrpa1 in hskMPs after ten days of encapsulation in young and aged mice. hskMPs in 2D culture were included as an expression control (*in-vitro*). Graphs represent means +/- s.e.m. n=3 mice (young and aged) or replicates (*in-vitro*) for each condition. \*\*P<0.01, \*P<0.05 by one–way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post–test.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information



**Supplementary fig. 6 | a**, Multiplexed ELISA array heatmaps for levels of inflammatory factors in plasma of young and aged mice.  $n \ge 5$  mice. Asterisks indicate factors changed with a p-value of  $\le 0.05$  in a two-sample Wilcoxon test. TNF-RI and MIP-1g were excluded from the analysis since signals were outside of the detection range.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information





Supplementary fig. 7 | a,b, Representative Ki-67 immunostainings and quantification of cross sections of PES hollow fiber capsules containing hskMPs after ten days of exposure to the systemic environment in young and aged mice. c,d, Representative  $\beta$ -galactosidase ( $\beta$ gal) immunostainings and quantification of cross sections of capsules containing hskMPs after ten days of exposure to the systemic environment in young and aged mice. e,f, Representative myosin heavy chain (MHC) immunostainings and quantification of the fusion index in cross sections of capsules containing hskMPs after ten days of exposure to the systemic environment in young and aged mice. Scale bars: 70 µm (a), 40 µm (b,c). Graphs represent means +/- s.e.m. Data is derived from  $n \ge 3$  mice in each group. \*P<0.05 by student's t-test.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information



**Supplementary fig. 8** | **a**, Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of genes induced in hskMPs in 2D culture in the presence of aged human serum for four or ten days compared to the young condition. Gene sets that were also induced in encapsulated cells in aged mice (Fig. 4a) are marked by snowflakes. **b**, Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of genes downregulated in hskMPs in 2D culture in the presence of aged human serum for four or ten days compared to the young condition. Gene sets that were also downregulated in encapsulated cells in aged mice (Fig. 4d) are marked by snowflakes. Samples and data are derived from hskMPs exposed to human serum for n=3 different young or aged donors. False discovery rate (FDR) = Adjusted p-value using Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information



**Supplementary fig. 9 | a,b** Representative Ki-67 immunostainings and quantification of 2D cultured hskMPs exposed to young and aged human serum for 4 days. **c,d** Representative  $\beta$ -galactosidase ( $\beta$ -gal) immunostainings and quantification of 2D cultured hskMPs exposed to young and aged human serum for 4 days. **e,f** Representative myosin heavy chain (MHC) immunostainings and quantification of the fusion index of 2D hskMPs exposed to young and aged human serum under differentiation conditions for 4 days. Scale bars: 70 µm (a), 40 µm (b,c). Graphs represent means +/- s.e.m. Data is derived from n=3 replicates in young or aged serum. Significance was determined using student's t–test.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

				- Capsule							+ C	apsule			
Mouse	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
BLC	18.5	28.5	20.5	14.4	23.0	18.6	18.2	33.6	16.7	16.3	21.3	21.2	15.1	24.0	15.1
CD30L	11.2	9.4	13.9	1.1	5.4	13.3	8.2	35.2	3.1	13.2	6.7	15.2	6.9	21.8	18.8
Eotaxin	312.8	344.3	183.5	240.3	122.8	159.1	302.6	213.1	139.2	181.6	92.7	253.5	250.7	245.6	192.2
Eotaxin-2	165.7	212.9	96.7	63.6	80.9	107.4	118.4	125.8	66.0	71.5	72.6	57.8	52.9	153.6	78.4
Fas L	115.4	17.8	30.8	13.0	36.4	119.8	92.0	100.6	11.1	77.1	25.6	0.0	1.6	6.0	9.1
G-CSF	219.4	168.9	113.9	126.1	160.7	145.1	186.4	211.0	156.4	229.1	255.7	62.7	118.5	130.1	145.6
GM-CSF	26.2	20.3	21.3	19.2	9.9	21.7	20.6	16.1	12.6	12.0	16.2	27.0	19.2	27.2	25.3
ICAM-1	1873.4	2621.3	2061.8	2268.4	1723.5	1824.2	1947.8	1765.7	1440.2	1896.0	1588.8	1871.7	1597.9	2409.4	1874.0
IFNg	66.7	65.9	63.8	85.6	39.0	69.7	51.2	67.5	25.4	40.0	43.0	68.1	78.2	85.5	65.4
IL-1a	4.6	17.0	5.3	8.4	2.7	4.4	4.6	3.0	3.8	1.6	2.9	8.0	3.3	4.8	4.8
IL-1b	171.3	164.0	143.3	183.4	77.5	149.3	123.9	156.0	72.4	83.5	80.2	175.8	167.0	185.9	162.6
IL-2	116.4	91.6	77.9	116.6	57.5	106.1	122.5	88.0	74.8	84.9	69.0	123.7	114.0	121.2	97.2
IL-3	3.8	1.1	1.5	0.8	2.6	2.7	3.3	1.8	0.3	1.4	1.1	2.4	1.5	1.4	1.7
IL-4	2.2	0.0	2.8	2.3	1.9	1.6	1.1	3.6	0.8	1.7	1.9	1.5	1.1	0.8	1.3
IL-5	131.3	71.0	79.2	69.2	66.4	111.7	156.0	91.7	43.6	75.2	48.7	92.8	69.7	59.0	92.5
IL-6	23.6	19.5	11.3	16.4	14.4	25.3	25.8	11.9	3.0	17.9	9.7	17.0	14.7	14.6	15.9
IL-7	87.3	46.8	0.0	9.5	21.8	56.3	10.0	116.5	65.8	3.6	27.9	38.0	0.0	65.7	194.5
IL-10	324.7	307.3	261.2	272.0	119.3	262.4	309.6	287.5	139.5	147.3	142.0	340.5	292.4	316.5	323.1
IL-12p70	93.2	56.1	72.5	62.3	38.2	85.3	96.0	100.3	37.2	65.8	36.6	96.6	84.2	107.1	88.8
IL-13	171.3	6.2	18.5	4.8	4.5	0.0	0.0	31.4	0.0	0.9	6.4	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0
IL-15	479.5	136.3	265.4	107.0	194.8	360.8	161.7	482.5	138.8	202.3	153.6	105.6	145.8	81.5	168.7
IL-17	2.1	0.1	4.0	1.2	3.6	3.5	4.1	3.6	1.7	2.7	0.9	2.0	1.2	3.6	1.8
IL-21	5.7	5.6	0.0	0.0	5.8	8.5	1.8	15.1	14.4	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.5	20.1
KC	4.6	4.8	3.6	4.4	2.0	3.3	4.4	3.7	2.9	2.9	2.7	3.9	3.5	3.9	3.2
Leptin	661.5	586.4	665.4	296.8	428.9	414.8	432.2	140.3	91.7	188.0	105.9	161.8	78.7	83.4	161.9
LIX	508.7	553.1	377.6	460.3	215.1	379.6	153.0	356.0	322.0	292.9	230.1	531.1	381.0	482.4	392.8
MCP-1	59.6	30.9	24.2	29.9	15.9	30.2	42.2	45.0	20.3	21.4	16.8	37.7	32.9	45.0	40.9
MCP-5	47.9	32.3	36.4	21.6	29.4	30.1	18.9	53.2	25.8	19.8	11.6	40.9	20.9	11.1	31.4
MCSF	9.6	0.7	1.9	3.4	4.1	7.2	2.6	4.3	0.8	6.1	3.7	1.5	3.1	2.0	1.7
MIG	41.0	49.3	45.0	40.9	42.3	43.7	46.2	67.0	35.5	25.7	28.1	36.9	31.8	32.8	40.9
MIP-1a	24.1	16.1	13.9	12.2	11.6	36.7	16.8	8.4	10.3	19.6	8.9	12.8	12.6	10.8	12.5
PF4	3066.6	3415.4	3692.6	3006.8	5273.3	3779.9	2905.3	3734.5	4274.5	4317.3	4222.6	3164.9	2978.8	3612.0	3644.5
RANTES	3.2	2.6	1.7	1.8	1.5	4.3	3.3	1.7	1.3	2.2	1.4	2.1	1.8	1.5	1.8
TARC	40.9	48.3	26.0	31.1	18.4	26.9	23.0	35.2	14.0	19.5	12.1	30.0	20.1	36.6	20.7
TCA-3	18.0	19.5	21.4	14.9	21.0	26.6	11.1	34.7	23.5	23.3	10.4	45.4	14.2	38.8	30.2
TIMP-1	597.3	575.2	638.2	442.1	431.9	456.9	512.9	721.0	479.6	587.1	472.9	563.8	544.4	555.8	445.5
TNFa	29.8	37.4	41.9	48.4	17.0	43.1	34.8	28.0	19.2	18.2	22.7	44.8	34.4	42.6	44.5
TNF RII	412.8	370.1	350.4	196.1	348.9	267.6	116.9	416.2	209.0	373.4	259.0	396.6	367.6	306.4	113.3

**Supplementary table 1** | Multiplexed ELISA quantification of inflammatory factors in serum of mice that underwent surgical implantation of PES hollow fiber capsules compared to untreated controls ten days after the procedure.  $n \ge 7$  mice. Each value represents averages in pg/ml from n=4 technical replicates for each factor. TNF-RI and MIP-1g were excluded from the analysis since signals were outside of the detection range.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

Gene Set Name - Human	GST Pval	GST FDR
HALLMARK MYC TARGETS V1	1.00E-04	0.005
HALLMARK OXIDATIVE PHOSPHORYLATION	2.00E-04	0.005
HALLMARK E2F TARGETS	0.0166	0.238
HALLMARK FATTY ACID METABOLISM	0.0215	0.238
HALLMARK PANCREAS BETA CELLS	0.0238	0.238
HALLMARK ANGIOGENESIS	0.0648	0.506428571
HALLMARK_COAGULATION	0.0709	0.506428571
HALLMARK_KRAS_SIGNALING_UP	0.1885	1
HALLMARK_XENOBIOTIC_METABOLISM	0.1988	1
HALLMARK_ADIPOGENESIS	0.2427	1
HALLMARK_G2M_CHECKPOINT	0.2755	1
HALLMARK_REACTIVE_OXIGEN_SPECIES_PATHWAY	0.284	1
HALLMARK_SPERMATOGENESIS	0.3227	1
HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE	0.3554	1
HALLMARK_WNT_BETA_CATENIN_SIGNALING	0.3626	1
HALLMARK_PROTEIN_SECRETION	0.4046	1
HALLMARK_CHOLESTEROL_HOMEOSTASIS	0.5342	1
HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V2	0.633	1
HALLMARK_BILE_ACID_METABOLISM	0.6826	1
HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM	0.7257	1
HALLMARK_TGF_BETA_SIGNALING	0.7263	1
HALLMARK_PEROXISOME	0.7279	1
HALLMARK_DNA_REPAIR	0.7765	1
HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION	0.788	1
HALLMARK_MTORC1_SIGNALING	0.9169	1
HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_UP	0.9296	1
HALLMARK_APICAL_SURFACE	0.9408	1
HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING	0.9426	1
HALLMARK_ESTROGEN_RESPONSE_LATE	0.9434	1
HALLMARK_IL2_STAT5_SIGNALING	0.961	1
HALLMARK_GLYCOLYSIS	0.963	1
HALLMARK_COMPLEMENT	0.964	1
HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_DN	0.9709	1
HALLMARK_APOPTOSIS	0.9756	1
HALLMARK_EPITHELIAL_MESENCHYMAL_TRANSITION	0.979	1
HALLMARK_INTERFERON_ALPHA_RESPONSE	0.9823	1
HALLMARK_UNFOLDED_PROTEIN_RESPONSE	0.9841	1
	0.9851	1
	0.9871	1
HALLMARK_P53_PATHWAY	0.9898	1
HALLMARK_MITOTIC_SPINDLE	0.9913	1
HALLMARK_IL6_JAK_STAT3_SIGNALING	0.992	1
HALLMARK_PI3K_AKT_MTOR_SIGNALING	0.9961	1
HALLMARK_INTERFERON_GAMMA_RESPONSE	0.9976	1
HALLMARK_KRAS_SIGNALING_DN	0.9978	1
	0.9986	1
HALLMARK_INFLAMMATORY_RESPONSE	0.9988	1
HALLMARK_ESTROGEN_RESPONSE_EARLY	0.9996	1
HALLMARK_TNFA_SIGNALING_VIA_NFKB	0.9998	1
HALLMARK_MYOGENESIS	1	1

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

**Supplementary table 2** | Gene sets increased with age in encapsulated hskMPs compared to the young control after ten days *in vivo*. Data are derived from capsules of  $n \ge 5$  mice in each age group. GST Pval = Wilcoxon gene set test p- value. GST FDR = Adjusted p-value using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. GST FDR = Wilcoxon gene set test false discovery rate.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

Gene Set Name - Mouse	GST Pval	GST FDR
HALLMARK_MITOTIC_SPINDLE	1.00E-04	0.00125
HALLMARK_G2M_CHECKPOINT	1.00E-04	0.00125
HALLMARK_E2F_TARGETS	1.00E-04	0.00125
HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V1	1.00E-04	0.00125
HALLMARK UV RESPONSE DN	0.0271	0.245833333
HALLMARK MYC TARGETS V2	0.0295	0.245833333
HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING	0.0512	0.365714286
HALLMARK_CHOLESTEROL_HOMEOSTASIS	0.1214	0.67777778
HALLMARK_KRAS_SIGNALING_DN	0.122	0.67777778
HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING	0.1655	0.8275
HALLMARK_TGF_BETA_SIGNALING	0.2272	1
HALLMARK_APICAL_JUNCTION	0.3936	1
HALLMARK PI3K AKT MTOR SIGNALING	0.4044	1
HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE	0.4386	1
HALLMARK_FATTY_ACID_METABOLISM	0.5445	1
HALLMARK_OXIDATIVE_PHOSPHORYLATION	0.5468	1
HALLMARK_MTORC1_SIGNALING	0.6076	1
HALLMARK_ESTROGEN_RESPONSE_LATE	0.653	1
HALLMARK_SPERMATOGENESIS	0.6719	1
HALLMARK_TNFA_SIGNALING_VIA_NFKB	0.712	1
HALLMARK_APICAL_SURFACE	0.7926	1
HALLMARK_INTERFERON_ALPHA_RESPONSE	0.7937	1
HALLMARK_UNFOLDED_PROTEIN_RESPONSE	0.8104	1
HALLMARK_APOPTOSIS	0.8208	1
HALLMARK_PEROXISOME	0.823	1
HALLMARK_HYPOXIA	0.8294	1
HALLMARK_WNT_BETA_CATENIN_SIGNALING	0.8509	1
HALLMARK_DNA_REPAIR	0.8616	1
HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_UP	0.8777	1
HALLMARK_ESTROGEN_RESPONSE_EARLY	0.8856	1
HALLMARK_GLYCOLYSIS	0.8878	1
HALLMARK_EPITHELIAL_MESENCHYMAL_TRANSITION	0.8937	1
HALLMARK_PROTEIN_SECRETION	0.9015	1
HALLMARK_MYOGENESIS	0.9268	1
HALLMARK_BILE_ACID_METABOLISM	0.9381	1
HALLMARK_PANCREAS_BETA_CELLS	0.9405	1
HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION	0.9481	1
HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM	0.9549	1
HALLMARK_INTERFERON_GAMMA_RESPONSE	0.9629	1
HALLMARK_INFLAMMATORY_RESPONSE	0.9704	1
HALLMARK_ANGIOGENESIS	0.9902	1
HALLMARK_IL6_JAK_STAT3_SIGNALING	0.9955	1
HALLMARK_REACTIVE_OXIGEN_SPECIES_PATHWAY	0.9964	1
HALLMARK_ADIPOGENESIS	0.9986	1
HALLMARK_COMPLEMENT	0.9992	1
HALLMARK_P53_PATHWAY	0.9999	1
HALLMARK_XENOBIOTIC_METABOLISM	1	1
HALLMARK_COAGULATION	1	1
HALLMARK_IL2_STAT5_SIGNALING	1	1
HALLMARK_KRAS_SIGNALING_UP	1	1

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

**Supplementary table 3** | Gene sets increased with age in encapsulated mskMPs compared to the young control after ten days *in vivo*. Data are derived from capsules of n≥5 mice in each age group. GST Pval = Wilcoxon gene set test p-value. GST FDR = Adjusted p-value using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

#### Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

Gene list Name: Human Myc	Entrez_Gene_ID	Pval	Gene list Name: Human Myc	Entrez_Gene_ID	Pval
SNRPA1	6627	0.003392238	PSMA6	5687	0.303152803
EEF1B2	1933	0.005434609	CDK4	1019	0.309228977
RAN	5901	0.006608012	UBA2	10054	0.310913108
HSPD1	3329	0.007032145	HNRNPU	3192	0.317074711
RPS3	6188	0.009164852	CCT3	7203	0.339467799
DHX15	1665	0.013753848	PHB2	11331	0.342385831
PSMA4	5685	0.013889751	EPRS	2058	0.35703362
EIF3B	8662	0.020952363	UBE2L3	7332	0.364117639
APEX1	328	0.024259783	TOMM70A	9868	0.372195491
PPM1G	5496	0.030717253	HNRPA2B1	3181	0.376637968
EIF4G2	1982	0.032850738	PSMB3	5691	0.387885477
CLNS1A	1207	0.038547487	PRPF31	26121	0.39110615
CNBP	7555	0.041247494	NOP56	10528	0.401195203
H2AFZ	3015	0.041308998	EIF1AX	1964	0.409281904
GOT2	2806	0.044374131	RPL14	9045	0.410832143
SFRS2	6427	0.050671179	RANBP1	5902	0.413335163
PSMD14	10213	0.056216794	GNB2L1	10399	0.414275317
NCBP2	22916	0.056863238	RPS10	6204	0.43780544
AP3S1	1176	0.058218092	SNRPD2	6633	0.446926748
C1QBP	708	0.059635644	EIF4A1	1973	0.459292469
RPL34	6164	0.065106571	DDX18	8886	0.482601512
PSMA1	5682	0.067628383	MCM7	4176	0.489656126
SRM	6723	0.069279662	SRPK1	6732	0.534856431
LSM7	51690	0.079526429	NHP2	55651	0.536197653
EIF4H	7458	0.089024704	CBX3	11335	0.543034244
HNRNPR	10236	0.091514993	LSM2	57819	0.588783927
ACP1	52	0.093385566	IMPDH2	3615	0.589423651
GLO1	2739	0.096041822	PRPS2	5634	0.589960777
HDDC2	51020	0.10373487	HDAC2	3066	0.59311393
ILF2	3608	0.105062374	RPS2	6187	0.594295408
KPNB1	3837	0.110552543	SNRPG	6637	0.600540544
SF3B3	23450	0.116055116	SSBP1	6742	0.620666447
TFDP1	7027	0.120165263	CUL1	8454	0.620751457
RRM1	6240	0.12146948	SNRPA	6626	0.620999589
NME1	4830	0.124680277	POLD2	5425	0.623895233
RPS5	6193	0.133603961	MRPS18B	28973	0.628720883
PRDX3	10935	0.138578212	FAM120A	23196	0.636059558
PSMA2	5683	0.145037331	GSPT1	2935	0.647862269
RPLP0	6175	0.151014333	PGK1	5230	0.649784957
TYMS	7298	0.153238502	CCNA2	890	0.655805663
RUVBL2	10856	0.159409647	RNPS1	10921	0.657031519
VDAC1	7416	0.165072978	AIMP2	7965	0.668976235
PRDX4	10549	0.16886725	HPRT1	3251	0.719650193
EXOSC7	23016	0.174912282	FBL	2091	0.719962808
NOP16	51491	0.185175615	HSP90AB1	3326	0.753094124
RPL18	6141	0.185269043	NCBP1	4686	0.757050736
SMARCC1	6599	0.185794825	USP1	7398	0.767226527
COPS5	10987	0.191437874	MCM5	4174	0.772826422
PWP1	11137	0.192517154	SLC25A3	5250	0.775933741
	/514	0.197505488	IFRD1	3475	0.786216915
	26986	0.208075705		4953	0.789157714
	2547	0.209376027	SNKPB2	0029	0.790869565
PAZG4	5036	0.221226783		6950	0.81/451206
	3939	0.225430805		105/6	0.826007656
MKPL23	6150	0.244494583		/284	0.832394346
	0245 40574	0.252/34546		10492	0.904366833
	105/4	0.258988772		042b	0.960965928
	5984 5000	0.268219724		0128	0.971733909
	2093	0.275406523		3184	0.977363883
יסרו	/4 1	0.290212542	POMDI	5/0/	0.985781608

**Supplementary table 4** | Significantly enriched Hallmark Myc V1 target genes when comparing encapsulated hskMPs in aged mice to the young control after ten days *in vivo*. Data are derived from capsules of  $n \ge 5$  mice in each age group. P-value (Pval) <1%.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

Gene list Name: Human E2F	Entrez_Gene_ID	Pval
RAN	5901	0.006608012
RPA2	6118	0.014860127
POLE4	56655	0.01802049
CKS1B	1163	0.024697221
CDKN1A	1026	0.032848419
H2AFZ	3015	0.041308998
TERC	7037	0.044915398
SFRS2	6427	0.050671179
SI BP	7884	0.087256292
DCTPP1	79077	0 116609801
LSMD1	84316	0 117569831
NME1	4830	0.124680277
	8/8//	0.124000277
KIE2C	11004	0.13211421
	10540	0.13211421
	7514	0.107505499
	7514	0.197505466
	2547	0.209376027
PA2G4	5036	0.221226783
HMGA1	3159	0.222380315
CSE1L	1434	0.281000763
МСМЗ	4172	0.281581876
NUP205	23165	0.294058659
CDK4	1019	0.309228977
NASP	4678	0.352631128
PLK4	10733	0.355090367
CBX5	23468	0.3614241
RAD21	5885	0.36235764
TUBG1	7283	0.369973582
UNG	7374	0.378476061
NOP56	10528	0.401195203
TUBB	203068	0.40819291
ILF3	3609	0.412356029
RANBP1	5902	0.413335163
LBR	3930	0.459788183
MCM7	4176	0.489656126
H2AFX	3014	0.492478323
CDCA8	55143	0.500398232
ZW10	9183	0.552227285
PTTG1	9232	0.570565713
BUB1B	701	0.575293758
RPA3	6119	0.6134248
POLD2	5425	0.623895233
GSPT1	2935	0.647862269
MTHED2	10797	0.765228305
	7398	0 767226527
MCM5	4174	0 772826422
CROP	51747	0.704736654
PEC1	5081	0.810546431
	146000	0.010040401
	140909	0.013077040
	22047	0.032302909
	4264	0.045750177
	4301	0.892357382
	10400	0.90432/3/7
	10492	0.904366833
SNKPB	6628	0.912005837
SFRS1	6426	0.960965928
HNRNPD	3184	0.977363883
C6ORF167	253714	0.989129666
DDX39	10212	0.996693587

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

**Supplementary table 5** | Significantly enriched Hallmark E2F target genes when comparing encapsulated hskMPs in aged mice to the young control after ten days *in vivo*. Data are derived from capsules of  $n \ge 5$  mice in each age group. P-value (Pval) <1%.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

				Yo	ung						Aged		
Mouse	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5
BLC	33.6	16.7	16.3	21.3	21.2	15.1	24.0	15.1	95.8	112.4	75.9	69.4	81.6
CD30L	35.2	3.1	13.2	6.7	15.2	6.9	21.8	18.8	37.9	14.3	19.5	0.4	3.1
Eotaxin	213.1	139.2	181.6	92.7	253.5	250.7	245.6	192.2	703.0	454.6	192.3	233.9	271.6
Eotaxin-2	125.8	66.0	71.5	72.6	57.8	52.9	153.6	78.4	329.8	174.2	75.9	49.2	22.6
Fas L	100.6	11.1	77.1	25.6	0.0	1.6	6.0	9.1	943.1	22.5	27.0	0.0	20.7
G-CSF	211.0	156.4	229.1	255.7	62.7	118.5	130.1	145.6	518.0	241.5	112.8	108.0	240.6
GM-CSF	16.1	12.6	12.0	16.2	27.0	19.2	27.2	25.3	35.6	34.6	16.2	11.6	24.5
ICAM-1	1765.7	1440.2	1896.0	1588.8	1871.7	1597.9	2409.4	1874.0	2260.4	5278.9	2393.3	2234.2	2339.1
IFNg	67.5	25.4	40.0	43.0	68.1	78.2	85.5	65.4	117.5	119.0	55.4	36.4	100.7
IL-1a	3.0	3.8	1.6	2.9	8.0	3.3	4.8	4.8	25.4	3.7	3.1	3.4	2.8
IL-1b	156.0	72.4	83.5	80.2	175.8	167.0	185.9	162.6	248.9	232.1	143.7	94.0	195.3
IL-2	88.0	74.8	84.9	69.0	123.7	114.0	121.2	97.2	170.0	118.8	74.5	91.7	99.1
IL-3	1.8	0.3	1.4	1.1	2.4	1.5	1.4	1.7	8.8	2.8	1.9	0.7	0.7
IL-4	3.6	0.8	1.7	1.9	1.5	1.1	0.8	1.3	12.1	2.2	2.9	0.4	2.4
IL-5	91.7	43.6	75.2	48.7	92.8	69.7	59.0	92.5	175.4	88.6	122.1	88.4	42.2
IL-6	11.9	3.0	17.9	9.7	17.0	14.7	14.6	15.9	43.3	19.2	10.9	11.4	28.9
IL-7	116.5	65.8	3.6	27.9	38.0	0.0	65.7	194.5	161.4	146.0	45.5	75.2	113.8
IL-10	287.5	139.5	147.3	142.0	340.5	292.4	316.5	323.1	311.4	358.1	194.9	207.9	299.1
IL-12p70	100.3	37.2	65.8	36.6	96.6	84.2	107.1	88.8	147.1	109.6	49.2	47.1	54.4
IL-13	31.4	0.0	0.9	6.4	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	198.2	59.5	21.9	0.0	0.0
IL-15	482.5	138.8	202.3	153.6	105.6	145.8	81.5	168.7	228.9	61.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
IL-17	3.6	1.7	2.7	0.9	2.0	1.2	3.6	1.8	14.8	2.2	3.8	2.0	9.2
IL-21	15.1	14.4	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.5	20.1	45.4	8.3	2.4	7.0	0.0
кс	3.7	2.9	2.9	2.7	3.9	3.5	3.9	3.2	4.6	4.8	3.5	2.8	3.7
Leptin	140.3	91.7	188.0	105.9	161.8	78.7	83.4	161.9	687.3	1930.5	220.0	325.6	84.3
LIX	356.0	322.0	292.9	230.1	531.1	381.0	482.4	392.8	512.4	663.4	18.0	257.4	411.3
MCP-1	45.0	20.3	21.4	16.8	37.7	32.9	45.0	40.9	69.6	17.1	18.5	17.7	20.2
MCP-5	53.2	25.8	19.8	11.6	40.9	20.9	11.1	31.4	67.1	15.3	41.5	12.7	11.6
MCSF	4.3	0.8	6.1	3.7	1.5	3.1	2.0	1.7	9.4	0.9	2.0	0.1	0.1
MIG	67.0	35.5	25.7	28.1	36.9	31.8	32.8	40.9	92.5	70.8	77.6	52.1	201.7
MIP-1a	8.4	10.3	19.6	8.9	12.8	12.6	10.8	12.5	32.5	26.3	17.7	15.1	2.0
PF4	3734.5	4274.5	4317.3	4222.6	3164.9	2978.8	3612.0	3644.5	3049.0	3336.7	4330.0	4125.5	2772.5
RANTES	1.7	1.3	2.2	1.4	2.1	1.8	1.5	1.8	5.7	5.2	3.1	2.5	0.1
TARC	35.2	14.0	19.5	12.1	30.0	20.1	36.6	20.7	44.1	34.6	21.4	13.3	16.0
TCA-3	34.7	23.5	23.3	10.4	45.4	14.2	38.8	30.2	40.2	34.9	63.9	18.7	25.9
TIMP-1	721.0	479.6	587.1	472.9	563.8	544.4	555.8	445.5	1055.8	851.2	830.4	505.2	694.6
TNFa	28.0	19.2	18.2	22.7	44.8	34.4	42.6	44.5	64.1	50.0	29.7	23.1	35.7
TNF RII	416.2	209.0	373.4	259.0	396.6	367.6	306.4	113.3	439.8	542.5	401.2	225.7	248.6

**Supplementary table 6** | Multiplexed ELISA array quantification of levels of inflammatory factors in plasma of mice young and aged mice.  $n \ge 5$  mice. Each value represents averages in pg/ml from n=4 technical replicates for each factor. TNF-RI and MIP-1g were excluded from the analysis since signals were outside of the detection range.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

Gene Set Name - Human	GST Pval	GST FDR
HALLMARK_TNFA_SIGNALING_VIA_NFKB	1.00E-04	0.0025
HALLMARK_MYOGENESIS	1.00E-04	0.0025
HALLMARK_ESTROGEN_RESPONSE_EARLY	4.00E-04	0.006666667
HALLMARK_INFLAMMATORY_RESPONSE	0.0012	0.015
HALLMARK_KRAS_SIGNALING_DN	0.0022	0.018571429
HALLMARK_HYPOXIA	0.0026	0.018571429
HALLMARK_INTERFERON_GAMMA_RESPONSE	0.0026	0.018571429
HALLMARK_PI3K_AKT_MTOR_SIGNALING	0.004	0.025
HALLMARK_IL6_JAK_STAT3_SIGNALING	0.0067	0.037222222
HALLMARK_MITOTIC_SPINDLE	0.0082	0.041
HALLMARK_P53_PATHWAY	0.0112	0.04875
HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING	0.0117	0.04875
HALLMARK_APICAL_JUNCTION	0.0139	0.053461538
HALLMARK_INTERFERON_ALPHA_RESPONSE	0.0159	0.055
HALLMARK_UNFOLDED_PROTEIN_RESPONSE	0.0165	0.055
HALLMARK_EPITHELIAL_MESENCHYMAL_TRANSITION	0.022	0.06875
HALLMARK_APOPTOSIS	0.0246	0.072352941
HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_DN	0.0331	0.085714286
HALLMARK_IL2_STAT5_SIGNALING	0.0352	0.085714286
HALLMARK_COMPLEMENT	0.0353	0.085714286
HALLMARK_GLYCOLYSIS	0.036	0.085714286
HALLMARK_ESTROGEN_RESPONSE_LATE	0.0493	0.112045455
HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING	0.0537	0.11673913
HALLMARK_APICAL_SURFACE	0.0593	0.123541667
HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_UP	0.0726	0.1452
HALLMARK_MTORC1_SIGNALING	0.0827	0.159038462
HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION	0.2044	0.378518519
HALLMARK_DNA_REPAIR	0.225	0.401785714
HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM	0.2687	0.449193548
HALLMARK_PEROXISOME	0.2711	0.449193548
HALLMARK_TGF_BETA_SIGNALING	0.2785	0.449193548
HALLMARK_BILE_ACID_METABOLISM	0.3176	0.49625
HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V2	0.376	0.56969697
HALLMARK_CHOLESTEROL_HOMEOSTASIS	0.4723	0.694558824
HALLMARK_PROTEIN_SECRETION	0.5884	0.840571429
HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE	0.6312	0.857837838
HALLMARK_WNT_BETA_CATENIN_SIGNALING	0.6348	0.857837838
HALLMARK_SPERMATOGENESIS	0.6707	0.8825
HALLMARK_REACTIVE_OXIGEN_SPECIES_PATHWAY	0.7166	0.896875
HALLMARK_G2M_CHECKPOINT	0.7175	0.896875
HALLMARK_ADIPOGENESIS	0.7542	0.919756098
HALLMARK_XENOBIOTIC_METABOLISM	0.8072	0.952790698
HALLMARK_KRAS_SIGNALING_UP	0.8194	0.952790698
HALLMARK_COAGULATION	0.9334	1
HALLMARK_ANGIOGENESIS	0.9352	1
HALLMARK_PANCREAS_BETA_CELLS	0.9707	1
HALLMARK_FATTY_ACID_METABOLISM	0.9777	1
HALLMARK_E2F_TARGETS	0.9846	1
HALLMARK_OXIDATIVE_PHOSPHORYLATION	0.9996	1
HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V1	1	1

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

**Supplementary table 7** | Gene sets decreased with age in encapsulated hskMPs compared to the young control after ten days *in vivo*. Data are derived from capsules of n≥5 mice in each age group. GST Pval = Wilcoxon gene set test p-value. GST FDR = Adjusted p-value using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

Gene Set Name - Mouse	GST Pval	GST FDR
HALLMARK XENOBIOTIC METABOLISM	1.00E-04	0.001
HALLMARK_P53_PATHWAY	1.00E-04	0.001
HALLMARK_COAGULATION	1.00E-04	0.001
HALLMARK_IL2_STAT5_SIGNALING	1.00E-04	0.001
HALLMARK_KRAS_SIGNALING_UP	1.00E-04	0.001
HALLMARK_COMPLEMENT	8.00E-04	0.006666667
HALLMARK_ADIPOGENESIS	0.0018	0.012857143
HALLMARK_IL6_JAK_STAT3_SIGNALING	0.0036	0.0225
HALLMARK_REACTIVE_OXIGEN_SPECIES_PATHWAY	0.0043	0.023888889
HALLMARK_ANGIOGENESIS	0.0089	0.0445
HALLMARK_INFLAMMATORY_RESPONSE	0.0296	0.134545455
HALLMARK_INTERFERON_GAMMA_RESPONSE	0.0348	0.145
HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM	0.0391	0.150384615
HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION	0.0561	0.198823529
HALLMARK_PANCREAS_BETA_CELLS	0.0606	0.198823529
HALLMARK_BILE_ACID_METABOLISM	0.0648	0.198823529
HALLMARK_MYOGENESIS	0.0676	0.198823529
HALLMARK_PROTEIN_SECRETION	0.0918	0.255
HALLMARK_EPITHELIAL_MESENCHYMAL_TRANSITION	0.1071	0.268809524
HALLMARK_ESTROGEN_RESPONSE_EARLY	0.1125	0.268809524
HALLMARK_GLYCOLYSIS	0.1129	0.268809524
HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_UP	0.1237	0.281136364
HALLMARK_DNA_REPAIR	0.1388	0.30173913
HALLMARK_WNT_BETA_CATENIN_SIGNALING	0.1468	0.305833333
HALLMARK_HYPOXIA	0.1736	0.334038462
HALLMARK_PEROXISOME	0.1737	0.334038462
HALLMARK_APOPTOSIS	0.183	0.33625
HALLMARK_UNFOLDED_PROTEIN_RESPONSE	0.1883	0.33625
HALLMARK_INTERFERON_ALPHA_RESPONSE	0.2077	0.3485
HALLMARK_APICAL_SURFACE	0.2091	0.3485
HALLMARK_TNFA_SIGNALING_VIA_NFKB	0.2938	0.473870968
HALLMARK_SPERMATOGENESIS	0.3287	0.51359375
HALLMARK_ESTROGEN_RESPONSE_LATE	0.3518	0.533030303
HALLMARK_MTORC1_SIGNALING	0.3919	0.576323529
HALLMARK_FATTY_ACID_METABOLISM	0.4534	0.640555556
HALLMARK_OXIDATIVE_PHOSPHORYLATION	0.4612	0.640555556
HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE	0.5669	0.766081081
HALLMARK_PI3K_AKT_MTOR_SIGNALING	0.5979	0.768333333
HALLMARK_APICAL_JUNCTION	0.5993	0.768333333
HALLMARK_TGF_BETA_SIGNALING	0.7692	0.9615
HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING	0.8402	1
HALLMARK_CHOLESTEROL_HOMEOSTASIS	0.873	1
HALLMARK_KRAS_SIGNALING_DN	0.8786	1
HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING	0.9466	1
HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_DN	0.9712	1
HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V2	0.9741	1
HALLMARK_MITOTIC_SPINDLE	1	1
HALLMARK_G2M_CHECKPOINT	1	1
HALLMARK_E2F_TARGETS	1	1
HALLMARK MYC TARGETS V1	1	1

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

**Supplementary table 8** | Gene sets decreased with age in encapsulated mskMPs compared to the young control after ten days *in vivo*. Data are derived from capsules of  $n \ge 5$  mice in each age group. GST Pval = Wilcoxon gene set test p-value. GST FDR = Adjusted p-value using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

Gene Set Name - Freshly Isolated MPs	GST Pval	GST FDR
HALLMARK_TNFA_SIGNALING_VIA_NFKB	1.00E-04	0.000714286
HALLMARK_INTERFERON_ALPHA_RESPONSE	1.00E-04	0.000714286
HALLMARK_INTERFERON_GAMMA_RESPONSE	1.00E-04	0.000714286
HALLMARK_E2F_TARGETS	1.00E-04	0.000714286
HALLMARK_XENOBIOTIC_METABOLISM	1.00E-04	0.000714286
HALLMARK_FATTY_ACID_METABOLISM	1.00E-04	0.000714286
HALLMARK ALLOGRAFT REJECTION	1.00E-04	0.000714286
HALLMARK MTORC1 SIGNALING	2.00E-04	0.001111111
HALLMARK MYC TARGETS V2	2.00E-04	0.001111111
HALLMARK_OXIDATIVE_PHOSPHORYLATION	4.00E-04	0.002
HALLMARK_G2M_CHECKPOINT	8.00E-04	0.003636364
HALLMARK IL6 JAK STAT3 SIGNALING	0.0028	0.011153846
HALLMARK INFLAMMATORY RESPONSE	0.0029	0.011153846
HALLMARK REACTIVE OXIGEN SPECIES PATHWAY	0.0039	0.013928571
HALLMARK MYC TARGETS V1	0.0047	0.015666667
HALLMARK APOPTOSIS	0.0074	0.023125
HALLMARK BILE ACID METABOLISM	0.0194	0.057058824
HALLMARK KRAS SIGNALING UP	0.0265	0.073611111
HALLMARK COMPLEMENT	0.0371	0.097631579
HALLMARK DNA REPAIR	0.0452	0.113
HALLMARK PEROXISOME	0.0858	0.204285714
HALLMARK SPERMATOGENESIS	0.1062	0.241363636
HALLMARK GLYCOLYSIS	0.1574	0.342173913
HALLMARK APICAL SURFACE	0.2034	0.42375
HALLMARK ESTROGEN RESPONSE LATE	0.2152	0.4304
HALLMARK IL2 STAT5 SIGNALING	0.2275	0.4375
HALLMARK_ADIPOGENESIS	0.2721	0.503888889
HALLMARK CHOLESTEROL HOMEOSTASIS	0.2845	0.508035714
HALLMARK_UNFOLDED_PROTEIN_RESPONSE	0.326	0.562068966
HALLMARK_PANCREAS_BETA_CELLS	0.39	0.65
HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM	0.4151	0.65890625
HALLMARK_COAGULATION	0.4217	0.65890625
HALLMARK_P53_PATHWAY	0.4672	0.707878788
HALLMARK_HYPOXIA	0.4937	0.726029412
HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE	0.556	0.794285714
HALLMARK_PI3K_AKT_MTOR_SIGNALING	0.5926	0.823055556
HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_UP	0.7824	1
HALLMARK_ESTROGEN_RESPONSE_EARLY	0.8029	1
HALLMARK_WNT_BETA_CATENIN_SIGNALING	0.8277	1
HALLMARK_PROTEIN_SECRETION	0.841	1
HALLMARK_TGF_BETA_SIGNALING	0.9167	1
HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING	0.9188	1
HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING	0.9471	1
HALLMARK_MITOTIC_SPINDLE	0.9955	1
HALLMARK_KRAS_SIGNALING_DN	0.9984	1
HALLMARK_MYOGENESIS	0.9994	1
HALLMARK_APICAL_JUNCTION	0.9996	1
HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_DN	0.9999	1
HALLMARK_EPITHELIAL_MESENCHYMAL_TRANSITION	1	1
HALLMARK_ANGIOGENESIS	1	1

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

**Supplementary table 9** | Gene sets increased with age in niche resident freshly isolated mouse muscle stem cells compared to the young control. Data from n=8 young or aged mice. GST Pval = Wilcoxon gene set test p-value. GST FDR = Adjusted p-value using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

Gene Set Name - Freshly Isolated MPs	GST Pval	GST FDR
HALLMARK EPITHELIAL MESENCHYMAL TRANSITION	1.00E-04	0.001666667
HALLMARK UV RESPONSE DN	1.00E-04	0.001666667
HALLMARK ANGIOGENESIS	1.00E-04	0.001666667
HALLMARK MYOGENESIS	2.00E-04	0.0025
HALLMARK APICAL JUNCTION	4.00E-04	0.004
HALLMARK KRAS SIGNALING DN	0.0019	0.015833333
HALLMARK MITOTIC SPINDLE	0.0055	0.039285714
HALLMARK HEDGEHOG SIGNALING	0.0509	0.318125
HALLMARK NOTCH SIGNALING	0.0785	0.435
HALLMARK TGF BETA SIGNALING	0.087	0.435
HALLMARK PROTEIN SECRETION	0.1603	0.728636364
HALLMARK WNT BETA CATENIN SIGNALING	0.1808	0.739615385
HALLMARK ESTROGEN RESPONSE EARLY	0.1923	0.739615385
HALLMARK UV RESPONSE UP	0.2166	0.773571429
HALLMARK PI3K AKT MTOR SIGNALING	0.3975	1
HALLMARK ANDROGEN RESPONSE	0.4511	1
HALLMARK HYPOXIA	0.5136	1
HALLMARK P53 PATHWAY	0.5311	1
HALLMARK COAGULATION	0.585	1
HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM	0.5856	1
HALLMARK PANCREAS BETA CELLS	0.6043	1
HALLMARK_UNFOLDED_PROTEIN_RESPONSE	0.667	1
HALLMARK_CHOLESTEROL_HOMEOSTASIS	0.7074	1
HALLMARK ADIPOGENESIS	0.7445	1
HALLMARK IL2_STAT5_SIGNALING	0.768	1
HALLMARK ESTROGEN RESPONSE LATE	0.7814	1
HALLMARK APICAL SURFACE	0.8004	1
HALLMARK GLYCOLYSIS	0.8457	1
HALLMARK_SPERMATOGENESIS	0.8918	1
HALLMARK PEROXISOME	0.9184	1
HALLMARK_DNA_REPAIR	0.9581	1
HALLMARK_COMPLEMENT	0.9625	1
HALLMARK_KRAS_SIGNALING_UP	0.9756	1
HALLMARK_BILE_ACID_METABOLISM	0.9823	1
HALLMARK_APOPTOSIS	0.9911	1
HALLMARK_REACTIVE_OXIGEN_SPECIES_PATHWAY	0.9948	1
HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V1	0.9957	1
HALLMARK_IL6_JAK_STAT3_SIGNALING	0.9977	1
HALLMARK_INFLAMMATORY_RESPONSE	0.9982	1
HALLMARK_MTORC1_SIGNALING	0.9998	1
HALLMARK_G2M_CHECKPOINT	0.9999	1
HALLMARK_FATTY_ACID_METABOLISM	0.9999	1
HALLMARK_TNFA_SIGNALING_VIA_NFKB	1	1
HALLMARK_INTERFERON_ALPHA_RESPONSE	1	1
HALLMARK_INTERFERON_GAMMA_RESPONSE	1	1
HALLMARK_E2F_TARGETS	1	1
HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V2	1	1
HALLMARK_XENOBIOTIC_METABOLISM	1	1
HALLMARK_OXIDATIVE_PHOSPHORYLATION	1	1
HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION	1	1

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

**Supplementary table 10** | Gene sets decreased with age in niche resident freshly isolated mouse muscle stem cells compared to the young control. Data from n=3 young or aged mice. GST Pval = Wilcoxon gene set test p-value. GST FDR = Adjusted p-value using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

Gene Set Name: Human - D4 - in-vitro	GST_Pval	GST_FDR
HALLMARK_KRAS_SIGNALING_DN	7.38184E-05	0.003690921
HALLMARK_MYOGENESIS	0.016547233	0.413680814
HALLMARK_MITOTIC_SPINDLE	0.092710962	1
HALLMARK WNT BETA CATENIN SIGNALING	0.127484809	1
HALLMARK_SPERMATOGENESIS	0.155043207	1
HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING	0.308988817	1
HALLMARK KRAS SIGNALING UP	0.489306906	1
HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_DN	0.502201637	1
HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING	0.523833904	1
HALLMARK_G2M_CHECKPOINT	0.629324403	1
HALLMARK_APICAL_SURFACE	0.754826941	1
HALLMARK_E2F_TARGETS	0.780390542	1
HALLMARK_ANGIOGENESIS	0.866321537	1
HALLMARK_INTERFERON_ALPHA_RESPONSE	0.909711872	1
HALLMARK_INFLAMMATORY_RESPONSE	0.918050427	1
HALLMARK_APICAL_JUNCTION	0.919415481	1
HALLMARK_PANCREAS_BETA_CELLS	0.940161163	1
HALLMARK_IL2_STAT5_SIGNALING	0.960055152	1
HALLMARK_TNFA_SIGNALING_VIA_NFKB	0.966177046	1
HALLMARK_INTERFERON_GAMMA_RESPONSE	0.967155442	1
HALLMARK_TGF_BETA_SIGNALING	0.972137076	1
HALLMARK_IL6_JAK_STAT3_SIGNALING	0.983257911	1
HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V2	0.988243344	1
HALLMARK_BILE_ACID_METABOLISM	0.993171096	1
HALLMARK_COMPLEMENT	0.995493526	1
HALLMARK_DNA_REPAIR	0.996835177	1
HALLMARK_UNFOLDED_PROTEIN_RESPONSE	0.996925414	1
HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_UP	0.998857485	1
HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE	0.999000297	1
HALLMARK_COAGULATION	0.999724498	1
HALLMARK_PI3K_AKT_MTOR_SIGNALING	0.999749468	1
HALLMARK_P53_PATHWAY	0.99977587	1
HALLMARK_ESTROGEN_RESPONSE_EARLY	0.999855771	1
HALLMARK_ESTROGEN_RESPONSE_LATE	0.999880179	1
HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION	0.999921122	1
HALLMARK_PEROXISOME	0.99993053	1
HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM	0.999989611	1
HALLMARK_PROTEIN_SECRETION	0.999993718	1
HALLMARK_APOPTOSIS	0.999996336	1
HALLMARK_CHOLESTEROL_HOMEOSTASIS	0.999998683	1
HALLMARK_XENOBIOTIC_METABOLISM	0.999999212	1
HALLMARK_HYPOXIA	0.999999372	1
HALLMARK_GLYCOLYSIS	0.999999863	1
HALLMARK_REACTIVE_OXIGEN_SPECIES_PATHWAY	0.999999898	1
HALLMARK_EPITHELIAL_MESENCHYMAL_TRANSITION	1	1
HALLMARK_ADIPOGENESIS	1	1
HALLMARK_FATTY_ACID_METABOLISM	1	1
HALLMARK_MTORC1_SIGNALING	1	1
HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V1	1	1
HALLMARK_OXIDATIVE_PHOSPHORYLATION	1	1

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

**Supplementary table 11** | Gene sets increased after four days in 2D hskMP culture exposed to aged human serum compared to the young human serum. GST Pval = Wilcoxon gene set test p-value. Data are derived from hskMPs exposed to human serum from n=3 different young or aged donors. GST FDR = Adjusted p-value using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

HALLMARK_OXIDATIVE_PHOSPHORYLATION         2.36115E-07         1.18058E-05           HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V1         0.000955568         0.0238892           HALLMARK_E2F_TARGETS         0.003459696         0.057661598           HALLMARK_G2M_CHECKPOINT         0.00849323         0.106165371           HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V2         0.029563729         0.295637295           HALLMARK_MYOGENESIS         0.074208319         0.618402659           HALLMARK_MTOTIC_SPINDLE         0.193517125         0.999999997           HALLMARK_MTOTIC_SPINDLE         0.193517125         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ADIPOGENESIS         0.246304701         0.999999997           HALLMARK_DNA_REPAIR         0.307501786         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.318443285         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.318443285         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.413454301         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.481253969         0.999999997           HALLMARK_VERESPONSE_DN         0.52024193         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING         0.58492227         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING         0.605869514         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEDG
HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V1         0.000955568         0.0238892           HALLMARK_E2F_TARGETS         0.003459696         0.057661598           HALLMARK_G2M_CHECKPOINT         0.00849323         0.106165371           HALLMARK_G2M_CHECKPOINT         0.0029563729         0.29563729           HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V2         0.029563729         0.295637295           HALLMARK_MYOGENESIS         0.074208319         0.618402659           HALLMARK_MITOTIC_SPINDLE         0.193517125         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ADIPOGENESIS         0.237153025         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ADIPOGENESIS         0.246304701         0.999999997           HALLMARK_DNA_REPAIR         0.307501786         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.318443285         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.481253069         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NUT_BETA_CATENIN_SIGNALING         0.481253069         0.999999997           HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_DN         0.52024193         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM         0.481253069         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING         0.58804766         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING         0.8023261712         0.999999997           HALLMARK_AND
HALLMARK_E2F_TARGETS         0.003459696         0.057661598           HALLMARK_G2M_CHECKPOINT         0.00849323         0.106165371           HALLMARK_G2M_CHECKPOINT         0.0029563729         0.29563729           HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V2         0.029563729         0.29563729           HALLMARK_MYOGENESIS         0.074208319         0.618402659           HALLMARK_MITOTIC_SPINDLE         0.193517125         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ADIPOGENESIS         0.237153025         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ADIPOGENESIS         0.246304701         0.999999997           HALLMARK_DNA_REPAIR         0.307501786         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.318443285         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.413454301         0.999999997           HALLMARK_BIE_ACID_METABOLISM         0.4413454301         0.999999997           HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_DN         0.52024193         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING         0.58804766         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING         0.802361712         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE         0.74898541         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE         0.821549922         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ANDRO
HALLMARK_G2M_CHECKPOINT         0.00849323         0.106165371           HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V2         0.029563729         0.29563729         0.29563729           HALLMARK_MYOGENESIS         0.074208319         0.618402659           HALLMARK_MITOTIC_SPINDLE         0.193517125         0.9999999997           HALLMARK_SPERMATOGENESIS         0.237153025         0.9999999997           HALLMARK_ADIPOGENESIS         0.246304701         0.9999999997           HALLMARK_DNA_REPAIR         0.307501786         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.318443285         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.317910729         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.413454301         0.999999997           HALLMARK_BILE_ACID_METABOLISM         0.413454301         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM         0.481253969         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM         0.481253961         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM         0.481253969         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM         0.481253961         0.999999997           HALLMARK_RESCIGNALING         0.5804676         0.99999997           HALLMARK_KAS_SIGNALING_DN         0.605680514         0.99999997
HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V2         0.029563729         0.295637295           HALLMARK_MYOGENESIS         0.074208319         0.618402659           HALLMARK_MITOTIC_SPINDLE         0.193517125         0.999999997           HALLMARK_SPERMATOGENESIS         0.237153025         0.9999999997           HALLMARK_ADIPOGENESIS         0.246304701         0.9999999997           HALLMARK_DNA_REPAIR         0.307501786         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.318443285         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.377910729         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.413454301         0.999999997           HALLMARK_BILE_ACID_METABOLISM         0.441253069         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM         0.481253369         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM         0.481253369         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING         0.52024193         0.99999997           HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING         0.58804766         0.999999997           HALLMARK_RAS_SIGNALING_DN         0.605869514         0.99999997           HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE         0.748988541         0.99999997           HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE         0.821549922         0.99999997           HA
HALLMARK_MYOGENESIS         0.074208319         0.618402659           HALLMARK_MITOTIC_SPINDLE         0.193517125         0.999999997           HALLMARK_SPERMATOGENESIS         0.237153025         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ADIPOGENESIS         0.246304701         0.999999997           HALLMARK_MITOTIC_SPINDLE         0.307501786         0.999999997           HALLMARK_DNA_REPAIR         0.307501786         0.999999997           HALLMARK_WNT_BETA_CATENIN_SIGNALING         0.318443285         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.413454301         0.999999997           HALLMARK_BILE_ACID_METABOLISM         0.413454301         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM         0.481253969         0.999999997           HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_DN         0.52024193         0.999999997           HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_DN         0.52024193         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING         0.58804766         0.999999997           HALLMARK_KRAS_SIGNALING_DN         0.605869514         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE         0.748988541         0.99999997           HALLMARK_CUVCOLYSIS         0.821549992         0.99999997           HALLMARK_CUVFOLDED_PROTEIN_RESPONSE         0.869307435         0.999999997
HALLMARK_MITOTIC_SPINDLE         0.193517125         0.999999997           HALLMARK_SPERMATOGENESIS         0.237153025         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ADIPOGENESIS         0.246304701         0.999999997           HALLMARK_DNA_REPAIR         0.307501786         0.999999997           HALLMARK_MONT_BETA_CATENIN_SIGNALING         0.318443285         0.9999999997           HALLMARK_MOTCH_SIGNALING         0.3177910729         0.999999997           HALLMARK_BILE_ACID_METABOLISM         0.413454301         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM         0.481253969         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING         0.5804766         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING         0.605869514         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE         0.748988541         0.999999997           HALLMARK_CELYCOLYSIS         0.821549992         0.99999997           HALLMARK_CALLOGRAFT_REJECTION         0.869307435         0.999999997
HALLMARK_SPERMATOGENESIS         0.237153025         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ADIPOGENESIS         0.246304701         0.999999997           HALLMARK_DNA_REPAIR         0.307501786         0.999999997           HALLMARK_WNT_BETA_CATENIN_SIGNALING         0.318443285         0.999999997           HALLMARK_WNT_BETA_CATENIN_SIGNALING         0.318443285         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.377910729         0.999999997           HALLMARK_BIE_ACID_METABOLISM         0.413454301         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM         0.481253969         0.999999997           HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_DN         0.52024193         0.999999997           HALLMARK_PI3K_AKT_MTOR_SIGNALING         0.58804766         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING         0.605869514         0.999999997           HALLMARK_KRAS_SIGNALING_DN         0.605869514         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE         0.748988541         0.999999997           HALLMARK_OLYCOLYSIS         0.821549992         0.999999997           HALLMARK_UNFOLDED_PROTEIN_RESPONSE         0.869307435         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION         0.866954491         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION         0.869307435         0.999
HALLMARK_ADIPOGENESIS         0.246304701         0.999999997           HALLMARK_DNA_REPAIR         0.307501786         0.999999997           HALLMARK_WNT_BETA_CATENIN_SIGNALING         0.318443285         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.3177910729         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.413454301         0.999999997           HALLMARK_BILE_ACID_METABOLISM         0.413454301         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM         0.481253969         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM         0.481253969         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM         0.481253969         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM         0.481253969         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING         0.5804766         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING         0.605869514         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE         0.74898541         0.999999997           HALLMARK_CALVOLOGEN_RESPONSE         0.803261712         0.999999997           HALLMARK_GLYCOLYSIS         0.821549992         0.999999997           HALLMARK_RATTY_ACID_METABOLISM         0.869307435         0.99999997           HALLMARK_PROTEIN_SECRETION         0.8669307435         0.999999997
HALLMARK_DNA_REPAIR         0.307501786         0.999999997           HALLMARK_WNT_BETA_CATENIN_SIGNALING         0.318443285         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.377910729         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.413454301         0.999999997           HALLMARK_BILE_ACID_METABOLISM         0.413454301         0.999999997           HALLMARK_BILE_ACID_METABOLISM         0.481253969         0.999999997           HALLMARK_VV_RESPONSE_DN         0.52024193         0.999999997           HALLMARK_PI3K_AKT_MTOR_SIGNALING         0.58492227         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING         0.58804766         0.999999997           HALLMARK_KRAS_SIGNALING_DN         0.605869514         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE         0.748988541         0.999999997           HALLMARK_GLYCOLYSIS         0.821549992         0.99999997           HALLMARK_RATTY_ACID_METABOLISM         0.862474641         0.99999997           HALLMARK_PROTEIN_SECRETION         0.869307435         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION         0.886954491         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ANGIOGENESIS         0.909348451         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ANGIOGENESIS         0.909348451         0.999999997     <
HALLMARK_WNT_BETA_CATENIN_SIGNALING         0.318443285         0.999999997           HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.377910729         0.999999997           HALLMARK_BILE_ACID_METABOLISM         0.413454301         0.999999997           HALLMARK_BILE_ACID_METABOLISM         0.481253969         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM         0.481253969         0.999999997           HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_DN         0.52024193         0.999999997           HALLMARK_PI3K_AKT_MTOR_SIGNALING         0.58804766         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING         0.58804766         0.999999997           HALLMARK_KRAS_SIGNALING_DN         0.605869514         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE         0.748988541         0.999999997           HALLMARK_GLYCOLYSIS         0.821549992         0.99999997           HALLMARK_GLYCOLYSIS         0.862474641         0.99999997           HALLMARK_FATTY_ACID_METABOLISM         0.8669307435         0.99999997           HALLMARK_PROTEIN_SECRETION         0.869307435         0.99999997           HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION         0.866954491         0.99999997           HALLMARK_ANGIOGENESIS         0.909348451         0.999999997           HALLMARK_IL6_JAK_STAT3_SIGNALING         0.914068393         0.999999997 </td
HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING         0.377910729         0.999999997           HALLMARK_BILE_ACID_METABOLISM         0.413454301         0.999999997           HALLMARK_BILE_ACID_METABOLISM         0.481253969         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM         0.481253969         0.999999997           HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_DN         0.52024193         0.9999999997           HALLMARK_PI3K_AKT_MTOR_SIGNALING         0.58804766         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING         0.605869514         0.999999997           HALLMARK_KRAS_SIGNALING_DN         0.605869514         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE         0.748988541         0.999999997           HALLMARK_GLYCOLYSIS         0.821549992         0.999999977           HALLMARK_GLYCOLYSIS         0.862474641         0.999999997           HALLMARK_FATTY_ACID_METABOLISM         0.862474641         0.999999997           HALLMARK_PROTEIN_SECRETION         0.869307435         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ANGIOGENESIS         0.904334185         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ANGIOGENESIS         0.909348451         0.999999997           HALLMARK_REACTIVE_OXIGEN_SPECIES_PATHWAY         0.922475651         0.999999997           HALLMARK_APICAL_SURFACE         0.928490118         0.999999997
HALLMARK_BILE_ACID_METABOLISM         0.413454301         0.999999997           HALLMARK_BILE_ACID_METABOLISM         0.481253969         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM         0.481253969         0.999999997           HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_DN         0.52024193         0.999999997           HALLMARK_PI3K_AKT_MTOR_SIGNALING         0.58492227         0.999999997           HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING         0.58804766         0.999999997           HALLMARK_KRAS_SIGNALING_DN         0.605869514         0.999999997           HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE         0.748988541         0.999999997           HALLMARK_GLYCOLYSIS         0.803261712         0.999999997           HALLMARK_GLYCOLYSIS         0.821549992         0.999999977           HALLMARK_FATTY_ACID_METABOLISM         0.862474641         0.999999977           HALLMARK_PROTEIN_SECRETION         0.869307435         0.999999977           HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION         0.869307435         0.999999977           HALLMARK_ANGIOGENESIS         0.904334185         0.999999977           HALLMARK_ANGIOGENESIS         0.909348451         0.999999977           HALLMARK_REACTIVE_OXIGEN_SPECIES_PATHWAY         0.922475651         0.999999977           HALLMARK_APICAL_SURFACE         0.928490118         0.9999999977
HALLMARK_DILL_INDUCTION         0.1401010000000000000000000000000000000
HALLMARK_IVV_RESPONSE_DN         0.101100000000000000000000000000000000
HALLMARK_PI3K_AKT_MTOR_SIGNALING       0.58492227       0.999999997         HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING       0.58804766       0.999999997         HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING       0.605869514       0.999999997         HALLMARK_KRAS_SIGNALING_DN       0.605869514       0.999999997         HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE       0.748988541       0.999999997         HALLMARK_PEROXISOME       0.803261712       0.999999997         HALLMARK_GLYCOLYSIS       0.821549992       0.999999997         HALLMARK_UNFOLDED_PROTEIN_RESPONSE       0.859727268       0.999999997         HALLMARK_FATTY_ACID_METABOLISM       0.862474641       0.999999997         HALLMARK_PROTEIN_SECRETION       0.869307435       0.999999997         HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION       0.886954491       0.999999997         HALLMARK_ANGIOGENESIS       0.909348451       0.999999997         HALLMARK_IL6_JAK_STAT3_SIGNALING       0.914068939       0.99999997         HALLMARK_REACTIVE_OXIGEN_SPECIES_PATHWAY       0.922475651       0.999999997
HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING         0.000102221         0.00000000000000000000000000000000000
HALLMARK_ILG_JAK_STAT3_SIGNALING       0.00000 (0.0000000000000000000000000000
HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE       0.748988541       0.999999997         HALLMARK_PEROXISOME       0.803261712       0.999999997         HALLMARK_PEROXISOME       0.803261712       0.999999997         HALLMARK_GLYCOLYSIS       0.821549992       0.999999997         HALLMARK_UNFOLDED_PROTEIN_RESPONSE       0.859727268       0.999999997         HALLMARK_FATTY_ACID_METABOLISM       0.862474641       0.999999997         HALLMARK_PROTEIN_SECRETION       0.869307435       0.999999997         HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION       0.886954491       0.999999997         HALLMARK_ANGIOGENESIS       0.909348451       0.999999997         HALLMARK_IL6_JAK_STAT3_SIGNALING       0.914068939       0.999999997         HALLMARK_REACTIVE_OXIGEN_SPECIES_PATHWAY       0.922475651       0.999999997
HALLMARK_PEROXISOME       0.140300341       0.1503030341         HALLMARK_PEROXISOME       0.803261712       0.999999997         HALLMARK_GLYCOLYSIS       0.821549992       0.999999997         HALLMARK_UNFOLDED_PROTEIN_RESPONSE       0.859727268       0.999999997         HALLMARK_FATTY_ACID_METABOLISM       0.862474641       0.999999997         HALLMARK_PROTEIN_SECRETION       0.869307435       0.999999997         HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION       0.886954491       0.999999997         HALLMARK_PS3_PATHWAY       0.904334185       0.999999997         HALLMARK_ANGIOGENESIS       0.909348451       0.999999997         HALLMARK_IL6_JAK_STAT3_SIGNALING       0.914068939       0.999999997         HALLMARK_REACTIVE_OXIGEN_SPECIES_PATHWAY       0.922475651       0.999999997
HALLMARK_GLYCOLYSIS       0.821549992       0.999999997         HALLMARK_UNFOLDED_PROTEIN_RESPONSE       0.859727268       0.9999999997         HALLMARK_FATTY_ACID_METABOLISM       0.862474641       0.999999997         HALLMARK_PROTEIN_SECRETION       0.869307435       0.999999997         HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION       0.886954491       0.999999997         HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION       0.904334185       0.999999997         HALLMARK_ANGIOGENESIS       0.909348451       0.999999997         HALLMARK_IL6_JAK_STAT3_SIGNALING       0.914068939       0.999999997         HALLMARK_REACTIVE_OXIGEN_SPECIES_PATHWAY       0.922475651       0.999999997
HALLIMARK_UNFOLDED_PROTEIN_RESPONSE       0.021043322       0.03330331         HALLMARK_UNFOLDED_PROTEIN_RESPONSE       0.859727268       0.9999999997         HALLMARK_FATTY_ACID_METABOLISM       0.862474641       0.9999999997         HALLMARK_PROTEIN_SECRETION       0.869307435       0.9999999997         HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION       0.886954491       0.999999997         HALLMARK_P53_PATHWAY       0.904334185       0.999999997         HALLMARK_ANGIOGENESIS       0.909348451       0.999999997         HALLMARK_IL6_JAK_STAT3_SIGNALING       0.914068939       0.999999997         HALLMARK_REACTIVE_OXIGEN_SPECIES_PATHWAY       0.922475651       0.999999997
HALLWARK_FATTY_ACID_METABOLISM       0.862474641       0.999999997         HALLMARK_PROTEIN_SECRETION       0.869307435       0.999999997         HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION       0.886954491       0.999999997         HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION       0.886954491       0.999999997         HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION       0.904334185       0.999999997         HALLMARK_ANGIOGENESIS       0.909348451       0.999999997         HALLMARK_IL6_JAK_STAT3_SIGNALING       0.914068939       0.999999997         HALLMARK_REACTIVE_OXIGEN_SPECIES_PATHWAY       0.922475651       0.999999997         HALLMARK_APICAL_SURFACE       0.928490118       0.999999997
HALLMARK_PROTEIN_SECRETION       0.002474041       0.0030303030303030303030303030303030303
HALLWARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION       0.0003007450       0.0003007450         HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION       0.886954491       0.999999997         HALLMARK_P53_PATHWAY       0.904334185       0.9999999997         HALLMARK_ANGIOGENESIS       0.909348451       0.9999999997         HALLMARK_IL6_JAK_STAT3_SIGNALING       0.914068939       0.999999997         HALLMARK_REACTIVE_OXIGEN_SPECIES_PATHWAY       0.922475651       0.999999997         HALLMARK_APICAL_SURFACE       0.928490118       0.999999997
HALLWARK_ASI         0.00000000000000000000000000000000000
HALLMARK_ANGIOGENESIS         0.909348451         0.999999997           HALLMARK_IL6_JAK_STAT3_SIGNALING         0.914068939         0.999999997           HALLMARK_REACTIVE_OXIGEN_SPECIES_PATHWAY         0.922475651         0.9999999997           HALLMARK_APICAL_SURFACE         0.928490118         0.999999997
HALLMARK_IL6_JAK_STAT3_SIGNALING         0.914068939         0.9999999997           HALLMARK_REACTIVE_OXIGEN_SPECIES_PATHWAY         0.922475651         0.9999999997           HALLMARK_APICAL_SURFACE         0.928490118         0.9999999997
HALLMARK_REACTIVE_OXIGEN_SPECIES_PATHWAY         0.922475651         0.999999997           HALLMARK_APICAL_SURFACE         0.928490118         0.999999997
HALLMARK_APICAL_SURFACE         0.928490118         0.9999999997
HALLMARK 12 STATS SIGNALING 0.959439771 0.999999997
HALLMARK_STROGEN_RESPONSE LATE 0.907300043 0.9999999997
HALLMARK ESTROGEN RESPONSE EARLY 0.9907010/3 0.999999997
HALLMARK KRAS SIGNALING LIP 0.991040902 0.9999999997
HALLMARK_TGE BETA SIGNALING 0.99203376 0.99393937
HALLMARK_INELAMMATORY_RESPOnse 0.99230270 0.993939397
HALLMARK TNEA SIGNALING VIA NEKB 0.9980/6247 0.999999997
HALLMARK INTERFERON GAMMA RESPONSE 0.9999999997

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

**Supplementary table 12** Gene sets increased after ten days in 2D hskMP culture exposed to aged human serum compared to the young human serum. Data are derived from hskMPs exposed to human serum from n=3 different young or aged donors. GST Pval = Wilcoxon gene set test p-value. GST FDR = Adjusted p-value using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

Gene Set Name: Human - D4 - <i>in-vitro</i>	GST Pval	GST FDR
HALLMARK OXIDATIVE PHOSPHORYLATION	 2.37546E-27	
HALLMARK MYC TARGETS V1	9.99263E-21	2.49816E-19
HALLMARK MTORC1 SIGNALING	1.85244E-17	3.0874E-16
HALLMARK FATTY ACID METABOLISM	4.28616E-13	5.3577E-12
HALLMARK ADIPOGENESIS	7.65179E-12	7.65179E-11
HALLMARK EPITHELIAL MESENCHYMAL TRANSITION	1.62655E-10	1.35546E-09
HALLMARK REACTIVE OXIGEN SPECIES PATHWAY	1.02231E-07	7.30222E-07
HALLMARK_GLYCOLYSIS	1.36731E-07	8.54569E-07
HALLMARK HYPOXIA	6.2757E-07	3.4865E-06
HALLMARK XENOBIOTIC_METABOLISM	7.87998E-07	3.93999E-06
HALLMARK CHOLESTEROL HOMEOSTASIS	1.31717E-06	5.98715E-06
HALLMARK_APOPTOSIS	3.66435E-06	1.52681E-05
HALLMARK PROTEIN SECRETION	6.28291E-06	2.4165E-05
HALLMARK HEME METABOLISM	1.03897E-05	3.71061E-05
HALLMARK_PEROXISOME	6.94786E-05	0.000231595
HALLMARK ALLOGRAFT REJECTION	7.88859E-05	0.000246518
HALLMARK ESTROGEN RESPONSE LATE	0.000119831	0.000352445
HALLMARK ESTROGEN RESPONSE EARLY	0.000144241	0.000400668
HALLMARK_P53_PATHWAY	0.000224146	0.000589858
HALLMARK PI3K AKT MTOR SIGNALING	0.000250558	0.000626395
HALLMARK COAGULATION	0.000275532	0.000656028
HALLMARK ANDROGEN_RESPONSE	0.0009998	0.002272272
HALLMARK UV RESPONSE UP	0.0011426	0.002483913
HALLMARK_UNFOLDED_PROTEIN_RESPONSE	0.003074825	0.00633007
HALLMARK_DNA_REPAIR	0.003165035	0.00633007
HALLMARK COMPLEMENT	0.004506768	0.008666861
HALLMARK_BILE_ACID_METABOLISM	0.00682946	0.012647149
HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V2	0.011757726	0.020995939
HALLMARK_IL6_JAK_STAT3_SIGNALING	0.016743553	0.028868194
HALLMARK_TGF_BETA_SIGNALING	0.027865236	0.04644206
HALLMARK_INTERFERON_GAMMA_RESPONSE	0.032846143	0.052850754
HALLMARK_TNFA_SIGNALING_VIA_NFKB	0.033824482	0.052850754
HALLMARK_IL2_STAT5_SIGNALING	0.039946673	0.060525262
HALLMARK_PANCREAS_BETA_CELLS	0.059846482	0.088009533
HALLMARK_APICAL_JUNCTION	0.080587632	0.113823879
HALLMARK_INFLAMMATORY_RESPONSE	0.081953193	0.113823879
HALLMARK_INTERFERON_ALPHA_RESPONSE	0.090292878	0.122017402
HALLMARK_ANGIOGENESIS	0.133689274	0.175906939
HALLMARK_E2F_TARGETS	0.219615085	0.281557801
HALLMARK_APICAL_SURFACE	0.245188044	0.306485055
HALLMARK_G2M_CHECKPOINT	0.37068282	0.452052219
HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING	0.476186059	0.566888165
HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_DN	0.497807442	0.578845863
HALLMARK_KRAS_SIGNALING_UP	0.51070201	0.580343194
HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING	0.691028537	0.767809485
HALLMARK_SPERMATOGENESIS	0.844964205	0.918439353
HALLMARK_WNT_BETA_CATENIN_SIGNALING	0.87252442	0.928217468
HALLMARK_MITOTIC_SPINDLE	0.907292211	0.945096053
HALLMARK_MYOGENESIS	0.983453569	0.99992619
HALLMARK_KRAS_SIGNALING_DN	0.99992619	0.99992619

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

**Supplementary table 13** | Gene sets decreased after four days in 2D hskMP culture exposed to aged human serum compared to the young human serum. Data are derived from hskMPs exposed to human serum from n=3 different young or aged donors. GST Pval = Wilcoxon gene set test p-value. GST FDR = Adjusted p-value using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

Gene Set Name: Human - D10 - in-vitro	GST Pval	GST FDR
HALLMARK CHOLESTEROL HOMEOSTASIS	3.38115E-09	1.69057E-07
HALLMARK EPITHELIAL MESENCHYMAL TRANSITION	2.8198E-08	7.0495E-07
HALLMARK COAGULATION	8.80894E-06	0.000146816
HALLMARK MTORC1 SIGNALING	1.37243E-05	0.000171553
HALLMARK APOPTOSIS	8.46158E-05	0.000846158
HALLMARK INTERFERON GAMMA RESPONSE	0.000152545	0.001271212
HALLMARK INTERFERON ALPHA RESPONSE	0.000192191	0.001372792
HALLMARK TNEA SIGNALING VIA NEKB	0.001093828	0.006836424
HALLMARK APICAL JUNCTION	0.001288447	0.007158037
HALLMARK HYPOXIA	0.001714879	0.008574394
HALLMARK INFLAMMATORY RESPONSE	0.00487935	0.022178864
HALLMARK TGE BETA SIGNALING	0.00706795	0.029449793
HALLMARK KRAS SIGNALING UP	0.007975567	0.029861084
HALLMARK PANCREAS BETA CELLS	0.008361104	0.029861084
HALLMARK ESTROGEN RESPONSE EARLY	0.009299486	0.030232521
HALLMARK COMPLEMENT	0.009674407	0.030232521
HALLMARK XENOBIOTIC METABOLISM	0.020296026	0.059694193
HALLMARK ESTROGEN RESPONSE LATE	0.027981149	0.077725414
HALLMARK UV RESPONSE UP	0.032415584	0.085304169
HALLMARK IL2 STAT5 SIGNALING	0.040562078	0.101405195
HALLMARK APICAL SURFACE	0.071516386	0.170277109
HALLMARK REACTIVE OXIGEN SPECIES PATHWAY	0.07752997	0.176204476
HALLMARK IL6 JAK STAT3 SIGNALING	0.085936579	0.186818651
HALLMARK ANGIOGENESIS	0.090659734	0.188874445
HALLMARK P53 PATHWAY	0.095669122	0.191338244
HALLMARK_ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION	0.113050418	0.217404651
HALLMARK_PROTEIN_SECRETION	0.130698452	0.241859331
HALLMARK_FATTY_ACID_METABOLISM	0.137530456	0.241859331
HALLMARK_UNFOLDED_PROTEIN_RESPONSE	0.140278412	0.241859331
HALLMARK_GLYCOLYSIS	0.178455331	0.297425551
HALLMARK_PEROXISOME	0.196746281	0.317332711
HALLMARK_ANDROGEN_RESPONSE	0.251020589	0.39221967
HALLMARK_KRAS_SIGNALING_DN	0.394140878	0.592983711
HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING	0.411971511	0.592983711
HALLMARK_PI3K_AKT_MTOR_SIGNALING	0.415088598	0.592983711
HALLMARK_UV_RESPONSE_DN	0.479767137	0.666343246
HALLMARK_HEME_METABOLISM	0.518754536	0.701019643
HALLMARK_BILE_ACID_METABOLISM	0.586557064	0.77178561
HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING	0.622108325	0.797574776
HALLMARK_WNT_BETA_CATENIN_SIGNALING	0.681572497	0.844519472
HALLMARK_DNA_REPAIR	0.692505967	0.844519472
HALLMARK_ADIPOGENESIS	0.753701485	0.887042534
HALLMARK_SPERMATOGENESIS	0.76285658	0.887042534
HALLMARK_MITOTIC_SPINDLE	0.806488121	0.916463774
HALLMARK_MYOGENESIS	0.925794414	0.999999764
HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V2	0.970438614	0.999999764
HALLMARK_G2M_CHECKPOINT	0.991507212	0.999999764
HALLMARK_E2F_TARGETS	0.996540502	0.999999764
HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V1	0.999044493	0.999999764
HALLMARK_OXIDATIVE_PHOSPHORYLATION	0.999999764	0.999999764

Mashinchian and Hong et al., 2021 - Supplementary Information

**Supplementary table 14** | Gene sets decreased after ten days in 2D hskMP culture exposed to aged human serum compared to the young human serum. Data are derived from hskMPs exposed to human serum from n=3 different young or aged donors. GST Pval = Wilcoxon gene set test p-value. GST FDR = Adjusted p-value using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.