Binarity in Massive Young Stellar Objects

largest study into MYSO multiplicity to date.



red subset, objects with a P_CHANCE below 20% are considered real.

Conclusions

Companion fractions found were larger than that of the MYSO binarity survey of Pomohaci et al. (2019), however when using the same separation distance and limiting magnitude, the statistics agree.

As the binary fraction of MYSOs is thought to be close to 100%, this study means that, e.g. 44% (for the UKIDSS sample) are observable within our separation and magnitude limits.

Large fraction of mass ratios larger than 0.5 - this suggests a disagreement with the binary capture formation scenario, in which lower mass ratios are predicted.

Robert Shenton (py15rgs@leeds.ac.uk), René Oudmaijer, Stuart Lumsden



Comparison of K-band images of the MYSO G040.5451+02.5961, using 2MASS (left) and identifying companions significantly easier. 2MASS data was taken in the late 1990s-early

Methods

We employed statistical methods to determine whether a nearby object was gravitationally bound to the primary MYSO, based on its separation from the primary and the density of background stars.

Objects above a certain threshold are judged to be a chance projection (not physically associated) and are disregarded.

Also, multi-colour information from UKIDSS/VVV was used to determine the mass ratios of the true companions.



Histogram of ratio between companion and primary mass. Extinction is important in determining stellar mass but this is difficult to measure. Foreground and circumstellar (or total) estimates of extinction were used to determine the masses, and are a lower and upper limit to the mass ratio respectively.

Future Work

So far this work has focused on data from K-band imaging observations. Future work will involve using spectroscopy to identify close-in companions that cannot be resolved through imaging.

Additionally, this spectra will be used to characterise the detected companions and study their environments.

References:

Chini et al. 2012, MNRAS, 424, 1925; Pomohaci et al. 2019, MNRAS, 484, 226; Duchêne & Kraus 2013, ARA&A, 51, 269

