

Acarologia

A quarterly journal of acarology, since 1959 Publishing on all aspects of the Acari

All information: http://www1.montpellier.inra.fr/CBGP/acarologia/ acarologia-contact@supagro.fr



Acarologia is proudly non-profit, with no page charges and free open access

Please help us maintain this system by encouraging your institutes to subscribe to the print version of the journal and by sending us your high quality research on the Acari.

> Subscriptions: Year 2021 (Volume 61): 450 € http://www1.montpellier.inra.fr/CBGP/acarologia/subscribe.php Previous volumes (2010-2020): 250 € / year (4 issues) Acarologia, CBGP, CS 30016, 34988 MONTFERRIER-sur-LEZ Cedex, France ISSN 0044-586X (print), ISSN 2107-7207 (electronic)

The digitalization of Acarologia papers prior to 2000 was supported by Agropolis Fondation under the reference ID 1500-024 through the « Investissements d'avenir » programme (Labex Agro: ANR-10-LABX-0001-01)



Acarologia is under free license and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons-BY.

Acarologia

Open Science in Acarology

Survey of European mites from the suborder Uropodina: II. Morphology, geographical distribution, biology, and ecology of Trematurella elegans (Kramer, 1882)

Jerzy Błoszyk^{a,b}, Zbigniew Adamski^c, Agnieszka Napierała^a

^a Department of General Zoology, Faculty of Biology, Adam Mickiewicz University, ul. Umultowska 89;61-614 Poznań, Poland.

^bNatural History Collections, Faculty of Biology, Adam Mickiewicz University, ul. Umultowska 89; 61-614 Poznań, Poland.

^c Electron and Confocal Microscope Laboratory/4 Department of Animal Physiology and Development, Faculty of Biology, Adam Mickiewicz University, ul. Umultowska 89; 61-614 Poznań, Poland.

ABSTRACT

The major aim of this article was to supplement the existing descriptions of the morphology, biology, ecology, and geographical distribution of Trematurella elegans (Kramer, 1882) (Acari: Mesostigmata) with a bit of new information about the species. The data available in the literature on this species is scant. The available taxonomic descriptions are based on schematic and very rough drawings. The authors of this article conducted a research study that will shed more light on this species. The study is based on a large set of data (21,741 samples), which have been collected for over 50 years in the area of Poland and a few other European countries. The description of the morphology of the species is based on materials obtained from a scanning electron microscope, and the description of the distribution of the species on the basis of data obtained from the GIS system, which allows the precise localisation of samples to be determined. Finally, the authors have also tried to ascertain the habitat preferences of *T. elegans*, analyzing the phenology and influence of the altitude on the distribution of the species. This study is probably the first attempt to establish the effects of the Pleistocene glaciations on the current distribution of T. elegans in Poland and the whole area of Europe.

Keywords Uropoda elegans, redescription, habitat preferences, postglacial migration, subcortical species, saprophagous species

Zoobank http://zoobank.org/75AAB6E7-7BD2-49DA-8948-49E8960EF327

This article is dedicated to the memory of an outstanding acarologist, our colleague and teacher, Dr. Françoise Athias Binche

Introduction

It may seem that European Uropodina mites have been already described so thoroughly that it is virtually impossible to provide any new information about mites from this suborder. Since these mites are quite large and very characteristic, many species had been described in the late 1800's and the early 1900's, but those descriptions are not very precise (see e.g. Müller 1776; Hermann 1804; Haller 1881; Koch 1835, 1839, 1941, 1847; Berlese 1888, 1913, 1917; Michael

Received 06 December 2017 Accepted 30 April 2018 Published 25 July 2018

Corresponding author Agnieszka Napierała: agan@amu.edu.pl

Academic editor Farid Faraji

DOI 10.24349/acarologia/20184265

Copyright Błoszyk J. et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0



1894; Halbert 1915, 1923). At that time the researchers focused mostly on the taxonomy, and they described the species which were new for science and paid less attention to the biology, ecology, and geographical distribution of the species. For this reason the idea of more detailoriented research into the most abundant European species appeared later. The first publication from a series was published by Błoszyk and Athias-Binche (1998), who described *Polyaspinus cylindricus* Berlese, 1916, a species which has very interesting geographical distribution and ecology.

Nowadays, professor Jerzy Błoszyk, with his co-workers, decided to go back to the idea of a renewed elaboration of the morphology, biology, ecology, and zoogeography of the most interesting European Uropodina species. The current article focuses on *Trematurella elegans*, which was described by Kramer 136 years ago, in 1882, in Germany.

The re-descriptions of selected European Uropodina will be prepared on the basis of the information available in the existing literature published so far, as well as unpublished information, gathered during over 50-year-long research into this group of mites. The next reason is that many of those species were described only in Italian or German, which made the descriptions inaccessible for many readers. Moreover, the authors of the current article also want to make their own contribution towards the research by the use of modern research techniques, like scanning electron microscopy (SEM) for the morphological analysis, and the GIS systems to establish the distribution of Uropodina (Błoszyk *et al.* 2013).

Materials and methods

The study is based on 21,741quantitative and qualitive samples, which were collected in Poland between 1961 and 2017. The samples were collected in areas with different altitude up to over 2,400 m above sea level. The analysis of the geographical distribution of *T. elegans* in Europe (Figure 14) was carried out on the basis of data available in the literature and data collected through examination of soil samples from Poland and different European countries: Spain (30 samples), France (50 samples), Belgium (40 samples), The Netherlands (100 samples), Germany (50 samples), Denmark (100 samples), Sweden (30 samples), Norway (500 samples), Czech Republic (150 samples), Slovakia (170 samples), and Ukraine (30 samples).

The samples were collected in different seasons from 35 types of habitats, such as open habitats, forests, and merocenoses (Table 1). The samples consisted of soil were collected either quantitatively with a corer ($30-90 \text{ cm}^2$) to the depth of 10 cm or qualitatively (with a sieve) from the forest floor, as well as unsieved samples from open habitats. The material from dead wood (such as rotten trunks, logs, stumps) was collected with a plastic container with a volume of 0.5-0.8 l.

Mites were extracted using Tullgren funnels for 4–6 days (depending on the level of moisture) just after the material had been collected. The extracted mites were preserved in 75% ethyl alcohol. The specimens were identified using the morphological criteria from the original descriptions and later accounts (Kramer 1882; Hirschmann and Zirgiebl-Nicol 1961; Błoszyk 1983, 1999; Karg 1989; Mašan 2001).

To analyze the preferences towards specific habitat type, altitude, and phenology, over 7,000 qualitative samples were examined. The number of samples slightly varied between the tested phenomena, since the complete set of data necessary for the analysis was not always present. Therefore, 7,476, 7,190 and 7,506 samples were used to analyze habitat preferences, phenology, altitude preferences, respectively. The map of distribution of *T. elegans* in Poland was drawn on the basis of all collected samples (21,741) on the UTM grid (10×10 km).

To visualize the fine details of morphology of the mites, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) techniques were used. The specimens were air-dried, mounted on a pin stubs with a double-sided sticky tape, coated with gold in a sputter coater and observed in a Zeiss Evo 40 Scanning Electron Microscope (Carl Zeiss SMTLtd., Cambridge, UK) at 16.5 kV.

The analysis of frequency of *T. elegans* in Uropodina communities was based on the index of frequency. The following classes were used (Błoszyk 1999): Frequency: F5, euconstants

(>50%), F4, constants (30.1–50%), F3, subconstants (15.1–30.0%), F2, accessory species (5.0–15.0%), and F1, accidents (<5%).

Systematic status

Genus *Trematurella* Trägårdh, 1942 *Trematurella* Trägårdh, 1942: 111.

Type species Trematurella stylifera Trägårdh, 1942: 111, by monotypy.

Diagnosis — Medium size mites, highly sclerotized, with characteristic sculpture. The idiosoma is oval and flat at the dorsal part. The unique characteristics of this species: the large dorsal shield is surrounded by a rather narrow marginal shield. The dorsal setae are serrated, very long and massive, of the same width at the whole length. The female genital shield is large, wide at the base and becomes more and more narrow towards the front (the shape resembles the foot of the iron), with a long narrow appendix at the front, intensively sculptured. The male genital shield is oval, smooth and has no paragenital setae. They have five pairs of sternal setae, two pairs of adanal setae (Ad1-Ad2), and single postanal seta (Pa). There are cavities for the leg hiding, the last ones, hiding the fourth pair of legs, are located across and do not overlap with the opisthosoma. At the first pair of tarsus there is a single claw. The first pair of hypostomal setae (h1) is needle-shaped and smooth, the other ones (h2-h4) are feather-like. Also the setae at the trochanters of palps are feather-like.

Trematurella elegans (Kramer, 1882)

Uropoda elegans Kramer, 1882: 406-407

Urodinychus elegans var. gallica – Berlese, 1917: 144-145.
Trematurella stylifera – Trägårdh, 1942: 109-112; Trägårdh – 1945: 1-10; Hirschmann & Zirngiebl-Nicol, 1961: 10; Wiśniewski, 1979: 37.
Trematurella elegans – Błoszyk, 1984: 70; Wiśniewski & Hirschmann, 1991: 174; Błoszyk, 1999: 136-137; Błoszyk & Krysiak, 2000: 117, 119; Błoszyk *et al.*, 2002a 25, 29, 31; Błoszyk *et al.*, 2002b 63, 67, 69-70; Błoszyk *et al.*, 2004: 1507; Błoszyk & Napierała, 2004: 287-288; Błoszyk *et al.*, 2006: 28, 32-33, 35; Napierała *et al.*, 2006: 157; Napierała, 2008: 61, 90, 109, 111, 116, 149; Napierała *et al.*, 2009: 291, 295, 299, 302; Kontschán, 2013: 103, 122; Napierała & Błoszyk, 2013: 166, 169, 175; 176; Błoszyk *et al.*, 2015: 7, 10.
Trichouropoda elegans – Kontschán, 2002: 346; Kontschán, 2003: 186; Fenda & Ciceková, 2007: 30-31; Kontschán, 2008: 6; Kaczmarek *et al.*, 2011: 33; Kazemi & Kontschán, 2014: 12; Huhta, 2016: 132.

Notes — Berlese (1917) in his work described this species as *Urodinychus elegans* Kram. var. *gallicus* Berl. n. var. This variation was also noted by Wiśniewski and Hirschmann (1991) in their catalogue. However, from the taxonomic point of view, it seems that there is no reason to distinguish this variation. With no doubt there is only one such species in Europe, and the differences stem from the range of geographical variability of the species.

Detailed morphology of adults and developmental stages of T. elegans

The size of the body (original units used by the authors): Kramer 1882: length 700 μ m, width 560 μ m; Berlese 1917: length 650 μ m, width 420 μ m; Błoszyk 1983, 1999: female 650 – 730 μ m x 415 – 480 μ m; male 650 – 700 μ m x 400 – 450 μ m; Karg 1989: female length 670 μ m; male length 640 μ m; Wiśniewski & Hirschmann 1993: female 670 x 470 μ m; male 640 x 420 μ m; deutonymphs 660 – 725 x 420 – 485 μ m; deutonymphs (W) 535 – 565 x 335 – 345 μ m; protonymphs 570 x 310 μ m; larvae 330 x 220 μ m;

Mašan 2001: length 535 – 625 μm;

The size of the body measured in this study:

Female (N=30) length 608 – 730 μ m, width 401 – 480 μ m, average: length 656 ± 44,1 SD μ m, width 425 ± 25,9 SD μ m

Male (N=27) length 596 – 700 $\mu m,$ width 383 – 450 $\mu m,$ average: length 630 \pm 29,4 SD $\mu m,$ width 416 \pm 25,1. SD μm

Deutonymph (N=32) length 511 – 654 μm , width 332 – 479 μm , average: length 587 \pm 36,2 SD μm , width 391 \pm 35,2 SD μm

Protonymph (N=33) length $405 - 580 \mu m$, width $246 - 356 \mu m$, average: length $480 \pm 42,8$ SD μm , width $294 \pm 30,9$ SD μm

Larva (N=17) length 330 – 368 $\mu m,$ width 189 – 239 $\mu m,$ average: length 340 \pm 13,4 SD $\mu m,$ width 207 \pm 17,0 SD μm

Female.

The dorsal side (Figures 1 and 2A, B). An oval-shaped idiosoma with a triangle-like vertex at the front edge (Figures 1A and 1B). The dorsal side is flat, highly sculptured. The narrow decorated marginal shield surrounds the big dorsal shield. Both shields fused at the anterior of the body. The whole surface of the dorsal shield is sculptured (Figures 1A and 1C-E). This sculpture is made of polygonal relief of chitin (Figure 2A). The dorsal setae are long, linear, serrated. The number of the setae can be different on each side of a specimen (cosmotrichy).

The marginal setae are similar in length (>40 μ m) to the dorsal ones, located on a narrow thickening of chitin, which lies along the external edge of the shield (Figures 1D and 2B); at the posterior part of the body these setae are significantly shorter and located on cylindrical elevations.

The dorsal shield is flat, which can be easily seen from the side view (Figure 2C). The vertex is lowered. There are two pairs of long setae on it (Figure 2D). There are tiny thorns / spikes on the marginal shield – the function is unknown. These structures can be easily seen and they are visible at the posterior part of the idiosoma (Figure 3).

The ventral side (Figures 4A and 4C) is slightly convex, type of sculpture, length and shape similar to the dorsal side (Figure 4A). The large sculptured genital shield with a long appendix at the front occupies the majority of the intercoxal region (Figure 4B). Four pairs of needle-like sternal setae are placed at the sides of the genital shield. They are of various lengths (*St1* 15 μ m, *St2* 17 μ m, *St3* 28 – 34 μ m). The last pair are the longest (*St4* 52 – 62 μ m). In females setae *St5* are reduced. The peritreme has a short poststigmal sector. The stigma is situated between the coxae of the second and the third pair of legs. The prestigmal sector is elongated and bent. Cavities for legs are present. The pedofossae for the fourth pair of legs are located transversally and they do not overlap with the opisthosoma (Figures 4A and 4E). The ventral setae (usually 12-13 pairs) are long linear and serrated. They form some transversal rows. The two pairs of those setae are placed a little bit below the back edge of the genital shield (Figure 4C). There are two pairs of needle-like adanal setae. The second pair is significantly longer (*Ad1* 23 μ m, *Ad2* 31 – 35 μ m) and gently serrated (Figure 4D). The single postanal seta (Pa) is very short (10 μ m), needle-like, and gently serrated.

The tritosternum has a narrow base (covered by coxa of the first pair of legs). It also has a strongly feathery, single lacini. The corniculi resembles the rutellum of Opilioacarida. The hypostomal groove is narrow with some teeth (oligodontic type). The hypostomal setae: h1 needle-like (about 20 µm), h2-h4 highly serrated (15, 20, 20 µm, respectively). The trochanters of palps have a pair of feathery setae (Figure 5). The chelicerae are robust.

Male.

Acarologia



Figure 1 Trematurella elegans – Female, dorsal side: A – General view; B – Vertex; C – Dorsal shield, central part; D – Marginal shield, sculpture and setae on posterior part; ls – Lateral setae, ms – Marginal setae, ds – Dorsal setae.



Figure 2 Trematurella elegans - Female; A-B - Dorsal side, details of sculpture of central and marginal parts; C-E - Lateral view.



Figure 3 A, B - Trematurella elegans - Female, characteristic spines (S) on the marginal shield.

The dorsal side (Figure 6). The sculpture and chaetotaxy of the dorsal side are very similar to those in females (compare: Figure 1 and Figure 6).

The ventral side (Figure 7). The sculpture of the ventral side is like the one found in females. The small oval genital shield is located centrally, in the intercoxal region (at the level of the third pair of legs). There are five pairs of needle-like sternal setae (*st1-st5*) of different length (Figures 7A and 7B): *st1-st3* short (8, 15, 26 μ m), *st4* long (32 – 34 μ m), *st5* short (26 μ m). At the sides of the first three pairs of the sternal setae one can notice characteristically sculptured areas that surround pores. The chaetotaxy of opisthosoma is the same like in females.

There is a gnathosoma. Clearly noticeable sexual dimorphism. Males have reduced h^2 setae and – unlike in females – h^3 setae are long needle-like and not serrated (Figure 7C).

Deutonymph.

The dorsal side (Figure 8). The body is oval with a small triangular vertex at the front (Figures 8A and 8B). The dorsal shield is big and highly sculptured. It is surrounded by the marginal shield, which has a sclerotized narrow band along the edges. The dorsal setae are long $(38 - 43 \ \mu\text{m})$ linear and serrated (Figure 8D).

The dorsal part is only partly sclerotized. The marginal shield (very narrow) and the dorsal shield are separated by soft pleura (Figure 8E).

The ventral side (Figures 9B-9D). The sternal shield is intensively sculptured. It has a shape of an elongated cup. The sculpture is made of oval caveoli of various diameters. There are five pairs of needle-like sternal setae and of similar length $(32 - 39 \ \mu\text{m})$. Cavities for legs are present, but they are not as arched, as in adults. The peritreme is relatively long, with a short, straight poststigmal sector (Figure 9A). The ventro-anal shield is big oval and has four pairs of long needle-like setae $(48 - 51 \ \mu\text{m})$ in a row, placed closely at the front edge, and there are also two pairs of setae in the middle part. The anus is small and round, with two pairs of needle-like setae. The structure of the anal region does not imply phoresy. All ventral shields of newly molted deutonymphs adhere closely to each other. When a mite grows, they begin to grow apart and the space between them is filled by soft pleura. At the last stage, the deutonymph grows to the size of an adult and develops into a male or female.

Protonymph.

Acarologia_



Figure 4 Trematurella elegans – Female, ventral side: A – General view; B – Intercoxal region; C – Opisthosoma; D – Anal region, E – Pedofossae III and IV.



Figure 5 Trematurella elegans - Female, hypostome: h1-h4 - Hypostomal setae, an arrow indicates a pair of setae on the trochanters of palps.



Figure 6 Trematurella elegans – Male, dorsal side: A – General view; B – Dorsal setae and sculpture of central part; C – Posterior part of idiosoma; D – Lateral part of idiosoma.

Acarologia_



Figure 7 Trematurella elegans - Male, ventral side: A - General view; B - Intercoxal region, P - pores; C - Gnathosoma.



Figure 8 Trematurella elegans – Deutonymph, dorsal side: A – General view; B – Anterior part of idiosoma; C – Central part of dorsal shield; D – Posterior part of idiosoma; E – Lateral part of idiosoma.



Figure 9 Trematurella elegans - Deutonymph: A - Lateral view; B - Dorsal side, General view; C - Sternal region; D - Opisthosoma.



Figure 10 Trematurella elegans – Protonymph, dorsal side: A – General view; B – Vertex and anterior part of the idiosoma; C – Sculpture and setae in the midpart of shield; D – Posterior part of the idiosoma; E – Marginal setae.



Figure 11 Trematurella elegans - Protonymph, ventral side: A - General view; B - Hypostome; C - intercoxal region; D - Opisthosoma.

The dorsal side (Figure 10). The idiosoma is oval with a small triangular vertex at the front. The highly sculptured dorsal shields are separated. The sculpture is made of polygons of various size. They are made of thickenings of chitin. There are large podonotal shields in the anterior part of the idiosoma. The five pairs of the dorsal setae are long. They are arranged in two longitudinal rows in the middle of the shield (Figures 10A and 10C). Below there are two triangular mezonotal shields, which are free from the setae. The large oval pygidial shield is not covered by the setae. The other dorsal setae are placed on the chitinous reinforcements at the base, at the soft pleura (Figure 10E). A row of 11 submarginal setae runs at the sides of the podonotal shield, mesonotal shields, and pygidial shield. Two pair of setae are placed over the front edge of the pygidial shield.

The ventral side (Figure 11). The trapezoid-like sculptured sternal shield reaches slightly beneath the coxa of the third pair of legs. There are three pairs of short needle-like setae. The ventro-anal shield has a polygonal sculpture with a large mesh. The other parts of the opisthosoma and the sides of the body, like in larvae, are covered by characteristic granulation. The ventral setae are long needle-like and slightly serrated (Figure 11D). The first pair of them is located at the border of the podosoma and opisthosoma, the two other pairs are located over the front edge of the ventro-anal shield. The anus is oval, slightly elongated, and located at the back area of the shield.

There are two pairs of hypostomal setae: the h1-h3 is smooth, the h4 is feathery. The two pairs of the serrated setae are located on the flattened coxae I, and the first pair of the setae is longer.

Larva.

The dorsal side (Figure 12). The tritosternum has a narrow base, covered by coxae of the first pair of legs, with notched front edge and feathery lacini, which becomes more and more narrow towards the top. There are two pairs of hypostomal setae. The h1 is smooth, the h4 is feathery. One can notice a pair of serrated setae on each of the flattened coxae of the first pair of legs; the first seta is two times longer than the second one.

The ventral side (Figure 13). The sternal shield is weakly sclerotized and smooth. It overlaps with the opisthosoma. There are three pairs (st1-st3) of needle-like sternal setae. The ventro-anal shield is oval with polygonal sculpture and big mesh. The rest of the opisthosoma and the sides of the body are covered with characteristic granulation. The four ventral setae are short and needle-like (Figure 13D). The oval anus is located at the posterior edge of the shield, without circumanal setae.

Notes — The number of sternal setae changes considerably during the ontogenesis period, depending on the developmental stage. Larvae and protonymphs have three pairs of the setae (St1-St3), though larvae the sternal shield is divided into two parts (one on the front part and two pairs on the back part). Deutonymphs have 5 pairs of sternal setae on the long sternal shield (St1-St5). This number is the same in adult males, whereas adult females have no St5 setae.

A similar situation can be observed in the case of the hypostomal setae. Larvae have only two setae (h1 and probably h3). Protonymphs have three pairs of setae on the hypostome (there are no characteristic smooth setae h1). Females have all pairs of the hypostomal setae (h1-h4), whereas in males the setae h2 is reduced, and there are also changes in the shape of the setae h3.

Geographical distribution

Locus typicus: Thuringia (Thüringen), Germany.

Trematurella elegans was described by Kramer (1882) from Thuringia (central Germany). The species was found in many other countries, not only in Europe. At present, the species occurs in Germany, France, Great Britain, Sweden, Finland, Poland, Czech Republic, Slovakia,



Figure 12 Trematurella elegans – Larva, dorsal side: A – General view; B – Anterior part of idiosoma; C – Central part of idiosoma; D – Posterior part of idiosoma; E – Marginal setae.



Figure 13 Trematurella elegans - Larva, ventral side: A - General view; B - Gnathosoma; C - Intercoxal region; D - Opisthosoma.



Figure 14 Distribution of Trematurella elegans in Europe; ? - Probable occurrence.

Ukraine, Hungary, Greece, Iran (see Taxonomy) (Figure 14). In the majority of these countries the species was found in a few locations. Mašan (2001) found this species mostly in rare locations, mainly in the western and south-western parts of Slovakia.

The authors of this study have tried to obtain all information about the distribution of this species from the available literature, though due to the fact that the species has been found so far in very few countries it is possible that *T. elegans* has much broader range of occurrence than that presented here. This may also stem from the fact that the species is rare and it occurs in low abundance. Thus, the presence of the species in those countries in which it has not been found yet cannot be excluded. This can also be said about the Balkans and the area of the Mediterranean. The presence of this species in Greece and Iran suggests much broader range of occurrence than that presented in the literature. For this reason the information about the distribution of *T. elegans* presented in this study should be considered as a summary of the accounts from the literature on the topic, and not as a new description of the current distribution of the species. The strong preference of the species for bark galleries habitats and occurrence in dead wood of different species of trees in different types of forests suggest that *T. elegans* may have come to the area of the area of Mediterranean.



Figure 15 Distribution of *Trematurella elegans* in Poland: A – Localities where *Trematurella elegans* specimens were found; B – All examined localities.

Table 1 Habitat preferences of *T. elegans* in Poland: N – number of samples; F – frequency (%); Ns – number of specimens; X – mean of specimens/per positive sample, Nsp – number of specimens. * in case of woodlands a cumulative number of samples for merocenoses of the dead wood and forest litter and soil was shown.

Tank 1	Hahitat	N	F	Ns	x	Nsn
Norman Screphilos grasses78	Onen habitat	14		143	1	тор
Actoprinting guasts 70 - - - Sandhrills 25 - - - Rocks grasses (noncalcarous) 168 - - - Meadows 578 - - - - Meadows 578 - - - - Meadows 578 - - - - Sedgelands 25 - - - - Scheenoplectus and reed beds 25 - - - - Agroecnoses 40 - - - - - Scheenoplectus and reed beds 25 - - - - Agroecnoses 40 - - - - - Agroecnoses 40 - - - - - - Agroecnoses 40 2.11 3 1.7 5 - - - - - Marshy forest 10 3 1.7 7 - - -	Verophilous grasses	78	_	_	_	_
Sausanis 20 - - - - Rocks grasses (noncalcareous) 168 - - - - Meadows 578 - - - - Moorlands 17 - - - - Moorlands 25 - - - - Agrocenoses 40 - - - - Scheenophectur and reed beds 25 - - - - Agrocenoses 40 - - - - - Forest and shrubs* 144 1.27 4 2.0 8 Marshy forest -soil and litter 213 1.41 3 2.0 6 Mixed deciduous forest soil and litter 263 2.0 8 1.0 3 Beech-wood in lowland 182 1.65 3 1.0 3 Beech-wood in the mountain 58 2.71 2.0 4 Niced deciduous for	Sandhille	25	-	-	-	-
Notes grasse (numeration) 103 - - - - Meadows 578 - - - - Moorlands 17 - - - - Moorlands 25 - - - - Sedgelands 25 - - - - Schoenoplectus and reed beds 25 - - - - Schoenoplectus and reed beds 25 - - - - Schoenoplectus and reed beds 25 - - - - Alder forest 142 2.11 3 1.7 5 Alder forest 1.092 3.48 38 0.6 97 Hombeam forest -soil and litter 203 2.0 8 1.7 17 Mixed deciduous forest 1.092 3.48 38 0.6 97 Hombeam forest -soil and litter 265 1.89 5 1.6 111 Beech-wood on lowland 182 1.65 3 1.0 2 <td< td=""><td>Books grosses (noncolographic)</td><td>169</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></td<>	Books grosses (noncolographic)	169	-	-	-	-
Notes grasses on infusione 103 -	Rocks grasses (noncarcarcous)	108	-	-	-	-
Meadows 5.78 - <t< td=""><td>Nocks grasses on fillestone</td><td>105</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></t<>	Nocks grasses on fillestone	105	-	-	-	-
Moortands 17 - <th< td=""><td>Meadows</td><td>578</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></th<>	Meadows	578	-	-	-	-
Part-Dogs 82 - - - - Agrocenoses 40 - - - - Scheenoplectus and reed beds 25 - - - - Adder forest 206 1.46 3 1.7 5 Alder forest -soil and litter 142 2.11 3 1.7 5 Marshy forest -soil and litter 1092 3.48 38 0.6 97 Hornbeam forest 1092 3.48 38 0.6 97 Inorbeam forest 1092 3.48 38 0.6 11 Beech-wood nolwahad -soil and litter 95 2.11 2 1.0 2 Beech-wood on lowahad -soil and litter 58 0.51 3 1.10 33 Spruce forest in the mountain 58 0.51 3 1.10 33 <td>Moorlands</td> <td>17</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	Moorlands	17	-	-	-	-
Sedgelands 25 - - - Schoenoplectus and reed beds 25 - - - Schoenoplectus and reed beds 25 - - - Schoenoplectus and reed beds 25 - - - Forest and shrubs* - - - - Alder forest -soil and litter 142 2.11 3 1.7 5 Marshy forest -soil and litter 1.092 3.48 38 0.6 97 Hornbeam forest 1.092 3.48 38 0.6 97 Hornbeam forest -soil and litter 265 1.89 5 1.6 11 Beech-wood no lowland 182 1.65 3 1.0 3 Beech-wood in the mountain 598 0.50 3 2.7 8 Beech-wood in the mountain 581 1.72 1 1.0 1 Pine forest 812 0.49 4 6.8 34 Pine forest - only soil and litter 585 0.51 3 11.0 13 Spruc	Peat-bogs	82	-	-	-	-
Agroenses 40 - - - - Scheenoplectus and reed beds 25 - - - Forest and shrubs* 206 1.46 3 1.7 5 Alder forest 314 1.27 4 2.0 8 Marshy forest -soil and litter 213 1.41 3 2.0 6 Hornbeam forest -soil and litter 497 4.02 20 2.5 56 Mixed deciduous forest -soil and litter 467 4.02 20 2.5 56 Mixed deciduous forest -soil and litter 265 1.89 5 1.6 11 Beech-wood on lowland 182 1.65 3 1.0 3 Beech-wood on lowland - soil and litter 95 2.11 2 1.0 2 Beech-wood on lowland - soil and litter 58 0.51 3 11.0 33 Spruce forest in the mountain - soil and litter 58 0.51 3 11.0 13 Pine forest - only soil and litter 58 0.51 3 11.0 33 <td< td=""><td>Sedgelands</td><td>25</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></td<>	Sedgelands	25	-	-	-	-
Scheenoplectus and reed beds 25 - - - Forest and shrubs* Jder forest 206 1.46 3 1.77 5 Alder forest -soil and litter 142 2.11 3 1.7 5 Marshy forest 314 1.27 4 2.0 6 Hornbeam forest -soil and litter 131 1.41 3 2.0 6 Hornbeam forest -soil and litter 265 1.89 5 1.6 11 Beech-wood nowland 182 1.65 3 1.0 3 Beech-wood in the mountain 598 0.50 3 2.7 8 Beech-wood in the mountain 598 0.50 3 2.7 8 Beech-wood in the mountain 598 0.51 3 1.10 1 Pine forest 812 0.49 4 6.8 34 Pine forest on lowland - soil and litter 585 0.51 3 1.10 1 Pine forest 1.4 0.69 1 2.0 2 2 Spruce forest on lowland <td>Agrocenoses</td> <td>40</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	Agrocenoses	40	-	-	-	-
Forest and shrubs*Alder forest and litter2061.4631.75Marshy forest and litter3141.2742.08Marshy forest and litter2131.4132.06Hombeam forest1.023.48380.697Hornbeam forest and litter4974.02202.556Mixed deciduous forest3632.2081.717Mixed deciduous forest and litter952.1121.02Beech-wood on lowland1821.0531.03Beech-wood in the mountain5980.5032.78Beech-wood in the mountain - soil and litter581.7211.01Pine forest0.442.7022.04Oak-wood - only soil and litter580.5131.1033Spruce forest on loy soil and litter5850.5131.1033Spruce forest on loy soil and litter5850.5131.1033Spruce forest on lowland852.4712.022Larch stand466.83411.033Spruce forest on lowland - soil and litter531.140.6912.02Fir forest1.041.18771.13341.141.161.16Larch stand1636.751.12.7301.601.23<	Schoenoplectus and reed beds	25	-	-	-	-
Alder forest 206 1.46 3 1.7 5 Alder forest -soil and litter 142 2.11 3 1.7 5 Marshy forest 314 1.27 4 2.0 8 Marshy forest -soil and litter 213 1.41 3 2.0 6 Hornbeam forest 1,092 3.48 38 0.6 97 Hornbeam forest -soil and litter 497 4.02 20 2.5 56 Mixed deciduous forest 363 2.20 8 1.7 17 Mixed deciduous forest -soil and litter 95 2.11 2 1.0 3 Beech-wood in lowland 182 1.65 3 1.0 3 Beech-wood in the mountain 598 0.50 3 2.7 8 Beech-wood in the mountain 58 1.72 1 1.0 1 Pine forest 812 0.49 4 6.8 34 Oak-wood - only soil and litter 58 1.71 21 1.3.8 457 Fin forest 1044 0	Forest and shrubs*					
Alder forest -soil and litter 142 2.11 3 1.7 5 Marshy forest 314 1.27 4 2.0 8 Marshy forest -soil and litter 213 1.41 3 2.0 6 Hornbeam forest -soil and litter 497 4.02 20 2.5 56 Mixed deciduous forest 363 2.20 8 1.6 11 Beech-wood on lowland 182 1.65 3 1.0 3 Beech-wood on lowland - soil and litter 958 0.50 3 2.7 8 Beech-wood in the mountain 598 0.50 3 2.7 8 Beech-wood in the mountain 598 0.51 3 1.0 1 Pine forest 812 0.49 4 6.8 34 Pine forest on lowland 85 2.71 21 1.0 1 Pine forest on lowland 85 2.71 21 1.8 7 Spruce forest on lowland 85 2.71 21 1.0 1 Spruce forest on lowland 85<	Alder forest	206	1.46	3	1.7	5
Marshy forest 314 1.27 4 2.0 8 Marshy forest -soil and litter 213 1.41 3 2.0 6 Hornbeam forest 1.092 3.48 38 0.6 97 Hornbeam forest -soil and litter 497 4.02 20 2.5 56 Mixed deciduous forest -soil and litter 265 1.89 5 1.6 111 Beech-wood on lowland 182 1.65 3 1.0 3 Beech-wood in low mountain 598 0.50 3 2.7 8 Beech-wood in the mountain - soil and litter 95 2.11 2 1.0 2 Oak-wood - only soil and litter 585 0.51 3 1.10 13 Pine forest - only soil and litter 585 0.51 3 11.0 33 Spruce forest in the mountain 383 - - - - Spruce forest on lowland - soil and litter 49 2.04 1 1.8 7 Fir forest 144 0.69 1 2.0 2 2	Alder forest -soil and litter	142	2.11	3	1.7	5
Marshy forestsoil and litter 213 1.41 3 2.0 6 Hornbeam forest 1,092 3.48 38 0.6 97 Hornbeam forestsoil and litter 363 2.20 8 1.7 17 Mixed deciduous forest 363 2.20 8 1.7 17 Mixed deciduous forestsoil and litter 265 1.89 5 1.6 11 Beech-wood on lowlandsoil and litter 95 2.11 2 1.0 2 Beech-wood on lowlandsoil and litter 499 0.40 2 3.0 6 Oak-wood - only soil and litter 58 1.72 1 1.0 1 Pine forest 812 0.49 4 6.8 34 Pine forest 812 0.49 4 6.8 34 Pine forest on lowland 383 - - - - Spruce forest on lowland - soil and litter 49 2.04 1 1.8 7 Fir forestsoil and litter 126 0.79 1 2.0 2 2	Marshy forest	314	1.27	4	2.0	8
Hornbeam forest1,0923.48380.697Hornbeam forest -soil and litter4974.02202.556Mixed deciduous forest3632.2081.717Mixed deciduous forest -soil and litter2651.8951.611Beech-wood on lowland1821.6531.03Beech-wood in the mountain5980.5032.78Beech-wood in the mountain - soil and litter4990.4023.06Oak-wood - only soil and litter581.7211.01Pine forest8120.4946.834Pine forest - only soil and litter5850.51311.033Spruce forest on lowland - soil and litter492.0411.87Fir forest1440.6912.022Fir forest on lowland - soil and litter492.0411.87Spruce forest on lowland - soil and litter1260.7912.02Larch stand - soil and litter1036.75112.730Yew-tree stand1636.75112.78Fir-beech forest873.4532.78Fir-beech forest - soil and litter905.5652.211Fir-beech forest - soil and litter1300.88114.014Mixed forest (with pine)5490.	Marshy forest -soil and litter	213	1.41	3	2.0	6
Hombeam forest -soil and litter4974.02202.556Mixed deciduous forest3632.2081.717Mixed deciduous forest -soil and litter2651.8951.611Beech-wood on lowland1821.6531.02Beech-wood in the mountain5980.5032.78Beech-wood in the mountain - soil and litter990.4023.06Oak-wood - only soil and litter581.7211.01Pine forest8120.4946.834Pine forest - only soil and litter5850.51311.033Spruce forest on lowland8524.712113.8457Spruce forest on lowland8524.712113.8457Spruce forest on lowland - soil and litter492.0411.87Fir forest1440.6912.022Larch stand1636.75112.73022Fir forest - soil and litter10314.026.72828Yew-tree stand1636.75112.781Fir-beech forest - soil and litter905.5652.211Fir-beech forest - soil and litter2321.29354.0162Mixed forest (with pine)5490.555577Mixed forest (with pin	Hornbeam forest	1,092	3.48	38	0.6	97
Mixed deciduous forest 363 2.20 8 1.7 17 Mixed deciduous forest -soil and litter 265 1.89 5 1.6 11 Beech-wood on lowland - soil and litter 95 2.11 2 1.0 2 Beech-wood in the mountain 598 0.50 3 2.7 8 Beech-wood - only soil and litter 499 0.40 2 3.0 6 Oak-wood 74 2.70 2 2.0 4 Oak-wood - only soil and litter 58 1.72 1 0 1 Pine forest 812 0.49 4 6.8 34 Pine forest - only soil and litter 585 0.51 3 11.0 33 Spruce forest on lowland 85 24.71 21 1.8 457 Spruce forest on lowland - soil and litter 49 2.04 1 1.8 7 Fir forest 163 6.75 11 2.7 30 2 2 11 <	Hornbeam forest -soil and litter	497	4.02	20	2.5	56
Mixed deciduous forest -soil and litter2651.8951.611Beech-wood on lowland1821.6531.03Beech-wood in the mountain5980.5032.78Beech-wood in the mountain5980.5032.78Beech-wood in the mountain5980.4023.06Oak-wood742.7022.04Oak-wood - only soil and litter5851.7211.01Pine forest8120.4946.834Pine forest0.91 soil and litter5850.51311.033Spruce forest in the mountain383Spruce forest on lowland8524.712113.8457Spruce forest on lowland - soil and litter492.0411.87Fir forest1440.6912.022Larch stand1636.75112.73034Larch stand - soil and litter905.5652.211Fir-beech forest873.4532.78Fir-beech forest873.4532.78Fir-beech forest -soil and litter905.5652.211Hird forest (with pine) - soil and litter901.11114.014Mixed forest (with pine) - soil and litter901.11114.014 </td <td>Mixed deciduous forest</td> <td>363</td> <td>2.20</td> <td>8</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>17</td>	Mixed deciduous forest	363	2.20	8	1.7	17
Beech-wood on lowland1821.6531.03Beech-wood in the mountain 995 2.11 2 1.0 2Beech-wood in the mountain – soil and litter 995 0.40 2 3.0 6Oak-wood 74 2.70 2 2.0 4 Oak-wood – only soil and litter 58 1.72 1 1.0 1 Pine forest 812 0.49 4 6.8 34 Pine forestin the mountain 383 $ -$ Spruce forest in the mountain 383 $ -$ Spruce forest on lowland 85 24.71 21 13.8 457 Spruce forest on lowland 85 24.71 21 13.8 457 Spruce forest on lowland – soil and litter 49 2.04 1 1.8 7 Fir forest 144 0.69 1 2.0 2 2 Larch stand 44 6.82 3 11.3 34 Larch stand – soil and litter 30 14.0 2 6.7 28 Yew-tree stand 163 6.75 11 2.7 8 Fir-beech forest 87 3.45 3 2.7 8 Fir-beech forest – soil and litter 90 5.56 5 2.2 111 Mixed forest (with pine) 549 0.55 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with pine) 59 3.49 16 14 144	Mixed deciduous forest -soil and litter	265	1.89	5	1.6	11
Beech-wood on lowland – soil and litter 95 2.11 2 1.0 2 Beech-wood in the mountain – soil and litter 499 0.40 2 3.0 6 Oak-wood - only soil and litter 499 0.40 2 3.0 6 Oak-wood - only soil and litter 58 1.72 1 1.0 1 Pine forest - only soil and litter 58 0.51 3 11.0 33 Spruce forest on lowland 85 24.71 21 13.8 457 Spruce forest on lowland – soil and litter 49 2.04 1 1.8 7 Fir forest - soil and litter 126 0.79 1 2.0 2 Fir forest on lowland – soil and litter 126 0.79 1 2.0 2 Fir forest - soil and litter 126 0.79 1 2.0 2 Vew-tree stand 163 6.75 11 2.7 30 Yew-tree stand soil and litter 90 5.56 5 2.2	Beech-wood on lowland	182	1.65	3	1.0	3
Beech-wood in the mountain5980.5032.78Beech-wood in the mountain – soil and litter4990.4023.06Oak-wood – only soil and litter581.7211.01Pine forest8120.4946.834Pine forest – only soil and litter5850.51311.033Spruce forest in the mountain383Spruce forest on lowland8524.712113.8457Spruce forest on lowland – soil and litter492.0411.87Fir forest – soil and litter1260.7912.02Fir forest – soil and litter1260.7912.02Larch stand446.82311.334Larch stand – soil and litter3014.026.728Yew-tree stand – soil and litter905.5652.211Fir-beech forest – soil and litter905.5652.211Fir-beech forest – soil and litter2321.29354.0162Mixed forest (with pine)5490.55354.0162Mixed forest (with spruce)1130.88114.014Dwarf pine55Parks4593.491612.3196Parks4593.491612.3196Parks<	Beech-wood on lowland – soil and litter	95	2.11	2	1.0	2
Beech-wood in the mountain - soil and litter499 0.40 2 3.0 6 Oak-wood74 2.70 2 2.0 4 Oak-wood - only soil and litter 58 1.72 1 1.0 1 Pine forest 812 0.49 4 6.8 34 Pine forest - only soil and litter 58 0.51 3 11.0 33 Spruce forest in the mountain 383 Spruce forest on lowland 85 24.71 21 13.8 457 Spruce forest on lowland - soil and litter 49 2.04 1 1.8 7 Fir forest 51 and 44 6.69 1 2.0 2 Fir forest - soil and litter 126 0.79 1 2.0 2 Larch stand 44 6.69 1 2.0 2 Vew-tree stand 163 6.75 11 2.7 30 Yew-tree stand - soil and litter 90 5.56 5 2.2 11 Fir-beech forest 87 3.45 3 2.7 8 Fir-beech forest - soil and litter 232 1.29 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with spruce) 113 0.88 1 4.0 14 Mixed forest (with spruce) - soil and litter 90 1.11 1 4.0 14 Dwarf pine 55 $ -$ Brushwood 120 $ -$ </td <td>Beech-wood in the mountain</td> <td>598</td> <td>0.50</td> <td>3</td> <td>2.7</td> <td>8</td>	Beech-wood in the mountain	598	0.50	3	2.7	8
Oak-wood742.7022.04Oak-wood - only soil and litter58 1.72 1 1.0 1Pine forest812 0.49 4 6.8 34 Pine forest - only soil and litter585 0.51 3 11.0 33 Spruce forest in the mountain 383 Spruce forest on lowland85 24.71 21 13.8 457 Spruce forest on lowland - soil and litter49 2.04 1 1.8 7Fir forest144 0.69 1 2.0 2Fir forest - soil and litter126 0.79 1 2.0 2Larch stand44 6.82 3 11.3 34Larch stand - soil and litter90 5.5 52.211Yew-tree stand - soil and litter90 5.5 52.211Fir-beech forest87 3.45 3 2.7 8Fir-beech forest - soil and litter232 1.29 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with pine) 549 0.55 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with pine) - soil and litter232 1.29 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with spruce) - soil and litter90 1.11 14.0 14 Dwarf pine 55 Parks 459 3.49 16 12.3 196 Parks - soil and litter171 5.85 <	Beech-wood in the mountain – soil and litter	499	0.40	2	3.0	6
Oak-wood - only soil and litter58 1.72 1 1.0 1Pine forest812 0.49 4 6.8 34 Pine forest - only soil and litter 585 0.51 3 11.0 33 Spruce forest in the mountain 383 Spruce forest on lowland 85 24.71 21 13.8 457 Spruce forest on lowland - soil and litter 49 2.04 1 1.8 7 Fir forest144 0.69 1 2.0 2 Larch stand44 6.82 3 11.3 34 Larch stand - soil and litter 30 14.0 2 6.7 28 Yew-tree stand163 6.75 11 2.7 30 Yew-tree stand - soil and litter 90 5.56 5 2.2 11 Fir-beech forest 87 3.45 3 2.7 8 Fir-beech forest - soil and litter 66 3.03 2 3.5 7 Mixed forest (with pine) 549 0.55 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with spruce)113 0.88 1 14.0 14 Mixed forest (with spruce) - soil and litter 90 1.11 14.0 14 Mixed forest (with spruce) - soil and litter 90 1.11 14.0 14 Mixed forest (with spruce) - soil and litter 90 1.11 14.0 14 Mixed forest (with spruce) - soil and litter $91.$	Oak-wood	74	2.70	2	2.0	4
The forest812 0.49 4 6.8 34Pine forest – only soil and litter585 0.51 3 11.0 33Spruce forest in the mountain383Spruce forest on lowland85 24.71 21 13.8 457 Spruce forest on lowland – soil and litter49 2.04 1 1.8 7Fir forest144 0.69 1 2.0 2Fir forest – soil and litter126 0.79 1 2.0 2Larch stand44 6.82 3 11.3 34Larch stand – soil and litter30 14.0 2 6.7 28 Yew-tree stand – soil and litter90 5.56 5 2.2 11 Fir-beech forest87 3.45 3 2.7 8 Fir-beech forest – soil and litter90 5.56 5 2.2 11 Fir-beech forest (with pine)549 0.55 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with pine)513 0.88 1 14.0 14 Mixed forest (with spruce) 113 0.88 1 14.0 14 Mixed forest (with spruce) – soil and litter90 1.11 1 14.0 14 Mixed forest (with spruce) – soil and litter90 1.11 1 14.0 14 Mixed forest (with spruce) – soil and litter90 1.11 1 14.0 14 Mixed forest (with spruce) – soil and litter90 </td <td>Oak-wood – only soil and litter</td> <td>58</td> <td>1.72</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>1</td>	Oak-wood – only soil and litter	58	1.72	1	1.0	1
Init of the first only soil and litter51251311.0513Spruce forest in the mountain383Spruce forest on lowland – soil and litter492.0411.87Fir forest1440.6912.02Fir forest – soil and litter1260.7912.02Larch stand446.82311.334Larch stand – soil and litter3014.026.728Yew-tree stand – soil and litter905.5652.211Fir-beech forest873.4532.78Fir-beech forest – soil and litter663.0323.57Mixed forest (with pine)5490.55354.0162Mixed forest (with pine) – soil and litter901.11114.014Mixed forest (with spruce)1130.88114.014Mixed forest (with spruce) – soil and litter901.11114.014Mixed forest (with spruce) – soil and litter901.11114.014Dwarf pine55Parks8.01.20Parks9.3.491612.3196196Parks2200.451111Nest of small mammals2200.45111Nest of small mammals<	Pine forest	812	0.49	4	6.8	34
InterforeSolar <td>Pine forest – only soil and litter</td> <td>585</td> <td>0.51</td> <td>3</td> <td>11.0</td> <td>33</td>	Pine forest – only soil and litter	585	0.51	3	11.0	33
Spruce forest in low indentities500Spruce forest on lowland – soil and litter492.0411.87Fir forest1440.6912.02Fir forest – soil and litter1260.7912.02Larch stand446.82311.334Larch stand – soil and litter3014.026.728Yew-tree stand – soil and litter905.5652.211Fir-beech forest873.4532.78Fir-beech forest873.4532.78Fir-beech forest – soil and litter663.0323.57Mixed forest (with pine)5490.55354.0162Mixed forest (with pine) – soil and litter901.11114.014Dwarf pine55Parks4593.491612.3196Parks4593.491612.3196Parks4593.491612.3196Parks – soil and litter1715.851018.0180Merocenoses11111Ant-hills43Nest of small mammals2200.45111Nest of simall mammals1,2242.45300.564Hollows in tree1732.8951.31	Spruce forest in the mountain	383	-	-	-	-
Spruce forest on lowland – soil and litter 49 2.04 1 1.8 7 Fir forest144 0.69 1 2.0 2 Larch stand126 0.79 1 2.0 2 Larch stand44 6.82 3 11.3 34 Larch stand – soil and litter 30 14.0 2 6.7 28 Yew-tree stand163 6.75 11 2.7 30 Yew-tree stand – soil and litter 90 5.56 5 2.2 11 Fir-beech forest 87 3.45 3 2.7 8 Fir-beech forest – soil and litter 66 3.03 2 3.5 7 Mixed forest (with pine) 549 0.55 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with pine) – soil and litter 232 1.29 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with spruce) 113 0.88 1 14.0 14 Mixed forest (with spruce) – soil and litter 90 1.11 1 14.0 14 Dwarf pine 55 $ -$ Parks 459 3.49 16 12.3 196 Parks – soil and litter 171 5.85 10 18.0 180 Mixed forest (with spruce) – soil and litter 120 $ -$ Parks – soil and litter 171 5.85 10 18.0 180 Merocenoses $ -$ </td <td>Spruce forest on lowland</td> <td>85</td> <td>24 71</td> <td>21</td> <td>13.8</td> <td>457</td>	Spruce forest on lowland	85	24 71	21	13.8	457
Spliter for solution low and - solution litter492.0411.37Fir forest1440.6912.02Larch stand1260.7912.02Larch stand446.82311.334Larch stand - soil and litter3014.026.728Yew-tree stand1636.75112.730Yew-tree stand - soil and litter905.5652.211Fir-beech forest873.4532.78Fir-beech forest - soil and litter663.0323.57Mixed forest (with pine)5490.55354.0162Mixed forest (with spruce)1130.88114.014Mixed forest (with spruce) - soil and litter901.11114.014Dwarf pine55Parks4593.491612.3196Parks4593.491612.3196Parks2200.45111Nest of small mammals2200.45111Nest of birds8210.37328.084Rot runks1.2242.45300.564Hollows in tree1732.8951.313Bark of tree8825.00227.5458	Spruce forest on lowland soil and litter	40	24.71	1	1 8	7
In lotest144 0.09 1 2.0 2Fir forest - soil and litter126 0.79 1 2.0 2Larch stand44 6.82 3 11.3 34Larch stand - soil and litter30 14.0 2 6.7 28Yew-tree stand - soil and litter90 5.56 5 2.2 11 Fir-beech forest87 3.45 3 2.7 8Fir-beech forest - soil and litter66 3.03 2 3.5 7Mixed forest (with pine)549 0.55 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with spruce)113 0.88 1 14.0 14Mixed forest (with spruce) - soil and litter90 1.11 1 14.0 14Dwarf pine 55 Parks459 3.49 16 12.3 196 Parks - soil and litter171 5.85 10 18.0 180 Merocenoses 43 Ant-hills 43 Nest of small mammals 220 0.45 111Nest of birds 821 0.37 3 28.0 84 Rot trunks 1.224 2.45 30 0.5 64 Hollows in tree 173 2.89 5 1.3 13 Bark of tree 82 2.00 22 7.5 458	Spruce forest on fowland – son and inter	144	2.04	1	2.0	2
Fit forest - soit and litter120 0.79 1 2.0 2Larch stand44 6.82 3 11.3 34Larch stand - soil and litter30 14.0 2 6.7 28Yew-tree stand163 6.75 11 2.7 30Yew-tree stand - soil and litter90 5.56 5 2.2 11 Fir-beech forest 87 3.45 3 2.7 8Fir-beech forest - soil and litter 66 3.03 2 3.5 7Mixed forest (with pine) 549 0.55 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with spruce) 113 0.88 1 14.0 14 Mixed forest (with spruce) 113 0.88 1 14.0 14 Mixed forest (with spruce) - soil and litter 90 1.11 1 14.0 14 Dwarf pine 55 Parks 459 3.49 16 12.3 196 Parks - soil and litter 171 5.85 10 18.0 180 Merocenoses 43 Ant-hills 43 Nest of small mammals 220 0.45 111Nest of small mammals 1224 2.45 30 0.5 64 Hollows in tree 173 2.89 5 1.3 13 13 Bark of tree 88 25.00 22 7.5	Fin forest	144	0.09	1	2.0	2
Larch stand44 0.82 3 11.3 34 Larch stand – soil and litter 30 14.0 2 6.7 28 Yew-tree stand – soil and litter 90 5.56 5 2.2 11 Fir-beech forest 87 3.45 3 2.7 8 Fir-beech forest – soil and litter 66 3.03 2 3.5 7 Mixed forest (with pine) 549 0.55 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with pine) – soil and litter 232 1.29 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with spruce) 113 0.88 1 14.0 14 Mixed forest (with spruce) – soil and litter 90 1.11 1 14.0 14 Dwarf pine 55 Parks 459 3.49 16 12.3 196 Parks – soil and litter 171 5.85 10 18.0 180 Merocenoses 43 Ant-hills 43 Nest of small mammals 220 0.45 111Nest of birds 821 0.37 3 28.0 84 Rot trunks 1.224 2.45 30 0.5 64 Hollows in tree 173 2.89 5 1.3 13 Bark of tree 88 25.00 22 7.5 458	Fir forest – son and inter	120	0.79	1	2.0	2
Laren stand - soil and litter 30 14.0 2 6.7 28 Yew-tree stand 163 6.75 11 2.7 30 Yew-tree stand - soil and litter 90 5.56 5 2.2 11 Fir-beech forest 87 3.45 3 2.7 8 Fir-beech forest - soil and litter 66 3.03 2 3.5 7 Mixed forest (with pine) 549 0.55 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with spruce) 113 0.88 1 14.0 14 Mixed forest (with spruce) - soil and litter 90 1.11 1 14.0 14 Mixed forest (with spruce) - soil and litter 90 1.11 1 14.0 14 Dwarf pine 55 $ -$ Parks 459 3.49 16 12.3 196 Parks - soil and litter 171 5.85 10 18.0 180 Merocenoses $ -$ Ant-hills 43 $ -$ Nest of small mammals 220 0.45 1 1 1 Nest of birds 821 0.37 3 28.0 84 Rot trunks $1,224$ 2.45 30 0.5 64 Hollows in tree 173 2.89 5 1.3 13 Bark of tree 88 25.00 22 7.5 458		44	0.82	3	11.5	34 29
Yew-tree stand163 6.75 11 2.7 30 Yew-tree stand - soil and litter90 5.56 5 2.2 11 Fir-beech forest 87 3.45 3 2.7 8 Fir-beech forest - soil and litter 66 3.03 2 3.5 7 Mixed forest (with pine) 549 0.55 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with pine) - soil and litter 232 1.29 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with spruce) 113 0.88 1 14.0 14 Mixed forest (with spruce) - soil and litter 90 1.11 1 14.0 14 Dwarf pine 55 $ -$ Brushwood 120 $ -$ Parks 459 3.49 16 12.3 196 Parks - soil and litter 171 5.85 10 18.0 180 Merocenoses $ -$ Ant-hills 43 $ -$ Nest of small mammals 220 0.45 1 1 1 Nest of birds 821 0.37 3 28.0 84 Rot trunks $1,224$ 2.45 30 0.5 64 Hollows in tree 173 2.89 5 1.3 13 Bark of tree 88 25.00 22 7.5 458	Larch stand – soil and litter	30	14.0	2	6.7	28
Yew-tree stand - soil and litter90 5.56 5 2.2 11 Fir-beech forest87 3.45 3 2.7 8 Fir-beech forest - soil and litter 66 3.03 2 3.5 7 Mixed forest (with pine) 549 0.55 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with pine) - soil and litter 232 1.29 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with spruce) 113 0.88 1 14.0 14 Mixed forest (with spruce) - soil and litter 90 1.11 1 14.0 14 Dwarf pine 55 $ -$ Brushwood 120 $ -$ Parks 459 3.49 16 12.3 196 Parks - soil and litter 171 5.85 10 18.0 180 Merocenoses $ -$ Ant-hills 43 $ -$ Nest of small mammals 220 0.45 1 1 1 Nest of birds 821 0.37 3 28.0 84 Rot trunks $1,224$ 2.45 30 0.5 64 Hollows in tree 173 2.89 5 1.3 13 Bark of tree 88 25.00 22 7.5 458	Y ew-tree stand	163	6.75	11	2.7	30
Fir-beech forest 87 3.45 3 2.7 8 Fir-beech forest – soil and litter 66 3.03 2 3.5 7 Mixed forest (with pine) 549 0.55 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with pine) – soil and litter 232 1.29 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with spruce) 113 0.88 1 14.0 14 Mixed forest (with spruce) – soil and litter 90 1.11 1 14.0 14 Dwarf pine 55 $ -$ Brushwood 120 $ -$ Parks 459 3.49 16 12.3 196 Parks – soil and litter 171 5.85 10 18.0 180 Merocenoses $ -$ Ant-hills 43 $ -$ Nest of small mammals 220 0.45 1 1 1 Nest of birds 821 0.37 3 28.0 84 Rot trunks $1,224$ 2.45 30 0.5 64 Hollows in tree 173 2.89 5 1.3 13 Bark of tree 88 25.00 22 7.5 458	Y ew-tree stand – soil and litter	90	5.56	5	2.2	11
Fir-beech forest - soil and litter 66 3.03 2 3.5 7 Mixed forest (with pine) 549 0.55 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with pine) - soil and litter 232 1.29 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with spruce) 113 0.88 1 14.0 14 Mixed forest (with spruce) - soil and litter 90 1.11 1 14.0 14 Dwarf pine 55 $ -$ Brushwood 120 $ -$ Parks 459 3.49 16 12.3 196 Parks - soil and litter 171 5.85 10 18.0 180 Merocenoses $ -$ Ant-hills 43 $ -$ Nest of small mammals 220 0.45 1 1 1 Nest of birds 821 0.37 3 28.0 84 Rot trunks $1,224$ 2.45 30 0.5 64 Hollows in tree 173 2.89 5 1.3 13 Bark of tree 88 25.00 22 7.5 458	Fir-beech forest	87	3.45	3	2.7	8
Mixed forest (with pine) 549 0.55 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with pine) – soil and litter 232 1.29 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with spruce) 113 0.88 1 14.0 14 Mixed forest (with spruce) – soil and litter 90 1.11 1 14.0 14 Dwarf pine 55 $ -$ Brushwood 120 $ -$ Parks 459 3.49 16 12.3 196 Parks – soil and litter 171 5.85 10 18.0 180 Merocenoses $ -$ Ant-hills 43 $ -$ Nest of small mammals 220 0.45 1 1 Nest of birds 821 0.37 3 28.0 84 Rot trunks $1,224$ 2.45 30 0.5 64 Hollows in tree 173 2.89 5 1.3 13 Bark of tree 88 25.00 22 7.5 458	Fir-beech forest – soil and litter	66	3.03	2	3.5	7
Mixed forest (with pine) - soil and litter 232 1.29 3 54.0 162 Mixed forest (with spruce)113 0.88 1 14.0 14Mixed forest (with spruce) - soil and litter90 1.11 1 14.0 14Dwarf pine 55 Brushwood120Parks459 3.49 16 12.3 196Parks - soil and litter171 5.85 1018.0180Merocenoses43Ant-hills43Nest of small mammals220 0.45 111Nest of birds821 0.37 328.084Rot trunks $1,224$ 2.45 30 0.5 64Hollows in tree173 2.89 5 1.3 13Bark of tree88 25.00 22 7.5 458	Mixed forest (with pine)	549	0.55	3	54.0	162
Mixed forest (with spruce)113 0.88 114.014Mixed forest (with spruce) – soil and litter90 1.11 114.014Dwarf pine55Brushwood120Parks459 3.49 1612.3196Parks – soil and litter171 5.85 1018.0180MerocenosesHerocenosesHerocenosesHerocenosesAnt-hills43Nest of small mammals2200.45111Nest of birds8210.37328.084Rot trunks1,2242.45300.564Hollows in tree1732.8951.313Bark of tree8825.00227.5458Total7.4762.471859.21.699	Mixed forest (with pine) – soil and litter	232	1.29	3	54.0	162
Mixed forest (with spruce) - soil and litter90 1.11 1 14.0 14Dwarf pine 55 Brushwood 120 Parks 459 3.49 16 12.3 196 Parks - soil and litter 171 5.85 10 18.0 180 Merocenoses 43 Ant-hills 43 Nest of small mammals 220 0.45 111Nest of birds 821 0.37 3 28.0 84 Rot trunks $1,224$ 2.45 30 0.5 64 Hollows in tree 173 2.89 5 1.3 13 Bark of tree 88 25.00 22 7.5 458 Total 7.476 2.47 185 9.2 1.699	Mixed forest (with spruce)	113	0.88	1	14.0	14
Dwarf pine 55 $ -$ Brushwood 120 $ -$ Parks 459 3.49 16 12.3 196 Parks – soil and litter 171 5.85 10 18.0 180 Merocenoses $ -$ Ant-hills 43 $ -$ Nest of small mammals 220 0.45 1 1 1 Nest of birds 821 0.37 3 28.0 84 Rot trunks $1,224$ 2.45 30 0.5 64 Hollows in tree 173 2.89 5 1.3 13 Bark of tree 88 25.00 22 7.5 458 Total 7476 2.47 185 9.2 1699	Mixed forest (with spruce) – soil and litter	90	1.11	1	14.0	14
Brushwood 120 Parks 459 3.49 16 12.3 196 Parks - soil and litter 171 5.85 10 18.0 180 MerocenosesAnt-hills 43 Nest of small mammals 220 0.45 1 1 1 Nest of birds 821 0.37 3 28.0 84 Rot trunks $1,224$ 2.45 30 0.5 64 Hollows in tree 173 2.89 5 1.3 13 Bark of tree 88 25.00 22 7.5 458 Total 7476 2.47 185 9.2 1.699	Dwarf pine	55	-	-	-	-
Parks 459 3.49 16 12.3 196 Parks – soil and litter 171 5.85 10 18.0 180 Merocenoses Ant-hills 43 - - - - Nest of small mammals 220 0.45 1 1 1 Nest of birds 821 0.37 3 28.0 84 Rot trunks 1,224 2.45 30 0.5 64 Hollows in tree 173 2.89 5 1.3 13 Bark of tree 88 25.00 22 7.5 458	Brushwood	120	-	-	-	-
Parks – soil and litter 171 5.85 10 18.0 180 Merocenoses Ant-hills 43 -	Parks	459	3.49	16	12.3	196
Merocenoses Ant-hills 43 - - - - Nest of small mammals 220 0.45 1 1 1 Nest of birds 821 0.37 3 28.0 84 Rot trunks 1,224 2.45 30 0.5 64 Hollows in tree 173 2.89 5 1.3 13 Bark of tree 88 25.00 22 7.5 458 Total 7476 2.47 185 9.2 1699	Parks – soil and litter	171	5.85	10	18.0	180
Ant-hills 43 - - - - Nest of small mammals 220 0.45 1 1 1 Nest of birds 821 0.37 3 28.0 84 Rot trunks 1,224 2.45 30 0.5 64 Hollows in tree 173 2.89 5 1.3 13 Bark of tree 88 25.00 22 7.5 458	Merocenoses					
Nest of small mammals 220 0.45 1 1 1 Nest of birds 821 0.37 3 28.0 84 Rot trunks 1,224 2.45 30 0.5 64 Hollows in tree 173 2.89 5 1.3 13 Bark of tree 88 25.00 22 7.5 458	Ant-hills	43	-	-	-	-
Nest of birds 821 0.37 3 28.0 84 Rot trunks 1,224 2.45 30 0.5 64 Hollows in tree 173 2.89 5 1.3 13 Bark of tree 88 25.00 22 7.5 458 Total 7.476 2.47 185 9.2 1.699	Nest of small mammals	220	0.45	1	1	1
Rot trunks 1,224 2.45 30 0.5 64 Hollows in tree 173 2.89 5 1.3 13 Bark of tree 88 25.00 22 7.5 458 Total 7,476 2,47 185 9.2 1,699	Nest of birds	821	0.37	3	28.0	84
Hollows in tree 173 2.89 5 1.3 13 Bark of tree 88 25.00 22 7.5 458 Total 7.476 2.47 185 9.2 1.699	Rot trunks	1,224	2.45	30	0.5	64
Bark of tree 88 25.00 22 7.5 458 Total 7.476 2.47 185 9.2 1.699	Hollows in tree	173	2.89	5	1.3	13
Total 7,476 2,47 185 9,2 1,699	Bark of tree	88	25.00	22	7.5	458
	Total	7,476	2.47	185	9.2	1,699

Detailed analysis of distribution of *T. elegans* in Poland and its migration after the period of glaciations

The detailed analysis of distribution of *T. elegans* in Poland (Figure 15) showed that the species is uniformly distributed in the country, except West Pomerania (NW). The range of occurrence is situated on the meltwater channel of Noteć, which is a part of Eberswalde –Torun meltwater channel. Such a distribution suggests that this species did not manage to colonize the area covered by the last glaciation (Wechselian, syn=Würm), which took place in the area of Poland in Pleistocene and finished c.a. 12,000 years ago (Stankowski 1978; Kondracki 2011). After the Mindel (=Elsterian, 730 and 430 years ago) glaciation and the Saalian (=Riss), which took place between 300 and 170 thousands years ago (Stankowski 1978; Kondracki 2011), this species could disperse on the ice-free areas from two directions – from south-west (through the present Germany territory) and from south-east (through the present Slovakia and Ukraine territory). However, one cannot exclude that the species survived the glaciations in this part of Poland, which was not under the glacier (namely, southwards from the line of Cracow – Przemyśl), and it started the expansion from there to the north.

Ecology

The research into habitat preferences of *T. elegans* conducted in Poland is based on 7,476 samples, from 35 types of habitats and microhabitats (Table 1), which show more precisely habitat preferences of this species.

The frequency of the species in the samples was low (2.47%). Therefore, the occurrence of the species can be regarded as accidental (F<5%). The percentage of *T. elegans* in the fauna of Uropodina of Poland is low (0.87%). Hence, the species is regarded as rare and not numerous.

Trematurella elegans can be classified as a typically forestal species. As it is shown in Table 1, it avoids open and non-woody habitats (Table 1). It has been found neither in the upper subalpine of spruce forests, mugo pines, or other scrubs, nor in anthills. The highest frequency has been recorded in natural and planted spruce forests of the Polish part of the North (Middle) European Plain. The species often inhabits larch stands and yew-tree stands. Furthermore, hornbeam forests, mixed deciduous forests, oak-woods and fir-beech forests can be regarded as favorable habitats. In these forests *T. elegans* can be found under the tree bark (frequency c.a. 25%), in litter, soil, dead wood, and in tree hollows. However, the frequency is many times lower in those habitats than in the most frequent ones. Interestingly, spruce-containing woods are inhabited with lower frequency than other coniferous woods (Table 1).

Phenology of T. elegans

The appearance of the life stages of *T. elegans* in various seasons was analyzed on the basis of 7,190 samples (Table 2). The peak of the abundance of *T. elegans* occurs in June (Figure 16).

Table 2 Phenology of appearance of the subsequent life stages of *T. elegans* in Poland: Ns – Numberof total samples, Nsp – Number of positive samples (with *T. elegans*), N – Total number of specimens,F – Female, M – Male, D – Deutonymphs, P – Protonymphs, L – Larvae.

	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	Х	XI	XII
Ns	133	211	295	465	1104	1408	813	993	741	557	368	102
Nsp	0	0	0	3	24	54	9	13	16	6	0	1
N				7	146	734	32	139	75	50		1
F				3	64	137	13	28	35	11		
М				3	58	180	10	32	38	11		1
D				1	22	248	7	77	1	11		
Р					2	144	2	2		12		
L						25			1	5		





The number is also high in samples collected in May and August. However, it was very low at the beginning of the phenological season in April and the end of it (December).

The constant presence of adults and deutonymphs in the collected samples was recorded between April and October (Table 2). Single male specimens were found in December, which suggests that these mites overwinter as adults and deutonymphs hidden under bark of trees. Unfortunately, we do not have enough samples collected in winter to support this hypothesis. The diagram shows (Figure 16) that the presence of larvae and protonymphs is limited in time and has two peaks of abundance, i.e. the first in June and the second in October. The larvae were found in May, September, and October, whereas the protonymphs occurred between May and August, and in October.

Vertical distribution of T. elegans in Poland

The analysis of ventrical distribution of *T. elegans* is based on 7,506 samples collected at various altitudes in Poland (Table 3). The analysis shows that *T. elegans* is a typical lowland species, which does not inhabit altitudes over 550 m above sea level. The most optimal altitude for the species ranges between 100 and 450 m a.s.l. (Table 3).

Alt.	0-5	6-50	51-100	101-150	151-200	201-250	251-300	301-350	351-400	401-450	451-500	501-550	551-600
Ns	338	370	2333	1594	472	312	280	130	125	77	163	51	99
Ν	70	4	90	786	54	15	124	5	17	23	1	1	0
Nsp	1	3	25	67	15	3	8	2	3	1	1	1	0
F%	0,3	0,81	1,07	4,2	3,18	0,96	2,86	1,54	2,4	1,3	0,61	1,96	0
Alt.	601-650	651-700	701-800	801-900	901-1000	1001-1100	1101-1200	1201-1300	1301-1400	1401-1500	1501-1600	1601-1700	>1700
Ns	59	110	134	185	194	155	130	62	34	28	30	22	19
Ν	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nsp	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 3 Vertical distribution of *Trematurella elegans* in Poland. Alt. – Altitudes in m a.s.l, Ns –Number of total samples, Nsp – Number of positive samples (with *T. elegans*), F% – Frequency.

Discussion

The results shown in this article support the earlier observations of other authors who discuss the distribution and ecology of T. elegans. Karg (1989) described T. elegans as a European species, associated with litter and soils of leafy forests, bark of trees, and with anthills. In the catalogue by Wiśniewski and Hirschmann (1993) T. elegans is described as a species occurring in various environments like mosses, holes in trees, dead wood, forest bed, and anthills of Formicidae (Hymenoptera). However, since the observations mentioned above were rather superficial, it was difficult to establish the preferred habitats of the species on the basis of those studies. These authors described the distribution of the species as northern- and central-European (Wiśniewski and Hirschmann 1993). Mašan (2001) describes the geographical distribution of T. elegans in a similar way. He regards this species as a detricol, which is associated with various types of substrates, such as soil, litter, decaying plants, tree bark, and dead wood. Moreover, he found the species in nests of Turdus philomelos C. L. Brehm, and Clethrionomys glareolus (Schreber), and in anthills. The last habitat mentioned above was also mentioned by Karg (1989). The studies published by Mašan (2001) also show that the distribution of the species in Slovakia is not regular, in the whole area of the country, similarly like in Poland (Błoszyk 1999). Furthermore, the vertical distribution and preferred altitudes are similar in both countries. Mašan did not find T. elegans over 600 meters a.s.l. and he claims that the optimal altitude is lower than 400 meters a.s.l. Fenda and Ciceková (2009) found this species in the south-western Slovakian oak-hornbeam forest in the Little Carpathian Mountains. Kontschán (2008) found T. elegans in Hungary, but he did not include any information about the habitat preferences. The species was also described in a similar way by Kontschán (2013). The authors believe that the species Trichouropoda graeca Kontschán, 2003, which he found in Greece, should be considered as a synonym of T. elegans due to the imprecise description and very schematic drawing, which does not show any significant differences between the two species. The differences probably stem from the geographical variation of *T. elegans*. Also Kaczmarek et al. (2011) points out that T. elegans is a dominant species in tree hollows in Tilia cordata. Lehtinen (1987), followed by Huhta (2016), found this species in dead wood and anthills in Finland. This species had been also found in anthills by Wiśniewski and Hirschmann (1991), but their findings do not conform to the results presented above (Table 1).

The results of the research presented here confirm that *T. elegans* is a European species, which inhabits mainly old forests. This probably stems from the type of the tree stands in which the species occurred most frequently (Table 1). This can be also observed in the case of the Białowieża Forest (NE Poland) – the largest remaining parts of the primeval forest in Europe, where the high frequency and abundance of *T. elegans* was recorded (Błoszyk – unpublished data). One of the most important findings concerning the ecology of the species is the high frequency of *T. elegans* in samples collected under tree bark (25%). This in turn suggests that *T. elegans* is a typically sub-bark species. It seems that this species can be found more often under bark than in litter, soil or dead wood, where it has been usually found so far (Lehtinen 1987; Karg 1989; Wiśniewski and Hirschmann 1993; Kaczmarek *et al.* 2011; Huhta 2016). The results of the analysis of phenology of *T. elegans* indicate that the species probably winters under tree bark as deutonymphs and adults. However, this hypothesis requires further research.

A compilation of both the data from the existing literature concerning biology, ecology, and distribution of *T. elegans* and the new more detailed data presented here is not only a succinct summary of the information about this species, but it also allows to analyze the history of dispersion of the species in Europe, especially in Poland, after the glaciations. The glaciations affected the range of many species of soil fauna, including mites. However, most of the studies focus on insects (e.g. Lunt *et al.* 1998; Fattorini and Ulrich 2012) and springtails (e.g. Werner and Fiera 2009). Little is known about the influence of the glaciation on distribution and range of occurrence of soil mites, though some attempts to solve this problem have been already made (Błoszyk *et al.* 2017). This of course stems from the lack of fossils. Thus, the reconstruction of the migration routes of mites after the glaciation can be possible only on the basis of the

knowledge about the development of the environment that is inhabited by particular species, and on the basis of the knowledge of ecological needs of the species. Among Uropodina there are many species which have their northern range of occurrence in Poland (Błoszyk 1999), and *T. elegans* is also among them. The analysis of the habitat preferences of the species suggests that it reached the northern Europe relatively recently, about few thousand years ago. It probably happened in the boreal interval (c.a. eight thousand years B.P.) because at that time forests were formed in this area, which could provide environmental conditions favorable for *T. elegans*. The presence of the species in southern Europe (Greece) and in Iran is hard to explain. Perhaps these two countries are old relic areas. There is no doubt that this species is not typical for the Mediterranean. It is possible that like in the case of *Trachytes aegrota* (C.L. Koch, 1841), *T. elegans* has its center of occurrence in central Europe, and it migrated from this region to the North and South (Błoszyk 1999; Athias-Binche 1981a,b; 1982a,b).

Acknowledgements

The microscope scans were made in Electron and Confocal Microscope Laboratory. The authors are also deeply indebted to Prof. Jerzy Gutowski for his consent to collect samples from soil, dead wood, and from bark galleries found in the national reserve Białowieski National Park. We are also grateful to Ms Joanna Gogol for her help in preparing the manuscript.

References

- Athias-Binche F. 1981a. Différent types de structures des peuplements d'Uropodides édaphiques de trois écosystèmes forestiers (Arachnides: Anactinotriches). Acta Oecol.- Oecol. Gen., 2: 153-169.
- Athias-Binche F. 1981b. Écologie des Uropodides édaphiques (Arachnides: Parasitiformes) de trois écosystèmes forestiers. 1. Introduction, matérial, biology. Vie Milieu, 31: 137-147.
- Athias-Binche F. 1982a. Écologie des Uropodides édaphiques (Arachnides, Parasitoformes) des trois écosystèmes forestiers. 3. Abondance et biomasse des microarthropodes du sol, facteurs du milieu, abondance et distribution spatiale des Uropodides. Vie Milieu, 32: 47-60.
- Athias-Binche F. 1982b. Écologie des Uropodides édaphiques (Arachnides: Parasitiformes) des trois écosystèmes forestiers. 4. Abondance, biomasse, distribution verticale, sténo-et eurytopie. Vie Milieu, 32: 159-170.
- Berlese A. 1888. Acari, Myriapoda, et Scorpiones hucusque in Italia reperta. Padova, 49. 15 text pages + Plates 1-10.
- Berlese A. 1913. Acaroteca Italica. Fasc. 1 and 2. In: Tipografia M. Ricci, Firenze (Eds). p. 221.

Berlese A. 1917. Centuria seconda di Acari nuovi. Redia, 12(I e II): 144-145.

Błoszyk J. 1983. Uropodina Polski (Acari: Mesostigmata) [PhD Thesis]. Poznań: Adam Mickiewicz University. pp. 543.

Błoszyk J. 1984. Pionowe zróżnicowanie fauny Uropodina (Acari) Polski. Prz. Zool., 28: 69-71.

Błoszyk J. 1999. Geograficzne i ekologiczne zróżnicowanie zgrupowań roztoczy z kohorty Uropodina (Acari: Mesostigmata) w Polsce. I. Uropodina lasów grądowych (Carpinion betuli). Poznań: Wyd. Kontekst. pp. 245.

Błoszyk J., Adamski Z., Napierała A., Dylewska M. 2004. Parthenogenesis as a life strategy among mites of the suborder Uropodina (Acari: Mesostigmata). Can. J. Zool. 82: 1503-1511. doi:10.1139/z04-133

Błoszyk J., Athias-Binche F. 1998. Survey of European mites of cohort Uropodina. I. Geographical distribution, biology and ecology of *Polyaspinus cylindricus* Berlese, 1916. Biol. Bull. Poznan. Zool., 32: 89-102.

Błoszyk J., Krysiak D. 2000. Uropodina (Acari: Mesostigmata) rezerwatu "Cisy Staropolskie im. Leona Wyczółkowskiego" w Wierzchlesie. Parki Nar. Rez. Przyr., 19(2): 115-121.

- Błoszyk J., Krysiak D., Napierała A., Bajaczyk R. 2002a. Materiały do znajomości Uropodina (Acari: Mesostigmata) wybranych rezerwatów położonych w obszarze parków krajobrazowych województwa wielkopolskiego. Biul. Park. Krajobraz. Wielkop., 8: 61-72.
- Błoszyk J., Krysiak D., Napierała A., Dylewska M. 2006. Can soil fauna undergo synanthropisation? In: Gabryś G., Ignatowicz S. (Eds). Advances in Polish Acarology. Warszawa: SGGW. p. 26-37.
- Błoszyk J., Krysiak D., Napierała A., Markowicz M. 2002b. Ocena stanu środowiska glebowego wybranych rezerwatów leśnych województwa wielkopolskiego w oparciu o zgrupowania roztoczy z podrzędu Uropodina (Acari: Mesostigmata). In: Pułyk M., Tybiszewska E. (Eds). Raport o stanie środowiska w Wielkopolsce w roku 2001. Poznań: WIOŚ w Poznaniu. p. 21-31.
- Błoszyk J., Książkiewicz-Parulska Z., Adamski Z., Napierała A. 2017. Influence of Pleistocene glaciation on the distribution of three species of Labidostomma in Europe (Acari: Labidostommatidae). Syst. Appl. Acarol., 22(6): 841-857. doi:10.11158/saa.22.6.9

Błoszyk J., Markowicz M., Labijak B., Skwierczyński F., Napierała A. 2015. Microgeographic diversity of Uropodina (Acari: Mesostigmata) communities in dead wood and tree hollows. Redia, XCVIII: 3 - 12

Błoszyk J., Napierała A. 2004. Roztocze z podrzędu Uropodina (Acari: Mesostigmata) Wyżyny Krakowsko-Częstochowskiej. In: Partyka J. (Eds). Zróżnicowanie i przemiany środowiska przyrodniczo-kulturowego Wyżyny Krakowsko-Częstochowskiej. T. 1. Przyroda. Ojców: Ojcowski Park Narodowy. p. 285-290.

Błoszyk J., Nowak M., Mokrowiecka A. 2013. Rozmieszczenie roztoczy z podrzędu Uropodina (Acari: Mesostigmata) w Gorczańskim PN. In: Kalinowska-Szymczak, A. (Eds). Kalejdoskop GIS. Tom 2. Warszawa: Esri Polska. p. 88-89. Fattorini S., Ulrich W. 2012. Spatial distributions of European Tenebrionidae point to multiple postglacial

colonization trajectories. Biol. J. Linn. Soc., 105: 318-329. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.201

Fenda P., Ciceková J. 2009. Soil mites (Acari: Mesostigmata) of oak-hornbeam forest in NR Katarínka, Southwest Slovakia. In: Tajovský K. Schlaghamerský J., Pižl V. (Eds). Contributions to Soil Zoology in Central Europe III. České Budějovice: Institute of Soil Biology Academy of Sciences of the Czech

Republic. p. 29-32. Halbert J.-N. 1915. Clare Island survey. Acarinida. Terrestrial and marine Acarina. Proc. Roy. Ir. Acad. 31B: 45-136.

Halbert J.-N. 1923. Notes on Acari, with descriptions of new species. J. Linn. Soc. Zool., 35: 363-392 + Plates 20-22.

Haller G. 1881. Acarinologisches. Archiv für Naturgeschichte, 47: 182-193 + Plate IX.

Hermann J. 1804. Mémorie Aptérologique. Strasbourg : Levraut. 136 pp. + Plates I-IX.

Hirschmann W., Zirngiebl-Nicol I. 1961. Gangsystematic der Parasitiformes. Teil. 4. Acarologie, Schriftenreihe für vergleichende Milbenkunde, 4: 1-41.

Huhta V. 2016. Catalogue of the Mesostigmata mites in Finland. Memor. Soc. Fauna Flora Fenn., 92: 129-148

Kaczmarek S., Marquardt T., Faleńczyk-Koziróg K. 2011. Diversity of the Mesostigmata (Acari) in tree-hollows of selected deciduous tree species. Biological Lett. 48: 29-37.

Karg W. 1989. Acari (Acarina) Milben, Unterordnung Parasitiformes (Anactinochaeta). Uropodina Kramer, Schildkrötenmilben. Tierwelt Deutschlands 67: 1-203.

Kazemi S., Kontschán J. 2014. Three new records of the superfamily Uropodidea (Acari: Mesostigmata: Uropodina) from Iran, and a key to the known Iranian species of Uropodidea Persian J. Acarol., 3(1): 9-16.

Koch C.-L. 1835. Deutschlands Crustaceen, Myriapoden und Arachniden. Ein Beitrag zur Deutschen Fauna. Vol. 2. Regensberg: Herrich-Schäffer. 42 un-numbered text pages + 24 plates.

Koch C.-L. 1839. Deutschlands Crustaceen, Myriapoden und Arachniden. Ein Beitrag zur Deutschen Fauna. Vol. 27. Regensberg: Herrich-Schäffer. 25 un-numbered text pages + 24 plates. Koch C.-L. 1841. Deutschlands Crustaceen, Myriapoden und Arachniden. Ein Beitrag zur Deutschen

Fauna. Vol. 32. Regensberg: Herrich-Schäffer. 32 un-numbered text pages + 24 plates.

Koch C.-L. 1847. System der Myriapoden, mit den Verzeichnissen und Berichtigungen zu Deutschlands Crustaceen, Myriapoden und Arachniden Heft 1-40. Regensburg: Putset. 270 pp. + plates I-X.

Kondracki J. 2011. Geografia regionalna Polski. Warszawa: Wydawnictwo Naukowe PWN. pp. 441 Kontschán J. 2002. Adatok Komárom-Esztergom megye korongatka (Acari: Uropodina) faunájához. Komárom - Esztergom Megyei Múzeumok Közleményei, 9: 345-352.

Kontschán J. 2003. Data of the Uropodina (Acari: Mesostigmata) of Greece and Malta. Annls. hist.-natur. Mus. natn. hung., 95: 185-191.

Kontschán J. 2008. Magyarország korongatkái (Acari: Mesostigmata: Uropodina). Állat. Közlem., 93(1): 3-15.

Kontschán J. 2013. Uropodina mites of the Balkan Peninsula (Acari: Mesostigmata). Opusc. Zool. Budapest, 44(suppl. 1): 97-131.

Kramer P. 1882. Ueber Gamasiden. Arch. Naturgesch., 48: 406-407.

Lehtinen P.-T. 1987. Association of uropodid, prodinychid, polyaspidid, antennophorid, sejid, microgynid, and zerconid mites with ants. Entomol. Tidskr., 108: 13-20.

Lunt D., Ibrahim L.K., Hewitt G.M. 1998, mtDNA phylogeography and postglacial patterns of subdivision in the meadow grasshopper Chorthippus parallelus. Heredity, 80: 633-641. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2540.

Mašan P. 2001. Mites of the cohort Uropodina (Acarina, Mesostigmata) in Slovakia. First ed. Annot. Zool. Bot., Bratislava. 320 pp.

Michael A.-D. 1894. Notes on Uropodina. J. R. Microsc. Soc., p. 289-319 + Plates VI, VII.

Müller O.-F. 1776. Zoologiae Danicae Prodromus, seu Animalium Daniae et Norvegicae Indigenarum. Characteres, Nomina, et Synonyma Imprimis Popularum. Copenhagen: Hafniae. 282 pp.

Napierała A. 2008. Struktura zgrupowań i rozkład przestrzenny Uropodina (Acari: Mesostigmata) w wybranych kompleksach leśnych Wielkopolski [PhD Thesis]. Poznań: Adam Mickiewicz University. pp. 188.

Napierała A., Błoszyk J. 2013. Unstable microhabitats (merocenoses) as specific habitats of Uropodina mites (Acari: Mesostigmata). Exp. Appl. Acarol. 60: 163-180. doi:10.1007/s10493-013-9659-9

Napierała A., Błoszyk J., Bruin J. 2009. Communities of uropodine mites (Acari: Mesostigmata) in selected oak-hornbeam forests of the Wielkopolska region (Poland). Exp. Appl. Acarol., 49: 291-303. doi:10.1007/s10493-009-9262-

Napierała A., Mrozek K., Błoszyk J. 2006. Akarofauna Ojcowskiego Parku Narodowego na tle pozostałych parków narodowych w Polsce. Prądnik. Prace i Materiały Muzeum im. Prof. W. Szafera, 16: 153-164.

Stankowski W. 1978. Rozwój środowiska fizyczno-geograficznego Polski. Warszawa: Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe. pp. 193.

Trägårdh I. 1942. Über die *Trematurini* Berlese (*Acarina*). Zool. Anzeiger, 139: 109-112. Trägårdh I. 1945. Description of *Trematurella* Tragardh, a new genus of *Uropodina* (*Acarina*). Arkiv. f. Zool., 36A(14): 1-10.

Werner U., Fiera C. 2009. Environmental correlates of species richness of European springtails (Hexapoda: Collembola). Acta Oecol., 35: 45-52. doi:10.1016/j.actao.2008.07.007

Wiśniewski J. 1979. Gangsystematik der Parasitiformes. Teil. 312. Acarologie: Schriftenreihe für

 vergleichende Milbenkunde, 25: 37-38.
 Wiśniewski J., Hirschmann W. 1991. Ergänzungsangaben über Verbreitung und Lebensräume der *Trichouropoda*-Arten (*Acarina, Uropodina*). Fragm. Faunist., 35(11): 173-178. doi:10.3161/ 00159301FF1991.35.11.173

Wiśniewski J., Hirschmann W. 1993. Katalog der Ganggattungen Untergattungen, Gruppen and Arten der Uropodiden der Erde. Acarologie. 40, 1-220.