# **Institutional RDM Strategy Review Framework**

September 2021

Prepared by the Institutional RDM Strategy and Policy Review Working Group for the Portage Network, Research Intelligence Expert Group (RIEG)





# Contents

troduction	2
Background	
Features of this RDM strategy framework	3
Potential use	4
Researchers:	4
Administrators:	4
Service providers:	4
Strategic analysts	4
Institutional characteristics	5
Administrative information	5
Strategy content analysis	6
3.1 Context/purpose	6
Goals / motivations / visions	6
External principles/standards referenced	6
External policies referenced	7
Strategy development partners	7
Referenced documents/resources	7
Scope of research data covered	7
3.2 Raise awareness	8
Stakeholders	8
Local champions	8

Materials and resources	9
Outreach/communication/engagement mechanism	9
Participating national digital research infrastructure organization: NDRIO	9
3.3. Assessment	
Assessment level/unit	11
Assessment tools	11
Strategy to address the gap	11
3.4. Data Management Plan (DMP)	
3.5. RDM: current status, ideal state, and roadmap	
Training and consultation	13
Rewards and recognition	15
Active data management tools and infrastructure	15
Data deposit and archiving	17
3.6. Institutional policies, guidelines and/or procedures	
RDM related policies	18
RDM specific policies	18
Compliance monitoring.	18
RDM guidelines	18
List of RDM Stakeholders	19
Organizational/Working Structure	21
Funding Strategies	21
Infrastructure	
Staffing	22
Skills	23

References and resources	24
Institutional Research Data Management Services Capacity Survey	24
Portage Resources on Developing Institutional RDM Strategy	24
Canadian Institutional RDM Principle/Strategy	25
UK Institutional RDM Roadmap/Strategy/Assessment Guide	25
US and Australia (Related Guide and Research)	26
RDM Costing and Budgeting at Institutional Level	26
RDM Local Champions	26
International RDM Emerging Principles/Standards	27

#### Introduction

This framework supports both the development and review of Institutional Strategies for Research Data Management (RDM). It can be used by administrators, service providers, strategic analysts, and researchers themselves to explore the spectrum of RDM engagement, support, and resources offered by their institution.

## Background

In March 2021, Tri-Agency formally launched a Research Data Management (RDM) Policy<sup>1</sup>, which requires "(e)ach postsecondary institution and research hospital eligible to administer CIHR, NSERC or SSHRC funds is required to create an institutional RDM strategy and notify the agencies when it has been completed." This RDM Policy called institutions to engage their RDM stakeholders in a continuous conversation, evaluate current RDM capacity, decide on an ideal level of support for researchers to perform best practices of data management, identify existing gaps between current and future capabilities and support, and develop strategies to address these gaps, all according to emerging research data management standards as well as the institution's context.

Based on the Tri-Agency's policy objective and recommended areas of content within an institutional RDM strategy, NDRIO's Portage Network have developed a series of bilingual training aids and online modules including brief guides, primers for RDM strategy development along with recent training videos and discussion prompts focusing on raising awareness and assessing institutional readiness.

This Institutional RDM strategy framework was developed as a review tool and intended to assist Canadian RDM services providers and institutions with the development and analysis of their RDM strategies according to the agencies' RDM policy. A working group under the NDRIO-Portage Research Intelligence Expert Group reviewed existing Canadian and international institutional RDM strategies and related literature and documents, with the objective of developing an instrument that could be used to examine and compare future institutional strategies in more detailed perspectives.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tri-Agency Research Data Management Policy. (2021). Innovation, Science and Economic Development, Government of Canada; Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada. https://www.science.gc.ca/eic/site/063.nsf/eng/h 97610.html

## Features of this RDM strategy framework

This framework is focused on the following strategy areas:

Raising awareness

Training and consultation

Institutional policies and guidelines

Assessment

Active data management

Institutional characteristics

Data management planning

Data deposit and archiving

Administrative information

For each area of RDM engagement and support, reviewers are able to set a timeline and select resources to fill in existing gaps. The reference variables and values presented, including lists of RDM stakeholders, organizational/working structure, funding strategies, infrastructure, staffing, skills, are derived from the results of Canadian Institutional RDM Capacity Survey and other references listed at the end of this document.

This framework covers many aspects that could form part of a strategy. Reviewers should complete the sections relevant to their local context and otherwise enter "NA" or "Not Applicable".

Through broad use of this framework, we hope to encourage:

- Coordination between internal institutional partners, via centralized and decentralized approaches
- Alignment and collaboration between organizations, regionally and nationally, for policies, infrastructure, and services
- Adoption and advancement of international standards and trends of open science research

#### Potential use

#### **Researchers:**

If you are a researcher interested in data management, or participating in a working group to contribute to your university RDM plans, the strategy framework document will help you assess the different areas and specific points that should be reviewed in the context of research data management at large. A list of resources with examples of university strategies provides key references.

#### **Administrators:**

As funding agencies develop their recommendations and requirements on RDM and the research community move towards an open science environment, universities need to adapt their relevant policies and strategies. By providing a comprehensive list of areas relevant to RDM, this document can be used either during the development or the assessment of an existing RDM strategy.

#### Service providers:

Whether you already offer services that support RDM or are intending to develop them, this framework can help identify key tools, support, and resources that can benefit your local research community. It can also support greater alignment between multiple service providers within an institution.

#### Strategic analysts

Support for RDM is not only dependent on local institutional support, but also on regional and national initiatives. Widespread use of this framework across research institutions will enable strategic analysis of the existing and planned approaches to supporting RDM across Canada.

Review areas	Variable Names	Values
1. Institutional	Name	Name
characteristics	ROR ID (from https://ror.org/)	https://ror.org/0xxxxxxxx
	Types Colleges and Institutions Canada ( <a href="https://www.collegesinstitutes.ca/our-members/member-directory/">https://www.collegesinstitutes.ca/our-members/member-directory/</a> ); CEGEP ( <a href="https://www.cegepsquebec.ca/en/">https://www.cegepsquebec.ca/en/</a> ); Universities Canada ( <a href="https://www.univcan.ca/universities/member-universities/">https://www.univcan.ca/universities/member-universities/</a> )	Government organizations Research Centre College/CEGEP University Other, specify
	Categories <a href="https://www.macleans.ca/education/university-rankings/">https://www.macleans.ca/education/university-rankings/</a>	Medical/Doctoral Comprehensive Primarily Undergraduate Other, specify
	CRKN Band (Gather data from https://www.crkn-rcdr.ca/)	Actual number
2. Administrative	Responsible unit(s)	See reference variable: <u>List of Stakeholders</u>
information		See reference variable: <u>List of Stakeholders</u>
	Approved by	See reference variable: <u>List of Stakeholders</u>
	Approval date	yyyymmdd
	Version number	Actual number
	Review intervals	Number of years

# 3. Strategy content analysis

# 3.1 Context/purpose

Categories	Subcategories
Goals / motivations / visions	Compliance focused (Meeting the research data management and support requirements from funders) Support research excellence Research is performed ethically Institutional research support/services capacity building Deriving competitive advantage from RDM support Aligning with institutional mission of engaging community/public Aligning with institutional strategic priorities Research cultural change: data sharing and access Research cultural change: open access / open science Research cultural change: evaluation and assessment of faculty performance Leadership and innovation in RDM Other, specify
External principles/standards referenced	FAIR principle TRUST repositories principle  CARE principle OCAP Principles developed and approved by indigenous communities, collectives and organizations, and in partnership with them  OECD principles on access to research data G8 – section on open scientific research data UNESCO Recommendation on Open Science Canadian Roadmap for Open Science Other, specify

External policies referenced		Tri-Agency RDM Policy Tri-Agency Framework: Responsible Conduct of Research Tri-Agency Statement of Principles on Digital Data Management Tri-Council Policy Statement: Ethical Conduct for Research Involving Humans Tri-Agency Open Access Policy on Publications  Data sharing provisions for CIHR grant holders CIHR's Health Research and Health-Related Data Framework SSHRC's Research Data Archiving Policy Other, specify
Strategy development partners	lead	See reference variable: List of Stakeholders
	structure	See reference variable: Working Structure of RDM Stakeholders
	list of all	See reference variable: <u>List of Stakeholders</u>
Referenced documents/resource	?S	Portage template/guide/primer Portage RDM Strategy training modules (videos and discussion prompts) CASRAI Glossary Other, specify
Scope of research data covered		All research data Tri-Agency funded research project data Institutional funded research project data Other funded research project data Research data evaluated based on other pre-set criteria Other, specify

# 3.2 Raise awareness

Categories		Subcategories
Stakeholders	List of current partners	See reference variable: <u>List of Stakeholders</u>
(identify RDM stakeholder communities on campus and establish a working/organization/communication structure)	Current lead	See reference variable: <u>List of Stakeholders</u>
	List of needed partners	See reference variable: <u>List of Stakeholders</u>
	Current working structure	See reference variable: Working Structure of RDM Stakeholders
	Needed working structure	See reference variable: Working Structure of RDM Stakeholders
	Strategy to address the gap	Timeline, responsible unit(s), organizational/working structure of responsible unit(s), infrastructure, funding/budget, staffing and skills needed
Local champions (recruit local champions to help promote the value of RDM and engage with various communities)	Current members	Librarians Faculty researchers Graduate students Research officers: central Research officers: departmental Research support staff: central IT or other data services Research support staff: departmental IT or other data services Other, specify
	Needed members	Use same list as above
	Current methods of promoting RDM	Delivering workshops on data handling and other related training at their departments/institutions Organize and participate RDM events One to one mentoring Promoting/participating in development of data management tools

	Needed methods of promoting RDM	Use same list as above
	Strategy to address the gap	Timeline, responsible unit(s), organizational/working structure of responsible unit(s), infrastructure, funding/budget, staffing and skills needed
Materials and resources	Current awareness materials and resources	Scattered website/guides from different departments A consolidated location links to departmental resources and guides Links to Portage resources Links to Tri-Agency resources Other, specify
	Needed awareness materials and resources	Use same list as above
	Strategy to address the gap	Timeline, <u>responsible unit(s)</u> , <u>organizational/working structure of responsible unit(s)</u> , <u>infrastructure</u> , <u>funding/budget</u> , <u>staffing and skills</u> needed
Outreach/communication/engagement mechanism	Current outreach mechanism	Demand based outreach Regular meetings/events Regular newsletter Ongoing outreach planning Have human resource to plan outreach Other, specify
	Needed outreach mechanism	Use same list as above
	Strategy to address the gap	Timeline, responsible unit(s), organizational/working structure of responsible unit(s), infrastructure, funding/budget, staffing and skills needed
Participating national digital research infrastructure organization: NDRIO	NDRIO-Portage engagement around RDM	Participate in NDRIO needs assessment activities Dedicated representatives/participants on NDRIO committees:  NDRIO Researcher Council  Portage experts/working groups  Other groups

	Other, specify
NDRIO consultations/events around RDM - future goals	Use the same list as above
Strategy to address the gap	Timeline, responsible unit(s), organizational/working structure of responsible unit(s), infrastructure, funding/budget, staffing and skills needed

# 3.3. Assessment

Categories	Subcategories
Assessment level/unit	Individual faculty researchers/labs/centers Teaching faculty/instructors Faculties/schools/disciplines Departments/programs Graduate students RDM service providers Other, specify
Assessment tools	Survey: Canadian RDM Survey Consortium: Portage Survey: local developed Interview Focus group discussion Data profile/inventory CARDIO by DCC RISE self-evaluation framework developed by DCC Data Asset Framework developed by DCC Data Management Framework by ANDS Departmental / faculty meetings Other, specify
Strategy to address the g	Timeline, responsible unit(s), organizational/working structure of responsible unit(s), infrastructure, funding/budget, staffing and skills needed

# 3.4. Data Management Plan (DMP)

Categories	Subcategories
DMP: current status	Adopted Portage DMP Assistant Tool Developed an institutional DMP template Customized disciplinary/departmental DMP templates Have an institutional guide on RDM budgeting Training on developing DMP available Consultation on developing DMP available Review of DMP before submission of funding applications DMP is required for all funded projects by institution DMP is required for thesis and dissertations by institution Continue monitoring DMPs after initial submission/approval Other, specify
DMP: ideal state	Use the same list as above
Strategy to address the gap	Timeline, responsible unit(s), organizational/working structure of responsible unit(s), infrastructure, funding/budget, staffing and skills needed

# 3.5. RDM: current status, ideal state, and roadmap

Categ	ategories Subcategories	
Training and consultation	Current available	Formats:
-		DDM graduate level courses
		RDM graduate level courses  RDM undergraduate level courses
		Curricula integrated RDM sessions
		Online Training modules (link to Portage or CIHR's training modules)
		Online training modules (customized for institutional use)
		Software/Data Carpentry
		Regular in person RDM training events
		RDM training upon request
		Professional development program integrated RDM sessions
		Information literacy integrated RDM sessions
		Consultation on developing DMP available
		Consultation on RDM strategies available
		Content:
		RDM best practices (general)
		RDM principles (e.g. FAIR, TRUST, CARE)
		RDM best practices (customized based on research domains)
		DMP development and tools
		Data repositories and data sharing
		Data security
		Managing and archive sensitive data

	Data de-identification/anonymization  Data collection tools (Qualtrics, RedCap, and etc)
	-1
E	Electronic Notebooks
	Discovering and using secondary data
	Data analysis tools (R, Python, NVivo, and etc.)
	Data visualization tools (Tableau etc.)
	Data management tools (Git, Open Refine, Jupyter Notebook, and etc)
	Open Science tools (OSF, reproducibility tools, and etc.)
	Collaboration and project management tools (Sharepoint, Confluence, Wiki, and etc.)
	Research data and ethics
	Research data and knowledge mobilization
	Legal and IP aspects
	Audience:
	Early career researchers
	Mid-career researchers
	Late-career researchers
S	Staff
P	Post Docs
	Graduate students
	Undergraduate students
	Assessment:
	Jser testing
	Service documentation
	Program evaluation
	Other, specify
Ideal state L	Jse the same list as above

	Strategy to address the gap	Timeline, responsible unit(s), organizational/working structure of responsible unit(s), infrastructure, funding/budget, staffing and skills needed
Rewards and recognition	Current status	Research data is recognized as one category of scholarly publication in researchers' tenure and promotion evaluation Recognize and celebrate exemplary research data stewardship practices at department/school/faculty level Recognize and celebrate exemplary research data stewardship practices at institutional level Other, specify
	Ideal state	Use the same list above
	Strategy to address the gap	Timeline, responsible unit(s), organizational/working structure of responsible unit(s), infrastructure, funding/budget, staffing and skills needed
Active data management tools and infrastructure	Current available	Data acquisition:  Provide institutional level solutions Faculty/departmental level solutions Research lab/project level solutions  Data storage:  Institutional level solutions Faculty/departmental level solutions Research lab/project level solutions  Data backup:  Institutional level solutions Faculty/departmental level solutions Research lab/project level solutions Research lab/project level solutions Research lab/project level solutions

	Data security and sensitive data:
	Provide institutional level solutions
	Faculty/departmental level solutions Research lab/project level solutions
	Assessing and improve secure data storage, backup, synching, and sharing platform and system
	Provide secure data storage, backup, synching, and sharing platform and system  Provide coherent, safe solution for researchers who need to encrypt their data
	Checks, control, and audit operations and users for security compliance
	Versioning:
	Provide institutional level solutions
	Faculty/departmental level solutions
	Research lab/project level solutions
	Modernize the code versioning service component (institutional Gitlab or similar system)
	Monitor and update code versioning service
	Data collection and analysis software:
	Provide institutional level solutions
	Faculty/departmental level solutions
	Research lab/project level solutions
	Provide Electronic Notebook systems for research labs
	Provide supports for commercial quantitative data analysis software
	Provide supports for commercial qualitative data analysis software
	Provide supports for electronic data collection tools
Ideal states	Use the same list as above

	Strategy to address the gap	Timeline, responsible unit(s), organizational/working structure of responsible unit(s), infrastructure, funding/budget, staffing and skills needed
Data deposit and archiving	Data repositories and archiving: current	Advisory:
		Provide data deposit information Provide data deposit consultation/guidance
		Data repository infrastructure:
		Have institutional SP Dataverse instance Have home-developed institutional data repository Institutional research data repository meets TRUST standard Institutional research data repository is certified by CoreTrustSeal Researchers have access to FRDR for large data hosting
		Data curation services:
		Support self-deposit of research data Data deposit (data ingest and metadata enhancement) services available Data curation (appraisal of data and documentation, metadata enhancement, and etc) services available
		Data preservation infrastructure and services:
		Have policy for long term data preservation/archiving Have institutional data preservation/archiving infrastructure and services
		Other, specify
	Data repositories and archiving: ideal state	Use the same list as above
	Strategy to address the gap	Timeline, <u>responsible unit(s)</u> , <u>organizational/working structure of responsible unit(s)</u> , <u>infrastructure</u> , <u>funding/budget</u> , <u>staffing</u> and <u>skills</u> needed

# 3.6. Institutional policies, guidelines and/or procedures

personal per			
Categories		Subcategories	
Institutional policies, guidelines and/or procedures: current	RDM related policies	Have RDM related policies: IP policy Have RDM related policies: records management policy Have RDM related policies: ethical review of research involves human participants Have RDM related policies: research integrity policy Have RDM related policies: Dataverse terms of use Have RDM related policies: Open Access policy	
	RDM specific policies	Have RDM specific policies: data deposit/curation policy Have RDM specific policies: data preservation/archiving policy Have RDM specific policies: data quality/standards policy Have RDM specific policies: data retention policy Have RDM specific policies: data sharing policy Have RDM specific policies: data deposit policy Have RDM specific policies: data licensing policy Have RDM specific policies: un-funded research	
	Compliance monitoring	Monitoring compliance regarding RDM specific policies	
	RDM guidelines	Have RDM specific guidelines for ethical sharing and reuse human participant data Have RDM specific guidelines for data security Have RDM specific guidelines for data disclosure risk analysis Have RDM specific guidelines for data management cost/budget Have RDM specific guidelines for data licensing Have RDM specific guidelines for data preparation for sharing Have RDM specific guidelines for data sharing/deposit/curation	
		Other, specify	
Institutional policies, guidelines and/or procedur	es: ideal state	Use the same list as above	
Strategy to address the gap		Timeline, <u>responsible unit(s)</u> , <u>organizational/working structure of responsible unit(s)</u> , <u>infrastructure</u> , <u>funding/budget</u> , <u>staffing and skills</u> needed	

# Reference variables

#### List of RDM Stakeholders

Internal

RDM Committee/Working Group (include multiple stakeholders)

Senior institutional leadership or management (VP of Research)

Library administrators Librarians/library department(s)

CIO

IT/ Academic Technology Services (institutional level)

IT/ Academic Technology Services (departmental/school/research center level)

Research support services/facilities (digital humanities, data analytics, statistical counseling, and etc.) (institutional level) Research support services//facilities (digital humanities, data analytics, statistical counseling, and etc.) (departmental/school/research center level)

Research Office (institutional level) Research Officers (departmental/school/research center level)

Ethics Review Board/Committee (institutional level) Ethics Review Board/Committee (departmental/school/research center level)

### Legal department

Faculty of Graduate Studies Representatives of research faculties

#### External

Tri-Agency Canadian Institute for Health Information Other funding agencies Other government agencies

**NDRIO** Compute Canada and its regional networks Research Data Canada Portage

Canadian Association for Research Administrators Canadian University Council of Chief Information Officers

CARL **Scholars Portal** Dataverse **FRDR** 

Research societies/associations Journals/publishers Repositories

# Organizational/Working Structure

Dedicated RDM team(s) within department(s)/unit(s) Institutional RDM committee/group(s)/council(s) (temporary) Institutional RDM committee/group(s)/council(s) (long term) Informal multi-unit group(s) or discussions within institution

# **Funding Strategies**

#### Sources:

Internal:

Dedicated institutional budget Core institutional provision (overheads) Unit(s) operational budget within institution Charges on research grants (deposit storage fees etc.)

#### External:

Grant funding for institutions (Research Support Fund etc.) Grant funding for research projects (RDM expenses)

#### Duration:

Short term Long term

#### Infrastructure

No additional infrastructure needed

Types of infrastructure Software Hardware

### Strategies

Commercial solution Institutional solution Regional partnership National partnership International partnership Disciplinary partnership

# **Staffing**

No additional HR needed

Create/reassign

Create dedicated new position(s) Reassign/part of existing position(s)

Position types:

Faculty Staff Students

#### Duration

Full time Part time Short term Long term

### **Skills**

### Plan

Knowledge of national policies Data security and risk management Legal (licensing, intellectual property, etc.) Advisory Technical aspects in the area of e-infrastructures

### Create, process, analyze

Research software development Research data management Technical aspects of managing sensitive data Data analysis and visualization

Disseminate, reuse Data curation Metadata creation

#### Preserve

Data preservation

## References and resources

# Institutional Research Data Management Services Capacity Survey

- Abel, J., Cooper, A., Dearborn, D., Perry, C., Szwajcer, A., & Wang, M. (2020). Institutional Research Data Management Services Capacity Survey INSIGHTS Report #1. RDM Support within Organizations: Budget, Structure, and Strategies. Portage Network. https://zenodo.org/record/3906443
- Cooper, A., Costanzo, L., Dearborn, D., Khair, S., Perry, C., Szwajcer, A., & Wang, M. (2021a). Institutional Research Data Management Services Capacity Survey INSIGHTS Report #2 Current Capacity within Institutions: Highly Qualified Personnel, and Infrastructure and Services. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4559972
- Cooper, A., Costanzo, L., Dearborn, D., Khair, S., Perry, C., Szwajcer, A., & Wang, M. (2021b). Institutional Research Data Management Services Capacity Survey INSIGHTS Report #3 Future of Institutional RDM Support: Resources Prioritized, Investments, Challenges, and Accelerators. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4772658
- Cooper, A., Perry, C., Szwajcer, A., Wang, M., & Khair, S. (2020). Institutional Research Data Management Services Capacity Survey: Executive Summary. https://doi.org/10.14288/1.0388722

# Portage Resources on Developing Institutional RDM Strategy

Portage Institutional RDM Strategy Working Group. (2020a). Institutional Research Data Management Strategy Guidance. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4558229

Portage Institutional RDM Strategy Working Group. (2020b). Institutional Research Data Management Strategy Template. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4558227

Portage Network. (2020). Primer—Institutional Strategy. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4002537

Portage Network. (2021a). Institutional Strategies Assessing Institutional Readiness Discussion Prompts. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4633908

Portage Network. (2021b). Institutional Strategies Raising Awareness Discussion Prompts. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4633873

Portage Network. (2021c, April 12). Portage RDM Institutional Strategies Series: Raising Awareness - Identifying Stakeholder Communities. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4682146

Portage Network. (2021d, April 12). Portage RDM Institutional Strategies Series: Raising Awareness - Recruiting Local Champions. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4682168

Portage Network. (2021e, April 13). Portage RDM Institutional Strategies Series: Assessing Institutional Readiness - An Overview of Available Assessment Models. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4685031

Portage Network. (2021f, April 13). Portage RDM Institutional Strategies Series: Assessing Institutional Readiness - Costing and Funding. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4685744

Portage Network. (2021g, April 13). Portage RDM Institutional Strategies Series: Assessing Institutional Readiness - Identifying Best Practices. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4685876

Portage Network. (2021h, April 13). Portage RDM Institutional Strategies Series: Assessing Institutional Readiness - Scanning Your Institution's Existing Assets and Practices. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4706034

Portage Network. (2021i, April 13). Portage RDM Institutional Strategies Series: Raising Awareness - Developing Awareness Materials and Resources for Different Communities. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4684707

# Canadian Institutional RDM Principle/Strategy

Draft SFU Principles for Research Data Management (RDM). (2020). SFU Library. https://www.lib.sfu.ca/about/initiatives/collaborations/sfu-principles-rdm Institutional Research Data Management Strategy. (2019). Dalhousie University.

https://cdn.dal.ca/content/dam/dalhousie/pdf/library/Library\_Administration/Dalhousie%20Institutional%20RDM%20Strategy%20V.6%20November%2026%202019%20approved.pdf

# UK Institutional RDM Roadmap/Strategy/Assessment Guide

Information Services, RDM Policy Implementation Committee, University of Edinburgh. (2012). Research Data Management (RDM) Roadmap: August 2012 – January 2014. https://www.ed.ac.uk/files/atoms/files//research\_data\_management\_roadmap.pdf

Research Services, University of Reading. (2017). Research Data Management Strategy 2018-2022. http://www.reading.ac.uk/web/files/RES/RDMStrategy.pdf

Rans, J., & Whyte, A. (2017). Using RISE the Research Infrastructure Self-Evaluation Framework. Digital Curation Center.

https://www.dcc.ac.uk/sites/default/files/documents/publications/UsingRISE\_v1\_1.pdf

# US and Australia (Related Guide and Research)

Association of American Universities and Association of Public and Land-grant Universities. (2021). Guide to Accelerate Public Access to Research Data. https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/tjybn

Radecki, J., & Springer, R. (2020). Research Data Services in US Higher Education: A Web-Based Inventory. Ithaka S+R. https://doi.org/10.18665/sr.314397

Creating a data management framework. (2018). Australian National Data Service (ANDS). https://www.ands.org.au/guides/creating-a-data-management-framework

## RDM Costing and Budgeting at Institutional Level

Danny Kingsley & Marta Teperek. (2016, May 8). Show me the money – the path to a sustainable Research Data Facility. Unlocking Research: University of Cambridge Office of Scholarly Communication. https://unlockingresearch-blog.lib.cam.ac.uk/?p=631

Developing Research Data Management Services. (2017). Digital Curation Centre. <a href="https://www.dcc.ac.uk/events/workshops/developing-research-data-management-services-0">https://www.dcc.ac.uk/events/workshops/developing-research-data-management-services-0</a>

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2020). Life-Cycle Decisions for Biomedical Data: The Challenge of Forecasting Costs. National Academies Press.

https://doi.org/10.17226/25639

Research Data Management Toolkit: Plan and Design: Costing. (2021). Jisc. https://rdmtoolkit.jisc.ac.uk/plan-and-design/costing/

# RDM Local Champions

Clare, C. (2019). How to build a community of Data Champions: Six Steps to Success. Open Working from 4TU.ResearchData & TU Delft Library.

https://openworking.wordpress.com/2019/09/02/how-to-build-a-community-of-data-champions-six-steps-to-success/

Clare, C., Cruz, M., Papadopoulou, E., Savage, J., Teperek, M., Wang, Y., Witkowska, I., & Yeomans, and J. (2019). Engaging Researchers with Data Management: The Cookbook. Open Book Publishers. https://doi.org/10.11647/OBP.0185

Data Champions. (2016, November 15). [Text]. University of Cambridge Research Data Management Website. https://www.data.cam.ac.uk/intro-data-champions

# International RDM Emerging Principles/Standards

FAIR principle: https://www.go-fair.org/fair-principles/

TRUST repositories principle: <a href="https://www.rd-alliance.org/rda-community-effort-trust-principles-digital-repositories">https://www.rd-alliance.org/rda-community-effort-trust-principles-digital-repositories</a>

CARE principle: <a href="https://www.gida-global.org/care">https://www.gida-global.org/care</a>

OCAP: https://fnigc.ca/ocap-training/

OECD: Recommendation of the Council concerning Access to Research Data from Public Funding: https://legalinstruments.oecd.org/en/instruments/OECD-LEGAL-0347 G8 – section on open scientific research data: http://www.g8.utoronto.ca/science/130613-science.html

UNESCO Recommendation on Open Science: <a href="https://en.unesco.org/science-sustainable-future/open-science/recommendation">https://en.unesco.org/science-sustainable-future/open-science/recommendation</a> Canadian Roadmap for Open Science: https://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/063.nsf/eng/h 97992.html