A new synergetic approach for the determination of the sea-surface currents in the Mediterranean Sea

- 2 Collecte Localisation Satellites (CLS), Ramonville St-Agne, France
- 3 Ente Nazionale per le Nuove Tecnologie, l'Energia e l'Ambiente (ENEA), Frascati, Italy



GHRSST XIX, EUMETSAT, Darmstadt, June 5th, 2018

Daniele Ciani^{1,2}, Marie-Hélène Rio^{2,1}, Rosalia Santoleri¹, Salvatore Marullo³

1 Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Istituto di Scienze dell'Atmosfera e del Clima (CNR-ISAC), Rome, Italy







Context



We need Synoptic, Repetitive, High Spatial and Temporal Resolution observations of the surface-currents



- Sea-surface currents: key variable in environmental sciences
- Transport of oceanic tracers, Climate Studies, Application to human activities in the marine context





Satellite Altimetry: present-day synoptic monitoring of the sea-surface currents



The Altimeter-derived circulation cannot entirely describe the surface motion in the Mediterranean Basin In order to go beyond the altimeter system limitations, new methodologies must be explored

Context









Satellite Altimetry: present-day synoptic monitoring of the sea-surface currents



We aim at improving the currents retrieval merging the altimetric and the thermal (SST) observations

Context





The Altimeter-derived circulation cannot entirely describe the surface motion in the Mediterranean Basin, like suggested by the SST pattern







• Materials and Methods: Improvement of the Altimeter-Derived Currents

• Results: comparisons with Satellite, Model and In-situ derived data

• Conclusions and perspectives





Materials and Methods Improvement of the Altimeter-derived currents

ISSUE: only along-gradient velocity information can be retrieved from the tracer distribution at subsequent times in strong gradients areas.

SOLUTION: Piterbarg et al, 2009; Mercatini et al, 2010 : Use a background velocity information (ubck, vbck) so that the satellite tracer information is used to obtain an optimized merged velocity (u_{opt}, v_{opt}) We applied the methodology to successive SST images using the low resolution, geostrophic altimeter velocities as background velocities (CMEMS Data: daily) Background (SSALTO/DUACS) SST (CNR) SEALEVEL_MED_PHY_L4_REP_OBSERVATIONS_008_051 SST MED SST L4 REP OBSERVATIONS 010 021 MEDITERRANEAN SEA GRIDDED L4 SEA SURFACE HEIGHTS AND DERIVED VARIABLES HIGH RESOLUTION L4 SEA SURFACE TEMPERATURE REPROCESSED REPROCESSED (1993-ONGOING) OBSERVATION L4 MED OBSERVATION MED 4 SST SSTREP SSH UV 0.04 degree x 0.04 degree (Surface only) 0.125 degree x 0.125 degree (Surface only) From 1981-11-01 to 2016-12-31 From 1993-01-01 to 2017-05-15 daily-mean irregular Mediterranean Sea

Require the velocity field (u,v) to obey to the SST evolution equation $\frac{\partial \mathrm{SST}}{\partial \mathrm{t}} + u \frac{\partial \mathrm{SST}}{\partial \mathrm{x}} + v \frac{\partial \mathrm{SST}}{\partial \mathrm{v}} = F$

F(x,y,t) = source and sink terms (solar input, net infrared radiation, latent and sensible heat fluxes)









Improvement of the Altimeter-derived currents





only for perfectly known forcings

 $A = \partial_x SST; \ B = \partial_y SST$ Method $E = \partial_t SST - F$; F = forcingInputs $(\sigma_u, \sigma_v) = \text{Bck ERROR}$ h = F ERROR $v_{opt} = v_{bck} + u_0 \sin\phi + v_0 \cos\phi$ $u_{opt} = u_{bck} - u_0 \cos\phi + v_0 \sin\phi$ $\phi = f(A, B)$ $u_0 = f(A, B, \overline{u}_{bck}, \sigma_u, \sigma_v, h, \partial_t SST, \phi)$ $v_0 = u_0 \cdot f(\phi, \sigma_u, \sigma_v)$ Piterbarg et al, 2009







Improvement of the Altimeter-derived currents

In the framework of a global-scale Observing System Simulation Experiment, the Altimeter-derived currents were improved up to 35% (Rio et al. 2016)



The method has recently been applied to real satellite-derived datasets at global scale (Rio et al. 2018, in press on RSE)

Meridional Improvement (%) 80 6(20 -20 -40 -60 -80° 160° -160° -120° -80° 120° 80 -400 [from Rio et al. 2016] 25 20 30 35 %



7



Materials and Methods Improvement of the Altimeter-derived currents







- daily fields (DT, ALLSAT MERGED) $dx=1/8^{\circ}$
- 2.CNR SST L4, (regional, Mediterranean Sea) daily fields, $dx=1/16^{\circ}$
- 4.MERCATOR global operational model daily fields (near-surface level=-0.49m), dx= $1/12^{\circ}$
- daily fields (near-surface level = -1.47m), dx= $1/24^{\circ}$
- hourly fields (sea-surface), $dx=1/37^{\circ}$
- 8.AIS-Ship-derived surface currents (e-Odyn, Brest, France) 7-days mean fields, $dx=1/20^{\circ}$

```
1.SSALTO/DUACS surface currents (regional, Mediterranean Sea)
```

-3.OPTIMAL currents (Synergy 1+4 based on Rio et al. 2016, Piterbarg et al. 2009) daily fields (sea-surface), $dx=1/16^{\circ}$ (higher spatial and temporal resolutions: underway)

5.Mediterranean Forecasting System (MFS - regional, Mediterranean Sea)

6. Drifting Buoys derived surface currents (Mediterranean Sea, OGS, Trieste, Italy)

7.HF RADAR - CALYPSO Project (University of Malta) - (Malta-Sicily Channel)













• Materials and Methods: Improvement of the Altimeter-Derived Currents

• Results: comparisons with Satellite, Model and In-situ derived data

• Conclusions and perspectives

















The upwelling is consistent with the NW surface surface winds during the previous three days [Piccioni et al. 1988]

Results

Improvement of the Altimeter-derived currents: ageostrophic circulation



A focus on the year 2016 - Sicily Channel



Improvement of the Altimeter-derived currents: ageostrophic circulation

A focus on the year 2016 - Sicily Channel



We obtain a surface motion exhibiting divergences comparable to the ones of a total current field $\left[\mathcal{O}(10^{-5}s^{-1}) \right]$

Results





Results Comparisons with HF Radar in the Malta-Sicily Channel (2016)



Radar Calypso Project

2016	\mathbf{RMS} U	(m cm/s) V	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm BIAS} \ {\rm (cm/s)} \\ {\rm U} & {\rm V} \end{array}$				
OPTIMAL	10.12	9.00	3.60	1.40			
SSALTO DUACS	11.23	9.12	4.39	1.30			
MERCATOR	12.50	12.65	5.30	2.83			
MFS	12.70	13.23	3.10	3.19			

OPTIMAL CURRENTS Lowest RMS. BIAS in line with Altimetry





June/July	\mathbf{RMS}	$(\mathrm{cm/s})$	BIAS (cm/s)			
2016	U	V	U	\mathbf{V}		
OPTIMAL	11.00	10.01	7.50	5.		
SSALTO DUACS	12.00	10.03	7.61	5.1		
MERCATOR	12.10	13.20	7.20	6.0		
\mathbf{MFS}	12.13	13.60	7.00	5.		
AIS	10.86	10.84	-6.20	5.0		

AIS SHIP-DERIVED CURRENTS

Satisfactory RMS and BIAS (V component)





Results



JFM AMJ JAS OND 2016



	RMS (cm/s)		BIAS (cm/s)		RMS (cm/s)		BIAS (cm/s)		RMS (cm/s)		BIAS (cm/s)		RMS (cm/s)		BIAS (cm/s)	
	U	V	U	V	U	V	U	V	U	V	U	V	U	V	U	V
ОРТ	19.41	19.72	5.96	-1.45	16.78	16.77	0.61	0.97	12.80	11.94	1.14	-0.77	17.66	15.70	-4.10	2.80
DUACS	19.43	20.21	7.70	-1.38	16.97	16.64	1.01	0.75	13.70	12.00	1.27	-0.91	18.20	15.70	-4.12	3.3







Courtesy of M. Menna & P.M. Poulain (OGS, Trieste, Italy)

IMPROVEMENT

Optimal Currents: Overall Improvement with respect to Satellite Altimetry











• Materials and Methods: Improvement of the Altimeter-Derived Currents

• Results: comparisons with Satellite, Model and In-situ derived data

• Conclusions and perspectives







Mediterranean Sea, we could improve the currents retrieval compared to Altimetry: RADAR currents in the Malta-Sicily Channel

• Retrieval of small scale geostrophic and ageostrophic motions

• Satisfactory performance of the AIS ship-derived currents in the Sicily channel: the retrieval of the zonal component of the surface circulation



• Merging the Altimeter-derived currents with satellite tracer observations (SST) in the Overall improvement of the RMS and BIAS with respect to Drifting Buoys, lowest RMS with respect to HF

RMS with respect to HF RADAR comparable to Optimal Currents and Altimetry, though negative bias in



- Compute the Optimal currents at higher spatial (1 km) and temporal (1 h) resolutions
- Correlation Coefficients —> larger HF RADAR currents time series
- Evaluate the possibility of merging the Altimeter-derived currents with other oceanic tracers (e.g. CHLa)





• Extend the Optimal Current validation period to the period (1993-2016). Computation of RMS, BIAS and

Thank you!

d.ciani@isac.cnr.it



ISAC





