

**Original scientific paper** 

UDC: 582.542.11

# THE MODE OF INHERITANCE AND GENE EFFECTS FOR THE GRAIN NUMBER PER SPIKE IN DIFFERENT WHEAT GENOTYPES

Nataša LJUBIČIĆ<sup>\*</sup>, Sofija PETROVIĆ, Miodrag DIMITRIJEVIĆ, Nikola HRISTOV, Borislav BANJAC<sup>1</sup>

**Summary:** In order to estimate the gene effects and the mode of inheritance of the grain number per spike, five wheat genotypes (Pobeda, Renesansa, Sara, Partizanka and Pesma) were selected. The above mentioned genotypes were diallel crossed and  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ , and  $Fbc_1$ were obtained. The gene effects were estimated using additive-dominant model which was adequate for three crossing combinations: Renesansa x Sara, Renesansa x Partizanka and Partizanka x Pesma. In the most crosses the value of the dominant gene effect was more significant than additive. The inheritance of the grain number per spike was influenced by additive×additive and dominance×dominance type of non-allelic interactions. The mode of inheritance of the number of grains per spike in  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and  $BC_1$  generations differed depending from the crossing combinations.

Key words: wheat, inheritance, additive-dominance model

# **INTRODUCTION**

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*) is one of the most important cultivated crops in Serbia and has been grown in the area which has been varied, in recent five years, from 480 000 ha to 600 000 ha (USDA, 2014). Considering the importance of wheat in contemporary production, the main goal of breeding programs is to obtain the varieties with a high genetic potential for grain yield (Petrović et al., 2012). Wheat production in the country can be increased either by bringing more area under cultivation or by developing new, high yielding varieties (Ljubičić, 2014).

Grain yield is a complex trait, influenced by a number of other quantitative traits and environmental effects. Because of these complex interactions it is difficult to improve yield through breeding, especially in the early

Original scientific paper / Originalni naučni rad

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Nataša Ljubičić, PhD, Sofija Petrović, PhD, full professor, Miodrag Dimitrijević, PhD, full professor, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Agronomy, Trg D. Obradovića 8, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia. Nikola Hristov, PhD, Senior Research Associate, Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, M. Gorkog 30, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia.

<sup>\*</sup>This research is a part of research work on the project no. TR31066 (2011-2014), supporting by Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of Republic of Serbia.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Nataša Ljubičić, e-mail: ljubicic.natasa@gmail.com, Tel.: +381638305240.

generations, if the yield is the only factor recorded, suggesting that component traits should also be used as selection criteria for yield improvement. This is the reason why it is essential to know the genetic architecture of yield components (Dimitrijević et al., 1995; Fatehi et al., 2008; Petrović et al., 2013; Dimitrijević et al., 2013).

In an efficient breeding program, an understanding of the inheritance of yield and yield related traits in advance would be important to maximize the use of genetic potential. Plant breeders often use generation mean analysis to get information about gene action which is controlling the traits. Generation mean analysis is a simple and useful technique for estimating gene effects for a polygenic trait. Its greatest merit lying in an ability to estimate epistatic gene effects such as additive×additive (i), dominance×dominance (j) and additive×dominance (l) effects (Singh and Singh, 1992; Novoselović et al., 2004; Ljubičić et al., 2014).

As one of the main components of yield, the number of grains per spike is a result of the number of spikelets per spike and the number of flowers per spike, as well as, the result of the successof fertilization and crop setting in these grains flowers. As each of these traits are variable, the number of grains per spike as a result of all these traits and many other factors, is especially highly variable (Petrović et al., 2001; Hristov et al., 2008;).

The aim of the study is to evaluate the mode of inheritance and the gene effects for grain number per spike in different wheat genotypes.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Five varieties of hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*), namely, Pobeda, Renesansa, Sara, Partizanka and Pesma, which were diallely crossed and it was obtained  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations of progenies. Also, it was derived Fbc<sub>1</sub> ( $F_1$  generation crossed with one of the parents). The trial was set up on the trial field of the Institute of field and vegetable crops in Novi Sad, according to random block design with three replications, in three growingseasons (2009/2010, 2010/2011 and 2011/2012). The cultivars were sown in 2 m long rows with 20 cm of inter-row spacing and10 cm spacing between plants in the row. Grain yield component, number of grains per spike of wheat plants were analyzed, at the stage of full maturity. The main sample consisted of 10 plants per replication. The gene effects were estimated on the basis of Generation mean analysis, an additive-dominance model of three parameters (Mather, 1949) and marked was according to Hayman (1960) as follows:

- m = Mean effect.
- d = Additive gene effects.
- h = Dominance gene effects.
- i =Additive ×additive epistatic gene effects.
- j = Additive×dominance epistatic gene effects.
- $l = Dominance \times dominance epistatic gene effects.$

The adequacy of the additive-dominance model could be tested in two ways, using the Scaling-test (A, B and C) and Chi-square ( $\chi$ 2) test. The simple genetic model (m, d and h) was applied when epistasis was absent, whereas in the presence of non-allelic interaction the analysis was proceeded to estimate the interaction types involved using the six parameters genetic model i.e. (m, d, h, i, j and l) according to Mather and Jinks (1982). According to the methodology of Kearsey and Pooni (1996) the type of epistasis was determined only when dominance (h) and dominance×dominance (l) effects were significant. When these effects had the same sign, the type of epistasis was complementary, while different signs indicated duplicate epistasis (Kearsy and Poony, 1996). The best-fit model was used for obtaining the degree of freedom. This model excludes gene effects which do not have a significant influence on the expression of the studied trait. The values of significant gene effects could be obtained using a matrix system. The mode of inheritance was estimated using the test of significance of mean generation values in relation to the parental means (Dimitrijević et al., 1994).

#### RESULTS

The results of additive-dominance model with three-parameter revealed that the dominance effects (h) showed higher values than the additive effects (d), (Table 1). The adequacy of the additive-dominance model was tested using Scaling test and Chi-square ( $\chi$ 2) test. The results of these tests showed that the additive-dominance model with three-parameter was sufficient to explain genetic variation for grain number per spike for three crosses: Renesansa x Sara, Renesansa x Partizanka and Partizanka x Pesma. The results of the Scaling tests indicated that each of A, B or C were significant or highly significant for the grain number per spike in the remaining seven cross combinations: Pobeda x Renesansa, Pobeda x Sara, Pobeda x Partizanka, Pobeda x Pesma, Renesansa x Pesma, Sara x Partizanka and Sara x Pesma which indicated the presence of non-allelic gene interaction for these crosses (Table 1).

Cross combination								
	Pobeda	Pobeda	Pobeda	Pobeda	Renesansa			
Gene effect	Х	Х	Х	Х	х			
	Renesansa	Sara	Partizanka	Pesma	Sara			
m	42.12	39.35	35.41	38.67	38.95			
d	0.50	-0.09	-1.86	-0.81	0.08			
h	1.46	1.71	6.24	3.90	1.37			
Scaling test								
А	6.27	-0.08	-8.25*	-0.94	-6.71			
В	5.79	0.03	-2.84	1.37	-6.13			
С	13.13*	-17.61**	-25.59**	-15.48*	-4.56			
$\chi^{2}(3)$	8.49 *	13.6**	36.27**	10.91*	7.41			
P (probability)	< 0.05	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	> 0.05			
Cross combination								
	Renesansa	Renesansa	Sara	Sara	Partizanka			
Gene effect	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
	Partizanka	Pesma	Pesma Partizanka		Pesma			
m	37.62	41.57	35.58 41.48		36.17			
d	-2.29	-1.44	-1.76	-0.79	1.34			
h	2.59	3.47	4.94	5.12	3.11			
Scaling test								
А	-1.40	14.50	-13.41**	14.08**	1.28			
В	4.50	17.28	-8.10*	16.28**	-1.83			
С	-2.21	2.04	-17.90**	0.14	-2.12			
$\chi^{2}(3)$	3.00	42.08**	25.21**	50.58**	0.56			
P (probability)			< 0.01	< 0.01	> 0.05			

Table 1. Estimated values of additive and dominance gene effects for the grain number per spike of wheat

\*Significant at 0.05, \*\* significant at 0.01

Therefore, the six-parameter model was applied in order to assess the digenic types of interaction which controlling the genetic variations for this character. The estimates of the six parameters, i.e means (m), additive (d), dominance (h), additive×additive (i), additive×dominance (j) and dominance×dominance (l) are presented in Table 2.

using the six parameter model									
		Cross combination							
	Pobeda	Pobeda	Pobeda	Pobeda	Renesansa				
Gene	х	х	Х	х	x Sara				
effect	Renesansa	Sara	Partizanka	Pesma					
m	41.55**	22.63**	23.04**	23.21**	48.71**				
d	-0.24	0.05	2.71*	1.15	0.29				
h	10.46	37.42*	24.29	36.65**	-28.41*				
i	-1.06	17.57*	14.50*	15.89**	-8.27				
j	0.48	-0.11	-5.41	-2.30	-0.58				
1	-11.01	-17.52	-3.41	-16.34*	21.11*				
	Cross combination								
	Renesansa	Renesansa	Sara	Sara	Partizanka				
Gene	х	х	Х	х	х				
effect	Partizanka	Pesma	Partizanka	Pesma	Pesma				
m	32.47**	9.60	41.11**	8.82	34.81**				
d	2.95**	1.39*	2.65*	1.10	-1.56				
h	15.94	92.50**	-22.08	94.88**	5.65				
i	5.31	29.74**	-3.61	30.23**	1.58				
j	-5.89	-2.78	-5.31	-2.20	3.11				
1	-8.41	-61.52**	25.12*	-60.59**	-1.04				

*Table 2.* Estimated values of additive and dominance gene effects for the grain number per spike of wheat using the six parameter model

\*Significant at 0.05, \*\* significant at 0.01

Using the six-parameter model it was confirmed the presence of significant epistatic effects in all crossing combinations, except the three cross combinations: Pobeda x Renesansa, Renesansa x Partizanka and Partizanka x Pesma. The type of non-allelic interactions which was observed were: additive×additive (i) and dominance×dominance (l). For the all investigated crosses was observed the preponderance dominance effects (h) and showed higher values than the corresponding additive effects (d), (Table 2).

The application of the best fit model was indicated very similar gene effects which were presented by a model with six parameters. Interactions caused by the presence of complementary genes, in which significant values (h) and (l) had the same sign, for the trait number of grains per spike of wheat, in this experiment has not been determined (Table 3).

<u> </u>				ain number per spike of wheat using the best fit model					
Cross	Gene	Estimated	SE	t-	Cross	Gene	Estimated	SE	t-
combination	effect	values	5E	test	combination	effect	values	5E	test
Pobeda	m	42.75	0.85	50.45**	Renesansa	m	38.92	0.87	44.82**
Х	$\chi^{2}(5)$	3.30			Х	d	2.81	1.46	1.93
Renesansa					Partizanka	_			
	Р	> 0.01				$\chi^{2}(5)$	2.10		
Pobeda									
х	m	32.88	3.60	9.15**		Р	> 0.01		
Sara	h	10.13	4.76	2.13*	Renesansa	m	9.88	9.11	1.08
	i	7.60	3.88	1.96	Х	h	91.77	22.19	4.14**
	$\chi^{2}(3)$	0.54			Pesma	i	29.60	8.98	3.29**
	Р	< 0.01				1	-61.04	13.94	-4.38**
Pobeda	m	39.37	0.97	40.66**		$\chi^{2}(2)$	1.04		
Х		• • •		1 - 10			0.01		
Partizanka	d	2.06	1.27	1.62		Р	< 0.01		
	i	-2.77	1.75	-1.58	Sara	m	35.52	1.14	31.21**
	$\chi^{2}(3)$	20.30			Х	d	1.75	1.35	1.30
	Р	< 0.01			Partizanka	1	7.37	2.60	2.83*
	m	23.31	9.14	2.55**		$\chi^{2}(3)$	4.18		
Pobeda						- D	0.04		
Х	h	36.35	21.71	1.67		Р	< 0.01	- 10	
Pesma	i	15.89	8.99	1.77	Sara	h	115.25	7.13	16.17**
	1	-16.12	13.62	-1.18	Х	i	38.84	8.20	4.74**
	$\chi^{2}(2)$	0.48			Pesma	1	-72.14	6.50	-11.10**
	Р	< 0.01				$\chi^{2}(3)$	1.10		
Renesansa	m	40.36	1.93	20.93**		Р	> 0.01		
х	h	-8.48	7.79	-1.09	Partizanka	m	37.66	0.78	48.09**
Sara	1	9.53	7.13	1.34	х	$\chi^2$	4.00		
	$\chi^{2}(3)$	5.60			Pesma	P(5)	> 0.01		
	Р	> 0.01							

*Table 3.* Estimated values of gene effects for the grain number per spike of wheat using the best fit model

\*Significant at 0.05, \*\* significant at 0.01

## DISCUSSION

The results obtained using by the additive-dominance model with three-parameter revealed that dominance gene effects (h) had a higher values than the additive effects (d), indicating that dominance gene effects play the major role in controlling the genetic variation of the grain number per spike of wheat for all crosses. The higher estimates of dominance effects than for additive, for this trait, indicated that the parents were in dispersion phase and that there was an accumulation of dominant parental genes in their progenies. The similar finding, which indicated the major role of dominance gene effects in controlling the genetic variation of grain number per spike for all crosses, was also obtained by Dhanda and Sethi (1996); Petrović (1995); Fethi and Mohamed (2010). The results of Scaling test and Chi-square ( $\chi$ 2) test showed that the additive-dominance model with three parameters was sufficient to explain genetic variation for the grain number per spike for three crosses: Renesansa x Sara, Renesansa x Partizanka and Partizanka x Pesma. These tests also showed that three-parameter model was inadequate for explaining the inheritance of the grain number per spike in in the remaining seven crosses (Pobeda x Renesansa, Pobeda x Sara, Pobeda x Pesma, Renesansa x Pesma, Sara x Partizanka and Sara x Pesma)

which indicated the presence of non-allelic gene interaction for these crosses. Presence of non-allelic interactions (epistasis) suggests that a digenic epistatic model of inheritance could be adequate in such cases.

The results obtained using by the additive-dominance model with six-parameter also showed the preponderance of dominance effects (h) for the all investigated crosses. The six-parameter model confirmed the presence of significant epistatic effects in all crossing combinations, except the three cross combinations: Pobeda x Renesansa, Renesansa x Partizanka and Partizanka x Pesma. The type of non-allelic interactions which was observed in remain crosses were: additive×additive (i) and dominance×dominance (l). In the cross combination Pobeda x Renesansa tests indicated the presence of epistasis, while those are not determined, which may be the consequence of the presence of threegenic or polygenic epistasis. Similar results were obtained by Mather and Jinks (1982) and Sharmaet al. (2012). The mean effect (m) was significant for all studied crosses, except for the two crosses (Renesansa x Pesma and Sara x Pesma). According to Khattab et al. (2010) highly significance of the estimated values of mean effects (m) indicated that studied trait was quantitatively inherited. Crossing combination Renesansa x Partizanka had a highly significant only the mean value (m) and value of additive gene effects (d), while significant epistatic effects were not identified, which makes easier the selection on this trait. When additive effects are larger than the non-additive, it is suggested that selection in early segregating generations would be effective. Similar results have pointed out by Dvojković et al. (2010). Also, the favorable situation was observed in the cross combination Pobeda x Partizanka, as in this cross combination was expressed a significant value of the additive gene effects (d) and significant additive×additive epistatic effects were (i), which additionally draws gene effects in the direction to the additivity. In the cross combination Pobeda x Sara, genes with dominant effects (h), as well as epistasis additive×additive (i) significantly controlled the inheritance of the number of grains per spike. Duplicate type of epistasis was detected in crosses: Pobeda x Pesma, Renesansa x Sara, Renesansa x Pesma and Sara x Pesma, since dominance effects (h) and dominance×dominance (l) epistatic effects were significant and in opposite signs. The success of the selection could be affected negatively by these interactions. Similarly, Fethi and Mohamed (2010) also reported that dominance effects (h) and dominance×dominance epistasis (l) were more important than additive effects and other epistatic components in the inheritance of the grain number per spike. The presence of the duplicate type of epistasis in the inheritance of grain per spike were also indicated by Kobiljski (1999); Singh et al. (2004); Erkul et al. (2010); Ijaz and Kashif (2013). Contrary to these results, the presence of non-allelic gene interaction caused by complementary genes indicated Novoselović et al. (2004). In the cross combination Renesansa x Pesma additive (d) and dominance effects (h) were significant, whereas dominance effects were relative higher than additive effects. In the crosses Renesansa x Pesma, Pobeda x Pesma and Sara x Pesma was observed the less favorable case of duplicate type of epistasis. The sign of the value of epistatic effects dominance×dominance (l) were negative, which causes reducing the effects of dominant gene, in the crosses listed above. These results are less favorable for breeders, than if the values of dominance×dominance epistatic effects (1) were positive, while the values of the dominant gene effects (h) appeared to be negative. Also, the favorable side of these crossing combinations lies in the fact that in these crosses was significant epistatic effects additive×additive (i), which increases the ability to successfully selection more superior genotypes. More favorable results were obtained in the cross combination Pobeda x Pesma. The value of the significant duplicate type of epistasis, as well as highly significant values of additive × additive type of epistasis (i =  $15.89^{**}$ , \*l = 16.34), suggest that epistatic effects additive × additive (i) pushed gene effects toward additivity.

Application of the best fit model indicated a very similar gene effects which were presented by a model with six parameters. Interactions caused by the presence of complementary genes, in which significant values (h) and (l) had the same sign, for the trait number of grains per spike in this experiment has not been determined.

In the cross combination Pobeda x Renesansa, due to the absence of statistically significant difference it was not determined the mode of inheritance in the  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and  $BC_1$  generations. In the cross Pobeda x Sara it wasn't possible to determine the mode of inheritance in the  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and  $BC_1$  generations, as a consequence of the absence of statistically significant difference. In the cross combination Pobeda x Partizanka, domination of better parent in the  $F_1$  generation, was observed, while in the  $F_2$  generation due to the absence of statistically significant difference, the mode of inheritance could not be determined. That also goes for the  $BC_1$ . In the cross combination Pobeda x Pesma, due to the absence of statistically significant difference, the mode of inheritance in the  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and  $BC_1$  generations, could not be determined, due to the absence of statistically significant difference. The same goes for the cross combination Renesansa x Partizanka, in  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and  $BC_1$  generations, followed by the cross combination Renesansa x Pesma, in  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations. In the backcross progeny,  $BC_1$ , a positive heterotic effect, was noted. The positive heterotic effect was denoted in the cross combination Sara x Partizanka, as well, while in  $F_2$  generation the mode of inheritance could not be determined. In the cross combination Sara x Partizanka, as well, while in  $F_2$  generations.

the lower mean value of the trait, was determined. In the backcross generation,  $BC_1$ , a positive heterotic effect was observed.

Generally, it can be concluded that the inheritance of the number of grains per spike is caused by different effects differing depending on cross combination.

### CONCLUSION

Additive-dominant model was adequate for the three cross combinations: Renesansa x Sara, Renesansa x Partizanka and Partizanka x Pesma. The inheritance of the grain number per spike was controlled by additive and non-additive genetic effects, with a greater value of the dominance gene effect in the most cases. The dominance effects showed higher values than the additive effects, indicating that dominance gene effects play the major role in controlling the genetic variation of the grain number per spike for all the crosses. Therefore, given that the non-additive effects are larger than additive, the improvement of the number of grain per spike needs intensive selection through later generation.

Results obtained in this study also revealed the importance of epistatic effects (additive×additive and dominance×dominance) in the inheritance of grain number per spike which all suggests that it cannot be ignored when establish a new breeding program to improve wheat population for this trait. The mode of inheritance of the number of grains per spike in  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and  $BC_1$  generations were differed depending from the crossing combinations.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is a part of research work on the project no. TR31066, supporting by Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of Republic of Serbia.

### REFERENCES

DHANDA, S.S. and SETHI, G.S.: Genetics and interrelationships of grain yield and its related traits in bread wheat under irrigated and rainfed conditions. Wheat Inf. Serv., 83: 19-27, 1996.

DIMITRIJEVIĆ, M., KRALJEVIĆ-BALALIĆ, M., PETROVIĆ, S., IVEZIĆ, J.: The Mode of Inheritance and Variability Interval of Grain Yield Components in Wheat F1 Hybrids. Jour. of Sci. Agric. Res., 57, 199, 3-13, 1994.

DIMITRIJEVIĆ, M., KRALJEVIĆ-BALALIĆ, M., PETROVIĆ, S.: The inheritance and variability of yield components in wheat. Selekcija i Semenarstvo, 2 (1)51-57, 1995.

DIMITRIJEVIĆ, M., PETROVIĆ, S., BANJAC, B.: Variation of phenotypic markers of wheat yield on halomorphic soil. Selekcija i Semenarstvo, 19(2)1-9, 2013.

DVOJKOVIĆ, K., DREZNER, G., NOVOSELOVIĆ, D., LALIĆ, A., KOVAČEVIĆ, J., BABIĆ, D., BARIĆ, M.: Estimation of some genetic parameters through generation mean analysis in two winter wheat crosses. Periodicum Biologorum, 112(3)247-251, 2010.

ERKUL, A., UNAY, A., KONAK, C.: Inheritance of yield and yield components in bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) cross. Turkish Journal of Field Crops, 15(2)137-140, 2010.

FATEHI, F., BEHAMTA, M.R., ZALI, A.A.: Genetic analysis of quantitative traits in wheat (Triticum aestivum). Plant Breeding Department, Agricultural College, University of Tehran, Karaj, Iran, 1-3, 2008.

FETHI, B. and MOHAMED, E.G.: Epistasis and genotype-by environment interaction of grain yield related traits in durum wheat. Plant Breeding and Crop Science, 2(2)024-029, 2010.

IJAZ, U.S. and KASHIF, M.: Genetic study of quantitative traits inspring wheat through generation means analysis. American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Sciences, 13(2)191-197, 2013.

HAYMAN, B.I.: The separation of epistatic from additive and dominance variation in generation means II, Genetica, 31:133-146, 1960.

HRISTOV, N., MLADENOV, N., KONDIĆ-ŠPIKA, A., ŠTATKIĆ, S., KOVAČEVIĆ, N.: Direct and indirect effects of several wheat traits on grain yield. Zbornik radova, Institut za ratarstvo i povrtarstvo Novi Sad, 45(2)15-20, 2008.

KEARSY, M. J., POONI, H.S.: The genetical analysis of quantitative traits. Chapman and Hall, 1st edition, London, p 46, 1996.

KHATTAB, S.A.M., EEMAIL, R.M., EL-RAHMAN, A. and AL-ANSARY, M.F.: Genetical analysis of some quantitative traits in bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). New York Science Journal, 3(11)152-157, 2010.

KOBILJSKI, B.: The inheritance of quantitative traits in wheat genotypes crosses with different Rhtgenes. PhD Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia, Novi Sad, 1999.

LJUBIČIĆ, N.: The mode of inheritance in wheat crossing. PhD Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia, Novi Sad, 2014.

LJUBIČIC, N., PETROVIĆ, S., DIMITRIJEVIĆ, M., HRISTOV, N.: The mode of inheritance and gene effects for spike length in different wheat genotypes. Annals of scientific work. Faculty of Agriculture, Novi Sad, 1:38-45, 2014.

MATHER, K.: Biometrical Genetics. Dover Publication, 158 pp. New York, 1949.

MATHER, K., JINKS, I.L.: Biometrical Genetics. Third Edition. Chapman and Hall, London, 65-83, 1982.

NOVOSELOVIĆ, D., BARIĆ, M., DREZNER, G., GUNJAČA, J. and LALIĆ, A.: Quantitative inheritance of some wheat plant traits. Genetics and Molecular Biology, 27(1)92-98, 2004.

PETROVIĆ, S.: The inheritance of the harvest indexof nitrogenin wheat.Master's thesis. Faculty of Agriculture. Serbia, NoviSad, 1995.

PETROVIĆ,S., DIMITRIJEVIĆ, M., KRALJEVIĆ-BALALIĆ, M., MLADENOV, N.: The mode of inheritance of the yield components in wheat genotype crosses. Field and Vegetable Crops Research, Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad, 35:147-154, 2001.

PETROVIĆ, S., DIMITRIJEVIĆ, M., LJUBIČIĆ, N., BANJAC, B.: Diallel analysis of quantitative traits in wheat crosses. 47th Croatian and 7th International Symposium on Agriculture. Opatija. Croatia, 13-17.02. 2012. Proceedings, 313–317, 2012.

PETROVIĆ, S., DIMITRIJEVIĆ, M., LJUBIČIĆ, N., BANJAČ, B.: The inheritance of ear traits in hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). Selekcija I semenarstvo, 19(1)43-52, 2013.

SHARMA, S.N., SAIN, R.S. and SHARMA, R.K.: Genetics of spikelength in durum wheat. Euphytica, 130, 155-161, 2012.

SINGH, R.P. and SINGH, S.: Estimation of genetic parameters through generation mean analysis in bread wheat. Indian Journal of Genetics 52:369-375, 1992.

SINGH, R., BEHL, R.K., SINGH, K.P., JAIN, P., NARULA, N.: Performance and gene effects for wheat yield under inoculation of arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi and Azotobacter chroococum. Plant soil environment, 50 (9): 409-415, 2004.

USDA FOREIGN AGRICULTURAL SERVICE: Serbia Grain and Feed Annual. Global agricultural information network report. GAIN report, RB 1403, 2014.

# NAČIN NASLEĐIVANJA I EFEKAT GENA ZA BROJ ZRNA PO KLASU RAZLIČITIH GENOTIPOVA PŠENICE

Nataša LJUBIČIĆ, Sofija PETROVIĆ, Miodrag DIMITRIJEVIĆ, Nikola HRISTOV, Borislav BANJAC

**Izvod:** U cilju procene genskih efekata i načina nasleđivanja broja zrna po klasu u radu je odabrano pet genotipova pšenice (Pobeda, Renesansa, Sara, Partizanka i Pesma). Navedeni genotipovi su ukršteni dialelno i dobijena je  $F_1$  i $F_2$  generacija i generacija povratnog ukrštanja,  $Fbc_1$ . Procena efekata gena je rađena uz primenu aditivno-dominantnog modela, koji bio adekvatan za kombinacije ukrštanja Renesansa x Sara, Renesansa x Partizanka i Partizanka x Pesma. U većini ukrštanja dominantni efekat gena je bio značajniji od aditivnog. U nasleđivanju broja zrna po klasu biljke zapažena je interakcija gena aditivni×aditivni i dominanti×dominantni. Način nasleđivanja broja zrna po klasu se u  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  generaciji, kao i kod generacija povratnih ukrštanja, BC<sub>1</sub>, razlikovalo u zavisnosti od kombinacije ukrštanja.

Ključne reči: pšenica, nasleđivanje, aditivno-dominantni model.

Received / Primljen: 02.06.2015. Accepted / Prihvaćen: .03.11.2015.