CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB ISSN : 2349-7750

INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES
SJIF Impact Factor: 7.187

Available online at: http://www.iajps.com *Review Article*

AN OVERVIEW OF ROOT CANAL TREATMENT BENEFITS AND COMPLICATIONS

[1]Nouf Mohammed alhobani,[2]Abdullah Mohamed Nour Abdullah Felemban.,[3]Hasan Hamed Ahmed Alabdali, [4]Abrar Ahmed khayyat

Article Received: October 2021 **Accepted:** November 2021 **Published:** December 2021

Abstract:

Knowing the outcome of root canal treatment (RCT) is determinant to substantiate the clinical decision-making process, especially when RCT is weighed against the extraction of natural teeth or replacement by prosthetic elements. In this review, we discuss the possible complications during the process of root canal treatment and benefits of treating. We searched electronic databases PubMed, Embase, updated to October, 2019. for all the publications on the root canal treatment. The search terms were root canal treatment or endodontics or RCT. Although root canal therapy is done regularly, using sodium hypochlorite as an irrigate of option, it is required for dental experts to be knowledgeable about preventative measures that need to be taken when utilizing sodium hypochlorite; as well as to identify problems that can happen throughout use of this irrigate, and to handle them successfully in the acute setting.

Corresponding author:
Nouf Mohammed alhobani,

QR code

Please cite this article in press Nouf Mohammed alhobani et al, ***An Overview Of Root Canal Treatment Benefits And Complications.,*** *Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2021; 08(12).*

INTRODUCTION:

Success is the expected outcome after root canal treatment (RCT), regardless of the clinical conditions. However, predicting success usually requires adopting a referential or criteria, and presupposes that the patient is healthy. It is estimated that RCT should be considered completed when the tooth is permanently restored and in function ([1]). RCT clinical success can be analyzed based on different points of view, with specific values that involve the dentist, the patient or the tooth itself. When dental pulp undergoes pathological changes as a result of trauma or caries, microbes get in the pulp chamber and infest the structural abnormalities of the root canal system [1]. Infection of the root canal spaces takes place most frequently as a sequela to an extensive decays lesion [1]. The objective of endodontic treatment is to stop or get rid of infection within the root canal. Endodontic treatment is a reasonably foreseeable treatment with success rates of between 86% and 98% [2]. The success or failure of this therapy is assessed by the medical syndromes and symptoms, in addition to by the radiological findings of the cured tooth. The syndromes and medical indicators that specify success are the absence of ache, the disappearance of inflammation and fistulas, if they existed prior to therapy, along with the maintenance of the practical and firm tooth in its alveolus [2].

In every root canal system, there are zones that cannot be cleaned mechanically and where cleansing is dependent on detailed chemo mechanical debridement of pulpal tissue, dentin debris, and infective bacteria. Infection control is critical for the success of nonsurgical endodontic treatment. Irrigation is complementary to instrumentation in helping with the elimination of pulp tissue and/or microorganisms [1]. There are a variety of excellent requirements of a root canal irrigate. It ought to supply a wide range of antimicrobial activity while eliminating particles from the root canal. It should be nontoxic and biocompatible in nature, able to decontaminate the canal and dissolve the smear layer. The root canal irrigation needs to have great lubricating action along with reduced surface area tension to be able to flow right into hard to reach zones. Ultimately, the irrigate should facilitate dentin elimination but not compromise the tooth structure.

One of the most essential purpose of root canal treatment is to decrease the number of pathologic microorganisms in root canal systems to prevent or deal with apical periodontitis. Endodontic treatment success depends upon a mix of appropriate instrumentation, efficient irrigation and purification of root canal spaces to apices, and obturation of the root canals. Irrigation of the root canal is vital in establishing periapical tissue recovery. In this review, we discuss the possible complications during the process of RCT and benefits of treating. Regarding to details not only improves the skill of the endodontic high quality but additionally makes the most of the success.

METHODOLOGY:

Search was conducted through electronic databases PubMed, Embase. for all the studies that were published up to July, 2021, stressing on the benefits and complications of root canal treatment. Mesh terms used in the databases search were ‘’root canal’’ treatment or RCT. Language restrictions to only English published full articles were used for the search. more relevant studies were searched in the references list of included studies.

DISCUSSION:

- **Value of correct diagnosis:**

Establishing a correct diagnosis is essential for planning clinical procedures. A favorable prognosis in RCT relies on the endodontist's scientific experience level and skills. The challenge is to overcome the complex canal morphology, neutralize the microbial pathogenicity regardless of the type and duration of infection, and disrupt the bacterial biofilm. Host's defense (immune response) is fundamental in this process.

Pulpal or periapical inflammatory diseases are usually identified by the consequences of tissue aggressions. The main purpose of canal therapy is the removal of the causative - bacterial, chemical, mechanical and physical etiological - agents. During the diagnosis, it is essential to recognize the clinical conditions that could have led to tissue response, such as dental caries, pain, inflammation, primary infection, secondary infection, symptomatic/ asymptomatic AP, periapical abscess with/without sinus tract, open/closed cavity, history of traumatic dental injury.

Knowing the clinical factors associated with pulpal and periapical pain may provide important information for planning the therapeutic strategies and predicting RCT outcomes. The most frequent diagnosis of pulpal pain has been associated with symptomatic pulpitis and hyper-reactive pulpalgia, and the most frequent periapical pain is symptomatic AP of infectious origin. Endodontic diagnosis and local factors associated with pulpal and periapical pain suggest that the important clinical factor in pulpal pain is closed pulp chamber and caries, and is periapical pain is open pulp chamber (3).

Understanding the general clinical condition (patient's systemic health) and local (clinical conditions of the tooth) favors the first impression to predict a possible outcome of RCT. The impact of patient's age, smoking status, initial treatment versus retreatment, root canal system exposed to salivary contamination prior to treatment, and the type of instrumentation on RCT outcome were recently evaluated (4). The integrity of a patient's nonspecific immune system, which has been neglected in earlier investigations, is a significant predictor for endodontic treatment outcome, and should receive more attention. The immune status of the patient, and the quality of the root filling showed a great influence on RCT outcome in a cohort study (4).It is normally approved that cold examinations are more trusted than warmth examinations, and the colder the examination the much better [3]. Ethyl chloride and ice are reasonably inadequate in assessing the standing of the nerves in the pulp, yet they are one of the most generally used cold tests in general oral technique. Extra reliable cold tests include carbonic acid gas snow or refrigerant spays. Thermal tests are subjective, and it is not possible to fairly contrast outcomes. The advantage of electrical screening is that a numerical value is acquired from the electrical pulp tester. This outcome can be compared to previous analyses [3]. It needs to be remembered that arise from thermal and electrical tests are not measurable and do not indicate the level of health and wellness or disorder of the pulp.

Radiology

The primary advantage of digital radiography is decreased radiation dosage to the patient. Various other benefits consist of quicker viewing of images (instant with solid-state sensing units); capacity to improve images making use of computer system software; information storage advantages; removal of a dark space and developing/fixing options, and the connected environmental troubles; images can be conveniently sent online to various other health care experts; and developed patient communication with computer-screen sized images [4]. Regardless of these benefits, traditional images, whether recorded digitally or on film, have several restrictions including the compression of three-dimensional frame, anatomical noise, and geometric distortion [5].

- **Managing risk of bacterial infection post RCT.**

Debridement of the root canal by instrumentation and irrigation is thought about one of the most vital solitary factor in the prevention and treatment of endodontic disorders and there is a basic agreement that the successful removal of the causative agents in the root canal system is the key to wellness [6]. Salt hypochlorite (NaOCl) irrigation plus mechanical instrumentation rendered 33% of the canal's bacteria totally free after the initial visit [6]. Even with one of the most modern instrumentation techniques (using of a rotary instrumentation method) attainment of complete bacterial removal would certainly be farfetched [7]. Although irrigation with NaOCl gives several functions attractive to root canal treatment, it appears that it is not possible to attain complete bacterial removal by this adjunctive measure. As a result, intracanal medicine, specially calcium hydroxide, has been commonly utilized in efforts to kill any kind of bacteria staying after instrumentation and irrigation. The effectiveness of $Ca(OH)_2$ in completely getting rid of bacteria in infected canal roots in less than 4 weeks is under discussion [7]. Although the use of intracanal medication will certainly reduce the microbial count in contaminated root canals, it fails to get the complete elimination of microbial organisms on a regular basis [8].

- **Complications during root canal treatment:**

Canal root irrigation plays an essential duty in the debridement and sanitation of the canal root system and is an important part of root canal preparing treatments.

Sodium hypochlorite

Although a risk-free canal root irrigating solution, using NaOCl may also bring about life-threatening difficulties [9]. So, to guarantee ideal safe, durable medical technique, it is necessary to identify and take care of these difficulties. Sodium hypochlorite, utilized in a focus of 0.5 - 5.25%, is a typically used irrigate throughout canal root therapy [9]. The medical test by Clegg, which contrasted the efficiency of sodium hypochlorite, chlorhexidine and Bio-Pure, demonstrated that 6% salt hypochlorite was the only canal root irrigant that can completely get rid of biofilm from the canal root system and avoid microbial growth [10]. It has been found to be effective versus a wide series of microorganisms and has the capacity to liquify necrotic tissue [10]. Various other advantages of this option consist of reduced viscosity, marginal cost and acceptable shelf-life [9], [11].

Injection past the foramen could be due to a number of reasons such as a vast apical foramen, inaccurate resolution of endodontic working length, side perforation, excessive pressure being applied during irrigation or binding of the needle tip in the canal root without release for the irrigate through the crown [12]. Typical manifestations of NaOCl being infused beyond the apical foramen are prompt severe pain, instant swelling commonly extending over the hurt

half side of the face, upper lip and infra-orbital area, haematoma and blood loss from the canal [12]. It appears in the literary works that even though the ache subsides within a few hours, the swelling rises, but returns to typical within a few weeks.

Tissue death has likewise been reported in severe situations [11]. Gatot reported a situation where canal root therapy was done on tooth [13]. Complying with shot aside from the foramen, the patient got hydrocortisone intravenously, however 36 hrs. later, a large ecchymosis under the ideal orbit and epithelial death were evident. Surgical debridement and excision of a big amount of tissue had to be performed under general anesthesia [11].

In the study, thirty-one years' old women patient experienced extreme discomfort began some hours after the treatment [14]. Professional exam revealed extra orally swelling and intraoral assessment revealed necrosis of mucosa 1/ 2 centimeters in vestibular fold and inflammatory response of bordering tissue. Therapy contained a mix of amoxicillin and clavulanic acid, prednisolone- cortico, paracetamol [14].

Dangerous airway blockage secondary to hypochlorite extrusion throughout canal root treatment has likewise been reported [17], [18]. Nevertheless, in both documents assessed this complication was seen where therapy had been performed on mandibular teeth [17], [18]. Swelling in the floor of the mouth extended to the submandibular, submental and sublingual regions bilaterally, and the tongue was considerably raised [17]. In one situation, the patient had to undertake immediate emergency situation medical treatment, where high-flow oxygen, intravenous prescription antibiotics, and intra-venous dexamethazone were carried out. Nevertheless, the patient's problem aggravated over the next two hours, and surgical decompression of the tissue zones and naso-tracheal intubation was carried out in extensive care [17].

Apical extrusion of canal root filling materials may cause severe damage to the mandibular nerve such as short-term or irreversible anesthesia, hypesthesia, paresthesia, or in uncommon cases a hyperesthesia [19]. The highest possible risk of iatrogenic nerve damages exists during endodontic treatment of 2nd mandibular molars. In a retrospective evaluation of 24 incidents of overfill of obturation products in the second premolars and second molars, paresthesia of the lip took place a lot more regularly than in alternative posterior teeth [19].

Denio et al. examined the place of the inferior alveolar nerve in 22 human cadavers [20]. The mean distance between the mandibular nerve and the root tips was 3.7 mm for the second mandibular molar, 6.9 mm for the mesial root of the very first molar, and 4.7 mm for the second premolar. Littner et al. radiographically examined the relationship of the mandibular canal to the nearby molar root apices in 46 mandibular skulls [21]. The distance between the substandard alveolar nerve to the root ideas escalated from the 3rd molar to the first molar. The shortest range was 3.45 mm for the distal root of the 2nd mandibular molar; the lengthiest distance was 5.47 mm for the mesial roots of the first molars.

In a retrospective examination of iatrogenic injuries of the trigeminal nerve, Hillerup listed 10 cases (2%) that was because of endodontic therapy amongst 449 such cases [22]. In all instances, the substandard alveolar nerve was affected; no details are presented on the direct reason for the injury. Although numerous records have been released on nerve damage adhering to over-extension of obturation content, over instrumentation, periapical inflammation, endo-perio-lesions, or short-term medication,no record on mandibular nerve damage by canal root irritants could be located for the here and now review [17], [23]. In some cases, peripheral neurological syndromes have been reported after irrigation problems. Rowe reported on one incidence with paresthesia of the reduced lip after use of a fluid loading material consisting of parachlorphenol, camphor, and menthol in a second left mandibular bicuspid, however, might not dismiss that syndromes and symptoms were due to over instrumentation [23].

No explanation could be discovered in the literary works pertaining to the distinction in frequency of neurological difficulties due to overfilling of strong materials and liquids as used for irrigation. Although still speculative, the significant factor ought to be the differing hydrodynamics in between solids and fluids. Irrigation pressure might be smaller sized than compaction pressure; a fluid may disperse - even right into a lateral direction-right into the small frameworks of cancellous bone with the pressure swiftly reducing whereas a solid substance with larger particle dimension more probable will be pressed with just gradually decreasing pressure uncomplicated towards the mandibular nerve canal [24].

Table 1. Symptomatology and therapy after inadvertent injection of NaOCl into the periapical tissues [24].

Therapy
Patient information on cause and severity of complication
Pain control: local anaesthesia, analgesics
In severe cases: referral to a hospital
Extraoral cold compresses for reduction of swelling
After 1 day: warm compresses and frequent warm mouthrinses for stimulation of local systemic circulation
Daily recall for control of recovery
Antibiotics: not obligatory. Only in cases of high risk or evidence of secondary infection
Antihistamine: not obligatory
Corticosteroids: controversial
Further endodontic therapy with sterile saline or chlorhexidine as canal root irrigants

- **Post-treatment Pain**

RCT often is performed in inflamed and infected pulp associated or not with periapical inflammation. An extremely unpleasant incident for patient and expert is the surprise with appearance of pain right away after RCT. This occurrence places in risk all the competence of the specialist. In some cases, postoperative discomfort be foreseeable after RCT, however in other problems, this fact is not expected, which is poor information [25].

Researches concerning incidence of pain that characterizes the periapical swelling throughout and after the RCT, and the connection between bacteria in contaminated root canals and medical symptoms existing on periapical swelling have been extensively gone over [25]. Sundqvist verified anaerobic microorganisms in pulp death and in the acute exacerbations of the periapical region [26]. Bacteroides meleninogenicus incorporated with other bacteria (Peptostreptococcus, Fusobacterium, Lactobacillus and others) was isolated. The predominant microorganisms in the blended infections of the canal root (Gram-negative anaerobic) have biological activation impacts on the organic defense, and this leads to a grown inflammatory action with the existence of painful periapical sores.

- **Persistence of bacteria**

Table 2. Characteristics of clinical and imaging outcomes in RCT [22-27].

Outcomes	Clinical features	Imaging aspects
Success	Absence of pain Tooth with definitive restoration Tooth in masticatory function	Absence of periapical radiolucency
Failure	Presence of pain Tooth with definitive restoration Tooth with temporary restoration Presence of swelling, sinus tract	Presence or regression of periapical radiolucency

One of the leading reasons for endodontic failing is persistent microbiological infection. The duty of bacteria in periradicular infection has been well established in literary works and endodontic treatment will be afflicted with a greater opportunity of failing if bacteria continue the canals at the time of canal root obturation [27]. Microorganisms harbored in canal root locations such as isthmuses, dentinal tubules and ramifications may avert anti-bacterials. A research done by Lin et al. on 236 cases of endodontic therapy failures located a connection between the visibility of microbial infection in the canals and periradicular rarefaction in endodontic failings [28]. Microorganisms existing in the periradicular zone will be hard to reach to disinfection procedures. Canals with negative cultures for bacteria are claimed to have greater success rates rather than those canals which examine favorable [27]. Treatment is more likely to fail in these teeth with pretreatment periradicular rarefactions than those without these radiographic adjustments [28]. Other than improper debridement of the canal, a leaky apical seal is likewise a contributory consider endodontic failure due to microbiological determination [19]. Infiltration of fluids is likely to take place if apical seal is not effectively established. This can continue periradicular inflammation anytime. The opportunities of a positive result are invariably higher when an affective cleaning of the canal has been undertaken.

- **BENEFITS OF Root Canal Treatment.**

Tooth pain is extremely common in the society that makes individuals to seek for necessary pain-relieving treatments. Canal root therapy and tooth removal are amongst one of the most frequently provided therapies for discomfort relief. A great deal of adjustments occur after a tooth is shed and it is not changed in the mouth. Teeth might drift and move, the opposing teeth may supracrustal, to make sure that the bite might alter. Such modifications might bring occlusion issues and even TMJ conditions.

Individuals who went through RCT reported the optimum discomfort the day after treatment, while those that underwent implant positioning reported the maximum pain degree by the end of the week after the procedure. The amount of ache in both groups was little and it resulted from the distinction between the entities of therapy techniques [29].

The time required for replacement of the tooth with implant is dramatically longer than the moment needed for RCT and placement of long-term remediation; to put it simply, earlier functional and aesthetic outcomes are anticipated in RCT, contrasted to dental implant positioning [30]. Chewing force is significantly more powerful in endodontically dealt with teeth, in comparison with implants [30]. Considering the cost-benefit proportion, RCT and endodontic retreatment are both substantially better, contrasted to dental implant. Endodontically dealt with teeth have significantly much less need for complementary treatments after the last reconstruction, while implant demands much more upkeep therapies complying with the replacement [31].

CONCLUSION:

Root canal treatment is a frequently performed procedure aimed to address pulpal and peri-radicular disease. It comprises a number of clinical steps regardless of the initial diagnosis. The emphasis of each step varies according to whether there is a vital pulp (non-infected) or if the pulp system contains necrotic, infected tissue and there is peri-apical pathology. Root canal treatment is considered effective when there are no syndromes and symptoms, for example discomfort, and when x-rays reveal no indicators of damage to bone and other supporting cells of the tooth. The success of root canal therapy depends upon the preoperative problem of the tooth, as well as the endodontic treatments used.

REFERENCES:

1. Sadr Lahijani MS, Raoof Kateb HR, Heady R, Yazdani D. The effect of German chamomile (Marticaria recutitia L.) extract and tea tree (Melaleuca alternifolia L.) oil used as irrigants on removal of smear layer: a scanning electron microscopy study. Int Endod J. 2006;39(3):190-195.
2. Lazarski MP, Walker WA, Flores CM, Schindler WG, Hargreaves KM. Epidemiological evaluation of the outcomes of nonsurgical root canal treatment in a large cohort of insured dental individuals. J Endod 2001; 27:791-6.
3. Pitt Ford T R, Patel S. Technical equipment for assessment of dental pulp status. Endodontic Topics 2004; **7**: 2–13.
4. Christensen G J. Why switch to digital radiography? J Am Dent Assoc 2004; **135**: 1437–1439.
5. Patel S, Dawood A, Mannocci F, Wilson R, Pitt Ford T . Detection of periapical bone defects in human jaws using cone beam computed tomography and intraoral radiography. Int Endod J 2009; 42: 507–515.
6. Chugal NM, Clive JM, Spangberg LS. A prognostic model for assessment of the outcome of endodontic treatment: Effect of biologic and diagnostic variables. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pat Oral Radiol Endod 2001;91:342-52.
7. Reit C, Molander A, Dahle'n G. The diagnostic accuracy of microbiolgic root canal sampling and the influence of antimicrobial dressings. Endod Dent Traumatol 1988;15:278-83.
8. Molander A, Reit C, Dahlen G. The antimicrobial effect of calcium hydroxide in root canals pretreated with 5% iodine potassium iodide. Endod Dent Traumatol 1999;15:205-9.
9. Grossman LI. Endodontic Practice, 10th Edition, Philadelphia, USA: Lea & Febiger, 1981.
10. Clegg MS, Vertucci FJ, Walker C, et al. The effect of exposure to irrigant solutions on apical dentin biofilms in vitro. J Endod. 2006; 32:434-437.
11. Gatot A, Arbelle J, Leibermann M, Yanai-Inbar, I. Effects of sodium hypochlorite on soft tissues after its inadvertent injection beyond the root apex. Journal of Endodontics, 1991; 17:573-4.
12. Hulsmann M. & Hahn W. Complications during root canal irrigation – literature review and case reports. International Endodontic Journal 2000; 33:186-193.
13. Reeh ES, Messer HH. Long-term paraesthesia following inadvertent forcing of sodium hypochlorite through perforation in maxillary incisor. Endodontics and Dental Traumatology, 1989; 5:200-3.
14. Deliverska E. Oral mucosa damage because of hypochlorite accident – a Case report and

literature review. J of IMAB. 2016 Jul-Sep;22(3):1269-1273.
15. Kaufman AY, Keila S.Hypersensitivity to sodium hypochlorite. Journal of Endodontics 5, 1989;224–6.
16. Çaliikan MK, Türkün M, Alper S. Allergy to sodium hypochlorite during root canal therapy: a case report. International Endodontic Journal 1994;27, 163–7.
17. Matthias P. Life-Threatening Sequelae of Sodium Hypochlorite Extrusion Reported. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontology, 2008; 106:80-83.
18. Bowden JR, Ethunandan M. Life-threatening airway obstruction secondary to hypochlorite extrusion during root canal treatment. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontology, 2006; 101:402-404.
19. Ørstavik D, Brodin P, Aas E. Paraesthesia following endodontic treatment: survey of the literature and report of a case. Int Endod J 1983: 16: 167–172.
20. Denio D, Torabinejad M, Bakland LK. Anatomical relationship of the mandibular canal to its surrounding structures in mature mandibles. J Endod 1992: 18: 161–165.
21. Littner MM, Kaffe I, Tamse A, Dicapua P. Relationship between the apices of the lower molars and mandibular canal – a radiographic study. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1986: 62: 595–602.
22. Hillerup S. Iatrogenic injury to oral branches of the trigeminal nerve: records of 449 cases. Clin Oral Investig 2007: 11: 133–142.
23. Rowe AH. Damage to the inferior dental nerve during or following endodontic treatment. Br Dent J 1983: 155: 306–307.
24. M. Hülsmann & W. Hahn. Complications during root canal irrigation – literature review and case reports. International Endodontic Journal, 33, 186–193, 2000.
25. Estrela C, Bueno MR. Epidemiology and therapy of apical periodontitis. In: Estrela C. Endodontic Science. 2 ed. São Paulo-SP, Brasil: Artes Médicas, 2009, v. 1, p. 297-368.
26. Sundqvist G. Associations between microbial species in dental root canal infections. Oral Microbiol Immunol 1992;7:257-262.
27. Sjögren U, Figdor D, Persson S, Sundqvist G. Influence of infection at the time of root filling on the outcome of endodontic treatment of teeth with apical periodontitis. Int Endod J. 1997;30:297–306.
28. Lin LM, Skribner JE, Gaengler P. Factors associated with endodontic treatment failures. J Endod. 1992;18:625–7.
29. Torabinejad M, Salha W, Lozada JL, Hung YL, Garbacea A. Degree of patient pain, complications, and satisfaction after root canal treatment or a single implant: a preliminary prospective investigation. J Endod. 2014;40(12):1940–5. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
30. Woodmansey KF, Ayik M, Buschang PH, White CA, He J. Differences in masticatory function in patients with endodontically treated teeth and single-implant-supported prostheses: a pilot study. J Endod. 2009;35(1):10–4. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
31. Hannahan JP, Eleazer PD. Comparison of success of implants versus endodontically treated teeth. J Endod. 2008;34(11):1302–5.