



Local Government in Malaysia

Responses to Urban-Rural Challenges

Andrew Harding

Centre for Asian Legal Studies
National University of Singapore





Partners



Eurac Research
Institute for Comparative Federalism
Italy



LMU Munich
Research Center for Public Procurement
Law and Administrative Cooperations
Germany



Autonomous University of Madrid
Institute of Local Law
Spain



Institut für Föderalismus
Institut du Fédéralisme
Institute of Federalism

University of Fribourg
Institute of Federalism
Switzerland



NALAS
Network of Associations of Local
Authorities of South East Europe
France



ximpulse
Ximpulse GmbH
Switzerland



KDZ
Center for Public Administration Research
Austria



Council of Europe
Congress of Local and Regional Authorities
France



Faculty of Political Science
and International Studies
University of Warsaw

University of Warsaw
Institute of Political Science
Poland



ZELS
Association of the Units of Local Self-Government
North Macedonia



University of the Western Cape
Dullah Omar Institute for Constitutional
Law, Governance and Human Rights
South Africa



Addis Ababa University
Center for Federalism and Governance Studies
Ethiopia



UNIVERSIDAD
NACIONAL DE
SAN MARTÍN

Universidad Nacional de General San Martín
School of Politics and Government
Argentina



SALGA
South African Local Government Association
South Africa



जवाहरलाल नेहरू विश्वविद्यालय
Jawaharlal Nehru University

Jawaharlal Nehru University
India



University of Technology Sydney
Institute for Public Policy and Governance
Centre for Local Government
Australia



National University of Singapore
Centre for Asian Legal Studies
Asia Pacific Centre for Environmental Law
Singapore



University of Western Ontario
Centre for Urban Policy and Local Governance
Canada



November 2021

The Country Report on Local Government in Malaysia is a product of the LoGov-project: “Local Government and the Changing Urban-Rural Interplay”.

The H2020-MSCA-RISE-2018 project aims to provide solutions for local governments that address the fundamental challenges resulting from urbanisation. To address these complex issues, 18 partners from 17 countries and six continents share their expertise and knowledge in the realms of public law, political science, and public administration. LoGov identifies, evaluates, compares, and shares innovative practices that cope with the impact of changing urban-rural relations in major local government areas (WP 1-5).

LICENSE

CC BY 4.0

INFORMATION

Eurac Research
Viale Druso/Drususallee, 1
39100 Bolzano/Bozen – Italy

logov@eurac.edu
www.logov-rise.eu

SCIENTIFIC COORDINATION

Eurac Research: Karl Kössler

WP 1 – Local Responsibilities and Public Services

LMU Munich: Martin Burgi

WP 2 – Local Financial Arrangements

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid: Francisco Velasco Caballero

WP 3 – Structure of Local Government

University of Fribourg: Eva Maria Belser

WP 4 – Intergovernmental Relations of Local Government

NALAS – Network of Associations of Local Authorities of South East Europe: Elton Stafa

WP 5 – People’s Participation in Local Decision-Making

Ximpulse GmbH: Erika Schläppi

EDITORIAL TEAM

National University of Singapore: Andrew Harding
Eurac Research: Karl Kössler, Theresia Morandell, Caterina Salvo, Annika Kress, Petra Malferttheiner

GRAPHIC DESIGN

Eurac Research: Alessandra Stefanut

COVER PHOTO

Sadie Teper/Unsplash



This project has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 823961.



Contents

1. The System of Local Government in Malaysia.....	1
2.1. Local Responsibilities and Public Services in Malaysia: An Introduction	9
2.2. <i>Planning, Housing Development, and the Ethnic-Preference System</i>	11
3.1. Local Financial Arrangements in Malaysia: An Introduction	15
3.2. <i>Urban Cleansing and Privatisation</i>	21
4.1. The Structure of Local Government in Malaysia: An Introduction	25
4.2. <i>Iskandar Development Region</i>	27
5.1. Intergovernmental Relations of Local Governments in Malaysia: An Introduction.....	31
5.2. <i>The SPICE Controversy</i>	35
6.1. People’s Participation in Local Decision-Making in Malaysia: An Introduction	39
6.2. <i>Public Consultation in the Drafting of Structure/Local Plans</i>	46



1. The System of Local Government in Malaysia

Andrew Harding, *Centre for Asian Legal Studies, National University of Singapore*

Types of Local Governments

Under the Federal Constitution of Malaysia 1957, there are three levels of government: federal, state and local. Local government is designated under Schedule 9 as a state matter. Nonetheless, local government is governed by uniform legislation in the form of the Local Government Act 1976 (LGA) and other statutes such as the Street, Drainage and Building Act 1974, and the Town and Country Planning Act 1976 (TCPA). It should be noted that this uniformity only applies to the 11 states of West (otherwise known as ‘Peninsular’) Malaysia, and not to the East Malaysian states of Sabah and Sarawak on the Island of Borneo, which have different legal systems from that of West Malaysia, as well as different legal and administrative history, statute laws generally, and extent of state autonomy compared to the states of West Malaysia.¹ Accordingly in this report, to avoid laborious double coverage and potentially confusing, varied responses on each issue, this report is confined to West Malaysia, although federal statistics necessarily apply to Malaysia as a whole, and cannot usually be broken down.

The historical development and the present structure of local government are set out in detail in report section 4. Malaysia has three types of local governments, namely, city councils (18), municipal councils (38), and district councils (94). Apart from these three types of local council, there are six special-purpose local governments designed as ‘development authorities’.² There is only one level of local government, and local councils are accordingly not placed under higher-level authorities other than the state and federal governments, and there are no intermediate organisations of any kind.

These types of council are somewhat differently structured but perform the same functions. District councils, which cover rural areas, are the most recently created, and it is only since the 1976 reforms that all rural areas in West Malaysia have become areas governed by local authorities.³ District councils will be seen in this report to be under-privileged compared to the two kinds of urban council, being relatively poorly endowed and empowered in practice compared to the other two types of local government. This is in spite of the fact that their

¹ Local government in Sabah is governed by the Local Government Ordinance 1961, and the equivalent legislation in Sarawak is the Local Authority Ordinance 1948, the Kuching Municipal Ordinances 1988, and the City of Kuching North Ordinance 1988.

² See below, Section 3 on the (A)Symmetry of the Local Government System.

³ For more detail on the 1976 reforms, see the introduction to the Structure of Local Government in Malaysia, report section 4.1.



functions are exactly the same, albeit applied to smaller populations. Accordingly, it is difficult to differentiate between rural and urban local government in the absence of any clear markers and a lack of literature encountered in this project that is devoted to district councils as opposed to all councils. To take just one example, the issue of practice regarding public-private partnerships is distinguished⁴ between states that are part of the federal government's consortia arrangements and states that are not; there is no distinction between urban and rural councils. The urban-rural divide in terms of treatment is a deep and historic one in Malaysian local government, and is of course a very symptomatic of countries like Malaysia that have been in the throes of rapid development and the intense urbanization that goes with it. Despite the fact that, as we shall see, local governments exercise a wide range of powers, a number of factors inhibit the autonomy of local governments. These factors will be examined further in this report, especially in report section 5 on inter-governmental relations (IGR).

First, local government elections are not required by the Constitution, and have been suspended since 1965, so that there is no local *self-government*, and no *right* as such to local self-government.

Secondly, as a consequence of this, local councillors are appointed by the state governments, and appointments are usually, although not always, made on the basis of party allegiance to the party in power at the state level; this does not seem to depend on whether that party is in government or in opposition at the federal level. Accordingly, local government is stitched into the patronage-based, clientelist system that characterizes Malaysian politics, rendering it especially unlikely that local councillors will decide against the desires of the state government.⁵ This factor is critical.

Thirdly, state governments have powers under the LGA, Section 103, to give directions of a general character to local governments; this power is expanded even further on occasion in practice to directions of a specific character.

Fourthly, policy on local government is coordinated amongst the various states by the National Local Government Council, a federal body set up under Article 95A of the Constitution, which gives much power to the federal government to control the operation of local government despite it being a state matter.

Fifthly, as is that case in most countries, it is universally acknowledged that local government finance faces considerable challenges, except in some wealthier areas such as Penang and

⁴ See report section 3.2. on Urban Cleansing and Privatisation.

⁵ Lim Mah Hui, *Local Democracy Denied? A Personal Journey into Local Government in Malaysia* (SIRDC 2020).



Selangor. Local government finance is discussed further in report section 4 on local government structure.

Taken together, these five factors restrict considerably the freedom of operation of local governments. Under report section 5 on IGR the report introduced as an example the ‘SPICE’ episode, set out in detail in a recent book by a former Penang councillor, Lim Mah Hui. In this episode the state government went beyond its powers, in making decisions regarding a contract to build a new conference centre, that were properly within the jurisdiction of the local government.⁶

Legal Status of Local Governments

List II of the Federal Constitution’s Ninth Schedule recognises local government as function of the state governments, but, acting under a provision in the Constitution (Article 76) for effecting uniformity amongst the states, Parliament passed the LGA in 1976, and this statute governs local government in West Malaysia. Accordingly, the local government system is legally and constitutionally entrenched, even though there are no elections.

Local government authorities are legal persons in the form of bodies corporate and may sue or be sued in their own rights as well as being subject to judicial review under administrative law with respect to their acts and decisions. In a recent example, a district council was held to have exceeded its powers by amending a valuation list and charging rates to a company not included in the original list.⁷ Powers not specifically allocated to the federal power under the Constitution lie with the states; however, local government powers have to be specifically granted by statute and they are subject to the overriding principle that local authorities cannot act *ultra vires*, that is, beyond the powers they are given by statute. Local government powers nonetheless include any powers that are *reasonably incidental* to the statutory powers they enjoy. This is specified in the LGA, but is also a well-known principle in common law systems.⁸

⁶ *ibid.*

⁷ *Majlis Daerah Hulu Selangor v United Plantations Bhd* [2021] MLJU 1205, Federal Court. For a striking recent example of judicial review, see *Perbadanan Pengurusan Trellises & others v Datuk Bandar Kuala Lumpur & others* [2021] 2 CLJ 808, Court of Appeal. This case is discussed in detail in report section 6 on people’s participation in local decision-making. And for the juristic nature of local authorities, see LGA, Sec 13.

⁸ LGA, Sec 101(hh); see Andrew Harding, ‘Planning, Environment and Development: A Comparison of Planning Law in Malaysia and England’ (2003) 5 *Environmental Law Review* 231.



(A) Symmetry of the Local Government System

Local government is the lowest level of Malaysia's multi-layered system of government, employing only 7 per cent of all public employees. Nonetheless, local government functions such as development control, public housing, roads and transport, parks and public places, and public nuisances are extremely important aspects of both urban and rural living and the environment.⁹ The three types of local authority represent a basically symmetrical system, all local authorities performing the same functions. They are all under state control, except for the Federal Territory of Kuala Lumpur, which is under federal jurisdiction. There are six special-purpose development authorities focused on development in specific areas at the local level, which are under federal, not state, control. These are the Federal Territories of Putrajaya and Labuan, Pengeran and Johor Tenggara Local Authorities in Johor, the Tioman Development Authority in Pahang, and the Kulim Hi-Tech Industrial Park Local Authority in Kedah. The Iskandar Regional Development Authority is also discussed under report section 4 on local government structure, but this authority acts only in a facilitative way and does not exercise statutory powers over specific local government functions in its area.

Political and Social Context in Malaysia

Currently more than two thirds of Malaysians live in urban areas, and these (municipal and city councils) correspond to most of Malaysia's 'local government areas', that is, those areas (now encompassing all of Malaysia's territory) that have local authorities as defined by the LGA, Section 3. Over the last four decades Malaysia's developmental state under the 'Vision 2020' policy has instrumentally recreated the country as an industrialised one, transforming it from a largely agricultural society into an urban and suburban one.¹⁰

Rural areas are under the authority of district councils, which are still administered with respect to local functions by something resembling the colonial system of district officers.¹¹ District officers are appointed by, and are responsible to, either the state government or the federal government, depending on the state in which the authority lies. The district officers are chairs of the district councils, which are advised by various committees of specialists. The districts, that is, rural areas, have never at any point had representative local government. Nonetheless, the district councils perform equivalent functions to those of municipal and city councils. They

⁹ Andrew Harding, *The Constitution of Malaysia: A Contextual Analysis* (2nd edn, Hart/Bloomsbury, forthcoming 2022) Chapter 5.

¹⁰ Andrew Harding, 'Law and Development in Malaysia: A Vision Beyond 2020?' in Salim Ali Farrar and Paul Subramaniam (eds), *Law and Justice in Malaysia: 2020 and Beyond* (Thomson Reuters 2021).

¹¹ Jagdish Sidhu, *Administration in the Federated Malay States* (Oxford University Press 1980).



are also under-funded compared to urban authorities. This is typical facet of uneven development in many countries. As Singaravelloo reports,

‘Financial strength is proportional to the size of the local authority. Larger local authorities have a larger population and economic base that provides the revenue needed to finance their activities. Smaller local authorities, however, especially district councils, have smaller populations and economic activities that can only contribute a small amount to their revenue. Examples of local authorities with a critical population size in 2010 were Majlis Daerah Lenggong (13,378), Majlis Daerah Pakan (Sarawak) (15,139), Majlis Daerah Pengkalan Hulu (15,878), Majlis Daerah Kuala Penyu (Sabah) (18,958), Majlis Daerah Jelebu (26,608), Majlis Daerah Labis (32,540), Majlis Daerah Cameron Highlands (34,510). The smaller revenue base is not even sufficient to provide the basic services that local authorities are assigned to deliver.’¹²

The National Physical Plan and the National Urbanisation Plan¹³ emphasize urbanization, which is seen as Malaysia’s major priority and problem. This indicates that rural areas are of low political concern. It is suggested that any reintroduction of local government elections and any revisiting of state and local government powers should embrace district as well as urban councils, and address squarely the needs of rural communities.¹⁴

Local councils consist of between eight and 24 persons who are appointed by the state governments from amongst prominent citizens resident in the locality for terms of three years.¹⁵ Councillors have therefore tended to reflect the interests of the political party or parties in power at the state level; in West Malaysia at least, political parties operate at the national level and there are no purely local parties, although obviously some parties are perceived as being stronger in some specific areas or originated therefrom (e.g. Parti Gerakan is associated with Penang). With regard to Kuala Lumpur, since it is a federal territory, the *Datuk Bandar* (mayor) is appointed by the federal government for a period of five years, and the *Dewan Bandaraya Kuala Lumpur* (Kuala Lumpur City Council) is placed under the Prime Minister’s Department.¹⁶

¹² Kuppaswamy Singaravelloo, ‘Local Government and Intergovernmental Relations’ in Noore Alam Siddiquee (ed), *Public Management and Governance in Malaysia: Trends and Transformations* (Routledge 2013) 211.

¹³ *ibid.* 214.

¹⁴ The most recent proposals in this regard, by the PH government in July 2018, mentioned only reintroducing local elections in some densely-populated urban areas; in any event these were not acted upon. See, further, Danesh Prakash Chacko, *Reintroduction of Local Government Elections in Malaysia* (Bersih & Adil Network Sdn Bhd. 2021).

¹⁵ LGA, Secs 3 and 13.

¹⁶ Federal Capital Act 1960, Secs 4 and 7.



Reforms to the local government system, especially regarding elections in some urban areas, were promised by the Pakatan Harapan (PH) government, which left office on 1 March 2020. The present Perikatan Nasional (PN) government has not stated any intention in this regard, but meanwhile the country has been under emergency rule (from 12 January to 1 August 2021) due to the Covid-19 pandemic. Under the Emergency (Essential Powers) Act 2021, all elections were suspended; this ordinance has now been revoked.¹⁷

Despite the stability enforced by the Malaysian Government's largely successful efforts to improve the economic standing and opportunities of the majority Malay/Muslim population (around 60 per cent of the population of 32 million), there still exists a strong ethnic social division which in recent years has tended increasingly to be expressed via religious affiliation (Muslim and non-Muslim).¹⁸ Under the Constitution, Article 160, a Malay is defined in terms of adhering to Islam as well as using the Malay language and Malay customs. This ethnic factor has had a considerable impact on local government, as successive governments have declined to reintroduce local elections in spite of strong demands, especially in mixed urban areas, for local democracy.¹⁹ The often-stated reason is that local democracy is likely to inflame inter-ethnic tensions.²⁰ Nonetheless, the 14th general election in May 2018 was conducted entirely without violent incident anywhere in Malaysia, indicating a level of political maturity that belies the fear of ethnic violence, most evident in the tragic events of 13 May 1969 (see below), reemerging.

Since significant changes in the law and socio-economic policy in 1971, spurred by the 13 May incident, the majority community (styled *bumiputera*) community, comprising Malays and natives of Sabah and Sarawak, have benefited from special quotas in certain areas such as education and employment opportunities.²¹ This system has impacted local government in various ways discussed later in this report.

¹⁷ Emergency (Essential Powers) Ordinance 2021, Secs 12-13.

¹⁸ Dian AH Shah, *Constitutions, Politics and Religion in Asia: Indonesia, Malaysia and Sri Lanka* (Cambridge University Press 2017) 10.

¹⁹ Mah Hui, *Local Democracy Denied?*, above.

²⁰ This issue is discussed in detail in report section 6 on people's participation in local decision-making in Malaysia.

²¹ There is vast literature on this issue but see, e.g., Lee Hwok-Aun, *Affirmative Action in Malaysia and South Africa: Preference for Parity* (Routledge 2021); Andrew Harding, *The Constitution of Malaysia: A Contextual Analysis* (2nd edn, Hart/ Bloomsbury, forthcoming 2022) Chapter 3.



References to Scientific and Non-Scientific Publications

Harding A, 'Local Democracy in a Multi-Layered Constitutional System: Malaysian Local Government Reconsidered' in Andrew Harding and Mark Sidel (eds), *Central-Local Relations in Asian Constitutional Systems* (Hart Publishing 2015)

— — *The Constitution of Malaysia: A Contextual Analysis* (2nd edn, Hart/ Bloomsbury, forthcoming 2022)

Hussain AA, *Local Government: Theory and Practice in Malaysia* (Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka 2002)

Lee GB, *Urban Planning in Malaysia History Assumptions and Issues* (Tempo Publishing 1991)

Mah Hui L, *Local Democracy Denied? A Personal Journey into Local Government in Malaysia* (SIRDC 2020)

Phang Siew Noi, *Local Government System in Malaysia* (Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka 1996)



Contacts

Local Government and the Changing
Urban-Rural Interplay
www.logov-rise.eu
logov@eurac.edu



This project has received funding from
the European Union's Horizon 2020 re-
search and innovation programme under
grant agreement No 823961.

