



# Cool Skin Signals Observed from Infrared and Microwave Sea Surface Temperature Retrievals

### Haifeng Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Alexander V. Babanin<sup>1</sup>, Alexander Ignatov<sup>2</sup>, Ian Young<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Infrastructure Engineering, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

<sup>2</sup>NOAA/STAR, College Park, Maryland, USA

05/06/2018



OUTLINES



- > PART A: Project Introduction Investigating Wave Breaking Using Satellite SST Data
  - Background
  - Approaches
  - Aims
  - Challenges
- > PART B: Cool Skin Signals from Infrared (IR) and Microwave (MW) SST Data
  - Section 1: In Situ Validation of IR and MW SST Data + Quality Control
  - Section 2: Cool Skin Signal Characteristics
    - Section 2.1: Statistics
    - Section 2.2: Dependencies on Environmental Variables









➤ Wave breaking in air-sea coupled system [*Cavaleri et al.*, 2012] and ocean/coastal engineering







➤ Global increasing trends for extreme SWH (Significant Wave Height) and wind speed [Young et al., Science, 2011]









> Link between SST cool skin and wave breaking [GHRSST website; Jessup et al.,

*Nature*, 1997]

> Both wave breaking probability and severity can be measured.

FIG. 1 Sequence of simultaneous, co-located video images (left) and infrared images (right) of a breaking wave in the open ocean. Image size is approximately  $5 \text{ m} \times 10 \text{ m}$ . The breaking wave is propagating from right to







- Physical processes affecting the cool skin layer
  [*Castro et al.*, 1997]
  - Theoretically, if the cool skin is simultaneously measured along with all other meteorological variables, wave breaking information can be extracted.

 $\Delta T \propto W_{conv} \,\Delta T_{conv} + W_{shear} \,\Delta T_{shear} + W_{shearsat} \,\Delta T_{shearsat} \\ + W_{capil} \,\Delta T_{capil} + W_{\mu sb} \,\Delta T_{\mu sb} + W_{lsb} \,\Delta T_{lsb} \,,$ 





#### ► Aims:

- A new method to investigate wave breaking
- First global estimate of wave breaking probability & severity
- Global and regional trends for wave breaking for over two decades

#### ➤ Challenges:

. . . . . .

- The large uncertainty of IR and MW SST data, and other variables
- Collocation between IR and MW SST measurements



## OUTLINES



- ► PART A: Project Introduction Investigating Wave Breaking Using Satellite SST Data
  - Background
  - Approaches
  - Aims
  - Challenges
- > PART B: Cool Skin Signals from Infrared (IR) and Microwave (MW) SST Data
  - Section 1: In Situ Validation of IR and MW SST Data + Quality Control
  - Section 2: Cool Skin Signal Characteristics
    - Section 2.1: Statistics
    - Section 2.2: Dependencies on Environmental Variables





- Data Sets (9 years: Oct. 2002 Sep. 2011)
  - IR SST: MODIS (Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer) onboard Aqua
    - Non-GSD formatted L3; 13:30/01:30 day/night local crossing time
    - Institution: NASA Goddard Space Flight Centre, Ocean Ecology Laboratory, Ocean Biology Processing Group;
    - Regression algorithm: University of Miami Rosenstiel School for Marine and Atmospheric Science group
    - Cool skin correction: -0.17 K constant
  - > MW SST: AMSR-E (Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer for EOS, Earth Observation System) onboard Aqua
    - L3U with spatial resolution of 0.25\*0.25;
    - Institution: Remote Sensing Systems; version v7a; physically retrieved
    - AMSR-E wind & water vapor data
  - ▶ In Situ SST data
    - iQuam SST: drifting buoy, tropical/coastal moored buoy data
  - NCEP (National Centres for Environmental Prediction) Re-analysis data
    - Ta, latent heat, sensible heat, specific humidity





## Validation Statistics

	Num	Bias	SD	RSD
MODIS - SSTinsitu				
daytime	1631156	-0.22	0.52	0.39
night-time	2337201	-0.37	0.54	0.40
AMSR-E - SSTinsitu				
daytime	1631156	0.02	0.45	0.38
nighttime	2337201	-0.05	0.46	0.38

- ➤ IR MODIS: larger cold bias (-0.37 K) for night-time MODIS than daytime bias (-0.22 K)
- ➤ MW AMSR-E: near zero biases between MW and in situ SSTs, as expected





➤ In Situ validation against different environmental conditions – SST ranges & water vapor.



- AMSR-E: Daytime warm bias for SSTinsitu < 10 degC and TCWV < 12 kgm<sup>-2</sup>; Night-time – warm bias for TCWV < 12 kgm<sup>-2</sup>
- MODIS: cold biases for TCWV > 50 kgm<sup>-2</sup>, which basically correspond to very warm waters (> 30 degC) in the tropical areas.
  - Quality control before moving on:
    - ♦ A.  $12 < TCWV < 50 \text{ kgm}^{-2}$ ;
    - B. 10 < SSTamsre < 30 degC;



## Section 2.1: IR – MW Differences Characteristics – Statistics



0.5







(a) Night-time





- Stronger winds leads to near-zero differences more mixing and wave breaking
- Similar pattern with an empirical cool skin model in [Donlon et al., 2002]
- ➤ More complicated due to DV for calm winds in the day



# Section 2.2: IR – MW Differences Dependency on Latent Heat







> Day: negative latent heat (heat flux into the ocean) results in positive differences

➤ Night: relatively minor effect

(b) Night-time



## Section 2.2: IR – MW Differences Dependency on Ta-Ts



(a) Daytime

(b) Night-time



> Warmer air results in near-zero or even warm skin in the daytime

➤ Similar trend in the night but with smaller amplitudes







- Areas with IR-MW differences < -0.5 K in the Tropical Warm Pool – high TCWV, calm wind, warm SST, maybe also partly a degraded IR SST quality
- Areas with biases < -0.5 K in the tropical Atlantic Oceans Saharan dust cooling effect.





#### ► Conclusions

- Statistically, cool skin signal can be observed from MODIS AMSR-E data. MAYBE??
- Strong winds lead to near-zero skin-subskin difference due mainly to mixing and wave breaking. MAYBE??
- Saharan dust cooling effect on IR SST retrievals over the tropical Atlantic ocean.
- Could there be warm skin in the day over the high latitudes, where LH and/or SH are negative & Ta-Ts positive?

 $\succ$  In the future

- Physically retrieved IR SST. Maybe try in situ IR and bulk SSTs??
- Try using a cool skin model, such as *Castro et al. 1997*, to extract wave breaking contribution.





THANK YOU!

Questions?





Spatial distribution



Nighttime MODIS has a strong cold bias for high TCWV conditions, i.e. in the tropics.





#### ➤ U10 + Latent Heat







(a) Daytime Ta-Ts



- ➤ Ta-Ts: warmer air results in near-zero or even warm skin in the daytime
- Sensible Heat: negative latent heat (into  $\succ$ the ocean) results in warm differences; effect is minor in the night-time.

Count

Collo







Ta-Ts: under fixed SH, warmer air typically leads to smaller differences

GHPC

Sensible Heat: negative SH are seen for warmer air conditions; effects are also secondary to Ta-Ts.



(b) Night-time





(a) Daytime SSTamsre

(b) Night-time SSTamsre



SST: biases are independent of SST ranges from 10 – 25 degC, when warmer SST starts to lead more negative differences. This could also be a MODIS quality issue.



➤ Specific Humidity

(a) Daytime Specific Humidity

(b) Night-time Specific Humidity



Specific Humidity: large humidity leads to rapid cold skin, which corresponds to the warm waters with high TCWV.

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

3.0x10<sup>6</sup>

2.5x10<sup>6</sup>

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Stronger/weaker skin in  $\succ$ cool summer/winter for both hemispheres, more so for night-time.
- Could be partially due to the higher  $\succ$ TCWV in summer times.

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

0.5

-0.5

0.5

-0.5

Bias (K)

Bias (K)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

(a) Lon-Month Day

![](_page_25_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Saharan dust cooling effect from May to  $\succ$ August over the tropical Atlantic Oceans;
- ➤ More negative differences in summer in the northern hemisphere.