

# Results comparison for pure POPC and pure POPE simulations using MacRog Force Field

*Mars, 2020*

*Paula Milán Rodríguez, Patrick F.J. Fuchs*

*Laboratoire des Biomolécules (LBM), Sorbonne Université, CNRS, ENS, Paris.*

We aimed to carry out POPC/POPE 1:1 simulation using MacRog Force Field (1) for NMRLipids IVb project. The .itp files were obtained from the authors, from the paper doi: 10.1016/j.dib.2016.03.067 (2). We realized that there were several problems related to these files , specifically:

pope.itp :

- The lipid in this file is OPPE, not POPE
- The atom C28 is not connected to the previous atom (bonds, angles, dihedrals, pairs were not included)
- There were two atoms called C27

popc.itp:

- Some impropers are missing leading to non planar systems for double bonds, in particular : the carbonyls of sn-1 and sn-2 as well as the double bond of oleoyl

We corrected these errors to perform POPC:POPE 1:1 simulation. To ensure the reliability of our .itp files, we performed a pure POPC simulation and a pure POPE simulation. The order parameter results of these two simulations, are compared in this document to two other simulations :

- Pure POPC simulation with MacRog FF carried out by Matti Javanainen for NMRLipids I (3).
- Pure POPE simulation with MacRog FF carried out y Matti Javanainen for NMRLipids IVb.

The popc.itp file used by MJ was obtained directly form the authors but it has not been published. The pope.itp was obtained from the publication mentioned above and corrected by MJ. In the next pages of this document, the two sets of simulations are compared. The similarity of the OP results obtained for the two pure POPC simulations and the two POPE simulations, confirm the reliability of our corrected popc.itp and pope.itp.

## MacRog pure POPC MD simulation

	NMRLipids I Matti Javanainen	PMilanRodriguez & PFuchs
Temperature (K)	310	300
Pression (bar)	1	1
Ion Concentration (M)	0	0
Simulation time (ns)	100	500
Analysis time (ns)	80	300
Nb of lipids	288	128
Nb of water molecules	12600	5120

**Table 1.** Detailed information for pure POPC MacRog simulation. In yellow, simulation carried out by Matti Javanainen for NMRLipids I. In violet, by PMR and PF.

POPC carbon	NMRLipids I Matti Javanainen	PMilanRodriguez & PFuchs	STDV
<b>b1</b>	0.0310	0.03692	0.06109
<b>b2</b>	0.0201	0.00814	0.04904
<b>a1</b>	0.0494	0.05584	0.05650
<b>a2</b>	0.0483	0.03801	0.04545
<b>g31</b>	-0.2328	-0.22662	0.06304
<b>g32</b>	-0.1380	-0.12221	0.07639
<b>g2</b>	-0.2020	-0.19383	0.06577
<b>g11</b>	-0.1560	-0.16080	0.07049
<b>g12</b>	0.0137	0.00654	0.07351

**Table 2.** Order parameter results for pure POPC simulation. In yellow, simulation carried out by Matti Javanainen for NMRLipids I. In violet, by PMR and PF.

Information about MJ simulations obtained from :

- <https://zenodo.org/record/13497#.XnTe59-YU5k>
- [https://github.com/NMRLipids/nmrlipids.blogspot.fi/blob/master/DATAreportedINblog/POPC/MACROG-310K\\_blogged-20-09-13.dat](https://github.com/NMRLipids/nmrlipids.blogspot.fi/blob/master/DATAreportedINblog/POPC/MACROG-310K_blogged-20-09-13.dat)

## MacRog pure POPE MD simulation

	NMRLipids IVb Matti Javanainen	NMRLipids IVb PMR & PF
Temperature (K)	310	300
Pression (bar)	1	1
Ion Concentration (M)	0	0
Simulation time (ns)	500	500
Analysis time (ns)	?	300
Nb of lipids	144	128
Nb of water molecules	5760	5120

**Table 3.** Detailed information for pure POPE MacRog simulation. In yellow, simulation carried out by Matti Javanainen for NMRLipids IVb. In violet, by PMR and PF.

POPE carbon	NMRLipids IVb Matti Javanainen	STDV	NMRLipids IVb PMR & PF	STDV
<b>b1</b>	0.12608	0.16655	0.09934	0.22615
<b>b2</b>	-0.00837	0.12406	-0.00329	0.19557
<b>a1</b>	0.14238	0.17475	0.11416	0.23607
<b>a2</b>	-0.01514	0.12860	-0.01126	0.20361
<b>g31</b>	-0.14086	0.16966	-0.19387	0.22899
<b>g32</b>	-0.05784	0.15507	-0.11982	0.20970
<b>g2</b>	-0.11370	0.18751	-0.15457	0.23723
<b>g11</b>	-0.13393	0.15251	-0.16604	0.19783
<b>g12</b>	-0.02640	0.17031	-0.00638	0.26330

**Table 4.** Order parameter results for pure POPE simulation. In yellow, simulation carried out by Matti Javanainen for NMRLipids IVb. In violet, by PMR and PF.

Information about MJ simulations obtained from:

- <https://github.com/NMRLipids/MATCH/commit/80247406f6f887fe79d7a19773f2976f9d818fd4>
- [https://github.com/NMRLipids/MATCH/blob/master/Data/Lipid\\_Bilayers/POPE/T310K/MODEL\\_MACROG/OrdParsPOPE.dat](https://github.com/NMRLipids/MATCH/blob/master/Data/Lipid_Bilayers/POPE/T310K/MODEL_MACROG/OrdParsPOPE.dat)

## References

1. Refined OPLS All-Atom Force Field for Saturated Phosphatidylcholine Bilayers at Full Hydration. Maciejewski, A.; Pasenkiewicz-Gierula, M.; Cramariuc, O.; Vattulainen, I.; Rog, T. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2014, 118, 4571–4581.
2. Data including GROMACS input files for atomistic molecular dynamics simulations of mixed, asymmetric bilayers including molecular topologies, equilibrated structures, and force field for lipids compatible with OPLS-AA parameters. Róg, Tomasz & Orlowski, Adam & Llorente, Alicia & Skotland, Tore & Lintonen, Tuulia & Kauhanen, Dimple & Ekroos, Kim & Sandvig, Kirsten & Vattulainen, Ilpo. (2016). Data in Brief. 7. 10.1016/j.dib.2016.03.067.
3. Toward Atomistic Resolution Structure of Phosphatidylcholine Headgroup and Glycerol Backbone at Different Ambient Conditions. Alexandru Botan, Fernando Favela-Rosales, Patrick F. J. Fuchs, Matti Javanainen, Matej Kanduč, Waldemar Kulig, Antti Lamberg, Claire Loison, Alexander Lyubartsev, Markus S. Miettinen, Luca Monticelli, Jukka Määttä, O. H. Samuli Ollila, Marius Retegan, Tomasz Róg, Hubert Santuz, and Joona Tynkkynen *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 2015 119 (49), 15075-15088  
DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcb.5b04878