CONTINENTAL J. AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE Fayeofori G. Bob-Manuel (2017) 11 (1): 18 – 30

Research Article Effect of Fermented Wheat Bran on the African Mud Catfish *Clarias gariepinus* Fingerlings

Fayeofori G. Bob-Manuel Department of Biology, Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Rumuolumeni, P.M.B. 5047, Port Harcourt Corresponding author: drfayeofori bobmanuel@gmail.com

Abstract

This work is a further attempt at trying to proffer solution to the teething problem of high cost and quality fish feed for the aquaculture industry in Nigeria. Here fermented wheat bran was used to replace fish meat at four graduated levels -15%, 30%, 45% and 60% respectively. 0% substitution remained as the control. These trials feed where tested on the African mud catfish, Clarias gariepinus fingerlings for 56 days. Parameters evaluated include nutritional value, digestibility, and body composition of the fish. The results indicated that the 30% fishmeal substitution diet (FWB₂) showed superior performance in terms of the parameters used for nutritional value such as mean weight gain (2.92g) specific growth rate (7.42cm); percentage weight gain PWG (84.5) and Nitrogen metabolism (903.48) at the end of the experiment. However, the body composition indicated a high fat level and lowest fibre content compared to other treatments. The ADC values take the following trend; FWB₀ (95.65)>FWB₃ (94.83)> FWB₂ (94.55)> FWB₁ (92.76) > FWB₄ (85.63). Further investigation is needed

KEY WORDS: Food value, Fermented wheat bran, digestibility, body composition, *Clarias gariepinus*.

Received: 14 December 2016

Accepted: 7 February 2017

Introduction

In Nigeria, aquaculture has come a very long way since its introduction. It is playing an important role in meeting the fish needs of the people as well as being one of the fastest growing food producing industry in the country. Catfish production in Nigerian is expanding at a very rapid pace but in spite of this, the demand for the fish is still very

much higher than the supply due to increase in population thereby creating increasing market demand. According to a renowned ocean explorer Jacques Constean who wrote in 1973 "with earth's burgeoning human population to feed, we must turn from the sea with a new understanding and a new technology". This is exactly what is happening today among Fisheries scientists, feed technologists and fish nutritionists.

One of the areas in which the fisheries potential of Nigeria could be enhanced is through aquaculture. However the development and expansion of aquaculture is beset with a number of problems. The most challenging at present is the high cost of feed. Floating pelleted feed has become an important part of aquaculture for higher productivity, and accounted for at least 60% of the total cost of fish production in Nigeria. The viability and profitability of the fish farming enterprise depends on fish feed. At the moment, the high cost and low quality of fish feeds are major factor militating against the development of aquaculture in Nigeria. Therefore in fish nutrition research it is necessary to focus on the development of fish feed from high quality inexpensive sources for the successful commercialization of the aquaculture industry in Nigeria. This is the main focus of this work. Falaye *et al* (2001) observed that the conversion of feedstuff into high quality protein by fish for human consumption at a profit to the farmer is the main object of fish culture. Proper growth of fish depends largely on good nutrition and this is more pronounced with fish in enclosures as they require adequate nutrition (Omoruwon and Edema 2011).

A lot of work has been done in trying to proffer solutions to the high cost of fish feed by investigating unconventional sources of protein instead of fishmeal which has become so expensive due to its competitive use by other livestock industries. This investigation is yet another attempt at searching for good quality, digestible and inexpensive feed for *C. gariepinus*. Several feed ingredients have been investigated for *Clarias gariepinus*. These include cotton seed meal (Tamiyu *et al* 2013; Toko *et al* 2008; Soybeans waste meal (Orire *et al* 2015. Sotolu and Sule 2011; Nguyen 2007; Fagbenro 2001 Davies and Fagbenro 2003) Maggot meal (Ajonena *et al* 2013, Raham 2003) Groundnut cake (Tamiyu *et al* 2013; Cook and Lockeett 2000; Oso *et al* 2013). Shrimp head waste (Fagbenro 1996; Nwanna 2003; Moreau 2004); Single cell protein (Bob-Manuel and Erondu 2010, Bob-Manuel 2013; 2016, Dabaat 2003); *Leucaena leucocephala* (Sotolu and Faturoti 2008; Falaye *et al* 2001). Agbabiaka and Isikwenu (2011) noted that protein deficit in monogastric animal is more

critical than caloric inadequacies because protein sources for feed are expensive and in most cases consumed by humans. Also, the competing demand for fish feed stuff, such as corn, soybean, cotton seed cake, rice bran and groundnut cake has made feed production expensive (Ajonena *et al* 2013) Also Obun (2008) observed that feed ingredients that are rarely consumed by humans are highly competed for by the livestock sector.

Currently, *Clarias gariepinus* is the most cultured fish in Nigeria (Ajonena and Nyamby, 2013). According to Plakas (2003), their importance in tropical aquaculture include, hardiness to adverse environmental conditions, resistance to parasites and disease, capacity to undergo aquatic and aerial respiration. In addition they exhibit reasonable growth rate in captivity and demands a high consumer preference in the market. Also, they have the ability to be raised in high density resulting in high net yields (6-16 tonnes per year). (Haylor, 1993).

Digestibility is a measure of the efficiency of digestion and absorption of various nutrients present in a food. In nutrition studies the analysis of an ingested food items is the starting point to determine its quality (Smith 2006). There are considerable variations among the different fish species in their ability to digest protein. This is due to the nature and specific activities of each species enzymes called proteolytic enzymes.

To determine the digestibility of nutrients in a feedstuff is important to enable formulation of diet that maximize the growth of cultural fish by providing appropriate amount of available nutrients and also to limit the wastes produced by the fish. Digestibility can be of help in understanding the mechanisms that determine trophic plasticity which is the ability of given fish species to use more advantageous food at the given time. The difference in protein digestibility may be due to differences in chemical composition; origin and processing of various feed ingredients; methods of faecal collection and fish species (Hata 2004). Digestibility of individual ingredients in the compounded diet is considered as one of the important factors affecting the growth of fish (Miles and Fagbenro, 2003).

Moreau (2004) obtained apparent digestibility coefficient (ADC) of 80.6-84.04 for *C. gariepinus* using fermented shrimp head waste meal. On feeding *C. gariepinus* with *Leucaena leucocephala* seed meal, Sotolu and Faturoti (2008) observed ADC Protein of

between 66.86 and 71.93. Also Bob-Manuel and Erondu (2010) reported ADC protein of 73.25 in *Oreochromis niloticus* feed with single cell protein Bureau *et al* (2002) reported that *C. gariepinus* exhibited ADC protein of 80.20 for fishmeal, 79.92 for bone and meat meal and 71.40 for blood meal

However, Hussain *et al* (2011) observed that *Labeo rohita* fingerlings had ADC crude protein of 71.90 for blood meal, 80.20 for fishmeal and 79.92 for meat meal.

Nwanna (2003) noted that substituting fish meal with 30% fermented shrimp head waste meal did not adversely affect growth performance in *C. gariepinus*. Sotolu and Sule (2011) observed ADP = Protein of 76.14 for soyabeans meal (SBM); 65.44 for whole plant meal (WPM); and 71.28 for water hyacinth leaf meal (WLM) for *C. gariepinus*. Bob-Manuel (2013) also reported ADC – Protein of between 63.65 and 90.72 for *C. gariepinus* fingerlings fed five commercial catfish feed.

Experimental Site

Materials and Methods

The research work was carried out in the Department of Biology, Faculty of Natural and Applied Sciences, Ignatius Ajuru University of Education. Rumuolumeni. Twenty outdoor Plastic tanks with dimensions 40cm x 83cm x50cm were used to stock the fish. These were thoroughly washed and ³/₄ filled with clean tap water.

Experimental fish

Three hundred and fifty (350) of the African mud catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) fingerlings were obtained from Jude Farm Limited, Ndele-Omuofo in Emuohua Local Government Area of Rivers State and transported to the experimental site in aerated bag and stocked. The fish with initial mean weight and length of 1.10g and 13.9cm respectively were allowed to acclimatize for three days. At the end of acclimation, they were randomly distributed into the experimental tanks. The experimental design was complete randomization design (CRD) with five treatment and four replicates (i.e. 5x4) = 20 experimental units. Fish samples were collected before and at the end of the experiment for proximate analysis after drying grinding and preservation in specimen bottles.

Water quality

Monitoring of water quality was achieved by changing the water three times weekly to provide a fresh water environment for the fish to thrive. Dissolved oxygen was high in all treatments; pH values were generally little above 7.0. Temperature was marginally different in all treatments and ammonia level was low.

Feed formulation

Five experiment feeds were prepared by substitution bran ($FWB_o - FWB_4$) at different levels of substitution namely:

FWBo – Fishmeal only (o% substitution) – control

 FWB_1 - 15% Fishmeal substitution with fermented wheat bran

 FWB_2 – 30% Fishmeal substitution with fermented wheat bran

 FWB_3 – 45% Fishmeal substitution with fermented wheat bran

 FWB_4 – 60% Fishmeal substitution with fermented wheat bran

These were prepared to meet the nutritional requirement of the fish for normal growth and digestibility. 1kg of feed was prepared for each treatment.

Feeding Method

Fish were fed ad libitum twice daily at about 9.00 am and 4.00 pm. Application of feeding was done manually (by hand). Lengths and weights were taken weekly using a measuring board and a top load weighing balance respectively.

Faecal collection method

Faecal collection took place in the tanks after removing the fish, five hours after feeding. Three – quarter of the water in the tanks was first drained out. The remaining sediments at the bottom was then poured through a fine mesh cheese cloth. These settled on the mesh cloth which was transferred to fitter papers to further remove the moisture before oven drying at 60°C. The dried faecal materials were then grinded with mortar and pistle and preserved in specimen bottles for analysis.

Proximate Analysis

Proximate analysis of fish carcass; fish feed and faeces were carried out using standard methods by AOAC (2000). The parameters considered were crude protein, fat, crude fiber, carbohydrate, moisture and ash.

Determination of nutritional value and digestibility parameters

Mean weight gain (MWG) = $(W_2 - W_1)g$ Where; W_1 – Initial mean weight (g) W_2 - Final mean weight (g)

Specific growth rate (SGR)% (Brown, 1957) $\frac{\log_e W_2 - \log_2 x \ 100}{T_2 \ - \ T_1}$

Where W_2 = Final weight (g) at time T_2 (end of experiment), W_1 = Initial weight (g) at time T_1 (beginning of experiment) and log_e = Natural logarithm.

Percentage Weight gain (PWG) %

<u>Mean Weight gain x 100</u> Initial Mean Weight

Nitrogen Metabolism (Nm) (Dabrowski, 1977)

Nm = (0.549)(b-a)h2 Where; a = Initial mean weight of fish b = Final mean weight of fish h = Experimental period in days 0.549 = a constant

Apparent Digestibility coefficient (ADC) of protein was calculated using the formula reported in (NRC, 1993) as:

 $ADC = 10^2 - (10^2 \text{ x } (L_d/Lf \text{ x } N_f/Nd)$ Where, N_d = Protein in diet N_f = Protein in faeces

 $L_d = \%$ fibre in diet

 $L_f = \%$ fibre in faeces

Results Table 1. Weekly changes in standard length of *C. gariepinus* fingerlings feed with the different diets.

Weeks/diets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
FWB ₀	4.38	4.38	5.38	6.10	6.77	7.30	7.80	8.60
FWB ₁	4.03	5.68	6.27	6.83	7.75	8.60	9.25	10.00
FWB ₂	4.30	5.60	6.65	7.68	8.83	9.87	10.65	11.62
FWB ₃	4.28	4.83	5.83	6.65	7.72	8.07	8.78	9.35
FWB ₄	3.80	4.23	4.70	5.15	5.80	6.60	7.75	8.22

Table 2 Weekly changes in weight of *C. gariepinus* fed with the various diets

Weeks/diets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
FWB ₀	1.12	1.45	1.64	2.15	2.47	2.82	3.14	3.47
FWB ₁	1.13	1.089	2.06	2.39	2.68	2.92	3.03	3.62
FWB ₂	1.93	2.14	2.45	2.72	3.02	3.45	3.74	4.02
FWB ₃	1.44	1.61	1.95	2.19	2.44	2.64	2.92	3.02
FWB ₄	1.32	1.59	1.99	2.19	2.39	2.67	2.89	3.15

Table 3. S	Table 3. Showing the mean weight gain (MWG) of the experimental fish							
Weeks/diets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
FWB ₀	0.02	0.36	0.54	1.65	1.37	1.72	2.04	2.37
FWB ₁	0.02	1.19	0.96	1.29	1.58	1.82	1.93	2.52
FWB ₂	0.83	1.04	1.35	1.62	1.92	2.35	2.64	2.92
FWB ₃	0.34	0.51	0.85	1.08	1.34	1.54	1.82	1.92
FWB ₄	0.22	0.49	0.89	1.09	1.29	1.57	1.79	2.05

Table 4. Showing the specific Growth Rate (SGR) of C. gariepinus

		0	1			/ L		
Weeks/diets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
FWB ₀	1.22	2.10	3.42	3.17	4.43	5.20	5.60	6.53
FWB ₁	0.30	1.14	1.48	1.18	2.43	3.21	3.49	4.32
FWB ₂	1.44	2.12	3.18	4.86	5.44	6.31	6.62	7.42
FWB ₃	0.12	0.16	1.36	1.12	2.32	2.91	3.27	4.11
FWB ₄	0.26	0.21	1.80	2.64	2.48	3.04	3.27	4.09

Table 5. Percentage Weight Gain (PWG)

Weeks/diets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
FWB ₀	18.6	24.1	27.3	35.8	41.1	47.0	52.3	68.7
FWB ₁	18.8	26.0	28.5	34.1	38.2	41.7	47.1	52.1
FWB ₂	38.6	42.8	49.0	54.4	60.4	69.0	76.3	84.5
FWB ₃	18.0	20.1	24.3	27.3	30.5	33.0	42.2	52.3
FWB ₄	22.0	26.5	33.1	36.5	39.8	44.5	49.6	35.1

Table 6. Nitrogen Metabolism (N_m)

Weeks/diets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
FWB_0	12.52	50.92	123.0	212.78	313.28	450.82	628.02	886.54
FWB ₁	3.63	23.32	54.05	102.72	164.84	252.17	345.55	476.42
FWB ₂	13.12	64.61	118.61	242.06	394.25	591.47	736.29	903.48
FWB ₃	2.76	2.41	53.59	89.04	147.74	227.39	322.69	445.36
FWB ₄	3.43	25.63	57.33	120.01	167.93	237.76	322.42	441.52

Table 7. Proximate Body Composition of *C. gariepinus* before and at the end of experiment.

Sample	Protein	Carbohydrate	Lipid	Ash	Fiber	moisture
Before/	40.33	8.13	1.22	14.94	23.63	11.15
End of						
experiment						
FWB ₀	45.72	4.92	8.71	13.46	18.27	6.92
FWB ₁	47.44	2.48	12.11	13.42	22.20	2.35
FWB ₂	40.15	0.93	26.23	13.11	15.61	3.97
FWB ₃	46.84	1.92	0.02	13,59	34.96	2.67
FWB ₄	46.37	1.77	11.47	13.34	23.60	3.45

 Table 8. Proximate analysis of the faeces

Sample	Protein	Carbohydrate	Lipid	Ash	Fiber	moisture
FWB ₀	7.21	33.37	2.26	14.07	37.64	5.45
FWB ₁	6.75	37.31	2.32	13.95	31.74	7.93
FWB ₂	6.36	32.56	1.66	13.72	37.55	8.15
FWB ₃	67.99	37.83	0.01	13.33	33.55	7.29
FWB ₄	8.17	35.26	2.59	13.75	31.37	8.86

Table 9. Proximate composition of the experimental feed

Sample	Protein	Carbohydrate	Lipid	Ash	Fiber	moisture
FWB ₀	21.46	53.83	4.81	11.90	4.88	3.12
FWB ₁	17.61	53.72	5.65	14.43	6.00	3.59
FWB ₂	21.58	45.20	6.98	17.09	6.96	2.19
FWB ₃	16.39	62.26	8.62	6.71	3.57	2.45
FWB ₄	14.26	5034	6.20	15.61	7.87	5.72

Table 10.	Apparent	Digestibility	coefficient	(ADC)

Sample	ADC
FWBO	95.65
FWB ₁	92.76
FWB ₂	94.55
FWB ₃	94.83
FWB ₄	85.63

DISCUSSION

It was observed at the end of the 56 day experimental period that the mean weight gain (MWG) was highest for FWB₂ (2.92) which was 30% substitution of fish meal with fermented wheat bran. This value is comparable to that obtained by Bob-Manuel (2013) in feeding *Oreochromis niloticus* with SCP (2.64).

This however is contrary to the (MWG) obtained (36.63) by feeding *C. gariepinus* with commercial catfish feeds (Bob-Manuel 2012). The MWG in this work was however lower than that of Nwanna (2003) but higher than Sotolu and Faturobi (2008). The MWG follows the order FWB₂>FWB₀>FWB₄> FWB₃.

The highest PWG value recorded in this research work was 84.5 for FWB₂ the next highest was 68.7 for FWB₀. This value was higher than that recorded by Bob-Manuel and Alfred-Ockiya (2011). For O. niloticus and Nwanna (2003). The highest SGR was observed in FWB₂ as 7.42. This was higher than the values for Nwanna (2003) and Ogunji (2008). This was followed by FWB₀ (only fishmeal diet). The Nitrogen metabolism in this work ranged between 441.52 (FWB₁) to 903.48 (FWB₂) at the end of the 56 day experiment. The highest N_m value was obtained by FWB₂. The N_m values obtained in this work are higher than those recorded by Sotolu and Faturoti (2008) for C. gariepinus; Bob-Manuel et al. (2011) for O. niloticus and Bob-Manuel (2013). The proximate body composition showed anincrease in protein value over the initial value before the experiment with the exception of FWB₂ which also had the highest lipid value (26.23). The lipid content were all higher than at the start of the experiment with the exception of FWB_3 (0.02). The carbohydrate values were all lower than those before the commencement of the experiment. The ash contents were similar in all the treatments but slightly lower than that before the start of the experiment. The fibre content vary between treatments and did not give a clear cut trend, although most of the values are lower than that before the start of the experiment. The moisture content were all lower than that before the start of the experiment for all the treatments with FWB₃ having the lowest value (2.67) while FWB₀ (6.92) the highest. The ADC values were highest for FWB₀ (95.65) and lowest for FWB₄ (85.63). The ADC values recorded here surpassed those of Bureau et al (2002) for C. gariepinus; Nwanna (2003) with fermented shrimp head waste meal for C. gariepinus; Sotolu and Faturoti (2008) for Leucaena leucocephala seed meal in C. gariepinua; as well as those of Bob-Manuel and Erondu (2010) for O. niloticus and Hussain et al. (2011) for Labeo rohita. From this result, it is evident that fishmeal diet (FWB₀) was the most digestible which was closely followed by FWB₃ (94.83) and FWB₂ (94.55) respectively.

CONCLUSION

The result of the experiment indicated that diet FWB₂ (30% substitution of fishmeal with fermented wheat bran) gave the best Mean weight gain (MWG); specific growth rate (SGR); Percentage weight gain (PWG) and Nitrogen metabolism (Nm). It also exhibited one of the highest digestibility. It could therefore be inferred that fermented wheat bran can be used to replace fishmeal up to 30% without adverse effect on nutritional value, body composition and digestibility in *C. gariepinus* fingerlings.

REFERENCES

Agbabiaka L.A., Isikwenu J. O. (2011). Growth response of African catfish (*C. gariepinus*, Burchell 1822) to dried rumen: *Fish Bull* 80.655 – 696.

Ajonena, Samuel A; Nyamby R.E. (2013). Evaluation of growth response of *Clarias* gariepinus fingerlings fed dried maggot *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 2(5): 123 – 129.

AOAC (2000). In: Food composition, additives and natural contaminants official methods of analysis. Helrich K. (ed). *Association of Officials Analytical Chemists Washington DC USA* pp 600-792.

Bob-Manuel, F.G. and Erondu, E.S. (2010) Yeast Single Cell Protein in the diet of *Oreochromis nilotius* (L) fingerlings. An Economic Evaluation. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 10 (80): 18581 – 18584.

Bob-Manuel, F.G.; Erondu, E.S.; Alfred-Ockiya, J. F. (2011). Effect of Yeast Single Cell Protein (SCP) diets on nutrient utilization, digestibility and condition factor in Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L) fingerlings. *International Journal of Food and Agricultural Research* 8, (2): 143 – 156.

Bob-Manuel, F.G. and Alfred-Ockiya, J.F. (2011). Evaluation of Yeast Single Cell Protein (SCP) diets on Growth Performance, Feed conversion and carcass composition of Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L) fingerlings. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 10 (46): 9473 – 9478.

Bob-Manuel, F.G. (2013). Nutritional value of commercial feeds for the African catfish *Clarias gariepinus* fingerlings in Nigeria; digestibility and performance. *Continental J. Biological Sciences*. 6 (2): 42 – 48.

Brown; N. E. (1957). Experimental Studies on growth. In. Brown N.E. (ed). *Fish Physiology Academic* Press London. *Physiol. Fish 1:* 361 – 400.

Bureau, D.P.; Harri, A.N.; Cho, C.Y. (2002). Apparent Digestibility of rendered animal protein ingredients for Rainbow trout *Oncorrhynous mykiss. Aquaculture* 180: 345 – 358.

Cook, J. A; Lookeet, A (2000). Nutrition and chemical composition of wild plan food of Niger *J. Food Comp. Ana* 13:83 – 92.

Dabaat D. (2003). Effect of SCP supplementation on growth performance of fish. *Journal of Aquaculture* 2(8): 14-16.

Dabrowski, K. (1977) Protein requirement of grass carp fry (*Ctenopharyngdon idella*). *Aquaculture* 12:63 – 73.

Davies S. J., Fagbenro, O.A. (2003). Uses of high percentage of soy protein concentrate as fishmeal substituent in practical diets for African Catfish, *C. gariepinus*. *Nutritional characteristic Arg. Environ.* 4: 27-279.

EIFAC (1980). Report of the EIFAC/IUNS and ICES. Working groups on standardization of Methodology in fish Nutrition Research. *EIFAC Technical Paper* No. 36.

Fagbenro, A.O., Jauncey R.D, (2003). Feed Farm & Fish – Nigeria Nat workshop on fish Development and Feeding Practices in Aquaculture Organized by FISON, held at NIFFRII, New BUSSA 18-18th September, 2003.

Fagbenro, O. A. (1996). Preparation, properties of preservation of lactic acid fermented. Shrimp heads. *Research International* 29(7): 595-599.

Falaye, A.E., Olaniran, T.S. and Aroso, B.O. (2001). The growth and survival rate of *Orechromis niloticus* fries feed with varying percentages of *Leucaena leucocephala* leaf – meal based diet. Proceedings of the 14th Annual Conference of the Fisheries Society of Nigeria (FISON). P. 13-16.

Hata, H. (2004). Digestibility of dietary components in fishes I. Digestibility of dietary in rainbow trout. *Bull JDN. Sec. Sci. Fish* 28: 360 – 371.

Haylor C. (1993). A Recent Review of the Aquaculture Plan. Fish Rev. 3592) 1-3.

Hussain, S.N Afzal. M, Salim, N, Javid, A, Khichi, I.A.A.; Hussain, N; and Raya, S.A. (2011). Apparent Digestibily of Fishmeal, Blood meal and Wheat meal for *Labeo rohita* fingerlings. *Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences* 21(4): 807-811.

Kporoco, K.D. (2004). Apparent digestibility coefficient of protein in selected feedstuff for tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus Pakistan J. Biol Sci.* 712: 2173 – 2176.

Miles P. D., O.A. Fagbenro O. A. (2003). Apparent digestibility of selected foodstuff in diets for hybrid striped bass Morene sexatiles of x Morene crysop. *Aqua. Sci.* 138: 313-322

Moreau, Y (2004). Comparison of dietary protein and energy utilization in three Asian catfish. *Pangasius bocourti. P. hypopthalmus* and *P. adjambal. Aqu. Nutri*. Vol. 10 Nov. 5 pg 317 – 326.

Nguyen, T.N; (2007). Total Sulphur amino acid Requirement and its Application to Practical Diets for Juvenile. Tilapia (*Oreochromis spp*) Ph.d Thesis. Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama U.S.A

NRC (1993). Nutrient Requirements of Laboratory animals fourth edition: Washington De USA pp 204.

Nwanna, L.C. (2003). Nutritional value and Digestibility of Fermented shrimp Head Waste Meal by African catfish. *Clarias gariepinus*. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition* 2 (6):339 – 345.

Obun, C.O. (2008). Performance, Digestibility and Carcass and Organ Weights of Finisher Brotler Chicks Fed graded levels of fermented locust Bean (*Parkia biglobosa*) Seed meal. *Asian Journal of Poultry Science*, 2:17-23.

Omoruwon, K.R; Edema U.B. (2011). Influence of rate of feeding on body composition and protein metabolism in sunfish. *Pysiol* : 28:267-282.

Orire, A.U; Ozoadibe, T.N (2015). Evaluation of Growth Performance and Body Composition of Soybean Waste. *Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science* 10:384 – 391.

Oso, J.A; Edward, J.B; Ogunleye, O.A. and Nlajolagbe, F.A. (2013). Growth Response and Feed Utilization of *Clarias gariepinus* Fingerlings Fed with Bambara Groundnut as Protein Source. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research* 3(5):84.

Plakas (2003). Nutritional value of protein on growth performance in fish *C. gariepinus* fingerlings. A preliminary experiment *Bull Freshwater Fish Res Lab*, Tokyo 340.

Raham N.N. (2003). Growth and survival of catfish (*C. gariepinus*). Juveniles fed Live Tilapia and Maggot as unconventional diets. *Journal of Aquatic Sc. Vol. 18.* (1): 47-52 Fayeofori G. Bob-Manuel (2017) 11 (1): 18 - 30

Smith, P.T. (2006). Apparent Digestibility of various Oil Seed Cakes/Meals in African Catfish Diets, *Aquaculture Int*. 6:317-322.

Sotolu, A.O. and Faturoti E.O (2008). Digestibility and Nutritional values of Different Processed *Leucaena leucocephala* (Lam DeWitt) Seed Meal in the diet of African Catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) *Middle –East Sci. Research* (4):190-199.

Sotolu, A.O. and Sule, S.O. (2011) Digestibility and performance of Water hyacinth meal in the diets of African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*; Burchell, 1822). *Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems* 14:245-250.

Tiamiyu, L.O; Okomada, V.T. and Iber B. (2013). Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture University of Aquaculture, Makurdi, Nigeria. *Livestock Research for Rural Development* 25(5) 21-30.

Toko, I.I. Fiogbe E.O and Kestemont P. (2008). Growth, Feed Efficiency and mineral composition of Juveniles vundu Catfish (*Heterobranchus longifies*) in Relation to various Dietary levels of Soybean and cotton seed meal. *Aquaculture, Nutrition* 14: pp. 193-203.