# **A Possible Alignment Between the Orbits of Planetary Systems and their Visual Binary Companions**

Sam Christian<sup>1,2</sup>, Andrew Vanderburg<sup>1,3</sup>, Juliette Becker<sup>4\*</sup>, Daniel Yahalomi<sup>5</sup>, Logan Pearce<sup>6‡</sup>, George Zhou<sup>7</sup>, Karen **Collins8, Adam Kraus9, and the TESS team**

<sup>1</sup>Massachusetts Institute of Technology; <sup>2</sup>Liberal Arts and Science Academy; <sup>3</sup>University of Wisconsin Madison; <sup>4</sup>California Institute of Technology; <sup>5</sup>Columbia University; <sup>6</sup>University of Arizona; University of Southern Queensland; <sup>8</sup>University of Texas at Austin; \*51 Pegasi b Fellow; <sup>‡</sup>NSF Graduate Research Fellow

## **67 TESS Exoplanets in Gaia DR2 Visual Binary Systems; 960 systems in control**



We used the El-Badry & Rix (2018) catalog as our base binary sample. Systems with possibly incorrect astrometric parameters were removed. We also constructed a control sample of binary systems from the El-Badry & Rix (2018) catalog. The control sample was chosen to match the properties of the binary systems with exoplanets (Figure 1). **Statistically significant alignment (p = 0.0048) between planets and binaries**

All Exoplanets discovered using the transiting method have inclinations of approx. 90°. Thus, relative inclination between binary system and exoplanet can be measured as |90-i[binary]|. **Overabundance of |90-i[binary]| near 0 indicates a preference for**  There is a clear

**alignment.**



overabundance of aligned systems around 0° compared to the control sample (expected random PDF of inclination is sin[i]). A KS test between binaries with exoplanets and control sample returns a p-value of 0.0048

#### **Alignment observed primarily below 700 AU**



There is a larger fraction of aligned systems below 700 AU (this dividing line has large error due to the sparse number of observations). A KS test of systems below 700 AU compared to those above 700 AU returns a p-value of 0.0172.

### **Possible Explanation for Alignment**

We believe the most likely explanation for the alignment is a torque from the binary companion (Batygin 2012), causing the protoplanetary disk to oscillate and dissipate energy, moving to its lowest energy state of alignment with the binary system.<br>Recent theoretical



Θ

#### **References**

Batygin, K. 2012, Nature, doi: 10.1038/nature11560 El-Badry, K., & Rix, H.-W. 2018, doi: <u>10.1093/mnras/sty2186</u><br>Pearce, L. A., et al., doi: 10.3847/153<mark>8-4357/ab8389</mark> Roisin, A., et al.. 2021, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2107

- work suggests this
- explanation could explain the alignment
- $\frac{1}{2}$ (Roisin et al. 2021) and intriguingly, the
- $\overline{n}$ aligned population has
- $-30$ tim[escales of](https://github.com/logan-pearce/lofti_gaiaDR2) rotation
- $\frac{1}{20}$ of the protoplanetary
- disk less than the  $10$ lifetime of the

protoplanetary disk (the dashed line in Figure 6).

QR Code (link here) to the LOFTI code, a program central to our analysis.

## **Orbital inclination of binaries inferred from relative proper motion**



If the relative proper motion vectors are parallel to the plane connecting the two stars in the binary system, the system has an inclination of 90° (aligned with the exoplanet). **Figure 2**

Constraining the orbit of a system requires knowledge of the total mass of the system. In order to determine the masses of each star, we use isochrone fitting on Gaia photometry and spectroscopy – when available -- from various sources.



We use the LOFTI Python package (Pearce et al. 2020), a Monte-Carlo based sampling Phase method, to constrain the orbits of our systems. 100 orbits from a LOFTI fit are shown in Figure 3.