

# Computational reproducibility: Examining verification errors and frictions



Cheryl A. Thompson & Thu-Mai L. Christian

H. W. Odum Institute for Research in Social Science,  
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

“ **Computational reproducibility** refers to changes in scientific practice and reporting standards to accommodate the use of computational technology...in particular whether the **same results can be obtained from the data and code used in the original study.** (Stodden, 2015)

**Computational reproducibility =**

**Transparency + Reproducibility of computation**

(NASEM, 2019)

# AJPS Verification Policy



- “
- The corresponding author of a manuscript that is accepted for publication in the *American Journal of Political Science* **must provide materials that are sufficient to enable interested researchers to verify all of the analytic results that are reported in the text and supporting materials.**
  - When the final draft of the manuscript is submitted, the materials will be **verified to confirm that they do, in fact, reproduce the analytic results reported in the article.**
  - **Publication in the *American Journal of Political Science* is contingent** upon provision of complete verification materials and **successful verification...**

(AJPS Verification Policy, n.d., <https://ajps.org/ajps-verification-policy>)

## Curation

- ✓ Review replication package for completeness
- ✓ Identify confidentiality / copyright issues
- ✓ Identify incomplete, inconsistent, or missing variable and value labels
- ✓ Enhance descriptive metadata
- ✓ Assess file formats for suitability for long-term preservation

## Verification

- ✓ Review code for inclusion of commands and comments required to reproduce reported results
- ✓ Compile and execute code
- ✓ Identify errors in non-executable code
- ✓ Compare outputs to tables, figures, and other reported results in the manuscript



<b>Verification Report</b>	
As of May 2021:	
AJPS articles:	340+
Passed 1st time:	11
Resubmissions:	2.29
Staff hours:	6
???	

# Qualitative Study

- RQ: What are the challenges that authors face in complying with computational reproducibility and verification policies?
- Sample of 105 manuscripts (2017-2019)
  - Verification report: dates, result, open data, curation notes, verification notes, resubmissions
- Qualitative coding and analysis



# Sample

- Verification characteristics:
  - Mean number of resubmissions: 2.4
  - Verified on initial submission: 1.9% (2)
- Package characteristics at initial submission:
  - Mean number of files: 10.9
  - Mean number of lines of code: 2,667.8
  - Mean number of programming languages: 1.7



# Typology of Verification Errors

21 error types -> 7 categories

Documentation

Coding

Files

Technologies

Data

Modeling

Results



Category	Type	Definition
<b>Documentation</b>		
	<b>1. Variable and data information</b>	errors related to the variable documentation and data file structure, not data citations.
	<b>2. Package information</b>	errors related to file descriptions or relationships of the files in the replication package.
	<b>3. Other information</b>	errors related to insufficient documentation, not related to other codes, such as more information on multiple methods.
<b>Coding</b>		
	<b>4. Filepath</b>	errors related to absolute <u>filepaths</u> , active vs. working directories, or unpreserved file structures in the code.
	<b>5. Missing code</b>	errors related to missing code files or blocks of code.
	<b>6. Execution</b>	errors related to code execution, not related to other error types.
	<b>7. Code documentation</b>	errors related to documentation of the data and analytical processes in the code.
<b>Files</b>		
	<b>8. Naming</b>	errors related to the naming of files.
	<b>9. Formats</b>	errors related to files not in preservation-friendly or recommended formats.
	<b>10. Corruption</b>	errors related to files being corrupted or not working as expected.
<b>Results</b>		
	<b>11. Numeric discrepancies</b>	errors related to discrepancies between numeric results in manuscript and the verifier's output.
	<b>12. Visual aspects</b>	errors related to visual aspects of figures, tables, or maps, in terms of their scales, lines, shading, or formatting.
	<b>13. Manuscript revisions</b>	errors related to updating the results in the manuscript.
<b>Technologies</b>		
	<b>14. Compute environment</b>	errors related to building a compute environment similar to the author environment, such as software or packages. These are errors under the author's control.
	<b>15. Platform constraints</b>	errors related to technologies or platforms outside of the author's or verifier's control, including HPC constraints, software access or requirements.
	<b>16. Encoding</b>	errors related to encoding standards, especially differences in US and foreign standards, formats, etc.
<b>Data</b>		
	<b>17. Missing data</b>	errors related to missing data files or variables.
	<b>18. Data sources</b>	errors related to citations and access instructions for any external data sources used by the author.
	<b>19. Restricted data</b>	errors related to access of restricted data such as proprietary data or data with personal identifying information.
<b>Modeling</b>		
	<b>20. Model set up</b>	errors related to translating the model into computational approaches such as variables are not the right type for this analysis.
	<b>21. Nondeterministic</b>	errors related to setting seeds for nondeterministic models.



# Documentation errors

Type	Description
<b>1. Variable and data</b>	errors related to the variable documentation and data file structure, not data citations.
<b>2. Package</b>	errors related to file descriptions or relationships of the files in the replication package.
<b>3. Other information</b>	errors related to insufficient documentation, not related to other codes, such as more information on multiple methods.



# Coding errors

Type	Description
<b>1. Filepath</b>	errors related to absolute filepaths, active vs. working directories, or unpreserved file structures in the code.
<b>2. Missing code</b>	errors related to missing code files or blocks of code.
<b>3. Execution</b>	errors related to code execution, not related to other error types.
<b>4. Code documentation</b>	errors related to documentation of the data and analytical processes in the code.



# Technologies errors

Type	Description
<b>1. Compute environment</b>	errors related to building a compute environment similar to the author environment, such as software or packages. These are errors under the author's control.
<b>2. Platform constraints</b>	errors related to technologies or platforms outside of the author's or verifier's control, including HPC constraints, software access or requirements.
<b>3. Encoding</b>	errors related to encoding standards, especially differences in US and foreign standards, formats, etc.

# Frictions



Data as knowledge production vs. data as a final product

Data sharing



Code as a means vs. code as a final product

Code sharing



Formal policies standards vs. informal research practices

Policy and standards



Project lifecycle vs. verification at end

Temporal



Proliferation of RR tools vs. researcher tool preferences

Technology



It's nobody's job

Labor

# Next steps

- Future analysis:
  - model to understand which errors lead to longer verification times
- Targeted guidance for authors
- Inform computational reproducibility trainings

# Connect with the Odum Institute

**Cheryl A. Thompson**  
[cathompson@unc.edu](mailto:cathompson@unc.edu)



# Sample

- Author characteristics:
  - Non-US-based corresponding author: 26.7% (28)
  - Corresponding author had participated previously in AJPS verification: 11.4% (12)







# Data errors

Type	Description
<b>1. Missing data</b>	errors related to missing data files or variables.
<b>2. Data sources</b>	errors related to citations and access instructions for any external data sources used by the author.
<b>3. Restricted data</b>	errors related to access of restricted data such as proprietary data or data with personal identifying information.