Galactic factories of cosmic electrons and positrons

Carmelo Evoli in collaboration with R. Aloisio, E. Amato, P. Blasi, G. Morlino

Gran Sasso Science Institute, L'Aquila (Italy) INFN/Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso (LNGS), Assergi (Italy)

> The Paris-Saclay Astroparticle Symposium Octobe 19, 2021



< ロト < 団ト < ヨト < ヨト < ヨコ の Q (P)

The cosmic-ray spectrum in 2021



C. Evoli (GSSI)

Galactic factories of cosmic electrons and positrons

Rationale

- \triangleright In recent years there has been a dramatic improvement in the measurement of the spectrum of e^{\pm}
- Significant progresses also in understanding galactic cosmic-ray transport
- We revised the prevailing approach in which leptons are the product of three classes of sources: secondary, SNR (e⁻) and PWN (pairs)
- Are the observed fluxes well fitted by what we know about the Galactic properties of these populations and their energetic budgets?

Galactic factories of cosmic electrons and positrons

Rationale In recent years there has been a dramatic improvement in the measurement of the spectrum of e[±] Significant progresses also in understanding galactic cosmic-ray transport We revised the prevailing approach in which leptons are the product of three classes of sources: secondary, SNR (e[−]) and PWN (pairs) Are the observed fluxes well fitted by what we know about the Galactic properties of these populations and their energetic budgets?

Conclusions

Yes, but...

Key results of the Galactic halo model

Evoli, Blasi, and Aloisio, PRD, 2019; Evoli et al., PRD, 2020; Schroer, Evoli, and Blasi, PRD, 2021



We assume a phenomenological motivated D(R) (rigidity $R \equiv p/Z$) as a smoothly-broken power-law: \triangleright

$$D(R) = 2v_A H + \frac{\beta D_0 (R/\mathsf{GV})^{\delta}}{\left[1 + (R/R_b)^{\Delta \delta/s}\right]^s}$$

イロト イロト イヨト イ

DQC

Key results of the Galactic halo model

Evoli, Blasi, and Aloisio, PRD, 2019; Evoli et al., PRD, 2020; Schroer, Evoli, and Blasi, PRD, 2021



- ▷ By fitting primary and secondary/primary measurements we obtain: $\delta \sim 0.54/0.34$, $R_b \sim 400$ GV, $D_0/H \sim 0.45 \times 10^{28}$ cm/s²/kpc, $v_A \sim 5$ km/s
- \triangleright All nuclei with Z>2 are injected with $\gamma\sim4.3$ (Oxygen here is the only pure primary species)
- ▷ Escape time weakly constrained since $\tau_{\rm esc} \simeq \frac{H^2}{D} = \left(\frac{H}{D}\right)_{\rm B/C} H$
- Shaded areas: uncertainty from cross sections

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

Key results of the Galactic halo model

Evoli, Blasi, and Aloisio, PRD, 2019; Evoli et al., PRD, 2020; Schroer, Evoli, and Blasi, PRD, 2021



ho Traditionally 9 Be/ 10 Be has been used as CR clock ightarrow no measurements at $E\gtrsim 1$ GeV/n [Lipari, arXiv:1407.5223]

- ▷ Since ¹⁰Be decays to ¹⁰B the ratio Be/B is affected twice (excellent recent AMS-02 data!)
- ho
 ho
 ho Preference for large halos $H\gtrsim5$ kpc [see also Weinrich et al., A&A (2020)]

$$\tau_{\rm esc}(10~{\rm GV}) \sim \frac{H^2}{2D} \sim 20~{\rm Myr}\left(\frac{H}{\rm kpc}\right) \left(\frac{0.45\times10^{28}~{\rm cm}^2/{\rm s/kpc}}{D_0/H}\right)$$

C. Evoli (GSSI)

Secondary leptons in the Galactic Halo model

Evoli et al., PRD, 2021



ho
m AMS-02 local measurements of e^+ and e^- compared with secondary predictions CR+ISM $ightarrow e^\pm$

- ▶ It is not compatible with all leptons being secondary → we need a primary component for electrons
- ▷ If e^+ are secondaries (and $\alpha_p = \alpha_e$) the positron fraction must be a monotonically decreasing function of E

$$\longrightarrow \frac{e^+}{e^-} \propto E^{-\delta}$$

Nuclei and electron timescales

Evoli, Amato, Blasi & Aloisio, PRD 103, 8 (2021)



▷ Leptons lose their energy mainly by IC with the interstellar radiation fields (ISRFs) or synchrotron emission

- Milky Way is a very inefficient calorimeter for nuclei and a perfect calorimeter for leptons
- $\triangleright~$ Translate losses into propagation scale: $\lambda \sim \sqrt{4D(E)\tau_{\rm loss}} \longrightarrow$ horizon

C. Evoli (GSSI)

The Green function formalism

Lee, ApJ, 1979; Ptuskin+, APPh 2006; Delahaye+, A&A 2010; Blasi & Amato 2011



$$n(t_{\odot}, E, \vec{r}_{\odot}) = \iiint dt_s \, dE_s \, d^3 \vec{r}_s \, \delta(\Delta t - \Delta \tau) \mathcal{G}_{\vec{r}}(E, \vec{r}_{\odot} \leftarrow E_s, \vec{r}_s) \mathcal{Q}(t_s, E_s, \vec{r}_s).$$

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Pulsars as positron galactic factories

Hooper+, JCAP 2009; Grasso+, APh 2009; Delahaye+, A&A 2010; Blasi & Amato 2011; Manconi+, PRD 2020; Evoli, Amato, Blasi & Aloisio, PRD 2021

- e[±] pairs created in the pulsar magnetosphere become part of the relativistic wind into which pulsars convert most of their rotational energy → the only sources showing direct evidence for PeV particles (Bykov+, Space Sci. Rev. 2017)
- ▷ γ /X-ray emissions by these objects are described by a flat spectrum (with $1 < \alpha_L < 2$) at low energies, which then steepens to $\sim E^{-2.5}$ beyond \sim few hundred GeV [Bucclantini+, MNRAS 2011]
- HAWC has detected bright and spatially extended TeV gamma-ray sources surrounding the Geminga and Monogem pulsars (HAWC coll., Science 358 (2017)] (detected also in FERMI [Lindent, PRD 2019; Di Maurot, PRD 2019]) associated with the release of pairs in the ISM



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Pulsars as positron galactic factories

Hooper+, JCAP 2009; Grasso+, APh 2009; Delahaye+, A&A 2010; Blasi & Amato 2011; Manconi+, PRD 2020; Evoli, Amato, Blasi & Aloisio, PRD 2021

$$Q_0(t) \mathrm{e}^{-E/E_{\mathrm{C}}(t)} \times \begin{cases} (E/E_{\mathrm{b}})^{-\gamma_{\mathrm{L}}} & E < E_{\mathrm{b}} \\ (E/E_{\mathrm{b}})^{-\gamma_{\mathrm{H}}} & E \ge E_{\mathrm{b}} \end{cases}$$

Cutoff is associated to the potential drop [Kotera, JCAP2015]

$$E_{\rm C}(t)\sim 3\,{\rm PeV}\,\left(\frac{P_0}{0.1\,{\rm s}}\right)^{-2}\frac{1}{1+t/\tau_0}$$

- AMS-02 data requires an efficiency of conversion:
 20% of the energy released after the Bow-Shock phase (t_{BS} = 56 ky)
- Shaded areas: 2-sigma fluctuations due to cosmic variance



The electron spectrum from SNRs

Evoli, Amato, Blasi & Aloisio, PRD 2021



- \triangleright Electrons injected by SNRs with a power law with an intrinsic cutoff at ~ 40 TeV (cooling dominated)
- \triangleright Electrons require a spectrum steeper than protons by $\sim 0.3 \rightarrow$ puzzling!
- ▷ The only aspect that is different between e^- and p is the loss rate \rightarrow negligible inside the sources unless *B* is very strongly amplified (Diesing & Caprioli, PRL 2020; Cristofari+, A&A 2021)
- Watch at the positron fraction!

C. Evoli (GSSI)

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

The signature of energy losses on the cosmic ray electron spectrum

Evoli, Blasi, Amato & Aloisio, PRL 2020



- Existence of a fine structure at ~ 42 GeV was first noted by the AMS02 collaboration (and erroneously attributed to more than one CR electron population)
- ▷ The feature in the e^- spectrum is the result of KN effects in the ICS on the UV bkg \rightarrow electrons do lose energy in the ISM at odds with unorthodox transport models (Blum et al., PRL 2013; Kachelriess+, PRL 2015; Cowsik & Madziwa-Nussinov ApJ 2016; Lipari, PRD 2019]
- See also Di Mauro, Donato, and Manconi, PRD, 2021, for a different interpretation.

C. Evoli (GSSI

Counting the sources of leptons in the Galaxy

Evoli, Blasi, Amato & Aloisio, PRD 2021



 \triangleright Most SN explosions are located in star-forming regions which cluster inside the spiral arms and in the Galactic bar \rightarrow SNR of $\mathcal{R} = 1/30$ years

The sources that can contribute to the flux at Earth at a given energy E are

$$N(E) \sim \mathcal{R} \tau_{\rm loss}(E) \frac{\lambda_e^2(E)}{R_g^2}$$

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

The odds of a prominent nearby source

work in progress ...



- Regularly adduced to explain features in the CR spectrum.
- ho~f=1 shows the case in which 1 source contributes to the local flux as much as all others added together.
- $\,\triangleright\,\,$ Assuming Spiral pattern and standard properties for transport $\rightarrow\,$
 - at $\sim 1~{\rm TeV}$ chances are $\sim 0.01\%$ for nuclei and $\sim 0.4\%$ for leptons

C. Evoli (GSSI)

Thank you!

Carmelo Evoli

- ☆ GRAN SASSO SCIENCE INSTITUTE
- ✓ Viale Francesco Crispi, 7, L'Aquila (Italy)
- mailto: carmelo.evoli@gssi.it
- 9 @carmeloevoli
- carmeloevoli
- s e.carmelo
- 0000-0002-6023-5253
- ▲ slides available at:

https://zenodo.org/communities/carmeloevoli_talks

< □ > < @ > < 돌 > < 돌 > . = != ∽へ?

Backup slides



Backup slides



10/10/2021 2/3

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

三日 のへで

Backup slides

