This article was downloaded by: [University of Bath] On: 13 February 2014, At: 10:32 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Natural History

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tnah20</u>

The occurrence and phylogenetic implications of the ovipositor clip within the Figitidae (Insecta: Hymenoptera: Cynipoidea)

Matthew L. Buffington ^a

^a Department of Entomology, University of California, Riverside, CA

Published online: 02 Dec 2010.

To cite this article: Matthew L. Buffington (2007) The occurrence and phylogenetic implications of the ovipositor clip within the Figitidae (Insecta: Hymenoptera: Cynipoidea), Journal of Natural History, 41:33-36, 2267-2282, DOI: <u>10.1080/00222930701579732</u>

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00222930701579732

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions

The occurrence and phylogenetic implications of the ovipositor clip within the Figitidae (Insecta: Hymenoptera: Cynipoidea)

MATTHEW L. BUFFINGTON

Department of Entomology, University of California, Riverside, CA, USA

(Accepted 10 July 2007)

Abstract

The presence of the ovipositor clip is surveyed throughout Figitidae. This morphological structure is postulated to restrain the parasitoid host during oviposition. All Figitinae and Eucoilinae that attack semi-concealed dipterous hosts were found to possess the clip. Figitids that attack fully concealed hosts all lacked the ovipositor clip. It is hypothesized here that two subfamilies, the Anacharitinae and Aspicerinae, attack fully exposed hosts yet lack the clip in order to quickly oviposit and prevent fighting with the host. Mapping of the presence/absence of the ovipositor clip on to two competing phylogenies suggests this structure evolved in parallel in Figitinae and Eucoilinae. The evolution of the ovipositor clip is probably responsible for the successful colonization of semi-concealed dipterous larvae by Eucoilinae.

Keywords: Koinobiont, morphology, parasitoid.

Introduction

The structure and function of the ovipositor within Hymenoptera have been cited as factors leading to the evolutionary success of the order (Gauld and Bolton 1988). Parasitic Hymenoptera display a variety of morphological adaptations of the ovipositor that are linked to the type of host attacked (Quicke et al. 1999). In order to better understand the evolution of parasitic forms of Hymenoptera, several studies have focused on ovipositor morphology (Scudder 1961; Austin and Browning 1981; Fergusson 1988; Quicke et al. 1992, 1994, 1999; Ronquist 1995; Heraty and Quicke 2003).

The Cynipoidea possess a unique ovipositor morphology in that the first and second ovipositor valves are coiled around the base of the ovipositor, along a vertical plane, within a laterally flattened metasoma (Ronquist and Nordlander 1989; Ronquist 1999). Some Figitidae and Cynipidae are further characterized by a basal 180° twist of the ovipositor valves. This condition (absent in figitid Anacharitinae and Charipinae) results in the paired valves assuming a dorsal position and the fused valves a ventral position when exerted from the metasoma (Ronquist 1999). The Figitidae *sensu* Ronquist (1999) are further

ISSN 0022-2933 print/ISSN 1464-5262 online © 2007 Taylor & Francis DOI: 10.1080/00222930701579732

Correspondence: Matthew L. Buffington, Systematic Entomology Lab, USDA, c/o Smithsonian NMNH, 10th & Constitution Ave NW, Washington, DC 20013, USA. Email: matt.buffington@ars.usda.gov

characterized by all members possessing a point of weakness at the base of the third valvula that allows ventral flexion of the ovipositor during oviposition (Ronquist 1999).

The focus of this paper is on another unique morphological feature of the ovipositor possessed by some Figitidae, the ovipositor clip (van Lenteren et al. 1998, 1999). This feature was described after studies on the oviposition behaviour of the eucoiline *Leptopilina heterotoma* (Thomson) revealed that certain naive female wasps were unable to remove their ovipositor in the early stages of oviposition if the host larva (*Drosophila* sp.) attempted to escape parasitization (Samson-Bosthuizen et al. 1974; van Lenteren et al. 1998). The result was the dragging of the female wasp behind the escaping larvae, through the fly colony growth medium. If the wasp did not perish from this ordeal, the ovipositor was eventually removed followed by several hours of the wasp cleaning herself (van Lenteren et al. 1998). The authors of that paper hypothesized that some physical means was preventing the removal of the ovipositor from the would-be host, and that older females learned from experience how to successfully use this structure to prevent the escape of potential host larva. In a follow-up paper, van Lenteren et al. (1999) elaborated on the structure and function of the ovipositor clip possessed by *Leptopilina heterotoma* and *L. boulardi* (Barbotin, Carton, and Kelner-Pillout).

Van Lenteren et al. (1998) used light microscopy, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) to reveal a concavity on the ventral surface of the fused valve on the ovipositor of *Leptopilina heterotoma*. The concavity was covered by a flexible lobe whose distal free end interfaced with a row of teeth (cf. van Lenteren et al. 1998, Figure 1). Freezing of ovipositing *L. heterotoma*, followed by SEM, revealed that the host cuticle was pinched in this clip (cf. van Lenteren et al. 1998, Figure 2) and the term "ovipositor clip" was coined to explain the structure. So far as known, this was the first and only description of a physical structure of a hymenopteran ovipositor used for host restraint (Quicke 1997; van Lenteren et al. 1998; Quicke et al. 1999).

Prior to this work, the only known figitid to possess the ovipositor clip is *Leptopilina heterotoma*, although Fergusson (1988) and Ronquist (1995) both mention the presence of a notch-like structure on several figitine and eucoiline taxa. Therefore, a much broader survey of the figitid fauna was necessary to examine the presence of the clip across Figitidae. The phylogeny of Figitidae in Buffington et al. (forthcoming) is used to map the presence/absence of the clip across the Figitidae, allowing for a better understanding of both the evolution of the ovipositor clip and of host preference across the family.

Materials and methods

Ovipositor terminology follows Ronquist and Nordlander (1989); other terminology follows Fontal-Cazalla et al. (2002) and van Lenteren et al. (1998).

Taxon sampling

All major clades of Figitidae recovered by Buffington et al. (2007) were sampled in this study except for Pycnostigminae and Parnipinae, for a total of 40 genera (one species per genus) classified in seven subfamilies. A list of all species examined is in Appendix 1. Additionally, clades that are particularly species rich (e.g. Eucoilinae) were sampled more thoroughly than less speciose clades (e.g. Aspicerinae). All voucher specimens are deposited in the Texas A&M University Insect Collection (College Station, TX) or in the Entomology Research Museum, UC Riverside (Riverside, CA); slide specimens



Figure 1. Electron micrographs of the ovipositor clip of *Neralsia* (Figitinae). (A) Overview of ovipositor tip, lateral side; (B) enlargement of boxed area in (A). 1vlv, first valvulae; 2vlv, second valvulae; L, lobe; S, slot, Ser, apical serration. Scale bar: 10 μm.

examined are deposited in the Entomology Research Museum, UC Riverside (voucher nos 56878-56906).

Host records

Table I summarizes published and unpublished host records of semi-concealed hosts known for Eucoilinae and Figitinae. Only records in which a qualified systematist participated in the identification of the reared parasitoids, or co-authored the record, are shown to eliminate the possibility of erroneous host records. The list would be expanded considerably if secondary records were included.



Figure 2. Electron micrographs of the ovipositor clip of *Trybliographa* (Eucoilinae). (A) Overview, ventral side; (B) enlargment of boxed area in (A). 2vlv, second valvulae; L, lobe; LL, lateral lip; Se, sensillae; T, teeth. Scale bar: $10 \,\mu$ m.

Specimen preparation—light microscopy

Dried specimens identified as candidates for dissection were hydrated with water or ethanol and removed from their insect pin. The metasoma of each specimen was separated from the mesosoma + head, and dissected in 80% ethanol in a watch glass using insect pins fitted to pin vices. Once exposed, the entire ovipositor was transferred to a watch glass containing 80% ethanol, then to a second watch glass containing 95% ethanol. The ovipositor was then transferred to either euparal (specimens prepared at TAMU) or Hoyer's mounting medium (specimens prepared at UCR; voucher nos 56907–56933). The latter mounting medium was ultimately preferred due to its superior optical index. Coverslips of Hoyer'smounted specimens were sealed with Glyptol[®].

Images were captured through a Zeiss Axioskop-2 using DIC lighting. Images were captured by a JVC KY-70 3-CCD digital camera fitted to the Axioskop-2 via a $2/3 \times$ reduction c-mount. The resolution of the JVC camera is 1360×1024 pixels. Image capture control was achieved using Auto-Montage v. 5.0 (Synoptics Ltd, UK). Images were

Figitid taxon	Host	Host niche	Reference
Figitinae			
Neralsia hyalinipennis Ashmead	Muscidae	Dung	Combs and Hoelscher (1969), Thomas and Morgan (1972), Blume (1986)
Figites anthomyiarum (Bouché)	Anthomyiidae	Carrion	James (1928)
Figites spp.	Anthomyiidae, Calliphoridae, Muscidae, Sarcophagidae	Dung/carrion	Thompson (1955), Wharton (1979)
Xyalophora spp.	Muscidae	Dung/carrion	Blickle (1961), Turner et al. (1968), Wylie (1973), Wharton (1979)
Eucoilinae			
Aganaspis spp.	Tephritidae	Fruit (on ground)	Wharton et al. (1981), Jiron and Mexzon (1989), Ovruski (1995)
Cothonaspis sp.	Sepsidae	Dung/carrion	Figg et al. (1982), Nordlander (1982)
Dettmeria euxestae Borgmeier	Otitidae	Fruit (on ground)	Valicente (1986)
Dicerataspis sp.	Drosophilidae	Rotting vegetation	Wharton et al. (1998)
Eucoila keilini Kieffer	Anthomyiidae	Rotting vegetation	Keilin and Baume Pluvinel (1913)
"Eucoila" spp.	Anthomyiidae, Muscidae, Sarcophagidae, Sepsidae, Callophoridae	Dung/carrion	Turner et al. (1968), Figg et al. (1982), Blume (1986), Nordlander (1982)
Eutrias tritoma Thomson Ganaspis spp.	Sepsidae, Sarcophagidae Muscidae, Drosophilidae	Dung/carrion Rotting vegetation	Blume (1986) Shivpuje (1977), Díaz and Gallardo (1996)
Hexacola spp.	Ephydridae, Chloropidae	Algae beds	Beardsley (1989), Simmonds (1952)
<i>Kleidotoma japonica</i> Huzimatu	Ephydridae	Algae beds	Huzimatsu (1940)
Kleidotoma spp.	Anthomyiidae, Sepsidae, Muscidae, Sarcophagidae, Ephydridae, Canacidae	Algae beds, dung carrion	Depner (1968), Figg et al. (1982), Blume (1986), Beardsley (1993)
Eucoilinae			
(Thompson)	Drosophilidae	Rotting vegetation	Nordlander (1980) and references therein, van Alphen et al. 1991
Leptopilina fimbriata (Kieffer)	Drosophilidae	Rotting vegetation	Boness (1975)
Lopheucoila anastrephae (Rohwer)	Lonchaeidae	Fruit (on ground)	Wharton et al. (1998)
Nordlandiella semirufa (Kieffer)	Agromyzidae	Asteraceous flower heads	Buffington (2004a)
Odontosema anastrephae Borgmeier	Tephritidae	Fruit (on ground)	Wharton et al. (1981, 1998)
Rhoptromeris strobigena Nordlander and Grijpm	Chloropidae a	Conifers	Nordlander and Grijpma (1991)
Rhoptromeris heptoma (Hartig)	Chloropidae	Cereal grasses, plant material	Meyer (1923), Nordlander (1978) , Jonsell et al. (1999)
Trichoplasta sp	Muscidae, Drosophilidae, Lonchaeidae	Rotting vegetation, fungi	Nordlander (1982)
Triplasta sp.	Muscidae	Rotting vegetation	Díaz and Gallardo (1996)

Table I. Summary of Figitidae possessing ovipositor clips that attack semi-concealed dipteran hosts.

Table I	. Con	tinu	ed
---------	-------	------	----

Figitid taxon	Host	Host niche	Reference
Trybliographa trichopsila (Hartig) ^a	Calliphoridae, Muscidae, Sarcophagidae	Dung/carrion	Sychevskaya (1974)
Trybliographa spp.	Anthomyiidae	Vegetation, fungi	Nordlander (1982)

^aThis species is probably not *T. trichopsila* but a species of *Eucoila* (M. Forshage, personal communication).

captured as a series of focal planes and "montaged" to produce a composite focused image. All images are deposited on MorphBank (www.morphbank.com).

Specimen preparation—scanning electron micrcoscopy

Two species, *Neralsia* sp. (Figitinae) and *Trybliographa rapae* Westwood (Eucoilinae), were examined using SEM. Specimens were dissected as described above. Following the second ethanol bath (95%), samples were transferred to hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS; Heraty and Hawks 1998) for final dehydration. Samples were then mounted to SEM stubs covered by double-sided mounting adhesive and sputter-coated with gold–palladium for three 30 s intervals, changing the angle of the stubs relative to the ion cloud at each interval. A Phillips XL-30 SEM was used for imaging using a 10 kV electron beam. All images were deposited on MorphBank. SEM stubs are deposited at the Entomology Research Museum, UC Riverside (voucher no. 56877).

Character mapping

Two phylogenetic hypotheses (one parsimony based, one Bayesian based) of figitid subfamily and sub-group relationships from Buffington et al. (2007) were used for mapping the presence/absence of the ovipositor clip. The phylogeny of Buffington et al. (2007) is based on total-evidence analyses of 28S D2+D3, COI, 18S, and morphological data partitions (1855 total characters). The difference in tree topology between the two competing hypotheses was minor but both are included here for examining alternative evolutionary scenarios (Buffington et al. 2007). The presence/absence of the ovipositor clip was included as a morphological character (character 163), and it should be noted that removal of this character from the analysis did not affect tree topology in either of the parsimony or Bayesian analyses.

Results

Presence of the ovipositor clip

The ovipositor clip (*sensu* van Lenteren et al. 1998) was found to occur in all Figitinae (Figure 4) with the exception of *Lonchidia*, and one (parsimony) or two (Bayesian) clades of Eucoilinae (Figure 7). The morphology of the clip between the figitine (Figure 4) and eucoiline (Figure 5) clades was entirely similar, and matched the description the clip for *L. heterotoma* (van Lenteren et al. 1998). Within the Figitinae + Aspicerinae clade, an additional feature of the paired valve (1 vlv), the apical serration (Ser, Figure 1B), was observed. Some but not all eucoilines were also observed to possess this feature.



Figure 3. Examples of Figitidae that lack the ovipositor clip. (A–C) Anacharitinae: (A) *Aegilips*, (B) *Xyalaspis*, (C) *Anacharis*; (D, E) Aspicerinae: (D) *Melanips*; (E) *Callaspidea*; (F) Charipinae (*Alloxysta*); (G) Emargininae (*Thoreauella*); (H) Thrasorinae (*Euceroptres*). 2 vlv, fused ovipositor valve.

Members of Figitidae that lack an ovipositor clip include Anacharitinae (Figure 3A–C), Aspicerinae (Figure 3D, E), Charipinae (Figure 3F), Emargininae (Figure 3G), Thrasorinae (Figure 3H), and *Lonchidia* (currently classified as Figitinae; not shown). Among the Eucoilinae, the *Gronotoma* group (Figure 6A–C) and *Zaeucoila* group (Figure 6D–H) clades lacked the ovipositor clip. Buffington et al. (2007) recovered these groups as sister-groups (Figure 7A, B). A common feature among figitid groups that lack the ovipositor clip is the presence of serrations at the tip of 2 vlv (fused valve, Figure 3A–E, H), including the Anacharatinae, Aspicerinae, and Thrasorinae. Within Aspicerinae, the depth of these serrations (Figure 3D, E) are near the depth of the cavity portion of the ovipositor clip in Figitinae (Figure 4A, C–D).

Character mapping

Figure 7 summarizes the result of mapping the presence (black bars) of the ovipositor clip on the best parsimony (Figure 7A) and Bayesian (Figure 7B) total evidence trees. In either topology, the clades whose taxa possess the ovipositor clip are separated by numerous clades that lack the clip. For Figitinae, ACCTRAN or DELTRAN optimization (Swofford



Figure 4. Examples of the ovipositor clip present within Figitinae. (A) *Neralsia*; (B) *Amphithectus*; (C) *Trischiza*; (D) *Xyalophora*. The viewing angle in (B) is dorsal and not lateral; the asterisk and lines are meant to delineate the extent of the clip. 2 vlv, fused ovipositor valve.

and Maddison 1987) does not affect how the presence of the clip maps using parsimony or Bayesian analyses (single gain, Figitinae clade). Within Eucoilinae in the parsimony tree, the ovipositor clip maps as a single gain at the base of (core Eucoilinae (*Kleidotoma* group + Zamischus group) clade (ACCTRAN or DELTRAN). The ovipositor clip maps on to the eucoiline Bayesian tree differently, showing two steps in both ACCTRAN (single gain at Eucoilinae node with secondary loss in Gronotoma + Zaeucoila groups) or DELTRAN (parallel gain in core Eucoilinae and *Kleidotoma* + Zamischus groups).

Buffington et al. (2007) favoured the parsimony-based results of the total evidence analysis for several reasons, including recovering the Parnipinae as sister-group to all figitid subfamilies and recovering the eucoiline (*Gronotoma* + Zaeucoila group) clade as sister-group to the (core Eucoilinae (*Kleidotoma* + Zamischus group) clade. Based on the number of steps required to map the ovipositor clip on the two competing phylogenies presented here, the parsimony tree is again preferred over the Bayesian tree (two steps parsimony, three steps Bayesian).

Discussion

The following discussion refers to a *concealed host* as a host whose feeding niche is within a form of encasement that prevents the host from freely moving away from the niche space. Examples of this type of feeding niche include galls, leaf and stem mines, previously parasitized insects, and seeds. A *semi-concealed* host is one whose feeding behaviour within a substrate prevents obvious detection by a parasitoid (e.g. by olfaction), but if the parasitoid enters the host substrate, the host could be detected by the parasitoid. As a caveat, the host larva can readily escape from the parasitoid by fleeing into the substrate. Examples of this type of host-feeding niche include carrion, dung, roots, algae, and rotting fruit. An *exposed* host is one that feeds externally (either phytophagous or predaceous) and is either less likely or not able to escape parasitization by seeking refuge within its niche. Examples of this type of host-feeding niche include external foliovores and predators of external foliovores.

The occurrence of the ovipositor clip within Figitidae is strongly correlated with a preference for attacking semi-concealed (as defined above) dipterous larvae. Evidence



Figure 5. Examples of the ovipositor clip within Eucoilinae. (A) Aganaspis daci (Weld); (B) Leptopilina; (C) Dieucoila; (D) Nordlandiella; (E) "Eucoila" impatiens Say; (F) Odontosema anastrephae Kieffer; (G) Ganaspis mundata Foerster; (H) Triplasta; (I) Glauraspidia; (J) Trybliographa; (K) Kleidotoma; (L) Aporeucoela. 2 vlv, fused ovipositor valve.

supporting these host preferences is summarized in Table I. Figitids attacking concealed hosts (as defined above) universally lack the ovipositor clip. These figitids include Parnipinae, which attack cynipid gall inducers on *Papaver* (Papaveraceae) (Ronquist and Nieves-Aldrey 2001) and Thrasorinae which attack hymenopteran gall inducers on *Quercus*



Figure 6. Examples of Eucoilinae that lack the ovipositor clip. (A) Ganaspidium nigrimanus (Kieffer); (B) Gronotoma; (C) Ealata; (D) Tropideucoila; (E) Rhabdeucoela; (F) Lopheucoila anastrephae Rohwer; (G) Dettmeria; (H) Dicerataspis. 2 vlv, fused ovipositor valve.

spp. (Fagaceae), *Mimosa* spp. (Mimosaceae), and *Nothofagus* spp. (Nothofagaceae) (Ronquist 1999). Within Eucoilinae, the *Gronotoma* and *Zaeucoila* genus groups, which attack leaf-mining Agromyzidae on various plants (Buffington 2002, 2004a, 2004b; Fontal-Cazalla et al. 2002), also lack the ovipositor clip.

Figitids that attack exposed hosts also lack the ovipositor clip. These include the Aspicerinae that attack exposed Syrphidae larvae (Thompson 1955; Burks 1979) and Anacharitinae that attack exposed Neuroptera larvae (Burks 1979; Cave and Miller 1987).

The ovipositor clip is associated with host restraint during the early stages of oviposition prior to envenomation (van Lenteren et al. 1998). Concealed hosts cannot readily escape parasitism, and it follows that figitids specializing on concealed hosts do not require the ovipositor clip for host restraint. Furthermore, when attacking a concealed host, the ovipositor must first penetrate the substrate encasing the host. Quicke et al. (1999) reviewed the various morphological traits associated with ovipositor tips of parasitoids that attack concealed hosts. In most cases, these modifications came in the form of denticles and serrations that were postulated to be associated with drilling and sawing through a substrate to reach the host. Similar modifications were found in some Chalcidoidea (Heraty and Quicke 2003) and Cynipoidea (Fergusson 1988) that oviposit in plant tissues. Quicke et al.



Figure 7. Presence of the ovipositor clip mapped on to two possible cladograms for relationships of Figitidae (from Buffington et al. forthcoming). (A) Parsimony analysis; (B) Bayesian analysis. Numbers next to terminal names indicate the number of taxa sampled. Letters in boxes at tree tips refer to niche type in which the host is attacked: C, concealed; S, semi-concealed; E, exposed; G, gall inducer/inquilline; ?, unknown. Black bars indicate clades for which all members possess the ovipositor clip. "+ACC" indicates presence of character based on ACCTRAN optimization; "+ DEL" indicates presence of character based on DELTRAN optimization (Swofford and Maddison 1987).

(1999) also noted sub-apical notches were common in several lineages of koinobiont endoparasitic Ichneumonoidea that attacked both concealed and exposed hosts.

Charipinae specialize on hyperparasitism of aphidophagous Braconidae and Chalcidoidea in aphid mummies (Clausen 1940; Menke and Evenhuis 1991) as well as Chalcidoidea parasitic on immobile Psylloidea (Menke and Evenhuis 1991; Ronquist 1999). An ovipositor clip is probably not needed in this system since these hosts are both immobile and concealed.

There are other reasons to explain why Figitidae that attack exposed hosts lack the ovipositor clip. Anacharitinae are quick-strike parasitoids of chrysopid and hemerobiid second and third instar larvae (Miller and Lambdin 1985; Cave and Miller 1987; G. Miller, personal communication). The later instar larvae of these neuropterans are both precocious and aggressive, and both traits are probably responsible for the anacharitine mode of oviposition.

Aspicerinae (including *Melanips* as suggested in Buffington et al., 2007) attack exposed Chamaemyiidae and Syrphidae larvae (Ronquist 1999; M. L. Buffington, personal observation). The main difference between these exposed hosts, and the semi-concealed hosts of Figitinae and Eucoilinae, can be found in the host's niche. Chamaemyiids and syrphids are typically predators of phytophagous insects on vegetation (Clausen 1940), whereas the cyclorraphan hosts of Figitinae and Eucoilinae are typically detritous feeders and scavengers on or in the soil (Table I). Though they may lack the ovipositor clip for the same reason anacharitines do (quick-strike oviposition), aspicerines do possess welldeveloped serrations on 1 vlv (as in Figitinae) and 2 vlv (Figure 3D, E). Unfortunately oviposition observational studies are currently lacking for Aspicerinae. Though hosts are unknown for species of *Lonchidia* (Figitinae), Emargininae, and Pycnostigminae, ovipositor morphology (Fergusson 1988, Figure 3G) and phylogenetic position suggest that hosts are likely to be concealed Diptera (i.e. ovipositor clip lacking; no host restraint needed).

Members of Eucoilinae that lack the ovipositor clip belong to the Gronotoma and Zaeucoila groups of genera. Buffington et al. (2007) recovered these clades as sister-groups in both parsimony and Bayesian analyses (Figure 7). The majority of members of these clades parasitize leaf-mining Agromyzidae (Buffington 2000, 2002, 2004a, 2004b; Buffington and Ronquist 2006), though some members of the Zaeucoila group (Dettmeria, Lopheucoila, Dicerataspis, Figure 6F–H) are known from Tephritoidea (Wharton et al. 1998). As in the other figitids that attack concealed hosts, the ovipositors of members of the Gronotoma and Zaeucoila groups must first penetrate the leaf surface in order to reach the host. Ganaspidium nigrimanus (Kieffer) (Gronotoma group) was observed ovipositing on Liriomyza sp. (Agromyzidae) (M. Johnson, personal communication). It was noted that G. nigrimanus first located the leaf mine itself through "drumming" the leaf surface with the antennae. Once the mine was located, the female began probing it with her ovipositor along the entire length of the mine until a host larva was detected. The same behaviour was observed for an unidentified species of Agrostocynips (Zaeucoila group) attacking an unidentified agromyzid on Celtis sp. (Ulmaceae) (M. L. Buffington, personal observation).

The precise oviposition behaviour of *Dettmeria*, *Lopheucoila*, and *Dicerataspis* is unknown. Interestingly, these taxa lack the ovipositor clip (Figure 6F–H), though they have been reared from hosts that other eucoilines possessing the ovipositor clip also attack (e.g. *Aganaspis* spp., Table I; Wharton et al. 1998). This may be explained by phylogenetic constraint since lack of the ovipositor clip is the plesiomorphic condition within the *Gronotoma* + *Zaeucoila* group clade (these taxa belong to the *Zaeucoila* group of genera).

Hosts for Figitidae possessing the ovipositor clip (Figures 4, 5) are summarized in Table I. Though the microhabitats for these hosts vary from algae beds (Ephydridae) and roots of plants (Anthomyiidae) to dung (Muscidae, Sepsidae) and carrion (Sarcophagidae), all of these hosts are semi-concealed in that they do not specifically conceal themselves in

specialized stuctures that could restrict escaping parasitization. Arguably some species of Muscidae "conceal" themselves within a cow pat, however, the ovipositing wasp is able to enter the centre of the cow pat through holes and crevices to oviposit successfully (R. A. Wharton, personal communication; M. L. Buffington, personal observation). If the host larva detects the presence of the wasp, it can escape deeper into the cow pat; a host in a gall or leaf-mine is unlikely to be able to do this (Godfray 1994).

Mapping of the presence of the ovipositor clip (Figure 7) results in two different interpretations of the evolution of the feature. On the preferred tree (Figure 7A, parsimony) the ovipositor clip maps on to the Figitinae clade (excluding *Lonchidia*; one step) as well as the (core Eucoilinae (*Kleidotoma* group + *Zamischus* group)) eucoiline clade (one step). In the alternate scenario (Bayesian tree, Figure 7B), the ovipositor clip maps in the same way as the parsimony tree for Figitinae (one step), but maps as a parallel gain in Eucoilinae (two steps) or as a single gain with subsequent loss (two steps). A third scenario, requiring the highest degree of loss, would require the ancestor of all core Figitiae to possess the ovipositor clip. Forcing the homology of the clip between Figitinae and Eucoilinae would require a sister-group relationship between Figitinae and Eucoilinae (single origin of clip, one step), with subsequent loss of the clip in the *Gronotoma* and *Zaeucoila* groups (one step). On the parsimony tree, this requires an additional 39 steps.

Conclusion

A classification scheme for ovipositing behaviour into various host types has not been proposed (van Lenteren et al. 1998). The system described here would suggest that one category should exist in which the host is physically restrained during the early stages of oviposition. This type of oviposition is correlated with parasitoids that attack semiconcealed hosts that could escape parasitization if not quickly subdued with some other means (e.g. venom). In contrast, fully exposed hosts may be more readily parasitized without host restraint. In these cases, the parasitoid attacks as quickly as possible to prevent possible injury inflicted by the host defending itself. If hosts are fully concealed within a specific substrate (e.g. a gall or leaf-mine), a method of restraint is not necessary since the host cannot readily escape. In these cases, the ovipositor is unmodified to allow maximum ovipositional efficiency.

Most Eucoilinae and all Figitinae that attack semi-concealed dipterous hosts universally possess the ovipositor clip. The best parsimony and Bayesian trees, however, suggest the clip is not homologous between these lineages unless loss of the clip is accepted on five separate lineages.

Acknowledgements

Joop van Lenteren was instrumental in sparking my interest in this character system after his seminal work on *Leptopilina*; he has continued to support my research ever since. This research was originally started under the direction of Robert Wharton and James Woolley at Texas A&M University in the fall of 2000, and I appreciate their help dearly. Work was continued under the guidance of John Heraty and John Pinto at UC Riverside; both of them were instrumental in guiding my continued work. Ed Riley (TAMU) and Doug Yanega (UCR) helped me obtain specimens for dissection. I would also like to thank John Heraty for the use of the imaging equipment needed to figure this paper. Bob Wharton, John Heraty, Lars Vilhelmsen, and Mattias Forshage provided final reviews of this paper; Mattias Forshage provided many critical figitid host references. Funding was provided by NSF-PEET grant BSR 9978150 awarded to J. Heraty and J. Pinto and NSF-PEET grant DEB 9712543 awarded to R. Wharton and J. Woolley.

References

- Austin AD, Browning TO. 1981. A mechanism for movement of eggs along insect ovipositors. International Journal of Insect Morphology and Embryology 10:93–108.
- Beardsley JW. 1989. Hawaiian Eucoilidae (Hymenoptera: Cynipoidea), key to genera and taxonomic notes on apparently non-endemic species. Proceedings of the Hawaiian Entomological Society 29:165–194.
- Beardsley JW. 1993. A new species of *Kleidotoma* from North America (Hymenoptera: Cynipoidea: Eucoilidae), parasitic on larvae of *Parydra quadrituberculata* Loew (Diptera: Ephydridae). Contributions of the American Entomological Institute 27:1–6.
- Blickle RL. 1961. Parasites of the face fly, *Musca autumnalis*, in New Hampshire. Journal of Economic Entomology 54:802.

Blume RR. 1986. Parasites of Diptera associated with bovine droppings on a pasture in east central Texas. Southwestern Entomologist 11:215–222.

- Boness M. 1975. Arthropoden im Hochwassergenist von Flüssen. Bonner Zoologische Beiträge 26:383-401.
- Buffington M. 2000. The phylogeny and classification of the *Gronotoma* group (s.l.) of genera (Hymenoptera: Figitidae: Eucoilinae) [thesis]. College Station: Texas A&M University. 182 p.
- Buffington M. 2002. A description of Aegeseucoela Buffington, new name, with taxonomic notes on the status of Gronotoma Förster. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 104:589–601.
- Buffington M. 2004a. The description of Nordlandiella semirufa (Kieffer), new combination, with notes on the status of Ganaspidium Weld (Hymenoptera: Figitidae: Eucoilinae). Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 106:192–198.
- Buffington M. 2004b. The description of *Preseucoela* Buffington, new genus, with notes on the status of Nearctic species of *Agrostocynips* Diaz (Hymenoptera: Figitidae: Eucoilinae). Zootaxa 408:1–11.
- Buffington M, Nylander JAA, Heraty JM, The phylogeny and evolution of Figitidae (Hymenoptera: Cynipoidea). Cladistics 23:1–29.
- Buffington M, Ronquist F. 2006. Capitulo 97: Familia Figitidae. In: Fernández F, Sharkey MJ, editors. Introducción a los Hymenoptera de la Región Neotropical. Bogota: Sociedad Colombiana de Entomología y Universidad Nacional de Colombia. p 829–838.
- Burks BD. 1979. Cynipoidea. In: Krombein K, Hurd P Jr, Smith D, Burks BD, editors. Catalogue of Hymenoptera in America north of Mexico. Washington: Smithsonian Institution Press. p 1045–1108.
- Cave R, Miller G. 1987. Notes on Anacharis melanoneura (Hymenoptera: Figitidae) and Charitopes mellicornis (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) parasitizing Micromus posticus (Neuroptera: Hemerobiidae). Entomological News 98:211–216.
- Clausen CP. 1940. Entomophagous insects. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.

Combs RL, Hoelscher CE. 1969. Hymenopterous pupal parasitoids found associated with horn fly in Northeast Mississippi. Journal of Economic Entomology 62:1234–1235.

Depner KR. 1968. Hymenopterous parasites of the horn fly, *Haemotobia irritans* (Diptera: Muscidae), in Alberta. Canadian Entomologist 100:1057–1060.

- Díaz NB, Gallardo FE. 1996. Sobre cinipoideos del Brasil, parasitoides de dípteros estercoleros (Hymenoptera, Cynipoidea). Revista Societa Entomologica de Argentina 55:127–129.
- Fergusson NDM. 1988. A comparative study of the structures of phylogenetic importance of female genitalia of the Cynipoidea (Hymenoptera). Systematic Entomology 13:13–30.

Figg DE, Hall RD, Thomas GD. 1982. Bucoprophilous pasture flies and associated insects parasites in Central Missouri. Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society 55:476.

- Fontal-Cazalla FM, Buffington M, Nordlander G, Liljeblad J, Ros-Farré P, Nieves-Aldrey JL, Pujade-Villar J, Ronquist F. 2002. Phylogeny of the Eucoilinae (Hymenoptera: Cynipoidea: Figitidae). Cladistics 18:154–199.
- Gauld I, Bolton B, editors, 1988. The Hymenoptera. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Godfray HCJ. 1994. Parasitoids. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Heraty JM, Hawks DH. 1998. Hexamethyldisilizane—a chemical alternative for drying insects. Entomological News 109:369–374.
- Heraty JM, Quicke DLJ. 2003. Phylogenetic implications of ovipositor structure in Eucharitidae and Perilampidae (Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea). Journal of Natural History 37:1751–1764.

- Huzimatsu K. 1940. The life-history of a new cynipid fly, *Kleidotoma japonica*, n. sp. Tohoku Imperial University, Scientific Reports (Series 4) 15:457–480.
- James HC. 1928. On the life-histories and economic status of certain cynipid parasites of dipterous larvae, with descriptions of some new larval forms. Annals of Applied Biology 15:287–316.
- Jiron LF, Mexzon RG. 1989. Parasitoid hymenopterans of Costa Rica: geographical distribution of the species associated with fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae). Entomophaga 34:53–60.
- Jonsell M, Nordlander G, Jonsson M. 1999. Colonization patterns of insects breeding in wood-decaying fungi. Journal of Insect Conservation 3:145–161.
- Keilin D, Baume-Pluvinel C. 1913. Formes lavaraires et biologie d'un cynipide entomophage. Bulletin Scientifique de la France et de la Belgique 47:27–88.
- Menke AS, Evenhuis HH. 1991. North American Charipidae: key to genera, nomenclature, species checklists, and a new species of *Dilyta* Förster (Hymenoptera: Cynipoidea). Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 93:136–158.
- Meyer R. 1923. Die parasitischen Hymenopteren der Fritfliege (Oscinosoma frit L.). Zeitschrift für Angewandte Entomologie 9:111–120.
- Miller GL, Lambdin PL. 1985. Observations on *Anacharis melanoneura* (Hymenoptera: Figitidae), parasite of *Hemerobius stigma* (Neuroptera: Hemerobiidae). Entomological News 96:93–97.
- Nordlander G. 1978. Revision of the genus Rhoptromeris Foerster, 1869 with reference to north-western European species. Studies on Eucoilidae (Hym.: Cynipoidea) II. Entomologica Scandinavica 9:47–62.
- Nordlander G. 1980. Revision of the genus *Leptopilina* Förster, 1869, with notes on the status of some other genera (Hymenoptera, Cynipoidea: Eucoilidae). Entomologica Scandinavica 11:428–453.
- Nordlander G. 1982. Identities and relationships of the previously confused genera Odonteucoila, Coneucoela, and Trichoplasta (Hymenoptera: Cynipoidea: Eucoilidae). Entomologica Scandinavica 13:269–292.
- Nordlander G, Grijpma P. 1991. Systematics and biology of *Rhoptromeris strobigena* sp. n., a parasitoid of chloropids inhabiting conifer cones (Hymenoptera: Cynipoidea: Eucoilidae). Entomologica Scandinavica 22:209–218.
- Ovruski SM. 1995. Pupal and larval-pupal parasitoids (Hymenoptera) obtained from *Anastrepha* spp. and *Ceratitis capitata* (Dipt.: Tephritidae) pupae collected in four localities of Tucuman Province, Argentina. Entomophaga 40:367–370.
- Quicke DLJ. 1997. Parasitic wasps. London: Chapman and Hall.
- Quicke DLJ, Fitton MG, Ingram SN. 1992. Phylogenetic implications of the structure and distribution of ovipositor valvilli in the Hymenoptera. Journal of Natural History 26:587–608.
- Quicke DLJ, Fitton MG, Tunstead JR, Ingram SN, Gaitens PV. 1994. Ovipositor structure and relationships within Hymenoptera, with special reference to Ichneumondoidea. Journal of Natural History 28:635–682.
- Quicke DLJ, LeRalec A, Vilhelmsen L. 1999. Ovipositor structure and function in the parasitic Hymenoptera with an exploration of new hypotheses. Atti dell'Accademia Nazionale Italiana di Entomologia, Rendiconti 47:197–239.
- Ronquist F. 1995. Phylogeny and early evolution of the Cynipoidea (Hymenoptera). Systematic Entomology 20:309–335.
- Ronquist F. 1999. Phylogeny, classification and evolution of the Cynipoidea. Zoologica Scripta 28:139-164.
- Ronquist F, Nieves-Aldrey JL. 2001. A new subfamily of Figitidae (Hymenoptera, Cynipoidea). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 133:483–494.
- Ronquist F, Nordlander G. 1989. Skeletal morphology of an archaic cynipoid, *Ibalia rufipes* (Hymenoptera, Ibaliidae). Entomologica Scandinavica Supplement 33:1–60.
- Samson-Bosthuizen M, van Lenteren JC, Bakker K. 1974. Success of parasitization of *Pseudeucoila bochei* Weld (Hym. Cynip.): a matter of experience. Netherlands Journal of Zoology 24:67–85.
- Scudder GGE. 1961. The comparative morphology of the insect ovipositor. Transactions of the Royal Entomological Society of London 113:2–40.
- Simmonds FJ. 1952. Parasites of the frit-fly Oscinella frit (L.) in Eastern North America. American Bulletin of Entomological Research 43:503–542.
- Shivpuje PR. 1977. Record of new natural parasites of sorghum shootfly *Atherigona varia soccata* Rond. (Diptera: Anthomyiidae). Entomological News 88:52.
- Swofford DL, Maddison WP. 1987. Reconstructing ancestral character states under Wagner parsimony. Mathematical Biosciences 87:199–229.
- Sychevskaya VI. 1974. The biology of *Eucoila trichopsila* Hartig (Hymenoptera, Cynipoidea), a parasite of the larvae of synanthropic flies of the family Sarcophagidae (Diptera). Entomological Review 53:36–44.
- Thomas GD, Morgan CE. 1972. Parasites of the horn fly in Missouri. Journal of Economic Entomology 65:169–174.

- Thompson WR. 1955. Cynipidae. In: Thompson WR, editor. A catalogue of the parasites and predators of insect pests. Section 2, Part 3. Ottawa: Commonwealth Institute of Biological Control. 210–216.
- Turner EC, Burton RP, Gerhardt RR. 1968. Natural parasitism of dung breeding Diptera: a comparison between native hosts and an introduced host, the face fly. Journal of Economic Entomology 61:1012–1015.
- Valicente FH. 1986. Ocurrencia do parasitoide Dettmeria euxestae Borgmeier, 1935 (Hymenoptera: Eucoilidae) em Euxesta eluta Loew, 1868 (Diptera: Otitidae), na regiao de Sete Lagoas, MG. Anais da Sociedade Entomologica do Brasil 15:391–392.
- van Alphen JJM, Nordlander G, Eijs I. 1991. Host habitat finding and host selection of the *Drosophila* parasitoid *Leptopilina australis* (Hymenoptera: Eucoilidae) with a comparison of the niches of European *Leptopilina* species. Oecology 87:324–329.
- van Lenteren JC, Isidoro N, Bin F. 1998. Functional anatomy of the ovipositor clip in the parasitoid *Leptopilina heterotoma* (Thompson) (Hymenoptera: Eucoilidae), a structure to grip escaping larvae. International Journal of Insect Morphology and Embryology 27:263–268.
- van Lenteren JC, Isidoro N, Romani R, Bin F. 1999. The multipurpose ovipositor of *Leptopilina heterotoma*: relationships between form and function. Atti dell'Accademia Nazionale Italiana di Entomologia, Rendiconti 47:265–277.
- Wharton RA. 1979. Some predators and parasitoids of dung-breeding Diptera from Central California. Pan Pacific Entomologist 55:181–186.
- Wharton RA, Gilstrap FE, Rhode RH, Fischel MM, Hart WG. 1981. Hymenopterous egg-pupal and larval-pupal parasitoids of *Ceratitus capitata* and *Anastrepha* spp. (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Costa Rica. Entomophaga 26:285–290.
- Wharton RA, Ovruski SM, Gilstrap FE. 1998. Neotropical Eucoilidae (Cynipoidea) associated with fruit-infesting Tephritidae, with new records from Argentina, Bolivia and Costa Rica. Journal of Hymenoptera Research 7:102–115.
- Wylie HG. 1973. Parasites of face fly, Musca autumnalis (Diptera: Muscidae), and associated Diptera near Belleville, Ontario. Canadian Entomologist 105:257–261.

Appendix 1. List of Figitidae examined

An asterisk after a taxon name indicates the voucher specimen is located at the Department of Entomology, Texas A&M University; otherwise, the voucher is housed at the Entomology Research Museum, UC Riverside.

Thrasorinae: Plectocynips sp.; Euceroptres sp.

Anacharitinae: Aegilips clarimontis Kieffer; Anacharis sp.; Hexacharis sp.; Xyalaspis sp. Charipinae: Alloxysta brassicae Ashmead; Phaenoglyphis ambrosiae (Ashmead).

Emargininae: Thoreauella sp.

Aspicerinae: Aspicera sp.; Callaspidea sp.; Melanips sp.

- Figitinae: Amphithectus sp.; Figites sp.; Lonchidia sp.; Neralsia sp.; Trischiza sp.; Xyalophora sp.
- Eucoilinae: Aganaspis daci (Weld)*; Agrostocynips sp.*; Aporeucoela sp.; Caleucoela sp.; Dettmeria sp.*; Dicerataspis sp.*; Dieucoila sp.; Disorygma pacifica (Yoshimoto); Ealata sp.*; 'Eucoila'' impatients (Say); Ganaspis mundata Förster*; Ganaspidium nigrimanus (Kieffer); Glauraspidia sp.; Gronotoma sp.*; Kleidotoma sp.; Leptopilina sp.; Lopheucoila anastrephae Rohwer*; Nordlandiella semirufa (Kieffer); Odontosema anastrephae Borgmeier*; Rhabdeucoela sp.*; Tropideucoila sp.*; Trybliographa rapae Westwood.