

EUENGAGE

Codebook

Mass surveys 2016 and 2017
Elite surveys 2016 and 2017
E-Voice Deliberation (Pre and Post Surveys)
Chapel Hill Expert surveys 2014 and 2017



This Report is part of a project that has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement N°649281

V.2 – AUGUST 2021

University of Siena



INDEX

| | | |
|--------|--|----|
| 1. | <i>The EUENGAGE Dataset</i> | 1 |
| 1.1. | Description of the study | 1 |
| 1.2. | The EUNGAGE Panel Survey | 1 |
| 1.2.1. | Structure and timing of the surveys | 1 |
| 1.2.2. | Universe and response target | 3 |
| 1.2.3. | Mode of administration and interviewing process | 3 |
| 1.3. | The Chapel Hill Expert Surveys | 4 |
| 2. | <i>Structure of the codebook</i> | 5 |
| 3. | <i>Metadata</i> | 6 |
| 3.1. | Identifiers, waves, and targets | 6 |
| 3.2. | Filter variables | 8 |
| 3.3. | Time variables | 10 |
| 4. | <i>Section A: Concern and issue salience</i> | 14 |
| 5. | <i>Sections B-C-D-E: Policy areas & Europe</i> | 15 |
| 5.1. | Section B: Economy | 15 |
| | Policy alternatives | 16 |
| | Measures to tackle economic crisis | 24 |
| | Blaming | 25 |
| | Solidarity (non-experimental questions) | 25 |
| 5.2. | Section C: Immigration | 27 |
| | Policy alternatives | 27 |
| | Measures to tackle migration crisis | 36 |
| | Blaming | 37 |
| | Solidarity (non-experimental questions) | 37 |
| 5.3. | Section D: Security | 38 |
| | Policy alternatives | 38 |
| | Blaming | 46 |
| | Solidarity (non-experimental questions) | 47 |
| 5.4. | Section E: Europe | 47 |
| | Utilitarian or affective support | 47 |
| | Trust and satisfaction with democracy | 48 |
| | EU integration, scope of governance, identity, and sentiment | 50 |
| | European Union and political leaders | 55 |
| 6. | <i>Special sections: Brexit and the USA</i> | 57 |
| 6.1. | Brexit | 57 |
| 6.2. | USA-EU Relations | 61 |
| 7. | <i>Experimental questions</i> | 64 |
| 7.1. | Economy | 64 |
| 7.2. | Immigration | 71 |
| 7.3. | Security | 74 |
| 7.4. | Globalisation | 76 |

| | | |
|-------|--|-----|
| 8. | <i>Predispositions</i> | 77 |
| 8.1. | Political partisanship and ideology | 77 |
| 8.2. | General predispositions | 84 |
| | Miscellaneous | 84 |
| | Trust | 89 |
| | Social capital | 89 |
| | Leaders' specific question | 90 |
| | Political capital and interest in politics | 90 |
| | Conspiracy thinking | 92 |
| | Solidarity – behavioural measure | 93 |
| | Political tolerance | 94 |
| | Anxiety arousal | 95 |
| | Trust in official statistics | 96 |
| | Experimental question on solidarity towards migrants | 96 |
| 9. | <i>PRE – and POST Deliberation surveys (Sections L, M, N, O, P, Q)</i> | 99 |
| 9.1. | Section L: Perceptions of politicians and political process | 99 |
| 9.2. | Section M: Civil orientations towards conflict | 101 |
| 9.3. | Conflict avoidance scale | 101 |
| 9.4. | Section P: Need for cognition | 102 |
| 9.5. | Section Q: Dogmatism | 102 |
| 9.6. | Section R: Political knowledge | 103 |
| 9.7. | Section S: Event's evaluation | 105 |
| | Briefing material | 105 |
| | Inputs' evaluation | 106 |
| 9.8. | Section V: Policy proposals | 107 |
| 9.9. | Online community E-Voice identifiers | 110 |
| 10. | <i>Sociodemographic variables</i> | 111 |
| 10.1. | Miscellaneous | 111 |
| 10.2. | Business elites' background variables | 124 |
| 10.3. | Politicians' background variables | 125 |
| 11. | <i>Weights</i> | 132 |
| 11.1. | General population's weights | 132 |
| | Wave 1 (T1) | 132 |
| | Wave 2 (T4) | 134 |
| 11.2. | Business people's weights | 135 |
| 11.3. | Politicians' weights | 136 |
| 12. | <i>CHES data</i> | 138 |

1. The EUENGAGE Dataset

1.1. Description of the study

The EUENGAGE Dataset collects data from the EUENGAGE Project, which ran from 2015 to 2018. For more information on the EUENGAGE Project, please visit the dedicated website: www.euengage.eu

It includes data from:

1. The **EUENGAGE Mass and Businesspeople panel surveys**, which were conducted in ten Member States of the European Union: Czech Republic, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Spain, and United Kingdom in 2016 and 2017.
2. The **EUENGAGE elite surveys**, which were conducted in the same ten Member States in 2016 and 2017.
3. The **Pre- and Post- Deliberation surveys**, which were conducted in October 2016 respectively before and after the online deliberation named “E-Voice”.
1. **Chapel Hill Expert Surveys (CHES)**, which collects estimates on party positioning based on experts’ assessments.

1.2. The EUENGAGE Panel Survey

1.2.1. Structure and timing of the surveys

The surveys explore the attitudes of the general public (hereinafter mass), businesspeople (hereinafter B2B), and political elites (hereinafter elites), towards the main challenges the EU is currently facing in the areas of economy, immigration and security, towards the European Union, the Brexit referendum of June 23, 2016, and the EU-USA relationship after the American Presidential elections of 2016.

The surveys also contain questions concerning individual predispositions, ideological orientations, as well as on the socio-demographic background.

Furthermore, the EUENGAGE surveys introduce a set of experimental questions, which manipulate conditions to assess the determinants of attitudes on several issues.

The **first wave** of the EUENGAGE Mass and B2B survey was carried out between **14th June 2016** and **20th July 2016**. As fieldwork took place at the time of the UK referendum on European Union membership, there were two distinct fieldwork phases, to measure the possible opinion shifts before and after the referendum (14th June -23rd June 2016 and 24th June-10th July 2016).

For the Elite sample, the field phase started on **21st April 2016** and interviews were completed by **7th November 2016**.

The **second wave** of Mass and B2B survey was opened on **6th July 6 2017** and closed on **6th October, 2017**, except for Portugal (mass sample), and Greece, Portugal, and the Netherlands (business sample), where it closed on **12th October 2017**.

In parallel, elite interviews were conducted from **9th October 2017** to **20th February 2018**. Due to election events in Czech Republic and Germany, and other country-specific peculiarities

(interruptions of parliamentary works, budgetary sessions, etc.), the calendar of the field research has been partially different from one case to another, but most of the interviews have been collected in the same period (October-January).

Following a **panel design**, the respondents of the second wave conducted 2017 were recruited from the same sample interviewed in 2016. However, it was not possible to follow a full panel design for elite survey, because of the difficulties to approach the same politicians over time, as well as the likely changes in the composition of the assemblies over one-year time span.

Moreover, also the participants to the online community E-Voice were recruited from the same sample of the general population interviewed in wave 1. In particular, the pre-deliberation interview was completed by about 105 people per country and a sub-sample of 66 out of them was contacted for joining the community.

Tables 1 and 2, below, provide an overview of the respondents across the two waves and the deliberation surveys, for each targeted sample. Information is given for both the overall interviewed samples and the same samples after cleaning out incomplete or low-quality interviews (see “Filter variables” section 3.2).

Table 1. Panel respondents – all samples

| | B2B | | Mass | | Elite | | All samples | |
|---------------------|-------|---------|--------|---------|-------|---------|-------------|---------|
| | Total | Cleaned | Total | Cleaned | Total | Cleaned | Total | Cleaned |
| Wave 1 - 2016 only | 1,978 | 1,914 | 12,166 | 11,975 | 520 | 373 | 14,664 | 14,262 |
| Wave 2 - 2017 only | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 466 | 325 | 468 | 327 |
| Waves 1 & 2 (Panel) | 1,271 | 1,271 | 11,638 | 11,638 | 176 | 160 | 13,085 | 13,069 |
| N | 3,250 | 3,186 | 23,805 | 23,614 | 1,162 | 858 | 28,217 | 27,658 |

Table 2. Panel respondents and participants to Pre/Post Deliberation surveys (mass only)

| | Mass | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| | Total | Cleaned |
| Wave 1&2 + Pre/Post Deliberation | 684 | 684 |
| Wave 1&2 – No Pre/Post Deliberation | 10,847 | 10,847 |
| Wave 1 + Pre/Post Deliberation | 207 | 205 |
| Wave 1 + Pre- Deliberation | 56 | 55 |
| Wave 1 only | 11,903 | 11,715 |
| Wave 2 only | 1 | 1 |
| Wave 1&2 + Pre- Deliberation | 107 | 107 |
| N | 23,805 | 23,614 |

The questionnaires’ design considered the potentialities of the panel design. On the one hand, in fact, the panel survey allows to investigate changes in opinion over a specific time span; accordingly, almost half of the questions asked in the second wave were the same as those asked in the first wave. On the other hand, by interviewing the same people, it was possible to add new questions, to consider the dramatic changes in the political situation that occurred over the last 10 months, as well as to increase the number of predisposition and background variables available in the dataset.

1.2.2. Universe and response target

The **general population sample** includes all individuals **aged 18 or older** and currently residents in one of the ten surveyed Member States.

The **B2B sample** incorporates **top managers** of all businesses officially registered in one of these ten countries. Interviews were oriented to individuals that have a decision-making role within the company, such as top managers or owners of the company. As a result, only top managers who identified themselves as such in the survey are part of the business elite survey.¹

The **elite survey** targeted politicians selected from members of the lower chambers of **national parliaments**, elected members of **upper chambers**, **members of the European Parliament** and **party leaders** in the countries under investigation. National politicians have been selected using their party affiliation and the party size as quota targets.

To ensure respondents' anonymity, politicians' gender and exact age have been dropped from the public file. The categorical variable for is available for this target group for analyses.

1.2.3. Mode of administration and interviewing process

Mass and B2B surveys were conducted online through Computer Assisted Web Interview (CAWI), with the partial exception of B2B in Greece.

All mass and B2B respondents in the ten countries, as well as general population profile respondents in Greece, were approached through **opt-in online panels**. However, B2B respondents in Greece were approached through telephone interviews, due to limited penetration of online business panels in this country. Irrespective of the data collection mode, the participating respondents were classified by socio-demographic characteristics into quotas to ensure a representative sample.

Except for Greek B2B, respondents were therefore selected from a pool of people who have registered to participate in online surveys and polls conducted by the company Research Now. Since the sample is based on those who initially self-selected for participation in the panel rather than a probability sample, as noted by AAPOR, no estimates of sampling error can be calculated. All sample surveys and polls may be subject to multiple sources of error, including, but not limited to sampling error, coverage error, and measurement error.²The survey company Kantar, based in Brussels, was responsible for the sampling and survey fieldwork.

Politicians have been contacted through their institutional e-mail address/telephone number and invited to complete the questionnaire online (CAWI mode). To this purpose, they have been e-mailed a unique web-link to access the questionnaire. Alternatively, and at their explicit request, they have been contacted either by telephone or e-mail to arrange a convenient time for a face-to-face or telephone interview (CAPI/PAPI, or CATI modes – the latter using both landline and cell phones). CATI and CAPI interviews have been conducted by the senior and junior experts, holding experience in the field, and after a project-specific training. Interviewers have been personally briefed in order to help them administering the questionnaire in the correct way.

¹ Some respondents have been recoded as owners or managers of a company during the data cleaning process. During the fieldwork, they were recorded and conducted through the questionnaire for the general population, asked questions different than those of the business elite sample. Thus, all respondents in the B2B samples are top managers but not all top managers were included in the B2B sample.

² See AAPOR, Education/Resources, For Researchers, 'Opt-in Surveys and Margin of Error', <http://www.aapor.org/Education-Resources/For-Researchers/Poll-Survey-FAQ/Opt-In-Surveys-and-Margin-of-Error.aspx>

The survey has been scripted, hosted, and managed centrally by the Laboratory of Political and Social Analysis at the University of Siena (LAPS). Invitations to participate in the survey have been sent in batches to politicians using a proprietary tool that can send e-mail invitations at designated times. Each e-mail contained a unique URL containing all the login information of each person invited to participate, to ensure a single participation to the survey from every individual of the sample. By using this URL, each respondent directly accessed the questionnaire and started answering questions. If needed, the respondent was allowed to disconnect from the survey and reconnect again to finish answering to the questionnaire. The single identifier within every URL sent allowed this individual approach to the questionnaire administration, while preserving anonymity.

A note on the opt-in panels

Online panels depend on non-probabilistic sampling procedures, in which potential respondents voluntarily sign up to participate in the panel in general and in the survey, which might induce a certain self-selection bias. To limit such bias, a solid sampling frame and an effective sampling procedure were set up in both waves. Simple quotas were designed to ensure that survey results could serve as basis for accurate estimations on the target populations. The quotas for general population were based on the gender of the respondent (male or female), the age cohort (five groups: 18 to 24; 25 to 39; 40 to 54; 55 to 64; and 65 or older), and region (country-specific entities, based upon Eurostat's NUTS2 classification). For business elite respondents, quotas were based on general economic activity sector (manufacture, industry, or services) and company size (four ranges in terms of number of employees). Despite the efforts mentioned above, it is important to note that online panels can sometimes over or under-represent certain segments of the population. More specifically, the younger and the older age brackets are less represented in certain countries, as well as respondents with a lower education level. Weighting was also used to correct potential bias in sampling (see section 11 "Weights").

1.3. The Chapel Hill Expert Surveys

The Euengage Dataset also includes CHES data for party positioning. Values for each variable of CHES datasets have been matched to survey respondents' voting intentions, party identifications (mass and B2B) or party of election (politicians).

For instance, for a respondent expressing the intention to vote for party XY (variable QG2_T1) in wave 1 (T1), there will be a set of CHES variables drawn from CHES 2014 with values corresponding to the positioning of party XY on all the issues included in CHES 2014.

Likewise, party preferences expressed in wave 2 (T4) will correspond to the values of party positioning measured by CHES 2017.

When using CHES data, please cite the following:

Polk, Jonathan, Jan Rovny, Ryan Bakker, Erica Edwards, Liesbet Hooghe, Seth Jolly, Jelle Koedam, Filip Kostelka, Gary Marks, Gijs Schumacher, Marco Steenbergen, Milada Vachudova and Marko Zilovic. 2017. "Explaining the salience of anti-elitism and reducing political corruption for political parties in Europe with the 2014 Chapel Hill Expert Survey data," *Research & Politics* (January-March): 1-9.

To consult the list of CHES variables:

<https://www.chesdata.eu/2014-chapel-hill-expert-survey> (CHES 2014)

<https://www.chesdata.eu/2017-chapel-hill-expert-survey> (CHES 2017)

2. Structure of the codebook

The codebook describes the variables included in the EUENGAGE dataset. Variables have a postfix that indicates the wave in which they were asked: T1 for wave 1 (2016), T2 for Pre-deliberation survey (October 2016), T3 for Post-deliberation survey (October 2016) and T4 for wave 2 (2017). The postfix T1 also indicates CHES data drawn from 2014 wave, which was matched with wave 1 surveys, while T4 indicates CHES 2017 data, which was matched with wave 2 surveys.

For each variable, there is a table indicating the target to which the question was asked.

STATA[®] users are also provided with **notes** for those variables whose labels were truncated due to their length, to see the full label; notes are also added when important information on the structure of the variable were available.

We recommend checking whether notes are attached by typing the command “note VARNAME”.

CHES codebooks can be consulted by accessing the dedicated websites (see above).

For questions, queries, comments on the dataset, please contact: Dr. Linda Basile – basile7@unisi.it or Prof. Pierangelo Isernia – isernia@unisi.it

3. Metadata

3.1. Identifiers, waves, and targets

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------------|------|-----|-------------|---|
| SubsID | X | X | X | Unique identifier |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> [Code] |
| SubsID2 | X | X | X | Unique identifier ³ |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> [Code] |
| respid_T1 | X | X | | Identifiers used in Mass/B2B survey wave 1 (T1) |
| ID_elite_T1 | | | X | Identifiers used in elite survey wave 1 (T1) |
| ID_elite_T4 | | | X | Identifiers used in elite survey wave 2 (T4) |
| respid_T4 | X | X | | Identifiers used in Mass/B2B survey wave 1 (T4) |
| record_T4 | X | X | | Record number |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> [Code] |
| Wave_T1 | X | X | X | Wave 1 - 2016 |
| Wave_T2 | X | | | Pre-online community survey (17-27 Oct 2016) |
| Wave_T3 | X | | | Post-online community survey (17-27 Oct 2016) |
| Wave_T4 | X | X | X | Wave 2 – 2017 |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> 1 [Wave's title] |
| Wave_ALL | X | X | X | Respondents' participation to all waves (Panel) and Online Community |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> 1 Wave1&2+Pre/Post-OC 2 Wave1&2 - Not OC 3 Wave1 + Pre/Post 4 Wave1 + Pre-OC 6 Wave1 only 7 Wave2 only .a CHES2014 .b CHES2017 |

³ This identifier variable also includes an id for CHES 2017 parties that did not match with the list of parties included in QG2 and QG3 (see also below).

| | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|
| Wave_Surveys | Mass X | B2B X | Elites X | Variable label Respondents' participation to survey waves 1 & 2 |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|

Description

Code Value

- 1 Wave 1 2016 - only
- 2 Wave 2 2017 - only
- 3 Waves 1 & 2 (Panel observations)
- .a CHES 2014
- .b CHES 2017

| | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|
| Wave_PANEL | Mass X | B2B X | Elites X | Variable label Dummy variable to identify panel cases |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|

Description

Code Value

- 1 Panel
- 0 Not panel
- .a CHES 2014
- .b CHES 2017

| | | | | |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| evoice_T2 | Mass X | B2B X | Elites | Variable label Respondents' acceptance to participate to the deliberation online in 2016 |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|

Description

Code Value

- 1 Yes
- 2 No

| | | | | |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| evoice_T4 | Mass X | B2B X | Elites | Variable label Respondents to Wave 2 (T4) who participated to deliberation online in 2016 |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|---|

Description

Code Value

- 1 No participation
- 2 Participation

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--|
| Target | Mass X | B2B X | Elites X | Variable label Target of EUENGAGE survey |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--|

Description

Code Value

- 1 Businesspeople
- 2 Mass
- 3 Elite
- .a CHES 2014

| COUNTRY | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| | X | X | X | Respondents' country |

Description

Code

Value

- 1 Czech Republic
- 2 France
- 3 Germany
- 4 Greece
- 5 Italy
- 6 The Netherlands
- 7 Poland
- 8 Portugal
- 9 Spain
- 10 United Kingdom

3.2. Filter variables

These variables are based on completion time (mass/B2B) and percentage of filled questionnaire (elite)

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| w1validgp_T1 | X | | | Eligibility of General Population Respondents for Wave 2 based on interview length |
| w1validbe_T1 | | X | | Eligibility of Business Elite Respondents for Wave 2 based on interview length |

Description

Code

Value⁴

- 0 Not a General Population respondent
- 1 Respondent in final sample
- 2 Respondent in reserve sample
- 3 Respondent cleaned out

⁴These variables are based on qtimeSec_T1, which measures survey's length in seconds. For each country, the median time was calculated (qtimeSec_median_T1) and then multiplied by 0.5 (qtimeSec_median50_T1: 50% of the median time in country) and 0.3 (qtimeSec_median30_T1: 30% of the median time in country). Those people answering in less than 50% of median time in country, but above 30% of the median time, were included in the reserve sample for wave 2, while those answering in less than 30% were cleaned out, as they were considered as speeders). Generally, we recommend filtering observations by excluding at least those cases that have been cleaned out.

It should be noted that, by tabulating this variable with Wave_Surveys or Wave_T4, 33 respondents that were cleaned out in wave 1 have been interviewed in the second wave (mass sample). These cases have a duration time that is close to the critical threshold of 30% median time, which might explain why they have been included in the sample for wave 2. Users can decide to include or remove these cases from the analysis.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| complete_T1 | X | X | | Variable to record complete interviews |

Description

Code Value

1 complete

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| under30marked_T4 | X | X | | Respondents that completed interview in under 30% of median time |
| under50marked_T4 | X | X | | Respondents that completed interview in under 50% of median time |

Description

Code Value

0 OK

1 Under 30/50% completed

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------------------------------|
| Interv_status_T1 | | | X | Interview status (elites W1) |
| Interv_status_T4 | | | X | Interview status (elites W2) |

Description

Code Value

1 100% completed

2 85% completed

3 70% completed

4 Uncompleted (less than 70%)

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| Status_T1 | X | X | X | Interview status - Time length (mass/b2b) + % of completion (elite) |
| Status_T4 | X | X | X | Interview status - Time length (mass/b2b) + % of completion (elite) |

Description

Code Value⁵

1 Completed/qualified

2 Partial

⁵ This variable has been created by combining the filters for the mass/b2b and elite samples. Complete/qualified include interviews completed in more than 50% of country's median time or between 30-50% of median time (mass/b2b). This represents a suggested filter for all samples.

3.3. Time variables

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------|------|-----|--------|---|
| qtimeSec_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_494 TIME STAMP: OVERALL H:M:S (total interview time in seconds) |
| qtimeSec_T2 | X | | | dTIMESTAMPS_494 TIME STAMP: OVERALL H:M:S (total interview time in seconds) |
| qtimeSec_T3 | X | | | dTIMESTAMPS_494 TIME STAMP: OVERALL H:M:S (total interview time in seconds) |
| qtimeSec_T4 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_494 TIME STAMP: OVERALL H:M:S (total interview time in seconds) |
| qtimeMin_T4 | X | X | | qtimeMin: Total Interview Time in Minutes |

Description

Code

Value

[numeric values – seconds/minutes]

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| interview_start_T1 | X | X | | Date in which the interview started (Mass/BtoB) - yyyyymmdd format |
| interview_end_T1 | X | X | | Date in which the interview started (Mass/BtoB) - yyyyymmdd format |

Description

Code

Value

[yyyyymmdd]

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------|------|-----|--------|-------------------|
| Day_T1 | X | X | | Day of the week |
| Month_T1 | X | X | | Month of the year |
| Year_T1 | X | X | | Year |
| Week_T1 | X | X | | Week of the year |

Description

Code

Value

[value]

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| DateCapture_T1 | X | X | | Date - day,m,d yyyy (captures starting date) |

Description

Code

Code

Value

[Date of week, month d yyyy]

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------------|------|-----|--------|---|
| QTargetDate_T1 | X | X | | Quota question to distribute samples to half - before and after referendum on Brexit ⁶ |

Description

Code *Value*⁷

- 1 On/Before 24th June
- 2 After 24th June

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------------------|------|-----|--------|--------------------------------|
| interview_start_T4 | X | X | | start_date: Survey start time |
| interview_end_T4 | X | X | | date: Completion time and date |

Description

Code *Value*

[mm/dd/yyyy hh:35]

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------------|------|-----|--------|---|
| Start_date_T1 | | | X | Interview: start date (political elites W1) |
| End_date_T1 | | | X | Interview: end date (political elites W1) |
| Start_date_T4 | | | X | Interview: start date (political elites W2) |
| End_date_T4 | | | X | Interview: end date (political elites W2) |

Description

Code *Value*

[yyyy-mm – dd hh:mm]

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------------------|------|-----|--------|---|
| qtimeSec_median_T1 | X | X | | Medium time per country per target (b2b/b2c) - in seconds |
| qtimeMin_median_T4 | X | X | | Medium time per country per target (b2b/b2c) |
| qtimeSec_median30_T1 | X | X | | Medium time*0.3 |
| qtimeMin_median30_T4 | X | X | | Medium time * 0.3 |
| qtimeMin_median50_T1 | X | X | | Medium time*0.5 |
| qtimeMin_median50_T4 | X | X | | Medium time * 0.5 |

Description

Code *Value*

[numeric values]

⁶ The first wave of the survey (Mass/B2B) was conducted during the Brexit referendum: half of the sample was interviewed before the referendum, half was interviewed afterwards.

⁷ These variables apply the same logic as w1validgp/be_T1 of wave 1. We recommend filtering observations according to under50marked_T4, which excludes those filling the survey in less than 50% of the median time per country (0=OK). The value of 0=OK in under30marked_T4 identifies people responding in less than 50% but more than 30% of the median time. There are no speeders (people responding in less than 30% of the median time) in wave 2.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| TIMESTAMPS_1_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_1 (TIME STAMP: QG8 START) |
| TIMESTAMPS_2_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_2 (TIME STAMP: QG8 END) |
| TIMESTAMPS_4_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_4 (TIME STAMP: QG8 H:M:S) |
| TIMESTAMPS_6_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_6 (TIME STAMP: Screener START) |
| TIMESTAMPS_7_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_7 (TIME STAMP: Screener END) |
| TIMESTAMPS_9_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_9 (TIME STAMP: Screener H:M:S) |
| TIMESTAMPS_11_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_11 (TIME STAMP: Section A START) |
| TIMESTAMPS_12_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_12 (TIME STAMP: Section A END) |
| TIMESTAMPS_14_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_14 (TIME STAMP: Section A H:M:S) |
| TIMESTAMPS_16_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_16 (TIME STAMP: Section B START) |
| TIMESTAMPS_17_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_17 (TIME STAMP: Section B END) |
| TIMESTAMPS_19_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_19 (TIME STAMP: Section B H:M:S) |
| TIMESTAMPS_21_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_21 (TIME STAMP: Section C START) |
| TIMESTAMPS_22_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_22 (TIME STAMP: Section C END) |
| TIMESTAMPS_24_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_24 (TIME STAMP: Section C H:M:S) |
| TIMESTAMPS_26_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_26 (TIME STAMP: Section D START) |
| TIMESTAMPS_27_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_27 (TIME STAMP: Section D END) |
| TIMESTAMPS_29_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_29 (TIME STAMP: Section D H:M:S) |
| TIMESTAMPS_31_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_31 (TIME STAMP: Section E START) |
| TIMESTAMPS_32_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_32 (TIME STAMP: Section E END) |
| TIMESTAMPS_34_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_34 (TIME STAMP: Section E H:M:S) |
| TIMESTAMPS_36_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_36 (TIME STAMP: Section BRE START) |
| TIMESTAMPS_37_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_37 (TIME STAMP: Section BRE END) |
| TIMESTAMPS_39_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_39 (TIME STAMP: Section BRE H:M:S) |
| TIMESTAMPS_41_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_41 (TIME STAMP: Section F START) |
| TIMESTAMPS_42_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_42 (TIME STAMP: Section F END) |
| TIMESTAMPS_44_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_44 (TIME STAMP: Section F H:M:S) |
| TIMESTAMPS_46_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_46 (TIME STAMP: Section G START) |
| TIMESTAMPS_47_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_47 (TIME STAMP: Section G END) |
| TIMESTAMP_49_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_49 (TIME STAMP: Section G H:M:S) |
| TIMESTAMPS_51_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_51 (TIME STAMP: Section H START) |
| TIMESTAMPS_52_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_52 (TIME STAMP: Section H END) |
| TIMESTAMPS_54_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_54 (TIME STAMP: Section H H:M:S) |
| TIMESTAMP_91_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_491 (TIME STAMP: OVERALL START) |
| TIMESTAMP_92_T1 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS_492 (TIME STAMP: OVERALL END) |
| TIMESTAMPS_1_T2 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS.1 TIME STAMP: QR1 START |
| TIMESTAMPS_2_T2 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS.2 TIME STAMP: QR1 END |
| TIMESTAMPS_4_T2 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS.4 TIME STAMP: QR1 H:M:S |
| TIMESTAMPS_6_T2 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS.6 TIME STAMP: QR2 START |
| TIMESTAMPS_7_T2 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS.7 TIME STAMP: QR2 END |
| TIMESTAMPS_9_T2 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS.9 TIME STAMP: QR2 H:M:S |
| TIMESTAMPS_11_T2 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS.11 TIME STAMP: QR3 START |
| TIMESTAMPS_12_T2 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS.12 TIME STAMP: QR3 END |
| TIMESTAMPS_14_T2 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS.14 TIME STAMP: QR3 H:M:S |
| TIMESTAMPS_16_T2 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS.16 TIME STAMP: QR4 START |
| TIMESTAMPS_17_T2 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS.17 TIME STAMP: QR4 END |
| TIMESTAMPS_19_T2 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS.19 TIME STAMP: QR4 H:M:S |
| TIMESTAMPS_21_T2 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS.21 TIME STAMP: QR5 START |
| TIMESTAMPS_22_T2 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS.22 TIME STAMP: QR5 END |
| TIMESTAMPS_24_T2 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS.24 TIME STAMP: QR5 H:M:S |
| TIMESTAMP_91_T2 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS.491 TIME STAMP: OVERALL START |
| TIMESTAMP_92_T2 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS.492 TIME STAMP: OVERALL END |
| TIMESTAMPS_1_T3 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS.1 TIME STAMP: QR1 START |
| TIMESTAMPS_2_T3 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS.2 TIME STAMP: QR1 END |
| TIMESTAMPS_4_T3 | X | X | | dTIMESTAMPS.4 TIME STAMP: QR1 H:M:S |

| | | | |
|------------------|---|---|--|
| TIMESTAMPS_6_T3 | X | X | dTIMESTAMPS.6 TIME STAMP: QR2 START |
| TIMESTAMPS_7_T3 | X | X | dTIMESTAMPS.7 TIME STAMP: QR2 END |
| TIMESTAMPS_9_T3 | X | X | dTIMESTAMPS.9 TIME STAMP: QR2 H:M:S |
| TIMESTAMPS_11_T3 | X | X | dTIMESTAMPS.11 TIME STAMP: QR3 START |
| TIMESTAMPS_12_T3 | X | X | dTIMESTAMPS.12 TIME STAMP: QR3 END |
| TIMESTAMPS_14_T3 | X | X | dTIMESTAMPS.14 TIME STAMP: QR3 H:M:S |
| TIMESTAMPS_16_T3 | X | X | dTIMESTAMPS.16 TIME STAMP: QR4 START |
| TIMESTAMPS_17_T3 | X | X | dTIMESTAMPS.17 TIME STAMP: QR4 END |
| TIMESTAMPS_19_T3 | X | X | dTIMESTAMPS.19 TIME STAMP: QR4 H:M:S |
| TIMESTAMPS_21_T3 | X | X | dTIMESTAMPS.21 TIME STAMP: QR5 START |
| TIMESTAMPS_22_T3 | X | X | dTIMESTAMPS.22 TIME STAMP: QR5 END |
| TIMESTAMPS_24_T3 | X | X | dTIMESTAMPS.24 TIME STAMP: QR5 H:M:S |
| TIMESTAMP_91_T3 | X | X | dTIMESTAMPS.491 TIME STAMP: OVERALL START |
| TIMESTAMP_92_T3 | X | X | dTIMESTAMPS.492 TIME STAMP: OVERALL END |
| TIMESTAMPS_T4 | X | X | VAR_TIME_Ar1: VAR_TIME (MainQGENDER to dEMPLOYEES) |
| TIMESTAMPS_1_T4 | X | X | VAR_TIME_A1r1: VAR_TIME (QA1_T4) |
| TIMESTAMPS_2_T4 | X | X | VAR_TIME_A2r1: VAR_TIME (QE1_T4 to QE18_T4) |
| TIMESTAMPS_3_T4 | X | X | VAR_TIME_A3r1: VAR_TIME (BRE2a_T4 to BRE7_T4) |
| TIMESTAMPS_4_T4 | X | X | VAR_TIME_A4r1: VAR_TIME (QUS1_T4 to QG3_996_T4) |
| TIMESTAMPS_5_T4 | X | X | VAR_TIME_A5r1: VAR_TIME (QB1_1_1_T4 to QB5_2_T4) |
| TIMESTAMPS_6_T4 | X | X | VAR_TIME_A6r1: VAR_TIME (QC1_1_1_T4 to QC2_3UK_2_T4) |
| TIMESTAMPS_7_T4 | X | X | VAR_TIME_A7r1: VAR_TIME (QD1_1_T4 to QD4_UK_T4) |
| TIMESTAMPS_8_T4 | X | X | VAR_TIME_A8r1: VAR_TIME (QF7a_T4 to QF11UK_T4) |
| TIMESTAMPS_11_T4 | X | X | VAR_TIME_A11r1: VAR_TIME (QG1_T4 to QG20_T4) |
| TIMESTAMPS_12_T4 | X | X | VAR_TIME_A12r1: VAR_TIME (QG8_1_T4) |
| TIMESTAMPS_9_T4 | X | X | VAR_TIME_A9r1: VAR_TIME (QG8_2_T4 to QL2_T4) |
| TIMESTAMPS_10_T4 | X | X | VAR_TIME_A10r1: VAR_TIME ((H6_1_T4 to QG13_T4) |

Description

Code

Value

[dates (DOW Mon dd hh:mm) & numeric values]⁸

⁸ Time stamps were used to track the time employed by respondents to answer specific sections or questions. They might be used, for example, to track the time employed to answer to knowledge questions. Please note that the labels of TIMESTAMPS variables contain the old variable names, as they appeared in the original scripting. Please check with the variable name the corresponding variable.

4. Section A: Concern and issue salience

(Header)

To what extent are you concerned or not about each of the following issues?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QA1_1_T1 | X | X | | The current European economic crisis |
| QA1_2_T1 | X | X | | The current flow of immigrants to Europe |
| QA1_3a_T1 | X | X | | The current international political situation in the Mediterranean - SPLIT A |
| QA1_3b_T1 | X | X | | The current international political situation in the Ukraine - SPLIT B |
| QA1_1_T2 | X | | | The current European economic crisis |
| QA1_2_T2 | X | | | The current flow of immigrants to Europe |
| QA1_3a_T2 | X | | | The current international political situation in the Mediterranean - SPLIT A |
| QA1_3b_T2 | X | | | The current international political situation in the Ukraine - SPLIT B |
| QA1_1_T3 | X | | | The current European economic crisis |
| QA1_2_T3 | X | | | The current flow of immigrants to Europe |
| QA1_3a_T3 | X | | | The current international political situation in the Mediterranean - SPLIT A |
| QA1_3b_T3 | X | | | The current international political situation in the Ukraine - SPLIT B |
| QA1_1_T4 | X | X | | The current European economic crisis |
| QA1_2_T4 | X | X | | The current flow of immigrants to Europe |
| QA1_3a_T4 | X | X | | The current international political situation in the Mediterranean - SPLIT A |
| QA1_3b_T4 | X | X | | The current international political situation in the Ukraine - SPLIT B |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Very concerned
- 2 Somewhat concerned
- 3 Not very concerned
- 4 Not concerned at all
- 98 *Don't know*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| SPLIT_QA1_3a_b_T1 | X | X | | SPLIT_QA1_3a_b - Split for questions QA1_3a and QA1_3b |
| SPLIT_QA1_3a_b_T2 | X | | | SPLIT_QA1_3a_b - Split for questions QA1_3a and QA1_3b |
| SPLIT_QA1_3a_b_T3 | X | | | SPLIT_QA1_3a_b - Split for questions QA1_3a and QA1_3b |
| SPLIT_QA1_3a_b_T4 | X | X | | SPLIT_QA1_3a_b - Split for questions QA1_3a and QA1_3b |

Description

Code

Value

- 1 QA1_3a
- 2 QA1_3b

5. Sections B-C-D-E: Policy areas & Europe

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| Rotation_BCD_T1 | X | X | | Rotation of sections BCD with section E ⁹ |
| Rotation_E_T1 | X | X | | Rotation of section E with sections BCD |

Description

Code

Value

- 1 Section(s) BCD [or E] asked first
- 2 Section(s) E [or BCD] asked first

5.1. Section B: Economy

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| Rotation_SECTION_B_T1 | X | X | | Section B - Random order of sections B/C/D |

Description

Code

Value

- 1 Section B asked first
- 2 Section B asked second
- 3 Section B asked third

⁹ In Wave 1, half of the sample received section E (Europe) after sections BCD, the other half received E before BCD. In Wave 2, sections BCD were asked after sections E, BRE, US

Policy alternatives

Note: In Wave 2 (T4), Mass and B2B respondents received the question QG3 (Which party do you feel closest to?) before the sections B, C, D.

Then, they received the information about the position expressed by politicians of the party people feel closest to. They were informed data referred to: "Position expressed by politicians interviewed in the first wave of the EUENGAGE survey, (T1)¹⁰. When people answered "Don't know" to QG3 or mentioned a party on which there were no information available, they received the "average position expressed by COUNTRY's politicians", as emerged from elites' answers in Wave 1 (T1).

The elite sample, on the other hand, received the average position expressed by respondents interviewed in their country in the first wave of the EUENGAGE survey, conducted in June-July 2016 (T1).

Variables used to provide this information to Mass/B2B sample are those indicated labeled as "hPartyVal."

(Header)

The recent world economic crisis has produced high unemployment and low economic growth in a number of countries. Different policies have been suggested and we would like to know your view. For each of the following policy alternatives, please position yourself on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means that you fully support the policy on the left and 10 means that you fully support the policy on the right. If your views are somewhere in between, you can choose any number that best describes your position.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| hPartyVal_B_1_T4 | X | X | | Information on party/average politicians' position |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | | Based on median values of QB1_1_T1 (Target= 3. Elites – Status=1) |
| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
| QB1_1_T1 | X | X | X | QB1_1. Pursuing a policy to balance the budget in the coming years vs. Increasing public investments |
| QB1_1_T4 | X | X | X | QB1P_1. Pursuing a policy to balance the budget in the coming years vs. Increasing public investments |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | 0 | Pursuing a policy to balance the budget in the coming years |
| | | | ... | ... |
| | | | 10 | Increasing public investments |
| | | | 98 | Don't know |

¹⁰ We calculated median scores, based on the answers of elites when more than 70% of the questionnaire was completed (variable Status_T1=1).

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label¹¹ |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QB1_1_1_T1 | X | X | X | Would you support "pursuing a policy to balance the budget" even if it means cutting public services? [Half split] |
| QB1_1_2_T1 | X | X | X | Would you support "increasing public investments" even if it means increasing the public debt of the country involved? [Half split] |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 98 Don't know

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| SPLIT_QB1_1_T1 | X | X | | Random split of those answering 5 to QB1_1 for questions QB1_1_1 and QB1_1_2 |
| SPLIT_QB1_1_ELITE_T1 | | | X | Random split of those answering 5 to QB1_1 for questions QB1_1_1 and QB1_1_2 |

Description

Code Value

- 1 QB1_1_1
- 2 QB1_1_2

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| hPartyVal_B_2_T4 | X | X | | Information on party/average politicians' position |

Description

Code Value

Based on median values of QB1_2_T1 (Target= 3. Elites – Status=1)

¹¹ QB1_1_1 was asked if answered 0 to 4 to QB1_1_T1; those who responded 5, were randomly assigned to either QB1_1_1 or QB1_1_2. The split variables below can be used to track the random assignment. The same applies to QB1_2_T1 and QB1_3_T1.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QB1_2_T1 | X | X | X | Giving the European Union more authority over Member States' economic and budgetary policies vs. Retaining full powers for economic decision-making in each Member State. |
| QB1_2_T2 | X | | | Giving the European Union more authority over Member States' economic and budgetary policies vs. Retaining full powers for economic decision-making in each Member State. |
| QB1_2_T3 | X | | | Giving the European Union more authority over Member States' economic and budgetary policies vs. Retaining full powers for economic decision-making in each Member State. |
| QB1_1_T4 | X | X | X | Giving the European Union more authority over Member States' economic and budgetary policies vs. Retaining full powers for economic decision-making in each Member State. |

Description

Code Value

| | |
|-----|--|
| 0 | Giving the European Union more authority over Member States' economic and budgetary policies |
| ... | |
| 10 | Retaining full powers for economic decision-making in each Member State. |
| 98 | Don't know |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QB1_2_1_T1 | X | X | X | Would you support "giving the European Union more authority over Member States' economic and budgetary policies" even if it means a significant reduction in the powers of national governments? [Half split] |
| QB1_2_2_T1 | X | X | X | Would you support "retaining full powers for economic decision making in each Member State" even if it means that [COUNTRY] might have a slower economic recovery? [Half split] |

Description

Code Value

| | |
|----|------------|
| 1 | Yes |
| 2 | No |
| 98 | Don't know |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| SPLIT_QB1_2_T1 | X | X | | Random split of those answering 5 to QB1_2 for questions QB1_2_1 and QB1_2_2 |
| SPLIT_QB1_2_ELITE_T1 | | | X | Random split of those answering 5 to QB1_2 for questions QB1_2_1 and QB1_2_2 |

Description

Code Value

| | |
|---|---------|
| 1 | QB1_2_1 |
| 2 | QB1_2_2 |

| | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|
| QB1_2aUK_T4 | Mass X | B2B X | Elites X | Variable label As you know, the present British relationship with the EU is under discussion as a consequence of the Brexit. When answering this question, were you thinking of all the EU member states including the UK or of the other EU member states excluding the UK? [UK only] |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|

Description

Code Value

- 1 I was thinking of all the EU Member States, including the UK
- 2 I was thinking of the other EU Member States, excluding the UK

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| hPartyVal_B_3_T4 | Mass X | B2B X | Elites | Variable label Information on party/average politicians' position |
|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|---|

Description

Code Value

Based on median values of QB1_3_T1 (Target= 3. Elites – Status=1)

| | | | | |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| QB1_3_T1 | Mass X | B2B X | Elite X | Variable label Each country should rely on its own resources to fix its economic problems vs. The European Union's countries should pool resources to fix economic problems. |
| QB1_3_T4 | X | X | X | Each country should rely on its own resources to fix its economic problems vs. The European Union's countries should pool resources to fix economic problems. |

Description

Code Value

- 0 Each country should rely on its own resources to fix its economic problems
- ...
- 10 The European Union's countries should pool resources to fix economic problems.
- 98 *Don't know*

| | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--|
| QB1_3_1_T1 | Mass X | B2B X | Elites X | Variable label Would you agree that "each country should rely on its own resources to fix its economic problems" even if it means that [COUNTRY] would be unable to count on the help of other, richer EU members when needed? [Half split] |
| QB1_3_2_T1 | X | X | X | Would you agree that "the European Union's countries should pool resources to fix economic problems" even if it means that all the Member States pay for the wrong policies of other Member States? [Half split] |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 98 *Don't know*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| SPLIT_QB1_3_T1 | X | X | | Random split of those answering 5 to QB1_1 for questions QB1_3_1 and QB1_3_2 |
| SPLIT_QB1_3_ELITE_T1 | | | X | Random split of those answering 5 to QB1_1 for questions QB1_3_1 and QB1_3_2 |

Description

Code Value

- 1 QB1_3_1
- 2 QB1_3_2

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QB1_3aUK_T4 | X | X | X | As you know, the present British relationship with the EU is under discussion as a consequence of the Brexit. When answering this question, were you thinking of all the EU member states including the UK or of the other EU member states excluding the UK? [UK only] |

Description

Code Value

- 1 I was thinking of all the EU Member States, including the UK
- 2 I was thinking of the other EU Member States, excluding the UK

(Header)

*Think now of {the party you feel closest to (Masses/B2B)}/the majority of public opinion in [COUNTRY] (Political elites)}. Where would you position it on the same issues?
[If you don't feel close to any party, then skip the question (Masses/B2B)].*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------|------|-----|--------|---|
| QB2_1_T1 | X | X | X | Pursuing a policy to balance the budget in the coming years vs. Increasing public investments |
| QB2_1_T4 | X | X | X | Pursuing a policy to balance the budget in the coming years vs. Increasing public investments |

Description

Code Value

- 0 Pursuing a policy to balance the budget in the coming years
- ...
- 10 Increasing public investments
- 98 Don't know
- 99 I don't feel close enough to any party [Mass/B2B] – in T1

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label¹² |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QB2_1_97_T4 | X | X | | Answer 97 - Pursuing a policy to balance the budget in the coming years vs. Increasing public investments ... |
| QB2_1_98_T4 | X | X | | Answer 98 - Don't know - Pursuing a policy to balance the budget in the coming years ... |
| QB2_1_99_T4 | X | X | | Answer 99 - I don't feel close enough to any party - Pursuing a policy to balance the budget in the coming years ... |

Description

Code Value

- 0 Not selected
- 1 Selected

| | Mass | B2B | Elite | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|--------------|--|
| QB2_2_T1 | X | X | X | Giving the European Union more authority over Member States' economic and budgetary policies vs. Retaining full powers for economic decision-making in each Member State |
| QB2_2_T4 | X | X | X | Giving the European Union more authority over Member States' economic and budgetary policies vs. Retaining full powers for economic decision-making in each Member State |

Description

Code Value

- 0 Giving the European Union more authority over Member States' economic and budgetary policies
- ...
- 10 Retaining full powers for economic decision-making in each Member State
- 98 *Don't know*
- 99 *I don't feel close enough to any party [Mass/B2B] – in T1*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label¹³ |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QB2_2_97_T4 | X | X | | Answer 97 - Giving the European Union more authority over Member States' economic and budgetary policies ... |
| QB2_2_98_T4 | X | X | | Answer 98 - Don't know - Giving the European Union more authority over Member States' economic and budgetary policies ... |
| QB2_2_99_T4 | X | X | | Answer 99 - I don't feel close enough to any party - Giving the European Union more authority over Member States' economic and budgetary policies ... |

Description

Code Value

- 0 Not selected
- 1 Selected

¹² We recommend combining these variables to QB2_1_T4 to calculate percentages by including values of DKs and “Don't feel close” respondents.

¹³ We recommend combining these variables to QB2_2_T4 to calculate percentages by including values of DKs and “Don't feel close” respondents.

| | Mass | B2B | Elite | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|--------------|--|
| QB2_3_T1 | X | X | X | Each country should rely on its own resources to fix its economic problems vs. The European Union's countries should pool resources to fix economic problems |
| QB2_3_T4 | X | X | X | Each country should rely on its own resources to fix its economic problems vs. The European Union's countries should pool resources to fix economic problems |

Description

Code Value

- 0 Each country should rely on its own resources to fix its economic problems
- ...
- 10 The European Union's countries should pool resources to fix economic problems
- 98 *Don't know*
- 99 *I don't feel close enough to any party [Mass/B2B] – in T1*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label¹⁴ |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QB2_3_97_T4 | X | X | | Answer 97 - Each country should rely on its own resources to fix its economic problems ... |
| QB2_3_98_T4 | X | X | | Answer 98 Don't know - Each country should rely on its own resources to fix its economic problems ... |
| QB2_3_99_T4 | X | X | | Answer 99 I don't feel close enough to any party - Each country should rely on its own resources to fix its economic problems ... |

Description

Code Value

- 0 Not selected
- 1 Selected

¹⁴ We recommend combining these variables to QB2_3_T4 to calculate percentages by including values of DKs and “Don't feel close” respondents.

Note: In both Waves 1 (T1) and 2 (T4), half of the sample received the questions for policy alternatives according to a **linear order**, the other half received a **random order**. **Linear order** means that respondents received first all the questions of the QB1 series (personal position) and then the QB2 series; **Random order** means that respondents received in sequence, for each policy alternative, the two questions on personal and party position. The elite questionnaire followed just random order.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| Order_QB1_QB2_T1 | X | X | | Random or Linear order for Items QB1_1 through QB1_3 and QB2_1 |
| Order_QB1_QB2_T4 | X | X | | Linear or random order QB1_2P |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | 1 | Linear order: receive QB1_1, QB1_2, QB1_3//QB2_1, QB2_2, QB2_3 |
| | | | 2 | Random order: receive QB1_1-QB2_1// QB1_2-QB2_2; QB1_3-QB2_3 |

Besides linear or random order, the order of policy alternatives rotated, too.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| Order_QB1_1_T1 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QB1_1 first |
| Order_QB1_1_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QB1_1 first |
| Order_QB1_2_T1 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QB1_2 first |
| Order_QB1_2_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QB1_2 first |
| Order_QB1_3_T1 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QB1_2 first |
| Order_QB1_3_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QB1_3 first |
| Order_QB2_1_T1 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QB2_1 first |
| Order_QB2_1_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QB2_1 first |
| Order_QB2_2_T1 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QB2_2 first |
| Order_QB2_2_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QB2_2 first |
| Order_QB2_3_T1 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QB2_2 first |
| Order_QB2_3_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QB2_3 first |
| Order_QB_Item_1_T1 | X | X | | Random order: respondents receiving QB1_1 and QB2_1 first |
| Order_QB_Item1_T4 | X | X | | Random order: respondents receiving QB1_2 and QB2_2 first |
| Order_QB_Item_2_T1 | X | X | | Random order: respondents receiving QB1_2 and QB2_2 first |
| Order_QB_Item2_T4 | X | X | | Random order: respondents receiving QB1_2 and QB2_2 first |
| Order_QB_Item_3_T1 | X | X | | Random order: respondents receiving QB1_3 and QB2_3 first |
| Order_QB_Item3_T4 | X | X | | Random order: respondents receiving QB1_3 and QB2_3 first |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | 1 | 1 st position |
| | | | 2 | 2 nd position |
| | | | 3 | 3 rd position |

| Order_QB_ELITE_T1 | Mass | B2B | Elite | Variable label |
|-------------------|------|-----|-------|--|
| | X | X | | Section B – Random order of questions in section B - ELITE |

Description

Code Value

| | |
|---|---------------------------|
| 1 | B1_1B1_2B1_3/B2_1B2_2B2_3 |
| 2 | B1_1B1_3B1_2/B2_1B2_3B2_2 |
| 3 | B1_2B1_1B1_3/B2_2B2_1B2_3 |
| 4 | B1_2B1_3B1_1/B2_2B2_3B2_1 |
| 5 | B1_3B1_1B1_2/B2_3B2_1B2_2 |
| 6 | B1_3B1_2B1_1/B2_3B2_2B2_1 |

Measures to tackle economic crisis

(Header)

A range of measures to tackle the current economic and financial crisis are being discussed. To what extent are you in favour of or opposed to each of the following measures?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------|------|-----|--------|---|
| QB3_1_T1 | | | X | Stronger economic and financial coordination among EU Member States |
| QB3_1_T4 | | X | X | Stronger economic and financial coordination among EU Member States [Not asked in UK] |
| QB3_1UK_T4 | | X | X | Stronger economic and financial coordination between the UK and the EU Member States [UK only] |
| QB3_2_T1 | | | X | A stronger European investment fund to sustain the economy |
| QB3_3_T1 | | | X | A stronger European investment fund to sustain the economy |
| QB3_3_T4 | | X | X | A stronger European investment fund to sustain the economy |
| QB3_4_T1 | | | X | Creating a budget for the euro area financed by direct European taxes and which could be used to help countries in difficulty |
| QB3_4_T4 | | X | X | Creating a budget for the euro area, financed by direct European taxes |
| QB3_5_T4 | | | X | A finance minister for the Eurozone with significant powers to control national budgetary processes |
| QB3_5_T4 | | | X | A finance minister for the Eurozone with significant powers to support investments with European money |

Description

Code Value

| | |
|----|-----------------------|
| 1 | Very favourable |
| 2 | Somewhat favourable |
| 3 | Somewhat unfavourable |
| 4 | Not at all favourable |
| 98 | Don't know |

Blaming

(Header)

Different actors have been considered responsible for the high levels of unemployment and the lack of economic growth in a number of countries of the European Union (EU). How responsible do you think each of them are on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means they are not responsible at all and 10 that they are fully responsible for the present economic crisis. If your views are somewhere in between, you can choose any number that best describes your position.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QB4_1_T1 | X | X | X | The institutions of the European Union |
| QB4_1_T4 | | | X | The institutions of the European Union |
| QB4_2_T1 | X | X | X | The richest countries of the European Union |
| QB4_2_T4 | | | X | The richest countries of the European Union |
| QB4_3_T1 | X | X | X | The countries of the European Union that are in economic crisis |
| QB4_3_T4 | | | X | The countries of the European Union that are in economic crisis |

Description

Code Value

| | |
|-----|------------------------|
| 0 | Not responsible at all |
| ... | |
| 10 | Fully responsible |
| 98 | Don't know |

Solidarity (non-experimental questions)

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QB5_T1 | | | X | The economic crisis has weakened the economy of most of the European countries. Given this, to what extent would you support (COUNTRY) giving financial help to another <u>EU Member State</u> in severe economic and financial difficulties? |
| QB5_T4 | X | X | X | The economic crisis has weakened the economy of most of the European countries. Given this, to what extent would you support (COUNTRY) giving financial help to another <u>EU Member State</u> in severe economic and financial difficulties? [Not asked in UK] |
| QB5UK_T4 | X | X | X | The economic crisis has weakened the economy of most of the European countries. Given this, to what extent would you support (COUNTRY) giving financial help to another <u>European country</u> in severe economic and financial difficulties? [UK only] |

Description

Code Value

| | |
|----|------------------|
| 1 | Totally support |
| 2 | Somewhat support |
| 3 | Somewhat oppose |
| 4 | Totally oppose |
| 98 | Don't know |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------|------|-----|--------|---|
| QB5_1_T1 | | | X | Even if this involves sacrifices for the [NATIONALITY] population? [Asked if answered 1, 2 (support) to QB5 or QB5UK] |
| QB5_1_T4 | X | X | X | QB5P_1. Even if this involves sacrifices for the [NATIONALITY] population? |
| QB5_2_T1 | | | X | Even if the lack of help might have negative consequences on the whole European economy? [Asked if answered 3,4 (not support) to QB5 or QB5UK] |
| QB5_2_T4 | X | X | X | Even if the lack of help might have negative consequences on the whole European economy? [Asked if answered 3,4 (not support) to QB5 or QB5UK] |

Description

| <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|-------------|--------------|
| 1 | Yes |
| 2 | No |
| 98 | Don't know |

5.2. Section C: Immigration

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| Rotation_SECTION_C_T1 | X | X | | Section C - Random order of sections B/C/D |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Section C asked first
- 2 Section C asked second
- 3 Section C asked third

Policy alternatives

Note: In Wave 2 (T4), Mass and B2B respondents received the question QG3 (Which party do you feel closest to?) before the sections B, C, D.

Then, they received the information about the position expressed by politicians of the party people feel closest to. They were informed data referred to: "Position expressed by politicians interviewed in the first wave of the EUENGAGE survey, (T1). When people answered "Don't know" to QG3 or mentioned a party on which there were no information available, they re-ceived the "average position expressed by COUNTRY's politicians", as emerged from elites' answers in Wave 1 (T1).

The elite sample, on the other hand, received the average position expressed by respondents interviewed in their country in the first wave of the EUENGAGE survey, conducted in June-July 2016 (T1).

Variables used to provide this information to Mass/B2B sample are those indicated labeled as "hPartyVal."

(Header)

In recent years, several EU countries have been facing extensive immigration from non-EU countries. Different policies have been suggested and we would like to know your view. For each of the following policy alternatives, please position yourself on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means that you fully support the policy on the left and 10 means that you fully support the policy on the right. If your views are somewhere in between, you can choose any number that best describes your position.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------------------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| hPartyVal_C_1_T4 ¹⁵ | X | X | | Information on party/average politicians' position |

Description

Code Value

Based on median values of QC1_1_T1 (Target= 3. Elites – Status=1)

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QC1_1_T1 | X | X | X | [COUNTRY] should decide for itself how many immigrants to accept each year vs. The European Union should decide how many immigrants should be accepted by each Member State each year. |
| QC1_1_T2 | X | | | [COUNTRY] should decide for itself how many immigrants to accept each year vs. The European Union should decide how many immigrants should be accepted by each Member State each year. |
| QC1_1_T3 | X | | | [COUNTRY] should decide for itself how many immigrants to accept each year vs. The European Union should decide how many immigrants should be accepted by each Member State each year. |

¹⁵ See note for variable hPartyVal_B_1_T4

| | | | | |
|------------|---|---|---|---|
| QC1_1_T4 | X | X | X | [COUNTRY] should decide for itself how many immigrants to accept each year vs. The European Union should decide how many immigrants should be accepted by each Member State each year [Not asked in the UK]. |
| QC1_1UK_T4 | X | X | X | The UK should decide for itself how many immigrants to accept each year vs. The UK should share with the European Union the decision on how many immigrants should be accepted each year [UK only]. |

Description

Code

Value

- 0 [COUNTRY] should decide for itself how many immigrants to accept each year/ The UK should decide for itself how many immigrants to accept each year
- ...
- 10 The European Union should decide how many immigrants should be accepted by each Member State each year/ The UK should share with the European Union the decision on how many immigrants should be accepted each year
- 98 *Don't know*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label¹⁶ |
|------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QC1_1_1_T1 | X | X | X | Would you agree that "[COUNTRY] should decide for itself how many immigrants to accept each year" even if it means that [COUNTRY] might not be able to count on the solidarity of other European countries? [Half split] |
| QC1_1_2_T1 | X | X | X | Would you agree that "the European Union should decide how many immigrants should be accepted by each Member State, each year" even if it means sometimes having to accept decisions [COUNTRY] does not agree with? [Half split] |

Description

Code

Value

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 98 *Don't know*

¹⁶ QC1_1_1 was asked if answered 0 to 4 to QC1_1_T1; those who responded 5, were randomly assigned to either QC1_1_1 or QC1_1_2. The split variables below can be used to track the random assignment. The same applies to QC1_2_T1 and QC1_3_T1.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| SPLIT_QC1_1_T1 | X | X | | Random split of those answering 5 to QC1_1 for questions QC1_1_1 and QC1_1_2 |
| SPLIT_QC1_1_ELITE_T1 | | | X | Random split of those answering 5 to QC1_1 for questions QC1_1_1 and QC1_1_2 |

Description

Code Value

- 1 QC1_1_1
- 2 QC1_1_2

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| hPartyVal_C_2_T4 | X | X | | Information on party/average politicians' position |

Description

Code Value

Based on median values of QC1_2_T1 (Target= 3. Elites – Status=1)

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label¹⁷ |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QC1_2_T1 | X | X | X | The costs of providing asylum should be shared among all the European Union's Member States vs. Each country should bear the costs depending on how many asylum seekers it receives. |
| QC1_2_T4 | X | X | X | The costs of providing asylum should be shared among <u>all the European Union's Member States</u> vs. Each country should bear the costs depending on how many asylum seekers it receives [Not asked in the UK] . |
| QC1_2UK_T4 | X | X | X | The costs of providing asylum should be shared between <u>the European Union's Member States and the UK</u> vs. Each country should bear the costs depending on how many asylum seekers it receives [UK only] . |

Description

Code Value

- 0 The costs of providing asylum should be shared among all the European Union's Member States/ The costs of providing asylum should be shared between the European Union's Member States and the UK
- ...
- 10 Each country should bear the costs depending on how many asylum seekers it receives
- 98 Don't know

¹⁷ In Wave 2 (T4), respondents received the following information: 'While answering please consider an asylum seeker as someone who has fled to another country, where they applied for asylum. i.e. the right to international protection from persecution'.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QC1_2_1_T1 | X | X | X | Would you agree that "the costs of providing asylum should be shared among all the European Union's Member States" even if it means that [COUNTRY] might no longer decide alone how many asylum-seekers enter the country? |
| QC1_2_2_T1 | X | X | X | Would you agree that "each country should bear the costs depending on how many asylum seekers it receives" even if it means [COUNTRY] might not be able to count on the financial help of the European Union's institutions? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 98 Don't know

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| SPLIT_QC1_2_T1 | X | X | | Random split of those answering 5 to QC1_2 for questions QC1_2_1 and QC1_2_2 |
| SPLIT_QC1_2_ELITE_T1 | | | X | Random split of those answering 5 to QC1_2 for questions QC1_2_1 and QC1_2_2 |

Description

Code Value

- 1 QC1_2_1
- 2 QC1_2_2

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| hPartyVal_C_3_T4 | X | X | | Information on party/average politicians' position |

Description

Code Value

Based on median values of QC1_3_T1 (Target= 3. Elites – Status=1)

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QC1_3_T1 | X | X | X | The country immigrants arrive in should be responsible for hosting them vs. All the European Union's Member States should be responsible for the hosting of immigrants. |
| QC1_3_T4 | X | X | X | The country immigrants arrive in should be responsible for hosting them vs. <u>All the European Union's Member States</u> should be responsible for the hosting of immigrants [Not asked in the UK] . |
| QC1_3UK_T4 | X | X | X | The country immigrants arrive in should be responsible for hosting them vs. <u>the UK</u> should be responsible for the hosting of immigrants, <u>together with all the European Union's Member States [UK only]</u> . |

Description

Code Value

- 0 The country immigrants arrive in should be responsible for hosting them
- ...
- 10 All the European Union's Member States should be responsible for the hosting of immigrants/ the UK should be responsible for the hosting of immigrants, together with all the European Union's Member States.
- 98 Don't know

| | Mass | B2B | Elite | Variable label |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|--|
| QC1_3_1_T1 | X | X | X | Would you agree that “the country immigrants arrive in should be responsible for hosting them” even if it means that [COUNTRY] might be alone in managing this flow? |
| QC1_3_2_T1 | X | X | X | Would you agree that “all the European Union’s Member States should be responsible for the hosting of immigrants” even if it means that [COUNTRY] might have to take more immigrants than it would like? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 98 Don’t know

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| SPLIT_QC1_3_T1 | X | X | | Random split of those answering 5 to QC1_1 for questions QC1_3_1 and QC1_3_2 |
| SPLIT_QC1_3_ELITE_T1 | | | X | Random split of those answering 5 to QC1_1 for questions QC1_3_1 and QC1_3_2 |

Description

Code Value

- 1 QC1_3_1
- 2 QC1_3_2

(Header)

*Think now of {the party you feel closest to (Masses/B2B)}/the majority of public opinion in [COUNTRY] (Political elites)}. Where would you position it on the same issues?
[If you don't feel close to any party, then skip the question (Masses/B2B)].*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QC2_1_T1 | X | X | X | [COUNTRY] should decide for itself how many immigrants to accept each year vs. The European Union should decide how many immigrants should be accepted by each Member State each year. |
| QC2_1_T4 | X | X | X | [COUNTRY] should decide for itself how many immigrants to accept each year vs. <u>The European Union should decide</u> how many immigrants should be accepted by each Member State each year [Not asked in the UK] . |
| QC2_1UK_T4 | X | X | | The UK should decide for itself how many immigrants to accept each year vs. <u>The UK should share with the European Union the decision on</u> how many immigrants should be accepted each year [UK only] . |

Description

Code Value

- 0 [COUNTRY]/The UK should decide for itself how many immigrants to accept each year
- ...
- 10 The European Union should decide/ The UK should share with the European Union the decision on how many immigrants should be accepted by each Member State each year.
- 98 Don’t know
- 99 I don’t feel close enough to any party [Mass/B2B] – in T1

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label ¹⁸ |
|---------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QC2_1_97_T4 | X | X | | Answer 97 - [COUNTRY] should decide for itself how many immigrants to accept each year ... |
| QC2_1UK_97_T4 | X | X | | Answer 97 - The UK should decide for itself how many immigrants to accept each year ... |
| QC2_1_98_T4 | X | X | | Answer 98 - Don't know - [COUNTRY] should decide for itself how many immigrants to accept each year ... |
| QC2_1_98UK_T4 | X | X | | Answer 98 – Don't know - The UK should decide for itself how many immigrants to accept each year ... |
| QC2_1_99_T4 | X | X | | Answer 99 - I don't feel close enough to any party - [COUNTRY] should decide for itself how many immigrants to accept each year... |
| QC2_1_99UK_T4 | X | X | | Answer 99 - I don't feel close enough to any party - The UK should decide for itself how many immigrants to accept each year |

Description

Code Value

- 0 Not selected
- 1 Selected

| | Mass | B2B | Elite | Variable label |
|------------|------|-----|-------|--|
| QC2_2_T1 | X | X | X | The costs of providing asylum should be shared among all the European Union's Member States vs. Each country should bear the costs depending on how many asylum seekers it receives. |
| QC2_2_T4 | X | X | | The costs of providing asylum should be shared among <u>all the European Union's Member States</u> vs. Each country should bear the costs depending on how many asylum seekers it receives [Not asked in the UK]. |
| QC2_2UK_T4 | X | X | | The costs of providing asylum should be shared <u>between the European Union's Member States and the UK</u> vs. Each country should bear the costs depending on how many asylum seekers it receives |

Description

Code Value

- 0 The costs of providing asylum should be shared among all the European Union's Member States/ between the European Union's Member States and the UK
- ...
- 10 Each country should bear the costs depending on how many asylum seekers it receives
- 98 *Don't know*
- 99 *I don't feel close enough to any party [Mass/B2B] – in T1*

¹⁸ We recommend combining these variables to QC2_1_T4 to calculate percentages by including values of DKs and “Don't feel close” respondents.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label¹⁹ |
|----------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QC2_2_97_T4 | X | X | | Answer 97 - The costs of providing asylum should be shared among all the European Union's Member States... |
| QC2_2UK_97_T4 | X | X | | Answer 97 - The costs of providing asylum should be shared the European Union's Member States and the UK... |
| QC2_2_98_T4 | X | X | | Answer 98 - Don't know - The costs of providing asylum should be shared among all the European Union's Member States... |
| QC2_2UK_98_T4 | X | X | | Answer 98 - Don't know - The costs of providing asylum should be shared the European Union's Member States and the UK... |
| QC2_2_99_T4 | X | X | | Answer 99 - I don't feel close enough to any party - The costs of providing asylum should be shared among all the European Union's Member States... |
| QC2_2UK_99_T4 | X | X | | Answer 99 - I don't feel close enough to any party - The costs of providing asylum should be shared the European Union's Member States and the UK... |

Description

Code Value

- 0 Not selected
- 1 Selected

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QC2_3_T1 | X | X | X | The country immigrants arrive in should be responsible for hosting them vs. All the European Union's Member States should be responsible for the hosting of immigrants |
| QC2_3_T4 | X | X | | The country immigrants arrive in should be responsible for hosting them vs. All the European Union's Member States should be responsible for the hosting of immigrants |
| QC2_3UK_T4 | X | X | | The country immigrants arrive in should be responsible for hosting them vs. the UK should be responsible for the hosting of immigrants, together with all the European Union's Member States |

Description

Code Value

- 0 The country immigrants arrive in should be responsible for hosting them
- ...
- 10 All the European Union's Member States should be responsible for the hosting of immigrants /the UK should be responsible for the hosting of immigrants, together with all the European Union's Member States
- 98 *Don't know*
- 99 *I don't feel close enough to any party [Mass/B2B] – in T1*

¹⁹ We recommend combining these variables to QC2_2_T4 to calculate percentages by including values of DKs and “Don't feel close” respondents.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QC2_3_97_T4 | X | X | | Answer 97 - The country immigrants arrive in should be responsible for hosting them... |
| QC2_3UK_97_T4 | X | X | | Answer 97 - The country immigrants arrive in should be responsible for hosting them... |
| QC2_3_98_T4 | X | X | | Answer 98 Don't know - The country immigrants arrive in should be responsible for hosting them... |
| QC2_3UK_98_T4 | X | X | | Answer 98 Don't know - The country immigrants arrive in should be responsible for hosting them... |
| QC2_3_99_T4 | X | X | | Answer 99 I don't feel close enough to any party - The country immigrants arrive in should be responsible for hosting them... |
| QC2_3UK_99_T4 | X | X | | Answer 99 I don't feel close enough to any party - The country immigrants arrive in should be responsible for hosting them... |

Description

Code

Value

- 0 Not selected
- 1 Selected

Note: In both Waves 1 (T1) and 2 (T4), half of the sample received the questions for policy alternatives according to a **linear order**, the other half received a **random order**. **Linear order** means that respondents received first all the questions of the QC1 series (personal position) and then the QC2 series; **Random order** means that respondents received in sequence, for each policy alternative, the two questions on personal and party position.

The elite questionnaire followed just random order.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| Order_QC1_QC2_T1 | X | X | | Random or Linear order for Items QC1_1 through QC1_3 and QC2_1 |
| Order_QC1_QC2_T4 | X | X | | Linear or random order QC1_2P |

Description

Code

Value

- 1 Linear order: receive QC1_1, QC1_2, QC1_3//QC2_1, QC2_2, QC2_3
- 2 Random order: receive QC1_1-QC2_1// QC1_2-QC2_2; QC1_3-QC2_3

Besides linear or random order, the order of policy alternatives rotated, too.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| Order_QC1_1_T1 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QC1_1 first |
| Order_QC1_1_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QC1P_1 first |
| Order_QC1_2_T1 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QC1_2 first |
| Order_QC1_2_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QC1P_2 first |
| Order_QC1_3_T1 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QC1_2 first |
| Order_QC1_3_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QC1P_3 first |
| Order_QC2_1_T1 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QC2_1 first |
| Order_QC2_1_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QC2P_1 first |
| Order_QC2_2_T1 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QC2_2 first |
| Order_QC2_2_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QC2P_2 first |
| Order_QC2_3_T1 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QC2_2 first |
| Order_QC2_3_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QC2P_3 first |
| Order_QC_Item_1_T1 | X | X | | Random order: respondents receiving QC1_1 and QC2_1 first |
| Order_QC_Item1_T4 | X | X | | Random order: respondents receiving QC1_2 and QC2_2 first |
| Order_QC_Item_2_T1 | X | X | | Random order: respondents receiving QC1_2 and QC2_2 first |
| Order_QC_Item2_T4 | X | X | | Random order: respondents receiving QC1_2 and QC2_2 first |
| Order_QC_Item_3_T1 | X | X | | Random order: respondents receiving QC1_3 and QC2_3 first |
| Order_QC_Item3_T4 | X | X | | Random order: respondents receiving QC1_3 and QC2_3 first |

Description

Code

Value

- 1 1st position
- 2 2nd position
- 3 3rd position

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| Order_QC_ELITE_T1 | | | X | Section C – Random order of questions in section C - ELITE |

Description

Code

Value

- 1 C1_1 C1_2 C1_3/C2_1 C2_2 C2_3
- 2 C1_1 C1_3 C1_2/C2_1 C2_3 C2_2
- 3 C1_2 C1_1 C1_3/C2_2 C2_1 C2_3
- 4 C1_2 C1_3 C1_1/C2_2 C2_3 C2_1
- 5 C1_3 C1_1 C1_2/C2_3 C2_1 C2_2
- 6 C1_3 C1_2 C1_1/C2_3 C2_2 C2_1

Measures to tackle migration crisis

(Header)

The European Union has recently proposed to allocate immigrants among Member States in accordance with some rules. Some EU countries oppose these proposals. The EU is studying measures to overcome the opposition of these countries. To what extent are you in favour or not of each of the following measures?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QC3_1_T1 | X | X | X | Providing financial help to Member States which are unable to receive their share of immigrants for economic reasons. |
| QC3_1_T4 | | | X | Providing financial help to Member States which are unable to receive their share of immigrants for economic reasons. |
| QC3_2_T1 | X | X | X | Establishing a centralized authority to oversee the allocation of immigrants among the European Union's Member States. |
| QC3_2_T4 | | | X | Establishing a centralized authority to oversee the allocation of immigrants among the European Union's Member States. |
| QC3_3_T1 | X | X | X | Imposing financial penalties on those countries that refuse to take their share of immigrants. |
| QC3_3_T4 | | | X | Imposing financial penalties on those countries that refuse to take their share of immigrants. |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Very favourable
- 2 Somewhat favourable
- 3 Somewhat unfavourable
- 4 Not at all favourable
- 98 Don't know

(Header)

How convincing or not do you find the following arguments that are used by some countries to refuse to accept immigrants?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QC4_1_T1 | X | X | X | They have already accepted too many immigrants |
| QC4_2_T1 | X | X | X | They are in a bad economic situation that does not allow them to receive many immigrants |
| QC4_3_T1 | X | X | X | They do not want immigrants from non-native ethnic groups |
| QC4_4_T1 | X | X | X | They are afraid of strengthening extremist parties |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Very convincing
- 2 Somewhat convincing
- 3 Somewhat unconvincing
- 4 Not at all convincing
- 98 Don't know

Blaming

(Header)

How responsible do you think each of the following factors are for the present migration crisis that is affecting a number of EU countries? Use the scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means they are not responsible at all and 10 that they are fully responsible for the present economic crisis. If your views are somewhere in between, you can choose any number that best describes your position.

| | Mass | B2B | Elite | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|--------------|--|
| QC5_1_T1 | X | X | X | The lack of common European Union action against violence and poverty in the immigrants' countries of origin |
| QC5_2_T1 | X | X | X | The open-door refugee policy adopted by certain EU Member States, such as Germany |
| QC5_3_T1 | X | X | X | The lack of common and unified European border controls |

Description

Code Value

| | |
|-----|------------------------|
| 0 | Not responsible at all |
| ... | |
| 10 | Fully responsible |
| 98 | Don't know |

Solidarity (non-experimental questions)

(Header)

Europe is flooded by masses of immigrants pressing on its borders. How do you think immigrants coming to Europe should be allocated among EU Member States? They should be allocated ...

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| QC6_T1 | | | X | They should be allocated... |
| QC6_T4 | | | X | They should be allocated... |

Description

Code Value

| | |
|----|---|
| 1 | Equally among all EU Member States |
| 2 | On the basis of the country's size (number of inhabitants) |
| 3 | On the basis of the country's economic performance (GDP and growth) |
| 4 | On the basis of the country's labour market needs |
| 5 | On the basis of cultural affinity with the host country |
| 6 | On the basis of the country of arrival |
| 98 | Don't know |

5.3. Section D: Security

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| Rotation_SECTION_D_T1 | X | X | | Section D - Random order of sections B/C/D |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Section D asked first
- 2 Section D asked second
- 3 Section D asked third

Policy alternatives

Note: In Wave 2 (T4), Mass and B2B respondents received the question QG3 (Which party do you feel closest to?) before the sections B, C, D. Then, they received the information about the position expressed by politicians of the party people feel closest to. They were informed data referred to: "Position expressed by politicians interviewed in the first wave of the EUENGAGE survey, (T1). When people answered "Don't know" to QG3 or mentioned a party on which there were no information available, they re-ceived the "average position expressed by COUNTRY's politicians", as emerged from elites' answers in Wave 1 (T1).

The elite sample, on the other hand, received the average position expressed by respondents interviewed in their country in the first wave of the EUENGAGE survey, conducted in June-July 2016 (T1).

Variables used to provide this information to Mass/B2B sample are those labelled as "hPartyVal."

(Header)

In recent years, the EU has been confronted with international security crises in the Ukraine and in Mediterranean countries (such as Libya and Syria). Different policies have been suggested and we would like to know your view. For each of the following policy alternatives, please position yourself on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means that you would fully support the policy at the left and 10 means that you fully support the policy at the right. If your views are somewhere in between, you can choose any number that best describes your position.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------------------------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| hPartyVal_D_1_T4²⁰ | X | X | | Information on party/average politicians' position |

Description

Code Value

Based on median values of QD1_1_T1 (Target= 3. Elites – Status=1)

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|------|-----|--------|---|
| QD1_1_T1 | X | X | X | To address these problems, it is better to rely upon diplomatic solutions vs. It is better to rely upon military solutions |
| QD1_1_T4 | X | X | X | QD1P_1_DD1 - To address these problems, it is better to rely upon diplomatic solutions vs. It is better to rely upon military solutions |

Description

Code Value

- 0 To address these problems, it is better to rely upon diplomatic solutions
- ...
- 10 It is better to rely upon military solutions
- 98 Don't know

²⁰ See note for hPartyVal_B_1_T4.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label ²¹ |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QD1_1_1_T1 | X | X | X | Would you support "relying upon diplomatic solutions", even if it means that it will take a long time to reach an acceptable settlement of the crisis? [Half split] |
| QD1_1_2_T1 | X | X | X | Would you support "relying upon military solutions" even if this might result in casualties among [COUNTRY] troops? [Half split] |

Description

| <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|-------------|--------------|
| 1 | Yes |
| 2 | No |
| 98 | Don't know |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| SPLIT_QD1_1_T1 | X | X | | Random split of those answering 5 to QD1_1 for questions QD1_1_1 and QD1_1_2 |
| SPLIT_QD1_1_ELITE_T1 | | | X | Random split of those answering 5 to QD1_1 for questions QD1_1_1 and QD1_1_2 |

Description

| <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|-------------|--------------|
| 1 | QD1_1_1 |
| 2 | QD1_1_2 |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| hPartyVal_D_2_T4 | X | X | | Information on party/average politicians' position |

Description

| <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|-------------|---|
| | Based on median values of QC1_2_T1 (Target= 3. Elites – Status=1) |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QD1_2_T1 | X | X | | To address these problems, it is better to cut military expenditure vs. To address these problems, it is better to increase military expenditure |
| QD1_2_T4 | X | X | | To address these problems, it is better to cut military expenditure vs. To address these problems, it is better to increase military expenditure |

Description

| <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|-------------|--|
| 0 | To address these problems, it is better to cut military expenditure |
| ... | |
| 10 | To address these problems, it is better to increase military expenditure |
| 98 | Don't know |

²¹ QD1_1_1 was asked if answered 0 to 4 to QD1_1_T1; those who responded 5, were randomly assigned to either QD1_1_1 or QD1_1_2. The split variables below can be used to track the random assignment. The same applies to QD1_2_T1 and QD1_3_T1.

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--|
| hPartyVal_D_3_T4 | Mass X | B2B X | Elites | Variable label Information on party/average politicians' position |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> Based on median values of QD1_3_T1 (Target= 3. Elites – Status=1) |
| QD1_3_T1 | Mass | B2B | Elites X | Variable label To address these problems, it is better to strengthen military co-operation within NATO vs. To address these problems, it is better to create a European Union army independent of NATO |
| QD1_3_T4 | X | X | | To address these problems, it is better to strengthen military co-operation within NATO vs. To address these problems, it is better to create a European Union army independent of NATO [Not asked in the UK] |
| QD1_3UK_T4 | X | X | | To address these problems, it is better to strengthen military co-operation within NATO vs. To address these problems, it is better to create a European Union army independent of NATO, <u>with the participation of the UK [UK only]</u> |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> 0 To address these problems, it is better to strengthen military co-operation within NATO ... 10 To address these problems, it is better to create a European Union army independent of NATO/ <u>with the participation of the UK.</u> 98 <i>Don't know</i> |
| QD1_3_1_T1 | Mass | B2B | Elites X | Variable label Would you agree that "to address these problems, it is better to strengthen military cooperation within NATO", even if it means having to participate in military operations that we did not want to be part of? |
| QD1_3_2_T1 | | | X | Would you agree that "To address these problems, it is better to create a European Union army independent of NATO", even if it means that the European Union has to deal with its security problems without the support of the United States of America? |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> 1 Yes 2 No 98 <i>Don't know</i> |
| SPLIT_QD1_3_ELITE_T1 | Mass | B2B | Elites X | Variable label Random split of those answering 5 to QD1_1 for questions QD1_3_1 and QD1_3_2 |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> 1 QD1_3_1 2 QD1_3_2 |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| hPartyVal_D_4_T4 | X | X | | Information on party/average politicians' position |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | | Based on median values of QD1_4_T1 (Target= 3. Elites – Status=1) |
| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
| QD1_4_T1 | X | X | X | Each Member State should decide on its own when responding to major security threats vs. EU Member States should have a common response to major security threats. |
| QD1_4_T2^N | X | | | QD1x4_1 - Each Member State should decide on its own when responding to major security threats vs. EU Member States should have a common response to major security threats. |
| QD1_4_T3^N | X | | | QD1x4_2 - Each Member State should decide on its own when responding to major security threats vs. EU Member States should have a common response to major security threats. |
| QD1_4_T4 | X | X | X | QD1P_4_DD1 Each Member State should decide on its own when responding to major security threats vs. EU Member States should have a common response to major security threats. [Not asked in the UK] |
| QD1_4UK_T4 | X | X | X | <u>The UK</u> should decide on its own when responding to major security threats vs. <u>The UK and the EU Member States</u> should have a common response to major security threats [UK only] |

| <i>Description</i> | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|--------------------|-------------|---|
| | 0 | Each Member State/The UK should decide on its own when responding to major security threats |
| | ... | |
| | 10 | EU Member States/ <u>The UK and the EU Member States</u> should have a common response to major security threats. |
| | 98 | Don't know |

(Header)

Think now of {the party you feel closest to (Masses/B2B)}/the majority of public opinion in [COUNTRY] (Political elites)}.
Where would you position it on the same issues?
[If you don't feel close to any party, then skip the question (Masses/B2B)].

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QD2_1_T1 | X | X | X | To address these problems, it is better to rely upon diplomatic solutions vs. It is better to rely upon military solutions |
| QD2_1_T4 | X | X | | To address these problems, it is better to rely upon diplomatic solutions vs. It is better to rely upon military solutions |

| <i>Description</i> | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|--------------------|-------------|---|
| | 0 | To address these problems, it is better to rely upon diplomatic solutions |
| | ... | |
| | 10 | It is better to rely upon military solutions |
| | 98 | Don't know |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label²² |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QD2_1_97_T4 | X | X | | Answer 97 - To address these problems, it is better to rely upon diplomatic solutions... |
| QD2_1_98_T4 | X | X | | Answer 98 - Don't know - To address these problems, it is better to rely upon diplomatic solutions... |
| QD2_1_99_T4 | X | X | | Answer 99 - I don't feel close enough to any party - To address these problems, it is better to rely upon diplomatic solutions... |

Description

Code Value

- 0 Not selected
- 1 Selected

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QD2_2_T1 | X | X | | To address these problems, it is better to cut military expenditure vs. To address these problems, it is better to increase military expenditure |
| QD2_2_T4 | X | X | | To address these problems, it is better to cut military expenditure vs. To address these problems, it is better to increase military expenditure |

Description

Code Value

- 0 To address these problems, it is better to cut military expenditure
- ...
- 10 To address these problems, it is better to increase military expenditure
- 98 *Don't know*
- 99 *I don't feel close enough to any party [Mass/B2B] – in T1*

| | Mass | B2B | Elite | Variable label²³ |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|---|
| QD2_2_97_T4 | X | X | | Answer 97 - To address these problems, it is better to cut military expenditure... |
| QD2_2_98_T4 | X | X | | Answer 98 - Don't know - To address these problems, it is better to cut military expenditure... |
| QD2_2_99_T4 | X | X | | Answer 99 - I don't feel close enough to any party - To address these problems, it is better to cut military expenditure... |

Description

Code Value

- 0 Not selected
- 1 Selected

²² We recommend combining these variables to QD2_1_T4 to calculate percentages by including values of DKs and “Don't feel close” respondents.

We recommend combining these variables to QD2_2_T4 to calculate percentages by including values of DKs and “Don't feel close” respondents.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QD2_3_T1 | | | X | To address these problems, it is better to strengthen military cooperation within NATO vs. To address these problems, it is better to create a European Union army independent of NATO |
| QD2_3_T4 | X | X | | QD2P_3_DD1 To address these problems, it is better to strengthen military cooperation within NATO vs. To address these problems, it is better to create a European Union army independent of NATO [Not asked in the UK] |
| QD2_3UK_T4 | X | X | | To address these problems, it is better to strengthen military cooperation within NATO vs. To address these problems, it is better to create a European Union army independent of NATO, <u>with the participation of the UK</u> [UK only] |

Description

Code

Value

- 0 To address these problems, it is better to strengthen military cooperation within NATO
- ...
- 10 To address these problems, it is better to create a European Union army independent of NATO/ with the participation of the UK
- 98 *Don't know*
- 99 *I don't feel close enough to any party [Mass/B2B] – in T1*

| | Mass | B2B | Elite | Variable label ²⁴ |
|---------------|------|-----|-------|--|
| QD2_3_97_T4 | X | X | | Answer 97 - To address these problems, it is better to strengthen military cooperation within NATO... |
| QD2_3UK_97_T4 | X | X | | Answer 97 - To address these problems, it is better to strengthen military cooperation within NATO... |
| QD2_3_98_T4 | X | X | | Answer 98 Don't know - To address these problems, it is better to strengthen military cooperation within NATO... |
| QD2_3UK_98_T4 | X | X | | Answer 98 Don't know - To address these problems, it is better to strengthen military cooperation within NATO ... |
| QD2_3_99_T4 | X | X | | Answer 99 I don't feel close enough to any party - To address these problems, it is better to strengthen military cooperation within NATO... |
| QD2_3UK_99_T4 | X | X | | Answer 99 I don't feel close enough to any party - To address these problems, it is better to strengthen military cooperation within NATO... |

Description

Code

Value

- 0 Not selected
- 1 Selected

²⁴ Combine these variables to QD2_3_T4 to calculate percentages by including values of DKs and “Don't feel close” respondents.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QD2_4_T1 | X | X | X | Each Member State should decide on its own when responding to major security threats vs EU Member states should have a common response to major security threats. |
| QD2_4_T4 | X | X | | QD2P_3_DD1 <u>Each Member State</u> should decide on its own when responding to major security threats vs <u>EU Member states</u> should have a common response to major security threats. [Not asked in the UK] |
| QD2_4UK_T4 | X | X | | <u>The UK</u> should decide on its own when responding to major security threats vs. <u>The UK and the EU Member States</u> should have a common response to major security threats [UK only] |

Description

Code

Value

- 0 Each Member State/The UK should decide on its own when responding to major security threats
- ...
- 10 EU Member states /The UK and the EU Member States should have a common response to major security threats
- 98 *Don't know*
- 99 *I don't feel close enough to any party [Mass/B2B] – in T1*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label²⁵ |
|----------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QD2_4_97_T4 | X | X | | Answer 97 - Each Member State should decide on its own when responding to major security threats ... |
| QD2_4UK_97_T4 | X | X | | Answer 97 - <u>The UK</u> should decide on its own when responding to major security threats... |
| QD2_4_98_T4 | X | X | | Answer 98 - Don't know - Each Member State should decide on its own when responding to major security threats... |
| QD2_4UK_98_T4 | X | X | | Answer 98 - Don't know - <u>The UK</u> should decide on its own when responding to major security threats... |
| QD2_4_99_T4 | X | X | | Answer 99 - I don't feel close enough to any party - Each Member State should decide on its own when responding to major security threats ... |
| QD2_4UK_99_T4 | X | X | | Answer 99 - I don't feel close enough to any party - <u>The UK</u> should decide on its own when responding to major security threats... |

Description

Code

Value

- 0 Not selected
- 1 Selected

²⁵ We recommend combining these variables to QD2_3_T4 to calculate percentages by including values of DKs and “Don't feel close” respondents.

Note: In both Waves 1 (T1) and 2 (T4), half of the sample received the questions for policy alternatives according to a **linear order**, the other half received a **random order**. **Linear order** means that respondents received first all the questions of the QD1 series (personal position) and then the QD2 series; **Random order** means that respondents received in sequence, for each policy alternative, the two questions on personal and party position. The elite questionnaire followed just random order.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| Order_QD1_QC2_T1 | X | X | | Random or Linear order for Items QD1_1 through QD1_3 and QD2_1 |
| Order_QD1_QC2_T4 | X | X | | Linear or random order QD1_2P |

| <i>Description</i> | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|--------------------|-------------|--|
| | 1 | Linear order: receive QD1_1, QD1_2, QD1_3//QD2_1, QD2_2, QD2_3 |
| | 2 | Random order: receive QD1_1-QD2_1// QD1_2-QD2_2; QD1_3-QD2_3 |

Besides linear or random order, the order of policy alternatives rotated, too.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label²⁶ |
|---------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| Order_QD1_1_T1 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QD1_1 first |
| Order_QD1_1_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QD1P_1 first |
| Order_QD1_2_T1 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QD1_2 first |
| Order_QD1_2_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QD1P_2 first |
| Order_QD1_3_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QD1P_3 first |
| Order_QD1_4_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QD1_4 first |
| Order_QD1_4_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QD1P_4 first |
| Order_QD2_1_T1 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QD2_1 first |
| Order_QD2_1_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QD2P_1 first |
| Order_QD2_2_T1 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QD2_2 first |
| Order_QD2_2_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QD2P_2 first |
| Order_QD2_3_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QD2P_3 first |
| Order_QD2_4_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QD2_4 first |
| Order_QD2_4_T4 | X | X | | Linear Order: respondents receiving QD2P_4 first |
| Order_QD_Item_1_T1 | X | X | | Random order: respondents receiving QD1_1 and QD2_1 first |
| Order_QD_Item1_T4 | X | X | | Random order: respondents receiving QD1_2 and QD2_2 first |
| Order_QD_Item_2_T1 | X | X | | Random order: respondents receiving QD1_2 and QD2_2 first |
| Order_QD_Item2_T4 | X | X | | Random order: respondents receiving QD1_2 and QD2_2 first |
| Order_QD_Item3_T4 | X | X | | Random order: respondents receiving QD1_3 and QD2_3 first |
| Order_QD_Item4_T4 | X | X | | Random order: respondents receiving QD1_4 and QD2_4 first |
| Order_QD_Item_4_T1 | X | X | | Random order: respondents receiving QD1_4 and QD2_4 first |

| <i>Description</i> | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| | 1 | 1 st position |
| | 2 | 2 nd position |
| | 3 | 3 rd position |

²⁶ QB1C or QB2C indicate variables QB1 and QB2 in Wave 2 (T4) (e.g. QB1C_1 is QC1_1_T4).

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| Order_QD_ELITE_T1 | X | X | | Section D – Random order of questions in section D - ELITE |

Description

Code Value

| | |
|---|-------------------------------|
| 1 | D1_1 D1_2 D1_3/D2_1 D2_2 D2_3 |
| 2 | D1_1 D1_3 D1_2/D2_1 D2_3 D2_2 |
| 3 | D1_2 D1_1 D1_3/D2_2 D2_1 D2_3 |
| 4 | D1_2 D1_3 D1_1/D2_2 D2_3 D2_1 |
| 5 | D1_3 D1_1 D1_2/D2_3 D2_1 D2_2 |
| 6 | D1_3 D1_2 D1_1/D2_3 D2_2 D2_1 |

Blaming

(Header)

How responsible do you think each of the following factors are for the present security crisis facing several countries of the European Union? Use the scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means they are not responsible at all and 10 that they are fully responsible for the present security crisis. If your views are somewhere in between, you can choose any number that best describes your position.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QD3_1_T1 | X | X | X | The lack of action of the institutions of the European Union |
| QD3_1_T4 | | | X | The lack of action of the institutions of the European Union |
| QD3_2_T1 | X | X | X | The unilateral actions of some EU Member States, such as France and the UK, etc. |
| QD3_2_T4 | | | X | The unilateral actions of some EU Member States, such as France and the UK, etc. |
| QD3_3_T1 | X | X | X | Bordering countries, such as Russia |
| QD3_3_T4 | | | X | Bordering countries, such as Russia |
| QD3_4_T1 | X | X | X | The lack of leadership of the United States of America |
| QD3_4_T4 | | | X | The lack of leadership of the United States of America |

Description

Code Value

| | |
|-----|------------------------|
| 0 | Not responsible at all |
| ... | |
| 10 | Fully responsible |
| 98 | Don't know |

Solidarity (non-experimental questions)

(Header)

The crises in Syria, Libya and Ukraine have affected some countries of the European Union (EU) more seriously than others. Do you think that all the EU Member States should contribute to addressing the security concerns of the worst affected countries, or that only the worst affected countries should address such security crises?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QD4_T1 | X | X | X | Do you think that all the EU Member States should contribute... |
| QD4_T4 | X | X | X | Do you think that all the EU Member States should contribute... [Not asked in the UK] |
| QD4UK_T4 | X | X | X | Do you think that all the EU Member States <u>and the UK</u> should contribute... [UK only] |

Description

Code Value

- 1 All the EU countries/and the UK should contribute to addressing security concerns
- 2 Only the worst affected countries should address such security crises
- 98 *Don't know*

5.4. Section E: Europe

Utilitarian or affective support

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QE1_T1 | X | X | X | Taking everything into consideration, would you say that [COUNTRY] has on balance benefitted or not from being a member of the European Union? |
| QE1_T4 | X | X | X | Taking everything into consideration, would you say that [COUNTRY] has on balance benefitted or not from being a member of the European Union? |
| QE2_T1 | X | X | | And what about people like you? Have people like you on balance benefitted or not from [COUNTRY]'s EU membership? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 It has/They have benefitted
- 2 It has/They have not benefitted
- 98 *Don't know*

Trust and satisfaction with democracy

(Header)

On a score of 0-10, please indicate how much you personally trust each of the following EU institutions to take the right decisions most of the time. 0 means that you do not trust an institution at all, and 10 means you trust it completely. If your views are somewhere in between, you can choose any number that best describes your position.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------|-------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|
| QE3_1_T1 | | | X | The European Parliament |
| QE3_1_T4 | | | X | The European Parliament |
| QE3_2_T1 | | | X | The European Commission |
| QE3_2_T4 | | | X | The European Commission |
| QE3_3_T1 | | | X | The Council of the European Union |
| QE3_3_T4 | | | X | The Council of the European Union |
| QE3_4_T1 | | | X | The European Central Bank |
| QE3_4_T4 | | | X | The European Central Bank |

Description

Code

Value

| | |
|-----|---------------------|
| 0 | Do not trust at all |
| ... | |
| 10 | Completely trust |
| 98 | Don't know |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QE4_T1 | X | X | | On the whole, how satisfied are you with how democracy works in [COUNTRY]? |
| QE5_T1 | X | X | X | On the whole, how satisfied are you with how democracy works in the European Union? |
| QE5_T4 | | | X | On the whole, how satisfied are you with how democracy works in the European Union? |

Description

Code

Value

| | |
|----|-----------------------|
| 1 | Very satisfied |
| 2 | Somewhat satisfied |
| 3 | Somewhat dissatisfied |
| 4 | Very dissatisfied |
| 98 | Don't know |

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements:

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QE6_T1 | X | X | X | Those who make decisions in the European Union do not take enough account of the interests of [COUNTRY] |
| QE6_T4 | X | X | X | Those who make decisions in the European Union <u>do not</u> take enough account of the interests of [COUNTRY] [Not asked in the UK – in Mass/B2B sample] |
| QE6UK_T4 | X | X | | Those who make decisions in the European Union <u>did not</u> take account of the interests of the UK [UK only – in Mass/B2B sample] |
| QE7_T4²⁷ | X | X | X | The EU has demonstrated leadership in dealing with the multiple crises it encountered over the last few years |

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 Strongly agree
- 2 Somewhat agree
- 3 Somewhat disagree
- 4 Strongly disagree
- 98 Don't know

QE7_T1²⁸

| Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| | | X | Do you think that the EU has shown a lack of leadership over the last few years in its dealings with the multiple crises it has encountered? |

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 98 Don't know

QE8_T1

| Mass | B2B | Elite | Variable label |
|-------------|------------|--------------|---|
| | | X | And, in future crises, do you think that leadership should be taken by the President of the European Commission, accountable to the European Parliament or that leadership should be held by a group of most influential countries within the European Council? |

Description

Code *Value*

- 0 Leadership should be taken by the President of the European Commission, accountable to the European Parliament
- ...
- 10 Leadership should be held by a group of most influential countries within the European Council
- 98 Don't know

²⁷ A similar question was asked to the elite sample in Wave 1 (T1) – see below.

²⁸ A similar question was asked to the mass and B2B sample in Wave 2 (T4) – see above.

EU integration, scope of governance, identity, and sentiment

(Header)

Some people say that the European Union might endanger some important aspects of the nation state. For each of the following aspects, please indicate to what extent you agree or disagree that they are endangered by the European Union.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QE9_1_T1 | | | X | The integrity of [COUNTRY] culture |
| QE9_1_T4 | X | X | X | The integrity of [COUNTRY] culture |
| QE9_2_T1 | | | X | Achievements of the welfare system in [COUNTRY] |
| QE9_2_T4 | X | X | X | Achievements of the welfare system in [COUNTRY] |
| QE9_3_T1 | | | X | Economic growth in [COUNTRY] |
| QE9_3_T4 | X | X | X | Economic growth in [COUNTRY] |
| QE9_4_T1 | | | X | The quality of democracy in [COUNTRY] |
| QE9_4_T4 | X | X | X | The quality of democracy in [COUNTRY] |

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 Strongly agree
- 2 Somewhat agree
- 3 Somewhat disagree
- 4 Strongly disagree
- 98 Don't know

(Header)

People feel different degrees of attachment to their region, to their country, to Europe, and world. What about you? Do you feel very attached, somewhat attached, not very attached or not at all attached to...?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------|-------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| QE10_1_T1 | X | X | X | Your region |
| QE10_2_T1 | X | X | X | Your country |
| QE10_3_T1 | X | X | X | Europe |
| QE10_4_T1 | X | X | X | The world |

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 Very attached
- 2 Somewhat attached
- 3 Not very attached
- 4 Not at all attached
- 98 Don't know

| | Mass | B2B | Elite | Variable label |
|---------|-------------|------------|--------------|--|
| QE11_T1 | X | X | X | In your opinion, has the unification of the EU gone too far or should it be taken further? |
| QE11_T2 | X | | | In your opinion, has the unification of the EU gone too far or should it be taken further? |
| QE11_T3 | X | | | In your opinion, has the unification of the EU gone too far or should it be taken further? |
| QE11_T4 | | | X | In your opinion, has the unification of the EU gone too far or should it be taken further? |

Description

Code Value

- 0 The unification of the EU has gone too far
- ...
- 10 The unification of the EU should be taken further
- 98 *Don't know*

(Header)

Thinking about the European Union over the next ten years or so, can you please indicate to what extent you are in favour of or opposed to...?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QE12_1_T1 | | | X | A common system of social security in the European Union |
| QE12_1_T4 | | | X | A common system of social security in the European Union |
| QE12_2_T1 | | | X | A unified tax system for the European Union |
| QE12_2_T4 | | | X | A unified tax system for the European Union |
| QE12_3_T1 | | | X | A wider programme of European cohesion policy to reduce territorial inequalities across regions |
| QE12_3_T4 | | | X | A wider programme of European cohesion policy to reduce territorial inequalities across regions |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Strongly in favour
- 2 Somewhat in favour
- 3 Somewhat opposed
- 4 Strongly opposed
- 98 *Don't know*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QE13_T1 | X | X | X | Some say that we should have one single European Union Army. Others believe that every country should keep its own national army. Which of the following comes closest to your view? |
| QE13_T4 | X | X | X | Some say that we should have one single European Union Army. Others believe that every country should keep its own national army. Which of the following comes closest to your view? [Not asked in the UK] |
| QE13UK_T4 | X | X | X | Some say that we should have one single European Union Army, <u>which would include the UK</u> . Others believe that every country should keep its own national army. Which of the following comes closest to your view? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Every country should keep its national army only
- 2 EU countries should only have one single European army
- 3 There should be both national and European armies/ which would include the UK
- 4 There should be neither national nor European armies
- 98 *Don't know*

(Header)

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QE14_1_T1 | X | X | X | The European Commission ought to become the true government of the European Union |
| QE14_2_T1 | X | X | X | The powers of European Central Bank should be strengthened |
| QE14_3_T1 | X | X | X | Most important decisions concerning the EU should be taken by a majority of all European citizens via a European popular referendum |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Strongly agree
- 2 Somewhat agree
- 3 Somewhat disagree
- 4 Strongly disagree
- 98 *Don't know*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------|-------------|------------|---------------|------------------------------|
| QE15_T4 | X | X | X | Do you consider yourself...? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 [NATIONALITY] and not European
- 2 More [NATIONALITY] than European
- 3 Equally [NATIONALITY] and European
- 4 More European than [NATIONALITY]
- 5 European and not [NATIONALITY]
- 98 *Don't know*

(Header)

How would you feel emotionally if you were to know that the EU ceased to exist? [Split half] [Not asked in the UK]

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|
| QE16_a1_T4 | X | X | | Worried (0) / Not worried (10) |
| QE16_a2_T4 | X | X | | Afraid (0) / Confident (10) |
| QE16_a3_T4 | X | X | | Happy (0) / Sad (10) |
| QE16_a4_T4 | X | X | | Content (0) / Angry (10) |
| QE16_a5_T4 | X | X | | Pessimistic (0) / Optimistic (10) |
| QE16_a6_T4 | X | X | | Sorry (0) / Relieved (10) |
| QE16_a7_T4 | X | X | | Strong (0) / Weak (10) |
| QE16_a8_T4 | X | X | | Proud (0) / Ashamed (10) |

(Header)

How would you feel emotionally if your country would leave the EU? [Split half] [Not asked in the UK]

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|
| QE16_b1_T4 | X | X | | Worried (0) / Not worried (10) |
| QE16_b2_T4 | X | X | | Afraid (0) / Confident (10) |
| QE16_b3_T4 | X | X | | Happy (0) / Sad (10) |
| QE16_b4_T4 | X | X | | Content (0) / Angry (10) |
| QE16_b5_T4 | X | X | | Pessimistic (0) / Optimistic (10) |
| QE16_b6_T4 | X | X | | Sorry (0) / Relieved (10) |
| QE16_b7_T4 | X | X | | Strong (0) / Weak (10) |
| QE16_b8_T4 | X | X | | Proud (0) / Ashamed (10) |

(Header)

How do you feel emotionally about the fact the UK left the EU? [UK only]

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|
| QE16_c1_UK_T4 | X | X | | Worried (0) / Not worried (10) |
| QE16_c2_UK_T4 | X | X | | Afraid (0) / Confident (10) |
| QE16_c3_UK_T4 | X | X | | Happy (0) / Sad (10) |
| QE16_c4_UK_T4 | X | X | | Content (0) / Angry (10) |
| QE16_c5_UK_T4 | X | X | | Pessimistic (0) / Optimistic (10) |
| QE16_c6_UK_T4 | X | X | | Sorry (0) / Relieved (10) |
| QE16_c7_UK_T4 | X | X | | Strong (0) / Weak (10) |
| QE16_c8_UK_T4 | X | X | | Proud (0) / Ashamed (10) |

Description

| <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|-------------|--------------|
| 0 | [Label] |
| ... | |
| 10 | [Label] |
| 98 | Don't know |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| SPLIT_QE16_a_b_T4 | X | X | | Split variable QE16_a/b |

Description

| <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|-------------|--------------|
| 1 | QE16_a |
| 2 | QE16_b |

(Header)

There has been a lot of discussion recently about the decision-making power of the EU. Some people say that the EU has gone too far in terms of integration on many issues; other say that the EU integration should go further on many issues. For each of the following areas, on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means the EU integration has gone too far and 10 that the EU integration should go further, where would you place yourself? If your views are somewhere in between, you can choose any number that best describes your position.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QE17_1_T4 | X | X | X | Immigration policy |
| QE17_2_T4 | X | X | X | Social and Welfare policy (such as pensions and health) |
| QE17_3_T4 | X | X | X | Foreign policy |
| QE17_4_T4 | X | X | X | Defence policy |
| QE17_5_T4 | X | X | X | Fiscal policy (i.e., policies dealing with taxation and government spending) |

Description

| <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|-------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Has gone too far |
| ... | |
| 5 | About the right |
| ... | |
| 10 | Should go further |
| 98 | Don't know |

(Header)

According to some, the EU Member States should proceed at different speeds of integration, with some countries moving forward, without waiting for the others to follow (the so-called: "two speed Europe"). According to others, all EU Member States should proceed at the same pace (The so-called "one-speed Europe").

For each of the following policies, on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means that [COUNTRY] should wait for all other Member States before moving further ("one speed"), and 10 meaning that [COUNTRY] should proceed only with the countries that want to integrate further ("two speed"), at which speed do you think the EU should proceed?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QE18_1_T4 | X | X | X | Immigration policy |
| QE18_2_T4 | X | X | X | Social and Welfare policy (such as pensions and health) |
| QE18_3_T4 | X | X | X | Foreign policy |
| QE18_4_T4 | X | X | X | Defence policy |
| QE18_5_T4 | X | X | X | Fiscal policy (i.e. policies dealing with taxation and government spending) |

Description

| <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|-------------|--------------|
| 1 | One speed |
| ... | |
| 10 | Two speed |
| 98 | Don't know |

European Union and political leaders**(Header)**

After the electoral victory in France of Emmanuel Macron and of Angela Merkel in Germany some say there is a chance for a new positive cycle in European Integration. Some proposals have been offered. How would you rate each of the following proposals on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means that you are fully on favour and 10 means that you are not favourable at all? If your views are somewhere in between, you can choose any number that best describes your position.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QE19_1_T4 | | | X | Strengthening significantly a European frontier force to make it able to control the European borders |
| QE19_2_T4 | | | X | Creating a European Defense Headquarter able to coordinate European defense |
| QE19_3_T4 | | | X | Creating a European Defense fund to finance common military expenditures |
| QE19_4_T4 | | | X | Creating a European fund for unemployment benefits |

Description

| <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|-------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | Fully in favour |
| ... | |
| 10 | Not favourable at all |
| 98 | Don't know |

(Header)

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QE20_1_T4 | | | X | In the next elections for the European parliament the European party federations (Socialist, People party, etc.) should have a common programme for the European Commission |
| QE20_2_T4 | | | X | In the next elections for the European parliament the European party federations (Socialist, People party, etc.) should have a common leader, to be proposed for the position of President of the European Commission, who should campaign in every country |

Description

| Code | Value |
|-------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Strongly agree |
| 2 | Somewhat agree |
| 3 | Somewhat disagree |
| 4 | Strongly disagree |
| 98 | <i>Don't know</i> |

6. Special sections: Brexit and the USA

6.1. Brexit

In Wave 1 (T1), half sample was interviewed before the Brexit referendum, held on June 23th 2016, while the other half was interviewed after the referendum.

The two sub-samples received different questions. The split variable identifies the samples interviewed before and after the referendum.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| BRE1_T1 | X | X | X | As you may know, the British Prime minister, David Cameron announced a referendum to be held on 23 June this year, to ask the British people whether they want to leave or stay in the EU. In your opinion, should the UK leave the EU or remain a member of the EU? [Half split – Before Brexit referendum]. |

Description

Code Value

- 1 The UK should leave the EU
- 2 The UK should remain a member of the EU
- 98 *Don't know*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| BRE2_T1 | X | X | X | Some people say that the EU should make major concessions to the UK to keep it as a Member State. Others say that the EU should make only minor concessions, while still others say that the EU should not make any concessions at all. Which of the following positions is closest to your own? [Half split – Before Brexit referendum] [Not asked in the UK]. |

Description

Code Value

- 1 The EU should make major concessions
- 2 The EU should make only minor concessions
- 3 The EU should not make any concessions at all
- 98 *Don't know*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| BRE2UK_T1 | X | X | X | Some people say that the UK should get as much as we can from the EU, without leaving it. Others say that the UK should get all we want, otherwise we should leave the EU. Still others say that the UK should leave the EU anyway, regardless of what we get. Which of the following positions is closest to your own? [Half split – Before Brexit referendum] [UK only]. |

Description

Code Value

- 1 The UK should get as much as possible from the EU, without leaving it
- 2 The UK should get everything that has been asked for, otherwise we should leave the EU
- 3 The UK should leave the EU anyway, regardless of what concessions we get
- 98 *Don't know*

| | | | | |
|----------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|
| BRE3_T1 | Mass X | B2B X | Elites X | Variable label To what extent do you think it will be a good or bad thing for the European Union as a whole if the majority of British people vote to leave the European Union at the referendum? [Half split – Before Brexit referendum]. |
|----------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|

Description

Code Value

- 1 It would be a very good thing
- 2 It would be a good thing
- 3 It would make no difference
- 4 It would be a bad thing
- 5 It would be a very bad thing
- 98 *Don't know*

| | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|
| SPLIT_BREXIT_T1 | Mass X | B2B X | Elites X | Variable label Dummy variable to identify people interviewed before/after 24 June |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|

Description

Code Value

- 1 Before 23 June 2016
- 2 On/After 24 June 2016

| | | | | |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|
| BRE1P_T1 | Mass X | B2B X | Elites X | Variable label As you may know, in the referendum held on 23 June the British people voted to leave the EU. In your opinion, should the British people have voted to leave or remain a member of the EU? [Half split – After Brexit referendum]. |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|

Description

Code Value

- 1 The British people should have voted to leave the EU
- 2 The British people should have voted to remain a member of the EU
- 98 *Don't know*

| | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| BRE1PUK_1_T1 | Mass X | B2B X | Elites | Variable label Did you vote in the referendum held on 23 June? [Half split – After Brexit referendum] [UK only]. |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|

| | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| BRE1PUK_1_T4 | Mass X | B2B X | Elites | Variable label Did you vote in the referendum held on 23 June? [UK only]. |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|

Description

Code Value

- 1 Yes, I voted
- 2 No, I did not vote
- 98 *I do not remember*
- 99 *I prefer not to say*

Asked if answered YES to BRE1PUK

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| BRE1PUK_2_T1 | X | X | | How did you vote in the referendum? [Half split – After Brexit referendum] [UK only] . |
| BRE1PUK_2_T4 | X | X | | How did you vote in the referendum? [UK only] . |

Description

| Code | Value |
|------|--|
| 1 | I voted for the UK to remain in the EU |
| 2 | I voted for the UK to leave the EU |
| 98 | <i>I don't remember</i> |
| 99 | <i>I prefer not to say</i> |

Asked if answered “I voted for the UK to leave the EU” in BRE1PUK_2_T1.
Those answering “I voted for the UK to leave the EU” received question BRE3P_T1.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| BRE2PUK_T1 | X | X | | Would you have voted to remain if the EU had made major concessions to the UK to keep it as a Member State or would you have voted to leave anyway, regardless of the concessions made by the EU. [Half split – After Brexit referendum] [UK only] . |

Description

| Code | Value |
|------|---|
| 1 | I would have voted to remain if the EU had made major concessions to the UK |
| 2 | I would have voted to leave, regardless of the concessions made by the EU |
| 98 | <i>Don't know</i> |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| BRE3P_T1 | X | X | | Which of the following statements comes closest to your views? [Half split – After Brexit referendum] [Asked in the UK only if answered “I voted for the UK to remain in the EU” in BRE1PUK_2_T1] . |

Description

| Code | Value |
|------|---|
| 1 | The EU should have conceded as much as possible to the UK to keep it in the EU |
| 2 | The UK would have left the EU anyway, regardless of what concessions the EU could have done |
| 98 | <i>Don't know</i> |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|------|-----|--------|---|
| BRE4P_T1 | X | X | X | To what extent do you think it is a good or bad thing for the European Union as a whole that the British people voted to leave the European Union at the referendum? [Half split – After Brexit referendum]. |

Description

Code Value

- 1 It is a very good thing
- 2 It is a good thing
- 3 It makes no difference
- 4 It is a bad thing
- 5 It is a very bad thing
- 98 *Don't know*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| BRE5_T4 | X | X | | If tomorrow, a referendum was called in [COUNTRY] on [COUNTRY]'s membership of the European Union, how would you vote? [Not asked in the UK]. |

| | | | | |
|------------------|---|---|--|--|
| BRE5UK_T4 | X | X | | Suppose the referendum was called a second time. How would you vote? [UK only]. |
|------------------|---|---|--|--|

Description

Code Value

- 1 To make [COUNTRY]/I would vote for the UK to remain a member of the EU
- 2 To make [COUNTRY]/I would vote for the UK to leave the EU
- 3 I would not vote
- 99 *I prefer not to say*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------|------|-----|--------|---|
| BRE6_T4 | X | X | X | It has been suggested that the British government may now be willing to sign a new free trade agreement with the EU. Which of the following statements come closest to your view? [Not asked in the UK]. |

| | | | | |
|------------------|---|---|---|---|
| BRE6UK_T4 | X | X | X | It has been suggested that the British government may now be willing to sign a new free trade agreement with the EU. Which of the following statements come closest to your view? [UK only]. |
|------------------|---|---|---|---|

Description

Code Value

- 1 The EU/ The British government should negotiate a new free trade agreement with the UK, only if this means allowing EU citizens to freely live and work in the UK
- 2 The EU/ The British government should negotiate a new free trade agreement with the UK, even if the EU citizens would not be allowed to freely live and work in the UK
- 3 The EU/ The British government should not negotiate a new free trade agreement with the EU at all
- 98 *Don't know*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| BRE7_T4 | X | X | X | According to some, the decision of the UK to leave the EU (Brexit) will make the EU more cohesive and strengthen European integration; according to others, the Brexit will weaken the EU, because other countries might follow the same path. On a scale from 0 to 10, which position comes closer to yours? |

Description

Code Value

- 0 The decision of the UK to leave the EU (Brexit) will make the EU more cohesive and strengthen European integration
- ...
- 10 The Brexit will weaken the EU, because other countries might follow the same path.
- 98 *Don't know*

6.2. USA-EU Relations

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QUS1_T4 | X | X | X | In thinking about international affairs, which statement comes closer to your position about the United States and the European Union? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 The US should remain the only superpower
- 2 The European Union should become a superpower, like the United States
- 3 No country should be a superpower
- 98 *Don't know*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QUS1_1_T4 | X | X | X | Are you opposed to the European Union becoming a superpower, because you think it would require increased military expenditure? [Ask if answered "The US should remain the only superpower" to QUS1_T4] |
| QUS1_2_T4 | X | X | X | Would you be willing for the European Union to be a superpower even if this implies greater military expenditures? [Ask if answered "The European Union should become a superpower, like the United States" to QUS1_T4] |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 98 *Don't know*

(Header)

The new Trump administration has taken a more critical position towards the EU. Some people think that the Trump administration will weaken the EU integration, by strengthening anti-EU positions in European countries; others say that the Trump administration will strengthen the EU integration, by rallying the Europeans around the EU institutions; still, others believe that the Trump administration will have no major impact on the EU integration.

Which position comes closest to yours?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QUS2_T4 | X | X | X | Which position comes closest to yours? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 The Trump administration will weaken the EU integration
- 2 The Trump administration will strengthen the EU integration
- 3 The Trump administration will have no major impact on the EU integration
- 98 *Don't know*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QUS3a_T4 | X | X | | Do you approve or disapprove of the way the President of the United States Donald Trump is <u>handling international relations</u> ? [Half split for Mass/B2B sample] |
| QUS3b_T4 | X | X | X | Do you approve or disapprove of the way the President of the United States Donald Trump is <u>handling relations with the EU</u> ? [Half split for Mass/B2B sample – asked to all Political elites] |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Approve very much
- 2 Somewhat approve
- 3 Somewhat disapprove
- 4 Disapprove very much
- 98 Don't know

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------------------------------------|
| SPLIT_QUS3a_b_T4 | X | X | | Split variable QUS3a_T4 / QUS3b_T4 |

Description

Code Value

- 1 QUS3a_T4
- 2 QUS3a_T4

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QUS4a_T4 | X | X | | How desirable is it that <u>the US</u> exerts strong leadership in world affairs? [Half split for Mass/B2B sample] |
| QUS4b_T4 | X | X | X | How desirable is it that <u>the European Union</u> exerts strong leadership in world affairs? [Half split for Mass/B2B sample – asked to all Political elites] |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Very desirable
- 2 Somewhat desirable
- 3 Somewhat undesirable
- 4 Very undesirable
- 98 *Don't know*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------------------------------------|
| SPLIT_QUS4a_b_T4 | X | X | | Split variable QUS4a_T4 / QUS4b_T4 |

Description

Code Value

- 1 QUS4a_T4
- 2 QUS4a_T4

(Header)

The new Trump administration threatens a trade war against the EU because of its restrictions on the import of American poultry products treated with oestrogen. Although some American poultry farms already sell their products in Europe, American chickens cost as much as European ones (average price: EUR 2 per kilogram). The US administration would like the price of an American chicken to be reduced at least by half, by lowering tariffs and increasing quotas for American chickens. European farmers put pressure on the European Parliament, as they fear that the massive import of American poultry at a low price would exclude them from the market. While the two parts try to reach an agreement before the next mid-term election, the US administration issued an ultimatum: to open the European market to American poultry or to face trade retaliation in the agricultural and food sector.

For each of the following solutions, please indicate whether, in your opinion, the [NATIONALITY] government will adopt it.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QUS5_1_T4 | | | X | Accepting American demands, but at lower levels of those initially requested |
| QUS5_2_T4 | | | X | Ignoring American demands to test the US resolve to begin a large-scale trade war |
| QUS5_3_T4 | | | X | Allowing American farmers to sell greater quotas of chickens, but not at lower prices than the European ones |
| QUS5_4_T4 | | | X | Accepting the American demands and reduce the restrictions to the import |
| QUS5_5_T4 | | | X | Threatening the US with measures of retaliation in other sectors of food industry |
| QUS5_6_T4 | | | X | Urging European farmers to reduce the production to allow increased imports from the US |
| QUS5_7_T4 | | | X | Reaching a verbal agreement with the US, but do not respect the agreement |
| QUS5_8_T4 | | | X | Asking for the World Trade Organization's arbitration |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 98 Don't know

7. Experimental questions²⁹

7.1. Economy

[3x2+1 experimental conditions: source of information and country's economic conditions + control group with no experimental conditions]

We are now going to ask you some questions about the multiple crises Europe is facing nowadays.

The economic crisis has weakened the economy of most of the EU countries.

Some economic experts/Some leaders of the majority in [COUNTRY/Some leaders of the opposition say that COUNTRY's economic conditions will *worsen/improve* this year.

Given this to what extent would you support (COUNTRY) giving financial help to another EU Member State facing severe economic and financial difficulties?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QF1a_T1 | X | X | | [Economic experts say/Country's economic conditions will worsen] |
| QF1b_T1 | X | X | | [Leaders of the majority say/Country's economic conditions will worsen] |
| QF1c_T1 | X | X | | [Leaders of the opposition say/Country's economic conditions will worsen] |
| QF1d_T1 | X | X | | [Economic experts say/Country's economic conditions will improve] |
| QF1e_T1 | X | X | | [Leaders of the majority say/Country's economic conditions will improve] |
| QF1f_T1 | X | X | | [Leaders of the opposition say/Country's economic conditions will improve] |
| QF1g_T1 | X | X | | [Control group – no source/country's economic condition indicated] |
| QF1_T1 | X | X | | QF1a/g combined |

Description

Code

Value

- 1 Totally support
- 2 Somewhat support
- 3 Somewhat oppose
- 4 Totally oppose
- 98 Don't know

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------|------|-----|--------|----------------------------------|
| SPLIT_QF1_T1 | X | X | | Random split for question QF1a/g |

Description

Code

Value

- 1 QF1a
- 2 QF1b
- 3 QF1c
- 4 QF1d
- 5 QF1e
- 6 QF1f
- 7 QF1g

²⁹ Questions in this section do not follow a numerical order, since new questions in Wave 2 (QF7 through QF11) were numbered in succession to those of the previous wave (QF1 through QF6). In the dataset and the codebook, questions are ordered by thematic sections.

[Asked if answered 1 or 2 (Support) to QF1]

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QF1a_g_1_T1 | X | X | | Even if this involves sacrifices for the (NATIONALITY) population? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 98 Don't know

[Asked if answered 3 or 4 (Oppose) to QF1]

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QF1a_g_2_T1^N | X | X | | Even if the lack of help might have negative consequences on the whole European economy? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 98 Don't know

[2x2x2+1 experimental conditions: source, country receiving financial help and assessment for the responsibility for crisis + control group with no experimental conditions]

Thinking about the current economic crisis,

national politicians/ European institutions have argued that the responsibility of the *Greek/Irish* government in the country's economic crisis has been *underestimated/exaggerated*.

In your opinion, has the European Union provided too much financial assistance to EU countries that have experienced major financial problems, not enough assistance, or about the right amount?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QF2a_T1 | X | X | | [National politicians/ Greek government/has been underestimated] |
| QF2b_T1 | X | X | | [European institutions/ Greek government/has been underestimated] |
| QF2c_T1 | X | X | | [National politicians/ Irish government/has been underestimated] |
| QF2d_T1 | X | X | | [European institutions / Irish government/has been underestimated] |
| QF2e_T1 | X | X | | [National politicians/ Greek government/has been exaggerated] |
| QF2f_T1 | X | X | | [European institutions / Greek government has been exaggerated] |
| QF2g_T1 | X | X | | [National politicians/ Irish government/has been exaggerated] |
| QF2h_T1 | X | X | | [European institutions/ Irish government has been exaggerated] |
| QF2i_T1 | X | X | | [Control group – no source/country's receiving help/ assessment for responsibility indicated] |
| QF2_T1 | X | X | | QF2a/i combined |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Too much
- 2 Not enough
- 3 About the right amount
- 98 Don't know

| | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------|---|
| SPLIT_QF2_T1 | Mass X | B2B X | Elite | Variable label Random split for question QF2a/i |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------|---|

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 QF2a
- 2 QF2b
- 3 QF2c
- 4 QF2d
- 5 QF2e
- 6 QF2f
- 7 QF2g
- 9 QF2h
- 10 QF2i

| | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| QF2a_i_1_T1 | Mass X | B2B X | Elites | Variable label And thinking about the future, should the EU continue to provide assistance to EU countries that are experiencing major financial problems or not? |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|---|

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 Yes, it should
- 2 No, it should not
- 98 *Don't know*

[Asked if answered 3 or 4 (Oppose) to QF1]

| | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------|---|
| QF2a_i_2_T1 | Mass X | B2B X | Elite | Variable label And how strongly do you feel about this? |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------|---|

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 Very strongly
- 2 Somewhat strongly
- 3 Not very strongly
- 4 I do not feel strongly about this at all
- 98 *Don't know*

[3x2+1 experimental conditions: source of information and effect of euro + control group with no experimental conditions]

Different wording for Eurozone/non-Eurozone countries.

According to a report made by

Independent experts/ an institution linked to the governing party/s/ an institution linked to the opposition party, the Euro has increased the prices of goods and reduced our purchasing power/ the Euro has made the free flow of persons and goods within the EU easier.

[EUROZONE] Tell me whether you totally agree, tend to agree, tend to disagree or totally disagree with the following statement: (OUR COUNTRY) has been better protected in the face of the current financial and economic crisis by the common currency than if we had kept the (FORMER NATIONAL CURRENCY).

[NON-EUROZONE] Tell me whether you totally agree, tend to agree, tend to disagree or totally disagree with the following statement: (OUR COUNTRY) would have been better protected in the face of the current financial and economic crisis if we had adopted the European single currency, namely the Euro.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QF3a_1_T1 | X | X | | [Report by independent experts/the euro made free movement easier] - Eurozone |
| QF3a_2_T1 | X | X | | [Report by independent experts/the euro made free movement easier] – Not Eurozone |
| QF3b_1_T1 | X | X | | [Report by independent experts/the euro increased prices-reduced purchasing power] - Eurozone |
| QF3b_2_T1 | X | X | | [Report by independent experts/the euro increased prices-reduced purchasing power] – Not Eurozone |
| QF3c_1_T1 | X | X | | [Report by institution linked to the governing party /the euro made free movement easier] - Eurozone |
| QF3c_2_T1 | X | X | | [Report by institution linked to the governing party/the euro made free movement easier] – Not Eurozone |
| QF3d_1_T1 | X | X | | [Report by institution linked to the governing party/the euro increased prices-reduced purchasing power] - Eurozone |
| QF3d_2_T1 | X | X | | [Report by institution linked to the governing party/the euro increased prices-reduced purchasing power] - Not Eurozone |
| QF3e_1_T1 | X | X | | [Report by institution linked to the opposition party/the euro made free movement easier] - Eurozone |
| QF3e_2_T1 | X | X | | [Report by institution linked to the opposition party/the euro made free movement easier] – Not Eurozone |
| QF3f_1_T1 | X | X | | [Report by institution linked to the opposition party/the euro increased prices-reduced purchasing power] - Eurozone |
| QF3f_2_T1 | X | X | | [Report by institution linked to the opposition party/the euro increased prices-reduced purchasing power] – Not Eurozone |
| QF3g_1_T1 | X | X | | [Control group – no source/effect of euro indicated] - Eurozone |
| QF3g_2_T1 | X | X | | [Control group – no source/effect of euro indicated] - Not Eurozone |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Strongly agree
- 2 Tend to agree
- 3 Tend to disagree
- 4 Strongly disagree
- 98 Don't know

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| SPLIT_QF3_T1 | X | X | | Random split for question QF3a/g |

Description

Code Value

- 1 QF3a
- 2 QF3b
- 3 QF3c
- 4 QF3d
- 5 QF3e
- 6 QF3f
- 7 QF3g

In Wave 2 (T4), experimental subsections on Economy, Immigration and Globalisation were randomly rotated.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| Rotation_Block_F_ECO_T4 | X | X | | Rotation of block Economy -experimental questions |

Description

Code Value

- 1 1st position
- 2 2nd position
- 3 3rd position

In Wave 2 (T4), questions within the “Economy” block were randomly rotated (QF7 and QF8).

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| Rotation_QF7_T4 | X | X | | Rotation of block Economy -experimental questions |

Description

Code Value

- 1 1st position
- 2 2nd position

[3 experimental conditions: Level of territorial gap]

A recent authoritative report shows that, because of the economic crisis,

- a) the gap between richer and poorer regions in [COUNTRY] has increased and inequalities have widened. As a consequence, real GDP per head has decreased in poorer areas such as ...
 (UK: Yorkshire, Midlands, Northern Ireland)
 (FRA: Corse, Nord Pas de Calais (now part of Hauts de France), Languedoc Roussillon (now part of Occitanie))
 (GER: Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania, Saxony-Anhalt, Bremen, Saarland and Thuringia)
 (ITA: Mezzogiorno d'Italia)
 (SPAIN: Extremadura, Andalucia, Castille-La Mancha)
 (GRE: Anatoliki Makedonia, Thraki, Ipeiros, Dytiki Ellada)
 (CZ: North East (Moravia-Silesian region))
 (NETH.: Friesland, Groeningen and Drenthe)
 (POL.: Eastern area)
 (PORT. Norte)
- b) the gap between richer and poorer countries in Europe has increased and inequalities have widened. As a consequence, real GDP per head has decreased in European countries such as Greece, Portugal, Italy, Spain.
- c) the gap between developed and less developed countries in the world has increased and inequalities have widened. As a consequence, real GDP per head decreased in less developed countries such as Somalia and Nigeria.

One measure that has been suggested to address this gap recommends that richer regions/EU countries/developed countries provide financial resources to poorer regions/EU countries/less developed countries.

Do you agree or disagree with this measure?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------|------|-----|--------|---|
| QF7a_T4 | X | X | | Gap between richer and poorer regions in COUNTRY |
| QF7b_T4 | X | X | | Gap between richer and poorer countries in Europe |
| QF7c_T4 | X | X | | Gap between developed and less developed countries in the world |

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 Strongly agree
- 2 Somewhat agree
- 3 Somewhat disagree
- 4 Strongly disagree
- 98 Don't know

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------|------|-----|--------|----------------------------------|
| SPLIT_QF7_T4 | X | | | Random split for question QF7a/c |

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 QF7a
- 2 QF7b
- 3 QF7c

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|------|-----|--------|---|
| Rotation_QF8_T4 | X | | | Rotation of block Economy -experimental questions |

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 1st position
- 2 2nd position

[3+1 experimental conditions: actor imposing economic measures + control group with no experimental conditions]

In order to address the economic crisis,

- a) European institutions, such as the European Central Bank and the European Commission
- b) Europe's most powerful countries, such as Germany,
- c) international institutions, such as the International Monetary Fund,
- d) [Country]'s government

Have/has demanded that help to countries in fiscal trouble be made conditional to these countries implementing the following measures, in order to balance their books.

To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of them?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QF8a_1_T4 | X | X | | [EU institutions] – Cut welfare expenditures |
| QF8a_2_T4 | X | X | | [EU institutions] – Cut wages for public state employees |
| QF8a_3_T4 | X | X | | [EU institutions] – Raise taxes |
| QF8a_4_T4 | X | X | | [EU institutions] – Cut the number of jobs in the public sector |
| QF8a_5_T4 | X | X | | [EU institutions] – Increase the working time and the age of retirement |
| QF8b_1_T4 | X | X | | [e.g. Germany] – Cut welfare expenditures |
| QF8b_2_T4 | X | X | | [e.g. Germany] – Cut wages for public state employees |
| QF8b_3_T4 | X | X | | [e.g. Germany] – Raise taxes |
| QF8b_4_T4 | X | X | | [e.g. Germany] – Cut the number of jobs in the public sector |
| QF8b_5_T4 | X | X | | [e.g. Germany] – Increase the working time and the age of retirement |
| QF8c_1_T4 | X | X | | [e.g. IMF] – Cut welfare expenditures |
| QF8c_2_T4 | X | X | | [e.g. IMF] – Cut wages for public state employees |
| QF8c_3_T4 | X | X | | [e.g. IMF] – Raise taxes |
| QF8c_4_T4 | X | X | | [e.g. IMF] – Cut the number of jobs in the public sector |
| QF8c_5_T4 | X | X | | [e.g. IMF] – Increase the working time and the age of retirement |
| QF8d_1_T4 | X | X | | [Country's government] – Cut welfare expenditures |
| QF8d_2_T4 | X | X | | [Country's government] – Cut wages for public state employees |
| QF8d_3_T4 | X | X | | [Country's government] – Raise taxes |
| QF8d_4_T4 | X | X | | [Country's government] – Cut the number of jobs in the public sector |
| QF8d_5_T4 | X | X | | [Country's government] – Increase the working time and the age of retirement |
| QF8e_1_T4 | X | X | | Cut welfare expenditures |
| QF8e_2_T4 | X | X | | Cut wages for public state employees |
| QF8e_3_T4 | X | X | | Raise taxes |
| QF8e_4_T4 | X | X | | Cut the number of jobs in the public sector |
| QF8e_5_T4 | X | X | | Increase the working time and the age of retirement |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Strongly agree
- 2 Somewhat agree
- 3 Somewhat disagree
- 4 Strongly disagree
- 98 Don't know

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------|------|-----|--------|----------------------------------|
| SPLIT_QF8_T4 | X | X | | Random split for question QF8a/e |

Description

Code Value

- 1 QF8a
- 2 QF8b
- 3 QF8c
- 4 QF8d
- 5 QF8e

7.2. Immigration

[2x2+1 experimental conditions: cause for emigration/area of origin + control group with no experimental conditions]

Europe is flooded by masses of immigrants from non-EU countries pressing on its borders.

They are escaping from famine and starvation/ looking for better opportunities for themselves and their families and mostly come from Islamic countries/sub-Saharan countries/Ukraine.

How do you think immigrants coming to Europe should be allocated among EU Member States? They should be allocated

...

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QF4a_T1 | X | X | | [Escaping from famine and starvation/Islamic countries] |
| QF4b_T1 | X | X | | [Looking for better opportunities/Islamic countries] |
| QF4c_T1 | X | X | | [Escaping from famine and starvation/Sub-Saharan countries] |
| QF4d_T1 | X | X | | [Looking for better opportunities/ Sub-Saharan countries] |
| QF4e_T1 | X | X | | [Escaping from famine and starvation/Ukraine] |
| QF4f_T1 | X | X | | [Looking for better opportunities/Ukraine] |
| QF4g_T1 | X | X | | [Control group – no cause for emigration/area of origin indicated] |
| QF4_T1 | X | X | | QF4a/g combined |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Equally among all EU Member States
- 2 On the basis of the country's size (number of inhabitants)
- 3 On the basis of the country's economic performance (GDP and growth)
- 4 On the basis of the country's labour market needs
- 5 On the basis of cultural affinity with their host country
- 6 On the basis of the country of arrival
- 98 *Don't know*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------|------|-----|--------|----------------------------------|
| SPLIT_QF4_T1 | X | X | | Random split for question QF3a/g |

Description

Code Value

- 1 QF4a
- 2 QF4b
- 3 QF4c
- 4 QF4d
- 5 QF4e
- 6 QF4f
- 7 QF4g

In Wave 2 (T4), experimental subsections on Economy, Immigration and Globalisation were randomly rotated.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| Rotation_Block_F_IMM_T4 | X | X | | Rotation of block Immigration-experimental questions |

Description

Code Value

- 1 1st position
- 2 2nd position
- 3 3rd position

In question Q9, respondents were shown a picture of a man, identified as a migrant, and then provided with a different description about the origins, skills, and reasons for migration.

The picture was taken from the Chicago Face Database³⁰, version 2.0.3.



[2x2x2 experimental conditions: country of origin, skills, reasons for migration]

Let's talk now about an individual who is interested in migrating to [COUNTRY]. Please, take a minute and read about his background. He is a...

Syrian/Ukrainian man, skilled/low skilled, fleeing from war/looking for a job,

Given what you know about [this potential immigrant] do you think his application for asylum should be approved or rejected?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------|------|-----|--------|---|
| QF9a_T4 | X | X | | He is a Syrian Man, skilled, fleeing from war |
| QF9b_T4 | X | X | | He is a Ukrainian Man, skilled, fleeing from war |
| QF9c_T4 | X | X | | He is a Syrian Man, low skilled, fleeing from war |
| QF9d_T4 | X | X | | He is a Ukrainian Man, low skilled, fleeing from war |
| QF9e_T4 | X | X | | He is a Syrian Man, skilled, looking for a job |
| QF9f_T4 | X | X | | He is a Ukrainian Man, skilled, looking for a job |
| QF9g_T4 | X | X | | He is a Syrian Man, low skilled, looking for a job |
| QF9h_T4 | X | X | | He is a Ukrainian Man, low skilled, looking for a job |

Description

Code Value

- 1 This application should be approved
- 2 This application should be rejected
- 98 Don't know

³⁰ Ma, Correll, & Wittenbrink (2015). The Chicago Face Database: A Free Stimulus Set of Faces and Norming Data. *Behavior Research Methods*, 47, 1122-1135. Available at: <https://chicagofaces.org/default/> (last access: 19th August 2019).

[Asked if answered “should be rejected” to QF9a/h]

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QF9_1_T4 | X | X | | Would you change your mind if [Country] was not this migrant's final destination? |

| <i>Description</i> | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | 1 | Yes |
| | 2 | No |

[Asked if answered “should be accepted” to QF9a/h]

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QF9_2_T4 | X | X | | How long should this migrant be permitted to stay in [Country]? |

| <i>Description</i> | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | 1 | Six months |
| | 2 | 1 year |
| | 3 | 2 years |
| | 4 | 3 years |
| | 98 | Don't know |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QF9_3_T4 | X | X | | Assume that this potential immigrant comes to [COUNTRY] on an asylum permit and then he decides to apply for citizenship. Do you think his citizenship application should be approved or rejected? |

| <i>Description</i> | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|--------------------|-------------|--|
| | 1 | His citizen application should be approved |
| | 2 | His citizen application should be rejected |
| | 98 | Don't know |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| SPLIT_QF9_T4 | X | X | | Random split for question QF9a/h |

| <i>Description</i> | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | 1 | QF9a |
| | 2 | QF9b |
| | 3 | QF9c |
| | 4 | QF9d |
| | 5 | QF9e |
| | 6 | QF9f |
| | 7 | QF9g |
| | 8 | QF9h |

7.3. Security

Questions QF5 and QF6 were randomly rotated.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| Rotation_QF5_T1 | X | X | | Rotation QF5 and QF6; respondents receiving QF5 first. |

| Description | Code | Value |
|-------------|------|------------------|
| | 1 | QF5 asked first |
| | 2 | QF5 asked second |

[2 experimental conditions: background scenario of Libya or Ukraine]

Please considering the following scenario:

[Scenario a]: [Libya] is shattered. There is no central government anymore. The Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant has control of a large part of the country, which is in a state of anarchy. Factions are fighting one another. Thousands of Libyans are attempting to flee the violence by boarding makeshift boats in an attempt to reach the southern European coast. In a few days, more than 30,000 Libyans are expected to reach the coasts of southern Europe and the flow is expected to continue. At the present rate, we can expect more than 300,000 refugees to cross the Mediterranean Sea and some of them will die in the attempt to do so.

[Scenario b]: [Ukraine is shattered. Two different governments, one allied with the European Union and the US, and the other with Russia, are competing for the control of the country. The country is in a state of anarchy. Factions are fighting one another. The situation is clearly catastrophic. Russia is mobilising troops at the borders and threatens to intervene if the government supported by the Western actors succeeds. Thousands of Ukrainians are escaping by all available means from the violence and are on the move toward the Eastern borders of Europe. In a few days, more than 30,000 Ukrainians are expected to reach Eastern Europe and the flow seems unstoppable. At the present rate, we can expect more than 300,000 refugees to cross the Eastern European borders.

The European Union is discussing what to do. For each of the following solutions, please indicate whether you think [COUNTRY] should support it or not.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------|------|-----|--------|---|
| QF5a_1_T1 | X | X | | [Libya] Guarantee a visa to all refugees, with the possibility of later acquiring citizenship |
| QF5a_2_T1 | X | X | | [Libya] Make the national navy available for a naval blockade to stop the flow of refugees |
| QF5a_3_T1 | X | X | | [Libya] Unilaterally deploy our own troops in Libya, in order to restore peace. |
| QF5a_4_T1 | X | X | | [Libya] Send troops as part of a multinational coalition led by the United Nations to restore peace. |
| QF5a_5_T1 | X | X | | [Libya] Detain refugees in detention camps until peace in Libya is restored and then send them back to their homeland |
| QF5b_1_T1 | X | X | | [Ukraine] Guarantee a visa to all refugees, with the possibility of later acquiring citizenship |
| QF5b_2_T1 | X | X | | [Ukraine] Make the national army available for stopping the flow of refugees at the borders |
| QF5b_3_T1 | X | X | | [Ukraine] Unilaterally deploy our own troops in Ukraine, in order to support the Western-backed government. |
| QF5b_4_T1 | X | X | | [Ukraine] Send troops as part of a multinational coalition led by the NATO to restore peace. |
| QF5b_5_T1 | X | X | | [Ukraine] Detain refugees in detention camps until peace in Ukraine is restored and then send them back to their homeland |

| Description | Code | Value |
|-------------|------|------------|
| | 1 | Yes |
| | 2 | No |
| | 98 | Don't know |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| SPLIT_QF5_T1 | X | X | | Random split for question QF3a/g |

Description

Code Value

- 1 QF5a
- 2 QF5b

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| Rotation_QF6_T1 | X | X | | Rotation QF5 and QF6; respondents receiving QF6 first. |

Description

Code Value

- 1 QF6 asked first
- 2 QF6 asked second

[1x2 + 1x2 experimental conditions: population's condition and cause of this condition]

We are interested in your views on how deserving certain countries are of receiving foreign aid from our own country. For example, there is a country whose population [*is struggling with famine and increasing health problems/is slowly recovering from starvation and high disease death rates*] [*as a consequence of the severe drought and desertification due to global warming/due to the bad policies of the elected government/thanks to the huge amount of aid from foreign countries/ thanks to the efforts of its hard-working and industrious population*].

Do you think [our own country] should help this poor country or not?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QF6a_T1 | X | X | | [Famine and health problems/due to global warming] |
| QF6b_T1 | X | X | | [Famine and health problems/due to bad policies of government] |
| QF6c_T1 | X | X | | [Is slowly recovering from starvation and high disease death rates/thanks to the huge amount of aid from foreign countries] |
| QF6d_T1 | X | X | | [Is slowly recovering from starvation and high disease death rates/thanks to the efforts of its hard-working and industrious population] |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 98 Don't know

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| SPLIT_QF6_T1 | X | X | | Random split for question QF6a/d |

Description

Code Value

- 1 QF6a
- 2 QF6b
- 3 QF6c
- 4 QF6d

7.4. Globalisation

In Wave 2 (T4), experimental subsections on Economy, Immigration, and Globalisation were randomly rotated.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| Rotation_Block_F_GLOB_T4 | X | X | | Rotation of block Globalisation-experimental questions |

Description

Code Value

- 1 1st position
- 2 2nd position
- 3 3rd position

[3+1 experimental conditions: effects of globalisations + control group with no experimental conditions]

Nowadays, people, money and ideas travel across national boundaries very quickly. Because of this, a number of (NATIONALITY) people believe [COUNTRY] now faces serious threats to our national security/our economic well-being and job market/our cultural values and way of life.

What do you think? Is this...?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QF10a_T4 | X | X | | [Our national security] |
| QF10b_T4 | X | X | | [our economic well-being and job market] |
| QF10c_T4 | X | X | | [our cultural values and way of life] |
| QF10d_T4 | X | X | | [Control group – no experimental conditions] |

Description

Code Value

- 1 A very serious threat
- 2 A somewhat serious threat
- 3 Not a very serious threat
- 4 Not a threat at all
- 98 Don't know

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------|------|-----|--------|-----------------------------------|
| SPLIT_QF10_T4 | X | X | | Random split for question QF10a/d |

Description

Code Value

- 1 QF10a
- 2 QF10b
- 3 QF10c
- 4 QF10d

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QF11_T4 | X | X | | In order to deal with this threat, do you think that the powers of the European Union should be increased, even if this means reducing the power of the [NATIONALITY] government? [Not asked in the UK] |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Yes, the powers of the European Union should be increased, even if this means reducing the power of the [NATIONALITY] government
- 2 No, the powers of the European Union should not be increased
- 98 Don't know

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QF11UK_T4 | X | X | | In order to deal with this threat, do you think that the UK should act in close cooperation with the European Union? [UK only] |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Yes, the UK should act in close cooperation with the European Union
- 2 No, the UK should not act in close cooperation with the European Union

8. Predispositions

8.1. Political partisanship and ideology

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QG1_T1 | X | X | X | In politics, people sometimes talk of left and right- Where would you place yourself on a scale from 0 to 10, where '0' means the extreme left and '10' means the extreme right? |
| QG1_T4 | X | X | X | In politics, people sometimes talk of left and right- Where would you place yourself on a scale from 0 to 10, where '0' means the extreme left and '10' means the extreme right? |

Description

Code Value

- 0 Left
- ...
- 10 Right
- 97 Prefer not to say
- 98 Don't know

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label³¹ |
|---------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------------------------------------|
| QG1_99r97_T4 | X | X | | Prefer not to say to QG1_T4 |
| QG1_99r99_T4 | X | X | | Don't know to QG1_T4 |

Description

Code Value

- 0 Not selected
- 1 Selected
- 98 Don't know

³¹ These variables can be combined with QG1_T4 to calculate percentages by including values of DKs and "Prefer not to say" respondents.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------|------|-----|--------|---|
| QG2_T1 | X | X | | If the General Election was tomorrow, which party would you be most likely to vote for? |
| QG3_T1 | X | X | | Which party do you feel closest to? |
| QG3a_T1 | X | X | | [If answered "Don't know" or "Prefer not to say" to QG3_T1, but positioned party in QB/C/D sections] Which party had you in mind when, in previous questions, you positioned the party you feel closest to on some policy issues? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Conservative Party
- 2 Labour Party
- 3 Scottish National Party
- 4 Liberal Democrats
- 5 Democratic Unionist Party
- 6 Sinn Féin (We ourselves)
- 7 Plaid Cymru - Party of Wales
- 8 Social Democratic and Labour Party
- 9 Ulster Unionist Party
- 10 UK Independence Party
- 11 Green Party of England and Wales
- 12 Alliance Party of Northern Ireland
- 13 Ceska Strana Socialne Demokraticka
- 14 Politicke Hnuti ANO 2011
- 15 TOP 09
- 16 Komunisticka Strana Cech a Moravy
- 17 Obcanska Demokraticka Strana
- 18 usvit - Narodni Koalice
- 19 Krest'anska demokraticka unie - Ceskoslovenska strana lidova
- 20 Veci Verejne
- 21 Strana zelenych
- 22 Strana svobodnych obcanu
- 23 Aktiv nezavislych obcanu
- 24 Pirati - Ceska piratska strana
- 25 DSSS - Delnicka strana socialni spravedlnosti
- 26 Hlavu vzhuru - volebni blok
- 27 Klub angazovanych nestraniku
- 28 Koruna Ceska, monarchisticka strana Cech, Moravy a Slezska
- 29 Liberalne ekologicka strana
- 30 Narodni socialiste - Levice 21 Stoleti
- 31 Obcane 2011
- 33 Satrana soukromniku Ceske republiky
- 34 Svoboda a prima demokracie
- 35 Strana svobodnych obcanu
- 36 Suverenita - Strana zdraveho rozumu
- 37 Volte Pravy Blok - Cibulka
- 38 NPA (Nouveau Parti Anticapitaliste)
- 39 Lutte ouvrière
- 40 Parti communiste
- 41 Parti de Gauche
- 42 Parti socialiste
- 43 Parti radical de Gauche
- 44 Europe Ecologie - Les Verts
- 45 Un autre Mouvement écologiste (Génération-écologie, Mouvement Écologiste Indépendant)

- 46 Le MoDem
- 47 L'UDI (Union des Démocrates et Indépendants)
- 48 Les Républicains (anciennement UMP)
- 49 Front National
- 50 CDU - Christlich Demokratische Union Deutschlands
- 51 SPD - Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands
- 52 Linke - Die Linke
- 53 GRÜNE - Bündnis 90 / Die Grünen
- 54 CSU - Christlich-Soziale Union
- 55 FDP - Freie Demokratische Partei
- 56 AfD - Alternative für Deutschland
- 57 Piratenpartei Deutschland
- 58 FW - Freie Wähler
- 59 Synaspismos Rizospastikis Aristeras (SYRIZA)
- 60 Nea Dimokratia
- 61 Chrisi Avgi
- 62 Panellinio Sosialistiko Kinima (PA.SO.K) - Dimokratiki Aristera (DHM.AR)
- 63 Kommounistiko Komma Elladas
- 64 To Potami
- 65 Anexartitoi Ellines
- 66 Enosi Kentroon
- 67 Laiki Enotita
- 68 Laikos Orthodoxos Synagermos
- 69 Antikapitalistiki Aristeri Synergasia gia tin Anatropi (ANT.AR.SY.A)
- 70 Partito Democratico
- 71 Movimento 5 Stelle
- 72 Forza Italia
- 73 Scelta Civica
- 74 Lega Nord
- 75 Sinistra Ecologia Libertà - Sinistra Italiana
- 76 Rivoluzione civile
- 77 Fratelli d'Italia - Alleanza Nazionale
- 78 Unione di Centro
- 79 Nuovo Centrodestra
- 81 Centro Democratico
- 82 Radicali Italiani
- 83 Movimento per le Autonomie
- 84 Südtiroler Volkspartei
- 86 Rifondazione Comunista - Sinistra Europea
- 90 Volkspartij voor Vrijheid en Democratie
- 91 Partij van de Arbeid
- 92 Partij voor de Vrijheid
- 93 Socialistische Partij
- 94 Christen Democratisch Appèl
- 95 Democraten 66
- 96 Christen Unie
- 97 Groen Links
- 98 Staatkundig Gereformeerde Partij
- 99 50 Plus
- 100 Partij voor de Dieren
- 101 Piratenpartij
- 102 VNL - VoorNederland
- 103 DENK
- 104 Prawo i Sprawiedliwosc
- 105 Platforma Obywatelska

- 106 Kukiz'15
- 107 Nowoczesna
- 108 KORWIN
- 109 Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej
- 110 Twój Ruch
- 111 Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe
- 112 Mniejszosc Niemiecka
- 113 Partia Razem
- 114 Movimento Alternativa Socialista
- 115 Partido Popular Democrático/Partido Social Democata
- 116 Partido Socialista
- 117 Bloco de Esquerda
- 118 Coligação Democrática Unitária
- 119 Partido Comunista Português
- 120 Partido Ecologista - Os Verdes
- 121 Pessoas-Animais-Natureza
- 122 Partido da Terra
- 123 Partido Popular
- 124 Partido Socialista Obrero Español
- 125 Podemos
- 126 Ciudadanos - Partido de la Ciudadanía
- 127 Izquierda Unida - Unidad Popular
- 128 Esquerra Republicana de Catalunya
- 129 Democracia i Llibertat (antes: Convergencia)
- 130 Partido Nacionalista Vasco - Eusko Alderdi Jeltzalea
- 131 Euskal Herria Bildu
- 132 Unión, Progreso y Democracia
- 133 Coalición Canaria - Partido Nacionalista Canario
- 134 Bloque Nacionalista Galego
- 135 Geroa Bai
- 136 Coalicio Compromis
- 137 En comu - Podem
- 138 En marea - Podemos
- 139 Foro Asturias
- 140 Eusko Alkartasuna
- 141 Iniciativa per Catalunya Verds
- 996 I wouldn't vote / I do not vote
- 997 Prefer not to say
- 998 Don't know

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label³² |
|--------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QG3a_other_T1 | X | X | | Verbatim of answers 'Other' to QG3a_T1 (Original variable from dataset) |
| QG3a_other_rec_T1 | X | X | | Verbatim of answers 'Other' to QG3a_T1 – Recoded |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | | [Verbatim] |

³² These variables record the open-ended answers provided by those responding “Other” to QG3a_T1

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------------|------|-----|--------|---|
| QG2_T4 | X | X | | If the General Election was tomorrow, which party would you be most likely to vote for? |
| QG3_T4 ³³ | X | X | | Which party do you feel closest to? |
| hParty_INPUT_T4 | X | X | | Question to punch party name - based on answers to QG3 ³⁴ |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Česká strana sociálně demokratická (ČSSD)
- 2 ANO 2011
- 3 TOP 09
- 4 Komunistická strana Čech a Moravy (KSČM)
- 5 Občanská Demokratická Strana (ODS)
- 6 ÚSVIT přímé demokracie Tomia Okamury
- 7 demokratická unie - Československá strana lidová (KDU-ČSL)
- 8 Strana zelených (SZ)
- 9 jiná strana
- 10 Česká pirátská strana (PIRÁTI)
- 11 Strana Práv Občanů ZEMANOVCI (SPOZ)
- 12 NPA (Nouveau Parti Anticapitaliste)
- 13 Lutte ouvrière
- 14 Parti communiste
- 15 Parti de Gauche
- 16 Parti socialiste
- 17 Parti radical de Gauche
- 18 Europe Ecologie - Les Verts
- 19 Le MoDem
- 20 L'UDI (Union des Démocrates et Indépendants)
- 21 Les Républicains (anciennement UMP)
- 22 Front National
- 23 La République en marche!
- 24 Debout la France
- 25 La France insoumise
- 26 Christlich Demokratische Union Deutschlands (CDU)
- 27 Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands (SPD)
- 28 Die Linke
- 29 Bündnis 90 / Die Grünen
- 30 Christlich-Soziale Union in Bayern (CSU)
- 31 Freie Demokratische Partei (F.D.P.)
- 32 Alternative für Deutschland (AfD)
- 33 Piratenpartei Deutschland
- 34 FW-Freie Wähler
- 35 Partito Democratico
- 36 Movimento 5 Stelle
- 37 Forza Italia
- 38 Alternativa Popolare
- 39 Scelta Civica
- 40 Lega Nord
- 41 Fratelli d'Italia - Alleanza Nazionale
- 42 Unione di Centro
- 43 Südtiroler Volkspartei

³³In wave 2 (T4), QG3 was asked before Sections B, C, D, to provide the input for the position expressed by the party people feel closest to.

³⁴ This variable tracks the party/average position of country's politicians used to provide the input for politicians' party positions in sections B, C, and D.

- 44 Centro Democratico
- 45 Radicali Italiani
- 46 Partito della Rifondazione Comunista
- 47 Possibile
- 48 Union Valdotaïne
- 49 Art. 1, Movimento Democratico Progressista (MDP)*
- 50 Fare!*
- 51 Forza Nuova
- 53 Movimento Nazionale per la Sovranità
- 54 Sinistra Italiana
- 57 Volkspartij voor Vrijheid en Democratie
- 58 Partij van de Arbeid
- 59 Partij voor de Vrijheid)
- 60 Socialistische Partij
- 61 Christen Democratisch Appèl
- 62 Democraten 66
- 63 Christen Unie
- 64 Groen Links
- 65 Staatkundig Gereformeerde Partij
- 66 50 Plus
- 67 Partij voor de Dieren
- 68 Forum voor Democratie (FVD)
- 69 Prawo i Sprawiedliwość
- 70 Platforma Obywatelska
- 71 Kukiz'15
- 72 Nowoczesna
- 73 KORWIN
- 74 Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej
- 75 Twój Ruch
- 76 Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe
- 77 Mniejszość Niemiecka
- 78 Polska Razem
- 79 Movimento Alternativa Socialista
- 80 Partido Popular Democrático/Partido Social Democrata
- 81 Partido Socialista
- 82 Bloco de Esquerda
- 83 Partido Comunista Português
- 84 Partido Ecologista 'Os Verdes'
- 85 Pessoas-Animais-Natureza
- 86 Partido da Terra
- 87 CDS-PP - Centro Democrático Social/Partido Popular
- 88 Partido Popular
- 89 Partido Socialista Obrero Español
- 90 Podemos
- 91 Ciudadanos - Partido de la Ciudadanía
- 92 Izquierda Unida - Unidad Popular
- 93 Esquerra Republicana de Catalunya
- 94 Partit Demòcrata Europeu Català
- 95 Eusko Alderdi Jeltzalea
- 96 Euskal Herria Bildu
- 97 Unión, Progreso y Democracia
- 98 Coalición Canaria
- 99 Bloque Nacionalista Galego
- 100 Geroa Bai
- 101 Coalició Compromís
- 102 Foro Asturias

- 103 Eusko Alkartasuna
- 104 Iniciativa per Catalunya Verds
- 105 Conservative and Unionist Party
- 106 Labour Party
- 107 Scottish National Party
- 108 Liberal Democrats
- 109 Democratic Unionist Party
- 110 Sinn Féin (We ourselves)
- 111 Plaid Cymru - Party of Wales
- 112 Social Democratic and Labour Party
- 113 Ulster Unionist Party
- 114 UK Independence Party
- 115 Green Party of England and Wales
- 116 Alliance Party of Northern Ireland
- 117 Συνασπισμός Ριζοσπαστικής Αριστεράς (ΣΥΡΙΖΑ)
- 118 Νέα Δημοκρατία (ΝΔ)
- 119 Χρυσή Αυγή
- 120 Πανελλήνιο Σοσιαλιστικό Κίνημα (ΠΑΣΟΚ)
- 121 Κομμουνιστικό Κόμμα Ελλάδας (ΚΚΕ)
- 122 Το Ποτάμι
- 123 Ανεξάρτητοι Έλληνες
- 124 Ένωση Κεντρώων
- 125 Λαϊκή Ενότητα
- 126 Δημοκρατική Αριστερά
- 127 Λαϊκός Ορθόδοξος Συναγερμός (ΛΑ.Ο.Σ.)
- 128 Αντικαπιταλιστική Αριστερή Συνεργασία για την Ανατροπή
- 129 ['Other' to QG3] - average country's MPs position [**hParty_INPUT_T4**]
- 130 ['Prefer not to say'/'DK' to QG3] - average country's MPs position [**hParty_INPUT_T4**]
- 995 Other party (specify) [**QG2_T4**]
- 996 I did not vote/I do not vote [**QG2_T4**]
/ Other party (specify) [**QG3_T4**]
- 997 Prefer not to say [**QG2_T4, QG3_T4**]
- 998 Don't know [**QG2_T4, QG3_T4**]

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label³⁵ |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| QG2_995_T4 | X | X | | Verbatim of answers 'Other' to QG2_T4 |
| QG3_996_T4 | X | X | | Verbatim of answers 'Other' to QG3_T4 |

Description

Code Value

[Verbatim]

³⁵ These variables record the open-ended answers provided by those responding "Other" to QG3a_T1

8.2. General predispositions

Miscellaneous

(Header)

Where would you place your views on this scale? 0 means you agree completely with the statement on the left; 10 means you agree completely with the statement on the right. If your views fall somewhere in between, you can choose any number in between.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label³⁶ |
|----------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QG4_1_T1 | X | X | X | Public services should be increased by raising taxes vs. vs. Public services should be cut in order to reduce taxes [Split for 720 cases in UK] |
| QG4UK_1_T1_T4 | X | X | | Public services should be increased by raising taxes vs. Public services vs. Public services should be cut in order to reduce taxes [UK only] |

Description

Code Value

| | |
|-----|--|
| 0 | Public services should be increased by raising taxes |
| ... | |
| 10 | Public services should be cut in order to reduce taxes |
| 98 | Don't know |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label³⁷ |
|----------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QG4_2_T1 | X | X | X | Fully in favour of redistribution of wealth from the rich to the poor vs. Fully opposed to redistribution of wealth from the rich to the poor [Split for 720 cases in UK] |
| QG4UK_2_T1_T4 | X | X | | Fully in favour of redistribution of wealth from the rich to the poor vs. Fully opposed to redistribution of wealth from the rich to the poor [UK only] |

Description

Code Value

| | |
|-----|---|
| 0 | Fully in favour of redistribution of wealth from the rich to the poor |
| ... | |
| 10 | Fully opposed to redistribution of wealth from the rich to the poor |
| 98 | Don't know |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| SPLIT_QG4UK_T1 | X | X | | Random split for question QG4 - applied to 720 cases (Mass only) |

Description

Code Value

| | |
|---|-------|
| 1 | QG4x1 |
| 2 | QG4x2 |

³⁶ In the UK, in Wave 1 (T1) questions QG4_1 and QG4_2 were split for a sample of 720 cases. The information for question QG4_1_T1 that is missing in Wave 1 was retrieved in Wave 2 (T4) and is included in variable QG4UK_1_T1_T4.

³⁷ Same as above, for variables QG4_2_T1 and QG4UK_2_T1_T4

| | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QG5_T1 | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
| | | | X | Do you think that, overall, globalisation has a positive or negative effect on [NATIONALITY] citizens? |
| QG5_T4 | X | X | X | Do you think that, overall, globalisation has a positive or negative effect on [NATIONALITY] citizens? |
| <i>Description</i> | | | | <i>Code Value</i> |
| | | | | 0 Globalisation has a positive effect on [NATIONALITY] citizens |
| | | | | ... |
| | | | | 10 Globalisation has a negative effect on [NATIONALITY] citizens |
| | | | | 98 <i>Don't know</i> |
| QG6a_T1 | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
| | | | X | Please indicate which statement you agree with the most. |
| QG6a_T4 | X | X | X | Please indicate which statement you agree with the most. |
| <i>Description</i> | | | | <i>Code Value</i> |
| | | | | 1 The EU enables European citizens to benefit more from the positive effects of globalisation |
| | | | | 2 The EU makes it more difficult for European citizens to benefit from the positive effects of globalisation |
| | | | | 98 <i>Don't know</i> |
| QG6b_T1 | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
| | | | X | Please indicate which statement you agree with the most. |
| QG6b_T4 | X | X | X | Please indicate which statement you agree with the most. |
| <i>Description</i> | | | | <i>Code Value</i> |
| | | | | 1 The EU helps to protect us from the negative effects of globalisation |
| | | | | 2 The EU exacerbates the negative effects of globalisation |
| | | | | 98 <i>Don't know</i> |
| SPLIT_QG6_T1 | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
| | | | X | Random split for question QG6 |
| <i>Description</i> | | | | <i>Code Value</i> |
| | | | | 1 QG6a |
| | | | | 2 QG6b |
| SPLIT_QG6_T4 | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
| | X | X | | Random split for question QG6 if QG5=5 |
| <i>Description</i> | | | | <i>Code Value</i> |
| | | | | 1 QG6a |
| | | | | 2 QG6b |

(Header)

Can you please indicate to what extent you agree or disagree with the following statements about the general impact of immigration on [COUNTRY] and [NATIONALITY] people?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QG7_1_T1 | X | X | X | Immigrants increase the likelihood of a terrorist attack in (COUNTRY) |
| QG7_2_T1 | X | X | X | Immigrants contribute more in taxes than they benefit from health and welfare services. |
| QG7_3_T1 | X | X | X | Immigration in general will improve our culture with new ideas and customs |
| QG7_4_T1 | X | X | | Immigrants take jobs that [COUNTRY] people do not want to take anymore. |
| QG7_5_T1 | X | X | X | The religious practices of immigrants are a threat to the [nationality] way of life and its traditions. |
| QG7_6_T1 | X | X | | Immigrants are a significant cause of crime in [country]. |

*Description**Code Value*

- 1 Strongly agree
- 2 Somewhat agree
- 3 Somewhat disagree
- 4 Strongly disagree
- 98 *Don't know*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label³⁸ |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QG8a_1_T1 | X | X | | In your opinion, what percentage of the total [COUNTRY] population are <u>immigrants</u> ? You can answer any number between 0 and 100 [Half split] |
| QG8b_1_T1 | X | X | | In your opinion, what percentage of the total [COUNTRY] population are <u>immigrants from non-EU countries</u> ? You can answer any number between 0 and 100 [Half split] |
| QG8c_1_T4³⁹ | X | X | | To the best of your knowledge, what percentage of the total [NATIONALITY] population are <u>immigrants</u> ? (By immigrants, we refer to people who were born in non-EU countries) [Half split] |
| QG8d_1_T4 | X | X | | To the best of your knowledge, what percentage of the total [NATIONALITY] population are <u>asylum seekers</u> ? (By asylum seeker, we refer to someone who has fled to another country, where they applied for asylum. i.e. the right to international protection from persecution) [Half split] |

*Description**Code Value*

[0/100%]

³⁸ Variables TIMESTAMPS_1_T1 through TIMESTAMPS_4_T1 and TIMESTAMPS_12_T4 can be used to track the time used by respondents to answer these questions, which might be used as a control in analyses, in order to take into account the online mode of administration of this survey.

³⁹ In Wave 2 (T4), questions of the QG8 series were asked before QG21_T4 series.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label⁴⁰ |
|---------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QG8a_1_98_T1 | X | X | | Percentage of immigrants- Don't know |
| QG8b_1_98_T1 | X | X | | Percentage of immigrants from non-EU countries- Don't know |

Description

Code Value

- 0 Not selected
- 1 Selected

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| SPLIT_QG8_1_T1 | X | X | | Random split for question QG8 |
| SPLIT_QG8_1_T4 | X | X | | Random split for question QG8 |

Description

Code Value

- 1 QG8a (T1)/c (T4)
- 2 QG8b(T1)/d (T4)

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QG8c_1_check_T4 | X | X | | Question to record answer at QG8c_1_T4 |
| QG8d_1_check_T4 | X | X | | Question to record answer at QG8d_1_T4 |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Correct answer
- 2 Incorrect answer

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QG8_2_T4 | X | X | | How confident are you that your answer is correct? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Very confident
- 2 Somewhat confident
- 3 Not very confident
- 4 Not confident at all
- 98 Don't know

⁴⁰ These variables should be combined to QG8a_1_T1 and QG8b_1_T1 to include Don't know answers in the analyses.

(Header)

Could you please rate your feelings towards some countries, institutions, and people, with 0 meaning a very cold, unfavourable feeling, 100 meaning a very warm, favourable feeling and 50 meaning not particularly warm or cold- You can use any number from 0 to 100. If you have no opinion or have never heard of that country, please say so...

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QG9_1_T1 | X | X | | Greece – Rate your feelings |
| QG9_1rec_T1 | X | X | | Greece – Rate your feelings – Don't knows excluded |
| QG9_2_T1 | X | X | | Germany – Rate your feelings |
| QG9_2rec_T1 | X | X | | Germany – Rate your feelings – Don't knows excluded |
| QG9_3_T1 | X | X | | France – Rate your feelings |
| QG9_3rec_T1 | X | X | | France – Rate your feelings - Don't knows excluded |
| QG9_4_T1 | X | X | | Italy – Rate your feelings |
| QG9_4rec_T1 | X | X | | Italy – Rate your feelings - Don't knows excluded |
| QG9_5_T1 | X | X | | UK – Rate your feelings |
| QG9_5rec_T1 | X | X | | UK – Rate your feelings - Don't knows excluded |
| QG9_6_T1 | X | X | | Russia – Rate your feelings |
| QG9_6rec_T1 | X | X | | Russia – Rate your feelings - Don't knows excluded |

*Description**Code Value*

| | |
|-----|-------------------------------|
| 0 | Very cold |
| ... | |
| 50 | Not particularly warm or cold |
| ... | |
| 100 | Very warm |
| 997 | Don't know |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QG9_1_97_T1 | X | X | | Greece – Rate your feelings – Don't knows selected |
| QG9_2_97_T1 | X | X | | Germany – Rate your feelings – Don't knows selected |
| QG9_3_97_T1 | X | X | | France – Rate your feelings – Don't knows selected |
| QG9_4_97_T1 | X | X | | Italy – Rate your feelings – Don't knows selected |
| QG9_5_97_T1 | X | X | | UK – Rate your feelings – Don't knows selected |
| QG9_6_97_T1 | X | X | | Russia – Rate your feelings – Don't knows selected |

*Description**Code Value*

| | |
|---|--------------|
| 0 | Not selected |
| 1 | Selected |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| Rotation_QG9_1_T1 | X | X | | Greece – Rate your feelings – Asked first |
| Rotation_QG9_1_T1 | X | X | | Germany – Rate your feelings – Asked first |
| Rotation_QG9_1_T1 | X | X | | France – Rate your feelings – Asked first |
| Rotation_QG9_1_T1 | X | X | | Italy – Rate your feelings – Asked first |
| Rotation_QG9_1_T1 | X | X | | UK – Rate your feelings – Asked first |
| Rotation_QG9_1_T1 | X | X | | Russia – Rate your feelings – Asked first |

*Description**Code Value*

| | |
|---|--------------|
| 0 | Not selected |
| 1 | Selected |

Trust

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QG10_T1 | X | X | | Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted, or that you can't be too careful in dealing with others? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Most people can be trusted
- 2 You can't be too careful
- 98 Don't know

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QG11_T1 | X | X | | How much of the time do you think you can trust [COUNTRY] government to do what is right? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Just about always
- 2 Most of the time
- 3 Only some of the time
- 4 Never
- 98 Don't know

Social capital

(Header)

Do you actively participate in any of the following ...?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| QG12_1_T1 | X | X | | An association of any kind |
| QG12_2_T1 | X | X | | A trade union |
| QG12_3_T1 | X | X | | A political party |
| QG12_4_T1 | X | X | | A citizens' committee |
| QG12_5_T1 | X | X | | A church or religious community |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 98 Don't know

Leaders' specific question

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QG13_T1 | | | X | Some say that the processes of European integration over the last few years have made it harder for members of the national parliament to represent voters. Others, on the contrary, say that integration has made their role even easier. Which statement is closest to your feeling? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 [The] EU developments make it more difficult for a national MP like me to represent voters
- 2 [The] EU developments make it easier for a national MP like me to represent voters
- 3 [The] EU constraints do not have any impact on the role of national MPs
- 98 *Don't know*

Political capital and interest in politics

(Header)

Roughly how many times a week do you normally do the following activities?

Questions QG14_1_T4 through QG14_3_T4 were randomly rotated.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QG14_1_T4 | X | X | | Reading about politics and society in a newspaper |
| QG14_2_T4 | X | X | | Listening to or watching the news about politics and society on TV |
| QG14_3_T4 | X | X | | Listening to or watching the news about politics and society on social media (Facebook, Twitter, etc.) |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Never
- 2 Less than once a week
- 3 Once a week
- 4 2 times a week
- 5 3 times a week
- 6 4 times a week
- 7 5 times a week
- 8 6 times a week
- 9 Everyday
- 98 *Don't know*

(Header)

You find below a list of some opinions on politics that people sometimes express.
To what extent you agree or disagree with each of them?

Questions QG15_1_T4 through QG15_3_T4 were randomly rotated.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QG15_1_T4 | X | X | | People like me have no influence on what the government does |
| QG15_2_T4 | X | X | | Politicians don't really care what people like me think |
| QG15_3_T4 | X | X | | Sometimes politics is so complicated that I cannot understand what is really happening |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Strongly agree
- 2 Somewhat agree
- 3 Somewhat disagree
- 4 Strongly disagree
- 98 *Don't know*

Conspiracy thinking

(Header)

To what extent you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

Questions QG16_1_T4 through QG16_9_T4 were randomly rotated.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QG16_1_T4 | X | X | | We would be much better off now if our foreign affairs were conducted out in the open, for all to see, rather than secretly |
| QG16_2_T4 | X | X | | Most of the news we get from the press and the radio is deliberately slanted to mislead us |
| QG16_3_T4 | X | X | | I often feel that the really important matters are decided behind the scenes, by people we never even hear about |
| QG16_4_T4 | X | X | | The people think they govern themselves, but they really don't |
| QG16_5_T4 | X | X | | A secretive power elite with a globalist agenda is conspiring to eventually rule the world through an authoritarian world government, or New World Order |
| QG16_6_T4 | X | X | | Actually, it is not the government that runs the country: we Don't know who pulls the strings |
| QG16_7_T4 | X | X | | Some people say officials of the European Union are gradually seeking to take over all law-making powers in this country |
| QG16_8a_T4 | X | X | | The US administration of President Trump is behind the migrant crisis because it is in its interest to create chaos in Europe and split the Union's 28 member states over the issue |
| QG16_8b_T4 | X | X | | The Russian administration of President Putin is behind the migrant crisis because it is in its interest to create chaos in Europe and split the Union's 28 member states over the issue |
| QG16_8_T4 | X | X | | The [US/Russian] administration of President [Trump/Putin] is behind the migrant crisis because it is in its interest to create chaos in Europe and split the Union's 28 member states over the issue [Merged variable] |
| QG16_9a_T4 | X | X | | The [NATIONALITY] government is deliberately hiding the truth about how many immigrants really live in this country |
| QG16_9b_T4 | X | X | | The EU institutions are deliberately hiding the truth about how many immigrants really live in this country |
| QG16_9_T4 | X | X | | The [[NATIONALITY] government is/EU institutions are] deliberately hiding the truth about how many immigrants really live in this country [Merged variable] |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Strongly agree
- 2 Somewhat agree
- 3 Somewhat disagree
- 4 Strongly disagree
- 98 Don't know

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| SPLIT_QG16_8_T4 | X | X | | Random split for question QG16_8 |
| SPLIT_QG16_9_T4 | X | X | | Random split for question QG16_9 |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Text of question QG16_8a/9a_T4
- 2 Text of question QG16_8b/9b_T4

Solidarity – behavioural measure

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QG17_T4 | X | X | | Would you be willing to donate the totality or a portion of your incentive for completing this survey to a charitable organisation? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Yes, I would like to donate at least a portion to a charitable organisation
- 2 No, I would not like to donate at all

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QG17_1_T4 | X | X | | Please indicate the fraction of the incentive you would like to donate to the charitable organisation, by choosing any value between 1% and 100%. |

Description

Code Value

XXX %

Political tolerance

Answer options 1 through 9 were randomly rotated - options 10, 97 were kept at the end of the list. Options 98, 99 were hidden and shown on second screen if respondents tried to skip the question.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QG18_T4 | X | X | | Which of the groups listed below do you like the least, or is there some other group you like even less? |

| Description | Code | Value |
|-------------|------|--|
| | 1 | Fascists |
| | 2 | Neonazis |
| | 3 | Communists |
| | 4 | Homosexuals |
| | 5 | Jews |
| | 6 | Anti-globalisation activists |
| | 7 | Muslims |
| | 8 | Blacks |
| | 9 | Roma |
| | 10 | Other (Indicate) |
| | 97 | I prefer not to say which group I like least |
| | 98 | <i>I like all groups equally</i> |
| | 99 | <i>I do not like all groups equally</i> |
| | 100 | <i>Don't know</i> |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QG18_other_T4 | X | X | | Least liked group - Other |
| QG18_other_v_T4 | X | X | | Least liked group – Other (Verbatim of answers) |

| Description | Code | Value |
|-------------|------|--------|
| | | [Text] |

Questions asked if respondent answered 1 through 10 to QG18_T4 (indicated a least liked group)

(Header)

Please indicate to what extent you agree or disagree with the following statements

Questions QG19_1_T4 through QG19_3_T4 were randomly rotated.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QG19_1_T4 | X | X | | [Insert answer from QG18_T4] should be banned from electing representatives in parliament |
| QG19_2_T4 | X | X | | [Insert answer from QG18_T4] should be allowed to teach in public schools. |
| QG19_3_T4 | X | X | | I would feel comfortable about my son or daughter dating a member of [Insert answer from QG18_T4] group. |

| Description | Code | Value |
|-------------|------|-------------------|
| | 1 | Strongly agree |
| | 2 | Somewhat agree |
| | 3 | Somewhat disagree |
| | 4 | Strongly disagree |
| | 98 | <i>Don't know</i> |

Questions asked if respondent answered 97 to QG18_T4 (Prefer not to say)

(Header)

In the previous question, you mentioned that you preferred not to say which group of people you liked least. Thinking about the group of people you had in mind, please indicate to what extent you agree or disagree with the following statements.

Questions QG19b_1_T4 through QG19b_3_T4 were randomly rotated.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QG19b_1_T4 | X | X | | They should be banned from electing representatives in parliament |
| QG19b_2_T4 | X | X | | They should be allowed to teach in public schools. |
| QG19b_3_T4 | X | X | | I would feel comfortable about my son or daughter dating a member of this group. |

| Description | Code | Value |
|-------------|------|-------------------|
| | 1 | Strongly agree |
| | 2 | Somewhat agree |
| | 3 | Somewhat disagree |
| | 4 | Strongly disagree |
| | 98 | <i>Don't know</i> |

Anxiety arousal

Question asked only to half sample.

(Header)

According to experts, the combination of the economic downturn in some Southern European countries, the increasing migrants' flow in Europe and the isolationist stance of the Trump administration will have lasting effects on the EU as a whole, not seen since World War II. They highlight the fact that unemployment is likely to remain high for the next half-decade in several countries, the threat of terrorism will increase in our everyday life and the European countries can count only on themselves in facing Russia and the problems in the Middle East.

In the longer term, experts predict that European countries, ours included, will lose ground to foreign countries and for the first time since the World War II, young people growing up today will be worse off financially and less safe personally than their parents.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QG20_T4 | X | X | | To what extent do you agree or disagree with this view? |

| Description | Code | Value |
|-------------|------|-------------------|
| | 1 | Strongly agree |
| | 2 | Somewhat agree |
| | 3 | Somewhat disagree |
| | 4 | Strongly disagree |
| | 98 | <i>Don't know</i> |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------------------------|
| SPLIT_QG20_T4 | X | X | | Split variable QG20_T4 |

| Description | Code | Value |
|-------------|------|---------------------|
| | 1 | Show QG20_T4 |
| | 2 | Do not show QG20_T4 |

[NOTE: In wave 2 (T4), question QG8c/d on immigrants'/asylum seekers estimates appeared at this point]

Trust in official statistics

Half sample received this question after QG2_T4, the other half before QG21_T4 series.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------|------|-----|--------|---|
| QGTRUST_T4 | X | X | | Personally, how much trust do you have in the official statistics in [COUNTRY], such as the statistics on immigration? - Asked after QG2_T4 |
| QGTRUST_1_T4 | X | X | | Personally, how much trust do you have in the official statistics in [COUNTRY], such as the statistics on immigration? - Asked before QG21_T4 |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Complete trust
- 2 Mostly trust
- 3 Rarely trust
- 4 Never trust
- 98 DK

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------|------|-----|--------|------------------------|
| SPLIT_QGTRUST_T4 | X | X | | Split variable QGTRUST |

Description

Code Value

- 1 QGTRUST_T4 is shown after QG2_T4
- 2 QGTRUST_T4 is shown before QG21_T4

Experimental question on solidarity towards migrants

[2x2 experimental conditions: Immigrant or asylum seeker in question/ received or not received official statistics on immigrants/asylum seekers]

These splits identify those respondents who received the question on “anxiety arousal” (QG20) and one of the two versions of the first experimental condition (immigrants or asylum seekers in question).

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|------|-----|--------|--------------------------------------|
| SPLIT_QG21_1_T4 | X | X | | Split variable for QG21_T4 & QG20_T4 |
| SPLIT_QG21_2_T4 | X | X | | Split variable for QG21_T4 & QG20_T4 |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Receive QG21_1/2 (immigrants) & QG20 (Anxiety) [SPLIT_QG21_1_T4]/BUT NOT QG20 (Anxiety) [SPLIT_QG21_2_T4]
- 2 Receive QG21_3/4 (asylum seekers) & QG20 (Anxiety) [SPLIT_QG21_1_T4]/BUT NOT QG20 (Anxiety) [SPLIT_QG21_2_T4]

Those who received question QG8c (estimate on % of immigrants) received either questions QG21_1_1_T4 through QG21_1_4_T4 or QG21_1_2_T4 through QG21_2_4_T4.

Those receiving the correct information on % of immigrants were asked questions QG21_1_1_T4 through QG21_1_4_T4; those who did not receive the correct information on % of immigrants were asked questions QG21_1_1_T4 through QG21_1_4_T4.

(Header)

You estimated that [insert answer to QG8c_1_T4] % of the total [COUNTRY] population are immigrants from non-EU countries. According to the EUROSTAT, immigrants from non-EU countries account for XX% of the total [COUNTRY] population [Split – information shown only to respondents who will receive QG21_1_1_T4 through QG21_1_4_T4]

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------------|------|-----|--------|---|
| QG21_IMM_Percentage1_T4 | X | X | | Information on % of immigrants in country (string) |
| QG21_IMM_Percentage_T4 | X | X | | Information on % of immigrants in country (categoric) |

| Description | Code | Value |
|-------------|------|---------|
| | | [XXX %] |

QG21 (same header for all QG21 versions):

We will now ask you a series of questions regarding your opinions on immigrants and immigration policies. For each of these statements, please indicate whether you completely agree, tend to agree, tend to disagree or completely disagree?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------|------|-----|--------|---|
| QG21_1_1_T4 | X | X | | [COUNTRY] should offer assistance to people seeking a better standard of living |
| QG21_1_2_T4 | X | X | | Rules for accepting <u>migrants</u> should be the same throughout the European Union. |
| QG21_1_3_T4 | X | X | | The number of <u>migrants</u> should be equally shared among all EU Member States. |
| QG21_1_4_T4 | X | X | | [COUNTRY] should offer assistance to people seeking a better international protection |
| QG21_2_1_T4 | X | X | | [COUNTRY] should offer assistance to people seeking a better standard of living |
| QG21_2_2_T4 | X | X | | Rules for accepting <u>migrants</u> should be the same throughout the European Union. |
| QG21_2_3_T4 | X | X | | The number of <u>migrants</u> should be equally shared among all EU Member States. |
| QG21_2_4_T4 | X | X | | [COUNTRY] should offer assistance to people seeking a better international protection |

| Description | Code | Value |
|-------------|------|---------------------|
| | 1 | Completely agree |
| | 2 | Tend to agree |
| | 3 | Tend to disagree |
| | 4 | Completely disagree |
| | 98 | Don't know |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------------|------|-----|--------|---|
| SPLIT_INFO_IMM_T4 | X | X | | Information on % of immigrants in country (string) |
| QG21_IMM_Percentage_T4 | X | X | | Information on % of immigrants in country (categoric) |

Description

Code Value

[XXX %]

Those who received question QG8d (estimate on % of asylum seekers) received either questions QG21_3_1_T4 through QG21_3_4_T4 or QG21_4_2_T4 through QG21_4_4_T4.

Those receiving the correct information on % of asylum seekers were asked questions QG21_3_1_T4 through QG21_3_4_T4; those who did not receive the correct information on % of asylum seekers were asked questions QG21_4_1_T4 through QG21_4_4_T4.

(Header)

You estimated that [insert answer to QG8d_1_T4] % of the total [COUNTRY] population are asylum seekers. According to the EUROSTAT, asylum seekers account for XX% of the total [COUNTRY] population [Split – information shown only to respondents who will receive QG21_3_1_T4 through QG21_3_4_T4]

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------------|------|-----|--------|---|
| QG21_ASY_Percentage1_T4 | X | X | | Information on % of asylum seekers in country (string) |
| QG21_ASY_Percentage_T4 | X | X | | Information on % of asylum seekers in country (categoric) |

Description

Code Value

[XXX %]

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------|------|-----|--------|---|
| QG21_3_1_T4 | X | X | | [COUNTRY] should offer assistance to people seeking a better standard of living |
| QG21_3_2_T4 | X | X | | Rules for accepting <u>asylum seekers</u> should be the same throughout the European Union. |
| QG21_3_3_T4 | X | X | | The number of <u>asylum seekers</u> should be equally shared among all EU Member States. |
| QG21_3_4_T4 | X | X | | [COUNTRY] should offer assistance to people seeking a better international protection |
| QG21_4_1_T4 | X | X | | [COUNTRY] should offer assistance to people seeking a better standard of living |
| QG21_4_2_T4 | X | X | | Rules for accepting <u>asylum seekers</u> should be the same throughout the European Union. |
| QG21_4_3_T4 | X | X | | The number of <u>asylum seekers</u> should be equally shared among all EU Member States. |
| QG21_4_4_T4 | X | X | | [COUNTRY] should offer assistance to people seeking a better international protection |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Completely agree
- 2 Tend to agree
- 3 Tend to disagree
- 4 Completely disagree
- 98 Don't know

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------------|------|-----|--------|---|
| SPLIT_INFO_ASY_T4 | X | X | | Information on % of asylum seekers in country (string) |
| QG21_ASY_Percentage_T4 | X | X | | Information on % of asylum seekers in country (categoric) |

Description

Code *Value*

[XXX %]

9. PRE – and POST Deliberation surveys (Sections L, M, N, O, P, Q)

This set of questions were asked in the pre- and post- deliberation surveys (T2 and T3), to a subset of the panel that participated to the online deliberation *E-Voice*, held in October 2016. However, some of these questions were also asked in wave 2 of the survey (T4) after section G.

The pre- and post- deliberation surveys have been administered also to a control group, which did not participate to the online community, for the sake of controlled comparison.

NOTE: In Pre-Deliberation Survey respondents received questions according to the following sections' order: Socio-demographics; QA; QE; QB; QC; QD; QL;QM; QN; QO; QQ; QP; QR.

In Post-Deliberation Survey, the order was: Socio-demographics; QV; QE; QB;QC; QD; QL;QM; QN; QO; QQ; QP; QR; QS.

9.1. Section L: Perceptions of politicians and political process

Questions QL1 and QL2 were randomly rotated.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QL1_T2 | X | | | In their relationship with their voters, politicians may emphasize different aspects. Which of these two aspects do you think is most important? |
| QL1_T3 | X | | | In their relationship with their voters, politicians may emphasize different aspects. Which of these two aspects do you think is most important? |
| QL1_T4 | X | X | X | In their relationship with their voters, politicians may emphasize different aspects. Which of these two aspects do you think is most important? |

Description

Code *Value*

1 Translating the political views of citizens into policy as accurately as possible

2 Seeking support from the voters for the political views of their own party

98 *Don't know*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------|------|-----|--------|---|
| QL2_T2 | X | | | In our political system, elections have various functions. Which of these two functions do you think is most important? |
| QL2_T3 | X | | | In our political system, elections have various functions. Which of these two functions do you think is most important? |
| QL2_T4 | X | X | X | In our political system, elections have various functions. Which of these two functions do you think is most important? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 In elections, politicians account to the voters for their actions in the past
- 2 In elections, politicians put their plans for the future to the voters
- 98 *Don't know*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------|------|-----|--------|---|
| Rotation_QL1_2_T2 | X | | | Random order of rotation of questions QL1_T2 and QL2_T2 |
| Rotation_QL2_1_T2 | X | | | Random order of rotation of questions QL1_T2 and QL2_T2 |
| Rotation_QL1_2_T3 | X | | | Random order of rotation of questions QL1_T3 and QL2_T3 |
| Rotation_QL2_1_T3 | X | | | Random order of rotation of questions QL1_T3 and QL2_T3 |

Description

Code Value

- 1 QL1_T2/ QL1_T3 [or: QL2_T2/ QL2_T3] asked first
- 2 QL2_T2/ QL2_T3 [or: QL1_T2/ QL1_T3] asked second

(Header)

Some people say that what we need in Europe is for ordinary people to make political decisions for themselves about what needs to be done and how. Others say ordinary people are too busy and should instead allow elected politicians and officials to make all political decisions. Still others say a combination would be best.

Imagine a ten-point scale with 0 being 'ordinary people making all the decisions on their own' and 10 being 'elected politicians and officials making all the decisions on their own', while all other numbers indicate opinions somewhere in between.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------|------|-----|--------|---|
| QL3_T2 | X | | | Which number from 0 to 10 best represents how you think the system of governing Europe should work? |
| QL3_T3 | X | | | Which number from 0 to 10 best represents how you think the system of governing Europe should work? |
| QL3_T4 | X | X | | Which number from 0 to 10 best represents how you think the system of governing Europe should work? |

Description

Code Value

- 0 Ordinary people making all decisions on their own
- ...
- 10 Elected politicians and officials making all the decisions on their own
- 98 *Don't know*

9.2. Section M: Civil orientations towards conflict

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QM1_T2 | X | | | When you were growing up, roughly how often did your parents encourage you to give in during arguments rather than risk antagonizing people? |

Description

| Code | Value |
|------|------------|
| 1 | Often |
| 2 | Sometimes |
| 3 | Seldom |
| 4 | Never |
| 98 | Don't know |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------|------|-----|--------|---|
| QM2_T2 | X | | | How often did they have spirited discussions about controversial matters like politics or religion? |

Description

| Code | Value |
|------|------------|
| 1 | Often |
| 2 | Sometimes |
| 3 | Seldom |
| 4 | Never |
| 98 | Don't know |

9.3. Conflict avoidance scale

Some people are occasionally reluctant to talk about politics. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether it applies to you or not. I am sometimes reluctant to talk about politics...

Items QN1_1_T2 through QN3_T2 were randomly rotated.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------|------|-----|--------|---|
| QN_1_T2 | X | | | Because I don't like arguments |
| QN_2_T2 | X | | | Because there are people with whom you feel it is better not to discuss such topics |
| QN_3_T2 | X | | | Because I am not enough informed to talk about politics |

Description

| Code | Value |
|------|----------------------------|
| 1 | Strongly agree |
| 2 | Somewhat agree |
| 3 | Neither agree nor disagree |
| 4 | Somewhat disagree |
| 5 | Strongly disagree |
| 98 | Don't know |

9.4. Section P: Need for cognition

(Header)

For each of the statements below, please indicate to what extent the statement is characteristic of you.

Items QP_1_T2 through QP_6_T2 were randomly rotated.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QP_1_T2 | X | | | I would prefer complex to simple problems. |
| QP_2_T2 | X | | | I like to have the responsibility of handling a situation that requires a lot of thinking. |
| QP_3_T2 | X | | | I prefer to think about small, daily projects as opposed to long-term ones |
| QP_4_T2 | X | | | I really enjoy a task that involves coming up with new solutions to problems. |
| QP_5_T2 | X | | | I prefer my life to be filled with puzzles that I must solve |
| QP_6_T2 | X | | | It's enough for me that something gets the job done; I don't care how or why it works |

| Description | Code | Value |
|-------------|------|----------------------------|
| | 1 | Extremely uncharacteristic |
| | 2 | Somewhat uncharacteristic |
| | 3 | Uncertain |
| | 4 | Somewhat characteristic |
| | 5 | Extremely characteristic |
| | 98 | Don't know |

9.5. Section Q: Dogmatism

(Header)

Although there are a number of qualities that people feel children should have, every person thinks that some are more important than others. Below you find pairs of 'desirable' qualities. Please indicate which one you think is more important for a child to have.

Items QQ_1_T2 through QQ_4_T2 were randomly rotated

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------|------|-----|--------|------------------------------------|
| QQ_1_T2 | X | | | Independence or Respect for elders |
| QQ_2_T2 | X | | | Obedience or Self-reliance |
| QQ_3_T2 | X | | | Curiosity or Good manners |
| QQ_4_T2 | X | | | Consideration or good behaviour |

| Description | Code | Value |
|-------------|------|------------|
| | 1 | Quality 1 |
| | 2 | Quality 2 |
| | 98 | Don't know |

9.6. Section R: Political knowledge

(Header)

Now here are some questions to which not everyone may know the answer. If you come to one to which you Don't know the answer, just mark so, and move on to the next one.

For each of the following statements about the EU could you please indicate whether you think it is true or false?

Items QR1_1 through QR1_4 were randomly rotated⁴¹.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QR1_1_T2 | X | | | The members of the European Parliament are directly elected by the citizens of each Member State |
| QR1_1_T3 | X | | | The members of the European Parliament are directly elected by the citizens of each Member State |
| QR1_2_T2 | X | | | Switzerland is a Member State of the EU |
| QR1_2_T3 | X | | | Switzerland is a Member State of the EU |
| QR1_3_T2 | X | | | The EU's budget is determined jointly by the European Parliament and the Member States |
| QR1_3_T3 | X | | | The EU's budget is determined jointly by the European Parliament and the Member States |
| QR1_4_T2 | X | | | At the EU level, European laws (directives and regulations) have to be agreed jointly by the European Parliament and the Member States |
| QR1_4_T3 | X | | | At the EU level, European laws (directives and regulations) have to be agreed jointly by the European Parliament and the Member States |

Description

| Code | Value |
|------|------------|
| 1 | True |
| 2 | False |
| 98 | Don't know |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QR2_T2 | X | | | How are asylum applications currently processed in the EU? |
| QR2_T3 | X | | | How are asylum applications currently processed in the EU? |

Description

| Code | Value |
|------|--|
| 1 | <u>By the first country of arrival</u> |
| 2 | By the EU |
| 3 | By the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) |
| 4 | By the country where the migrant settles |
| 98 | Don't know |

⁴¹ Timestamps can be included in the analyses to control for the time used by the respondent to answer these questions.

| | | | | |
|--------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
| QR3_T2 | X | | | Which of the following is a potential risk of Quantitative Easing? |
| QR3_T3 | X | | | Which of the following is a potential risk of Quantitative Easing? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Increase in deflation
- 2 High interest rates
- 3 Depreciation of the Euro
- 4 There are no risks
- 98 *Don't know*

| | | | | |
|--------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
| QR4_T2 | X | | | Which of the following is the internationally recognized government in Libya? |
| QR4_T3 | X | | | Which of the following is the internationally recognized government in Libya? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 The Government of National Accord (GNA)
- 2 General National Congress (GNC)
- 3 Tobruk House of Representatives
- 4 There is no internationally recognized government
- 98 *Don't know*

| | | | | |
|--------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
| QR5_T2 | X | | | Which of the following is true about the ways in which immigration policy is currently made? |
| QR5_T3 | X | | | Which of the following is true about the ways in which immigration policy is currently made? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 The EU sets the basic rules about entry and residency requirements
- 2 The EU decides how many immigrants can be admitted to each country
- 3 Work permits for immigrants must be approved by the EU
- 4 The EU plays no role in immigration policy
- 98 *Don't know*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| Rotation_QR2_T2 | X | | | QR2_T2 - Random order of rotation of questions QR2_T2 through QR5_T2 |
| Rotation_QR2_T3 | X | | | QR2_T3 - Random order of rotation of questions QR2_T3 through QR5_T3 |
| Rotation_QR3_T2 | X | | | QR3_T2 - Random order of rotation of questions QR2_T2 through QR5_T2 |
| Rotation_QR3_T3 | X | | | QR3_T3 - Random order of rotation of questions QR2_T3 through QR5_T3 |
| Rotation_QR4_T2 | X | | | QR4_T2 - Random order of rotation of questions QR2_T2 through QR5_T2 |
| Rotation_QR4_T3 | X | | | QR4_T3 - Random order of rotation of questions QR2_T3 through QR5_T3 |
| Rotation_QR5_T2 | X | | | QR5_T2 - Random order of rotation of questions QR2_T2 through QR5_T2 |
| Rotation_QR5_T3 | X | | | QR5_T3 - Random order of rotation of questions QR2_T3 through QR5_T3 |

Description

Code Value

- 1 QR[2 through 5] asked first
- 2 QR[2 through 5] asked second
- 3 QR[2 through 5] asked third
- 4 QR[2 through 5] asked fourth

9.7. Section S: Event's evaluation

Briefing material

Asked only to respondents who were part of discussion groups A or B.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QS1_T3 | X | | | How much of the briefing material you were sent would you say you have read? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Have not read it or have just glanced at it
- 2 Have read less than half of it
- 3 Had read about half of it
- 4 Had read more than half of it
- 5 Had read all or nearly all of it
- 98 *Don't know*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QS2_T3 | X | | | On a 0 to 10 scale, where '0' means "the briefing material clearly favoured some positions over others" and '10' means "completely balanced", and '5' is exactly "in the middle", how balanced or not would you say the briefing material was? |

Description

Code Value

- 0 Clearly favoured some positions over others
- ...
- 10 Completely balanced
- 98 *Don't know*

Inputs' evaluation

These questions were asked only to those participants labeled as "Active" (see variable ActivityRate_T3).

(Header)

On a 0 to 10 scale, where '0' means "a complete waste of time", '10' means "extremely useful" and '5' is "exactly in the middle", how useful or not were each of the following elements in clarifying your thinking about these issues?

Items QS3_1_T3 through QS3_5_T3 were randomly rotated.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QS3_1_T3 | X | | | Participating in the group discussions |
| QS3_2_T3 | X | | | Participating in the sessions with experts and receiving their answers |
| QS3_3_T3 | X | | | Participating in the sessions with politicians and receiving their answers [Asked only if respondent is part of discussion group A or discussion group C] |
| QS3_4_T3 | X | | | The briefing document [Asked only if respondent is part of discussion group A or discussion group B] |
| QS3_5_T3 | X | | | The event as a whole |

Description

| Code | Value |
|------|------------------------|
| 0 | Complete waste of time |
| ... | |
| 10 | Extremely useful |
| 98 | Don't know |

(Header)

On a 0 to 10 scale, where '0' means "completely disagree", '10' means "completely agree", and '5' is "exactly in the middle", how strongly would you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| QS4_1_T3 | X | | | The members of my group participated equally in the discussion |
| QS4_2_T3 | X | | | Sometimes my group moderator tried to influence the group with her/ his ideas |
| QS4_3_T3 | X | | | My group moderator tried to make sure that opposing arguments were considered |
| QS4_4_T3 | X | | | I learned a lot about people different from me – about who they are and how they live |
| QS4_5_T3 | X | | | I had ample opportunity in the small group discussions to express my views |
| QS4_6_T3 | X | | | I kept some of my thoughts to myself for fear of the reactions of others |
| QS4_7_T3 | X | | | My fellow participants respected what I had to say, even when they didn't agree |
| QS4_8_T3 | X | | | Overall, I feel that people expressed what was truly on their mind |
| QS4_9_T3 | X | | | Many people expressed strong views without offering justifications |
| QS4_10_T3 | X | | | Most people had made up their minds and the discussion had little effect on them |

Description

| Code | Value |
|------|---------------------|
| 0 | Completely disagree |
| ... | |
| 10 | Completely agree |
| 98 | Don't know |

9.8. Section V: Policy proposals

In the last days of the online community E-Voice, participants were given the opportunity to formulate policy proposals to address the immigration, economic and security crises; the debate and the interactions with experts and politicians provided grounds for thoughtful reflections on such proposals. By the end of the event, a selection of proposals for each issue was subjected to the participants' vote in the post-deliberation survey, who were asked to indicate the most effective policy strategies among those proposed.

(Header)

Representing 10 different European countries, 30 online deliberative communities from the EUENGAGE project proposed a number of policy strategies to address the **migration** crisis. Which of the following policy strategies do you consider the most effective to address the **migration** crisis? Please select the most effective, the second most effective and the third most effective.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------|------|-----|--------|---|
| QV1_1_T3 | X | | | Most effective policy strategy to address the migration crisis |
| QV1_2_T3 | X | | | Second most effective policy strategy to address the migration crisis |
| QV1_3_T3 | X | | | Third most effective policy strategy to address the migration crisis |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Create a unified European customs and border control agency
- 2 Centralize the asylum application process at an EU level instead of by the country of arrival
- 3 Establish an EU fund for refugees. The money will be proportionally distributed depending on to the number of migrants a country accommodates
- 4 Strengthen EU coordination in the fight against criminal organizations who smuggle migrants across borders
- 5 Impose sanctions on EU member states that refuse to host migrants and make the redistribution of the EU financial sources conditional on the acceptance of national quotas
- 6 Accelerate the identification procedures and the deportation of those who were not granted asylum status
- 7 Redistribute the flow of migrants across member states according to objective criteria such as a countries' GDP, number of inhabitants, and the size of the country.
- 8 Increase foreign aid to migrant's country of origin
- 9 Create a referendum at the EU level deciding whether to take in more refugees or to increase foreign aid to migrant's country of origin
- 10 European Universities should accept a small amount of migrants offering accommodation and education in order to integrate them into society
- 11 Re-establish national borders within the EU and limiting the movement of foreigners who are already in Europe

(Header)

Representing 10 different European countries, 30 online deliberative communities from the EUENGAGE project proposed a number of policy strategies to address the **economic** crisis. Which of the following policy strategies do you consider the most effective to address the **economic** crisis? Please select the most effective, the second most effective and the third most effective.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------|------|-----|--------|--|
| QV2_1_T3 | X | | | Most effective policy strategy to address the economic crisis |
| QV2_2_T3 | X | | | Second most effective policy strategy to address the economic crisis |
| QV2_3_T3 | X | | | Third most effective policy strategy to address the economic crisis |

Description

Code

Value

- 1 Reduce the costs of the EU bureaucracy (e.g. stop the shuttle between Brussels and Strasbourg)
- 2 Strengthen oversight and regulations in the EU on international corporations to ensure that they are equally treated across the entire EU
- 3 Increase spending for Research and Development in all EU countries
- 4 Eurozone countries should hold a referendum whether or not to keep the Euro as its currency
- 5 Decrease the power of the EU Commission and increase the power of the member states
- 6 Establish the Eurobond
- 7 Increase transparency for trade negotiations at the EU level
- 8 Establish the Eurobond, that is to say government bonds issued by Eurozone countries
- 9 Increase transparency for trade negotiations at the EU level
- 10 Continue austerity policies in countries with a financial deficit
- 11 Countries in financial need should not be able to negotiate the measures to adopt to obtain financial assistance
- 12 Financial Literacy courses and workshops should be mandatory in schools in order to increase citizens' financial awareness and knowledge
- 13 Create a basic income for all citizens at the EU level
- 14 Adopt measures to avoid social and economic dumping within the EU such as equalizing the cost of living, taxation, vat, introducing a minimum wage, etc.
- 15 Strengthen the European Economic Union by developing a common fiscal policy
- 16 Create a new Union for the weak southern, Mediterranean countries
- 17 Discontinue the austerity policies of the EU in order to boost growth of countries in need
- 18 Countries in financial need such as Portugal should demand negotiations with the EU to review the measures imposed on them to obtain financial assistance
- 19 Create stronger and more transparent regulations in the financial market (e.g. common EU tax on financial transactions, including derivatives; fight tax impunity and tax evasion; harmonize business laws)
- 20 Digitalise the economy at the EU level by mandating that all economic transactions must be digital

(Header)

Representing 10 different European countries, 30 online deliberative communities from the EUENGAGE project proposed a number of policy strategies to address the **security** crisis. Which of the following policy strategies do you consider the most effective to address the **security** crisis? Please select the most effective, the second most effective and the third most effective.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| QV3_1_T3 | X | | | Most effective policy strategy to address the security crisis |
| QV3_2_T3 | X | | | Second most effective policy strategy to address the security crisis |
| QV3_3_T3 | X | | | Third most effective policy strategy to address the security crisis |

Description

Code Value

- 1 To make Europe a global power, create a United States of Europe with a federal constitution like the United States
- 2 Create a centralized European intelligence agency to handle both domestic and international threats
- 3 Create a joint EU army
- 4 Make the EU speak with one voice on foreign and security policy
- 5 Remove the EU economic sanctions against Russia
- 6 In order to solve issues, the EU should be a mediator between the Russia and the USA

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| Rotation_QV1_T3 | X | | | QV1 - Random order of rotation of blocks QV1 through QV3 |
| Rotation_QV2_T3 | X | | | QV2 - Random order of rotation of blocks QV1 through QV3 |
| Rotation_QV3_T3 | X | | | QV3 - Random order of rotation of blocks QV1 through QV3 |

Description

Code Value

- 1 QV1[QV2/QV3] asked first
- 2 QV1[QV2/QV3] asked second
- 3 QV1[QV2/QV3] asked third

9.9. Online community E-Voice identifiers

Participants to the online community E-Voice were divided into 3 groups per country, for a total of 30 groups. For linguistic reasons, people could interact with other participants from the same country; for each national group, people were then randomly assigned to one of the three smaller sub-groups that have been created in the platform, named A, B and C – each of them ranging from 3 to 16 members. Each sub-group received different combinations of inputs. Groups A and C had the opportunity to interact with both politicians and experts, while group B interacted with experts only; groups A and B received briefing material on the three topics, while group C did not receive any background information to stimulate discussion.

Participation rate to the online community was evaluated by considering their active involvement in the community’s tasks, namely: interaction with the other participants by posting messages and comments, two games, questions to experts and politicians (where available), formulation of policy proposals. Furthermore, we considered at least 15-30 minutes per day as minimum of required daily activity over the 11 days of duration of the online community.

Based on such assessment, participants were classified into: participant (took part to all tasks and were active on all days for at least 15-30 minutes); partially active (took part in one of the two games and left some comments/were active on 6 out of 11 days); partially inactive (participated in both games, but left almost no comments in the discussion room); Not Participant-1 task (people who completed only one task – either a game, or left a comment in the discussion room); Not participant 0 tasks (this latter group includes also those who in Participation rate was used to calculate the incentive to be assigned to each panelist as a reward for their participation (see variable ParticipationStatus_T3).

The variable on participation rate should not be confused with that on participants’ activity: those who accessed the platform and participated to at least one of the tasks (either a game or left a comment in the discussion room) were considered as “active” and eligible to answer to questions to evaluate the experience. Those who have not accessed the platform at all are classified as “Inactive”; finally, if invited participants have accessed the platform but not participated in any discussion⁴², they are coded as “Accessed”.

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------|---------------|---|
| Group_T3 | Mass X | B2B | Elites | Variable label Discussion group |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | 1 | Group A |
| | | | 2 | Group B |
| | | | 3 | Group C |
| ParticipationStatus_T3 | Mass X | B2B | Elites | Variable label Participation rate - participants' degree of involvement |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | 1 | Participant |
| | | | 2 | Partial – 2 tasks (active) |
| | | | 3 | Partial – 2 tasks (non-active) |
| | | | 4 | Not participant – 1 task |
| | | | 5 | Not participant – 0 task |

⁴² This means that those who participated to at least one game, but did not leave any comment in the platform, were considered as “Accessed”.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| Activity_T3 | X | | | Active vs. inactive participants |

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 Active
- 2 Inactive
- 3 Accessed

10. Sociodemographic variables

10.1. Miscellaneous

In both waves 1 (T1) and 2 (T4), questions H1, H2, H5, H9 and M1 through M3 were asked at the beginning of the questionnaire. The other sociodemographic questions were asked at the end of the questionnaire, after predispositions.

Question H7 was asked at the beginning in wave 1 (T1) and at the end on wave 2 (T4).

| | Mass | B2B | Elites⁴³ | Variable label |
|--------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| H1_T1 | X | X | X | Gender – Are you ...? |
| H1_T2 | X | | | Gender – Are you ...? |
| H1_T3 | X | | | Gender – Are you ...? |
| H1_T4 | X | X | X | Gender – Are you ...? |

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 Male
- 2 Female

(Mass sample only) In order to ensure that the respondent of wave 2 (T4) was the same person who responded in wave 1 (T1), because of the panel design, the questionnaire introduced a data check system.

In wave 2 (T4), if the information in H1 (Gender) did not correspond to that of wave 1, the respondent returned to the first screen and was invited to either check the answer or forward the link to the right person.

The respondent received the following disclaimer:

“Are you sure you inserted your data correctly? It is very important that the person who answers this survey is the same person who answered the June 2016 questionnaire. Please, forward this link to the right person, if it is not you. Thank you very much for your cooperation!”

By clicking on the link a second time, the questionnaire restarted from the first screen, asking the right respondent questions H1 again. A maximum of 2 extra attempts was allowed.

The following variables keep track of the different attempts to input the correct answer.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| hQGENDER_1_T4 | X | X | | Gender – Are you ...? – First attempt on introductory screen |
| hQGENDER_2_T4 | X | X | | Gender – Are you ...? – Second attempt on introductory screen |
| hQGENDER_3_T4 | X | X | | Gender – Are you ...? – Third attempt on introductory screen |

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 Male
- 2 Female

⁴³ For the elite sample, this information has been dropped from the public file for anonymization.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites⁴⁴ | Variable label |
|-------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| H2_T1 | X | X | X | What year were you born? |
| H2_T2 | X | | | What year were you born? |
| H2_T3 | X | | | What year were you born? |
| H2_T4 | X | X | X | What year were you born? |

Description *Code* *Value*
[YYYY]

The same procedure used to check respondents' identity from Wave 1 (T1) to Wave 2 (T4) was used also with the variable "Year of birth".

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| hQYEAR_1_T4 | X | X | | Year - What year were you born? – First attempt on introductory screen |
| hQYEAR_2_T4 | X | X | | Year - What year were you born? – Second attempt on introductory screen |
| hQYEAR_3_T4 | X | X | | Year - What year were you born? – Third attempt on introductory screen |

Description *Code* *Value*
[YYYY]

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| Age_cat_T1 | X | X | X | Age - categorical (5 categories) |
| Age_cat_T2 | X | | | Age - categorical (5 categories) |
| Age_cat_T3 | X | | | Age - categorical (5 categories) |
| Age_cat_T4 | X | X | X | Age - categorical (5 categories) |
| hQYEAR_cat_1_T4 | X | X | | Year categorical – First attempt on introductory screen |
| hQYEAR_cat_2_T4 | X | X | | Year categorical – Second attempt on introductory screen |
| hQYEAR_cat_3_T4 | X | X | | Year categorical – Third attempt on introductory screen |

Description *Code* *Value*
1 18-24
2 25-39
3 40-54
4 55-64
5 65+

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| Age_cat_2_T2 | X | | | Age - categorical used for quotas (3 categories) |
| Age_cat_2_T3 | X | | | Age - categorical used for quotas (3 categories) |

Description *Code* *Value*
1 18-39
2 40-54
5 55+

⁴⁴ For the elite sample, this information has been dropped from the public file for anonymization.

| | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|------------|---------------|---|
| checkid_3_T3 | Mass X | B2B | Elites | Variable label Data on gender and age compared across Wave 1, pre-and post-deliberation |
|---------------------|------------------|------------|---------------|---|

Description

| | |
|-------------|---|
| <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| 0 | Data from Wave 1, pre- and post-deliberation do not match |
| 1 | Data from Wave 1, pre- and post-deliberation match |
| 98 | <i>Pre- but not post-deliberation</i> |
| 99 | <i>No pre- deliberation</i> |

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|------------|--------------------|--|
| H3_T1 | Mass X | B2B | Elites X | Variable label Do you consider yourself to be...? (Religion) |
|--------------|------------------|------------|--------------------|--|

Description

| | |
|-------------|---|
| <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| 1 | Muslim |
| 2 | Roman Catholic |
| 3 | Protestant including Baptist, Episcopal |
| 4 | Orthodox Church (Greek or Russian) |
| 5 | Jewish |
| 6 | Mormon (including Church of Jesus Christ) |
| 7 | Buddhist |
| 8 | Hinduist |
| 9 | Other Christian religion |
| 10 | Other religion [SPECIFY] |
| 11 | No religion, not a believer, atheist |
| 97 | Prefer not to say |
| 98 | <i>Don't know</i> |

| | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------|------------|--------------------|---|
| H3_other_T1 | Mass X | B2B | Elites X | Variable label Other religion (verbatim of answers) |
|--------------------|------------------|------------|--------------------|---|

Description

| | |
|-------------|--------------|
| <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | [Text] |

| | | | | |
|--------------|-------------|------------|--------------------|--|
| H4_T1 | Mass | B2B | Elites X | Variable label Apart from special occasions such as weddings and funerals, roughly how often do you attend religious services? |
| H4_T4 | X | X | X | Apart from special occasions such as weddings and funerals, roughly how often do you attend religious services? |

Description

| | |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| 1 | Every day |
| 2 | More than once a week |
| 3 | Once a week |
| 4 | At least once a month |
| 5 | Only on special holidays |
| 6 | Not very often |
| 7 | Never |
| 8 | No religion, not a believer, agnostic |
| 97 | <i>Refusal</i> |
| 98 | <i>Don't know</i> |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| H5_T1 | X | X | X | What is the highest degree or level of education that you have completed? If currently enrolled, highest degree received. |
| H5_T2 | X | | | What is the highest degree or level of education that you have completed? If currently enrolled, highest degree received. |
| H5_T3 | X | | | What is the highest degree or level of education that you have completed? If currently enrolled, highest degree received. |
| H5_T4 | X | X | X | What is the highest degree or level of education that you have completed? If currently enrolled, highest degree received. |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Elementary (primary) school or below
- 2 Some high (secondary) school education
- 3 Graduation from high (secondary) school
- 4 Graduation from college, university or other third-level institute
- 5 Post-graduate degree (Masters, PHD) beyond your initial college degree
- 98 *Prefer not to say/Refusal*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| H5_rec_T1 | X | X | | Education recoded - based on International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED) |

Description

Code Value

- 1 ISCED 0-1-2
- 2 ISCED 3-4
- 3 ISCED 5+
- 99 *Prefer not to say*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------|-------------|------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| H5_rec_T2 | X | | | Education recoded – 3 categories |
| H5_rec_T3 | X | | | Education recoded – 3 categories |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Elementary (primary) school or below
- 2 Graduation from high (secondary) school
- 3 Graduation from college, university
- 99 *Prefer not to say*

The procedure used to check respondents' identity from Wave 1 (T1) to Wave 2 (T4) indicated above (see variables on gender and year of birth) was used also with the variable "Education".

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| hQEDUCATION_1_T4 | X | X | | Education - First attempt on introductory screen |
| hQEDUCATION_2_T4 | X | X | | Education – Second attempt on introductory screen |
| hQEDUCATION_3_T4 | X | X | | Education – Third attempt on introductory screen |
| <i>Description</i> | | | | |
| | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | 1 | Elementary (primary) school or below |
| | | | 2 | Some high (secondary) school education |
| | | | 3 | Graduation from high (secondary) school |
| | | | 4 | Graduation from college, university or other third-level institute |
| | | | 5 | Post-graduate degree (Masters, PHD) beyond your initial college degree |
| | | | 98 | Prefer not to say/Refusal |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| H6_T1 | | | X | How old were you when you stopped full-time education? |
| H6_T4 | X | X | | How old were you when you stopped full-time education? |
| <i>Description</i> | | | | |
| | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | XX | |

In wave 2 (T4), when respondents answered 99 (Prefer not to say) to H6, they were solicited to provide an answer. Their answer can be coded as 99 if respondents refused to indicate the age when they stopped full time education at the last attempt.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| hQEDU_AGE_2_T4 | X | X | | No answer H6 – second attempt |
| hQEDU_AGE_3_T4 | X | X | | No answer H6 – third attempt |
| hQEDU_AGE_99_T4 | X | X | | No answer H6 – last attempt |
| <i>Description</i> | | | | |
| | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | 0 | Not selected |
| | | | 1 | Selected |
| <i>Description</i> | | | | |
| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
| H7_T1 | X | X | | As far as your current occupation is concerned, would you say you are self-employed, an employee, a manual worker or would you say that you are without a professional activity? |
| H7_T4 | X | X | | As far as your current occupation is concerned, would you say you are self-employed, an employee, a manual worker or would you say that you are without a professional activity? |
| <i>Description</i> | | | | |
| | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | 1 | Self employed |
| | | | 2 | Employee |
| | | | 3 | Manual worker |
| | | | 4 | Without a professional activity |
| | | | 97 | Other (Specify) |
| | | | 99 | Prefer not to say |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| H7_97_other_T1 | X | X | | Verbatim of 'Other' answers (=97) to question H7 |
| H7_97_other_T4 | X | X | | Verbatim of 'Other' answers (=97) to question H7 |

Description

Code Value

[Text]

This is the full version of variable H7 above: respondents who indicated a professional activity from category 1 (Self-employed) through 4 (without professional activity) could select one option to further specify their professional activity⁴⁵.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| H7b_T1 | X | X | | As far as your current occupation is concerned, would you say you are self-employed, an employee, a manual worker or would you say that you are without a professional activity? |
| H7b_T4 | X | X | | As far as your current occupation is concerned, would you say you are self-employed, an employee, a manual worker or would you say that you are without a professional activity? |

Description

Code Value

Self employed

- 1 Farmer, forester, fisherman
- 2 Owner of a shop, craftsman
- 3 Professional (lawyer, medical practitioner, accountant, architect, etc.)
- 4 Manager of a company
- 5 **Other (SPECIFY)**

Employee

- 6 Professional (employed doctor, lawyer, accountant, architect)
- 7 General management, director or top management
- 8 Middle management
- 9 Civil servant
- 10 Office clerk
- 11 Other employee (salesman, nurse, etc.)
- 12 **Other (SPECIFY)**

Manual worker

- 13 Supervisor \ foreman (team manager, etc.)
- 14 Manual worker
- 15 Unskilled manual worker
- 16 **Other (SPECIFY)**

Without a professional activity

- 17 Looking after the home
- 18 Student (full-time)
- 19 Retired
- 20 Seeking a job
- 21 **Other (specify)**

⁴⁵ Users might find useful to carefully check the verbatim of H7_97_other variable, to consider including some answers within the categories provided in H7b variable.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| H7b_5_other_T1 | X | X | | Verbatim of 'Other' answers (=5) to question H7b |
| H7b_5_other_T4 | X | X | | Verbatim of 'Other' answers (=5) to question H7b |
| H7b_12_other_T1 | X | X | | Verbatim of 'Other' answers (=12) to question H7b |
| H7b_12_other_T4 | X | X | | Verbatim of 'Other' answers (=12) to question H7b |
| H7b_16_other_T1 | X | X | | Verbatim of 'Other' answers (=16) to question H7b |
| H7b_16_other_T4 | X | X | | Verbatim of 'Other' answers (=16) to question H7b |
| H7b_21_other_T1 | X | X | | Verbatim of 'Other' answers (=21) to question H7b |
| H7b_21_other_T4 | X | X | | Verbatim of 'Other' answers (=21) to question H7b |

Description

Code *Value*

[Text]

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| H7_elite_T1 | | | X | What kind of occupation did you have when you were elected to the parliament for the first time? |
| H7_elite_T4 | | | X | What kind of occupation did you have when you were elected to the parliament for the first time? |

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 Top civil servant (above head of department positions in ministries)
- 2 Junior civil servant
- 3 Politician (party leader paid party employee)
- 4 Top leader of firms, banks (above head of department)
- 5 Leader, medium position (head of department)
- 6 Leader, lower position (below head of department, controlling others' work)
- 7 Professional (with higher education, in non-managerial position)
- 8 Entrepreneur, self-employed, business proprietor (including farmers)
- 9 White collar (at desk without higher education)
- 10 Employed not at desk, but travelling (salesman, driver)
- 11 Employed not at desk, but in a service job (hospital, restaurant, police, etc.)
- 12 Skilled manual
- 13 Unskilled manual (including employed workers in the primary sector)
- 14 Non active
- 15 Other (specify)**
- 98 *Refusal*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| H7b_elite_T1 | | | X | Sector of occupation |
| H7b_elite_T4 | | | X | Sector of occupation |

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 Public
- 2 Nationalized industry
- 3 Private industry
- 4 Private services
- 5 Not for profit/charitable
- 6 None
- 97 *Refusal/not asked*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-------|-------------|------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| H8_T1 | X | | | What is your nationality? |
| H8_T4 | X | X | | What is your nationality? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 [NATIONALITY] – Precode from COUNTRY
- 2 Other EU country (please, specify)
- 3 Non- EU country (please, specify)
- 4 If more than one nationality, please specify
- 99 Refusal**
- 11 Czech⁴⁶
- 12 French
- 13 German
- 14 Italian
- 15 Dutch
- 16 Polish
- 17 Portuguese
- 18 Spanish
- 19 British
- 20 Greek

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------|-------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| H8_1_T4 | X | X | | Actual nationality |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Czech
- 2 French
- 3 German
- 4 Italian
- 5 Dutch
- 6 Polish
- 7 Portuguese
- 8 Spanish
- 9 British
- 10 Greek

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| H8_2_other_T1 | X | | | 'Other EU country' - Verbatim (H8=2) |
| H8_2_other_T4 | X | X | | 'Other EU country' - Verbatim (H8=2) |
| H8_3_other_T1 | X | | | Non EU country' - Verbatim (H8=3) |
| H8_3_other_T4 | X | X | | Non EU country' - Verbatim (H8=3) |
| H8_4_other_T1 | X | | | More than one nationality' - Verbatim (H8=4) |
| H8_4_other_T4 | X | X | | More than one nationality' - Verbatim (H8=4) |

Description

Code Value

[Text]

⁴⁶ Nationality is reported only in H8_T1; in H8_T4 it can be obtained by crosstabulating this variable with variable COUNTRY or H8_1_T4.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| H9_T1 | X | X | | Which region do you come from? |
| H9_T4 | X | X | | Which region do you come from? |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | 1 | Unique code for region (see data) |
| | | | ... | |
| | | | 135 | |
| | | | | |
| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
| H10_T1 | X | | | In which type of locality do you live in? |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | 1 | Metropolitan zone |
| | | | 2 | Other town/urban centre |
| | | | 3 | Rural zone |
| | | | | |
| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
| H11_T1 | | | X | Have you ever lived for more than six months outside [COUNTRY]? |
| H11_T4 | X | X | X | Have you ever lived for more than six months outside [COUNTRY]? |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | 1 | Yes, in another EU country |
| | | | 2 | Yes, in a country outside the EU |
| | | | 3 | No, I have never lived outside [COUNTRY] |
| | | | 98 | Refusal |
| | | | | |
| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
| H12_T1 | | | X | How frequently were you in contact with institutional political actors from another European Union country last year? |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | 1 | At least once a week |
| | | | 2 | At least once a month |
| | | | 3 | At least once every three months |
| | | | 4 | At least once last year |
| | | | 5 | No contacts last year |
| | | | 98 | Don't know |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| H13_T1 | | | X | How often do you use media from another country to inform yourself? |
| H13_T4 | X | X | X | How often do you use media from another country to inform yourself? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Every day
- 2 Once a week
- 3 From time to time
- 4 Never
- 98 *Don't know*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| H14_T1 | X | | | What is your approximate yearly household income before taxes and other deductions? |
| H14_T1 | X | X | | What is your approximate yearly household income before taxes and other deductions? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Less than 10,000 euros
- 2 10,001 – 20,000 euros
- 3 20,001 – 30,000 euros
- 4 30,001 – 40,000 euros
- 5 40,001 – 50,000 euros
- 6 50,001 – 60,000 euros
- 7 60,001 – 70,000 euros
- 8 70,001 – 80,000 euros
- 9 80,001 – 90,000 euros
- 10 90,001 – 100,000 euros
- 11 More than 100,000 euros
- 98 *Don't know*
- 99 *Prefer not to say*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| H15_T4 | X | X | | Which of these corresponds to where your parents were born? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Your mother and father were born in (COUNTRY)
- 2 One of your parents was born in (COUNTRY) and the other in another country
- 3 Your mother and father were born in a country other than (COUNTRY)
- 99 *Prefer not to say*

Experimental question [2x1] Manipulation of the economic crisis as reason for moving (present/absent)

In view of the economic crisis, have you ever thought about moving away from your home country for a year or more, or even permanently?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| H16_a_T4 | X | X | | <u>In view of the economic crisis...</u> |
| H16_b_T4 | X | X | | Have you ever thought about ... |
| <i>Description</i> | | | | <i>Code Value</i> |
| | | | | 1 Yes, you've given it a lot of consideration |
| | | | | 2 Yes, you've given some consideration |
| | | | | 3 Yes, you currently live abroad |
| | | | | 4 Yes, you've previously lived abroad |
| | | | | 5 No, you've never thought about it |
| | | | | 98 <i>Don't know</i> |
| | | | | |
| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
| SPLIT_H16_T4 | X | X | | Split variable H16_T4 |
| <i>Description</i> | | | | <i>Code Value</i> |
| | | | | 1 H16a_T4 |
| | | | | 2 H16b_T4 |
| | | | | |
| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
| H16_T4 | X | X | | Which of these corresponds to your current personal situation? |
| <i>Description</i> | | | | <i>Code Value</i> |
| | | | | 1 Married |
| | | | | 2 Living with a partner |
| | | | | 3 Single |
| | | | | 4 Divorced |
| | | | | 5 Widow |
| | | | | 99 Prefer not to say |
| Asked if H17_T4=1,2,4 or 5 | | | | |
| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
| H17_1_T4 | X | X | | Is/was your spouse/partner from ...? |
| <i>Description</i> | | | | <i>Code Value</i> |
| | | | | 1 [COUNTRY] |
| | | | | 2 Another EU country |
| | | | | 3 A non-EU country |
| | | | | 99 <i>Prefer not to say</i> |

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| H18_T4 | Mass X | B2B X | Elites | Variable label How many languages, in addition to your mother tongue, do you speak well enough to hold a conversation? |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 1 language
- 2 2 languages
- 3 3 languages
- 4 More than 3 languages
- 5 No other language
- 99 *Prefer not to say*

| | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|------------|--------------------|--|
| H19_elite_T4 | Mass | B2B | Elites X | Variable label How frequently were you in contact with institutional political actors from another European Union country last year? |
| H19_1_T4 | X | X | | In the last year, how frequently were you in contact, in your professional capacity, with anyone based in an EU country other than [COUNTRY]? |
| H19_2_T4 | X | X | | In the last year, how frequently were you in contact, in your professional capacity, with anyone based in a non-EU country? |

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 At least once a week
- 2 At least once a month
- 3 At least once every three months
- 4 At least once last year
- 5 No contacts last year
- 99 *Don't know*

| | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| H20_T4 | Mass X | B2B X | Elites | Variable label Which of the following best describes your accommodation? |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 Owned without mortgage (i.e. without any loans)
- 2 Owned with mortgage
- 3 Rented, from social, municipal or non-profit housing provider
- 4 Rented, from private landlord or company
- 5 Other
- 99 *Don't know*

(Header)

Which of the following financial products do you have?

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| H21_1_T4 | X | X | | Current bank account |
| H21_2_T4 | X | X | | Savings account |
| H21_3_T4 | X | X | | Mortgage loan |
| H21_4_T4 | X | X | | Credit card |
| H21_5_T4 | X | X | | Personal loan |
| H21_6_T4 | X | X | | Shares or bonds |
| H21_7_T4 | X | X | | Investment funds |
| H21_8_T4 | X | X | | Life insurance |
| H21_9_T4 | X | X | | Car insurance |
| H21_10_T4 | X | X | | Private health insurance |
| H21_11_T4 | X | X | | Other insurance products and services |
| H21_12_T4 | X | X | | None |
| H21_99_T4 | X | X | | Refusal |

Description

Code *Value*

- 0 Not selected
- 1 Selected

10.2. Business elites' background variables

These questions were asked at the beginning of the questionnaire)

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------------|------|-----|-------------|--|
| M1_T1 | | X | | Which of the following best describes the main activity of your company? |
| M1_T4 | | X | | Which of the following best describes the main activity of your company? |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | 1 | Agriculture, forestry and fishing |
| | | | 2 | Mining and quarrying |
| | | | 3 | Manufacturing |
| | | | 4 | Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply |
| | | | 5 | Water supply; sewerage ; waste management |
| | | | 6 | Construction |
| | | | 7 | Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles and motor-cycles |
| | | | 8 | Transportation and storage |
| | | | 9 | Accommodation and food service activities |
| | | | 10 | Information and communication |
| | | | 11 | Financial and insurance activities |
| | | | 12 | Real estate activities |
| | | | 13 | Professional, scientific and technical activities |
| | | | 14 | Administrative and support service activities |
| | | | 15 | Public administration and defence |
| | | | 16 | Education |
| | | | 17 | Human health and social work activities |
| | | | 18 | Arts, entertainment and recreation |
| | | | 19 | Other service activities |
| | | | 20 | Activities of households as employers |
| | | | 21 | Activities of extraterritorial organisations and bodies |
| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
| M2_T1 | | X | | What was your company turnover last year? |
| M2_T4 | | X | | What was your company turnover last year? |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | 1 | Less than €2 m. |
| | | | 2 | Between €2 m. and €10 m. |
| | | | 3 | Between €10 m. and €50 m. |
| | | | 4 | More than €50 m. |
| | | | 98 | Don't know |

If respondent answered "Don't know" to M2

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------------|------|-----|-------------|----------------------------|
| M2b_T1 | | X | | Please provide an estimate |
| M2b_T4 | | X | | Please provide an estimate |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | 1 | Less than €2 m. |
| | | | 2 | Between €2 m. and €10 m. |

- 3 Between €10 m. and €50 m.
- 4 More than €50 m.
- 98 *Don't know*

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------|------|-----|--------|--|
| M3_T1 | | X | | How many employees work in your company? |
| M3_T4 | | X | | How many employees work in your company? |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Less than 10.
- 2 Between 10 and 49
- 3 Between 50. and 249
- 4 More than 250
- 98 *Don't know*

10.3. Politicians' background variables

Precode

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------------------|------|-----|--------|--------------------|
| Type_politician_T1 | | | X | Type of politician |
| Type_politician_T4 | | | X | Type of politician |

Description

Code Value

- 1 Member of National Parliament
- 2 Member of European Parliament

Precode

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| Role_politician_T1 | | | X | Role of politician |
| Role_politician_T4 | | | X | Role of politician |

Description

| <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|-------------|--------------|
| 1 | Deputy |
| 2 | Senator |

Precode

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| Role_politician_T1 | | | X | Role of politician |
| Role_politician_T4 | | | X | Role of politician |

Description

| <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|-------------|--------------|
| 1 | Deputy |
| 2 | Senator |

Precode

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| Party_of_election_T1 | | | X | Party of election |
| Party_of_election_T4 | | | X | Party of election |

Description

| <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|-------------|---|
| 1 | Conservative Party |
| 2 | Labour Party |
| 3 | Scottish National Party |
| 5 | Democratic Unionist Party |
| 7 | Plaid Cymru - Party of Wales |
| 9 | Ulster Unionist Party |
| 10 | UK Independence Party |
| 11 | Green Party of England and Wales |
| 13 | Ceska Strana Socialne Demokraticka |
| 15 | TOP 09 |
| 16 | Komunisticka Strana Cech a Moravy |
| 17 | Obcanska Demokraticka Strana |
| 18 | usvit - Narodni Koalice |
| 19 | Křestanská a demokratická unie – Československá strana lidová |
| 42 | Parti socialiste |
| 43 | Parti radical de Gauche |
| 44 | Europe Ecologie - Les Verts |
| 48 | Les Républicains (anciennement UMP) |
| 49 | Front National |
| 50 | CDU - Christlich Demokratische Union |
| 51 | SPD - Sozialdemokratischen Partei Deutschlands |
| 52 | Linke - Die Linke |
| 53 | Grüne - Bündnis 90 / Die Grünen |
| 54 | CSU - Christlich-Soziale Union |
| 56 | AfD - Alternative für Deutschland |
| 59 | Sinaspismos Rizospastikis Aristeras |
| 60 | Nea Dimokratia |
| 61 | Khrisi Avgi |
| 62 | Panellinio Sosialistiko Kinima - Dimokratiki Aristera |
| 64 | To Potami |
| 65 | Anexartiti Ellines |
| 66 | Enosi Kentroon |

- 70 Partito Democratico
- 71 Movimento 5 Stelle
- 72 *Popolo della Libertà***
- 73 Scelta Civica
- 74 Lega Nord
- 75 Sinistra Ecologia Libertà - Sinistra italiana
- 77 Fratelli d'Italia - Alleanza Nazionale
- 84 Südtiroler Volkspartei
- 90 Volkspartij voor Vrijheid en Democratie
- 91 Partij van de Arbeid
- 92 Partij voor de Vrijheid
- 93 Socialistische Partij
- 94 Christen Democratisch Appél
- 95 Democraten 66
- 96 Christen Unie
- 97 Groen Links
- 98 Staatkundig Gereformeerde Partij
- 104 Prawo i Sprawiedliwość
- 105 Platforma Obywatelska
- 106 Kukiz'15
- 107 Nowoczesna
- 111 Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe
- 115 Partido Popular Democràtico/Partido Social Democrata
- 116 Partido Socialista
- 117 Bloco de Esquerda
- 119 Partido Comunista Português
- 121 Pessoas-Animais-Natureza
- 122 Partido da Terra
- 123 *Partido Popular***
- 124 Partido Socialista Obrero Español
- 125 Podemos
- 126 Ciudadanos - Partido de la Ciudadana
- 128 *Esquerra Republicana de Catalunya***
- 129 *Democràcia i Llibertat (antes: Convergència Democràtica de Catalunya)***
- 130 Partido Nacionalista Vasco - Eusko
- 136 Coalición Compromis
- 137 En comu - Podem
- 155 Akce nespokojených občanů (ANO)
- 156 Mouvement Démocrate
- 157 Association PSLE - Nouveau Centr
- 158 *Forza Italia***
- 159 Movimento associativo Italiani all
- 160 Kongres Nowej Prawicy (KNP)
- 161 Centro Democràtico e Social/Partido Popular (CDS-PP)
- 162 *Nova Esquerra Catalana***
- 163 Compromis-Podemos-EUPV (C-P-EUPV)
- 164 *Partido Aragonés***
- 165 Iniciativa per Catalunya Verds
- Union des radicaux, centristes, indépendants et démocrates
- 180 (URCID)
- 197 UPyD (Union, Progreso y Democracia)
- 181 HPP 11
- 182 Pirati
- 183 Severocesí cz
- 184 Sloboda a Prima Democracie

| | |
|------------|--|
| 185 | Starostove a Nezavisli (STAN) |
| 186 | Strana Zelenych |
| 187 | Debout la France |
| 188 | La France Insoumise |
| 189 | La Republique en Marche |
| 190 | Parti Communiste Francais |
| 191 | Freie Demokratische Partei |
| 192 | Centro Democratico |
| 193 | Stella Alpina |
| 194 | Wolni i Solidarni |
| 195 | Partido ecologista Os verdes |
| 196 | Convergencia Democratica de Catalunya |
| 197 | UPyD (Union, Progreso y Democracia) |
| 198 | Prawica Rzeczypospolitej |
| 199 | Mniejszość Niemiecka |
| 666 | Not affiliated |

This variable was created as basis on which weights were computed. This variable merges those parties that formed joint coalitions at elections.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------------------------|------|-----|-------------|--|
| Party_of_election_rec_T1 | | | X | Party of election recoded for weighting |
| Party_of_election_rec_T4 | | | X | Party of election recoded for weighting |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | ... | Same codes as Party_of_election – with corrections ⁴⁷ |

Precode

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------------|------|-----|-------------|---------------------------|
| Party_group_T1 | | | X | Parliamentary group |
| Party_group_T4 | | | X | Parliamentary group |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | 1 | See codes for QG2 and QG3 |
| | | | ... | |

⁴⁷ *Popolo della libertà* includes *Forza Italia*; *Esquerra Republicana de Catalunya* includes *Nova Esquerra Catalana*; *Partido Popular* includes *Partido Aragonés*; *Convergencia Democratica de Catalunya* includes *Democracia i Llibertat*.

Precode

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| Party_family_T1 | | | X | European Parliament affiliation |
| Party_family_T4 | | | X | European Parliament affiliation |

Description

| <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|-------------|----------------------|
| 1 | Radical right |
| 2 | Conservative |
| 3 | Liberal |
| 4 | Christian Democratic |
| 5 | Socialist |
| 6 | Radical left |
| 7 | Green |
| 8 | Regionalist |
| 9 | No family |
| 10 | Confessional |
| 11 | Agrarian/center |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| EP_affiliation_T1 | | | X | European Parliament affiliation |
| EP_affiliation_T4 | | | X | European Parliament affiliation |

Description

| <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|-------------|--|
| 1 | European People's Party (Christian Democrats) |
| 2 | Progressive Alliance of Socialists and Democrats |
| 3 | European Conservatives and Reformists |
| 4 | Alliance of Liberals and Democrats for Europe |
| 5 | European United Left - Nordic Green |
| 6 | Greens/European Free Alliance |
| 7 | Europe of Freedom and Direct Democracy |
| 8 | Europe of Nations and Freedom |
| 9 | Non attached |

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| EL1_T1 | | | X | For how many years have you been a member of parliament now? |
| EL1_T4 | | | X | For how many years have you been a member of parliament now? |

Description

| <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
|-------------|--------------|
| | [XX] |
| 998 | Refusal |

Questions asked if information was not available

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| EL2_1_T1 | | | X | Have you ever been a city or town councillor or a member of a provincial or regional assembly? |
| EL2_1_T4 | | | X | Have you ever been a city or town councillor or a member of a provincial or regional assembly? |
| EL2_2_T1 | | | X | Have you ever been a mayor or city executive or a member of a provincial or regional executive? |
| EL2_2_T4 | | | X | Have you ever been a mayor or city executive or a member of a provincial or regional executive? |
| EL2_3_T1 | | | X | Have you previously held a top governmental position? [above head of department, i.e., junior minister or minister] |
| EL2_3_T4 | | | X | Have you previously held a top governmental position? [above head of department, i.e., junior minister or minister] |

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 98 Refusal

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| EL4_T4 | | | X | How should, in your opinion, a MP vote if his/her voters have one opinion, and his/her party a different opinion? |

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 Vote with the party
- 2 Else
- 97 Prefer not to say

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| EL5_T4 | | | X | Would you say that you belong to those who more frequently than others, about as often or less often than others at roll calls in the Parliament votes differently than the majority of your own party? |

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 More often than others
- 2 About as often as others
- 3 Less often than others
- 97 Prefer not to say

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---|
| EL6_T4 | | | X | Generally speaking, how would you characterize your work in parliament: Do you primarily concentrate on the shaping of your party's decisions, or are you more interested in issues outside party politics? |

Description

Code *Value*

- 1 Shaping of party's decisions
- 2 Issues outside party politics
- 97 Prefer not to say
- 98 Don't know

| EL7_T4 | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|--------------------|------|-----|-------------|--|
| | | | X | Some say that the processes of European integration over the last few years have made it harder for members of the national parliament to represent voters. Others, on the contrary, say that integration has made their role even easier. Which statement is closest to your feeling? |
| <i>Description</i> | | | <i>Code</i> | <i>Value</i> |
| | | | 1 | The EU developments make it more difficult for a national MP like me to represent voters do not have any impact on the role of national MPs |
| | | | 2 | The EU developments make it easier for a national MP like me to represent voters The EU constraints |
| | | | 3 | The EU constraints do not have any impact on the role of national MPs |
| | | | 98 | <i>Don't know</i> |

11. Weights

11.1. General population's weights

A first set of weights was calculated based on age, gender, region, and education (gp_wt1) for the general population. Except for education, which was just introduced as a weighting RIM, all of these were used as quotas in fieldwork monitoring.

A second weighting variable was then calculated for the general population sample by including **internet usage** as a fifth weighting RIM (int_wt1).

Other weights within these sets, i.e. gp_wt2, gp_wt3, also help researchers to isolate different country groups by bringing population size into the iteration. For example, if one wanted to achieve an estimate for a question for euro area countries in the survey, then they could use gp_wt2.

In terms of **capping**, researchers are provided both with absolute value weights and with sets of capped weights, which were capped between 0.2 and 5.0 and then refactored so that the sum of weights is equal to the unweighted sample size. So, in sum, there are four key demographic weights: gp_wt1 (pure demographic), gp_wt1_cap (capped between 0.2-5.0), int_wt1 (internet use and demographic), int_wt1_cap (capped between 0.2-5.0).

The remaining 32 weights are based on the former four but introduce population sizes so that country group totals (i.e. opinion of people in euro area countries) can be estimated.

For the construction of these 36 variables, a **rim weighting procedure** was run against the population figures. The procedure was executed separately for each country. Rim weighting consists of iterations whereby sample counts for each weight variable class are adjusted to fit the actual population proportions (marginal percentages), using as the initial values the result of the previous adjustment.

This rim weighting procedure was chosen over an interlocked weighting design, for two main reasons. First, because interlocking three or four variables would have created too many quota cells and weighting cells. Indeed, in some countries, the region variable counted more than 10 possible values, each of which would have had to be crossed against gender (2 classes), age (5 classes) and possibly the education (3 classes). The sample size per country is insufficient to comply with the underlining assumption of this model that no cell count is equal to zero. The second reason is that, being a nonprobability sample and as shown in the tables before, some groups of population are misrepresented in the sample; thus, a cell-oriented approach would have led to even more extreme weights.

Wave 1 (T1)

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------|------|-----|--------|---|
| gp_wt1_T1 | X | | | Gen Pop Country-level weights |
| gp_wt2_T1 | X | | | Gen Pop Euro area weights (FR, DE, EL, IT, NL, PT, ES) |
| gp_wt3_T1 | X | | | Gen Pop Non-euro area weights (CZ, PL, UK) |
| gp_wt4_T1 | X | | | Gen Pop Founding weights (FR, DE, IT, NL) |
| gp_wt5_T1 | X | | | Gen Pop Non-founding weights (CZ, EL, PL, PT, ES, UK) |
| gp_wt6_T1 | X | | | Gen Pop North weights (UK) |
| gp_wt7_T1 | X | | | Gen Pop South weights (ES, PT, IT, EL) |
| gp_wt8_T1 | X | | | Gen Pop East weights (CZ, PL) |
| gp_wt9_T1 | X | | | Gen Pop West weights (FR, DE, NL) |
| gp_wt1_cap_T1 | X | | | Gen Pop Country-level weights - CAPPED |
| gp_wt2_cap_T1 | X | | | Gen Pop Euro area weights (FR, DE, EL, IT, NL, PT, ES) - CAPPED |
| gp_wt3_cap_T1 | X | | | Gen Pop Non-euro area weights (CZ, PL, UK) - CAPPED |
| gp_wt4_cap_T1 | X | | | Gen Pop Founding weights (FR, DE, IT, NL) - CAPPED |
| gp_wt5_cap_T1 | X | | | Gen Pop Non-founding weights (CZ, EL, PL, PT, ES, UK) - CAPPED |
| gp_wt6_cap_T1 | X | | | Gen Pop North weights (UK) - CAPPED |
| gp_wt7_cap_T1 | X | | | Gen Pop South weights (ES, PT, IT, EL) - CAPPED |
| gp_wt8_cap_T1 | X | | | Gen Pop East weights (CZ, PL) - CAPPED |
| gp_wt9_cap_T1 | X | | | Gen Pop West weights (FR, DE, NL) - CAPPED |
| int_wt1_T1 | X | | | Internet Users Country-level weights |
| int_wt2_T1 | X | | | Internet Users Euro area weights (FR, DE, EL, IT, NL, PT, ES) |

| | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| int_wt3_T1 | X | Internet Users Non-euro area weights (CZ, PL, UK) |
| int_wt4_T1 | X | Internet Users Founding weights (FR, DE, IT, NL) |
| int_wt5_T1 | X | Internet Users Non-founding weights (CZ, EL, PL, PT, ES, UK) |
| int_wt6_T1 | X | Internet Users North weights (UK) |
| int_wt7_T1 | X | Internet Users South weights (ES, PT, IT, EL) |
| int_wt8_T1 | X | Internet Users East weights (CZ, PL) |
| int_wt9_T1 | X | Internet Users West weights (FR, DE, NL) |
| int_wt1_cap_T1 | X | Internet Users Country-level weights - CAPPED |
| int_wt2_cap_T1 | X | Internet Users Euro area weights (FR, DE, EL, IT, NL, PT, ES) - CAPPED |
| int_wt3_cap_T1 | X | Internet Users Non-euro area weights (CZ, PL, UK) - CAPPED |
| int_wt4_cap_T1 | X | Internet Users Founding weights (FR, DE, IT, NL) - CAPPED |
| int_wt5_cap_T1 | X | Internet Users Non-founding weights (CZ, EL, PL, PT, ES, UK) - CAPPED |
| int_wt6_cap_T1 | X | Internet Users North weights (UK) - CAPPED |
| int_wt7_cap_T1 | X | Internet Users South weights (ES, PT, IT, EL) - CAPPED |
| int_wt8_cap_T1 | X | Internet Users East weights (CZ, PL) - CAPPED |
| int_wt9_cap_T1 | X | Internet Users West weights (FR, DE, NL) – CAPPED |

Description

Code

Value

[XXXX]

Wave 2 (T4)

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| gp_wt1_T4 | X | | | Gen Pop Country-level weights |
| gp_wt2_T4 | X | | | Gen Pop Euro area weights (FR, DE, EL, IT, NL, PT, ES) |
| gp_wt3_T4 | X | | | Gen Pop Non-euro area weights (CZ, PL, UK) |
| gp_wt4_T4 | X | | | Gen Pop Founding weights (FR, DE, IT, NL) |
| gp_wt5_T4 | X | | | Gen Pop Non-founding weights (CZ, EL, PL, PT, ES, UK) |
| gp_wt6_T4 | X | | | Gen Pop North weights (UK) |
| gp_wt7_T4 | X | | | Gen Pop South weights (ES, PT, IT, EL) |
| gp_wt8_T4 | X | | | Gen Pop East weights (CZ, PL) |
| gp_wt9_T4 | X | | | Gen Pop West weights (FR, DE, NL) |
| gp_wt1_cap_T4 | X | | | Gen Pop Country-level weights - CAPPED |
| gp_wt2_cap_T4 | X | | | Gen Pop Euro area weights (FR, DE, EL, IT, NL, PT, ES) - CAPPED |
| gp_wt3_cap_T4 | X | | | Gen Pop Non-euro area weights (CZ, PL, UK) - CAPPED |
| gp_wt4_cap_T4 | X | | | Gen Pop Founding weights (FR, DE, IT, NL) - CAPPED |
| gp_wt5_cap_T4 | X | | | Gen Pop Non-founding weights (CZ, EL, PL, PT, ES, UK) - CAPPED |
| gp_wt6_cap_T4 | X | | | Gen Pop North weights (UK) - CAPPED |
| gp_wt7_cap_T4 | X | | | Gen Pop South weights (ES, PT, IT, EL) - CAPPED |
| gp_wt8_cap_T4 | X | | | Gen Pop East weights (CZ, PL) - CAPPED |
| gp_wt9_cap_T4 | X | | | Gen Pop West weights (FR, DE, NL) – CAPPED |
| int_wt1_T4 | X | | | Internet Users Country-level weights |
| int_wt2_T4 | X | | | Internet Users Euro area weights (FR, DE, EL, IT, NL, PT, ES) |
| int_wt3_T4 | X | | | Internet Users Non-euro area weights (CZ, PL, UK) |
| int_wt4_T4 | X | | | Internet Users Founding weights (FR, DE, IT, NL) |
| int_wt5_T4 | X | | | Internet Users Non-founding weights (CZ, EL, PL, PT, ES, UK) |
| int_wt6_T4 | X | | | Internet Users North weights (UK) |
| int_wt7_T4 | X | | | Internet Users South weights (ES, PT, IT, EL) |
| int_wt8_T4 | X | | | Internet Users East weights (CZ, PL) |
| int_wt9_T4 | X | | | Internet Users West weights (FR, DE, NL) |
| int_wt1_cap_T4 | X | | | Internet Users Country-level weights - CAPPED |
| int_wt2_cap_T4 | X | | | Internet Users Euro area weights (FR, DE, EL, IT, NL, PT, ES) - CAPPED |
| int_wt3_cap_T4 | X | | | Internet Users Non-euro area weights (CZ, PL, UK) - CAPPED |
| int_wt4_cap_T4 | X | | | Internet Users Founding weights (FR, DE, IT, NL) - CAPPED |
| int_wt5_cap_T4 | X | | | Internet Users Non-founding weights (CZ, EL, PL, PT, ES, UK) - CAPPED |
| int_wt6_cap_T4 | X | | | Internet Users North weights (UK) - CAPPED |
| int_wt7_cap_T4 | X | | | Internet Users South weights (ES, PT, IT, EL) - CAPPED |
| int_wt8_cap_T4 | X | | | Internet Users East weights (CZ, PL) - CAPPED |
| int_wt9_cap_T4 | X | | | Internet Users West weights (FR, DE, NL) – CAPPED |

Description

Code

Value

[XXXX]

11.2. Businesspeople's weights

The first wave (T1) included a set of weights also for businesspeople. They were divided by respondents interviewed before and after Brexit referendum (see variable SPLIT_BREXIT_T1), and uncapped and capped versions. Other two weights combine the pre and post Brexit interviews, both in the capped and uncapped version. Weights are calculated only on the interviews that have been considered as valid according to the criteria earlier described (see variable w1validbe_T1).

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|
| Bus_PRE_UNC_T1 | | X | | Size/Sector weights (uncapped) - Pre wave |
| Bus_POST_UNC_T1 | | X | | Size/Sector weights (uncapped) - Post wave |
| Bus_PRE_CAP_T1 | | X | | Size/Sector weights (capped) - Pre wave |
| Bus_POST_CAP_T1 | | X | | Size/Sector weights (capped) - Post wave |
| Bus_COMB_UNC_T1 | | X | | Size/Sector weights (uncapped) - Combined |
| Bus_COMB_CAP_T1 | | X | | Size/Sector weights (capped) – Combined |

Description

Code

Value

[XXXX]

11.3. Politicians' weights

A first set of weight was computed in wave 1 (T1), based on the number of MEPs.

In both Waves 1 (T1) and 2 (T4), a second set was computed, based on the share of seat obtained by each party at the legislative elections (Lower Chambers). They were computed by considering the frequencies of variables "Party_of_elections_rec_T1/T4". These variables combine those parties that formed joint coalitions at elections.

Data on seats' shares were taken from: <http://www.parties-and-elections.eu/index.html>.

Researchers are provided also with capped weights. We cap the weight distribution roughly at the top percentile by setting the largest raw weights to, respectively 1.6448 (T1) and 2.0436 (T4).

We recommend researchers using the second set of weights (w elite).

It should be noted that the dataset also includes interviews to members of the European Parliament. Furthermore, in a few cases, respondents were elected in parties that were not represented in their countries' lower chambers. In those cases, the assigned weight value is 1.

To exclude these cases from the analyses using weight, variables "Type_of_politician" can be used as filter.

| | Mass | B2B | Elites | Variable label |
|---------------------------------------|------|-----|--------|---|
| WEPSIZE_COMPLETED | | | X | Weight country size in the European Parliament (based on number of EMPs) - STATUS OF INTERVIEW: COMPLETED |
| WEPSIZE_85COMPLETED | | | X | Weight country size in the European Parliament (based on number of EMPs) - STATUS OF INTERVIEW: 85%-100% |
| WEPSIZE_70COMPLETED | | | X | Weight country size in the European Parliament (based on number of EMPs) - STATUS OF INTERVIEW: 70%-100% |
| WEPAFF_COMPLETED | | | X | Weight party affiliation in the European Parliament - STATUS OF INTERVIEW: COMPLETED |
| WEPAFF_85COMPLETED | | | X | Weight party affiliation in the European Parliament - STATUS OF INTERVIEW: 85%-100% |
| WEPAFF_70COMPLETED | | | X | Weight party affiliation in the European Parliament - STATUS OF INTERVIEW: 70%-100% |
| WEP_SIZE_AFF_COMPLETED_UNCAP | | | X | Weights Country size in the EP x Party affiliation in the EP - STATUS OF INTERVIEW: COMPLETED - UN-CAPPED |
| WEP_SIZE_AFF_85COMPLETED_UNCAP | | | X | Weights Country size in the EP x Party affiliation in the EP - STATUS OF INTERVIEW: 85%-100% - UN-CAPPED |
| WEP_SIZE_AFF_70COMPLETED_UNCAP | | | X | Weights Country size in the EP x Party affiliation in the EP - STATUS OF INTERVIEW: 70%-100% - UN-CAPPED |
| WEP_SIZE_AFF_COMPLETED_CAP | | | X | Weights Country size in the EP x Party affiliation in the EP - STATUS OF INTERVIEW: COMPLETED - CAPPED |
| WEP_SIZE_AFF_85COMPLETED_CAP | | | X | Weights Country size in the EP x Party affiliation in the EP - STATUS OF INTERVIEW: 85%-100% - CAPPED |
| WEP_SIZE_AFF_70COMPLETED_CAP | | | X | Weights Country size in the EP x Party affiliation in the EP - STATUS OF INTERVIEW: 70%-100% - CAPPED |

| Description | | Code | Value [XXXX] |
|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| | Mass B2B | Elites | Variable label |
| W_elite_T1 | | X | Weights for elite target based on seats' share - valid interviews only |
| W_elite_cap_T1 | | X | Capped weights for elite target based on seats' share - valid interviews only |
| W_elite_T4 | | X | Weights for elite target based on seats' share - valid interviews only |
| W_elite_cap_T4 | | X | Capped weights for elite target based on seats' share - valid interviews only |

| Description | Code | Value [XXXX] |
|-------------|------|-----------------|
|-------------|------|-----------------|

12. CHES data

This dataset includes variables from the CHES data on party positioning. They can be used as independent variables in several analyses controlling for ideological orientations.

For each wave (indicated by postfixes T1 or T4), there are three set of CHES data:

- 1) Variables with prefix “vi_”: match party positioning with the party indicated by respondent as vote intention (QG2).
- 2) Variables with prefix “pid_”: match party positioning with the party indicated by respondent as preferred party (QG3).
- 3) Variables with prefix “QG3a_”: match party positioning with the party indicated by respondent as party s/he had in mind when answering to questions on positioning the preferred party on policy dimensions (QG3a- Wave 1 only).
- 4) Variables with no prefix: match party positioning with politicians’ party of election.

Variables (prefix_)_merge indicate whether:

- *a party is available in EUENGAGE survey but not in CHES (_merge ==1)
- * if a party is available in CHES but not in EUENGAGE survey (_merge ==2)
- * if a party is available in both CHES and EUENGAGE (successful match) (_merge ==3)

We recommend researchers to double check the list of non-matched parties (see variables [prefix]_party_id/party_name): in a few cases, parties indicated by respondents as “other” and recorded in the verbatim can be retrieved and matched with the corresponding party values.