

A Grammar of Tiefo-D of Daramandugu

Niger-Congo language, Burkina Faso

Jeffrey Heath
Aminata Ouattara

Language Description Heritage Library (online)
backup at Deep Blue (University of Michigan)

first author's email addresses
schweinhaxen@hotmail.com, jheath@umich.edu

Contents

Contents	i
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Gur and ex-Gur languages	1
1.2 Tiefo languages (Tiefo-N, Tiefo-D)	2
1.3 Environment and geography	2
1.4 Traditional naming system	4
1.5 Previous and contemporary study of Tiefo-D	5
1.5.1 Previous work: Kerstin Winkelmann	5
1.5.2 Fieldwork	5
1.5.3 Acknowledgements	6
1.5.4 Supplemental materials	6
2 Sketch	7
2.1 Phonology	7
2.1.1 Segmental phonology	7
2.1.2 Tones and prosody	7
2.1.3 Key phonological rules	8
2.2 Linear order of clausal constituents	9
2.2.1 Ordinary main clause with SVO order	9
2.2.2 Progressive clauses	9
2.3 Noun phrase (NP)	9
2.4 Adpositions	10
2.4.1 Postpositions	10
2.4.2 Prepositions	10
2.5 Verbs and clause-level inflections	11
2.6 Focalization	12
2.7 Relative clauses	12
2.8 Multiverb constructions	12
3 Phonology	13
3.1 Internal phonological structure of stems and words	13
3.1.1 Syllables	13
3.1.1.1 Short-voweled Cv syllables	13
3.1.1.2 Vowel-initial syllables	14
3.1.1.3 Apparent long-voweled Cvv syllables	16
3.1.1.4 Clv syllables	17
3.1.1.5 Diphthongal syllables Civ and Cuv	18
3.1.1.6 Glottalic Cvʔv (one or two syllables?)	19
3.1.1.7 Cərv (one syllable or two?)	22
3.1.1.8 CvC syllables with stem-final consonant	23

Contents

3.1.1.9	Syllabic nasals	24
3.1.1.10	Pre-resumption nasal after mid-sentence interruption	24
3.2	Consonants	25
3.2.1	Consonant phonemes	25
3.2.1.1	ɣ	25
3.2.1.2	s and ʃ	25
3.2.1.3	ʒ	29
3.2.1.4	w and w ⁿ	30
3.2.1.5	Labial velars {kp gb ŋm}	30
3.2.1.6	ŋ	34
3.2.1.7	v (labiodental)	34
3.2.1.8	ɥ	35
3.2.1.9	Glottal stop ʔ	37
3.2.1.10	Alternations of f with sibilants	37
3.2.1.11	Alternations of l with other sonorants	38
3.2.1.12	Laryngeal h	39
3.2.2	Consonant clusters	39
3.2.2.1	Word- and morpheme-initial CC clusters	39
3.2.2.2	Medial prenasalized voiced stop (or homorganic cluster)	39
3.2.2.3	Other medial CC clusters	40
3.2.2.4	Medial triple CCC clusters	40
3.2.2.5	Final CC clusters	40
3.3	Vowels	40
3.3.1	Oral vowel qualities	40
3.3.2	Reduced vowel ə	41
3.3.3	ATR harmony	42
3.3.4	Nasalized vowels	45
3.3.5	Vowel length	48
3.3.6	Stem- and morpheme-initial vowels	48
3.3.7	Stem-final vowels	49
3.3.8	Vocalism of verb-stem alternations	49
3.3.9	Lexicalized back-front vocalic alternations	51
3.4	Segmental phonological rules	53
3.4.1	Metrically based vocalic processes	53
3.4.1.1	Apocope and apheresis	53
3.4.1.1.1	Limited apocope of final short {u i} after nasal	53
3.4.1.1.2	Apheresis (rare)	54
3.4.1.2	Epenthesis (largely absent)	54
3.4.1.3	Lenition of short vowel to schwa	54
3.4.2	Processes affecting specific initial consonants	55
3.4.2.1	Lenition or elision of initial {k t d b} in some morphemes	55
3.4.2.2	Nasalization of initial y to ɲ in verb stems	55
3.4.2.3	Initial c/k alternations in verb stems	56
3.4.2.4	Initial c/t alternations in verb stems	57
3.4.2.5	Initial j/d alternations	57
3.4.2.6	Initial kp/k alternations	59

Contents

3.4.2.7	Initial gb/g alternations.....	60
3.4.2.8	Initial ŋm/ŋ alternations (absent).....	61
3.4.2.9	d/r and t/r alternations	61
3.4.3	Intrusive sonorants after C ₁ in verbs	62
3.4.3.1	Intrusive semivowels and liquids	62
3.4.3.2	Unexpected initial l in Ipfv verbs.....	63
3.4.3.3	Other puzzling cases of initial l.....	63
3.4.4	Consonant nasalization and prenasalization	63
3.4.4.1	Prenasalization of stop after nasalized vowel	63
3.4.4.2	Alternations of medial nasal versus prenasalized voiced stop	64
3.4.4.3	Full nasalization of initial stop across a boundary (Bi).....	65
3.4.5	Vowel-vowel and vowel-semivowel processes	66
3.4.5.1	Semivowel-Vowel Metathesis (Fl dialect).....	67
3.4.5.2	Hiatus between vowels at boundaries	68
3.4.5.3	Diphthongization by raising mid-height to high	68
3.4.5.4	Biton ua for other dialects' uo	69
3.4.6	vv-Contraction	69
3.4.6.1	vv-Contraction with article ē.....	69
3.4.6.2	vv-Contraction with pre-numeral morpheme ò.....	72
3.4.6.3	vv-Contraction with post-subject particles á and à	72
3.4.6.4	vv-Contraction with intercalated Ipfv -à- in compounds	74
3.5	Cliticization	75
3.5.1	Proclitics	75
3.5.2	Enclitics.....	77
3.5.3	Post-subject inflectional morphemes as clitics	78
3.6	Tones	79
3.6.1	Lexical tones of stems.....	79
3.6.1.1	Lexical tone melodies for verbs	80
3.6.1.2	Lexical tone melodies for unsegmentable noun stems.....	81
3.6.1.2.1	Monosyllabic noun stems	81
3.6.1.2.2	Sesquisyllabic (Cvʔv, Cərv) and diphthongal noun stems	83
3.6.1.2.3	Bisyllabic and longer noun stems.....	85
3.6.1.3	Lexical tone patterns for modifying adjectives	87
3.6.1.4	Lexical tone patterns for numerals	88
3.6.1.5	Tones in glottalic syllables (Flaso and Masaso dialects)	89
3.6.2	Tone sandhi processes.....	92
3.6.2.1	L#L-to-M#L (several proclitics)	92
3.6.2.2	M#H-to-L#H	97
3.6.2.3	LH#H-to-L#H.....	100
3.6.2.4	<LH> flattens to M.....	102
3.7	Intonation.....	102
3.7.1	Phrase and clause-final terminal contours	102
3.7.2	Lexically specified prolongation (→).....	102
4	Nominal, pronominal, and adjectival morphology	104
4.1	Nouns.....	104

Contents

4.1.1	Syllabic and tonal forms of noun stems	104
4.1.1.1	Cv noun stems	104
4.1.1.2	Clv noun stems	106
4.1.1.3	Diphthongal Civ and Cuv noun stems.....	107
4.1.1.4	Cvy and Cvw stems.....	108
4.1.1.5	Cərv noun stems	109
4.1.1.6	Cvʔv noun stems	110
4.1.1.7	Bisyllabic noun stems (CvCv etc.).....	112
4.1.1.8	Trisyllabic and longer noun stems	113
4.1.1.9	Nouns with initial reduplication.....	115
4.1.1.10	Nouns with apparent final reduplication	120
4.1.1.11	Compound-like nouns including a reduplicative component.....	120
4.1.2	Plural forms of nouns.....	121
4.1.2.1	Nouns with rhotic plural -rv	121
4.1.2.1.1	Regular rhotic plural with nonglottalic nouns	122
4.1.2.1.2	Regular rhotic plural with glottalic nouns	125
4.1.2.1.3	Replacement of medial singular l or t with plural r.....	126
4.1.2.1.4	Nouns with rhotic plural -rv plus vocalic fronting to ε	127
4.1.2.1.5	Reanalysis of original rhotic plural as singular	127
4.1.2.2	-bù compound final with plural -bì	128
4.1.2.3	Plurals involving final denasalization of vowels.....	128
4.1.2.3.1	Plural by denasalization of ɔ ⁿ to o	129
4.1.2.3.2	Plural by denasalization of ε ⁿ to e or o	130
4.1.2.3.3	Plural by denasalization and backing of a ⁿ to ɔ.....	131
4.1.2.4	Plurals with suffixed or mutated final o/ɔ.....	131
4.1.2.4.1	Nouns with plural suffix -o ~ -ɔ	131
4.1.2.4.2	Plural by mutation of final a to ɔ	132
4.1.2.4.3	Plural by mutation of final ε to ɔ	133
4.1.2.5	Default plural -ní	134
4.1.2.5.1	Tonal behavior of -ní	134
4.1.2.5.2	Plural -ní without vowel fronting	135
4.1.2.5.3	Plural -ní plus vowel fronting	137
4.1.2.5.4	-ní following rhotic plural.....	137
4.1.2.5.5	Reduplicated -ní-ní	138
4.1.2.5.6	Denominal abstractives with -ní.....	138
4.1.2.6	Plural by prolongation.....	139
4.1.2.7	Pluralia tantum	140
4.1.3	Vestiges of vocalic noun classes.....	140
4.1.4	Irregular nouns	141
4.1.4.1	kě ⁿ , kê ⁿ , kēmè ‘man, fellow, pal’	141
4.1.4.2	yúó ‘person’ or ‘people’	141
4.1.4.3	bíó ‘fruit, seed’ and related forms	142
4.1.4.4	‘Ant’ terms with extra l in the plural.....	142
4.1.4.5	blí-ké (plural blí-tìó) ‘hare’	143
4.1.4.6	bá ⁽ⁿ⁾ -sò ⁿ ‘squirrel’	143
4.2	Derived nominals.....	144

Contents

4.2.1	Verbal nouns	144
4.2.1.1	Verbal noun with base stem plus -ní	144
4.2.1.1.1	From active verbs	144
4.2.1.1.2	From adjectival verbs	146
4.2.1.2	Other deverbal nominals	147
4.2.2	Agentive compounds (-nə̀/-yù̀) without incorporated noun.....	147
4.2.3	Lexicalized participles	149
4.2.3.1	Lexicalized animate participles with -kàʔà (plural -k̀)	149
4.2.3.2	Lexicalized inanimate participles with -èʔè (plural -ə̀-r̀).....	150
4.2.4	Iteration of noun stems.....	150
4.3	Pronouns	151
4.3.1	First and second person pronouns.....	151
4.3.1.1	First and second person pronouns	151
4.3.1.2	2Sg possessive suffix -à	153
4.3.1.3	Optional 2Sg object = m̀.....	154
4.3.1.4	1Pl non-subject m̀é and díé	155
4.3.1.5	1Pl ó-bé ~ é-bé	156
4.3.1.6	Reduced 1Sg and 2Sg proclitic subject pronominals	157
4.3.1.6.1	1Sg subject proclitic ń	158
4.3.1.6.2	2Sg subject proclitic ń (and PfvNeg ńà = á)	158
4.3.1.7	Narrator directly addresses tale protagonist.....	160
4.3.2	Third person pronouns	160
4.3.2.1	Forms of third person pronouns	160
4.3.2.2	Functions of third-person proclitic pronouns	161
4.3.2.3	Third-person object enclitics and dative pronominals	162
4.3.2.4	Third-person inanimate l̄ and animate jú̀ after kà ‘with’	165
4.3.3	Subject pronominals plus vocalic inflectional morphemes	166
4.4	Determiners and articles	166
4.4.1	Articles.....	166
4.4.1.1	Article ē.....	166
4.4.1.2	Putative articles ā and ò.....	169
4.4.2	Determiners.....	169
4.4.2.1	Discourse-definite inanimate bè (~ bì).....	169
4.4.2.2	‘This/that’ (deictic demonstrative pronouns)	172
4.4.2.3	Indefinite j̄ (plurals j̄-ṝ and j̄-ṝ).....	175
4.4.3	Demonstrative adverbs.....	176
4.4.3.1	Locative (spatial) adverbs	176
4.4.3.2	Superfluous clause-final mā ⁽ⁿ⁾ after ‘leave, abandon’	177
4.4.3.3	Emphatic and approximative adverb modifiers (té, gblàʔà)	178
4.4.4	Presentatives (‘here’s ...!’)	178
4.4.4.1	Presentative with imperative verb of vision.....	178
4.4.4.2	Presentative with predicate demonstrative.....	180
4.4.4.3	Presentative with incorporated clause.....	181
4.5	Adjectives	182
4.5.1	Modifying adjectives	182
4.5.2	Inventory of core modifying adjectives	183

Contents

4.5.3	Morphology of core modifying adjectives.....	185
4.5.3.1	Unreduplicated adjectives	185
4.5.3.1.1	Basic color adjectives	185
4.5.3.1.2	Other core adjectives with glottalic forms.....	187
4.5.3.1.3	Other core adjectives with no glottalic forms.....	190
4.5.3.2	Reduplicated adjectives.....	191
4.5.3.2.1	Optional reduplication of adjectives (color, ‘good’).....	191
4.5.3.2.2	Adjectives with invariant reduplicative forms.....	192
4.5.4	Participles (animate X-kàʔà, inanimate X-èʔè).....	193
4.5.5	Reduplicative derivations of adjectives	195
4.5.6	Negative adjectives	195
4.6	Numerals.....	196
4.6.1	Cardinal numerals	196
4.6.1.1	‘One’.....	197
4.6.1.2	‘2’ to ‘10’	198
4.6.1.3	Decimal numerals (‘10’, ‘20’, ...) and increments (‘29’, ...).....	199
4.6.1.4	Large numerals (‘100’, ‘1000’, ...) and increments.....	200
4.6.1.5	Currency.....	202
4.6.1.6	Distributive numerals with stem iteration	202
4.6.2	Ordinal adjectives	203
4.6.2.1	‘First’ and ‘last’	203
4.6.2.2	Nonhuman ordinals ‘second’ and up (suffix -juʔo, -dəro).....	204
4.6.2.3	Human ordinal -nə.....	206
4.6.3	Fractions and portions.....	206
5	Nominal and adjectival compounds	208
5.1	Nominal compounds.....	208
5.1.1	Tonal modifications in compounds.....	208
5.1.1.1	Tone-dropping of compound final	208
5.1.1.2	Regular tone sandhi affecting compound initial	210
5.1.1.3	Irregular tone-raising of the final	211
5.1.1.4	Irregular tone-dropping of the initial.....	212
5.1.1.5	LH-tone flattened to M in compound initial	212
5.1.2	Deglottalization of compound initials.....	213
5.1.3	Lexicalized noun-adjective combinations.....	214
5.1.3.1	Noun-adjective collocations with regular forms	214
5.1.3.2	Noun-adjective compounds with reduced adjectives	214
5.1.4	Verbal nouns with incorporated noun as initial	217
5.1.5	Compounds based on ‘person’	218
5.1.5.1	Agentives with verb plus -nə plus incorporated nominal.....	218
5.1.5.2	Final -də ~ -nə in affinal kin terms.....	219
5.1.5.3	‘Thief’ (w)ú ¹ -fúó	220
5.1.5.4	pì-ná ~ pè-ná ‘herder’	220
5.1.5.5	Compounds with ná- ‘person’	221
5.1.6	Compound finals expressing sex and life-stage.....	221
5.1.6.1	Final -ná-bí ~ -nà-bí or -bí ~ -bì ‘child’	222

Contents

5.1.6.2	Final unsegmentable -bìò ~ -bíó ‘fruit’	224
5.1.6.3	Final -bè ⁿ for young domestic animals.....	225
5.1.6.4	Final -pò ⁿ ~ -pó ⁿ for adult male domestic animals	226
5.1.6.5	Final -pè ⁿ ?è ⁿ for adult male animals	226
5.1.6.6	Final -nì ~ -nì?ì for adult female animals.....	227
5.1.6.7	Final -yò for female humans and animals	227
5.1.6.8	Final -kè ⁿ for male humans	228
5.1.6.9	Final -cù?ò ~ -cú?ó for young adult female animals	228
5.1.7	Other common or specialized compound finals.....	229
5.1.7.1	Final -kà ‘animal’ (plural -kò) or rarely -kò ‘person’	229
5.1.7.2	Final -kà ‘manner (of doing)’	230
5.1.7.3	Final -tò?ò ‘place’	231
5.1.7.4	Final -tà?à ‘plot (field)’	232
5.1.7.5	Final -bù (finger/toe)	233
5.1.7.6	Final -jò ‘heart’	233
5.1.7.7	Final -dá?á ~ -dà?à ‘time’	234
5.1.7.8	Final -plù?ù (and variants) ‘bag’	234
5.1.7.9	Final -pìó ⁿ (and variants) ‘larva’	235
5.1.7.10	Final -tì?è ‘hole’	235
5.1.7.11	Final -wù?ú ‘house’	236
5.1.7.12	Final -pù?ò ‘stick’ and -pò?ò ‘twig’	237
5.1.7.13	Final -ù ⁿ ?ù ⁿ ~ -ú ⁿ ?ú ⁿ ‘head’	237
5.1.7.14	Body parts and products as finals.....	238
5.1.7.15	Life-form terms as finals	238
5.1.8	Composite kin terms	238
5.1.9	Compounds with final -wí (plural -yúó) ‘owner of X’	239
5.1.10	Deverbal function and instrument nominals	239
5.1.10.1	Verb-noun compounds	239
5.1.10.2	Noun followed by participial modifier with -è?è ‘thing’	240
5.1.10.3	Noun plus modifying compound with -dò ‘share (n)’	240
5.1.10.4	Incorporated non-agent noun plus participial modifier.....	241
5.1.10.5	Noun-verb compounds	241
5.1.11	Compounds with locative PP initials	242
5.1.12	Noun-verb-noun compounds	242
5.1.13	Phrasal compounds	243
5.1.13.1	Phrasal compounds including negation.....	243
5.1.13.2	Phrasal compounds without negation.....	245
5.1.13.3	Phrasal compounds borrowed from Jula	245
5.2	Adjectival compounds	246
5.2.1	Exemplars (similative compounds).....	246
5.2.2	Bahuvrihi (“Blackbeard”) compounds.....	246
5.2.2.1	With adjectival compound final	246
5.2.2.2	With numeral compound final.....	247
6	Noun Phrase structure	249
6.1	Organization of NP constituents.....	249

Contents

6.1.1	Linear order.....	249
6.1.2	Headless NPs (absolute function of modifiers)	250
6.2	Possessives	250
6.2.1	Recursive possession	251
6.2.2	ɲ hesitation filler in possessive NPs.....	252
6.2.3	Kin and relationship terms	252
6.2.4	Default possessum	253
6.2.4.1	Inanimate possessum dó.....	253
6.2.4.2	Animate default possessum júó.....	253
6.2.4.3	L-toned -dò and -jùd as discourse-definite partitives.....	254
6.2.5	Pronominal possessor.....	255
6.2.5.1	Same as subject pronominals for non-2Sg possessors	255
6.2.5.2	Optional suffix -à for 2Sg possessor	256
6.3	Core NP (noun plus adjective).....	257
6.3.1	Noun plus regular adjective	257
6.3.2	Adjective sequences.....	258
6.4	NPs including a numeral.....	258
6.4.1	Noun or pronoun plus nonsingular numeral	258
6.4.2	Noun-adjective plus nonsingular numeral	260
6.4.3	Absolute numerals	261
6.4.4	‘One’ in an NP	262
6.4.5	‘X times’ (nī).....	262
6.5	NP including a determiner.....	262
6.5.1	NP with pronominal article ē	263
6.5.2	NP with deictic demonstrative (kǎ ⁿ , yá, etc.).....	264
6.5.3	NP with discourse-definite bè (rarely bó).....	265
6.5.4	NPs with indefinite jī (plurals jē-rō, jē-rē).....	266
6.6	Universal and distributive quantifiers.....	269
6.6.1	Universal quantifiers	269
6.6.1.1	‘All’ (bíé ~ bíé?).....	269
6.6.1.2	sú→ ‘all’ in kà-kà sú→ ‘every day’	271
6.6.1.3	‘Entirety’ or ‘entirely’ (kútárú)	272
6.6.2	Distributive iteration of stems.....	272
6.6.2.1	‘Each’ (iterated numerals).....	272
6.6.2.2	Distributive iteration of noun stems	273
6.6.3	Scope relationship between negation and ‘all’	273
6.6.4	Scope relationship between negation and indefinite jī	274
6.6.5	Constituent negation absent	275
6.7	Structural case-marking absent.....	275
6.8	Apposition	275
6.9	Vocatives	276
7	Coordination	277
7.1	NP coordination.....	277
7.1.1	NP conjunction (X kà Y ‘X and Y’).....	277
7.1.2	Postposition or focalizer with conjoined NPs as complement.....	278

7.2	Disjunction.....	278
7.2.1	‘Or’ (wà→)	278
7.2.2	tá ~ tà ⁿ ‘or’	279
7.2.3	X ò X construction (‘one X or another, any X’).....	279
7.2.4	X kà X bíé construction (‘one X after another’).....	279
7.2.5	Numeral range-bounding phrases (‘two or three’).....	280
8	Adpositions and adverbials	281
8.1	Dative and purposive adpositions.....	281
8.1.1	Postposition bà?à (dative or ‘chez, among’).....	281
8.1.2	Dative preposition ð ⁿ and variants with ditransitive verbs	282
8.1.3	Causal pseudo-postposition (já).....	283
8.2	Instrumental and comitative preposition kà.....	284
8.3	Spatial postpositions	285
8.3.1	Locative, allative, and ablative functions	285
8.3.2	Simple locative postpositions	286
8.3.2.1	Locative ‘in, at, on’ (nī)	286
8.3.2.2	Semantically locative NPs without overt postposition.....	287
8.3.2.3	‘Inside’ or ‘under’ (tṣ ⁿ)	288
8.3.2.4	‘On (the head of) X’ ([X ú ⁿ ?ú ⁿ] nī).....	288
8.3.3	‘Inside X’ ([X lī ⁿ] nī).....	289
8.3.4	Proximity expressions.....	289
8.3.4.1	‘Near X, next to X’ ([X kpē?ē] nī).....	290
8.3.4.2	‘In the area of X’ ([X cá?á] nī)	290
8.3.4.3	‘Beside X’ [X ké] nī ~ [X kí] nī	290
8.3.4.4	‘Next to X’ (X kù ⁿ ?ṣ ⁿ)	291
8.3.4.5	‘In the vicinity of’ (X gblà?à, X tḍ?ḍ-gblà?à)	291
8.3.5	‘In front of, ahead of’ ([X ānà?à] nī).....	291
8.3.6	‘Behind/after X’ (X jīē).....	292
8.3.7	‘Over X’ and ‘on top of X’	293
8.3.7.1	‘Up high in/on X’ (X cī ⁿ).....	293
8.3.7.2	‘On top of X, over X’ ([X jù?é] cī ⁿ).....	294
8.3.7.3	‘On top of X, over X’ ([X ú ⁿ ?ú ⁿ] cī ⁿ)	294
8.3.8	‘Under X’	295
8.3.8.1	‘Under X’ (X pà ⁿ -tṣ ⁿ ~ X pḍ ⁿ -tṣ ⁿ).....	295
8.3.8.2	‘Under X’ (X cù?à-tṣ ⁿ).....	295
8.3.9	‘Between’	295
8.3.9.1	[[X Y] cí ⁿ ùò ‘between X and Y’	295
8.3.9.2	[X Y] (sà-)tíé ‘between/across X and Y’	296
8.3.10	Endpoints (‘from X to Y’)	297
8.3.10.1	‘From X to Y’ (glú ... kō bà ...)	297
8.3.10.2	‘(All the way) to/until Y’ (fṣ ...)	297
8.4	‘About, concerning’ and ‘for’ (kě nī).....	298
8.5	‘Other adverbs (or equivalents)	299
8.5.1	Similarity (‘like’)	299
8.5.1.1	ká ~ tá ‘like’	299

Contents

8.5.1.2	French <i>comme</i>	299
8.5.1.3	Phrases with noun <i>ḡí?é</i> ‘manner’	300
8.5.2	Scalar extent.....	300
8.5.2.1	Amplification	300
8.5.2.1.1	Compounded verbs <i>gōrēⁿ</i> , <i>dórá</i> , and <i>yī-dā</i> ‘be/do a lot’	300
8.5.2.1.2	<i>kósóbé(ʔ)</i> ‘really, very (much)’	301
8.5.2.1.3	Adverb <i>gbùⁿ?úⁿ</i> ‘very much’	301
8.5.2.1.4	<i>kà-rèⁿ-ʔèⁿ</i> ‘many, much’ and verb <i>kèⁿ</i> ‘be many/much’	301
8.5.2.2	Diminution	302
8.5.2.2.1	Verbal compound final <i>dō/dō</i> ‘be/do a little’	302
8.5.2.2.2	<i>dóní</i> and variants ‘a little’	302
8.5.2.2.3	<i>bí-bī</i> and <i>à-bì-píóⁿ</i> ‘a little’	303
8.5.2.2.4	<i>dámá</i> ‘a few’	303
8.5.2.2.5	<i>séⁿ→</i> and <i>píⁿ?óⁿ</i> ‘tiny’ (intensifiers)	304
8.5.3	Specificity	304
8.5.3.1	‘Around, in the vicinity of’	304
8.5.3.2	‘Exactly’ and ‘specifically’	305
8.5.3.2.1	Presentatives as emphatic specifiers	305
8.5.3.2.2	Pragmatic interjection <i>có</i> ‘indeed!’	305
8.5.3.2.3	<i>jàtí</i> ‘exactly!’ or ‘indeed!’	306
8.5.3.2.4	<i>àmín</i> ~ <i>àmínì</i> ‘amen!’	306
8.5.3.2.5	<i>yó(ʔ)</i> ‘exactly!’ for quantities	306
8.5.3.2.6	<i>kè</i> ‘precisely’	306
8.5.4	Evaluation	307
8.5.4.1	‘Well’ (<i>-gōrēⁿ</i>)	307
8.5.4.2	‘Proper, right, (socially) normal’ (<i>gò-sō</i>)	307
8.5.4.3	‘Proper, right, (socially) normal’ (<i>káⁿ</i> , <i>ká-káⁿ</i>)	307
8.5.5	Manner adverbs.....	308
8.5.5.1	<i>mlēⁿ</i> ‘like this/that’	308
8.5.5.2	Manner adverbials containing <i>bè</i> (<i>bì</i>)	308
8.5.5.2.1	<i>bè-kā</i> and <i>bè-kà-tó</i> ‘thus’	309
8.5.5.2.2	<i>bè-yá-ró</i> ‘thus’ (<i>Bi</i>)	309
8.5.5.2.3	<i>kà-tó</i> and (<i>Bi</i>) <i>yá-ró</i> ‘thus’	310
8.5.5.2.4	<i>bè-kà-díⁿ</i> ‘thus’	311
8.5.5.2.5	Discourse-definite <i>bè</i> as clause-final ‘thus’	311
8.5.6	‘Anyway’ (<i>cógó-cògò</i>).....	311
8.5.7	Spatiotemporal adverbials.....	312
8.5.7.1	Temporal adverbs	312
8.5.7.2	‘First(ly)’	315
8.5.7.3	Spatial adverbs	315
8.5.8	Expressive adverbials.....	316
9	Verbal derivation.....	319
9.1	Reversive verbs.....	319
9.2	Causative and passive	319
9.3	Ambi-valent (<i>labile</i>) verbs.....	319

Contents

9.3.1	Identical forms for transitive and intransitive.....	319
9.3.1.1	Transitive versus mediopassive (anti-causative) intransitive.....	319
9.3.1.2	Transitive versus antipassive intransitive.....	321
9.3.2	Distinct intransitive-transitive forms of motion verbs.....	322
9.4	Adjectival stative, inchoative, and factitive verbs.....	324
9.5	Derivational verb-stem iteration and reduplication.....	326
9.6	yārī ‘jump (pop) all over’	328
10	Verbal inflection.....	329
10.1	Verb stems	329
10.1.1	Invariant verbs (Pfv=base=Ipfv).....	330
10.1.2	Uncompounded verb stems with bipartite Pfv ≠ base=Ipfv.....	332
10.1.2.1	Pfv with vocalic fronting but no tone change	332
10.1.2.2	Pfv with vocalic fronting plus one-notch tone lowering.....	335
10.1.2.3	Pfv lowers high vowel to mid-height and drops tone one notch.....	338
10.1.2.4	Pfv modifies base=Ipfv u in other ways.....	339
10.1.2.5	Diphthong in Pfv versus {i u} in base=Ipfv.....	340
10.1.2.6	Diphthong in Pfv versus base=Ipfv mid-height vowel	341
10.1.2.7	Diphthongal alternations between Pfv and base=Ipfv	342
10.1.2.8	Simple Pfv vowel versus base=Ipfv diphthong.....	343
10.1.2.9	Pfv distinguished by one-notch tone-lowering only	344
10.1.2.10	Pfv marked by intrusive rhotic	344
10.1.3	Uncompounded verb stems with bipartite Pfv=base ≠ Ipfv.....	345
10.1.4	Uncompounded verb stem with bipartite base ≠ Pfv=Ipfv	345
10.1.5	Uncompounded verb stems with tripartite Pfv ≠ base ≠ Ipfv	345
10.1.5.1	Simple vocalic shifts distinguish the three stems.....	346
10.1.5.2	Verbs with diphthong in Pfv only	348
10.1.5.3	Verbs with variable diphthongs or Ipfv-only diphthongs	351
10.1.5.4	Pfv and/or Ipfv have intrusive r	352
10.1.5.5	Pfv and/or Ipfv have intrusive l.....	353
10.1.5.6	Minor base=Ipfv patterns (a/ε alternation, tones)	354
10.1.6	Morphology of verb-verb compounds	355
10.1.6.1	Intercalated Ipfv -à- in verbal compounds	356
10.1.6.2	Vb2 takes base stem in composite Pfv	357
10.1.6.3	Exceptional use of Pfv form in compound Vb2.....	358
10.1.6.4	Tones in verb compounds	359
10.1.6.5	Verb-verb compounds with invariant final	361
10.1.6.6	Verb-verb compounds with variable final.....	361
10.1.6.7	Triple Vb1-Vb2-Vb3 and quadruple compounds.....	362
10.1.7	Obligatorily reduplicative verbs	363
10.2	Positive indicative categories	363
10.2.1	Perfective positive system.....	364
10.2.1.1	Perfective (positive)	364
10.2.1.1.1	Perfective clause with Pfv stem without particle.....	364
10.2.1.1.2	Perfective and infinitival echo clauses in narrative	366
10.2.1.2	Perfective future with bè plus Pfv (BE-future)	366

Contents

10.2.1.3	Future bè = ʔí- ‘will go and ...’	369
10.2.1.4	Combinations nà bè and nà kò	370
10.2.2	Imperfective positive system	370
10.2.2.1	Imperfective positive with à plus Ipfv.....	370
10.2.2.2	Imperfective future with bè plus Ipfv	372
10.2.2.3	Past habitual with nǎ plus Ipfv	373
10.2.3	Future positive system	373
10.2.3.1	Future (positive) with nà plus base (NA-future).....	374
10.2.3.2	Future nà á- ‘will go and ...’	376
10.2.4	Progressive system.....	376
10.2.4.1	Morphosyntax of the progressive.....	376
10.2.4.2	Form of progressive verb with nī	380
10.2.5	Negation of indicative verbs	381
10.2.5.1	Clause-final glottal	381
10.2.5.2	Perfective negative with á	382
10.2.5.3	Negative BE-future with má ⁽ⁿ⁾ bè and Pfv	383
10.2.5.4	Future negative with má ⁽ⁿ⁾ and Pfv	383
10.2.5.5	Negative with má ⁽ⁿ⁾ plus base (absent).....	385
10.2.5.6	Imperfective negative with má ⁽ⁿ⁾ plus Ipfv	385
10.2.5.7	Progressive negative (má kō).....	386
10.2.5.8	Self-standing negative exclamations	387
10.2.5.8.1	éʔē→ ‘oh no!’	387
10.2.5.8.2	fòè ‘not at all!’ or ‘nothing at all!’	388
10.3	Temporal clitics and particles.....	388
10.3.1	Past reference time.....	388
10.3.1.1	Dialectal past particles (ká, tá, tâ, dè, lè, yì)	388
10.3.1.2	Past perfect (perfective in past).....	389
10.3.1.3	Past imperfective with past morpheme ká of tá ~ tâ	393
10.3.1.4	Past of copula kō ‘be’	395
10.3.1.5	Past progressive.....	396
10.3.1.6	Future-in-past	397
10.3.1.7	Past of locational ‘be (somewhere), exist’ à-mā ⁽ⁿ⁾	397
10.3.1.8	Imperfective past yì (F1), è (J1), or dè ~ lè or dà = à (B1)	398
10.3.1.9	Stative adjectival verbs with regular past markers.....	401
10.3.1.10	Past of identificational ‘it is’ construction	403
10.3.2	Phasal polarity.....	404
10.3.2.1	‘Still’, ‘up to now’ (dá = à, bàré).....	404
10.3.2.2	‘Again’ (klá, tá ⁿ -, tàʔà-kó)	404
10.3.2.3	‘No longer’ (negation plus tàʔà-kó)	405
10.3.2.4	‘Not yet’ (negation plus tà ⁿ).....	405
10.3.2.5	‘Already’ (kō).....	406
10.4	Deontic modals.....	407
10.4.1	Imperatives and prohibitives.....	407
10.4.1.1	Imperative (unsuffixed singular, plural preverb ò)	407
10.4.1.2	Prohibitive	408
10.4.1.2.1	Prohibitive mâ ⁽ⁿ⁾ , plural ò mâ ⁽ⁿ⁾	408

Contents

10.4.1.2.2	Prohibitive variant <i>má-nà</i>	410
10.4.1.2.3	Prohibitive <i>má-nà á-</i> or <i>mà á-</i> ‘don’t go and ...!’	410
10.4.2	Hortatives.....	410
10.4.2.1	Hortative positive	411
10.4.2.1.1	<i>gbè?é</i> ‘let’s go!’	411
10.4.2.1.2	Hortative <i>jí, jó, kò</i> without overt subject.....	411
10.4.2.1.3	Hortatives with overt subjects	413
10.4.2.2	Hortative negative (<i>má jó, má jó kò</i>)	414
10.4.2.3	Wishes and imprecations.....	415
10.4.2.3.1	Wishes with hortative <i>kò</i>	415
10.4.2.3.2	Wishes with <i>kò ká</i> including subjunctive <i>ká</i>	416
10.4.2.4	Negative wish with <i>Jula kánà</i>	416
11	Clause, VP, and predicate structure	417
11.1	Clausal constituents	417
11.1.1	Subjects	418
11.1.1.1	Subjects in indicative main clauses	418
11.1.1.2	Subjects in relative and complement clauses	419
11.1.1.3	Subjects of imperative and hortative verbs	420
11.1.1.4	Temporal and meteorological subject-verb collocations	420
11.1.1.5	Emotional subject-verb collocations	423
11.1.1.6	Bodily-state collocations	424
11.1.2	Simple transitives.....	427
11.1.2.1	Direct objects of simple transitives	427
11.1.2.2	Predicates with onomatopoeias and loanwords.....	427
11.1.2.3	Lexicalized verb-object collocations.....	428
11.1.2.4	Cognate nominals associated with verbs.....	429
11.1.2.5	Ditransitives	429
11.1.3	Additional arguments and adjuncts.....	430
11.1.3.1	Syntax of expressive adverbials (EAs).....	430
11.1.3.2	Adverbial phrases with verbs of motion and location.....	430
11.1.4	Verb phrase	431
11.2	‘Be’, ‘become’, ‘have’, and other statives and inchoatives.....	432
11.2.1	Identificational predicates (‘it’s X’).....	432
11.2.1.1	Positive ‘it is X’ (= <i>à ~ = yà</i> , sometimes plus <i>glò</i>).....	432
11.2.1.2	‘It is not X’ (<i>X má glò = ?</i>).....	434
11.2.2	Copular predicates (‘X is Y’).....	435
11.2.2.1	Positive ‘X is Y’ (<i>kō</i>)	435
11.2.2.2	Negative ‘X is not Y’ (<i>má kō</i>)	436
11.2.3	Existential and locative predicates (‘be in/at X’).....	437
11.2.3.1	Positive locational predicates (<i>à-mā</i>)	437
11.2.3.2	Past-time locational predicates (<i>yì-mā, dè māⁿ, etc.</i>).....	438
11.2.3.3	Negative locational predicate (<i>ní-mā</i>).....	439
11.2.4	‘Become’, ‘happen’, and ‘remain’ predicates.....	440
11.2.4.1	‘Remain’ (<i>pìèⁿ/pēⁿ/pīⁿ</i>).....	440
11.2.4.2	‘Become’ with nominal (“arrive,” “turn,” “be made”)	441

Contents

11.2.5	Mental and emotional statives	442
11.2.5.1	Verbs of knowledge	442
11.2.5.1.1	kùḽ ⁿ /kṣ ⁿ /kṣ ⁿ ‘know (a fact), realize’	442
11.2.5.1.2	jī ‘know, be familiar with’	443
11.2.5.2	Verbs of desire	444
11.2.5.2.1	‘Want’ construction kō ... bàʔà or kà-bàʔà	444
11.2.5.2.2	‘Seek, look for’ (fê/fā/fā)	445
11.3	Quotative verbs dè/dò/dò ‘speak’ and dè/dè/dò ‘say’	446
11.4	Adjectival predicates	447
11.4.1	Positive stative adjectival verbs	447
11.4.2	Predicates with kō ‘be’ of adjectives with classifiers	448
11.4.3	Negative adjectival and stative predicates	449
11.4.4	Predicates with kō ‘be’ plus expressive adverbial	449
11.5	Possessive predicates	450
11.5.1	‘X have Y’ constructions	450
11.5.1.1	‘X (be) with Y’ (kà)	450
11.5.1.2	‘Y be of X’ (bàʔà)	451
11.5.2	‘Y belong to X’ predicates (dó or júó)	451
11.6	Numeral predicates	453
12	Comparative constructions	454
12.1	Asymmetrical comparatives	454
12.1.1	Predicative adjective with fó ‘pass’ and comparandum	455
12.1.2	Verbal predicate plus fó ‘(sur)pass’	456
12.1.3	‘Be better, be more’ (plé)	457
12.1.4	‘Be more (abundant)’	459
12.1.5	Superlatives	459
12.2	Symmetrical comparatives	459
12.2.1	‘Equal; be as much as’ (dà ⁿ)	459
12.2.2	‘Match, be equal to’ (bē ⁿ)	460
12.2.3	‘One’ dē ⁿ ?é ⁿ = ‘equal’	461
13	Focalization and interrogation.....	462
13.1	Focalization	462
13.1.1	Focus particles tóʔó ~ tó, tó-ró, té	462
13.1.2	Basic morphosyntax of focalization	463
13.1.2.1	Full independent pronouns obligatory under focus	463
13.1.2.2	Focus morpheme precedes numerals and demonstratives	464
13.1.2.3	Focalized constituent remains <i>in situ</i>	465
13.1.2.4	Focalization expressed by cleft constructions	465
13.1.2.5	Focalization of resumptive demonstrative	465
13.1.2.6	Focalization disfavored by negation	466
13.1.2.7	Focalization of infinitival subjects	467
13.1.2.8	Focalization in conditional antecedents	467
13.1.2.9	Focalization in imperative clauses	467
13.1.3	Examples of focalization by grammatical function	468

Contents

13.1.3.1	Subject focalization	468
13.1.3.2	Object focalization	469
13.1.3.3	Focalization of PP or other adverb.....	470
13.1.3.4	Focalization of possessor	472
13.1.3.5	Focalization of theme in ‘it is’ construction (=à glò).....	473
13.1.4	No focalization of verb or VP	473
13.2	Interrogatives	474
13.2.1	Clause-final interrogative enclitics and particles	474
13.2.1.1	Clause-final interrogative enclitic = ā	474
13.2.1.2	Clause-final interrogative particle tē	474
13.2.2	Polar (yes/no) interrogatives	476
13.2.2.1	Polar interrogatives with clause-final = ā	476
13.2.2.2	Clause-final quotative interrogative particle tē	478
13.2.2.3	Polar interrogative as challenge or reproof	479
13.2.2.4	French <i>est-ce que</i> in polar interrogatives	479
13.2.2.5	Rhetorical questions	479
13.2.3	Content (WH) questions	480
13.2.3.1	‘Who?’ (sǝ ⁿ ~ sǝ and extended forms)	480
13.2.3.2	‘What?’, ‘with what?’, and ‘why?’	483
13.2.3.2.1	‘What?’ (kè, bē-kè, kèǝ́, ǝǝ́, etc.)	483
13.2.3.2.2	‘With what?’	485
13.2.3.2.3	Various ‘why?’ constructions	485
13.2.3.3	‘Where?’ (ē sē).....	487
13.2.3.4	‘When?’ (ǝǝ ⁿ dáǝ́, ǝǝ ⁿ -gǝ)	489
13.2.3.5	‘How?’ and ‘how many/much?’	490
13.2.3.5.1	‘How?’ (mlǝ ⁿ , mē-kā, á ⁿ).....	490
13.2.3.5.2	‘How many/much?’ (mlǝ ⁿ , bí-mlǝ ⁿ)	492
13.2.3.6	‘Which?’	493
13.2.3.6.1	jǝrǝ ⁿ and its plurals ‘which?’	493
13.2.3.6.2	ǝǝǝ́ ‘which?’ and related forms.....	495
13.2.4	Embedded interrogatives	496
13.2.4.1	Embedded polar interrogatives.....	496
13.2.4.2	Embedded content interrogatives	496
14	Relativization	498
14.1	Basics of relative clauses	498
14.1.1	Relative markers	498
14.1.2	Position of head NP in the relative construction.....	499
14.1.3	Compatibility with nominal article	499
14.1.4	Position of relative marker within the head NP	499
14.1.5	Demonstrative and pronoun heads.....	500
14.1.6	Headless relatives.....	500
14.1.7	Conditional ‘if’ in relative clauses	501
14.1.8	Clearly indefinite functions of relative markers	501
14.1.9	‘You who’ as generic ‘someone’	502
14.1.10	Correlative construction.....	502

14.2	Relative clauses organized by head NP function.....	503
14.2.1	Subject relative clause.....	503
14.2.2	Object relative clause.....	504
14.2.3	Possessor relative clause.....	504
14.2.4	Relativization on the complement of an adposition.....	505
14.2.5	Adverbial relatives ('place', 'time', 'manner').....	506
14.2.6	Relativization from subordinated clause.....	507
15	Verbal compounds, infinitives, and adverbial clauses.....	508
15.1	Verb-verb compounding.....	508
15.1.1	Overlapping non-motion actions.....	510
15.1.1.1	Simple transitive-transitive (tr-tr) examples.....	510
15.1.1.2	Simple intransitive-intransitive (intr-intr) examples.....	511
15.1.1.3	Simple intransitive-transitive (intr-tr) examples.....	511
15.1.1.4	Simple transitive-intransitive (tr-intr) examples.....	511
15.1.1.5	Compounds with verbs of putting.....	512
15.1.1.6	Compounds with -jùʔə 'follow' and -jūʔə 'help' as Vb2.....	513
15.1.1.7	Compounds with ló 'turn' as Vb1 or Vb2.....	514
15.1.1.8	mí- 'strew' and mé- 'throw' as Vb1.....	515
15.1.1.9	Compounds with -so and -ji as Vb2.....	515
15.1.1.10	Compounds with yī- as Vb1.....	517
15.1.1.11	Compounds with -jɔ́(ⁿ) 'look at' as Vb2.....	517
15.1.1.12	Compounds with bló- ~ blú- 'do by mistake' as Vb1.....	518
15.1.2	Action and extent.....	518
15.1.2.1	Amplification.....	518
15.1.2.1.1	gōrēⁿ '(do) well' or '(do) quite' as Vb2.....	519
15.1.2.1.2	-dára '(be/do) very much/too much' as Vb2.....	520
15.1.2.1.3	Vb2 -yī-dā ~ -yī-dàⁿ 'overflow' as 'do excessively'.....	522
15.1.2.2	-dō/-dō 'be/do a little' as Vb2.....	522
15.1.2.3	Satiety with -dé as Vb2.....	523
15.1.3	Action and temporal pattern.....	524
15.1.3.1	klá- 'return, repeat'.....	524
15.1.3.2	ká- 'do again'.....	524
15.1.3.3	táⁿ- and tá- 'do again; do too'.....	526
15.1.3.4	kpóⁿʔóⁿ- 'do frequently' as Vb1.....	526
15.1.3.5	pēⁿ- 'keep VPing'.....	527
15.1.3.6	Vb2 or separate verb (-)kō 'finish VPing'.....	527
15.1.3.7	Vb2 -tèʔè 'be accustomed to VP'.....	529
15.1.3.8	Vb2 -cárí 'do for a long time'.....	530
15.1.4	Action and temporal location.....	530
15.1.4.1	'Spend the night VP-ing' with -cōⁿ as Vb2.....	530
15.1.4.2	'Spend the day VP-ing' with -só as Vb2.....	531
15.1.4.3	Experiential perfect ('have ever VPed') with -jɔ́ as Vb2.....	531
15.1.4.4	Vb1 gàʔà- 'do first, be first to do'.....	534
15.1.4.5	Vb1 sūāⁿ- 'do early in the morning'.....	535
15.1.5	Action and motion.....	535

Contents

15.1.5.1	bà ‘come’ as Vb1 or Vb2	535
15.1.5.2	yíí ‘go’ as Vb1 or Vb2	536
15.1.5.3	-á- ‘go’ medially in triple compounds	537
15.1.5.4	‘Enter’ (-dīē) as Vb2	537
15.1.5.5	‘Exit (v)’ (-glú) and ‘take out’ (-glō) as Vb2	538
15.1.5.6	klò- as Vb1 in ‘approach’ and ‘dis-approach’ compounds	539
15.1.5.7	fó ‘pass, depart’ in compounds	539
15.1.6	Action and NP roles	540
15.1.6.1	-tó ‘do together’ as Vb2	540
15.1.6.2	Vb2 -sū?ṣ ‘give’	540
15.1.6.3	sā- and fē- ‘do secretly’	541
15.1.7	Ability and failure	542
15.1.7.1	‘Be able to VP’ with -pṣ ⁿ /-plū ⁿ as Vb2	542
15.1.7.2	Vb2 -jṣ ‘try to VP’ and -tē ‘fail to VP’	545
15.1.8	Opaque compounds	547
15.2	Infinitival phrase with kō	547
15.2.1	Non-motion VP sequences	548
15.2.1.1	With infinitival kō plus base	548
15.2.1.2	With jí plus infinitival VP or clause	550
15.2.2	VP sequences with imperfective infinitival k-ā plus Ipv	552
15.2.3	Infinitival phrases with motion verbs	553
15.2.3.1	klá ‘return’ plus infinitival VP (‘VP again’)	554
15.2.3.2	Infinitival VPs with Vb1 bà- ‘come’ (kō bà-, kā = à-, Ø = à)	554
15.2.3.2.1	Semantic and aspectual restrictions on doubled ‘come’	555
15.2.3.2.2	kā = à- ‘and come’ versus imperfective infinitival k-ā	556
15.2.3.2.3	Infinitival ‘come-Vb2’ after main clause with other verb	557
15.2.3.2.4	‘Come’ in main clause plus infinitival ‘come-Vb2’	559
15.2.3.3	‘Go’ as compound Vb1 in infinitival phrases	562
15.2.3.3.1	kò ó-, k = ó-, and kò = ?ó-	563
15.2.3.3.2	kà = á- ‘and went and’	566
15.2.3.3.3	Imperfective kō tì-à-, kō tà-à- ‘and go(es) and’	567
15.2.3.3.4	Bi kō rà- ~ kō là- ‘went and’	570
15.2.3.3.5	Bi kō rà-à- ‘goes and’	571
15.3	Adverbial clauses with infinitival or subordinating morpheme	572
15.3.1	Manner adverbial clause	573
15.3.1.1	‘The way ...’ (kā jèr ⁿ)	573
15.3.1.2	‘Like ...’ (ká/tá)	573
15.3.1.3	‘As though ...’ (ā klè ká/tá)	574
15.3.1.4	‘Seems/looks like ...’ (à ⁿ déné nī)	575
15.3.2	Mixed manner-temporal clauses (sìná nī ~ ðjá nī)	575
15.3.3	Spatial adverbial clause (‘where ...’)	577
15.3.4	Mixed spatial/temporal adverbial clauses	579
15.3.4.1	‘(All the way) to/until Y’ (fó)	579
15.3.4.2	‘... until got tired’ = ‘... for a very long time’	580
15.3.5	Temporal adverbial clauses	580
15.3.5.1	Adverbial relative clause with ‘time’ as head	580

Contents

15.3.5.2	‘Until today’ (bà̀nà kú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ).....	581
15.3.5.3	‘When ...’ or ‘since ...’ (kàtó).....	581
15.3.5.4	‘When ...’ (clause-initial káá).....	582
15.3.5.5	Post-subject tà = á- ‘when/as soon as’.....	583
15.3.5.6	Clause-final lò ‘after’.....	585
15.3.5.7	Constructions with sèrè.....	587
15.3.5.7.1	kō sèrè [kō...] ‘and then proceed to ...’.....	588
15.3.5.7.2	kà-sèrè ‘while, whereas, and yet, meanwhile’.....	589
15.3.5.8	sání and sá ⁿ tíé ‘when’.....	590
15.3.5.9	Clause-final dóró ⁿ ‘only’ in sense ‘as soon as’.....	590
15.3.5.10	‘Since [time measure] ago’ (à = Ø yíʔi).....	590
16	Conditional constructions	592
16.1	Hypothetical conditionals.....	592
16.1.1	Hypothetical antecedents.....	593
16.1.1.1	Post-subject bà ~ mà ‘if’.....	593
16.1.1.2	Combinability of bà with inflections and verb forms.....	594
16.1.1.3	Antecedents with post-subject bà (without jí).....	597
16.1.1.4	Antecedents with pre-subject jí plus post-subject bà.....	599
16.1.1.5	Pre-subject jí without bà in narrative and conditionals.....	599
16.1.1.6	Antecedents with bà/mà ‘if’ plus motion-verb compound.....	601
16.1.1.6.1	bà/mà ‘if’ plus ‘come-Vb2’ compound.....	602
16.1.1.6.2	bà/mà ‘if’ plus ‘go-Vb2’ compound.....	603
16.1.1.7	Apparent relative clause as antecedent.....	604
16.1.1.8	Specialized antecedent jí/já X má glò (‘if it is not X’).....	604
16.1.1.9	Infinitival kō bà/mà ‘and if then’.....	605
16.1.2	Consequents in hypothetical conditionals.....	605
16.1.2.1	Future-tense consequent.....	606
16.1.2.2	Imperfective or stative consequent.....	606
16.1.2.3	Infinitival consequent.....	606
16.1.2.4	Imperative consequent.....	608
16.1.2.5	Interrogative consequent.....	608
16.2	Alternatives to regular ‘if’ particles.....	608
16.2.1	‘Even if ...’ (álè).....	608
16.2.2	‘As soon as ...’ (sú→).....	609
16.3	Willy-nilly and disjunctive antecedents (‘whether X or Y ...’).....	609
16.4	Counterfactual conditionals.....	611
16.4.1	Post-subject morphemes in antecedents and consequents.....	611
16.4.2	Post-subject nà as counterfactual morpheme.....	612
16.4.3	Elicited counterfactuals.....	613
16.4.4	Counterfactuals with IpfvPast dè in antecedent (Bi dialect).....	615
16.4.5	Past hypothetical antecedents with bà rā, bà tā.....	616
16.4.6	Irrealis clauses or counterfactual consequents with nà bè.....	617
16.4.7	Counterfactual consequents with kō and nà kò.....	618
17	Quotative, complement, and purposive clauses	620

17.1	Quotative complements	620
17.1.1	Quotative verbs <i>dè/dò/dò</i> and <i>dè/dè/dò</i>	620
17.1.2	Quotative particles	622
17.1.2.1	Quotative particle <i>dè</i>	622
17.1.2.2	Quotative marker <i>lē→</i>	623
17.1.3	Dative PP with postposition <i>bà?à</i>	624
17.1.4	Direct versus indirect quotation	624
17.1.5	Quoted interrogatives	626
17.1.6	Jussive complement (reported imperative or hortative)	627
17.1.6.1	Quoted imperative	627
17.1.6.2	Quoted prohibitive	628
17.1.6.3	Quoted hortative	629
17.1.6.4	Quoted hortative negative	630
17.1.6.5	Bare quoted hortative in obligational function	631
17.1.7	Impersonal <i>fó ~ fǒ</i> ‘must’ with jussive or prohibitive clause	631
17.1.8	Impersonal <i>bá-kǒ</i> ‘must’ with jussive or prohibitive clause	632
17.2	Indicative clausal complements without complementizer	633
17.2.1	Periphrastic causatives without complementizer (<i>klè</i> ‘do’)	633
17.2.2	‘See’ with indicative complement	633
17.3	Propositional complements with <i>dè</i> , <i>tá</i> , or <i>jí</i> as complementizer	634
17.3.1	‘Know’ and ‘believe’ with propositional complement	635
17.3.1.1	<i>kǒ</i> ‘know (that ...)’ with quotative <i>dè</i>	635
17.3.1.2	‘(Not) know’ with nonquotative clausal complement	636
17.3.1.3	‘(Not) know (if/whether ...)’ with <i>jí</i> ‘if’	636
17.3.1.4	‘(Not) know (if/whether ...)’ with dubitative <i>tá</i> ‘or’	637
17.3.1.5	<i>sǒⁿ</i> ‘think, believe (that ...)’ with quotative complement	637
17.3.1.6	<i>là</i> ‘be sure (that)’ with quotative complement	638
17.3.2	‘Hear’ (<i>jū?ǒ</i>) with clausal complement	638
17.3.2.1	‘Hear (that/whether ...)’ with quotative <i>dè</i> or dubitative <i>tá</i>	638
17.3.2.2	‘Hear (sth happening)’ with progressive complement	639
17.3.3	‘Look at, consider’ (<i>nǒ</i>) with <i>jí</i> ‘if (whether)’ complement	639
17.3.4	‘Forget’ (<i>pē</i>) with quotative complement	639
17.3.5	‘Fear (that ...)’ (<i>cǒ?ǒ</i>) with quotative complement	640
17.4	Infinitival complements	640
17.4.1	Infinitival versus hortative complements	640
17.4.2	Constructions with infinitival complements	641
17.4.2.1	‘Be afraid (to VP)’ <i>cǒ?ǒ</i> with infinitival VP	642
17.4.2.2	‘Forget (to VP)’ <i>pē</i> with infinitival VP	642
17.4.2.3	‘Help’ constructions with infinitival complement	643
17.4.2.3.1	<i>tǎⁿ-jū?ǒ</i> ‘help’ with object and infinitival complement	643
17.4.2.3.2	<i>wē [Ø kè-tè?è]</i> ‘help’ with object and infinitival complement	643
17.4.2.4	<i>jǐjà</i> and <i>kǎ?ǎ ðⁿ mí?ǎ</i> ‘strive’ plus infinitival VP	644
17.4.2.5	Periphrastic causatives with infinitival clauses	645
17.4.2.5.1	<i>klè</i> ‘do, make’ as causative with infinitival clause	645
17.4.2.5.2	<i>té</i> ‘put (down)’ as causative with infinitival clause	646
17.4.2.5.3	<i>wē</i> ‘put in’ as causative with infinitival clause	646

Contents

17.4.2.5.4	já ‘leave (behind)’ as ‘let’ with infinitival clause.....	647
17.4.3	Hortative and jussive complements	648
17.4.3.1	kà-bàʔà ‘want’ plus jussive or hortative.....	648
17.4.3.2	‘Authorize/instruct’ plus hortative or jussive clause.....	650
17.4.3.3	Obligational ká ⁿ plus hortative VP.....	652
17.4.3.4	‘Forbid, block’ (tɔ̃ ⁿ) with prohibitive complement	655
17.4.4	Mixed infinitival and hortative-jussive complements.....	655
17.4.4.1	lé ⁿ ‘consent, accept’ plus infinitival, hortative, or jussive	655
17.4.4.2	sɔ̃ ⁿ ‘consent’ plus infinitival and jussive complements.....	657
17.5	Other clausal complements.....	658
17.5.1	‘Begin to VP’ (súʔú ‘catch’ plus ɲùʔɔ̃ ‘mouth’).....	658
17.5.2	Cessation of action.....	659
17.5.2.1	já ‘leave, abandon’ with verbal-noun complement	659
17.5.2.2	‘Halt, cease (doing)’ (lé ⁿ).....	660
17.5.3	tɛ̀ʔɛ̀ ‘be accustomed to’ with PP of verbal noun.....	660
17.6	Causal and purposive clauses	660
17.6.1	Causal (‘because’) clauses	661
17.6.1.1	French <i>parce que</i> and <i>comme</i>	661
17.6.1.2	kàtɛ̀gú ‘because’ (< Jula)	661
17.6.2	Purposive ‘in order (to VP)’	661
17.6.2.1	Same-subject infinitival VP in purposive function	661
17.6.2.2	Main clause with motion verb plus infinitival VP	662
17.6.2.3	Quotative future clause as purposive	663
17.6.2.4	Purposive yà ⁿ gó ~ já ⁿ gò ~ sàŋó ‘so that’	663
17.6.2.5	Purposive with -tɔ̃ʔɔ̃ nī ‘in Vb-place’	664
17.6.2.6	Purposive with subjunctive (kò) ká.....	665
17.7	‘(Something) to eat’	666
17.7.1	With future nà	666
17.7.2	Infinitival VP complement.....	667
17.7.3	Participial construction with -ɛ̀ʔɛ̀.....	668
18	Anaphora	669
18.1	Reflexive.....	669
18.1.1	Reflexive possessor.....	669
18.1.2	Reflexive object (míʔá).....	672
18.1.3	Reflexive PP complement.....	673
18.1.4	Possessor of right conjunct	674
18.2	Emphatic pronouns	675
18.2.1	Regular emphatics (tóʔó, míʔá, nā-dòʔɔ̃ ⁿ).....	675
18.2.2	‘Apart, separate’ (mé, mé-mè)	675
18.3	Logophorics	676
18.3.1	Logophoric pronouns (bó, bùò)	676
18.3.2	Speech-act participant pronouns trump logophorics.....	678
18.3.3	Logophorics in doubly embedded clauses	678
18.4	Reciprocal.....	679
18.4.1	Simple reciprocals (ò dígè-rò)	679

18.4.2	‘Together’	680
18.4.3	Alternative reciprocal <i>gě</i> ~ <i>gòré</i>	681
18.5	Additional reference-tracking devices	682
18.5.1	Reactivation of previously introduced discourse referent	682
18.5.1.1	<i>kěⁿ</i> ~ <i>kêⁿ</i> ~ <i>kēmè</i> ‘fellow, guy’	682
18.5.1.2	<i>ðⁿ wí</i> , <i>bò-wí</i> (plural <i>bò-yúo</i>), <i>è wí jī</i> ‘fellow, individual’	683
18.5.1.3	<i>díklè</i> ‘so-and-so’	685
18.5.2	Obviative expressions	685
18.5.2.1	<i>dígò?ò</i> ‘other’	685
18.5.2.2	<i>tò</i> ‘the others, the remaining ones’	686
18.5.2.3	<i>bàⁿ?àⁿ</i> ‘other’	687
19	Grammatical pragmatics	688
19.1	Topic and setting	688
19.1.1	Temporal settings	688
19.1.2	Preclausal referential topics	689
19.1.2.1	<i>bó</i> , <i>bùò</i> , <i>bè</i> as topic markers	689
19.1.2.2	<i>kàⁿòⁿ</i> as topicalization marker	693
19.1.2.3	<i>kòⁿì</i> as topicalization marker	693
19.1.2.3.1	<i>kòⁿì</i> after topical NP or pronoun	693
19.1.2.3.2	Clause-final <i>kòⁿì</i> (and <i>kòⁿòⁿ</i>)	695
19.1.2.3.3	<i>kòⁿì</i> ~ <i>kòⁿí</i> as predicate ‘be thus’	695
19.1.3	<i>jí-má-bè</i> and variants ‘otherwise, ...’ as abstract topic shifter	696
19.1.4	Clause-final <i>mô</i> → ‘concerning ...’	696
19.1.5	<i>fóráⁿ</i> ‘also, too’	697
19.1.6	Postnominal <i>èrē</i> ~ <i>àrē</i> or <i>=rē</i> ‘even’ or emphatic	700
19.1.7	Clause- or phrase-initial <i>álè</i> ‘even ...’	701
19.1.8	Quantifier <i>bíé(?)</i> ‘all’ as emphatic ‘even ...’	702
19.2	‘Only’ particles	703
19.2.1	Clause-final <i>dáⁿóⁿ</i> ‘only; as soon as’	703
19.2.2	Postnominal <i>jèⁿ?è</i> ~ <i>jìⁿ?è</i> ‘only’	704
19.2.3	Forms of the numeral ‘one’ as ‘only, sole, unique’	705
19.2.4	Alternative two-clause ‘only X’ construction (‘if it isn’t X’)	706
19.3	Preclausal and subject-final discourse markers	706
19.3.1	<i>bon</i> , ... ‘Well, ...’	706
19.3.2	<i>donc</i> , ... ‘Well, ...’	706
19.3.3	<i>áywà</i> , ... ‘Well, ...’	706
19.3.4	<i>hàyà</i> , ... ‘Well, ...’	706
19.3.5	<i>òⁿhóⁿ!</i> ‘uh-huh (yes!)’ and <i>óⁿ?òⁿ!</i> ‘uh-unh (no!)’	707
19.3.6	<i>mais</i> ‘but’	707
19.3.7	Preclausal <i>jă</i> → ‘lo!’	707
19.3.8	Subject-final <i>dé</i> ~ <i>dó</i> ‘however’	708
19.4	Clause-final elements	708
19.4.1	Clause-final emphatic <i>=dē?</i> ~ <i>=rē?</i>	709
19.4.2	Clause-final emphatic <i>lò</i> ~ <i>dò</i> ~ <i>rò</i> and <i>lè</i> ~ <i>rè</i>	711
19.4.3	Final <i>-ró</i> in (<i>bè</i> -) <i>yá-ró</i> ‘thus’	712

Contents

19.4.4	Clause-final emphatic =rê→ or tê→	712
19.4.5	Clause-final emphatic kè.....	713
19.4.6	Clause-final emphatic kùé ~ ké.....	715
19.4.7	Clause-final sã ⁿ ‘simultaneously’	715
19.4.8	Clause-final t̀r̀è (hyena speaking).....	715
19.5	Backchannel and uptake checks	716
19.5.1	Supportive backchannel (wàlà→, ā klè kà-tó, ćó!).....	716
19.5.2	Reactive backchannel or uptake check (mā-ŋī)	717
19.6	Greetings.....	718
19.6.1	Time-of-day greetings.....	718
19.6.2	Situation-specific greetings.....	720
19.6.3	Greetings to departing and returning travelers.....	720
19.6.4	Condolences	721
19.6.5	Annual wishes	721
19.6.6	Invitations and thanks	722
	References cited.....	724
	Indices	725
	Abbreviations and symbols	752
	Appendix: User’s guide to Tiefó-D lexical spreadsheet.....	754
	Noun worksheet.....	754
	Adjectives worksheet.....	756
	Numerals worksheet	757
	Verbs worksheet	757
	Other worksheet.....	758
	Places worksheet.....	759

1 Introduction

1.1 Gur and ex-Gur languages

Since Tiefó has traditionally been classified as a Gur language, we begin with a short discussion of this language family.

The Gur family as defined prior to around year 2000 extended from the Mali-Burkina border area eastward across central and southern Burkina, and northern parts of Ghana, Togo, and Benin, with outliers in western Nigeria and northeastern Côte d'Ivoire. Gur, in French often called *voltaïque*, belongs to the large Niger-Congo (or Niger-Kordofanian) linguistic phylum that dominates West and Central Africa. Within Niger-Congo, the Adamawa family has been suggested as related to Gur. Noun-class affix systems have been a favorite topic for Gur specialists and for Niger-Congo comparativists (Miehe et al. eds. 2012).

Gur specialists have long distinguished a core (Central Gur) and a collection of non-core or peripheral Gur languages. The latter are listed in (1). All are located in southwestern Burkina Faso, except that Senufo extends into northern Côte d'Ivoire and far southeastern Mali.

- (1) Traditionally considered peripheral Gur
 - a. the Senufo family (about 8 languages)
 - b. Tiefó (two languages)
 - c. Viemo
 - d. Natoro and Wara (two or three languages)
 - e. Toussian (two languages)

In more recent classifications, all five of the groups in (1) have been at least temporarily expelled from Gur, based on lack of proof to date of a specific genetic relationship with Central Gur. They remain in Niger-Congo, but for the time being as early independent branches roughly at the same time depth as Central Gur (now redefined as Gur *sensu strictu*). Field research on all of the groups in (1) is at an early stage, and considerable scrambling of the genetic tree will likely occur in the not-so-distant future. To date no-one has argued in print that any of the five groups in (1) is more closely related to any of the four others than any of them are to (Central) Gur.

As a geographic region, southwestern Burkina is an interesting relic zone. In addition to a few Mande languages (Jalkunan, Dzuungo, Seenku, Bobo) that likely reflect migrations beginning in the heyday of the medieval Mande empire, this region hosts some Senufo languages and all of the other ex-Gur languages in (1). Also found in this zone are a number of (more or less) Central Gur languages (e.g. Turka and Lobi), along with the mysterious Siamou (or Semé) language, which is either another isolate within Niger-Congo or else a geographically distant cousin of the Kru family of Liberia and western Côte d'Ivoire.

Jula (Mande family, mutually intelligible with Bambara in Mali) is the dominant lingua franca in the zone. Substantially all people in the zone, including the Tiefo, speak Jula on a daily basis.

1.2 Tiefo languages (Tiefo-N, Tiefo-D)

There are approximately 15 villages (some of them actually village clusters) that are considered to be ethnically Tiefo. Presumably there were several varieties of Tiefo that were spoken in this zone through the early 20th Century. The 1897 invasion by the Jula chief Samori Touré destroyed the Tiefo mini-state of the time under its king Tiefo Amoro (Hébert 1958) and triggered a linguistic and ethnic decentralization that has led to the disappearance of the Tiefo language(s) from all but a handful of the villages.

We refer to the two varieties that are extant as of our fieldwork period 2012-2017 as Tiefo-N and Tiefo-D. Comparison of the grammar and lexicon of Tiefo-D and Tiefo-N shows that they are distinct languages, a point confirmed by speakers in both communities. Tiefo-N was spoken by two elderly speakers in Nyafogo village during our fieldwork period. We were able to produce a short grammar (Heath, Ouattara & Hantgan 2017) and supporting lexical materials, but no running texts. A closely related variety of Tiefo-N had been spoken by a few old people in Numudara village into the 1990's but was extinct by the time we arrived. (See §1.5.1 below on the work of our predecessor Winkelmann.)

Tiefo-D, the subject of the present work, is spoken in parts of the village cluster known collectively as Daramandugu.

An initial historical comparison of Tiefo-D and -N is Heath (2019), which assembles evidence (sometimes vestigial) for vocalic noun-class markers in the two languages. The logical next step, for the near future, is a similar comparison of the verb-stem paradigms in the two languages. A talk on this subject is planned for WOCAL 2021.

1.3 Environment and geography

Although Nyafogo and Daramandugu are not very distant as the crow flies, contact between their populations has always been very limited. The two communities are part of separate subregional networks pointing in different directions. Nyafogo is networked with Numudara and other villages to the north and west on the plateau, and from there with the metropolis Bobo Dioulasso (sometimes called the economic capital of Burkina) farther to the north. Daramandugu, on the other hand, is networked with Tiefora village in the plains to its south and from there to the city of Banfora farther west. Travel between Nyafogo and Daramandugu is difficult even now (bush motorcycles and, seasonally, 4x4s can make it if they don't get lost in the poorly marked pistes).

Coordinates for the two villages that have or recently had Tiefo-N speakers are in (2a). Those for the widely dispersed *quartiers* of Daramandugu where Tiefo-D is or (in the cases of Sangogo and Sunugu) was until recently spoken are in (2b). All coordinates are in degrees, minutes, and decimal fractions of minutes. The northern and southern bounds for these Tiefo-D *quartiers* are N latitude 10 50.200 (Masaso) to 10 48.707 (Biton). The eastern and western bounds are W latitude 04 30.982 (Sunugu) and 04 33.648 (Jinejan). Biton is

itself a collection of dispersed small settlements and hamlets and the coordinates here are for the settlement where the *chef de quartier* resides.

(2)	a. Tiefu-N villages			
	Nyafogo	10 53.203	04 22.725	
	Numudara	10 58.936	04 25.375	
	b. Tiefu-D (<i>quartiers</i> of Daramandugu)			population rank
	Sunugu	10 49.745	04 30.982	2
	Bofoboso	10 49.426	04 30.997	(administered by Sunugu)
	Sangogo	10 50.005	04 32.013	5
	Flaso	10 49.245	04 32.544	4
	Jinejan	10 49.267	04 33.648	3
	Biton	10 48.707	04 31.190	1
	Masaso	10 50.200	04 32.594	6
	c. landmark			
	Daramba pond	10 47.936	04 28.742	

In French, the administrative and therefore cartographic language, “u” in the village names as shown above is spelled “ou” (*Noumoudara, Sounougou, Daramandougou*). Nyafogo has various archaic spellings including *Ngagafogo*.

The language Tiefu-D is now effectively extinct in Sunugu, the largest and most concentrated *quartier*, where Jula is dominant. Some young women who marry into Sunugu (mostly from Biton) know Tiefu-D but do not pass it on. It is spoken in the small settlements Masaso and Flaso, some parts of Biton (a collection of widely scattered hamlets), in Jinejan, and by one extended family (ex-Jinejan) in the administrative center.

Winkelmann’s map (1998: 17) may be consulted for further detail. She identified the five *quartiers* that had Tiefu-D speakers during her fieldwork as Masaso, Biton, Bofoboso, Jinijan (our Jinejan), and Flaso. She indicated that Tiefu-D was not spoken in Sangogo (her “Sagoko”), which was inhabited primarily by Jula, and that it was hardly spoken in Sunugu.

The endonyms for the *quartiers* of Daramandugu are in (3). Most of them end in *lē* ‘settlement, village’, also more narrowly ‘house with walled courtyard’. Bi dialect has *lé* in most cases. As compound final, *-lē* is equated with Jula *-só*. Correlations with everyday vocabulary that were suggested by our assistants are given in parentheses. All of these terms can be preceded by the article *ē*.

(3)	Sunugu	ʃɛ̃ ⁿ ʒùʔð-lē ~ ʃɛ̃ ⁿ ʒù-lē ~ ʃɪɲùʔð-lē (said to be < ʃɪ ⁿ ʒɪ ⁿ -ʒùʔð ‘run after’)
	Sangogo	sà ⁿ gbòʔð-lē ~ sà ⁿ ɲmògó
	Sokura	lē fù ⁿ ʔð ⁿ ~ lī-fù ⁿ ʔð ⁿ (‘the new settlement’)
		or: dóbó-kórí
	Flaso	lē-fɛ̃ ⁿ (cf. lē fù ⁿ ʔð ⁿ ‘the new settlement’) ~ lū-fɛ̃ ⁿ
		or: àndò-lē (name used by people from Biton)
	Jinejan	tàfɔ̃-lē (said to be < tá ‘beat (lots of fish)’ and fùs ‘fish’)
	Biton	bìtūō-lē ~ bècūō-lē ~ bìcūō-lē
	Bofoboso	bòfóbō-lē (Jula bòfóbò só)

Masaso (w)úⁿ-dìⁿ lē ('chief's settlement')
 màsā-lē ~ màsāⁿ-dē (chief's settlement; Jula màsà só)

The geography of the Tiefó zone is dominated by a long line of cliffs that run northeast to southwest, separating the high "plateau" to the west from the low "plains" to the east. The main Bobo to Banfora highway is on the high plateau. Some of the ethnically Tiefó villages, including Numudara and Péni, are also on the plateau. Nyafogo and the Daramandugu cluster, among other villages, are in the plains.

While motorized travel from Daramandugu to anywhere up on the plateau requires driving around the end of the cliffs, there are points in the cliffs where one can climb on foot to the top and proceed to Toussiana and Péni.

There is good farmland in sections of the plains, interspersed with forested areas. Water accumulates at the base of the cliffs, inundating some areas seasonally and supporting forested zones, attracting elephant herds.

1.4 Traditional naming system

Most Tiefó of the Daramandugu area carry modern surnames Ouattara, Traoré, or Coulibaly. All three surnames are widespread in West Africa and were likely superimposed on Tiefó in historical times. They correspond to the traditional clan names in (4). The ritual names appear to have the form of verbs with 3Pl subject, e.g. ò gbē 'they got'. The ordinary names have forms that are consistent with morphological plurals of nouns.

(4)	ritual names	ordinary names
a. Ouattara		
i.	ò gbē	ē cārò
ii.	ò wā ⁿ	ē sūō
b. Traoré	ò sō ⁿ	ē ñùó
c. Coulibaly	ò tō	ē gbèró

The chiefly family based in Masaso *quartier* belongs to ò tō (Coulibaly). The majority of people at Flaso quartier are ò wāⁿ.

Traditional birth-order names for children are in (5).

(5)	order	male	female
	1	jíé	yīē
	2	sā ⁿ	wē
	3	là	ñèrì [ñèrì]
	4	pē	pèrè ⁿ
	5	cùò	sèrà
	6	dààkórú	ñùà

1.5 Previous and contemporary study of Tiefo-D

1.5.1 Previous work: Kerstin Winkelmann

The major previous work on Tiefo-D grammar is Kerstin Winkelmann's dissertation (1998), in German. Her fieldwork occurred in the period 1990-1994. It is a fine study of Tiefo-D phonology, morphology, and historical morphology (especially vestiges of noun-class suffixes). It covers some syntax and includes a basic lexicon (with some Tiefo-N comparisons), but no texts. Her material on Tiefo-D noun classes is presented in English in Winkelmann (2007). She also wrote articles on the history of the Tiefo (1995, 1996), supplementing Hébert (1958).

Prior to Winkelmann's work the only material on the Tiefo language was from an unpublished (and to us unavailable) manuscript containing 140 words and 80 short sentences by André Prost. It was made available to comparative Gur specialist Gabriel Manessy (1982), who used the material to argue that Tiefo belongs to Gur. He quoted Prost as saying that the data were gathered in brief work with a toothless informant assisted by a non-Tiefo-speaking Jula interpreter.

Subsequent to Winkelmann's dissertation, an SIL sociolinguistic survey (Berthelette & Berthelette 2001) presented a bleak picture of the vitality of Tiefo-N, but gave a more optimistic account of the vitality of Tiefo-D based on interviews. However, no numbers of competent speakers were given, and few details were given about their distribution among the *quartiers*.

1.5.2 Fieldwork

During the period 2012-2017 the project directed by Heath, primarily on Dogon languages and Bangime in central Mali, undertook periodic salvage fieldwork on Tiefo-N, which was down to two competent speakers in Nyafogo. Most of the early fieldwork was carried out by Abbie Hantgan-Sonko and by Aminata Ouattara, a Burkinabé grad student. Ouattara is an ethnic Tiefo but not a native speaker. During the period 2012-14 Hantgan-Sonko combined work on Tiefo-N with work on Malian languages. After she left to become a postdoc at a SOAS project involving fieldwork in Senegal, Heath combined with Ouattara in grammatical and lexical fieldwork and they completed a short grammar and lexicon (Heath, Ouattara & Hantgan 2017). Ouattara defended her master's thesis on Tiefo-N in 2019.

Heath and Ouattara also visited Daramandugu in 2015, 2016, and April 2017, to make contact with people there and to gather preliminary data, including flora-fauna terminology. Our more sustained work on Tiefo-D, under a new NEH grant (see below), began with one month in summer 2017 and two weeks during December 2017, during which we compiled a working lexicon and drafted morphosyntax chapters of this grammar. Between May 2018 and August 2019 Heath and Ouattara carried out several additional weeks of fieldwork, focusing on transcription of texts, but also filling gaps and making corrections in grammar chapters and lexicon. Final fieldwork by Heath, joined in part by Ouattara, was completed in January-March 2021. Some follow-up work designed to help Tiefo-D people develop a language maintenance program is underway.

1.5.3 Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge funding for fieldwork on Tiefo-D. Our preliminary visits to Daramandugu between 2015 and March 2017 were an extension of fieldwork on Tiefo-N and other languages, funded by National Science Foundation BCS-1263150 (2013-17). The April 2017 visit to Daramandugu was financed by a bridging grant from the University of Michigan (African Studies Center, Dept. of Linguistics, and UM Office of Research). The intensive work on Tiefo-D began in summer 2017, with primary support from the National Endowment for the Humanities grant PD-255909-17, part of NEH's contribution to the Documenting Endangered Languages program at the National Science Foundation.

In Daramandugu we have worked in coordination with the local cultural association led by Jean-Pierre Ouattara from Jinejan, assisted by Ouattara La from Flaso, Coulibaly Jean Bakari from Masaso who has also become the chief of Daramandugu, and Ouattara Drisa from Biton. The authors have worked with them both in Daramandugu in a long series of 3-5 days visits, and in our base in Bobo Dioulasso.

1.5.4 Supplemental materials

This grammar is designed to be used in conjunction with the companion text collection (*Tiefo-D Texts from Daramandugu: Niger Congo language, Burkina Faso*) and with the lexical spreadsheet (*Tiefo-D lexicon*), which are by the same authors. These documents will be archived online on Zenodo. Back-up copies will be archived online at Deep Blue (University of Michigan Libraries) along with other documents, audio files for the texts, and other media. Deep Blue is currently (2021) divided into a “documents” division (primarily for pdf's), and a “data” division for a wide range of files including spreadsheets, audio, video, and images. Until they are merged, the Tiefo-D materials will be divided into two collections, one in documents and one in data. Deep Blue links are:

<https://deepblue.lib.umich.edu/documents>

<https://deepblue.lib.umich.edu/data>

In Deep Blue, use the search function to locate relevant files for Tiefo (search “Tiefo”) or a wider range of materials on various languages by the first author (search “Jeffrey Heath”).

The connection between the grammar and the texts volume is straightforward. Many segments of transcribed text have cross-references to specific sections of the grammar for grammatical points. The lexical spreadsheet, on the other hand, takes some getting used to, but thereafter its spreadsheet form should be helpful to end-users. See the appendix to this grammar for a users' guide to the lexical spreadsheet; this guide is copied at the end of the texts volume.

A fieldworker always hopes that an occasional end-user will dig deeply into the language rather than just cherry-picking a data point or two for a typological survey. This is the point of designing the grammar, texts, and lexicon as an integrated corpus. If you are that end-user (and you are not a robot), here's to you!

2 Sketch

In this chapter we briefly present some basic features of Tiefo-D. This overview will make it easier for readers to make sense of formatted examples in the chapters to follow.

2.1 Phonology

2.1.1 Segmental phonology

Tiefo-D, like Tiefo-N and many other languages of the zone (southwestern Burkina and adjoining parts of Mali and Côte d'Ivoire) has seven vowel qualities. They are high vowels {i u}, [+ATR] mid-height vowels {e o}, [-ATR] mid-height vowels {ɛ ɔ}, and low vowel a. We use “ATR” (advanced tongue root) loosely, as there are doubts about the actual articulatory description. Vowels may be short or long, and nasalized or oral. Nasalized/oral alternations occur in some singular/plural pairings of noun stems (§4.1.2.3). We use lowercase v rather than V in Cv-type formulae to permit addition of tone diacritics (C[́], C[̀], and so forth).

Among regular consonants, obstruents are voiceless stops, voiced stops, and voiceless fricatives. Sonorants are nasals, liquids, and semivowels. Articulatory positions for obstruents and nasals are labial, alveolar, alveopalatal, velar, and labial velar. Of these, labial velars are least common.

An important feature of Tiefo-D is the high frequency of stems consisting entirely of, or ending in, Cvʔv with a single vowel quality, e.g. Cɛʔɛ or Caʔa, or with an ingliding diphthong as in Ciʔɛ. In careful speech, such sequences are pronounced approximately as [Cvʔv] with a glottal pulse toward the middle of the sequence. In allegro speech they are sometimes alternatively heard as [C_ṿṿ] with a long, creaky-voiced vowel. Some speakers optionally omit any discernible glottalization (creak), resulting in [Cvv]. Some stems, especially nonmonosyllabics ending in ...Cvʔv, have unglottalized dialectal variants with just ...Cv.

2.1.2 Tones and prosody

Tiefo-D has three tone levels, high, mid, and low, like several other languages of SW Burkina. The lingua franca Jula has two tones at least in standard varieties. Two minimal trios involving noun stems, valid for most dialects, are in (6).

(6)		tone	
a.	dé	H	‘body’
	dē	M	‘elder sibling’
	dè	L	‘field’

b. d́ŕáʔá	H	‘courtyard’
d̀r̀àʔá	LH	‘tale; dream’
d̀r̀àʔà	L	‘fruit pole’

There are some alternations of level M-tone with rising LH-tone. In such cases, the M-tone is associated with monomoraic syllables (C \bar{v}), and/or with initial position in a compound or similar tightly-knit combination (§3.6.1.2, §3.6.2.4). More generally, LH-toned morphemes and stems often flatten to M-toned in allegro speech. Some M-toned stems are clearly diachronic reflexes of former LH-tones. For example, dē ‘elder sibling’ in (6a) has an LH-toned plural dī-ś. Other M-toned non-verb stems may have had a similar origin, and there are some stems that alternate dialectally between M and LH.

Except for the small number of cases like ‘elder sibling’, noun stems generally preserve their lexical tone melody when pluralized. For example, L-toned dè ‘field’ has L-toned plural d̀-è, while H-toned dé ‘body’ has H-toned plural d́-é. There are, however, numerous noun-noun compounds that drop the tones of the final to L, as with LH-toned ʃɪⁿʔɪⁿ ‘tree, wood’ in tákórá-ʃɪⁿʔɪⁿ ‘teak tree’ (§5.1.1.1). By contrast, most tonal changes in compound initials reflect regular tone sandhi.

In predicative function, each verb has three forms that we call perfective (Pfv), base, and imperfective (Ipfv). Except for verb-verb compounds and Jula borrowings, each verb stem is level-toned, without contoured melodies like LH. For some verbs, all three forms have the same tone: L, M, or H depending on the stem. For many verbs, however, the Pfv tone is one notch below that of the base and Ipfv. Since there are three tones, these tone-changing verbs are either MHH or LMM, using formulae that show the tones for Pfv, base, and Ipfv in that order. For example, LMM verb pìèⁿ/pēⁿ/pīⁿ ‘remain’ has L-toned Pfv pìèⁿ alongside M-toned base and Ipfv. Meanwhile, MHH verb bē/bá/bí ~ bé ‘cultivate (crops)’ has M-toned Pfv bē alongside H-toned base and Ipfv.

An important interdialectal difference is in H-toned glottalic stems, which appear as such (C \bar{v} ʔ \bar{v}) in Bi and Ji dialects, but as C \bar{v} ʔ \bar{v} in Fl and as C \bar{v} ʔ \bar{v} in Ma in basilectal pronunciations (§3.6.1.5).

2.1.3 Key phonological rules

The main phonological process affecting vowel segments is vv-Contraction as in /kà ē/ (‘with’ plus nominal article) becoming kà = ā.

Most other nontonal phonological processes are stem-internal morphophonological shifts. These include denasalization of vowels to form plural nouns (§4.1.2.3).

The most important tone-sandhi process is that M drops to L before H (§3.6.2.2). Many verbs shift tones between Pfv on the one hand and base=Ipfv on the other (Chapter 10). The article ē (dropping to è before H-tone) is often elided, but leaves behind a tonal trace if its tone differed from that of the preceding syllable.

2.2 Linear order of clausal constituents

2.2.1 Ordinary main clause with SVO order

A typical clause has the form S-Infl-V(-O), i.e. SVO with a postsubject (or preverbal) slot for from zero, one, or two inflectional particles. (7) illustrates with a single preverbal inflectional particle (Ipfv). Examples like this that are from texts are given with the dialect, the text number, and a time marker.

- (7) *donc* má = à lāⁿ-àⁿ-fū?ū [Ø nā-fō]
 so 2Sg Ipfv advise.Ipfv-Ipfv-give.Ipfv [Art guest.PI]
 ‘So you guide the visitors.’ (Fl, 2017-11 @ 01:00)

Additional adverbial adjuncts may be added at the end of the clause, and various complementizers and discourse markers (including Fr *donc* and *bon*) may occur initially before the subject.

A consequence of SVO order is that the article ē is often clearly audible in subject NPs, but is elided in non-subject NPs. We transcribe the article as Ø when the article has no separate segmental manifestation. If it leaves a souvenir in the form of a contour tone on the preceding syllable, this is indexed by = as in (7).

2.2.2 Progressive clauses

The progressive construction (§10.2.4) has distinctive constituent order. The subject is followed by kō ‘be’ or a form of the verb ‘stay, remain’, then an (O)V sequence (note object preceding verb!) plus nī. The latter is etymologically the locative postposition, but is labeled “Prog” in this construction. In other words, the Tiefo-D phrasing that means ‘X be eating meat’ is derived from “X be [[meat-eat(ing)] in].” The verb must end with an H-tone, which is diachronically explained as a trace of an original verbal noun suffix *-ní preceding the locative postposition. An example is (8). The preverbal third animate singular pronominal functions as object, but here it takes its proclitic form (identical to subject and possessor proclitics), not the enclitic form =(y)ò that it has as a postverbal object.

- (8) bó pìèⁿ [[ðⁿ ɲóⁿ] nī],
 3AnSg remain.Pfv [[3AnSg look.at.Prog] Prog]
 ‘She kept looking at her.’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 03:37)

2.3 Noun phrase (NP)

NPs are of course headed by nouns, which precede most modifiers: adjective, numeral, determiner, ‘all’ (in that order). The noun is normally preceded either by a possessor or, in its absence, the article ē. We follow Winkelmann in using the term “article” for this vocalic proclitic, although the morpheme in question does not mark definiteness or number. Unless clause-initial (or otherwise postpausal), the article either contracts with the final syllable of the preceding word or is elided entirely.

A simple NP (Art-N-Adj) is (9).

- (9) è kláʔá tù-tùʔù
 Art shell big
 ‘a big shell’ (cf. Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:40)

There is no synchronic noun-class marking, other than animate/inanimate marking in singular third person pronouns, and in plural focalizing and indefinite markers.

There is no structural case marking (distinguishing subject from object) for noun-headed NPs. Therefore ‘(the) small children’ (9) may occur in any grammatical function (subject, object, complement of postposition, possessor). However, third person pronominals do have special object enclitic forms when they follow verbs. An example is inanimate postverbal object = nì, which is phonologically unrelated to the usual 3Inan proclitic à which occurs in other functions. Distinctions like that between = nì and à are based on linear position rather than case as such. 3Inan object is expressed as = nì after the verb in most clause types, but as à before the verb in the progressive.

2.4 Adpositions

2.4.1 Postpositions

Tiefó-D has numerous postpositions in typical adpositional functions, mostly spatiotemporal (‘in’, ‘inside’, ‘under’, etc.). Using X for the complement NP, these include X bàʔà ‘chez’ (§8.1.1) which is also the dative postposition with ‘say’, and general locative X nī (§8.3.2.1). There are several composite postpositions, often transparently based on a noun, as in [X úʔúʔ] nī ‘on X’, slightly grammaticalized from ‘on the head of X’.

2.4.2 Prepositions

There are two prepositions. One is instrumental or comitative kà ‘with’ (§8.2), as in kã = [Ø bàʔíʔíʔ] ‘with a/the knife’ from /kà [ē bàʔíʔíʔ]/. kà is also the regular NP-conjunctive particle, as in [X kà Y] ‘X and Y’ (§7.1.1).

The other preposition is dative ðⁿ (§8.1.2), which precedes indirect objects after ditransitive ‘give’ and ‘show’, and after ‘be pleasing’. (10) illustrates with ‘give’.

- (10) nó ʃíʔè [Ø ná] [ðⁿ zàkí]
 1Sg give.Pfv [Art cow] [Dat Z]
 ‘I gave a cow to Zaki.’

Although we analyse dative ðⁿ syntactically as a preposition, it is a vowel and therefore often contracts with the final vowel of the preceding word.

2.5 Verbs and clause-level inflections

Each verb has three stems that we call **perfective** (Pfv), **base** (following Winkelmann), and **imperfective** (Ipfv). We use abbreviations Pfv and Ipfv only for morphological categories, versus spelled out “perfective” and “imperfective” for constructions. We also abbreviate labels for inflectional morphemes: à Ipfv, á PfvNeg, má IpfvNeg. We spell out “perfective” and “imperfective” when referring to constructions, functions, and contexts.

We often cite verbs in all three stems in the order just given, as in $l\bar{e}^n/l\bar{e}^n/l\bar{i}^n$ ‘drive out, chase away’ and $l\bar{e}^n/l\acute{e}^n/l\acute{e}^n$ ‘stand, stop’. Note that the base of ‘drive out’ is homophonous to the Pfv of ‘stand’. ‘Drive’ out’ has three phonologically distinct stems, ‘stand’ has identical base=Ipfv distinct from Pfv, and still other verbs like $kl\grave{e}/kl\grave{e}/kl\grave{e}$ ‘do’ are invariant. Aspect and other VP- or clause-level inflectional categories are expressed by constructions consisting of a choice of one of the three stems, plus up to two postsubject inflectional particles.

The perfective positive construction is unmarked (i.e. it has no inflectional particle), but morphologically the Pfv verb stem is often “marked” (having more segments) than the other two stems. The perfective negative has postsubject particle á plus the base (N.B. not the Pfv!) verb stem. The imperfective positive construction has a postsubject particle à followed by the Ipfv verb stem. The imperfective negative construction has postsubject inflectional particle má followed by the Ipfv stem.

There are two future positive constructions, respectively with $n\grave{a}$ and $b\grave{e}$ as preverbal particles. The $n\grave{a}$ future uses the base stem of the verb, while the less common $b\grave{e}$ future uses the Pfv (!) or less often the Ipfv. The respective negatives are má plus Pfv verb stem, and $m\acute{a} b\grave{e}$ plus Pfv verb stem.

The main indicative constructions are schematized in (11). X is the subject. The distribution of the Ipfv stem is straightforward, correlating nicely with imperfective clausal aspect. The Pfv stem and the base stem have more idiosyncratic distributions but together make up the non-imperfective categories.

(11)	positive	negative
perfective	X Vb.Pfv	X á Vb.Base
imperfective	X à Vb.Ipfv	X má Vb.Ipfv
future ($n\grave{a}$)	X $n\grave{a}$ Vb.Base	X má Vb.Pfv
future ($b\grave{e}$)	X $b\grave{e}$ V Vb.Pfv	X má $b\grave{e}$ Vb.Pfv

In narratives, perfective positive clauses are often replaced by an “infinitival” construction with morpheme $k\bar{o}$ preceding the verb (in base form), with or without a subject NP preceding $k\bar{o}$. Similarly, imperfective positive clauses may be replaced by $/k\bar{o} \grave{a}/$ with Ipfv particle à, pronounced $[k\grave{a}]$ or $[k\grave{a}\grave{a}]$ and transcribed $k\grave{-}\grave{a}$.

Deontics (imperatives and hortatives) have dedicated positive and negative constructions including special inflectional particles. The verb generally takes the base stem, but the Ipfv stem is an option in positive hortatives (§10.4.2.1).

3 Phonology

We use lower-case v rather than capital V in formulae like CvCv since this makes it easier to add tone diacritics (C[́]v, C[̀]v, etc.).

3.1 Internal phonological structure of stems and words

3.1.1 Syllables

Stems and words may have one, two, or more syllables. “v” in the following formulae represents any short vowel other than schwa. Cv with short vowel is prototypical. The onset may be extended with l as Clv (§3.1.1.4). CvC with a coda consonant is rare (§3.1.1.8). Long-voweled Cvv is also rare (§3.1.1.3), except as the result of vv-Contraction (§3.4.6). The initial C position in “Cv,” “Cvv” etc. may be vacant stem-initially in some dialects, and in grammatical particles in all dialects, i.e. there are some vowel-initial syllables. Syllabic nasals are very rare except in pronominal proclitics (§3.1.1.9).

With v = any short vowel, sequences transcribed as glottalic Cvʔv (§3.1.1.6), as Cərv with schwa and tap r, and as diphthongal Civ and Cuv are analytically problematic: one syllable, two syllables, or one-and-a-half syllables?

3.1.1.1 Short-voweled Cv syllables

Most syllables (initial, medial, and final) have short vowels {i e ε a ɔ o u} as nuclei, with a consonantal onset but with no additional coda. Examples of monomoraic stems and grammatical morphemes of Cv shape with unnasalized short vowel are in (14).

(14)	form	gloss
	ʃí	‘stalk (stem)’
	ɲī	‘see’ (Base)
	sé	‘rag on head’
	kpē	‘weep’ (Pfv)
	sè	‘gravelly soil’
	ɲè	‘see’ (Ipfv)
	nó	‘cows’
	bà	‘come’ (Pfv, Base)
	pō	‘ladle’
	só	‘jab’ (Base)
	sǒ	‘pig’
	tó	‘assemble’ (Base, Ipfv)

bú	‘money’
dú	‘sow (v), plant (v)’

Examples of Cvⁿ with nasalized vowels are in (15). There is no distinction between eⁿ and εⁿ, or between oⁿ and ɔⁿ, except to a limited extent in Bi dialect. We write the neutralized nasal vowels as εⁿ and ɔⁿ (§3.3.4).

(15)	form	gloss
	dí ⁿ	‘peer (n)’
	kli ⁿ -	‘lend, borrow’ (compound initial)
	kě ⁿ	‘pal’
	kpé ⁿ	‘sprout’ (Base, Ipfv)
	sá ⁿ	‘three’
	dǎ ⁿ	‘boundary’
	kà ⁿ	‘five’
	lā ⁿ	‘advise’ (Base, Ipfv)
	jō ⁿ	‘two’
	cō ⁿ	‘spend night’ (Base, Ipfv)
	sũ ⁿ	‘medication’
	jú ⁿ	‘dance’ (Ipfv)

The vast majority of nouns, and all other lexical stems (adjectives, numerals, adverbs, verbs) begin with consonants. Many multisyllabic stems consist entirely of Cv syllables (oral or nasalized). Uncompounded quadrisyllabic examples are rare but attested: kórókótó ‘boat’ and kánásòyò ‘tree sp. (*Flueggea*)’.

3.1.1.2 Vowel-initial syllables

Grammatical morphemes lacking an initial consonant are in (16).

(16)	à	imperfective (positive)
	à	3Inan proclitic pronoun
	á	perfective negative
	ò ⁿ	third person animate singular proclitic pronoun
	ò ⁿ	dative preposition (with ditransitive verbs)
	ē	article before nouns
	ò	plural marker before numerals ‘2’ to ‘9’
	é ~ ó	1Pl proclitic pronoun

In addition, some Cv morphemes optionally elide the consonant in certain phrasal combinations, especially in allegro speech (17). Both the vocalic morphemes in (16) above, and the elided forms of morphemes in (17) below, can contract with the final vowel of the preceding word. Of the morphemic homophonies secondarily created by elision, that between à ‘come’ as compound initial (17) and Ipfv à (16) is most troublesome in parsing textual data.

Chapter 3: Phonology

This is because both morphemes may follow infinitival *kō* in multiverb constructions. We transcribe *kā* = *à-* when the second element is ‘come’, and *k-ā* when the second element is *Ipfv*.

(17)	full form	elided form	gloss/category
	<i>bà</i>	<i>à</i>	‘come’ (as compound initial in infinitives)
	<i>yíʔí</i>	<i>í ~ á ~ ó</i>	‘go’ (as compound initial in infinitives)
	<i>kà</i>	<i>à</i>	‘with; and’
	<i>yá</i>	<i>á</i>	‘this, that’ (inanimate)
	<i>kō ~ gō ~ wō</i>	<i>ō</i>	‘be’ or infinitival morpheme

A small number of noun stems begin dialectally with vowels, most systematically in *Ji* and often in *Bi*. Other dialects (*Fl Ma*) usually have an initial semivowel {*y w*} in the relevant words.

(18)	form	gloss	dialect
a.	<i>íʔàʔà</i>	‘whatchamacallit’	<i>Ji</i>
b.	<i>èʔé</i> <i>yèʔé</i>	‘thing’ "	<i>Bi Ji</i> <i>Fl Ma</i>
c.	<i>ānàʔà</i> <i>ānàⁿʔāⁿ</i> <i>wānàʔà</i> <i>nānàʔà</i>	‘face’ " " "	<i>Ji</i> <i>Bi</i> <i>Fl</i> <i>Ma</i>
d.	<i>ā-wāⁿʔāⁿ</i> <i>á-wàⁿʔáⁿ</i>	‘baby’s hat’ "	<i>Ji</i> <i>Bi</i>
e.	<i>òʔó</i> <i>wòʔó</i>	‘arm’ "	<i>Ji</i> <i>Bi Fl Ma</i>
f.	<i>ǔⁿ</i> <i>wǔⁿ</i>	‘rope’ "	<i>Bi Ji</i> <i>Fl Ma</i>
g.	<i>úⁿ</i> <i>wúⁿ</i>	‘village’ "	<i>Bi Ji</i> <i>Fl Ma</i>
h.	<i>úⁿʔú</i> <i>wūⁿʔúⁿ</i> <i>wùⁿʔúⁿ</i>	‘head’ " "	<i>Bi Ji</i> <i>Fl</i> <i>Ma</i>

When the article *ē* precedes a vowel-initial noun in *Bi* or *Ji* dialect, there is no clearly articulated epenthetic consonant (glottal stop or semivowel).

Among verb stems, invariant yé ‘walk’ was heard with initial y in most dialects (not always clearly articulated) but as é ~ wé in Biton. A verb meaning ‘(place) be hot’ referring to ambient temperature is óʔó (Ji), and with initial semivowel wòʔó (Ma) and wōʔó (Fl).

The noun èʔé ~ yèʔé ‘thing’ (18b) has initial y in Fl and Ma dialects, but not in Bi and Ji dialects. As a participial suffix or compound final it is usually -èʔè in all dialects (§4.5.4, §5.1.1.1, §5.1.10.2).

3.1.1.3 Apparent long-voweled Cvv syllables

When a Cv syllable has a contour tone (C[̂]v, C[̃]v), it is phonetically prolonged and sounds like a long vowel. Contour-toned syllables are uncommon in Tiefo-D. Excluding contractions across morpheme boundaries, the only grammatical morphemes with contour tones are those in (19a-b). Prohibitive mâ has a variant má-nà which is probably the source of the contour tone. The unusual noun in (19c) seems to include some sort of negative marker. It can also be an adjective ‘unfortunate, calamitous, evil.’ As a noun it is obscurely related to an equally problematic synonym kè-má-kò and variants, see (461).

- (19) a. mâ prohibitive §10.4.1.2 cf. IpfvNeg má
- b. nǎ past habitual
- c. (ē) mâ-kúʔó ‘misfortune’ Bi Ji cf. má kò = ? ‘is not good’
 (ē) mâ-kūʔó " Fl

Another source of contour tones is the progressive construction, which involves addition of nī (originally a locative postposition). The preceding verb must end in H-tone. If it is already H-toned in the base form, it is not lengthened. If it is monosyllabic and has a nonhigh tone in the base form, it appears with LH tone in the progressive (§10.2.4.2). These LH-toned syllables are distinctly prolonged: [bǎ:], [gbě:].

- (20) a. ʔⁿ kō [bǎ nī]
 3AnSg be [come.**Prog** Prog]
 ‘He/She/It (animate) is coming.’ (< bà)
- b. ʔⁿ kō = [[[Ø bú] gbě] nī]
 3AnSg be [[[Art money] get.**Prog**] Prog]
 ‘He/She is getting money.’

Two special cases are in (21). Seemingly long-voweled wúú ‘death’ (21a) is best analysed as diphthongal, parallel to Pfv wūō ‘died’ but with final u instead of o. Both wūō and wúú are pronounced by prolonging the initial semivowel, i.e. they are close to [w:ō] and [w:ú]. In (21b), one dialect has lost a medial *l, resulting in a contour-toned final syllable whose duration is similar to that of the original bisyllabic sequence.

(21)	form	gloss	dialect	comment
	a. (è) wúú	‘death’	various	cf. wūō/wú/wí ‘die’
	(è) wú-ní	"	various	verbal noun
	b. tārě [tārěé]	‘slide (v)’	Ji	< Jula
	tàrèlé	"	Bi Fl	

Another conspicuous contour tone is in the compound initial ǝ- pronounced dialectally [òó], which occurs in two compounds denoting implements with curved or undulating blades (i.e., snake-like). It may be a reflex of *wùǝó ‘snake’. Other dialects have wō- or wó- in ‘sickle’.

(22)	a. (ē) ǝ-nàǝ	Ji Ma	‘sickle’
	b. (ē) ǝ-gà ⁿ ǝ ⁿ	Ji	‘walking stick with undulating blade’

The fact that these nouns are vowel-initial (dialectally) adds to the potential for prolonging the initial vowel, as the awkward vowel combination /eo/ is often contracted to o. The few other nouns that begin with vowels also often absorb the article ē, creating what sounds like a long vowel. This is the case in (23), where /ēǝ/ can contract to [āǝ].

(23)	(ē) à-bì ⁿ ǝ ⁿ	Bi Ji	‘leaf’
------	--------------------------------------	-------	--------

To the handful of examples like ‘leaf’ can be added the large number of combinations of adjectives with inanimate classifier á (§4.5.3.1-2), as in (è) á sǝⁿ-sǝⁿǝó ‘long one’ (Ji) and dialectal variants.

The bottom line is that there are no clear examples of lexical long vowels in native Tiefo-D vocabulary. We will transcribe contour tones as C^ˆv and C^ˇv and attribute the lengthening to a low-level phonetic process motivated by the need to give both tone segments clear expression.

3.1.1.4 Clv syllables

Cv may be expanded by adding the lateral l after a noncoronal C₁, which may be velar {k g}, labial {p b m f}, or labial velar {kp gb}. Examples are in (24). There are no attestations of coronal consonant preceding l.

(24)	(Jinejan)	
	a. velar plus l	
	wāklàǝ	‘roselle’ (cultivated crop)
	klè (invariant)	‘do; be done, become’
	glō/glú/glú	‘exit (v)’

b. labial plus l

plèʔè	‘soda ash’
plē/pló/pló	‘pound (in mortar)’ or ‘dig’
blíʔí	‘night’
blè (invariant)	‘skin (an animal)’
mlà ⁿ ʔā ⁿ	‘fight (n), combat’
mlō ⁿ /mó/mlú ⁿ	‘(wound) fester, become infected’

c. labial velar plus l

kplì ⁿ /klù ⁿ /klù ⁿ	‘weed (v)’
gblè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	‘sorghum’
gblè/gbē/gblī	‘take, pick up’

Cl onsets cannot be combined with following diphthongs : #Cluo/ɔ/a, #Clie/ε/a, etc. This prohibition extends to glottalic #Cluʔo/ɔ/a, #Cliʔe/ε/a. This restriction indicates that l in Cl onsets fills the same slot filled by u or i in diphthongal Cuv, Civ.

Some verbs like ‘fester’ (24b) and ‘pick up’ (24c) have alternations of initial Clv versus Cv depending on the morphological category (Pfv, base, Ipv). In other words, these verbs have an intrusive lateral in certain forms. There are other verbs that have a structurally similar intrusive r. For the morphology, see §10.1.2.10 and §10.1.5.4-5.

Our Bi speaker often pronounces Clv as [Cəlv] with an alveolar lateral tap, IPA [ɺ]. The schwa is due to the aerodynamic requirements of taps. For example, blè/bē/blī (and further variants) ‘become tired’ (and other senses) is pronounced [bà.lè/bē/bō.lī] by this speaker. l is not easily distinguishable from rhotic tap [ɾ], at least to our ears, but our Bi speaker rejects our (mis-)pronunciations with the rhotic.

Some or all cases of Clv may have syncopated from *Cvlv, via *Cəlv with the same reduction to schwa as in Cərv. However, there is no concrete synchronic evidence for an underlying syncopated vowel in any specific Tiefo-D stem.

3.1.1.5 Diphthongal syllables Civ and Cuv

Diphthongal syllables are of the form Civ or Cuv with an initial consonant. Diphthongs are transcribed with initial i or u, but could alternatively be transcribed with initial y or w, or as desyllabified ĭ or ŭ. Phonetically, the glide is part of the syllable onset. This is particularly noticeable in cases like yíé and wūō whose pronunciation is close to [j:é] and [w:ō] with lengthened semivowel.

The attested diphthongs are {ie ie ia io io} and {ui ue ue ua uo uo}. The nucleus is most often a mid-height vowel {e ε ɔ o}. When {ui ue ue} follow {y j c ɲ}, the back rounded diphthongal onset u is sandwiched between a palatal consonant and a palatal (front unrounded) vowel, and the u is fronted to ʉ (§3.2.1.8). #iu is unattested as a diphthong. Examples of diphthongal Civ and Cuv monosyllabics are in (25).

Chapter 3: Phonology

(25)	form	gloss	dialect	comment
a.	ie	bīē	‘whistle (Pfv)’	Fl Ji
	ie	fīē ⁿ	‘press’ (Pfv)	Bi Ji Ma Fl fē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ
	ia	mīá	‘tree sp. (<i>Holarrhena</i>)’	Bi Fl
	io	pàtìḁ	‘anus’	Fl Ji
	io	ʃíó	‘fortune-teller’	Fl Ji
	iu	—	—	
b.	ui	júí	‘quarrel’ (Base, Ipfv)	Bi Ji Fl gbí
	ue	jùè	‘belch’ (invariant)	Fl Ma Ji gbè
	ue	sūē ⁿ	‘chew lightly’ (Pfv)	Bi Ma Fl ʃūē ⁿ , Ji fīē ⁿ
	ua	ɲúá ⁽ⁿ⁾	‘scoop’ (Base, Ipfv)	(all)
	uo	ɲùḁ ⁽ⁿ⁾	‘drink’ (Pfv)	(all)
	uo	kùḁ	‘hit’ (Pfv)	(all)

These diphthongs occur in simple stems, but their numbers are increased by plurals of nouns (with final o or ɔ) and by Pfv forms of some verbs (with final mid-height vowel).

Syllables like *wε* and *yo* with no preceding consonant are not considered to be diphthongs.

In some verb stems, an expected sequence of velar stop {k g} plus diphthongal {ui ue ue} is compressed into a labial velar plus the nuclear vowel, e.g. /kui/ → /kwi/ → kpi (§3.4.2.6-7).

There are no stems of the shape #Cliv or #Cluv, i.e. with both a lateral and a diphthong following an initial consonant. This suggests that l and the diphthongal onsets occupy the same position in syllables. There are likewise no #Cəriv or #Cəruv stems. For glottalic Ciʔv and Cuʔv stems, see §3.1.1.6 just below.

Some verbs have bases like Cuḁ but Pfv’s like Ciē, with the entire diphthong fronted. This is the case with like ʃiʔè/sūʔḁ/sūʔū ‘give’ and variants in all dialects. For three other verbs including ‘chew on (lightly)’, only Ji dialect fronts the entire diphthong in the Pfv (26).

(26) ‘chew on (lightly)’

fīē ⁿ	súá ⁿ	súá ⁿ	Ji
sūē ⁿ	súá ⁿ	súá ⁿ	Bi Ma
ʃūē ⁿ	ʃúá ⁿ	ʃúá ⁿ	Fl

wúú ‘death’ has the appearance of a long-voweled Cv^v stem (§3.1.1.3), but may really be a diphthongal Cuv stem with “v” taking the form u.

For metathesis of the type /wiε/ to /ywε/, realized as *ywe*, see §3.4.5.1.

3.1.1.6 Glottalic Cvʔv (one or two syllables?)

Tiefö-D has a very large number of Cvʔv sequences, both stem-initially and -finally. Winkelmann points out that in some stems the Tiefö-D glottal corresponds to g in Tiefö-N

especially as spoken in Numudara (1998: 85).). There are so many cases of Cvʔv in Tiefó-D that the glottal likely originated from more than one supraglottal consonant. In particular, nasalized Cvⁿʔvⁿ stems may reflect *Cvⁿv with a velar (or other) nasal.

The analytical dilemma is this. On the one hand, especially in careful speech Cvʔv is pronounced with two vocalic pulses separated by a glottal, and total duration considerably exceeds that of Cv. These details suggest bisyllabicity. However, constraints on the sequence of vocalic segments, on tones, and on nasality are identical to constraints on the single vowel of Cv and diphthongal Civ/Cuv, pointing to (structural) monosyllabicity. This paradox was noted by Winkelmann (1998: 85). One might argue that Cvʔv is sesquisyllabic (one-and-one-half syllables).

In substantially all cases, the vocalic segments flanking the glottalic pulse either have identical quality features, or are sequences of a high segment {i u} plus a nonhigh segment (usually mid-height, less often a). This is the same pattern seen with nonglottalic syllables: Cv, Civ, Cuv. Moreover, either both vocalic segments are phonetically nasalized, or neither is (with some exceptions for Bi dialect). This is true even for subphonemic (allophonic) nasalization of the vowel(s) in Nvʔv stems with a nasal consonant N. An example is pàʔá ‘ax’, heard as [pàʔá].

The identical-vowel type is illustrated in (27). As usual, we write εⁿ and ɔⁿ for the mid-height nasalized vowels, which merge [±ATR] values.

(27)	stem	gloss	dialects
a.	Ciʔi		
	ʃi ⁿ ʔi ⁿ	‘tree (any)’	(various)
	dī ⁿ ʔi ⁿ	‘stir, mix’ (Pfv)	Ji (variant)
b.	Ceʔe		
	tè-tèʔè	‘waterjar’	Bi Ji
	tì-tèʔè	“	Fl Ma
c.	Cεʔε		
	tàpèʔè	‘winnowing van’	Bi Fl Ji
	cē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	‘fight’ (Pfv)	Bi Fl Ji
d.	Caʔa		
	làʔà	‘hunger’	(all)
	cá ⁿ ʔá ⁿ	‘fight’ (Base, Ipfv)	Bi Ji
e.	Cɔʔɔ		
	(w)ðʔɔ	‘arm; wing’	(all)
	cò ⁿ ʔò ⁿ	‘scold’ (Base, Ipfv)	(various)
f.	Coʔo		
	klòʔó	‘road’	(all)

g. Cuʔu			
lá-fùʔù	‘disease’		Fl Ji Ma
dú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ	‘stir, mix’ (Base/Ipfv)		Bi Ji

Examples of high vowel plus nonhigh vowel in a Cvʔv sequence are in (28). These can be analyzed as glottalic diphthongs. We have no examples of Cuʔi or Ciʔu with distinct high vowels separated by the glottal stop. The pronunciations in (28) are those of Bi and Ji dialects (see below for Fl and Ma).

(28)	a. Ciʔe		
	-ʃiʔé	‘manner’	(various, possessum or compound final)
	b. Ciʔe		
	tíʔé	‘hole, pit’	Bi Ji
	c. Ciʔa		
	míʔá	reflexive	Bi Ji
	d. Ciʔo		
	dí ⁿ ʔó ⁿ	‘firewood’	Bi
	e. Ciʔo		
	tíʔó	‘honey’	(various)
	f. Cuʔo		
	gùʔó	‘breath’	Bi Ji
	g. Cuʔo		
	ʃí-pùʔó	‘millet stalk’	Ji
	h. Cuʔa		
	jùʔá	‘intestine’	Bi
	i. Cuʔe including Cʔʔe		
	jùʔé	‘God’	Bi Ji
	j. Cuʔe including Cʔʔe		
	cùʔé	‘palm leaflets’	Bi Ji

Our Fl and Ma speakers often slightly delay the glottal pulse for these diphthongal Cvʔv stems. Examples of relevant noun stems are in (29), in some cases showing rhotic plurals (§4.1.2.1) as well as singulars.

(29)	singular	plural	dialect	gloss
a.	tìèʔé	tə-rè-ʔé	Fl Ma	‘hole’
b.	cìèʔé	—	Ma	‘hip, waist’
	cīēʔé	cə-rē-ʔé	Fl	
c.	fû-fûðʔð	—	Ma	‘froth’
	"	fû-fð-rð-ʔð	Fl	
d.	gùðʔó	gù-rð-ʔó	Ma	‘biting fly’
	"	gð-rð-ʔó	Fl	

The rhotic plurals are of the same infix-like type observed in these two dialects with monophthongal Cvʔv stems, e.g. Fl dialect kèʔé ‘tree sp. (*Gardenia*)’, plural kə-rè-ʔé. We take this to mean that the singulars in the left column of (29) show low-level adjustment of the location of the main glottal pulse, and that this is disregarded in rhotic plural formation.

There is some intraindividual variation in articulation of Cvʔv sequences. They are sometimes heard as [Cɥv] with a long glottalized (more or less creaky) vowel. Since true Cv with level-toned long vowel is rare, duration in [Cɥv] may be a cue of glottalization. Our Ji speaker deglottalizes more than our other speakers, especially at the end of longer words, e.g. CvCvʔv heard at least optionally as CvCv(v). In addition, Cvʔv nouns are sometimes shortened to Cv- as compound initials, as in dàⁿ-mìð ‘ember, hot coal’ from dàⁿʔáⁿ ‘fire’, and as in pō-kà varying with pōʔō-kà ‘wild animal’ from pòʔó ‘the bush’.

3.1.1.7 Cərv (one syllable or two?)

Another sequence that raises questions about syllabicity is Cərv, where as previously “v” denotes any short vowel. The tap r is preceded by a brief schwa. The only other cases of schwa in Tiefo-D are in Cəyv stems borrowed from Jula.

When -rv is a suffix (or infix), we can determine the quality of the underlying vowel segment that is reduced to schwa. Some verbs have a rhotic extension in the Pfv stem, as with dərè/dē/dē ‘wade across’. One possible underlying form for the Pfv is /dè-rè/, which then reduces the pre-rhotic vowel to schwa. But /drè/ with intrusive rhotic is another possible underlying form.

There are two types of noun that have rhotic plurals. First, several nonglottalic noun stems have them, as with sò ‘horse’, plural sə-rò. Here schwa arguably derives from the first o in /sò-rò/. Second, glottalic Cvʔv singular nouns are pluralized as Cə-rv or dialectally as Cə-rv-ʔv. In effect, either the glottal is replaced by r, or a rhotic segment is infix before the glottal. In either case, the vocalic segment preceding r is overt in the singular. In sàkpèʔè ‘donkey’, plural sàkpə-rè or dialectally sàkpə-rè-ʔè, schwa derives from e, identical to the stem-final vocalic segment. In jí-cūōʔó (Fl) ‘stomach’, structurally equivalent to jí-cúʔó, the plural is jí-cə-rō-ʔó, and this time schwa derives from a high vocalic segment (diphthongal onset).

When Cərv is internal to a stem, i.e. in bisyllabic Cərv stems and longer stems like CvCərv, there is no clear evidence that schwa derives from any specific short vowel, unless we assume arbitrarily that schwa is reduced from an underlying vowel segment identical to the stem-final vowel segment.

Whether or not schwa is transparently lenited from a specific short vowel, the Cərv sequence has the same ambiguous syllabic status as Cvʔv. It sounds bisyllabic, even though there is an asymmetry between the reduced first “syllable” and the fuller second one. However, like Cvʔv, Cərv has the same tonal possibilities as single syllables (Cv, diphthongal Civ/Cuv). And, again like Cvʔv, subphonemic nasality spreads from an initial nasal consonant across the rhotic to the end, as in singular ná-náʔá [nánáʔá] ‘tiny thing’ and its plural ná-nó-rá [nánórá]. The schwa itself is not noticeably nasal due to its brevity. The dialectal plural variant ná-nó-rá-ʔá [nánóráʔá] is also nasal to the end. This suggests that Cvr̥v and even Cvr̥vʔv function as extended versions of single syllables, rather than as syllable sequences.

Cvr̥v and Cvr̥vʔv likewise have the same tonal possibilities as single syllables, especially diphthongal Civ and Cuv. The tone pattern can be level H, M, or L, or a simple contour like LH. A tonal minimal trio is in (x30x1).

- (30) a. tərɔ̃ⁿ ‘blood’
 b. tərɔ̃ⁿ ‘iron, metal’
 c. tərð̃ⁿ ‘profit’ (< Jula)

We know of one noun that has a dialectal variant ərv without an initial consonant (31). It is a Jula borrowing.

- (31) ərá ‘currency unit’ Fl(var) Ji
 wərá " Bi Fl(var)

The possibility that Cərv is simply a phonetic realization of /Crv/ can be considered. The idea would be that the schwa is epenthetic, providing aerodynamic support for the tap. In this analysis, tərɔ̃ⁿ ‘blood’ is structurally /trɔ̃ⁿ/, and when schwa is introduced it draws the initial L-tone segment onto itself.

One minor but suggestive piece of evidence for this is the unusual pairing of singular pàŋēʔé ‘hairy-tailed mouse’ with its plural pàⁿgə-rē-ʔé in Fl dialect. The idea here is that /ŋr/ require an intrusive g.

Clv syllables, discussed in §3.1.1.4 above, may have originated historically from *Cvlv via *Cəlv, but there is no clear synchronic evidence of this.

3.1.1.8 CvC syllables with stem-final consonant

Each of three dialectally variable stems has a variant with a final sonorant (single or geminated) and one or more variants with an additional final short high vowel. These are presumably cases of apocope diachronically (the short vowel was lost). In the case of ‘ten’, the apocopated variant tám̄m is in general use while an older and fuller form subsists in Bi. tám̄m ends in an otherwise unattested final geminated nasal mm, due to the coalescence of

/mw/ following apocope. Likewise, ‘taste (n)’ is usually dá⁽ⁿ⁾-ní [dáni] but has a variant dá-nn.

- (32) a. ‘gold’
- | | |
|------|-------|
| sánú | Ji |
| sání | Fl |
| sán | Bi Ma |
- b. ‘ten’
- | | |
|-------|----------|
| támwú | Bi |
| támm | Fl Ji Ma |
- c. ‘taste (n)’, verbal noun of adjectival verb dáⁿ ‘be pleasant, delicious’
- | | |
|-----------------------|----------|
| dá ⁽ⁿ⁾ -ní | Bi Fl Ji |
| dá-nn | Ma |

3.1.1.9 Syllabic nasals

In \bar{m} -pùⁿ?ɔⁿ (Fl Ji) ‘grass, herb’, the initial is a syllabic m. This is the only such example in our lexicon. The lips are closed throughout the articulation of this initial, so we transcribe \bar{m} rather than \bar{u}^n in spite of their acoustic similarity. Our Fl assistant theorizes that the \bar{m} is onomatopoeic for grunting (as when laboriously weeding a field).

Pronouns have Cv or longer shapes in most contexts. However, 1Sg nó has a reduced proclitic variant ñ (§4.3.1.6.1). 2Sg mó likewise has a proclitic variant ñ (§4.3.1.6.2). 1Sg reflexive possessor (§18.1.1) is ñ proclitic to the possessed noun. These nasal proclitics can be at least quasi-syllabic when they immediately follow a pause. However, they do not have the duration of normal syllables even when postpausal. They usually occur noninitially in clauses, where they are syllabified with the preceding Cv. The nasal proclitics are also subject to place assimilation to the following consonant.

3.1.1.10 Pre-resumption nasal after mid-sentence interruption

In the recordings, what would ideally surface as a smoothly pronounced prosodic group (set off from the next one by a prosodic boundary or pause) is interrupted by a hesitation, followed by a resumption of the remainder of the group.

In this case, an L-toned nasal often appears as a kind of warm-up to the resumption. This happens whether or not there is a nasalized syllable before or after the interruption. The pre-resumption nasal is glossed only as parenthesized “(nasal)” in interlinears. One of many examples is (33).

- (33) [[bì tó? =] à— ñ ʃi-à-bɔⁿ móⁿ
 [[Dem.Def Foc] Ipfv— (nasal) rescue.Ipfv 2Sg
 ‘That [focus] saves you-Sg.’ (Bi, 2017-06 @ 01:45)

Some speakers have a nasalized [i] as a hesitation filler.

3.2 Consonants

3.2.1 Consonant phonemes

In the array of consonant phonemes in (34), parentheses enclose marginal phonemes.

(34) Consonants

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
labial	p	b	m	f	(v)		w	(w ⁿ)		
alveolar	t	d	n	s	(z)	l	r			
alveopalatal	c	j	ɲ	(ʃ)	(ʒ)		y			
velar	k	g	(ŋ)	(ɣ)						
labial velar	kp	gb	(ŋm)							
laryngeal								ʔ	(h)	

key to columns: 1. voiceless stops; 2. voiced stops; 3. nasals, 4. voiceless fricatives (including sibilants); 5. voiced fricatives (including sibilants); 6. laterals; 7-8. oral then nasalized sonorants; 9-10. laryngeals

Our j is IPA [j], our y is IPA [j], our r is tap [r]. kp, gb, and ŋm are labial velars, i.e. unit phonemes, though we omit the ligatures. Comments on marginal segments and oppositions are in the following subsections.

3.2.1.1 ɣ

The voiced velar fricative occurs in a few loanwords from Jula. In Jula it is an allophone of g when flanked by two a vowels or by two ɔ vowels (aya, ɔɣɔ). Examples of these loanwords are yágbáyá ‘jaw’ and ɲɔɣɔ ‘peer, equal (of sth)’.

The first vowel in Cvɣv sequences is reduced to schwa in some of these loanwords, resulting in Cəɣv (specifically, Cəɣa and Cəɣɔ). The reduced syllable can bear its own tone, as in fəɣáⁿ ‘aluminum, cheap metal’. Reduction to schwa did not occur in ɲɔɣɔ ‘the equal (of sth)’ in a textual occurrence.

3.2.1.2 s and ʃ

s and ʃ pattern in part as allophones of a single phoneme, but there is some unpredictability, suggesting that they are splitting into distinct phonemes. Especially before u there are intermediate articulations.

ʃ occurs most often before i, including diphthongs like iɛ and io. In Fl dialect, ʃi greatly outnumbers si, the exceptions being probably interdialectal or Jula borrowings. For

other dialects, ʃi is common but there are a number of cases of stable si. Some of the si cases may reflect recent vocalic mutations that preserve the original sibilant, and/or paradigmatic leveling (faithfulness) pressures. This may be the case in Ji Ipfv verbs with i-vowels that correspond to nonhigh vowels in other dialects, see ‘rub’ and ‘shape (v)’ in (35b).

Reduplicative harmony may be involved in ‘pile of earth’ (35b), which has si even in Fl, the idea being that the schwa forces s and then the reduplicative syllable copies this s. We will see below that ʃ does not occur before schwa, even when the latter is reduced from i.

(35)	form	gloss	dialect	comment
a. ʃi				
	ʃi	‘life, age’	(various)	
	ʃi ⁿ ʃi ⁿ	‘tree’	(all)	
	ʃiʔé	‘manner’	(all)	
	ʃiē	‘behind’	(all)	postposition
	ʃiè/ʃi/ʃi	‘give birth’	(all)	
	ʃiē ⁿ /ʃi ⁿ /ʃi ⁿ	‘weave’	(all)	
b. si				
<i>Jinejan Ipfv’s with i vowel</i>				
	sēʔē/sáʔá/síʔí	‘rub; replaster’	Bi Ji	Fl Ipfv sāʔá ~ síʔí
	sèrè/sē/sī	‘shape (v)’	Ji	elsewhere Ipfv sē
<i>Jinejan i corresponding to u elsewhere</i>				
	sìŋmèʔè	‘stone’	Ji	Fl Ma sùŋmèʔè
<i>reduplication and schwa</i>				
	sì-sèràʔà	‘pile of earth’	(all)	

A few items vary dialectally between si and ʃi with Fl reliably in the ʃi camp (36).

Intermediate articulations also occur.

(36)	form	gloss	dialect	comment
a.				
	sícùʔò	‘middle’	Ji	
	sícùòʔò	"	Ma	
	ʃícùòʔò	"	Fl	
	cícùʔò	"	Bi	
b.				
	bí-sīḵ ⁿ	‘child’	Ji Ma	
	bí-ʃīḵ ⁿ	"	Bi Fl	

There are some s ~ ʃ alternations within nominal paradigms (37). The nouns have ʃ before i and s before e or schwa. The schwa in ‘trees’ is a reduced vocalic segment triggered by the tap r. See also the nouns related to ‘red’, discussed below.

Chapter 3: Phonology

(37)	singular	‘your’	plural	gloss	dialect
	a.	ʃɪ ⁿ ʔɪ ⁿ	—	sə-rɪ ⁿ	‘tree’ (all)
	b.	sē	ʃɪ-à	ʃɪ-ó	‘father’ (all)

Diphthongal syllables ʃie etc. are attested and have only a faint i, so in effect the palatalization of the sibilant is the strongest indicator of the presence of the i.

There are some examples of apparent ʃ before ε, and dialectally (especially Fl) before u. We have no examples of #ʃe, #ʃo, #ʃɔ, or #ʃa.

The cases of apparent ʃε are basically limited to the adjective ‘red’ and its offshoots, where [ʃε] is an optional pronunciation of ʃie. (38) shows simple and reduplicative forms of ‘red’ followed by related vocabulary. In the reduplicated forms (38b) both base and reduplicant have the same articulation.

(38) ‘red’ word-family

a. basic modifying forms for ‘red’

postnominal Sg	ʃiɛ ⁿ	Bi Ji
	ʃiɛ ⁿ ʔɛ ⁿ	Fl Ma
postnominal Pl	sə-rɛ ⁿ	(all)
animate Sg	kā ʃiɛ ⁿ	Ji
	kā sɛ ⁿ	Bi Fl Ma
animate Pl	kā ʃiɔ	Bi Ji
	kā sə-rɛ ⁿ	Fl Ma
inanimate Sg	á ʃiɛ ⁿ	Ji
	á ʃiɛ ⁿ ʔɛ ⁿ	Fl
	á ʃiɛ ⁿ ʔɛ ⁿ	Fl
	á ʃɪ ⁿ ʔɛ ⁿ	Bi
inanimate Pl	á sə-rɛ ⁿ	Ji Ma
	á sɔ-rɛ ⁿ	Fl
	á sɔ-rɛ ⁿ	Bi

b. optional reduplicative modifying forms for ‘red’

postnominal Sg	ʃiɛ ⁿ -ʃiɛ ⁿ ʔɛ ⁿ	Fl
postnominal Pl	sɛ ⁿ -sə-rɛ ⁿ	Fl

One of the terms for ‘chili pepper’ is obscurely related to the ‘red’ word-family (39). The singular is collective in sense. The morphological plural is not in common use, which may explain why ʃ was recorded even before schwa in the plural. A different term is used in Bi dialect.

(39) ‘chili pepper’

singular	ʃí-ʃɛ ⁿ ʔɛ ⁿ	Fl Ji Ma
plural	ʃí-ʃə-rɛ ⁿ -ʔɛ ⁿ	Fl Ma

The term for ‘white (=European) person’ includes a slightly irregular reduplication of ‘red’ (40).

(40)	‘white person’		
	singular	kā ʃɛ̃ ⁿ -ʃɛ̃ ⁿ ʔɛ̃ ⁿ (all)	kā ʃɛ̃ ⁿ -ʃɛ̃ ⁿ ʔɛ̃ ⁿ (F1)
	plural	kā sɛ̃ ⁿ -sɛ̃ ⁿ -rɛ̃ ⁿ (Bi Ji Ma)	kā sɛ̃ ⁿ -sɔ́-rɛ̃ ⁿ (-ní) (F1)

The other known cases of ʃɛ are in (41). ‘Mid-day’ is related to ‘red’ through its association with the blazing sun. ‘Saliva’ is not semantically connected to ‘red’ but its consonantism may be influenced by the segmentally identical ‘chili pepper’ (39), which differs only tonally.

(41)	ʃi-ʃɛ̃ ⁿ ʔɛ̃ ⁿ	‘saliva’
	dè-ʃɛ̃ ⁿ -dàʔà (Ji)	‘mid-day’ (with sun beating down)

ʃu occurs in several lexical items in F1 dialect. Other dialects have su (or fu). Most examples of F1 ʃu are in verbs. This includes some verbs with intrusive u in the Pfv stem. F1 ʃu is realized as ʃɥ before a front vowel (42d-e), see §3.2.1.8. Several paradigms show ʃ/s alternations in Ma and less often in Ji (42c-e,f). There are also some verbs that begin with invariant ʃ(u/ɥ) in F1 (42c-f). Forms of ‘give’ all begin with ʃi or ʃu in F1 (42g).

(42)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	dialect
a. ‘take (sth given)’				
	ʃùò	sō	ʃi	F1
	sùò	"	"	Bi Ji
b. ‘light (a fire)’				
	ʃūō	só	só	F1
	sūō	"	"	Ma
	sūā	"	"	Bi
	sūō	"	sú	Ji
c. ‘catch’				
	ʃūōʔō	ʃūʔú	ʃūʔú	F1
	fūōʔō	fūʔú	fūʔú	Ma
	sūʔō	súʔú	súʔú	Bi Ji
d. ‘chew (lightly) on’				
	ʃūɛ̃ ⁿ	ʃúá ⁿ	ʃúá ⁿ	F1
	sūɛ̃ ⁿ	súá ⁿ	súá ⁿ	Bi Ma
	fīɛ̃ ⁿ	"	"	Ji
e. ‘do cooking’				
	ʃùɛ̃ ⁿ ʔɛ̃ ⁿ	ʃūō ⁿ ʔō ⁿ	ʃūō ⁿ ʔō ⁿ	F1
	sù ⁿ ʔɛ̃ ⁿ	sū ⁿ ʔō ⁿ	sū ⁿ ʔō ⁿ	Bi

fɪ ⁿ ʔɛ ⁿ	"	"	Ji
fɪɛ ⁿ ʔɛ ⁿ	sū ⁿ ʔɔ ⁿ	sū ⁿ ʔɔ ⁿ	Ma
f. ‘do, perform (work)’			
ʃù ⁿ	sɔ ⁿ	ʃɪ ⁿ	Fl
sù ⁿ	"	"	Bi Ji
g. ‘give’			
ʃɪɛ ⁿ ʔɛ ⁿ	ʃū ⁿ ʔɔ ⁿ	ʃū ⁿ ʔū	Fl
fɪɛ ⁿ ʔɛ ⁿ	fū ⁿ ʔɔ ⁿ	fū ⁿ ʔū	Ma
ʃɪ ⁿ ʔɛ ⁿ	sū ⁿ ʔɔ ⁿ	sū ⁿ ʔū	Bi Ji

In nouns, Fl has slightly more cases of su (43a) than ʃu (43b). There is probably some variability in the Fl articulation of these words. As usual, Fl ʃu corresponds to su in the other dialects.

(43) a. Fl nouns with su

sú	‘domestic mouse’	
sǔ ⁿ	‘medication’	
súá ⁿ	‘Guinea worm’	Ji sú ⁿ
sù ⁿ	‘shea (karité) tree’	
sù ⁿ ʔɔ ⁿ	‘ashes’	Ma ʃù ⁿ ʔɔ ⁿ
sùŋmɛ ⁿ ʔɛ ⁿ	‘stone, rock’	
súmá-klà ⁿ ʔà	‘maize’	interdialectal borrowing?
mlú ⁿ sú ⁿ	‘slender mongoose’	
jù ⁿ sú ⁿ	‘cotton; thread’	Ji jù ⁿ sú ⁿ

b. Fl nouns with ʃu (all known examples)

kē-ʃù ⁿ ʔɔ ⁿ	‘work (n)’	cf. ʃù ⁿ ʔɔ ⁿ /sɔ ⁿ /ʃɪ ⁿ ‘do (work)’
ŋù-ʃū ⁿ ʔɔ ⁿ	‘mediator’	cf. ʃū ⁿ ʔɔ ⁿ /ʃū ⁿ ʔú/ʃū ⁿ ʔú ‘catch’
ʃúá ⁿ -	compound initial in:	
ʃúá ⁿ -tò ⁿ ʔɔ ⁿ	‘sesame’	
ʃúá ⁿ -jē ⁿ ʔɛ ⁿ	‘savanna monitor lizard’	
ʃúá ⁿ -kì ⁿ ɛ ⁿ ʔɛ ⁿ	‘zebra mouse’ (<i>Lemniscomys</i>)	

3.2.1.3 ʒ

We might expect ʒ as an offshoot of z before front vowels, parallel to ʃ. However, z is not a regular consonant in any Tiefo-D variety. Except for the probably borrowed zⁿ-zⁿ (Fl dialect) ‘freshwater shrimp’, cf. sⁿ-zⁿ in other dialects, there are no known stems beginning with z.

Instead, ʒ has developed in Ma dialect as a reflex of yi in front-vowel diphthongs at the beginning of stems. The known examples have Ma ʒie, often heard as nondiphthongal [ʒe], corresponding to yie in some or all other dialects. The likely phonetic development is *yie → *yɛ → ʒie. The known examples are in (44).

(44)	a.	ʒìè-flō	‘fill’ (Pfv)	Ma (variant)
		yìè-fló	"	(all)
	b.	ʒìè-dā	‘jump over’ (Pfv)	Ma
		yìè-dā	"	Bi Fl
		yìè-dà ⁿ	"	Ji
	c.	ʒīēʔē	‘go’ (Pfv)	Ma
		yīēʔē	"	Fl
		yīʔē	"	Bi Ji
	d.	ʒíé	‘name (n)’	Ma
		yíé	"	Fl Ji
		wé	"	Bi

In the case of ‘jump over’ (44b), the ʒ can spread into base and Pfv stems although they are nondiphthongal (ʒī-dā, ʒī-à-dā).

3.2.1.4 w and wⁿ

w is a regular semivowel consonant, as in kló-wì ‘sorcerer’, sāwò ‘small hatchet’ (Fl, dialectal for sāyò), and wáʔá ‘dry spell’.

What we write as wⁿ is a variant of desyllabified dative preposition ðⁿ or the homophonous third person animate singular proclitic. These forms can be heard as =wⁿ after {a ɔ o} at the end of the preceding word.

The term for ‘lungfish’ is Bi jáwⁿ, versus Fl Ji jáñù and the strangely pronounced Ma jâm^w.

3.2.1.5 Labial velars {kp gb ŋm}

Many languages of the area, especially in the far south extending into Côte d’Ivoire, have labial velar phonemes. We write kp, gb, and ŋm without ligatures.

ŋm is attested in a single noun stem (45). Bi dialect has ⁿgb, and it is unclear which pronunciation is older.

(45) ‘stone, rock’

sùŋmèʔè	Fl Ma
sìŋmèʔè	Ji
sù ⁿ gbè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	Bi

ŋm can potentially arise secondarily in Bi dialect when a word ending in a nasalized vowel is followed by gb.

Chapter 3: Phonology

kp and gb occur in a modest number of stems. Most occurrences are stem-initial. Nouns, adjectives, and numerals with these segments, usually as onsets but sometimes medially, are in (46). Verbs are covered separately below.

(46)	stem	gloss	dialect	comment
a. kp				
<i>initial</i>				
	kpà-[mé-mé]	‘butterfly’	Fl Ji	
	kpá-[kpláʔá]	‘bamboo; raffia palm’	(all)	
	kpàʔà-ní	‘difficulty, lack’	(various)	
	kpǎ ⁿ	‘twenty’	(various)	
	kpà ⁿ ʔá ⁿ	‘squash’	(various)	
	[kpè-kpè]-sòró	‘tree sp. (<i>Grewia</i>)’	Bi Fl	
	kpè ⁿ	‘tree sp. (<i>Carapa</i>)’	Fl Ji	
	kpèʔè-nò	‘pauper’	Fl Ji	
	kplè	‘wrist/ankle joint’	Bi	
	kplé-sè ⁿ	‘grass mouse (<i>Arvicanthis</i>)’	Fl Ji	
	kpò	‘Senegal parrot’	(various)	
	játé ⁿ -kpóʔó	‘Adam’s apple’	Ji	
	kpó	‘liana sp. (<i>Landolphia</i>)’	(various)	
	kpóʔó	‘fortune-teller’s bell’	(various)	
<i>noninitial</i>				
	sàkpèʔè	‘donkey’	(various)	
	tákpóʔó	‘carp (fish)’	Fl Ji (Bi tákpòʔó)	
	tàkpóʔó	‘tree sp. (<i>Terminalia</i>)’	(various)	
b. gb				
<i>initial</i>				
	gbàflàʔà	‘hat’	(various)	
	gbà ⁿ	‘ball, globe’	Bi Ji	
	gbá ⁿ -gbà ⁿ ʔá ⁿ	‘lion’	(all)	
	gbátá	‘shed, stall’	(all)	
	gbàʔá	‘thigh’	(all)	
	gbè-gbè	‘chest (body)’	Bi Fl Ma (Ji gbì-gbì)	
	gbéné	‘cassava’	Fl Ji	
	gbè ⁿ gé	‘gunpowder’	(various)	
	gbèrèká	‘calabash cover’	Fl Ji	
	gbésé	‘chewstick’	Fl Ji (< Jula)	
	gbìè-[bá ⁿ -pò ⁿ]	‘flying beetle sp.’	Bi	
	gbī ⁿ ʔī ⁿ	‘peanuts’	(all)	
	[gbí ⁿ -gbí ⁿ]-èʔè	‘heavy’ (participle)	Bi	
	[gblē-gblē]-kàʔà	‘mussel’	Fl Ji	
	gbléʔé	‘bell’	Ji	
	gblè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	‘sorghum’	(all)	
	gblì ~ gblì ⁿ	‘ridge in plowed field’	Fl Ji	

Chapter 3: Phonology

gblàʔà	‘flank, side’	Fl Ji
gbó	‘aquatic beetle’	Bi Fl
[gbó-gbó]-nàʔà	‘snail’	(various)
gbò ⁿ sò ⁿ	‘grasshopper sp. (<i>Acrida</i>)’	Bi
gbò ⁿ ʔó ⁿ	‘tree sp. (<i>Pterocarpus</i>)’	(various)
gbú ⁿ -gbèrú ⁿ	‘hedgehog’	Ma (Bi Fl Ji glú ⁿ -glú ⁿ)
tì-tá ⁿ -gbō	‘grasshopper sp. (<i>Zonoceros</i>)’	Ji
ú ⁿ (ʔú ⁿ)-gblǎ	‘head louse’	Bi
<i>medial</i>		
kàrà ⁿ gbá ⁿ	‘body louse’	Fl Ji
nígbó	‘short’	(various)
ɲàgbá ⁿ	‘whip’	(various)
tùgbē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	‘giant millipede’	Fl Ma
tògbòʔò	‘tree sp. (<i>Cola</i>)’	Fl Ma
wógbò ⁿ ʔò ⁿ	‘tree sp. (<i>Cassia</i>)’	(various)
yágbóyá	‘jaw’	(various)

c. kp varying dialectally with gb

gbè-rà-ʔà	‘fleas’	Ma
gbè-rà	"	Ji
kpè-rà-ʔà	"	Bi Fl Sg kpàʔà (Bi)

The data in (46a-b) show that kp and gb may precede a wide range of vowels in non-verb stems. Except for gbúⁿ-gbèrúⁿ ‘hedgehog’ (Ma dialect variant), u does not follow labial velars, and there are only two examples of Ci and Cli combined.

Verbs can begin with kp or gb. In (47), the initial labial velar occurs throughout the stem paradigm.

(47) a. kp throughout

adjectival verb

kplō — — ‘be short’

regular verbs

kpè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	kpà ⁿ ʔà ⁿ	kpì ⁿ ʔì ⁿ	‘nail (v)’	(various)
kpè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	kpē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	kpē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	‘twist’	(all)
kpē ⁿ	kpé ⁿ	kpé ⁿ	‘ring (bell)’	(various)
kpē ⁿ	kpé ⁿ	kpé ⁿ	‘(plant) sprout’	(all)
kpē	kpē	kpē	‘roll on ground’	(various)
kplè ⁿ	kplà ⁿ	kplà ⁿ	‘tell fortunes’	(all)

b. gb throughout

adjectival verb

— gbāʔā gbāʔā ‘be big’ (various)

regular verbs

gbè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	gbā ⁿ ʔā ⁿ	gbā ⁿ ʔā ⁿ	‘block, bar’	(all)
gbèʔè	gbāʔā	gbīʔī	‘pile up’	Bi Fl
gbè	gbè	gbè	‘grind coarsely’	(all)

Chapter 3: Phonology

gbē	gbé	gbé	‘split (wood)’	Ji(var)
gbè ⁿ	gbā ⁿ	gbā ⁿ	‘sew’	(various)
gbèʔè	gbòʔò	gbòʔò	‘shatter’	(various)

In other verb paradigms, kp alternates with k or c, and gb alternates with g or j. Voiceless kp occurs in the Pfv in (48a), in the Ipfv in (48b).

(48)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	dialect
a. kp in Pfv only					
	kp̄lè	klō	klō	‘(heart) beat’	(various)
	kp̄lè	klò	klò	‘bump’	(various)
	kp̄li ⁿ	klù ⁿ	klù ⁿ	‘weed (v)’	Bi Ji
	kp̄ē	kó	kó	‘weep’	(all)
	kp̄à	kō	kō ~ kū	‘finish’	(all)
	kp̄èʔè	kōʔō	kōʔō	‘succeed’	(all)
	kp̄ēʔē	kóʔó	kóʔó	‘lower (head)’	Ji
	kp̄èʔè	kōʔō	kōʔō	‘uproot’	F1 Ma
	kp̄ē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	kó ⁿ ʔó ⁿ	kó ⁿ ʔó ⁿ	‘cut up’	(various)
b. kp in base and/or Ipfv but not in Pfv					
	cùè	kp̄ā	kp̄ē	‘pick (fruit)’	(various)

With the exception of gbòʔò ‘shatter’ (base and Ipfv), verbs do not show kp or gb before a back rounded vowel. This contrasts with nouns, several of which have kp or gb before such a vowel, especially {o ɔ}. The verbs in (48a) above show kp only when a back rounded vowel {o ɔ u} mutates to a front vowel counterpart {e e i}. Diachronically, the mutation must have left a trace of the back rounded vowel in the form of labialization. For example, ‘weep’ is kó (base, Ipfv), but adds a final e in the Pfv. Expected #kōē is realized as kp̄ē, likely reflecting earlier *kwē.

Voiced gb alternates with g or j in (49). The alternations pattern like those of kp with k and c described above.

(49)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	dialect
a. gb in Pfv only					
	gbà	gò	gò ~ gù	‘hit’	(all)
	gbā	gó	gó ~ gú	‘draw (water)’	(all)
	gbēʔē	góʔó	góʔó	‘dig with hands’	Bi
	gbè	gùò	gùò	‘belch’	Ji
b. gb in base and/or Ipfv but not in Pfv					
	jūē	gbé	gbé ~ júé	‘split (wood)’	(various)
	jūē	gbí	gbí	‘fight (v)’	F1

3.2.1.6 η

The velar nasal occurs medially in a few noun and verb stems. The known intervocalic cases are in (50).

- | | | | | | |
|------|----|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------|---------------|
| (50) | a. | ɲāɲāmī
ɲāyāmī | ‘mix, confuse’ | Ma
Fl Ji | < Jula ɲágámí |
| | b. | káɲárá
káɲérá ⁿ | ‘wall’ | Ji
Fl Ma | |
| | c. | dàɲèròʔò | ‘cloud’ | Fl Ji | |

η also arises secondarily, especially in Bi dialect, when a word-final nasal syllable is followed by infinitive kō, resulting in ηō.

1Sg proclitic ɲ, 2Sg proclitic ɲ, and 1Sg reflexive possessor ɲ are transcribed as velar nasals. However, they assimilate to the position of the following consonant.

3.2.1.7 v (labiodental)

We use the symbol “v” to mean any vowel in formulae like CvCv. An actual v (voiced labiodental fricative) is not part of the regular Tiefo-D consonant inventory. However, v does optionally replace initial w dialectally in a handful of stems, chiefly in diphthongal wio, wio, wie. In the case of wie, Fl metathesizes as yqe (51e-f).

- | | | | |
|------|----|--|--------------------|
| (51) | a. | ‘winged termite sp.’ | |
| | | vìó ~ wìó | Bi |
| | b. | ‘crocodile’ | |
| | | víó | Bi Ji Ma |
| | | wíó | Fl |
| | c. | ‘striped frog sp. (<i>Amnirana</i>)’ | |
| | | bá-vìó | Ma |
| | | bá-wìó | Fl Ji |
| | d. | ‘squeeze’ | |
| | | vīē/víó/víó | Bi(var) Ji(var) |
| | | wīē/wíó/wíó | Bi(var) Fl Ji(var) |
| | e. | ‘get rid of’ | |
| | | vīē/wé/wé | Ma(var) |
| | | wīē/wé/wé | Bi Ji Ma(var) |
| | | yqē/wé/wé | Fl |

f. ‘put in or on’

vìè/wē/wī	Ma(var)
wìè/wē/wī	Bi Ji Ma(var)
yùè/wē/wī	Fl

wí ‘owner’ (a very common compound final) has stable w.

The diphthongal context in which w→v occurs is similar to the context for hardening of y(i) to ʒ (§3.2.1.3).

3.2.1.8 ɥ

ɥ is the IPA symbol for a high front rounded semivowel, the nonsyllabic counterpart of IPA [y] (as in German “ü”). In Tiefs-D, we use this symbol for a high front rounded vocalic segment at the beginning of a diphthong. This sound is an allophonic variant of u when flanked by palatal segments, i.e. when preceded by a consonant from the set {y c j ɲ} and followed by a front unrounded vowel {i e ε}, with or without a glottal. For our Fl speaker phonemic yuo can also be pronounced ɥo.

As a reminder, our y is IPA semivowel [j]. It would be possible to transcribe the relevant words phonemically with u, with the understanding that it has a fronted allophone in this position. However, the fronting is conspicuous and we prefer to acknowledge it in our transcription.

(52) presents the known examples involving jɥ and cɥ. Those with initial nasal or semivowel are treated separately below.

(52)	form	gloss	dialect	comment
	a. after j			
	<i>verbs with jɥ in Pfv</i>			
	jṽṽ/gbḗ/gbḗ ~ jṽḗ	‘split (wood)’	Bi Fl	
	jṽḗ/jùḗ/jùḗ	‘blink’	Fl	
	jṽḗ ⁿ /júḗ ⁿ /júḗ ⁿ	‘look down’	(various)	
	jṽḗ ⁿ /júḗ ⁿ /júḗ ⁿ	‘lick’	(all)	
	jṽḗ/jṽḗ/jṽḗ	‘fight (v), quarrel’	Bi Ji Ma	Fl jṽḗ/gbḗ/gbḗ
	jṽḗ/jṽḗ/jṽḗ	‘belch’	Fl Ma	
	<i>noun</i>			
	jṽḗḗ	‘God’	Bi Ji	
	jṽḗḗ	''	Fl Ma	
	b. after c			
	<i>verbs with cɥ in Pfv</i>			
	cṽḗ/kpā/kpḗ ~ kpḗ	‘pick (fruit)’	(all)	
	cṽḗ ⁿ /cùḗ ⁿ /cùḗ ⁿ	‘measure’	(various)	
	cṽḗḗ/kùḗḗ/kùḗḗ	‘pick off (leaf)’	(various)	
	cṽḗḗ/cṽḗḗ/cṽḗḗ	‘peck at’	(various)	

Chapter 3: Phonology

verbs with *cɥ* in *Ipfv*

kùdò/kò/ cùḽ	‘hit’	(all)
kūō/kú/ cúí	‘cut’	(various)

The examples in (53a-c) have *yɥ* in the Pfv, and in the case of ‘burn, sear’ (53c) also in the *Ipfv*.

(53)	form	gloss	dialect	comment
a.	y-initial verb with <i>yɥ</i> in Pfv			
	yḽè /yūā/yūā	‘grope’	(various)	
b.	w-initial verb with <i>yɥ</i> in Pfv			
	yḽē /wé/wé	‘abandon’	F1	Pfv: Bi Ji wīē
	yḽē /wúó/wúó	‘reap with sickle’	F1	Pfv: Bi wē, Ji wī?ē
c.	w-initial verb with <i>yɥ</i> in Pfv and <i>Ipfv</i>			
	yḽèⁿ /wē ⁿ / yḽīⁿ	‘burn, sear’	F1	cf. Bi Ji in (54c)
	yḽè /wē/ yḽī	‘put in’	F1	Pfv: Bi Ji wè

The examples in (53b-c) show *yɥ* only in F1 dialect, corresponding to w-initial forms in other dialects. The explanation for this is that the relevant F1 forms have undergone Semivowel-Vowel Metathesis (§3.4.5.1) triggered by an intrusive *i*. For example, in other dialects ‘abandon’ is wīē/wé/wé. In F1, the Pfv metathesizes from /wīē/ to /yūē/, which then surfaces as **yḽē** by fronting the /u/.

(54) presents *ɲɥ* at least in the Pfv.

(54)	form	gloss	dialect	comment
a.	<i>ɲ</i> -initial verb with <i>ɲɥ</i> in Pfv			
	ɲḽē /ɲúá/ɲúá	‘scoop’	(various)	
b.	w-initial verb with <i>ɲɥ</i> in Pfv			
	ɲḽèⁿ /wā ⁿ /wē ⁿ	‘(baby) suckle’	Bi	F1 Ji Pfv wè ⁿ
c.	w-initial verb with <i>ɲɥ</i> in Pfv and <i>Ipfv</i>			
	ɲḽèⁿ /wè ⁿ / ɲḽīⁿ	‘burn, sear’	Bi Ji	cf. F1 in (53c)

(54b) and (54c) correspond structurally to (53b) and (53c) above, except that the expected initial *y* (after Semivowel-Vowel Metathesis) surfaces as *ɲ* under the influence of the nasalized stem vowel (§3.4.2.2). These cases of secondary initial *ɲ* from /y/ are attested only in Bi and (in one case) Ji dialects.

3.2.1.9 Glottal stop ʔ

Sequences transcribed Cvʔv, whether they have identical vocalic segments as in Caʔa or they have diphthongal sequences as in Ciʔe, are discussed in §3.1.1.6 above, where we point out that such sequences behave in some respects (e.g. subphonemic nasalization) like single syllables.

Glottal stop does not occur word- or stem-initially. This is one of the few phonological points on which we diverge from Winkelmann. She transcribed an initial glottal stop in what we treat as vowel-initial morphemes and stems (1998: 47-48). This is apparently not because she heard a glottal stop, rather she inferred its (underlying) presence to account for combinations that present vowel-vowel hiatus (absence of vv-Contraction).

A genuine glottal stop occurs in immediately prepausal (i.e. clause-final) negative enclitic =ʔ, which combines with another negative marker earlier in the clause such as PfvNeg á, IpfvNeg má, and ní-mā ‘not be (somewhere), be absent’. Examples are scattered throughout §10.2.5. The =ʔ is omitted or at least not clearly audible in some negative clauses, both elicited and transcribed from recordings. Because of the difficulty in detecting it, our textual transcriptions should not be relied on.

A glottal stop is regular in clause-final emphatic enclitic =dēʔ ~ =rēʔ (§19.4.1), and frequently on the universal quantifier bíé(ʔ) when in prepausal position (§6.6.1.1). It lost its glottal stop in the rare case when it is followed by another clause-final element. We have also observed a final glottal in kárílóʔ ‘ball, spherical object’ (from one speaker), and several times with the adverb kósóbéʔ ‘very much, greatly’.

The glottal stops for =ʔ, =dēʔ, and bíéʔ occur only in prepausal position, i.e. clause-finally with no immediately following material.

Negation, the emphatic enclitic, and the universal quantifier all have emphatic tendencies, suggesting that the clause-final glottal stop is prosodic in nature. We occasionally hear it in clauses ending in other elements. In (Bi, 2017-08 @ 03:15) it occurs after the noun ‘leaf loincloth’. In (Ji, 2017-01 @ 04:13) it occurs after nígbó ‘short’.

3.2.1.10 Alternations of f with sibilants

A few verbs present an alternation of initial f with a sibilant s or ʃ in Ji and Ma dialects. The f variant is likely secondary in each case.

The Ji pattern is that verbs whose base consists of a sibilant plus u-initial diphthong {ua ue uo} form the Pfv with fiē, with or without glottalization (55). Other dialects retain the su or ʃu onset in the Pfv, merely mutating the final vocalic segment to ε.

(55)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	dialect
	a. ‘chew on (lightly)’			
	fīē ⁿ	súá ⁿ	súá ⁿ	Ji
	sūē ⁿ	"	"	Bi Ma
	ʃūē ⁿ	ʃúá ⁿ	ʃúá ⁿ	Fl

b. ‘do cooking’				
fɪʔɛⁿ	sū ⁿ ʔɔ ⁿ	sū ⁿ ʔɔ ⁿ		Ji
sù ⁿ ʔɛ ⁿ	"	"		Bi
ʃù ⁿ ʔɛ ⁿ	ʃū ⁿ ʔɔ ⁿ	ʃū ⁿ ʔɔ ⁿ		Fl

c. ‘mix (with sauce)’				
fɪʔɛ	súʔá	súʔá		Ji
sūʔɛ	"	"		Bi
sūɛʔɛ	sūāʔá	sūāʔá		Fl
"	"	sùàʔá		Ma

Two high-frequency transitive verbs that have initial s or ʃ preceding a high vocalic segment in other dialects have invariant initial f in Ma (56).

(56)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	dialect
a. ‘give; send’				
fɪɛʔɛ	fūōʔɔ	fūʔū		Ma
ʃɪɛʔɛ ~ ʃùɛɛ	ʃūōʔɔ	ʃūʔū		Fl
ʃɪʔɛ	sūʔɔ	sūʔū		Bi Ji
b. ‘catch’				
fūōʔō	fùʔú	fūʔú		Ma
ʃūōʔō	ʃūʔú	ʃūʔú		Fl
sūʔō	súʔú	súʔú		Bi Ji

3.2.1.11 Alternations of l with other sonorants

An alternation of l with ɲ or with w occurs dialectally in two verbs (57a-b).

(57)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	dialect
a. ‘look (at)’				
ɲūɔ ⁿ	ɲó ⁿ	lú ⁿ		Bi
ɲūɔ	ɲó	ɲú		Fl Ji Ma
b. ‘bathe’				
wè	wō	lū		Bi Fl
"	"	wō		Ji Ma

It is difficult to make sense of these rather opaque alternations, but the association of l with u suggests one or more long-lost phonological processes rather than suppletion.

It is unclear how, if at all, these verbal alternations relate to the pandialectal number alternation (seemingly suppletive) for ‘young woman’ (58) and similar oddities, on which see §3.4.3.3.

(58)	gloss	singular	plural
	‘young woman’	yīē	lō (in Ji also regularized yā-rō)

3.2.1.12 Laryngeal h

This consonant does not occur in native vocabulary. It is attested in hērē ‘peace, well-being’, a Jula borrowing that occurs in greeting formulae. It occurs phonetically in some pronunciations of ðⁿhóⁿ! ‘uh-huh’ (= ‘yes’).

3.2.2 Consonant clusters

3.2.2.1 Word- and morpheme-initial CC clusters

The productive initial CC cluster type is Cl with a noncoronal obstruent C and the lateral. For details see §3.1.1.4.

Initial Cərv is similar to Clv except for the brief schwa preceding the tap. Since the tap requires airflow on both sides for aerodynamic reasons, one could argue that the schwa is due to phonetic realization of Crv. See §3.1.1.7 on this.

Diphthongal Civ and Cuv, for example Cie and Cuo, might be analysed as Cwv and Cyv respectively. The i and u are pronounced as part of the onset (§3.1.1.5).

Recall that gb, kp and ŋm represent unit phonemes, not clusters.

3.2.2.2 Medial prenasalized voiced stop (or homorganic cluster)

Medial nasal-stop sequences are analysed here as prenasalized voiced stops rather than as clusters, though the distinction is not sharp. These are especially typical of Bi dialect. In a few words, Bi prenasalized voiced stops correspond to nasals in other dialects, as with Bi tàⁿbá and Fl Ji Ma tàⁿmá ‘spear’ (§3.4.4.2). In other cases, a morpheme-final nasalized vowel (after a nasal or a nonnasal consonant) induces prenasalization of a following stop in Bi but not in other dialects, as in Bi nāⁿ-bè[?]è versus Fl Ji nā-bè[?]è, the personal name of the hyena character in tales.

Prenasalized voiced stops occur in the non-Bi dialects, as well as in Bi, in some non-verb stems (nouns, adjectives).

(59)	stem	gloss	dialect
a.	ⁿ b		
	yà ⁿ bárá ⁿ	‘gourd’	Ji
	ɲà ⁿ bárá	”	Bi
	yàmǎrá	”	Fl
	yàmǎrá	”	Ma

Chapter 3: Phonology

b. ⁿ d			
	kēkà ⁿ dí	‘tree sp. (<i>Bridelia</i>)’	Fl Ji(var)
c. ⁿ g			
	dà ⁿ gó	‘blanket’	(various)
	dà ⁿ gó(ʔó)	‘firefly; flint lighter’	(various)
	gbè ⁿ gé	‘gunpowder’	(various)
	kó ⁿ gó-kàɣàlá (~ -kàɣàlá)	‘pangolin’	(various)
	kó ⁿ gó-klǒ	‘plantain-eater’	(various)
	má ⁿ gèrō	‘mango’	(various)
	ńímá ⁿ gòʔó	‘trunkfish’	Fl Ji
d. ⁿ gb			
	kàrà ⁿ gbá ⁿ	‘body louse’	Fl Ji
e. c à ⁿ g ò ⁿ ʔ ó		‘galago’ (mammal)	Bi Ji
	c à ⁿ g ɔ̃ ⁿ ʔ ó ⁿ	''	Fl
	c à ⁿ g ò ⁿ ʔ ó ⁿ	''	Ma

3.2.2.3 Other medial CC clusters

Cl clusters occur medially as well as initially: Bi Fl Ji náklò ‘rice’, nāplòⁿʔòⁿ ‘acacia sp.’.

3.2.2.4 Medial triple CCC clusters

There are no clearcut medial triple clusters. Bi nàⁿgblà-cíó ‘circumcision novices’ has a prenasalized gb (unit phoneme) plus l.

3.2.2.5 Final CC clusters

There are no word- or stem-final consonant clusters, except for geminated nasals (following apocope of a short high vowel) in dialectal variants of two stems: Ma dá-nn ‘taste (n)’ versus Bi Fl Ji dá⁽ⁿ⁾-ní, Fl Ji Ma támm ‘ten’ versus Bi támwú. See §3.1.1.8.

3.3 Vowels

3.3.1 Oral vowel qualities

Tiefó-D has seven vowel qualities, like most other languages in southwestern Burkina (other than Toussian) and some adjacent areas.

- (60) u i
 o ɔ e ε
 a

There are two high vowels u and i, and one low vowel a. The biggest concentration of vowel phonemes is in the mid-height area. The distinction in many West African languages between e and ε, and that between o and ɔ, is often described as +ATR versus -ATR. Whether this is articulatorily correct may depend on the language and even on the speaker, as similar formant patterns can arise from different articulations.

The seven-vowel system is reduced to five qualities in nasalized vowels except marginally in Bi dialect (§3.3.4).

Vowels are normally short, except when they bear contour tones or when they are due to contractions (§3.3.5).

3.3.2 Reduced vowel ə

As noted in §3.1.1.7 above, sequences of the type Cvr̥v are commonly realized as Cərv. In addition, some Jula loanwords contain the sequence Cəyv (§3.2.1.1), and our Bi speaker often pronounces Clv as Cə.lv with a lateral tap (§3.1.1.4). The Cə segment may bear its own tone, as in relative marker jə̀rɔ́ⁿ. An argument can be made that Cərv is a phonetic realization of /Crv/ via Schwa-Epenthesis (cf. §3.4.1.2), on the grounds that a tap requires some airflow before and after. In this view, the relative marker is structurally /jrɔ́ⁿ/ before low-level phonetic adjustments.

jə̀rɔ́ⁿ is unsegmentable, as are many other rhotic-containing stems such as mórá ‘plastic’, nə̀rú ‘(animal) fat’, and sàré ‘shame’. However, many other stems have a rhotic suffix (or infix), plural for nouns or Pfv for verbs. In these cases, what is otherwise the stem-final vowel is replaced by schwa before the rhotic. One can then analyse the schwa as a reduced (lenited) version of that vowel. The alternative is to assume that the rhotic induces deletion of the preceding vowel, which is then “resurrected” by epenthesis. Some examples of the plural suffix are in (61).

(61)	gloss	singular	plural	dialect
a.	‘man’	dɔ́	də̀-rɔ́	(all)
b.	‘hand’	kè-tèʔè	kè-tə̀-rè	Ji
		kè-tèʔè	kè-tə̀-rè	Bi Fl
		kì-tèʔè	kì-tə̀-rè-ʔè	Ma

Several verbs like ‘cook (sauce)’ (62a) have an intrusive (nonlexical) rhotic in the Pfv stem only (§10.1.5.4). Compare the verbs in (62b), which have the rhotic in all stems.

(62)	Pfv/base/Ipfv	gloss	dialects
a.	tārō/tó/tó ~ tú	‘cook (sauce)’	(all)
b.	tàè ⁿ /tārā ⁿ /tārē ⁿ	‘sit’	(various)
	sārō ⁿ /sórú ⁿ /sárú ⁿ	‘descend’	(all)

Word- or stem-initial schwa is very rare. However, the term (borrowed from Jula) for the basic currency unit (equal to 5 francs CFA) has variants èrá and wèrá. The noun meaning ‘thing’ has dialectal variants èʔé and yèʔé. Speakers who say èʔé sometimes avoid reducing the first vocalic segment to ə in the rhotic plural, and pronounce è-ré rather than #è-ré. Speakers who say yèʔé often pluralize it as yè-ré. For all speakers, the usual compound final form is -èʔè with plural -è-rè.

3.3.3 ATR harmony

Some West African languages, generally near the Atlantic coast (e.g. Kru and Kwa families), have symmetrical ten-vowel systems with ATR distinctions in high and low as well as in mid-height vowels. Regardless of whether ATR is limited to mid-height or extends to high and/or low vowels, some West African languages show ATR harmony. For example, e may co-occur with o but not with ε or with ɔ in a stem. ATR harmony, sometimes accompanied by back/rounding harmony, may be a simple constraint on uncompounded stems of more than one syllable, or it may be a productive process, extending to derivational suffixes.

Tiefó-D does show ATR-harmonic tendencies at stem level. This is most conspicuous in vocalic ablaut in verbs. Most verbs which ablaut between front and back mid-height vowels retain the ATR value (63), i.e. with e/o and ε/ɔ alternations.

(63)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	dialect
a.	dārē	dáró	dáró	‘abound’	
	dè	dò	dò	‘speak’	
b.	cèʔè	cōʔō	cōʔō	‘fear’	
	dè	dō	dē	‘sleep (v)’	Bi Ji Ma
	"	dō	"	"	Fl

Less transparent is co-occurrence of a with either [+ATR] or [-ATR] vowels. This is especially relevant to verb-stem morphology. Many verbs shift a vowel to a front vowel in the Pfv. If the starting point is a in the base, a shift to Pfv e for some verbs and to ε for other verbs could be diagnostic of an original ATR opposition among low vowels: [+ATR] *₃ (alternatively written *_Λ) versus [-ATR] *a. Winkelmann made this argument (1998: 35-37).

However, in our data no verb that has a in the base has a Pfv with e. By contrast, many such verbs have ε in the Pfv. A few examples are in (64).

Chapter 3: Phonology

(64)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss
	fê	fā	fā	‘look for’
	cè ⁿ	cā ⁿ	cā ⁿ	‘separate (v)’
	gèʔè	gàʔà	gàʔà	‘do first’

The only verb that has a in the base and e in another stem in our data is the highly irregular verb ‘come’. It has e not in the Pfv, rather in the Ipfv (653a). The problem is that a [+ATR] vowel e or o in the Ipfv is not diagnostic of lexical [+ATR] status. This is because there are several verbs that are overtly [-ATR] in base and Pfv, but that shift to [+ATR] precisely in the Ipfv. A few examples are in (65b). This pattern is clearest for Bi and Fl dialects, while Ji often raises o to u and e to i in these Ipfv’s. Even more telling is ‘cultivate’ (65c), which has a in the base, ε in the Pfv, and e in the Ipfv.

(65)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	dialect	gloss
a.	bà	bà	bē	(all)	‘come’
b.	gbēʔē	góʔó ~ gōʔó	góʔó ~ gōʔó	Bi Fl	‘dig with hands’
	pè	pē	pē	Bi Fl	‘forget’
	dōrē	dé	dé	Bi Fl	‘be satiated’
c.	bē	bá	bé	Bi Fl Ma	‘cultivate (crops)’

Besides ‘come’, the other example given by Winkelmann of an alternation between a and some [+ATR] vowel is the initial in the compound verb “wié-tɔ̃” (Pfv) versus “waʔá-tɔ̃” (base) meaning ‘shut’ (1998: 36). However, we consistently recorded [-ATR] ε rather than e in the Pfv, in spite of dialectal variation in the onset (66).

(66)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	dialect	gloss
	wíʔē-tò ⁿ	śʔó-tō ⁿ	wíʔ-ā-tì ⁿ	Bi	‘shut’
	"	wáʔá-tò ⁿ	"	Ji	"
	yūʔēʔē-tò ⁿ	wāʔá-tò ⁿ	"	Fl	"
	wēʔē-tò ⁿ	wàʔá-tò ⁿ	wìʔ-á-tī ⁿ	Ma "	"

So the case for internal reconstruction of [+ATR] *ɜ (or *ʌ) versus [-ATR] *a cannot be made using verbal morphology. In the minority of nouns that present vocalic mutations in the plural, we know of no a/o alternations, as opposed to a/ɔ as in bání ‘sheep’, plural bó.

The final place to look for evidence of former *ɜ versus *a is vowel sequences in non-verb stems (67). We would have to show that these stems are archaic (i.e. unborrowed), ATR-harmonic, and noncomposite.

(67) a in same stem as [+ATR] and [-ATR] vowel

a. with [+ATR] vowel

sàkpèʔè	‘donkey’	(various)
dà ⁿ gó	‘blanket’	(various)

b. with [-ATR] vowel

bàkùò	‘tortoise’	(various)
bàtìèʔè ~ bātìèʔè	‘inundatable area’	Fl Ji
cà ⁿ gò ⁿ ʔó ⁿ	‘galago (mammal)’	(various)
dà ⁿ gó ~ dà ⁿ gōʔó	‘firefly; flint lighter’	(various)

While a is basically in the [-ATR] camp, high vowels i and u can combine with either [+ATR] or [-ATR] vowels in multisyllabic stems and in Civ and Cuv diphthongs (§3.1.1.5). Therefore i...e, i...ε, u...o, and u...ɔ are all common. Analytically, we could say either a) that high vowels are ATR-harmony-neutral, or b) that surface i conflates underlying [+ATR] i and [-ATR] i, while surface u conflates underlying [+ATR] u and [-ATR] u.

In any event, most verbs with bases of the shape Ci or Cu must be labeled for ATR value, depending on the Pfv vocalism with e/o or ε/ɔ (68). In all of the clear cases, they turn out to be [+ATR], with e or o vowel (68a,c). There are no clear counterexamples. Verbs with nasalized vowels do not count, since since nasalization neutralizes the ATR opposition (34), with limited exceptions for Bi.

(68)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	dialect	gloss
a. [+ATR] Ci base					
	yè	yī	yī	(various)	‘jump, fly (v)’
	dīē	dí	dí	(various)	‘eat (meal)’
	cìè	cī	cī	(various)	‘urinate’
b. [-ATR] Ci base					
	[none]				
c. [+ATR] Cu base					
	jūō	dú ~ dū	dú ~ dū	(various)	‘sow (v)’
	būō	bú	bí	(various)	‘get’
	kūō	kú	cúí	(various)	‘cut’
	wūō	wú	wí	(various)	‘die’
d. [-ATR] Cu base					
	[none]				

We sum this up by saying that a is generally aligned with [-ATR], while high vowels align with [+ATR] in the absence of additional vowels or nasalization.

3.3.4 Nasalized vowels

For dialects other than Bi and to a limited extent Ma, under nasalization there is no consistent distinction in native vocabulary between [-ATR] ϵ^n and ɔ^n and [+ATR] e^n and o^n , respectively. We transcribe ϵ^n and ɔ^n , but the articulation is often intermediate. For example, the vowel of the verb $s\bar{\epsilon}^n/s\acute{\epsilon}^n/s\grave{\epsilon}^n$ ‘lie down’ is less open than oral ϵ .

Bi dialect does distinguish [-ATR] ϵ^n and ɔ^n from [+ATR] e^n and o^n under limited conditions. Nasalized e^n and o^n occur in Bi in many stems and grammatical elements that in other dialects have e and o following a nasal consonant. For example, Bi 1Sg pronoun $n\acute{o}^n$ (corresponding to $n\acute{o}$ in other dialects) is [+ATR] while Bi $n\text{ɔ}^n$ ‘heart’ (in other dialects $n\acute{o}$) is [-ATR]. Bi $gb\acute{\epsilon}^nd\acute{\epsilon}$ ‘cassava’ has [+ATR] e^n (in other dialects $gb\acute{\epsilon}n\acute{\epsilon}$) while Bi and pandialectal $n\acute{a}-t\acute{\epsilon}^n$ ‘bile’ has [-ATR] ϵ^n . However, the stems that have phonemic ϵ^n and ɔ^n in other dialects have the same vowels in Bi. As a result, ϵ^n and ɔ^n are much more common in Bi than e^n and o^n . A special case is Bi $ml\acute{o}^n\text{?}\acute{o}^n$ ‘wild duck’ corresponding to $ml\acute{u}^n\text{?}\acute{u}^n$ in other dialects.

Our Ma speaker shows weak tendencies in the direction of the Bi system. We observed some cases of 1Sg $n\acute{o}^n$ and 2Sg $m\acute{o}^n$ pronouns, resulting in prenasalization of following stops, e.g. $[n\acute{o}^nd\dots]$, and occasionally even full nasalization, e.g. $[n\acute{o}^{(n)}n\dots]$.

The reduplicative Jula borrowing $gb\acute{o}^n$ - $gb\acute{o}$ ‘tin can’ (Bi Fl and perhaps other dialects) has $[o]$, reinforced by the o in the second segment.

Several nouns show pandialectal alternations of stem-final singular ɔ^n with plural o (§4.1.2.3.1), as with ‘child’ and ‘chicken’ in (69a). There is one parallel case of singular ϵ^n alternating with plural e , including ‘foot’ (69b), and one with singular ϵ^n alternating with plural o (69c). Recall that, with exceptions for Bi dialect, ɔ^n is the nasalized counterpart of both o and ɔ , and ϵ^n is the nasalized counterpart of both e and ϵ . Denasalization of ɔ^n and ϵ^n should therefore in theory force a choice between oral o and ɔ , and between oral e and ϵ , in effect restoring an otherwise neutralized vocalic contrast. Alternations of singular $\text{ɔ}^n/\epsilon^n$ with plural o/e can be interpreted in this way, i.e. as plural denasalization revealing an underlying [+ATR] vowel. However, we know of no case where ϵ^n is denasalized to ϵ , and only one dialectally restricted case where ɔ^n is denasalized to ɔ , viz., $b\acute{o}^n$ as dialectal variant of $b\acute{a}^n$ ‘sheep’, plural always $b\acute{o}$. There are no similar alternations in verbal morphology.

(69)	singular	plural	gloss	dialects
	a. ɔ^n to o (two among several examples, §4.1.2.3.1)			
	$b\acute{i}-\text{f}\acute{i}\text{ɔ}^n$	$b\acute{i}-\text{f}\acute{i}o$	‘child’	(all)
	$l\text{ɔ}^n$	$l\acute{o}$	‘chicken’	(all)
	b. ϵ^n to e (only known example, §4.1.2.3.2)			
	$p\acute{i}\acute{\epsilon}^n\text{?}\acute{\epsilon}^n$	$p\acute{i}\acute{\epsilon}$	‘foot’ (§4.1.2.6)	(all)
	c. ϵ^n to o (only known example, §4.1.2.3.2)			
	$c\acute{i}\acute{\epsilon}^n$	$c\acute{i}o$	‘pond frog’	Bi Fl Ma

Our Bi speaker also conspicuously nasalizes word-final vowels following nasal consonants in some but not all relevant morphemes and stems. Examples in Bi dialect with grammatical morphemes are 1Sg $nó^n$, 2Sg $mó^n$, IpfvNeg $má^n$, and nouns like $sámð^n$ ‘back (body)’. The nasalization can induce prenasalization or full nasalization on a following stop (§3.4.4.1-3). For the other dialects, we transcribe $nó$, $mó$, $má$, $sámð$, $ná$, and $nó$, with subphonemically nasalized vowel and no full nasalizing effect on following consonants. In these dialects, there is no consistent distinction between $ɔ^n$ and $ɔ$ after a nasal, or between $ɛ^n$ and $ɛ$ after a nasal.

Not all Nv syllables in Bi have nasalized vowels, however. All known monosyllabic and glottalic nasal-initial nouns for our Bi speaker are presented in (70a-d). ‘Okra’ and ‘characin fish’ have oral vowels, while the majority have nasalized vowels.

(70) N-initial monosyllabic nouns, Bi dialect

a. Nv monosyllabics

oral vowel after nasal

$mè$ ‘okra’

nasalized vowel after nasal consonant

$nī^n$ ‘mother’

$nū^n$ ‘oil’

$ɲé^n$ ‘ring (jewel)’

$ɲĩ^n$ ‘breast’

$ɲū^n$ ‘water’

$ɲó^n$ ‘heart, courage’

b. Niv and Nuv diphthongal monosyllabics

with oral diphthong after nasal

$ɲiʔò$ ‘characin fish’

with nasalized diphthong after nasal

$míá^n$ ‘tree sp. (*Holarhena*)’

$mìð^n$ ‘tongue’

c. glottalized nondiphthongal Cvʔv

with nasalized $v^nʔv^n$ after nasal

$mð^nʔó^n$ ‘flour’

$nú^nʔú^n$ ‘odor’

$ɲó^nʔó^n$ ‘thirst’

Nonmonosyllabics are presented in (71). Bi again distinguishes postnasal oral and nasalized vowels, with nasalized more common.

(71) Nonmonosyllabic nouns with final Nv(ʔv), Bi dialect

a. with oral vowel

$mò-mó$ ‘ant sp. (*Messor*)’

$pànúʔú$ ‘tail’

$tóɲóró$ ‘duck’

b. with nasalized vowel

ānà ⁿ ʔà ⁿ	‘face’
bònà ⁿ ʔà ⁿ	‘Nile monitor lizard’
dèní ⁿ	‘tall grass sp. (<i>Andropogon</i>)’
díkò ⁿ mé ⁿ	‘bush gecko sp.’
jàjú ⁿ áná ⁿ	‘tree sp. (<i>Combretum</i>)’
kànà ⁿ ʔà ⁿ	‘cowpea greens’
mà-má ⁿ	‘grandmother’
nùmú ⁿ	‘whip (n)’
pì-ná ⁿ	‘herder’
térá ⁿ fí ⁿ áná ⁿ	‘square fan’
wòní ⁿ	‘agouti (marsh cane rat)’

For the Bi speaker, animate Nvⁿ as well as other Cvⁿ and CvCvⁿ nouns audibly denasalize in the plural (§4.1.2.3).

(72) Plural denasalization of Nvⁿ and Nivⁿ nouns, Bi dialect

a. ná ⁿ	‘cow, bovine’
nó	(plural)
b. nǎ ⁿ	‘guinea-fowl’
nǒ	(plural)
c. nī ⁿ	‘mother’
nì-ó	(plural)
d. míó ⁿ	‘python’
míó	(plural)
e. wòní ⁿ	‘agouti’
wònì-ó	(plural)

In Bi dialect, *ɲánòⁿ* ‘friend’ contrasts with its plural *ɲánò* ‘friends’, but the singular is also unnasalized in the common compounds *ɲánò-kèⁿ* ‘male friend’ and *ɲánò-yò* ‘female friend’.

See also the ‘ant’ terms in §4.1.4.4.

The phonological difference between oral and nasalized vowels is illustrated in (73). Focus morpheme *tóʔó* is fully nasalized to *nóʔó* after a nasalized vowel (§3.4.4.3), but not after an oral vowel. This nasalization of stops occurs systematically in Bi dialect, occasionally in Ma.

(73)	morpheme	gloss	focalized form
	nó ⁿ	1Sg pronoun	nó ⁿ nóʔó
	ē mè	‘okra’	ē mè <i>tóʔó</i> ~ ē mè <i>róʔó</i>

Chapter 3: Phonology

è ná ⁿ	‘cow, bovine’	è ná ⁿ nóʔó
è nó	‘cows, bovines’	è nó tóʔó ~ è nó róʔó

In nóⁿ nóʔó, the secondarily nasalized n from /t/ does not nasalize the following vowels, thus nóⁿ nóʔó bà ‘it was I [focus] who came’ with only minimal nasalization of óʔó and none of of bà. In other words, nasalization cannot spread rightward in an unbounded manner.

Likewise, (74) shows that prenasalization (§3.4.4.1) of b occurs in Bi dialect after nasalized vowels (nóⁿ, náⁿ) but not after oral vowels (mè, nó).

(74)	morpheme	gloss		‘X came’
	nó ⁿ	1Sg pronoun	nó ⁿ ⁿ bà	[nó ^m bà]
	ē mè	‘okra’	ē mè bà	
	è ná ⁿ	‘cow, bovine’	è ná ⁿ ⁿ bà	[èná ^m bà]
	è nó	‘cows, bovines’	è nó bà	

In Bi dialect and sometimes in others, vowel nasality also spreads to following vocalic inflectional morphemes á (PfvNeg) and à (Ipfv positive), which are subject to contraction with preceding vowels. This can then prenasalize a following voiced stop. (75) exemplifies with Ipfv bē ‘comes’.

(75)	morpheme	gloss		‘X comes’
	nó ⁿ	1Sg pronoun	ná ⁿ à ⁿ ⁿ bē	[náà ^m bē]
	ē mè	‘okra’	ē mè à bē	
	è ná ⁿ	‘cow, bovine’	è ná ⁿ à ⁿ ⁿ bē	[ènáà ^m bē]
	è nó	‘cows, bovines’	è nó à bē	

3.3.5 Vowel length

Excluding Jula loanwords such as fààmá ‘authority’, most cases of monosyllabic Cvv with a long vowel, or at least of a vowel that is appreciably longer than modal, are attributable to one of the following: a) optional deglottalization of glottalic Cvʔv (§3.1.1.6); b) phonetic prolongation required by a contoured tone (§3.1.1.3); or c) contraction of two vowels across a boundary (§3.4.6).

3.3.6 Stem- and morpheme-initial vowels

Grammatical particles may consist of a vowel, e.g. article ē before nouns and post-subject Ipfv à before VPs. A few nouns but also begin with a vowel, either short or long, in Bi and Ji dialects. Fl and Ma dialects generally avoid stem-initial vowels by adding an initial semivowel. See (18) in §3.1.1.2 above for lists of the relevant morphemes and stems.

3.3.7 Stem-final vowels

Stem-final vowels are always short, except in wúú ‘death’ whose nucleus is arguably a diphthong structurally (wúv with u as the final vowel). All vowel qualities, oral and nasalized, are well-attested as final vowels. Apocope of final short vowels is not productive, though there are a few cases where final short high vowel {i u} is deleted after a nasal, which in some cases then lengthens (§3.2.2.5).

3.3.8 Vocalism of verb-stem alternations

Verbs have three stems: Pfv, base, and Ipfv. Some verbs distinguish all three, some merge base with Ipfv, some merge all three, and a few have some other pattern. The morphology of these stem variations presents many lexical idiosyncracies. The alternations include vocalic and tonal shifts as well as intrusion of liquid consonants and semivowels.

Deferring details to chapter 10, we note here that the most common vocalic alternation is for the Pfv to have front-vowel {i e ε} vocalism, regardless of the vocalism of the base (and Ipfv). The most common correspondences between base and Pfv vowels are those in (76). The Pfv target is usually e or ε, but in some cases base u or i remains high as Pfv i.

(76)	base	Pfv
	a	ε
	ɔ, ε	ε
	o, e	e
	u, i	i, e, or ε

The Pfv may also add an intrusive high vowel or liquid after the first consonant (§3.4.3). Some examples involving low and back base vowels are in (77).

(77)	Pfv/base/Ipfv	gloss	dialect
	a. a to ε		
	klē/klá/klá	‘go back’	(all)
	kplē ⁿ /kplà ⁿ /kplà ⁿ	‘tell fortunes’	(all)
	kpè [?] è/kpà [?] à/kpà [?] à	‘be impoverished’	F1 Ji
	b. ɔ to ε		
	bè/bò/bò	‘burn, heat (v)’	(various)
	kplè [?] è/kɔ [?] ɔ/kū [?] ū	‘uproot’	Ji
	gbē [?] è/gɔ [?] ɔ/gó [?] ó	‘dig with hands’	Bi
	c. o to e		
	fīē/fó/fó	‘pass, go past’	(various)
	kplè/klō/klō	‘bump’	(various)

Chapter 3: Phonology

gbè/gùò/gùò	‘belch’	Ji	
kpē/kó/kó	‘weep’	(all)	
d. u to i			
kplì ⁿ /klù ⁿ /klù ⁿ	‘weed (v)’	Bi Ji	
e. u to ε			
kplè ⁿ /klù ⁿ /klù ⁿ	‘weed (v)’	Fl	
bàrè ⁿ /bàrù ⁿ /bàrù ⁿ	‘fall off/out’	Fl	
f. u to e			
blē/blú/blú	‘err’	Fl Ma	(Ji blē/bló/bló)
fē/fú/fú	‘fan (v); inflate’	Ji	(Bi Fl fē/fúó/fúó)

Several examples in (77a-f) have Pfv kp or gb before front vowel (with or without intervening l) in the Pfv, versus k or g before back rounded vowel in the base. These can be modeled at least diachronically as follows, using ‘weep’ as example and omitting tones: /ko/ → /kœ/ (Pfv ablaut) → kpe (rounded vowel fuses with velar stop).

While base vowels ε and e are usually unchanged in the Pfv, base vowel i is treated variably. It remains i in the Pfv in (78a), but drops to e in (78b). There are no authentic cases of Pfv ε, since cases like (78c) involve nasalization, which neutralizes the distinction between e and ε. Our (arbitrary) transcription of the neutralized vowel as εⁿ may be confusing in this context.

(78)	Pfv/base/Ipfv	gloss	dialect
a. i remains i			
	lī/lí/lí	‘shape into a ball’	Ji
b. i to e			
	lè/lí/lí	‘shine’	(various)
	cārē/cárí/cárí	‘sneeze’	(all)
c. i ⁿ to ε ⁿ (nasalized only)			
	kē ⁿ /kí ⁿ /kí ⁿ	‘groan’	Bi Ma
	lē ⁿ /lí ⁿ /lí ⁿ	‘become cool’	(various)

In a significant minority of verbs, the Ipv also undergoes a vocalic change in comparison to the base. In one pattern, the Ipv shifts [-ATR] ε or ɔ to its [+ATR] counterpart e or o. Ji dialect often additionally raises the Ipv vowel to i or u.

(79)	Pfv/base/Ipfv	gloss	dialect
a.			
	gbà/gò/gò	‘hit’	Bi Fl Ma
	gbà/gò/gò	''	Ji

b.	dōrē/dé/dé	‘be sated (full)’	Bi Fl
	dōrē/dé/dí	”	Ji

In a few cases, base ϵ is raised to Ipfv i in other dialects as well as Ji.

(80)	a.	mè ~ mlè ⁿ /mē/mlī ⁿ	‘build’	Fl Ji
	b.	gblè/gbē/gblī	‘pick up’	(all)

Additional minor patterns in Pfv/base/Ipfv vocalic alternations are best left to chapter 10.

3.3.9 Lexicalized back-front vocalic alternations

The two economically and culturally significant palms in the zone are the oil palm and the borassus palm. Each is associated with a small word-family of unique lexical items. Of interest here are hints of vocalic mutations, with \circ in terms denoting entire trees and a front vowel in terms denoting small, economically important products (fruits or fronds). Initial k can alternate with c (§3.4.2.3).

(81)	Sg	Pl	gloss	
	a.	sòʔó	sò-ró	‘oil palm tree (<i>Elaeis guineensis</i>)’
		sèʔé	sè-ré	‘oil-palm fruit’
		sàʔá-èʔè	sàʔá-è-rè	‘oil-palm frond’ (Fl)
	b.	kō ⁿ ʔō ⁿ	kō-rō ⁿ	‘borassus palm tree (<i>Borassus aethiopum</i>)’
		cùʔé	—	‘borassus-palm frond’
		cùà ⁿ ʔá ⁿ ~ cùá ⁿ	—	‘borassus-palm fruit’
		kàŋùʔú	kàŋè-rú	‘strips of borassus-palm leaflets (for weaving)’
		kōmò	—	‘borassus-palm sapling’

A small number of nouns shift unexpectedly from low or back vowels in the singular to front vowels in the plural, in addition to other plural marking. Four of the five known nouns that combine regular rhotic pluralization with unexpected vowel fronting denote limbs or other bodily appendages, of which three are in (82a-c). The only one of these that has a nasalized vowel in the singular is denasalized in the plural (82b). ‘Arm’ (82c) fronts the plural vowel only in two of the four dialects.

(82)	Sg	Pl	gloss	dialect	
	a.	gbàʔá	gbè-ré	‘thigh’	(all)
	b.	ká ⁿ ʔá ⁿ	ká-ré	‘tooth’	Bi(var) Ji
		”	kó-rá ⁿ ʔá ⁿ	”	Bi(var)

Chapter 3: Phonology

	kā ⁿ ʔá ⁿ	kā-rē-ʔé	"	Fl
	kà ⁿ ʔá ⁿ	kà-rè-ʔé	"	Ma
c.	wðʔó	wð-rē-ʔé	‘arm’	Fl
	"	wð-rè-ʔé	"	Ma
	"	wð-ró	"	Bi
	ðʔó	wð-ró	"	Ji

The remaining two nouns that front their vowels in the rhotic plural are ‘twig’ and ‘calabash’. ‘Twig’, like ‘arm’ and ‘leg’, denotes a semi-linear projection from a body. It functions semantically as diminutive of ‘stick’, and the two differ only in vocalism. Plural vowel-fronting occurs with ‘twig’ but not with ‘stick’ (83a-b).

(83)	Sg	Pl	gloss	dialect
	a. pðʔð	pð-rè	‘twig’	Ji
	"	pð-rè-ʔè	"	Bi Fl Ma
	b. pūʔó	pē-rō-ʔó	‘stick’	Fl
	pùʔó	pè-rò-ʔó	"	Ma
	púʔó	pé-ró	"	Bi Ji

‘Calabash’ denotes the most common product from the fruit of a cultivate trailing vine (84).

(84)	klō	kplè-ní ⁽ⁿ⁾	‘calabash’	(all)
	klò-bí	kplè-bí	‘small calabash’	Ji

‘Calabash’ also has un-fronted rhotic plurals (Ji klō-rō, Ma klò-rò-ní).

Another interesting pair is ‘woman’ versus ‘young woman’. In this case the apparent diminutive fronting occurs in the singular (85).

(85)	Sg	Pl	gloss	dialect
	a. yīē	lō (suppletive)	‘young woman’	(all)
	b. yǒ	yè-ró	‘woman’	(all)

While lō in (85a) is suppletive synchronically, we do not rule out the possibility that it is ultimately related to yīē (§3.4.3.3).

Another interesting case is the pair of stems in (86). ‘Leg’ has a vocalic shift from ɔ to ε in ‘leg’, while ‘foot’ which denotes a smaller appendage has front vowels in both singular and plural. For the unique εⁿ/e shift in ‘foot’ see §4.1.2.3.2.

(86)	Sg	Pl	gloss	dialect
	a. pɔ́	pá-ré	‘leg’	(various)
	b. pìè ⁿ ɛ̃ ⁿ	pìè	‘foot’	(various)

For some speakers, the noun tɔ́ɔ́ ‘place’ has an iterative plural tɔ́ɔ́-tɔ́ɔ́ ‘various places, all over’ with distributive sense. There is an uncommon dialectal variant tɛ̃ɛ̃-tɛ̃ɛ̃ ‘places’ (known to the Ji speaker) that may be sound-symbolic. There is also a reduplicated plural tɛ̃-tɛ̃-rè bíé? ‘everywhere, all over’ based on a plural tɛ̃-rè, compare the usual plural tɛ̃-rɔ́ ‘places’.

The modifying adjective ‘short’ is nígbó, plural nígbó-ró. Our F1 speaker also produced a plural noun nígbɔ́rɛ̃-nígbɔ́rɛ̃ ‘(various) short things’ (§4.5.5) that is based on nígbó-ró with the o’s fronted to e.

Among verbs, we can cite the forms in (87a-c), in which vocalism (best seen in the base, the second of three forms shown for each verb) is associated with nuances of force.

(87)	verb stems	dialect	gloss
	a. jɛ̃ɛ̃/já?á/já?á ~ jíí	F1 Ji Ma	‘shake off, shake hard’
	b. jɛ̃ɛ̃/jó?ó/jú?ú	F1 Ji	‘shake lightly’
	c. jɛ̃ ⁿ ɛ̃ ⁿ /jɔ́ ⁿ ɔ́ ⁿ /jɔ́ ⁿ ɔ́ ⁿ	F1	‘shake sth immobile (e.g. tree trunk)’

These verbs, like many others, also front the base vowel, here from {a o ɔ́} to {ɛ e ɛ̃ⁿ}, to mark the Pfv stem (the first of the three forms shown for each verb). Because this Pfv vowel shift is fairly productive, and because some verbs raise mid-height vowels to high to mark the Ipv stem, verbs do not generally lend themselves to systematic vocalic symbolism.

A superficially similar vocalic alternation occurs in focus markers, where however the distinction is animate versus inanimate. The unmarked focal marker is tɔ́?ó, alongside animate plural tɔ́-ró and inanimate té (§13.1.1). This is likely a vestige of an old system of noun-classes characterized by vowel qualities. Such a system remains productive in Tiefo-N, which has three class-marking vocalic articles corresponding to the single Tiefo-D article ē.

3.4 Segmental phonological rules

3.4.1 Metrically based vocalic processes

3.4.1.1 Apocope and apheresis

3.4.1.1.1 Limited apocope of final short {u i} after nasal

There is no productive apocope (deletion of word-final segments). Monosyllabic stems (nouns, verbs, numerals) never apocopate. However, final short high vowels are subject to deletion after a nasal in grammatical morphemes and nonmonosyllabic words under limited conditions.

There are two cases where a word-final nasal plus u is pronounced as a doubled nasal. Ma dialect (à) dá-nn ‘its taste’ corresponds to other dialects’ (à) dá-ní (§3.1.1.8). The numeral ‘ten’ < *támú is pronounced támm by most speakers (§4.6.1.2).

Locative postposition nī, the partially homophonous 3Inan object enclitic = nì, and verbal noun suffix -ní optionally drop their vowels. They are then pronounced ñ, = ñ, and -ń, respectively.

3.4.1.1.2 Apheresis (rare)

There is no regular apheresis (deletion of word-initial segments). However, our Ma speaker has ñnà?à ‘face’, elsewhere (w)ānà?à.

3.4.1.2 Epenthesis (largely absent)

No widespread cases of epenthesis, either vocalic or consonantal, have been observed in Ji or Bi dialects. There is a dialectally limited process of initial consonant epenthesis, and (if Cərv is immediately derived from /Crv/) a schwa-epenthesis rule.

Fl and Ma dialects add initial semivowels {y w} to otherwise vowel-initial stems, e.g. Fl Ma yè?é ‘thing’ versus Bi Ji è?é (§3.1.1.2). In some examples the initial semivowel is probably lexicalized as part of the stem, but in the specific case of ‘thing’ even Fl and Ma usually have -è?é without the initial y as a compound final (§4.5.4, §5.1.10.2).

We mention in §3.1.1.7 the possibility that Cərv sequences might be derived from /Crv/ by means of an epenthesis rule. The main argument in favor of this is that it brings out the parallelism between Cərv rhotic Pfv’s for certain otherwise Cv verb stems on the one hand, and Clv lateral Pfv’s of some other Cv verbs on the other hand (§10.1.5.4-5). The alternative is to analyse schwa as reduced from a normal short vowel.

Our Bi speaker (unlike our other speakers) articulates medial l as a tapped lateral, and usually articulates Clv as [Cəlʋ] with schwa.

3.4.1.3 Lenition of short vowel to schwa

Whether a lenition process, converting a short vowel to ə chiefly before a rhotic, is needed depends on our analysis of Cərv sequences. As noted just above and elsewhere, if suffixal ...Cə-rv (e.g. in plurals and in some Pfv’s) derives from /...Cv-rv/ with some ordinary stem-final short vowel preceding the rhotic, there are two possible analyses: a) the stem-final vowel is deleted, and /...C-rv/ is then repaired by insertion of epenthetic schwa (needed for aerodynamic reasons), or b) the stem-final vowel is directly reduced to schwa but not deleted.

In some nouns, probably all of which are Jula loanwords (including some ultimately from Arabic), the reduction to ə does not occur, e.g. bāráká ‘(state of) being blessed’ and mèrèké ‘angel’.

In elicitation of infrequent (i.e. nonlexicalized) nominal plurals, our Ji speaker in particular tended to retain the full pronunciation of the singular vowel when the rhotic syllable was added or infix. For example, he gave the plural of fùó ‘fish’ either as fê-ró (as

in other dialects) or as unreduced fũ̀-ró. This did not happen with high-frequency, lexicalized plurals like d̀-ró ‘men’ from d̄.

Other sequences similar to Cərv are Cəɣa and Cəɣɔ in some Jula borrowings, and for Bi dialect only the sequence [Cəlv] with lateral tap [l] corresponding to Clv in other dialects (§3.1.1.4).

3.4.2 Processes affecting specific initial consonants

3.4.2.1 Lenition or elision of initial {k t d b} in some morphemes

There is no general process leniting stops, but certain grammatical morphemes allow lenition or deletion of an initial stop. This occurs when the morpheme in question is phonologically encliticized to a preceding word, and it does not occur postpausally.

k occurs initially in three high-frequency grammatical morphemes. They are presented in (88), which omits tonal variants. These morphemes are subject to various degrees of lenition after a vowel, though the unlenited articulation is always possible in careful speech. There are also nasalized variants ŋà and ŋō which occur especially in Bi dialect after nasalized vowels (§3.4.4.3). kō and k̀ are merged as k̀ before H-tone (§3.6.2.2).

(88)	form	gloss	lenited variants	reference
	kà	‘with, and’	gà, à	§7.1.1, §8.2
	kō	infinitival	gō, wō, ̄	§15.2
	k̀	hortative	g̀, ẁ, ̀	§10.4.2.1.2

The vocalic variants à, ̄, and ̀ can contract with the preceding vowel (§3.4.6).

The lenitions are especially common in Bi dialect. In particular, kà ‘with, and’ is usually pronounced à in this dialect.

t occurs in focalizing morphemes including tóʔó and té (§13.1.1). In allegro speech the t is sometimes lenited to a tap [ɾ] after a vowel to form róʔó etc. This tapping is most common in Bi dialect. Clause-final emphatic =dēʔ is similarly often heard as =rēʔ. We have not observed full deletion of the t or d in these morphemes.

The combination of infinitival kō with bà ‘come (Base)’ is pronounced as kō bà, except in a double ‘come’ construction of the schematic type ‘X came and came-ate’, where ‘came-ate’ is a verb-verb compound. In this construction, the b in kō bà- is lost and the result is contracted to [kâ] or [kâà]. We transcribe this as kâ = à- (§15.2.3.2). It is distinct structurally from a partially homophonous k = â contracted from infinitival kō plus Ipfv à, a combination that is followed by a verb in Ipfv rather than base form (§15.2.2).

3.4.2.2 Nasalization of initial y to ɲ in verb stems

In Pfv and in some cases Ipfv verb stems, /wiv/ can metathesize to /yuv/, where “v” is some front unrounded vowel. In the absence of a nasalized vowel, this occurs chiefly in F1 dialect

Chapter 3: Phonology

(§3.4.5.1). The u is then sandwiched between y and a front unrounded vowel, and in this environment it is fronted subphonemically to ɥ (§3.2.1.8).

In Bi (two examples) and Ji (one example), this metathesis occurs only in the presence of vocalic nasalization. The metathesized /y/ is then fully nasalized to ɲ (89).

(89)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	dialect	
	a. ɲùè ⁽ⁿ⁾	wē ⁿ	ɲūī ⁽ⁿ⁾	Bi Ji	‘burn, sear’
	b. ɲùè ⁿ	wā ⁿ	wē ⁿ	Bi	‘(infant) suckle’

One noun presents a similar y ~ ɲ alternation cross-dialectally, but both variants do not coexist in any single dialect to our knowledge (90). The nasal onset occurs in Bi dialect.

(90)	‘gourd’	Ji	Fl	Ma	Bi
		yà ⁿ bórá	yàmōrá	yàmərá	ɲà ⁿ bórá

An apparently spontaneous shift *y to ɲ occurs in forms of yúó ‘people’ when it functions as a human plural classifier in numeral phrases, especially in Ji dialect. Thus ē ɲūō jǝⁿ (Ji) and ē yūō jǝⁿ (Fl) ‘two people’ (§4.6.1.2).

3.4.2.3 Initial c/k alternations in verb stems

A few verbs show an alternation of initial c versus k. The c variant appears when an intrusive i is inserted to produce a diphthongal syllable (§3.4.3.1). In (91a-c), this occurs in the Pfv, which has the intrusive i, in contrast to the base and Ipfv in which k is followed by a low or back vowel. The ɥ in (91b-c) is from /u/ between a palatal onset and a following front unrounded vowel (§3.2.1.8). The verbs in (91b) and (91c) are homophonous.

(91)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	dialect	
	a. cìè	kà	kè	Bi Fl Ji	‘eat (meat)’
	b. cùʔè	kùʔò	kùʔù	Ji	‘waste away’
	cùèʔè	kùòʔò	kùʔù	Fl	
	c. cùʔè	kùʔò	kùʔù	Bi Ji	‘pick off (leaf)’
	cùèʔè	kùòʔò	kùʔù	Fl	

The verb in (92) differs in that the base and Ipfv have a front unrounded vowel. The dialects differ in showing either c/k as in the preceding examples (Fl), invariant k (Bi Ma), or invariant c (Ji).

Chapter 3: Phonology

(92)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	dialect	
	cīē ⁿ	kí ⁿ	kí ⁿ	Fl	‘groan’
	kē ⁿ	kí ⁿ	kí ⁿ	Bi Ma	"
	cīē ⁿ	cí ⁿ	cí ⁿ	Ji	"

In (93), the Pfv shows a similar palatalization, while base and Ipfv fuse *ku into labial velar kp before a front or low vowel (§3.4.2.6).

(93)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	dialect	
	cùè	kpā	kpē	Fl Ji Ma	‘pick (fruit)’
	cùè	kpā	kpē	Bi	"

3.4.2.4 Initial c/t alternations in verb stems

In two homophonous verbs (94a), a shift of initial /t/ to c occurs when an intrusive u is inserted into the Pfv to form a diphthong (§3.4.3.1). Compare (94b) with stable c, and (94c) with stable t.

(94)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	dialect	
a.	cù ⁿ	t ⁿ	tí ⁿ	(various)	‘block (v)’
	cù ⁿ	t ⁿ	tí ⁿ	(various)	‘count’
b.	cù ⁿ	c ⁿ	cí ⁿ	(all)	‘spend the night’
c.	tù ⁿ	tù ⁿ	tù ⁿ	Bi	‘disturb, annoy’
	tèr ⁿ	t ⁿ	tō ~ tū	(various)	‘hide (intr)’

3.4.2.5 Initial j/d alternations

Some verbs alternate initial j with d, the details varying by dialect and stem. In (95a-c), the j variant occurs in the Pfv before an intrusive u (§3.4.3.1), which creates a diphthong.

(95)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	dialect	
a.	jù ⁿ	d ⁿ	dí ⁿ	(various)	‘bite’
b.	jūā	dó	dó	Bi	‘divide, share’
	dōr ⁿ	dó	dó	Fl Ma	"
	dōr ⁿ	dó	dú	Ji	"

Chapter 3: Phonology

c.	jūō	dú	dú	Bi Fl	‘sow (v), plant’
	dūō	dú	dú	Ma	''
	jūō	jú	jú	Ji	''

There is one parallel case with j before intrusive i in the Pfv in Bi dialect (96).

(96)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	dialect	
	jīē	dē	dē	Bi	‘pick (cotton)’
	dē	dē	dē	Fl Ji Ma	''

In (97), d occurs before a front unrounded vowel in the Pfv (bolded), versus j before a diphthong that begins with u (arguably intrusive) in the base and Ipvf. The d/j alternation is pandialectal for ‘sell’ (97a). The alternation is most systematic for Ji and Fl dialects in the three glottalic stems (97b-d), where one could argue that the i in the Pfv is intrusive. ‘Put (pot) up on’ and ‘follow’ (97b-c) are homophonous except in the Pfv stem in Fl.

(97)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	dialect	gloss
a.	dè	jūō	jūō	(Fl Ji Ma)	‘sell’
	dē	jūō	jūō	(Bi)	
b.	dīʔè	jùʔò	jùʔù	Ji	‘put (pot) up (on)’
	jīʔè	jùʔò	jùʔù	Bi	
	jùèʔè	jùòʔò	jùʔù	Fl Ma	
c.	dīʔè	jùʔò	jùʔù	Ji	‘follow (after)’
	jīʔè	jùʔò	jùʔù	Bi	
	dìèʔè	jùòʔò	jùʔù	Fl	
	jùèʔè	jùòʔò	jùʔù	Ma	
d.	dīʔē	jūʔō	jūʔō	Bi(var) Ji	‘hear, understand’
	dīēʔē	jūōʔō	jūōʔō	Ma Fl	
	jīʔē	jūʔō	jūʔō	Bi(var)	

Alternations like de with juo in (97a) above, combining d/j with an intrusive u to form a diphthong, have a parallel in the relationship between the two animacy-marking nouns that function as default possessums (98a), and (with l or r instead of d) in the two animacy-marking third person pronominals following kà ‘with’ (98b). For d/r alternations see §3.4.2.9.

(98)	form	gloss	reference
a.	X dó	‘X’s’ (inanimate)	§6.2.4.1
	X júó	‘X’s’ (animate)	§6.2.4.2

- b. kà lō ~ à rō ‘with it/them’ (inanimate) §4.3.2.4
 kà júò ‘with him/her/it/them’ (animate)

3.4.2.6 Initial kp/k alternations

Some stems have fixed initial kp that does not alternate. The relevant syllabic shape is kpV, or kplV with a lateral. Examples include nouns like kpà-[mé-mé] ‘butterfly’, kpèⁿ ‘tree sp. (*Carapa*)’, and kpò ‘parrot’. Some verbs also have initial kp throughout their stem paradigm (99).

(99)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	dialect	gloss
	kpè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	kpà ⁿ ʔà ⁿ	kpì ⁿ ʔì ⁿ	Bi Fl Ji	‘nail (v)’
	kplè ⁿ	kplà ⁿ	kplà ⁿ	(all)	‘tell fortunes’

Other verbs have alternations of initial kp with k. These are probably reflexes of older alternations of the type *kE with *kuE, where *E was some front unrounded vowel. In stems with a lateral, the proto-forms may have been *kilE and *kulE, respectively. For intrusive u creating diphthongal syllables, chiefly in Pfv verb stems, see §3.4.3.1. Examples of Pfv kp versus base/Ipfv k are in (100).

(100)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	dialect	gloss
a. kp/k					
	kpà	kō	kō ~ kū	(all)	‘finish’
	kpē	kō	kō	(all)	‘weep’
	kpèʔè	kōʔō	kōʔō	(all)	‘be good, succeed’
	kpē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	kó ⁿ ʔó ⁿ	kó ⁿ ʔó ⁿ	Bi Ji	‘cut up’
	kpēʔē	kóʔó	kóʔó	Ji	‘lower (head)’
	kpèʔè	kōʔō	kōʔō	Fl Ma	‘uproot’
b. kpl/kl					
	kplè	klō	klō	Bi Ji Ma	‘(heart) beat’
	kplì ⁿ	klù ⁿ	klù ⁿ	Bi Ji	‘weed (v)’
	kplèʔè	kōʔō	kōʔō	Ji	‘uproot’

By contrast, in (101) kp occurs in the base and Ipfv, while Pfv *kùè has palatalized.

(101)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	dialect	gloss
	cùè	kpā	kpē ~ kpē	(all)	‘pick (fruits)’

A few nouns that mutate a back rounded vowel in the singular to a front unrounded vowel in the plural with -ní (§3.3.9, §4.1.2.5.3) also show kp/k. The labial element in kp preserves a trace of the back rounded vowel.

(102)	Sg	Pl	dialect	gloss
	kl̩	kplè-ní	(all)	‘calabash’
	kl̩ ⁿ	kplè-ní ⁿ	Bi	‘field cricket’
	kó ⁿ gó-kl̩	kó ⁿ gó-kplè-ní	Bi	‘plantain-eater (bird)’

3.4.2.7 Initial gb/g alternations

Alternations of initial gb/g alternations are parallel to those with kp/k described in the preceding section.

Initial gb and gbl occur before unrounded or rounded vowels in a number of nouns like gblì ‘ridge between furrows’, gbíⁿíⁿ ‘peanuts’, and gbó ‘aquatic beetle’. Some verbs have invariant initial gb or gbl before unrounded vowels (103)

(103)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	dialect	gloss
	gbè ⁿ	gbā ⁿ	gbā ⁿ	(various)	‘sew’
	gbèʔè	gbāʔā	gbīʔī ~ gbēʔē	(various)	‘pile up’

Other verbs have initial gb (Pfv) versus g (base/Ipfv). The Pfv’s likely reflect diphthongal *guE with intrusive *u preceding an unrounded vowel *E. ‘Belch’ in (104a) has much dialectal variation.

(104)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	dialect	gloss
a. gb/g					
	gbā	gó	gó ~ gú	(all)	‘draw (water)’
	gbà	gò	gò ~ gù	(all)	‘hit’
	gbè	gùò	gùò	Ji	‘belch’
	gbēʔē	góʔó	gúʔú	Ji	‘dig with hands’
b. gbl/gl					
	[none]				

‘Split (wood)’ (105a) has gb in the base in all dialects and in the Ipv in Bi and Ji. Pfv *gūē is palatalized to jūē (via *jūē) in Bi and Fl. ‘Fight’ (105b) has base/Ipfv gb only in Fl dialect.

(105)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	dialect
a. ‘split (wood)’				
	jūē	gbé	gbé	Bi
	jūē	gbé	júé	Fl
	gbē	gbé	gbé	Ji

b. ‘fight (v)’

jḡē	gbí	gbí	Fl
jḡē	jǫ́í	jǫ́í	Bi Ji Ma

There is one medial gb/g alternation in a noun that has a vocalic singular-plural mutation in Fl dialect.

(106)	Sg	Pl	dialect	gloss
	ʃíglòʔò	ʃígbìlè-ní	Fl	‘hyena’

3.4.2.8 Initial ŋm/ŋ alternations (absent)

The nasal counterpart to kp/k and gb/g alternations (preceding sections) would be ŋ/ŋm. Since ŋ and ŋm are unattested stem-initially, the usual position for the other labial-velar/velar alternations, there is no possibility of such alternations in this position.

3.4.2.9 d/r and t/r alternations

Initial d in some grammatical morphemes can be realized as tap r. The preceding morpheme always ends in a vowel, so the tapping is intervocalic. The commonly affected elements are in (107). The rhotic pronunciations appear to be most common in Bi dialect.

(107)	morpheme	rhotic version	gloss	comment
	= dēʔ	= rēʔ	emphatic	clause-final
	dè (Bi only)	rè	imperfective past	post-subject morpheme
	dè	rè	quotative	precedes quoted matter
	dó	ró	‘however’	subject-final morpheme

A similar process t→r is also attested especially in Bi dialect.

(108)	morpheme	rhotic version	gloss	comment
	tóʔó	ró(ʔó)	focus	at end of NP

There is reason to suspect that third person inanimate pronominal lō in kà lō ‘with it/them’, pronounced à rō in Bi dialect, derives from *kà dō or *kà dō (§4.3.2.4). If so, the Bi pronunciation with r is older than the pronunciation in other dialects with l.

3.4.3 Intrusive sonorants after C₁ in verbs

3.4.3.1 Intrusive semivowels and liquids

In the morphology of verb-stem paradigms, we often see an intrusive (i.e. nonlexical) semivowel u or i, or an intrusive liquid r or l, in the Pfv stem. In a smaller subset of verbs, a similar intrusive sonorant is present in the Ipfv as well. We defer details to chapter 10, but give a few examples here. (109) shows intrusive sonorants in the Pfv only.

(109)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	dialect	gloss
a. intrusive u	sùò ~ fùò	sō	fī	(various)	‘take, receive’
b. intrusive i	tīē	té	té	(various)	‘put down’
c. intrusive r	jèrè	jè	jò ~ jù	(all)	‘swallow (v)’
d. intrusive l	plè	pē	pē	(various)	‘patch, stuff (v)’

For some other verbs, the intrusive element occurs in both the Pfv and the Ipfv, but not in the base. This is common for intrusive l, an example being (110a). It is rare for other intrusive sonorants, though we can cite one pandialectal case with u (fronted to ɥ), and one dialectal case with r (110b-c).

(110)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	dialect	gloss
a. intrusive l	klɔ̃ⁿ	kɔ̃ ⁿ	klɔ̃ⁿ	(all)	‘chew (kola)’
b. intrusive u	kūō	kú	cɥí	(various)	‘cut’
c. intrusive r	dèrè dèrè	dē	dōrī dē	Bi Fl Ji Ma	‘wade across’

For the verb in (111), the intrusive sonorant occurs only in the Ipfv (§15.1.7.1). Since it is always the final in a verb-verb compound, it has no Pfv.

(111)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	dialect	gloss
	—	-pɔ̃ ⁿ	-plūⁿ	(various)	‘be able to’

3.4.3.2 Unexpected initial l in Ipfv verbs

In (112a-b) an irregular alternation *ɲ/l* or *w/l* occurs dialectally, with *l* initial only in the Ipfv. The *l* forms occur in Bi, and for ‘bathe’ (112a) also in Fl.

(112)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	dialect
a. ‘bathe’				
	wè	wò	lū	Fl
	wè	wō	lū	Bi
	wè	wō	wō	Ji
	wè	wò	wò	Ma
b. ‘look at’				
	ɲūḵ ⁿ	ɲó ⁿ	lú ⁿ	Bi
	ɲūḵ	ɲó	ɲú	Fl Ji Ma

This might have something to do, at least diachronically, with the intrusive *l* that occurs in some verbs (see the preceding section). The hypothesis would be that **wl* and **ɲl* with intrusive *l* dropped the initial sonorant. Intrusive *l* is most common in Pfv’s, but does also occur in some Ipfv’s, as with *gblè/gbē/gblī* ‘pick up, take’.

3.4.3.3 Other puzzling cases of initial l

Possibly relevant to the considerations in the preceding subsections is the synchronically suppletive relationship between *yīē* ‘young woman’ and its plural *lō*. *yīē* itself may be an archaic diminutive of *yō* ‘woman’, whose regular plural is *yè-ró*. Conceivably plural *lō* is truncated from an old rhotic plural from the diminutive, perhaps **yā-rō*. Recall that tap *r* cannot occur stem-initially, so replacement of initial **r* by *l* might have occurred.

Another etymological puzzle is *lō*, which occurs only in the combination *kà lō* ‘with it/them (inanimate)’. Here *kà* is the instrumental and comitative preposition. *lō* functions as a third-person inanimate pronoun, but it does not phonologically resemble any other third person pronoun or any inanimate demonstrative. The animate counterpart is *kà júò* ‘with him/her/them’.

3.4.4 Consonant nasalization and prenasalization

3.4.4.1 Prenasalization of stop after nasalized vowel

For our Bi speaker, stem-final *Cvⁿ* syllables, and most stems ending in a nasal syllable *Nvⁿ*, can prenasalize a following stop at compound and word boundaries. An example is Bi *náⁿ-dè* [*náⁿdè*] ‘old person’. Other dialects have *ná-dè* where the *a* has only the minimal nasalization that comes automatically with position after a nasal consonant. Similarly, 1Sg and 2Sg pronouns take the forms *nó* and *mó* in other dialects, but are more strongly nasalized as *nóⁿ*

and móⁿ in Bi, where they can prenasalize a following stop, as in Bi nóⁿ dē ‘my elder sibling’, pronounced [nóⁿdē].

Nasalization spreads rightward in the course of vv-Contraction. For example, PfvNeg á and Ipvf à can fuse with a preceding nasalized vowel to form a long nasalized vowel. This can then prenasalize a following stop.

- (113) /nóⁿ à bē/ → náàⁿ bē [náà^mbē]
 1Sg Ipvf come.Ipvf
 ‘I come.’

For full nasalization (rather than just prenasalization) of a stop across a boundary, e.g. word-initial t becoming n (rather than ⁿt) after a nasalized vowel, see §3.4.4.2 below. This too is characteristic of Bi dialect.

For nasalization in Cv?v syllables, see §3.1.1.6.

3.4.4.2 Alternations of medial nasal versus prenasalized voiced stop

There are a few cases where a medial prenasalized stop {ⁿb ⁿd ⁿj ⁿg} varies with a simple nasal {m n ɲ}. In (114), the alternation occurs in a singular-plural pair in Fl dialect. The ⁿg variant is conditioned by the reduction of the following vowel to schwa before a rhotic plural suffix.

- (114) ‘hairy-tailed fieldmouse sp.’ (*Taterillus* or *Gerbilliscus*)
 pàŋē?é (Sg) Fl
 pàⁿgā-rē-?é (Pl) Fl
 other dialects: Ma pàŋèrè?é (frozen Pl functioning as singular/collective)
 Ji gārē
 (Bi fōcē?é, noncognate)

The more usual situation is that Bi dialect has the prenasalized stop versus a simple nasal in other dialects (115).

- (115) a. ‘spear’
 tàⁿbá Bi
 tàámá Fl Ji Ma
- b. ‘tree sp. (*Detarium*)’
 táⁿbá Bi
 támá Fl Ji Ma
- c. ‘tamarind’
 tóⁿbí Bi
 tómí ~ tómí Fl Ji
 < Jula tómí

d. ‘cassava’		
gbé ⁿ dé		Bi
gbéné		Fl Ji
e. ‘herb sp. (<i>Chrysanthellum</i>)’		
kpà ⁿ dò-ʃí ⁿ ʔé ⁿ		Bi
kpà ⁿ dò-ʃí ⁿ ʔé ⁿ		Fl
kpà ⁿ dà-ʃè ⁿ ʔé ⁿ		Ji
f. ‘violet turaco (bird)’		
kòrò ⁿ jó		Bi
kòrò ⁿ dò		Fl Ji Ma
g. ‘tall grass sp. (<i>Rottboellia</i> and/or <i>Chasmopodium</i>)’		
jùà-kū ⁿ bō		Bi
jùà-kōmō ⁿ ʔō		Fl Ma
jà-kómó ⁿ ʔó		Ji
h. ‘genet’ or ‘marsh mongoose’ or ‘serval cat’ (mammals)		
sà ⁿ bè ⁿ ʔé		Bi
sàmè ⁿ ʔé		Fl Ji Ma
i. ‘gourd’ (used mainly by Fulbe)		
jà ⁿ bórá		Bi
yà ⁿ bórá ⁿ		Ji
yàmōrá [jàmōrá]		Fl
yàmèrá [jàmèrá]		Ma

3.4.4.3 Full nasalization of initial stop across a boundary (Bi)

We pointed out, e.g. in §3.3.4 above, that Bi dialect has stronger nasalization of vowels in Nv syllables than in other dialects, and that forms like 1Sg pronoun nóⁿ (Bi) can prenasalize a following stop, as in Bi nóⁿ dē pronounced [nóⁿdē] ‘my elder sibling’, versus simple nó dē in other dialects.

In Bi, the process can go further in allegro speech, and fully nasalize rather than just prenasalize a following stop. Most examples of full nasalization involve alveolar and velar stops at the beginning of high-frequency grammatical morphemes.

(116) Nasalization of stops (Bi)

	input forms	nasalized	morphemes
a.	nó ⁿ tóʔó	nó ⁿ nóʔó	1Sg plus Focus
b.	nó ⁿ dè	nó ⁿ nè	1Sg plus ‘said’

- | | | | |
|----|---|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| c. | nó ⁿ bà | nó ⁿ mà | 1Sg plus ‘if’ |
| d. | ð ⁿ kō (~ ð ⁿ gō) | ð ⁿ ŋō | 3AnSg plus infinitival morpheme |

When such full nasalization occurs, the vocalic nucleus of the resulting Nv syllable does not itself behave as a nasalized vowel in its interaction with following elements. Therefore the final vowels in the central column in (116), e.g. mà from /bà/ in nóⁿ mà, are not strongly nasalized and have no prenasalization or nasalizing effect on any consonants farther to the right. Contrast nóⁿ mā in (116c) with 1Sg imperfective negative nóⁿ máⁿ (Bi), where IpfvNeg máⁿ has a strongly nasalized vowel. When these combinations are combined with a following dè ‘say’, (117a) has simple d while (117b) has prenasalized ⁿd (it can also be fully nasalized as nè).

- | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|--|
| (117) a. | nó ⁿ | mā | dè | [nó ⁽ⁿ⁾ mādè] |
| | | /bà/ | | |
| | 1Sg | if | say.Pfv | |
| | ‘if I say’ | | | |
| b. | nó ⁿ | má ⁿ | dè | [nó ⁽ⁿ⁾ má ⁿ dè] |
| | 1Sg | IpfvNeg | say.Base | |
| | ‘I do not say’ | | | |

In the compound meaning ‘father-in-law’, Ji and Bi share prenasalized ⁿ-d across the boundary, while Fl has the nasal consonant. (118) shows singular and plural forms.

(118) ‘father-in-law’

	singular	plural	dialect
a.	dó ⁿ -dò	dó ⁿ -dò-rò	Bi, Ji
b.	dó ⁽ⁿ⁾ -nò	dó ⁽ⁿ⁾ -nò-rò	Fl Ma

Factors favoring this irregular fusion in Fl may have been high frequency, brevity (compared to other compounds), and fuzziness as to the identity of the second element. It was originally an L-toned form of dǒ ‘man, male’. To native speakers this would be more obvious synchronically if the female equivalent were #dóⁿ-yò with a form of yǒ ‘woman, female’, but the actual form is dó⁽ⁿ⁾-nì ‘grandmother’ ending with a form of nī ‘mother’.

3.4.5 Vowel-vowel and vowel-semivowel processes

For intrusive i or u after the initial consonant in certain verb forms, see §3.4.3.1.

3.4.5.1 Semivowel-Vowel Metathesis (Fl dialect)

In chapter 10 we will see many examples of intrusive *i* in Pfv's, forming diphthongal syllables like Cie and Ciε (with or without glottalization), e.g. fiē 'pasted' (base fó). In (119), where we would expect Pfv wi(?)ε, Fl dialect has yuε. We interpret this as reflecting a metathesis process /wiε/ → /yuε/, switching the features of the initial semivowel and the following glide-like segment, while keeping the syllabic structure intact. /yuε/ is then regularly realized as yuε, IPA [jyε], as /u/ is fronted between palatal segments (§3.2.1.8).

(119)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	dialect
a. 'reap with sickle'				
	yq̄ē	wúś	wúś	Fl
	wī?ē	wó?ś	wó?ś	Ji
	wē	wúś	wúś	Bi
b. 'suck (finger), eat (rice)'				
	yùè?è	wūś?ś	wū?ū	Fl
	wī?ē	wū?ś	wū?ū	Bi Ji
	wī?ē	wūś?ś	wū?ū	Ma
c. 'open, unlock' and 'coagulate, solidify'				
	yq̄ē?ē	wūś?ś	wūś?ś	Fl
	wī?ē	wó?ś	wó?ś	Ji
	wī?ē	wú?ś	wú?ś	Bi

A wrinkle on this occurs in two verbs with nasalized vowels, in one case for Bi and Ji dialects (120a) and in the other case just for Bi (120b). For both verbs we assume an underlying Pfv /wìèⁿ/, which undergoes metathesis to /yùèⁿ/, fronting to /yùèⁿ/ as before, and then further full nasalization of /y/ to ɲ, assimilating to the nasalized diphthong (§3.4.2.2). In the case of 'burn, sear' a similar derivation from diphthongal (not long-voweled) *wììⁿ, or else an analogical reshaping based on the Pfv, appears to have occurred in the Ipfv.

(120)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	dialect
a. 'sear, burn on fire'				
	ɲùè [ɲùè̃]	wē ⁿ	ɲq̄ī [ɲù̃]	Bi Ji
	yùè ⁿ	wē ⁿ	yq̄ī ⁿ	Fl
b. '(infant) suckle'				
	ɲùè ⁿ [ɲùè̃]	wā ⁿ	wē ⁿ	Bi
	wè ⁿ	wā ⁿ	wē ⁿ	Fl Ji

3.4.5.2 Hiatus between vowels at boundaries

When two vowels come together across a boundary, vv-Contraction may occur. Contraction is most common when the second element is a grammatical morpheme such as article \bar{e} or imperfective \grave{a} . When the second element is one of the relatively small number of vowel-initial lexical stems, speakers usually try to pronounce the two vowels separately, though there is no separator such as a glottal stop.

For example, pre-nominal article \bar{e} is usually elided segmentally when it follows another word, often leaving behind a tonal trace (§3.4.6.1). By contrast, when it precedes a vowel-initial noun that occurs after a pause or independently, there is no contraction in reasonably careful speech. Thus \bar{e} $\delta\eta\acute{\sigma}$ ‘arm’, \bar{e} $\grave{e}\eta\acute{\epsilon}$ ‘thing’, \grave{e} \acute{a} $b\bar{i}$ - $b\grave{i}$ ‘small one’ (with pre-adjectival inanimate \acute{a}).

Fl and Ma dialects avoid hiatus in most cases by furnishing the relevant stems with an initial semivowel homorganic to the vowel, hence $w\delta\eta\acute{\sigma}$ ‘arm’ and $y\grave{e}\eta\acute{\epsilon}$ ‘thing’.

3.4.5.3 Diphthongization by raising mid-height to high

By diphthongization we mean the raising of a mid-height vowel $\{e\ \epsilon\}$ to i or $\{o\ \circ\}$ to u before a nonhigh vowel, producing one of the regular diphthongs $\{ie\ i\epsilon\ ia\ uo\ u\circ\ ua\}$. There are few morpheme combinations that satisfy the input requirements for this process.

This diphthongization does not occur in vv-Contraction where the second vowel is the onset of a word or grammatical particle. For example, when pronouns like 1Sg $n\acute{o}$ and 3Pl \grave{o} contract with Ipv \grave{a} , the usual outputs are $n\acute{a}$ = \grave{a} and \grave{o} = \emptyset , respectively (§3.4.6.3, §4.3.3), not diphthongal $\#n\acute{u}$ = \grave{a} and $\#\grave{u}$ = \grave{a} .

Diphthongization is therefore limited to the stem-suffix boundary. Among nouns and adjectives, it is attested with only a handful of stems. One relevant environment is when 2Sg possessive suffix $-\grave{a}$ or variant is added to a Cv stem. Diphthongization occurs pandialectally for ‘father’ (121a), likely on the model of its plural $\mathfrak{f}\bar{i}$ - \acute{o} . There is no diphthongization for other similar Cv nouns and adjectives (121b). For further detail on the 2Sg suffix see §6.2.5.2.

(121)	stem	gloss	with 2Sg possessor
a.	$s\bar{e}$	‘father’	$\mathfrak{f}\bar{i}$ - \grave{a} (all)
b.	$d\bar{e}$	‘elder sibling’	$d\bar{e}$ - \grave{a} (Fl)
	$y\check{o}$	‘woman, wife’	$y\check{o}$ - \grave{a} ~ $y\check{\circ}$ - \grave{a} (Fl Ji)
	$p\acute{o}$	‘leg’	$p\acute{o}$ - \grave{a}

Diphthongization also occurs in the handful of Cv nouns, adjectives, and compound finals that have a plural suffix $-o \sim -\circ$ (§4.1.2.4.1).

(122)	singular	gloss	plural
	sē	‘father’	ʃi-ó
	dē	‘elder sibling’	dì-ó
	ná-dè ~ nā-dè	‘old man/person’	...-dì-ò
	kā dè	‘old (animate)’	kā dì-ò

3.4.5.4 Biton ua for other dialects’ uo

Bi dialect presents ua in several cases where other dialects have uo. The latter pronunciation is undoubtedly archaic.

(123) a. color adjective (§4.5.3.1.1)

kā yùà	Bi	‘black’ (with animate classifier)
kā yùò	Fl Ji	"

b. Pfv verbs (§10.1.5.2)

jūā	Bi	‘divided, shared’
dōrō	Ji Fl Ma	"
sūā	Bi	‘jabbed’ or ‘lit (fire)’
sūō	Ji Ma	"
ʃūō	Fl	"
būā	Bi	‘tied up’
būō	Fl Ji Ma	"

3.4.6 vv-Contraction

When two vowels come together at a boundary, they often contract into a long vowel. Since nearly all words end in a vowel, the environment for vv-Contraction is determined by the set of following words or particles that begin with a vowel. This set includes about eight high-frequency grammatical morphemes each consisting of just a vowel, a few additional high-frequency grammatical morphemes and basic motion verbs that elide their initial consonant under some conditions, and around ten lexical stems that have initial vowels especially in Bi and Ji dialects. An inventory is given in §3.1.1.2 above.

3.4.6.1 vv-Contraction with article ē

The prenominal article ē occurs post-pausally in its uncontracted form ē, for example in subject NPs or after an interruption in the middle of a clause. In other contexts (e.g.

postverbal subject, or complement of a postverbal PP), if the sequence is pronounced smoothly the \bar{e} disappears segmentally. (124) shows how \bar{e} $b\bar{u}^n\gamma^5^n$ ‘dog’ combines with preceding verbs that end in various vowel qualities.

(124) preceding vowel	verb	gloss	combination with ‘dog’
i	(à) bí	‘gets’ (Ipfv)	(à) bí = [Ø $b\bar{u}^n\gamma^5^n$]
e	dè	‘sold’ (Pfv)	dè = [Ø $b\bar{u}^n\gamma^5^n$]
ε	pè	‘forgot’ (Pfv)	pè = [Ø $b\bar{u}^n\gamma^5^n$]
a	gbà	‘hit’ (Pfv)	gbà = [Ø $b\bar{u}^n\gamma^5^n$]
ɔ	(kò) gɔ̀	‘hit’ (Base)	(kò) gɔ̀ = [Ø $b\bar{u}^n\gamma^5^n$]
o	būō	‘got’ (Pfv)	būō = [Ø $b\bar{u}^n\gamma^5^n$]
u	(kò) bú	‘get’ (Base)	(kò) bú = [Ø $b\bar{u}^n\gamma^5^n$]

On a closer look the M-tone of \bar{e} leaves a tonal trace on the surviving vowel. The relevant formulae are summarized in (125), where “v” denotes any vowel.

(125) Tonal traces of contracted M-toned \bar{e}

input	contracted with \bar{e}	surface tone
C \acute{v}	C \bar{v} =	<HM>
C \bar{v}	C \bar{v}	M
C \grave{v}	C \check{v} =	<LH>

That is, the tone of the contracted vowel moves toward the M-tone of the deleted \bar{e} . This results in contour tones <HM> and <LM> unless the preceding vowel is already M-toned. We index the tonal interaction by means of the = boundary. As noted elsewhere, \bar{v} is not the technically correct IPA diacritic for <HM>, since IPA has no diacritic for this combination.

In theory, a C \check{v} stem could contract with \bar{e} as an <LHM> syllable. However, we cannot find a plausible example. The issue is that there are no C \check{v} verb stems or prepositions, the forms that normally precede NPs. Even were it possible to find such a combination, the C \check{v} stem might just level to C \bar{v} , as often happens in compound initials.

Before its elision, \bar{e} undergoes the tone sandhi process M#H-to-L#H when it is followed by an H-tone. Thus M-toned \bar{e} in \bar{e} $b\bar{u}^n\gamma^5^n$ ‘dog’ and \bar{e} $\gamma^5^n\gamma^5^n$ ‘tree’, but L-toned \grave{e} in \grave{e} $b\acute{a}^n$ ‘sheep.Sg’. The L-toned \grave{e} disappears segmentally under contraction just like M-toned \bar{e} , but its tonal traces are different (126). Angled brackets <...> enclose contour tones on single syllables.

(126) Tonal traces of contracted L-toned \grave{e}

input	contracted with \grave{e}	surface tone
C \acute{v}	C \hat{v} =	<HL>
C \bar{v}	C \check{v} =	<ML>
C \grave{v}	C \grave{v}	L

Now it is input H and M that surface with contour tones, moving toward L, while already L-toned inputs preserve their tone.

An example of how M#H-to-L#H interacts with contraction is *kà* ‘with, and’ (127).

(127) underlying	surface	gloss
a. /kà ē būʔɔ̃/	kā = [Ø būʔɔ̃]	‘with a/the dog’
/kà ē sò/	kā = [Ø sò]	‘with a/the horse’
b. /kà ē báʔ/	kà [Ø báʔ]	‘with a/the sheep’

In (127a), L-toned *kà* becomes <LM> toned as it moves toward the M of the deleted *ē*. In (127b), first *ē* is dropped to *è* before the H-toned noun, resulting in /kà è báʔ/. Then contraction of the two L-toned vowels occurs.

In *kà* [Ø báʔ] ‘with a/the sheep’, the contracted vowel is short for most speakers. By contrast, in *kā* = [Ø būʔɔ̃] ‘with a/the dog’ and *kā* = [Ø sò] ‘with a/the horse’, the contour tone requires additional duration, and the contracted vowel is lengthened.

Winkelmann (1998: 133) argued that an H- or L-toned noun shifts to phonetic M-tone when the article is segmentally zero. Her three key examples are reproduced in (128) below. In each of (128a-c) the top line is based on Winkelmann’s phonetic transcription (1998:133) with the addition of Ø to mark the position of the elided article and also in (128b-c) to mark an elided Ipfv *à*. Her idea was that *nábíó* ‘people’ drops from H to M, *dĕ* ‘field’ raises from L to M, and the first (reduplicative) syllable of *tè-tè?è* ‘pot’ raises from L to M, absorbing the underlying M-tone of the article *ē*.

(128) a.	ʔò	lè	[Ø	nābīō]	
	3Pl	show.Pfv	[Art	people]	
	‘They showed (it) to the people.’				
b.	ʔò	Ø	bí	[Ø	dĕ]
	3Pl	Ipfv	cultivate.Ipfv	[Art	field]
	‘They cultivate the field.’				
c.	ʔò	Ø	dù	[Ø	tètè?è]
	3Pl	Ipfv	buy.Ipfv	[Art	pot]
	‘They buy a pot.’				

We did not observe any notable tonal effects of the deleted article on the noun, as opposed to the preceding word. Our transcriptions for these combinations are either *ò* *lè* [Ø *ná-bíó*] or *ò* *lĕ* = [Ø *nà-bíó*] depending on the dialect, the latter variant with <LM> *lĕ*; *ò* = Ø *bí* = [Ø *dĕ*] with <HM> *bí*, or dialectally (with the same tones) *ò* = Ø *bĕ* = [Ø *dĕ*]; and *ò* = Ø *dū* [Ø *tè-tè?è*] with no contour tone, or dialectally (with the same tones) *ò* Ø *dō* [Ø *tè-tè?è*].

Some of our recordings with older female speakers show a tendency to preserve the article *ē* without contraction even when directly following a verb or preposition. See texts 2017-12 to 2017-20 for examples.

Another caveat is that the article is sometimes just omitted, so that even the expected tonal trace fails to appear. In post-pausal position, where the presence or absence of the article is very clear, the article is optional when the noun is followed by a demonstrative or by *bíé*(?) ‘all’. When such a modified NP occurs medially in a clause, we cannot be sure whether it is underlying present or absent unless there is a clear tonal trace. Textual transcriptions are therefore unreliable. Predicate NPs following *kō* ‘be’ omit the expected tonal trace systematically in uninterrupted speech, hence *kò* [Ø *báⁿ*] ‘is a sheep’ for expected #*kò* = [Ø *báⁿ*]. However, the article reappears after a hesitation: *kō*, [è *báⁿ*].

In texts, we tend to normalize transcriptions in favor of the tonal traces, even when (as usual in allegro speech) the contour tones are not clearly audible.

3.4.6.2 vv-Contraction with pre-numeral morpheme ò

The other article-like morpheme is plural ò, which precedes numerals from ‘2’ to ‘9’ when preceded by a noun (§4.6.1.2). In reasonably careful speech it is separately audible, as in [è *wè-rú*] [ò *jōⁿ*] ‘two houses’. In allegro speech style, however, it can be reduced to a tonal trace, as in [è *wè-rú* =] [Ø *jōⁿ*]. This reduction is favored by the fact that most plural animate nouns end in *o* or *ɔ*, and by the fact that ò contributes no unrecoverable semantic information.

ò is, however, often audible after nouns that end in a vowel other than {*u o ɔ*}. This is systematic with frequently quantified nouns *dè* ‘day’ (literally ‘sun’, not morphologically pluralized) and *fè-rè* ‘months’. Contraction is not obligatory, but if it does occur, it is *e* that drops.

- (129) a. [è *fè-r* =] [ò *sáⁿ*]
 [Art month-Pl] [Pl three]
 ‘three months’ (Ma, 2018-04 @ 00:03)
- b. [è *d* =] [ò *sáⁿ*]
 [Art sun] [Pl three]
 ‘three days’ (women, 2017-14 @ 00:43)

With other nouns that are less regularly quantified, we have heard contractions similar to those with article *è*, where ò disappears segmentally and leaves behind a tonal trace, as in [è *sè-ríⁿ* =] [Ø *sáⁿ*] ‘three trees’ alongside uncontracted [è *sè-ríⁿ*] [ò *sáⁿ*].

In addition to the rather common resulting <HL> and <ML> contracted syllables, when a C^h noun like (*è*) *sō* ‘pig’ combines with ò, the result might contract as <LHL>. This might happen in dialects where [è *sō*] [ò *sáⁿ*] (F1) ‘three pigs’ and [è *kě*] [ò *sáⁿ*] ‘three cowpea plants’. However, the full pronunciation is always possible and we have no clear examples of this contraction.

3.4.6.3 vv-Contraction with post-subject particles á and à

PfvNeg *á* and (positive) Ipv *à* particles do not systematically contract with the final vowel of a preceding nonpronominal subject (i.e. a full NP). They do contract with most pronominal

subject proclitics. All combinations except those with 3Inan subject proclitic à involve a clash of vowel quality features. The a quality of the particles prevails over the o of the Co proclitics in (130a), or at least shifts o to ɔ (halfway in the direction of a). The contracted vowel is long. The simple 1Pl proclitic may avoid contraction, or at most shifts o to ɔ (130b). The diphthongs in the longer 1Pl form (identical to the independent pronoun form) and in the 2Pl form lose the final vocalic segment before the particles (130c).

The simple third person (3AnSg, 3Inan, and 3Pl) proclitics in (130d) diverge from the phonological pattern in (130a) in that the quality of the pronominal prevails over that of the particle, and the contracted vowel is not lengthened. However, the particle has a tonal effect.

(130)	category	pronoun	PfvNeg (á)	Ipfv (à)	comment
a.	1Sg	nó	ná = á ~ nó = á ~ nó á	ná = à ~ nó = à ~ nó à	
	2Sg	mó	má = á ~ mó = á ~ mó á	má = à ~ mó = à ~ mó à	
	LogoSg	bó	bá = á ~ bó = á ~ bó á	bá = à ~ bó = à ~ bó à	
	LogoPl	bùò	bù = á bù = à		
b.	1Pl	ó ~ é	ó á ~ ó = á	ó à ~ ó à	see also (c)
c.	1Pl	é-yùò	é-yù = á	é-yù = à	see also (b)
	2Pl	bùò	bù = á	bù = à	
d.	[the contracted vowel is not lengthened]				
	3AnSg	ɔ ⁿ	ɔ ⁿ = Ø	ɔ ⁿ = Ø	
	3Inan	à	ǎ = Ø	à = Ø	
	3Pl	ò	ǒ = Ø	à = Ø	

Contracted third person perfective negative ɔⁿ, ǎ, and ǒ are not subject to LH#H-to-L#H (§3.6.2.3). That is, they retain their rising tone even when followed by an H-toned verb. Therefore they are always distinct from corresponding (positive) imperfective ɔⁿ, à, and à.

The pronunciations shown for the non-third-person imperfective combinations are those that precede a verb beginning with H- or M-tone, i.e. those that require the L-toned form of Ipvf à. When not contracted, the Ipvf morpheme is raised to ā before an L-tone (§3.6.2.1) as in (131c). This is also reflected in the tone of the contracted vowel in non-third-person combinations, like 1Sg ná = ā sèrà. However, third person proclitics that fuse with Ipvf à remain L-toned, as in ɔⁿ = Ø sèrà (131c).

(131)	Vb tone	Ipfv verb	gloss	1Sg Ipvf	3Pl Ipvf
a.	H	à klá	‘returns’	ná = à klá	ɔ ⁿ = Ø klá
b.	M	à l̄	‘coughs’	ná = à l̄	ɔ ⁿ = Ø l̄
c.	L	ā sèrà	‘pays; advises’	ná = ā sèrà	ɔ ⁿ = Ø sèrà

For verbs like *klè* ‘do’ that have the same L-toned form in Pfv and Ipfv stems, the tonal treatment of third person subject proclitics permits an indirect distinction. Thus \bar{a} *klè* ‘it did’ (perfective) versus $\grave{a} = \emptyset$ *klè* ‘it does’ (imperfective). This is because the third person subject proclitics are raised from L to M when immediately preceding an L-toned Pfv verb, but are not raised when followed by the Ipfv particle. For more examples and discussion, see discussion around (175) in §3.6.2.1 below.

3.4.6.4 vv-Contraction with intercalated Ipfv -à- in compounds

In verb-verb compounds, the two verbs Vb_1 and Vb_2 are adjacent in the Pfv and base, but in the Ipfv they have the form $\grave{a} Vb_1\text{-}\grave{a}\text{-}Vb_2$, with a second copy of the Ipfv particle intercalated between them. Since Vb_1 always ends in a vowel, the intercalated -à- is subject to contraction with the preceding vowel. Pronunciation of Ipfv combinations is variable, as speakers do not take pains to clearly articulate the intercalated particle. If the verb is nonmonosyllabic, its final vowel if from the set $\{e\ o\}$ may be elided before -à-. Unelided $\{e\ o\}$, for example in monosyllabic verbs, are usually lowered to $\{e\ o\}$ before -à-, and the result can be a diphthongal $\{ea\ oa\}$, or it can contract further to $\{e\ o\}$. The intercalated -à- is nasalized after a nasalized vowel, whether or not the latter is elided.

(132) Verb compounds

base	gloss	Ipfv
a. Vb_1 is monosyllabic		
<i>bó-súʔú</i>	‘grip, hold’	\grave{a} <i>bó-à-súʔú</i>
<i>ló-dáⁿ</i>	‘change direction’	\grave{a} <i>ló-à-dáⁿ</i>
<i>kàⁿ-tó</i>	‘pile up’	<i>kàⁿ-àⁿ-tó</i>
<i>tìⁿ-gbē</i>	‘move over’	<i>tìⁿ-àⁿ-gblī</i>
b. Vb_1 is nonmonosyllabic		
<i>kpēⁿʔēⁿ-ló</i>	‘slip’ (Bi)	\bar{a} <i>kpēⁿʔ-à-ló</i>
<i>córó-té</i>	‘hang up’ (Bi)	\bar{a} <i>córó-à-té</i> ~ \bar{a} <i>córó-à-té</i>
<i>páʔá-léⁿ</i>	‘lean on (wall)’	\grave{a} <i>páʔ-à-léⁿ</i>
<i>póⁿʔóⁿ-bà</i>	‘come in a hurry’	\grave{a} <i>póⁿʔ-àⁿ-bē</i>
c. Vb_2 is L-toned		
<i>ɲóⁿ-sò</i>	‘envy (v)’ (Bi)	\grave{a} <i>lúⁿ-āⁿ-ʃī</i>

The intercalated -à- can even be raised to -á- (not just -ā-) in Fl and Ma dialects. This happens when V_1 is a glottalic stem that is H-toned $C\check{v}\check{v}$ - in Bi and Ji dialects, but MH-toned $C\bar{v}\check{v}$ - in Fl and LH-toned $C\check{v}\check{v}$ - in Ma with the pitch peak at the end. $C\bar{v}\check{v}$ -á- (Fl) and $C\check{v}\check{v}$ -á- (Ma) are the only outputs that give expression to the H-tone. These outputs can be thought of as reduced from idealized $/C\bar{v}\check{v}\check{v}\text{-}\bar{a}\text{-}/$ and $/C\check{v}\check{v}\check{v}\text{-}\bar{a}\text{-}/$. The dialectal divergence is observed in (133a-b).

(133) ‘spit on’
 base Ipfv dialect

a. intercalated Ipfv realized as -ā- before L

ʃíʔé-pè	ʃíʔ-ā-pè	Bi
ʃíʔí-pè	ʃíʔ-ā-pì	Ji

b. intercalated Ipfv raised to -á- by tonal fusion with é

ʃíʔé-pē	ʃíʔ-á-pē	F1
---------	----------	----

3.5 Cliticization

Tiefò-D belongs to the set of languages that have a) fixed word order, b) numerous grammatical particles, and c) no stress or accent shifts due to addition of a morpheme. In such languages, it can be difficult to distinguish cliticization from simple linear juxtaposition.

In our normal transcription, we do not overtly indicate proclisis, so we simply separate possible proclitics from following words by spaces: ē dè ‘(the) field’ rather than ē = dè, and ɔ̃ⁿ bà ‘he/she came’ rather than ɔ̃ⁿ = bà. For such proclitics, the = symbol is used only in cases of vv-Contraction, as in ɔ̃ⁿ = Ø bē ‘he/she comes’ where Ø represents the Ipfv particle à.

By contrast, enclitics are regularly shown with the = symbol on their left. Examples include the third person object enclitics = nì (inanimate), = (y)ò (animate singular), and = wò (animate plural).

3.5.1 Proclitics

Candidates for status as proclitics are those in (134).

(134) a.	ē	article before nouns	§4.4.1.1
	ò	morpheme preceding numerals ‘2’ to ‘9’	§4.6.1.2
b.	kà	‘and’ conjunction; ‘with’ preposition	§7.1.1, §8,2
	ɔ̃ ⁿ	dative preposition after ‘give’ and ‘show’	§8.1.2
c.	kō	infinitival morpheme	
	kò	hortative	
d.	some pronouns (subjects, possessors, with postposition)		§4.3.1-2
	ɨ́	1Sg	but not nó
	ɨ̀	2Sg	but not mó
	é ~ ó	1Pl	but not é-yùò
	ɔ̃ ⁿ	3AnSg	
	à	3Inan	
	ò	3Pl	

e. some pronouns as reflexive possessors

§18.1.1

ɲ	1Sg
ó ~ ò	plural (all persons)
ɔ̃ ⁿ	3AnSg

An article or similar morpheme (134a) immediately precedes the associated noun or numeral. A preposition (134b) immediately precedes an NP or pronoun. The infinitival morpheme (134c) is immediately followed by a verb. A pronominal proclitic (134d-e) in any of the grammatical functions indicated is followed by the relevant host (noun, verb, postposition).

There is no indication that any of the morphemes in (134) moves syntactically into the relevant positions, as opposed to being base-generated there. Clear evidence for proclisis therefore should involve either a) a specifically proclitic form that is segmentally distinct from the independent and/or enclitic forms, or b) some “irregular” phonological interaction between proclitic and host (i.e. other than routine phonological processes or regular tone sandhi).

Special proclitic forms distinct from independent and enclitic (direct object) forms are found in third person pronominals. The proclitics are common as subjects of verbs, possessors of nouns, and complements of postpositions.

(135) category	independent	proclitic	enclitic (object)
3AnSg	bó	ɔ̃ ⁿ	=(y)ò
3Inan	bè	à	=nì
3Pl	bùò	ò	=(w)ò

In addition, the bipartite 1Pl independent pronoun é-yùò (cf. yúó ‘people’ and -yùò plural agentive) is simplified to é ~ ó as proclitic (subject etc.).

Some dedicated reflexive possessor forms also diverge from, and are arguably reduced from, regular pronouns (§18.1.1).

(136) category	ReflPoss	independent	subject
1Sg	ɲ	nó	nó ~ ɲ
1Pl	ó ~ ò	é-yùò	é ~ ó
2Pl	"	bùò	bùò
3Pl	"	bùò	ò

The phonological interaction that is specific to proclitic-host interactions is raising of L-toned proclitics to M-toned before an L-tone (§3.6.2.1). Examples are in (137). However, pre-numeral ò does not raise: ò kàⁿ ‘five’.

(137) L-toned proclitic raised to M-tone before L-tone

prepositions

kà → k̄ā	k̄ā zàkí	‘with Zaki’
ɔ̃ ⁿ → ɔ̄ ⁿ (dative)	ɔ̄ ⁿ zàkí	‘to/for Zaki’

pronouns

ǎ ⁿ → ǎ ⁿ	ǎ ⁿ bà	‘He/she came.’
à → ā	ā bà	‘It came.’
ò → ȃ	ȃ bà	‘They came.’
bùò → bȃȃ	bȃȃ bà	‘You-Pl came.’

3.5.2 Enclitics

Enclitics are clitics that follow the host. Some of the enclitics in Tiefó-D are classic phonological clitics, morphemes that function syntactically as words or as free particles but that are pronounced as add-ons to the preceding word. Other “enclitics” are essentially prosodic in nature. They occur clause-finally or otherwise prepausally. They either add a sharp final glottal stop, or prolong the preceding word-final vowel with a specific tonal target, with or without a change in vowel quality. The enclitic = rēʔ fits into both of these categories. finally, there is a possible category of subject-final enclitics.

One set of candidates for enclitic status are in (138). The boundary = cliticization or phonological interaction with the preceding word.

(138)	form	category	reference	comment
a.	= á	demonstrative (InanSg)	§4.4.2.2	reduced < yá
b.	= rè	demonstrative (InanPl)	"	reduced < ípàrè ~ èrè
	= rē	‘even’	§19.1.6	reduced < èrē
	= rēʔ	clause-final emphatic	§19.4.1	tapped < = dēʔ

Postnominal demonstrative variant = á (138a) is an optional reduction of yá. The unreduced form yá can occur postnominally or independently. The enclitics in (138b) begin with tap r, which does not occur word-initially. Of these, = rēʔ is a variant of = dēʔ, which is phonologically intact. Inanimate plural demonstrative enclitic = rè can replace fuller forms like Fl ípàrè, which occur both postnominally and independently.

Other morphemes that we transcribe as enclitics are in (139).

(139) a.	= ʔ	negative	§10.2.5.1
	= ā	polar interrogative	§13.2.1.1, §13.2.2.1
	= (y)à	‘it is’	§11.2.1.1
b.	= nì	3Inan object (Bi = nì ⁿ)	§4.3.2.3
	= (y)ò	3AnSg object	"
	= wò	3Pl object	"
	= mì	2Sg object (Bi = mì ⁿ)	§4.3.1.3

Negative = ʔ is a clause-final complement to a negative morpheme which occurs in post-subject position, as in zàkí á bà = ʔ ‘Zaki didn’t come’ with PfvNeg á. The glottal stop is always syllabified with the final syllable of the preceding word. This is clearly a prosodic

“enclitic.” It also occurs with bíé? ‘all’, and it could be teased out of enclitic = dē? ~ = rē?. Polar interrogative = ā prolongs the preceding vowel, either with its own vowel quality or shifting toward a, and with a pitch target slightly below that of modal M-tone. This too deserves to be considered a prosodic “enclitic.”

The pronominals in (139b) are analysed as enclitics because they occur only as object pronominals in immediately postverbal position. In addition, their forms diverge from those of independent and proclitic (e.g. subject) forms of the same pronominal categories. This divergence between enclitic and proclitic is sharp in the singular categories, less so in the plural. For example, the corresponding subject proclitics are 3Inan à, 3AnSg ðⁿ, 3Pl ò, and 2Sg ñ (independent form mó).

Additional morphemes that might be classified as enclitics are in (140). However, we consider the 2Sg possessor (the only pronominal possessive marker that is not proclitic) to be a suffix. As for locative postposition nī, in spite of some enclitic-like properties, we transcribe it as a separate postposition, except when it optionally apocopates to = n̄ and must be pronounced as coda of the preceding syllable.

(140)	-à	2Sg possessor	§6.2.5.2
	nī	locative postposition	§8.3.2.1

The particle dé ~ dó (§19.3.8) ‘however’ occurs at the end of the subject NP. Semantically, it is a pragmatic modifier with clausal scope. It might therefore be considered a post-subject syntactic enclitic that is not naturally generated inside the subject NP.

This raises the question whether post-subject inflectional particles (past markers, PfvNeg á, Ipfv à, future nà or bè, and various negative morphemes) might likewise be considered enclitics to the subject NP (in main clauses) and to the infinitival morpheme.

3.5.3 Post-subject inflectional morphemes as clitics

The morphemes in (141) occur in the position following the subject and preceding the predicate (which normally begins with a verb, except in the progressive construction). This linear position allows three possibilities: a) enclisis to the subject, b) proclisis to the predicate, and c) neither of the above.

(141) Post-subject grammatical morphemes

á	perfective negative between subject and verb	§10.2.5.2
à	imperfective between subject and verb	§10.2.2.1
bà ~ mà	‘if’ particle between subject and verb	§16.1.1

Their phonological behavior provides some support for both proclisis and enclisis. Evidence for proclisis to the following verb is that the two L-toned forms, Ipfv à and the ‘if’ particle bà ~ mà, are raised to M-toned before an L-tone (§3.6.2.1). This tonal dissimilation is typical of proclitics.

- (142) a. imperfective
 à → ā zàkí ā bà ‘Zaki comes.’
- b. ‘if’
 bà → bā ñ bā bà ‘if you-Sg come’ (F1)
 (dialectally mà → mā)

Evidence for enclisis to the preceding subject is that á and à fuse with preceding third person subject pronouns (§4.3.3). For example, 3AnSg ðⁿ combines with á and à as phonetic [ǎ] and [ǎ̃], respectively. We transcribe these combinations as ǎⁿ = Ø and ðⁿ = Ø, respectively. Fusion with Ipfv à prevents third person subject pronominals like ðⁿ from raising to M before an L-toned verb.

3.6 Tones

There are three tone levels, H[igh], M[id], and L[ow]. None of these can be reduced to an allophone of one of the others. There is no evidence in favor of an accentual interpretation of tones.

Contour tones on individual syllables, other than those due to vv-Contraction across boundaries, are <HL> and <LH>. <MH> is predictably disallowed since M would drop to L before H by the tone sandhi rule M#H-to-L#H (§3.6.2.2). <HM> syllables would not run afoul of tone sandhi, but are not attested in uncompounded stems. Likewise, in simple bisyllabic and sesquisyllabic stems H.L and L.H are allowed (L.H being much more common). These comments apply mainly to non-verb stem-classes since verbs (other than compounds and Jula borrowings) have level-toned stems.

Although M-tone is distinct from H and L, M-toned stems can arise from leveling of original *LH, either on one syllable or on a bi- or sesquisyllabic stem. This diachronic process has left clear traces in some paradigms. For example, sē ‘father’ has a plural jî-ó, suggesting that original singular *sě flattened to sē. However, several other Cṽ nouns have a stable rising tone, as with bǒ ‘elephant’, so there is no fully productive leveling process.

Glottalic Cvʔv sesquisyllables (§3.1.1.6) interact with H-tone in a dialectally complex fashion. Cṽʔv in Bi and Ji usually corresponds to F1 Cṽʔv and to Ma Cṽʔv, with the tone of the first vocalic segment dropped to M (F1) or to L (Ma). See §3.6.1.5 on this point.

In §3.6.1 just below, we focus on tones at the level of stems. In §3.6.2 we turn to tone sandhi, i.e. tonal processes involving two adjacent words or stems.

3.6.1 Lexical tones of stems

Verbs differ from all non-verb stem-classes in that each verb form (excluding Jula loans) has level tone (all-H, all-M, or all-L), but for many verbs the Pfv is one tonal notch lower than the base and Ipfv. Non-verb stems including nouns, adjectives, and numerals can have either level tones, or rising or falling tone patterns such as LH and (infrequently) HL. Plurals of nouns ordinarily preserve the tone pattern of the corresponding singulars.

Tonal processes limited to nominal compounds are covered in chapter 5.

3.6.1.1 Lexical tone melodies for verbs

Forms of uncompounded verbs (other than borrowings) have level tones. However, many verbs have segmental and/or tonal differences from Pfv to base to Ipfv stems. The segmental differences are lexically idiosyncratic and it is not always possible to justify a single underlying representation from which all three stems can be derived. However, the base has the strongest claim, and we often use it in citation forms.

Leaving segmental differences aside, uncompounded native Tiefo-D verbs have a lexical choice among six tonal paradigms (plus one irregular verb with a sixth tonal pattern). They can be represented by formulae like MHH where the first letter represents the tone of the Pfv, the second that of the base, and the third that of the Ipfv. Three of the regular tonal paradigms have a single invariant tone (143a). All of the HHH verbs have invariant form segmentally as well as tonally. Many of them are borrowings, deadjectival statives, or expressive verbs. Some MMM and LLL verbs show segmental variation across stems. The great majority of verbs that show tonal variation have the Pfv one notch lower than the base and Ipfv (143b), hence LMM or MHH. Four irregular verbs have LLM with only the Ipfv a notch higher (143c), and one irregular verb has LML (143d). The forms shown in (143) omit predictable dialectal variation in glottalic stems.

(143)	tonal type	example	gloss	dialect
a. invariant tones				
	HHH	ɲíʔé táríʔí	‘become sour’ ‘rub against’	(various) (various)
	MMM	jiē ⁿ dīʔē/jūʔō/jūʔō	‘broadcast’ ‘hear’	(various) (all)
	LLL	ɲèʔè bèʔè/bàʔà/bìʔì	‘wake up’ ‘sling over shoulder’	(various) Fl Ji
b. Pfv one notch lower than Base/Ipfv				
	MHH	lē ⁿ /lé ⁿ /lé ⁿ bē/bá/bé	‘stop’ ‘cultivate’	(all) (various)
	LMM	mè ~ mlè ⁿ /mē/mlī ⁿ lè/li/li	‘build’ ‘shine’	(various) (various)
c. Ipfv one notch higher than Pfv/Base (all known examples)				
	LLM	bà/bà/bē mè/mà/mīē nè/nà/nīē dè/dò/dē	‘come’ ‘laugh’ ‘stone-grind’ ‘sleep (v)’	(various) (various) (various) Bi Ji Ma (not Fl)
d. base one notch higher than Pfv/Ipfv (only known example)				
	LML	ɲà/ɲī/ɲè	‘see’	(various)

No verb has a mix of H- and L-toned stems (except in compounds as the result of tone sandhi).

3.6.1.2 Lexical tone melodies for unsegmentable noun stems

Noun stems have few restrictions on tone melodies, allowing both level and contour tone melodies.

3.6.1.2.1 Monosyllabic noun stems

Plural nouns, whether or not segmentally distinct from corresponding singulars, almost always preserve the tone melody of the singulars unless the default plural suffix *-ní* is present. However, some monomoraic *Cv̄* nouns have bimoraic plurals with rising tone (*Cv̄-ó* or *Cv̄-ró*).

We begin with *Cv* stems. Many have level L, M, or H tone. A minimal trio is (144).

(144)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
	dè	dè-rè	‘field’	(various)
	dē	dì-ó	‘elder sibling’	(various)
	dé	dá-ré	‘body’	(various)

There are many H- and L-toned *Cv* and *Clv* nouns. They do not present analytical difficulties and will not be further discussed here; see (192a) and (192e) in §4.1.1.1 for lists. M-toned *Cv* and *Clv* nouns do raise some issues. It is likely that ‘elder sibling’ in (144) originally had a rising tone (*dě) to judge by the rising tone pattern of its plural. A full list of M-toned monomoraic nouns with rising-toned plurals is (145). The plurals in (145b) are not in common use and were difficult to elicit.

(145)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
a. kinship				
	dē	dì-ó	‘elder sibling’	(various)
	nī	nì-ó	‘mother’	(all)
	sē	ʃì-ó	‘father’	(all)
b. other				
	blō	blè-ró	‘rain (n)’	Bi
	nū	nè-rú [nèrú]	‘oil, butter’	(various)
	ɲū	ɲè-rú [ɲèrú]	‘water’	(various)

For more on these alternations, see §3.6.2.4.

Chapter 3: Phonology

Several other M-toned monomoraic Cv or Clv stems have rhotic plurals that are also M-toned (146). This shows that the flattening of LH to M in the preceding examples is not automatic.

(146)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
a. rhotic plural				
	bō ⁿ	bō-rō ⁿ ~ bō-rō	‘granary’	Bi Ji; cf. bō (147b) below
	gō	gō-rō	‘falcon’	(all)
	kō	kō-rō	‘day’ (specific)	Fl
	lē	lē-rē	‘home; village’	Fl Ji (Ma plural lə-rè-ní)
	pō	pō-rō	‘ladle’	(various)
	sō	sō-rō	‘tomb’	Bi
b. other plural suffixes				
	có	cá-ré-ní	‘francolin (bird)’	Fl Ji Ma
	cō	cə-rè-ní	“	Bi
	klū ⁿ	klū ⁽ⁿ⁾ -nī	‘field cricket’	Ji(var) Ma

Some additional M-toned Cv and Clv noun either lack an attested plural (147a), or have a monomoraic plural that would not be expected to reveal a latent LH tone pattern (147b).

(147)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
a. no plural attested				
	cī	—	‘millet’	(all)
	jū	—	‘eyes’	(all)
	kā	—	‘manner’	(all)
	lī ⁿ	—	‘guts; interior’	(all)
	nī	—	‘time, instance’	(all)
	sē	—	‘sifting residue’	(various)
	sō ⁿ	—	‘salt’	(all)
	tē	—	‘tea’	(various)
	wū	—	‘straw shed’	Fl Ji
b. monomoraic plural with vocalic mutation				
	bō ⁿ	bō	‘granary’	Fl Ma, cf. (146a) above
	lō ⁿ	lō	‘chicken’	(various)

This concludes our treatment of level-toned (H, M, and L) monomoraic nouns. The known contour-toned monomoraics are listed in (148). Only <LH> is common.

(148)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
a. <LH>				
	bě ⁿ	—	‘peace, harmony’	(all)
	bǒ	bə-ró	‘elephant’	(all)
	bǒ	bə-ró	‘tree sp. (<i>Khaya</i>)’	Bi
	cĩ ⁿ ~ kĩ ⁿ	—	‘loan, credit’	(various)
	cǒ	cə-ró	‘tree sp. (<i>Ceiba</i>)’	Bi
	cǒ ⁿ	cə-ró ⁿ	‘sycamore fig’	Bi
	dǎ ⁿ	—	‘boundary (in fields)’	(various)
	dǒ	də-ró	‘man’	(all)
	dǒ	—	‘sleep (n)’	(all)
	dǒ ⁿ	—	‘mild pain’	(all)
	gbǒ	—	‘bamboo’	F1
	jǒ	jə-ró	‘fetish (animist)’	(various)
	kě	kə-ré	‘matter, issue’	(all)
	kě	—	‘cowpeas’	(all)
	kě ⁿ	kə-ré ⁿ (-ní)	‘pal’	(various)
	kǒ	—	‘beaded jewel’	(various)
	lǎ ⁿ	—	‘beer’	(all)
	mǔ	—	‘voice’	(various)
	mǔ	—	‘price’	(various)
	nǒ	nǒ	‘guinea-fowl; Mossi’	(all)
	ńǐ	ńə-rí	‘breast’	(all)
	sǒ	sə-ró	‘pig’	(all)
	sǔ ⁿ	sə-rú ⁿ [sə-rú]	‘medication’	(all)
	tǒ	—	‘ground, earth’	(various)
	tǒ ⁿ	—	‘mental calmness’	Ji
	(w)ǔ ⁿ	wə-rú ⁿ	‘rope’	(various)
	yǎ	yə-rá	‘year’	(all)
	yǒ	yə-ró	‘woman’	(all)
	yǔ	yə-rú	‘frog (<i>Ptychadena tellinii</i>)’	Bi
b. <HL>				
	kê ⁿ	—	‘(the) fellow’	F1

The single <HL> toned monomoraic in (148b), kêⁿ ‘(the) fellow’, used in discourse as a loose anaphor, is obscurely related to kěⁿ ‘pal’ in (148a). See §4.1.4.1 on these forms.

3.6.1.2.2 Sesquisyllabic (Cvʔv, Cərv) and diphthongal noun stems

Glottal Cvʔv, rhotic Cərv, and diphthongal nouns can be level-toned H, M, or L or can have rising LH pattern. The known level-M nouns of these shapes are in (149). Addition of plural suffix -ní, which is possible for a few of these stems, drops the stem tone to L by regular tone

Chapter 3: Phonology

sandhi in Bi dialect ('fly', 'giraffe'). For Fl and Ji, the suffixed plural is tonally level in some cases: cārē-nī, yārō-nī.

(149)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
a.	blāʔā	—	'pond, water body'	(all)
	blōʔō	—	'dust; bran'	Fl Ji
	bū ⁿ ʔō ⁿ	būʔō	'dog'	(all)
	cūēʔē	—	'borassus palm'	Fl
	dī ⁿ ʔō ⁿ	dō-rē ⁿ	'firewood'	Bi
	gbī ⁿ ʔī ⁿ	gbō-rī ⁿ ~ gbō-rī ⁿ -ʔī ⁿ	'peanut'	(all)
	kō ⁿ ʔō ⁿ	kō-rō ⁿ	'borassus palm'	Bi
	plē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	plē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ -nī	'gourmet'	Fl Ji
	"	plē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ -ní	"	Bi
	tēʔē	tè-rè-ní	'tree sp. (<i>Annona</i>)'	Bi
	tīʔō	—	'honey'	Bi Ji
	tīōʔō	—	"	Fl Ma
b.	cārō	cārē-nī	'fly (n)'	(Fl Ma)
	"	càrè-ní	"	Bi
	gārē	gārē-nī	'fieldmouse sp.'	Ji (uncommon Pl)
	yārō	yārō-nī	'giraffe'	Fl Ji
	"	yàrò-ní	"	Bi
c.	ʃīē	—	'rear, behind (n)'	(all)
	yīē	yō-rō	'young woman'	Ji
	yīē	lō	'young woman'	all

Array (150) gives one example each of level H, level L, and rising LH for each syllabic type. The H-toned glottalic type CʋʔV lowers its initial tone in Ma and Fl dialects (not shown here).

(150)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
a.	dáʔá	—	'time'	Bi Ji
	tàʔà	tè-rà-ʔà	'plot, garden'	Fl
	bàʔá	—	'farming (n)'	(all)
b.	cárí	cárí-ní	'stingless bee'	Bi Ji
	sèrù ⁿ	sèrù ⁿ -ní	'Parkia (nére) tree'	(all)
	cèrú	—	'millet or rice cakes'	(all)
c.	yíé	—	'name'	Fl Ji Ma
	(piè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ)	piè	'feet (pl)'	(all)
	dìé	—	'sauce'	(all)

d.	yúó	—	‘person’ or ‘people’	(all)
	fùó	f̃ə-ró	‘fish’	(all)
	(-nò)	-yùò	(agentive plural)	(all)

3.6.1.2.3 Bisyllabic and longer noun stems

Uncompounded nouns of two or more full syllables may be monotonal (H, M, L), bitonal (LH, HL), or rarely tritonal. For monotonal M we can cite the few examples in (151).

(151)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
	āwā ⁿ ?ā ⁿ	—	‘baby’s head covering’	Ji
	sāwā?ā	sāwā-rā	‘rattle (n)’	Bi
	ʃō-ʃō?ō	ʃō-ʃā-rō	‘cave bat’	Ji
	tē-tārā ⁿ ~ tī-tārā ⁿ	—	‘truth’	(various)

Level L and level H are common. We illustrate them here with one example each.

(152)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
a.	gbátá	gbátó-rá	‘shed, stall’	(all)
b.	kàcù	—	‘red sorghum’	(all)

Of the bitonal types, LH is the most common. A small sample is in (153). As these data suggest, in trisyllabic and longer stems the tone break may be either at the leftmost or rightmost syllable boundary.

(153)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
a.	CvCv and similar			
	jùsú ⁿ ~ jù ⁿ sú ⁿ	—	‘cotton; thread’	(all)
b.	nàsèrá	nàsèrà-ní	‘white person’	(various)
	nìfèrí	—	‘tree sp. (<i>Parinari</i>)’	(various)
	wàtítóró	—	‘dove’	(various)

Bitonal HL has fewer nonmonosyllabic stems than LH but is well represented. The known examples are in (154).

Chapter 3: Phonology

(154)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
a. CvCv and similar				
	nánò	nánò	‘friend’	Fl Ji
	sákà	—	‘large vulture sp.’	Bi Ji
	náklò	—	‘rice (crop)’	(various)
b. CvCvʔv and similar				
<i>tone break at first syllable boundary</i>				
	bácù ⁿ ʔò ⁿ	—	‘arrows’	Fl Ji
	bátìèʔè	bátè-rè	‘floodplain’	Fl
	bítùʔù	—	‘nearby field’	(various)
	bóbùʔù	—	‘spider’s web’	Bi
	dínàʔà	dínè-rà	‘black emperor scorpion’	Ji
	kátè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	kátè-rè ⁿ -ʔè ⁿ	‘small serving basket’	Fl Ji
	sícùʔò	—	‘middle’	(various)
<i>tone break at final syllable boundary</i>				
	táʔáfò	táʔáfè-rò	‘mid-sized bat sp.’	(various)
c. CvCərv				
<i>tone break at first syllable boundary</i>				
	básərò	—	‘piapiac (bird)’	Ji
<i>tone break at final syllable boundary</i>				
	[none]			
d. trisyllabic				
<i>tone break at first syllable boundary</i>				
	fáciènà	—	‘bulbul (bird)’	Fl Ji
<i>tone break at final syllable boundary</i>				
	tóyómà	—	‘name-mate’	(various)
e. quadrisyllabic				
	kánásòyò	—	‘tree sp. (<i>Flueggea</i>)’	Fl Ji
	sámìjòyò	—	‘village weaver (bird)’	Bi Ji

It is unclear from these data where the preferred tone break is on the longer HL stems. We observe a lack of #ĆĆĆʔ̀̀̀ and #ĆĆĆr̀̀̀ shapes with the fall carried out inside a final sesquisyllable. (By contrast, rising-toned final sesquisyllables in trisyllabic noun are common.) Factoring this out, there appears to be a preference for a tone break near the left edge. All analyses of tones of long stems are subject to the caveat that the stems may be (or may have originated as) compounds. This is especially true for quadrisyllabics

Excluding compounds and reduplications, we have the ML nonmonosyllabics in (155).

(155)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
-------	----------	--------	-------	---------

Chapter 3: Phonology

a. CvCv				
kēmè	—		‘man, guy, fellow’	(various)
kōmò	—		‘borassus palm sapling’	Fl
lā ⁿ lè ⁿ	—		‘hunger for meat’	Fl
sāmò	—		‘back (body)’	(various)
sāyò ~ sāwò	sāyò-rò ~ sāwò-rò		‘small hatchet’	(various)
b. CvCiv				
dōbìò ⁿ	—		‘tree sp. (<i>Piliostigma</i>)’	(various)
ṅū ⁿ dìè	—		‘tiger beetle larva’	Bi
c. CvCv?v				
(w)ānà?à	(w)ānè-rà(-?à)		‘face’	(various)
kāgè ⁿ ?è ⁿ	—		‘flute’	Fl J
kāpò?ò	kāpè-rò(-?ò)		‘spoon’	(all)
nājò?ò	—		‘umbrella-like termitary’	Ji
(w)āklà?à	—		‘roselle’	(various)
d. other				
tāmīōfìà	—		‘acacia sp.’	Ji

We know of no bitonal HM stems in Fl, Ji, or Ma dialects, if compounds are discounted. However, our Bi speaker produced the HM (156a) and the LHM (156b). The final M-tones correspond to H-tones in other dialects. Bi singular àtítōrō undergoes M#H-to-L#H before the H-toned plural suffix -ní.

(156)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect	other dialects
	bíklí ⁿ ?í ⁿ	—	‘top of house’	Bi	Ji bíklí ⁿ ?í ⁿ
	àtítōrō	àtítàrè-ní	‘dove’	Bi	Fl Ji Ma wàtítóró

The lexical tones of noun stems are subject to modification as initials or finals of some types of compounds (§5.1.1).

3.6.1.3 Lexical tone patterns for modifying adjectives

Core modifying (attributive) adjectives are those that can occur postnominally and/or can combine with a preceding classifier, animate *kā* or inanimate *á*. Some core adjectives are obligatorily reduplicated (initial syllable). See §4.5.3.1-2 for paradigms.

The postclassifier forms typically show tone overlays, with much dialectal variation in the inanimate combination after classifier *á*. If we focus on the postnominal (singular) forms, we get a better fix on lexical tone. All-L is predominant, all-M is absent, and there are three examples of all-H. Two stems have falling contours, one HL and the other (reduplicated) H-M. Many adjectives end in a glottalic sesquisyllable, at least in the postnominal form. The usual rule that H-toned Bi Ji C^v?^v corresponds to Fl C^{v̄}?^{v̄} and Ma

Cv̄ʔv̄ (§3.6.1.5) is partially valid for adjectives. In (157), minor segmental differences among dialects, unrelated to lexical tone, are omitted.

(157)	postnominal	gloss	dialect
a.	all-H		
	fú ~ fúʔú	‘hot’	(various)
	lɔ̄ ⁿ	‘cold’	(various)
	nígbo	‘short’	(various)
b.	all-M		
	[none]		
c.	all-L		
	yùàʔà	‘black’	(various, with slight variants)
	fàʔà ⁿ	‘white’	(various, with slight variants)
	ʃ ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	‘red’	(various, with slight variants)
	bliʔi	‘wet’	Fl Ma
	fɔ̄ ⁿ ʔɔ̄ ⁿ ~ fù ⁿ ʔɔ̄ ⁿ	‘new’	Fl Ji
	dìʔè	‘old’	Fl Ji
	kòʔò	‘good’	(all)
	bà ⁿ ʔà ⁿ	‘other’	Fl Ji
	dò ⁿ	‘delicious’	Fl Ji
	<i>reduplicative</i>		
	tù-tùʔù	‘big’	(all)
	bè-bèʔè	‘wide’	(various)
	pà-pàʔà	‘flat’	Fl Ji
	sò ⁿ -sò ⁿ ʔò ⁿ	‘long’	(various)
d.	HL		
	dígòʔò	‘other; each other’	Fl Ji
e.	H-M		
	<i>reduplicative</i>		
	bí-bī	‘small’	(various)

3.6.1.4 Lexical tone patterns for numerals

The uncompounded numerals are ‘1’ through ‘5’, ‘10’, ‘20’, and the rather noun-like ‘thousand’, numbering eight in total. ‘2’ through ‘9’ are preceded by a plural morpheme ò used only with numerals. ‘1’ and numerals ‘10’ and up take the regular article ē. ‘20’ has a different segmental and tonal form when used for multiples of twenty (‘40’ to ‘100’). jɔ̄ⁿ ‘2’ and wūⁿʔɔ̄ⁿ ~ ŋūⁿʔɔ̄ⁿ ‘4’ become LH-toned after kplē-, with a drop in the onset tone likely reflecting an original plural ò that has left only a tonal trace.

(158)	gloss	monosyllabic	sesquisyllabic
a. all-H			
‘3’		sá ⁿ	
b. all-M			
‘2’		jɔ̃ ⁿ	
‘4’			wū ⁿ ʔɔ̃ ⁿ ~ ŋū ⁿ ʔɔ̃ ⁿ
‘20’ (in compounds)	kplē-		
c. all-L			
‘5’		kà ⁿ	
d. LH, sometimes flattening to M			
‘1’			dè ⁿ ʔé ⁿ
‘20’ (uncompounded)	kpǎ ⁿ ~ kpā ⁿ		
‘thousand’			wùʔɔ̃ ⁿ ~ wòʔɔ̃ ⁿ (Bi Ji Fl)

3.6.1.5 Tones in glottalic syllables (Flaso and Masaso dialects)

In H-toned stems consisting of or ending in ĆVʔ́ (pronounced as such in Bi and Ji dialects), the glottal has a lowering effect on the first vocalic segment in the Fl and Ma dialects. For Fl, the output is approximately C̄Vʔ́ with M-toned initial syllable (no other dialect has any uncompounded MH-toned stems). For Ma it is approximately C̄Vʔ́ with L-toned initial syllable.

(159) ‘night’		
blíʔí	Bi Ji	
bliʔí	Fl	
bliʔí	Ma	

Both the Fl and Ma speakers were capable of accommodating to the ĆVʔ́ in other dialects, especially in group elicitation sessions.

Uncompounded H-toned ĆVʔ́ noun stems are rare, but show C̄vr̄vʔ́ (Fl) and C̄vr̄vʔ́ (Ma). Ji cārʔ́ ‘softshell tortoise’ corresponds to Fl cārʔ́ and Ma cārʔ́.

The question arises whether and to what extent these pitch changes are phonologized. In other words, does Fl C̄Vʔ́ behave like structural MH, and does Ma C̄Vʔ́ behave like structural LH? There are three domains within which these questions can be studied. First, the effect on preceding morphemes. Second, whether Fl C̄Vʔ́ and Ma C̄Vʔ́ behave like H-toned or rising-toned stems when they are followed by an H-tone. Third, whether the all-H tones are restored in rhotic plurals of nouns.

The data suggest that morphemes preceding the affected stems do take the surface tones (or pitches) into consideration. The relevant processes that apply to the preceding morphemes are M#H-to-L#H and LH#H-to-L-H, which lower a mid or rising toned morpheme to L by dissimilation to the following H (§3.6.2.2-3).

Consider the dialectal pronunciations of ‘shoulder’, expressed as a compound of LH-toned (w)ðʔs ‘arm/hand’ plus H-toned (w)úⁿʔúⁿ ‘head’. In (160a), ‘head’ remains H-toned in Bi and Ji dialects, and this triggers LH#H-to-L-H in ‘arm/hand’, which drops from LH to L. In (160b), the first syllable of ‘head’ drops to M (Fl) or to L (Ma), and this allows the LH contour of ‘arm/hand’ to surface.

(160) ‘shoulder’	dialect
a. LH (w)ðʔs dropped to L (w)ðʔð- before H (w)ðʔð-ú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ	Bi Ji
b. (w)ðʔs remains LH before M or L wðʔs-wū ⁿ ʔú ⁿ wðʔs-wù ⁿ ʔú ⁿ	Fl Ma

Consistent with this, the pronominal article ē drops to è before H-tone but not before M- or L-tone. The noun ‘head’ combines with the article as in (161). The Fl and Ma forms shown reflect the typical (basilectal) pronunciation of these dialects. As noted above, our Fl and Ma speakers are also capable of accommodation to the Bi/Ji pattern when in mixed company.

(161) ‘head’ with article

è ú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ	Bi Ji
ē wū ⁿ ʔú ⁿ	Fl
ē wù ⁿ ʔú ⁿ	Ma

Infinitival morpheme kō behaves in the same way. It drops to kò before H-tone but not before M- or L-tone.

(162) Infinitival kō plus yíʔí ‘go (Base)’ and variants

kò yíʔí	Bi Ji
kō yíʔí	Fl
kō yìʔí	Ma

Another relevant context is verb-verb compounds where Vb1 is M-toned and Vb2 is a glottalic stem with H-tones in Bi and Ji dialects. An example is /klē-yíʔí/ ‘went back’, which is realized as klè-yíʔí in Bi and Ji, but as klē-yíʔí in Fl and as klē-yìʔí in Ma.

The second test is whether Fl Cṽʔý and Ma Cṽʔý are treated as all-H or as rising (MH, LH) when they themselves are followed by an H-toned morpheme. The relevant process is again LH#H-to-L#H, this time applied to the glottalic stem. In particular, we want to see whether Ma Cṽʔý corresponding to Bi/Ji Cṽʔý drops to Cṽʔṽ before H-tone. We will also check whether Fl Cṽʔý also drops to Cṽʔṽ, but we note that there are no true MH-toned stems (i.e. with MH tones pandialectally) that could be compared phonologically to Fl Cṽʔý. We use the combination of ‘head’ as in (161) above with H-toned IpfvNeg particle má⁽ⁿ⁾.

(163) ‘the head is not good’

- | | | |
|----|---|----|
| a. | [è ú ⁿ ?ú ⁿ] má ⁿ kò =? | Bi |
| | [è ú ⁿ ?ú ⁿ] má kò =? | Ji |
| b. | [ē wū ⁿ ?ú ⁿ] má kò =? | F1 |
| | [ē wù ⁿ ?ú ⁿ] má kò =? | Ma |

We see here that the tones of ‘head’ are unaffected by placing it before an H-toned morpheme, not only in Bi and Ji (163a) but also in F1 and Ma (163b).

So far we have seen that the pre-glottal pitch decline in F1 and Ma dialects does affect how tone-dissimilation processes apply to preceding morphemes, but that this decline is disregarded when the tone-dissimilation processes apply to the glottalic stems themselves. The third test is what happens when a glottalic noun or adjective that has dialectal forms C^v?^v (Bi, Ji), C^{v̄}?^{v̄} (F1), and C^{v̄}?^{v̄} (Ma) forms a rhotic plural. A difficulty in performing this test is that rhotic pluralization is usually carried out in two different ways depending on dialect, with Bi and Ji substituting plural r for singular ?, while F1 and Ma infix a rhotic syllable before the glottal pulse. (164) exemplifies the regular pattern.

(164) ‘dark fieldmouse sp.’ with article

- | | singular | plural | |
|----|----------|------------|--------|
| a. | è jó?ó | è já-ró | Bi, Ji |
| b. | ē jō?ó | ē jā-rō-?ó | F1 |
| | ē jò?ó | ē jè-rò-?ó | Ma |

Here we see that the plural reproduces the (surface) tones of the singular, whether H as in (164a) or rising as in (164b). Moreover, in (164b) we see that the tone break (from M or L to H) occurs after the glottalic pulse, in the plural as in the singular. It is also noteworthy that the pre-rhotic initial syllabic in the plural does not move back to H in (164b), even though it is no longer in contact with the glottalic pulse.

However, several adjectives have rhotic plurals that do not carry over the glottal from the singular in F1 and Ma dialects. The most relevant forms are singular and plural of adjectival forms following animate k̄a.

- | (165) | singular | plural | gloss | dialect |
|-------|---|---|--------|---------|
| | k̄a s̄ ⁿ -s̄ ⁿ ? ⁿ | k̄a s̄ ⁿ -s̄ ⁿ -r̄ ⁿ | ‘long’ | F1 |
| | k̄a s̄ ⁿ -s̄ ⁿ ? ⁿ | k̄a s̄ ⁿ -s̄ ⁿ -r̄ ⁿ | " | Ma |

These data suggest a basic tone pattern L-H for F1 but L-LH for Ma. For F1, the basic pattern is observed in the plural, but is subject to the tonal effect of the glottal in the singular. For Ma, the L-LH pattern is observed in both singular and plural.

The overall conclusion is that the depressed M-tones in Fl and Ma are only partially phonologized.

3.6.2 Tone sandhi processes

3.6.2.1 L#L-to-M#L (several proclitics)

Certain L-toned grammatical morphemes raise to M-toned before another L-tone, with some morphological restrictions. The effect of this tonal dissimilation is that the first morpheme has a pitch level higher than that of the first syllable of the following word. Future morphemes *nà* and *bè*, the ‘if’ morpheme *bà ~ mà*, imperfective past *dè* and dialectal variants, and hortative *kò*, do not allow a preceding morpheme to raise in this way. In addition, in a sequence of tonal type L-M-H, when the M-tone drops to L to dissimilate from the following H-tone, this does not create an environment for raising the initial L-toned morpheme. For example, / δ^n sē má bà = ?/ is normally realized as δ^n sè má bà = ? ‘his/her father did not come’, not as # δ^n sè má ba = ?.

Some diachronic speculations might be made based on the data presented below. One is that the L-toned morphemes that can raise to M, and/or the L-toned morphemes that block raising of a preceding morpheme, were originally M-toned and have drifted down to to L-tone in most environments.

The morphemes that raise from L to M when there is no blocking factor are a subset of L-toned proclitics, both pronominal and inflectional (166a). Those that never raise are in (166b).

(166) a. morphemes that raise from L to M before L-tone

third-person proclitic pronominal (§4.3.2.1)

δ^n 3AnSg

à 3Inan

ò 3Pl

inflectional

à imperfective positive (§10.2.1.1)

bè future (§10.2.2.2)

bà ~ mà ‘if’ (§16.1.1)

preposition

kà ‘with’ (§8.2)

quotative

dè quotative particle (§17.1.2.1)

b. morphemes that remain L-toned before an L-tone

inanimate pronoun or discourse-definite demonstrative

bè (§4.3.2.1, §4.4.2.1)

nonproclitic pronominal (stable form)

é-yùò 1Pl pronoun (§4.3.1.1)

bùò 3Pl, also logophoric (§4.3.2.1)

bùò 2Pl pronoun (§4.3.1.1)

morpheme *bà ~ mà*, which occurs in the same linear position, also raises to *bā ~ mā* (169c). However, future *nà* does not raise (169d).

- (169) a. [ē sò] ā klè = nì
 [Art horse] **Ipfv** do.Ipfv 3InanObj
 ‘The horse does it.’
- b. [ē sò] bē klè = nì
 [Art horse] **Fut** do.Base 3InanObj
 ‘The horse will do it.’
- c. [ē sò] mā klè = nì
 [Art horse] **if** do.Base 3InanObj
 ‘if/when the horse does it’
- d. [ē sò] nà klè = nì
 [Art horse] **Fut** do.Base 3InanObj
 ‘The horse will do it.’

The tone-raising to M before L-tone does not depend on the final tone of the preceding word. This is illustrated in (170), where the subject nouns end in various tones. The only factor relevant to Ipfv *à* is the initial tone of the following verb.

- (170) [ē sò / bú / dē] ā klè = nì
 [Art horse / money / elder.sib] **Ipfv** do.Ipfv 3InanObj
 ‘The horse/money/older sibling does it.’

Raised Ipfv *ā* in such examples remains lower in pitch than PfvNeg *á*. In addition, the two are followed by different stems of the verb (Ipfv and base, respectively), and negative clauses normally end in a glottal enclitic =?. (171 a-b) show that the two can be distinguished even for verbs that have the same base and Ipfv form.

- (171) a. [ē sò] ā dàⁿ
 [Art horse] **Ipfv** arrive.Ipfv
 ‘The horse arrives/will arrive.’ (various)
- b. [ē sò] á dàⁿ =?
 [Art horse] **PfvNeg** arrive.Base **Neg**
 ‘The horse did not arrive.’ (various)

Unlike the other post-subject inflectional morphemes, Ipfv *à* occurs twice in compound verbs. Specifically, a second occurrence is intercalated between the two verbs. We transcribe the intercalated occurrence affix as *-à-*, raising to *-ā-* before L-tone; see §3.4.6.4 for more details. In (172), focus on the “Ipfv” column.

(172)	Pfv	Base	Ipfv	gloss
a.	klè-lò	klà-lò	ā klà-ā-lò	‘have fun, play’
b.	kplè-dà ⁿ	klò-dà ⁿ	ā klò-ā-dà ⁿ	‘approach (and arrive)’
c.	mlē-tò ⁿ	mé-tò ⁿ ~ mí-tò ⁿ	à mlí ⁿ -ā ⁿ -tì ⁿ	‘release; throw (v)’

vv-Contraction involving the intercalated Ipv morpheme and the final vowel of the first verb can be a complicating factor. The forms shown above are uncontracted. See §3.4.6.4 above for details about contractions.

As mentioned briefly in §3.4.6.3, the raising of third-person subject proclitics from L to M before L-toned Pfv verbs allows a back-door distinction between perfective and imperfective constructions even for verbs whose Pfv and Ipv stems are identical. This is because when a third-person proclitic fuses with Ipv à, resulting in 3AnSg ðⁿ = Ø, 3Pl ò = Ø, and 3Inan à = Ø, the pronominals are locked into their lexical L-tone and cannot undergo raising to M. Before Pfv verbs that have M or H tone, the pronominals have the same surface form in perfective and imperfective constructions, though the Ø in our transcriptions expresses the difference orthographically. In speech, this puts the burden on verbal morphology to distinguish the two aspectual constructions. For the many verbs that distinguish Pfv from Ipv segmentally and/or tonally, there is no ambiguity (173).

(173) a. perfective construction with third person pronominal subject

ð ⁿ / ò / à	yířē / glō
3AnSg / 3Pl / 3Inan	go.Pfv / exit(v).Pfv
‘He-or-she/They/It went/exited.’	

b. like (a) but imperfective

ð ⁿ = / ò = / à =	Ø	yíří / glú
3AnSg / 3Pl / 3Inan	Ipv	go.Ipv / exit(v).Ipv
‘He-or-she/They/It (will) go/exit.’		

For invariant verbs, verb-stem morphology by itself cannot distinguish perfective from imperfective constructions with these third-person pronominal subjects. If the invariant verb is M or H toned, there is no escaping the ambiguity. This is the case with já ‘leave, let’, which has invariant form in dialects other than Bi. The perfectives in (174a) are indistinguishable in speech from the imperfectives in (174b).

(174) a. perfective construction with third person pronominal subject

ð ⁿ / ò / à	já	= nì	mā
3AnSg / 3Pl / 3Inan	leave.Pfv	3InanObj	there.Def
‘He-or-she/They/It left it there.’			

b. like (a) but imperfective

ð ⁿ = / ò = / à =	Ø	já	= nì	mā
3AnSg / 3Pl / 3Inan	Ipv	leave.Ipv	3InanObj	there.Def
‘He-or-she/They/It (will) leave it there.’				

However, if the invariant verb is L-toned, listeners are in luck, since third-person proclitics raise from L to M before L-toned Pfv verbs, but cannot raise when they are fused to the Ipv particle, even though the latter is seemingly zeroed. (175a) and (175b) are distinguished only by the tones of the pronominals. This is notable since, in the absence of a third-person subject proclitic, Ipv à also raises before klè, as in zàkí ā klè ‘Zaki does’.

(175) a. perfective construction with third person pronominal subject

ǝ ⁿ / ǝ̄ / ā	klè	= nì
3AnSg / 3Pl / 3Inan	do.Pfv	3InanObj
‘He-or-she/They/It did it.’		

b. like (a) but imperfective

ǝ ⁿ = / ǝ̄= / ā=	∅	klè	= nì
3AnSg / 3Pl / 3Inan	Ipv	do.Ipv	3InanObj
‘He-or-she/They/It (will) do it.’			

L#L-to-M#L applies only to the finite set of proclitic-like morphemes listed above in (166a) above. However, even these morphemes fail to raise before L-toned future bè or nà (176a-b), before bà ~ mà ‘if’ (176c), and before imperfective past dè or its dialectal variants (176d).

(176) a. ǝⁿ / ǝ̄ / à

bè	dī?ē
3AnSg/3Pl/3Inan	Fut hear.Pfv
‘He-or-she/They/It will hear.’	

b. ǝⁿ / ǝ̄ / à

nà	yí?í
3AnSg/3Pl/3Inan	Fut go.Base
‘He-or-she/They/It will go.’	

c. ǝⁿ / ǝ̄ / à

bà	yí?í
3AnSg/3Pl/3Inan	if go.Base
‘if he-or-she/they/it go(es).’	

d. ǝⁿ / ǝ̄ / à

dè	sáru ⁿ
3AnSg/3Pl/3Inan	IpvPast descend.Ipv
‘He-or-she/They/It used to go down.’ (Bi)	

Future bè and bà ~ mà ‘if’ themselves do raise to bē and bā ~ mā before another L-tone (177a-b). This suggests the possibility that these morphemes were originally M-toned and have become L-toned through usage, following in the footsteps of many grammatical morphemes in African tonal languages. This would explain, at least diachronically, why bè and bà ~ mà do not allow a preceding L-toned morpheme to raise to M.

(177) a. ǝⁿ / ǝ̄ / à

bē	klè	= nì
3AnSg/3Pl/3Inan	Fut do.Pfv	3InanObj
‘He-or-she/They/It will do it.’		

b. ð ⁿ / ò / à	bā/mā	klè	= nì
3AnSg/3Pl/3Inan	if	do.Base	3InanObj
‘if he-or-she/they/it do(es) it.’			

However, the other future particle *nà* does not raise to #*nā* before another L-tone (178a). The same is true of imperfective past *dè* and variants (178b). This in spite of the fact that *nà* and *dè* block raising of a preceding L-toned morpheme (176b,d), as do future *bè* and *bà ~ mà* ‘if’. We conclude that there is no evidence that *nà* or *dè* were originally M-toned.

(178) a) ð ⁿ / à / ò	<i>nà</i>	klè	= nì
3AnSg/3Pl/3Inan	Fut	do.Base	3InanObj
‘He-or-she/They/It will do it.’			
b) ð ⁿ / à / ò	<i>dè</i>	klè	= nì
3AnSg/3Pl/3Inan	Fut	do.Base	3InanObj
‘He-or-she/They/It used to do it.’			

3.6.2.2 M#H-to-L#H

In compounds and other tightly-knit combinations, an M-toned stem or other morpheme drops to L by polarizing dissimilation to a following H-tone. This is consistent with a constraint against morpheme-internal MH sequences and against <MH> syllables. We will see in the following section that rising-toned morphemes also drop to L before H.

Infinitival *kō* combines with the base stem of following verbs of various tones as shown in (179). It drops to *kò* before H-tone (179c). In Fl and Ma dialects, where C[̄]ʔ[̄] is realized as C[̄]ʔ[̄] (Fl) or C[̄]ʔ[̄] (Ma), the lowering of the tone (or pitch) of the first vocalic segment allows a preceding M-toned *kō* to surface (179d).

(179) a. before L		
	<i>kō</i> <i>bà</i>	‘come’
	<i>kō</i> <i>tàʔà</i>	‘post, affix’
	<i>kō</i> <i>sòmó</i>	‘wound (v)’ (Fl)
b. before M		
	<i>kō</i> <i>bē</i>	‘become tired’
	<i>kō</i> <i>tārāⁿ</i>	‘sit’
	<i>kō</i> <i>sūʔō</i>	‘give’
c. before H		
	<i>kò</i> <i>bá</i>	‘cultivate’
	<i>kò</i> <i>cárí</i>	‘be/do a long time’
	<i>kò</i> <i>fúó</i>	‘breathe; fan (v)’

d. before MH from HH with glottal (Fl dialect)

kō	yíʔí	‘go’
kō	ʃūʔú	‘catch’

kō is also the ‘be’ predicate (§11.2.2.1-2) and in that function it behaves similarly.

Since some L-toned grammatical morphemes are raised to M before another L-tone (§3.6.2.1), the M-toned kō in (179a) by itself could in theory have been derived from either M or L lexical tone. However, kō before M-tone in (179b) confirms that M-toned kō is basic. As a result, the L-toned kò in (179c) must be due to a rule dropping M to L before H.

The ubiquitous nominal article ē is M-toned before M- or L-tone, but drops to è before an H-tone. It therefore behaves tonally exactly like kō.

(180) a. before L

ē	dè	‘field’
ē	dà ⁿ gó	‘blanket’

b. before M

ē	dē	‘elder sibling’
ē	lē	‘village’

c. before H

è	dé	‘body’
è	lá-fùʔù	‘disease’

d. before MH from HH with glottal (Fl dialect)

ē	fūʔú	‘heat, hot weather’	compare:	è	fúʔú (Ji)
ē	dāʔá	‘time’		è	dáʔá (Bi Ji)
ē	dōrī ⁿ ʔí ⁿ	‘filth’		è	dórī ⁿ ʔí ⁿ (Bi Ji)

Compounds of an M-toned noun plus an H-initial noun are realized as L-H (§5.1.1.2).

(181) a. kā ‘way, manner’

(bè-)kà-tó	‘thus-Foc’
kà-dí ⁿ	‘manner’

b. ɲū ‘water’

ɲù-sóru ⁿ	‘gutterspout on roof’
----------------------	-----------------------

c. klō ‘calabash’

klò-gbáʔá	‘worn-out calabash’
-----------	---------------------

d. lōⁿ ‘chicken’

lò ⁿ -ú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ	‘chicken head’
---	----------------

M-toned nouns drop to L before H-initial adjectives. The major H-initial adjectives are nígbó ‘short’ and bí-bí ‘small’.

- (182) a. l̄ⁿ ‘chicken’
 l̄ⁿ nígbó ‘short chicken’
- b. s̄awāʔā ‘rattle (n)’
 s̄awàʔà bí-bī ‘small rattle’

In such combinations, the tone of the article \bar{e} is often dragged down by the drop in tone of the immediately following noun. This is an issue when the whole NP is in postpausal position, as in subject NPs and in citation forms. Thus \bar{e} l̄ⁿ ‘chicken’ but \grave{e} l̄ⁿ nígbó ‘short chicken’, \grave{e} l̄ⁿ bí-bī ‘small chicken’. Since other pronunciations are possible, we normalize transcriptions showing only the noun tone-dropped, hence \bar{e} l̄ⁿ nígbó. This is obscured by the elision of articles in non-postpausal (i.e. medial) position.

Verbal nouns with suffix -ní are added to the base of the verb stem, the second of the three forms shown in our full representations of verbs (§4.2.1.1). If that form is M-toned, the verb is dropped from M to L before -ní (183a).

(183)	VblN	gloss	verb (Pfv/Base/Ipfv)	gloss
	a. b̄è-ní	‘fatigue’	b̄è/b̄ē/bl̄ē ~ bl̄ī	‘become tired’
	t̄ò ⁿ -ní	‘count (n)’	c̄ù ⁿ /t̄ō ⁿ /t̄ī ⁿ	‘count (v)’
	b. f̄ē-nī	‘greeting (n)’	f̄ē (invariant)	‘greet’

Exceptionally, ‘greeting’ (183b) spreads the M-tone of the stem into the suffix (183b). an archaic pronunciation occurs as compound final in \bar{e} c̄ùⁿʔùⁿ-[f̄ē-ní] ‘morning greeting’ and \bar{e} d̄òʔó-[f̄ē-ní] ‘evening greeting’. For more examples of -ní, see §4.2.1.1.

Compounds of two verbs drop M before H, as in nominal compounds. This leads to unusual tonal patterns when the initial is an MHH or LMM verb, i.e. when the tone of the Pfv starts out one notch lower than that of the other two major verb forms (184).

(184)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
	a. MHH verb as initial before H-tone				
	m̄ēʔē	máʔá	míʔí	‘roll’	Ji
	m̄ēʔē-súʔú	máʔá-súʔú	máʔá-à-súʔú	‘roll up’	Ji
	b. LMM verb as initial before H-tone				
	gbl̄è	gb̄ē	gbl̄ī	‘take, pick up’	(all)
	gbl̄è-sáró ⁿ	gb̄è-sáró ⁿ	gbl̄ī-à-sáró ⁿ	‘take down’	(various)

In ‘roll up’ (184a), the M-toned stem of the initial is dropped to L in the Pfv, while its H-toned base stem remains H in the base. The base of the initial extends into the Ipv, as in many verb compounds. The result is a surface LHH pattern for the initial, which does not correspond to any attested pattern with uncompounded verbs.

In ‘take down’ (184b), M-toned base gb̄ē drops to L before H-tone in both the base of the compound, so both Pfv and base have L-H tones. In Ipv gbl̄ī-à-sáróⁿ, the intercalated Ipv

morpheme separates initial from final, and prevents application of M#H-to-L#H, so *gbli-* remains M-toned. The result is a surface LLM pattern for the initial, a very rare pattern in uncompounded verbs.

There are a few verb-verb compounds where the initial has a structurally different tone from what the (apparently) same stem has as an uncompounded verb. In (185), assuming that the initial of ‘move on out’ can be identified as ‘pass, go past’, the initial in the base and Ipfv of the compound behaves as underlying M-toned *fō-*, as seen clearly in the Ipfv. It therefore drops to L-toned before the H-toned *já* in the base of the compound. As simple verb, the form is H-toned *fó*.

(185)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
	<i>fīē</i>	<i>fó</i>	<i>fó</i>	‘pass, go past’	(all)
	<i>fīè-já</i>	<i>fò-já</i>	<i>fō-à-já</i>	‘move on out’	Fl Ji

A warning: in careful speech, our speakers sometimes failed to drop M-toned forms to L before H-tones in combinations where the morphemic identity of the M-toned form was transparent. In allegro speech, and in cases where the morphemic identities are not transparent, the tone-dropping was regularly applied.

3.6.2.3 LH#H-to-L#H

The dropping of M to L before H (preceding section) is part of a more general tonal dissimilation to following H’s that also applies to uncompounded LH stems and grammatical morphemes. Examples are the nominal compounds indented in (186), where an LH initial is followed by an H-initial final.

(186)	compound	stem gloss	compound gloss	dialect
a.	<i>tǎ</i>	‘earth’		
	<i>tǎ-pó</i>	‘underground (n)’		Fl Ji
b.	<i>ʃɪⁿʃɪⁿ</i>	‘tree; wood’		
	<i>ʃɪⁿʃɪⁿ-[báⁿ-sǎⁿ]</i>	‘rope squirrel’ (lit. “tree-squirrel”)		Bi
c.	<i>jùʔé</i>	‘God’		
	<i>jùʔé-pó</i>	‘sky’		Ji
	<i>jùʔéʔé-pó</i>	“		Fl
	<i>jùʔé-wéⁿ</i>	‘star’ (“God-egg”)		Ji
	<i>jùʔéʔé-wéⁿ</i>	“		Fl
	<i>jùʔé-[bá-pǎⁿ]</i>	‘longhorn beetle’ (“God’s ram”)		Ji
	<i>jùʔé-[báⁿ-pǎⁿ]</i>	“		Bi
	<i>jùʔéʔé-[báⁿ-pǎⁿ]</i>	“		Fl Ma

Examples involving LH nouns followed by H-initial adjectives are in (187).

- (187) a. $\text{ʃ}^n\text{ʃ}^n$ ‘tree; wood’
 $\text{ʃ}^n\text{ʃ}^n$ nígbó ‘short tree’
- b. wùʔú ‘house’
wùʔù bí-bī ‘small house’

Suffix -ní (default plural for nouns, verbal noun for verbs) is a common trigger for this process. For more examples see (261c) in §4.2.1.1.

- (188) a. nàsàrà ‘white person’
nàsàrà-ní ‘white people’
- b. nḍḃyá ‘make easier, cheaper’
nḍḃyà-ní ‘(act of) mixing’

In transparent L-H compounds, a following H-tone in a third morpheme does not affect the H-toned second element. In other words, a transparent L-H compound is not treated as an LH-toned stem or morpheme. Rather, the H element is treated as an autonomous H-toned stem and does not drop tones before another H-tone (189a). This also applies to contractions of third person subject proclitics with PfvNeg á, including 3AnSg /ḍⁿ á/ realized as [ḍⁿ], even before an H-tone (189b). Suffix -ní (verbal noun or nominal plural) is also autonomous vis-à-vis of a preceding L-toned stem (189c).

- (189) a. flè-ḃó ‘peek to the side.Base’
flè-ḃó-ní ‘(act of) peeking to the side’
- b. ḍⁿ = Ø ‘he/she didn’t’ (< /ḍⁿ á/)
ḍⁿ = Ø ḃóⁿ = ? ‘he/she didn’t look’
- c. ... klè-ní yá ‘that making’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 05:13)

However, rhotic plurals are not treated as bipartite in this context, confirming the view that rhotic plurals are infixed or processual rather than suffixal, in spite of the fact that we transcribe them with a hyphen before r. Therefore singular tìʔé and its plural tà-ré both drop to L before an H-tone.

- (190) a. tìʔé ‘hole’
tìʔè yá ‘this/that hole’
- b. tà-ré ‘holes’
tà-rè éré ‘these/those holes’ (Ji)

Both M#H-to-L#H and LH#H-to-L#H are productive and apply to all smoothly spoken combinations not separated by a prosodic boundary (such as a hesitation).

In the texts volume, we often present underlying representations showing the tones prior to the operation of these processes.

3.6.2.4 <LH> flattens to M

<LH> denotes a low-to-high rising tone on a single syllable, i.e. C \check{v} . There are two contexts in which <LH> flattens to M.

The first occurs when a noun stem which is heard as C \check{v} independently, i.e. as an uncompounded singular noun, functions as a compound initial and is heard as level-toned C \bar{v} - (191a). Bisyllabic C \check{v} C \acute{v} can also level to C \bar{v} C \bar{v} as compound initial, especially in highly lexicalized compounds, for example those with pòʔó ‘the bush, brousse’ as initial (191b).

(191)	noun	gloss	compound	gloss	dialect
a.	yǒ	‘woman’	yō-dè	‘old woman’	(various)
	kǒ	‘beads (coll.)’	kō-bìdò	‘beads (Pl)’	Fl Ji
b.	pòʔó	‘the bush’	pōʔō-[cì-có]	‘bush agama’	(all)
	"	"	pō-kà ~ pōʔō-kà	‘wild animal’	(various)

There are also several singular noun stems that appear as monomoraic C \bar{v} even in isolation, but behave morphophonemically as C \check{v} , observable in their bimoraic plurals with LH tones. See (145) in §3.6.1.2.1 for a list.

3.7 Intonation

3.7.1 Phrase and clause-final terminal contours

In recordings, higher than modal terminal pitch indicates incompleteness (i.e. more is coming in the next clause). The final word or grammatical morpheme may sound H-toned although it is phonologically M or L. A clause or phrase with this terminal intonation may serve as background for an upcoming foregrounded event clause, or it may be the first of two or more parallel clauses or phrases.

Polar (yes-no) interrogatives and some types of content (WH) interrogatives end in =ā(→) or variant (§13.2.1.1, §13.2.2.1). This is often realized as an extension of the otherwise final vowel. The extension has a characteristic interrogative pitch a bit lower than that of modal M-tone, so a final M-toned syllable is extended with a slight pitch drop while a final L-toned syllable is extended with a modest pitch rise. Two parallel polar interrogatives can function as a disjunction (§7.2.1-2).

3.7.2 Lexically specified prolongation (→)

Tief-D has a few ideophone-like expressive adverbials (§8.5.8). Some of them show variable but often extended prolongation of a final vowel. An example is jàⁿ→ ‘much-

branched (tree)' in text 2017-07 @ 05:40. Another is $d\grave{o}\rightarrow$, which functions as an intensifier for '(be) big', compare Eng *huge*, *humongous*, etc.

We should also mention $s\acute{u}\rightarrow$, an emphatic 'all' quantifier that occurs chiefly in $k\grave{o}$ - $k\grave{o}$ $s\acute{u}\rightarrow$ 'every day; always' (§6.6.1.2).

4 Nominal, pronominal, and adjectival morphology

4.1 Nouns

4.1.1 Syllabic and tonal forms of noun stems

The stem-shape formulae that we will use in the following sections include Cv, CvCv, and so forth. In Bi and Ji dialects, the initial C position is unfilled in some noun stems, e.g. úⁿ ‘village’, ũⁿ ‘rope’, ðʔó ‘arm’, ānàʔà ‘face’, and èʔé ‘thing’. The absence of the initial C does not affect the range of segmental and tonal forms of the remainder of the stem. Fl and Ma dialects provide the relevant stems with an initial semivowel y or w.

4.1.1.1 Cv noun stems

The minimal shape for a noun is Cv. (192) presents all monotonal Cv stems that occur in our working lexicon as of early 2020. They are evenly distributed across H, M, and L tones. A subset of C[̄]v nouns shows signs of having flattened from an earlier *C[̄]v with <LH> tone, as shown by LH still audible in their bisyllabic plurals (192b). See For other C[̄]v nouns, either the plural is M-toned or no plural is attested (192c).

(192) Cv noun stems

	stem	gloss	comment
a. H-toned C ^v			
	bá	‘large lake, ocean’	
	bá ⁿ ~ bó ⁿ	‘sheep-Sg’	Bi Ma bá ⁿ , Fl Ji bá ⁿ ~ bó ⁿ
	bú	‘cowries; money’	
	dé	‘body’	
	dí ⁿ (1)	‘equal, peer’	
	dí ⁿ (2)	‘seedstock’	
	dó	‘possession, share’	
	fé	‘language’	
	fó	‘shrub sp. (<i>Securidaca</i>)’	
	gbó	‘water beetle’	
	gó	‘small wood-eating termite sp.’	
	ké ~ kí	‘side’	Ji kí, Bi Fl Ma ké
	kó	‘tree sp. (<i>Anogeissus</i>)’	
	kpó	‘liana sp. (<i>Landolphia</i>)’	
	kú ⁿ	‘tree sp. (<i>Blighia</i>)’	
	ló ⁿ	‘shade, shadow’	
	ná	‘cow’	Bi ná ⁿ
	ní	‘life’	Bi ní ⁿ

ɲó	‘courage, heart’	Bi ɲó ⁿ
pó	‘leg’	
sé	‘head cushion (for carrying baskets)’	
só	‘mortar (for pounding)’	
sú	‘house mouse’	
ʃí (1)	‘stem’	
ʃí (2)	‘footprints, tracks’	
té ⁿ	‘daybreak’	
tí ⁿ	‘grey hornbill’	Bi tí ⁿ
ú ⁿ ~ wú ⁿ	‘village’	
wé ⁿ	‘egg’	
wí	‘owner of’	compound final
wó	‘tree sp. (<i>Afzelia</i>)’	Bi wó ⁿ
wú	‘duiker (antelope)’	

b. M-toned C \bar{v} (< *C \check{v}) with <LH>-toned plural

dē	‘elder sibling’	plural dī-ó
nī	‘mother’	plural nì-ó ; Bi nī ⁿ
ɲū	‘water’	plural ɲè-rú [ɲèrú ⁿ]; Bi ɲū ⁿ
sē	‘father’	plural ʃī-ó

c. M-toned C \bar{v} with M-toned plural

for list, see (146a) and (147b) in §3.6.1.2.1

d. M-toned C \bar{v} without attested plural

for list, see (147a) in §3.6.1.2.1

e. L-toned C \grave{v}

cà ⁿ	‘red kapok tree’ (<i>Bombax</i>)	
cò	‘whip’	
dà ⁿ	‘kyphosis (children’s disease)’	
dè	‘sun; day (unit of time)’	
dē	‘field’	
dò ⁿ	‘slave’	
fē ⁿ	‘sparrowhawk’	
gbà ⁿ	‘ball’	
kà	‘animal’	compound final
kè	‘sun; day (unit of time)’	
kpè ⁿ	‘tree sp. (<i>Carapa</i>)’	
kpò	‘parrot’	
lì	‘gecko lizard’	
lò ⁿ	‘air, atmosphere’	
lù	‘fonio (grain)’	
mè	‘okra’	Bi mè (not #mè ⁿ)
sè	‘gravelly soil’	
sò	‘horse’	

sò ⁿ	‘heart (emotional center)’	
ʃì	‘long life’	
tò	‘remainder’	possessed or compound final
ò ~ wò	‘antelope’	Fl ò, Bi Ji wò
yù	‘cowpea beetle’	(Bi only)

There are many <LH>-toned Cǎ stems. For a list, see (148a) in §3.6.1.2.1.

The only falling-toned Cv noun stem is <HL>, namely kĕⁿ. It takes this form only as an anaphor for a recently mentioned but unnamed discourse referent (cf. Eng *the fellow*), and only dialectally. Its word family also contains kĕⁿ ‘pal, buddy’ with rising tone (§4.1.4.1).

Tonal minimal trios gleaned from the preceding lists are those in (193).

- (193) a. só ‘mortar (for pounding)’
 sǒ ‘pig’
 sò ‘horse’
- b. dé ‘body’
 dĕ ‘elder sibling’ < *dĕ
 dè ‘field’

This section has discussed simple Cv stems. Closely related structurally are Clv stems with a prevocalic lateral, diphthongal Cuv and Civ (e.g. Cuo and Cie), and arguably glottalized Cvʔv. These will now be presented in that order.

4.1.1.2 Clv noun stems

The known Clv monosyllabic nouns are in (194). Some or even all of them may have been produced by syncope of the first vowel in *Cv₁lv via *Cəlv, parallel to synchronic Cərv. However, the distribution of tones is consistent with that for simple Cv. Tonal minimal pairs are ‘sorcery’ and ‘calabash’, and ‘aardvark’ and ‘eagle-owl’.

(194) Clv stems

- a. H-toned Cl^ú
- | | | |
|-----|--------------------|------------------------------|
| bló | ‘spring (water)’ | Ji; synonym blù ⁿ |
| kló | ‘sorcery’ | |
| klú | ‘electric catfish’ | |
- b. M-toned Cl^ǎ
- | | | |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| blǎ | ‘rain (n)’ | Pl blà-ró (Bi) |
| klǎ | ‘calabash’ | |
| klǎ ⁿ | ‘field cricket’ | (various plurals) |

c. L-toned Cl̂v

bl̂u ⁿ	‘spring (water)’	Fl Ma; synonym bl̂o
gbl̂i	‘ridge between furrows’	
gl̂o	‘armadillo’	
kpl̂e	‘joint (wrist or ankle)’	

d. <LH>-toned Cl̂v

gl̂o	‘eagle-owl’	Ji (elsewhere gl̂oʔó)
------	-------------	-----------------------

4.1.1.3 Diphthongal C_{iv} and C_{uv} noun stems

By C_{iv} is meant the set of monosyllabic diphthongal stems beginning Ci and ending with a mid-height or low vowel. Among mid-height vowels, back rounded {o ɔ} are well-represented. Some C_{io} singular stems may be old *C_{io} plurals (§4.1.2.7) reanalysed as singulars, and some C_{ioⁿ} singular stems may have been back-formed from C_v-o plurals following models in §4.1.2.4.1.

(194) C_{iv} noun stems

a. H-toned C_ív

bíó	‘fruit or seed (of plant)’	
cíé ⁿ	‘pond frog (<i>Hoplobatrachus</i>)’	
míó [míɔ̃]	‘python’	Bi míó ⁿ
níé [níé̃]	‘ring (jewelry)’	Bi níé ⁿ
ʃíó	‘fortune-teller’	
tíó ⁿ	‘grey hornbill’	Bi (elsewhere tí ⁿ)
wíó ~ víó	‘crocodile’	
yíé	‘name’	Fl Ji (Ma zíé, Bi wé)

b. M-toned C_īv

cīō ⁿ	‘bird (any)’	
ʃīē	‘behind (n), rear’	
yīē	‘young woman’	

c. L-toned C_ìv

bìò	‘whistle, flute’	
mìò [mìɔ̃]	‘tongue’	Bi mìò ⁿ

d. <LH>-toned C_ìv

dìé	‘sauce’	
fìé ⁿ	‘kidney (of animal)’	
mìá [mìá̃]	‘tree sp. (<i>Holarrhena</i>)’	Bi mìá ⁿ
pìó ⁿ	‘grub, caterpillar’	
ʃíá	‘grass frog (<i>Ptychadena</i>)’	
wìó ~ wìó	‘winged termite sp.’	

Cuv noun stems are fewer in number. The second vocalic segment is back rounded {o ɔ} or else a.

(195) Cuv noun stems

a. H-toned Cúv

súɔ ⁿ ~ súá ⁿ	‘guinea worm’	
yúó	‘person; people’	

b. M-toned Cūv̄

(none)

c. L-toned Cùv̄

(none)

d. <LH>-toned Cùv̄

bùá	‘bamboo’	
cùá ⁿ	‘borassus palm fruit’	Bi kṹā ⁿ
fùó	‘fish (any)’	
pùó	‘misery’	
sùó ⁿ	‘shea-tree (karité)’	
yùó	‘stinging caterpillar’	

wúú ‘death’ is best analysed as diphthongal, parallel to Pfv wṹō ‘died’.

4.1.1.4 Cvy and Cvw stems

Noun stems consisting of Cv plus a terminal semivowel are very rare and unstable. In (196a), the final semivowel is absent in two out of four dialects. Similar variation occurs with the numeral dḗⁿʔé(y)ⁿ ‘one’. In (196b), on the other hand, final wⁿ occurs in Bi dialect as a reduced variant of ṇù ~ ṇ^w.

(196) singular plural dialect

a. ‘pointed object (needle, arrow, spear)’

sḗy ⁿ	sḗ-ré ⁿ	Fl Ji
sḗ ⁿ	"	Bi Ma

b. ‘lungfish’

jánù	jánḗ-rù [jánḗrù]	Ji
jánù	—	Fl
jám ^w	jámù-ní	Ma
jáv ⁿ	—	Bi

4.1.1.5 Cərv noun stems

Stems of the shape Cərv have a brief schwa. In their usual pronunciation they can be described as sesquisyllabic, i.e. as having one and one-half syllables (§3.1.1.7). Some examples are in (197). They show the same H, M, L, and LH tone patterns that are common with monosyllabics. We know of no HL-toned stems of this shape.

(197) Cərv noun stems

a. H-toned

jə́rɪ̃ ⁿ	‘devil, genie’
mə́rɑ́ ⁿ	‘plastic’
tə́rɔ́ ⁿ	‘iron, metal’

b. M-toned

cə̀rɔ̀	‘fly (n)’
gə̀rē	‘long-tailed fieldmouse’
yə̀rɔ̀	‘giraffe’

c. L-toned

sə̀rù ⁿ	‘nére tree (<i>Parkia</i>)’
tə̀rà ⁿ	‘totem, taboo’
wə̀rò	‘kola nut’

d. LH-toned

bə̀rɔ̀	‘earth, soil’
fə̀rú	‘sweat (n)’
jə̀ré	‘musical griot’
ɲə̀rá [ɲə̀rǎ]	‘balaphone’

As mentioned elsewhere, we were unable to elicit morphological plurals from certain noun stems. Sometimes our speakers denied that a plural existed, sometimes they produced a plural using the most productive plural morphology (rhotic syllable) with evident reluctance. This leads us to suspect that some of the Cərv nouns that currently function as singulars or as collectives might have originated as rhotic plurals that eventually generalized. This is especially the case with nouns that do not have much use for a singular/plural distinction, including many natural species terms (trees, insects). For example, the important shea-tree caterpillar (Fr *ver de karité*) *Cirina butyrospermi* is widely known as sə̀rɔ̀ʔɔ̀. This has the form of a plural, implying a glottalic singular sə̀ʔɔ̀. We were able to elicit sə̀ʔɔ̀ from our Ji speaker, but our Fl and Ma speakers denied it. Likewise, the important baobab tree is called sə̀rɔ̀ʔò by all speakers. It too looks like a frozen plural, and in this case no singular could be elicited.

In a few cases, a Cərv noun has a distinct vowel quality rather than schwa in the first syllable (hḕrē ‘peace, well-being’; jù̀rá ‘herb sp. with edible tuber’). Most such cases are loanwords. We treat them as ordinary CvCv stems (§4.1.1.7) rather than combine them with Cərv.

4.1.1.6 Cvʔv noun stems

Nouns (like other stems) often take the form Cvʔv. While Cvʔv stems have greater duration than Cv stems, phonotactic evidence (nasalization, constraints on sequences of vocalic segments before and after the glottal peak) suggests that Cvʔv functions as a single (sesqui-)syllable, parallel to diphthongal Civ and Cuv (§3.1.1.4).

There are over one hundred nouns consisting of Cvʔv (not counting longer stems like CvCvʔv that end in that sequence). A sample of monophthongal (as opposed to diphthongal) stems of this type is in (198). Dialectally, H-toned Cʔʔ́ is modified to Fl Cʔ́ʔ́ or to Ma Cʔ́ʔ́ in basilectal pronunciation (§3.6.1.5), and the same two dialects position the glottal peak late in diphthongal stems (e.g. cīēʔ́ē instead of cīʔ́ē). The transcriptions in this section represent pronunciations from Ji and Bi dialects.

(198) Monophthongal Cvʔv noun stems (sample)

a. H-toned Cʔ́ʔ́

dáʔ́á	‘time’
bé ⁿ ʔ́é ⁿ	‘tomtom’
dáʔ́á	‘time’
ɲóʔ́ó	‘thirst’
jóʔ́ó	‘fieldmouse sp.’
fúʔ́ú (Ji)	‘hot weather’

b. M-toned Cʔ́ʔ́̃

gbī ⁿ ʔ́ī ⁿ	‘peanuts’
kō ⁿ ʔ́ō ⁿ	‘borassus palm’ various dialects
tēʔ́ē	‘shrub sp. (<i>Annona</i>)’

c. L-toned Cʔ́ʔ́̀

fèʔ́è	‘moon, month’
làʔ́à	‘hunger’
kòʔ́ò	‘blacksmith’
kòʔ́ò (Ji)	‘wood-eating termite sp.’
dùʔ́ù	‘mountain, cliff’

d. <LH>-toned Cʔ́ʔ́́

lìʔ́í	‘horn (animal)’
cèʔ́é	‘skin’
bèʔ́é	‘broom’
dà ⁿ ʔ́á ⁿ	‘fire’
pòʔ́ó	‘the bush (outback)’
gbò ⁿ ʔ́ó ⁿ	‘tree sp. (<i>Pterocarpus</i>)’
cù ⁿ ʔ́ú ⁿ	‘morning’

Examples of diphthongal vowel sequences are in (199). Again, the tones shown are valid for Ji and Bi, while Fl and Ma lower the tone (or pitch) of the preglottalic vowel segment.

(199) Diphthongal Cvʔv noun stems (sample)

a. H-toned

júʔá	‘scraper’	
níʔé	‘sand’	Bi ɲé ⁿ ʔé ⁿ
yíʔé	‘walk (n), trip’	Bi yéʔé

b. M-toned

bū ⁿ ʔɔ̃ ⁿ	‘dog’
cīʔē	‘basket’
dī ⁿ ʔɔ̃ ⁿ	‘firewood’

c. L-toned

ɲìʔð	‘lie (n)’
------	-----------

d. LH-toned

ɲùʔɔ̃	‘mouth’ or ‘wind (n)’
-------	-----------------------

For these glottalized diphthongal stems, our Bi and Ji speakers locate the glottal stop more or less at the vowel-quality break, as suggested by transcriptions like ɲìʔð in (199). Our Fl and Ma speakers delay the glottal stop so that it bifurcates the second vocalic segment, as in ɲìðʔð. If there is a tone break, it too occurs at the glottal stop. Thus ɲùʔɔ̃ ‘mouth’ and its homonym ‘wind (n)’ are ɲùʔɔ̃ in Ji, ɲùⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ in Bi, but as ɲùðʔɔ̃ in Fl (the vowels are phonetically nasalized in all dialects).

For monosyllabic noun stems, we have seen that Cv and Clv behave similarly; the expansion of the syllabic onset has no effect on the range of vocalic segments and tones that follow it (§4.1.1.1-2). Similarly, Clvʔv noun stems occur in addition to Cvʔv. All Clvʔv nouns in our data are monophthongal (200). This indicates that l occupies the same structural position in the syllabic onset as u or i in diphthongal stems.

(200) Clvʔv noun stems (all known examples)

a. monophthongal

blāʔā	‘pond’
klú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ	‘navel’
blèʔè ~ bliʔi	‘zaban (liana and fruit)’
gblàʔà	‘flank’
plðʔð	‘belly’

b. diphthongal

[none]

4.1.1.7 Bisyllabic noun stems (CvCv etc.)

If glottalic Cvʔv and Clvʔv, along with rhotic Cərv, are excluded on the grounds that they pattern as mono- or sesquisyllabic, there remain close to one hundred nonglottalic bisyllabic noun stems. Such stems consist of two syllables (each of which is Cv, Clv, diphthongal, or rarely long-voweled Cvv), with CvCv stems being prototypical. We include Cvr̩v stems with non-schwa vowel before r, typically in borrowings. There are also about seventy-five glottalic stems with shapes like CvCvʔv which we can loosely describe as bisyllabic (or two-and-a-half syllabic).

All of these bisyllabics differ from the mono- and sesquisyllabic stems (Cv, Clv, Cvʔv, Cərv) in having a “real” consonant or cluster in medial position. The attested medial consonants and clusters are exemplified in (201). There appear to be no significant constraints on which single consonants may occur.

(201)	medial C	noun	gloss
a.	p	kápíó	‘white-toothed shrew (<i>Crocidura</i>)’
	t	bítíó	‘nape’
	c	kàcù	‘red sorghum’
	k	bàkùḍ	‘tortoise’
	kp	bàkpìʔí	‘pauper’
b.	b	sòbɛ́	‘candor’
	d	ládɔ́ ⁿ	‘mistletoe’ (Loranthaceae)
	g	sègè	‘bamboo basket’
	j	blèjḍ	‘Jula person’
	gb	nàgbá ⁿ	‘whip (n)’
c.	f	júfá	‘pocket’
	s	tásá	‘eating bowl’
	ʎ	ɲḍʎḍ	‘equal, peer’
d.	m	sómé	‘marrow’
	n	ɲánḍ	‘friend’
	ŋ	fāŋà	‘power’
	ɲ	bòɲá	‘gift, reward’
	ŋm	sùŋmèʔè	‘stone’
e.	l	là ⁿ lí	‘upside-down catfish (<i>Schilbe</i>)’
	r	kàrḍ ⁿ	‘well (n)’ (Fl, elsewhere kḍlḍ ⁿ)
	w	dà ⁿ wú ⁿ	‘baboon spider (<i>Stromatopelma</i>)’
	y	kàyó	‘freshwater crab (<i>Potamonautes</i>)’
f.	pl	tì-tàpló	‘grasshopper (any)’
	kl	náklḍ	‘rice (crop)’

bl	ɲàblú ⁿ	‘tree sp (<i>Sarcocephalus</i>)’
gl	ʃíglòʔò	‘spotted hyena’

There is nothing atypical about the tonal possibilities of these stems. The usual mono- and bitonal patterns are well-attested (202a-b). We know of only two tritonal stems (both HLH), one of which is CvCvʔv. The infrequency of tritonal bisyllabics is expectable given the brevity of the stems.

(202)	tone(s)	noun	gloss	
a.	H	gbéné	‘cassava’	
	M	hērē	‘peace, well-being’	
	L	wònì	‘marsh cane rat, agouti’	Bi wòní
b.	LH	támá	‘spear (n)’	
	HL	jájù	‘lungfish’ (Fl Ji)	
	ML	kēmè	‘man, fellow’	
c.	LHL	[none]	—	
	HLH	sóklǒ	‘viper (<i>Echis</i>)’ (Bi)	
		ná ⁿ gbò ⁿ ʔó ⁿ	‘sandgrouse’ (Bi)	

CvCvʔv stems with LH tone pattern may show the tone break at the leftmost syllable boundary (203a) or in the middle of the glottalic (sesqui-)syllable (203b).

(203)	a. L.H.H		
		kàtǒʔó	‘tick’
		pànúʔú	‘tail’
		tàkpóʔó	‘tree sp. (<i>Terminalia</i>)’
		tùkéré	‘boundary’
		tùpé ⁿ ʔé ⁿ	‘necked gourd’
	b. L.L.H		
		kàɲù ⁿ ʔú ⁿ	‘palm-frond strips’ (Bi)
		sàmèʔé	‘mongoose; genet’ (Bi sà ⁿ bèʔé)
		dàràʔá	‘tale’

The distinction between L.H.H CvCvʔv and L.L.H CvCvʔv is compromised in Fl and especially Ma by lowering of H-tones in glottalic sesquisyllables (§3.6.1.5).

4.1.1.8 Trisyllabic and longer noun stems

Here we exclude glottalic CvCvʔv but include rhotic CvCərv. There are numerous trisyllabic and longer nouns by these criteria, including many transparent compounds and reduplications where at least one element is recognizable. These are treated in chapter 5. Here we focus on

trisyllabics that are not obviously either composite or reduplicative, though some undoubtedly originated as compounds. In addition, some examples below have likely been borrowed from Jula trisyllabics or Jula compounds.

(204) illustrates level-toned nouns. There are no clearly noncomposite M-toned stems.

(204) Level-toned CvCvCv

a. H-toned

báráká	‘being blessed (n)’	< Arabic
bítóró	‘leper’	
kápórá [kápórá]	‘courtyard wall’	
yágbóyá	‘jaw’	

b. M-toned

ā-wā ⁿ ʔā ⁿ	‘head covering’
-----------------------------------	-----------------

c. L-toned

kòròpò	‘violet turaco (bird)’
tùpèrè ⁿ	‘fear (n)’
nàgàsè ⁿ	‘catfish sp. (<i>Brachysynodontis?</i>)’

At the other extreme, there are a a number of tritonal CvCvCv stems (205).

(205) tritonal CvCvCv noun stems

a. LHL

nùgbàsè ⁿ	‘grasshopper sp. (<i>Acrida</i>)’ (F1)
tùplópà ⁿ ~ tùplípà ⁿ	‘patas monkey’

b. HLM

má ⁿ gèrō	‘mango’
----------------------	---------

c. HLH

díkòmé ⁿ	‘bush gecko sp.’ (Bi)
kótòkló ~ kótìkó	‘Vieillot’s barbet (bird)’
sá ⁿ cèré	‘woodland kingfisher’

With bitonal CvCvCv stems, the location of the tone break is not predictable, i.e., there is no all-purpose mechanical autosegmental association rule. (206) shows that L.H.H and L.L.H are both possible, though the latter predominates.

(206) Unglottalized trisyllabic noun stems

a. L.H.H

jàjúná	‘tree sp. (<i>Combretum</i>)’
lèfáyá	‘bush sp. (<i>Excoecaria</i>)’

b. L.L.H

bàràkó	‘gas drum (rollable)’	Fr <i>barique</i>
bèríkí	‘mud brick’	Fr <i>brique</i>
dùgùlé	‘melons with edible seeds’	
gbèrèkà	‘calabash cover’	
kàrà ⁿ gbá ⁿ	‘body louse’	
kàràkó	‘white-barked acacia sp.’	
làràyó	‘joking relative’	
mèrèké	‘angel’	
nàsàrá	‘white person’	

4.1.1.9 Nouns with initial reduplication

Quite a few nouns are reduplicative in form. Generally there is no unreduplicated counterpart. In other words, reduplication is not a derivational process in these stems.

In (207) a monosyllabic sequence is repeated, in some cases with tonal and/or vocalic modifications. The issue of whether this is full duplication, or just initial-syllable reduplication (both of which are described below), is moot for these nouns.

(207) noun gloss

a. Cv-Cv

identical segments

bú-bú	‘shout (n)’	
fé-fé ~ fí-fí	‘peak, top’	
gbè-gbè ~ gbì-gbì	‘chest (body)’	
kā-kā	‘meat’ (less common variant of kà?á)	
nó-nó	‘milk’	< Jula

tonal divergence only (L-H)

cì-cí	‘urine’	cf. verb cī
fí ⁿ -fí ⁿ	‘charcoal’	
kà ⁿ -kó ⁿ	‘herb sp. (<i>Tephrosia</i>)’	
kù-kú	‘basket for clothing’	
kū-kū	‘ground beetle’	
mà-má	‘grandmother’	
tò-tó	‘giant pouched rat (<i>Cricetomys</i>)’	< Jula
zà ⁿ -zó ⁿ (F1)	‘freshwater shrimp (<i>Atya</i>)’	Bi Ji sà ⁿ -zó ⁿ

tonal divergence only (M-L)

yā-yà	‘co-wife’	
-------	-----------	--

vocalic and tonal change change

pà ⁿ -pí ⁿ	‘wild roselle’	
----------------------------------	----------------	--

b. Clv-Clv

identical segments

klò-klò ‘thorny liana sp. (*Erythrina*)’

tonal divergence only (L-LH)

klò-klǒ ‘pied crow’

glúⁿ-glǔⁿ ‘hedgehog’

c. diphthongal

identical segments

kùó-kùó ‘snake sp.’

mlóⁿ-mlóⁿ ‘ant(s)’ and variants apply plural denasalization (§4.1.2.3.1) to both segments, e.g. mló-mló. See §4.1.4.4 for these ‘ant(s)’ terms.

In (208), a bi- or sesquisyllabic sequence is repeated, with or without tonal or vocalic modifications. This pattern is popular with natural species terms, not just songbird names that might be onomatopoeic.

(208)	noun	gloss	
	a. bisyllabic components		
	<i>tones identical</i>		
	tègè ⁿ -tègè ⁿ	‘wattled lapwing’	Ji tègè-tègè
	b. Cərv components		
	<i>tones identical</i>		
	kórá ⁿ -kórá ⁿ	‘courtyard wall’	(Bi)
	<i>tone shift (H-L)</i>		
	bárí ⁿ -bàrí ⁿ	‘bug spp. on crops’	(Fl)
	<i>tone shift (L-H)</i>		
	fàrò-fáó	‘herb sp. (<i>Physalis</i>)’	
	c. glottalic Cvʔv components		
	<i>tones identical</i>		
	wìʔé-wìʔé	‘brown babbler’	
	d. vocalic shift in final syllable		
	ʃí ⁿ ʔí ⁿ -ʃí ⁿ ʔé ⁿ	‘broad-leafed fig spp.’	Bi ʃí-ʃé ⁿ
	e. vocalic shift at component break		
	kòkò-kíkí	‘spotted catfish (<i>Synodontis</i>)’	

In kòkò-kíkí (208e), each bisyllabic segment is itself internally reduplicated in the fashion of (207) above. ʃíⁿʔíⁿ-ʃíⁿʔéⁿ (208d) may be connected with noun ʃíⁿʔíⁿ ‘tree’ and/or various forms of ‘red’ such as ʃìèⁿ (§4.5.3.1.1).

Another common pattern is for just the initial syllable of a base longer than Cv to be reduplicated. In (209a), the initial copies the first syllable of the following segment. Minor

variations on this are in (209b-c). In (209d), the reduplicative syllable is Ci- with prespecified vowel i.

(209)	noun	gloss	comment
a. initial Cv-			
Cv-CvCv			
	pò ⁿ -pòní	‘glue’	
	pù ⁿ -pùrí	‘nightjar (bird)’	
Cv-Cvʎv			
	cé ⁿ -cē ⁿ ʎē ⁿ	‘sth crunchy’	
	cò ⁿ -cō ⁿ ʎō ⁿ	‘hourglass-shaped drum’	
	fò ⁿ -fóʎó	‘chaff’	
	fù ⁿ -fùʎò	‘foam; lung’	
	gbá ⁿ -gbà ⁿ ʎá ⁿ	‘lion’	
	kè ⁿ -kèʎè	‘wall of house’	
	kó ⁿ -kóʎó	‘palm-frond basket’	
	lō ⁿ -lòʎò	‘herb sp. (<i>Striga</i>)’	
	ná ⁿ -náʎá	‘tiny thing’	
	ɲó ⁿ -ɲóʎó	‘sourness’	
	ʃō ⁿ -ʃōʎō	‘cave bat’	Bi ʃó-ʃîó
	pú ⁿ -pùʎò	‘kneading stick’	púʎó ‘stick’
	tá ⁿ -tàʎà (1)	‘open space in courtyard’	
	tá ⁿ -tàʎà (2)	‘tree sp. (<i>Burkea</i>)’	
	wà ⁿ -wàʎà	‘tree sp. (<i>Lannea</i>)’	
Cv-Cərv			
	có ⁿ -cə̀rò ~ có ⁿ -cə̀ròʎó	‘bulbul (bird)’	
	gbú ⁿ -gbə̀rú ⁿ	‘hedgehog’	Ma
b. with Cl cluster			
Clv-Clvʎv			
	blò ⁿ -blòʎò	‘skink lizard’	Bi blò-blò
	mlé ⁿ -mlē ⁿ ʎē ⁿ	‘slick surface’	
Cv-Clvʎv			
	kpá ⁿ -kpláʎá	‘raffia palm; bamboo’	
	kù ⁿ -klùʎú	‘couscous’	

In other nouns, the reduplicated segment is Ci- with nonlexical i differing from the first vowel of the base.

(210)	noun	gloss	comment
b. initial Ci-			
Ci-Cv			
	cì ⁿ -có	‘agama lizard’	Ji cù-có
	tì ⁿ -tó	‘yam’	Ji tù-tó

<i>Ci-Cuv</i>		
jī-jùð	‘stool’	Ji jū-jùð
<i>Ci-Cvɔv</i>		
cī-cùʔð ~ cī-cùð	‘young man’	Ji cū-cùʔð
ʃí-ʃè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	‘chili pepper’	
ʃī-ʃé ⁿ ʔé	‘saliva’	
tī-tàʔà	‘shoe’	
<i>Ci-CvClv</i>		
tì-tàpló	‘grasshopper’	Ji tè-tèpló

If the base already begins in Ci, there is no way to tell whether the reduplicated element is Cv- or Ci-. This may also apply to bases beginning in Cə, where schwa could be interpreted as reduced from /i/.

(211)	noun	gloss	comment
	a. base begins in Ci		
	cì-cíʔí	‘intelligence’	see (214d)
	pí ⁿ -pìð	‘pair of twins’	Bi píó-pìð
	b. base begins in Cə		
	sì-sèràʔà	‘pile of earth’	
	tī-tārā ⁿ	‘truth’	see (212c)

Some initially reduplicated nouns have dialectally variable forms. In (212), Ji dialect has Ce- where other dialects have Ci-.

(212)	noun	gloss
	a. ‘earthenware waterjar or pot’	
	tè-tèʔè	Bi Ji
	tì-tèʔè	F1 Ma
	b. ‘shoe’	
	tē-tàʔà	Ji
	tī-tàʔà	Bi Ma
	ʃī-tàʔà	F1
	c. ‘truth’	
	tē-tārā ⁿ	Ji
	tī-tārā ⁿ	Bi F1

In (213), some variants are clearly reduplicative while others are not.

(213)	noun	gloss
	a. ‘stomach’	
	cí-cúʔó	Bi Ji(var)
	sí-cúʔó	Ji(var)
	ʃí-cùòʔó	Ma
	ʃí-cūōʔó	Fl
	b. ‘tree sp. (<i>Bridelia</i>)’	
	[kà ⁿ -kà ⁿ]-dí	Ji(var)
	kèkà ⁿ dí	Ji(var)
	kēkà ⁿ dí	Fl
	kēkà ⁿ í ⁿ ʔí ⁿ	Bi

Other dialectally variable initial reduplications are in (214).

(214)	noun	gloss
	a. ‘green pigeon’	
	bùs-bùʔó	Bi
	bùs-bùðʔó	Ma
	bó-bðʔð	Ji(var)
	bó-bðʔó	Ji(var)
	bó-bùðʔð	Fl
	b. ‘cockroach’	
	jù-júʔó	Ji
	jù-jùʔú	Bi
	jùò-jūʔó	Fl
	jùò-jùʔó	Ma
	c. ‘spider’s web’ (Bi synonym bó-bùʔù)	
	dà ⁿ -dàrà	Ji
	dàrà ⁿ -dārā ⁿ	Fl
	d. ‘intelligence’	
	cì-cíʔí	Ji
	cì-céʔé	Bi
	cè-cīʔé	Fl
	cè-cīʔé	Ma
	e. ‘gnat’	
	jō-jō	Bi
	jò-jíʔó	Ji
	jò-jīʔó	Fl
	jò-jùʔó	Ma

4.1.1.10 Nouns with apparent final reduplication

Final reduplication is not well-established as a legitimate pattern. We tentatively recognize it in (215).

(215)	kóti-kó (F1 Ji)	‘Vieillot’s barbet (bird)’	Bi kótòkló
	pòtò-pòʔó (F1)	‘tree sp. (<i>Ekebergia</i>)’	Ji pìti-púʔó

When the final two syllables are identical, we suggest a compound-like structure. This is clear when the preceding string is recognizable, less clear otherwise. See (217a) below.

4.1.1.11 Compound-like nouns including a reduplicative component

In addition to the cases presented above, there are many others where a reduplicative stem functions as compound initial or final. We include them here rather than in chapter 5 since in many cases neither the initial nor the final is recognizable.

Reduplicative initials occur in (216). If the final is recognizable, its gloss is in the rightmost column.

(216)	noun	gloss	final
a.	[Cv-Cv(v)]-X		
	[Cv-Cv]-X		
	[gbó-gbó]-nàʔà	‘snail’	
	[kú-kú]-klǒ	‘songbird sp.’	
	[kpè-kpè]-sàròʔò	‘tree sp. (<i>Grewia</i>)’	‘baobab tree’
	[kpè-kpé]-yùdò	‘winged termite’	
	[lé-lé]-èʔè	‘sweet-tasting thing’	participle
	[lé-lè]-cið ⁿ (Bi)	‘swift or swallow’	‘bird’
	[lī-lī]-èʔè	‘gold, shiny metal’	participle
	[pó-pò]-lí	‘sandgrouse’	
	[sà ⁿ -sá ⁿ]-bàrà	‘dermatosis, skin disease’	
	[ʃō-ʃō]-mòʔò	‘prickly vine (<i>Asparagus</i>)’	
	[tá ⁿ -tá ⁿ]-(-má-)-dàrú ⁿ	‘pygmy mouse’	‘mouse’
	[tí-tí]-kàgò ~ [tí-tí]-[gò-gò]	‘dragonfly’	
	[Ci-Cv]-X		
	[cð ⁿ -cɔ̃ ⁿ (ʔɔ̃ ⁿ)]-blù ⁿ	‘griot (caste)’	
b.	[Clv-Clv]-X		
	[gblē-gblē]-kàʔà	‘mussel shell’	participle
c.	[Cv-CvCv]-X		
	[Cv-CvCv]-X (<i>nonglottalic</i>)		
	[tū-tārā ⁿ]-nò	‘neighbor’ (Ji)	‘person’
	[bà-bàrí]-sè ⁿ	‘tigerfish’	‘red’

Reduplicative finals are in (217).

(217)	noun	gloss	comment
a. X-[Cv-Cv]			
	bù-[kú ⁿ -kú ⁿ]	‘tinea, athlete’s foot’	
	cìð ⁿ -[pí ⁿ -pí ⁿ]	‘camaroptera (bird)’ (Bi)	‘bird-...’
	dìmé ⁿ -[kù ⁿ -kù ⁿ]	‘ant-lion larva’ (Bi)	
	gbà-[fú ⁿ -fũ ⁿ]	‘cetoid beetle sp.’	
	kà-[sò ⁿ -só ⁿ]	‘mud-dauber wasp’	Bi kà-[sì-só ⁿ]
b. X-[Cv-Cvʔv] and X-[Clv-Clvʔv]			
	blāʔā-[mlè ⁿ -mlè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ]	‘aquatic snake (<i>Grayia</i>)’	‘pond-...’
	ʃí ⁿ ʔí ⁿ -[fò-fóʔó]	‘tree sp. (<i>Stereospermum</i>)’	‘tree’ plus ‘chaff’
	tē-[tè-tèʔè]	‘tea kettle’	‘tea-jar’
c. X-[CvCv-CvCv]			
	dā ⁿ ʔā ⁿ -[bàrì-bàrì]	‘flame’	‘fire-...’ (dā ⁿ ʔā ⁿ)
	mlà ⁿ sé ⁿ -[dàrò ⁿ -dóró ⁿ]	‘water scorpion (bug)’ (Bi)	

Some of the forms in (217a) might alternatively be analyzed as final -Cv reduplications, e.g. bükúⁿ-kúⁿ instead of bù-[kúⁿ-kúⁿ]. This is not the case when the initial is independently recognizable, like ‘bird-’ in cìðⁿ-[píⁿ-píⁿ].

4.1.2 Plural forms of nouns

The primary forms of plural morphology of nouns are a) suffixation or infixation of a rhotic syllable, b) denasalization of ɔⁿ to o (in one case, of εⁿ to e), and c) suffixation of -ní. There are also a few suppletive or otherwise irregular plurals. For quite a few nouns, either no plural was elicitable or we were only able to elicit a plural with difficulty from one or two speakers.

4.1.2.1 Nouns with rhotic plural -rv

Singulars that already end in ...rv generally avoid the rhotic plural described below, which would result in the articulatorily awkward sequence ...rə-rv. Speakers either avoid pluralization, or shift to the default with suffix -ní. For example, təràⁿ ‘totem’ is usually pluralized as tərà⁽ⁿ⁾-ní (Bi Ji). However, in this case our F1 speaker did venture a rhotic plural, realized as tər-ràⁿ with no discernible schwa—a rare geminated rr. Some ...rv singular nouns are frozen plurals now used without regard to number, cf. §4.1.2.1.5 and §4.1.2.7 below.

4.1.2.1.1 Regular rhotic plural with nonglottalic nouns

The most productive nominal (and adjectival) plural is a rhotic syllable that we represent as -rv, where v is a short vowel whose quality features are copied from the corresponding vowel of the singular. For the minority of cases where the vowel shifts to front unrounded in the plural, see the following section.

The rhotic plural is especially common with two phonologically defined types of singular noun (218). However, it also occurs with some nonglottalic stems of two or more syllables.

- (218) a. monosyllabic stems (Cv, etc.)
 b. glottalic stems (Cvʔv, CvCvʔv, etc.)

The rhotic syllable is suffixed to nonglottalic monosyllabic noun stems. In the glottalic stems, it either replaces the ʔv segment or is infixes before this segment, depending on the dialect. In both of these stem types, the rhotic usually lenites the preceding vowel to schwa. Except as indicated below, rhotic plurals preserve the tone pattern of the singular. Here we discuss the nonglottalic monosyllabics first, then turn to the glottalics.

Most Cv and similar monosyllabics have rhotic plurals; some animates either suffix -o/-ɔ or mutate the final vowel to express plurality (§4.1.2.3-4). They have the same plurals when functioning as compound finals.

We start with two examples of rhotic plural.

(219)	singular	plural	gloss
	a. dé	dǎ-ré	‘body’
	b. cǎ ⁿ	cǎ-rǎ ⁿ	‘sycamore fig tree’

In both of these, the plural takes the form -rv, copying the vowel quality including nasalization of the singular. Due to the r, the initial vowel is reduced to schwa, which does not allow audible nasalization. One might alternatively analyse the plurals as infixes /d-r-é/ and /c-r-ǎⁿ/, which would then entail a Schwa-Epenthesis process (§3.4.1.2-3), followed by a redistribution of the components of contour tones.

(220) presents the known examples of Cv singulars with rhotic plurals. A few humans and other animates are scattered among the majority of inanimates.

(220) Cv nouns with rhotic plural

	singular	plural	gloss	comment
a. H-toned				
<i>unnasalized</i>				
	dé	dǎ-ré	‘body’	
	dó	dǎ-ró	‘possession, share’	
	fé	fǎ-ré	‘language’	
	kí	kǎ-rí	‘side’	

kó	ká-ró	‘tree sp. (<i>Anogeissus</i>)’	
kpó	kpá-ró	‘liana sp. (<i>Landolphia</i>)’	
ɲó	ɲá-ró [ɲáɾɔ̃]	‘heart, courage’	Bi singular ɲó ⁿ
sé	sá-ré	‘head cushion’	
só	sá-ró	‘mortar (for pounding)’	
sú	sá-rú	‘house mouse’ (Ji)	Pl elsewhere sú-ó
wú	wá-rú	‘duiker (mammal)’	Ji wǔ
<i>nasalized</i>			
cɔ̃ ⁿ	cà-ró ⁿ	‘sycamore fig tree’	
sũ ⁿ	sà-rú ⁿ	‘medication’	
wɛ̃ ⁿ	wá-ré ⁿ	‘egg’	
wó ⁿ	wá-ró ⁿ	‘tree sp. (<i>Afzelia</i>)’ (Bi)	
ú ⁿ ~ wú ⁿ	ú ⁿ -rú ⁿ ~ wá-rú ⁿ	‘village’	
b. M-toned			
<i>nasalized</i>			
bɔ̃ ⁿ	bā-rɔ̃ ⁿ	‘granary’	Ji
"	bā-rō	"	Bi
<i>unnasalized</i>			
gō	gā-rō	‘falcon’	
kō	kā-rō	‘day’	
lē	lā-rē	‘village, homestead’	
pō	pā-rō	‘ladle’	
sō	sā-rō	‘tomb’	
c. M-toned, becoming LH-toned in plural			
<i>nasalized or Nv</i>			
nū	nà-rú [nàɾú]	‘oil, butter’	
ɲū	ɲà-rú [ɲàɾú]	‘water, liquid’	
d. L-toned			
<i>unnasalized</i>			
dè	dà-rè	‘field’	
kpò	kpà-rò	‘granivorous birds’	
lì	là-rì	‘gecko lizard’	
mè [mɛ̃]	mà-rè [màɾɛ̃]	‘okra’	oral [ɛ̃] even in Bi
sò	sà-rò	‘horse’	
wò	wà-rò	‘antelope’	
<i>nasalized</i>			
dɔ̃ ⁿ	dà-rò	‘slave’	Bi Ji (rhotic plus ɔ̃ ⁿ →o)
fɛ̃ ⁿ	fà-rè ⁿ	‘sparrowhawk’	
e. <LH>-toned			
<i>unnasalized</i>			
bɔ̃ (1)	bà-ró	‘elephant’	
bɔ̃ (2)	bà-ró	‘caïlcédrat tree (<i>Khaya</i>)’	

cǒ	cə-ró	‘fromager tree (<i>Ceiba</i>)’	
dǒ	də-ró	‘man, husband’	
jǒ	jə-ró	‘fetish, animist idol’	
kě	kə-ré	‘matter, thing (abstract)’	
kě ⁿ	kə-ré ⁿ	‘pal’	~ kə-ré ⁿ -ní ~ kə-rè ⁿ -ní
ú ⁿ -kǒ	ú ⁿ -kə-ró	‘head louse’	Bi
ńǐ	ńə-rí [ńərí]	‘breast’	(Bi ńǐ ⁿ)
jǒ	jə-ró	‘fetish, animist idol’	
sǒ	sə-ró	‘pig’	
ǔ ⁿ ~ wǔ ⁿ	ù-rú ⁿ ~ wə-rú ⁿ	‘rope’	
yǒ	yə-ró	‘woman’	
yǔ	yə-rú	‘grass frog sp.’	Bi (Ji yúó)
<i>nasalized</i>			
cǒ ⁿ	cə-ró ⁿ	‘sycamore fig tree’	
sǔ ⁿ	sə-rú ⁿ	‘medication’	

A few Clv and diphthongal monosyllabics have rhotic plurals (221).

(221)	singular	plural	gloss	comment
a. Clv				
	glò	glə-rò	‘aardvark’	
b. diphthongal Cuv, Civ				
	fùs	fə-ró	‘fish (any)’	
	ńíé	ńó-ré [ńóré ⁿ]	‘ring (jewel)’ (Fl)	
	ú ⁿ ?ú ⁿ -jíé ⁿ	[wə-rú ⁿ]-sə-ré ⁿ	‘image’	

Rhotic plurals also occur with some uncompounded nonglottalic stems of two or more syllables. One cluster is nouns whose singulars end in diphthongal ...Cuv or ...Civ. The ...Cuv stems are readily pluralized with ...Cə-rv (222a). The plurals of the ...Civ singulars are variable (222b) and likely unstable; we note that plurals of ‘nape’ and ‘anus’ are rare in everyday speech.

(222)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
a. singular ...Cuv				
	jī-jùd	jī-jə-rò	‘stool’	Fl Ma
	jū-jùd	jū-jə-rò	''	Ji
	bàkùd	bàkə-rò	‘tortoise’	
	sàwùó	sàwə-ró	‘cat’	
b. singular ...Civ				
	bítíó	bítíó-ró	‘nape’	Fl
	''	bítí-ró	''	Ma
	''	bító-ró	''	Ji

pàtið	pàtið-rð	‘anus’	Fl
"	pàti-rð	"	Ji

Other apparently uncompounded nonglottalic singulars of two or more syllables that take rhotic plurals are in (223). In some cases the plural is attested for only one dialect (noted in the right-hand column).

(223)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
a. CvCv stems				
	blèjð	blèjð-rð	‘Jula person’	
	bòjá	bòjá-rá [bòjárá]	‘gift, reward’	
	cðfð	cðfð-ró	‘Tiefó person’	
	dà ⁿ gó	dà ⁿ gó-ró	‘blanket’	
	dà ⁿ wú ⁿ	dà ⁿ wó-rú ⁿ	‘featherleg baboon spider’	Ji
	dòsó	dòsó-ró	‘hunter (caste)’	
	gbátá	gbátá-rá	‘shed, covered shelter’	
	gbésé	gbésé-ré	‘chewstick’	
	kàyó	kàyó-ró	‘freshwater crab’	
	jáŋù	jáŋð-rù	‘lungfish’	Ji
	là ⁿ lí ⁿ	là ⁿ ló-rí ⁿ	‘tree sp. (<i>Diospyros</i>)’	Bi
	ɲàgbá ⁿ	ɲàgbá-rá ⁿ	‘whip (n)’	Fl
	sāyð	sāyð-rð	‘small hatchet’	Bi
	sāwð	sāwð-rð	“	Fl
	tásá	tásá-rá	‘eating bowl’	Fl
b. reduplicated Cv-Cv				
	tì-tó	tì-tó-ró	‘yam’	
c. compounds				
	kà-[sð ⁿ -só ⁿ]	kà-[sð ⁿ -só-ró ⁿ]	‘mud-dauber wasp’	
	[tí-tí]-kàgð	[tí-tí]-kàgð-rð	‘dragonfly’	Ji

4.1.2.1.2 Regular rhotic plural with glottalic nouns

The rhotic plural is regular for noun (and adjective) stems of the shape (...)Cvʔv. In Bi and Ji dialects, the r usually replaces the glottal stop. In Fl and Ma, on the other hand, the rhotic syllable is infixed before the ʔv segment. In either case, the vocalic segment before r appears as schwa, which is always non-nasal. A few examples among many are in (224).

(224)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
a.	bácù ⁿ ʔð ⁿ	bácè-rð ⁿ	‘arrow; bow’	Ji
	"	bácè-rð ⁿ -ʔð ⁿ	"	Fl

b.	cì-sóʔó	cì-sá-ró	‘large basket’	Ji
	cì-sōʔó	cì-sā-rō-ʔó	"	Fl
c.	dùʔù	dà-rù	‘mountain’	Ji
	"	dà-rù-ʔù	"	Fl
d.	tìʔé	tà-ré	‘hole, pit’	Bi Ji
	tìèʔé	tà-rè-ʔé	"	Fl Ma

For Fl, the rhotic is rarely placed between two copies of the glottal segment. The tree name *kèʔé* (*Gardenia erubescens*) has plural *kà-ré* (Bi), and for Fl usually *kà-rè-ʔé* following the rules given above. However, in (Fl, 2017-03 @ 01:58) we hear *kèʔè-rè-ʔé*, with no reduction of the singular stem.

The noun ‘tree’ (225a) presents an alternation between singular *ʃi* and plural *sə*, cf. §3.2.1.2. The reduction of *i* to schwa before *r* in the plural appears to pre-empt palatalization of the sibilant. The same alternation occurs in forms of the adjective ‘red’, e.g. postnominal *ʃièⁿ*, plural *sà-rèⁿ* (§4.5.3.1.1). Both ‘house’ and ‘red’ occur frequently in these plural forms. Two reduplicative nouns with initial *ʃ* retain the palatalization when the second *ʃ* precedes schwa in the plural (225b). Alliteration may be a factor here, along with the infrequency of the plurals.

(225)	singular	plural	gloss	comment
a.	ʃi ⁿ ʔi ⁿ	sà-rí ⁿ	‘tree’	pandialectal
b.	ʃí-ʃè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	ʃí-ʃà-rè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	‘chili pepper’	Fl Ma
	ʃî-ʃé ⁿ	ʃî-ʃá-ré ⁿ	‘broad-leaved fig’	Bi

4.1.2.1.3 Replacement of medial singular *l* or *t* with plural *r*

For a few nouns, some speakers replace *l* in the final syllable of the singular with *r* to form the plural, avoiding an awkward *lrvv* sequence. Ji and Bi speakers do this for ‘well (n)’ (226a), a borrowing from Jula. For Fl, the singular already has *r* and no plural was elicitable. Reduction of a pre-rhotic vowel to schwa occurs only in the Bi plurals. ‘Bell (226b) shows a somewhat similar replacement of *l* by *r* in Bi dialect. The singular *gblé* is usually pronounced by the Bi speaker as [gbólé] with a lateral tap.

(226)	singular	plural	dialect	gloss
a.	kòlò ⁿ	kò-rò ⁿ	Ji	‘well (n)’
	kòrò ⁿ	—	Fl	
	kòlò ⁿ	kò-rò ⁿ (-ní)	Bi	
b.	gblé [gbólé]	gbó-ré	Bi	‘bell’
	gblēʔé	gblē-ré	Fl	

c.	póri ⁿ -tá-[kpè-kpléʔé]	póri ⁿ -tá-[kpè-kpó-ré]	Ji	‘dung beetle’
	"	póri ⁿ -tá-[kpè-kpō-rē-ʔé]	Fl	

A similar idiosyncratic consonantal substitution occurs, this time r for t and in dialects other than Ji, in (227a).

(227)	singular	plural	dialect	gloss
a.	bòtó	bò-ró	Bi Fl Ma	‘grain sack’
b.	bòtó	bòtó-ró	Ji	

4.1.2.1.4 Nouns with rhotic plural -rv plus vocalic fronting to e

Several nouns denoting body parts, plus the more or less diminutive pòʔò ‘twig’ (compare púʔó ‘stick’), have rhotic plurals like those illustrated in the preceding section, but with a shift from o or a to e (i.e. fronting). In one case (‘arm’), the fronting occurs only dialectally. The only relevant noun whose singular is nasalized (‘tooth’) both denasalizes and fronts the singular vowel (228c). The nouns in (228) all denote limbs and other appendages. Fronting also occurs with some -ní plurals; there is a weak association of plural-only fronting with bodily protrusions such as limbs (§3.3.9).

(228)	singular	plural	gloss	comment
a.	pó	pó-ré	‘leg’	(various)
b.	òʔó	wò-ró	‘arm’	Ji
	wòʔó	"		Bi
	"	wò-rē-ʔé		Fl
	"	wò-rè-ʔé		Ma
c.	ká ⁿ ʔá ⁿ	ká-ré	‘tooth’	Bi Ji
	kā ⁿ ʔá ⁿ	kā-rē-ʔé		Fl
	kà ⁿ ʔá	kà-rè-ʔé		Ma
d.	gbàʔá	gbà-ré	‘thigh’	(all)
e.	pòʔò	pò-rè	‘twig’	Ji
		pò-rè-ʔè		Fl Ma

4.1.2.1.5 Reanalysis of original rhotic plural as singular

ᵈó (Bi ᵈóⁿ) means ‘heart (emotional center), courage’ (cf. Eng *heart*). It has an elicitable but marginal plural ᵈó-ró [ᵈóʔó]. This plural form has been specialized as a new lexical item ᵈóʔóⁿ ‘liver’, which is treated as singular and is occasionally pluralized as ᵈóʔóⁿ-ní (Fl Ji).

A similar split is seen in bùʔó ‘mud’ (e.g. for construction) and b̀r̀ó ‘(dry) earth (before mixing with water for construction)’. Neither is easily pluralized. There is some possibility that b̀r̀ó may have originated as a plural of bùʔó in spite of the irregular ɔ/o alternation.

‘Néré tree’ (*Parkia biglobosa*) is s̀r̀ùⁿ, plural s̀r̀ùⁿ-ní. Singular (or collective) s̀r̀ùⁿ is another reanalysed rhotic plural. The original singular s̀ùⁿ is rare but attested (Bi).

Likewise, ‘shea-tree caterpillar’ (collected and eaten in large quantities around August) is usually s̀-̀r̀-ʔó. The original singular s̀ʔó is uncommon but attested (Ji). A good reason to avoid the old singular is that s̀ʔó is also the term for ‘oil palm’.

See also bíó ‘fruit’ and related forms (§4.1.4.3).

4.1.2.2 -b̀ compound final with plural -b̀

Terms meaning ‘finger’ and ‘toe’ present, an alternation in the compound final between singular -b̀ and fronted plural -b̀. The latter has a diphthongal variant -b̀, and rhotic variants -b̀-̀r̀ and fronted -b̀-̀r̀. The fronting of u to i is analogous to shifts like ɔ to ɛ described in §4.1.2.1.4, which also have associations with peripheral appendages and with diminutivity (§3.3.9). Not shown in (229) are additional variants involving double pluralization of initial (‘hand’, ‘foot’) and final.

(229)	singular	plural	dialect
a. ‘finger’ (“hand-digit”)			
	[kè-tè]-b̀	[kè-tè]-b̀	Bi
	[kè-tèʔè]-b̀	[kè-tèʔè]-b̀	Fl
		~ [kè-tè-rè]-b̀	Fl
	[kì-tèʔè]-b̀	[kì-tèʔè]-b̀-̀r̀	Ma
	[kè-tèʔè]-b̀	[kè-tèʔè]-b̀-̀r̀	Ji
		~ [kè-tèʔè]-b̀	Ji
b. ‘toe’ (“foot-digit”)			
	p̀è ⁿ -̀ń ⁿ -b̀	p̀è ⁿ -̀ń ⁿ -b̀	Bi
	p̀è ⁿ ʔè ⁿ -̀ń ⁿ -b̀	p̀è ⁿ ʔè ⁿ -̀ń ⁿ -b̀-̀r̀	Fl
	p̀è ⁿ -̀ń ⁿ -b̀	p̀è ⁿ -̀ń ⁿ -b̀-̀r̀	Ma
	p̀è ⁿ -̀ń ⁿ -b̀	p̀è ⁿ -̀ń ⁿ -b̀	Ji

4.1.2.3 Plurals involving final denasalization of vowels

In addition to the cases in §4.1.2.3.1-3 below, a few plurals presented above denasalize the singular vowel in addition to adding the rhotic. This is the case with some dialectal plurals for ‘slave’ (220d) and ‘granary’ (220b). Plurals of ‘tooth’ denasalize and front the vowel (228c).

4.1.2.3.1 Plural by denasalization of ɔⁿ to o

A significant minority of noun stems are pluralized by denasalization of ɔⁿ to o. The majority are animate although some inanimates also do this.

For dialects other than Bi there are only five nasalized vowels versus seven oral vowels, and what we transcribe as ɔⁿ is the nasalized counterpart of both ɔ and o (§3.3.4). One might expect the singular nouns in ɔⁿ to split evenly into those with plural ɔ and those with plural o, on the grounds that denasalization reveals the underlying lexical ATR value. In fact, the plurals nearly always have o, suggesting that this is an old animate plural ending. See, however, ‘sheep’ in (235) below.

Many ɔⁿ/o pairings involve monosyllabic nouns or monosyllabic compound finals. (230) presents examples where the consonant preceding ɔⁿ is not a nasal.

(230)	singular	plural	gloss	comment
a. Cɔ ⁿ to Co				
	dɔ̃ ⁿ	dò	‘slave’	plural also dɛ̀-rò
	lɔ̃ ⁿ	lō	‘chicken’	
	ná-pɔ̃ ⁿ	nó-pó	‘bull’ (“cow-male”)	both parts pluralized
	ná-pɔ̃ ⁿ	nó-pō (Bi)	"	
	nā-fɔ̃ ⁿ	nā-fō ~ nɔ̃-fō	‘visitor, guest’	
b. diphthongal Cvɔ̃ ⁿ to Cvo				
<i>Ciɔ̃ⁿ</i>				
	cīɔ̃ ⁿ	cīō	‘bird (any)’	
	pìɔ̃ ⁿ	pìó	‘caterpillar, larva’	
	tíɔ̃ ⁿ	tíó	‘grey hornbill’ (Bi)	
<i>Cuɔ̃ⁿ</i>				
	súɔ̃ ⁿ (Ji)	súó	‘Guinea worm’	elsewhere Sg súá ⁿ
c. Cɔ̃ ⁿ to Cuo dialectally				
	bá-sɔ̃ ⁿ	bá-sùò	‘ground squirrel’	Ji
	bá ⁿ -sɔ̃ ⁿ	bá-sò	"	Fl
	"	bá-sɛ̀-rò	"	Ma
	"	bó-sò	"	Bi
d. initial and final separately pluralized with ɔ̃ ⁿ to o				
	lɔ̃ ⁿ -pɔ̃ ⁿ	lō-pò	‘rooster’	

For ‘granary’, bō is singular (Bi) or plural (other dialects). The singular-plural relationships is adjusted accordingly.

(231)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
	bō ⁿ	bō	‘granary’	Fl Ma
	"	bō-rō ⁿ	"	Ji
	bō	bō-rō ~ bō-ní	"	Bi

Array (232) presents nouns that consist of or end in a glottalic Cvʔv sequence, and that show the same ɔⁿ/o alternation seen in (230) above.

(232)	singular	plural	gloss	
	bū ⁿ ʔō ⁿ	būʔō	‘dog’	
	bí-sīō ⁿ ~ bí-ʃīō ⁿ	bí-sīō ~ bí-ʃīō	‘child’	
	bì ⁿ ʔó ⁿ	bìʔó	‘baboon’	
	lèdí ⁿ ʔó ⁿ	lèdíʔó	‘stingless bee sp.’	Ji

See also the ‘ant’ word-family (§4.1.4.4).

After a nasal consonant, there is no clear distinction between ɔⁿ and ɔ in dialects other than Bi (§3.3.4). This is an issue in (233a-b), where only Bi has unmistakable phonemic nasalization in the singulars. However, the other dialects maintain the distinction between ɔ and o after nasals and therefore manage to distinguish singular from plural.

(233)	singular	plural	dialect
	a. ‘guinea-fowl’		
	nǒ	nǒ	Fl Ji
	nǒ ⁿ	nǒ	Bi
	b. ‘python’		
	míó	míó	Fl Ji
	míó ⁿ	míó	Bi

An analytical question with no easy answer is the relationship (synchronic and/or diachronic) between plurals that denasalize final ɔⁿ to o and plurals that suffix -o/-ɔ to the singular, or that mutate a final low or front vowels to ɔ. On these, see the following sections.

4.1.2.3.2 Plural by denasalization of εⁿ to e or o

This pluralization process with final εⁿ → e (234a) is the front-vowel analog to that of ɔⁿ becoming o. Only one noun stem shows this shift. It also irregularly de-glottalizes the plural. For the relationship between this noun and pó ‘leg’, see §3.3.9. There are two nouns (234a-b) with final εⁿ → o.

(234)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
a.	pìè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	pìè	‘foot’	(all)
b.	cíé ⁿ	cíó	‘pond frog (<i>Hoplobatrachus</i>)’	Bi Fl Ma

4.1.2.3.3 Plural by denasalization and backing of aⁿ to ɔ

In (235), singular aⁿ is denasalized and backed to ɔ in the plural. However, ‘sheep’ (235a) has a variant singular with ɔⁿ.

(235)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
a.	bá ⁿ	bó	‘sheep’	(all, at least as variants)
	bó ⁿ	"	‘sheep’	Fl Ji (variants)
b.	ná ⁿ	nó	‘cow’	(Bi)
c.	tùplópà ⁿ	tùplópɔ	‘patas monkey’	(Bi)

4.1.2.4 Plurals with suffixed or mutated final o/ɔ

In the subsections below we describe plurals that suffix -o or -ɔ to the singular, sometimes with further phonological adjustments, and plurals that mutate another final vowel to -o or -ɔ without denasalization. There is some similarity between these plurals and the denasalized plurals described above, which shift ɔⁿ to o or aⁿ to ɔ. The similarity might be strengthened if the nasalized singulars in the second set reflect an old singular ending related to 3AnSg pronominal ɔⁿ.

4.1.2.4.1 Nouns with plural suffix -o ~ -ɔ

A number of nouns denoting humans, including kin terms and age-sex terms, have a plural o (236a) or ɔ (236b) added to the singular. Some like ‘father’ and ‘mother’ are also common as compound finals (not shown). The choice of -o versus -ɔ correlates with the ATR value of a mid-height vowel in the singular (+ATR e, -ATR ε), even though the e or ε desyllabifies to i to form a diphthong (io, iɔ). If the singular has a high or low vowel, and therefore no overt ATR value as with ‘mother’ or ‘house mouse’, the choice of -o versus -ɔ is lexical and cannot be predicted from the singular.

(236)	singular	plural	gloss
a.	o added		
	sē	ʃi-ó	‘father’
	nī	nì-ó	‘mother’

dó-nì	dó-nì-ò	‘female in-law’
sú	sú-ó	‘house mouse’
wònì	wònì-ò	‘agouti (rat)’

b. ɔ added (forms related to adjective dīʔè ‘old’)

dē	dì-ó	‘elder sibling’
kē ⁿ -dè	kē ⁿ -dì-ò	‘old man’
ná-dè	ná-dì-ò	‘old person; old man’
yō-dè	yō-dì-ò	‘old woman’

The tonal alternation of M-toned singulars sē, nī, and dē with LH-toned plurals fī-ó, nì-ó, and dī-ó is evidence that C̄v results from compression of original bitonal *C̄v̄ in these singulars. However, unflattened C̄v̄ does occur in some other singular nouns, e.g. nǎ ‘guinea-fowl’.

-o is also the plural suffix for several compounds ending in H- or L-toned -bi (237). The original sense of this final was ‘child’, cf. bí-sīōⁿ ‘child’.

(237)	singular	plural	gloss	comment
a.	ná-bí	ná-bí-ó	‘child; person’	Bi Ji
	nà-bí	nà-bí-ó	‘child’	Fl Ma
b.	wòlò-bì	wòlò-bì-ò	‘white helmet-shrike (bird)’	
	nō-bì	nō-bì-ò	‘guinea-fowl chick’	
	bó ⁿ -bì	bó-bì-ò	‘lamb’	Ji

By itself, bìò ‘fruit(s)’ and some of its compounds are invariant in form, with no singular counterpart. See §4.1.4.3 and §5.1.6.2 for more details on this word family.

The word for ‘(pair of) twins’ may also have originally had a plural suffix -o, but in the absence of a singular its morphology is not transparent.

(238) ‘pair of twins’ dialect

pí ⁿ -pìó	Fl Ji Ma
píó-pìò	Bi

4.1.2.4.2 Plural by mutation of final a to ɔ

A number of nouns and compound finals mutate final a to ɔ to express plurality. There is no change in vocalic nasality.

Most examples of nouns with a/ɔ are compounds in -kà/-kò denoting animals (239a). §5.1.7.1 has more examples. Human participles in -kàʔà, a few of which are lexicalized as nouns (§4.2.3.1), also have plural -kò.

(239)	singular	plural	gloss	comment
	a. singular -kà (two examples out of several)			
	blá-kà	blá-kò	‘domestic animal’	
	flí-kà	flí-kò	‘mound-building termite’	
	b. singular -kàʔà (mainly participial, §4.2.3.1)			
	mèrù-kàʔà	mèrù-kò	‘idiot’	

For non-Bi dialects, the alternation ná ‘cow, bovine’, plural nó is equivalent to the a/ɔ alternation in (239). However, Bi has náⁿ with plural nó, suggesting that denasalization was originally part of this plural.

The remaining known examples of a/ɔ are those in (240). ‘Roan antelope’ fits the animal category of (239a) above semantically, but the ending is H-toned in all dialects in singular and plural, and the initial blú- is not recognizable. ‘Herder’ is an idiosyncratic agentive whose components can be parsed in different ways (§5.1.5.4). ‘Turka’ (240c) shows dialectal singular-plural alternations similar to those for ‘cow’.

(240)	singular	plural	comment
	a. ‘roan antelope’		
	blú-ká	blú-kó	all dialects; initial obscure
	b. ‘herder’		
	pì-ná	pì-nó	Fl Ma
	pì-ná ⁿ	"	Bi
	pè-ná	pè-nó	Ji
	c. ‘Turka person’ (neighboring ethnicity)		
	tórúká	tórúkó	Fl
	tórúká ⁿ	"	Bi

4.1.2.4.3 Plural by mutation of final ε to ɔ

This marginal singular-plural alternation occurs in two nouns (241).

(241)	singular	plural	gloss
	a. kè	kò	‘sun; day’ (archaic)
	b. ná-díé	ná-díó	‘maternal uncle’

The archaic noun kè has generally been displaced by dè ‘sun; day’. The compound ‘maternal uncle’ contains te ná- ‘person’ as in ná-bí ~ nà-bí ‘person’ or ‘child’ (§5.1.6.1), plus an H-toned variant of the adjective dīʔè ‘old’ (§4.5.3.1.2). Perhaps -díé was back-formed

from -díó by analogy to other nouns with final vocalic mutations, to avoid overlap with ná-dè ‘old man, old person’ (plural ná-dì-ò). However, ‘sun; day’ in (241a) is evidently archaic.

4.1.2.5 Default plural -ní

A plural suffix -ní can be added to any noun that does not have one or another of the plural types described above (rhotic, denasalization, final-vowel mutation, suffix -o or -ɔ). In a few cases it is superimposed on another plural.

Only a few nouns are attested in all dialects with -ní plurals. In many cases, we elicited a -ní plural from one speaker alongside other plural forms for other speakers. Therefore many of the attestations presented in the following subsections are dialectally restricted and may not be in common use.

-ní or a homophone is also the verbal noun suffix. This raises the question whether the two categories are related in some way in Tiefo-D. Verbal nouns and indefinite plural or nouns are also expressed by the same or homophonous suffixes (-yaŋ etc.) in Songhay languages.

4.1.2.5.1 Tonal behavior of -ní

Since -ní is H-toned, it usually drops a preceding M-toned stem to L (§3.6.2.2).

(242)	singular	plural	gloss	
	bō	bò-ní	Bi	‘granary’
	jō-jō	jò-jò-ní	Bi	‘gnat’
	klō	kplè-ní	Bi Fl Ma(var)	‘calabash’
	plē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	plè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ -ní	Bi Fl Ji	‘gourmet’
	ɲù-sūʔō	ɲù-sùʔò-ní	Ji	‘mediator’

When -ní is added to an LH-toned stem, the stem sometimes drops to L (§3.6.2.3) and sometimes doesn’t. The data show that Bi strongly favors dropping, while the other dialects strongly are more likely to allow retention of LH. This is clearest in (243a), one of only two LH nouns that has attested -ní plurals in Bi and at least two other dialects. Likewise, in (243b), when -ní is superimposed on LH-toned rhotic plural kà-réⁿ (attested as such in Ji), the rhotic syllable is dropped tonally in Bi but not Fl or Ma. The dialectal split is also strongly suggested by LH stems whose plurals are attested only in Bi (243c) or only in non-Bi dialects (243d). However, in (243e) all attested plurals show dropping.

(243)	singular	plural	dialects	gloss
a.	dèrú ⁿ	dèrú ⁿ -ní	Fl Ji Ma	‘wild mouse’
	"	dèrù ⁿ -ní	Bi	"

b.	kě ⁿ	kə-rě ⁿ -ní	Bi	‘pal’
	"	kə-ré ⁿ -ní	Fl Ma	"
	"	kə-rē ⁿ	Ji	"
c.	míá ⁿ	míà ⁿ -ní	Bi	‘tree sp. (<i>Holarrhena</i>)’
	sùó ⁿ	sùè ⁿ -ní	Bi	‘shea-tree’
	wìó ~ við	wìð-ní ~ við-ní	Bi	‘winged termite sp.’
d.	mèrèké	mèrèké-ní	Fl Ji Ma	‘angel’
	mǝjí	mǝjí-ní	Fl	‘Mossi person’
e.	gblà ⁿ ʔá ⁿ	gblà ⁿ ʔà ⁿ -ní	Bi Ma	‘fruit bat sp.’
	"	gblè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ -ní	Ji Fl (§4.1.2.5.3)	"

Instead of the stem dropping tones before -ní, in some non-Bi dialects (244b-d) -ní is itself dropped to M-tone to form a level M-toned sequence with the preceding stem. This leveling is unattested in Bi dialect. It may be related to some instability in the distinction between M and H tones, observed in dialectal variation in (244a).

(244)	singular	plural	dialect	gloss
a.	có	cá-ré-ní	Fl Ji Ma	‘francolin (bird)’
	cō	cə-rè-ní ⁿ	Bi	
b.	cārō	cārē-nī	Fl Ji Ma	‘fly (n)’
	"	cərè-ní ⁿ	Bi	
c.	klū ⁿ	klū ⁿ -nī	Fl Ji Ma	‘field cricket’
	klú ⁿ	kplè-ní ⁿ	Bi	
d.	yārō	yārō-nī	Fl Ji	‘giraffe’
	"	yərò-ní ⁿ	Bi	
e.	plē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	plē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ -nī	Fl Ji	‘gourmet’
	"	plè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ -ní ⁿ	Bi	

Verbal noun suffix -ní added to verb stems (§4.2.1.1) has similar tonal behavior.

4.1.2.5.2 Plural -ní without vowel fronting

-ní can be added to loanwords (245).

(245)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
	mèrèké	mèrèké-ní	‘angel’	(various)
	mǝjí	mǝjí-ní	‘Mossi person’	Fl

-ní is also favored with nouns of the shape Cvr_v, where a rhotic plural #Cvr_v-rv would have two adjacent rv syllables. This would be an awkward combination, especially since rhotics normally reduce preceding vowels to ə (except in some loanwords like ‘angel’ and ‘duck’). Examples, including more loanwords, are in (246).

(246)	singular	plural	dialect	gloss
	básər̀̀	básər̀̀- <i>ní</i>	Ji	‘piapiac (bird)’
	bítáró	bítáró- <i>ní</i>	Ji	‘leper’
	dérú ⁿ	dérú ⁿ - <i>ní</i>	Bi	‘tree sp. (<i>Mitragyna</i>)’
	jórí ⁿ	jórí ⁿ - <i>ní</i>	Fl Ji	‘djinn, genie’
	jèré	jèré- <i>ní</i>	Fl	‘musical griot’
	nàsərá	nàsərá- <i>ní</i>	(various)	‘white person’
	ɲà ⁿ bərá	ɲà ⁿ bərá- <i>ní</i>	Bi	‘gourd’
	ɲérɔ́ ⁿ	ɲérɔ́ ⁿ - <i>ní</i>	Fl Ji	‘liver’
	sérú	sérú- <i>ní</i>	Bi	‘tree sp. (<i>Daniellia</i>)’
	ɲù ⁿ -sər̀̀	ɲù ⁿ -sər̀̀- <i>ní</i>	Bi	‘gutterspout’
	tóŋóró ⁿ	tóŋóró ⁿ - <i>ní</i>	Ji	‘duck’

-ní rather than rhotic plural also appears to be preferred with Cvr_v?v singulars, whose rhotic plural would again be #Cvr_v-rv. The final ?v segment is sometimes elided in the plural. Examples are (247a-c). In (247c), the Ma singular form appears to be generalized from an old rhotic plural like that of Fl.

(247)	singular	plural	dialect	gloss
a.	dər̀̀á	dər̀̀á- <i>ní</i>	Fl	‘courtyard’
	dər̀̀á	dər̀̀á- <i>ní</i>	Ma	"
b.	dər̀̀í ⁿ	dər̀̀í- <i>ní</i>	Ji	‘song’
	"	dər̀̀í ⁿ - <i>ní</i>	Ma	"
c.	pàŋər̀̀é	pàŋər̀̀é- <i>ní</i>	Ma	‘hairy-tailed mouse’
	pàŋēé	pà ⁿ gə-rē-é	Fl	" (§3.1.1.7)

Other nouns for which plural -ní is attested at least dialectally are in (248).

(248)	singular	plural	dialect	gloss
	bá	bá- <i>ní</i>	Bi	‘big lake, sea’
	lèdìò-bíó	lèdìò-bíó- <i>ní</i>	Bi	‘stingless bee sp.’

júʔá	júʔá-ní	Bi	‘tree sp. (<i>Isoberlinia</i>)’
kú ⁿ	kú ⁿ -ní	Bi	‘tree sp. (<i>Blighia</i>)’
wò-bí	wò-bí-ní	Ji(var)	‘orphan’
wú ⁿ -dî ⁿ	wú ⁿ -dî ⁿ -ní	Ma	‘village chief’

4.1.2.5.3 Plural -ní plus vowel fronting

There are a few examples where suffixation of -ní is accompanied by fronting a preceding back rounded or low vowel (249). Compare the vowel-fronted plurals in §4.1.2.1.4 and §4.1.2.5.3. In (249b), a trace of the singular o is preserved in the plural by converting gl to gbl before the mutated e. In (249c), glottalization in the singular is dropped in the plural.

(249)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
a.	àtítārō	àtítàrè-ní	‘dove’	Bi
b.	gblà ⁿ ʔá ⁿ	gblè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ -ní	‘fruit bat’	Fl Ji
c.	ná-plò ⁿ ʔò ⁿ jíglòʔò	ná-plè ⁿ -ní ⁿ jígblè-ní	‘thorn’ ‘hyena’	Bi Fl
d.	sùó ⁿ	sùè ⁿ -ní	‘shea-tree’	Bi
e.	tàró	tàrè-ní	‘grivet monkey’	(all)

4.1.2.5.4 -ní following rhotic plural

In some nouns, at least dialectally, the suffix -ní can follow what already has the form of a rhotic plural (250).

(250)	singular	plural	gloss	comment
a.	kpà-[mɛ́-mɛ́] kplà ⁿ -[tɛ́-tɛ́]	kpà-[mɛ́-má-ré ⁿ]-ní kplà ⁿ -[tɛ́-tó-ré-ní]	‘butterfly’ "	Ji Bi
b.	dùgùlé	dùgùlé-ré-ní	‘leopard’	Bi
c.	ú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ -gblɔ̃ ú ⁿ -gblɔ̃	ú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ -gbə-rò-ní ú ⁿ -gbə-rò-ní	‘head louse’ "	Ji Bi
d.	lā-ɲùò ⁿ -kò	lā-ɲùò ⁿ -kə-rò-ní	‘honey ant’	Bi
e.	kɛ̃ ⁿ	kə-rè ⁿ -ní ~ kə-ré ⁿ -ní	‘pal’	§4.1.2.5.1

In (251a), this double plural also shows vocalic fronting of the type observed in §4.1.2.1.4 and §4.1.2.5.3. The form may have been influenced by (251b).

(251)	singular	plural	dialect	gloss
a.	có	cá-ré-ní	Fl Ma	‘francolin (bird)’
	cō	cà-rè-ní	Bi Ji	
b.	cārō	cārē-nī	Fl Ji Ma	‘fly (n)’
	"	càrè-ní	Bi	

In (252a), Bi has apparently generalized an old -ní plural as singular, and forms the plural by rhotacizing the originally stem-final glottalic (sesqui-)syllable. In (252b), what may have originated as a rhotic plural is generalized as singular, and is sometimes pluralized by -ní.

(252)	singular	plural	dialect	gloss
a.	tēʔē	—	Fl Ji Ma	‘shrub sp. (<i>Annona</i>)’
	tèʔè-ní	tà-rè-ní	Bi	
b.	dùrò	dùrò-ní	Ji	‘pigeon’
	dù-rò-ʔò	—	Fl	
	dùò-rò-ʔò	—	Ma	
	dùòrò	dùòrò-ní	Bi	

4.1.2.5.5 Reduplicated -ní-ní

One bird name has an unusual plural in Ji dialect, apparently reduplicating the plural suffix -ní, perhaps for onomatopoeic purposes. Other dialects pluralize with suffix -o or by denasalization if ɔⁿ to o. Bi dialect may have back-formed the singular.

(253) ‘grey hornbill’

singular	plural	dialect
tí ⁿ	tí ⁿ -ní-ní	Ji
"	tí-ó	Fl Ma
tíó ⁿ	tíó	Bi

4.1.2.5.6 Denominal abstractives with -ní

In addition to its use as default plural, the suffix -ní forms deverbal nominals, including adjectival abstractives (§4.2.1.1 below). An abstractive reading is also possible with -ní added to nouns with rhotic plural marking and fronting (ɔ → ε) or lowering (ɔ → a) of non-

initial vowels. This construction denotes stereotyped or idealized behavior, including (for adults) grooming and dress. The known examples are in (254), shown with the associated simple noun (e.g. ‘child’) in singular and plural form. There is often an evaluative element; for example, (254e-f) imply elegance and attractiveness. It was not possible to elicit this construction with uncompounded ‘man’ or ‘woman’.

(254)	a.	bí-sə̀-rè-ní bí-ʃíᵐ̄ \ \ bí-ʃíᵐ̄	‘childishness (behaving), childhood’ ‘child’ (sg \ \ pl)	F1
	b.	nā-də̀-rè-ní nā-dè ⁿ \ \ nā-dì-ḁ	‘behaving like an old person’ ‘old person’ (sg \ \ pl) (dialectally ná-...)	F1
	c.	yō-də̀-rè-ní yō-dè \ \ yā-rō-dì-ḁ	‘behaving like an old woman’ ‘old woman’ (sg \ \ pl)	F1
	d.	kē ⁿ -də̀-rè-ní kē ⁿ -dè \ \ kē ⁿ -dì-ḁ	‘behaving like an old man’ ‘old man’ (sg \ \ pl)	F1
	e.	cī-cə̀-rà-ʔà-ní cī-cùʔḁ \ \ cī-cə̀-rḁ-ʔḁ	‘behaving/looking like a young man’ ‘young man’ (sg \ \ pl)	F1
	f.	lè-ʔè-ní yīē \ \ lᵐ̄	‘behaving/looking like a young woman’ ‘young woman’ (sg \ \ pl, suppletive)	F1
	g.	yě-yə̀-rè-ní yō-yḁ \ \ yō-yə̀-rḁ	‘co-wifeness’ ‘co-wife’	Bo
	h.	blèjḁ-rè-ní blèjḁ \ \ blèjḁ-rḁ	‘Jula-hood’ (ethnicity) ‘Jula person’	Bo

4.1.2.6 Plural by prolongation

Of our four main speakers, only the one from Ma occasionally pluralized nouns by prolongation either of the article ē, the stem-final vowel of the singular, or both.

(255)	singular	plural	gloss	comment
	è wú ⁿ	è→ wú ⁿ →	‘village’	Ma

Since such forms were infrequent even for this speaker, and did not occur elsewhere in the data, we are unable to present definitive phonetic details.

This phenomenon raised our eyebrows since pluralization by prolonging the stem-final vowel is productive in Tiefo-N, where however it may have originated as contraction of

rhotic plurals, e.g. *CvCv-rv to CvCv→. Perhaps the same process has occurred independently here.

4.1.2.7 Pluralia tantum

Quite a few nouns are attested only in singular form. Some such nouns denote masses like ‘salt’ or abstractions like ‘fear’, so they do not require a plural. Some other nouns denoting countable entities also happen to lack a plural. For these stems, the “singular” can shift from functional singular to functional collective.

There are also a few pluralia tantum, i.e. nouns attested only in plural form. Both (256a) and (256b) end in segments that are compatible with plural noun morphology (-rv, -o), but in the absence of corresponding singulars the morphology is not transparent.

- (256) a. kárú ‘agemate group, generation’
 b. pírⁿ-pìò ‘(pair of) twins’

4.1.3 Vestiges of vocalic noun classes

Tiefo-N distinguishes three noun classes, most systematically by pronominal article-like morphemes (è, à, ò), which are neutralized in Tiefo-D as ē except with numerals ‘2’ through ‘9’ which have ò. Less systematically, Tiefo-N also makes noun-class distinctions in adjectives and some other morphemes. These Tiefo-N classes are mostly orthogonal to grammatical number.

Some phenomena in Tiefo-D that may reflect an original class system of this type are briefly listed here. The morphology of pluralization (rhotic suffix or infix, denasalized o from ɔⁿ, suffixation of -ɔ/-o, vocalic fronting of u/o/ɔ to i/e/ε) is also relevant if noun classes are understood to include singular-plural pairings, but we focus here on phenomena involving animacy. Heath (2019) is a fuller analysis and is expected to appear in print soon.

- a/ɔⁿ in third person singular pronominal proclitics, 3Inan à versus 3AnSg òⁿ (§4.3.2.1);
- o/e in third person nonclitic or logophoric pronouns, 3AnSg bó and 3Pl bùò (§4.3.2.1), versus discourse-definite demonstrative and inanimate pronoun bè (§4.4.2.1);
- a/ɔ in final -kà in several compounds denoting nonhuman animals, versus final kò in one compound denoting a human (§5.1.7.1);
- i/ε/u in mostly human diminutive compound final -bì/-bí and related forms (§5.1.6.1), versus nonhuman animate compound final -bèⁿ in terms for juvenile animals (§5.1.6.3), and versus -bù as final in ‘finger’ and ‘toe’ (§5.1.7.5);
- e/o in focus morphemes: animate singular (or generalized) tóʔó, animate plural tó-ró, inanimate té (§13.1.1);
- e/o in indefinite markers jǎ-rō (animate plural), jǎ-rē (inanimate plural), singular jī (§4.4.2.3), and in relative markers jǎ-ró (animate plural), jǎ-ré (inanimate plural), singular jǎrɔⁿ (§14.1.1);

- ϵ/\circ in (n) $d\acute{e}^n\text{?}\acute{e}(y)^n$ ‘one’ versus $n\bar{a}-d\acute{o}^n\text{?}\acute{o}^n$ ‘one person’ (§4.6.1.1).

As pointed out by Winkelmann, the many singular nouns ending in ...Cv?v corresponding to rhotic plural ...Cvrv(?v) could well reflect one or more original *-Cv singular suffixes.

4.1.4 Irregular nouns

4.1.4.1 $k\acute{e}^n$, $k\hat{e}^n$, $k\bar{e}m\grave{e}$ ‘man, fellow, pal’

This nominal word-family has tonal variants $k\acute{e}^n$ and $k\hat{e}^n$, along with a bisyllabic variant $k\bar{e}m\grave{e}$. Of the three, $k\acute{e}^n$ is most common, but both $k\acute{e}^n$ and $k\hat{e}^n$ occur in texts. The bisyllabic variant $k\bar{e}m\grave{e}$ is attested in elicitation for Fl and Ji dialects but did not occur in texts.

We focus here on $k\acute{e}^n$ since our data are better for this variant. One common sense is ‘pal, buddy’, generally male. A possessor is either overt or is covert but understood. The plural in this sense is rhotic, or rhotic plus -ní (257).

(257) plural dialect

$k\grave{a}-r\acute{e}^n$	Ji
$k\grave{a}-r\acute{e}^n-n\acute{í}$	Fl Ma
$k\grave{a}-r\grave{e}^n-n\acute{í}$	Bi

The other function for both $k\acute{e}^n$, $k\hat{e}^n$, and $k\bar{e}m\grave{e}$ is to refer back to an unnamed but contextually specific individual, normally a man, that has already been introduced into the discourse, cf. Eng *the guy* or *the fellow*. For this discourse function, see §18.5.1.1.

Finally, L-toned $-k\grave{e}^n$ occurs as the final in several compounds denoting men. It is often contrasted with female-denoting compounds ending in $-y\grave{o}$ ‘woman’. One example is $n\grave{a}s\grave{a}r\acute{a}-k\grave{e}^n$ ‘white man’ versus $n\grave{a}s\grave{a}r\acute{a}-y\grave{o}$ ‘white woman’. See §5.1.6.7-8 for these male and female compounds. In effect, as compound final $-k\grave{e}^n$ partially replaces $d\check{o}$ ‘man’ or (with possessor) ‘husband’.

The variant $k\hat{e}^n$ is attested only from the Fl speaker in contexts similar to the ‘guy, fellow’ function.

4.1.4.2 $y\acute{u}o$ ‘person’ or ‘people’

An original final plural o may also be present in fossilized form in $y\acute{u}o$ ‘people’, although no simplex $\#y\acute{u}$ is attested. This noun was probably semantically plural at one time, whether or not it was ever segmentable. Its offshoots are still specifically plural in two constructions in derivational morphology: a) plural agentive $-y\grave{u}\grave{o}$ replaces singular agentive $-n\grave{o}$ (§4.2.2), both of them being secondarily L-toned like many compound finals, and b) $-y\acute{u}o$ ‘owners (of X)’ replaces singular $-w\acute{í}$ ‘owner (of X)’ (§5.1.9).

However, $y\acute{u}o$ can be singular ‘person’ in some dialects (Fl Ji), with suppletive plural $n\acute{a}-b\acute{í}o \sim n\grave{a}-b\acute{í}o$. The alternative in all dialects at least as an option is to use $n\acute{a}-b\acute{í}$ (Bi $n\acute{a}^n-b\acute{í}$)

as singular ‘person’, and yúó as specifically plural ‘people’. However, ná-bí ~ nà-bí can also mean ‘child’ dialectally with its own plural (see the following section).

An alternative etymological possibility for yúó is suggested by the possibility that the animate default possessive júó, versus inanimate dó, and the third-person pronominal in kà júò ‘with him/her/it/them (animate)’, versus kà lō ~ à rō ‘with it/them’ (inanimate), might both reflect an intrusive u between initial consonant and o, marking [+animate]. See §3.4.2.5 on the d/ju alternation. If this intrusive u marking animacy was also originally part of yúó, removing the u would leave *yó.

Tiefo-N dyó→ ‘people’ is also part of the etymological equation.

4.1.4.3 bíó ‘fruit, seed’ and related forms

bíó is a singular or collective noun ‘fruit, seed(s)’. It can be used as a collective ‘fruits, seeds’. It extends to an inanimate referent in sùnⁿ-bíó ‘pill(s)’ from sùⁿ ‘medication’, which is usually collective but can denote a single pill (with a numeral ‘one’).

bíó was likely originally a plural *bí-ó with the same -o seen in more transparently suffixal examples like fī-ó ‘fathers’ (§4.1.2.4.1). The original sense of *bí-ó was likely ‘children’, implying an original singular *bí ‘child’. This sense survives in the compound bí-sīōⁿ ~ bí-fīōⁿ ‘child’, plural bí-sīō ~ bí-fīō ‘children’. It also survives as the final in ná-bí ~ nà-bí, dialectally either ‘person’ or ‘child’, plural ná-bí-ó ~ nà-bí-ó. For additional compounds with final -bí, -bì, or -bìōⁿ, see §5.1.6.1-2.

4.1.4.4 ‘Ant’ terms with extra l in the plural

Two phonologically very similar stems denoting ‘ant’ or a species of ant, each with dialectal variants, must be distinguished, although they may have split off from a common source. One term specifically denotes *Messor galla*, a big-headed granivorous black ant found in large colonies in fields (258a). The other is a general term for ‘ant(s)’ (258b).

(258)	singular	plural	dialect
a. <i>Messor galla</i>			
	—	mò-mó	Ji
	mḁ-mó	mò-mó	Fl
	mò-mó	mò-mló	Bi (vowels unnasalized!)
b. ‘ant(s)’			
	mḁ-mló ⁿ	mò-mló	Fl Ji
	mló ⁿ -mló ⁿ	mló-mló	Bi

Where the singulars have phonemic ɔⁿ or an ɔ following a nasal consonant, this shifts to o in the plural. See §4.1.2.3.1 for this type of denasalized plural. In these ant terms, the vocalic shift applies to both segments of the reduplicative stem.

Some of the forms like mò-mó and mlóⁿ-mlóⁿ are reduplicative with at most a tonal shift at the boundary. These can be directly compared to other reduplicative noun stems (§4.1.1.9). However, Bi adds an l in the second part of plural mò-mló ‘Messor ants’ (258a), and Ji and Fl appear to do so in both singular and plural forms of ‘ant(s)’ (258b).

There is one other known case where an extra l occurs in the second syllable of the plural. Not coincidentally, it is another ant term (259). This species is described as very large, black, and termite-eating. This matches the profile of *Megaponera analis*, which is common in nearby northern Côte d’Ivoire. Ji and Fl add l in the plural, and also lower some or all vowels from u to o. Ma has a regular rhotic plural, plus plural suffix -ní. Bi has l in the singular, also used as a collective, and has no attested morphological plural.

(259)	singular	plural	dialect
a.	tùmùʔú	tòmlóʔó	Ji
	"	tùmlōʔó	Fl
	"	tùmè-rù-ní	Ma
b.	tùmlù ⁿ ʔú ⁿ	—	Bi

4.1.4.5 blí-ké (plural blí-tìó) ‘hare’

The noun blí-ké ‘hare’ has an unusual plural blí-tìó (Fl Ji) or blú-tìò-ní (Bi).

4.1.4.6 bá⁽ⁿ⁾-sòⁿ ‘squirrel’

The terms in (260) denote the striped ground quirrel (*Xerus*).

(260)	singular	plural	dialect
	bá-sò ⁿ	bá-sùò	Ji
	bá ⁿ -sò ⁿ	bá-sò	Fl
	"	bó-sò	Bi
	"	bá-sè-rò	Ma

These forms are vaguely compound-like but neither syllable corresponds to a phonological and semantic match, unless we somehow connect báⁿ with báⁿ ~ bóⁿ ‘sheep-Sg’. The initial syllable is nasalized in the singular, except in Ji. All plurals shift ɔ⁽ⁿ⁾ to denasalized o. This shift is accompanied by an intrusive semivowel in Ji, and by a rhotic syllable in Ma. Only Bi separately pluralizes the initial, possibly on the model of báⁿ ‘sheep’ and its denasalized plural bó in the same dialect.

4.2 Derived nominals

Many derived nominals are treated in this grammar as compounds and presented in Chapter 5, since the final element appears to be noun-like. See, for example, the ‘X-owner’ compounds in §5.1.9, the agentive compounds in §5.1.5.1, and the verbal-noun compounds in §5.1.4. In the following sections of the present chapter we consider verbal nouns, simple agentives, and human participles.

4.2.1 Verbal nouns

Verbal nouns were elicited as subjects of adjectival predicates e.g. ‘Vb-ing is difficult’ or ‘Vb-ing is not good’. Others showed up in texts or in regular lexical elicitation.

4.2.1.1 Verbal noun with base stem plus -ní

Suffixation of -ní is the productive verbal noun formation. Semantically, this verbal noun generally sticks closely to the verbal sense, rather like Eng *-ing*. Phonetically, is occasionally reduced to -ń by apocope (§3.4.1.1). This reduction likely played a role in the development of the synchronic progressive construction from a proto-progressive construction (still in use) that included a verbal noun (§10.2.4).

§4.2.1.1.1 covers verbal nouns from aspectually marked verbs. §4.2.1.1.2 deals with verbal nouns of adjectival verbs.

4.2.1.1.1 From active verbs

-ní is added to the base form of the verb, as can be seen with verbs that overtly distinguish Pfv, base, and Ipv stems (§10.1.5). The base is the second of three forms shown in our full three-part representation of verbs. M-toned base verbs are dropped to L before the H-toned suffix (261b), sometimes accidentally creating the appearance that -ní is added to the Pfv (e.g. with ‘enter’).

A homophonous suffix -ní occurs in nominal morphology as a default plural (§4.1.2.5). The two may have an obscure semantic relationship, as in Songhay languages.

(261) Uncompounded verbal nouns with -ní after base of verb

VbIN	gloss	verb	gloss of verb
a. H-toned base			
bé ⁿ -ní	‘playing (tomtom)’	blē ⁿ /bē ⁿ /blí ⁿ	‘beat (tomtom)’
bú-ní	‘gain, profit (n)’	būō/bú/bí	‘obtain, get’
dí-ní	‘eating’	dīē/dí/dí	‘eat (meal)’
dú-ní	‘sowing, planting’	jūō/dú/dú	‘sow (v), plant (v)’
fó-ní	‘going past’	fīē/fó/fó	‘pass, go past’
glú-ní	‘exit (n)’	glō/glú/glú	‘exit (v)’

já-ní	‘leaving’	já/já/já (F1 Ji Ma)	‘leave, abandon’
"	"	jē/já/já (Bi)	
kú-ní	‘cut (n)’	kūō/kú/kpí ~ kúí	‘cut (v)’
sóʔó-ní	‘dig, jab (n)’	sēʔē/sóʔó/sóʔó	‘dig, jab’
só-ní	‘ignition’	sūō/só/só ~ sú	‘ignite’
táʔá-ní	‘sacrifice (n)’	tēʔē/táʔá/táʔá	‘offer as sacrifice’
té-ní	‘putting (down)’	tīē/té/té	‘put (down)’
tó-ní	‘cooking (sauce)’	tārō/tó/tú ~ tó	‘cook (sauce)’
tó-ní	‘assembling’	tē/tó/tó	‘come together’
wáʔá-ní	‘noise’	wēʔē/wáʔá/wáʔá	‘make noise’
wúó-ní	‘prayer’	wē/wúó/wúó	‘pray’
wú-ní	‘death’	wūō/wú/wí	‘die’
yé-ní	‘walking, stroll’	yé/yé/yé	‘walk’

b. M-toned base dropped to L before H-tone (§3.6.2.2)

bè-ní	‘fatigue, misery’	blè/bē/blē	‘become tired’
dìè-ní	‘entry, entrance’	dìè/dīē/dīē	‘enter’
dò-ní	‘sleep (n)’	dè/dō/dē (F1)	‘sleep (v)’
"	"	dè/dō/dē (Bi Ji Ma)	"
fā-ní	‘searching’	fè/fā/fā	‘look for’
jì-ní	‘seeing’	jà/jī/jē	‘see’
jò-ní	‘drinking (n)’	jùò/jō/jī	‘drink (v)’
pè ⁿ -ní	‘remaining (n)’	pìè ⁿ /pē ⁿ /pī ⁿ	‘remain’
tò ⁿ -ní	‘count (n)’	cùò ⁿ /tō ⁿ /tī ⁿ	‘count (v)’
wè-ní	‘putting (in/on)’	wìè/wē/wī	‘put (in/on)’
jàʔàmì-ní	‘mixing’	jāʔāmī (invariant)	‘mix’

c. LH-toned base (loanwords, stems invariant)

kèràfā-ní	‘entrusting’	kèràfā	‘entrust’
màdímì-ní	‘wounding’	màdímí	‘wound’
sàmà-ní	‘sending on errand’	sàmá	‘send on errand’
sòmò-ní	‘dislocation’	sòmó	‘be dislocated’
sò-sò-ní	‘contradiction’	sò-só	‘contradict’
tèrèlè-ní	‘slipping’	tèrèlé	‘slip’
tòjò-ní	‘renege-ing’	tòjò	‘renege on’

d. L-toned base

bà-ní	‘coming (n)’	bà/bà/bē	‘come’
gò-ní	‘narration’	gbà/gò/gò ~ gù	‘hit; narrate’
jàʔà-ní	‘laying out (n)’	jèʔè/jàʔà/jàʔà	‘lay out (mat)’
jò-ní	‘swallow, gulp (n)’	jèrò/jò/jò ~ jù	‘swallow (v)’
kò-ní	‘hit, kill (n)’	kùò/kò/cuì	‘hit, kill’
kpàʔà-ní	‘hardship, poverty’	kpèʔè/kpàʔà/kpàʔà	‘be desperate’
mà-ní	‘laugh (n)’	mè/mà/mīē	‘laugh (v)’
tàʔà-ní	‘re-igniting’	tèʔè/tàʔà/tìʔì	‘re-ignite’

We observe interdialectal variation in the tones of verbal nouns from some verbs. Taking the base stems of the verbs in (262) as basically M, the Bi verbal nouns are regular, with M dropped to L before H. In the Fl Ji verbal nouns the tones are level.

(262)	VbIN	dialect	verb	gloss of verb
a.	dā ⁿ ʔā ⁿ -nī dà ⁿ ʔà ⁿ -ní ⁿ	Fl Ji Bi	dē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ / dāⁿʔāⁿ /dā ⁿ ʔā ⁿ	‘love, worship’
b.	dī-glō-nī dī-glò-ní ⁿ	Fl Ji Bi	dīē-glō/dī- glō /dī-à-glō	‘take out, remove’
c.	fē-nī fē-ní ⁿ	Fl Ji Bi	fē (invariant)	‘greet’

See also dē-nī ‘picking (cotton)’ (Bo, 2019-03 @ 02:05).

Regarding fē-nī ‘greeting’ (262c), the compounds ē cùⁿʔùⁿ-[fē-ní] ‘morning greeting’ and ē dòⁿʔó-[fē-ní] ‘evening greeting’, pronounced as such in all dialects, show the regular L-H tones preserved in Bi fē-níⁿ.

Compounds with -glō (§15.1.5.5) other than ‘take out, remove’ (262b) behave regularly even for Fl Ji, hence blá-glò-ní ‘divorce (n)’ from base blá-glō.

4.2.1.1.2 From adjectival verbs

The -ní suffix can also be added to stative adjectival predicates (263) to form an abstractive nominal.

(263) Uncompounded verbal nouns with -ní after adjectival predicate

VbIN	gloss	predicate	gloss of predicate
a. stem H-toned			
dá ⁿ -ní	‘taste, sweetness’	dá ⁿ	‘be pleasing; be tasty’
fíé ⁿ -ní (Ji)	‘whiteness’	fíé ⁿ ʔé ⁿ	‘be white’
fīē ⁿ ʔé ⁿ -ní (Fl)	''	fīē ⁿ ʔé ⁿ	''
ɲáʔá-ní	‘redness’	ɲáʔá	‘be red, turn red’
ɲó-ní	‘sourness’	ɲó	‘be sour’
té ⁿ -ní	‘bitterness’	té ⁿ	‘be bitter’
yó-ní ~ yíó-ní	‘blackness’	yó	‘be black, turn black’
b. stem M-toned			
kplò-ní	‘shortness’	kplō	‘be short’
kàʔà-ní	‘hardness; worth’	kāʔā	‘be hard, expensive’
fā ⁿ ʔā ⁿ -ní	‘lightness, weakness’	fā ⁿ ʔā ⁿ	‘be lightweight, weak’

c. stem L-toned

dìʔè-ní	‘length, height’	dìʔè	‘be long, tall’
lè-ní	‘old age’	lè	‘be old, get old’

For verbal nouns with an incorporated noun as initial, see §5.1.4. For verbal nouns of verb-verb compounds see §15.1.

4.2.1.2 Other deverbal nominals

Several verbs have a corresponding lexical nominal in addition to the productive verbal noun with -ní. For some of these verbs, the -ní form is uncommon, though it is always elicitable. The lexical nominal often has a specialized sense versus the pure verbal sense of the verbal noun, compare Eng *death* and *dying*, *song* and *singing*, etc. The vocalism of the nominal is related to that of the base of the verb, but may add glottalization (264a). Some nominals (‘agriculture’, ‘sleep’) have a rising tone. The úú in wúú ‘death’ may be structurally diphthongal rather than a true long vowel (§3.1.1.3), cf. the Pfv wūō ‘died’.

(264) Other deverbal nominals

Pfv/Base/Ipfv	gloss	nominal	gloss
a. nominal adds glottalization			
jūō ⁿ /jō ⁿ /jú ⁿ	‘dance (v)’	jō ⁿ ʔō ⁿ	‘dance (n)’
bē/bá/bé	‘cultivate (crops)’	bàʔá	‘farming, agriculture’
kpē/kó/kó	‘weep’	kóʔó	‘weeping, tears’
sù ⁿ /sō ⁿ /ʃī ⁿ	‘perform (work)’	kē-sù ⁿ ʔō ⁿ	‘work (n)’
blè/blō/blō	‘sacrifice (animal)’	kō-blōʔò	‘sacrificial offering’
b. no glottalization in nominal			
wūō/wú/wí	‘die’	wúú	‘death’ (diphthongal)
dè/dō/dē (Fl)	‘sleep (v)’	dō	‘sleep (n)’

4.2.2 Agentive compounds (-nè/-yùd) without incorporated noun

The agentive denotes a practitioner of a characteristic activity or trade. Agentive nominals end in singular -nè or plural -yùd. These endings are L-toned compound finals. -nè originally meant ‘person’ and is cognate to the initial ná- in ná-bí ~ nà-bí ‘person’ or ‘child’ and some other forms (§5.1.5.5). yúó by itself means singular ‘person’ or plural ‘people’ depending on dialect.

The verb usually takes Pfv form in this derivation, though there are also a few attestations with the base. The examples in (265) lack incorporated object nouns, and are based on uncompounded verbs.

(265) Agentives based on simple verbs and without incorporated nouns

singular	plural	gloss	Pfv/Base/Ipfv	gloss
a. H-toned initial				
ʃīē ⁿ -nò	ʃīē ⁿ -yùò	‘weaver’	ʃīēⁿ /ʃí ⁿ /ʃí ⁿ	‘weave’
wórómá-nò	wórómá-yùò	‘selector’	wórómá (invar.)	‘select’
yé-nò	yé-yùò	‘hunter’	yé (invariant)	‘walk (in bush)’
b. M-toned initial				
bē-nò	bē-yùò	‘farmer’	bē /bá/bé ~ bí	‘cultivate’
būō-nò	būō-yùò	‘rich person’	būō /bú/bí	‘get’
fē-nò	fē-yùò	‘greeter’	fē (invariant)	‘greet’
fīē-nò	fīē-yùò	‘advocate (n)’	fīē /fúó/fúó	‘defend (sb)’
fí?ē-nò	fí?ē-yùò	‘pardonner’	fí?ē /fí?ó/fí?ó	‘pardon (v)’
jūō ⁿ -nò	jūō ⁿ -yùò	‘dancer’	jūōⁿ /jó ⁿ /jú ⁿ	‘dance (v)’
wē-nò	wē-yùò	‘worshiper’	wē /wúó/wúó	‘pray’
c. L-toned initial				
kpè?è-nò	kpè?è-yùò	‘pauper’	kpè?è /kpà?à/kpà?à	‘be indigent’
mè-nò	mè-yùò	‘laughter’	mè /mà/mīē	‘laugh (v)’
ɲùò-nò	ɲùò-yùò	‘drinker’	ɲùò /ɲó/ɲí	‘drink’
ʃí ⁿ ?è ⁿ -nò	ʃí ⁿ ?è ⁿ -yùò	‘runner’	ʃíⁿ?èⁿ /ʃí ⁿ ?í ⁿ /ʃí ⁿ ?í ⁿ	‘run’
sàrè-nò	sàrè-yùò	‘carpenter’	sàrè /sē/sē ~ sī	‘carve, shape (v)’

There is no impediment to deriving agentives from verb-verb compounds (§15.1). Especially the unfamiliar combinations sometimes use the base instead of Pfv of the first verb.

(266) Agentives based on verb-verb compounds without incorporated nouns

singular	plural	gloss	Pfv/Base	gloss
blē-glō-nò	blē-glō-yùò	‘divorcer’	blē-glō /blá-glō	‘divorce (v)’
cā-mà-nò	cā-mà-yùò	‘hearty laughter’	cè-mà/ cā-mà	‘laugh heartily’
dìè-só-nò	-yùò	‘one who falls’	dìè-só /dì-só (Ji)	‘fall’
dìè-dé-nò	dìè-dé-yùò	’’	dìè-dé /dì-dé	‘eat to satiety’
dí-dé-nò ⁽ⁿ⁾	-yùò	‘glutton’	dìè-dé/ dí-dé (Bi)	‘eat to satiety’

In nearly all cases, the referent of the agentive corresponds to the agent of the associated transitive clause type. However, in the rare type (267) the referent of the agentive corresponds to the object of the associated transitive clause type. One way to interpret this is that the agentive is based on a (zero-derived) mediopassive of the verb.

- (267) ē ʃí?è-yùò
 Art **be.sent.Pfv-Agent.Pl**
 ‘emissaries’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 01:45)

Agentives of intransitive verbs do not of course have incorporated nominal compound initials. Some of the examples in (265) above are based on transitive verbs ('get', 'carve'), but they show no overt reference to an object. Many other transitive verbs correspond to agentives that do include an incorporated object as compound initial (§5.1.5.1).

4.2.3 Lexicalized participles

4.2.3.1 Lexicalized animate participles with -kàʔà (plural -kò)

The suffix -kàʔà (plural -kò) is added to Pfv stems of verbs to derive animate participles. Several "adjectival" concepts are expressed as participles, either animate with -kàʔà or inanimate with -èʔè (§4.5.4).

A few combinations with -kàʔà are lexicalized, denoting a type of human individual. It then functions as an ordinary noun, and can be preceded by the article ē. An example is 'idiot' (268).

(268)	verb	gloss	participle	gloss
	mèrù (invariant)	'be stupid'	mèrù-kàʔà mèrù-kò	'idiot' 'idiots'

Like other nouns, 'idiot' can be made predicative with the usual copula kō.

(269)	a.	zàkí	kō	[Ø	mèrù-kàʔà]	
		A	be	[Art	be.idiot- Ppl.An]	
		'Zaki is an idiot.' (F1)				
	b.	[è	bí-ʃīō]	kō	[Ø	mèrù-kò]
		[Art	children]	be	[Art	be.idiot- Ppl.AnPl]
		'The children are idiots.' (F1)				

Participles can also describe temporary states, e.g. lēⁿ-kàʔà 'standing, in standing position', sēⁿ-kàʔà 'lying down', tērēⁿ-kàʔà 'sitting', dē-kàʔà 'asleep', gbà-tōrāⁿ-kàʔà 'squatting'. These forms show that -kàʔà is added to the Pfv form of the verb.

Participles denoting temporary states can occur without a copula as secondary predicates, for example embedded under 'see'.

(270)	[è	jír ⁿ]	dā=	à	jè	[ð ⁿ	lē ⁿ -kàʔà]
	[Art	djinn]	still	Ipfv	see.Ipfv	[3AnSg	stand.Pfv- Ppl.An]
	'the djinn(s) would see him standing' (Ji, 2017-04 @ 03:22)						

Participial -kàʔà resembles the compound final -kà that occurs in terms for animals, e.g. pō-kà 'animal of the bush, wild animal' (§5.1.7.1). The two endings converge in the plural, which is -kò in both cases, as in pō-kò 'wild animals'.

4.2.3.2 Lexicalized inanimate participles with -èʔè (plural -ə-rè)

èʔé ‘thing’ can function as an inanimate participial ending, in L-toned form -èʔè. The verb is usually in Pfv form, but variants with the base stem have also been recorded. Such participles often function as ordinary adjective-like modifiers (§4.5.4), or else they occur in expressions like ‘drinking water’ (§5.1.10.2).

In (271), the participle has been fully lexicalized as a noun.

(271)	compound	gloss	verb	gloss
a.	dī-èʔè	‘food; meal’	dīē/dí/dí	‘eat’
b.	[lī-lī]-èʔè	‘shiny metal; gold’	lè/lī/lī	‘shine’
c.	ɲùð-èʔè	‘beverage’	ɲùð/ɲō/ɲī	‘drink’

The verb ‘shut; cover (body)’ is itself a compound, with base wáʔá-tòⁿ and Pfv wīʔē-tòⁿ (Ji) or wīēʔē-tòⁿ (Fl) among other dialectal variants. Both Pfv and base are attested as compound initials. (272a) is a lexicalized participle, while ‘blanket’ (272b) has fêʔé ‘garment’ (in L-toned form) as final. ‘Blanket’ can alternatively appear in a more compressed, less transparent form (272c).

(272)	compound	dialect	literal
a.	‘cover (n), covering’ [wīʔē-tò ⁿ]-èʔè [wīēʔē-tò ⁿ]-èʔè	Ji Fl	“[cover]-Ppl.Inan”
b.	‘blanket’ [wáʔá-tò ⁿ]-fêʔé [wā ⁿ ʔá ⁿ -tò ⁿ]-fêʔé	Ji Fl	“[cover]-garment”
c.	‘blanket’ wē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ -fêʔé	Fl Ji	“[cover]-garment”

In the recordings, a speaker occasionally presented an ostensibly lexicalized participle as a way to avoid a borrowing that is in common use colloquially. For example, our Ji speaker produced lè-èʔè (literally “show.Pfv-thing” with ‘thing’ as inanimate participle) in the sense ‘road sign’ to avoid a borrowing based on Fr *plaque*; see 2017-11 beginning @ 08:07.

4.2.4 Iteration of noun stems

Iteration is not a productive device in nominal morphology. We can cite kě-kě ‘(whatever) things’, iterated from kě ‘matter, thing (abstract)’ in (Fl, 2017-03 @ 03:13). Such distributives can also be expressed in a construction with intervening ò (§7.2.3).

4.3 Pronouns

There is a split between invariant nonclitic (i.e. independent) and proclitic pronouns. For third person there is also a set of object enclitics. For 2Sg there is also a possessive suffix.

4.3.1 First and second person pronouns

Most of the first and second person pronouns are summarized in (273). Details about them, and additional forms, are introduced in the following subsections.

(273) category	nonclitic	proclitic	reflexive possessor
1Sg	nó nó ⁿ (Bi)	ń	ń
2Sg	mó mó ⁿ (Bi)	ń	-à (suffix)
1Pl	é-yùò ~ ó-yùò í-yùò (Bi) ó (~ é)	ó (~ é)	(see §18.1.1)
2Pl	bùò	bùò	(see §18.1.1)

4.3.1.1 First and second person pronouns

For several pronouns there is a clear distinction between nonclitic and proclitic forms. A distinct set of proclitics is obligatory in reflexive possessor function (§18.1.1). We disregard reflexive possessor forms in the next few subsections, so when we speak of “proclitics” we refer to forms that can function at least as subjects.

For 2Pl there is no distinction between full and proclitic forms. The invariant form is bùò. It occurs in all functions except reflexive possessor. bùò is homophonous to the (third person) plural independent and logophoric form (§4.3.2.1).

The nonclitic 1Sg and 2Sg forms are nó (Bi nóⁿ) and mó (Bi móⁿ), respectively. They are obligatory as objects, as adpositional complements, and independently (e.g. when focalized). In subject function, proclitics (1Sg ń, 2Sg ń) may replace the nonclitic forms (§4.3.1.6). 1Sg nó and 2Sg mó are also very common as (nonreflexive) possessors.

Like 1Sg and 2Sg, 1Pl also has a long form (é-yùò, less often ó-yùò, and in Bi dialect í-yùò) and a short form (ó ~ é). The division of labor between the long and short 1Pl forms is different from that in the 1Sg and 2Sg. For practical purposes, ó can be taken as the 1Pl counterpart of 1Sg nó and 2Sg mó in most positions, with -yùò as an optional extension. Whereas the short 1Sg and 2Sg forms are limited to subject function, 1Pl ó is very common in subject, possessor, and (varying with é) postpositional complement functions. Only in (postverbal) object function and after the two prepositions is é-yùò obligatory.

The ending in *é-yùò* is a human plural marker *-yùò* that is also found in plural agentives (§4.2.2). It is related to the noun *yúó* which means ‘person’ or ‘people’ depending on dialect. We might speculate that *-yùò* was added to **ó* to distinguish it more sharply from 3Pl *ò* (which raises to *ō* before L-tone).

For additional specialized 1Pl pronouns (*mìé*, *dié*, *ó-bé* ~ *é-bé*), see §4.3.1.4-5 below.

We now illustrate the nonclitic first and second person pronouns in various functions. In (274a), they are subjects. The optional full 1Pl form is in (274b). For 1Sg and 2Sg, reduced proclitics are also possible (§4.3.1.6.1-2 below).

- (274) a. *nó/mó/ó/bùò* *bà*
1Sg/2Sg/1Pl/2Pl come.Pfv
 ‘I/you-Sg/we/you-Pl came.’
- b. *é-yùò* *bà*
1Pl come.Pfv
 ‘We came.’

The interchangeability of 1Pl nonclitic *é-yùò* and proclitic *ó* is shown by comparing *ó nà dò* ‘we will speak’ (2017-01 @ 00:42) with *é-yùò nà sūʔɔ̄...* ‘we will give...’ (2017-04 @ 05:14). In both examples 1Pl subject is followed by future *nà*.

(275) illustrates prenominal (nonreflexive) possessor function.

- (275) a. *nó/mó/ó/bùò* *sē / ná⁽ⁿ⁾*
1Sg/2Sg/1Pl/2Pl father/cow
 ‘my/your-Sg/our/your-Pl father/cow’
- b. *é-yùò* *sē / ná⁽ⁿ⁾*
1Pl father/cow
 ‘our father/cow’

In postverbal object function, only nonclitic forms can occur, and for 1Pl the full form *é-yùò* is obligatory (276). For optional 2Sg = *mì* instead of *mó* in this function, see §4.3.1.3 below. The same nonclitic forms occur as complements of the two prepositions, *kà* ‘with; and’ (277a-b) and ditransitive dative *òⁿ* (278).

- (276) *zàkí* *nà* *nó/mó/é-yùò/bùò*
 Z see.Pfv **1Sg/2Sg/1Pl/2Pl**
 ‘Zaki saw me/you-Sg/us/you-Pl.’ (Ji)
- (277) a. *zàkí* *à* *ʃⁿ* [Ø *kē-sùòⁿ*] [*kà* *nó/mó/é-yùò/bùò*]
 A Ipfv work(v).Ipfv [Art work(n)] [**with** **1Sg/2Sg/1Pl/2Pl**]
 ‘Zaki works with me/you-Sg/us/you-Pl.’ (Ji)
 (*kà* → *kā* before L-toned *bùò* by tone sandhi)

- b. [zàkí [kà nó/mó/é-yùò/bùò]] tètèⁿ
 [Z [and 1Sg/2Sg/1Pl/2Pl] sit.Pfv
 ‘Zaki and I/you-Sg/we/you-Pl sat.’
 (kà → k̄ before L-toned bùò by tone sandhi)

- (278) ò ʃiʔɛ= [Ø kē-sùⁿʔⁿ] [ʔⁿ nó/mó/é-yùò/bùò]
 3Pl give.Pfv [Art work(n)] [Dat 1Sg/2Sg/1Pl/2Pl]
 ‘They gave work to 1Sg/2Sg/1Pl/2Pl.’

The full nonclitic forms, including 1Pl nonclitic é-yùò, are obligatory under focalization. The focus morpheme has singular and (optional) plural forms (279a-b).

- (279) a. [nó/mó tóʔó] bà
 [1Sg/2Sg Foc] come.Pfv
 ‘It was I/you-Sg [focus] who came.’
- b. [é-yùò/bùò t́-ró] bà
 [1Pl/2Pl Foc-AnPl] come.Pfv
 ‘It was we/you-Pl [focus] who came.’

Pronouns take nonclitic forms as complements of postpositions. As with subjects, 1Pl ó ~ é but is optionally expanded as é-yùò. (280a-b) illustrate, using the ‘have’ construction with dative (possessive) postposition.

- (280) a. [è bŭⁿʔⁿ] à-mà [nó/mó/ó/bùò bàʔà]
 [Art dog] be.Loc [1Sg/2Sg/1Pl/2Pl Dat]
 ‘I/you-Sg/we/you-Pl have a dog.’ (F1 Ji)
- b. [è bŭⁿʔⁿ] à-mà [é-yùò bàʔà]
 [Art dog] be.Loc [1Pl Dat]
 ‘We have a dog.’ (F1 Ji)

The short 1Pl form é is more common as postpositional complement than it is as subject or possessor, where ó is regular. In texts, é bàʔà ‘among us, in our zone’ is common, although both é-yùò bàʔà and ó bàʔà are attested.

4.3.1.2 2Sg possessive suffix -à

This is the only pronominal suffix (though third person pronouns have enclitic forms for objects). The usual pronunciation is -à, but assimilations to preceding segments may result in -è or -ò. The suffix competes with pronominal mó (Bi móⁿ). Except in reflexive possessor function, where -à is virtually obligatory, móⁿ is much more common. However, -à occurs occasionally in nonreflexive contexts.

Textual examples include *dó-à* ‘your possession’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:59) from *dó* (default inanimate possessum), *sòⁿ-à* ‘your heart (=temperament)’ (Ji, 2017-07 @ 08:06), and *kē-à* ‘your matter’ (i.e. ‘about you’; Bi, 2017-07 @ 08:56).

In one textual passage, *-à* is seemingly added to the locative postposition *nī*. However, *nī* seems to function as a noun in this instance (281). We do not have other attestations of 2Sg *-à* after postpositions.

- (281) *ń nà bà [gà = à-ńī— dàⁿ nī-àⁿ]*
 1Sg Fut come.Base [Infin come.Base.see.Base— arrive.Base **Loc-2Sg]**
[kò sọ́sọ́ = nì]
 [Infin be.pierced.Base 3InanObj]
 ‘... (that) I would come and see—arrive at your place, to have it (=cheek) pierced.’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 04:56)

Further examples showing the form of 2Sg possessor *-à* and variants are in (282).

- (282) 2Sg possessor suffix (F1 dialect)

noun	2Sg possessed	gloss
<i>dē</i>	<i>dē-à</i>	‘elder sib’
<i>lē</i>	<i>lē-à</i>	‘village’
<i>ná</i>	<i>ná-à</i>	‘your cow’
<i>nī</i>	<i>nī-à</i>	‘mother’
<i>pó</i>	<i>pó-à</i>	‘leg’
<i>sē</i>	<i>ŋī-à</i> (all dialects)	‘father’
"	<i>sē-è</i> (Ji variant)	"
<i>yǒ</i>	<i>yō-à</i>	‘your woman (=wife)’
<i>būⁿ?ṣⁿ</i>	<i>būⁿ?-àⁿ</i>	‘your dog’
<i>ná-díé</i>	<i>ná-dí-à</i>	‘uncle’
<i>gbésé</i>	<i>gbésé-à</i>	‘chewstick’
<i>wù?ó</i>	<i>wù?ó-ò</i>	‘goat’
<i>bí-ŋīō</i>	<i>bí-ŋīō-ò</i>	‘children’

The suffix is more or less obligatory for 2Sg reflexive possessor (§18.1.1), including reflexive *mí?-â* [*mí?â*] ‘yourself-Sg’ (2017-08 @ 10:53). For nouns in nonreflexive contexts, the suffix is elicitable but less common than nonclitic 2Sg pronoun *mó* to the unsuffixed possessum. *mó pó* ‘your-Sg leg’ is much more common than *pó-à* in nonreflexive clauses.

4.3.1.3 Optional 2Sg object = *mì*

As an alternative to the regular nonproclitic 2Sg pronoun *mó* (Bi *móⁿ*), 2Sg object is optionally expressed by a form = *mì* (Bi = *mìⁿ*) that does not occur in other grammatical functions. Based on its restriction to postverbal object position and its similarity to third person object enclitics, we transcribe it as an enclitic. The textual examples are in (283).

- (283) a. [è ná-bí] mà— tàⁿ-jūʔū =mì—
 [Art person] if— help.Ipfv **2SgObj**—
 tàⁿ-jūʔū =mì [kúⁿʔúⁿ nī]
 help.Ipfv **2SgObj** [today Loc]
 ‘If someone helps you-Sg today, ...’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 06:39)
- b. á, [sòⁿ-à té] wìè =mì [à nī]
 oh!, [heart-2SgPoss Foc.Inan] put.Pfv **2SgObj** [3Inan Loc],
 ‘It’s your (own) disposition (=behavior) that put you in that (difficulty)!’
 (Ji, 2017-07 @ 08:06)
- c. *comme* [í bà [gà = à-jìⁿ =mìⁿ nò]]
 as [1Sg come.Pfv [Infin-Ipfv come.Base-see.Base **2SgObj** Emph]]
 ‘Like, I have come to see you.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 09:01)
- d. [è náklùⁿ-[dòⁿ-ní] jì ré] bà-bú =mìⁿ
 [Art cheek-[hurt-VblN] Indef Foc.Inan] come.Pfv-get.Base **2SgObj**
 ‘It’s some ailment of the cheek that came and afflicted you.’ ”
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 04:51)
- e. bà [í gō sūʔō =mìⁿ] mô→,
 come.Pfv [1Sg Infin send.Base **2SgObj**] concerning,
 ‘Come so that I (may) send you!’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 01:53)
- f. *mais* [ē jùʔé] ɲùèⁿ-glō =mìⁿ
 but [Art God] rescue.Pfv **2SgObj**
 ‘But God got you-Sg out safely!’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 04:10)
- g. [ē blō] bà tōⁿ =mì
 [Art rain(n)] if surprise.Base **2SgObj**
 ‘when the rain takes you by surprise’
 (Ji, 2017-11 @ 05:03)

4.3.1.4 1Pl non-subject *mìé* and *dié*

A first plural form (*ē*) *mìé* with nominal article *ē* occurs as postverbal object or prepositional complement (*kā* = [Ø *mìé*] ‘with us’). It is not attested as subject or possessor or as complement of a postposition. Segmentation of *mìé* is obscure but it might consist at least etymologically of 2Sg postverbal object = *mì* (see preceding section) and *é* (1Pl allomorph). It occurs frequently, but not always, in inclusive contexts (‘you and me’ as opposed to ‘he/she/they and me’).

(284) contains an example of *mìé*, along with the only textual example of another form *dié* that appears to have the same sense. In this passage, the two forms occur in the same

morphosyntactic environment (complement of *kà* ‘with; and’). *kà* can also combine with regular 1PI *é-yùò*, and this is the only possibility for exclusive first plural.

- (284) [k̄ā = à-t̄rāⁿ [k̄ā = [Ø m̄è]] [kúⁿ?úⁿ n̄ī],
 [Infin come.Base-sit.Base [with [Art 1PI]] [today Loc],
 dē bùò nà dō [k̄ā = [Ø d̄ié]]
 Quot LogoPl Fut speak.Base [with [Art 1PI]]
 ‘and (our guests) have sat down with us today, intending to speak with us.’
 (Ji, 2017-01 @ 00:19)

m̄ié is evidently archaic. Some speakers from Bo use it fairly often. For other speakers it occurs mainly in formulaic speech such as blessings, and in songs. (284) occurred at the beginning of the first recorded text, and reflects the style used in welcoming guests. *m̄ié* also occurs in formulaic wishes like (285).

- (285) [ē j̄ùè?é] kò tàⁿ-jū?ō [Ø m̄ié]
 [Art God] Hort help.Base [Art 1PI]
 ‘May God help us (all).’ (Fl, 2017-03 @ 03:18)

Identical or similar formulae with ‘help us (all)’ occur in: **Fl** (2017-11 @ 06:50 and 06:55 and 11:30); **Ji** (2017-10 @ 07:06; 2017-11 @ 11:34); **Ma** (2017-05 @ 04:46); **women** (2017-12 @ 00:39). Likewise ‘(May God) give us good luck’ (*women*, 2017-12 @ 00:40) and similarly (*women*, 2017-12 @ 01:32).

(286) is similar stylistically, in a text about crop destroying elephants.

- (286) ò kánà kè?è-kò-dórá = [Ø m̄ié]
 3Pl Proh ruin(v).Base-finish.Base-do.very.much [Art 1PI]
 ‘May they (=elephants) not completely ruin (all of) us.’ (Ji, 2017-09 @ 08:10)
 (*kánà* is from *Jula*)

4.3.1.5 1PI *ó-bé* ~ *é-bé*

The form *ó-bé* or less often *é-bé* is an optional broadly inclusive 1PI form. It is attested as subject, as postpositional complement, and as prenominal possessor. The textual examples follow.

- (287) a. [nó fē-nī] kō [[bùò bíé] bà?à],
 [1Sg greeting] be [[2PI all] Dat],
 [bùò j̄èró→], k̄ā [ó-bé→, ná-fō j̄èró] bà
 [2PI Rel.AnPl], with [1PI, visitor.Pl Rel.AnPl] come.Pfv
 ‘My greeting is to all of you, you-Pl along with our visitors who have come ...’
 (Ji, 2017-01 @ 00:14)

- b. [ó-bé bàʔà] [[Ø wúⁿ] nī]
 [1PI chez] [[Art village] Loc]
 ‘among (all of) us in the village’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 01:25)
- c. [ē mlàⁿʔáⁿ] = áⁿ díē-pōⁿ = ʔ, [é-bé bàʔà]
 [Art war] PfvNeg enter.Base-be.able.Base Neg, [1PI chez]
 ‘War (=a war party) wasn’t able to get in, among us.’
 (Ji, 2017-11 @ 05:36)
- d. ó-bé tíē
 1PI put.down.Pfv
 ‘we have installed...’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 08:00)
- e. [ē dùʔ=] à kōʔō [Ø kè] [ó-bé bàʔà],
 [Art cliffs] Ipfv favor(v).Ipfv [Art matter] [1PI chez],
 ‘The cliffs are valuable for all of us.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 10:16)
- f. ó kō jō = nì, [ó-bé dígè-rò] nī
 1PI Infin drink.Base 3InanObj, [1PI Recip] Loc
 ‘We drink it, together.’ (women, 2017-17 @ 00:40)
- g. [[é-bé tòʔò jī] à-mā] [bùò dēʔē-tòʔ=] = à
 [[1PI place Indef] be.Loc] [3PI hide.Pfv-place] it.is
 ‘There is a place of ours. It’s their secret place.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 04:17)

We have not observed ó-bé in small-scale first contexts, i.e. for ‘you-Sg and I’. This suggests that -bé evolved from bíé(?) ‘all’.

4.3.1.6 Reduced 1Sg and 2Sg proclitic subject pronominals

As noted above, in careful speech (for example in elicitation) 1Sg is normally nó (Bi nóⁿ) and 2Sg is normally mó (Bi móⁿ) in all grammatical functions except reflexive possessor. In recordings and in colloquial speech style, these syllabic pronominals may be replaced by 1Sg ń and 2Sg ñ in subject function, as long as the following word begins with a consonant (before any contractions). The nasal assimilates in position to a following consonant, but we normalize transcriptions with the velar nasal symbol.

Some high-frequency post-subject morphemes such as infinitival kō, conditional bà ~ mà ‘if’, IpfvNeg má⁽ⁿ⁾, and future nà, favor reduction of the syllabic forms to just the nasals. Some high-frequency Pfv verbs like kùⁿ ‘knew/know(s)’ and bà ‘came’ may also favor the reduced subject forms. We do not have sufficient data for statistical study of this matter.

We consider 1Sg ń first then proceed to 2Sg ñ.

4.3.1.6.1 1Sg subject proclitic ń

1Sg ń subject proclitic is regular instead of nóⁿ in formulaic openings and closings of tales. For example, at the conclusion the narrator may say “I picked up” (gblè) or “obtained” (būō ~ būā) the tale, i.e. learned it from others, and has “put (down)” (tīē) the tale in the same place, i.e. narrated it. The verb ‘hit’ (gbà/gò/gò ~ gù) can also be used in the sense ‘narrate, tell (the tale)’. (288) is a good example of a narrative closing.

- (288) ń gblè = nì [tòʔò jèrɔⁿ],
1Sg pick.up.Pfv 3InanObj [place Rel],
ń tīē = nì māⁿ
1Sg put.Pfv 3InanObj there.Def
‘Where I picked it up, I put it (back) there.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 09:34)

Other examples of narrative openings and closings with 1Sg ń subject are **Bi** (2017-06 @ 00:21 and 01:47 and 01:58, 2017-07 @ 00:01 and 09:29 and 10:18, 2017-08 @ 00:02 and 10:17 and 11:07), and **FI** (2017-05 @ 00:07 and 04:41).

1Sg ń is also common in quotations from animals and other protagonists in the tales. Two instances occur in (289).

- (289) dè bon, [è ná-klùⁿ?ùⁿ bó] mlɔⁿ
Quot well, [Art cheek Top] swell.up.Pfv
ń sɔʔɔ-lò = nì [Ø gbē] = ā tàⁿ
1Sg jab.Base-rip.Base 3InanObj [Art outside] Q or
[ń sɔʔɔ = nì [[Ø níⁿ n̄] tē,
[1Sg jab.Base 3InanObj [[Art interior] Loc] Q,
‘(Hare) said, “well, the cheek [topic] is swollen. Should I jab (=pierce) it from the outside, or should I jab it from the inside?” ’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 05:11)

Other examples with 1Sg subject ń in narrative quotations are **Bi** (2017-07 @ 01:02 and 01:13 and 09:01, 2017-08 @ 04:56), **FI** (2017-05 @ 03:29 and 03:35), **Ji** (2017-01 @ 03:41).

Additional examples of 1Sg subject ń are **Bi** (2017-10 @ 01:53 and 05:06) and **Ji** (2017-10 @ 05:11).

For the combination ń kàni ‘as for me’ with topic marker kàni, see §19.1.2.3.1.

nóⁿ is always used instead of ń in the functions of nonreflexive possessor and nonreflexive postpositional complement, although these two are positions that in principle ought to favor proclisis. nóⁿ is also obligatory in non-proclitic functions such as postverbal object and complement of prepositions (kà ‘with’, dative ðⁿ).

4.3.1.6.2 2Sg subject proclitic ñ (and PfvNeg ñà = á)

The reduced proclitic for 2Sg is ñ. This is distinct tonally from the corresponding 1Sg reduced proclitic ń, but it is homophonous with 1Sg reflexive possessor proclitic ñ. In reflexive possessor function, 2Sg is expressed by a suffix, so no confusion should result.

The conditions for usage of 2Sg proclitic *ɲ* instead of *mó⁽ⁿ⁾* appear to be the same as those for 1Sg *ɲ*. It is notable that the two reduced nasal proclitics differ in tone, although the full forms *mó⁽ⁿ⁾* and *nó⁽ⁿ⁾* do not.

When *ɲ* precedes a postsubject nasal-initial particle such as *IpfvNeg má⁽ⁿ⁾*, *ɲ* is sometimes not clearly audible although its presence is semantically called for. We transcribe \emptyset in this case, but leave open the possibility that its absence is due to phonological elision.

Especially in Bi dialect but occasionally elsewhere, there are also cases where *ɲ* fully nasalizes a following stop before itself disappearing. This is the case when *ɲ* is followed by infinitival morpheme or copula *kō*, frequently resulting in $[\eta\bar{o}]$ transcribed \emptyset *ɲō*.

The conditional ‘if’ particle is *bà* (Bi Fl Ma) or *mà* (Ji). In Bi, the combination *ɲ bà* may follow the same trajectory as *ɲ kō*, in which case it surfaces as \emptyset *mà*. The Ji variant *mà* of the ‘if’ particle may have generalized from this combination, plus 3AnSg *ɔ̃ⁿ bà*.

There are more than twenty examples of 2Sg subject proclitic *ɲ* in the texts. Many but not all are combinations with following infinitival/copula *kō* or with *bà ~ mà* ‘if’, suggesting that these combinations favor (though they do not require) *ɲ* as opposed to *mó⁽ⁿ⁾*.

A few examples showing a range of morphosyntactic contexts and speakers of different dialects are in (290). There is a single textual example for Bo dialect of 2Sg PfvNeg *ɲà = á* which appears to be structurally equivalent to 2Sg *ɲ* plus PfvNeg *á* (290g). It was confirmed as grammatical by our main Bi speaker and recognized by our Fl speaker. Its form is compatible with an infinitival combination $/\eta$ *kō á/*, but elicited counterparts with other pronominal subjects lack any infinitival morpheme.

- (290) a. $[\eta$ $\int\bar{u}^n =$ $[\bar{s}^n$ $k\bar{i}-\int\bar{u}^n\eta^{\text{?}}\bar{\delta}^n$ $j\bar{\eta}r\acute{o}^n]$,
2Sg work(v).Pfv [Art work(n) Rel],
 \bar{o} $f\bar{i}\bar{\eta}^{\text{?}}\bar{\eta}$ $[b\bar{\eta}$ $d\acute{o}]$ $[\bar{\delta}^n$ $m\acute{o}]$
 3Pl give.Pfv [Dem.Def Poss.Inan] [Dat 2Sg]
 ‘The work that you-Sg have done, they have given its (compensation) to you-Sg.’
 (Ma, 2017-04 @ 06:59)
- b. *ɲ* *gblè* = *nì* $[\text{t}\bar{\delta}^{\text{?}}\bar{\delta}$ $j\bar{\eta}r\acute{o}^n]$
2Sg pick.up.Pfv 3InanObj [place Rel]
ɲ *bà* *té* = *nì* $f\bar{a}^n\eta^{\text{?}}\bar{a}^n$
2Sg come.Pfv put.Base 3InanObj here
 ‘Where you-Sg picked it up, you came and put it down here.’
 (Ma, 2017-05 @ 04:44)
- c. *wálà*→, *ɲ* *ɲà* $[\bar{\eta}$ $k\bar{\eta}]$, ...
 right!, **2Sg** see.Pfv [Art matter], ...
 ‘Right! (if) you-Sg have seen (=discussed) the matter, ...’
 (Ji, 2017-04 @ 06:45)
- d. \emptyset *ɲō* *nā-dè* = *ó*,
2Sg be old.man whether,
 ‘Whether you are an old man, ...!’ (Fl, 2017-03 @ 03:07) [*< ɲ kō*]

- e. áywà, ñ kùḁⁿ = nì, ...
 well, 2Sg know.Pfv 3InanObj, ...
 ‘Well, you-Sg knew that ...’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 00:50)
- f. [ñ gō jī = nì]
 [2Sg Infin see.Base 3InanObj]
 [ñ já = nì māⁿ]
 [2Sg leave.Pfv 3InanObj there.Def]
 ‘...you have seen it, may you leave it there.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 10:16)
- g. jí ñà= á wè= [Ø dáríⁿ?íⁿ] [à nī],
 if 2Sg PfvNeg put.in.Base [Art manure] [3Inan Loc],
 mó má bî= [Ø dī-è?è] [à nī]
 2Sg IpvNeg get.Ipv [Art food] [Art Loc]
 ‘If you-Sg don’t put manure on it, you won’t get any food out of it.’
 (Bo, 2019-05 @ 00:22)

4.3.1.7 Narrator directly addresses tale protagonist

Typical of narratives in West Africa is a rhetorical device whereby the narrator directly addresses a protagonist in the tale, using 2Sg pronouns. The context is often admonishment or wonder at a bizarre act. For example, in (Ji, 2017-01 @ 01:58), ‘But you saw the gourd’ is addressed to hare, a character in the tale.

4.3.2 Third person pronouns

4.3.2.1 Forms of third person pronouns

Third person pronouns differ from first and second person pronouns as indicated in (291).

- there is a special set of object enclitics;
- there is a special set of third person forms used after *kà* ‘with’;
- proclitics are sharply distinct in form from nonclitics;
- animate nonclitics can function as logophorics in any grammatical role;
- animacy is distinguished in singular clitics;
- plurality is not distinguished in inanimate pronominals.

The basic third-person forms are in (291). In dialects with 3Pl nonclitic variant *bùò*, it is homophonous with 2Pl *bùò*.

(291) category	nonclitic	proclitic	object enclitic (see §4.3.2.3)	after <i>kà</i> ‘with’
3An (Sg/Pl)	—	—	—	<i>kà júò</i>
3Inan (Sg/Pl)	—	—	—	<i>kà lō</i>
3AnSg	<i>bó</i>	<i>ǎⁿ</i>	= <i>yò</i> = <i>ǎⁿ</i> = <i>ò</i> = <i>ǎ</i>	— — — —
3Inan	<i>bè</i>	<i>à</i>	= <i>nì</i>	—
3Pl	<i>bùò</i>	<i>ò</i>	= (<i>w</i>) <i>ò</i>	—

While *bè* can function as an independent inanimate (mostly singular) pronoun, it is also a discourse-definite demonstrative. In this function it can occur in any syntactic position and can either be independent or precede a noun-headed NP (§4.4.2.1).

The third person “B-pronouns” (*bó*, *bùò*, *bè*) can also occur at the end of an NP in topic-marking function (§19.1.2.1).

There is no specific inanimate plural pronoun, though this category does occur in demonstratives (‘these/those’), in indefinite markers, and in relative pronouns. As a pronoun (or discourse-definite), *bè* can extend from singular to plural. In (292), focalized *bè* corresponds to inanimate plural demonstratives.

(292)	[<i>bè</i>	<i>tóʔó</i>	<i>kò</i>	<i>érè</i>	(Ji)
	"	"	"	<i>íṣàrè</i>	(F1)
	[Dem.Def	Foc]	be	Dem.InanPl	
	‘Here/There they (discourse-definite) are!’				

4.3.2.2 Functions of third-person proclitic pronouns

In third-person subject function, the proclitics are usual (293a). Nonclitics are possible substitutes but are relatively uncommon in nonlogophoric contexts (293b-c). For contractions with *Ipfv à* and *PfvNeg á*, see §3463.

- (293) a. *à/ǎⁿ/ò* *glō*
3Inan/3AnSg/3Pl *exit.Pfv*
 ‘It/he-or-she/they went out.’
- b. *bùò* *glō* (F1 Ji)
3Pl *exit.Pfv*
 ‘They went out.’

- b. nó jà = yò
 1Sg see.Pfv **3AnSgObj**
 ‘I saw him/her/it(animate).’ (Fl Ma)
- c. nó jà = w̃
 1Sg see.Pfv **3AnSgObj**
 ‘I saw him/her/it(animate).’ (Ji)
- d. nó jà = wò
 1Sg see.Pfv **3PlObj**
 ‘I saw them.’ (Fl Ma)

3Inan object = nì (Bi = nìⁿ) is phonologically unlike other 3Inan pronominal forms. It is subtly distinguished tonally from progressive nī (Bi nīⁿ), which also directly follows verbs. The progressive morpheme requires a preceding H-toned mora, so the final syllable of the verb is either H or <LH> (§10.2.4.2). By contrast, the 3Inan object enclitic does not affect the form of the preceding verb. Locative postposition nī (§8.3.2.1) is another near-homophone, but it follows nouns rather than verbs. Orthographically, = (clitic boundary) further distinguishes, however artificially, 3Inan object = nì from its (near-)homophones.

Textual examples of the 3Inan object enclitic, among many, are in (297). = nìⁿ is sometimes heard as desyllabified = ñ due to an optional apocope process (§3.4.1.1.1).

(297)	= nì ⁿ	Bi	2017-06 @ 00:11
	= nì	Fl	2017-03 @ 00:28
	= nì	Ji	2017-01 @ 02:09
	= nì	Ma	2017-02 @ 00:23

Our Fl and Ma speakers regularly used 3AnSg variant = yò in elicitation, which sharpens the distinction between 3AnSg and 3Pl. However, in the recorded texts we heard mostly 3AnSg = ò or desyllabified = w̃, with a few cases of = yò and of = ð. Variants = ò and = ð often contract with a stem-final vowel if the stem has the form Cv?v or is multisyllabic. Our transcriptions of individual occurrences (298) are not totally reliable since some textual passages are rapidly spoken or poorly audible for one reason or another (e.g. speaker overlap). The lists in (298) include cases of secondary nasalization (e.g. to = òⁿ or = w̃ⁿ) attributable to a preceding nasal syllable.

(298)	a.	= ò	Bi	2017-07 @ 00:08 & 00:12 & 01:33 & 06:39 & 06:50 & 08:23 & 08:27 & 08:39 & 09:24 2017-08 @ 06:20 & 07:36 & 08:04-08 2017-09 @ 00:35 & 00:38 & 00:46 & 00:53 & 01:09 & 01:13 & 01:33 & 03:06 & 03:22 & 03:32 & 03:47 & 03:50 & 04:23 & 04:36 & 08:24 2017-10 @ 04:34
		= ò	Ji	2017-04 @ 04:55 & 05:00 & 05:06 2017-07 @ 00:12 & 00:30 & 09:24-26 & 09:51

			2017-09 @ 02:16 & 04:07
=ò	Fl		2017-03 @ 00:58 & 02:20 & 02:39-42
			2017-05 @ 01:17 & 03:56
			2017-11 @ 10:48
. =ò	Ma		2017-01 @ 02:41
			2017-04 @ 03:20 & 04:0 & 01:558
			2018-01 @ 01:55-57 & 02:03-07
=ò	women		2017-12 @ 02:33-38
			2017-13 @ 02:24 & 02:53-56
			2017-18 @ 00:21
			2017-20 @ 00:37
b. =w̃	Bi		2017-06 @ 01:04
			2017-07 @ 00:15 & 00:26 & 04:29
			2017-08 @ 09:09 & 09:13
			2017-09 @ 02:54 & 04:23
=w̃	Fl		2017-05 @ 01:12
=w̃	Ji		2017-01 @ 02:41
=w̃	Ma		2017-10 @ 06:38
c. =yò	Bi		2017-07 @ 09:03
=yò	women		2017-13 @ 03:40
d. =ð	Bi		2017-09 @ 00:20 & 00:33 & 02:54 & 03:04 &
			03:22 & 03:24-27 & 04:41

Some occurrences of =ò for Bi dialect involve preceding verb-final [-ATR] vowels ϵ or σ . For example, we heard $\eta\delta^n = \delta^n$ ‘see(s) him/her’ from $\eta\epsilon^n$ ‘see.Ipfv’ (2017-09 @ 00:33). Compare $\eta\bar{\iota}^n = \delta^n$ from $\eta\bar{\iota}^n$ ‘see.Base’ in the same text (@ 00:38 & 01:09). However, @ 00:20 $\eta\bar{\iota}^n$ appears to combine with the 3AnSg object enclitic as $\eta\bar{\iota}^n = =\delta^n$.

Textual examples of the 3Pl object enclitic are in (299). Again, audibility is a problem in some cases, but we generally hear =wò with no contraction.

(299)	=wò	Bi	2017-07 @ 04:33
			2017-09 @ 00:24 & 07:45
	=wò	Fl	2017-05 @ 00:52
	=wò	Ji	2017-04 @ 06:23
			2017-07 @ 03:54 & 09:51

Combinations of ditransitive dative preposition δ^n (§8.1.2) and third person pronominals take the fused forms in (300). The dative marker is effectively elided. We transcribe these without the enclitic boundary marker =.

- (300) a. 3AnSg ðⁿ ~ w̃ⁿ usual form, e.g. (Bi & Ji, 2017-07 @ 00:41);
 èyⁿ < /ðⁿ yò/, attested (Bi, 2017-08 @ 06:37)
- b. 3Pl ò

4.3.2.4 Third-person inanimate lō and animate júò after kà ‘with’

Examples of the combinations with preposition kà ‘with; and’ plus a third person pronominal are in (301). Except in conjunctions, kà is normally instrumental with inanimates (301a) and comitative with humans (301b-c). In texts, especially for Bi dialect, kà is often reduced to à (§3.4.2.1) except when clause-initial. Third person inanimate lō and animate júò do not occur elsewhere in the language and do not resemble any other pronominals. They can be singular or plural in reference although most textual examples have singular reference. kō-yùò is an explicitly plural demonstrative ‘these/those’, here pressed into service in the absence of a dedicated post-kà 3Pl pronoun. (k)à lō is pronounced à rō in Bi dialect.

- (301) a. ná= à ʃɪⁿ [Ø kē-sùⁿ?ðⁿ] [kà lō]
 1Sg Ipfv work(v).Ipfv [Art work(n)] [with 3Inan]
 ‘I work with it (inanimate).’ (Ji)
- b. ná= à ʃɪⁿ [Ø kē-sùⁿ?ðⁿ] [kà júò]
 1Sg Ipfv work(v).Ipfv [Art work(n)] [with 3An]
 ‘I work with him/her/it/them (animate).’ (Ji)
- c. ná= à ʃɪⁿ [Ø kē-sùⁿ?ðⁿ] [kà kō-yùò]
 1Sg Ipfv work(v).Ipfv [Art work(n)] [with Dem.AnPl]
 ‘I work with those (people).’ (Ji)

The pairing of inanimate lō with animate júò after kà ‘with’ is suspiciously similar to that between inanimate dó and animate júó as default possessums (§6.2.4). Alternations of initial d with ju occur in verbal stem alternations (§3.4.2.5). This raises the possibility that lō reflects *dō or *dō.

Textual examples of (k)à lō ‘with it/them (inanimate)’, among others, are **Bi** (2017-07 @ 06:20 and 07:44), **Ji** (2017-03 @ 01:28; 2017:07 @ 04:56), **Fi** (2017-03 @ 01:28), **Ma** (2017-01 @ 01:48), and **women** (2017-14 @ 00:19).

A textual example of (k)à júò is (302). This phrase also occurs in symmetrical comparative (912d) in §12.2.2.

- (302) [ē dōsə-ró] ō bà [gà= à-blā =ò],
 [Art hunter-Pl] Infin come.Pfv [Infin come.Base-lead.out.Base 3AnSgObj]
 kò yíʔí [à júò]
 Infin go.Base [with 3An]
 ‘Hunters came and (gently) evicted it, and took the creature (=elephant) away.’
 (Bi, 2017-09 @ 00:46)

With the verb *fīē/fó/fó* ‘pass, go past, keep going’, imperative *fó* [kà lō] ‘go past with it’ has the pragmatic sense ‘go away!’ (Fr *va-t’en!*).

4.3.3 Subject pronominals plus vocalic inflectional morphemes

When a vowel-final pronominal combines with a vocalic preverbal particle, PfvNeg *á* or Ipvf *à*, contracted pronunciations are usual. There are two basic types (§3.4.6.3). We use the clitic boundary = for both types of contractions.

For first and second person pronouns (1Sg *nó*, 2Sg *mó*, 1Pl *ó* or *é-yùò*, 2Pl *bùò*), and for the nonclitic third person pronouns (*bó*, *bùò*), ordinary vv-Contraction applies. *é-yùò* and *bùò* lose their final *ò*, as in *bù= á* and *bù= à*. *nó* and *mó* produce combinations of variable pronunciation, e.g. imperfective 1Sg *nó à*, *nó= à*, and *ná= à*.

The other type is full fusion without lengthening, which occurs with third person proclitics. The *à* or *á* disappears segmentally, but leaves a tonal trace.

(303) illustrates third person proclitics for two verbs. *klè* ‘do (invariant)’ is L-toned, so the proclitics raise to M-toned in the perfective in (303a) (§3.6.2.1). No raising occurs before ‘descended’ since the latter is not L-toned. In the imperfective positive (303b), the proclitic fuses with underlying *à*, which disappears but locks the proclitic into L-tone, regardless of the tone of the verb. In the perfective negative, the proclitic fuses with underlying *á*, which disappears but combines tonally to produce a rising tone, which is not affected by the tone of the verb.

(303)	3AnSg	3Inan	3Pl
a. ‘did’	$\bar{5}^n$ klè	\bar{a} klè	\bar{o} klè
‘descended’	δ^n s̄r̄ $\bar{5}^n$	\bar{a} s̄r̄ $\bar{5}^n$	\bar{o} s̄r̄ $\bar{5}^n$
b. ‘does’	$\delta^n = \emptyset$ klè	$\bar{a} = \emptyset$ klè	$\bar{o} = \emptyset$ klè
‘descends’	$\delta^n = \emptyset$ sárú n	$\bar{a} = \emptyset$ sárú n	$\bar{o} = \emptyset$ sárú n
c. ‘didn’t do’	$\check{5}^n = \emptyset$ klè	$\check{a} = \emptyset$ klè	$\check{o} = \emptyset$ klè
‘didn’t descend’	$\delta^n = \emptyset$ sárú n	$\bar{a} = \emptyset$ sárú n	$\bar{o} = \emptyset$ sárú n

Phonetically, $\bar{5}^n$ klè is distinguished from $\delta^n = \emptyset$ klè only by the tone of the proclitic, while δ^n s̄r̄ $\bar{5}^n$ is distinguished from $\delta^n = \emptyset$ sárú n only by the tone and form of the verb.

4.4 Determiners and articles

4.4.1 Articles

4.4.1.1 Article *ē*

Tiefö-D has a very common pronominal morpheme *ē*. It occurs before common nouns, but in general not before place names or personal names. It does not occur in the absence of a noun. *ē* does not specify animacy, definiteness, or grammatical number. *ē* is heard as L-toned *è*

before an H-tone by regular tone sandhi (§3.6.2.2). (304a-i) show \bar{e} before singular and plural nouns.

(304)	a.	\bar{e}	ná-bí	‘a/the person’
		\bar{e}	ná-bí-ó	‘(the) people’
		\bar{e}	yúó	‘a/the people’
	b.	\bar{e}	bū ⁿ ?ɔ̃ ⁿ	‘a/the dog’
		\bar{e}	bū?ɔ̃	‘(the) dogs’
	c.	\bar{e}	bí-sīɔ̃ ⁿ	‘a/the child’
		\bar{e}	bí-sīɔ̃	‘(the) children’
	d.	\bar{e}	wù?ó	‘a/the snake’
		\bar{e}	wè-ró	‘(the) snakes’
	e.	\bar{e}	sò	‘a/the horse’
		\bar{e}	sè-rò	‘(the) horses’
	f.	\bar{e}	sǒ	‘a/the pig’
		\bar{e}	sè-ró	‘(the) pigs’
	g.	\bar{e}	wù?ú	‘a/the house’
		\bar{e}	wè-rú	‘(the) houses’
	h.	\bar{e}	pú?ó	‘a/the stick’
		\bar{e}	pé-ró	‘(the) sticks’
	i.	\bar{e}	ʃí ⁿ ?í ⁿ	‘a/the tree’
		\bar{e}	sè-rí ⁿ	‘(the) trees’
	j.	\bar{e}	nū	‘(the) water’
		\bar{e}	súmá-klà?à	‘(the) maize’
		\bar{e}	dórá?á	‘a/the courtyard’

The article can be thought of as an “absolute” marker. It indicates that the following noun along with any postnominal modifiers is an autonomous NP. The article is present in citation forms of nouns and simple NPs, and clause-initially (305a). It is compatible with the postnominal specific indefinite marker *jī* ‘a (certain)’ or ‘some’ (305b). It is absent when the noun is preceded by a possessor (305c), which arguably fills the same linear slot. It is optional when the NP includes a (postnominal) demonstrative (305d). It is often present, but occasionally omitted even in isolation or postpausally, in combinations with the universal quantifier (305e-f). It is inaudible in predicate nominals after the copula *kō* ‘be’ (305g), though it can be restored in careful speech or after resuming an interrupted sentence.

- (305) a. [ē bŭⁿʔⁿɔ̃ⁿ] bà
 [Art dog] come.Pfv
 ‘A/The dog came.’ (Ji)
- b. [ē bŭⁿʔⁿɔ̃ⁿ jī] bà
 [Art dog Indef] come.Pfv
 ‘A (certain) dog came.’ (Fl)
- c. [nó bŭⁿʔⁿɔ̃ⁿ] bà
 [1Sg dog] come.Pfv
 ‘My dog came.’ (Ji)
- d. (ē) bŭⁿʔⁿɔ̃ⁿ kǎⁿ
 (Art) dog Dem.AnSg
 ‘this/that dog’ (Ji)
- e. è ná-bí-ó bíé
 Art person-Pl all
 ‘everyone’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 02:28)
- f. ē bŭⁿʔⁿò/sə̀-rìⁿ bíéʔ
 Art dog.Pl/tree-Pl all
 ‘all the dogs/trees’ (Fl Ji)
- g. nó kō [(Ø) bŭⁿʔⁿɔ̃ⁿ]
 1Sg be [(Art) dog]
 ‘I am a dog.’ (Ji)
- h. nó kò [(Ø) ná]
 1Sg be [(Art) cow]
 ‘I am a cow.’ (Fl Ji)

In examples like ‘all the dogs’ in (305e), when the noun is M-toned but undergoes M#H-to-L#H (§3.6.2.2), the article may be pulled down with the noun. Thus ē bŭⁿʔⁿò ‘dogs’ plus bíé ‘all’ is often heard as [èbŭⁿʔⁿòbíéʔ]. However, [ēbŭⁿʔⁿòbíéʔ] and intermediate pronunciations are also possible, and we normalize the transcription of such examples with ē rather than è.

Except when it occurs clause-initially or after an interruption or other prosodic break, the article undergoes vv-Contraction and is effectively absorbed into the preceding vowel. It often leaves a tonal trace, in case its tone prior to its deletion was different from the tone of the preceding syllable. See §3.4.6.1 for detailed discussion. We exemplify here with (306), where two instances of ē have been elided. The first is that of è bú ‘money’, where the H-toned noun forces ē to drop to è. This è then contracts with M-toned glō to form glō = with <ML> tone. The second is that of ē plùʔú, which contracts with H-toned bú to form bŭ = with <HM> tone. The symbol = indexes the operation of vv-Contraction.

- (306) nó dīē-glō= [Ø bŭ=] [[[Ø plùʔú] līⁿ] nī]
 1Sg remove.Pfv [Art money] [[[Art bag] guts] Loc]
 ‘I took the money out of the bag.’ (F1)

4.4.1.2 Putative articles ā and ò

Winkelmann (1998:133) recognizes an article allomorph “ʔa” (which would be M-toned ʔā in our transcription) for certain plant-part terms. Her examples are reproduced along with our transcriptions in (307). Plurals are indented.

- | | | |
|-------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| (307) | Winkelmann | gloss |
| | a. ʔa biɕ | ‘leaf’ |
| | ʔa biɕ | ‘leaves’ |
| | b. ʔa féɾé | ‘blossom’ (<i>Blüte</i>) |
| | ʔa néʔé | ‘root’ |
| | ʔa néɾé _i | ‘roots’ (<i>Wurzel</i>) |
| | ʔa ʔóʔó | ‘branch’ (<i>Zweig</i>) |
| | ʔa ʔóró | ‘branches’ |

For ‘leaf’, we have (ē) à-bìⁿʔéⁿ (Bi Ji) and dialectal variants (ē) à-bìⁿèⁿʔéⁿ (Ma) and (ē) wà-bìⁿèⁿʔéⁿ (Fl), with initial à in some dialects that can contract with the article ē, as in ā = Ø-bìⁿʔéⁿ. It is true that the (w)à- is dropped in compounds like (è) máⁿgàrō-bìⁿʔéⁿ ‘mango leaf’.

In the other examples (307b), we believe that the initial morpheme is the 3Inan pronominal proclitic in possessor function, hence ‘its blossom’, ‘its root(s)’, and ‘its twig(s)’. For example, ‘root’ is (è) néʔé, and ‘its root’ is à néʔé. Winkelmann states that article allomorph ā is tonally distinct from 3Inan proclitic à, but her examples in (307b) all involve H-toned nouns, which would drop preceding ā to à anyway by tone sandhi. So we do not confirm the existence of an alternative article form.

Numerals ‘2’ to ‘9’ are immediately preceded by ò, e.g. ò jōⁿ ‘2’ and ò kàⁿ ‘5’. This might be considered to be another article, but specifically plural. Its etymological relationship with 3Pl pronominal proclitic ò is unclear. In examples like ò tō ‘the others, the remaining ones’, we identify the prenominal morpheme as 3Pl ò in possessor function, in parallel with ā tō ‘the other (one)’ with 3Inan possessor à.

4.4.2 Determiners

4.4.2.1 Discourse-definite inanimate bè (~ bì)

Here we consider the discourse-definite functions of bè, either as a stand-alone nonclitic inanimate pronoun or preceding a noun. When bè follows an inanimate noun, it functions as a

topic marker, parallel to animate bó (singular) and bùò (plural). For this topic function see §19.1.2.1.

There is no adnominal definite marker with the broad semantic-pragmatic functions in European languages. Discourse-definite bè is best translated by *that* in the strong discourse-definite sense (that same one we were talking about). A variant bì occurs dialectally in some combinations.

bè may function by itself as a resumptive discourse-definite demonstrative, referring back either to an entity or to a just-described situation.

- (308) a. dè móⁿ glō [à bē=] [Ø sē] tē
 Quot 2Sg exit.Prv [with **Dem.Def**] [Art where?] Q
 ‘(Hyena said:) “where did you bring that from?”’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 04:09)
- b. é wō jīⁿ bè
 1Pl Infin see.Base **Dem.Def**
 ‘We saw that.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 04:44)
- c. bè [jōⁿ-dù?ó]-dò,
Dem.Def [two-Ordinal]-Poss.Inan,
 bè wā= à-klè= [í-yùò bà?à] mùsòkóró]
Dem.Def Infin come.Base-be.done.Base [1Pl chez] M
 ‘The second one (=incident) of those, that one happened in our (zone) to Musokoro (a woman).’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 02:30)

Very often bè is focalized as bè tó?ó, resuming a just-described entity or situation. This can be captured by English stressed resumptive *That’s* (*why/what/who...*). tó?ó is the focalizer for animate NPs but extends here to inanimates, replacing té (§13.1.1). bè tó?ó (including dialectal bì tó?ó) is very common, as in (309a-c). bè té occurs chiefly in the common and invariant phrase [bè té] já ‘for that [focus] reason’ (§8.1.3).

- (309) a. é, [kō tārāⁿ]
 huh!, [Infin sit.Base]
 [kō dò [bè tò?ó=] [[Ø dèrà?á] nī]]
 [Infin say.Base [**Dem.Def Foc**] [[Art courtyard] Loc]]
 (“My field will be finished in one day”) ‘Huh? (He) sat and said that [focus] in a courtyard!’ (Ma, 2017-03 @ 00:32)
- b. [bè tò?ó] k=ā léⁿ =òⁿ
 [**Dem.Def Foc**] Infin-Ipfv make.stand.Ipfv 3AnSgObj
 ‘that [focus] was holding him up (keeping him standing).’
 (Ma, 2017-04 @ 03:20)

- c. [[ē náⁿ-bí] dóʔó] á kō =ʔ,
 [[Art person] Foc] PfvNeg finish.Base Neg,
 [bí tóʔó] ō bè
 [Dem.Def Foc] be Dem.Def
 ‘(If God says) that a person’s (role) is not finished, that [focus] is how it is.’
 (Bi, 2017-09 @ 02:26)

In the many such examples where *bè* or *bè tóʔó* resumes a situation (rather than a specific entity), it verges on manner adverbial status, as in ‘that’s what/how it was’. Adverbial status can also be made explicit. The locative PP *bè nī* ‘in that’ can have a straightforward locative sense, or it can mean abstractly ‘in that situation’. It occurs in backgrounded interludes between foregrounded narrative segments, indicating that a previously described situation continues or a previously described event is repeated.

- (310) *donc*, à kō pēⁿ [bè nī]
 so, 3Inan Infin remain.Base [Dem.Def Loc]
 ‘So, it remained in that (situation).’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 04:49)

bè nī can also be more temporal, roughly ‘at that point, at that time, then’.

- (311) à pòʔó-ní, bē ā klè fí—,
 3Inan aerate-VblN, Dem.Def Ipfv be.done.Ipfv until—,
 [ē cūō-cūō fēʔè] nī,
 [Art August month] Loc,
 ò kō pòʔó =nì [bè nī],
 3Pl Infin aerate.Base 3InanObj [Dem.Def Loc]
 ‘The turning over (=aeration of the earth), that is done through the month of August, they turn it over then.’ (Ma, 2018-06 @ 00:24)

bè nī is thus a locative PP that can stray into manner adverbial status in context. There are also some true manner adverbials meaning ‘thus, like that, in that way’. In (312), *bè nī* means ‘in that’ referring to a meeting, while *bè-kā* (containing *kā* ‘manner’) has a metalinguistic sense ‘thus, in the way I have described’.

- (312) ò k-ā bē bè-kā, ò kō [bè nī] bè-kā
 3Pl Infin-Ipfv come.Ipfv thus, 3Pl be [Dem.Def Loc] thus
 ‘They came like that, they were (involved) therein thus.’
 (Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:47)

A similar example is (2017-01 @ 01:14). The noun *kā* ‘manner’ is part of the rather fused *bè-kā* ‘thus’, which can be further expanded as *bè-kà-tó* ‘thus’, e.g. (Fl, 2017-11 @ 04:22). The ending *-tó* is truncated from focus marker *tóʔó*.

Our Bi speaker consistently has *bè-yá* ‘thus’ instead of *bè-kā*. It can occur as such (2017-08 @ 00:59), but it is usually expanded as *bè-yá-ró*, ending in another reduced variant of the focus marker *tóʔó*.

- (313) *donc* ā pìèⁿ bè-yá-ró, áywà, [ē kō jī], ...
 so 3Inan remain.Pfv **thus**, well, [Art day Indef], ...
 ‘So, it stayed that way. Well, one day, ...’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 00:48)

bè can also modify a preceding or following noun as a discourse-definite demonstrative. For full analysis of and examples of combinations with nouns, see §6.5.3. One common combination is bè t̩ʔ̩ ‘that (same) place’, which competes with the more common adverb mā ‘there (definite)’.

Finally, bè can occur by itself at the end of a clause as a semantically light ‘thus’ adverb (§8.5.5.2.5). Here it functions as a shortened version of bè-kā and similar ‘thus’ adverbs. Such clause-final adverbs often do not have a literal sense, in which case they can be omitted in free translations.

4.4.2.2 ‘This/that’ (deictic demonstrative pronouns)

Demonstratives other than discourse-definite bè are deictic (pointing). Whereas bè normally precedes a modified noun (except as a topic marker), deictics follow nouns and any inner modifiers including adjectives and numerals (§6.5.2). There is a single deictic category, not distinguishing proximate from distant. (314) presents the known deictic forms with dialectal distributions parenthesized.

(314)		singular	plural
	animate	kǎ ⁿ (all)	kō-yùò (Bi Fl Ji) kō-yə-rò (Ma) kō-rùò (Ma) kǎ-rò (Fl)
	inanimate		
	regular	yá (all) =á (enclitic form)	érè (Ji) íṅ̀rè (Bi Fl Ma) íṅ̀rè yá (Ma) = rè (Fl Ji, enclitic form)

The animate forms are related to animate participial suffixes: -kàʔà singular, -kò plural (§4.2.3.1) and their relatives. The inanimate forms with y or i have a vague affinity to inanimate plural forms with e-vowel such as inanimate plural indefinite j̩-rē.

Winkelmann (1998:141-142) transcribes the demonstratives as in (315). We add M-toned diacritics implied by her transcriptional practice.

(315)	form	category	position
	a. k̩̀	AnSg	postnominal (subject or object)
	"	"	absolute, subject
	k̩̀	"	absolute, object

- b. kōyūō ~ kōrō AnPl
- c. yà ~ ʔà InanSg all examples are postnominal
- d. yīrī InanPl

In our data, kǎⁿ is the animate singular form in all dialects and grammatical contexts. Textual examples of its dialectally variable plurals given above in (314) are referenced in (316).

(316) dialect	form	reference
Fl	kǎ-rò	2017-05 @ 00:46
Bi	kō-yùò	2017-07 @ 00:24
"	"	2017-07 @ 03:27
Ji	"	2021-02 @ 00:44, 01:26

There is clearly a split between two formations. kō-yùò shifts kǎⁿ from a to ɔ and denasalizes it (as often in nominal plurals), and adds animate plural -yùò, cf. -yùò in agentive plurals and in é-yùò ‘we’, and the noun yúó ‘person’ or ‘people’. kǎ-rò shows the same vocalic shift and denasalization, and adds a rhotic as in the other main type of nominal plural.

For inanimate singular referent the demonstrative is yá. It can encliticize as =á postnominally or otherwise clause-medially.

- (317) a. [tǎʔ= á] nī
 [place **Dem.InanSg**] Loc
 ‘in this place’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:43)
- b. dè [Ø kǎʔé-bárá] kò yá tè
 Quot [Art work(n)] be **Dem.InanSg** Q
 ‘(said) “Here is (what) action?”’ (Ma, 2017-02 @ 01:17)
- c. [yá jǎrǎⁿ] klè
 [**Dem.InanSg** Rel] be.done.Pfv
 ‘that which has happened’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 04:35)
- d. [bùò kē-sùⁿ?ǎⁿ á jǎrǎⁿ] nó—
 [LogoPl work(n) **Dem.InanSg** Rel] 1Sg—
 bùò sùⁿ =rē =ē
 LogoPl work(v).Pfv Emph Q
 ‘(they said:) “This work of ours that I— (that) we did?”’
 (Ji, 2017-04 @ 05:25)
- e. kō wō-tèʔǎ= [Ø dǎrǎⁿ?= á]
 Infin sing.Base-be.accustomed [Art song **Dem.InanSg**]
 ‘... was accustomed to sing this song’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 01:39)

The plural of yá is dialectally variable (314). Internal segmentation is obscure. Textual examples are in (318).

(318)	dialect	form	reference
	Bi	ínḁrè	2017-09 @ 04:44
	Bo	"	2019-09 @ 00:55
	Ji	érè	2017-11 @ 00:33

A range of animate nouns is shown with demonstratives in (319) for Ji dialect. The noun and the demonstrative do not interact segmentally or tonally. The article ē is optionally present, but is omitted here in the singular and plural columns.

(319)	noun	gloss	singular	plural
	è wúʔó	‘snake’	wúʔó kǎ ⁿ	wó-ró kō-yùò
	ē bī-ʃīṣ ⁿ	‘child’	bī-ʃīṣ ⁿ kǎ ⁿ	bī-ʃīō kō-yùò
	ē sò	‘horse’	sò kǎ ⁿ	sò-rò kō-yùò
	ē sǒ	‘pig’	sǒ kǎ ⁿ	sò-ró kō-yùò

Inanimate examples for Ji dialect are in (320). The H-toned demonstrative lowers the tones of some preceding nouns that elsewhere end in M-tone or in a rising tone melody, by tone sandhi.

(320)	noun	gloss	singular	plural
a. tone is lowered				
	ē wùʔú	‘house’	wùʔù yá ~ wùʔ = á	wù-rù (y)érè
	ē nū	‘water’	nù yá	—
	ē ʃī ⁿ ʔī ⁿ	‘tree’	ʃī ⁿ ʔī ⁿ yá	sò-rì ⁿ (y)érè
b. no change in tones				
	ē púʔó	‘stick’	púʔó yá	pó-ró (y)érè
	è sá má-klàʔà	‘maize’	sá má-klàʔà yá	—
	è dǎ ráʔá	‘courtyard’	dǎ ráʔá yá	—

Some data for Ma dialect are in (321) for inanimates, in (322) for animates.

(321)	a. ‘house’ (< wùʔú)	
	wùʔù yá	‘this/that house’
	wùʔù ínḁrè yá	‘these/those houses’
	b. ‘calabash’ (< klṣ)	
	klṣ yá	‘this/that calabash’
	klṣ ínḁrè yá	‘these calabashes’

- c. ‘month (moon)’ (< fêʔè, plural fê-rè)
 fêʔè yá ‘this month’
 fê-rè íjèrè yá ‘these months’
 fêʔè íjèrè yá ”

- (322) a. ‘cow’ (< ná, plural nó)
 ná kǎⁿ ‘this cow’
 nó kǎ-r-ùò (~ kǎ-yàrò) ‘these cows’

- b. ‘woman’ (< yǒ, plural yà-ró)
 yǒ kǎⁿ ‘this/that woman’
 yà-ró kǎ-r-ùò (~ kǎ-yàrò) ‘these/those women’

yá, normally inanimate, is also attested in the combination kèⁿ yá ‘this/that (same) fellow’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:43) from noun kěⁿ ‘fellow, guy’ (§18.5.1.1). The referential anonymity of ‘fellow’ may have influenced the use of “inanimate” demonstrative. kěⁿ kǎⁿ is also possible. Conversely, kǎⁿ is normally animate singular, but some speakers use it also in the common phrase [bè tóʔó] kō kǎⁿ ‘that [focus] is it’ (e.g. Bo, 2019-04 @ 01:02). Other speakers have the regular [bè tóʔó] kò yá.

4.4.2.3 Indefinite jī (plurals jǎ-rē and jǎ-rō)

As a singular noun, jī can mean ‘something’, ‘someone’, or (with locative postposition nī) ‘sometimes, in some cases’. With the article it is ē jī. Examples are in (323)

- (323) a. kō dō = [Ø jī] [à nī]
 Infin say.Base [Art **something**] [3Inan Loc]
 ‘... and say something about it.’ (Ma, 2018-02 @ 01:15)

- b. [ē jī] wò táⁿ-gbē
 [Art **someone**] Infin take.over.Base
 ‘Someone (else) would take over (from him).’ (women, 2017-13 @ 01:17)

- c. [[ē jī] nī] [ē kě] à bí
 [[Art **Indef**] Loc] [Art cowpea] Ipfv get.Ipfv
 [ē fê-r = [ò ŋūʔō]], [Ø fê-r = [ò sáⁿ]]
 [Art month-Pl] [Pl four]], [Art month-Pl [Pl three]]
 ‘In some cases, cowpeas take four months (to grow), (or) three months.’
 (Bo, 2019-08 @ 00:07)

More often, jī or one of its plural forms follows a noun X with or without adjectival or other modifiers, functioning as a specific indefinite determiner. Even ‘someone’ can be expressed as è wí jī, literally “the owner/boss.” The paradigm is (324).

(324)	jī	singular
	jǎ-rō	animate plural
	jǎ-rē	inanimate plural

The paradigm strongly resembles that of the relative marker (chapter 14). The two are shown side by side in (325). The relative forms can also be interrogative ‘which?’ in clauses with an interrogative enclitic. In conditional antecedents, the “relative” forms sometimes function as indefinites (§14.1.7).

(325)	category	indefinite	relative
	animate singular	jī	jǎró ⁿ
	animate plural	jǎ-rō	jǎró
	inanimate plural	jǎ-rē	jǎré

We hyphenate the indefinite plurals since they appear to suffix a rhotic syllable, while the relative plurals merely modify vowel quality and nasality of the singular. Plural indefinites and plural relatives differ only in tone. Even the tones are often neutralized, since the relative markers drop to all-L tones before an H-tone by regular tone sandhi.

The animacy-based e/o opposition in the plurals is an old noun-class distinction (§4.1.3). We have textual examples of InanPl jǎ-rē for Fl and Ji speakers: e.g. (Fl, 2017-03 @ 01:58) and (Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:11). We have textual examples of AnPl jǎ-rō for Bi, Fl, and Ji speakers, e.g. (Bi, 2017-09 @ 00:06), (Fl, 2017-05 @ 00:19), (Ji, 2017-09 @ 08:32).

For details of syntax and usage of indefinite markers, see §6.5.4.

4.4.3 Demonstrative adverbs

4.4.3.1 Locative (spatial) adverbs

Basic demonstrative adverbs denoting location are in (326).

(326)	form	gloss
	fā ⁿ ?ā ⁿ	‘here’
	fā ⁿ ?ā ⁿ gblà?à	‘over there’ (deictic), cf. §8.3.4.5
	mā (Bi mā ⁿ)	‘there’ (discourse-definite)

fāⁿ?āⁿ and mā are very common in the texts, the latter often in combination with the locative-existential predicator à-mā, hence X à-mā mā ‘X is there (definite)’.

To these unsegmentable spatial adverbs we may add the very common combinations with locative postposition nī (Bi nīⁿ) in (327).

b. $\text{ɲ}^{\text{h}} =$ [Ø dè] ní
look.Base [Art field] **Prsntv**
 ‘Here’s the field!’ (Ji)

c. $\text{ɲ}^{\text{h}} =$ zàkì ní
look.Base Z **Prsntv**
 ‘Here’s Zaki!’ (F1 Ji)

d. $\text{ɲ}^{\text{h}} =$ mó ní
look.Base 2Sg **Prsntv**
 ‘Here you-Sg are!’ (Ji)

For third person pronominals, in most dialects the verb takes the irregular form $\text{ɲ}^{\text{h}} =$ for animates (331a-b) or $\text{ɲ}^{\text{h}} =$ for inanimates (331c), with low tone. Bi has M-toned $\text{ɲ}^{\text{h}} =$ or $\text{ɲ}^{\text{h}} =$. In these forms, the verb shows partial vocalic assimilation to the object enclitic. The inanimate form contracts by haplology. It is difficult to determine if these are mutations from ɲ^{h} ‘look’ or involve a switch to ɲ^{h} ‘see’. As usual, inanimate pronominals do not distinguish number. A circumlocation expressing inanimate plural is (331d), cf. the following section.

(331) a. $\text{ɲ}^{\text{h}} =$ = ðⁿ ní (F1 Ji)
 $\text{ɲ}^{\text{h}} =$ = ðⁿ níⁿ (Bi)
look 3AnSgObj **Prsntv**
 ‘Here/There he/she/it (animate) is!’

b. $\text{ɲ}^{\text{h}} =$ = ò ní (Ji)
 $\text{ɲ}^{\text{h}} =$ = wò ní (F1)
 $\text{ɲ}^{\text{h}} =$ = ò níⁿ (Bi)
look 3PlObj **Prsntv**
 ‘Here/There they are!’

c. $\text{ɲ}^{\text{h}} =$ = ì ní (F1 Ji)
 $\text{ɲ}^{\text{h}} =$ = ìⁿ níⁿ (Bi)
look 3InanObj **Prsntv**
 ‘Here/There it is!’ (F1 Ji)
 (for expected #... = nì ní)

d. [bè tóʔó] kò éré (Ji)
 [" tōʔó] " íɲèré (F1)
 [Dem.Def Foc] be Dem.InanPl
 ‘Here they are (inanimate)!’

Although comparison to (331a-b) suggests the parallel analysis of (331c), including vocalic assimilation from ɲ^{h} ‘look’, the shift from ɲ^{h} to ɲ^{h} plus the tonal disguise in (331c) opens the door for a reanalysis based not on ɲ^{h} ‘look’ but rather on ɲ^{h} ‘see’. While (331c) occurs in elicitation and likely in natural speech in true presentative contexts, in the texts the form that

occurs is contracted *jì-ní* (Bi *jìⁿ-níⁿ*). It functions pragmatically as supportive backchannel, much as *voilà* does in French (and cf. Eng *there you go!*). Its morphemic composition is rather opaque; it has the phonetics but not the meaning of verbal noun *jì-ní* ‘seeing’. We just gloss it as Prsntv in interlinears. Examples are (Ji, 2017-01 @ 04:38) and (Bi, 2017-07 @ 09:20). *jì-ní* can also occur at the beginning of clauses, as in (339) in §4.4.4.3 below.

4.4.4.2 Presentative with predicate demonstrative

Constructions of the type ‘this/that is X’ normally take the form ‘X *kō* Dem’ in Tiefo-D, with a final demonstrative. (332) is predicative but not presentative since X is a reference to the just-completed tale rather than to a physically present entity.

- (332) [jèrèⁿ ká= à-mā] [[bì tòʔó] kò yá]
 [Rel Past be.Loc] [[Dem.Def Foc] **be** **Dem.InanSg**]
 What(-ever) was there (in the tale), this [focus] is how it was.’
 (Ma, 2017-02 @ 01:49)

A first person presentative is in (333). A long-lost daughter is presenting herself to her mother.

- (333) [nóⁿ nóʔó] ò kǎⁿ
 [1Sg Foc] **be** **Dem.AnSg**
 ‘This is me!’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 08:12)

Our Fl speaker adds what appears to be (à-)mā ‘be (somewhere)’ to this construction, before *kō*, to make it presentative. He does not pronounce the à-, and the combination of (à-)mā and copula *kō* does not otherwise occur, so the construction is not structurally transparent.

- (334) a. [ē sǒ=] Ø-mā gō kǎⁿ
 [Art pig] **be.Loc** **be** **Dem.AnSg**
 ‘... (and) there was the warthog!’ (Fl, 2017-03 @ 01:13)
- b. [ē sè-rō=] Ø-mā [kō kǒ-rò] (fǎⁿʔǎⁿ)
 [Art pig-PI] **be.Loc** [**be** **Dem.AnPI**] (here)
 ‘Here are the pigs (or warthogs)!’ (Fl)

Our Ji speaker doesn’t add (à-)mā, but he can use the predicate demonstrative construction in more or less presentative function.

- (335) a. nó= ò kǎⁿ
 1Sg **be** **Dem.AnSg**
 ‘Here I am.’ (Ji)
 (~ nó *kō* kǎⁿ)

- b. zàkí kō kǎⁿ
 Z **be** **Dem.AnSg**
 ‘Here’s Zaki!’ (Ji)
- c. [ē bí-siō] = ō kō-yùò
 [Art child.PI] **be** **Dem.AnPl**
 ‘Here are the children!’ (Ji)
- d. [ē dè] ò yá
 [Art field] **be** **Dem.InanSg**
 ‘Here’s the field!’ (or: ... ō kǎⁿ) (Ji)

In textual example (336), X kò yá ‘here’s X!’ (inanimate) takes polar interrogative form (with quoted interrogative clause-final marker tē). It expresses a combination of amazement and puzzlement.

- (336) dè [Ø kɛʔé-bará] kò yá tē
 Quot [Art work(n)] be Dem.InanSg **Q**
 ‘(said:) “Here is (what) action?”’ (i.e. ‘What the hell happened here?’)
 (Ma, 2017-02 @ 01:17)

4.4.4.3 Presentative with incorporated clause

In the previous examples the presentative is a simple predication, and shines the spotlight on the entity functioning as subject. It is also possible for the presentative to occur with clausal scope, as an adverb syntactically. Compare preclausal *lo!* in archaic English. The subject may end in dó ‘however’. A verb (or VP) is added after the demonstrative.

In textual example (337), our F1 speaker uses à-mā, cf. (334) above, and adds a VP after the demonstrative. kō ‘be’ is reduced to segmental zero in this example.

- (337) hàyà, [è blí-ké tōʔô=] Ø-mā= Ø kǎⁿ lē,
 well, [Art hare Foc] be.Loc **be** **Dem.AnSg** **turn.Pfv**,
 [è jíó-kèⁿ [wūⁿʔúⁿ = ūⁿ fiⁿʔáⁿ]] tàʔà-kó] = ā,
 [Art magician [head Ipfv white]] again] **Q**,
 ‘Well, lo! The very same hare turned himself into a white-headed magician, right?’
 (F1, 2017-05 @ 02:34)

Follow-up examples elicited from this speaker have uncontracted kō ‘be’ in the absence of à-mā (338a-b). Likewise in textual example (338c). Another feature of these examples is the presence of subject-final dó (§19.3.8), which elsewhere can often be translated ‘however’ but here seems to mark the situation as surprising.

- (338) a. [ē nà-bí dó] kō kǎⁿ sēⁿ
 [Art child **however**] **be** **Dem.AnSg** **lie.down.Pfv**
 ‘(Look!) the child has gone to bed.’ (F1)

- b. [é-yùò dó] kō kǒ-rò sēⁿ
 [1Pl **however**] **be** **Dem.AnPl** **lie.down.Pfv**
 ‘(Look!) We have lain down (=gone to bed)!’ (F1)
- c. [ē dè dó] kò yá sē
 [Art sun **however**] **be** **Dem.InanSg** **set.Pfv**
 ‘Look, the sun had already set!’ (F1, 2017-03 @ 02:05)

The construction with imperative ‘see!’ and presentative morpheme ní (§4.4.4.1) combines with a following clause (339).

- (339) jìⁿ-níⁿ móⁿ pìēⁿ = [Ø pàmlúⁿ?úⁿ]
Prsntv 2Sg remain.Pfv [Art naked]
 ‘There you stayed, naked.’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 10:12)

The event-focalizing quality of presentatives is shown by the interlocutor’s reaction to this statement, which directly addressed the female protagonist of the tale.

4.5 Adjectives

This section gives the forms of postnominal modifying (attributive) adjectives. For adjectival predicates, see §11.4. Adjectives may have distinct forms, or even unrelated stems, in the two grammatical contexts.

4.5.1 Modifying adjectives

There are two primary N-Adj constructions involving core modifying adjectives. In both constructions, the noun and the adjective can be morphologically pluralized (§6.3.1). The article ē occurs only before the head noun, and can be disregarded in this section. A possessor can take the place of the article, and further modifiers such as numerals may follow the adjective.

- (340) a. (Article) N Adj
 b. (Article) N [Class Adj]
 (Article) Ø [Class Adj]

In (340a), the adjective immediately follows the modified noun. In (340b), the adjective is combined with a classifier that marks animacy. This combination may occur by itself (‘the long one’), suggesting that the combination functions morphosyntactically as an NP. This conclusion is supported by the fact that the corresponding predicative type is kō ‘be’ plus the classifier and stem. This matches the regular predicate nominal construction, which has kō plus a noun or NP.

However, one objection to the conclusion that classifier plus adjective is syntactically an NP is that the combination can also be added to a modified noun. For some adjectives, the

classifier is obligatory is this combination, for others it is optional. If we take classifier plus adjective as a complete NP, adding it to another noun would have to be analysed as apposition, but there is no prosodic break as in most instances of NP apposition.

These classifiers are specific to adjectives, but the construction (340b) has analogues with N-Num constructions. Some core adjectives have different tonal and/or segmental forms in the two constructions. Some core adjectives prefer one or the other of the two constructions, but many are at least elicitable in both.

The adjectival classifiers are those in (341). The classifiers do not express number; plurality is marked in adjectives in the same way as for nouns (i.e. often with a suffixed or infixated rhotic syllable). For semantic and real-world experiential reasons, some adjectives such as ‘deep’ occur only in the inanimate version.

(341) Classifiers for core modifying adjectives

form	category
kā	animate
á	inanimate

Care must be taken to distinguish inanimate á within N-Adj sequences from look-alike inflectional morphemes that occur in adjectival predicates, viz., Ipv à and PfvNeg á. Similarly, animate kā must not be confused with kà ‘and, with’ or with imperfective infinitival k-ā contracted from /kō à/. Both of these forms can be heard as M-toned (kā, k-ā) when followed by L-tone.

The prenominal article ē may precede classifiers kā and á when they are otherwise NP-initial, i.e. when the noun slot is unfilled. The vowel sequence è á is awkward and may contract to à = á.

Core adjectives that occur with classifiers are distinct from participles, some of which have senses that are expressed by adjectives in English. Participles are compounds. Their initials are generally Pfv verbs, though some initials are not attested elsewhere. The compound finals mark animacy and number (342).

(342)	singular	plural
inanimate	-è?è	-è-rè (various)
animate	-kà?à	-kò (various)

For details on and lists of adjective-like participles see §4.5.4 below. For complexly composite adjectives (exemplars and bahuvrihis), see §5.2.

4.5.2 Inventory of core modifying adjectives

In this section we present the core modifying adjectives without morphological detail in order to give an idea of their semantic range. Parenthesized adjectives do not occur as such in postnominal modifying function, but do occur with classifier kā or á as shown in the right-

hand column. For all other adjectives, the form shown in the left column is postnominal. The morphology and dialectology will be covered in following sections. For participles, which can be formed from adjectival verbs (and other verbs), see §4.5.4 below.

(343)	representative form	category	gloss	comment
	size			
	tù-tùʔù	postnominal	‘big’	
	bí-bī	postnominal	‘small’	
	dimension			
	sò ⁿ -sò ⁿ ʔò ⁿ	postnominal	‘long; tall; distant’	
	nígbo	postnominal	‘short’	
	bè-bèʔè	postnominal	‘wide; spacious’	
	pà-pàʔà	postnominal	‘flat’	
	age and organic state			
	fò ⁿ ʔò ⁿ ~ fù ⁿ ʔò ⁿ	postnominal	‘new’	
	dìʔè	postnominal	‘old’	
	(kùʔò)	animate	‘foreign’	kā kùʔò ‘stranger’
	tākēʔē ~ tàkèʔé	postnominal	‘unripe’	
	bù ⁿ ʔò ⁿ	inanimate	‘young; freshly sprouted (plant)’	
	color			
	yùàʔà	postnominal	‘black’	
	ʃìè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	postnominal	‘red’	
	fià ⁿ ʔà ⁿ	postnominal	‘white’	
	temperature			
	ló ⁿ	postnominal	‘cold’	
	fú	postnominal	‘hot’	
	moisture			
	blìʔì	postnominal	‘wet’	
	fullness			
	(kāʔā)	inanimate	‘empty’	kò [á kāʔā] ‘be empty’
	quality			
	kòʔò	postnominal	‘good’	
	quantity			
	kèrè ⁿ (ʔè ⁿ)	postnominal	‘many, much, numerous’	

identity		
dígðʔð	postnominal	‘(each) other’
bà ⁿ ʔà ⁿ	postnominal	‘other’
taste		
dò ⁿ	postnominal	‘delicious, pleasing’
ɲó-ɲó ⁿ ʔó ⁿ	postnominal	‘sour’

Other adjective-like modifiers are expressed by participles (e.g. ‘deep’, ‘bitter’, ‘coarse’, and ‘soft’), or by negation (‘bad’). This is usually the case for ‘bitter’ as a taste term (tɛ̃ⁿ-ɛ̃ʔɛ̃), but the frozen expression (ē) līⁿ tìèⁿ ‘bitter guts’ (i.e. sour personality) may contain an archaic modifying form (Ji, 2017-07 @ 00:15).

4.5.3 Morphology of core modifying adjectives

A maximal core modifying adjectival paradigm consists of a postnominal form, an animate classifier form with *kā*, and an inanimate classifier form with *á*. In each of these constructions, the adjective is readily pluralizable. The plurals follow one or the other of the two productive nominal plural patterns: a) with rhotic syllable -*rv*- (*v* = a copied vowel) either suffixed or infix (replacing or preceding a glottal syllable), or b) with final *o* or *ɔ*.

The morphological and tonal relationship between postnominal, animate, and inanimate forms is complex, and there are no close parallels in nominal or numeral morphology. The simple postnominal forms if they exist can usually be taken as lexically basic, since animate and inanimate classifiers impose tonal and segmental changes on the stem.

We distinguish nonreduplicative adjectives (§4.5.3.1) from those whose regular postnominal form is reduplicative (§4.5.3.2).

4.5.3.1 Unreduplicated adjectives

4.5.3.1.1 Basic color adjectives

Array (344) summarizes how classifier combinations relate to the singular postnominal forms for color adjectives. Curly brackets enclose stem-wide tone overlay formulae.

(344) Formulae for color adjectives

a. postnominal	L-toned, usually glottalic (except Bi)
b. animate after <i>kā</i>	remove glottalization, shift a to <i>ɔ</i>
c. inanimate after <i>á</i>	Ji: remove glottal, apply {LH}
	Fl: apply {H}, subject to regular tonal processes
	Ma: apply {H} or {LH} (ambiguous)
	Bi: apply {M}

H-toned C̄V̄ʔV̄ becomes C̄V̄ʔV̄ in Fl and C̄V̄ʔV̄ in Ma by regular phonology. Fl C̄V̄ʔV̄ can only be parsed as {H} overlay. Ma C̄V̄ʔV̄ could be parsed as {H} as in Fl followed by the usual tonal adjustment to LH, or as {LH} overlay as in Ji. The {M} overlay in Bi has likely been flattened from earlier {LH}.

‘Black’ and ‘white’ are unusual in that Ji as well as Fl and Ma has postnominal diphthongal shapes with the glottalic pulse delayed, e.g. yùàʔà ‘black’ rather than #yùʔà. Bi, by contrast, has no glottalization in the postnominal form, e.g. yùà, though glottalization does appear in the Bi inanimate form after á. Anomalous singular shapes like yùàʔà are reflected in variation in the rhotic plural, e.g. yù-rà versus yùð-rò.

Rhotic plurals in Fl and Ma dialects for color and some other adjectives are nonglottalic, i.e. end in -rv rather than in -rv-ʔv as in most rhotic plurals of nouns in the same dialects.

(345) Color adjective paradigms

	postnominal	animate	inanimate
a. ‘black’			
	yùàʔà (Fl Ji Ma)	kā yùð (Fl Ji Ma)	á yùá (Ji) á yūāʔá (Fl) á yùàʔá (Ma)
	yùà (Bi)	kā yùà (Bi)	á yūʔā (Bi)
<i>plural</i>			
	yùð-rò (Fl Ji)	kā yù-rò (Ji Ma) kā yùð-rò (Fl Ji)	á yù-rá (Ji) á yūāʔá (Fl) á yù-rà-ʔá (Ma)
	yù-rà (Bi Ji)	kā yù-rà (Bi)	á yūðʔó (Fl) á yū-rā-ʔá (Fl) á yù-rà-ʔá (Ma) á yū-rā (Bi)
b. ‘white’			
	fìà ⁿ ʔà ⁿ (Fl Ji)	kā fìð ⁿ (all)	á fìá ⁿ (Ji) á fīā ⁿ ʔá ⁿ (Fl) á fìà ⁿ ʔá ⁿ (Ma)
	fìà ⁿ (Bi)		á fī ⁿ ʔā ⁿ (Bi)
<i>plural</i>			
	fì-rà ⁿ (Bi Ji) fìð-rà ⁿ (Bi Fl)	kā fìð (all)	á fìð-rá ⁿ (Fl Ji Ma) á fìð-rā ⁿ (Bi) á fī-rā ⁿ (Bi)
c. ‘red’			
	ʃìè ⁿ (Bi Ji)	kā ʃìè ⁿ (Ji)	á ʃìé ⁿ (Ji)
	ʃìè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ (Fl Ma)	kā sè ⁿ (Bi Fl Ma)	á ʃìè ⁿ ʔé ⁿ (Ma)
	ʃì ⁿ ʔè ⁿ (Bi)		á ʃìè ⁿ ʔé ⁿ (Fl) á ʃì ⁿ ʔè ⁿ (Bi)

plural

sə-rɛ̃ ⁿ (all)	kā ʃìò (Bi Ji)	á sə-rɛ̃ ⁿ (Ji Ma)
	kā sə-rɛ̃ ⁿ (Fl Ma)	á sã-rɛ̃ ⁿ (Fl)
		á sã-rɛ̃ ⁿ (Bi)

The reduced forms -fìòⁿ, -yùò, and -sèⁿ shown above following *kā* are also common in lexicalized natural-species terms (§5.1.3.2).

The semantically associated inchoative verbs (§9.4) are *yū̃s̃/yó/yó ~ yú* ‘turn black’ (also ‘[night] fall’), invariant *fìⁿʔɛ̃ⁿ* ‘turn white’, and *ɲɛ̃ʔɛ̃/ɲáʔá/ɲáʔá* ‘turn red’, all with minor dialectal variants. ‘Turn black’ and ‘turn white’ are phonologically related to the adjective, but ‘turn red’ is suppletive.

4.5.3.1.2 Other core adjectives with glottalic forms

The adjectives in (346) have glottalic postnominal and inanimate singulars, but nonglottalic animate singulars, in all dialects checked. For Bi dialect, only inanimates were elicitable.

(346) Adjective paradigms with glottal syllable in inanimate (all dialects)

postnominal	animate	inanimate
a. ‘new’		
fì ⁿ ʔò ⁿ (Ji)	kā fì ⁿ (Bi Fl Ji)	á fì ⁿ ʔó ⁿ (Ji)
fù ⁿ ʔò ⁿ (Fl)	kā fì ⁿ ʔò ⁿ (Ji)	á fù ⁿ ʔó ⁿ (Fl)
fù ⁿ ʔò ⁿ (Bi)		á fū ⁿ ʔó ⁿ (Bi)
<i>plural</i>		
fì-rò ⁿ (Fl Ji)	kā fò ~ kā fì-rò (Ji)	á fì-ró ⁿ (Ji)
	kā fò ~ kā fì-rò ⁿ (Fl)	á fì-ró ⁿ (Fl)
	kā fò (Bi)	á fã-ró ⁿ (Bi)
b. ‘old’		
dìʔè (Ji)	kā dè (Fl Ji)	á dìʔé (Ji)
dìèʔè (Fl)	kā dìè (Bi)	á dìèʔé (Fl)
	kā dìʔè (Bi)	ā dīʔē (Bi)
<i>plural</i>		
dè-rè (Fl Ji)	kā dì-ò (Fl Ji)	á dè-ré (Fl Ji)
		á dã-rē (Bi)

There is no verb ‘become new’. The verb ‘become old, age (v)’ is invariant *lè*, which might possibly be etymologically related to the adjective.

The adjectives in (347) are glottalic throughout the singular, even in the animate. The glottalic animates set them apart from the adjectives in (346) above and from the color adjectives.

(347)	postnominal	animate	inanimate
a. ‘good’			
	kòʔò (all)	kā kòʔò (all)	á kòʔó (Ji Fl Ma) á kōʔō (Bi)
	<i>plural</i>		
	kè-rò (all)	kā kè-rò (all)	á kè-ró (Ji Fl[var] Ma) á kè-rò-ʔó (Fl[var]) á kē-rō (Bi)
b. ‘other’			
	bà ⁿ ʔà ⁿ (all)	kā bà ⁿ ʔà ⁿ (Fl Ji)	á bà ⁿ ʔá ⁿ (Ji Ma) á bā ⁿ ʔá ⁿ (Fl)
	<i>plural</i>		
	bè-rà ⁿ (Fl Ji)	kā bè-rà ⁿ (Ji) kā bè-rà ⁿ -ʔà ⁿ (Fl Ma)	á bè-rá ⁿ (Ji) á bē-rā ⁿ -ʔā ⁿ (Fl) á bè-rà ⁿ -ʔá ⁿ (Ma)
c. ‘fresh (vegetation); young (animal)’			
	bù ⁿ ʔò ⁿ (Ji)	kā bù ⁿ ʔò ⁿ (Fl)	á būs ⁿ ʔó ⁿ (Fl)
	bù ⁿ ʔò ⁿ (Fl)		
	<i>plural</i>		
	—	kā bè-rò ⁿ (Fl)	á bē-ró ⁿ (Fl)
d. ‘wet, moist; fresh (meat)’			
	blìʔì (Fl Ma)	kā blìʔì (Fl)	á blìʔí (Ma) á blīʔí (Fl) á blīʔī (Bo)
	<i>plural</i>		
	blìʔì-ní (Fl Ma)	—	á blìʔì-ní (Ma) á blīʔí-ní (Fl, variant) á blē-rí (Fl, variant)

There is no verb related to ‘other’ or ‘fresh; young’. For ‘good’ the related stative verb is nonglottalic *kò* ‘be good’, but there is also a dynamic verb *kpèʔè/kōʔō/kōʔō* ‘turn out well, succeed’. For ‘wet’ the semantically closest verb is suppletive *pè/pà/pà* ‘become wet’ or transitive ‘sprinkle (on)’.

Basic temperature adjectives (348a-b) have mostly nonglottalic Cv postnominal forms, but ‘hot’ is an exception for Ji dialect only. The inanimates are glottalic Cvʔv. No animate forms were elicitable, and plurals were difficult to elicit.

(348)	postnominal	animate	inanimate
-------	-------------	---------	-----------

a. ‘hot’		
fú (Ji)	—	á fòʔó (Ji)
fú (Bi Fl)	—	á fũʔú (Fl)
		á fũʔũ (Bi)
<i>plural</i>		
fǎ-rú (Fl Ji)	—	á fǎ-ró (Ji)
	—	á fǎ-rú (Fl)
		á fǎ-rũ (Bi)
b. ‘cold’		
lɔ̃ ⁿ (Bi Fl Ji)	—	á lɛ̃ ⁿ ʔɛ̃ ⁿ (Ji)
		á lɛ̃ ⁿ ʔɛ̃ ⁿ (Fl)
		á lɛ̃ ⁿ ʔɛ̃ ⁿ (Bi)
<i>plural</i>		
lɔ̃ ⁿ -rɔ̃ ⁿ (Ji)	—	á lɛ̃ ⁿ -rɛ̃ ⁿ (Ji)
		á lɛ̃ ⁿ -rɛ̃ ⁿ (Fl)
		á lɛ̃ ⁿ -rɛ̃ ⁿ (Bi)

A semantically and phonologically related verb is lɛ̃ⁿ/líⁿ/líⁿ ‘become cold, cool (v)’. For ‘become hot’ there are only approximate semantic matches: invariant tíɛ̃ⁿ ~ tíɛ̃ⁿʔɛ̃ (dialectal variants) ‘warm up’, and bɛ̃/bɛ̃/bɛ̃ ‘burn’ or ‘be burned, become hot’.

Many West African languages present a syncretism ‘cold’ = ‘slow’, but we do not find this in Tiefo-D. For ‘slow’ we recorded participial lɛ̃ⁿ-kàʔà (§4.5.4), based on the Pfv of lɛ̃ⁿ/líⁿ/líⁿ ‘become cold, cool (v)’.

(349) groups together the remaining core adjectives that have defective paradigms but at least some glottalic forms. In (349a), plural díǵǵ-rò is also the basic reciprocal (§18.4.1). For singular díǵǵʔǵ see è ná-dè díǵǵʔǵ ‘another (=a different) old man’ (Ma, 2017-03 @ 00:35).

(349)	postnominal	animate	inanimate
a. ‘other’			
	díǵǵʔǵ (Fl Ji Ma)	—	—
	<i>plural</i> ‘others; each other’		
	díǵǵ-rò (all)	—	—
b. ‘unripe’			
	tākɛ̃ʔɛ̃ (Ji)	—	—
	<i>plural</i>		
	tākɛ̃-rɛ̃ (Ji)	—	—
c. ‘foreign’			
	—	kā kùʔǵ (Ji)	—
		kā kùʔǵ (Fl)	—

<i>plural</i>			
—	kā kə-rò (Ji)	—	
	kā kə-rò-ʔò (F1)		
d. ‘empty’ (cf. under kāʔā ‘hard’)			
—	—	á kāʔā (F1)	
		á kāʔā (Ji)	
e. ‘ruined, malfunctioning’			
—	—	á gbāʔá (F1)	
		á gbàʔá (Ji)	
<i>plural</i>			
—	—	á gbā-rā-ʔá (F1)	
		á gbà-rá (Ji)	
f. ‘many, much’			
kə-rè ⁿ -ʔé ⁿ (all)	kā kə-rè ⁿ -ʔé ⁿ (all)	á kə-rè ⁿ -ʔé ⁿ (Bi Ji)	
		á kə-rē ⁿ -ʔé ⁿ (F1)	

For discussion and examples of kə-rèⁿ-ʔéⁿ (349f) see §8.5.2.1.4.

4.5.3.1.3 Other core adjectives with no glottalic forms

Glottal syllables are absent from the paradigm in (350).

(350) Adjective paradigm without glottal syllables

postnominal	animate	inanimate
‘delicious, sweet, pleasing’		
də ⁿ (F1 Ji)	—	á dɔ ⁿ (Bi F1 Ji)
<i>plural</i>		
də-rə ⁿ (F1 Ji)	—	á dɔ-rɔ ⁿ (Bi F1 Ji)

The related stative verb is dáⁿ ‘be pleasant, delicious, good’. It can take a complement with dative preposition əⁿ.

nígbo ‘short’ occurs mainly in postnominal form (351). A combination with the animate classifier kā was elicited, but inanimate á was rejected. In this combination, kā drops to kà before the H-tone by regular tone sandhi.

(351) ‘Short’

	postnominal	animate	inanimate
‘short’			
	nígbó (F1 Ji)	kà nígbó (F1 Ji)	—
	ní ⁿ gbó (Bi)	(rejected: Bi)	
<i>plural</i>			
	nígbá-ró (F1 Ji)	kà nígbá-ró (F1 Ji)	—
	ní ⁿ gbá-ró (Bi)		

The semantically related stative verb is kpló ‘be short’. Inanimate ‘short’ can be expressed by inanimate participial kpló-è?è.

4.5.3.2 Reduplicated adjectives

We distinguish **reduplication** (limited to the initial syllable or half-syllable) from **iteration** (complete repetition of the stem). The distinction is moot when the base is Cv or Cvv. We use “Rdp-” in interlinears for both types.

4.5.3.2.1 Optional reduplication of adjectives (color, ‘good’)

Color adjectives allow optional reduplication of the postnominal form, as does ‘good’. For ‘black’ and ‘white’, the plural reduplicatives are based on the singular reduplicatives. For ‘red’, the plural reduplicative is based directly on the plural postnominal. The *f/s* alternation from singular to plural of ‘red’ is pandialectal (§3.2.1.2).

(352)	simple	reduplicated	gloss	comment
a.	yùà?à yùè-rè	yùà-yùà?à yùà-yùà-rà	‘black’ (plural)	F1 F1
b.	ʃìè ⁿ ?è ⁿ sè-rè ⁿ	ʃìè ⁿ -ʃìè ⁿ ?è ⁿ sè ⁿ -sè-rè ⁿ	‘red’ (plural)	F1 F1
c.	fìà ⁿ ?à ⁿ fè-rà ⁿ	fìà ⁿ -fìà ⁿ ?à ⁿ fìà ⁿ -fìà ⁿ -rà ⁿ	‘white’ (plural)	F1 F1
d.	kò?ò kè-rò	kò-kò?ò kò-kè-rò	‘good’ (plural)	Bi (Bi, 2017-08 @ 01:53)

The reduplicated terms for ‘red’ combine with animate classifier kã ‘creature’ to express ‘white (=European) person’. In this combination the final tone(s) of the adjective are raised.

Such tone-raising is elsewhere typical of adjectives after inanimate á but not after animate kā (§4.5.3.1.1-2).

(353) ‘white (=European) person’

singular	kā ʃɛ̃ ⁿ -ʃɛ̃ ⁿ ʔɛ̃ ⁿ (Bi Ji Ma)	kā ʃɛ̃ ⁿ -ʃɛ̃ ⁿ ʔɛ̃ ⁿ (Fl)
plural	kā sɛ̃ ⁿ -sɛ̃ ⁿ -rɛ̃ ⁿ (Bi Ji Ma)	kā sɛ̃ ⁿ -sɛ̃ ⁿ -rɛ̃ ⁿ (Fl)

4.5.3.2.2 Adjectives with invariant reduplicative forms

Some adjectives denoting dimensions have fixed reduplicative form. Their paradigms are in (354). One difference between them and several other adjectives is that the animate forms following kā do not drop all tones to L (except in Bi dialect for ‘big’). ‘Wide’ lacks animate forms, preventing comparison with the tonally unusual animate forms for ‘big’. ‘Long’ (354c) has minor tonal idiosyncracies for Bi. ‘Small’ (354d) has a stable tonal form bí-bī even in the animate, so classifier kā drops to kà by regular tone sandhi before its H-tone (M#H-to-L#H, §3.6.2.2).

(354) Reduplicative adjective paradigms

postnominal	animate	inanimate
a. ‘big’		
tù-tùʔù (all)	kā tù-tùʔù (Ji Ma)	á tū-tùʔù (Ji) á tū-tùʔù (Ma)
	kā tù-tūʔù (Fl)	á tū-tùʔù (Fl)
	kā tù-tùʔù (Bi)	á tū-tūʔù (Bi)
<i>plural</i>		
tù-tè-rù (all)	kā tù-tè-rù (Ji)	á tū-tè-rù (Ji)
	kā tù-tè-rù (Fl)	á tū-tè-rù (Fl)
	kā tù-tè-rù (Bi)	ā tū-tè-rù (Bi)
	kā tù-tè-rù (Ma)	ā tū-tè-rù (Ma)
b. ‘wide, spacious’		
bè-bèʔè (Ji)	—	á bē-bèʔé (Ji) á bē-bèʔé (Fl) á bē-bèʔé (Bi)
<i>plural</i>		
bè-bè-rè (Bi Ji)	—	á bē-bè-ré (Ji)
bè-bè-rèʔè (Fl)		á bē-bè-rè-ʔé (Fl)
		á bē-bè-ré (Bi)

(355)	animate	singular	-kàʔà	(all dialects)
		plural	-kò	"
	inanimate	singular	-èʔè	"
		plural	-ə-rè	"

The inanimate participles are transparently based on L-toned forms of the noun èʔé ‘thing’. F1 and Ma dialects have quasi-epenthetic initial y in the independent noun, hence yèʔé ‘(the) thing’ (§3.1.1.2, §3.4.1.2), but the participial final usually lacks the y. The animate finals are probably etymologically related to kàʔá ‘meat’ (by extension ‘game animal or livestock animal’), and to -kà (plural -kò) in a few compounds denoting general classes of animals (§5.1.7.1).

Numerous participles are in common use as postnominal modifiers and can be translated as adjectives. A few examples are in (356).

(356)	singular	plural	gloss
	a. inanimate -èʔè		
	dè ⁿ -èʔè	dè ⁿ -ə-rè	‘ripe (grain)’
	dúʔú-èʔè	dúʔú-ə-rè	‘heavy’
	fá ⁿ ʔá ⁿ -èʔè	fá ⁿ ʔá ⁿ -ə-rè	‘lightweight’
	kàʔà-èʔè	kàʔà-ə-rè	‘coarse’
	kāʔā-èʔè	kāʔā-ə-rè	‘hard; difficult’
	nùgù-èʔè	nùgù-ə-rè	‘smooth, sleek’
	ɲó-èʔè	ɲó-ə-rè	‘sour’
	ɲēʔē-èʔè	ɲēʔē-ə-rè	‘ripe, turned red (mango)’
	té ⁿ -èʔè	té ⁿ -ə-rè	‘bitter (taste)’
	wē-èʔè	wē-ə-rè	‘dry’
	wùò-èʔè	wùò-ə-rè	‘rotten’
	yìè-fló-èʔè	yìè-fló-ə-rè	‘full’
	b. animate -kàʔà		
	cùʔè-kàʔà	cùʔè-kò	‘lean, skinny, emaciated’
	lē ⁿ -kàʔà	lē ⁿ -kò	‘slow’
	lè-kàʔà	lè-kò	‘old, aged (animal)’
	póró-kàʔà	póró-kò	‘slender (person)’
	wūō-kàʔà	wūō-kò	‘dead’
	c. both inanimate -èʔè and animate -kàʔà		
	pē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ -kàʔà	pē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ -kò	‘fast (animal)’
	pē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ -èʔè	pē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ -ə-rè	‘fast (thing)’
	(fl̩-)-fl̩-kàʔà	(fl̩-)-fl̩-kò	‘slippery, slick’
	(fl̩-)-fl̩-èʔè	(fl̩-)-fl̩-ə-rè	‘slippery, slick’

d. optional suppletive plural for bí-bī ‘small’

—	jóri-rè (all)	inanimate
—	jóri-kò (all)	animate

Participles can also be formed from expressive adverbials (§8.5.8), some of which have descriptive senses that make for good modifiers. However, adverbials can be made predicative just by adding kō ‘be’ without participial endings.

(357)	singular	plural	gloss
	a. inanimate -èʔè		
	cē ⁿ -cē ⁿ -èʔè	cē ⁿ -cē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ -à-rè	‘brittle, crunchy, soft’
	mlē ⁿ -mlē ⁿ -èʔè	mlē ⁿ -mlē ⁿ -à-rè	‘supple, soft (skin, food)’
	b. animate -kàʔà		
	jùdʔd-kàʔà	jùdʔd-kò	‘listless’

Animate participles can function as more or less lexicalized modifiers in fauna terms, as in (358). For m̀-mló see §4.1.4.4. The verb is jùdⁿ/d̄ⁿ/dīⁿ ‘bite’.

(358)	[m̀-mló]-jùd ⁿ -kàʔà
	[ant]-bite.Pfv- Ppl.An
	‘biting black ant species (<i>Brachyponera</i>)’

For lexicalized animate participles ending in -kàʔà functioning as nouns, see §4.2.3.1. For lexicalized inanimate participles in -èʔè, see §4.2.3.2. For complex compounds ending in -èʔè specifying functions and uses of objects, see §5.1.10.2.

4.5.5 Reduplicative derivations of adjectives

From adjective nígbó ‘short’ is formed nígbārē-nígbārē ‘(various) short things’. It shows the rhotic as in the regular plural nígbó-ró, with the back vowel fronted. From adjective pà-pàʔà ‘flat’ is derived pépàrè-pépàrè-kò ‘flat ones’, applied to fish in a text (Bi, 2017-10 @ 03:41).

Another type of derivation is the abstractive j́-ḡj́ó ‘sourness; something sour’ from the adjectival verb j́ ‘be sour’.

4.5.6 Negative adjectives

Something like adjectival negative (or antonymic) *un-* in English is observed in (359). k̄ā (dropped to L-tone before H-tone) in (359a) is the animate adjectival classifier, and mâ-kùʔó looks like a corruption of má kò = ? ‘is not good/pretty’. (359b) is based on inanimate participial k̄āʔā-èʔè ‘hard’ (§4.5.4, §9.4)

(359)	singular	plural	gloss	
a.	kà mâ-kúʔó	kà mâ-ké-ró	‘bad, evil; ugly’ (person)	Ji
	kà mâ-kūʔó	kà mâ-ké-ró	"	Fl
b.	má-kāʔā-èʔè	má-kāʔā-è-rè	‘easy’ (“not hard”)	

The composite term for ‘toad’ is shown in (360). Variant (360a) begins transparently with cíéⁿ ‘frog’. The following -mākùʔó is another corruption of má kò=ʔ ‘is not good’, i.e., ‘is ugly’. The Bi variant (360b) appears to be a further mutation, now opaque.

(360)	a.	cíé ⁿ -mākùʔó	(various)
	b.	cémé-kūṣ	Bi

4.6 Numerals

4.6.1 Cardinal numerals

In the counting sequence, numerals ‘1’ to ‘10’ are as in (361). Of these, ‘1’ has a different form as a modifier (§4.6.1.1 below). Winkelmann’s transcriptions (1998:145-146) are in the right-hand column.

(361)	our transcription	Winkelmann
‘1’	jíé-nì	dɛ̃ni
‘2’	jṣ ⁿ	jɔ̃
‘3’	sá ⁿ	sá̃
‘4’	wū ⁿ ʔṣ ⁿ (Ji)	ʔuʔɔ̃ ~ ʔuɔ̃
	ŋū ⁿ ʔṣ ⁿ (Bi)	ŋwɔ̃ʔɔ̃
	ŋūṣʔṣ [ŋūṣʔṣ̃] (Fl Ma)	ŋwɔ̃ʔɔ̃
‘5’	kà ⁿ	kà̃
‘6’	kà ⁿ -dí	kà̃-dj
‘7’	kà ⁿ -jṣ ⁿ	kà̃-jɔ̃
‘8’	kà-sá (Bi Fl Ji)	kà̃-sá
	kà-sáʔá (Ma)	
‘9’	kà-ŋū ⁿ ʔṣ ⁿ (Bi, and variants as with ‘4’)	kà̃-ʔuɔ̃
‘10’	támm (Fl Ji Ma)	támú(wá)
	támú (Fl, careful pronunciation)	
	támwú (Bi)	

‘6’ through ‘9’ are transparent compounds beginning with ‘5’. For further analysis see §4.6.1.1 on ‘1’ and §4.6.1.2 on ‘2’ through ‘9’. The denasalization in kà-sá ‘7’ is not a typo; it was verified for all dialects and confirms Winkelmann’s transcription. For ‘10’, the common variant támm with rare final geminated nasal is likely from *támú.

When numerals function within phrases or clauses, these numerals are preceded by an article-like element, which is *n* (‘1’), *ò* (‘2’ to ‘9’), or *ē* (higher numerals). Of these, *n* and *ò* are specific to numerals, while *ē* is regular for all nouns.

4.6.1.1 ‘One’

The form *jíé-nì* given above occurs only in the counting sequence. The forms used as nouns or as modifying numerals are in (362). *n dèⁿʔé(y)ⁿ* is the only occurrence in Tiefo-D of a simple nasal *n* as an article-like form. ‘1’ is the only numeral that has a distinctive human form (362b), which not only incorporates *nā ~ náⁿ* (cf. *nā-dē* ‘old man’ or ‘old person’, *nā-bí ~ náⁿ-bí ~ nà-bí* ‘child’ or ‘person’, and *nā-fɔ̃ⁿ* ‘visitor’) but also shifts the vowels of the numeral to back rounded. This is likely a vestige of the reconstructed O-class (better preserved in Tiefo-N), which includes humans.

(362)	category	form	dialect	reference
a.	usual form	<i>n dèⁿʔé(y)ⁿ</i> <i>n dèⁿʔéⁿ</i>	Ji Bi Fl Ma	
b.	special human form	(<i>ē</i>) <i>nā-dòⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ</i> (<i>è</i>) <i>náⁿ-dòⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ</i>	Fl Ji Ma Bi	
c.	locative adverbial PP	[<i>ē dèⁿʔéⁿ</i>] <i>nī</i>	(various)	§12.2.3

(*ē*) *nā-dòⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ* functions as a complete NP meaning ‘one person’. It is not added as a modifier to other human nouns (‘woman’, ‘farmer’, etc.), which instead take the all-purpose modifying form *n dèⁿʔé(y)ⁿ*.

The *n* morpheme appears to have no intrinsic tone. When it follows another word, it simply carries forward the final tone of that word. In postpausal position, it is L-toned *n̄*, arguably just an extension of the initial L-tone of *dèⁿʔé(y)ⁿ*.

(363a) illustrates modifying function. In (363b) *n dèⁿʔé(y)ⁿ* is a noun and functions as possessor of ‘name’.

(363)	a.	<i>ē</i>	<i>wùʔú / sǒ / yǒ</i>	[<i>n dèⁿʔé(y)ⁿ</i>]
		Art	house / pig / woman	[Sg one]
			‘one house/pig/woman’ (Ji)	
	b.	[<i>n̄ dèⁿʔé(y)ⁿ</i>]	<i>yíé</i>	
		[Sg one]	name	
			‘the name of one (of them)’ (Ji)	

In allegro speech the *n̄* syllabifies with a preceding vowel (if any) and its L-tone may vanish as the tone of the vowel spreads. Thus *ē sǒ* [*n dèⁿʔé(y)ⁿ*] ‘one pig’ can be realized as [*ēsǒndèⁿʔéⁿ*].

‘One’ and related forms can have the sense ‘only, exclusively’ (§19.2.3). ‘One’ can also connect two referents as ‘(one and) the same’, as in (Bo, 2019-07 @ 00:42).

4.6.1.2 ‘2’ to ‘10’

The numerals from ‘2’ to ‘10’ are shown in (364) as they occur within phrases and clauses. Numerals from ‘6’ to ‘9’ consist of $kà^n$ ‘5’ plus a numeral from ‘1’ to ‘4’, with two irregularities in the second element: ‘1’ is reduced to $-dí$ (unnasalized in our data), while expected $\#kà^n-sá^n$ is denasalized to $kà-sá$. ‘4’ is fully nasalized, e.g. $[ŋũ^nʔɔ^n]$, but since this is attributable to the initial nasal we write e.g. $ŋũʔɔ$ for Fl and Ma dialects following our transcriptional practice.

(364)	value	form	Winkelmann (pp. 145-6)
	‘2’	(ò) $jɔ^n$	$jɔ^n$
	‘3’	(ò) $sá^n$	$sá^n$
	‘4’	(ò) $wũ^nʔɔ^n$ (Ji)	$ʔuʔɔ \sim ʔuɔ$
		(ò) $ŋũ^nʔɔ^n$ (Bi)	$ŋwɔʔɔ$
		$ŋũʔɔ$ [$ŋũɔʔɔ$] (Fl Ma)	$ŋwɔʔɔ$
	‘5’	(ò) $kà^n$	$kà^n$
	‘6’	(ò) $kà^n-dí$	$kà^n-dí^n$
	‘7’	(ò) $kà^n-jɔ^n$	$kà^n-jɔ^n$
	‘8’	(ò) $kà-sá$ (Bi Fl Ji)	$kà-sá$
		(ò) $kà-sáʔá$ (Ma)	
	‘9’	(ò) $kà-ŋũ^nʔɔ^n$ (Bi, and variants)	$kà^n-ʔúɔ^n$
	‘10’	(è) $támm$ (Fl Ji Ma)	$támúwá \sim támú$
		(è) $támú$ (Fl, careful pronunciation)	
		(è) $támwú$ (Bi)	

‘10’ is pronounced $[tām:]$ with prolonged nasal in most dialects and is transcribed $támm$. It is the only lexical item in common use that ends in a geminate or any other cluster. However, Winkelmann’s $támú$ still occurs in careful speech, and it may still be viable as an underlying transcription if we assume lexically specific apocope and compensatory lengthening (§3.4.1.1.1). Our Bi speaker has $támwú$.

For nonhuman reference, numerals ‘2’ to ‘9’ are preceded by an article-like plural morpheme $ò$. It does not rise to $\#ò$ before an L-tone, hence $ò kà^n$ ‘five’, not $\#ò kà^n$. For the syntax see §6.4.1.

When the noun is $yúó$ ‘people’, it drops to M-tone before ‘2’ and ‘3’ (and therefore drops farther to L-tone before H-toned $sá^n$ ‘3’). In Ji dialect the y also nasalizes to $ɲ$. These forms are also obligatory after nonsingular pronominals with human reference. For ‘4’ and up the regular H-toned form $yúó$ ‘people’ is used.

(365)	‘people’	‘we/you-Pl’	‘they’	dialect
‘2’	ē nūō jǎ ⁿ	é-yùò/bùò nūō jǎ ⁿ	ò nūō jǎ ⁿ	Ji
	ē yūō jǎ ⁿ	é-yùò/bùò yūō jǎ ⁿ	ò yūō jǎ ⁿ	Fl
‘3’	ē nùò sá ⁿ	é-yùò/bùò nùò sá ⁿ	ò nùò sá ⁿ	Ji
	ē yùò sá ⁿ	é-yùò/bùò yùò sá ⁿ	ò yùò sá ⁿ	Fl
‘4’	ē yúó wū ⁿ ?ǎ ⁿ	é-yùò/bùò yúó wū ⁿ ?ǎ ⁿ	ò yúó wū ⁿ ?ǎ ⁿ	Ji
	ē yúó nūǎ ⁿ ?ǎ ⁿ	é-yùò/bùò yúó nūǎ ⁿ ?ǎ ⁿ	ò yúó nūǎ ⁿ ?ǎ ⁿ	Fl

The ‘people’ forms are optional after other nouns with human reference. Thus either ē yè-rò sáⁿ or ē yè-ró yùò sáⁿ ‘three women’.

The M-toned numerals jǎⁿ ‘two’ and nūǎⁿ?ǎⁿ (or variant) ‘four’ are pronounced with a rising tone, transcribed jǎⁿ and nūǎⁿ?ǎⁿ, where a preceding ò has been elided but leaves a tonal trace. Thus ē blō [Ø nūǎⁿ?ǎⁿ] ‘four rains (=years)’.

4.6.1.3 Decimal numerals (‘10’, ‘20’, ...) and increments (‘29’, ...)

The multiples of ‘10’ are in (366). kplē- (Winkelman: kpli-) replaces kpǎⁿ ‘20’ before numerals ‘2’ and up. Together they constitute a vigesimal system. ‘100’ is therefore phrased as “twenty five.” The odd-numbered decimals (‘30’, ‘50’, ‘70’, ‘90’) add -kà-támm or variant, i.e. ‘and ten’, etymologically *kà [è támú]. This is usually lenited to -gà-támm, and in Bi dialect it nasalizes to -nà-támmwú after a nasalized vowel. M-toned jǎⁿ ‘2’ and nūǎⁿ?ǎⁿ (or variant) ‘4’ are realized with rising LH-tones after kplē- in ‘40’ and ‘80’. This LH-tone may be a trace of an original preceding *ò, or it may be that the rising tone pattern was original in ‘2’ and ‘4’. When -gà-támm ‘and ten’ is added (‘50’ and ‘90’), ‘2’ and ‘4’ are usually L-toned, likely a low-level effect due to the length of the compound and the L-tone of the following -gà-. However, LH can be heard in careful pronunciation.

(366)	gloss	form	Winkelman (p. 146)
	‘10’	(è) támú	támúwá ~ támú
	‘20’	(ē) kpǎ ⁿ	(?ē) kpǎ ⁿ
	‘30’	(ē) kpǎ ⁿ -gà-támm	(?ē) kpǎ ⁿ -kà-támú
		(ē) kpǎ ⁿ -nà-támmwú (Bi)	
	‘40’	(ē) kplē-jǎ ⁿ	(?ē) kplē-jǎ ⁿ
	‘50’	(ē) kplē-jǎ ⁿ -gà-támm	(?ē) kplē-jǎ ⁿ -kà-támú
		(ē) kplē-jǎ ⁿ -nà-támmwú (Bi)	
	‘60’	(ē) kplē-sá ⁿ	(?ē) kplē-sá ⁿ
	‘70’	(ē) kplē-sá ⁿ -gà-támm	(?ē) kplē-sá ⁿ -kà-támú
		(ē) kplē-sá ⁿ -nà-támmwú (Bi)	
	‘80’	(ē) kplē-wū ⁿ ?ǎ ⁿ (Ji)	
		(ē) kplē-nūǎ ⁿ ?ǎ ⁿ (Bi)	
		(ē) kplē-nūǎ ⁿ ?ǎ ⁿ (Fl Ma)	

‘90’	(ē) kplē-wù ⁿ ?ð ⁿ -gà-támm	
	(ē) kplē-ŋù ⁿ ?ð ⁿ -ŋà-támmwú (Bi)	
‘100’	(ē) kplē-kà ⁿ	(?ē) kplī-kà ⁿ
‘110’	(ē) kplē-kà ⁿ -gà-támm	
	(ē) kplē-kà ⁿ -ŋà-támm (Bi)	

When these numerals follow a noun, the article ē preceding the numeral usually disappears, i.e. has no audible trace. Thus ē sà-ríⁿ kplē-jǒⁿ ‘forty trees’ with no tonal trace of a second ē. If the noun is human, classifier yúó is optional: è bí-ŋìò yúó kplē-jǒⁿ ‘forty children’.

Composite decimal-plus-digit numerals from ‘11’ to ‘19’ are based on támm ‘10’ or variant. This is followed by kà ‘and, with’ plus the digit in the latter’s full form. kà contracts with the particle ò before ‘2’ to ‘9’ as k = ò. Bi (and sometimes Fl) combines támmwú ‘10’ and kà together as támmw-á, which then combines with ò as támmw-á = à, or támmw-á = ā before L-tone. Three such combinations are illustrated in (367), the others up to ‘19’ follow the pattern of ‘12-13’.

(367)	‘11’	è	támm	kà	[n	dè?é(y) ⁿ]	Fl Ji Ma
		è	támmw-á		[n	dè?é ⁿ]	Bi
	‘12’	è	támm	k =	[ò	jǒ ⁿ]	Fl Ji Ma
		è	támmw-á		[= à	jǒ ⁿ]	Bi
	‘15’	è	támm	k =	[ò	kà ⁿ]	Fl Ji Ma
		è	támmw-á		[= ā	kà ⁿ]	Bi

Composite decimal-plus-digit numerals based on ‘20’ are in (368). The full forms based on kà are shown here but the k is usually weakened to w or (in ‘21’) elided. The forms shown are for Ji dialect.

(368)	‘21’	ē	kpǎ ⁿ	(k)à	[ñ	dè?é(y) ⁿ]
	‘22’	ē	kpǎ ⁿ	k/w =	[ò	jǒ ⁿ]
	‘23’	ē	kpǎ ⁿ	k/w =	[ò	sá ⁿ]

4.6.1.4 Large numerals (‘100’, ‘1000’, ...) and increments

‘(One) hundred’ is phrased as a compound of kplē- ‘20’ and kàⁿ ‘5’, i.e. five times twenty. It is not followed by ‘one’ in the precise sense ‘100’. Numerals ‘two hundred’ and up consist of kǒ- or flattened kǒ- plus the digit, dropping to kò- before sáⁿ ‘three’. kǒ- itself may be a severely contracted reflex of some variant of kplē-kàⁿ ‘hundred’ plus the plural article *ò. Digits jǒⁿ ‘2’ and wūⁿ?ǒⁿ (or variant) ‘4’ become LH-toned after kǒ-, perhaps another tonal trace of original *ò.

(369)	gloss	form	dialect
	a. ‘one hundred’	ē kplē-kà ⁿ	(all)

b. ‘two hundred’	\bar{e} kǎ-jǎ ⁿ	Fl
	\bar{e} kǎ-jǎ ⁿ	Ji
	\bar{e} kǎ-jǎ ⁿ	Bi
c. ‘three hundred’	\bar{e} kǎ-sá ⁿ	(all)
d. ‘four hundred’	\bar{e} kǎ-ŋùǎǎ	Fl Ma
	\bar{e} kǎ-ŋù ⁿ ǎǎ	Bi
	\bar{e} kǎ-wù ⁿ ǎǎ	Ji
e. ‘five hundred’	\bar{e} kǎ-kǎ ⁿ	(all)

As with other bulky numerals, these ‘hundred’ numerals usually just omit the \bar{e} when they follow a noun: \bar{e} sǎ-rǎⁿ kǎ-jǎⁿ ‘two hundred trees’ (Fl).

Any worries about confusion between kǎ- in ‘hundred’ numerals and kǎ ‘day’ are defused by the observation that (\bar{e}) dè ‘sun; day’ is the usual noun in counting days, as in \bar{e} dè [ǎ jǎⁿ] ‘two days’. In archaic language (\bar{e}) kè was used instead of dè.

wùǎǎ (or variant) ‘thousand’ is a noun. It is homophonous with ‘goat’, and perhaps not accidentally since ‘goat’ and ‘thousand’ are both bàá in (archaic) Jula. In the sense ‘one thousand’ wùǎǎ is followed by ‘1’, and in some dialects it is flattened to wūǎǎ in this combination. In ‘two thousand’ to ‘ten thousand’, the digit follows a dialectally variable rhotic plural of wùǎǎ. Our Ji speaker produced (\bar{e}) wǎ-rǎ = \emptyset as the regular output of /wǎ-rǎ ò/. In the other dialects, plural ‘thousands’ has essentially fused with pre-numeral ò into an unsegmentable form, whose tones are dialectally variable before a nonhigh tone, but which drops as a whole to L-toned before sǎⁿ ‘3’.

(370) a. ‘thousand’	(\bar{e}) wǎǎǎ \ \ wǎ-rǎ		Ji	
	(\bar{e}) wùǎǎ \ \ ò-rǎ		Bi	
	(\bar{e}) wūǎǎ \ \ ò-rǎ-ǎǎ		Ma	
	(\bar{e}) wùǎǎ \ \ wǎ-rǎ-ǎǎ		Fl	
b. ‘one thousand’	(\bar{e}) wǎǎǎ	n	dè ⁿ ǎǎ ⁿ	Ji
	(\bar{e}) wūǎǎ	n	dè ⁿ ǎǎ ⁿ	Bi
	(\bar{e}) wūǎǎ	n	dè ⁿ ǎǎ ⁿ	Fl Ma
c. ‘two thousand’	(\bar{e}) wǎ-rǎ = \emptyset	jǎ ⁿ	Ji	
	(\bar{e}) ǎ-rǎ-ǎǎ	jǎ ⁿ	Ma	
	(\bar{e}) wǎ-rǎ-ǎǎ	jǎ ⁿ	Fl	
	(\bar{e}) wǎ-rǎ-ǎǎ	jǎ ⁿ	Fl	
	(\bar{e}) ò-rǎ-ǎǎ	jǎ ⁿ	Bi	
d. ‘three thousand’	(\bar{e}) wǎ-rǎ = \emptyset	sǎ ⁿ	Ji	
	(\bar{e}) ò-rǎ-ǎǎ	sǎ ⁿ	Bi Ma	
	(\bar{e}) wǎ-rǎ-ǎǎ	sǎ ⁿ	Fl	

As with other bulky numerals, the pre-numeral article \bar{e} is omitted after a noun: \bar{e} $s\grave{a}$ - $r\acute{f}^n$ [$w\grave{a}$ - $r\acute{f}$ - $\eta\delta$ $k\grave{a}^n$] ‘five thousand trees’ (Fl).

‘Million’, borrowed from French, is similar to ‘thousand’, but ‘1’ is optional in the sense ‘one million’. The reference is usually to millions of currency units and is not multiplied (from French to Tiefo-D) by five as are other currency phrases.

(371)	‘million’			
	‘one million’	(\grave{e}) $m\acute{f}y\delta^n$	(n	$d\grave{e}\eta\acute{e}[y]^n$)
	‘two million’	(\grave{e}) $m\acute{f}y\delta^n$	[= δ^n	$j\delta^n$]

4.6.1.5 Currency

Currency under one million francs CFA is counted on the basis of a unit equal to 5 francs, as in all local native languages. Therefore ‘one hundred’ means ‘five hundred francs’, and so forth. The currency unit, called $\grave{a}r\acute{a}$ (Ji) or $w\grave{a}r\acute{a}$ (Fl) can be added before the numeral, but usually it is tacitly understood.

4.6.1.6 Distributive numerals with stem iteration

Distributivity (cf. §6.6.2) is expressed by full iteration of simple numeral stems or of the final stem in composite numerals. With ‘1’ to ‘5’, i.e. the simple mono- and sesquisyllabic numerals, the second iteration drops to L-toned if the stem is monosyllabic. This does not happen with numerals ‘6’ and up, which are either composite or (in the case of $t\acute{a}mm \sim t\acute{a}m\acute{u}$ ‘10’) are treated as bisyllabic. For ‘each person’, the distributive (372a) is based on $n\bar{a}$ - $d\delta^n\eta\delta^n$ ‘one person, someone’. The full form $n\bar{a}$ - $d\delta^n$ - $d\delta^n$ occurs optionally in modifying function, so ‘each child’ can be \grave{e} $b\acute{f}$ - $f\acute{f}\bar{o}$ [\grave{n} $d\bar{e}^n$ - $d\bar{e}^n$] or \grave{e} $b\acute{f}$ - $f\acute{f}\bar{o}$ $n\bar{a}$ - $d\delta^n$ - $d\delta^n$. For ‘each N people’ with N a nonsingular numeral, $n\bar{a}$ is replaced by $y\acute{u}o$ ‘people’, or (for ‘2’ and ‘3’) a variant form. Numerals ‘6’ to ‘9’ are iterated whole. The mm in ‘10’ is simplified to m. ‘20’ has an irregular form.

(372)			distributive	
		numeral	general	human
a.	‘1’	\grave{n} $d\grave{e}\eta\acute{e}(y)^n$	\grave{n} $d\bar{e}^n$ - $d\bar{e}^n$ \grave{n} $d\bar{e}^n$ - $d\bar{e}^n$ - $r\bar{e}^n$ - $\eta\bar{e}^n$	\bar{e} $n\bar{a}$ - $d\delta^n$ - $d\delta^n$ \bar{e} $n\acute{a}$ - $d\delta^n$ - $d\delta^n\eta\delta^n$ (2017-13 @ 00:59)
b.	‘2’	\grave{o} $j\delta^n$	\grave{o} $j\delta^n$ - $j\delta^n$	\bar{e} $n\bar{u}o$ $j\delta^n$ - $j\delta^n$ Ji \bar{e} $y\bar{u}o$ $j\delta^n$ - $j\delta^n$ Fl
	‘3’	\grave{o} $s\acute{a}^n$	\grave{o} $s\acute{a}^n$ - $s\acute{a}^n$	\bar{e} $n\bar{u}o$ $s\acute{a}^n$ - $s\acute{a}^n$ Ji \bar{e} $y\bar{u}o$ $s\acute{a}^n$ - $s\acute{a}^n$ Fl
	‘4’	$w\bar{u}^n\eta\delta^n$	\grave{o} $w\bar{u}^n\eta\delta^n$ - $w\bar{u}^n\eta\delta^n$	\bar{e} $y\acute{u}o$ $w\bar{u}^n\eta\delta^n$ - $w\bar{u}^n\eta\delta^n$ Ji \bar{e} $y\acute{u}o$ $\eta\bar{u}o\eta\delta^n$ - $\eta\bar{u}o\eta\delta^n$ Fl
	‘5’	\grave{o} $k\grave{a}^n$	\grave{o} $k\grave{a}^n$ - $k\grave{a}^n$	\bar{e} $y\acute{u}o$ $k\grave{a}^n$ - $k\grave{a}^n$ Fl Ji

	‘6’	ò kà ⁿ -dí	ò [kà ⁿ -dí]-[kà ⁿ -dí]	è yúó [kà ⁿ -dí]-[kà ⁿ -dí]	F1 Ji
	‘10’	è támm	è tám-tám	è yúó tám-tám	F1 Ji
c.	‘20’	kpà ⁿ	è kpē-kpè	è yúó kpē-kpè	F1 Ji

The pre-numeral particle ò or article ē is often audible even when the distributive numeral follows a noun. Thus ē sà-ríⁿ ò wūⁿ?ǎ-wùⁿ?ǎ ‘trees three by three’. This may reflect the adverbial quality of distributive numerals.

A textual example is (373). It shows that ò or ē is omitted or inaudible in distributive numeral predicates after kō ‘be’.

(373)	[jèró	piè ⁿ ?è ⁿ]	kō	[(Ø)	jǎ ⁿ -jǎ ⁿ]
	[Rel.AnPl	foot]	be	[(Art)	two-two]
	‘those whose legs are two each’ = ‘those who have two legs (each)’				
	(women, 2017-13 @ 01:30)				

Some other quantifiers also allow distributive iteration: jǎrí-jǎrí ‘a little each’, gbùⁿ?úⁿ ‘a lot each’.

4.6.2 Ordinal adjectives

‘First’ and ‘last’ (just below) are, for most speakers, structurally distinct from ordinals ‘second’ and up.

4.6.2.1 ‘First’ and ‘last’

‘First’ and ‘last’ can be expressed using the nouns ‘face, front’ and ‘behind, rear’, respectively. These nouns are also involved in postpositions ‘in front of’ and ‘behind’ (§8.3.5-6). As nouns, the forms are as in (374).

(374)	a.	wānà?à	‘face, front’	F1
		ānà?à		Ji
		ānà ⁿ ?à ⁿ		Bi
		ñnà?à		Ma
	b.	jīē	‘behind, rear’	(all)

Three constructions occur. In (375a), ‘face, front’ or ‘behind’ is preposed to the noun as a possessor or compound initial. In (375b). ‘face, front’ or ‘behind’ is postposed but ends with -dò, cf. dó ‘possession, share (n)’, also used as inanimate default possessum (§6.2.4.1). Since ‘first X’ and ‘last X’ presuppose the existence of a set of Xs, the discourse-definite partitive sense of -dò discussed in §6.2.4.3 is relevant here. (375c) presents forms with animate agentive endings (-nǎ, -yùd) or with inanimate -kǎ. The forms are good for at least Ji and F1 dialects.

- (375) a. [ē (w)ānà?à] kō ‘the first day’
 [ē (w)ānà?à] yǎ ‘the first year’
 [ē ʃīē] kō ‘the last day’
 [ē ʃīē] yǎ ‘the last year’
- b. ē kō [Ø (w)ānà?à-dò] ‘the first day’
 ē yǎ [Ø (w)ānà?à-dò] ‘the first year’
 ē kō [Ø ʃīē-dò] ‘the last day’
 ē yǎ [Ø ʃīē-dò] ‘the last year’
- c. ē yǒ (w)ānà?à-nò ‘the first woman’
 ē yǎ-ró (w)ānà?à-yùò ‘the first women’
 ē yǒ ʃīē-nò ‘the last woman’
 ē yǎ-ró ʃīē-yùò ‘the last women’
 è ná ʃīē-nò ‘the last cow’
 è kō ʃīē-kò ‘the last day’

There is also a verb gè?è/gà?à/gà?à ~ gǐ?ì ‘do firstly’ or ‘be the first to do’. It can combine with a following verb as a predicate, as in gè?è-diē ‘was the first to enter’ (Ma, 2021-03 @ 01:02). Another relevant form is the adverb kò-kò ‘first(ly)’ (i.e. before doing the next thing), as in (Bo, 2019-03 @ 00:28: ‘we plow first, then we ...’). This is distinct from kō-kō ‘days’ (§6.6.1.2), though the latter drops to L-toned before H-tone.

For ‘first, leading (person)’ in the context of rank, we have also recorded gà?à-fó-nò (Fl Ji), including fó ‘(sur)pass’ which is common in comparatives (§12.1.1). For -nò in other human ordinals see §4.6.2.3 below.

4.6.2.2 Nonhuman ordinals ‘second’ and up (suffix -ju?ò, -dəro)

There are two distinct nonhuman ordinal suffixes for nonsingular numerals starting with ‘2’. One is -jù?ò, most common in Ji and Bi but known elsewhere. The article-like proclitic ò is present before ‘2’ through ‘9’.

- (376) a. single-digit numeral
- | | | |
|--|-----------|-------|
| ò jō ⁿ -jù?ò | ‘second’ | |
| ò wū ⁿ ?ō ⁿ -jù?ò | ‘fourth’ | Ji |
| ò ŋū ⁿ ?ō ⁿ -jù?ò | " | Bi |
| ò kà ⁿ -jù?ò | ‘fifth’ | |
| ō kà ⁿ -dí-jù?ò | ‘sixth’ | |
| ō kà ⁿ -jō ⁿ -jù?ò | ‘seventh’ | |
| ò kà-sá-jù?ò | ‘eighth’ | Bi Ji |
| ò kà-sá?á-jù?ò | " | Ma |
| ò kà ⁿ -wū ⁿ ?ō ⁿ -jù?ò | ‘ninth’ | Ji |
| ò kà ⁿ -ŋū ⁿ ?ō ⁿ -jù?ò | " | Bi |
| è tám(m)-jù?ò | ‘tenth’ | |

- b. decimal
 ē kpāⁿ-jù?ò ‘twentieth’
- c. decimal plus single-digit numeral
 è támm kà ñ dèⁿ?éⁿ-jù?ò ‘eleventh’
- d. hundred
 ē kplē-kàⁿ-jù?ò ‘hundredth’

The other ordinal suffix is -dǎro (i.e. -dǎró ~ -dǎrò), most common in F1 and Ma. The H-toned form is homophonous with dǎ-ró, plural of dǎ ‘possession, share (n)’. As with ‘first’ and ‘last’, the F1 speaker allows two linear orderings. (377a) is a possessor-possesum construction, while (377b-c) have an appositional structure. In (377a) the article is ē rather than ò. In (377b-c) the underlying pre-numeral ò appears to have no phonetic expression.

- (377) a. ē jòⁿ-dǎró kō
 Art two-**Ord** day
 ‘the second day’ (F1)
- b. [ē kǒ=] [Ø jòⁿ-dǎró]
 [Art day] [Pl two-**Ord**]
 ‘the second day’ (F1)
- c. [ē kǒ=] [Ø sáⁿ-dǎró]
 [Art day] [Pl three-**Ord**]
 ‘the third day’ (F1)

Forms of -dǎró for F1 dialect are in (378). The suffix is H-toned before uncompounded numerals (‘2’ to ‘5’, ‘20’), but drops to L-toned after composite numerals.

- (378) a. single-digit numeral
- suffix H-toned*
- ò jòⁿ-dǎró ‘second’
 ò sáⁿ-dǎró ‘third’
 ò ηùð?ð-dǎró ‘fourth’
 ò kàⁿ-dǎró ‘fifth’
- suffix L-toned*
- ō kàⁿ-dí-dǎrò ‘sixth’
 ō kàⁿ-jǒⁿ-dǎrò ‘seventh’
 ò kà-sá-dǎrò ‘eighth’
 ò kàⁿ-ηūð?ðⁿ-dǎrò ‘ninth’
 è támú-dǎrò ‘tenth’
- b. decimal
 ē kpàⁿ-dǎró ‘twentieth’

c. decimal plus single-digit numeral

è támm kà n dèⁿ?éⁿ-dàrò ‘eleventh’

d. hundred

ē kplē-kàⁿ-dàrò ‘hundredth’

4.6.2.3 Human ordinal -nò

For human referent, the ordinal suffix is -nò. This is transparently related to singular -nò in agentive compounds, and more distantly related to nā- ~ ná- as compound initial in various nouns denoting humans as well as in nā-dòⁿ?óⁿ ‘one person’. If there is no other noun, yúó ‘people’ or a tonal variant occurs as human classifier.

(379) a. ē yùò sáⁿ-nò
 Art people three-**Ord.Hum**
 ‘the third person’ (Fl Ji)

b. ē yūō jōⁿ-nò
 Art people two-**Ord.Hum**
 ‘the second person’ (Fl Ji)

c. è ná-bí [ò jōⁿ-nò]
 Art child [Art two-**Ord.Hum**]
 ‘the second child’

In a textual passage where a walking stick (cane) was referred to as a ‘third leg’, the “human” form sáⁿ-nò ‘third’ was used (380).

(380) [bè tó?ó] k-ā klè
 [Dem.Def Foc] Infin-Ipfv make.Ipfv
 [ōⁿ pìèⁿ?éⁿ [ò sáⁿ-nò]]
 [3AnSg leg [Pl three-**Ord.Hum**]
 ‘That [focus] was made (=was functioning as) his third leg.’
 (Ji, 2017-04 @ 03:20)

For interrogative mlēⁿ-nò ‘how many-eth?’ (e.g. what position in a class rank), see §13.2.3.5.2.

4.6.3 Fractions and portions

The noun gbé-dó?ó (Ji) means ‘half’, or more generally ‘fraction, division’ (including e.g. ‘a third’). This noun usually occurs with a possessor or compound initial. The plural is gbé-dó-ró (Ji). Fl dialect has gbé-dō-rō-?ó, with regular tone shift due to the glottal. The noun is a compound of the base verb gbé ‘split’ and a glottalic nominal from dó

‘share, divide up’. Inanimate participial gbé-dó-è?è is also possible in the sense ‘half, fraction’.

5 Nominal and adjectival compounds

5.1 Nominal compounds

Compounds are generally binary at each level, so we speak of the **initial** and the **final**. One or the other of these may itself be a compound (or derived noun). In (381) both initial and final are composite, but the binary structure is still apparent and is indicated by bracketing,

- (381) [dè -jū] -[glō -tòʔò]
 [sun -eye] -[exit(v).Pfv -place]
 ‘east’ (Bi)

There is no sharp distinction between “compound” and “derived noun/nominal.” Some derived nominals (verbal nouns, simple agentives, and lexicalized animate participles) are presented in §4.2 above. The present chapter includes not only equipollent compounds in which both initial and final are more or less open-ended, but also some constructions that verge on being nominal derivations, such as the ‘owner of X’ type (§5.1.9).

5.1.1 Tonal modifications in compounds

The following subsections describe tonal patterns in compounds. The simplest type of tonal process is the application of regular tone sandhi to the input forms of the initial and the final. Such tone sandhi affects the compound initial, lowering M to L before an H-tone.

The main tonal modification that is not attributable to regular tone sandhi is the dropping of the final to all-L tone. This happens in many, but far from all, compounds. Since this precedes tone sandhi in phonological derivations, we present it first in §5.1.1.1 just below.

There are also some tonally idiosyncratic compounds.

5.1.1.1 Tone-dropping of compound final

Many nominal compounds drop the tone of the final. Such tone-dropping is unrelated to the tones of the initial. In general, one can say that tone-dropping of the final is an indicator that the compound is fully lexicalized, or that the final itself (if it can combine with many different initials) is lexicalized as a compound final.

As pointed out above, there is no sharp break between nominal derivation and noun-noun compounding. It is therefore relevant that most nominal derivational suffixes are L-toned, even when they are associated with independent nouns that have other tones (382).

(382)	suffix	category	reference	related form(s)
a.	-nò -yùò	agentive singular agentive plural	§4.2.2 "	ná-bí ‘person’ yúó ‘people’
b.	-kàʔà -èʔè	animate participle inanimate participle	§4.5.4 "	kàʔá ‘meat’ èʔé ‘thing’

It is also relevant that the most archaic-looking adjectives (‘red’, ‘black’, ‘white’, ‘long’, ‘old’, ‘good’, ‘big’) have L-toned forms when postnominal (§4.5.3.1-2).

The majority of specialized compound finals are either consistently L-toned, or vary between L- and H-toned somewhat randomly depending on the initial. Skimming through the subsections of §5.1.6 and §5.1.7 reveals many examples. In some cases, these specialized finals have no uncompounded counterpart.

Some additional examples of ordinary noun-noun compounds with tone-dropped final are in (383).

(383)	noun	gloss	compound	gloss
a.	final tone-dropped from H			
	fé	‘words’	cèfǎ-fê	‘Tiefo language’
	"	"	dè-fê	‘speech, language’
	fúʔú	‘heat (n)’	lá-fùʔù	‘sickness’
	wé ⁿ	‘egg’	lǎ ⁿ -wè ⁿ	‘chicken egg’
	(w)ú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ	‘head’	gó-(w)ù ⁿ (ʔù ⁿ)	‘small termitary’
b.	final tone-dropped from M			
	bū ⁿ ʔǎ ⁿ	‘dog’	pōʔō-bù ⁿ ʔǎ ⁿ	‘wild dog (lycaon)’
	cī ⁿ	‘bird’	sàmà-cì ⁿ	‘pied crow’
	lǎ ⁿ	‘chicken’	dùgù-lǎ ⁿ	‘stone partridge’
	nī	‘mother’	dó ⁽ⁿ⁾ -nì	‘female affine’
	ɲū	‘water’	dé-ɲù	‘bead of sweat’
c.	final tone-dropped from LH			
	fêʔé	‘garment’	wē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ -fêʔè	‘blanket’
	jù-júʔó	‘cockroach’	blāʔā-[jù-jùʔò]	‘water bug’
	ɲùʔó	‘mouth’	dà ⁿ -ɲùʔò	‘boundary’
	ʃī ⁿ ʔí ⁿ	‘wood, tree’	só-ʃī ⁿ ʔí ⁿ	‘(wooden) pestle’

By no means do all nouns drop to L as compound finals. There are many compounds where the final retains its regular tones. If the final begins in H-tone, this can trigger tone sandhi affecting the initial (see the following section).

5.1.1.2 Regular tone sandhi affecting compound initial

Before a final beginning in H-tone, the tone sandhi process M#H-to-L#H (§3.6.2.2) lowers an M-toned initial to L.

(384)	compound	gloss	literal gloss
a.	kā	‘way, manner’	
	kà-tó	‘manner’	
	kà-dí ⁿ	‘manner’	
b.	blā?ā	‘pond, body of water’	
	blà?à-kpó	‘tree sp. next to water (<i>Alchornea</i>)’	
c.	cī	‘millet (and sorghum)’	
	cù-fǒ?ó (Ji)	‘porridge’	
d.	bō ⁿ	‘granary’	
	bò ⁿ -wí	‘granary owner’	
e.	lō ⁿ	‘chicken’	
	bò ⁿ -wí	‘chicken owner’	
	lò ⁿ -pó	‘chicken’s leg’	
	lò ⁿ -ú ⁿ ?ú ⁿ	‘chicken’s head’	
f.	sō ⁿ	‘salt’	
	sò ⁿ -wí	‘salt owner’	
g.	bū ⁿ ?ō ⁿ	‘dog’	
	bù ⁿ ?ò ⁿ -pó	‘dog’s leg’	
h.	gbī ⁿ ?ī ⁿ	‘peanuts’	
	gbì ⁿ ?ì ⁿ -wí	‘owner of peanuts’	

Like the M-toned initials just illustrated, LH-toned stems are lowered to L as initials before H-tone by LH#H-to-L#H (§3.6.2.3). Examples are in (385).

(385)	compound	gloss	literal gloss
a.	pò?ó	‘the bush, outback’	
	pò?ò-éé-ní	‘hunt (n)’	“the.bush-walk-VbIN”
	pò?ò-[júá ⁿ -tò?ò] (FI)	‘wild sesame’	“the.bush-sesame”
	pò?ò-tónǹrǹ	‘wild duck’	“the.bush-duck”

b. dà ⁿ ʔá ⁿ ‘fire’		
dà ⁿ ʔà ⁿ -bú (Ji)	‘flame’	cf. -bù ‘digit’ (§5.1.7.5)
dà ⁿ ʔà ⁿ -wí	‘gun owner’	‘fire-owner’
c. jù ⁿ ʔé ‘God’		
jù ⁿ ʔè-ɲó	‘sky’	‘God-heart’
jù ⁿ ʔè-wé ⁿ	‘star’	‘God-egg’
jù ⁿ ʔè-[bá ⁿ -pò ⁿ]	‘giant longhorn beetle’	‘God-[ram]’
d. tǒ ‘earth, ground’		
tò-ɲó	‘underground (n)’	‘earth-heart’
f. fɛ ⁿ ʔé ‘wrap (n), garment’		
fɛ ⁿ ʔè-pú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ	‘piece of fabric’	
g. ʃí ⁿ ʔí ⁿ ‘tree, wood’		
ʃí ⁿ ʔí ⁿ -dú ⁿ ʔú	‘thicket (of trees)’	
ʃí ⁿ ʔí ⁿ -mórá ⁿ	‘gum tree’ (with resin)	
h. tí-tàpló ‘grasshopper’		
tí-tàplò-dácò ⁿ	‘grasshopper sp. (<i>Hieroglyphus</i>)’	
i. kě ‘issue, matter’		
kè-ú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ	‘main reason, cause’	‘matter-head’
j. nàsèrà ‘white person’		
nàsèrà-kú ⁿ	‘cashew tree’	‘white.person- <i>Blighia</i> (tree)’
k. klǒ ‘calabash’		
klò-bí	‘small calabash’	‘calabash-child’
klò-gbá ⁿ ʔá	‘re-stitched calabash’	‘calabash-ruined’

Only rarely does an LH initial surface before an H-initial final. Such combinations occurred infrequently in elicitation and were not confirmed by other speakers.

5.1.1.3 Irregular tone-raising of the final

In (386), the final is unexpectedly H-toned.

(386) a. [fù-fùʔò]-éʔé	‘effervescence; beer’	fù-fùʔó ‘foam, froth’
		èʔé ‘thing’
b. cì-fíé ⁿ	‘millet’	cī ‘millet (and sorghum)’
		fìà ⁿ ʔà ⁿ ‘white’

There are also some nouns that have Cǎ singulars (with audible rising tone) but that flatten to M as initials in at least some compounds, except of course when dropped to L-tone by tone sandhi. Most such nouns are monosyllabic Cv or Clv (389).

(389)	compound	gloss
	a. kě	‘thing, matter, issue’
	kē-sù ⁿ ʔà ⁿ	‘work’
	kē-dìʔè	‘tradition, custom’
	b. yǒ	‘woman’
	yō-dè	‘old woman’
	c. kǒ	‘beads (collective)’
	kō-bìò	‘beads’

This tone-flattening can apply, especially in allegro speech, to bisyllabic or sesquisyllabic initials. In careful style the flattening is not systematic. pòʔó ‘the bush, outback’ is a common and probably grammaticalized compound initial, where it is usually heard as pōʔō- or deglottalized to pō-. See the following section on this initial.

5.1.2 Deglottalization of compound initials

Glottalic stems with shapes like Cvʔv and CvCvʔv sometimes deglottalize to Cv and CvCv as compound initials. In general, compounds that are in common use (i.e. at least partially lexicalized) are more prone to deglottalization than less common ones, such as nonce combinations obtained in elicitation (e.g. ‘goat head’). The phenomenon is difficult to study since the dialectal distribution of stem-final glottalic (sesqui-)syllables even in simple noun stems is ragged, and since speakers often aim for “correct” glottalic pronunciations in elicitation contexts.

Some cases of deglottalization of Cvʔv stems with fixed vowel quality are in (390).

(390)	noun	gloss	compound	gloss
	a. pòʔó	‘the bush’	pō-kà (Ji)	‘wild animal’
			pōʔō-kà (Bi Fl)	''
	b. dà ⁿ ʔá ⁿ	‘fire’	dà ⁿ -fléní (Fl)	‘flame’
	c. (w)ú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ	‘head’	ú ⁿ -kě (Bi)	‘problem’
			ú ⁿ -kǎ (Bi)	‘head louse’

5.1.3 Lexicalized noun-adjective combinations

5.1.3.1 Noun-adjective collocations with regular forms

Lexical elicitation turned up many fixed noun-adjective collocations where both noun and adjective present their regular forms. Such collocations are common when a mid-level taxon subsumes two or more well-defined subtaxa, as in (391). Color and dimension adjectives are common differentiators.

(391)	noun (+adjective)	gloss	comment/literal
a.	míó ⁿ	‘python’	
	míó ⁿ nígbó	‘python sp. (<i>Python regius</i>)’	“short python”
	míó ⁿ sò ⁿ -sò ⁿ ʔò ⁿ	‘python sp. (<i>P. sebae</i>)’	“long python”
b.	tákpóʔó	‘carp (tilapia)’	
	tákpóʔó fià ⁿ ʔà ⁿ	‘mango tilapia’	“white carp”
	tákpóʔó yùàʔà	‘Nile tilapia’	“black carp”
c.	gblè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	‘sorghum’	
	gblè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ fià ⁿ ʔà ⁿ	‘white sorghum’	(for consumption)
	gblè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ ʃiè ⁿ	‘red sorghum’	(for beer-making)

5.1.3.2 Noun-adjective compounds with reduced adjectives

Certain adjectives distinguish a full form used as an ordinary modifying adjective (as in the preceding section) from a reduced form. The latter occurs after the animate classifier *kā* and in some compounds denoting natural species. The reduced form usually lacks a glottal sesquisyllable if the latter occurs in the modifying form. In (392), the unreduplicated modifying forms shown also have reduplicative variants (not shown here, see §4.5.3.2.1).

(392)	modifying	reduced	animate <i>kā</i>	gloss
a. color				
	fià ⁿ ʔà ⁿ (F1 Ji)	fið ⁿ	kā fið ⁿ	‘white’
	fià ⁿ (Bi)			
	ʃiè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ (F1 Ma)	sè ⁿ	kā sè ⁿ	‘red’
	ʃiè ⁿ (Bi Ji)	[for glottalic variant sè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ see (395) below]		
	yùàʔà (F1 Ji)	yùð	kā yùð	‘black’
	yùà (Bi)			

b. age				
fùḁⁿʔḁⁿ (Fl)	fḁⁿ	kā fḁⁿ		‘new’
fḁⁿʔḁⁿ (Ji)				
dìʔè (Ji)	dè	kā dè		‘old’
dìèʔè (Fl)				

Some combinations are attested in both modifying and reduced forms, depending on speaker or dialect. In (393), the unreduced noun-adjective variant is shown above the reduced (compound) variant, which is hyphenated.

(393) a. “white liana” (*Baissea*)

kpó fiàⁿʔàⁿ	Fl Ji
kpó-fiḁⁿ	Bi

b. “white termite”

flí-kà fiàⁿʔàⁿ	Ji
[flí-kà]-fiḁⁿ	Fl

c. “black termite”

flí-kà yùàʔà	Fl
[flí-kà]-yùḁ	Ji

Other compounds that include reduced forms of adjectival finals are in (394).

(394)

	compound		gloss
	singular	plural	
a. ‘X-red’			
	ká-sèⁿ (Ji)	—	‘tree sp.’ (<i>Combretum</i> spp.)
	káʔá-sèⁿ (Fl Ma)	—	”
b. ‘X-black’			
	kpò-yùḁ	kpò-yù-rḁ	‘starling’ (blackish)
	dèrúⁿ-yùḁ	dèrúⁿ-yùḁ-rḁ	‘fieldmouse sp.’ (dark)
c. ‘X-white’			
	dèrúⁿ-fiḁⁿ (Ji)	dèrúⁿ-fiḁ	‘fieldmouse sp.’ (light-colored)

We must be careful about -yùḁ. In (394b) it is indeed a short form of ‘black’. However, there is also a noun yùḁ (Fl Ji) denoting a caterpillar with stinging hairs. In Bi dialect, wìḁ ~ vìḁ can denote either this type of caterpillar or winged termites. Therefore [pì-ná]-yùḁ (Bi) ‘large edible winged termite (*Macrotermes*)’ means literally not “black herder,” rather “herder(’s) winged termite.” A dialectal synonym is [kpè-kpé]-yùḁ (Fl Ji) with otherwise unattested reduplicative initial, and this too we dissociate from -yùḁ ‘black’. Both dialectal ‘winged termite’ terms have plurals in -yùḁ, distinct from the rhotic plurals of ‘black’ in (394b) above.

For ‘red’ (394a), in addition to -sèⁿ as in k̄a-sèⁿ there is a glottalized variant -sèⁿ?èⁿ (395a) that differs only slightly from unreduced modifying ʃìⁿ?èⁿ. Dialectal terms for ‘scorpion’ (395b) appear to show further reduced variants (note the unexpected plural -ʃìò), alongside unreduced nùgbó ʃìàⁿ?àⁿ (Fl). Taboo deformation is a possibility here.

(395)	compound		
	singular	plural	gloss
a.	cé ⁿ -sè ⁿ ?è ⁿ	—	‘air-breathing catfish’
	wú-sè ⁿ ?è ⁿ	—	‘red-flanked duiker’
	dàrú ⁿ -sè ⁿ ?è ⁿ	—	‘fieldmouse sp.’ (brown)
	wàtítáró-sè ⁿ ?è ⁿ	—	‘laughing dove’
b.	‘scorpion’	plural	dialect
	nìgbé-ʃìà ⁿ	nìgbé-ʃìò	Ji
	nì ⁿ gbó-ʃìà ⁿ	nì ⁿ gbó-ʃìò	Bi
	nìgbí-ʃìà ⁿ ?à ⁿ	nìgbí-ʃìò	Ma
	nùgbó-ʃìà ⁿ ?à ⁿ	nùgbó-ʃìò	Fl

For ‘white’, in addition to the reduced form -fìòⁿ (392a, 393a-b, 394cc), there is also an archaic variant -fíéⁿ with H-tone and fronted vowel. It occurs in only one combination (396). The initial, somewhat disguised, is cī ‘millet’ (broad sense including both pearl millet and sorghum), cf. also cù-fíóⁿ (and variants) ‘porridge’. The compound in (396) therefore originally meant ‘white millet’, implying that sorghum may once have been called ‘black (i.e. dark) millet’ and/or ‘red millet’.

(396) ‘pearl millet’

cì-fíé ⁿ	Fl Ji
cù-fíé ⁿ	Bi Ma

As a regular adjective, tù-tù?ù (or tonal variant) ‘big’ is unreduced in all contexts, including after k̄a-. However, in (397a) it is reduced dialectally to -tù-tù by dropping the glottalization. (397b), if it is in fact a compound (the initial is not attested elsewhere), shows the same reduction but ends in LH-toned -tù-tú (such tonal alternations are typical of adjectives). In (397c), tù-tù?ù is reduced to -tù?ù by dropping the reduplicative segment. In (397d) it is reduced as in (397c), but is irregularly raised to H-toned -tú?ú. Any reductions and other idiosyncracies like these are taken to indicate compounded status (shown by hyphenation).

(397)	compound	dialect	literal gloss
a.	sícú?ó-[tù-tù]	Ji	‘big stomach’ (i.e. rumen, of ruminant animals)
b.	sǎ-[tù-tú]	various	‘puff adder’ (segmentation uncertain)

c.	bàfí ⁿ ʔí ⁿ -tùʔù	Fl Ji	“big knife” (i.e. machete)
	cɔ̃ ⁿ -tùʔù	Fl	“big fig” (<i>Ficus sur</i>)
	jòʔò-tùʔù	Fl Ji	“big boubou (garment)”
	klɔ̃-tùʔù	Fl Ji	“big calabash”
d.	fíʔé-túʔú	Bi Fl Ji	“big hoe” (long-handled)
	nèrà-túʔú	Fl Ji	‘large balaphone
	ú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ -túʔú	Ji	“big-head” (high authority)

sícúʔó-[tù-tù] ‘rumen’ in (397a) is syllabically and prosodically parallel to its antonym sícúʔó-[bì-bì] ‘small stomach’ (i.e. reticulum or omasum). These different “stomachs” occur in ruminants (cattle, sheep, goats). (tù-)tùʔù ‘big’ is already L-toned as a modifier, but ‘small’ is bí-bì as a modifier. We take bì-bì in sícúʔó-[bì-bì] to be a compound final because of its dropped tones. Tone-dropping is typical of compound finals but not of ordinary modifying adjectives.

bì-bì ‘small’ is supplented by jóri-kò, most often in inanimate plural form jóri-rè (and variants). The species term glō-jèrò (Bi only) ‘barn owl’ may be a compound of ‘eagle-owl’ (Ji glō, in other dialects glòʔó) plus an archaic reduced compounding form related to jóri-kò. The plural is glō-jèrò-ní with the default plural suffix.

The adjective ‘long’ is reduplicative sòⁿ-sòⁿʔòⁿ (or tonal variant) as true modifier and after animate ká-. An example is pànúʔú sòⁿ-sòⁿʔòⁿ ‘long tail’. However, it reduces to unreduplicated sòⁿʔòⁿ in the bahuvrihi (§5.2.2.1) pànúʔú-sòⁿʔòⁿ ‘long-tailed’. See also dè-sòⁿʔòⁿ ‘long field’ (Ma, 2018-08 @ 00:16).

5.1.4 Verbal nouns with incorporated noun as initial

For simple verbal nouns with suffix -ní, see §4.2.1.1. As a reminder, the verbal noun suffix is normally added to the base of the verb, and M-toned bases drop to L before the H-toned suffix by tone sandhi. The examples in (398) additionally contain a nominal initial denoting a characteristic object or location.

(398) Verbal nouns with incorporated nominal

VbIN	gloss	verb	gloss of verb
a. initial is characteristic object			
ú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ -dá ⁿ -ní	‘head-shaving, baptism’	dē ⁿ / dáⁿ /dá ⁿ	‘shave’
ú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ -lá ⁿ -ní	‘head-washing’	lē ⁿ / láⁿ /lá ⁿ	‘wash’
pé ^{ríⁿ} -plà ⁿ -ní	“shit-wiping” (herb sp.)	plè/ plā /plā	‘wipe, clean’
b. initial is characteristic location			
pòʔò-yé-ní	‘hunt (n)’	yé (invariant)	‘walk (around)’

A fuller object NP may also be “incorporated,” in which case we transcribe the NP separately.

- (399) ā pìèⁿ [[à kútárú] sò-ní] dè-rè
 3Inan remain.Pfv [[**3Inan** **entirety**] carry.on.head.Base-**VbIN**] now
 ‘It remained to carry the whole thing (on his head) now.’ (Ji, 2017-08 @ 07:18)

These examples differ from verbal noun -ní following verb-verb compounds.

5.1.5 Compounds based on ‘person’

5.1.5.1 Agentives with verb plus -nè plus incorporated nominal

Simple agentives are described in §4.2.2. The examples presented below additionally incorporate a noun as initial. This noun usually denotes the characteristic object. It occasionally denotes a location, or it is a pro forma cognate nominal for the verb. As with uncompounded agentives, the verb usually takes Pfv form, but the base is attested in some combinations. Only singular forms are shown in (400); the plurals replace -nè with -yùò, and in some cases also pluralize the initial. The Pfv form in the “related verb” column is **bolded**.

(400) Compounded agentives

agentive	literal	idiomatic	related verb	gloss
bé ⁿ ʔé ⁿ -blē ⁿ -nè	“tomtom-beater”	‘drummer’	blēⁿ /bé ⁿ /blí ^m	‘beat’
bú-mlē ⁿ -nè	“cowry-tosser”	‘diviner’	mlēⁿ /mé ⁿ /mlí ^m	‘throw’
dàràʔá-gbà-nè	“tale-hitter”	‘storyteller’	gbà /gō/gō ~ gū	‘hit’
dō-dē-nè	“sleeper”	‘sleepy one’	dē /dō/dē (Fl)	‘sleep (v)’
fēʔé-gbē ⁿ -nè	“garment-sewer”	‘tailor’	gbēⁿ /gbā ⁿ /gbā ⁿ	‘sew’
kàʔá-dē-nè	“meat-seller”	‘butcher’	dē /jùò/jùò	‘sell’
kpèʔé-tà ⁿ rē ⁿ -nè	“beside-sitter”	(w. sick person)	tàⁿrēⁿ /tārā ⁿ /tārē ⁿ	‘sit’
ná-nē-nè	“cow-herder”	‘cowherd’	nē /ná/ná	‘tend’
pòʔò-yé-nè	“bush-walker”	‘hunter’	yé (invariant)	‘walk’
ú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ -tārē ⁿ -nè	“head-sitter”	(sitting in front)	tārēⁿ /tārā ⁿ /tārē ⁿ	‘sit’

An example of double pluralization is the plural variant in (401). This compound is attested for Fl dialect. The bracketed initial means ‘shoe(s)’: singular ʃī-tàʔà, plural ʃī-tà-rà-ʔà (in this dialect). This is followed by the agentive (singular -nè, plural -yùò) from the verb kpèⁿʔéⁿ/kpàⁿʔàⁿ/kpiⁿʔiⁿ ‘nail (v); make (shoes)’, cf. Eng *cobble*.

(401) ‘shoe-maker, leatherworker’ (Fl)

Sg	[ʃī-tàʔà]	-kpè ⁿ ʔé ⁿ -	nè
Pl	[ʃī-tà-rà-ʔà]	-kpè ⁿ ʔé ⁿ -	yùò

In cases like this the pluralization of the initial appears to be driven by sympathy to the pluralization of the agent. A shoemaker makes many shoes, though one at a time. A cowherd

ná-nē-nò tends many cows (nó), not just one cow (ná), but plural nó appears in the plural agentive nó-nē-yùò.

In (402), the agentive contains an initial plus a verb-verb compound. In verb-verb compounds, only the first verb can take Pfv form.

- (402) a. dīⁿ?ōⁿ-[gbà-kú]-nò
 firewood-[hit.Pfv-cut.Base]-Agent.Sg
 ‘woodcutter’
- b. kà?á-[dè-ló]-nò
 meat-[sell.Pfv-turn.Base]-Agent.Sg
 ‘meat re-seller’

In such compounds the first verb fairly often takes base rather than Pfv form, hence -[gò-kú]- is possible in (402a) and -[jùò-ló]- is possible in (402b)

5.1.5.2 Final -dò ~ -nò in affinal kin terms

Array (403) compares terms for male affines (father- and brother-in-law) and female affines (mother- and -sister-in-law).

(403)	‘in-law’	dialect
	a. male	
	dó ⁿ -dò	Bi Ji
	dó ⁽ⁿ⁾ -nò	F1
	b. female	
	dó ⁽ⁿ⁾ -nì	Bi F1 Ji

Both terms begin with the initial dóⁿ-, which evidently means ‘affine’. (403b) adds -nì, an L-toned compound-final form of nī ‘mother’ that also occurs in terms for adult female animals (§5.1.6.6). The variants in (403a) may ultimately reflect a dialectal alternation of ⁿd with n (§3.4.4.2), but synchronically they point ambiguously to two analyses. In one, the final -dò is the L-toned compound final form of dǒ ‘man; male’. This is undoubtedly correct etymologically and is supported synchronically by the Bi and Ji forms. The second analysis, most relevant to F1 dialect, is that the ending is -nò ‘person’, as in singular agentives. However, this reanalysis is not carried through to completion, as shown by the rhotic plurals: F1 dó⁽ⁿ⁾-nò-rò parallel to Bi Ji dóⁿ-dò-rò. By contrast, true agentive singular -nò has a suppletive plural -yùò.

The term for ‘male affine’ is also part of a larger compound (404), meaning ‘earwig’ (insect order Dermaptera). The literal sense is “scorpion(’s)-affine’. Scorpions use their tails to sting their prey while earwigs use their split tails as forceps to grasp their prey.

(404) ‘earwig’

- | | | |
|----|---|----|
| a. | [nùgbó-ʃià ⁿ ?à ⁿ]-[dó ⁿ -dò] | Ji |
| b. | [nì ⁿ gbó-ʃià ⁿ]-[dó ⁿ -dò] | Bi |
| c. | [nùgbó-ʃià ⁿ ?à ⁿ]-[dó ⁽ⁿ⁾ -nò] | Fl |

5.1.5.3 ‘Thief’ (w)úⁿ-fúó

The term for ‘thief’ is in (405). Its composition is less than transparent.

- | | | | |
|-------|----------------------|----------|---------|
| (405) | singular | plural | dialect |
| a. | ú ⁿ -fúó | ú-fó-ró | Bi Ji |
| b. | wú ⁿ -fúó | wú-fó-ró | Fl |

One speaker suggested a literal parsing as “village-replasterer” on the grounds that the thief picks the village clean, cf. (w)úⁿ ‘village’ and verb fùò ‘replaster (wall)’. A diachronically more likely source for the final is invariant fē ‘steal’ (Bi Fl Ji).

5.1.5.4 pì-ná ~ pè-ná ‘herder’

This noun is semantically agentive but its morphology is obscure. The forms are in (406).

(406) ‘herder, pastoralist’

- | | | | |
|----|--------------------|--------|---------|
| | singular | plural | dialect |
| a. | pì-ná | pì-nó | Fl Ma |
| | pì-ná ⁿ | pì-nó | Bi |
| b. | pè-ná | pè-nó | Ji |

Assuming that the hyphenation is correct, at least diachronically, there is still no clarity about the morphology. The pì- ~ pè- is obscure. The second element could be (a variant of) any of the elements in (407).

- | | | | | |
|-------|----|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| (407) | a. | verb nē/ná/ná | ‘tend (livestock)’ | |
| | b. | ná- ~ nā- | ‘person’ | see the following subsection |
| | c. | ná (Bi ná ⁿ) | ‘cow’ | plural nó (including Bi) |

Since ‘herder’ is clearly an agentive semantically, a secondary association with suffix -nò is possible, even though the grammatical number is discordant.

A regular agentive based on the verb ‘tend (livestock)’ in (407a) is attested when a nominal initial denoting the animal species is added: ná-nē-nò ‘cattle herder’.

5.1.5.5 Compounds with ná- ‘person’

An element ná- or nà- occurs in a few frozen compounds denoting humans. It is likely related to agentive singular -nò (preceding section), and a reconstruction *nó or *nō is indicated. The irregular combination è ní jī ‘someone’ (§4.4.2.3) may also contain a vestige of this noun.

In (408a) ná- or dialectally nà-, the latter dropped from M- to L-tone before an H-tone, combines with -bí, originally ‘child’ (§5.1.6.1). The compound finals in (408b) and (408c), which in the plural differ only in tone, may be connected. Compare dē ‘elder sibling’, plural dī-ó. The final in ná-díé may have been back-formed from its plural. In (408d), ná- combines with a variant of fōⁿ?òⁿ ‘new’ (plural fō-ròⁿ).

(408)	singular	plural	
	a. ‘person’ or ‘child’ (depending on dialect)		
	ná-bí	ná-bí-ó	Ji
	~ ná ⁿ -bí	~ ná ⁿ -bí-ó	Bi
	~ nà-bí	~ nà-bí-ó	Fl
	b. ‘maternal uncle’		
	ná-díé	ná-díó	Bi Fl Ji
	c. ‘old man’ or ‘old person’		
	ná-dè	ná-dì-ò	Ji Ma
	ná ⁿ -dè	ná ⁿ -dì-ò	Bi
	nā-dè	nā-dì-ò	Fl
	d. ‘visitor, guest’		
	ná-fō ⁿ	ná-fō	Fl
	"	nó-fō	Bi Ma
	nā-fō ⁿ	nā-fō	Ji

The wider use of ná- in compounds or as a simple noun is likely discouraged by homophony with ná (Bi náⁿ) ‘cow, bovine’, plural nó.

5.1.6 Compound finals expressing sex and life-stage

The following subsections present compounds whose initial denotes a natural species or human type (e.g. an ethnicity), and whose final denotes a sex and/or a life-stage. Pluralization is marked on the final, and sometimes additionally on the initial.

Some of these finals are restricted to animals, especially domestic animals: -bèⁿ ‘juvenile’, -cù?ò ‘young adult female’ (i.e. soon to be a mother), -pòⁿ ‘adult male’, -pèⁿ?èⁿ

‘male’. Others are just special cases of human terms: -nì ~ -nìʔì ‘mother’, -yò ‘woman’. The finals are predominantly L-toned, compare nī ‘mother’, yǒ ‘woman’ as uncompounded nouns. The noun ná ‘cow’ is atypical in having H-toned versions of some of the finals (-cúʔó, -póʔn).

5.1.6.1 Final -ná-bí ~ -nà-bí or -bí ~ -bì ‘child’

A wide range of animal taxa allow compounding with the forms in (409) to denote juveniles. (For human uses, see below.)

(409)	dialect	singular	plural
	Ji	-ná-bí	-bí-ó
	Fl	-nà-bí	"

Examples are in (410). The initial is sometimes, but not always, pluralized morphologically along with the final. ‘Kite’ (410c) is a type of hawk.

(410)	dial.	singular	plural	gloss	source
a.	Ji	wúʔó-[-ná-bí]	[wó-ró]-bí-ó	‘baby snake’	wúʔó ‘snake’
	Fl	wūʔó-[-nà-bí]	[wō-rō-ʔó]-bí-ó	"	wūʔó ‘snake’
b.	Ji	cìè ⁿ -[-ná-bí]	cìè-bí-ó	‘bird chick’	cīō ⁿ ‘snake’
	Fl	cīō ⁿ -[-nà-bí]	"	"	"
c.	Ji	tàʔà-plò-[-ná-bí]	[tàʔà-plò]-bí-ó	‘baby kite’	tàʔà-pló ‘kite’
	Fl	tàʔà-pló-[-nà-bí]	"	"	"
d.	Ji	gbá ⁿ -gbà ⁿ -[-ná-bí]	[gbá ⁿ -gbè-rà ⁿ]-bí-ó	‘lion cub’	gbá ⁿ -gbà ⁿ ?á ⁿ ‘lion’
e.	Ji	bè-[-ná-bí]	[bè-rè]-bí-ó	‘elephant cub’	bě ‘elephant’
	Fl	bě-[-nà-bí]	"	"	"
f.	Ji	sàkpèʔè-[-ná-bí]		‘donkey foal’	sàkpèʔè ‘donkey’

The few basic domestic animals (‘dog’, ‘chicken’, ‘sheep’, ‘goat’, ‘cow’) that take the alternative final -bèⁿ, plural -bùò (§5.1.6.3), do not allow this formation. This increases the suspicion that -bèⁿ and -bí are related etymologically.

(411) presents special cases of L-toned -bì without the -ná- ~ -nà- element. In (411a) the absence of -ná- ~ -nà- may be due to haplology. (411b) is itself a species term, not specifically for juveniles, and wòlò is not otherwise known.

(411)	singular	plural	gloss	source
a.	n̄-bì	n̄-bì-ò	‘guinea-fowl chick’	n̄ ‘guinea-fowl’
b.	wòlò-bì	wòlò-bì-ò	‘helmet-shrike (bird)’	(unknown)

A proto-form *bí ‘child’ is likely preserved in the frozen compounds in (412) below, see §4.1.4.3. In (412b), the -ná- ~ -nà- morpheme occurs in the plural as well as in the singular. It is likely a reflex of a term for ‘person, human’, which is also preserved in agentive singular -n̄. There is a cognate in Tiefò-N meaning ‘person’. So -ná- ~ -nà- likely spread from the human form to the nonhuman forms in (410) above, but in the singular only.

(412)	singular	plural	dialect	gloss
a.	bí-sī̄ ⁿ	bí-sī̄	Ji	‘child’
	bí-ḟī̄ ⁿ	bí-ḟī̄	Bi Fl	
b.	ná-bí	ná-bí-ó	Ji	‘person’ or ‘child’ (depending on dialect)
	ná ⁿ -bí	ná ⁿ -bí-ó	Bi	
	nà-bí	nà-bí-ó	Fl Ma	

In the sense ‘child’ (412a) and (412b) compete dialectally with each other. In the sense ‘person/people’ (412b) competes with yúó (§4.1.4.2).

The final in bí-sī̄ⁿ, plural bí-sī̄ (412a), may have originally been a compounding form of the adjective ‘red’, compare (Ji dialect) animate k̄a ḟī̄ⁿ ‘red one’ and plural k̄a ḟì̄. The singular in bí-sī̄ⁿ may have been back-formed from the plural, based on the productive alternation of singular ɔ̄ⁿ with plural o. If this is correct, bí-sī̄ⁿ originally meant “red child,” a phrasing that is in use in the region for ‘newborn baby’. bí-sī̄ⁿ is no longer restricted to babies. See also bí-s̄-ò-r̄-é-ní ‘childishness’ (254a) above.

In addition to compound final -b̄ⁿ in juvenile domestic animal terms (§5.1.6.3), with plural -b̄-ò, other possible relatives of -bí ~ -bì are the initials in bī-d̄ ‘younger sibling’ and bí-má ‘grandfather’ (§5.1.8), final -b̄ in ‘finger-toe-nail’ compounds (§5.1.7.5), and by extension final -b̄ in dàⁿʔáⁿ-b̄ ‘flame’ from dàⁿʔáⁿ ‘fire’.

In (413), H-toned -bí follows an L-toned stem, which has dropped from LH or M before the H. In (413b) the initial is a Pfv verb ‘died’.

(413)	singular	plural	gloss	source
a.	sà ⁿ ʔá ⁿ -bí	sà ⁿ ʔá ⁿ -bí-ó	‘arrow’	sà ⁿ ʔá ⁿ ‘bow’
b.	wù-ò-bí	wù-ò-bí-ó	‘orphan’	wū̄ ‘died’ (Pfv)

Since both n̄-bì ‘guinea-fowl chick’ (411a) and wù-ò-bí ‘orphan’ (413b) have M-toned inputs as initials, the choice between -bì and -bí is not completely predictable based on the tone of the input.

(414) presents a more complex picture. At first sight the terms for ‘honey’ in (414a) are the bases for the terms for ‘honey bee(s)’ in (414b). But ‘honey’ (414a) can also be used loosely for ‘honey bees (collective)’, and it is compatible with a plural form (final o). The

terms for ‘honey bee(s)’ (414b) occur most often in the plural (with o), but singular forms denoting individual bees are elicitable and their initials end in ɔⁿ. For the ɔⁿ/o number alternation see §4.1.2.3.1. Of special interest are the forms of the finals in (414b), plural -bìò and singular -bìðⁿ. Since -bì-ò is elsewhere the (segmentable) plural of -bì as in several examples given above, it seems likely that singular -bìðⁿ is a back-formation. This in turn implies that -bìò is in the process of becoming an unsegmentable singular (with collective or mass sense) in connection with small objects that normally cluster in groups. See also the following subsection on this matter.

(414)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
a.	tīʔō	—	‘honey’	Bi Ji
	tīōʔō	—	"	F1 Ma
b.	tī ⁿ ʔō ⁿ -bìð ⁿ	tīʔō-bìò	‘honey bee’	F1 Ji Ma
	tīō ⁿ -bìð ⁿ	tīō-bìò		Bi

Another curious case is ‘small calabash’ (415b). ‘Calabash’ (415a) has an unusual plural including a shift ɔ to ε and (usually) addition of plural suffix -ní (§4.1.2.5.3). In the diminutive, Ji has H-toned -bí which drops the tone of the preceding stem from M to L. Bi and F1 have M-toned -bī which does not affect the preceding M-tone. However, in Bi the suffix -ní is re-added after /kplē-bī/, which then drops to L-toned.

(415)	singular	plural	dialect
a.	‘calabash’ (omitting uncommon rhotic plurals)		
	klō	kplè-ní	Bi F1(var) Ji Ma
	"	kplē	F1(var)
b.	‘small calabash’		
	klò-bí	kplè-bí	Ji
	klō-bī	kplē-bī	F1
	"	kplè-bì-ní	Bi

5.1.6.2 Final unsegmentable -bìò ~ -bíó ‘fruit’

For the noun bíó ‘fruit, seed’, likely an old plural *bí-ó ‘children’ reinterpreted as singular/collective, see §4.1.4.3.

Several compounds with collective or plural sense end in L-toned -bìò following a nonlow tone (416). There is also one case of H-toned -bíó after an L-tone (416b). Distinct singular counterparts were unelicitable for these compounds.

(416)	compound	gloss	components
	a. L-toned -bìò (no singular/plural distinction)		
	kō-bìò	‘beads’	cf. kō-blòʔò ‘sacrifice (n)’
	b̀̀r̀̀ó-b̀̀ìò	‘clods of moist earth’	b̀̀r̀̀ó ‘soil, earth’
	bl̄̀-̀̀b̀̀ìò	‘raindrops’	bl̄̀ ‘rain (n)’
	ɲáté ⁿ -̀̀b̀̀ìò	‘larynx, internal throat’	ɲáté ⁿ ‘throat’
	[nàgblà-có]-kō-b̀̀ìò	‘shrub sp. (<i>Abrus</i>)’	nàgblà-có ‘circumcised boy’
	b. H-toned -bíó		
	[ná-bè ⁿ]-bíó	‘tree sp. (<i>Lannea acida</i>)’	ná-bè ⁿ ‘calf’ (§5.1.6.3)

We have seen that tīʔò-b̀̀ìò (and variants) ‘honey bee(s)’, (414b) in the preceding section, is another case of -b̀̀ìò, but that a singular -b̀̀ìòⁿ has been back-formed from it. A term for a stingless bee sp. that also produces a little honey is presented in (417).

(417) ‘stingless bee’ (tribe Meliponini)

	collective	singular	compound	dialect
a.	lèdíʔó	—	lèdíó-bíó	Ji
b.	lèdíòʔò	lèdíò ⁿ ʔò ⁿ	lèdìòʔò-bíó	F1
c.	lèdìò	—	lèdìò-bíó	Bi

The terms in the “collective” column can be used to denote the bees or their nest and honey. Only F1 has a distinct singular form, following the pattern where singular ɔⁿ is denasalized as o in the plural (§4.1.2.3.1). The bees, but not their nest or honey, can also be denoted by the -bíó compounds in the right-hand column.

5.1.6.3 Final -bèⁿ for young domestic animals.

Compounds with final -bèⁿ denote young or half-grown domestic animals. The plural is -b̀̀ùò. Both initial and final are independently pluralizable. It is likely that -bèⁿ is etymologically related to -b̀̀ì (originally ‘child’) and variants (plural -b̀̀ì-ò). The small set of domestic animal terms that allow -bèⁿ do not allow the -b̀̀ì final. All known examples of -bèⁿ are in (418).

(418)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
	bá ⁿ -bè ⁿ	bó-b̀̀ùò	‘lamb’	(various)
	l̄̀ ⁿ -bè ⁿ	l̄̀-̀̀b̀̀ùò	‘half-grown chicken’	(various)
	ná-bè ⁿ	nó-b̀̀ùò	‘calf’	F1 Ji
	bū ⁿ ʔò ⁿ -bè ⁿ	būʔò-b̀̀ùò	‘puppy’	F1 Ji
	wùʔó-bè ⁿ	wè-ró-b̀̀ùò	‘goat kid’	Ji

būⁿ?ɔ̃ⁿ-bèⁿ ‘puppy’ has a variant pronunciation with -mè even in Fl and Ji dialects, where full forward nasalization of voiced stops after nasalized vowels is not otherwise found. The plural is always unnasalized: būⁿ?ɔ̃ⁿ-bùⁿ.

For bèⁿ- as compound initial in a somewhat different sense, see bèⁿ-kà ‘beast’ in §5.1.7.1.

5.1.6.4 Final -pɔ̃ⁿ ~ -pɔ́ⁿ for adult male domestic animals

This final occurs with a few terms for domestic animals. Most examples have L-toned -pɔ̃ⁿ. The final is H-toned (Fl Ji) or M-toned (Bi) with ‘cow, bovine’ as base (419b); this noun is also the only animal term to take H-toned -cú?ɔ́ (§5.1.6.9). The plural is -pò ~ -pó, or rhotic plural -pè-rò. The initials inconsistently pluralize along with the final.

(419)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
	a. L-toned -pɔ̃ ⁿ			
	lɔ̃ ⁿ -pɔ̃ ⁿ	lɔ̃-pò	‘rooster’	Bi Fl Ji
	bá ⁿ -pɔ̃ ⁿ	bó-pè-rò	‘ram’	Ji
	"	bó-pò	"	Bi Fl
	"	bá ⁿ -pò	"	Ma
	wù?ɔ́-pɔ̃ ⁿ	wù?ɔ́-pò	‘billy-goat’	Fl Ji
	b. H-toned -pɔ́ ⁿ			
	ná-pɔ́ ⁿ	nó-pó ~ ná-pó	‘bull’	Fl Ji
	ná-pɔ̃ ⁿ	nó-pō	"	Bi

This element may also be part of the complex compound kētèklú-[būⁿ?ɔ̃ⁿ-pɔ̃ⁿ] ‘praying mantis’, assuming that būⁿ?ɔ̃ⁿ-pɔ̃ⁿ literally means ‘male dog’. The remaining initial portion may contain ‘hand’ (whose dialectal variants include kè-tè?è). The insect is predacious and has long limbs.

5.1.6.5 Final -pèⁿ?èⁿ for adult male animals

The noun pēⁿ?ēⁿ ‘adult male animal’ occurs chiefly as an L-toned compound final (or modifying adjective) -pèⁿ?èⁿ. The compound in (420c) denotes the larger of two pots used to strain off liquid soda ash. The smaller one, sò?ó, has holes in its bottom and is placed over the larger one which collects the liquid.

(420)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
	a. pē ⁿ ?ē ⁿ	pē-rē ⁿ	‘adult male animal’	(Ji)
	b. bá ⁿ -pè ⁿ ?è ⁿ	bá ⁿ -pè-rè ⁿ	‘ram (n)’	(various)
	bǒ-pè ⁿ ?è ⁿ	bǒ-pè-rè ⁿ	‘elephant bull’	(various)

[cì-có]-pè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	‘adult male agama’	(various)
sàkpèʔè-pè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	‘adult male donkey’	(various)
c. sòʔó-pè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	[sə-rò-ʔó]-[pə-rè ⁿ -ʔè ⁿ]	‘large soda-ash pot’
		F1 Ji

The range of animal terms that allow -pèⁿʔèⁿ is broad. The few animal terms that allow -pòⁿ also allow -pèⁿʔèⁿ. In such cases there is at least a slight difference in meaning, with -pòⁿ tending to denote an more or less dominant male.

We have not observed -pèⁿʔèⁿ in compounds with human reference. -kèⁿ is the usual compound final for adult male humans.

5.1.6.6 Final -nì ~ -nìʔì for adult female animals

The compound final -nì, or in some compounds -nìʔì, denotes adult female animals, chiefly large mammals, who have reproduced or are assumed to have done so. The plural is -nì-ò (never glottalic). This is an L-toned compound-final variant of of the human kin term nī ‘mother’, plural nì-ó. For animals, -nì ~ -nìʔì is the female counterpart of -pèⁿʔèⁿ and/or -pòⁿ.

(421)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
a.	ná-nì	nó-nì-ò	‘cow that has calved’	(various)
	wùʔó-nì	wùʔó-nì-ò	‘adult nanny-goat’	(various)
	bá-nì	bó-nì-ò	‘adult ewe’	(various)
b.	bǒ-nìʔì	bǒ-nì-ò	‘adult female elephant’	(various)
	sàkpèʔè-nìʔì	sàkpèʔè-nì-ò	‘adult female donkey’	F1

For animals, this compound final competes with -yò ‘woman/female’, which does not specify age or reproductive history. One might expect a form of sē ‘father’ to function as the male term corresponding to female -nì, but ‘father’ does not occur with animal terms to mark sex. For -pèⁿʔèⁿ in this function see §5.1.6.5.

5.1.6.7 Final -yò for female humans and animals

This is a compound final denoting females, with plural -yà-rò. It is an L-toned form of yǒ ‘woman’, plural yà-ró. The compound final occurs with a wide range of human and animal terms, though for full-grown female animals it competes with -nì (preceding section).

(422)	singular	plural	gloss
	cìcó-yò	—	‘female agama lizard’
	ńánò-yò	ńánò-yà-rò	‘female friend’
	nàsàrá-yò	—	‘white woman’
	blèjò-yò	blèjò-yà-rò	‘Jula woman’

5.1.6.8 Final -kèⁿ for male humans

The simple noun ‘man; husband’ is *dǔ*. It is not productively used as a compound final meaning ‘(adult) male’. Instead the usual compound final for humans is -kèⁿ, related to the noun kǔⁿ, which means ‘(male) companion, pal’ when possessed. kǔⁿ and variants kēⁿ and kēmè are used, in unpossessed form, in the sense ‘fellow, guy’, i.e. as an unnamed but specific male discourse referent. See §4.1.4.1 on these forms.

All known examples of compound final -kèⁿ have adult male human reference, versus -pèⁿ?èⁿ or -pòⁿ for adult male animals. The plural is -kà-rèⁿ. Some initials are also morphologically pluralized before -kà-rèⁿ.

(423)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
	dòsò-kè ⁿ	[dòsà-ró]-[kà-rè ⁿ -?è ⁿ]	‘hunter’	F1
	nàsàrá-kè ⁿ	—	‘white man’	Ji
	nánò-kè ⁿ	nánò-kà-rè ⁿ	‘male friend’	F1 Ji
	ófóré-kè ⁿ	—	‘forestry agent’	F1 Ji
	jíó-kè ⁿ	jíó-kà-rè ⁿ	‘fortune-teller’	F1 Ji
	blèjò-kè ⁿ	blèjò-kà-rè ⁿ	‘Jula person’	F1 Ji

5.1.6.9 Final -cù?ǔ ~ -cú?ó for young adult female animals

The compound final -cù?ǔ ~ -cú?ó occurs in expressions denoting young adult female livestock animals who have not yet reproduced. It may be related to *cī-cù?ǔ* ~ *cū-cù?ǔ* ‘young man’. The initial sometimes pluralizes along with the final. The final is H-toned (F1 Ji) or M-toned (Bi) only with ‘cow’ (424b), which likewise has H-toned -póⁿ or M-toned -pōⁿ (§5.1.6.4).

(424)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
a.	bó ⁿ -cù?ǔ	bó-cà-rǔ	‘young ewe’	Ji
	bá ⁿ -cù?ǔ	bó-cà-rǔ-?ǔ	''	F1
	lǔ ⁿ -cù?ǔ	lō-cà-rǔ	‘young hen’	Ji
	sàkpè?è-cù?ǔ		‘young she-donkey’	F1 Ji
	wù?ó-cù?ǔ		‘young nanny-goat’	Ji
b.	ná-cú?ó	ná-có-ró	‘heifer’	Ji
	ná-cū?ó	ná-cō-rō-?ó	''	F1
	ná-cū?ō	ná-cō-rō	''	Bi

Once the animal has reproduced, -cù?ǔ ~ -cú?ó is replaced by -nì ~ -nì?ì ‘mother’.

5.1.7 Other common or specialized compound finals

5.1.7.1 Final -kà ‘animal’ (plural -kò) or rarely -kò ‘person’

The compounds in (425) denote general classes of animal defined by size and habitat (domestic or wild). These are the only known cases of -kà denoting open-ended animal classes. The plural is -kò (see below for human singular function of -kò). -kà here is semantically and probably etymologically unrelated to the homophonous -kà in manner compounds (see the following subsection).

(425)	singular	plural	gloss
	pō-kà ~ pōʔō-kà	pō-kò ~ pōʔō-kò	‘wild animal, animal of the bush’
	blá-kà	blá-kò	‘domestic animal’
	bè ⁿ -kà	bè ⁿ -kò	‘beast, large wild animal’

The initials appear to be phonologically reduced. pō-kà ~ pōʔō-kà clearly begins with a form of pòʔó ‘(the) bush, outback’, with the LH tones leveled to M. There are no clearcut sources for blá- or bèⁿ-. blāʔā ‘pond’ occurs as initial in compounds meaning ‘aquatic X’ and deglottalization can occur in initials, but ‘pond’ is poor match semantically for ‘domestic’ even if we overlook the tonal difference. Likewise, -bèⁿ is a compound final denoting half-grown animals (§5.1.6.3), but bèⁿ-kà denotes large wild animals (beasts).

Two fauna species terms appear to end in this final (426), but one of them ends in H-toned -ká.

(426)	singular	plural	gloss
a.	flí-kà	flí-kò	‘mound-building termite (<i>Macrotermes</i>)’
b.	blú-ká	blú-kó	‘roan antelope (<i>Hippotragus</i>)’

-kà and its plural -kò are undoubtedly cognate to the animate participial endings, namely singular -kàʔà and plural -kò (§4.2.3.1). Both sets may be more distantly related to the noun kàʔá ‘meat’, hence ‘game animal’, which has no plural in common use.

We have one instance of (singular) -kò with human reference (427a). It takes a rhotic plural.

(427)	singular	plural	gloss	dialect
	flì-kò	flì-kò-rò	‘crazy one’	(all)

‘Crazy one’ (427) is derived from flìʔì (Bi Fl Ji) varying with flèʔè (Ma) ‘craziness, mental illness’. We have no other example of human -kò. There is no reason to think that flì-kò was originally plural or collective since its singular form is more common in speech than its plural.

It is possible that -k̀ is an archaic human singular counterpart to nonhuman animate singular -k̀a, in addition to being the plural of -k̀a. This can be added to the list of possible vestiges of old noun-class oppositions (§4.1.3).

5.1.7.2 Final -k̀a ‘manner (of doing)’

The noun ‘manner, style, behavior pattern’ is k̀a after a possessor: ðⁿ k̀a ‘his/her manner’. This noun is also part of a common phrase b̀e-k̀a-t̀o ‘in that way, thus’, and the less common b̀e-k̀a-ʃiʔe ‘that (ugly) manner’.

When it follows a compound-initial in the form of a verb or a fuller clause, it is L-toned -k̀a, added to the Pfv stem of a verb. If the verb is a compound (428b), perfectivity is marked in Vb1 while Vb2 is base, as usual for compounds. The examples here are for Ji dialect. There is a homophonous compound final -k̀a added to nouns in terms for types of animal (preceding subsection).

(428)	compound	gloss	Pfv verb
	dē-k̀a	‘manner of picking (cotton)’	dē
	dīē-k̀a	‘manner of eating’	dīē
	fīē-k̀a	‘significance, usefulness’	fīē ‘(sur)passed’
	glō-k̀a	‘manner of exiting’	glō
	j̀ar̀-̀k̀a	‘manner of swallowing’	j̀ar̀
	kl̀e-k̀a	‘method, manner of doing’	kl̀e ‘did’
	kl̀e-k̀a	‘manner of returning’	kl̀e
	kl̀e ⁿ ʔe ⁿ -k̀a	‘manner of ascending’	kl̀e ⁿ ʔe ⁿ
	sēʔe-k̀a	‘manner of jabbing’	sēʔe
	sər̀-̀k̀a	‘manner of descending’	sər̀
	ʃi ⁿ ʔe ⁿ -k̀a	‘manner of running’	ʃi ⁿ ʔe ⁿ
	yé-k̀a	‘manner of walking’	yé
b.	kl̀e-b̀a-k̀a	‘manner of coming back’	kl̀e-b̀a
	k̀e ⁿ ʔe ⁿ -s̀o-k̀a	‘manner of replying’	k̀e ⁿ ʔe ⁿ -s̀o
	s̀o ⁿ -k̀o ⁿ -k̀a	‘manner of remembering’	s̀o ⁿ -k̀o ⁿ

Other complements and adjuncts may be added. In (429a), a nominal compound initial ‘meat’ is added. It does not follow the verb as it would in a main clause. A fuller object NP can also be added, resulting in a phrase-like compound (429b-c).

- (429) a. ðⁿ k̀aʔa-c̀iè-k̀a
 3AnSg meat-eat.meat.Pfv-**manner**
 ‘his/her way of eating meat’ (Ji)
- b. [ē ʃiⁿʔiⁿ-kl̀eⁿʔeⁿ-k̀a] nà gb̀er̀yá = dēʔ
 [Art tree-ascend.Pfv-**manner**] Fut be.difficult Emph
 ‘That way of climbing the tree sure will be difficult!’ (Ma, 2017-01 @ 02:05)

- c. [[è ná-di-ò] dó] gbà] -kà] nī
 [[[Art old.person.Pl] share(n)] be.told.Pfv] **-manner**] Loc
 ‘in the way the old people’s (story) was told’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 09:29)

When the logical subject of the activity is overt, like ‘dog’ in (430a) we take it to be the possessor of the ‘manner’ compound. The literal parsing is then “he watched [the dog’s dig-manner.” However, there is no difference in form between possessors and subjects, and we cannot rule out a parsing where ‘manner’ has wide scope including dog’. The same issue arises with (430b), where -cógó-yá ‘manner, procedure’ (< Jula) is added to -kà. In both cases, if ‘dog’ and ‘chief’ are taken as subjects inside the compound, the initial article has scope over the entire compound.

- (430) a. k-ā nū = [[Ø būⁿ?ⁿ] gbē?ē-kà]
 Infin-Ipfv look.at.Ipfv [[**Art dog**] dig.Pfv-**manner**]
 ‘(And he) watched the way the dog was digging.’ (Ma, 2017-02 @ 00:50)
- b. [[ē còfó-[màsà-cé]] [tèⁿ-kà]-[cógó-yá], [ē còfó] bà?à
 [[**Art Tiefó-[chief]**] [sit.Pfv-**manner**]-**manner**], [**Art Tiefó**] chez
 ‘the way a Tiefó chief is seated (=enthroned), among the Tiefó.’
 (Ma, 2018-01 @ 00:02)

5.1.7.3 Final -tò?ò ‘place’

The noun tò?ò ‘place’ forms place-of-action compounds with a preceding verb in Pfv form. ‘Place’ here is semantically flexible and can mean ‘situation’ or ‘occasion’. The compound is often followed by the locative postposition.

- (431) a. [à kūō-tò?ò] nī
 [3Inan cut.Pfv-**place**] Loc
 ‘where (and when) it was dug out.’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 04:54)
- b. [ē dīē-tò?ò] nī
 [**Art eat.Pfv-place**] Loc
 ‘at the eating place’ (Ma, 2017-10 @ 02:16)
- c. [ē úⁿ-dīè-tò?ò] nī
 [**Art village-enter.Pfv-place**] Loc
 ‘at the entrance to the village’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 09:27)
- d. [ē [tèⁿ-jū?ò]-tò?ò] ní-mā
 [**Art [help.Pfv]-place**] not.be.Loc
 ‘There is no way to help (=repay).’ (Ji & Ma, 2017-04 @ 06:59)

Other examples with -tò?ò are in (432).

(432)	compound	gloss	initial
a.	after uncompounded verb (Pfv)		
	dè-tòʔò	‘shop (n), store (n)’	dè ‘sell.Pfv’
	lē ⁿ -tòʔò	‘boundary; responsibility’	lē ⁿ ‘stop.Pfv’
	tà ^r è ⁿ -tòʔò	‘sitting place; residential area’	tà ^r è ⁿ ‘sit.Pfv’
b.	after verb compound (Pfv)		
	dè-ló-tòʔò	‘shop (n), store (n)’	dè-ló ‘sell-turn.Pfv’
c.	after incorporated noun and verb (Pfv)		
	jùʔé-nèʔè-tòʔò	‘place of worship’	jùʔé ‘God’, nèʔè ‘pray.Pfv’
	ɲū-gbā-tòʔò	‘well (n)’	ɲū ‘water’, gbā ‘draw.water.Pfv’
d.	initial obscure		
	klà-tòʔò	‘distant place’	(ē) klà ‘apart, away’

Compounds with Pfv verb plus -tòʔò also have a more abstract function. With a locative postposition, they function as purposive complements (§17.6.2.5).

5.1.7.4 Final -tàʔà ‘plot (field)’

The noun tàʔà ‘plot (of land), small field or garden’, plural tà-rà-ʔà (Fl), which may or may not be etymologically connected in some way with tòʔò ‘place’ (preceding section), also occurs as compound final. In one pattern (433a), the initial is variable, denoting a crop. In (433b), however, the sense appears to be just ‘place, location’. (433c-f) present reduplicative nouns and compounds (some frozen) that may be related.

(433)	compound	gloss	initial
a.	mè-tàʔà	‘plot/field of okra’	mè ‘okra’
	gbī ⁿ ʔī ⁿ -tàʔà	‘peanut plot’	gbī ⁿ ʔī ⁿ ‘peanuts’
	(w)ākklàʔà-tàʔà	‘roselle plot’	(w)ākklàʔà ‘roselle’
b.	wà ⁿ ʔá ⁿ -tàʔà	‘market (place)’	wà ⁿ ʔá ⁿ ‘market’
	bīklī ⁿ ʔī ⁿ -tàʔà	‘finished (mud) roof’	
c.	tá-tàʔà	‘open area in courtyard’	
	"	‘tree sp. (<i>Burkea</i>)’	
d.	tī-tàʔà (Bi Ma)	‘shoe(s)’	
	tē-tàʔà (Ji)	"	
	ʃī-tàʔà (Fl)	"	
e.	nā-[tì-tàʔà]	‘cheek, side of face’	

f.	tàʔà-có ⁿ	‘leech’
	tàʔà-pló ~ tàʔà-fló	‘kite (hawk)’
	tàʔà-ʃò	‘army (driver) ant’ (Fl)

5.1.7.5 Final -bù (finger/toe)

This final occurs in the compounds meaning ‘finger’ and ‘toe’. For ‘finger’ the final is added directly to ‘hand’ (434a). For ‘toe’ the final is added to ‘foot’ plus an obscure intervening nasal morpheme -ná- ~ -né- ~ -ɲóⁿ- (434b). The plural is rhotic, by vocalic fronting (u → i), or both combined (-bà-rì). The initial ‘hand’ or ‘foot’ is optionally pluralized along with the final, when the reference is to digits of both limbs.

(434)	singular	plural	dialect
a. ‘finger’, based on kè-tèʔè and variants ‘hand’			
	[kè-tèʔè]-bù	[kè-tèʔè]-bìè ~ [kè-tà-rè]-bà-rù	Ji
	[kè-tèʔè]-bù	[kè-tèʔè]-bì ~ [kè-tà-rè-ʔè]-bì	Fl
	[kì-tèʔè]-bù	[kì-tèʔè]-bà-rì	Ma
	[kè-tè]-bù	[kè-tè]-bì	Bi
b. ‘toe’, based on pìè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ and variants ‘foot’ (§4.1.2.3.2)			
	pìè ⁿ -ná-bù	pìè-ná-bì	Ji
	pìè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ -né-bù	pìè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ -né-bà-rù ~ pìè-né-bà-rù	Fl
	pìè ⁿ -ná-bù	pìè-ná-bà-rì	Ma
	pìè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ -ɲó ⁿ -bù	pìè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ -ɲé ⁿ -bì	Bi

Comparison with the somewhat isolated compound dàⁿʔàⁿ-bù ‘flame’ from dàⁿʔáⁿ ‘fire’ suggests that the original form may have been H-toned *-bù, which in the digit expressions dropped to L-tone. Such dropping is common in compound finals (§5.1.1.1).

Semantically, digits could be thought of metaphorically as the ‘children’ of hands and feet. An etymological relationship with compound final -bí ~ -bì ‘child’ (§5.1.6.1) is very likely. This would also account for the obscure -ná- ~ -né- in the ‘toe’ compounds in (434b), cf. ná-bí (and variants) ‘child’.

5.1.7.6 Final -ɲó ‘heart’

As a simple noun, ɲó (Bi ɲóⁿ) means ‘heart’ in the sense ‘seat of courage’, or ‘essence, core’. The collocation bó [= ð ɲó] ‘take heart!’ makes this sense clear. -ɲó occurs as final in a number of compounds (435).

(435)	compound	gloss	literal	dialect
	jùʔè-ɲó	‘sky’	“God-core”	Ji
	jùʔèʔè-ɲó	“ ”	“ ”	Fl

pìè ⁿ ?è ⁿ -jǒ	‘bottom of foot’	‘‘foot-heart’’	Ji
tǒ-jǒ	‘underground (n)’	‘‘earth-core’’	Fl Ji

5.1.7.7 Final -dá?á ~ -dà?à ‘time’

The simple noun dá?á means ‘time’, either a point in time or an extended but nonetheless bounded period. As compound final, dá?á remains H-toned after nominal initials (436a-b), but is L-toned after verbs (436c). Verbs are usually Pfv in form.

When the noun already denotes a time, the compound final is rather redundant (436a). The complex compounds in (436d) consist of dè ‘sun; day (unit of time)’, a Pfv verb, and L-toned -dà?à.

(436)	singular	gloss	comment
a.	dò?ǒ-dá?á kù ⁿ ?ǒ ⁿ -dá?á	‘afternoon (3-6 pm)’ ‘early afternoon’	< dò?ǒ < kù ⁿ ?ǒ ⁿ
b.	[dī-nā-dè ⁿ]-dá?á <i>Blaise</i> -dá?á	‘the old days’ ‘the era of Blaise (Campaoré)’	‘‘[olden.time]-time’’
c.	dè-dà?à dīē-dà?à jùǒ-dà?à sē-dīē-dà?à (Fl) tè ⁿ ?è ⁿ -lè ⁿ -dà?à (Fl Ji)	‘time to sleep’ ‘time to eat’ ‘time to drink’ ‘twilight (time)’ ‘times of plenty (after harvest)’	‘‘(sun)set-enter-time’’
d.	dè-fè ⁿ -dà?à dè-klè ⁿ -dà?à (archaic) dè-lē ⁿ -dà?à (Bi Ji) dè-līē ⁿ -dà?à (Fl Ma)	‘noon, mid-day’ ‘mid-afternoon’ “ “	‘‘day-be.red-time’’ ‘‘day-tilt-time’’ ‘‘day-cool(v)-time’’

In 2017-04 @ 00:28, [jùⁿ?ǒⁿ-sū?ǒ]-dà?à means ‘origin, starting point’, literally ‘mouth-catch(ing)-time’, cf. sū?ǒ/sú?ú/sú?ú ‘catch’. The collocation ‘catch mouth’ in Tiefó-D and some other local languages means ‘begin’.

Political eras are often referred to by the name of the head of state, e.g. [*Blaise* dá?á] nī ‘in the time of Blaise (Campaoré)’ (436b).

See §15.4.2 for dá?á in temporal adverbial relatives: ‘(at the time) when ...’.

5.1.7.8 Final -plù?ù (and variants) ‘bag’

The noun ‘bag, sack’ is plù?ú, plural plè-rú. There is a variant plò?ó in Ji. The noun occurs as compound final in (437), either in its lexical LH tones or L-toned. For Ji, change u to o.

(437)	compound	gloss	gloss of initial
	a. [nū-gbā]-plùʔù	‘bag lowered into well’	‘water-draw.Pfv’
	b. cèʔé-plùʔú	‘animal-hide bag’	‘skin, hide (n)’
	c. b̀̀t́́ó-plùʔù	‘grain sack’	‘grain sack’

5.1.7.9 Final -pìóⁿ (and variants) ‘larva’

The general term for larvae, caterpillars, small insects, and worms is pìóⁿ, denasalized plural pìó. Many larvae are associated with a host species or substance. In (438a), the initial denotes this host. In (438b), the initial is more obscure. In (438c), the initial is a locative PP (§5.1.11) denoting the habitat. In (438d), the initial is a Pfv verb ‘drank’ preceded by an incorporated object denoting the host. pìóⁿ generally retains its LH tones as compound final, but drops to L in a few compounds (438d).

(438)	compound	gloss	initial
	a. gbī ⁿ ʔī ⁿ -pìó ⁿ	‘grub on peanut plant’	‘peanuts’
	[sú má-klàʔà]-pìó ⁿ	‘maize weevil’	‘maize’
	ʃī ⁿ ʔī ⁿ -pìó ⁿ	‘wood-boring beetle’	‘tree, wood’
	tàkpóʔó-pìó ⁿ	‘saturniid caterpillar sp.’	‘tree sp. (<i>Terminalia</i>)’
	b. káró ⁿ f́́ŕá-pìó ⁿ	‘army worm (caterpillar)’	‘plant sp.’
	nī-pìó ⁿ	‘ant-lion larva’	nĩ ‘breast’ (??)
	c. [nū-t̀̀n]-pìó ⁿ	‘aquatic insect (any)’	‘water-in’
	d. kē-ǹ̀ù̀̀-̀̀p̀̀í̀̀ó̀̀ ⁿ	‘beanpod borer’	‘cowpea-drink.Pfv’
	dī ⁿ -kèʔè-pìó ⁿ	‘stored-grain beetle’	‘crop-ruin.Pfv’

5.1.7.10 Final -tìʔè ‘hole’

The uncompounded noun for ‘(excavated) hole, pit’ is (439). The interdialectal correspondences are phonologically regular.

(439)	singular	plural	dialect
	tìʔé	t̀̀-̀̀ré	Bi Ji
	tìèʔé	t̀̀-̀̀rè-̀̀ʔé	Fl Ma

The noun is L-toned as compound final (440). The complex compounds in (440c) begin with an incorporated noun and a Pfv verb. The initials in (440a-b) are obscure. sō- vaguely resembles certain verbs but there is no compelling match. For (440b) a possible match for Bi

dialect is $\text{jùè}^n/\text{wè}^n/\text{jùí}^n$ (Bi Ji) ‘burn’, compare $\text{yùè}^n/\text{wē}^n/\text{yǔí}^n$ (Fl). But verbs as compound initials are normally Pfv’s, not bases.

(440)	compound	dialect	gloss	initial
a.	sō-tì?è sō-tìè?è	Bi Ji Fl	‘burial pit, grave’ "	‘grave (n)’
b.	$\text{wā}^n\text{-tì?è}$ $\text{wā}^n\text{-tìè?è}$ $\text{wē}^n\text{-tè}$	Ji Fl Ma Bi	‘hearth’ " "	‘burn/roast.Pfv’
c.	$[\text{jū-lē}^n]\text{-tì?è}$ $[\text{jū-gbā-}]\text{-tì?è}$	Fl Ji (various)	‘waterhole’ ‘well (n)’	‘water-stand.Pfv’ ‘water-draw.water.Pfv’
d.	glò-tì?è	Bi	‘aardvark burrow’	‘aardvark’
e.	$[\text{nā-tò}]\text{-tì?è}$ mé?é-tì?è	Fl Ji Fl Ji	‘earhole’ ‘nostril’	‘ear’ ‘nose’
f.	dù?ù-tì?è	Fl Ji	‘cave’	‘cliff’

‘Anus’ is pàtìd . The final in pètè-jùhò ‘buttock’ is the noun ‘mouth’, leaving the initial pètè- (not otherwise attested). It is possible that an ancestral form of tì?é gave rise to tì and/or tè in these forms.

5.1.7.11 Final -wù?ú ‘house’

The noun ‘house’ is wù?ú in all dialects. Compounds with this as final are in (441). In (441a), the initial denotes construction material. In (441b), it denotes the occupants. In (441c), the initial is a Pfv verb, and (441d) is similar but adds an incorporated nominal. The lexical LH tones of wù?ú are retained in some compounds, in others the tones are dropped to L.

(441)	compound	gloss	initial
a.	$[\text{m̄-pù}^n\text{?ó}^n]\text{-wù?ú}$	‘thatch-roofed house’	‘grass’
b.	lō-wù?ù	‘chicken coop’	‘chickens’
c.	dè-wù?ù	‘store, shop’	‘sell.Pfv’
d.	jù?é-nè?è-wù?ú	‘house of worship’	‘God-pray.Pfv’

It is unclear whether kā-wù?ù ‘bone’ is related to wù?ú ‘house’.

5.1.7.12 Final -pùʔð ‘stick’ and -pəʔð ‘twig’

Two obscurely related nouns are púʔó ‘stick’ and its semantic diminutive pəʔð ‘twig, small stick’. The latter fronts its vowels in plural pə-rè(-ʔè). On these forms see (83) in §3.3.9.

pùʔó ‘stick’ retains its LH melody as compound final in some combinations, and drops to -pùʔð in others. (442a-b) are clear compounds. The two dialectal synonyms in (442b) are based on the noun jíó ‘fortune-teller’ and the Pfv verb kplèⁿ ‘tell fortunes’, respectively. (442c) is more obscure. (442d) may be an irregular reduplication; its initial is unrelated to the verb ‘knead’ (tēⁿ/tɔⁿ/tɔⁿ).

(442)	singular	plural	gloss
a.	jí-pùʔó	jí-pə-ró	‘millet stalk’
b.	jíó-pùʔð (Fl) kplè ⁿ -pùʔð (Ji)	jíó-pə-rə	‘fortune-teller’s stick’ "
c.	pìtì-púʔó	—	‘tree sp. (<i>Ekebergia</i>)’, variant kpə̀tə̀pə̀
d.	pú-pùʔð (Ji) pú ⁿ -pùʔð (Bi Fl Ma)	pú ⁿ -pə-rə (Bi)	‘kneading stick’

‘Twig’ is a compound final in (443a-b), verified by the fronting of ə to ε in the plural: Ji pə-rè, Fl pə-rè-ʔè. (443b) is based on a Pfv verb. In (443e) the unmutated vocalism of the plural suggests either a secondary semantic dissociation or an etymologically unrelated final. In favor of the former interpretation is the segmentability of nā-, which occurs in a number of semi-frozen compounds denoting facial features.

(443) a.	[sú má-klàʔà]-pəʔð kàcà ⁿ ʔà ⁿ -pəʔð kló-pəʔð cī-pəʔð	[sú má-klàʔà]-pə-rè kàcà ⁿ ʔà ⁿ -pə-rè-ʔè kló-pə-rè cī-pə-rè	‘maize stalk’ ‘stick in fishtrap’ ‘sorcerer(’s)-stick’ (<i>Flueggea</i> tree) ‘millet stalk’
b.	klɔ̃ ⁿ -pəʔð	klɔ̃ ⁿ -pə-rè	‘chewstick’
c.	nā-pəʔð	nā-pə-rə (Ji) nā-pə-rə-ʔə (Fl)	‘beak’

5.1.7.13 Final -ùⁿʔùⁿ ~ -úⁿʔúⁿ ‘head’

‘Head’ as simple noun is úⁿʔúⁿ (Bi Ji), and with regular dialectal phonology wūⁿʔúⁿ (Fl) and wùⁿʔúⁿ (Ma).

As final in highly lexicalized compounds it is L-toned, the examples being [ná-bí]-ùⁿʔùⁿ ‘person’s head’ or ‘human being’ (text 2019-05 @ 03:00), and dō-ùⁿʔùⁿ ‘elevation, high spot’ (topography) with nontransparent initial. In other compounds, it keeps

its H-tones. This is the case with animal terms as initials, e.g. ná-úⁿʔúⁿ ‘cow’s head’ and wùʔò-úⁿʔúⁿ ‘snake’s head’. It is also true with human initials other than ‘person’, as in yò-úⁿʔúⁿ ‘woman’s head’.

When the initial denotes livestock, ‘head(s)’ can be used in counting, in singular or plural form depending on the number, as in (è) ná-wó-rúⁿ [Ø támm] ‘ten head of cattle’.

5.1.7.14 Body parts and products as finals

Needless to say, most terms for body parts of animals, parts of plants, and natural products (‘egg’, ‘excrement’) can combine with species terms in compounds, e.g. ‘snake-foot’, ‘baobab-root’, and ‘chicken-egg’. We omit examples here.

5.1.7.15 Life-form terms as finals

General life-form terms such as wùʔó ‘snake’, cīṣⁿ ‘bird’, fùṣ ‘fish’, and ʃiⁿʔiⁿ ‘tree’ are common as compound finals. The compound initial may denote a habitat or a host species, as with the initials blāʔā- ‘pond’ (hence ‘aquatic’) or for fauna ʃiⁿʔiⁿ- ‘tree’ (hence ‘arboreal X’). Or the life-form term may be added, perhaps redundantly, to what is already a species name (cf. Eng *maple tree*, *cobra snake*). We omit examples here, but the range of compounds with final -pìṣⁿ ‘larva’ (§5.1.7.9) is indicative.

5.1.8 Composite kin terms

Some kin terms are composite, though rather fused, making segmentation obscure.

(444)	singular	plural	gloss	comment
a.	ná-díé	ná-díó	‘maternal uncle’	
b.	bī-dǎ	bī-dè-ró	‘younger sibling’	
c.	bí-má	bí-mó-rá	‘grandfather’	
	mà-má	mà-mó-rá	‘grandmother’	[màmórá]
d.	dó ⁿ -nì	dó ⁿ -nì-ò	‘female in-law’	-nì ‘mother; §5.1.6.6
	dó ⁿ -nò	dó ⁿ -nè-rò	‘male in-law’	(Bi Ji dó ⁿ -dò)

ná-díé ‘maternal uncle’ (444a) may be compared to ná-dè (Fl nā-dè) ‘old man’ or ‘old person’, plural ná-dì-ò. bī-dǎ (444b) is sex-neutral though it seems to end in dǎ ‘man, husband’. For bī- (444b) and bí- (444c), cf. bí- ‘child’ and its relatives (§5.1.6.1). For the affinal terms (444d), see (118) above.

5.1.9 Compounds with final -wí (plural -yúó) ‘owner of X’

wí can occur as a simple noun: (è) wí in the literal sense ‘owner, proprietor’ when the entity owned is tacitly understood.

Much more common are compounds of the type X-wí. X can denote a possession, or more broadly any characteristic feature of the denoted entity. In this construction, the plural is X-yúó with yúó ‘people’ in all dialects. This -yúó is tonally distinct from agentive plural -yùò (§5.1.5.1 above), though both are cognate.

Regular compounds ending in -wí/-yúó are in (445a). In (445b), the LH-toned initial drops by regular tone sandhi to L-toned before -wí/-yúó. In (445c) irregularly L-toned -wì and -yùò follow an H-toned initial.

(445)	compound	gloss	initial
a.	bú-wí/-yúó	‘rich person’	bú ‘money’ or ‘cowries’
	dórá?á-wí/-yúó	‘head of household’	dórá?á ‘courtyard’
	[lá-fù?ù]-wí/-yúó	‘sick person’	lá-fù?ù ‘illness’
	nèrù-wí/-yúó	‘plump one’	nèrú ‘fat (n)’
	[nā-bè-rè-?è]-wí/-yúó	‘bearded man’	nā-bè-rè-?è ‘beard’ (Fl Ma)
	ú ⁿ ?ú ⁿ -wí/-yúó	‘leader’	ú ⁿ ?ú ⁿ ‘head’
b.	wù?ù-wí/-yúó	‘homeowner’	wù?ú ‘house’
c.	kló-wì/-yùò	‘sorcerer’	kló ‘sorcery’

See also the bahuvrihi compounds (‘black-headed’, ‘two-headed’, etc.) in §5.2.2.

For wí ‘owner’ in expressions denoting nonspecific indefinite individuals, see §18.5.1.2, along with (116b) in §14.1.8 and (1018) in §14.1.10.

5.1.10 Deverbal function and instrument nominals

In the following subsections we present a range of compounds and noun-modifier collocations that denote an entity but also include a verb denoting an associated activity. The semantic range can be suggested by Eng *weeding hoe* (hoe used for weeding), *drinking water* (water to be drunk), and *clothes to wear*.

5.1.10.1 Verb-noun compounds

In this type, the noun denotes the type of entity and the verb (in Pfv form) denotes the associated activity.

(446) presents two more or less synonymous compounds denoting a type of fí?é ‘native hoe (daba)’ used to weed carefully around crop plants that have sprouted or grown halfway. These data were elicited during lexicographic sessions.

(446)	compound	gloss	verb
	kpli ⁿ -fíʔé	‘weeding hoe’	kpliⁿ/klùⁿ/klùⁿ ‘weed (v)’
	sè ⁿ -fíʔé	‘weeding hoe’	sèⁿ/sāⁿ/sēⁿ ‘pick out’

Textual examples of the same general construction (Pfv verb plus noun) are in (447).

(447)	compound	gloss	verb	reference
	glō-kò	‘emergence day’	glō/glú/glú ‘exit (v)’	(women, 2017-19 @ 00:31)
	sē ⁿ -wùʔù	‘sleeping house’	sēⁿ/sēⁿ/sēⁿ ‘lie down’	(Fl, 2017-11 @ 05:23)
	wìè-[fā-rè]	‘clothes to wear’	wìè/wē/wī ‘put in/on’	(Bi, 2017-08 @ 00:11)

The use of the Pfv verb form is notable, regardless of the time signature or generality of the referents, not only in these examples but also in participles.

5.1.10.2 Noun followed by participial modifier with -èʔè ‘thing’

In this construction, the noun denoting the general class of the referent is followed by an inanimate participle in -èʔè (§4.5.4). The verb of the participle describes the activity that the entity is used in. For example, in (448a) ‘drinking water’ is a subtype of ‘water’.

- (448) a. ē jū jùò-èʔè
 Art water drink.Pfv-**Ppl.Inan**
 ‘drinking water’ (Ma)
- b. ē jū wè-èʔè
 Art water bathe.Pfv-**Ppl.Inan**
 ‘bathing water’ (Ma)
- c. ē nū sēʔē-è
 Art oil rub.Pfv-**Ppl.Inan**
 ‘rubbing oil (skin lotion)’ (Ma) [< /sēʔē-èʔè/]
- d. è tāróⁿ kpèⁿʔèⁿ-ʔè
 Art iron tap.Pfv-**Ppl.Inan**
 ‘bell (for cow or donkey)’ (Fl Ji) [< /kpèⁿʔèⁿ-èʔè/]

5.1.10.3 Noun plus modifying compound with -dò ‘share (n)’

In this construction, default inanimate possessum dó ‘(someone’s) share, possession’ in L-toned form replaces -èʔè in the noun plus modifying participle construction (preceding subsection).

- (449) ē nū diē-dò
 Art oil eat.Pfv-**share(n)**
 ‘eating (i.e. cooking) oil’ (Ma)

For a different compound type with final -dò, see §6.2.4.3.

5.1.10.4 Incorporated non-agent noun plus participial modifier

This construction superficially resembles compounds like ‘drinking water’ (§5.1.10.2). In both there is a noun, a verb, and -èʔè ‘thing’ as final. However, in the current construction the first noun is not the semantic head as in ‘drinking water’. Rather, the compound denotes an object that performs the relevant activity on the referent of the first noun. Compare Eng *dishwasher* (appliance, not person). An example is (450).

- (450) è [sú má-klàʔà]-nè-èʔè
 Art [maize]-grind.Pfv-**Ppl.Inan**
 ‘maize grinder’ (mill or grinding machine)

Further examples are in (451). As with (450) they end in -èʔè ‘thing’.

(451)	compound	dialect	gloss	literal gloss
a.	bèrò-lí-èʔè bèrò-lé-èʔè	Ji Fl	‘mud-brick mold’ "	“mud-shape(v).Pfv-Ppl.Inan” "
b.	dà ⁿ ʔà ⁿ -mlē ⁿ -èʔè	Fl Ji Ma	‘rifle, gun’	“fire-shoot.Pfv-Ppl.Inan”
c.	dà ⁿ ʔá ⁿ -fē-èʔè	Fl Ji	‘fan for fire’	“fire-fan(v).Pfv-Ppl.Inan”

5.1.10.5 Noun-verb compounds

In (452), *nū* ‘water’ is followed by a variant of the verb *sārⁿ/sárúⁿ/sárúⁿ* ‘descend’, either glottalic (452a) or not (452b). Since Bi and Ji sometimes lack glottalization present in Fl and Ma, and since Fl and Ma lower vocalic pitch before the glottal, we propose *nù-sárúⁿʔúⁿ* as a pandialectal transcription. For other glottalic nominals see §4.2.1.2.

The sense ‘gutterspout (on roof)’ can be parsed thematically as either locative (‘the place where water descends’) or instrumental (‘the thing by which water descends’).

- (452) ‘gutterspout’
- a. *nù-sàⁿrùⁿʔúⁿ* Ma
nù-sārúⁿʔúⁿ Fl

- b. jù-sóru^n Ji
 $\text{jù}^n\text{-sōrū}^n$ Bi

5.1.11 Compounds with locative PP initials

When the initial denotes the habitat or host of a natural species, it may take the form of a reduced PP consisting of a noun and a locative postposition. The postposition is $t\bar{ɔ}^n$ ‘inside (covered structure)’ (§8.3.2.3) rather than what is elsewhere the predominant locative postposition $n\bar{i}$ (§8.3.2.1). In the compounds, it is heard as L-toned $-t\bar{ɔ}^n$.

- (453) $[\text{kpó-t}\bar{ɔ}^n]\text{-sámè}^n?$ ‘serval cat or genet’ “[liana-in]-wild.cat”
 $[\text{jū-t}\bar{ɔ}^n]\text{-bàkù}^n$ ‘aquatic tortoise’ “[water-in]-tortoise”
 $[\text{jū-t}\bar{ɔ}^n]\text{-pì}^n$ ‘aquatic insect’ “[water-in]-grub”

All examples of this type involve Cv stems (kpó , jū). Habitat terms with heavier shapes such as pò^n ‘the bush’ and blā^n ‘pond’ function as compound initials without $-t\bar{ɔ}^n$.

Superficially similar are terms for the shrub *Guiera senegalensis* (454), but these are actually based on the inner compound $\text{jī-t}\bar{ɔ}^n$ ‘breastmilk’, cf. jī ‘breast’. The final in (454a) is obscure, and (454b) is further contracted.

- (454) form dialect
- a. $[\text{jī-t}\bar{ɔ}^n]\text{-}^n?$ Fl
 $[\text{jī-t}\bar{ɔ}^n]\text{-wà}^n?$ Bi
- b. $\text{jī-t}\bar{ɔ}^n?$ Ji Ma

5.1.12 Noun-verb-noun compounds

These compounds have two nouns flanking a medial verb.

In (455) the final denotes the general type of the referent. This referent is constructed as the agent. The preceding noun-verb combination indicates the agent’s characteristic activity. The verb is in Pfv form. The final is sometimes dropped to L-tone. The first example in (455) denotes a bird who calls (summons or announced) the rains. The second denotes a tiny insect pest that infests stored cowpeas and other crops, and so forth.

- (455) compound final gloss literal gloss
- a. with $-kà$ (§5.1.7.1)
- $[\text{úá}^n\text{-t}\bar{ɔ}^n]\text{-kè}^n?$ $-kà$ ‘sesame bug’ “sesame-ruin.Pfv-animal”
 $\text{dí}^n\text{-sē}^n?$ $-kà$ ” “crops-rub.Pfv-animal”

b. with nominal final

blō-lē-cì ⁿ	cī ⁿ	‘cuckoo’	“rain-call.Pfv-bird”
dí ⁿ -kèʔè-pì ⁿ	pì ⁿ	‘cowpea beetle’	“crops-ruin.Pfv-larva”
ʃí ⁿ ʔí ⁿ -pēʔē-pì ⁿ	pì ⁿ	‘wood-boring beetle’	“wood-plow.Pfv-grub”
tīʔō-nùḁ-[mò-mló]	mò-mló	‘honey ants’	“honey-drink.Pfv-ants”

In (456), on the other hand, the final denotes a type of instrument, and the preceding noun-verb combination specifies what unnamed agents do with it. For ‘dung beetle’ (scarabaeids that push along balls of dung), the final is not otherwise attested but it presumably means ‘beetle’ or similar.

(456) compound	final	gloss	literal gloss
lí ⁿ -būḁ-ʃí ⁿ ʔí ⁿ	ʃí ⁿ ʔí ⁿ	‘liana sp. (<i>Opilia</i>)’	“guts-tie.Pfv-tree”
fùḁ-tārē-cùʔò	cùʔó	‘wicker fishtrap’	“fish-catch.fish-fishtrap”
lā ⁿ -flè-bèʔè	bèʔé	‘grass sp. (<i>Eragrostis</i>)’	“beer-filter.Pfv-broom”
nū-cārē-bèʔè	bèʔé	“ ”	“water-filter.Pfv-broom”
páí ⁿ -tá-[kpè-kpléʔé]	??	‘dung beetle’	“shit-bump-??”

The instrument examples in (456) have the same semantics as many noun-verb-noun instrument compounds ending in -èʔè ‘thing’ (§5.1.10.2).

Similarly, noun-verb-noun compounds ending in -tòʔò ‘place’ or in -tìʔè ‘hole’ denote locations in which unnamed agents carry out the action specified by the noun-verb combination (§5.1.7.3, §5.1.7.10).

5.1.13 Phrasal compounds

Some “compounds” are really phrases or sentences, though they function syntactically as nouns. Some are only partially analyzable.

5.1.13.1 Phrasal compounds including negation

The compound in (457) contains prohibitive *mâ*, the base of *péⁿ/páⁿ/páⁿ* ‘touch’ (the correct stem for the prohibitive construction), and the noun ‘thing’ (as lexicalized inanimate participle). This is from prohibitive *mâ páⁿ* = ? ‘do not touch!’

(457) <i>mâ-páⁿ-èʔè</i>	‘taboo thing, prohibition’
------------------------------------	----------------------------

The term for ‘sesame’, a widely cultivated crop plant, has several variants including two recorded from the F1 speaker (458).

(458) ‘sesame’

a. fíó-má-tòʔò	Fl
b. sámá-tòʔó	Ji
súmá-tòʔó	Ma
fúá ⁿ -tòʔó	Fl
súá ⁿ -tòʔó	Bi

Of these, only (458a) is reasonably transparent as a phrase. fíó means ‘fortune-teller’. The combination má tòʔò resembles prohibitive mâ tòʔò ‘must not disturb (or: nibble)’ and future negative mediopassive má tòʔò = ? ‘will not be disturbed (nibbled)’, cf. compound verb tòʔò-dí ‘nibble, eat by nibbling’. The forms in (458b) are more opaque. Their variable initial may be, or may have converged secondarily with, the initial in ‘maize’ (459). However, súmá- occurs only in ‘maize’ and for Ji dialect in ‘sesame’, while -klàʔà as the final in ‘maize’ has no clean semantic and phonological match elsewhere. A comparison with wāklàʔà ‘roselle’ (another crop plant) is suggestive. klàʔá ‘rainy season’ is more of a stretch.

(459) ‘maize’

súmá-klàʔà	Fl Ji Ma
súá ⁿ -klàʔà	Bi

Another compound with a negative element is the term for *Datura*, a bush with narcotic properties (460). The initial is wùʔó ‘goat’. The underlying phrase would be imperfective negative [ē wùʔò] má dí = ? ‘goat doesn’t eat’ (Fl Ji) or [ē wùʔò] má dí = nì = ? ‘goat doesn’t/won’t eat it’ (Bi) with object pronominal = nì. wùʔó drops to wùʔò- before the H-tone.

(460) ‘bush sp. (*Datura*)’

wùʔò-má-dí	Fl Ji
wùʔò-má ⁿ -dí-nì	Bi

Another compound has quite different forms across the dialects (461).

(461) ‘misfortune, accident, taboo’

kà-má-kò	Ji
kè-má-kò	Bi
kè-má-kòʔò	Ma
kò-má-kò	Fl

Our native speakers connect this with the phrase [(ē) kě] má kò = ? ‘(the) situation is not good’. However, it may really be a nativization of Jula kàbàkó ‘misfortune’. Other Tiefo-D forms that may be related are màkó ‘need (n)’ (also from Jula) and mâ-kúʔó, see (19c).

(467) Jula phrasal compounds

compound	gloss	literal gloss in Jula
jààtìgì-fǎyá	‘strangling fig tree’	“host-kill”
náfǎ ⁿ -kù ⁿ -dá ⁿ	‘mistletoe sp.’	“vine-head-without”
báyá-tò-tá-rá	‘lizard sp. (<i>Hemitheconyx</i>)’	“porridge-leave-fire-on”

5.2 Adjectival compounds

5.2.1 Exemplars (similative compounds)

These are nouns, generally compounds, that denote entities that exemplify an adjectival quality. Cf. Eng *snow white*, *jet black*, etc., but in Tiefo-D the adjective itself is absent. Instead, -táʔá is added as final, compare the similative particle ká ~ tá (§8.5.1.1).

- (468) a. ē [sǎ̀rùⁿ-pùⁿʔùⁿ]-táʔá ‘yellow’ “[néré.tree-powder]-like”
 b. ē [(w)à-bìⁿʔèⁿ [á blìʔì]]-táʔá ‘green’ “[leaf moist]-like”

Pods of the néré tree (*Parkia biglobosa*) have a bright yellow powder that is used throughout the region as the exemplar for yellow color. Fresh green vegetation is likewise widely used as exemplar for green color.

5.2.2 Bahuvrihi (“Blackbeard”) compounds

In bahuvrihis, a characteristic or defining feature of an entity is overtly expressed by a noun (usually denoting a body part) plus a descriptive adjective or a numeral. The bahuvrihi may function as a modifier following a noun (cf. Eng *redheaded boy*), or sometimes as an independent noun (cf. Eng *redhead*).

5.2.2.1 With adjectival compound final

Adjectival bahuvrihis are common in terms for natural species, and can also be applied to humans. The most common finals are adjectives of size (469a) and color (469b). The initial has its regular tones. Adjectives that distinguish a regular modifying form from a compound-final form are attested in bahuvrihis in both forms. ‘Long’ appears in (469a) both in reduplicative and simple form, and ‘black’ appears in (469b) both in full form yùàʔà and in compound-final form -yùð.

- (469) a. pànúʔú-sǎ̀ⁿʔǎ̀ⁿ (Ji) ‘long-tailed’
 pànúʔú-[sǎ̀ⁿ-sǎ̀ⁿʔǎ̀ⁿ] (Bi Fl) “
 pǎ́-[sǎ̀ⁿ-sǎ̀ⁿʔǎ̀ⁿ] ‘long-legged’
 úⁿʔúⁿ-[tù-tùʔù] ‘big-headed’
 plǎ̀ʔǎ̀-[tù-tùʔù] ‘big-bellied (=pot-bellied)’

ú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ -[bí-bī]	‘small-headed’
jù-járí ~ jù-járí-rè	‘small-eyed’ (< jū)
b. [gbè-gbè]-fià ⁿ (Bi)	‘white-chested’
jó ⁿ ʔó ⁿ -yùð	‘black-necked’
ú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ -yùàʔà	‘black-headed’
ú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ -ʃí ⁿ ʔé ⁿ	‘red-headed’
cēʔē-[ʃè ⁿ -ʃè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ] (Fl)	‘red/brown-skinned’
cèʔè á ʃí ⁿ ʔè ⁿ (Ma)	“
cēʔē-[yùà-yùàʔà] (Fl)	‘black-skinned’

These bahuvrihis typically follow nouns (‘snake’, ‘bird’, ‘person’, etc.). Some bahuvrihis, however, can function as nouns, like the fish species term in (470) which is confirmed for all dialects. The species in question has an elongated straight shape.

(470) kē-sòⁿʔòⁿ ‘Cornish jack (*Mormyrops*)’ lit. “arm-long”

5.2.2.2 With numeral compound final

Noun-numeral bahuvrihis preserve the pre-numeral particle, singular n or plural ò (for ‘2’ through ‘9’). When the numeral is ‘1’, a construction with additional -wí ‘owner’ seems to be usual (471).

- (471) a. ē yǒ [jū-[n-dèⁿʔèⁿ]-wí
 Art woman [eye-[Sg-one]]-owner
 ‘one-eyed woman’ (Ma)
- b. ē yǒ jū-[n-dèⁿʔéⁿ]
 Art woman [eye-[Sg-one]]
 ‘one-eyed woman’ (Fl)
- c. ē wùʔó wùⁿʔúⁿ-[ò-jóⁿ]
 Art snake head-[Pl-two]
 ‘a two-headed snake’ (Fl)
- d. ná pì-[ò-kàⁿ]
 cow foot.Pl-[Pl-five]
 ‘a five-legged cow’ (Fl)
 (< /pìè ò kàⁿ/)

A special case is the phrase ‘one father, one mother’ to denote full siblings. Similar phrases occur widely in other languages of the region.

- (472) [ē dǒ] kà [=ā yǒ]
[Art man] with [Art woman]
[ē sē [n dèʔéⁿ]] [ē nī [n dèʔéⁿ]]
[Art father [**Sg one**]] [Art mother [**Sg one**]]
'a man and a woman, (of) one father and one mother' (Ma)

6 Noun Phrase structure

6.1 Organization of NP constituents

6.1.1 Linear order

The basic order within multi-word NPs is (473). The abbreviations in the second row are those used in formulae later in this section. “q” is for quantifier. Numerals and determiners are sometimes themselves composite. A key point is that only the article or a possessor may precede the noun; other modifiers are postnominal.

(473)	article/possessor	noun	adjective	numeral	determiner	‘all’
	e/p	n	a	num	d	q

Some examples that collectively show the linear order are in (474). The formulae are on the right.

(474)					type
a.	ē	wùʔú	yùàʔà		[e-n-a]
	Art	house	black		
			‘a black house’	(Ma)	
b.	ē	wùʔú	yùàʔà	[ò kà ⁿ]	[e-n-a-num]
	Art	house	black	[Pl five]	
			‘five black houses’	(Ma)	
c.	ē	wùʔú	[ò sá ⁿ]	[íṅhèrè yá]	[e-n-num-d]
	Art	house	[Pl three]	[Dem.InanPl]	
			‘these three houses’	(Ma)	
d.	ē	wùʔù	[íṅhèrè yá]	bíéʔ	[e-n-d-q]
	Art	house	[Dem.InanPl]	all	
			‘all (of) these houses’	(Ma)	
e.	ē	wùʔú	[ò sá ⁿ]	bíéʔ	[e-n-num-q]
	Art	house	[Pl three]	all	
			‘all three (of the) houses’		
f.	zàkí	wùʔú			[p-n]
	Z	house			
			‘Zaki’s house’		

In relative clauses, the relative marker follows demonstrative determiners but precedes ‘all’ (chapter 14).

6.1.2 Headless NPs (absolute function of modifiers)

A modifying adjective may occur absolutely, i.e. without a preceding head noun. This absolute construction is uncommon, but it can occur when the noun has been previously given, as with ‘the white one’ in (475). A preadjectival classifier such as inanimate *á* is optional after an overt head noun as in the first line of (475), but a classifier is required when the head noun slot is empty as in the second line. For the effect of classifiers on the segmental and tonal form of the adjectives, see §4.5.3.1-2.

- (475) [ē wùʔú Ø yùàʔà] kō [zàkí dó],
 [" " [á yùàʔá]] " [" "],
 [Art house [(Inan) **black**] be [Z share],
 [è [á fiàʔáⁿ]] kò [nó dó]
 [Art [Inan **white**]] be [1Sg share]
 ‘The black house is Zaki’s, the white one is mine.’ (Ma)

Demonstratives (476a) and numerals (476b) occur more freely without a head noun. Numerals ‘2’ to ‘9’ take their usual article-like *ò*. Quantifier ‘all’ normally has at least a pronominal “possessor” when no noun is present (476c).

- (476) a. fùḍʔḍ yá [ḍⁿ nó]
 give.Base **Dem.InanSg** [Dat 1Sg]
 ‘Give me that!’ (Ma)
- b. fūḥʔḥ [ò sáⁿ] [ḍⁿ nó]
 give.Base [**PI three**] [Dat 1Sg]
 ‘Give me three!’ (Ma)
- c. fūḥʔḥ [à bíé] [ḍⁿ nó]
 give.Base [**3Inan all**] [Dat 1Sg]
 ‘Give me all of it!’ (Ma)

For default possessums, see §6.2.4 below.

6.2 Possessives

Under most conditions, the possessor (pronominal or noun-headed) is simply preposed to the possessum with no overt genitive morpheme. A nonpronominal possessor takes full-NP form. Unless the possessor is a personal name, it may begin with the article *ē* under the usual conditions. The possessor may itself be a possessed NP, as in ‘[[my father]’s friend]’s house’.

Either the possessor or the possessum, or both, may be pluralized and may include modifiers (numeral, adjective, demonstrative). A nonpronominal possessor, but not the possessum, can be preceded by the article *ē*. (Personal names do not co-occur with articles.) Since the possessum is normally immediately preceded by its possessor, one might think that the absence of an article before the possessum is due to clause-medial elision. However, the article is also absent when the possessum is suffixally possessed (2Sg possessor, §6.2.5.2). This shows that articles cannot occur on possessed nouns.

Examples with nonpronominal preposed possessors are in (477).

- (477) a. [*ē* *yǒ*] *wùʔú*
 [Art woman] house
 ‘the woman’s house’ (Ma)
- b. [*ē* *yà-ró* *kā-yàrò*] *wùʔú*
 [Art woman-Pl Dem.An-Pl] house
 ‘the house of these/those women’ (Ma)
- c. [*ē* *yǒ*] *nó*
 [Art woman] cow.Pl
 ‘the woman’s cows’ (Ma)
- d. *zàkí* *wùʔú*
 Z house
 ‘Zaki’s house’ (Ma)
- e. [*ē* *yà-ró* [*ò* *sáⁿ]]* *wùʔú*
 [Art woman-Pl [Pl three]] house
 ‘the house of (the) three women’ (Ma)
- f. [*ē* *yǒ*] *wà-rú* [*ò* *sáⁿ]]*
 [Art woman house-Pl [Pl three]]
 ‘the woman’s three houses’ (Ma)

Since there is no genitive marker, possessor-possessum combinations are not sharply distinguishable from noun-noun compounds, particularly the less lexicalized compounds. However, many lexicalized compounds drop tones on the final (§5.1.1.1), which does not happen with possessums. In addition, some compounds do not allow separate pluralization or modification of the initial.

6.2.1 Recursive possession

Recursive possession is freely possible. An already possessed noun functions as possessor of another noun in (478).

- (478) [nó sē] wùʔú
 [1Sg father] house
 ‘my father’s house’ (Ma)

Such combinations take the form P N₁ N₂, where a possessor P is followed by two nouns. In true recursive possession, the bracketing is [P N₁] N₂, as in (478). Since the syntactic bracketing is inaudible, and since the article ē is not allowed before possessums, the construction [P N₁] N₂ is not always audibly distinguishable from a possessed noun-noun compound, i.e. P N₁-N₂.

6.2.2 ɲ hesitation filler in possessive NPs

In (479), a hesitation pause after ‘children’ allows a nasal ɲ to appear before the following possessum.

- (479) [bè fǎráⁿ =] Ø-mā mā, [ē bí-ʃīō]—
 [Dem.InanSg too] be.Loc there.Def, [Art child.Pl]—
 ɲ dé-bò-ní
(nasal) body-be.hot-VblN
 ‘That too is there, the children’s illness(es).’
 (Ma, 2018-05 @ 00:53)

The ɲ is not really a genitive morpheme, rather an element introduced after any hesitation pause within a sentence (§3.1.1.10).

6.2.3 Kin and relationship terms

Kin terms have distinctive possessive constructions or morphology in some languages of the zone. This is not the case in Tiefó-D. Possessors (denoting the propositus, i.e. the Ego of reference) have the same form as in alienable possession.

- (480) a. nó / zàkí dē
 1Sg / Z elder.sib
 ‘my/Zaki’s older sibling’ (Ma)
- b. nó / zàkí dī-ó
 1Sg / Z elder.sib-Pl
 ‘my/Zaki’s older siblings’ (Ma)
- c. mó nī
 2Sg mother
 ‘your-Sg mother’ (Ma)

6.2.4 Default possessum

6.2.4.1 Inanimate possessum dó

The noun dó ‘(someone’s) possession’ generally requires an overt possessor. It has the very general sense ‘(someone’s) share, allotted portion, role’. It is also used as a default inanimate possessum when the nature of the possessum is already established in preceding discourse. In (481), ‘house’ is replaced by dó in its second occurrence.

- (481) [zàkí wùʔú] ā dìèʔè, [nó dó] k-ā kplō
 [Z house] Ipfv be.long, [1Sg **Poss.Inan**] Infin-Ipfv be.short.Ipfv
 ‘Zaki’s house is far away, mine is nearby.’ (Ma)

The plural is dó-ró (Fl Ji), as in nó dó-ró ‘my ones’.

Two textual examples of dó are in (482).

- (482) a. ðⁿ ŋ-ā té =nì
 3AnSg Infin-Ipfv put.Ipfv 3InanObj
 [[[ðⁿ wí] dó] nī]
 [[[3AnSg owner] **Poss.Inan**] Loc
 ‘(so that) he (=Masa Solo) accepts it in the fellow’s (djinn’s) benefit,’
 (Ma, 2017-04 @ 03:56)
- b. lēⁿ = [[Ø jùèʔè dó] nī]
 accept.Base [[Art God **Poss.Inan**] Loc]
 ‘accept God’s (role)!’ (Fl, 2017-03 @ 03:10)

Invariant dó is also a key part of the ‘Y belong to X’ predicate construction (§11.5.2). For L-toned -dò see §6.2.4.3 below.

A parsing difficulty is that when the [X dó] phrase meaning ‘X’s (possession)’ is subject of its clause, it can be confused with the combination of X as subject plus subject-final particle dó ~ dé (and other variants) ‘however’ (§19.3.8). Both parsings are at least possible in (Ji, 2017-04 @ 03:43), for example.

6.2.4.2 Animate default possessum júó

When the possessum is animate, the form is júó, invariant for number. It occurs in all dialects as the animate counterpart of dó. It is not attested outside of this construction. We gloss it as ‘Poss.An’ in interlinears.

- (483) [zàkí sē / ná] fīē, [nó júó] kō pēⁿ mā
 [Z father/cow] pass.Pfv, [1Sg **Poss.An**] Infin remain.Base there.Def
 ‘Zaki’s father/cow went away, mine stayed there.’ (Ma)

Textual examples are in (484).

- (484) a. kō wē [ɔ̃ⁿ júó]
 Infin put.in.Base [3AnSg **Poss.An**]
 ‘(and then) put in his (people).’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 01:41)
- b. [ē yǒ-dè] d= [[ɔ̃ⁿ júó] =yà]
 [Art woman-old] say.Pfv [[3AnSg **Poss.An**] it.is]
 ‘The old woman said, “he is yours.”’ (women, 2017-13 @ 02:26-29)
- c. dè [bùdò júó] má kò bíé?
 Quot [3Pl **Poss.An**] Neg be all
 ‘Not everything is theirs.’ (Ji, 2017-09 @ 07:40)

For L-toned -jùdò see the next subsection below.

dó versus júó looks at first sight like suppletion. However, initial j/d alternations in verbs are also associated with the presence or absence of an intrusive u, as in dè/jūō/jūō (and variants) ‘sell’ (§3.4.2.5). The situation is complicated by the possibility that the animacy-marking dó/júó pairing as default possessums might be distantly connected to the pairing of lō ~ rō (inanimate) versus júdò (animate) as third person “pronouns” after the preposition kà ‘with, and’ (§4.3.2.4), bearing in mind that d/r alternations are fairly common (§3.4.2.9) and that l could be a dialectal mutation of *r.

6.2.4.3 L-toned -dò and -jùdò as discourse-definite partitives

We have a handful of textual examples where default possessums dó (inanimate) and júó (animate), described in the preceding subsections, take L-toned forms after a noun (not a pronoun). Since tone-dropping is common with compound finals, we treat the forms with -dò and -jùdò as compounds.

-dò in (485) seems to have discourse-definite partitive function. The phrase refers to the second of the series of incidents implied in preceding discourse.

- (485) bè [jɔ̃ⁿ-dùʔó]-dò,
 Dem.Def [two-Ordinal]-**Poss.Inan**,
 bè wā= à-klè= [í-yùdò bàʔà] mùsòkóró]
 Dem.Def Infin come.Base-be.done.Base [1Pl chez] M]
 ‘The second one (=incident) of those, that one happened in our (zone) to Musokoro (a woman).’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 02:30)

A similar discourse-definite partitive reading of -dò seems possible in (w)ānāʔā-dò ‘first’ and jīē-dò ‘last’, see (375b) in §4.6.2.1.

In (486), -dò is added to a PP rather than directly to an NP. Again, the reference is to one member of a previously introduced set.

- (486) bè bèrè ā kò-à-fó,
 Dem.Def still Ipfv be.good.Ipfv-Ipfv-pass.Ipfv,
 [[è [blí-ké]-yò] bà?à] dò]
 [[Art [hare]-woman] **Dat]** **Poss.Inan]**
 ‘That was (still) better than the one that was with hare woman.’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 03:11)

-dò can be added to nouns denoting times and places. *dèyà-dò* ‘this year’s (debt)’ is contrasted with implied *dè-dò* ‘last year’s (debt)’ in (Bo, 2019-03 @ 03:02). In (Bo, 2019-10 @ 02:58 through 03:06), *kúⁿúⁿ-dò* ‘today’s’ and synonymous *dè-dè-dò* ‘that of now(adays)’ is contrasted with *ē* [dī-nā-dèⁿ]-dò ‘that of the old days’, referring to changes in marriage rites.

One can argue whether a discourse-definite partitive sense is present in *ē nū dīē-dò* ‘eating (=cooking) oil’ (§5.1.10.3).

The only textual example of -jùò is (487). Preceding discourse had introduced a trio of two brothers and one sister. Therefore adding -jùò to a subsequent mention of the sister is consistent with the discourse-definite partitive pattern illustrated above for -dò.

- (487) [ò bī-dò tó?ó] kō— [ē yǒ-jùò]
 [3Pl younger.sibling Foc] be— [Art woman-**Poss.An]**
 ‘Precisely their younger sibling (=sister) was that woman.’
 (Ji, 2021-02 @ 01:26)

The plural is *ē* [yè-ró]-jùò with the noun pluralized and -jùò invariant.

6.2.5 Pronominal possessor

6.2.5.1 Same as subject pronominals for non-2Sg possessors

With exceptions involving 2Sg possessor (see below), the same pronominal forms that occur in subject and postpositional complement functions also function as possessors. These include proclitic third-person pronominals (*əⁿ*, *à*, *ò*).

- (488) a. *é-yùò* *ná / wù?ú*
 1Pl cow / house
 ‘our cow/house’
- b. *nó* *ná / wù?ú*
 1Sg cow / house
 ‘my cow/house’
- c. *əⁿ* *ná*
 əⁿ *wù?ú*
 3AnSg cow / house
 ‘his/her cow/house’

The form of 1Pl possessor can be full *é-yùò* (or variant) or short *é ~ ó*, with a preference for the fuller form. Textual examples of the full form as possessor are: *í-yùò nī* ‘our mother’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 02:17 and 06:58), *(í)-yùò sáré* ‘our peer’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 01:11), *í-yùò náⁿ-dì-ò* ‘our elders’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 00:08), *í-yùò kárú* ‘our generation’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 06:40), *í-yùò dó* ‘our share’ (Bi, @ 07:02), *é-yùò bí-ḡiō* ‘our children’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 02:57), *é-yùò dé-lēⁿ?ēⁿ-tò?ò* ‘our good health (=prosperity) place’ (Fl, 2017-11 @ 05:58), *é-yùò dè-fē* ‘our language’ (Fl, 2017-11 @ 11:13), *ó-yùò bī-dǎ* ‘our younger sibling’ (Fl, 2017-11 @ 11:20), *é-yùò dèrà?á* ‘our tale’ (women, 2017-12 @ 00:47). This list excludes appositions of the type ‘we men’, though they are identical in form to possessives with *é-yùò* (§6.8).

Short *é ~ ó* occurs in *ó ná-dì-ò* ‘our old men (=elders)’ (Ji, 2017-09 @ 05:59 and 2017-11 @ 02:57), *é sāwā?ā* ‘our rattle(s)’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 05:36 and 05:39), *é garde-corps* ‘our protector’ (Fl, 2017-11 @ 05:39), *ó fē-nī* ‘our greeting’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 11:01 and 11:05).

6.2.5.2 Optional suffix -à for 2Sg possessor

For 2Sg possessor, an alternative to the regular preposed pronoun *mó* is a special suffixed form -à. It occurs chiefly in reflexive possessor function as in ‘Did you see your father?’ and imperative ‘sell your goat!’ (§18.1.1), and as in conjunctions like ‘you and your father’ (§18.1.4). The suffixed form does not allow a prenominal article or another possessor. It is synchronically isolated and likely an archaism, and it has a homologue in Tiefo-N.

The suffix is not exclusively reflexive and there are some textual examples in nonreflexive contexts: *dó-à* ‘your (possession)’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:59), *sòⁿ-àⁿ* ‘your heart (=disposition)’ (Ji, 2017-07 @ 08:06), *kě-à* ‘your matter’ = ‘about you’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 08:56).

Representative forms of nouns with the 2Sg suffix are in (489). In (489a), ‘father’ undergoes diphthongization (§3.4.5.3) before the suffix, and this in turn feeds into palatalization of the sibilant (§3.2.1.2). The same phonology occurs in plural *ḡi-ó* ‘fathers’. No diphthongization occurs with other Cv stems (489b) or compound finals (489c), or with longer stems (489d). Suffix -à can partially assimilate to a preceding vowel to become -è (*sē-è* ‘your father’ in Ji) or -ò (*bí-ḡiō-ò* ‘your children’ in Fl).

(489)	noun	‘your’	dialect	gloss	reference
a.	<i>sē</i>	<i>ḡi-à</i>	(all)	‘father’	
	"	<i>sē-è</i>	Ji (variant)		
b.	<i>lē</i>	<i>lē-à</i>	Fl	‘village’	
	<i>dē</i>	<i>dē-à</i>	Fl	‘elder sib’	
	<i>nī</i>	<i>nī-à</i>	Fl	‘mother’	
	<i>dó</i>	<i>dó-à</i>	Fl Ji	‘possession’	Ji, 2017-04 @ 02.59
	<i>yǒ</i>	<i>yō-à ~ yǒ-à</i>	Fl Ji	‘woman, wife’	
	<i>pó</i>	<i>pó-à</i>	Fl Ji	‘leg’	
	<i>sòⁿ</i>	<i>sòⁿ-à</i>	Fl Ji	‘heart (emotion)’	Ji, 2017-07 @ 08:06

c.	bí-ʃīō	bí-ʃīō-ò	Fl	‘children’
	ná-díé	ná-dí-à	Fl	‘uncle’
	gbésé	gbésé-à	Fl	‘chewstick’
	wùʔó	wùʔó-ò	Fl	‘goat’

The noun-like reflexive marker míʔá has a 2Sg form realized as míʔ-â [míʔâ], in ‘you-Sg Vb-ed yourself’ (§18.1.2)

The 2Sg suffix can be added to a noun-adjective combination, as in (490). This too can occur in reflexive contexts (‘why did you sell your small house?’). The suffix can also be added to postpositions like t̄ⁿ (491a) and ʃīē (491b) in reflexive contexts (§18.1.3).

(490) ē wùʔù á bí-bī] -à
 [Art house Inan small] -2SgPoss
 ‘your-Sg small house’ (Fl Ji)

(491) a. ʒó pòⁿ-t̄ⁿ-à
 look.Base under-2SgPoss
 ‘Look under you!’ (Fl Ji)

b. ʒó ʃī-à
 look.Base behind-2SgPoss
 ‘Look behind you!’ (Fl Ji)

6.3 Core NP (noun plus adjective)

6.3.1 Noun plus regular adjective

A modifying adjective follows the noun. If the referent is plural, both noun and adjective (unless they lack plural forms) are marked for plurality. The article is present before the noun under the same conditions as without the adjective. The article is not repeated before the adjective.

There are two N-Adj constructions, one without and one with an intervening classifier. In (492), the adjective directly follows the noun.

(492) a. ē būʔōⁿ t̄-t̄ʔù
 Art dog big
 ‘a/the big dog’ (Ji)

b. ē būʔō t̄-t̄-rù
 Art dog.Pl big-Pl
 ‘(the) big dogs’ (Ji)

In (493), the noun and adjective are separated by an adjectival classifier, inanimate á or animate k̄ā. The choice of classifier has segmental and/or tonal consequences for some adjectives (§4.5.3.1-2). We bracket the classifier with the adjective.

- (493) a. \bar{e} wùʔú [á kòʔó] jī
 Art house [**Inan** good] Indef
 ‘a good house.’ (Fl)
- b. \bar{e} yǒ [kā kòʔò] jī
 Art woman [**An** good] Indef
 ‘a pretty woman’ (Fl)

Some adjectives cannot occur directly after nouns in the fashion of (492). Instead, the classifier is obligatory even after a noun. Adjectives of this type at least for our Fl speaker are ‘foreign’ (kā kùʔò), ‘empty’ (á kāʔā), and ‘ruined’ (á bāʔá). kāʔā ‘empty’ is distinguished from kāʔā ‘hard’ by this construction, since kāʔā ‘hard’ directly follows the noun. ‘Empty’ directly follows the noun only in tǎʔò-kàʔà ‘empty place’, where it is an L-toned compound final. For the morphology see §4.5.3.1.2.

Some expressive adverbials (§8.5.8) have adjective-like senses, but they cannot be incorporated into noun-headed NPs unless they are participialized or relativized on, and they do not combine with adjectival classifiers.

6.3.2 Adjective sequences

Two or (in theory) more adjectives may modify the same noun (494).

- (494) \bar{e} wùʔú yùàʔà tù-tùʔù
 Art house black big
 ‘a/the big black house’ (Ma)

6.4 NPs including a numeral

For the forms of numerals, see §4.6 above. Numeral predicates (e.g., ‘the children are three’) are based on the same forms the numerals have in absolute function (i.e. without a noun), see §11.6.

6.4.1 Noun or pronoun plus nonsingular numeral

Numerals follow nouns (and modifying adjectives). In such phrases, the article \bar{e} is present before the noun under the usual conditions. The noun usually takes plural form before nonsingular numerals, but this is not strict, and some inanimate nouns are not readily pluralized. The numerals ‘2’ to ‘9’ are preceded by ò as an article-like plural marker after nonhuman (including animate) nouns (for human yúó see below). The result is a bipartite phrase of the type [Art N] [Class Num] for ‘2’ through ‘9’ (495a-c,e). The presence of ò may be obscured by vv-Contraction when the noun ends in a back rounded vowel, but at least a tonal trace is usually audible.

Numerals from ‘10’ up are treated morphologically as nouns and take the regular \bar{e} article when they follow nonhuman nouns. However, the pre-numeral \bar{e} is sometimes

inaudible before ‘10’ and higher numerals, except after a prosodic break. One consequence of this is that an M-toned noun preceding ‘10’ may undergo M#H-to-L#H or LH#H-to-L#H as though the pre-numeral \bar{e} were not present (as a buffer). So $s\grave{e}-r\acute{i}^n$ ‘trees’ drops to L-toned before $t\acute{a}mm$ ‘10’ (495d). If the \bar{e} preceding $t\acute{a}mm$ were phonologically relevant we would expect $\# \bar{e} s\grave{e}-r\acute{i}^n \emptyset t\acute{a}mm$ from $/\bar{e} s\grave{e}-r\acute{i}^n \grave{e} t\acute{a}mm/$. On the other hand, when ‘10’ is postpausal (i.e. clause-initial, or following an interruption) it is always $\grave{e} t\acute{a}mm$ with the article clearly pronounced. With numerals ‘2’ to ‘9’, the classifier \grave{o} is not usually elided the way \bar{e} is with ‘10’ and its presence blocks the tone-dropping processes (495e).

- (495) a. \bar{e} $b\bar{u}^n\grave{o}$ = [\emptyset $j\bar{o}^n$]
 [Art dog.Pl] [**PI** two]
 ‘(the) two dogs’ (< $b\bar{u}^n\grave{o}$) (Ji)
- b. \bar{e} $c\bar{i}\bar{o}$ [\grave{o} $s\acute{a}^n$]
 Art bird.Pl [**PI** three]
 ‘three birds’ (Bi, 2017-06 @ 00:03)
- c. \bar{e} $d\grave{o}$ = [\grave{o} $s\acute{a}^n$]
 Art sun [**PI** three]
 ‘three days’ (women, 2017-14 @ 00:43)
 (< $/\bar{e} d\grave{e}/$)
- d. \bar{e} $s\grave{e}-r\acute{i}^n$ [(\emptyset) $t\acute{a}mm$]
 Art tree-Pl [(Art) ten]
 ‘(the) ten trees’ (Ji)
- e. \bar{e} $s\grave{e}-r\acute{i}^n$ [\grave{o} $s\acute{a}^n$]
 Art tree-Pl [Art three]
 ‘(the) three trees’ (F1)

If the noun denotes a human, all numerals ‘2’ and up including ‘10’, ‘20’, etc. are preceded by $y\acute{u}\acute{o}$ (or a tonal variant, or allomorph $n\bar{u}\bar{o}$) as plural classifier (496a-c). The morpheme \grave{o} is absent. If $y\acute{u}\acute{o}$ in the sense ‘people’ itself is the quantified noun, it is directly preceded by the article (496d).

- (496) a. \bar{e} $y\grave{e}-r\acute{o}$ [$y\acute{u}\acute{o}$ $t\acute{a}mm$]
 Art woman-Pl [**people** ten]
 ‘ten women’ (F1)
- b. \bar{e} $k\bar{e}^n-d\bar{i}-\grave{o}$ [$y\bar{u}\bar{o}$ $j\bar{o}^n$]
 Art old.man-Pl [**people** two]
 ‘two old men’ (F1 & Ma, 2017-03 @ 00:12 and 00:15)
- c. \bar{e} $l\bar{o}$ [$y\bar{u}\bar{o}$ $j\bar{o}^n$]
 Art young.women [**people** two]
 ‘two young women’ (F1, 2017-05 @ 00:19)

- d. ðⁿ ɲó [[ò yūō jɔ̃ⁿ] nī]
 3AnSg look.at.Base [[3Pl **people** two] Loc]
 ‘(said:) look-2Pl at (=consider which of) the two (people)’
 (Fl, 2017-05 @ 03:53)

If there is a prosodic break between the counted noun and the numeral, yúó can itself be expanded as è yúó with its own article. Thus è bí-ɸīō, è yúó sáⁿ ‘three children’ (phrased with a break as ‘children, three (of them)’ from our Bi speaker at 2017-07 @ 07.46.

An independent pronoun may also be juxtaposed to a numeral. The pronoun takes independent (not proclitic) form. 2Pl or logophoric plural bùò requires yúó ‘people’ as human classifier before the numeral: bùò yúó jɔ̃ⁿ/támm (Fl Ji) ‘you two/ten’ or ‘they two/ten’, cf. bùò jɔ̃ⁿ (497, Bi dialect). For 1Pl we have recorded é-yùò ɲùò sáⁿ (Ji) and é-yùò yùò sáⁿ (Fl) ‘we three’; note the tones and the nasal allomorph in Ji.

- (497) a. kō yūā-gbē [bùò jɔ̃ⁿ] [kò fó]
 Infin grope.Base-pick.up.Base [3Pl **two**] [Infin pass.Base]
 ‘... seized the two of them (=children) and went away.’
 (Bi, 2017-07 @ 03:56)

- b. bùò [yūō jɔ̃ⁿ]
2Pl [people **two**]
 ‘you two’ (Fl)

The noun or pronoun preceding a numeral may be focalized (498a). However, the focalizer may also follow the numeral (498b). There appears to be no semantic difference.

- (498) a. [é-yùò t́-ró] [ɲūō jɔ̃ⁿ] klē-bà
 [1Pl **Foc-AnPl**] [people two] return.Pfv-come.Base
 ‘It’s us [focus] two who have come back.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 00:02)
- b. [é-yùò [yūō jɔ̃ⁿ] t́-ró] nà yīí
 [1Pl [people two] Foc-AnPl] Fut go.Base
 ‘It’s us two [focus] who will go.’ (Fl)

Interrogative mlěⁿ ‘how much/many?’ also takes nonhuman ò and human yúó classifiers (§13.2.3.5.2).

6.4.2 Noun-adjective plus nonsingular numeral

If a modifying adjective is present the order is N-Adj-Num, or more accurately Art-N-Adj-[Class-Num] when a plural classifier is present (499). Both the noun and the adjective usually take plural form before nonsingular numerals.

- (499) \bar{e} $b\bar{u}\text{?}\bar{o}$ $t\bar{u}\text{-}t\bar{e}\text{-}r\bar{u}$ [\bar{o} $k\bar{a}^n$]
 Art dog.Pl big-Pl [Pl five]
 ‘(the) five big dogs’ (Ji)

6.4.3 Absolute numerals

A numeral ‘2’ to ‘9’ preceded by \bar{o} , or another numeral preceded by the article \bar{e} , may occur without a preceding noun, i.e. absolutely (§6.1.2). This construction is not common and we can cite no textual examples. Examples with nonhuman NPs in subject position are in (500).

- (500) a. [\bar{o} $s\bar{a}^n$] $f\bar{e}$
 [Pl three] pass.Pfv
 ‘Three (goats etc.) ran away.’
- b. [\bar{e} $t\bar{a}mm$] $k\bar{e}\text{?}\bar{e}$
 [Art ten] be.ruined.Pfv
 ‘Ten (sacks of grain) were ruined.’
- c. [\bar{n} $d\bar{e}^n\text{?}\bar{e}^n$] $f\bar{e}$
 [Sg one] pass.Pfv
 ‘One (goat etc.) ran away.’

Examples with human NPs in subject position are in (501). Even without a preceding noun, these forms are the usual way to say ‘three/ten people’ and ‘one person’.

- (501) a. [\bar{e} $y\bar{u}\bar{o}$ $s\bar{a}^n$] $b\bar{a}$
 [Art people three] come.Pfv
 ‘Three people came.’ (F1 Ji)
- b. [\bar{e} $y\bar{u}\bar{o}$ $t\bar{a}mm$] $b\bar{a}$
 [Art people ten] come.Pfv
 ‘Ten people came.’ (F1 Ji)
- c. [\bar{e} $n\bar{a}\text{-}d\bar{e}^n\text{?}\bar{e}^n$] $b\bar{a}$
 [Art person-one] come.Pfv
 ‘One person came.’
- d. [\bar{e} $y\bar{o}$] [n $d\bar{e}^n\text{?}\bar{e}^n$] $b\bar{a}$
 [Art woman] [Sg one] come.Pfv
 ‘One woman came.’

6.4.4 ‘One’ in an NP

After a noun (human or nonhuman) or pronouns, ‘one’ takes the form $n\ d\bar{e}^n\ ?\acute{e}y^n$ (Ji) or $n\ d\bar{e}^n\ ?\acute{e}^n$ (Bi Fl Ma) (§4.6.1.1).

(502) a. $[t\bar{o}\ ?\bar{o} \quad [n \quad d\bar{e}^n\ ?\acute{e}^n]] \quad n\bar{i}$
 [place [Sg one]] Loc
 ‘in the same place’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 08:31)

b. $[\bar{e} \quad y\bar{o}] \quad [n \quad d\bar{e}^n\ ?\acute{e}^n]$
 [Art woman] [Sg one]
 ‘one woman’ (Ji)

‘One person’ takes the form $(\bar{e})\ n\bar{a}-d\bar{o}^n\ ?\acute{e}^n$ (Fl Ji Ma) or $(\bar{e})\ n\acute{a}-d\bar{o}^n\ ?\acute{e}^n$ (Bi). The initial element is an archaic noun meaning ‘person’, surviving also as agentive singular $-n\bar{o}$ and in some compounds like $n\acute{a}-b\bar{i} \sim n\bar{a}-b\bar{i}$ ‘person’ or ‘child’.

The locative PP $\bar{a}^n\ d\bar{e}^n\ ?\acute{e}^n\ n\bar{i}$ means ‘as though, seemingly’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 03:11). It is most likely a partial nativization of Fr *on dirait* ‘one would say’. The latter is very common in French in the epistemic sense ‘it looks like ...’. However, $\bar{a}^n\ d\bar{e}^n\ ?\acute{e}^n\ n\bar{i}$ comes close to being parsable as Tiefo-D $[\bar{a} \ [n\ d\bar{e}^n\ ?\acute{e}^n]]\ n\bar{i}$, which would mean ‘in [its one]’.

6.4.5 ‘X times’ ($n\bar{i}$)

The sense ‘X times (instances, repetitions)’ with reference to event types is expressed as a noun-like morpheme $n\bar{i}$ (Bi $n\bar{i}^n$) plus a numeral. It is dropped to $n\bar{i}$ before H-tone by regular tone sandhi except in Bi dialect. There is no plural \bar{o} morpheme before numerals ‘2’ to ‘9’.

(503) $n\bar{i}\ j\bar{o}^n$ ‘twice’ (Fl Ji) $n\bar{i}^n\ j\bar{o}^n$ (Bi)
 $n\bar{i}\ s\acute{a}^n$ ‘three times’ (Fl Ji) $n\bar{i}^n\ s\acute{a}^n$ (Bi)
 $n\bar{i}\ w\bar{u}^n\ ?\bar{o}^n$ ‘four times’ (Ji)
 $n\bar{i}\ k\acute{a}^n$ ‘five times’ (Fl Ji)

A fuller form is $(\bar{e})\ p\bar{i}\bar{e}-n\bar{i}$, where $p\bar{i}\bar{e}$ appears to be the plural noun ‘feet’. ‘How many times?’ is then $\bar{e}\ p\bar{i}\bar{e}-n\bar{i}\ m\bar{l}\bar{e}^n$. ‘Many times’ is $n\bar{i}\ k\bar{a}r\bar{e}^n\ ?\acute{e}^n$ or $n\bar{i}\ \acute{a}\ k\bar{a}r\bar{e}^n\ ?\acute{e}^n$.

6.5 NP including a determiner

An NP based on a common noun (i.e. a noun like ‘person’, ‘dog’, or ‘house’ that denotes a set of individuals, or a mass noun like ‘water’), as opposed to a place name or personal name, requires either an article, a demonstrative, or a possessor. Articles and possessors precede nouns and are always NP-initial. Demonstratives follow nouns.

6.5.1 NP with prenominal article \bar{e}

The article \bar{e} (§4.4.1.1) precedes the noun if there is one. It may directly precede an adjective in absolute function, i.e. if there is no noun so that the adjective is NP-initial. Numerals ‘10’ and up are preceded by \bar{e} when they are NP-initial, and under some conditions when they follow a noun. The article occurs regularly before place names, as in \bar{e} $d\bar{e}r\bar{a}m\bar{a}^n d\bar{u}g\bar{u}$ ‘Daramandugu’, but not before simple personal names like $z\bar{a}k\bar{i}$ ‘Zaki’. Some spatiotemporal adverbs are noun-like and can take \bar{e} . However, \bar{e} is only sporadically found before $k\bar{u}^n \bar{u}^n$ ‘today’ and does not occur before $f\bar{a}^n \bar{a}^n$ ‘here’ or $d\bar{e}-d\bar{e}$ ‘now’. It occurs before several content interrogatives like \bar{e} $s\bar{e}$ ‘where?’, but not before others like $s\bar{o}-m\bar{o}$ ‘who?’ and variants.

Unless contracted with a preceding vowel clause-medially, the article is invariant in form except that it drops to \bar{e} by regular tone sandhi before an H-tone. There is no number distinction in the article when it precedes a noun or adjective.

The article is optional when a demonstrative follows the noun (504c), and it is absent (#) before possessed nouns (504d-e).

- (504) a. \bar{e} $b\bar{u}^n \bar{u}^n$
 Art dog
 ‘a/the dog’
- b. \bar{e} $b\bar{u} \bar{u}$
 Art dog,Pl
 ‘(the) dogs’
- c. (\bar{e}) $b\bar{u}^n \bar{u}^n$ $k\bar{a}^n$
 (Art) dog Dem
 ‘this/that dog’
- d. $n\bar{o}$ $b\bar{u}^n \bar{u}^n$
 1Sg dog
 ‘my dog’
- e. (# \bar{e}) $d\bar{o}-\bar{a}$
 Poss.Inan-2SgPoss
 ‘yours, your (possession)’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:59)

The article is normally present before a noun plus indefinite $j\bar{i}$ (or variant) within a sentence. Our F1 speaker volunteers that absence of the article suggests an epithet (505d).

- (505) a. \bar{e} $k\check{e}$ $j\bar{i}$
 Art matter Indef
 ‘something’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:55)

- b. è sǒ jī
 Art pig Indef
 ‘a pig’ (F1, 2017-03 @ 00:58)
- c. ē nā-dè dígǝǝǝ jī
 Art old.man other Indef
 ‘another old man’ (F1, 2017-03 @ 03:00)
- d. bǔǝⁿǝⁿ jī = rēǝ
 dog Indef Emph
 ‘(you) dog!’ (insult) (F1)

6.5.2 NP with deictic demonstrative (kǎⁿ, yá, etc.)

Deictic demonstratives (§4.4.2.2) follow nouns and any inner postnominal modifiers, i.e. adjectives and numerals. Articles are optional when a demonstrative is present.

- (506) a. (ē) bǔⁿǝⁿ tǔ-tǔǝǝ kǎⁿ
 (Art) dog big Dem
 ‘this/that big dog.’ (Bi Ji)
- b. (ē) bǔǝ = [ǝ sáⁿ] kǝ-yǝǝ
 (Art) dog.Pl [Pl three] Dem.AnPl
 ‘these three dogs.’ (Bi Ji)

Textual examples with clear ē before noun and demonstrative are è blí-ké kǎⁿ ‘this hare’ (F1, 2017-05 @ 02:53) and ē jǔǝ yá ‘this talk’ (Ji, 2017-07 @ 03:47). Examples clearly without ē are tǔǝ = á ‘this place’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 06:40) and dùǝ = á ‘those cliffs’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 10:10).

Demonstratives and preceding nouns and/or adjectives are separately marked for grammatical number. The noun, adjective, and demonstrative in (507) are all morphologically plural.

- (507) (ē) bǔǝǝ tǔ-tǝ-rǔ kǝ-yǝǝ
 (Art) dog.Pl big-Pl Dem.AnPl
 ‘these big dogs’ (Bi, Ji)

A demonstrative may occur without a noun, i.e. absolutely (§6.1.2). Examples are in (508).

- (508) a. [ǝ gǝ gbē [ǝ sǔǝ = [ǝⁿ kǎⁿ]]
 [3Pl Infin pick.up.Base [Infin give.Base [Dat **Dem.AnSg**]]
 ‘They took (it) and gave it to that one’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 03:08)

- b. yá klè= [Ø kě]
Dem.InanSg be.done.Pfv [Art matter]
 ‘That is a (serious) matter!’ (Ji, 2017-08 @ 10:00)

6.5.3 NP with discourse-definite bè (rarely bó)

The inanimate or abstract discourse-definite demonstrative is invariant bè. When used absolutely (without a noun), it often resumes a general situation that has been described in preceding discourse. It occurs, for example, in the PP bè nī ‘in that (situation)’. See §4.4.2.1 for more examples and discussion of absolute bè.

When bè occurs at the end of an NP, bè functions as a topic marker for inanimates, parallel to animate singular bó and animate plural bùò (§19.1.2.1)

Here we focus on combinations of bè with a following inanimate noun in discourse-definite function. The most frequent combination in the texts is likely bè tǝǝ ‘that (same) place’. In the locative PP [bè tǝǝ] nī ‘in that (same) place’, e.g. (Ji, 2017-09 @ 07:20), it gives some competition to the mā ‘there (definite)’ and à nī ‘in it, therein, there’. The same [bè tǝǝ] nī can also have the more abstract sense ‘(in) that situation’, as in (Ma, 2017-10 @ 02:52).

Additional high-frequency combinations are those with bè preceding other primary adverbial nouns (‘day’, ‘time’, ‘year’, ‘manner’). However, bè can precede any inanimate noun in the right discourse frame. Some textual examples are listed in (509).

- (509) a. bè fě ‘that talk (=tale)’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 04:09)
 b. bè dǝrǝnǝn ‘that song’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 01:02 & 06:31)
 c. bè kǝ ‘that day’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 02:23)
 " " (Ji, 2017-09 @ 08:24)
 bì kǝ " (women, 2017-15 @ 00:32)
 d. bè mùⁿ-díⁿ ‘that voice’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 02:50)
 e. bè dí-cùⁿ?ùⁿ ‘the next morning’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 06:50)
 f. bè yǎ ‘that year’ (Ji, 2017-09 @ 04:58 & 05:03)
 g. bè èǝé ‘that thing’ (Ji, 2017-09 @ 08:13)
 " " (Ji, 2017-11 @ 08:03)
 i. bè díⁿ ‘that manner’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 00:30)
 j. bè dáǝá ‘that time’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 03:14)
 k. bè tǝ(é) = á jǝrǝn ‘that hole’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 04:35)
 l. bè plákí ‘that (road-)sign’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 08:23)

The construction with bè preceding the modified noun may have originated as a possessive construction ‘its X, the X of that (situation/matter)’. For example, ‘that song’ and ‘that year’ can be construed as ‘the song of (=about) that’ and ‘the year of that (event, situation)’. In bè dí-cùⁿ?ùⁿ ‘the next morning’ (Fr *le lendemain*), which introduces (rather than refers back to) the referent day, bè can be taken as denoting the afore-mentioned preceding day. A possessive reading is more strongly called for in bè dó ‘its (possession)’ in (Ji, 2017-04 @ 06:59), indefinite bè jī ‘some of it’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 06:50), and bè kǝrǝ ‘its meaning’

(women, 2017-21 @ 00:12). Such a reading is also suggested by the fact that *bè* can be singled out for focalization within the NP (510).

- (510) ɔ̃ⁿ wō gbē [[bè tóʔó] tàpùʔð̃]
 3AnSg Infin take.Base [[**Dem.Def** **Foc**] mat]
 ‘She then took that very same mat.’
 (women, 2017-13 @ 03:24)

For obvious semantic reasons, discourse-definite *bè* does not easily combine with indefinite *jī* within an NP. However, if *jī* has narrow scope, singling out a referent from a larger set that has been introduced into the discourse, the combination is possible. See (518) below.

Animate pronouns (3AnSg *bó*, 3AnPl *bùò*) do not usually precede nouns in the same way as inanimate *bè*. However, there is one clear case in the texts: *bó yǒ* ‘that (just mentioned) woman’ in (Ji, 2021-02 @ 01:19). Usually a combination like this is interpreted as possessive ‘his woman (wife)’. However, there is no singular male referent in the discourse context who could be understood as her husband. The text describes the founding group of the local chiefly family: three brothers and their younger sister. The speaker later indicated that the plural of *bó yǒ* ‘that (just mentioned) woman would be *bùò yè-ró* ‘those (just mentioned) women’.

6.5.4 NPs with indefinite *jī* (plurals *jā-rō*, *jā-rē*)

The indefinite markers are *jī* (singular), *jā-rō* (animate plural), and *jā-rē* (inanimate plural). The forms are discussed in §4.4.2.3, which also mentions the use of (*ē*) *jī* as a noun ‘something’ or ‘someone’.

The pronominal article *ē* is usually present in NPs with postnominal indefinite marking.

Indefinite markers often introduce new discourse referents. In other words, morphologically indefinite NPs most often function as specific indefinites: ‘a certain X’, ‘some (specific) Xs’.

- (511) a. [ó nà gǔ= [Ø d̄àràʔá jī]
 [1Pl Fut narrate.Base [Art tale **Indef**]
 ‘We will tell a tale.’ (Fl, 2017-03 @ 00:05)
- b. [*ē* sǒ], kà= á-dàⁿ
 [Art pig], Infin go.Base-arrive.Base
 [[*ē* k̄èʔè-r̄è-ʔé jā-rē] nī] d̄árɔ̃ⁿ
 [[Art *Gardenia*-Pl **Indef-InanPl**] Loc] only
 ‘when the warthog arrived at some *Gardenia erubescens* trees’
 (Fl, 2017-03 @ 01:58)
- c. [*ē* làʔà] diè [[Ø úⁿ jī] nī]
 [Art hunger(n)] enter.Pfv [[Art village **Indef**] Loc]
 ‘A famine came into a (certain) village.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 06:15)

- d. [[è ná-di-ò jǎ-rō] nùʔó] nī
 [[Art old.person-Pl **Indef-AnPl** mouth] Loc]
 ‘(heard) from the mouth(s) of certain old people’ (Ji, 2017-09 @ 08:32)

Some common combinations are è yúo jī ‘a (certain) person, someone’, ē èʔé jī ‘something’, ē tòʔò jī ‘a (certain) place’, ē kō jī ‘a (certain) day’, and ē yǎ jī ‘a (certain) year’.

However, indefinites can also have nonspecific reference. This can occur in positive contexts (512a) but it is most obvious under negation (512b-c): ‘not any X, no X’. Negation, which is expressed chiefly in post-subject inflectional particles (combined with aspect), may either precede the indefinite NP (in non-subject functions) or follow it (in subject function). Most textual examples involve high-frequency nouns (e.g. ‘person’, ‘thing’, ‘place’, ‘time’), compare English lexical negative indefinites *nobody*, *nothing*, *nowhere*.

- (512) a. dē [bùò nā-dòʔóʔⁿ jī]
 Quot [LogoPl person-one **Indef**]
 ‘(they said:) (choose) one of us’ (Fl, 2017-05 @ 03:53)
- b. ò = Ø sūʔō [Ø èʔé jī] = ā
 3Pl **PfvNeg** give.Base [Art thing **Indef**] Q
 ‘They didn’t give anything?’ (Ji, 2017-09 @ 04:02)
- c. [ē èʔé jǎ =] á sūʔō māⁿ = nēʔ
 [Art thing **Indef**] **PfvNeg** be.given.Base there.Def Emph
 ‘Nothing was given, mind you!’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 04:06)

Indefinite markers follow modifying adjectives (513).

- (513) [ē nā-dè dígòʔò jì] má wìè-tàʔà mó
 [Art old.man **other Indef**] IpfvNeg help.Pfv 2Sg
 ‘Another old man won’t (be able to) help you.’ (Fl, 2017-03 @ 03:00)

Indefinite markers only occasionally co-occur with numerals. However, when nameless referents are introduced into discourse, the two may co-occur, in the order numeral-indefinite. The numeral has its usual human or nonhuman classifier, as with ‘person’ in (514).

- (514) a. [[ē lō] [yūō jōⁿ] jè-rò tǎ-ró] yì-mā
 [[Art young.women] [people **two**] **Indef-AnPl** Foc-AnPl] Past-be.Loc
 ‘There were two young women [focus] (there).’ (Fl, 2017-05 @ 00:19)
- b. ó gò yíʔí [gō rà-ʔóⁿ]
 1Pl Infin go.Base [Infin go.Base-look.at.Base]
 [[ē wū-tò] [n dèʔéⁿ] jī] bā à-māⁿ
 [[Art bungalow] [Sg **one**] **Indef**] if be.Loc
 ‘We went and looked, (to see) if one bungalow was there,’
 (Bi, 2017-10 @ 03:23)

‘Someone’ can be expressed regularly as è yúó jī with yúó ‘person’. Or it can take a reduced form è ńⁿ jī ~ è úⁿ jī, or even ē jī (which also means ‘something’, see below). The nasal in è ńⁿ jī may reflect the old stem meaning ‘person’ preserved in a few compounds like ná-bí ~ ná-bí ‘person’ or ‘child’ (§5.1.5.5), and in agentive singular -nò (§4.2.2). However, è ńⁿ jī is now morphologically opaque.

‘Something’ can be expressed regularly as ē è?é jī with the noun è?é ‘thing’ (515), or in reduced form as ē jī where jī appears to function as a noun.

- (515) dē = [Ø è?é jī] à-māⁿ = dē?
 Quot [Art thing **Indef**] be.Loc Emph
 ‘(says:) “something is out there!” (Bi, 2017-06 @ 00:59)

In the expression ē jī kě ‘a (certain) thing’, or by extension ‘a (certain) person’, jī seemingly precedes rather than follows the noun kě ‘thing’. However, kě can function much like a postposition ‘about, concerning, in the matter of’, and we parse ē jī kě syntactically as ‘the matter of a (certain) thing/person’. In (516), ē jī kě occurs twice to distinguish the two individual children who had just been introduced into the discourse as plural ‘children’.

- (516) [ē jī kě] à dáⁿ ðⁿ
 [Art **Indef** thing] Ipfv please.Ipfv Dat.3AnSg]
 [ē jī kě] máⁿ dáⁿ ðⁿ
 [Art **Indef** thing] IpfvNeg please.Ipfv Dat.3AnSg]
 ‘A certain one she (=the mother) loved, a certain (other) one she didn’t love.’
 (Bi, 2017-07 @ 00:08)

Plural indefinite jā-rō occurs in a similar parallel construction in (517). The issue is whether an elephant who has just appeared is the same elephant who had come previously.

- (517) [ē jā-rō] dè—, [[bó tó?ó] =yà]
 Art **Indef-AnPI** say.Pfv —, [[3AnSg Foc] it.is]
 [ē jā-rō] dè [bó máⁿ glò =?]
 [Art **Indef-AnPI** say.Pfv [3AnSg IpfvNeg it.is Neg]
 ‘Some people said, “it is him [focus]!” Some (others) said “it isn’t him!”’
 (Bi, 2017-09 @ 01:01)

Indefinite markers sometimes require a partitive reading. Such a reading makes sense of (518a), where jī is singular while dígè-rò is plural. See also (512a) above. This also makes sense of occasional examples where an indefinite marker is added to a discourse-definite NP either consisting of or beginning with bè (518b-c). In (518c), a new place is introduced, but it is part of a local area (the nearby cliffs) that has been the topic of the preceding discourse.

- (518) a. dè [mó dígè-rò jī] ní-mā
 Quot [2Sg other-PI **Indef**] not.be.Loc
 ‘None of your counterparts is your equal.’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:58)

- b. dē [ɔ̃ⁿ wō kùʔò [bè jī]]
 Quot [3AnSg Infin strip.off.Base [Dem.Def Indef]]
 ‘saying: it will then strip off some of that (bark)’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 06:37)
- c. [bè tòʔò jâ=] Ø-mā
 [Dem.Def place Indef] be.Loc
 ‘There’s a place thereof.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 10:24)

6.6 Universal and distributive quantifiers

6.6.1 Universal quantifiers

6.6.1.1 ‘All’ (bíé ~ bíéʔ)

The universal quantifier bíé(?) ‘all’ (including ‘both’ for a set of two) follows the noun and any inner modifiers (adjective, numeral, demonstrative), or any of the latter in absolute function (without a noun). This quantifier also occurs in Jula.

- (519) a. ē bùʔò bíéʔ
 Art dog.Pl **all**
 ‘all (the) dogs’ (Ji)
- b. ē bŭʔō tù-tə-rù bíéʔ
 Art dog.Pl big-Pl **all**
 ‘all (the) dogs’ (Ji)
- c. ē bŭʔō= [Ø kàⁿ] bíéʔ
 Art dog.Pl [Pl five] **all**
 ‘all five (of the) dogs’ (Ji)
- d. ē bŭʔō kō-yùò bíéʔ
 Art dog.Pl Dem.AnPl **all**
 ‘all (of) these/those dogs’ (Ji)
- e. úⁿ?úⁿ yá bíé
 head Dem.InanSg **all**
 ‘that whole head’ (Ji, 2017-07 @ 08:34)
- f. nóⁿ wā= à-sō [bè bíé] [kò dí]
 1Sg Infin come.Base-recv.Base [Dem.Def **all**] [Infin eat.Base]
 ‘I (came and) received all that and ate (it).’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 09:48)

A pronoun may also be modified by bíé(?) (520). This may be a “possessive” construction morphosyntactically with the pronominal in partitive function, cf. Eng *all of us*. This is suggested by the use of short pronominal forms: third person ò and à, 1Pl ó. If bíé(?) were a modifier (or an adverb) we would expect full independent pronoun forms.

- (520) a. [nó fē-nī] kō [[bùò bíé] bàʔà]
 [1Sg greeting(n)] be [[**2PI all**] Dat]
 ‘My greeting is to all of you.’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 00:14)
- b. [ò bíé] kēnē mā
 [**3PI all**] be.healthy there.Def
 ‘They are all healthy.’ (Ma, 2017-01 @ 00:12)
- c. [ò bíé] à jī= [[Ø [blí-ké]-kě] lò
 [**3PI all**] Ipfv know [[Art [hare]-matter] Emph
 ‘Everyone knows about hare.’ (Fl, 2017-05 @ 00:33)
- d. ǒ= Ø sūʔɔ̃ [Ø klòʔó] [[à bíé] nī]
 3Pl PfvNeg give.Base [Art road] [[**3Inan all**] Loc]
 ‘They didn’t give permission for all of it (=zone).’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 04:09)

‘We all’ or ‘all of us’ is ó bíé in (520c) but é bíé in (Ji, 2017-09 @ 04:23). There is also a contracted form é-bé ~ ó-bé (§4.3.1.5).

bíé may follow a relative marker like jèròⁿ. The resulting X jèròⁿ bíé means ‘every X that/who ...’, usually inanimate. ‘Every X who ...’ with animate reference is X jèrò bíé. bíé may also follow a focalized NP with tóʔó or other focus marker. Both relative and focalized examples are in textual passage (521).

- (521) [móⁿ dó] jàⁿ [á kè-rèⁿ-ʔéⁿ jèròⁿ bíé]
 [2Sg however] see.Pfv [Inan many **Rel all**]
 móⁿ nàⁿ sò [bì tóʔó bíé] [kò yíʔí]
 2Sg Fut carry.on.head.Base [Dem.Def **Foc all**] [Infin go.Base]
 ‘All the many things that you have seen, you will carry all that on your head and go.’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 07:54)

However, bíé may also precede a focus marker in the absence of a head noun, to judge by à bíé té ‘all that’, admittedly in a phonetically somewhat unclear passage (Ji, 2017-08 @ 09:07).

The glottal stop in bíéʔ is heard prepausally (e.g. in isolation) but is absent phrase-medially (522). The glottal stop could therefore be analysed as an enclitic prosodic feature, like the =ʔ at the end of negative clauses.

- (522) [ē bŭʔō bíé] fiē
 [Art dog.Pl **all**] pass.Pfv
 ‘All the dogs have gone.’ (Ji)

Some textual examples are in (523).

- (523) a. $k\bar{o}$ $gb\bar{e}=$ $[\emptyset$ $l\acute{o}ʔ\acute{o}$ $b\acute{i}\acute{e}ʔ]$
 Infin pick.up.Base [Art intelligence **all**]
 ‘(He) took all his magical secrets.’ (2017-01 @ 01:22)
- b. $d\bar{e}$ $[\emptyset$ $d\acute{u}r\acute{n}\acute{a}$ $b\acute{i}\acute{e}]$ \grave{a} $j\bar{i}$ $=n\bar{i}$
 say.Pfv [Art world **all**] Ipfv know.Ipfv 3InanObj
 ‘(he said) everybody knows it.’ (F1, 2017-03 @ 00:47)
 (cf. Fr *tout le monde*)
- c. $[\bar{o}$ $t\bar{o}$ $b\acute{i}\acute{e}]$ $n\grave{a}$ $j\bar{n}\bar{i}$ $b\grave{u}\bar{o}$
 [3Pl other **all**] Fut see.Base 2Pl
 ‘All the others will see you-Pl.’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 02:05)
- d. $[\grave{a}$ $b\acute{i}\acute{e}]$ \bar{a} $l\bar{o}-\grave{a}-g\bar{l}\bar{o}$
 [3Inan **all**] Ipfv be.gathered.Ipfv-Ipfv-be.removed.Ipfv
 ‘All of them (=the djinns) are (=have been) gathered up and taken away.’
 (Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:40)

6.6.1.2 $s\acute{u}\rightarrow$ ‘all’ in $k\grave{o}-k\grave{o}$ $s\acute{u}\rightarrow$ ‘every day’

$s\acute{u}\rightarrow$ is attested in the sense ‘immediately’ in the construction illustrated in §16.2.2.

The frozen combination $k\grave{o}-k\grave{o}$ $s\acute{u}\rightarrow$ ‘always, every day’ is much more common. It contains a reduplicated noun $k\bar{o}$ ‘day’, leaving $s\acute{u}\rightarrow$ to be interpreted as a universal quantifier confined to this phrase. It is common in all dialects, and we used it as a frame for eliciting Ipfv forms of verbs.

Given that $b\acute{i}\acute{e}ʔ$ (preceding section) is probably a Jula borrowing, $s\acute{u}\rightarrow$ may have once been the regular ‘all’ quantifier.

Textual examples of $k\grave{o}-k\grave{o}$ $s\acute{u}\rightarrow$ are listed in (524).

- (524) Bi, 2017-07 @ 04:45
 Bi, 2017-08 @ 02:15
 Bi, 2017-08 @ 02:42

Even in this phrase, $s\acute{u}\rightarrow$ may be replaced by $b\acute{i}\acute{e}ʔ$, resulting in $k\grave{o}-k\grave{o}$ $b\acute{i}\acute{e}ʔ$ ‘every day’. However, there are no textual examples of this.

The only other iterated noun that $s\acute{u}\rightarrow$ combines with is $y\grave{a}$ ‘year’, forming $y\grave{e}-y\grave{e}$ $s\acute{u}\rightarrow$ ‘every year’. This competes with \bar{e} $y\grave{a}$ $b\acute{i}\acute{e}ʔ$ ‘every year’.

Iteration of temporal nouns without $s\acute{u}\rightarrow$ is less restricted. It generally has distributive sense. We can cite (\bar{e}) $y\grave{a}-y\grave{a}$ ‘some years’, (\bar{e}) $f\grave{e}ʔ\grave{e}-f\grave{e}ʔ\grave{e}$ ‘some months’, (\bar{e}) $k\bar{o}-k\bar{o}$ ‘some days’, and (\grave{e}) $d\acute{a}ʔ\acute{a}-d\acute{a}ʔ\acute{a}$ ‘from time to time, at times’.

6.6.2.2 Distributive iteration of noun stems

tòʔò ‘place’ can be iterated in the sense ‘various places, here and there’ or ‘anywhere’ (not ‘everywhere’).

- (527) álě = [Ø ānàʔà-yùò] mà glú tòʔò-tòʔò, kō bà
 even [Art face-people] if exit(v).Base **Rdp-place**, Infin come.Base
 ‘even when leaders (=officials) come here from wherever’
 (Ji, 2017-11 @ 00:41)

Elicited examples are in (528).

- (528) a. kō-kō Ø mā bà, ñ bē jà nó mā
Rdp-day 2Sg if come.Base, 2Sg Fut see.Pfv 1Sg there.Def
 ‘any day you come, you’ll find me (here/there).’ (Ji)
- b. yúó-yúó mā bà
Rdp-person if come.Base
 ‘anyone who comes’ (Ji)
- c. ò mà glú = [Ø lē-lē]
 3Pl if exit.Base [Art **Rdp-village**]
 whichever village they come from (Ji)

Likewise dáʔá-dáʔá ‘any time’.

An alternative is the X ò X construction meaning ‘one X or another’ (§7.2.3).

6.6.3 Scope relationship between negation and ‘all’

Textual examples are in (529), showing that negation scopes over ‘all’ even in subject NPs, in the absence of indefinite markers. For example, (529a) denies that ‘all the people’ came but implies that some did. Likewise (529b,d). (529c) is more tricky since it’s an essentially symmetrical equational sentence with kō ‘be’. (529e) has ‘all’ in a postverbal PP complement.

- (529) a. [è yúó bíé] á bà =?
 [Art people **all**] **PfvNeg** come.Base Neg
 ‘Not all of the people came.’ (Ji)
- b. [ò bíé] má klè—
 [3Pl **all**] **IpfvNeg** be.done—
 ‘Not everyone is made (the same)—’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 06:52)

- c. *dè* [bùdò júó] *máⁿ* *gò* *bíé?*
 Quot [3Pl Poss.An] **Neg** be **all**
 ‘(said:) “Not everything is theirs.”’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 07:45)
- d. [*è* *ná-bí-ó* *bíé*] *tá* *má* *dàⁿ* *mā* =?
 [Art people **all**] Past **IpfvNeg** arrive.Ipfv there.Def Neg
 ‘Not everyone used to arrive there.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 02:28)
- e. *ǒ=* *Ø* *sū?ǔ* [*Ø* *klò?ó*] [[*à* *bíé*] *nī*]
 3Pl **PfvNeg** give.Base [Art road] [[3Inan **all**] Loc]
 ‘They didn’t give permission for all of it (=zone).’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 04:09)

Compare this with (530), where the subject NP lacks *bíé* ‘all’. To the extent that *è yúó* is interpreted in context to be universal (‘every person’ or ‘[all] people’), it scopes over negation.

- (530) *comme* [*è* *yúó*] *má* *dàⁿ-àⁿ-plūⁿ*
 like [Art person] **IpfvNeg** arrive.Ipfv-be.able.Ipfv
 ‘since nobody (=no djinn) can manage to get close ...’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 03:54)
 or: ‘since people cannot manage to get close ...’

6.6.4 Scope relationship between negation and indefinite *jī*

An indefinite marker like singular *jī* (§4.4.2.3) scopes over negation even in a subject NP. That is, *X jī* in a negative clause means ‘(not) any X’ = ‘no X’.

- (531) [*è* *yúó* *jī*] *á* *bà* =?
 [Art people **Indef**] **PfvNeg** come.Base Neg
 ‘Nobody came.’ (Ji)

Textual examples are in (532). Indefinite subject NPs are in (532a-b). An indefinite object NP is in (532c).

- (532) a. [*è* *ń* *jī*] *má* *jī* [*Ø* *lō?ó*]
 [Art person **Indef**] **IpfvNeg** know [Art intelligence]
 ‘Nobody knows magic as much as I (do).’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 01:29)
- b. [*ē* *è?é* *jī*] *á* *bà-[tàⁿ-jū?ǔ]* *maⁿ* *jórⁿámá*
 [Art thing **Indef**] **PfvNeg** come.Base-[help.Base] there.Def well(adv)
 ‘(But) nothing good came and helped there very much.’
 (Bi, 2017-09 @ 04:00)
- c. *ǒ=* *Ø* *sū?ǔ* [*Ø* *è?é* *jī*] =*ā*
 3Pl **PfvNeg** give.Base [Art thing **Indef**] Q
 ‘They didn’t give anything?’ (Ji, 2017-09 @ 04:05)

6.6.5 Constituent negation absent

Except for a few idiosyncratic lexical items that include a negative element (§4.5.6, §5.1.13.1), negation is strictly at VP/clause level. It is expressed in inflectional morphemes that follow the subject NP. English and French constructions with negative markers attached to an NP do not correspond to Tíefo-D constructions, which does not allow negative clauses to be truncated leaving only a focal NP. An example is (533).

- (533) kù = [[Ø báⁿ] játéⁿ],
 cut.Base [[Art sheep] throat],
 kò já-sūʔɔ̃ [Ø wùʔɔ̃] mā
 Infin leave.Base-give.Base [Art goat] there.Def
 ‘Slaughter the sheep, and leave the goat alone!’ (F1 Ji)
 (= ‘Slaughter the sheep, not the goat!’)

6.7 Structural case-marking absent

There is no structural case-marking distinguishing subjects from objects. The only exception is that third person (§4.3.2.3) and optionally 2Sg (§4.3.1.3) pronominals have special enclitic forms for objects.

6.8 Apposition

Two NPs may be juxtaposed in apposition. When both are full nonpronominal NPs (e.g. with determiners), there may be a pause or other indication of broken syntax. By contrast, combinations of a pronoun with a following appositional NP can be prosodically seamless.

- (534) a. é-yùò bí-ʃīò
 1Pl children
 ‘we young people’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 03:40)
- b. é-yùò dè-ró
 1Pl man-Pl
 ‘us men’ (Ma, 2018-05 @ 00:42)

Example (534a) can also mean ‘our children’ and (534b) can also mean ‘our men’. In fact, a possessive morphosyntax cannot be ruled out, since for example ‘our men’ can be construed from a collective vantage point as ‘the men of our village’, whether or not the speaker is included.

Noun-adjective and noun-numeral combinations show hints of a former appositional structuring, in the sense that the adjective or numeral can take an autonomous form with a preceding classifier even when modifying a noun. Many adjectives can either directly follow the noun (N-Adj) or can occur with an animacy classifier: N [Class Adj] (§6.3.1). The classifier is obligatory if the adjective is absolute (i.e. without a noun). Numerals ‘2’ to ‘9’

take a plural classifier ò (nonhuman) or yúó (human) both as modifiers and absolutely (§6.4.1).

6.9 Vocatives

Vocatives generally take a regular form, e.g. a personal name or a NP like ē yǒ kǎⁿ ‘that woman there’. A vocative may be preceded by é! ‘hey!’.

Children use ñnā ‘mama!’ as vocative or referential form instead of nó nī ‘my mother’, and this may continue into adulthood as a familiar vocative. Likewise bà-bá ‘papa’ (< Jula).

When the person called is far away, díó→ ‘ho!’ or ‘ahoy!’ is added to any vocative, as in bà-bá díó→ ‘papa ho!’

7 Coordination

7.1 NP coordination

7.1.1 NP conjunction (X kà Y ‘X and Y’)

Two NPs are conjoined by kà ‘with’ or ‘and’. It combines with a following article ē as [kǎ], transcribed kǎ = [Ø ...]. If ē is followed by an H-tone and is therefore dropped to è, the combination with kà is kǎ = [Ø ...]. For kà as a preposition in the sense ‘with’ (instrumental or comitative), see §8.2. Here we consider only conjunctions.

- (535) a. mó kà nó
 2Sg and 1Sg
 ‘you-Sg and me’ (Ji)
- b. [ē dǒ] kǎ = [Ø yǒ]
 [Art man] and [Art woman]
 ‘a/the man and a/the woman’ (Ji)
- c. [ē dè-ró] kǎ = [Ø yè-ró]
 [Art man-Pl] and [Art woman-Pl]
 ‘(the) men and (the) woman’ (Ji)
- d. [è blíʔí] kǎ = [Ø dìʔè]
 [Art night] and [Art daytime]
 ‘night and day’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 01:04)
- e. bùò kǎ = [Ø nà-bì jórámá]
 3Pl and [Art person real]
 ‘they (=djinn) and a real person (=a human).’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 04:15)

Each conjunct in an extended list has its own kà.

- (536) ò kō sūʔō [Ø tì-tó], kà [Ø sù má-klàʔà],
 3Pl Infin give.Base [Art yam], and [Art maize],
 kǎ = [Ø cī], kǎ = [Ø gbīʔíʔí], kà [Ø sù má-tòʔó],
 and [Art millet], and [Art peanut], and [Art sesame],
 kǎ = [Ø fēʔé], kà [Ø sù kǎrá],
 and [Art wrap(n)], and [Art sugar],
 kǎ = [Ø sōʔ], kà—
 and [Art salt], and—
 ‘They give yams, and maize, and millet, and peanuts, and sesame, and a wrap
 (women’s garment), and sugar, and salt, and—.’ (Bo, 2019-10 @ 00:52 & 01:00)

For analogues to *kà* when two VPs or clauses are “conjoined”, see chapter 15.

7.1.2 Postposition or focalizer with conjoined NPs as complement

A postposition can easily take an entire conjoined NP as complement without itself being repeated. An example is in (Bo, 2019-01 @ 00:22), where ‘dog and monkey and hare’ is the complement of Locative *nī*.

A focalizer can also take an entire conjoined NP in its scope. An example from the same text has ‘hare and monkey’ followed by focalizer *tó?ó* (Bo, 2019-01 @ 00:28).

However, modifiers such as determiners, adjectives, and numerals are specific to each component NP. They can be repeated (if semantically appropriate) or replaced by another from one NP to the next within a conjoined NP.

7.2 Disjunction

Willy-nilly conditional antecedents (e.g. ‘whether X or not X’) are intrinsically disjunctive. The common ‘or’ coordinand nowadays is the ubiquitous Fr *ou bien*. The following sections describe native equivalents. See §16.3 for willy-nilly (‘whether or not’) conditional antecedents.

7.2.1 ‘Or’ (*wà→*)

One ‘or’ coordinator, also found in Jula, is *wà→* (F1 Ma) with variable prolongation. It can join two clauses (537a) or two NPs (537b).

(537) a. *mó nà yí?í wà→ mó nà pēⁿ*
 2Sg Fut go.Base or 2Sg Fut remain.Base
 ‘Will you-Sg go, or will you-Sg stay?’ (Ma)

b. *sǒ kò kǎⁿ =ā, zàkí wà→ [ǎⁿ sē] =ē*
 who? be Dem.AnSg Q, Z or [3AnSg father] Q
 ‘Who is that? Zaki or his father?’ (Ma)

With NPs, one can sometimes avoid the use of *wà→* by rephrasing. For example, (538) was elicited from the cue ‘who will go, me or you?’. It was rephrased with a conjoined NP in partitive function.

(538) *sò-wí nà yí?í, [nó kà mó] nī*
 who? Fut go.Base, [1Sg with 2Sg] Loc
 ‘Who will go, among (=between) me and you-Sg?’ (Ma)

7.2.2 tá ~ tàⁿ ‘or’

tá ~ tàⁿ ‘or’ occurs at the juncture between two clauses. We have recorded tàⁿ for Bi, tá for Fl and Ji. The particle is rare in texts, where homophonous tá occurs dialectally as a past marker (following the subject) and/or in the sense ‘like, similar to’. Fr *ou bien* is now more common even for older speakers.

Textual example (539a) is a disjunction of two polar interrogatives. The first ends in interrogative enclitic =ā, the second ends in interrogative particle tē. (539b) is an elicited example.

- (539) a. [ǰ sɔʔɔ-lò = ñ [Ø gbē] = ā] tàⁿ
 [1Sg jab.Base-rip.Base 3InanObj [Art outside] Q] **or**
 [ǰ sɔʔɔ = ñ [[Ø níⁿ] ñ] tē
 [1Sg jab.Base 3InanObj [[Art interior] Loc] **Q**
 ‘(said:) “Should I jab (=pierce) it from the outside, or should I jab it from the inside?”’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 05:11)
- b. [mó nà yíʔí] tá [nó nà yíʔí]
 [2Sg Fut go.Base] **or** [1Sg Fut go.Base]
 ‘Will you go, or will I go?’ (Fl Ji)

For occasional use of tá in the sense ‘whether’, see §17.3.1.4.

7.2.3 X ò X construction (‘one X or another, any X’)

Repetition of a noun stem X, with medial ò, means ‘one X or another’ or distributive ‘any X’.

- (540) ñ mà gō [[kě ò kě] níⁿ]
 2Sg if be [[**thing or thing**] Loc]
 ‘if you-Sg are involved in one thing or another’ (Bi, 2017-06 @ 01:38)

We have also elicited tɔʔɔ ò tɔʔɔ ‘various places, one place or another, any place’, dáʔá ò dáʔá ‘any time, at various times’, and yā ò yǎ ‘any year’.

See also distributive iteration of nouns (§6.6.2.2). yúó-yúó ‘any person’, attested in (Fl, 2017-11 @ 10:48), has the form of a distributive, but it is not far from the X ò X construction.

7.2.4 X kà X bíé construction (‘one X after another’)

Here kà ‘with; and’ conjoins two identical nouns denoting a time period, followed by bíé ‘all’. The point of (541) is that one can work (in the grotto) over an unlimited time span.

- (541) má= à sṣⁿ,
 2Sg Ipfv work.Ipfv,
 [ē yǎ] [kǎ= [Ø yà bí] mā
 [Art year] [**and** [Art year **all**]] there.Def
 ‘You (can) work year after year there.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 05:17)

7.2.5 Numeral range-bounding phrases (‘two or three’)

Expressions like ‘two or three’ or ‘four or five’ or ‘ten to twenty’ that describe number ranges by giving approximate lower and upper bounds are expressed by juxtaposing the two NPs, including their numerals, with no overt disjunctive element.

- (542) [ē jī] à bí
 [Art something] Ipfv be.gotten.Ipf
 métǎr = [ò sáⁿ] métǎr = [ò wūⁿ?ṣⁿ] = dē?
 meter [Pl **three**] meter [Pl **four**] Emph
 ‘Some (burrows) can be (as much as) three or four meters (long).’
 (Bi, 2017-10 @ 04:44)

Another example is ‘ten, fifteen’ meaning ‘ten or fifteen’ (Bo, 2019-06 @ 00:12).

8 Adpositions and adverbials

Tiefo-D has many postpositions, both simple and composite. They include the main spatial and temporal markers, and one (bàʔà) with dative and spatial functions. There are two prepositions, kà ‘with, and’ and ðⁿ, a dative mainly for ditransitives.

8.1 Dative and purposive adpositions

8.1.1 Postposition bàʔà (dative or ‘chez, among’)

This element functions as a dative postposition ‘to’ when combined with a preceding ‘say’ verb (543). The complement NP denotes the addressee. The PP can also be predicative when the subject denotes speech (543c).

- (543) a. nó dè = nì [zàkí bàʔà]
 1Sg say.Pfv 3InanObj [Z **Dat]**
 ‘I said (=told) it to Zaki.’ (Ji)
- b. zàkì á dò [ē èʔé jì] [nó bàʔà]
 Z PfvNeg say.Base [Art thing Indef] [1Sg **Dat]**
 ‘Zaki said nothing to me.’ (Ji)
- c. [nó fē-nī] kō [[bùò bíé] bàʔà]
 [1Sg greeting(n)] be [[2Pl all] **Dat]**
 ‘My greeting is to all of you.’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 00:14)
- d. kō dò [bè tōʔó=] [[Ø nā-dè dígòʔò] bàʔà]
 Infin say.Base [Dem.Def Foc] [[Art old.man other] **Dat]**
 ‘(and he) said that [focus] to another (=a different old man.’
 (F1, 2017-03 @ 00:34)
- e. kā= à-dò [Ø fé] [ʃⁿ bàʔà]
 Infin come.Base-speak.Base [Art talk(n)] [3AnSg **Dat]**
 ‘... to come speak to him’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 03:54)

A distinct dative preposition ðⁿ occurs with ditransitives ‘give’ and ‘show’ (see the following section).

bàʔà also occurs in compressed form in a ‘want’ construction; for examples and analysis see §11.2.5.2.1. Finally, with a human complement NP or pronoun, bàʔà is a locative postposition ‘at the place of, chez’ (singular or plural complement) or ‘among, in the country of’ (plural complement). One can construe the “dative” use with ‘say’ as a special case of the ‘chez’ spatial function.

- (544) a. [ē còfó] bà?à
 [Art Tiefo] **chez**
 ‘among the Tiefo (people)’ (Ma, 2018-01 @ 00:02)
- b. [mó nà yíí [sɔ̃ⁿ bà?à] tē
 [2Sg Fut go.Base [who? **chez**] Q
 ‘Whose place would you go to?’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 00:38)
- c. [bè kò bú [bùò bà?à]
 [Dem.Def Infin be.gotten.Base [3Pl **chez**]
 ‘... so (that) that (i.e. life) may be had in their (own) midst’
 (Ma, 2017-04 @ 04:17)

In (545), the PP with bà?à denotes the source of a transferred commodity. We note that local popular French often uses *chez* in such contexts. As a reminder, directionality (‘to’, ‘from’) is regularly expressed by verbs rather than by adpositions in this language.

- (545) [mó sū?ò = [Ø bú jī] [ɔ̃ⁿ bà?à] lò
 [2Sg take.Pfv [Art money Indef] [3AnSg **Dat**] Emph]
 ‘You-Sg received some money from him.’ (Ji 2017-04 @ 06:52)

A more abstract source is expressed by [ē sàrí] bà?à ‘out of shame’, explaining why the protagonist is holding her head low, in (Bi, 2017-07 @ 09:09).

Finally, bà?à occurs in possessive predicatives of the type ‘Y is (not) present [for/chez X]’, i.e. ‘X does (not) have (a/any) Y’ (§11.5.1.2).

8.1.2 Dative preposition ðⁿ and variants with ditransitive verbs

A dative PP has a preposition ðⁿ, used before the recipient with ditransitives ‘give’ and ‘show’ (§11.1.2.5) and before the experiencer with dáⁿ ‘be pleasing (to sb)’.

The preposition can contract with the final vowel of the preceding word, or it can be reduced to w̃ⁿ, pronounced as an enclitic on the preceding word. In ditransitives, a typical formula is [give/show X [ðⁿ Y]] meaning ‘give/show X [to Y]’. ðⁿ can combine regularly with a following 1st/2nd person pronoun (1Sg ðⁿ nó, 1Pl ðⁿ é-yùò or ðⁿ é, 2Sg ðⁿ mó, 2Pl ðⁿ bùò). 1Pl ðⁿ é is sometimes contracted to Ø é. The third person pronominal forms are contracted 3AnSg ðⁿ ~ = w̃ⁿ (for Bi also = èyⁿ) and unnasalized 3Pl ò (546c). These third person forms are homophonous with corresponding direct object pronominal enclitics, i.e. there is no audible trace of the preposition ðⁿ.

Ditransitive examples (Ji dialect) are in (546).

- (546) a. ɔ̃ⁿ jì?è [Ø bú] [ðⁿ zàkí]
 3AnSg give.Pfv [Art money] [**Dat** Z]
 ‘He/She gave the money to Zaki.’ (Ji)

- b. ɓ̃ⁿ ʃiʔɛ̃ [Ø bú] [ɖ̃ⁿ nó]
 3AnSg give.Pfv [Art money] [**Dat** 1Sg]
 ‘He/She gave the money to me.’ (Ji)
- c. ɓ̃ⁿ lɛ̃= [Ø wùʔú] [ɖ̃ⁿ [Ø bí-sīō]]
 3AnSg show.Pfv [Art house] [**Dat** [Art child.PI]]
 ‘He/She showed the house to the children.’ (Ji)
- d. nó ʃiʔɛ̃ [Ø bú] ɖ̃ⁿ / ò
 1Sg give.Pfv [Art money] **Dat.3AnSg / Dat.3Pl**
 ‘I gave the money to him-or-her / to them.’ (Ji)

The dative PP may directly follow the verb when the theme (entity given or shown) becomes passive subject (547a) or when the theme is omitted (547b).

- (547) a. [è bú] ʃiʔɛ̃ [ɖ̃ⁿ zàkí]
 [Art money] be.given.Pfv [**Dat** Z]
 ‘The money was given to Zaki.’ (Bi)
- b. kò á-sūʔ= [ɖ̃ⁿ [Ø flí-kò]]
 Infin go.Base-give.Base [Dat [Art termite-Pl]]
 ‘... and then go and give (it) to the termites’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 06:13)

Example (547b) also shows that dative ɖ̃ⁿ contracts with the article ɛ̃, which is then inaudible except possibly for a faint tonal trace.

In addition to ditransitives, the dative occurs with the predicative dáⁿ ‘be sweet, be pleasing (to sb)’. Since dáⁿ is already nasalized, in (548) the audible trace of underlying ɖ̃ⁿ is the falling tone contour in dâⁿ.

- (548) à= Ø dâⁿ= [Ø [nó sɛ̃]]
 3Inan Ipfv be.sweet.Ipfv [Dat [1Sg father]]
 ‘It pleases my father.’ (= ‘My father likes it.’) (F1 Ji)

Dative preposition ɖ̃ⁿ may have originated as a special use of third person animate singular pronominal ɖ̃ⁿ in clauses of the type ‘X gave Y [to him/her]’, later extended to other dative pronouns (except 3Pl ò) and dative NPs.

8.1.3 Causal pseudo-postposition (já)

There is no productive purposive (‘for’) or causal (‘because of’) postposition. However, there is a common phrase [bè té] já ‘for that (reason), ...’ or ‘that [focus] is why ...’, preposed to a sentence denoting an actual event. The preceding discourse gives the background, which is resumed by discourse-definite bè. One of several textual examples is (549).

- (549) [[bè té] já,
 [[Dem.Def Foc.Inan] **let.Pfv**,
 [è ná-dì-ð] tá má sũʔʔ [ā klòʔó] = dēʔ
 [Art old.man-PI] Past IpfvNeg give.Base [3Inan road] Emph
 ‘That’s why the old men had not given permission.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 02:21)
 (false starts omitted)

Dialectal variants include Fl [bè tē→] já with the prolonged variant of té, Ji [bè tóʔó] já with “animate plural” focalizer tóʔó generalizing to replace inanimate té (as is usual without the já), and Bi [bè tóʔó] jí with the same tóʔó along with a variant jí of the verb ‘let’. bè té with the original inanimate focalizer is mostly limited to the combination [bè té] já.

The interrogative counterpart ‘for what (reason/purpose)’ is [ē kè] já in (Fl, 2017-11 @ 01:08).

Purposive já is really the verb já ‘leave (behind)’, which can occur in causative constructions (§17.4.2.5.4). Since [bè té] já and variants precede the clause denoting the relevant event, já can be construed as ‘let, cause’ with clausal complement. However, some speakers set [bè té] já off prosodically, with já pronounced [jā] with mid tone, creating the impression of a postposition jā. The tone-lowering (or downstepping) from H to M may be a trace of the reduction of tē→ to té.

A distant relative might be Tiefó-N -já in biè-já ‘why?’.

8.2 Instrumental and comitative preposition kà

kà ‘with’ is a preposition. It can be instrumental or comitative. For kà in NP conjunctions (“X with Y” meaning ‘X and Y’), see §7.1.1.

The k of the preposition is often voiced to g or elided entirely. In Bi dialect the form à with no trace of the stop is very common and may now be the basic form.

kà is raised to M-toned kā before an L-tone (§3.6.2.1), as in (550d) and as in combinations like kā bùò ‘with you-PI’ or ‘with them (logophoric)’. It contracts with the article ē as kā = Ø (with vowel prolonged to express the tone contour) and with tone-dropped article è (before an H-tone) as kà Ø.

(550a) illustrates instrumental function. (550b-d) illustrate various comitative functions.

- (550) a. nó gbà = [Ø bũʔʔ] [kà [Ø púʔó]]
 1Sg hit.Pfv [Art dog] [**with** [Art stick]]
 ‘I hit-Past a/the dog with a/the stick.’ (Ji)
- b. ná = à jĩʔ [Ø kē-sũʔʔ] [kà [nó sē]]
 1Sg Ipfv work(v).Ipfv [Art work(n)] [**with** [1Sg father]]
 ‘I work with my father.’ (Ji)
- c. ʔʔ dè [kā = [Ø yīē]]
 3AnSg speak.Pfv [**with** [Art young.woman]]
 ‘He/She spoke with a/the young woman.’ (Ji)

- d. ɓⁿ dè [kā zàkí]
 3AnSg speak.Pfv [**with** Z]
 ‘He/She spoke with Zaki.’ (Ji)

‘Bring X (here)’ and ‘take/convey X (there)’ are phrased as ‘come [with X]’ and ‘go [with X]’, respectively. This construction can be used with any motion verb.

- (551) a. ò ká yíʔí [à [Ø úⁿ] ... [ānàʔà nī]
 Infin Sbjn go.Base [**with** [Art village] ... [forward Loc]
 ‘May they then take the village (=local area) ... forward.’
 (Ji, 2017-01 @ 00:37)

- b. [ɔ̃ⁿ fīē [kà [bó fīʔé]]]
 [3AnSg pass.Pfv [**with** [LogoSg daba]]]
 ‘(said:) “it went away with my daba (=hoe).”’ (Fl, 2017-03 @ 02:42)

- c. [lǎ tōʔó] klē-bà [kà = [Ø dèràʔá jī]
 [La Foc] return.Pfv-come.Pfv [**with** [Art tale Indef]]
 ‘It’s La (name) [focus] who has come back with a tale again.’
 (Fl, 2017-05 @ 00:02)

- d. bā bà [gā = [Ø dīʔé-bùʔó]]
 if come.Base [**with** [Art karité-pulp]]
 ‘... when (she) brought karité (=shea) fruits’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 00:20)

‘With it/them (inanimate)’ is *kà lō* (Bi à *rō*) and ‘with him/her/it/them (animate)’ is *kà júò*, without reference to number. These forms bear no resemblance to regular demonstratives or to any third person pronominals. For examples and discussion see §4.3.2.4.

8.3 Spatial postpositions

8.3.1 Locative, allative, and ablative functions

Directional ‘to X’ and ‘from X’, i.e. allative and ablative, are not distinguished from static locative in basic spatial PPs. Motion and direction are specified by verbs. The PP ‘in the bush (=outback)’ has the same locative form throughout (552). At clause-level, (552a) is a static locative, while (552b-d) describe motion events.

- (552) a. é-yùò à-mā [[Ø pòʔó] nī]
 1Pl be.Loc [[Art the.bush] Loc]
 ‘We are (out) in the bush.’ (Fl)
- b. ná = à yīʔí = [[Ø pòʔó] nī]
 1Sg Ipfv go.Ipfv [[Art the.bush] Loc]
 ‘I am going into the bush (=outback, brousse).’ (Fl)

- c. *nó* *glō* [[\emptyset *pòʔó*] *nī*]
 1Sg **exit.Pfv** [[Art the.bush] **Loc**]
 ‘I left (=have come from) the bush.’ (Fl)
- d. *zàkí* *dìè=* [[\emptyset *pòʔó*] *nī*]
 Z **enter.Pfv** [[Art the.bush] **Loc**]
 ‘Zaki went into the bush.’ (Fl)

8.3.2 Simple locative postpositions

8.3.2.1 Locative ‘in, at, on’ (*nī*)

Spatial location is indicated most generally by the postposition *nī* ‘in, at, on’ (553a-b). For Bi the form is *nīⁿ*.

- (553) a. *zàkí* *à-mā=* [[\emptyset *pòʔó*] *nī*]
 Z be.Loc [[Art bush] **Loc**]
 ‘Zaki is in the bush (=outback).’ (Ji)
- b. [*ē* *sùŋmèʔè*] *dìè-só* [*ē* *wùʔú*] *nī*
 [Art stone] fall.Pfv [Art house] **Loc**
 ‘The stone fell on the house.’ (Ji)
- c. [*ē* *bàʃʔʔʔʔ*] *à-mā* [[\emptyset *tàfùʔò*] *nī*]
 [Art knife] be.Loc [[Art mat] **Loc**]
 ‘The knife is on the mat.’ (Ji)

Because *nī* is often clause-final, it is subject to the effects of downdrift, and it can be heard as low-pitched. The best evidence that it is structurally M-toned is in non-clause-final occurrences, and in polar interrogatives like (554a), where the pitch of *nī* is slightly higher than that of the interrogative enclitic that it trails off into. In the IPA notation following the translation, we show this as [...*nī⁺i*] with downstep. By contrast, third person inanimate object enclitic =*nì*, which is also often clause-final, is L-toned before the interrogative enclitic (554b).

- (554) a. *zàkí* *à-mā* [[\emptyset *pōʔō*] *nī*] =*ī*
 Z be.Loc [[Art the.bush] **Loc**] **Q**
 ‘Is Zaki out in the bush?’ (Fl Ji)
 (end heard as [...*nī⁺i*])
- b. *mó* *jà* =*nì* =*ā*
 2Sg see.Pfv **3InanObj** **Q**
 ‘Did you-Sg see it?’

Further examples are in (555).

- (555) a. [ē dè] nī
 [Art field] Loc
 ‘in a/the field’ (Ji)
 (variant: [ē dè] n̄)
- b. [ē blāʔā] nī
 [Art pond] Loc
 ‘at a/the pond’ (Ji)
 (variant: [ē blāʔā] n̄)
- c. [è dúʔú] nī
 [Art forest] Loc
 ‘at a/the forest’ (Ji)
- d. ò Ø-mā [[Ø bɛ̃ⁿ] nī]
 3Pl be.Loc [[Art peace] Loc]
 ‘They are at peace.’ (< bɛ̃ⁿ) (Ma)

nī is also part of several composite postpositions (see subsections below). It is likely that locative nī is the etymological source of the enclitic nī in the progressive verb construction (§10.2.4).

8.3.2.2 Semantically locative NPs without overt postposition

Place names ordinarily function as locative adverbs in clauses. An implied locative postposition is normally omitted (556).

- (556) a. ò yē= [Ø bàⁿfórá]
 3AnSg walk.Pfv [Art **B**]
 ‘He/She went to Banfora (city).’ (Ji)
- b. zàkí à-mā [Ø bàⁿfórá]
 Z be.Loc [Art **B**]
 ‘Zaki is in Banfora.’ (Ji)
- c. ñ sùʔò dón-dóní-ò [Ø còfòrá]
 (nasal) give.Base a.little-Pl [Art **T**]
 ‘(and) gave (them) a little each in Tiefora (town).’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 04:53)
- d. dè bá= à bē [Ø dèràmandùgú]
 say.Pfv LogoSg Ipfv come.Ipfv [Art **D**]
 ‘(he/she will) say: “I am coming to Daramandugu.”’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 08:23)

The sense ‘at home’ or ‘in the village’ (as opposed to out in the fields, for example) can be expressed using ē lē ‘the settlement (village or homestead)’ without a postposition (557).

- (557) zàkí à-mā [Ø lē]
 Z be.Loc [Art village]
 ‘Zaki is in the village.’ (Ji)

8.3.2.3 ‘Inside’ or ‘under’ (t⁵ⁿ)

t⁵ⁿ ‘in’ or ‘under’ specifically denotes the bottom of a space that is roofed or otherwise covered. It is less common in texts than the all-purpose locative postposition nī. Typical landmarks are ‘tree’ and ‘veranda’, both of which overlook a significant volume of space.

- (558) a. zàkí à-mā [[Ø wùʔú] t⁵ⁿ]
 Z be.Loc [[Art house] **inside**]
 ‘Zaki is in the house.’ (Ji)
- b. zàkí à-mā [[Ø ʃɪⁿʔɪⁿ] t⁵ⁿ]
 Z be.Loc [[Art tree] **under**]
 ‘Zaki is under (=covered by) the tree.’ (Fl)
- c. kō t⁵-t^{5r}āⁿ = [[Ø á-bìèⁿʔéⁿ jī] t⁵ⁿ],
 Infin hide.Base-sit.Base [[Art leaf Indef] **under**],
 ‘Then he (=dog) hid under (=in) the foliage. (Ma, 2017-02 @ 01:22)

Containers that are open on the top (i.e. have a “mouth”) can be specified as less than full of content by the phrase [ā nùʔó] t⁵ⁿ ‘under the mouth’.

The adverbial counterpart without a complement NP is ē t⁵ t⁵ⁿ (Ji) or ē t⁵ⁿ t⁵ⁿ (Fl) along with ē pàⁿ-t⁵ⁿ ‘at the bottom, below, underneath’. Adding a “possessor” turns this into a composite postposition ‘under’ or ‘inside (a covered space)’.

- (559) dīē [[ā t⁵ⁿ] t⁵ⁿ]
 enter.Base [[3Inan **interior**] **under**]
 ‘Go underneath/inside!’ (Fl)

While t⁵ⁿ is much less common than locative nī as a postposition, only -t⁵ⁿ occurs in habitat-specified nominal compound initials, where it takes L-toned form (as do many compound finals). For [ɲū-t⁵ⁿ]-pìóⁿ ‘aquatic insect’ and similar examples, see §5.1.11.

-t⁵ⁿ is part of composite postpositions meaning ‘under’: pòⁿ-t⁵ⁿ ~ pàⁿ-t⁵ⁿ (§8.3.8.1) and cùʔà-t⁵ⁿ ‘under’ (Bi, §8.3.8.2). At least pòⁿ-t⁵ⁿ ~ pàⁿ-t⁵ⁿ can also function as a noun meaning ‘lowlands’ or as an adverb ‘down below’.

8.3.2.4 ‘On (the head of) X’ ([X úⁿʔúⁿ] nī)

This combination consists of locative postposition nī added to a possessed form of ‘head’: úⁿʔúⁿ (Bi Ji) with regular dialectal variants wùⁿʔúⁿ (Ma), wūⁿʔúⁿ (Fl). The noun ‘head’ is absent in (560a) but present in (560b), which has only a slightly more specific sense.

- (560) a. [ē sùŋmèʔè] dîè-só [nó nī]
 [Art stone] fall.Pfv [1Sg **Loc**]
 ‘The stone fell on me.’ (Ji)
- b. [ē sùŋmèʔè] dîè-só [[nó úʔúʔ] nī]
 [Art stone] fall.Pfv [[1Sg **head**] **Loc**]
 ‘The stone fell on my head.’ (Ji)
 (Fl equivalent [nó wūʔúʔ] nī)

The combination occurs with literal sense in (560). There are several other examples in text 2017-07.

- (561) [kà— [ē cīʔē]] [[ʔʔ úʔúʔ] nī]
 [with— [Art basket]] [[3AnSg **head**] **Loc**]
 ‘(walked away) with the karité (fruit), with the basket, on her head’
 (Bi, 2017-07 @ 04:55)

Example (562) illustrates a construction type describing an affliction.

- (562) [ē jùʔʔʔ =] Ø-mà [[nó úʔúʔ] nī]
 [Art pain] be.Loc [[1Sg **head**] **Loc**]
 ‘My head hurts.’ (Ji)

8.3.3 ‘Inside X’ ([X līʔ] nī)

‘Inside X’ is expressed as [X līʔ] nī, literally ‘in X’s guts’ with noun līʔ. X may be ‘village’, ‘the bush (=outback)’, ‘house’, or a container.

- (563) a. [[è úʔ] līʔ] nī
 [[Art village] **guts**] **Loc**
 ‘inside the village’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 09:27)
- b. [ē tîʔé jèrʔʔ] plē-plē [[[ē pòʔó] līʔ] nī]
 [Art hole Rel] Rdp-be.dug,Pfv [[[Art the.bush] **guts**] **Loc**]
 ‘the pits that have been dug all around in the bush’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:24)

8.3.4 Proximity expressions

Here we include ‘near X’, ‘beside X’, and closely related senses.

This PP requires lateral position, and is opposed to ‘in front of X’ and ‘behind X’.

8.3.4.4 ‘Next to X’ (X kùⁿ?ɔⁿ)

kùⁿ?ɔⁿ ‘near, next to’ (F1 Ji Ma) has a meaning similar to that of kpē?ē nì. It does not seem to be used in Bi dialect. There is one textual example.

- (567) [ē pù?ɔ jàrɔⁿ] kò yá tīē
 [Art stick Rel] be Dem.InanSg be.put.Base
 [[Ø kēⁿ] kùⁿ?ɔⁿ]
 [[Art fellow] **beside**]
 ‘There was the stick, placed next to the fellow.’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 03:49)

kùⁿ?ɔⁿ occurs here without a postposition, but some speakers also use kùⁿ?ɔⁿ nī with the basic locative postposition.

The noun kùⁿ?ɔⁿ and its compound kùⁿ?ɔⁿ-dá?á mean ‘early afternoon’ (§5.1.7.7). Any relationship to the postposition is obscure.

8.3.4.5 ‘In the vicinity of’ (X gblà?à, X tɔ?ɔ-gblà?à)

The noun gblà?à ‘flank, side (of body)’ can function as a postposition ‘in the vicinity of X’ referring to locations. It is attested in this simple form in fāⁿ?āⁿ gblà?à ‘over there (deictic)’, literally ‘near here’ (§4.4.3.1). When X denotes a specific place, the form is tɔ?ɔ-gblà?à, redundantly including the noun tɔ?ɔ ‘place’. The bracketing is ambiguous: [X tɔ?ɔ] gblà?à or, with fused complex postposition, X tɔ?ɔ-gblà?à. We prefer the latter transcription.

- (568) a. [ē dù?ù-ti?è] tɔ?ɔ-gblà?à
 [Art cliff-hole] **in.the.vicinity.of**
 ‘to the grotto area’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 00:09)
- b. [ē dù?ù] tɔ?ɔ-gblà?à
 [Art cliff] **in.the.vicinity.of**
 ‘in the cliffs area’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 00:15)
- c. sɔⁿtùwò-lē tɔ?ɔ-gblà?à
 S **in.the.vicinity.of**
 ‘near Sontuwole (hamlet)’ (Ma, 2017-10 @ 03:53)

8.3.5 ‘In front of, ahead of’ ([X ānà?à] nī)

‘In front of X, ahead of X’ is phrased as ‘in/at X’s face’ (569a). ‘Ahead of X’ can be in the context of motion (e.g. a race), or in the abstract sense of superiority. The noun ‘face’ is not

pluralized in this construction (569b). ‘Face’ has variants ānàʔà (Ji), ānàⁿʔàⁿ (Bi), wānàʔà (Fl), and n̄nàʔà (Ma). The a vowels after the nasal are phonetically nasalized in all dialects.

- (569) a. zàkí à-mà [[nó ānàʔà] nī]
 Z be.Loc [[1Sg **face**] **Loc**]
 ‘Zaki is in front of me.’ (Ji)
- b. zàkí à-mà [[é-yùò ānàʔà] nī]
 Z be.Loc [[1PlPoss **face**] **Loc**]
 ‘Zaki is in front of us.’ (Ji)
- c. [è ní jī] fiè [[mó ānàʔà] nī]
 [Art person Indef] pass.Pfv [[2Sg **face**] **Loc**]
 ‘someone (else) will go ahead of you’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 03:07)
- d. fô= [[[Ø blí-ké] ānàʔà] nī] [bè tòʔò]
 pass.Base [[[Art hare] **face**] **Loc**] [Dem.Def place]
 ‘... going ahead of the hare there’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 04:41)
- e. [bó tóʔô=] Ø-mā [[[è jér=] ānàʔà] nī]
 [3AnSg Foc] be.Loc [[[Art djinn] **face**] **Loc**]
 ‘He [focus] was there ahead of (=superior to) a djinn.’
 (Ji, 2017-04 @ 00:37)

Although (570a) presents the same type of sequence of NP plus ānàʔà nī, the context suggests that ‘the village’ is a direct object, and (ē) ānàʔà nī is an adverbial phrase ‘forward, ahead, in the lead’. A clearer example of adverbial status is (570b) where the preceding word is the verb.

- (570) a. ... [è úⁿ] [ānàʔà nī]
 ... [Art village] [**face** **Loc**]
 ‘(may they take) the village forward.’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 00:37)
- b. móⁿ nè fō= [Ø ānàⁿʔàⁿ nī] [kò-kò sú→]
 2Sg IpfvPast pass.Ipfv [Art **face** **Loc**] [Rdp-day all]
 ‘You were going in front every day’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 02:15)

8.3.6 ‘Behind/after X’ (X jīē)

This is a simple (not composite) postposition. It can be used to indicate static position behind some landmark (571a-b), or direction of pursuit with a verb like ‘follow’ (571c-d). It can also mean ‘on X’s back’ in connection with carrying (571e), or more figuratively ‘supporting X’ (cf. Eng *have X’s back* or *be backing X*) (571f).

- (571) a. zàkí à-mà [nó ʃīē]
 Z be.Loc [1Sg **behind**]
 ‘Zaki is behind me.’ (Ji)
- b. kò sêⁿ= [[[Ø blí-ké] kè-kèʔè] ʃīē]
 Infin lie.down.Base [[[Art hare] wall] **behind**]
 ‘He lay down behind hare’s (house’s) outer wall.’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 04:41)
- c. [ē nā-dè] kò tá-[cárúⁿ-jùʔò]
 [Art old.man] Infin do.again.Base-[run.hard.Base-follow.Base]
 [ʔⁿ ʃīē]
 [3AnSg **behind**]
 ‘The old man too ran hard after it.’ (Fl, 2017-03 @ 01:31)
- d. ò gō jùʔò [ʔⁿ ʃīē]
 3Pl Infin follow.Base [3AnSg **behind**]
 ‘They followed her.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 07:32)
- e. mó ɲɔ= [Ø tùpèⁿʔéⁿ] kō bàʔà-sūʔɔ—
 2Sg look.at.Base [Art gourd] Infin sling.Base-give.Base—
 [mó ʃīē]
 [2Sg **behind**]
 ‘Look (=try) to carry the gourd slung—behind you! (=on your back)’
 (Ji, 2017-01 @ 03:36, edited)
- f. [bò-wí fǎráⁿ] ā jùʔù [mó ʃìè] có
 [fellow too] Ipfv follow.Ipfv [2Sg **behind**] truly
 ‘The fellow furthermore is behind you (=trying to help you), indeed.’
 (Ji, 2017-08 @ 10:58)

As a noun, ʃīē means ‘rear, behind (n)’.

8.3.7 ‘Over X’ and ‘on top of X’

Position above a reference object is expressed by cīⁿ, or more often by complex postpositions based on it.

8.3.7.1 ‘Up high in/on X’ (X cīⁿ)

cīⁿ is attested as a simple postposition in the phrase [ē ʃíⁿʔíⁿ] cīⁿ ‘up (high) in the tree’ (Bo, 2019-01 @ 01:27).

8.3.7.2 ‘On top of X, over X’ ([X jùʔé] cīⁿ)

[ē jùʔé] cīⁿ (Bi Ji) or with regular dialectal phonology ē jùèʔé-cīⁿ (Fl Ma) occurs by itself as an adverb ‘up above, overhead’ (571a). See §8.5.7.3 for this and similar adverbs of vertical position.

[X jùʔé] cīⁿ may also function as a PP with a complement X (572b). The complex postposition [X jùʔé] cīⁿ means ‘over X, above X’. By itself as a noun, jùʔé and variants mean ‘God’, cf. the compound jùʔè-jó ‘sky’.

- (572) a. [ē cī⁵ⁿ à-mā [[Ø jùʔé] cīⁿ
 [Art bird] be.Loc [[Art sky] Loc]
 ‘The bird is overhead.’ (Ji)
- b. [ē cī⁵ⁿ yìè= [[Ø wùʔú] jùʔé] cīⁿ
 [Art bird] fly.Pfv [[Art house] sky] Loc
 ‘The bird flew over the house.’ (Ji)
- c. jǎ→, [è kēⁿ lēⁿ [[Ø jùʔé] cīⁿ
 lo! [Art man] stand.Pfv [[Art sky] above]
 ‘Lo, the fellow stood up high.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 03:08)

8.3.7.3 ‘On top of X, over X’ ([X úⁿʔúⁿ] cīⁿ)

[X úⁿʔúⁿ] cīⁿ is based on the noun úⁿʔúⁿ ‘head’ but is not anatomically specific. It can mean ‘over X’, or ‘on top of X’ where X is an entity with a vertical dimension (a house, a mountain, etc., but not a mat).

- (573) ɔ̃ⁿ mē= [[[Ø dùʔ=] úⁿʔúⁿ] cīⁿ
 3AnSg build.Pfv [[[Art mountain] head] Loc]
 ‘He/She built (a house) on top of the cliffs.’ (Ji)

(574a-b) indicate a broader bodily extent.

- (574) a. [ē jùⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ=] Ø-mà [[nó úⁿʔúⁿ] cīⁿ
 [Art pain] be.Loc [[1Sg head] Loc]
 ‘My whole body is in pain.’ (Ji)
- b. [ē dǔⁿ kō [[ɔ̃ⁿ úⁿʔúⁿ] cīⁿ
 [Art pain] be [[3AnSg head] Loc]
 ‘His whole body was in pain.’ (Ji, 2017-08 @ 03:40)

For simple locative nī following ‘head’ in its literal sense, see §8.3.2.4 above

8.3.8 ‘Under X’

Uncompounded $t\bar{5}^n$ ‘under’ occurs as a postposition translatable as ‘in (a covered space)’ or ‘under’ see §8.3.2.3 above. Usually ‘under’ is expressed by one of the composite postpositions in the subsections below.

8.3.8.1 ‘Under X’ ($X\ p\grave{a}^n-t\bar{5}^n \sim X\ p\grave{o}^n-t\bar{5}^n$)

‘Under X’ is a composite postposition $p\grave{a}^n-t\bar{5}^n$ (Bi Ji Ma) or $p\grave{o}^n-t\bar{5}^n$ (Fl).

- (575) [è bú] à-mā [[ē tàpùò] $p\grave{a}^n-t\bar{5}^n$]
 [Art money] be.Loc [[Art mat] **under**]
 ‘The money is under the mat.’ (Ji)

Typical contexts involve either direct contact, as between a mat and the ground, or a narrow channel, as in ‘under the car’. Our Ji speaker distinguishes [ē $\int^{n?}\acute{f}^n$] $t\bar{5}^n$ ‘under (=in the shade of) the tree’ from [ē $\int^{n?}\acute{f}^n$] $p\grave{a}^n-t\bar{5}^n$ ‘under (=buried in the earth below) the tree’.

8.3.8.2 ‘Under X’ ($X\ c\grave{u}?\grave{a}-t\bar{5}^n$)

$X\ c\grave{u}?\grave{a}-t\bar{5}^n$ ‘under X’ is recorded for Bi dialect. The example involves direct contact (an elephant examining a person who is playing dead).

- (576) jí máⁿ = à-màⁿ [bó $c\grave{u}?\grave{a}-t\bar{5}^n$] mō→
 if 2Sg be.Loc [3AnSg **under**] concerning
 ‘if you are under it (=elephant)’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 02:20)

8.3.9 ‘Between’

Postpositions meaning ‘between’ are derived from the noun ‘hip’, as explained below.

8.3.9.1 [[X Y] $c\acute{t}\grave{u}\grave{o}$ ‘between X and Y’

‘Between X and Y’ is expressed by a simple (not composite) postposition $c\acute{t}\grave{u}\grave{o}$ (Ji), $c\acute{c}\grave{u}\grave{o}$ (Bi), or $\acute{f}c\grave{u}\grave{o}?$ (Fl). The landmarks may be conjuncts in a conjoined NP, or a plural. Other speakers prefer the ‘between’ postpositions presented in the following section.

- (577) a. zàkí à-mà [é-yùò $c\acute{t}\grave{u}\grave{o}$]
 Z be.Loc [1Pl **between**]
 ‘Zaki is between us.’ (Ji)

- b. ná= à-mā [[zàkí kà ámì] cítùò]
 1Sg be.Loc [[Z with A] **between**]
 ‘I am between Zaki and Ami.’ (Ji)

This postposition is probably etymologically related to the noun cítùò (Bi) or sícùòò (other dialects) meaning ‘middle’, including the specific sense ‘mid-torso’. However, the postposition and the noun diverge in form in the non-Bi dialects, and some speakers instead suggest an association with ‘hip’: ʃítòʔó (Fl Ma), sítòʔó (Ji), cítòʔó (Bi).

8.3.9.2 [X Y] (sà-)tíé ‘between/across X and Y’

tíé (Fl) or tìèʔé (Ma) means ‘between (X and Y)’. It can specify that a third entity is located somewhere in the space between two entities X and Y, like the towns and cities mentioned in (578b). It can also specify the nature of the relationship between individuals (578b). Our Ji speaker does not use this form frequently.

- (578) a. [ē pō-lē] à-mā [[ē bàⁿfórā] kǎ = [Ø sàmàʔà] tíé]
 [Art P] be.Loc [[Art Ba] and [Art Bo] **between**]
 ‘Péni is located between Banfora and Bobo Dioulasso.’ (Fl)
- b. [ē bēⁿ] ní-mā [[kǎ [ō gě]] tìèʔé] =?
 [Art harmony] not.be [[with [3Pl Recip]] **between**] Neg
 ‘There is no peace (=mutual understanding) between them.’
 (Ma, 2017-02 @ 02:00)

A postposition meaning ‘between’ or ‘across, straddling’ is attested as sà-tíé (Bi Ji) or sà-tìèʔé (Fl Ma). It indicates that the gap or interval between X and Y is filled. In (579a) the third entity spills over, beyond the gap. sà-tíé can be repeated after both X and Y (579b).

- (579) a. ʔⁿ gō bà-séⁿ,
 3AnSg Infin come.Base-lie.down.Base,
 [[ē gbli] [ò sáⁿ]] sà-tìèʔé
 [[Art ridge] [Pl three]] **across**
 ‘It (=warthog) came and lay down, across (the) three rows.’
 (Fl, 2017-03 @ 01:05)
- b. [[è máⁿgèrō] sà-tíé] [[kǎ = [Ø wùʔú]] sà-tíé]
 [[Art mango] **between**] [and [Art house] **between**]
 ó nà bá [[bè tòʔò] tó]
 1Pl Fut cultivate.Base [[Dem.Def place] Foc]
 ‘In the space between the mango tree and the house, there [focus] we will cultivate (crops).’ (Fl)

8.3.10 Endpoints ('from X to Y')

8.3.10.1 'From X to Y' (glú ... kō bà ...)

The verbs glō/glú/glú 'exit, depart' and a terminus-oriented motion verb such as bà/bà/bē 'come' or dēⁿ/dàⁿ/dàⁿ 'arrive' figure in the '(all the way) from X to Y' construction. The 'exit' verb may occur by itself in the main clause, or it may be compounded with a manner of motion verb as in (580). The second motion clause takes infinitival form.

- (580) [ɔ̃ⁿ ʃɪⁿ?ɛⁿ-glú cɛ̃fɔ̃rá [kō bà dɛ̀rà máⁿdùgú]
 [3AnSg run.Pfv-**exit**.Base T [Infin **come**.Ipfv D]
 'He/She ran from Tiefora to Daramandugu.' (Ji)

8.3.10.2 '(All the way) to/until Y' (fó ...)

fó Y '(all the way) to Y' (spatial) or '(all the way) until Y' (temporal) is slightly more emphatic than the construction described in the preceding section with kō bà or kō dàⁿ. It can be made more emphatic by adding álè 'even' (álè fó 'all the way to/until'), or by intonational prolongation. Similar forms occur throughout the region.

An alternative construction is fó [kà X] including the 'with, and' preposition X. Thus fó fāⁿ?āⁿ or fó [kà fāⁿ?āⁿ] 'all the way to here'.

fó generally occurs without a specification of the starting point, which is often self-evident from the discourse context. It precedes the spatial expression (NP or adverb) and in this combination it is a kind of preposition. However, the spatial expression is already adverbial and may contain its own postposition. Examples with following NP or adverb are in (581). We standardize the interlinear gloss as 'until'. (581a-b) are clearly spatial. 581c) is clearly temporal.

- (581) a. d= ɔ̃ⁿ Ø-mā dè —
 say.Pfv 3AnSg be.Loc say.Pfv
 é! dɛ̀= [[Ø klò?ó] nì] [fó→ fāⁿ?āⁿ]
 oh! Quot [[Art road] Loc] [**until** here]
 '(Hare) said: "He is there, on the road all the way here (=this way.)"
 (Fl, 2017-05 @ 02:14)

- b. áywà ò yíí
 well Infin go.Base
 [gō rà-glú [fó bànfóra—, -dà̀tòsó]]
 [Infin go.Base-exit(v).Base [**until** B hospital]]
 'Well, (they) went all the way to Banfora hospital.' (Bi, 2017-09 @ 03:54)

- c. ā pòʔó-ní, bè ā klè fǒ,
 3Inan aerate-VblN, Dem.Def Ipfv be.done.Ipfv **until**,
 [ē cū5-cū5 fèʔè] n̄
 [Art August month] Loc
 ‘The aeration, that is done through the month of August.’
 (Ma, 2018-06 @ 00:24)

fǒ is also common before clauses and infinitival VPs (§15.3.4.1). It is therefore syntactically closer to ká ~ tá ‘like’ than to true prepositions kà (‘with or ‘and’) and ɖⁿ (ditransitive dative).

This fǒ is unrelated to exclusively clause-initial fǒ ~ fó ‘must’ (Fr *il faut*, §17.1.7)

8.4 ‘About, concerning’ and ‘for’ (kě nī)

The noun kě ‘matter, issue, (abstract) thing’ combines with locative nī to form the complex postposition [X kě] nī ‘in the matter of X, concerning X, with regard to X’. It is often heard as kē nī. In some contexts it may be freely translated as purposive ‘for’ or as causal ‘because of’, but these senses are not intrinsic.

- (582) a. zàkí bà [[[ē tīʔō] kě] nī]
 Z come.Pfv [[[Art honey] **matter**] **Loc**]
 ‘Zaki has come for the honey.’ (Ji)

- b. é-yùò diè [[[ē blō] kě] nī]
 1Pl enter.Pfv [[[Art rain(n)] **matter**] **Loc**]
 ‘We went in(side) because of the rain.’ (Ji)

- c. [kō tàⁿ-jūʔō [Ø dèràʔá-wí]
 [Infin help.Base [Art courtyard-owner]
 [[[ē dié] kě] nī]
 [[[Art sauce] **matter**] **Loc**]
 ‘(They) help out the head of household with regard to (ingredients for) sauce.’
 (Ma, 2018-05 @ 00:26)

Without a complement, [ē kěⁿ] nī means ‘in the/that (matter/situation)’.

- (583) jǎ [è lóʔ=] à-mā [[Ø kě] nī]
 lo! [Art trickery] be.Loc [[Art **matter**] **Loc**]
 ‘There’s trickery in that business!’ (Ji, 2017-08 @ 05:19)

8.5 Other adverbs (or equivalents)

8.5.1 Similarity ('like')

8.5.1.1 ká ~ tá 'like'

The similarity particle often precedes an NP (which may be a pronoun). It can be glossed 'like, similar to' or 'in the form/manner of'. The form is tá (Fl Ma) or ká (Ji). Our Bi speaker uses both, e.g. ká (2017-09 @ 04:10) and tá (2017-10 @ 03:37).

Examples are in (584).

- (584) a. ðⁿ = Ø jɪⁿ [Ø kē-sùⁿ?ðⁿ] [ká mó]
 3AnSg Ipfv work(v).Ipfv [Art work(n)] [**like** 2Sg]
 'He/She works like you-Sg.' (Ji)
- b. bó gō təràⁿ [tá = [Ø wùò-bí]]
 3AnSg Infin sit.Base [**like** [Art orphan]]
 'She (just) sat (by herself) as an orphan child.' (Bi, 2017-07 @ 00:26)
- c. fǒ ðⁿ gō klè [ðⁿ mí'á] [ká wūō-kà?à]
 until 3AnSg Infin do.Base [3AnSgRefl Refl] [**like** die.Pfv-Ppl.An]
 'to the point that he made himself like a dead critter (=played dead)'
 (Ji, 2017-09 @ 02:24)
- d. kō klè = ñ [ká [Ø dessin]]
 Infin do.Base 3InanObj [**like** [Art picture]]
 '(They) did it (cave engravings) in the form of pictures.'
 (Fl, 2017-11 @ 02:03)
- e. jǎ ā klè [ká = [Ø wù?ù té dè]]
 lo! 3Inan be.done.Pfv [**like** [Art house Foc.Inan Emph]]
 'Lo, it (=cave) has become just like a (real) house [Foc].'
 (Fl, 2017-11 @ 05:08)

While ká ~ tá has the appearance of a preposition in the preceding examples, it can also take a clausal complement (§15.3.1.2). Like fǒ 'until', it can function either as a kind of preposition or as a kind of complementizer.

ká and tá are also dialectal variants of the past particle which follows subject NPs (§10.3.1.1). For a different ká- 'do again' as initial in verb-verb compounds, see §15.1.3.2.

8.5.1.2 French *comme*

Fr *comme* 'like, as', pronounced [kómì] or similar, is a common substitute for ká ~ tá. We present only one textual example here.

- (585) [à bíé] ā lè-à-glō
 [3Inan all] Ipfv be.gathered.Ipfv-Ipfv-exit.Ipfv
 [*comme* [Ø kláʔá tù-tùʔù]]
 [like [Art shell big]]
 ‘All of them are (=have been) gathered up and taken away, like a big shell.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:40)

As in standard French it can also be a clause-initial particle, sometimes with weak causal sense (‘since ...’, §17.6.1.1).

8.5.1.3 Phrases with noun ʃiʔé ‘manner’

The noun ʃiʔé (F1 and Ma ʃièʔé) occurs as possessum in the phrase X ʃiʔé ‘X’s manner’ or ‘something/someone like X’. It resembles a postposition but does have nominal properties.

- (586) [mó ʃièʔè] ní-mā
 [2Sg **manner**] not.be.Loc
 ‘There is no-one like you.’ (Ma, 2017-01 @ 03:09)

This construction tends to occur in evaluative contexts, and may be pejorative: ‘the likes of you, your kind’.

See also mlěⁿ-ʃiʔé ~ měⁿ-ʃiʔé ‘like this/that’ (§8.5.5.1).

ʃiʔé ‘manner’ is distinct from interrogative ʃiʔé ‘what?’ (§13.2.3.2.1) or ‘which?’ (§13.2.3.6.2).

8.5.2 Scalar extent

In the following subsections we present adverbs and other elements that amplify (§8.5.2.1) or diminish (§8.5.2.2) the magnitude of scalable quantities and intensities, especially of predicates, in comparison to modal or average values.

8.5.2.1 Amplification

In addition to the forms described in the following sections, there is an expressive adverbial pé-pé ‘completely, totally’. It is added as an adverb in (Bo, 2019-03 @ 02:55).

8.5.2.1.1 Compounded verbs gārēⁿ, dórá, and yī-dā ‘be/do a lot’

The stems -gārēⁿ ‘(be/do) somewhat/fairly ...’ and the stronger -dórá ‘(be/do) very ...’ function as finals in verb-verb compounds, as shown by intercalated Ipfv -à-. The already compound verb yī-dā ‘overflow’ can also function as final in the sense ‘(be/do) excessively, extremely’. We present these forms with examples in §15.1.2.1.1-3 but mention them here

since their senses are similar to those of *kósóbé*. As compound final *-gōrēⁿ* can also mean ‘(be/do) well’ (§15.1.2.1.1).

See also the verb-verb compounds with Vb2 *-dé* ‘be sated’, with senses like ‘be full (after eating)’, ‘overload’, and ‘be well bathed’ (§15.1.2.3).

8.5.2.1.2 *kósóbé*(?) ‘really, very (much)’

This adverb, also in *Jula*, is rather common in texts. It is commonly added after a verb or other predicate, rather than being predicative itself. Before a pause, which is often where this particle occurs, it may end with a glottal stop. A prepausal glottal stop is also typical of *bíé*(?) ‘all’ and of negative clauses.

(587) a. *donc* [móⁿ bí-ní] kpè kósóbé
 so [2Sg ask-VbIN] be.good.Pfv **really**
 ‘So, your question was very good.’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 02:27)

b. [à k̀̀nì] [= àⁿ dáⁿ kósóbé?]
 [3Inan Top] [3Inan be.pleasant.Ipfv **really**]
 ‘As for it (millet), it’s very good.’ (Ma, 2018-06 @ 01:12)

c. *bè* kpè kósóbé?
 Dem.Def be.good.Pfv **really**
 ‘It has become excellent.’ (Fl, 2017-11 @ 06:26)

8.5.2.1.3 Adverb *gb̀̀nʔúⁿ* ‘very much’

gb̀̀nʔúⁿ ‘a lot’ is an adverb and can be separated from the main verb by an object or other constituent.

(588) ɔ̃ⁿ gb̀̀a nó gb̀̀nʔúⁿ
 3AnSg hit.Pfv 1Sg **a.lot**
 ‘He/She hit me a lot.’

gb̀̀nʔúⁿ was regularly produced in this sense in elicitation by speakers who tend to regard *kósóbé*(?) as tarnished by its *Jula* provenance. However, *gb̀̀nʔúⁿ* does not occur in our texts.

8.5.2.1.4 *k̀̀-ɾèⁿ-ʔèⁿ* ‘many, much’ and verb *k̀̀ⁿ* ‘be many/much’

The adjective *k̀̀-ɾèⁿ-ʔèⁿ* ‘many, much’, which appears to be a rhotic plural in form though it has no singular, is another way to amplify a scale. It combines with both mass and (plural) count nouns: *ē nū k̀̀-ɾèⁿ-ʔèⁿ* ‘lots of water’, *ē ẁ̀-ró k̀̀-ɾèⁿ-ʔèⁿ* ‘lots of goats’. For the morphology see (349f) in §4.5.3.1.2.

8.5.2.2.5 sɛ̃ⁿ→ and pɪ̃ⁿ?ɔ̃ⁿ ‘tiny’ (intensifiers)

sɛ̃ⁿ→ with unbounded prolongation is an expressive adverbial. It occurs in predicates meaning ‘tiny, minuscule, microscopic’ (593a-b). It can also be added to an already formed predicate with bí-bì ‘small’, which drops to bí-bì before H-tone (593c). sɛ̃ⁿ→ is attested for Bi and Fl.

- (593) a. à kò sɛ̃ⁿ→
 3Inan be **tiny**
 ‘It is minuscule.’ (Fl)
- b. à má kò sɛ̃ⁿ→
 3Inan IpfvNeg be **tiny**
 ‘It isn’t minuscule.’ (Fl)
- c. ɔ̃ⁿ tá kō [kā bí-bì] sɛ̃ⁿ→
 3AnSg Past be [An small] **tiny**
 ‘He/She used to be tiny.’ (Fl)

For Ji a different intensifier for ‘small’ was recorded. It is pɪ̃ⁿ?ɔ̃ⁿ (594).

- (594) ā nà klè-pɔ̃ⁿ [á bí-bì pɪ̃ⁿ?ɔ̃ⁿ] bè
 3Inan Fut be.done.Base-be.able.Base [Inan a.little **tiny**] Dem.Def
 ‘It (=voice) can become very small like that?’ (Ji, 2017-07 @ 03:00)

8.5.3 Specificity

8.5.3.1 ‘Around, in the vicinity of’

The noun gblà?à ‘flank, side’ combines with an adverb or phrase denoting a specific location, to indicate an unspecified position in the general neighborhood of that location. fāⁿ?āⁿ gblà?à ‘over there’ (§4.4.3.1) indicates a location not far from ‘here’ (fāⁿ?āⁿ). The combination tɔ̃ⁿ?ɔ̃ⁿ-gblà?à has similar functions when added to a term for a settlement or for a topographic location (§8.3.4.5).

For quantities, phrasings like those in (595) indicate non-exactness on either the low side (‘almost’) or the high side (‘a little over’).

- (595) a. ɔ̃ⁿ má dèⁿ [Ø támm]
 3Pl IpfvNeg arrive.Pfv [Art ten]
 ‘They won’t (quite) reach ten.’ (Ji)
- b. ɔ̃ⁿ nà yī-dā [è támm]
 3Pl Fut surpass.Base [Art ten]
 ‘They will (=might) exceed ten.’ (Ji)

- c. [nó kà [Ø bó] nùʔó jèrɔ̃ⁿ
 [1Sg with [Art sheep.PI] number Rel]
 kō yè-dé [Ø kplē-jɔ̃ⁿ]
 Infin be.almost.Base [Art twenty-two]
 ‘The number of sheep that I have almost reaches forty.’ (Ji)
- d. [ē plē-jɔ̃ⁿ] [kã= [Ø ʃiē]]
 [Art twenty-two] [with [Art behind]]
 ‘forty odd, a bit over forty’ (Ji)

8.5.3.2 ‘Exactly’ and ‘specifically’

The issues here include: correct identity of a referent (‘precisely me’), factual correctness of a statement (‘indeed’), and precision of a quantity (‘exactly twenty’).

The most obvious ways to zoom in on a referent are focalization (§13.1) and topicalization, notably topic shifts (‘as for X’, §19.1). Other relevant expressions are presented below.

8.5.3.2.1 Presentatives as emphatic specifiers

Less obviously, presentatives (§4.4.4.2) of the form X kò yá, literally ‘X is this/that’, can function more or less as emphatic specifiers. In (596), focalization combines with presentativity.

- (596) [ē céⁿ-mù té] kò yá = rēʔ
 [Art esoteric.message **Foc.Inan**] **be Dem.InanSg** Emph
 ‘The esoteric message was exactly that!’
 (F1, 2017-05 @ 03:52)

8.5.3.2.2 Pragmatic interjection có ‘indeed!’

The particle có occurs widely in the zone as an exclamation by an interlocutor or respondent to something said by a speaker. In (597), it is added to a NP to emphasize precise identity and is followed by a presentative. The context is that a long-lost abandoned daughter presents herself to her mother.

- (597) móⁿ nâ wé [nó nà̀rɔ̃ⁿ] có,
 2Sg Past abandon.Base [1Sg Rel] **exactly,**
 [nóⁿ nóʔó] ō kãⁿ
 [1Sg Foc] be Dem.AnSg
 ‘Precisely me whom you-Sg had abandoned, this is me!’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 08:12)

Other textual examples of *có!* are (Bi, 2017-08 @ 03:07) in glottalized form *cóʔ!*, (Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:37), (Ji, 2017-08 @ 10:58), and (Bi, 2017-10 @ 04:27). The glottalized form can function as one-syllable positive feedback ('that's right!' or 'you got it!'); cf. *jàtí* in the following section. As the examples suggest, *có!* works on the pragmatic level, confirming the truth of a proposition.

8.5.3.2.3 *jàtí* 'exactly!' or 'indeed!'

Another exclamation that vigorously confirms what the interlocutor has just said is *jàtí* 'exactly!', another widespread regional form. Good examples are (Bi, 2017-10 @ 06:27 & 06:38).

8.5.3.2.4 *àmín ~ àmínì* 'amen!'

This form, often repeated about three times without a break, is an appropriate response to formal blessings and good wishes. Examples are (Bi, 2017-10 @ 07:09) and (women, 2017-12 @ 00:39 & 00:40).

8.5.3.2.5 *yó(?)* 'exactly!' for quantities

Phrase-final interjection *yó* can mean 'exactly' with reference to a quantity. Prepausally it ends in a glottal stop and sounds like an interjection. It can combine with a focalizer like animate plural *tá-ró* (598b) or with *tê* as emphatic (598a).

- (598) a. *nó* [kã= [Ø *bó*] [Ø *kplē-jòʔ*] (*tê*)] *yó*
 1Sg [with [Art *sheep.PI*] [Art *twenty-two*] (Foc.Inan)] **exactly**
 'I have exactly forty sheep.' (Ji)
- b. [è *bó*] [Ø *kplē-jòʔ*] *yó* *tá-ró* *bà*
 [Art *sheep.PI*] [Art *twenty-two*] **exactly** Foc-AnPl] come.Pfv
 'Exactly forty sheep came.' (Ji)

8.5.3.2.6 *kè* 'precisely'

This particle occurred in a Bofoboso text in the form *kúʔúʔ kè* 'precisely today (=nowadays)', in contrast to the old days (2019-04 @ 00:36). Follow-up elicitation with the Fl speaker resulted in several further examples, where *kè* is added directly to basic spatiotemporal adverbs (599).

- (599) *kúʔúʔ kè* 'exactly today (or: nowadays)'
mlē kè 'right now'
fāʔāʔ kè 'right here'

kè as a clause-final emphatic particle is covered in §19.4.5.

8.5.4 Evaluation

The subsections below describe ways to qualify actions and behaviors positively. They can also be negated to reverse the evaluation. These elements are verbs and other predicates rather than adverbs.

The paradigm of the modifying adjective ‘good’ is in (347a), and its predicative form is à = Ø kò ‘it is good’.

8.5.4.1 ‘Well’ (-gārēⁿ)

English adverb ‘well, in a good way’ can be expressed by a verb -gārēⁿ that is compounded to the main verb. See §15.1.2.1.1 for details and examples.

8.5.4.2 ‘Proper, right, (socially) normal’ (gò-sò)

The concept ‘proper, right’ with reference to behavior is expressed by the compound verb gbà-sò/gò-sò/gò-à-ŋī (Ipfv also gò-à-ŋī, gù-à-ŋī). It consists of ‘hit’ plus —/sò-/ŋī which occurs in several compounds but not independently (hence no Pfv form). As with many compounded verbs, -sò is morphologically base but it combines with Pfv as well as base initials. The subject of such predicates is generally some form of behavior. The predicate indicates that it is proper or socially acceptable, or the opposite under negation (600).

(600) à má gbà-sò
 3InanSsg IpfvNeg **be.proper**.Pfv
 ‘It (=failing to say thanks) wouldn’t be right.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 05:06)

Another example is (Fl & Ma, 2017-03 @ 02:15).

As intransitive verb with semantically plural subject, gò-sò means ‘reach an agreement, make a deal’ or ‘(people) get along well’.

8.5.4.3 ‘Proper, right, (socially) normal’ (káⁿ, ká-káⁿ)

káⁿ is a predicate expressing community normative expectations for behavior. This predicate is accompanied by a hortative VP. In positive contexts, káⁿ occurs either by itself or in the combination káⁿ-káⁿ ~ ká-káⁿ, borrowed from Jula ká káⁿ (in Jula ká is the positive adjectival predicate marker). In either case, the negative counterpart is má⁽ⁿ⁾ káⁿ. Tiefo-D imperfective (and stative) negative má⁽ⁿ⁾ happens to match Jula negative adjectival predicate marker má, so Tiefo-D má⁽ⁿ⁾ káⁿ accidentally matches Jula má káⁿ ‘is not right’.

The type of obligation expressed by this construction is normative, often based on timeless principles of acceptable, socially approved behavior. káⁿ occurs in texts that detail

the obligations associated with roles such as the chiefhood. It is difficult to choose among various free translations with different modal strengths like ‘must’, ‘ought to’, ‘should’.

For examples and morphosyntactic analysis, see §17.4.3.3.

8.5.5 Manner adverbs

8.5.5.1 mlěⁿ ‘like this/that’

One expression meaning ‘like this/that’ is mlěⁿ. Compare interrogative mlěⁿ ‘how?’ and its extended forms like mlěⁿ-kā, §13.2.3.5.1). There is another extended variant mlěⁿ-ʃiʔé or měⁿ-ʃiʔé ‘like this/that’, containing the noun ʃiʔé ‘manner’ (601b-c). Another variant is the locative PP mlěⁿ nī (601d).

- (601) a. nó klè = nì mlěⁿ
 1Sg do.Pfv 3InanObj **like.this**
 ‘I did it like that.’ (Ma)
- b. fò fāⁿ?āⁿ měⁿ-ʃiʔé
 pass.Base here **like.this**
 ‘(Now) go (=turn) here like this!’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 09:08, cf. 09:12)
- c. [dù? = á] jèrɔⁿ Ø-mā měⁿ-ʃiʔé,
 [cliffs Dem.InanSg] Rel be.Loc **like.this**,
 é-yùò mâ klà-lò [[dù? = á] nī]
 1Pl Proh play.Base [[cliffs Dem.InanSg] Loc]
 ‘Those cliffs that are there like that, we mustn’t play in (=be neglectful of) those cliffs.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 10:10)
- d. [ā wùⁿ?ùⁿ té] kò yá [mlěⁿ nī]
 [3Inan head Foc.Inan] be Dem.InanSg [**like.this** **Loc**]
 ‘Its origin [focus] is (=was) just like that (=what I have described).’
 (Ma, 2017-02 @ 01:45)

8.5.5.2 Manner adverbials containing bè (bì)

bè is a very common discourse-definite inanimate demonstrative, i.e. ‘that (same) one, the afore-mentioned’ (§4.4.2.1). It occurs in that pronunciation in all dialects as a demonstrative. Longer expressions meaning ‘thus, like this/that’ consist of bè (dialectally bì) plus other morphemes, such as bè-kā. However, bè by itself can sometimes substitute for these longer expressions and itself function as a manner adverbial. All of these forms occur predominantly in clause-final position. In many cases the sense is not ‘like this/that’ (deictic), rather loosely anaphoric, summarizing previous discourse.

8.5.5.2.1 *bè-kā* and *bè-kà-tó* ‘thus’

For dialects other than Bi, the most common ‘thus, like that’ adverbial phrase in the texts is *bè-kā* or *bè-kà-tó*. The morpheme following demonstrative *bè* is the noun *kā* ‘manner’. The final *-tó* is a slightly reduced form of focus marker *tóʔó*. The Ma variants are *bì-kā* and *bì-kà-tó*. We transcribe all of these as single words since their morphological composition is becoming obscure to native speakers.

Textual examples of *bè-kā* are in (602). In each case *bè-kā* resumes a situation described in preceding discourse.

- (602) a. *donc*, ʔⁿ kō [bè nī] *bè-kā*
 [so, 3AnSg be [Dem.Def Loc] **thus**
 ‘So, he (=hare) continued in that situation.’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 01:14)
- b. [[ē sàrí] ʃūʔō =ò *bè-kā*
 [[Art shame(n)] catch.Pfv 3AnSgObj **thus**
 ‘He was humiliated like that.’ (Fl, 2017-03 @ 02:20)

The combination *bè-kà-tó* originated as the focalized form of *bè-kā*. It is so common in texts for dialects other than Bi that we consider it to be fused. It may or may not be clearly focal in context. However, we gloss it as “thus-Foc.”

- (603) a. ná= à ʃīⁿ [Ø kē-ʃùⁿ?ʔⁿ] *bè-kà-tó*
 1Sg =Ipfv work(v).Ipfv [Art work(n)] **thus-Foc**
 ‘I work like that.’ or ‘That [focus] is how I work.’ (Fl)
- b. ō dè *bè-kà-tó* lè
 3Pl say.Pfv **thus-Foc** Emph
 ‘That’s what they said.’ (Fl, 2017-11 @ 04:22)
- c. [ē bēʔè-nò] káⁿ-káⁿ [kò lēⁿ] *bè-kà-tó*
 [Art ruin.Pfv-Agent.Sg] ought [Infin be.chased.away.Base] **thus-Foc**
 ‘It’s appropriate that one who ruins (things) be chased away like that.’
 (Fl, 2017-02 @ 01:53)

As the examples show, both *bè-kā* and *bè-kà-tó* occur regularly at the end of clauses, before a pause or other prosodic break.

8.5.5.2.2 *bè-yá-ró* ‘thus’ (Bi)

Our Bi speaker makes frequent use of *bè-yá-ró* ‘thus’, which functions like *bè-kà-tó* in the other dialects. Examples can be found throughout the extended texts involving this speaker. For example, in text 2017-07 *bè-yá-ró* occurs at 00:48, 03:03, 03:09, 05:03, 05:06, 07:10, 09:09 (twice), and 10:12. It is generally clause-final before a pause or other prosodic break.

8.5.5.2.3 *kà-tó* and (Bi) *yá-ró* ‘thus’

The form *kà-tó* without initial *bè-* is also well attested in the same ‘thus’ sense as *bè-kà-tó* (§8.5.5.2.1). It is rather common in the phrase (604a), which functions as confirmatory backchannel (§19.5.1) by one listening to a narrative (cf. Eng *amen!* or *you said it!*). The fuller form (604b) is also attested in this phrasing.

(604) a. *ā klè kà-tó*
 3Inan be.done.Pfv **thus-Foc**
 ‘It happened thus!’ (= ‘That’s how it happened!’)
 (Ji, 2017-04 @ 01:52)

b. *ā klè bè-kà-tó*
 3Inan be.done.Pfv **thus-Foc**
 [=a] (Ji, 2017-04 @ 01:47)

We count eight occurrences of (604a) verbatim in the texts. There are additional variants, for example with a fuller NP as subject. In (605a) below, the infinitival morpheme *kō* is added. Moreover, the focus morpheme appears to be inanimate *té*, though this is possibly due to the presence of the interrogative enclitic. In (605b), *kō* ‘be’ describes an overall static situation instead of an event.

(605) a. *à kō klè kà-té = ē*
 3Inan Infin be.done **thus-Foc.Inan** Q
 ‘Did it happen thus?’ (Ma, 2017-01 @ 01:07)

b. *à kō kà-tó*
 3Inan Infin **thus-Foc**
 ‘It was thus.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:08)

Occasionally *kà-tó* occurs as a clause-initial or preclausal adverb: (Ji, 2017-04 @ 04:55 and 05:14).

Parallel to *kà-tó* shortened from *bè-kà-tó* ‘thus’ in other dialects, Bi has *yá-ró* shortened from *bè-yá-ró*. The *-ró* ending was originally the focus marker but this is now nontransparent.

(606) a. *ā klè yá-ró*
 3Inan be.done.Pfv **thus**
 ‘It happened like that.’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 06:47)

b. *ā pìèⁿ yá-ró*
 3Inan remain.Pfv **thus**
 ‘It (=situation) stayed (like) that.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 01:42)

8.5.5.2.4 *bè-kà-díⁿ* ‘thus’

The noun *kā* ‘manner’ has an extended variant *kà-díⁿ* ‘manner’. We are therefore not surprised to find *bè-kà-díⁿ* ‘thus’ in a context where *bè-kā* or *bè-kà-tó* would be appropriate. (607) is the only textual example of this form.

- (607) *ɲó* *ò* *yìʔè*
 look.Base 3Pl go.Pfv
 [k= *ó-nèʔě*= [Ø *klòʔó*] *bè-kà-díⁿ*]
 [Infin go.Base-ask.Base [Art road] thus]
 ‘Look, they went and took their leave in that situation.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 04:32)

Cf. also interrogative *mè-kà-díⁿ* ‘how?’ (§13.2.3.5.1).

8.5.5.2.5 Discourse-definite *bè* as clause-final ‘thus’

Finally, *bè* by itself occurs several times clause-finally where it cannot be parsed as a clausal argument and in contexts where a fuller form like *bè-kà-tó* ‘thus’ would be appropriate. In these examples, we regard *bè* as an abbreviation of the fuller form, rather than as the referential discourse-definite ‘that’.

- (608) a. *móⁿ* *mà* *máⁿ* *jī* [Ø *kě*] *bè*
 2Sg if IpfvNeg know.Ipfv [Art matter] **Dem.Def**
 ‘if you (generic) aren’t familiar with a (certain) thing (thus)’
 (Bi, 2017-09 04:29)
- b. *dè* *fō*= [Ø *dèràamáⁿdùgù*] *bè*
 Quot pass.Base [Art D] **Dem.Def**
 ‘(saying) go to Daramandugu thus!’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 09:12)

Since *bè* follows NPs in these examples, it might be topicalizing here (§19.1.2.1). However, topical NPs with *bè*, *bó*, and *bùò* are often clause-initial or preclausal.

It is often best to disregard clause-final *bè* in free translations. It does not denote a specific manner of doing anything, rather it summarizes a general situation. In some cases it can be rendered indirectly with ‘So, ...’ at the beginning of a free translation.

8.5.6 ‘Anyway’ (*cógó-cògò*)

cógó-cògò ‘in any event, anyway’ is based on a Jula form *cógó dì* (with interrogative *dì*).

8.5.7 Spatiotemporal adverbials

8.5.7.1 Temporal adverbs

Some of the major temporal adverbs are presented below. Postposition *nī* can combine with ‘now’, ‘today’, and ‘this year’. Predictable tonal variants in glottalic syllables for Fl and Ma dialects are omitted below, e.g. Fl *kūⁿ?úⁿ* and Ma *kùⁿ?úⁿ* for *kúⁿ?úⁿ* ‘today’. The article *ē*, where present, is subject to the usual phonological reductions. It is common with ‘tomorrow’ and ‘yesterday’ and most of the year terms, but it is rarely or never found before ‘today’, ‘now’, ‘again’ even after a pause.

(609) presents general expressions (i.e. neither specifically past nor specifically future), along with some that are directly tied to the present. The forms in (609a-b) do not allow the article *ē*. Terms in (609c-d) for days (‘today’, ‘tomorrow’, ‘yesterday’, etc.) and years (‘this/that/last year’) are nouns and may be preceded by article *ē*. For *kúⁿ?úⁿ* ‘today’ the article is attested (Fl, 2017-09 @ 04:09), but it is often omitted even in postpausal position. Some of the forms in (609b-d) show locative postpositio *nī*.

(609)	form	dialect	gloss	reference
a. generalized				
	tàʔà-kó	(all)	‘again’	§10.3.2.2
	tàʔà		"	§10.3.2.2
	kàɲè (rare)	Ji	‘never again’	2017-11 @ 09:59
	kò-kò sú→	(all)	‘every day; always’	§6.6.1.2 (< kō)
	yè-yè sú→		‘every year’	§6.6.1.2 (< yǎ)
	bà-bàʔà	Fl, Ji	‘quickly’	Fl, 2017-05 @ 03:29
b. ‘now’				
	dè-dè	Bi	‘now’	Bi, 2017-08 @ 08:52
	dè-dè nī	Bi	"	Bi, 2017-07 @ 08:39
	dà-rè	Fl Ji Ma	"	Ji, 2017-08 @ 08:52
	dà-rè nī	Fl Ji	"	Ji, 2017-08 @ 07:32
	dà-rè-tó	Ma	"	—
	mlě ⁿ	Fl	‘now; like this’	Fl, 2017-05 @ 03:35
	mlě nī	Fl	‘now; like this’	Fl, 2017-05 @ 04:04
	mlě ⁿ -dê	Bi	‘(right) now’	—
c. ‘today’, by extension ‘nowadays’				
	kú ⁿ ?ú ⁿ	Bi Ji	‘today; nowadays’	Fl <i>kūⁿ?úⁿ</i> , Ma <i>kùⁿ?úⁿ</i>
	kú ⁿ ?ú ⁿ nī	Ji	"	
	(article <i>ē</i> is rare with ‘today’ even after a pause)			
d. ‘this year’				
	(ē) dè	Fl Ji	‘this year’	—
	(ē) dè-yà	Bi Fl Ma	"	—
	(ē) dè-yà nī	Bi Fl Ji Ma	"	—

The forms based on mlēⁿ in (609b) are extensions of manner adverb mlēⁿ ‘like this/that’ (§8.5.5.1).

The expressions in (610) below specify moments and time intervals in the past, with respect to the moment of speaking or some other reference time. dēⁿ ‘yesterday’ and dí ‘last year’ are suggestively similar to dē ‘this year’, but the differences cannot be unraveled by synchronic morphology. A compound initial dí- pushes ‘yesterday’ and ‘last year’ back one time unit to ‘day before yesterday’ and ‘year before last’.

(610)	form	dialect	gloss	reference
a. ‘yesterday’ and ‘day before yesterday’				
	(è) dē ⁿ (nī)	Fl Ji	‘yesterday’	
	(è) dē ⁿ	Bi	"	
	(è) dí-dē ⁿ	Fl Ma	‘day before yesterday’	
	(è) jí-dē ⁿ	Ji	"	
	è dí-dē ⁿ	Bi	"	
b. ‘last year’ and ‘year before last’				
	(è) dí	(all)	‘last year’	
	ē dí-dì	Fl Ji	‘year before last’	
c. ‘in the old days, long ago’				
	(ē) dī-nā-dē ⁿ (nī)	Fl Ji Ma	‘in the old days’	2017-04 @ 00:28
	(ē) dī-nā ⁿ -dē ⁿ (nī)	Bi	"	2017-10 @ 03:31
	[(ē) dī-nā-dē ⁿ]-dá?á	Ji	"	2017-04 @ 00:28
	[(è) ná-dì-ò] dá?á	Ji	"	

The forms for ‘in the old days’ (610c), which denote either the era when today’s old people were children or a distant, mythical period, are difficult to analyse. Only [è ná-dì-ò] dá?á is fully transparent; it means ‘the time of the elders’. dī-nā-dēⁿ can be parsed as including a variant of ná-dē ~ nā-dē ‘old person, elder’, or as ending in dēⁿ ‘yesterday’.

The complex PP [X (w)ānà?à] nī ‘in front of X’ is added to ‘year before last’ to push the time back one more year into the past (611). Adding tà?à ‘again’ (609a) to (w)ānà?à pushes it back an additional year (611).

(611)	three or more units before present			
	[[è dí-dì] (w)ānà?à] nī	Fl Ji	‘three years ago’	
	[[[è dí-dì] (w)ānà?à] tà?à] nī	Ji	‘four years ago’	

For future moments and time intervals the most basic forms are in (612). cōⁿ ‘tomorrow’ is related to the verb ‘spend the night’ (cùⁿ/cōⁿ/cīⁿ). dí- in dí-cōⁿ pushes the time out one further unit from the moment of speaking, as it does in dí-dēⁿ ‘day before yesterday’ (610a), but now this is projected forward into the future rather than backward into the past. The combinations with dí-cùⁿ?ùⁿ are relative to a reference time set in preceding discourse, not relative to the moment of speaking, and therefore a “possessor” denoting the reference time is

required (3Inan à or discourse-deictic bè). ‘Next year’ combines yǎ ‘year’ (flattened to yā-) with bàⁿ?àⁿ ‘(an)other’. The latter also occurs in ‘the next morning’ (612c).

(612)	form	dialect	gloss	reference
a. ‘tomorrow’ and ‘day after tomorrow’				
	ē cǔ ⁿ	(all)	‘tomorrow; in future’	Bi, 2017-07 @ 06:39
	è dí-cǔ ⁿ	(all)	‘day after tomorrow’	—
b. ‘next morning’				
	ē cù ⁿ ?ú ⁿ	(all)	‘morning’	—
	à dí-cù ⁿ ?ù ⁿ	women	‘the next morning’	women, 2017-15 @ 00:24
	bè dí-cù ⁿ ?ù ⁿ	Bi	"	Bi, 2017-07 @ 06:50
c. è té ⁿ				
	è té ⁿ bà ⁿ ?à ⁿ	(all) Fl Ji	‘daybreak’ ‘the next morning early’	§11.1.1.4 women, 2017-16 @ 01:07
d. ē yǎ				
	ē yā bà ⁿ ?à ⁿ	(all) Fl Ji Ma	‘year’ ‘next year’	Bi, 2017-09 @ 04:48 —
	è dí-yà	Bi Fl Ji	"	

For years, ‘year after next’ (613a) adds díǵǵǵǵ ‘other’ to yā bàⁿ?àⁿ, which already contains a different adjective meaning ‘other’. We have not recorded díǵǵǵǵ ‘other’ with day terms. To push out the time one unit from [yā bàⁿ?àⁿ] díǵǵǵǵ ‘year after next’ and dí-cǔⁿ ‘day after tomorrow’, we again see (w)ānà?à nī as for past-time adverbials. Addition of tà?à to (w)ānà?à pushes out an additional time unit in the case of years (613a). However, for days an adverbial fǎrí follows the locative postposition (613b). It may be somehow related to fǎráⁿ ‘also’.

(613)	a. years following ‘next year’			
	[(ē) yā bà ⁿ ?à ⁿ] díǵǵǵǵ	Fl Ji Ma	‘the year after next’	
	[[(ē) yā bà ⁿ ?à ⁿ] díǵǵǵǵ] nī	Fl Ji Ma	"	
	[[(ē) yā bà ⁿ ?à ⁿ] díǵǵǵǵ] (w)ānà?à	Fl Ji Ma	‘three years from now’	
	[[[(ē) yā bà ⁿ ?à ⁿ] díǵǵǵǵ] (w)ānà?à] nī	Fl Ji Ma	"	
	[[[[(ē) yā bà ⁿ ?à ⁿ] díǵǵǵǵ] (w)ānà?à] tà?à] nī	Fl Ji Ma	‘four years from now’	
b. days following ‘day after tomorrow’				
	[[è dí-cǔ ⁿ] (w)ānà?à] nī	Ji	‘three days from now’	
	[[[è dí-cǔ ⁿ] (w)ānà?à] nì] fǎrí	Ji	‘four days from now’	

The temporal structure of an event with respect to a reference time (e.g. perfective, progressive) is expressed by verbal aspect and by clause-level inflection. Repetition, completion, prolongation, and frequency can be expressed by initial verbs in verb-verb compounds (§15.1.3).

(615)	form	dialect	gloss
a. cardinal directions			
	(ē) [[dè-jū]-glō]-tò?ò nī	various	‘(to) east’ (“[[sun-eye]-exit.Pfv]-place”)
	(ē) [[dè-jū]-sūō]-tò?ò nī	Bi	‘(to) west’ (“[[sun-eye]-set.Pfv-place”)
	(ē) [[dè-jū]-sē]-tò?ò nī	Ji Fl	”
b. horizontal directions from reference point			
	(è) dí-bàrì	various	‘to the right’ (“eat-?”)
	(è) dí-bàrì èrikè?è	various	‘to the left’
	ʃīē	all	‘behind; in the rear’ (§8.3.6)
	ānà?à nī	all	‘forward; in front’ (§8.3.5)
c. vertical categories			
	jù?é-cī ⁿ	various	‘above, top, summit’ (“God-...”, §8.3.7.2)
	jè?é-cī ⁿ	Ji	‘on top, at the top’
	ú ⁿ ?ú ⁿ -cī ⁿ	Ji	”
	tš ⁿ nī	Bi Ji	‘below, bottom, down’
	pà ⁿ -tš ⁿ	Bi Ji	”
	pò ⁿ -tš ⁿ	Fl	”
d. local topographic categories			
	(ē) dù?ù nī	(all)	‘(to) the mountain (=cliffs)’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 09:40)
	(ē) dù?ù-[pà ⁿ -tš ⁿ]	Bi Ji	‘(in) the plains below/east of the cliffs’
	” -[pò ⁿ -tš ⁿ]	Fl	”
	(ē) dù?ù-[ú ⁿ -cī ⁿ]	Fl Ji	‘(on) the plateau above/west of the cliffs’
	[(ē) dù?ù] tò?ò-gblà?à	Ji	‘cliffs area’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 00:48)
	[(ē) dù?ù pó] nī	Ji	‘at the leg (=base) of the cliffs’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 01:19)

Among cardinal direction terms (615a), there are no simple adverbial expressions for ‘north(ward)’ or ‘south(ward)’. Instead the names of towns or ethnic groups are used to describe such directions.

8.5.8 Expressive adverbials

Expressive adverbials (EAs) include what some linguists have called “ideophones,” although there are problems with this terminology in crosslinguistic contexts. In Tiefo-D they are fairly few in number and there are only scattered instances in the texts, of which several are narratives spoken excitedly to an engaged respondent. As in other languages of the zone, EAs are often marked phonologically by full iteration, less often by unbounded prolongation of the final vowel (or sonorant).

The few examples that occur in the texts are listed in (616). Their discourse functions can be studied by referring to textual context.

(616) jà ⁿ →	‘densely-branched (tree)’	Bi (2017-07 @ 05:40)
glé-gléè→ ~ é-gléè→	‘in good health’	Ji (2017-01 @ 00:12)
kpàpiò-kpàpiò-kpàpiò	‘digging furiously’	Fl (2017-03 @ 00:50)
pèrèkètè	‘wrecked, in terrible shape’	Bi (2017-09 @ 03:47)
pépèrè-pépèrè	‘flat ones (fish)’	Bi (2017-10 @ 03:41)
wèré?	‘on solid ground’	Fl (2017-05 @ 01:37)

pépèrè-pépèrè may be obscurely related to the regular adjective pà-pà?à ‘flat’.

Elicited vocabulary with adjective-like senses that can be considered EAs are in (617). Those that are reduplicative can acquire a terminal glottal stop when prepausal.

(617) a. reduplicative

blā ⁿ -blā ⁿ	‘lukewarm’
cē ⁿ (?ē ⁿ)-cē ⁿ ?ē ⁿ	‘brittle, crunchy, chewable’
dá ⁿ -dá ⁿ	‘very delicious’
fē-fē	‘pointed’
gē-gē	‘very rough, coarse (surface)’
kā ⁿ -kā ⁿ	‘(hold) tightly, firmly’
ké-ké	‘solid, hardened’
kí ⁿ -kí ⁿ	‘solid, hardened’ (variant)
kpó-kpó	‘very bitter or salty; nasty (person)’
kūō ⁿ ?ō ⁿ -kūō ⁿ ?ō ⁿ (Fl)	‘sweet and soft’
lé-lé	‘delicious’
mlē ⁿ (?ē ⁿ)-mlē ⁿ ?ē ⁿ	‘smooth; well-oiled (couscous)’
ɲàrà ⁿ ?à ⁿ -ɲàrà ⁿ ?à ⁿ	‘rough, coarse (surface)’
pá-pá	‘very hot (water); hot and spicy (food)’
pé-pé	‘completely, totally’
pē ⁿ -pē ⁿ	‘very red’
plī-plī	‘very white or clean’
póró(-póró)	‘slender’
sùgù-sùgù	‘soft (earth)’
té ⁿ -té ⁿ	‘freezing cold (water)’
tī-tī	‘very black’

b. reduplicative with medial rhotic extension

bó-báró-bó	‘ball-shaped, spherical’
pé-páré-pé	‘flat’
kpé-kpáré-kpé	‘in good condition’

c. reduplicative with -ká- insert

pé-ká-pé	‘thick’
kpé-ká-pé	‘in good condition’

d. nonreduplicative

dò→	‘huge’
sé ⁿ →	‘tiny’

jùdʔd→	‘listless’
blʔd→	‘tasteless, bland’
pìà ⁿ	‘very red, all red’
pórrrɔ́	‘slender’

e. tonational (modification of basic adjective)

ʃiē ⁿ →	‘very red’
tù→-tūʔú	‘very big’

EAs can function as adverbials loosely connected to the remainder of a clause, or (especially in the adjective-like examples) can be made predicative with *kō* ‘be’ (§11.4.4). *kō* is also the copula with nominal predicates (‘be a chief’, ‘be a house’, etc.). One could argue that all elements with adjective-like or adverbial senses that are made predicative in this way (without an animacy classifier) are morphosyntactic EAs (§11.1.3.1), whether or not they have phonological “ideophone” features. This would distinguish adjective-like EAs from core adjectives that have their own verb-like predicative forms, without *kō*.

9 Verbal derivation

Tiefó-D is weak in verbal derivational morphology. There are no productive derivational affixes for the usual categories: causative, (medio-)passive, applicative, or reversive. There are, however, many verbs that are related to modifying adjectives.

9.1 Reversive verbs

There is no reversive derivational affix. The verb ‘exit, go out’, in the base form *glō*, occurs as the final in many verb-verb compounds, some of which can be translated as English reversive verbs with *un-*, e.g. *unhook*. See (1112) in §15.1.5.5.

9.2 Causative and passive

There are no productive causative or passive derivations at the level of verb stems. Many verbs are ambi-valent (*labile*), reducing the need for overt valency-changing derivation. A few such pairs show slight intransitive-transitive differences in tone and/or vocalism (§9.3.2 below).

It is possible to construct periphrastic causatives with main-clause verbs including *klè* ‘do, make’ and *já* ‘let’ (§17.2.1, §17.4.2.5).

9.3 Ambi-valent (*labile*) verbs

9.3.1 Identical forms for transitive and intransitive

Many verbs can function either transitively or intransitively. The intransitive subject may correspond either to the object of the transitive (§9.3.1.1) or to the subject of the transitive (§9.3.1.2). In the latter case the only observable change is that the implied object is missing.

9.3.1.1 Transitive versus mediopassive (anti-causative) intransitive

The pattern with mediopassive intransitive is exemplified in (618). *kè?è* is invariant in form. *Y* becomes the subject in (618b) so it moves to clause-initial position. *X* is absent.

- (618) *kè?è* a) *X* ruin/damage *Y*
 b) *Y* be ruined, malfunction

The intransitive (619b) is the mediopassive (middle), or anti-causative, of the transitive version. *Y* is the object in (619a), and becomes the subject of (619b) while the agent *X* is omitted.

- (619) a. $nó^n$ $kèʔè =$ [\emptyset $\int i^n ʔ è^n - è$]
 1Sg ruin.Pfv [Art vehicle]
 ‘I damaged the car.’ (Bi)
- b. [\bar{e} $\int i^n ʔ è^n - è$] $kèʔè$
 [Art vehicle] be.ruined.Pfv
 ‘The car was damaged (broke down).’ (Bi)

One important verb of this type is *klè* (invariant), which can mean ‘X do Y, X make Y’ (transitive) or ‘Y be done, take place, happen’.

Such transitive/mediopassive alternations are very common, in the absence of regular valency-changing derivational morphology. For example, at the beginning of one tale the verb-object combination $yíé = [\emptyset wàré]$ ‘gird on (=wear) a loincloth’ is introduced. The listener immediately asks a question using ‘loincloth’ as subject and $yíé$ ‘(loincloth) be girded on (=worn)’ as verb (2017-08 @ 00:22-25).

The range of normally transitive verbs that are attested in mediopassive function in texts are listed in (620), with one textual reference per verb.

- | | | | |
|-------|--|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| (620) | ‘be carried over shoulder’ | 2017-01 @ 01:58 | |
| | ‘be picked up’ | 2017-01 @ 04:45 | |
| | ‘be put down’ | 2017-01 @ 04:45 | |
| | ‘be chased away’ | 2017-02 @ 01:53 | |
| | ‘be gotten’ | 2017-03 @ 00:19 | |
| | ‘be dug’ | 2017-04 @ 02:31 | |
| | ‘be gathered’ | 2017-04 @ 02:35 | |
| | ‘be built’ | 2017-04 @ 06:23 | |
| | ‘be said (=named)’ | 2017-06 @ 00:32 | |
| | ‘be unloaded’ | 2017-07 @ 04:53 | |
| | ‘be held down’ | 2017-07 @ 09:09 | |
| | ‘be called (named)’ | 2017-08 @ 00:02 | |
| | ‘be emitted’ | 2017-08 @ 03:42 | |
| | ‘be pierced’ | 2017-08 @ 05:15 | |
| | ‘be put in’ | 2017-08 @ 05:33 | |
| | ‘be carried on head’ | 2017-08 @ 07:06 | |
| | ‘be seen’ | 2017-08 @ 09:48 | |
| | ‘be killed’ | 2017-09 @ 03:01 | |
| | ‘be blocked’ (<i>bára</i>) | 2017-09 @ 03:18 | subject is ‘breathing’ |
| | ‘be given’ | 2017-09 @ 04:05 | subject is theme (thing given) |
| | ‘be known’ (<i>jī</i>) | 2017-09 @ 08:01 | |
| | ‘be eaten’ | 2017-10 @ 02:45 | |
| | ‘be washed’ | 2017-10 @ 02:52 | |
| | ‘be walked (in)’ | 2017-10 @ 03:01 | subject is ‘the bush’ |
| | ‘be blocked’ (<i>léⁿ</i>) | 2017-10 @ 04:14 | subject is ‘hole’ |
| | ‘be shown’ | 2017-11 @ 04:35 | subject is theme (thing shown) |
| | ‘be squeezed’ | 2017-11 @ 06:00 | |
| | ‘be fixed’ | 2017-11 @ 06:30 | |

'(post) be planted'	2017-11 @ 08:42	
'be drunk'	2017-15 @ 00:35	
'be shaved'	2017-19 @ 00:33	subject is baby

In other cases it is less obvious that the intransitive is derived from the transitive, since external agency is not required. This is true of the verbs in (621), where the role of such agency varies from one context to another.

(621) 'be open; be opened'	2017-04 @ 02:02
'grow up; be raised'	2017-07 @ 05:17
'fall off; be torn off'	2017-08 @ 01:23
'shatter, burst; be shattered'	2017-08 @ 03:37
'fill up; be filled'	2017-09 @ 02:45
'be shut'	2017-11 @ 02:44
'hide; be hidden'	2017-14 @ 00:43

Most verbs that denotes temporary states ('hot', 'cold', 'dry', 'weary') and other states that can be altered (e.g. colors, length), see §9.4 below, can be transitivized to add an external agent ('heat sth', 'cool sth off', change the object's color or length).

9.3.1.2 Transitive versus antipassive intransitive

The alternative transitive-intransitive relationship is schematized in (622).

(622) <i>dīē/dí/dí</i>	a) X eat Y
	b) X eat

Here the intransitive omits the object Y for one reason or another. For example, it is too obvious to mention or it is indeterminate (623b).

(623) a.	<i>nóⁿ</i>	<i>dīē</i>	[Ø	<i>dī-è?è</i>]
	1Sg	eat.Pfv	[Art	meal]
	'I ate/have eaten a meal.'			
b.	<i>nóⁿ</i>	<i>dīē</i>		
	1Sg	eat.Pfv		
	'I have eaten.'			

The situation with 'eat' is rather like English. The omission of the object may be due to its obviousness or lack of specificity. Its loss has no morphosyntactic consequences for the preceding elements (subject NP, verb, inflectional markers).

In Tiefo-D, substantially all transitive verbs can occur without an overt object in contexts where the object is an already active discourse referent. The texts contain numerous passages where a third person object enclitic denoting a contextually specific referent could

have appeared but was omitted. In a passage like ‘they got a puppy and were raising (it)’, the third person object pronoun may be omitted, as in (Bo, 2019-01 @ 00:28).

9.3.2 Distinct intransitive-transitive forms of motion verbs

There are only two verbal stem families that have a clear distinction between intransitive and transitive paradigms. The first is (624). There is no difference in the Pfv stems, but base=Ipfv show a vocalic shift (raising from ɔ^n to u^n) in the intransitive, whereas the transitive is invariable across stems.

(624)		Pfv	base	Ipfv
	a. ‘take/bring down; unload’	$\text{s}\bar{\text{a}}\text{r}\bar{\text{ɔ}}^n$	$\text{s}\bar{\text{a}}\text{r}\bar{\text{ɔ}}^n$	$\text{s}\bar{\text{a}}\text{r}\bar{\text{ɔ}}^n$
	b. ‘descend, go/come down’	"	$\text{s}\bar{\text{a}}\text{r}\bar{\text{u}}^n$	$\text{s}\bar{\text{a}}\text{r}\bar{\text{u}}^n$

A caveat here is that for some speakers the form $\text{s}\bar{\text{a}}\text{r}\bar{\text{ɔ}}^n$ appears to be H-toned $\text{s}\bar{\text{a}}\text{r}\bar{\text{ɔ}}^n$ throughout. However, Winkelmann’s lexicon (1998: 243), using a different transcriptional system, is consistent with our $\text{s}\bar{\text{a}}\text{r}\bar{\text{ɔ}}^n/\text{s}\bar{\text{a}}\text{r}\bar{\text{u}}^n/\text{s}\bar{\text{a}}\text{r}\bar{\text{u}}^n$ for the intransitive (*absteigen, landen*), though it does not cover the transitive.

The second verbal word-family is (625). Here the transitive occurs only as second member of verb-verb compounds, with *dī-* as the default initial.

(625)		Pfv	base	Ipfv
	a. ‘take out, remove’	$(\text{d}\bar{\text{i}})\text{-gl}\bar{\text{o}}$	$(\text{d}\bar{\text{i}})\text{-gl}\bar{\text{o}}$	$(\text{d}\bar{\text{i}}\text{-}\bar{\text{a}})\text{-gl}\bar{\text{o}}$
	b. ‘exit (v), go/come out’	$\text{gl}\bar{\text{o}}$	$\text{gl}\bar{\text{u}}$	$\text{gl}\bar{\text{u}}$

Again, some speakers appear to have H-toned $\text{gl}\bar{\text{o}}$ and $\text{d}\bar{\text{i}}\text{-gl}\bar{\text{o}}$. Winkelmann’s lexicon (1998: 225, 228) for both intransitive and transitive is consistent with (625).

The shift from o to u in the intransitive base=Ipfv matches that of ɔ^n to u^n in (624). As a reminder, ɔ^n is the nasalized counterpart to both o and ɔ , so ɔ^n is not specified as [-ATR].

The intransitives in both (624) and (625) also raise the tone from M to H in the base=Ipfv.

There is a third verb that has a similar transitivity split, expressed by tones only (626).

(626)		Pfv	base	Ipfv
	a. ‘put (child) to bed; lay’	$\text{s}\bar{\text{e}}^n$	$\text{s}\bar{\text{e}}^n$	$\text{s}\bar{\text{e}}^n$
	b. ‘lie down, go to bed’	$\text{s}\bar{\text{e}}^n$	$\text{s}\acute{\text{e}}^n$	$\text{s}\acute{\text{e}}^n$

Winkelmann’s lexicon (1998: 242) agrees with $\text{s}\bar{\text{e}}^n/\text{s}\acute{\text{e}}^n/\text{s}\acute{\text{e}}^n$ for the intransitive (*sich hinlegen, liegen*), but does not address the transitive. We have found the same tonal issues mentioned above for this verb, with the result that some speakers do not distinguish $\text{s}\bar{\text{e}}^n$ from $\text{s}\acute{\text{e}}^n$.

Given that the verbs covered so far are ‘descend/take down’, ‘exit/take out’, and ‘lie down/put to bed’, i.e. basic motion and stance verbs, we take a closer look at the other basic verbs in the same semantic domains (motion, stance). Of these, the only one that may have a

transitive-intransitive split is in (627). Here the transitive and intransitive have identical segmental forms, distinguishing Pfv, base, and Ipfv. However, the tones show some variation. We think that (627a) is “standard” with all-M tones and no difference between transitive and intransitive. However, variation between M and H, at least in elicitation sessions, has led us to posit, at one time or another, (627b) or (627c) for some speakers. In (627b), the tone pattern is MHH for both transitive and intransitive. In (627c), the transitive and intransitive differ tonally in base=Ipfv, in the same manner as for ‘put to bed’ versus ‘lie down’ in (626). H-toned base=Ipfv forms are bolded.

(627)		Pfv	base	Ipfv
a.	‘take up; load’ ‘ascend’	klē ⁿ ʔē	kē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	klī ⁿ ʔī ⁿ
		klē ⁿ ʔē	kē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	klī ⁿ ʔī ⁿ
b.	‘take up; load’ ‘ascend’	klē ⁿ ʔē	kéⁿʔéⁿ	klīⁿʔīⁿ
		klē ⁿ ʔē	kéⁿʔéⁿ	klīⁿʔīⁿ
c.	‘take up; load’ ‘ascend’	klē ⁿ ʔē	kē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	klī ⁿ ʔī ⁿ
		klē ⁿ ʔē	kéⁿʔéⁿ	klīⁿʔīⁿ

Winkelmann’s lexicon (1998: 232) supports the tonal arrangement in (627b-c) for the intransitive, and does not cover the transitive.

In theory, we should be able to distinguish base kéⁿʔéⁿ from kēⁿʔēⁿ by adding verbal noun suffix -ní, which should produce level-toned kéⁿʔéⁿ-ní and (after tone sandhi) rising-toned kèⁿʔèⁿ-ní respectively. However, we have heard both level-toned and rising pronunciations. Furthermore, for some speakers the level-toned verbal noun is fully M-toned kēⁿʔēⁿ-ní, a pattern also found with a few M-toned verbs, as with fē-nī ‘greeting (n)’ (183b).

We have similarly tested the tones by adding H-toned verbal compound finals such as the experiential perfect with -ɲó (§15.1.4.3). Negation requires the base of the verb in both initial and final. Again we find both level-toned kéⁿʔéⁿ-ɲó and rising-toned kèⁿʔèⁿ-ɲó, not always given consistently by the same speaker.

We also tested the tones by adding a preceding verb as initial. By using gblè/gbē/gblī ‘pick up, take’, we should in theory be able to determine the tones of ‘take up; load’ by comparing Pfv with base. However, our Fl speaker irregularly drops all the tones of ‘pick up, take’ to L in this combination: gblè-kēⁿʔēⁿ/gbè-kēⁿʔēⁿ/gblī-à-kēⁿʔēⁿ. Notice especially the last form (Ipfv) which has L-toned gblī- even though it is separated from kēⁿʔēⁿ by the intercalated Ipfv marker. One possible inference is that the base was formerly *gbè-kéⁿʔéⁿ including tone sandhi, and that as *kéⁿʔéⁿ shifted to kēⁿʔēⁿ the L-toned gbè- had to be reinterpreted as truly L-toned.

So there is some instability in the ‘take up/descend’ family. We suspect that the instability is worse in elicitation than in natural speech. We tentatively stick with (627a) as the basic set of forms for this word family.

No other candidates for transitive-intransitive splits like those covered above are known. ‘Come’ and ‘go’ are not labile; ‘bring’ and ‘convey (there)’ are expressed as ‘come’ and ‘go’ plus a ‘with’ phrase (preposition kà), as in ɔ̃̀n bà [kã = [Ø dī-èʔè]] ‘he/she came with (=brought) the food’. dīē ‘enter’ has a suppletive transitive counterpart wē ‘put in’. A

circumlocution must be used to make *tārāⁿ* ‘sit’ transitive-causative, as in ‘X seated Y’, which is phrased as ‘X let Y sit’ or ‘X told Y to sit’. The labile paradigm *lēⁿ/léⁿ/léⁿ* is identical for intransitive ‘stand, stop’ and transitive ‘stop, block’.

9.4 Adjectival stative, inchoative, and factitive verbs

Many stems that regularly predicate permanent or long-standing or permanent attributes (e.g., size, colors), or at least states that last for a reasonable time interval (e.g. temperature), occur in imperfective constructions with *à* (positive) or *má⁽ⁿ⁾* (negative).

Verbs with adjective-like senses that have invariant forms and are exclusively or predominantly stative-imperfective are in (628).

(628)	stative/Ipfv	modifying	gloss
a. modifying adjective is phonologically related (but lexicalized)			
	<i>bé</i>	<i>bè-bèʔè</i>	‘be spacious’
	[see also (629) below]		
b. modifying adjective is suppletive or absent			
	<i>dìʔè</i>	<i>sòⁿ-sòⁿʔòⁿ</i>	‘be long, tall’
	<i>gbāʔā</i>	<i>tù-tùʔù</i>	‘be big, fat; grow, get bigger’
	<i>kplō</i>	<i>nígbó</i>	‘be short’
	<i>lè</i>	<i>dìʔè</i>	‘be old’
	<i>sōrāⁿ</i>	—	‘be sleek, gleaming (skin)’
	<i>tīⁿʔēⁿ</i>	<i>fú</i>	‘be hot’
	<i>wùⁿ</i>	(see ‘long’)	‘be distant’
c. deverbal participle functions as modifying form			
	<i>cò</i>	<i>cò-kàʔà</i>	‘be clever, sly’
	<i>dúʔú</i>	<i>dúʔ(ú)-èʔè</i>	‘be heavy’
	<i>fáⁿʔáⁿ</i>	<i>fáⁿʔáⁿ-èʔè</i>	‘be lightweight, easy; lighten (sth)’
	<i>flō</i>	<i>flō-èʔè</i>	‘be slippery, slick, sleek’
	<i>jōrē (Bi)</i>	<i>jōrē-èʔè (Bi)</i>	‘become thin’
	<i>kāʔā</i>	<i>kāʔā-èʔè</i>	‘be hard, difficult’
	<i>kàʔà</i>	<i>kàʔà-èʔè</i>	‘be rough (skin)’
	<i>nùgù</i>	<i>nùgù-èʔè</i>	‘be smooth’
	<i>plé</i>	<i>plé-èʔè</i>	‘be easy, cheap’ or ‘heal; be better’
	<i>téⁿ</i>	<i>téⁿ-èʔè</i>	‘be bitter; nasty’
	<i>tóⁿ</i>	<i>tóⁿ-èʔè</i>	‘be deep’

The word-families for ‘good’ and ‘sweet, pleasant’ include a glottalic dynamic (aspectually-marked) verb in addition to a stative verb and a modifying adjective (629). The dynamic verb is intransitive or transitive for ‘good’ and is transitive for ‘sweet’, but the sense is rather specialized in both cases.

- (629) a. ‘good’
- | | | |
|-----------|-----------------|---|
| modifying | kòʔò | ‘good’, cf. (347a) |
| stative | kò | ‘be good’ |
| dynamic | kpèʔè/kòʔò/kòʔò | ‘turn out well, succeed; do a favor (for sb)’ |
- b. ‘sweet, pleasant’, cf. (1534) below
- | | | |
|-----------|---|---------------------------------|
| modifying | dò ⁿ | ‘sweet, delicious, pleasant’ |
| stative | dá ⁿ | ‘be sweet, delicious, pleasant’ |
| dynamic | dē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ /dā ⁿ ʔā ⁿ /dā ⁿ ʔā ⁿ | ‘(God) make (trip) pleasant’ |

The state denoted by stative adjectival verbs can be shifted to past time (‘was hot’, etc.) by adding the (dialectally variable) past morpheme (§10.3.1.1) after the subject.

For stative adjectival verbs that don’t normally occur in a perfective frame, an indirect way to express this sense (‘became ADJ’) a periphrasis with klè ‘be made, be done’ plus an infinitival complement (630) or a participle.

- (630) ɓⁿ klè [k-ā tɛⁿ]
 3AnSg be.made.Pfv [Infin-Ipfv be.bitter.Ipfv]
 ‘He/She has become mean.’ (F1)

Some other verbs with more or less adjective-like semantics are dynamic verbs whose paradigms include distinct Pfv’s (631). The Pfv occurs by itself in the sense ‘(it) became ADJ’. The Pfv also combines with inflectional morpheme bè in one of the two future constructions. The base occurs in the perfective negative (‘did not become ADJ’), in the alternative future construction with nà, in sequenced VPs with infinitival kō, and (if the semantics allows) the imperative.

- (631) Pfv base Ipfv modifying gloss
- a. uncompounded
- with a related modifying adjective*
- | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| lē ⁿ | lí ⁿ | lí ⁿ | lɔ ⁿ | ‘become cold’ |
| bè | bò | bò | fú | ‘be hot, burned’ or ‘burn (sth)’ |
- without a related modifying adjective (excluding participles)*
- | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| blè | bē | blī ~ blē | ‘become ripe; (food) be done; become tired’ |
| dè ⁿ | dà ⁿ | dà ⁿ | ‘arrive; (grains) become ripe’ |
| pē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ | pó ⁿ ʔó ⁿ | pó ⁿ ʔó ⁿ | ‘hurry, be fast’ |
| wē | wó | wó | ‘dry off; (rain) fall’ |
| wùò | wūō | wūō | ‘rot’ |
- b. compounded
- | | | | |
|---------|--------|----------|-------------------------|
| yìè-fló | yì-fló | yì-à-fló | ‘fill (sth); be filled’ |
|---------|--------|----------|-------------------------|

The three basic color categories ‘white’, ‘black’, and ‘red’ have dynamic verbs. (632) shows them along with unreduplicated modifying adjectives (§4.5.3.1.1). Reduplicative versions are in §4.5.3.2.1.

(632)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	modifying	gloss
	fí ⁿ ʔé ⁿ	fí ⁿ ʔé ⁿ	fí ⁿ ʔé ⁿ	fià ⁿ ʔà ⁿ	‘be white’
	ɲē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	ɲá ⁿ ʔá ⁿ	ɲá ⁿ ʔá ⁿ	ʃiè ⁿ (ʔè ⁿ)	‘be/become red; (mango) ripen’
	yū ⁿ	yó	yó ~ yú	yù ⁿ à ⁿ	‘become black; (night) fall’

‘Sour’ has distinct stative (‘be sour’) and dynamic (‘become sour’) verbs. It also has a reduplicative noun and various participles derived from either the verbs or the noun.

(633)	a. verbs	
	ɲí ⁿ ʔé	‘sour (v), become sour’
	ɲó	‘be sour’ (stative)
	b. noun	
	ɲó-ɲó ⁿ	‘anything sour’
	c. participles	
	ɲí ⁿ ʔé-è ⁿ ʔè	‘sour’
	ɲó-è ⁿ ʔè	‘sour’
	ɲó-ɲó ⁿ -è ⁿ ʔè	‘sour’

‘(Be) near’ can be expressed as the negation of wùⁿ ‘be distant’ in (628b) above. The related dynamic verb ‘approach’ is one of several compound verbs (634a) with initial kplè/klò/klò ‘bump’ (and other senses), see §15.1.5.6 below. For the semantic connection of ‘bump’ with ‘approach’ and its antonym ‘move over’, compare Fr *pousser (un peu)* and Eng *push over* in similar contexts.

(634)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss
	kplè-bà	klò-bà	klò-à-bē ~ klò-à-bē	‘come close, approach here’
	kplè-yí ⁿ	klò-yí ⁿ	klò-à-yí ⁿ ~ klò-à-yí ⁿ	‘move over, move father away’

9.5 Derivational verb-stem iteration and reduplication

Iteration (full reduplication) of verb stems is an occasional derivational process in Tiefo-D. It indicates multiplicity of some type (repetition, distributivity). It is compatible with perfective as well as imperfective aspect. The examples covered in this section are independently existing simple stems that can also occur in iterated (doubled) form. Obligatorily reduplicative verbs like cò-còyò ‘rinse (mouth)’ are covered in §10.1.7.

In (635b), the iterated Pfv’s are identical, and the iterated Ipv’s are not separated. These facts show that iterations are not verb-verb compounds. In compounds (§10.1.6), only

Vb1 can show Pfv morphology, and a copy of Ipfv particle à is intercalated between Vb1 and Vb2 in the imperfective.

(635)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	dialect	gloss
a.	tīē	tē	tē	various	‘put down’
b.	tīē-tīē	tē-tē	tē-tē	Fl Ji	‘arrange (objects)’

Futher examples of true stem iteration are (636-637).

(636)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	dialect	gloss
a.	plē plē-plē	pló pló-pló	pló pló-pló	all Ji	‘dig/be dug deep’ ‘be dug’ (multiple)
b.	gbà gbà-gbà	gò gò-gò	gò ~ gù gò-gò ~ gù-gù	all Fl Ji	‘hit’ ‘hit’ (multiple)

Textual example (637a) has an iterated Pfv verb, while (637b) is infinitival with an iterated base verb.

(637) a.	[ē tìʔé jàrɔ̃ ⁿ]	plē-plē	[[[ē pòʔó] lɪ ⁿ nī]	
	[Art hole Rel]	Rdp-be.dug.Pfv	[[[Art the.bush] guts] Loc]	
	‘the pits that have been dug all around in the bush’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:24)			
b.	[è bítaró]	wō	rà-[gò-gò]	[à bɛ ⁿ ʔɛ ⁿ]
	[Art leper]	Infin	go.Base- [Rdp-beat.Base	[3Inan tomtom]]
	‘Then the leper (went and) kept beating that tomtom.’			
	(women, 2017-12 @ 01:59)			

Example (637a) has singular ‘hole, pit’ but the iteration of the verb indicates distributivity. Example (637b) is followed in short order in the recording by the compound è bɛⁿʔɛⁿ-[gbà-gbà]-tòʔò, literally ‘the tomtom-[beat-beat]-place’ (2017-12 @ 02:03), based on Pfv gbà. See also iterated progressive gō gǔ-gǔ nī ‘was beating it (=drum)’ (2017-13 @ 01:54).

Verbs meaning ‘shake’ are ideal for distinguishing punctual from repetitive actions (638). The attested reduplications are Cv- only. The slight vocalic variations in (638a-c) have subtle semantic effects, see (87) in §3.3.9 above. Reduplication is regular in (638a) but is optional in (638b) where it denotes multiplicity. (638c) is less common. A related noun is (ē) jì-jí ‘the shakes’ (medical condition with full-body trembling).

(638)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	dialect	gloss
a.	jéʔé jé-jéʔé	jáʔá já-jáʔá	jíʔí ~ jáʔá já-jáʔá	(various) Ji	‘shake hard’ ‘keep shaking’

b.	jéʔé	jóʔó	júʔú ~ jóʔó	(various)	‘shake lightly’
	jé-jéʔé	jó-jóʔó	jó-jóʔó	Ji	‘keep shaking’
c.	jé ⁿ ʔé ⁿ	jó ⁿ ʔó ⁿ	jó ⁿ ʔó ⁿ	(various)	‘shake (e.g. tree)’
	jé ⁿ -jé ⁿ ʔé ⁿ	jó ⁿ -jó ⁿ ʔó ⁿ	jó ⁿ -jó ⁿ ʔó ⁿ	Ji	‘keep shaking’

9.6 yārī ‘jump (pop) all over’

A colorful way to express ‘be sweating profusely’ is to combine ē fārú ‘sweat (n)’ with invariant verb yārī, see (836) below. This was explained as an intensive form of yè/yī/yī ‘jump’, in this context also freely translatable as ‘pop’. It can also be used in the sense ‘jump for joy’ as when celebrating good news.

We have no other examples of this formation. One might speculate that some other sCərv verbs with intensive senses might have a similar origin, e.g. -dórá ‘be/do a lot’.

10 Verbal inflection

In §10.1 we discuss the morphology of the three stems for each verb. In §10.2-4 we show how these stems combine with other elements, chiefly preverbal particles, to produce clause-level tense-aspect-mood-polarity (TAMP) categories.

10.1 Verb stems

At the morphological level, verbs have three distinct stems that we call **Pfv** (Pfv) **base**, and **imperfective** (Ipfv). For many verbs, all three are distinguished tonally, segmentally, or both. Some other verbs merge base and Ipfv, which then form a binary opposition with Pfv. Still others have a single invariant form. Rarely, Pfv and Ipfv are identical, forming a binary opposition to base. These types are summarized by the formulae in (639).

- (639) a. invariant
Pfv=base=Ipfv
- b. two-way opposition
Pfv ≠ base=Ipfv (common)
Pfv=Ipfv ≠ base (uncommon)
- c. three-way opposition
Pfv ≠ base ≠ Ipfv

The binary type Pfv ≠ base=Ipfv is very common and there is a tendency among younger speakers to reduce the three-way type to it, i.e. by merging Ipfv and base into a single form. (The related language Tiefo-N has only two morphological stems for each verb.)

Taking the base as lexically central, the most common segmental and tonal features that distinguish Pfv and Ipfv from base are summarized in (640). Much detail is omitted here, reserved for the remainder of this chapter.

- (640) a. base → Pfv and sometimes base → Ipfv
fronting of back or low vowel to {e ε} or (Ji dialect) to i ;
u → i ;
intrusive liquid {l r} is inserted after C1 ;
intrusive {u i} is inserted after C1 to form a diphthong ;
- b. base → Pfv
high vowel {i u} drops to a mid-height vowel, usually {e o} ;
tone moves one notch lower.
- c. base → Ipfv
[-ATR] {e o} shifts to [+ATR] {e o} or (Ji dialect) is raised to {i u}.

There are two general constraints on uncompounded verb stems. (641a) is a specific characteristic of verbs and does not apply to other stem-classes.

- (641) a. all native Tiefó-D verb stems are level-toned (H, M, or L);
 borrowings from Jula are allowed to keep contour tone patterns;
 b. there is no suppletion.

A verb may have a tonal distinction between Pfv and base=Ipfv, but each stem is level-toned, for example L-toned Pfv and M-toned nonperfectives. For this purpose we treat Ma Cṽʔṽ and Fl Cṽʔṽ as H-toned (before low-level tonal changes). More serious exceptions are verbs borrowed from Jula, bisyllabic and longer, that have contour tones. Whether or not the source forms are compounds in Jula itself is immaterial.

Although the base is closer in form to the Ipfv than to the Pfv, semantically the base is aligned with the Pfv. First, the perfective negative construction has the base, while the imperfective negative construction has the Ipfv. Combining positive and negative, Pfv/base expressed perfective aspect, while Ipfv expresses imperfective. Second, Pfv and base occur in non-imperfective (i.e. including perfective) future constructions, versus imperfective future with Ipfv. For the distribution of Pfv, base, and Ipfv across the various main-clause inflections (tense, aspect, mood), see chapter 11. Third, infinitival phrases have a binary distinction between an unmarked (including perfective) type with base, and a specifically imperfective type with Ipfv à ; see §15.2.

The verbal noun is built on the base. On the other hand, agentives are built on the Pfv, and the Pfv is also the form used in other verb-noun compounds.

The following subsections describe the morphological relationships among the three stems for each verb. The subsections are organized by the crudely defined classes in (639) above, beginning with invariant verbs. Verb-verb compounds are covered in §10.1.6.

The data presented throughout §10.1 **normalize transcriptions** to weed out predictable dialectal variation that is not relevant to the structure of verb-stem paradigms. Specifically: 1) for diphthongal glottalic verbs, we “undo” the automatically shifted glottal split for Fl and Ma of the type Cieʔe for Ciʔe ; 2) for the same dialects we “undo” the automatic drop in the preglottalic vocalic segment in Cṽʔṽ verbs. These two conventions lead us to normalie Fl yīēʔé and Ma yìèʔé as yíʔé ‘turn over (earth)’. Third, for Bi dialect we disregard nasalization of vowels following nasal consonants, even though this is distinctive for this dialect. For example, Bi ɲóⁿ is normalized to ɲó.

10.1.1 Invariant verbs (Pfv=base=Ipfv)

Some verbs have an invariant form across TAMP categories. This does not necessarily lead to confusion, since TAMP grammatical particles and, for the imperative, the absence of overt subjects, suffice to make most distinctions at the verb-phrase level.

Loanwords from Jula and a few onomatopoeic verbs are included among Pfv=base=Ipfv verbs. In addition, verbs whose base has a front vowel and/or is L-toned are disproportionately represented in Pfv=base=Ipfv. This is unsurprising, since for many other verbs the Pfv is formed precisely by fronting a back or low vowel, and/or by lowering the tone one notch.

(642)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a. H-toned					
	yé	yé	yé	‘walk (v)’	Fl Ji Ma
	(w)é	(w)é	(w)é	''	Bi
	<i>loanword</i>				
	wórómá	wórómá	wórómá	‘pick out, select’	
b. M-toned					
	bē ⁿ	bē ⁿ	bē ⁿ	‘be equal; get along’	
	dē	dē	dē	‘pick, harvest (cotton)’	except Bi
	fē	fē	fē	‘greet’	W Pfv fē, cf. Jula fòdòrí ‘greeting (n)’
	fē	fē	fē	‘steal (money)’	
	jīē ⁿ	jīē ⁿ	jīē ⁿ	‘spread (news)’	Ma dīē ⁿ
	klē	klē	klē	‘(day) break’	subject is (è) té ⁿ
	klì ⁿ -	klì ⁿ -	klì ⁿ -	‘lend, borrow’	compounds
	kō	kō	kō	‘crawl’	
	kpē	kpē	kpē	‘roll (sth) on ground’	
	sē ⁿ	sē ⁿ	sē ⁿ	‘put to bed’	(626) above
	gōrē ⁿ	gōrē ⁿ	gōrē ⁿ	‘fix; manufacture’	W grē ⁿ (“ebnen”)
	jārū ⁿ	jārū ⁿ	jārū ⁿ	‘blink’	Bi only
	ɲīʔē	ɲīʔē	ɲīʔē	‘bend, fold’	Bi ɲīē ⁿ ; W Pfv ɲē
	sārō ⁿ	sārō ⁿ	sārō ⁿ	‘take down, unload’	
	kāɲā	kāɲā	kāɲā	‘coincide with’	Fl
	kēnē	kēnē	kēnē	‘be fine’	in greetings
	pārē	pārē	pārē	‘dress up’	(Fr <i>parer</i>)
c. L-toned					
	<i>adjectival senses</i>				
	b̀̀	b̀̀	b̀̀	‘be hot’	adj f̀̀
	k̀̀ ⁿ	k̀̀ ⁿ	k̀̀ ⁿ	‘be a lot’	
	l̀̀	l̀̀	l̀̀	‘get old, age (v)’	
	k̀̀ỳ̀	k̀̀ỳ̀	k̀̀ỳ̀	‘be(come) rough’	
	m̀̀r̀̀	m̀̀r̀̀	m̀̀r̀̀	‘be stupid’	
	<i>other</i>				
	b̀̀	b̀̀	b̀̀	‘extract (oil, sap)’	
	gb̀̀	gb̀̀	gb̀̀	‘coarsely stone-grind’	
	l̀̀	l̀̀	l̀̀	‘believe (sb)’	
	s̀̀ ⁿ	s̀̀ ⁿ	s̀̀ ⁿ	‘think’	
	d̀̀è ⁿ	d̀̀è ⁿ	d̀̀è ⁿ	‘become united’	
	p̀̀è	p̀̀è	p̀̀è	‘scare, frighten’	not in W
	bl̀̀	bl̀̀	bl̀̀	‘skin (v)’	
	fl̀̀	fl̀̀	fl̀̀	‘filter; skim off’	
	kl̀̀	kl̀̀	kl̀̀	‘do; be done, happen’	

Chapter 10: Verbal inflection

bèʔè	bèʔè	bèʔè	‘hiccup (v)’	Fl
dàrè	dàrè	dàrè	‘knock down; fell (tree)’	
kèʔè	kèʔè	kèʔè	‘ruin; be ruined’	
kàrì ⁿ	kàrì ⁿ	kàrì ⁿ	‘faint, lose consciousness’	
nèʔè	nèʔè	nèʔè	‘ask for, pray’	
ɲèʔè	ɲèʔè	ɲèʔè	‘wake up’	W base=Ipfv ɲēʔē
ɲèʔè	ɲèʔè	ɲèʔè	‘write’	Bi ɲì ⁿ ʔè ⁿ
ʃìʔè	ʃìʔè	ʃìʔè	‘speak soothingly’	not in W
tèʔè	tèʔè	tèʔè	‘learn (a trade)’	W base tēʔē
tùʔù	tùʔù	tùʔù	‘annoy’	
yìʔè	yìʔè	yìʔè	‘unload’	
<i>onomatopoeic</i>				
glù ⁿ	glù ⁿ	glù ⁿ	‘rumble; growl; snore’	
jùè	jùè	jùè	‘belch’	Fl Ma; see (665), (644a)
<i>loanwords</i>				
nòʔò	nòʔò	nòʔò	‘be(come) dirty; make dirty’	
d. contour-toned (likely borrowings)				
<i>falling CʋCʋ</i>				
jíjà	jíjà	jíjà	‘try hard, strive’	
<i>rising CʋCʋ</i>				
sàmá	sàmá	sàmá	‘send on errand’	
sòmó	sòmó	sòmó	‘injure’	
tə̀nó	tə̀nó	tə̀nó	‘betray, renege on’	
kàràfá	kàràfá	kàràfá	‘entrust’	
nòʔòyá	nòʔòyá	nòʔòyá	‘be cured, recover’ or ‘facilitate’	
tà̀rèlé	tà̀rèlé	tà̀rèlé	‘slide’	Ji tà̀rě: ; <Jula tərèndé
<i>rising CʋCʋCʋ</i>				
màdí mí	màdí mí	màdí mí	‘wound (v)’	

10.1.2 Uncompounded verb stems with bipartite Pfv ≠ base=Ipfv

This section covers verbs whose base and Ipfv stems are identical, but distinct from the Pfv stem. This morphological type is productive. The Pfv usually differs from the other two by fronting a back or low vowel, by having a tone one notch lower, and/or by inserting a liquid or high vowel after C2. There are also some other less common patterns.

10.1.2.1 Pfv with vocalic fronting but no tone change

The verbs which front a vowel have Pfv e corresponding to base=Ipfv o, Pfv ε corresponding to base=Ipfv a or ɔ depending on the verb, and rarely Pfv i corresponding to base=Ipfvu. The specific Pfv vowel can usually be predicted from the base=Ipfv vocalism, but not vice-versa.

The i/u alternation is shifted to ε/u by our F1 speaker, reflecting the rarity of high vowels in vowel-mutating stems.

The verbs of this type are L-toned (643). This makes sense if the base is taken as lexically basic and is already L-toned, so the tone can't drop any farther in the Pfv. In (643) as in similar arrays later, the order within a subcategory is Cv, then diphthongal Cuv/Civ, then Clv, then Cərv, then Cvʔv. These arrays shows a statistical bias toward [-ATR] {ε ɔ} vocalism.

(643) Pfv shows vowel fronting, but no tonal change

Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a. [-ATR] ε in Pfv, ɔ or a in base=Ipfv, L-toned				
<i>ɔ in base=Ipfv</i>				
bè	bò	bò	'burn; become hot'	
lè	lò	lò	'rip, tear'	
jùè	jùò	jùò	'blink'	F1
<i>a in base=Ipfv</i>				
nè	nà	nà	'stone-grind'	F1 Ma only
pè	pà	pà	'moisten; get wet'	
blè	blà	blà	'stretch out'	
klè	klà	klà	'clear throat'	
bərə̀	bərə̀	bərə̀	'surprise (sb)'	< Jula
sərə̀	sərə̀	sərə̀	'pay (sb)'	< Jula
gèʔè	gàʔà	gàʔà	'do first'	F1 Ji Ma; cf. (667a)
jèʔè	jàʔà	jàʔà	'ante up'	F1(var) Ma
kpèʔè	kpàʔà	kpàʔà	'be impoverished'	
<i>nasalized εⁿ in Pfv, ɔⁿ in base=Ipfv</i>				
cè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	cò ⁿ ʔò ⁿ	cò ⁿ ʔò ⁿ	'scold'	
dè ⁿ	dà ⁿ	dà ⁿ	'arrive; (grain) ripen'	
gè ⁿ	gà ⁿ	gà ⁿ	'get caught (stuck)'	
pè ⁿ	pà ⁿ	pà ⁿ	'clear (a new field)'	
tè ⁿ	tà ⁿ	tà ⁿ	'catch up to'	
ʃè ⁿ	ʃà ⁿ	ʃà ⁿ	'appear suddenly'	
cùè ⁿ	cùà ⁿ	cùà ⁿ	'measure (v), weigh'	
jùè ⁿ	jùà ⁿ	jùà ⁿ	'look down'	
kplè ⁿ	kplà ⁿ	kplà ⁿ	'tell fortunes'	
kərə̀ ⁿ	kərə̀ ⁿ	kərə̀ ⁿ	'read'	
b. [+ATR] e in Pfv, o in base=Ipfv, L-toned				
dè	dò	dò	'speak'	
fè	fò	fò	'burst; explode'	not in W
lè	lò	lò	'gather up (things)'	W Ipfv also lù
lè	lò	lò	'show; point at'	
sè	sò	sò	'carry on head'	
blè	blò	blò	'carry on back'	

Chapter 10: Verbal inflection

flè	flò	flò	‘sauté (meat)’	
gbèʔè	gbòʔò	gbòʔò	‘shatter, crack (v)’	not in W

c. iⁿ (dialectally εⁿ) in Pfv, uⁿ in base=Ipfv, L-toned

bàrì ⁿ	bàrù ⁿ	bàrù ⁿ	‘(leaves) fall off’	Bi Ji
bàrè ⁿ	"	"	"	Fl

In (644), a trace of the lexical rounded vowel, clearly observed in base=Ipfv, is preserved in the Pfv in the form of a labial velar consonant (§3.4.2.6-7).

(644) velar C1 to labial velar in Pfv, no tone change

Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a. Pfv vowel is same height as base=Ipfv vowel [+ATR]				
gbè	gùò	gùò	‘belch’	Ji; see (642c), (665)
kplè	klò	klò	‘bump’	
<i>high vowels</i>				
kplì ⁿ	klù ⁿ	klù ⁿ	‘weed (v)’	Bi Ji, see also (b)
b. Pfv mid-height ε ⁿ versus nasalized high vowel in base=Ipfv				
kplè ⁿ	klù ⁿ	klù ⁿ	‘weed (v)’	Fl, see also (a)

The following section will show that verbs with M-toned base and Ipfv, and that also front the vowel in the Pfv, overwhelmingly also drop the Pfv tone to L. Here we present rare exceptions where the Pfv remains M-toned while undergoing fronting. This is an unstable type that may be motivated by homophony avoidance. In (645), each relevant verb is presented along with a verb that is segmentally identical at least in the Pfv.

(645) Pfv fronted, [-ATR], all forms M-toned

Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a.				
cūʔē	cūʔō	cūʔō	‘peck at’	Bi Ji
cūēʔē	cūēʔō	cūēʔō	"	Fl Ma
cùʔè	cùʔò	cùʔù	‘burn up, char’	Bi Ji
cùèʔè	cùòʔò	cùʔù	"	Fl Ma
b.				
dē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	dā ⁿ ʔā ⁿ	dā ⁿ ʔā ⁿ	‘get lucky; escape’	Fl Ji
dè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	dō ⁿ ʔō ⁿ	dō ⁿ ʔō ⁿ	‘add; raise (price)’	Fl Ji
c.				
yē	yā	yā	‘trim’	Fl Ji; not in W
yè	yā	yā	"	Bi (variant)
yē	yá	yá	‘spread out (limbs)’	(various)

10.1.2.2 Pfv with vocalic fronting plus one-notch tone lowering

We now consider stems that combine the vocalic fronting in the Pfv described above with a tonal change. In this case, the Pfv is always one tone lower than the base=Ipfv, so the Pfv/base/Ipfv combinations are MHH and LMM. As before, we present the phonologically uncomplicated forms first.

The verbs in (646) are of MHH type.

(646) Pfv shows vowel fronting to {e ε}, tones MHH

Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a. [-ATR] ε in Pfv, ɔ or a in base=Ipfv, M (Pfv) versus H (base=Ipfv)				
<i>ɔ in base=Ipfv</i>				
fīē	fúɔ	fúɔ	‘plead with’	
dē?ē	dó?ɔ	dó?ɔ	‘provoke, accuse’	not in W
fī?ē	fó?ɔ	fó?ɔ	‘pardon (v)’	
mē?ē	mó?ɔ	mó?ɔ	‘suck (candy)’	Bi only
sē?ē	só?ɔ	só?ɔ	‘jab’	Fl Ji
wī?ē	wó?ɔ	wó?ɔ	‘coagulate, solidify’	Bi Ji; not in W
yū?ē	wú?ɔ	wú?ɔ	“	Fl
wī?ē	wó?ɔ	wó?ɔ	‘open (v), unlock’	Ji
wī?ē	wú?ɔ	wú?ɔ	“	Bi
yū?ē	wú?ɔ	wú?ɔ	“	Fl
<i>a in base=Ipfv</i>				
dē	dá	dá	‘raise (child)’	
lē	lá	lá	‘fry in a little oil’	
mē	má	má	‘be dizzy, faint’	
nē	ná	ná	‘tend (livestock)’	
ɲē	ɲá	ɲá	‘break up (lumps)’	
tē	tá	tá	‘beat (mass of fish)’	Bi Fl (not Ji)
tē	tá	tá	‘imitate’	
yē	yá	yá	‘yawn (v)’	not in W
blē	blá	blá	‘sweep’	
flē	flá	flá	‘slap’	
klē	klá	klá	‘return, go back’	
jē?ē	já?á	já?á	‘shake hard’	Fl Ma
mē?ē	má?á	má?á	‘roll (v)’	Fl only
ɲē?ē	ɲá?á	ɲá?á	‘turn red’	Bi only
sū?ē	sú?á	sú?á	‘mix with sauce’	Ji Pfv fī?ē (§3.2.1.10)
wē?ē	wá?á	wá?á	‘make noise’	not in W
yē?ē	yá?á	yá?á	‘interfere’	
b. [+ATR] e in Pfv, o in base=Ipfv, M (Pfv) versus H (base=Ipfv)				
lē	ló	ló	‘change, turn’	
sē	só	só	‘(bird) perch’	Fl Ji; not in W

Chapter 10: Verbal inflection

wē	wó	wó	‘(rain) fall’	W wò, wùò, wè
(w)ē	(w)é	(w)é	‘walk (v)’	Bi, elsewhere yé/yé/yé
wē	wó	wó	‘curse (v), dry (v)’	not in W
bīē	bíó	bíó	‘whistle (v)’	
wīē	wíó	wíó	‘squeeze; milk (cow)’	variants with v for w
flē	fló	fló	‘untie, detach’	
plē	pló	pló	‘extinguish’	
cārē	cáró	cáró	‘hang (sth)’	Ji; see (653a); often compounded cáro-tē
dārē	dáró	dáró	‘abound’	
tārē	táró	táró	‘catch (fish) with trap’	Ji; see (662a), (653a)
dēʔē	dóʔó	dóʔó	‘hide’	(Ji base dúʔú)
lēʔē	lóʔó	lóʔó	‘betray, deceive, trick (sb)’	
pēʔē	póʔó	póʔó	‘plow (v)’	W pōʔō
sēʔē	sóʔó	sóʔó	‘(fruits) fall off’	
wēʔē	wóʔó	wóʔó	‘raise (animals)’	
wēʔē	wóʔó	wóʔó	‘roast (meat)’	

c. [±ATR] ambiguous due to nasality

εⁿ/ɔⁿ alternation

tē ⁿ	tó ⁿ	tó ⁿ	‘knead; cook millet’	W base=Ipfv tō ⁿ
pē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	pó ⁿ ʔó ⁿ	pó ⁿ ʔó ⁿ	‘hurry’	
tē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	tó ⁿ ʔó ⁿ	tó ⁿ ʔó ⁿ	‘become blind’	Bi Fl
tārē ⁿ	táró ⁿ	táró ⁿ	‘exchange, barter’	W trē ⁿ , tró ⁿ

εⁿ/aⁿ alternation

cē ⁿ	cá ⁿ	cá ⁿ	‘thresh’	
dē ⁿ	dá ⁿ	dá ⁿ	‘shave’	
lē ⁿ	lá ⁿ	lá ⁿ	‘wash (sth)’	
pē ⁿ	pá ⁿ	pá ⁿ	‘touch’	
jūē ⁿ	júá ⁿ	júá ⁿ	‘lick’	
cē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	cá ⁿ ʔá ⁿ	cá ⁿ ʔá ⁿ	‘fight (v)’	W cē ⁿ , cá ⁿ

Array (647) presents verbs of LMM tonal type.

(647) Pfv base Ipfv gloss comment

a. [-ATR] ε in Pfv, ɔ or a in base=Ipfv, L (Pfv) versus M (base=Ipfv)

ɔ in base=Ipfv

lè	lɔ	lɔ	‘cough (v)’	W lɔ
lè	lɔ	lɔ	‘scratch’	W (= ‘rip, tear’)
cèʔè	cɔʔɔ	cɔʔɔ	‘fear (sth)’	

a in base=Ipfv

cè	cā	cā	‘raise (neck)’	
fè	fā	fā	‘look for’	
yè	yā	yā	‘trim’	Bi only

plè	plā	plā	‘wipe’	W base=Ipfv plà
dàrè	dārā	dārā	‘divide into strips’	
bè?è	bā?ā	bā?ā	‘misuse, ruin’	
sè?è	sā?ā	sā?ā	‘winnow by shaking’	Fl Ma (not Bi Ji)

b. [+ATR] e in Pfv, o in base=Ipfv, L (Pfv) versus M (base=Ipfv)

wè	wō	wō	‘bathe (intr/tr)’	W ?ō, ?ō, wè
wè	wō	wō	‘sing (a song)’	Bi Ji; see (661c)
blè	blō	blō	‘sacrifice (animal)’	

c. [±ATR] ambiguous due to nasality

εⁿ/ɔⁿ alternation

dè ⁿ ?è ⁿ	dō ⁿ ?ō ⁿ	dō ⁿ ?ō ⁿ	‘add; raise (price)’	not in W
sè ⁿ ?è ⁿ	sō ⁿ ?ō ⁿ	sō ⁿ ?ō ⁿ	‘defecate’	W base=Ipfv sō?ō ⁿ

εⁿ/aⁿ alternation

cè ⁿ	cā ⁿ	cā ⁿ	‘separate (people)’	
gbè ⁿ	gbā ⁿ	gbā ⁿ	‘sew’	
kè ⁿ	kā ⁿ	kā ⁿ	‘(rain) cease’	
lè ⁿ	lā ⁿ	lā ⁿ	‘advise’	
pè ⁿ	pā ⁿ	pā ⁿ	‘link, join’	
sàrè ⁿ	sārā ⁿ	sārā ⁿ	‘melt’	Fl Ji; not in W
fè ⁿ ?è ⁿ	fā ⁿ ?ā ⁿ	fā ⁿ ?ā ⁿ	‘shout (v)’	
gbè ⁿ ?è ⁿ	gbā ⁿ ?ā ⁿ	gbā ⁿ ?ā ⁿ	‘cross; block (path)’	not in W
sè ⁿ ?è ⁿ	sā ⁿ ?ā ⁿ	sā ⁿ ?ā ⁿ	‘shoot with arrow’	Fl Ji (not Bi)

(648a-b) present further examples of labial velars (bolded) resulting from a vocalic shift from back rounded to front unrounded (§3.4.2.6-7). Both MHH and LMM verbs are represented. In ‘belch’ (648a) but not the verbs in (648b), the base=Ipfv is already diphthongal. Only Ji dialect shows a labial velar for ‘belch’; other dialect forms are added for comparison. (648c) is a further example of fronting of u to ʉ in the Pfv between a palatal C1 and a front vowel (§3.2.1.8).

(648)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	
a.	gbè	gūō	gūō	‘belch, burp’	Ji only; not in W
	jùè	jùè	jùè	''	Fl Ma
	gùè	gùò	gùè	''	Bi
b.	kplè	klō	klō	‘(heart) beat’	Bi Ji Ma (not Fl)
	kpè?è	kō?ō	kō?ō	‘be good, succeed’	
	kpē	kó	kó	‘weep’	
	kpēⁿ?ēⁿ	kó ⁿ ?ó	kó ⁿ ?ó ⁿ	‘clear (field)’	not in W
c.	ɲūē	ɲúá	ɲúá	‘scoop (food)’	(all)

The verbs in (649) show an f/s alternation typical of Ji and sometimes Ma dialect, associated with the full fronting of a u-initial diphthong to iε (§3.2.1.10). Other dialects keep the u initial and front only the diphthongal nucleus. Fl and Bi differ only in the palatalization of s to ʃ before u in Fl. The actual Fl forms are ʃũ̀ⁿʔɛ̃ⁿ etc.; recall that in this section we are normalizing transcription of Fl and Ma glottalic stems.

(649)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	
	ʃũ̀ⁿʔɛ̃ⁿ	ʃũ̀ⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ	ʃũ̀ⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ	‘do cooking’	Fl
	sũ̀ⁿʔɛ̃ⁿ	sũ̀ⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ	sũ̀ⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ	”	Bi
	fĩⁿʔɛ̃ⁿ	sũ̀ⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ	sũ̀ⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ	”	Ji Ma

10.1.2.3 Pfv lowers high vowel to mid-height and drops tone one notch

A number of stems with i in the base=Ipfv lower it to e (or nasalized eⁿ, which neutralizes ATR) in the Pfv. This is in addition to tone distinctions (MHH with M-toned Pfv, LMM with L-toned Pfv). This array combines [+ATR] and [-ATR].

(650) Base=Ipfv i to Pfv e or nasalized iⁿ to eⁿ

Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a. monosyllabic (Cv, Clv)				
<i>MHH</i>				
kēⁿ	kĩⁿ	kĩⁿ	‘become small’	Fl Ji
lēⁿ	líⁿ	líⁿ	‘cool down’	W lɛ̃ⁿ, lɔ̃ⁿ
lē	lí	lí	‘call’	
flēⁿ	flĩⁿ	flĩⁿ	‘stir with stick’	Fl only
<i>LMM</i>				
lè	lĩ	lĩ	‘shine’	
b. sesquisyllabic				
<i>MHH</i>				
cārē	cáří	cáří	‘sneeze’	
”	”	”	‘pour back and forth’	
”	”	”	‘do long time’	

For the verb in (651), the majority pattern is similar to that in (650) above. Recall that ɥ is the allophone of diphthong-initial u when sandwiched between a palatal C1 and any front vowel.

(651)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	dialects
	jũ̀ē	júí	júí	‘quarrel (v)’	Bi Ji Ma
	”	gbí	gbí	”	Fl

There are also a few verbs that have Pfv o (or nasalized ɔⁿ, which neutralizes ATR) corresponding to base=Ipfv u (652). This is structurally parallel to the type with Pfv e from base=Ipfv i in some of the paradigms in (650-651) above. The high-frequency verbs in (652a-b) have consistent vocalism across dialects. For ‘exit (v)’ and ‘descend’ see also §9.3.2. In (652b), for Fl ʃ see §3.2.1.2, and for Ma f see §3.2.1.10. By contrast, the dialectal variation in the Pfv vocalism of ‘err’ (652c) is structural. The verbs in (652) are MHH (M Pfv, H base=Ipfv).

(652) Base=Ipfv u to Pfv o or nasalized ɔⁿ

	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a.	glō sārɔ ⁿ	glú sárú ⁿ	glú sárú ⁿ	‘exit (v)’ or ‘resemble’ ‘descend’	(all) (all)
b.	(W sūō, súʔú ~ só)				
	sūʔō	súʔú	súʔú	‘catch; hold’	Bi Ji
	ʃūʔō	ʃúʔú	ʃúʔú	"	Fl
	fūʔō	fúʔú	fúʔú	"	Ma
c.	blō blē "	blú " bló	blú " bló	‘err’ " "	Bi Fl Ji

10.1.2.4 Pfv modifies base=Ipfv u in other ways

The preceding subsection gives examples of base=Ipfv u becoming Pfv o, parallel to i becoming e. Some verbs present other patterns. One is that u is shifted to e (653a), i.e. combining fronting with lowering. However, there is dialectal variation in each of the relevant stems. In ‘mix’ (653b), Ji dialect directly fronts (and unrounds) u to i, without lowering. This vocalism in the Pfv of ‘mix’ is confirmed by Winkelmann, possibly from the same speaker many years earlier. ‘Dip’ (653c) also shows much dialectal variation in the Pfv. Bi dialect prefers uo/uɔ diphthongal Pfv’s in both (653b) and 653c); see the following section for wider parallels.

(653) Base=Ipfv u to Pfv {i e e o}

	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a.	Pfv e				
	fē	fú	fú	‘fan (v); swell’	Ji (elsewhere fē/fúó)
	cārē	córú	córú	‘hang’	Fl; see (646b)
	tārē	tárú	tárú	‘catch (fish) with trap’	Fl; see (646), (662b)
	dēʔē	dúʔú	dúʔú	‘hide (intr/tr)’	Ji only (cf dóʔó)

b. Pfv variable

dī ⁿ ʔī ⁿ	dú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ	dú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ	‘mix (banco, water)’	Ji; W Pfv dī ⁿ ʔī ⁿ
dē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	"	"	"	Fl Ma
jū ⁿ ʔō ⁿ	"	"	"	Bi

c. Pfv variable

bīʔē	búʔú	búʔú	‘dip (food)’	Ji
būʔō	"	"	"	Bi
bēʔē	"	"	"	Fl Ma

10.1.2.5 Diphthong in Pfv versus {i u} in base=Ipfv

In one fairly productive pattern, base=Ipfv i corresponds to Pfv ie (or nasalized ieⁿ) while base=Ipfv u corresponds to Pfv uo (in theory also nasalized uoⁿ but no examples), with or without the addition of glottalization. The examples with base=Ipfv i are in (654). We include glottalic diphthongal iʔe corresponding to iʔi in this category.

(654) Diphthongal Pfv in ie or ie corresponding to i, plus tone change

Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a. M versus H tone (MHH)				
bīē	bí	bí	‘ask (question)’	W base=Ipfv bíʔí
dīē	dí	dí	‘eat (meal)’	(all)
fīē ⁿ	fí ⁿ	fí ⁿ	‘bud (v), germinate’	cf. fíé ⁿ ʔé ⁿ ‘sprout (n)’
líē	lí	lí	‘call’	Ma (Fl Ji Pfv lē)
mīē	mí	mí	‘scatter, spray’	
pīē ⁿ	pí ⁿ	pí ⁿ	‘extinguish’	
pīē ⁿ	pá ⁿ	pá ⁿ	‘touch’	Bi only
ʃīē ⁿ	ʃí ⁿ	ʃí ⁿ	‘weave; braid (hair)’	
tīē ⁿ	tí ⁿ	tí ⁿ	‘pull; drag’	
<i>glottalic</i>				
cīʔē	cíʔí	cíʔí	‘brush (teeth)’	Bi Ji (not Fl Ma)
ʃīʔē	ʃíʔí	ʃíʔí	‘insult (v)’	Ji; see (662a); not in W
yīʔē	yíʔí	yíʔí	‘go’	
b. L versus M tone (LMM)				
cìè	cī	cī	‘urinate’	Bi Fl Ji
ʃìè	ʃī	ʃī	‘give birth’	(all)
ʃìè ⁿ	ʃí ⁿ	ʃí ⁿ	‘fart (v)’	Bi Ji; not in W
yìè	yī	yī	‘jump; fly (v)’	Bi Fl Ji
c. fixed tone (at least dialectally)				
<i>L-tone, glottalic</i>				
ʃí ⁿ ʔé ⁿ	ʃí ⁿ ʔí ⁿ	ʃí ⁿ ʔí ⁿ	‘run’	Bi Fl Ji; W Pfv sīē ⁿ

For ‘groan’ (655) the phonology is complicated by dialectal (and for Fl intraparadigmatic) alternation between k and c (§3.4.2.3).

(655)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	
	kē ⁿ	kí ⁿ	kí ⁿ	‘groan’	Bi Ma
	cīē ⁿ	kí ⁿ	kí ⁿ	"	Fl
	cīē ⁿ	cí ⁿ	cí ⁿ	"	Ji

In (656) the Pfv has diphthongal uo versus base=Ipfv u. This includes cases of glottalic uʔo versus uʔu (656b). For ‘sow’ (656a), Bi has a j/d alternation (§3.4.2.5), while the other dialects have fixed d or fixed j. See also the Bi variants for ‘mix’ and ‘dip’ (653b-c) in the preceding section.

(656)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a.	dūō	dú	dú	‘sow (v), plant (v)’	Fl Ma
	jūō	"	"	"	Bi
	"	jú	jú	"	Ji
b.	sūʔō	súʔú	súʔú	‘catch’	Bi Ji
	ʃūʔō	ʃúʔú	ʃúʔú	"	Fl
	fūʔō	fúʔú	fúʔú		Ma (§3.2.1.10)

10.1.2.6 Diphthong in Pfv versus base=Ipfv mid-height vowel

The verbs in (657) have Pfv diphthongs corresponding to homorganic mid-height base=Ipfv vowels. The diphthongs and base=Ipfv vowels are homorganic in most cases. However, the important verb ‘pass, go past’ has Pfv ie corresponding to base=Ipfv o, ‘(bird) perch’ has nondiphthongal e corresponding to o in most dialects, and ‘pick (cotton)’ has invariant e in the same dialects. Fl yḡē in (657c) is metathesized from /wīē/ (§3.4.5.1).

(657)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a.	jīē	dē	dē	‘pick (cotton)’	Bi only
	dē	dē	dē	"	Fl Ji Ma
b.	wīē	wé	wé	‘refuse (v), abandon’	Bi Ji
	yḡē	wé	wé	"	Fl
c.	fīē	fó	fó	‘pass, go past’	
d.	kùḡ ⁿ	kḡ ⁿ	kḡ ⁿ	‘know, realize’	(all)

e.	sūō	só	só	‘(bird) perch’	Bi
	sē	"	"	"	Fl Ji

The important verb *té*, ‘put (sth) down’ or passive ‘be put down’, has the forms in (658a-b). ‘Be put down’ is also part of a collocation ‘(hot weather) happen’ with subject *láfù?ù* ‘heat (n)’, except in Bi which has distinct base=Ipfv vocalism in this collocation (658c). This Bi paradigm splits the difference between ‘put down’ and ‘assemble, do together’ (658d).

(658)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a.	tīē	té	té	‘put (sth) down’	(all)
b.	tīē	té	té	‘be put down’	(all)
c.	tīē	té	té	‘(hot weather) happen’	Fl Ji Ma
	tīē	tó	tó	"	Bi
d.	tē	tó	tó	‘assemble, do together’	(all)

As Vb2 in some verb-verb compounds, the form is *-tē* (M-toned).

10.1.2.7 Diphthongal alternations between Pfv and base=Ipfv

In this section we consider verbs that have distinct diphthongs in Pfv and base=Ipfv. In (659a), while some dialects convert base=Ipfv *uɔ* to Pfv *ue* by fronting just the nucleus from *ɔ* to *ɛ* (§10.1.2.1), Ji and sometimes Fl front the entire diphthong from *uɔ* to *iɛ*. In (659b) this is accompanied by a *f/s* alternation.

(659)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a.	fīè	fùò	fùò	‘replaster (wall)’	Fl(var) Ji
	fūè	"	"	"	Bi
	fùò	"	"	"	Fl(var) Ma
b.	fīēⁿ	súáⁿ	súáⁿ	‘chew lightly’	Ji
	sūē ⁿ	"	"	"	Bi Ma
	ʃūē ⁿ	ʃúá ⁿ	ʃúá ⁿ	"	Fl

The glottalic stems in (660) are somewhat variable dialectally, even though here (as elsewhere in this chapter) we normalize transcriptions of *Cvʔv* to remove predictable minor pronunciation differences in Fl and Ma. For ‘reap’ (660a) Ji fronts, unrounds, and diphthongizes *ɔ* to *iɛ*. Fl fully fronts and unrounds the already diphthongal *wuɔ* to */wiɛ/*, which then metathesizes to */yue/*, realized as *yue*. Bi has apparently simplified **wīē* to *wē*, so only the base=Ipfv is diphthongal for this dialect. ‘Open’ (660b) and ‘coagulate’ (660c), which differ only in the base=Ipfv for Bi dialect (*wú?ó* versus *wó?ó*), also present front

diphthongs in the Pfv versus back rounded base=Ipfv vowels or diphthongs. Again the Pfv onset is metathesized in Fl. In ‘hear’ (660d), j and d alternate in two dialects in conjunction with a diphthong-initial i/u alternation (§3.4.2.5).

(660)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a.	wīʔē yq̄ē wē	wóʔó wúʔó "	wóʔó wúʔó "	‘reap (with sickle)’ " "	Ji Fl (§3.4.5.1) Bi, see (661d)
b.	wīʔē yq̄ʔē wīʔē	wóʔó wúʔó "	wóʔó wúʔó "	‘open (sth); unlock’ " "	Ji, see also (c) Fl, see also (c) Bi
c.	wīʔē yq̄ʔē	wóʔó wúʔó	wóʔó wúʔó	‘coagulate, solidify’ "	Bi Ji Fl
d.	dīʔē jīʔē dīēʔē	jūʔō " jūōʔō	jūʔō " jūōʔō	‘hear; understand (sb)’ " "	Bi(var) Ji Bi(var) Fl Ma

M-toned ‘hear’ (660d) is similar in segmental form to the L-toned verbs ‘follow’ and ‘put (pot) up on fire’, see (675) in §10.1.5.2 below. However, those verbs have distinct Ipfv stems with u-vocalism.

10.1.2.8 Simple Pfv vowel versus base=Ipfv diphthong

The verbs in (661) are unusual in having a diphthong in the base=Ipfv only, versus a simple vowel in the Pfv. (661a) also has the j/d alternation (§3.4.2.5).

(661)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	dialects
a.	dè dè	jūō jūō	jūō jūō	‘sell’ "	Fl Ji Ma Bi
b.	fē fē	fúó fú	fúó fú	‘fan (v); swell’ "	Bi Fl Ji, see (653a) above
c.	wè wè	wūō wō	wūō wō	‘sing’ "	Fl Ma Bi Ji, see (647b) above
d.	wē	wúó	wúó	‘reap (with sickle)’	Bi, see (660a) above

10.1.2.9 Pfv distinguished by one-notch tone-lowering only

In (662a-b), the base=Ipfv stem already has a final front vowel, so there is no segmental difference between Pfv and base=Ipfv. The Pfv is distinguished tonally (one level lower than the base=Ipfv).

(662)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a. M (Pfv) versus H (base, Ipfv)					
	sē ⁿ	sé ⁿ	sé ⁿ	‘lie (sb) down’	(626) in §9.3.2
	gbē	gbé	gbé	‘split’	Ji(var), see (679b)
	jūē	"	"	"	Bi
	kpē ⁿ	kpé ⁿ	kpé ⁿ	‘ring (bell)’	
	kpē ⁿ	kpé ⁿ	kpé ⁿ	‘sprout (v)’	
	lē	lé	lé	‘shape into a ball’	Bi Fl
	lí	lí	lí	‘shape into a ball’	Ji; not in W
	lē ⁿ	lé ⁿ	lé ⁿ	‘stop, prevent’	W ‘wait’
	lē ⁿ	lé ⁿ	lé ⁿ	‘accept’	
	ʃīē	ʃíé	ʃíé	‘(tree) refoliate’	not in W
	yīē	yíé	yíé	‘gird’	
	tārū	tárú	tárú	‘catch (fish) with trap’	Bi; cf. (646b), (653a)
	ʃí?ē	ʃí?é	ʃí?é	‘insult (v)’	Bi Fl Ma; see (654a)
b. L (Pfv) versus M (base, Ipfv)					
	dè	dē	dē	‘boil down (beer)’	
	lè ⁿ	lē ⁿ	lē ⁿ	‘chase away’	Ji Ma (not Bi Fl)
	pè	pē	pē	‘forget’	Ma only
	blè	blē	blē	‘skin (a carcass)’	
	dìè	dīē	dīē	‘enter’	W Ipfv dīē ~ dī
	fù?è ⁿ	fū?ē ⁿ	fū?ē ⁿ	‘soak’	
	wùò	wūō	wūō	‘rot (v)’	
	tàrù ⁿ	tārū ⁿ	tārū ⁿ	‘be submerged’	
	kpè ⁿ ?è ⁿ	kpē ⁿ ?ē ⁿ	kpē ⁿ ?ē ⁿ	‘twist, bend’	
	klè	klē	klē	‘crack open (v)’	Fl Ji; W klú ⁿ

10.1.2.10 Pfv marked by intrusive rhotic

In (663), the Pfv has an intrusive rhotic that is absent from the base=Ipfv.

(663) Pfv with extra rhotic

	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a.	tàrò	tō	tō	‘tie (rope)’	W tò, Pfv trè
	jàrò	jō	jō	‘get caught, stuck’	not in W

b. d̀̀r̀̀e d̄ d̄ ‘wade across’ F1 Ji Ma; see (681)

A larger number of rhotic Pfv’s are in the Pfv ≠ base ≠ Ipfv class (§10.1.5.4), which also includes verbs with intrusive l (§10.1.5.5). The fuller data there confirm that intrusive r is associated with coronal C1, as in (663), while intrusive l is associated with noncoronal (i.e., labial and velar) C1.

10.1.3 Uncompounded verb stems with bipartite Pfv=base ≠ Ipfv

A much less common pattern is for the base to be identical to the Pfv stem, while both differ from the Ipfv. The high-frequency irregular verb ‘come’ is of this type (664a). The Ipfv differs both in tone and vocalism from Pfv=base. (It is similarly irregular in the related language Tiefo-N). The equally common verb ‘say’ or ‘speak’ is of this type in the sense ‘say’ when followed by quoted matter, but it is Pfv ≠ base=Ipfv when it means ‘speak’ as a regular action verb. The only difference is the base, d̀̀ versus d̀̀ (§11.3).

(664)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	
	a. b̀̀	b̀̀	b̄	‘come’	
	b. d̀̀	d̀̀	d̀̀	‘say’	with quotation
	d̀̀	d̀̀	d̀̀	‘speak’	without quotation

10.1.4 Uncompounded verb stem with bipartite base ≠ Pfv=Ipfv

No verbs attested in multiple dialects merge Pfv with Ipfv but distinguish them from the base. The only known example of this pattern is ‘belch’ for our Bi speaker. For ‘belch’ in other dialects, see (642c) and (644a).

(665)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
	g̀̀̀	g̀̀̀	g̀̀̀	‘belch’	Bi

10.1.5 Uncompounded verb stems with tripartite Pfv ≠ base ≠ Ipfv

The tripartite verbs covered in this section distinguish all three stems. This class is about equally productive as the bipartite Pfv ≠ base=Ipfv type (§10.1.2 above). It includes some fairly productive subtypes, but also a number of high-frequency verbs with idiosyncratic paradigms.

As shown above, most bipartite verbs distinguish Pfv from base=Ipfv (§10.1.2), while other bipartite types are either rare and dialectally unstable (§10.1.3) or are limited to two high-frequency irregular verbs (§10.1.4). The great majority of tripartite verbs to be described in subsections below start with the same split of Pfv from base=Ipfv, whereby the Pfv is

marked by some combination of vocalic fronting, one-notch tone lowering, and/or insertion of a diphthong-initial {u i} or a liquid {l r} after C1.

What distinguishes the tripartite verbs from the bipartite Pfv ≠ base=Ipfv type is the addition of some mechanism to distinguish base from Ipfv. Taking the base as starting point, the Ipfv may be distinguished by one or more of the mechanisms in (666).

(666) From base to Ipfv

- a. segmental difference (predominant)
 - i. {u i l r} inserted after C1, often shared with Pfv
 - ii. base a is fronted to Ipfv ε (this often feeds into the following shifts)
 - iii. base vowel shifts from [-ATR] {ε ɔ} to Ipfv [+ATR] {e o}
 - iv. base vowel is raised from mid-height {e ε o ɔ} to Ipfv high {i u}
- b. tonal difference (rare)

§10.1.5.1 presents tripartite verbs with simple vocalic alternations that do not involve secondary diphthongization or intrusive liquids. §10.1.5.2 presents verbs that distinguish diphthongal Pfv from nondiphthongal base and Ipfv. §10.1.5.3 presents verbs with diphthongal Ipfv that is either secondary or modified versus base and Pfv. §10.1.5.4 presents verbs with intrusive r in Pfv and/or Ipfv, and §10.1.5.5 does the same for intrusive l. §10.1.5.6 presents the few verbs that distinguish base and Ipfv by a/ε alternation and/or by tonal changes.

10.1.5.1 Simple vocalic shifts distinguish the three stems

In (667), the base vowel is a. The Pfv fronts this to ε. The Ipfv fronts and raises the base vowel to i. These verbs have glottalic shape Cvʔv (in one case Cərvʔv), so the trio of stems has the easily recognizable form Cεʔε/Caʔa/Ciʔi. If the base is H- or M-toned, the Pfv is one notch lower, but in many cases the base is already L-toned.

(667) Cεʔε/Caʔa/Ciʔi

Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a. LLL (all forms L-toned)				
bèʔè	bàʔà	bìʔì	‘make a mistake’	
cèʔè	càʔà	cìʔì	‘dry out’	
cèʔè	càʔà	cìʔì	‘tremble’	(all); W cìʔè, base=Ipfv cīʔī
gèʔè	gàʔà	gìʔì	‘snap; (well) collapse’	Bi Fl Ma (not Ji); W Ipfv gàʔà
gèʔè	gàʔà	gìʔì	‘do first’	Bi; see (643a)
jèʔè	jàʔà	jìʔì	‘ante up; lay out (mat)’	Fl(var) Ji(var)
kpè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	kpà ⁿ ʔà ⁿ	kpì ⁿ ʔì ⁿ	‘nail (v)’	

Chapter 10: Verbal inflection

pèʔè	pàʔà	pìʔi	‘push; scour’	W only in cpd
tèʔè	tàʔà	tíʔi	‘join; affix; heal’	not in W
wèʔè	wàʔà	wìʔi	‘grow up’	
dèrèʔè	dèràʔà	dèrìʔi	‘lock (v)’	

b. LMM (Pfv L-toned, others M-toned)

bèʔè	bāʔā	bīʔi	‘sling over shoulder’	
gbèʔè	gbāʔā	gbīʔi	‘pile up’	Bi Fl (not Ji)
pèʔè	pāʔā	pīʔi	‘scrape (sauce in pot)’	not in W
kè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ	kā ⁿ ʔā ⁿ	kī ⁿ ʔi ⁿ	‘encounter’	
sèʔè	sāʔā	sīʔi	‘winnow by shaking’	Bi Ji (not Fl)
yèʔè	yāʔā	yīʔi	‘vomit (v)’	W yēʔē, yāʔā

c. MHH (Pfv H-toned, others H-toned)

dēʔē	dáʔá	díʔí	‘replaster by slapping’	Bi Fl (not Ji)
jēʔē	jáʔá	jíʔí	‘shake hard’	Ji only; W ‘save’ (<i>retten</i>)
mēʔē	máʔá	míʔí	‘roll (v)’	Bi Ji (not Fl)
ṅēʔē	náʔá	níʔí	‘turn red’	Bi Ji (not Fl)
sēʔē	sáʔá	síʔí	‘rub’	Bi Ji Ma; not in W

An uncommon and in most cases dialectally unstable variant has e instead of i in the Ipfv. This shows the fronting and shift to [+ATR] but omits the raising of the vowel. The examples of glottalic Cεʔε/Caʔa/Ceʔe as variants of Cεʔi/Caʔa/Ciʔi are limited to Ji dialect (668a). There are also some nonglottalic cases. In (668b) only Bi has Ipfv kpē, versus kpē for other dialects. In (668c) the important verb ‘cultivate (crops)’ has Ipfv bé in most dialects, but bí in Ji. The Ji vocalism ε/a/i matches the vocalism of the glottalic verbs in (667) above.

(668) ε/a/e alternations (dialectal)

	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a.	gèʔè	gàʔà	gèʔè	‘snap; (well) collapse’	Ji
	"	"	gìʔi	"	Bi Fl
	gbèʔè	gbāʔā	gbēʔē	‘pile up’	Ji
	"	"	gbīʔi	"	Bi Fl
b.	cùè	kpā	kpē	‘pick (fruits)’	Bi
	cùè	kpā	kpē	"	Fl Ji Ma (§10.1.5.6)
c.	bē	bá	bé	‘cultivate (crops)’	Bi Fl Ma
	bē	bá	bí	"	Ji

A base/Ipfv a/e alternation is also present in bà/bà/bē ‘come’ (§10.1.3).

In (669a), despite a c/k alternation and fronting of u to ɥ between palatal C1 and a front vowel, the vocalic alternation ε/ɔ/u is clear. This alternation is also seen in (669b) for

the Ji variant and in (669b) for Ji and Fl, while Bi has invariant vowel quality. Taking *ɔ* as lexically basic, we get *ɛ/ɔ/u* by fronting (Pfv) and raising (Ipfv). Whether *ɔ* is directly raised to *u* or is first shifted to [+ATR] *o* and then raised is indeterminate. Whereas *cùʔè* (669a) is just the normal pronunciation of /*cùʔè*/ (§3.2.1.8), the verbs in (675) below front the entire diphthong in the Pfv.

(669) *ɛ/ɔ/u* alternations

	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a.	<i>cùʔè</i>	<i>cùʔò</i>	<i>cùʔù</i>	‘burn up, char’	(all)
	<i>cùʔè</i>	<i>kùʔò</i>	<i>kùʔù</i>	‘waste away’	W <i>cùè-kàʔà</i> ‘emaciated’
	<i>cùʔè</i>	<i>kùʔò</i>	<i>kùʔù</i>	‘pick off (leaf)’	(all)
b.	<i>tèʔè</i>	<i>tòʔò</i>	<i>tùʔù</i>	‘annoy’	Ji
	<i>tùʔù</i>	<i>tùʔù</i>	<i>tùʔù</i>	“	Bi
c.	<i>mēʔē</i>	<i>móʔó</i>	<i>múʔú</i>	‘suck (candy)’	Fl Ji
	<i>mōʔō</i>	<i>móʔó</i>	<i>móʔó</i>	“	Bi

The *ɛ/ɔ/u* alternation is structurally somewhat similar to the more productive *ɛ/a/i* alternation in (667) above. A more exact structural match is *ɛ/ɛ/i* since *ɛ* is the front counterpart of *ɔ*. This is the case with ‘patch’ for Ji dialect (670).

(670)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
	<i>plè</i>	<i>pē</i>	<i>pī</i>	‘patch’	Ji; not in W
	“	“	<i>pē</i>	“	Bi Fl Ma

‘Jab’ (671) is variable dialectally. The Ipfv either has *o* (Bi Ma) or matches the base (Fl Ji).

(671)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
	<i>sēʔē</i>	<i>sóʔó</i>	<i>sóʔó</i>	‘jab’	Fl Ji; W Ipfv <i>sóʔó</i>
	“	“	<i>sóʔó</i>	“	Ma
	<i>sūā</i>	<i>só</i>	<i>só</i>	“	Bi, see (673e)

Verbs like *gbà/gò/gù* ~ *gò* ‘hit’ with labial velar in the Pfv only, compressed from a *u*-initial diphthong, are covered in the following section.

10.1.5.2 Verbs with diphthong in Pfv only

In one fairly common pattern, the Pfv has a diphthong (as in §10.1.2.5), the base and Ipfv have simple vowels, and the Ipfv either fronts and/or raises the base vowel or shifts it from

[-ATR] to [+ATR]. The attested base-to-Ipfv vowel pairings for nonglottalic verbs are those in (672). Only verbs with base vowel ɔ are abundantly attested. Base-to-Ipfv vowel pairings that we would expect to find if these patterns were more productive, based on parallels with verbs covered in other subsections, are indicated by parenthesized Ipfv vowels.

(672)	base	Ipfv	no. of verbs
a.	e	i	1
	ɛ	i (e)	2
b.	u	i	2
c.	ɔ	i, o, u	many
	o	i (u)	1

Nonglottalic verbs with diphthongal Pfv's are in (673). Except for Bi, which often has Pfv ua corresponding to uɔ in other dialects, the Pfv diphthongs are high vowel plus homorganic mid-height vowel (of either ATR value). Corresponding to base ɔ, Ji clearly prefers Ipfv u while other dialects have a lexical choice between u and o. A d/j alternation occurs in 'bite' (673d).

(673)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a.	ie diphthong in Pfv				
	<i>base/Ipfv e/i</i>				
	wìè	wē	wī	'put in, put on'	Bi Ji; W wīē, wí, wí
	yùè	"	"	"	F1 (§3.4.5.1)
b.	nasalized, with iɛ ⁿ diphthong in Pfv				
	<i>base/Ipfv ɛ/i</i>				
	fìè ⁿ	fē ⁿ	fī ⁿ	'press, push on (sth)'	
	pìè ⁿ	pē ⁿ	pī ⁿ	'remain'	W base pè ⁿ
c.	uo diphthong in Pfv				
	<i>base/Ipfv u/i</i>				
	būō	bú	bí	'get'	W base bó, Ipfv bú
	wūō	wú	wí	'die'	
	<i>base/Ipfv o/i</i>				
	sùò	sō	ʃī	'take, receive'	W sūō, sō
d.	uɔ diphthong in Pfv				
	<i>base/Ipfv ɔ/o</i>				
	būɔ̄	bó	bó	'tie'	F1 Ma
	ʃūɔ̄	só	só	'light (fire)'	F1
	sūɔ̄	só	só	"	Ma
	yūɔ̄	yó	yó	'turn black'	Bi F1

Chapter 10: Verbal inflection

base/Ipfv ɔ/u

bū̄	bó	bú	‘tie’	Ji
jū̄ ⁿ	jó ⁿ	jú ⁿ	‘dance’	Bi Fl Ji
ɲū̄	ɲó	ɲú	‘look at’	Fl Ji Ma; W Ipfv ɲú ~ ɲí
"	"	lú ⁿ	"	Bi
sū̄	só	sú	‘light (fire)’	Ji
sù	sō	sū	‘plant (tree)’	Fl Ji
yū̄	yó	yú	‘turn black’	Ji

base/Ipfv ɔ/i

cù ⁿ	cō ⁿ	cī ⁿ	‘spend the night’	Bi Ji Ma
cù ⁿ	tō ⁿ	tī ⁿ	‘block’ or ‘count’	Bi Fl Ji
jù ⁿ	dō ⁿ	dī ⁿ	‘bite’	Bi Fl Ji
ɲù	ɲō	ɲī	‘drink’	Fl Ji
sù ⁿ	sō ⁿ	ʃī ⁿ	‘work (v)’	Bi Ji
ʃù ⁿ	"	"	"	Fl

e. ua diphthong in Pfv (Bi dialect), compare with (d)

base/Ipfv a/o

bū̄ā	bó	bó	‘tie’	Bi
sùā	sō	sō	‘plant (tree)’	Bi
sū̄ā	só	só	‘jab’	Bi
sū̄ā	só	só	‘light (fire)’	Bi

In (674), what may once have been a diphthongal Pfv *gua now has a labial velar onset (§3.4.2.6-7). Again, Ji dialect strongly prefers Ipfv u when the base has ɔ, even when other dialects have Ipfv o. The Fl paradigm in the semi-onomatopoeic (674d) has e/o/i vocalism, but other dialects have base=Ipfv. (676) below has more labial velars.

(674)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a.	gbā	gó	gó	‘draw (water)’	Bi Fl Ma
	"	"	gú	"	Ji
b.	gbà	gò	gò	‘hit’	Bi Fl Ma
	"	"	gù	"	Ji
c.	kpà	kō	kō	‘finish’	Bi Fl
	"	"	kū	"	Ji
d.	kplè	klō	klī	‘(heart) beat’	Fl
	"	"	klō	"	Bi Ji Ma

The stems in (675) show dialectal variation in small details. The verbs in (669a) above have similar vocalic nuclei across the three stems, but in (675) the entire diphthong is fronted in the Pfv for at least some speakers. The Pfv has a diphthong ie or ue (including ɥe after palatal), with ie preferred especially in Ji dialect. The base has uɔ except for monophthongal

ɔ in ‘warm up’. The Ipfv has u, arguably simplified from /uu/, except when the Ipfv is identical to the base. There is a d/j alternation in (675c-d) and a k/c alternation in (675e). ‘Follow’ and ‘put (pot) up’ are identical for most speakers; compare ‘hear’ in (660d), which however has base=Ipfv.

(675)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a.	wiʔè yʔiʔè	wɔʔɔ "	wùʔù wɔʔɔ	‘warm up (at a fire)’ "	Bi Ji Fl (yq by §3.4.5.1)
b.	bìʔè bùʔè	būʔɔ "	būʔū "	‘rumble; shout’ "	Ji Fl
c.	dìʔè jìʔè jùʔè	jùʔɔ " "	jùʔù " jùʔɔ	‘follow’ " "	Fl Ji Bi Ma
d.	dìʔè jìʔè jùʔè	jùʔɔ " "	jùʔù " "	‘put (pot) up on fire’ " "	Ji Bi Fl Ma
e.	ʃìʔè ʃèʔè ~ ʃùʔè fèʔè	sūʔɔ ʃūʔɔ fūʔɔ	sūʔū ʃūʔū fūʔū	‘give; send’ " "	Bi Ji Fl Ma

The verbs in (676) have ε/ɔ/u or ε/ɔ/o nuclei, cf. ε/ɔ/u in the examples just given. However, (676) has labial velars in the Pfv in most dialects, reflecting the lexically basic rounded vowels that are overt in the base and Ipfv; compare (674) above.

(676) Pfv with labial velar

	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	
a.	kpèʔè	kɔʔɔ	kɔʔɔ	‘uproot’	Fl Ma; see (682c); W kɔʔɔ ‘tear out’
b.	gbēʔē "	gɔʔɔ "	gúʔú góʔó	‘dig with hands’ "	Ji Ma Bi Fl

10.1.5.3 Verbs with variable diphthongs or Ipfv-only diphthongs

‘Laugh’ (677a) has a diphthong in the Ipfv but not in the Pfv or base. This pattern is also seen dialectally for ‘stone-grind’ (677b), while other dialects re-use the base as Ipfv. The tones of Ipfv miē and niē are also one notch higher than those of the base (and Pfv), see §10.1.5.6. The ε/a/ε pattern in nuclei resembles that in (687) below.

(677)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	
	a. m̀è	m̀à	mīē	‘laugh’	Bi Fl Ji; W base m̀à?à
	b. ǹè	ǹà	nīē	‘stone-grind’	Bi Ji; W Ipvf also nī
	"	"	ǹà	"	Fl Ma

In two important action verbs (678), the base has a simple back rounded vowel u or o, the Pfv has a diphthong uo, and the Ipvf has a diphthong ui combined with a shift k to c (§3.4.2.3). As usual /cui/ is realized as c̀ui.

(678) Ipvf with diphthong

	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	
	a. k̀ūō	k̀ú	c̀úí	‘cut; saw (v)’	Bi Fl Ji
	b. k̀ùò	k̀ò	c̀ùì	‘kill; cut the throat of’	(all); W Ipvf k̀ū

‘Sear’ (679a) has a synchronically anomalous paradigm; see discussion in §3.4.5.1. One variant of the paradigm for ‘split’ (679b) shows a similar Pfv-Ipvf palatalization.

(679)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
	a. ỳùè ⁿ	ẁē ⁿ	ỳūī ⁿ	‘sear, burn on fire’	Fl; ; W Ipvf ẁē ⁿ
	ɲ̀ùè ⁿ	ẁē ⁿ	ɲ̀ūī ⁿ	"	Bi
	ɲ̀ùè	ẁē ⁿ	ɲ̀ūī	"	Ji
	(possible reconstruction:)				
	*ẁìè ⁿ	*ẁē ⁿ	*ẁīī ⁿ (diphthongal?)		
	b. j̀ūē	gbé	j̀úé	‘split’	Fl Ji(var), see (662a)

10.1.5.4 Pfv and/or Ipvf have intrusive r

§10.1.2.10 above described a few bipartite verbs like d̀à̀r̀è/d̀ē/d̀ē ‘wade across’ (663b) whose Pfv has an intrusive r, which reduces a preceding vowel to schwa. Here we describe tripartite verbs with similar rhotic Pfv’s that also distinguish base from Ipvf. In all cases, intrusive r (as opposed to l) is associated with coronal C1.

The verbs with rhotic Pfv but non-rhotic base and Ipvf are in (680). The base-Ipvf vowel pairings are ə/u and ε/i for Ji dialect, versus ə/o and ε/e for other dialects, following a pattern we have seen before.

Chapter 10: Verbal inflection

(680)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a.	d̄r̄ō	d̄ó	d̄ó	‘divide, share’	Fl Ma
	"	"	d̄ú	"	Ji
	jūā	"	d̄ó	"	Bi
b.	d̄r̄ò	d̄ō	d̄ō	‘buy’	Bi Fl
	"	"	d̄ū	"	Ji
c.	t̄r̄ō	t̄ó	t̄ó	‘cook (sauce)’	Bi Fl Ma
	"	"	t̄ú	"	Ji
d.	t̄r̄ò	t̄ō	t̄ō	‘hide’	Bi Fl
	"	"	t̄ū	"	Ji
e.	j̄r̄ò	j̄ò	j̄ò	‘swallow’	Bi Fl Ma;
	"	"	j̄ù	"	Ji; W jūrō, jūō, jū
f.	s̄r̄è	s̄ē	s̄ē	‘carve; shape (v)’	Bi Fl Ma; not in W
	"	"	s̄ī	"	Ji
g.	d̄r̄ē	d̄é	d̄é	‘be sated (=full)’	Bi Fl
	"	"	d̄í	"	Ji

In (681), Bi dialect has r in the Ipv as well as in the Pfv.

(681)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
	d̄r̄è	d̄ē	d̄r̄ī	‘wade across’	Bi; see (663b)

10.1.5.5 Pfv and/or Ipv have intrusive l

The insertion of l is parallel to that for r, but l occurs after noncoronal (i.e. labial or velar) C1. Another important difference is that most verbs with intrusive l show it in both Pfv and Ipv or even (rarely) limit it to the Ipv, while verbs with r almost always limit it to the Pfv (preceding subsection).

l in the Pfv only is presented in (682).

(682)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a.	kl̄ò	k̄ō	k̄ō	‘go crazy; sweat (v)’	Bi Fl
	"	"	k̄ū	"	Ji
b.	pl̄è	p̄ē	p̄ē	‘stuff, patch’	Fl Ji Ma

c.	kplèʔè	kɔʔɔ	kũʔũ	‘uproot’	Ji
	klòʔò	kɔʔɔ	kòʔò	''	Bi; see (676a); W kɔʔɔ ‘tear out’

Verbs with l in both Pfv and Ipfv are in (683).

(683)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a.	mlɔ̃ ⁿ	mó	mlú ⁿ	‘(wound) fester’	Bi Fl Ji
b.	klɔ̃ ⁿ	kɔ̃ ⁿ	klú ⁿ	‘chew (kola), munch’	(all)
c.	blè	bē	blē	‘become tired’	Ji(var) Ma
	''	''	blī	''	Bi Ji(var) Fl
d.	flē ⁿ	fē ⁿ	flī ⁿ	‘stir; spin (cotton)’	Bi Ji Ma
	''	flī ⁿ	''	''	Fl; W oral flē, flí
e.	blē ⁿ	bē ⁿ	blī ⁿ	‘beat (tomtom)’	(all)
f.	gblè	gbē	gblī	‘pick up’	(all)
g.	klè ⁿ	kē ⁿ	klī ⁿ	‘tilt’	Bi Fl Ji
h.	mlē ⁿ	mé	mlī ⁿ	‘throw, shoot’	Bi Fl Ji(var)
	mē	''	mí	''	Ma
	[W: ‘throw’ and ‘shoot’ are distinct in base and Ipfv]				
i.	klē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	kē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	klī ⁿ ʔī ⁿ	‘take up’ or ‘ascend’	§9.3.2

In ‘build’ (684), one unstable variant (Ji) has l only in the Ipfv.

(684)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	dialect
	mè	mē	mlī ⁿ	‘build’	Ji(var)
	mlē ⁿ	''	''	''	Bi Fl
	mè	''	mē	''	Ji(var) Ma

10.1.5.6 Minor base=Ipfv patterns (a/ε alternation, tones)

‘See’ (685a) has both an unusual Ipfv in ε and a unique tonal divergence between base and Ipfv (LML type). The Ipfv vowel quality is surprising since even verbs with base ε normally shift it to +ATR e or raise it to i in the Ipfv (§10.1.5.1). ‘Sleep (v)’ (685b) also has ε in the Ipfv, and for most dialects is the only M-toned form (LLM type). For Fl dialect the base is also M-toned, making at least the tonal pattern more regular (LMM).

Chapter 10: Verbal inflection

(685)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	dialect
a.	ɲà	ɲī	ɲè	‘see’	(all)
b.	dè	dō	dē	‘sleep (v)’	Fl
	"	dò	"	"	Bi Ji Ma

For a somewhat similar case involving a bipartite Pfv=base ≠ Ipv pattern, see bà/bà/bē ‘come’ (§10.1.3).

Other verbs that have the unusual Ipv in ε are well-behaved tonally. Like ‘sleep (v)’, they also have ε in the Pfv. (686a-b) show the c/k alternation (§3.4.2.3). In (686a), non-Pfv forms beginning kp likely reflect compression of *ku, cf. §3.4.2.6. Bi Pfv ɲùèⁿ in (686c) reflects *wìèⁿ, with further changes in the onset similar to those common in Fl dialect.

(686)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a.	cùè	kpā	kpē	‘pick (fruits)’	Fl Ji Ma
	"	"	kpē	"	Bi, cf. (668b)
b.	cìè	kà	kè	‘eat (meat)’	W Ipv kè
c.	wè ⁿ	wā ⁿ	wē ⁿ	‘(infant) suckle’	Fl Ji
	ɲùè ⁿ	"	"	"	Bi; W wúó ⁿ , Pfv wīē ⁿ ‘suck’
d.	sè ⁿ	sā ⁿ	sē ⁿ	‘pick out, cull’	
e.	tàrè ⁿ	tārā ⁿ	tārē ⁿ	‘sit down’	

The diachronic relationship, if any, between ‘pick (fruits)’ (686a) and cù?è/kù?ð/kù?ù ‘pick off (leaf)’ (Bi Fl Ji) is obscure.

‘Laugh’ and ‘stone-grind’ (677) above have an unexpected diphthong in the Ipv (mīē, nīē), in addition to Ipv ε and a base-to-Ipv tone shift.

10.1.6 Morphology of verb-verb compounds

Lexical and semantic aspects of verb-verb compounds are covered in §15.1. Here we focus on the morphology.

In a verb-verb compound, the two verbs (the **initial** Vb1 and the **final** Vb2) are immediately adjacent without an intervening morpheme in the Pfv and base. By contrast, in the imperfective construction, the Ipv morpheme à occurs twice, once before the initial and once intercalated between initial and final (§10.1.6.1 below). We hyphenate this second à, hence (à) Vb1-à-Vb2.

As shown below in more detail, Vb1 takes the same stem forms it would have taken in the absence of Vb2. In particular, Vb1 takes Pfv form in perfective contexts. By contrast,

Vb2 can take base or Ipfv form, but not Pfv form. Instead, it generalizes its base stem to Pfv as well as base morphosyntactic contexts. This is suggestive diachronically (§10.1.6.2).

In the absence of a medial Ipfv particle, the Vb1-Vb2 combination is subject to tone sandhi. Specifically, M-toned Vb1 drops to L before an H-toned Vb2 by the tonal process M#H-to-L#H. This produces some unusual tonal patterns in the compounds. For example, a Vb1 with MHH tones by itself combines with an H-toned Vb2 to produce L-H Pfv and H-H base (§10.1.6.4).

10.1.6.1 Intercalated Ipfv -à- in verbal compounds

The Ipfv form of a verb-verb compound has the form (687).

(687) Ipfv positive verb-phrase schema with compound verb

(subject) à Vb1.Ipfv- -à- -Vb2.Ipfv

The medial -à- is prima facie evidence for compounding. It can be used as a diagnostic for compound structure even when Vb1 and Vb2 do not occur independently. However, medial -à- is often pronounced more weakly than the pre-compound à. Since the initial (Vb1) always ends in a vowel, vv-contraction of one type or another is common (§3.4.6.4). In addition, -à- is nasalized after a nasalized vowel.

Except in careful style, an immediately preceding [+ATR] vowel {e o} or high vowel {i u} often shifts to [-ATR] {ε ɔ} as it partially fuses with -à-, to result in ea or oa or even monophthongal ε or ɔ (with or without slight lengthening). In addition, glottalic Cvʔv stems may reduce to Cvʔ- before -à-. If the Cvʔv stem is H-toned in Bi and Ji, and therefore realized as MH Cṽʔṽ (Fl) or as LH Cṽʔṽ (Ma) with the pitch peak at the end, the contracted combination may appear as Cṽʔ-á- (Fl) or Cṽʔ-á- (Ma) with the pitch peak realized on the contracted vowel. By contrast, Bi and Ji usually pronounce such combinations as Cṽʔ-à-.

Ipfv à is one of the grammatical morphemes whose tone is raised to M before L (§3.6.2.1), and this applies equally to the medial -à-. As a consequence, the contracted form Cṽʔ-à- just mentioned (Bi Ji) is heard as Cṽʔ-ā- before L-tone.

A few Ipfv forms of compounds are in (688).

(688)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss
a.	dīē-glō	dī-glō	(à) dī-à-glō	‘take out, remove’
b.	cārē-tē	cáró-tē	(à) cáró-à-tē ~ cáró-à-tē	‘hang (sth) up’
c.	mlē ⁿ -jāʔā	mí-jāʔā	(à) mlí ⁿ -a ⁿ -jāʔā	‘disperse (intr)’
d.	sè ⁿ -tó	sà ⁿ -tó	(à) sē ⁿ -à ⁿ -tó	‘put together’

A three-verb compound in Ipfv form is (à) yé-à-kó-à-yé ‘went around weeping’ from /yé-à-kó-à-yé/ (women, 2017-18 @ 00:17).

10.1.6.2 Vb2 takes base stem in composite Pfv

We have seen that many individual verbs distinguish three stems by some combination of segmental and tonal oppositions. In theory, verb-verb compounds should harmonize the stems of the initial and final as in (689).

(689)	Pfv	base	Ipfv
	Vb1. Pfv -Vb2. Pfv	Vb1. Base -Vb2. Base	(à) Vb1. Ipfv -à-Vb2. Ipfv

Indeed, Vb1 (if it occurs independently) does present its usual forms including Pfv as initial in compounds. However, Vb2 (if it occurs independently) is often restricted when it functions as compound final. In particular, the Vb2 base regularly spreads into perfective contexts (for exceptions see §10.1.6.3 below). The actual paradigmatic structure for verb-verb compounds is therefore not (689) but (690), with the morphological stem category of Vb2 bolded.

(690)	Pfv	base	Ipfv
	Vb1.Pfv-Vb2. Base	Vb1.Base-Vb2. Base	(à) Vb1.Ipfv-à-Vb2. Ipfv

Pfv compounds are strongly asymmetrical, with only Vb1 treated as a main-clause verb with full aspectual marking. The Ipfv's, by contrast, are symmetrical, simply juxtaposing the independently existing Ipfv forms of the two verbs, complete with the Ipfv particle. The bases are also symmetrical.

The formulae in (690) have an immediate diachronic explanation if we assume that verb-verb compounds originated as infinitival constructions. We will show in chapter 15 that a second VP or clause can be added to an initial clause in infinitival form. The verb in an infinitival phrase (VP or clause) takes either base or Ipfv form (the latter preceded by the Ipfv particle). The Pfv stem cannot occur in infinitival phrases. So we reconstruct as in (691).

(691)	a. perfective contexts		
	*Vb1.Pfv	[kō	Vb2.Base ...]
	b. base contexts (e.g. perfective negative, NA-future)		
	*Vb1.Base	[kō	Vb2.Base ...]
	c. imperfective contexts		
	*à Vb1.Ipfv	[kō	à Vb2.Ipfv ...]

For this reconstruction to evolve into the attested verb-verb compound construction, infinitival *kō is attritted to zero in (691a-b), and *kō à is attritted to -à- in (691c). Indeed, infinitival kō is synchronically quite often lenited to gō, wō, or even ō.

We do not suggest that every verb-verb compound directly derives from an infinitival construction. We argue only that many of them do, and that those that do have defined the morphosyntax for all verb-verb compounds.

Verb-verb compounds are structurally distinct from full iterations of the same verb. In such iterations, like *tīē-tīē* (Pfv), *tē-tē* (base), and (à) *tē-tē* (Ipfv), each stem including Pfv is iterated verbatim and there is no intercalated Ipfv -à-. See (635-636) in §9.5.

10.1.6.3 Exceptional use of Pfv form in compound Vb2

Our lexical files show no examples of Pfv compounds whose Vb2 is marked as Pfv by vocalic shifts such as fronting of a back or low vowel to e or ε. The normal pattern, described schematically in the preceding subsection, is exemplified concretely in (692). The simple verb ‘raise (neck)’ has a distinctive Pfv *cè* with fronted vowel. This Pfv form cannot occur as Vb2 in the compound. Instead, the base stem *cā* replaces it (dropping to *cà-* before H-tone).

(692)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss
	a. <i>ɲū̄̄</i>	<i>ɲó</i>	<i>ɲú</i>	‘look (at)’
	b. <i>cè-ɲó</i>	<i>cà-ɲó</i>	<i>cā-à-ɲú</i>	‘look up (at)’

This is the general pattern. However, a rhotic Pfv does occur exceptionally as Vb2 in (693). The medial Ipfv -à- confirms that this is a verb-verb compound, not an iteration.

(693)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	dialect	gloss
	a. <i>dó-dēr̄̄</i>	<i>dó-dō</i>	<i>dó-à-dō</i>	Fl	‘be lacking, missing’
	"	"	<i>dó-à-dū</i>	Ji	"
	<i>júá-dō</i>	"	<i>dó-à-dō</i>	Bi	"
	b. <i>dáró-dēr̄̄</i>	<i>dó-dō</i>	<i>dó-à-dō</i>	Ma	‘be lacking, missing’

The morphology is not transparent. The initial resembles *dēr̄̄/dó/dó* (and variants) ‘divide, share’. The compounds in (693a) have an iterative look, and this impression is strengthened by the Ma paradigm (693b). We suggest that these paradigms are in the process of evolving from iterations to verb-verb compounds, with Ma dialect reflecting an earlier stage of the transition. In Ma, the only changes are the imposition of an H-M tone melody, and the intercalation of Ipfv -à-. The other dialects go farther by reducing the initial in the Pfv form of the compound.

The H-M overlay does not occur with true verb iterations synchronically. However, distributive numeral iterations do drop the tone of the second iteration, as in *ò sáⁿ-sàⁿ* ‘three by three’ (372b) in §4.6.1.6.

Other verbs that have rhotic Pfv’s when uncompounded do not allow them to appear in Vb2 position in compounds. For example, ‘be sated’ has a rhotic Pfv *dēr̄̄* as simple verb (694a), it is replaced by the non-rhotic base -*dé* as Vb2 after a Pfv Vb1 (694b).

(694)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss
	a. d̄ōrē	dé	dé ~ dí	‘be sated, full’
	b. ɲùḁ-dé	ɲḁ-dé	ɲī-à-dé ~ -dí	‘quench one’s thirst’

10.1.6.4 Tones in verb compounds

We have seen that uncompounded verbs either have invariant tones or a one-notch tone distinction, usually LMM (L-toned Pfv and M-toned base=Ipfv) or MHH (M-toned Pfv and H-toned base=Ipfv).

Suppose first that Vb1 and Vb2 both have invariant tones. The combinations and their tonal outputs (excluding the Ipfv) are shown in the array (695). The outputs show Pfv and base separated by /. The Pfv compounds have the same tones as the base compounds for these verbs. We bold the output type where underlying M-H surfaces as L-H by the tone sandhi process M#H-to-L#H (§3.6.2.2), as well as the true L-H type with which it merges.

(695)	Vb1 tone	Vb2 tone	output (Pfv / base)
	a. L	H	L-H / L-H
		M	L-M / L-M
		L	L-L / L-L
	b. M	H	L-H / L-H
		M	M-M / M-M
		L	M-L / M-L
	c. H	H	H-H / H-H
		M	H-M / H-M
		L	H-L / H-L

Now suppose that Vb1 shifts tones from Pfv to base=Ipfv. There is no comparable issue if Vb2 shifts tones, since its Pfv form cannot occur in compounds. So our formulae allow for tone shifts in Vb1 but not in Vb2. The formulae are in (696). Now there are two pairings that call for commentary, in both cases because of the same M#H-to-L#H tone sandhi process. First, what should be a compound type with Pfv L-H and base M-H is unified tonally as L-H (696a). This is now indistinguishable from the two L-H types in (695) above. Second, what should be a compound type with Pfv M-H and base H-H is polarized into Pfv L-H versus base H-H. In other words, Vb1 now appears with L-toned Pfv and H-toned base, resulting in a surface LHH pattern that is impossible in uncompounded verbs.

(696)	Vb1 tone	Vb2 tone	output (Pfv / base)
	a. L/M	L	L-L / M-L
		M	L-M / M-M
		H	L-H / L-H

b. M/H	L	M-L / H-L
	M	M-M / H-M
	H	L-H / H-H

Let us now add the Ipfv forms of the compounds in the types that have been bolded in (695) and (696). Because of the intercalated Ipfv particle -à-, a H-toned Vb2 can no longer trigger M#H-to-L#H and drop Vb1 from M to L. In (697) we assume that both verbs have the same base and Ipfv tones, like the vast majority of verbs.

(697)	Pfv/base type	Ipfv
a.	L-H / L-H (695a)	(à) L-à-H
	L-H / L-H (695b)	(à) M-à-H
	L-H / L-H (696a)	"
b.	L-H / H-H (696b)	(à) H-à-H

The three input classes whose Pfv/base pairings are tonally merged (697a) split into two Ipfv types, as a Vb1 of invariant M-tone or of LMM type appears in M-toned form, while a Vb1 of invariant L-tone remains L. In (697b), the H-H tones of the base are carried over into the à H-à-H Ipfv.

The formulae have to be adjusted when either Vb1 or Vb2 is one of the few verbs that belongs to a minority tonal type LLM ('come', 'sleep', 'laugh', 'stone-grind') or LML ('see'). These verbs have different tones in base and Ipfv.

One warning: certain verbs have a lower tone as Vb1 or as Vb2 in compounds, beyond what is attributable to tone sandhi. For example, 'eat (meal)' is *dīē/dí/dí* in all dialects. As Vb1 before *dārē/dé/dé* ~ *dí* 'be satiated, have enough', the regular output including tone sandhi is (698a). This in fact is the Bi paradigm. By contrast, the Fl and Ji speakers treat 'eat (meal)' as M-toned *dīē/dī/dī*, or possibly as LMM *dīè/dī/dī* (which would have the same outputs). The M-tone is overt in the Ipfv, and must also be posited in the base in order to account for the surface L-tone after M#H-to-L#H tone sandhi.

(698)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	dialect
a.	<i>dīè-dé</i>	<i>dí-dé</i>	(à) <i>dí-à-dé</i>	Bi
b.	<i>dīè-dé</i>	<i>dī-dé</i>	(à) <i>dī-à-dé</i>	Fl
	"	"	(à) <i>dī-à-dí</i>	Ji

One might speculate that the diachronic motivation for the shift in tones of 'eat (meal)' as Vb1 was precisely to reduce the gap between the L-tone of the Pfv and the H-tones of the base and Ipfv in the Bi-type compound. However, why this happens in one case but not in another is unanswerable.

fīē/fó/fó 'pass, go past, continue going' is MHH as simple verb. As Vb2 it is M-toned in *ló-fō* 'make a detour and keep going'.

tīē/té/té ‘put down’ is MHH as simple verb. As Vb2 it keeps these tones in transparent compounds, but in more lexicalized compounds it is usually M-toned, as in cǎró-tē ‘hang up’ (1044b).

já ‘leave (along), let’ is invariant and H-toned in most dialects (Bi has Pfv jē). As Vb2 it is M-toned in glú-jā ‘be deminished’.

yé ‘walk’ is invariant and H-toned as simple verb. It is M-toned (and drops further to L before H-tone by tone sandhi) in yè-ló-bā?ā ‘wander around’ and yè-yí?í ‘walk around’. The M-tone surfaces in the Ipfv forms: yē-à-ló-à-b(l)í?í and yē-à-yí?í.

10.1.6.5 Verb-verb compounds with invariant final

Verbs of the invariant type Pfv=base=Ipfv and of the bipartite type Pfv ≠ base=Ipfv are invariant as Vb2 in compounds, because they do not distinguish base from Ipfv to begin with and because verb-verb compounds extend the base into the composite Pfv. Lists of verbs of these two types are given in §10.1.1 (invariant) and §10.1.2 (bipartite).

The invariant verb in (699a) is the final in the compound (699b). The initial means ‘sleep (v)’.

(699)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss
a.	glù ⁿ	glù ⁿ	(à) glù ⁿ	‘rumble, growl’
b.	dē-glù ⁿ	dō-glù ⁿ	(à) dē-ā-glù ⁿ	‘snore’ (FI)

The bipartite verb (700a) is reduced to just ló as Vb2 (700b). As Vb1 its Pfv as well as its base=Ipfv stems occur (700c). ló- in the Ipfv is ló- optionally assimilating to -à- as part of vv-Contraction (§3.4.6.4).

(700)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss
a.	lē	ló	(à) ló	‘turn, move, flip’
b.	dē ⁿ ?ē ⁿ -ló	dú ⁿ ?ú ⁿ -ló	(à) dú ⁿ ?-à ⁿ -ló	‘stir up (and flip)’
c.	lē-bā?ā	ló-bā?ā	(à) ló-à-blí?í ~ (à) ló-à-blí?í	‘surround’

Given the bipartite stem paradigm lē/ló/ló and the extension of base as Vb2 in composite Pfv’s, all forms in (700) are predictable. For more compounds with lē/ló/ló, see §15.1.1.7.

10.1.6.6 Verb-verb compounds with variable final

By the rules given above, verbs that distinguish base from Ipfv maintain this morphological opposition as Vb2 in compounds. Some relevant compounds are in (701).

(701) Two forms of second stem

Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	comment
a. lē-bāʔā	ló-bāʔā	(à) ló-à-bīʔī ~ (à) ló-à-bīʔī	‘surround’	
b. flè-ɲó	flè-ɲó	(à) flè-à-ɲú ~ (à) flè-à-ɲú	‘peek’	
c. gbà-kú	gò-kú	(à) gò-à-cúí ~ (à) gò-à-cúí Ji: (à) gù-à-cúí	‘chop (wood)’	
d. kplè-bà	klò-bà	(à) klò-à-bē ~ (à) klò-à-bē	‘approach (here)’	
e. gbà-tōrā ⁿ	gò-tōrā ⁿ	(à) gò-à-tōrē ⁿ ~ (à) gò-à-tōrē ⁿ Ji: (à) gù-à-tōrē ⁿ	‘squat’	

10.1.6.7 Triple Vb1-Vb2-Vb3 and quadruple compounds

A number of compounds containing three verb stems have turned up in texts and elicitation. Only the first verb has full aspect marking. Medial Ipfv -à- occurs at both junctions.

Many triple compounds are decomposable into binary compounds, one of whose elements is itself a compound. In most of our examples the final is composite, so the bracketing is [Vb1-[Vb2-Vb3]]. However, the bracketing has no effect on the output forms.

(702) Triple verb compounds

Pfv	base	Ipfv	dialect	gloss
gbè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ -yī-dà ⁿ	gbā ⁿ ʔā ⁿ -yī-dà ⁿ	gbā ⁿ ʔ-à ⁿ -yī-ā-dà ⁿ	Fl Ji	‘cross (road)’
kpè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ -yí-ʃíʔí	kòʔò-yí-ʃíʔí	kɔʔ-à-yíʔ-ā-ʃíʔí	Fl	‘get up’
sè ⁿ -ló-càʔà	sé ⁿ -ló-càʔà	sé ⁿ -à-ló-ā-càʔà ~ sé ⁿ -à-ló-ā-càʔà	(various)	‘lie on back’

gbāⁿʔāⁿ-yī-dàⁿ is gbāⁿʔāⁿ ‘block, bar (path)’ plus yī-dàⁿ ‘jump (over), cross’. kòʔò-yí-ʃíʔí is kɔʔɔ ‘uproot, extract; be uprooted’ plus yíʔí-ʃíʔí ‘get up’. séⁿ-ló-càʔà is séⁿ ‘lie down, go to bed’ plus ló-càʔà ‘lie flat on one’s back’.

A quadruple compound is táⁿ-bó-wē-tàʔà (do.again-tie.Base-put.in.Base-stick.on.Base) in (Bi, 2017-08 @ 03:02).

10.1.7 Obligatorily reduplicative verbs

A few verbs are intrinsically reduplicative (Cv-) or fully iterative. The two types are indistinguishable if the base is just Cv. These stems do not occur in unreduplicated forms, which distinguishes them from derivational iterations (§9.5). The forms are invariant, showing no special Pfv or Ipfv features, and there is no intercalated Ipfv particle -à-. The number of such verbs is low, and there are some dialectal variants. It is interesting to observe the L-H and especially L-M tone patterns in most of them, and the apparently intrusive l in (703f).

(703)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	dialects	gloss
a.	cò-còḡḡ	cò-còḡḡ	cò-còḡḡ	Ji Ma	‘rinse (mouth)’
	cò-cḡḡḡ	cò-cḡḡḡ	cò-cḡḡḡ	Fl	''
	còḡḡ-cḡḡḡ	còḡḡ-cḡḡḡ	còḡḡ-cḡḡḡ	Bi	''
b.	dè ⁿ -dè ⁿ	dè ⁿ -dè ⁿ	dè ⁿ -dè ⁿ	Bi Fl	‘stalk (v), lie in wait for’
c.	—	—	gḡḡ-gḡḡ	Fl Ma	‘(eyes) blink’
	—	—	gḡḡ-gḡḡ	Fl	''
d.	sò-só	sò-só	sò-só	Fl	‘contradict, disagree with’ (< Jula)
e.	tè-té	tè-té	tè-té	Ji	‘(baby) take first steps’
	tè-tē	tè-té	tè-tē	Fl	''
f.	kè-klē	kè-klē	kè-klē	Ji	‘ruin, damage’
	kè-klē?ē	kè-klē?ē	kè-klē?ē	Fl	''

While (703f) is obscurely related to equally invariant kè?è/kè?è/kè?è ‘ruin’ or ‘be ruined’, none of the reduplicatives in (703) is a productive derivational iteration.

See also the discussion of dḡ-dḡ ‘be lacking, be missing’ in §10.1.6.3 above.

10.2 Positive indicative categories

At the level of narrow verb phrase (verb plus preverbal inflectional particles), the positive (=affirmative) indicative categories are those in (704). Except for the progressive, which preposes the direct object to the verb, the clause-level order is S-(infl-)V-O-X.

(704)	category	particle	verb stem
a.	perfective positive system		
	perfective (positive)	—	Pfv
	BE future perfective	bè	Pfv

b. imperfective positive system			
imperfective	à	Ipfv	
BE future imperfective	bè	Ipfv	
c. future positive system			
NA future	nà	base	
d. progressive positive system			
progressive	kō	progressive + postposition	

The most common future is the NA future. The less common BE future divides into perfective and imperfective subtypes.

Only the most basic TAMP (tense-aspect-mood-polarity) categories are described here. Some verb-verb compounds (§15.1) and verb plus infinitival VP constructions (§15.2) express related categories including relative tense, initiation or completion of actions, and experiential perfect ‘have ever VP-ed’.

Deontic modals including imperative and hortatives are covered in §10.4 below.

10.2.1 Perfective positive system

In addition to the simple perfective described below, see the experiential perfect (‘have ever VPed’) with -pó (§15.1.4.3) and the ‘finish VPing’ with -kō ‘finish’ (§15.1.3.6).

10.2.1.1 Perfective (positive)

10.2.1.1.1 Perfective clause with Pfv stem without particle

The perfective (positive) at narrow verb-phrase level consists of the Pfv stem with no preceding inflectional particle. It is the only indicative construction with zero inflectional particle. In simple main clauses, it corresponds roughly to English past tense.

- (705) a. nó bà kú?úⁿ
 1Sg come.Pfv today
 ‘I came today.’ (Ji)
- b. [ē wù?ó] jùðⁿ nó
 [Art snake] bite.Pfv 1Sg
 ‘A/The snake bit me.’ (Ji)

A perfective clause presents an event as having occurred and been completed in a time interval preceding the moment of speaking or some other temporal reference point.

In past-time narratives, the frequency of perfective clauses is less than one would expect. Sprinkled among true perfective clauses are many infinitival VPs for same-subject sequences, and infinitival clauses including subjects for different-subject sequences

(§15.2.1.1). For example, in (706) the infinitival clauses (especially ‘return’) occur instead of perfective clauses.

- (706) ɓ̃ⁿ [sɛ̃ⁿ-glō]-kɔ̃ [dáʔá jèrɔ̃ⁿ],
 3AnSg [take.off.Pfv]-finish.Base [time Rel],
 [ē sàròʔò] ò klá [ò ʔʔá-tɔ̃ⁿ]
 [Art baobab] **Infin** return.Base [**Infin** shut.Base]
 ‘When she (=hare) had finished picking (them) out, the baobab closed up again.’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 02:02)

The perfective construction can occur in contexts where an explicitly stative-resultative verb form would be required in many other languages. This is not the case with stative adjectival predicates like ‘be red’, which are Ipfv-like in form. It is the case with verbs of stance (‘sit’, ‘stand’, ‘lie down’, and so forth). In Tiefo-D there is no consistent distinction between ‘X sat down’ and stative ‘X is sitting (=seated)’, cf. Fr *il s’est assis* versus *il est assis*. The alternative translations in (707) should be understood to be stative (not progressive) in spite of the ambiguity in Eng *is sitting* etc.

- (707) zàkí sɛ̃ⁿ / yīʔē-ʃīʔì / tɛ̀rèⁿ
 Z lie.down.**Pfv** / get.up.**Pfv** / sit.**Pfv**
 ‘Zaki lay down / got up / sat down.’
 or: ‘Zaki is lying down (=prone) / standing (=erect) / sitting (=seated).’ (Ji)

One might interpret the stative translations (‘be prone/erect/seated’) as reflecting implicatures from the semantically primary change of state (‘lay down/stood up/sat down’), rather than being directly asserted by the Pfv verb. However, this is difficult to reconcile with examples like (708). For *kā* = à-dàⁿ see (1204a) below.

- (708) zàkí tɛ̀rèⁿ mā, kā = à-dàⁿ kúⁿʔúⁿ
 Z sit.**Pfv** there.Def, **Infin** come.Base-arrive.Base today
 ‘Zaki is still sitting (=seated) (there).’ (Ji)
 (lit. “Zaki is seated (=has sat) there, until today/now”)

Similarly, the verb *kùⁿ/kɔ̃ⁿ/kɔ̃ⁿ* ‘know, realize’ (Fr *savoir*) typically occurs in the perfective in present-time stative contexts, as in ‘X knows/realizes that ...’. The nuance is somewhat like the English perfect *have found out* (709).

- (709) nóⁿ kùⁿ jèrɔ̃ⁿ ...
 1Pl know.**Pfv** Rel ...
 ‘what I know of (is that ...)’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 02:34)

The other ‘know’ verb *jī* tends to mean ‘be familiar with’ (Fr *connaître*) and is always Ipfv.

Perfective BE-futures denote single events. For a less common imperfective BE-future denoting multiple future events, with *bè* plus *Ipfv* verb, see §10.2.2.2 below.

The idea that future *bè* is etymologically related to *Ipfv* *bē* ‘come(s)’ is suggestive but doubtful, even if we reconstruct the future particle as **bē*. An *Ipfv* verb requires a preceding *Ipfv* particle *à*, which is absent in the BE-future. A more tortuous etymological relationship cannot be ruled out, however.

Winkelmann states that the (perfective) *bè* future differs from the *nà* future in that *bè* indicates that the future event is certain to happen, while *nà* expresses an intended action. This implies that 1Sg subject (and logophoric subject) should be usual in NA-futures but uncommon in BE-futures. This is broadly verified by our data, though the situation is a bit more complex.

Examples of elicited BE-futures are In (712a-c). These are from the Ji speaker who generally preferred NA-futures in elicitation. Our Fl speaker, on the other hand, tended to prefer BE-futures in elicitation.

- (712) a. [ē wùʔú] bē diè-só
 [Art house] Fut fall.Pfv
 ‘A/The house will fall.’ (Ji)
- b. zàkí bē gbā = [Ø bũⁿʔɔⁿ]
 Z Fut hit.Pfv [Art dog]
 ‘Zaki will hit a/the dog.’ (Ji)
- c. nó bē nà = ò
 1Sg Fut see.Pfv 3AnSgObj
 ‘I will see him/her.’ (Ji)

The textual excerpts of perfective BE-futures in (713) are from an extended passage that describes tasks that are planned by the community (road signs will be erected to attract tourists to the local grotto). The futures have the flavor of ‘are to be installed’, ‘are to be planted’, ‘is to be done’.

- (713) a. [à = Ø gbāʔā] à bè tiē [ānàʔà pà-pàʔà],
 [3Inan Ipv be.big.Ipv] 3Inan Fut be.put.down.Pfv [face flat],
 kō lè [à tiē-kà]
 Infin show.Base [3Inan put.down.Pfv-manner]
 ‘It (=road sign) is big. It will be installed broadside (i.e. facing motorists), to show (=enhance) its installation position.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 08:35)
 (*bè tiē* repeated later @ 09:27)
- b. *donc* [è plákí] bē kpèⁿʔèⁿ
 so [Art sign] Fut be.planted.Pfv
 ‘So road sign(s) will be planted (=erected) ...’ (Fl, 2017-11 @ 09:15)

- c. wálà→, [[bè tóʔ] =à] [à bē klè kà-tó]
voilà, [[Dem.Def Foc] it.is] [3Inan **Fut** be.done.**Pfv** like.that]
‘Right. That (way) [focus] is how it will be done.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 09:19)

The textual examples in (714) are from conditionals, which foreground the truth value of each clause.

- (714) a. dè [jó= ò bà bè yīēʔē] [dè bon]
say.Pfv [if 3Pl if **Fut** go.**Pfv**] [say.Pfv well]
dè bá= à jī= [[Ø jíó-kèⁿ jī] tòʔò]
say.Pfv LogoSg Ipfv know [[Art magician Indef] place]
‘(Hare:) said: “if you-Pl will go (that way), well, I know the location of a magician.”’ (Fl, 2017-05 @ 02:08)
- b. jó = ʃⁿ nè jūʔʃ [Ø làⁿ-ní] [[ē cīʃⁿ bàʔà],
if 3AnSg IpfvPast hear.Base [Art advise-VbIN] [[Art bird] Dat],
dáʔá-ʃíʔé ʔⁿ bè būō [ʔⁿ míⁿʔáⁿ]
at.that.time 3AnSg **Fut** get.**Pfv** [3AnSgRefl Refl]
‘If he (=hyena) had listened to advice from the bird, then he would have gotten (=saved) himself.’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 11:00)
- c. [jó= ʔⁿ mà bē tərèⁿ-pʃⁿ,
[if 3AnSg if **Fut** sit.**Pfv**-be.able.Base,
ʔⁿ wō dò = nì
3AnSg Infin say.Base 3InanObj
‘If he can (=is willing to) be seated (=serve as chief), he says (it).’
(Ma, 2018-01 @ 01:17)
- d. móⁿ bē dè—, móⁿ mā dè ...
2Sg **Fut** say.**Pfv**—, 2Sg if say.Base ...
‘you will say—, if you say (that ...)’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 06:11)

The remaining textual examples express foregrounded, momentous future events (715). This is obvious in (715a-c). In (715d), the event is key to a young woman’s winning a husband. In (715e), Hyena slyly plots to impersonate a singer at a future time in order to catch his prey.

- (715) a. dē [kèⁿ á] bē kùò bùò
Quot [fellow Dem.An.Sg] **Fut** kill.**Pfv** 2Pl
‘(He) said, “the fellow will kill you-Pl.”’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 01:42)
- b. [yúó jərʃⁿ] bē sùtérá móⁿ [máⁿ= áⁿ kʃⁿ =ʔ]
[person Rel] **Fut** bury.**Pfv** 2Sg] [2Sg PfvNeg know.Base Neg]
‘You-Sg don’t know who (=which of your children) will bury you.’
(Bi, 2017-07 @ 09:51)

- c. [ē jùʔé] bē ʃiʔè [[móⁿ fǎráⁿ] dó] [ðⁿ móⁿ] dò
 [Art God] **Fut** give.**Pfv** [[2Sg too] Poss.Inan] [Dat 2Sg] Emph
 ‘God will truly give you your share too.’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 10:33)
- d. ðⁿ mē nà [ā tǝʔǝ]
 3AnSg **Fut** see.**Pfv** [3Inan place],
 ‘(She said:) “You-Sg will see its (=the) place.” ’
 (women, 2017-13 @ 02:48)
- e. [bó fǎráⁿ] bē bà
 [3AnSg too] **Fut** come.**Pfv**
 [gā = à-wō [Ø dǝrìⁿ? = â =]] [Ø kō jī]
 [Infin come.Base-sing.Base [Art song Dem.InanSg]] [Art day Indef]
 ‘(Hyena thought:) “I too will come and sing this song some day.” ’
 (Bi, 2017-07 @ 01:45)

Few textual examples of perfective BE-future have a true first person subject, partially confirming Winkelmann’s analysis. The examples involve predictions of dramatic events, statements of tasks that ‘are to be’ carried out, and conditionals. In different ways they all foreground the truth of the future event.

10.2.1.3 Future bè = ʔí- ‘will go and ...’

In constructions of the type [X go [Infin go.Base-Vb2.Base ...] with ‘go’ echoed as a semantically redundant Vb1 in a verb-verb compound after the infinitival morpheme kō, the second ‘go’ takes a dialectally variable form differing from that of main-clause yīʔē/yíʔí/yíʔí ‘go’. Our F1 speaker has kò ó-, reducible to k = ó- in allegro speech (§15.2.3.3.1). He has a similar modification of ‘go’ as Vb1 when the compound follows future nà, hence nà á- ‘will go and ...’ (§10.2.3.2).

After future bè, the F1 speaker has bè = ʔí-Vb2 ‘will go and Vb2’. Compare the future with uncompounded ‘go’ (716a) with the compounded form in (716b). Vb2 takes base rather than Pfv form in compounds. A fuller construction with kò ó- as infinitival add-on is (716c).

- (716) a. ðⁿ bè yīʔē
 3AnSg Fut go.**Pfv**
 ‘He/She will go.’ (all)
- b. ðⁿ bè = ʔí-séⁿ
 3AnSg Fut **go.Pfv**-lie.down.Base
 ‘He/She will go and lie down.’ (F1)
- c. ðⁿ bè yīēʔē [kò ó-séⁿ]
 3AnSg Fut go.**Pfv** [**Infin** **go.Base**-lie.down.Base]
 ‘He/She will go and lie down.’ (F1)

Since glottal stop does not normally occur word-initially, we conclude that =ʔí- is phonologically encliticized to bè. We transcribe bè =ʔí- with the enclitic boundary =.

Although =ʔí occurs in a construction calling for a Pfv verb, =ʔí sounds more like a contraction of base yíʔí (for Fl, yīʔí) than one of Pfv yīʔē.

10.2.1.4 Combinations nà bè and nà kò

When the two rival future markers, nà and bè, seemingly combine, the result is an irrealis statement ('would VP' or 'would have VPed'). We attribute the shift in meaning to the nà morpheme which we gloss as CFact (counterfactual) in this combination. This morpheme has a similar epistemic shift in the combination nà kò.

See §16.4.6 for nà bè and §16.4.7 for nà kò.

10.2.2 Imperfective positive system

10.2.2.1 Imperfective positive with à plus Ipfv

An imperfective positive verb phrase has L-toned particle à before a verb in its Ipfv stem. à raises to ā before an L-tone (§3.6.2.1), but it is still subtly distinct tonally from PfvNeg á. The perfective negative construction also uses the base stem of the verb.

Imperfective clauses are the usual way to express recurrent, often habitual events, cf. the English simple present: *see(s)*, *eat(s)*. In narratives that are clearly set in the past, a simple imperfective may occur without an overt past marker.

(717) are simple imperfective positives with à plus an Ipfv verb. As long as à is present, the imperfective positive construction is easily recognized, even if the verb has identical base and Ipfv forms. 'See' and 'eat (meat)' (717a-b) do distinguish the two. 'Exit' has glú for both base and Ipfv, but because à is present, (717c) is unmistakably imperfective positive at narrow VP and at clause level.

- (717) a. zàkí ā jè nó
 Z **Ipfv** see.Ipfv 1Sg
 'Zaki sees me (regularly).' (Ji)
- b. [mó sē] ā kē= [Ø kàʔá] =ā
 [2Sg father] **Ipfv** eat.meat.Ipfv [Art meat] Q
 'Does your-Sg father eat meat?' (Ji)
- c. ná= à glú
 1Sg Ipfv exit(v).Ipfv
 'I go out.' (Ji)

This à is distinct from 3Inan pronominal à, though the two are homophonous and show the same tonal behavior. The inanimate pronominal occurs either clause-initially (as subject) or

postverbally (e.g. as PP complement, or as possessor). Ipfv à always immediately follows a nonzero subject (or infinitival kō) and is therefore never clause-initial or postverbal.

3Inan à and Ipfv à combine as à = Ø, pronounced [à] without vocalic lengthening. The distinction between the perfective positive with simple 3Inan à and the imperfective positive à = Ø is made by the choice of verb stem (Pfv versus Ipfv), and/or by raising of à but not à = Ø to M-tone before L-tone (718a-b). Likewise with 3AnSg ðⁿ versus imperfective ðⁿ = Ø, and with 3Pl ò versus imperfective ò = Ø.

- (718) a. à klē ‘It returned.’
 à = Ø klá ‘It returns.’
- b. ā diè-só ‘It fell.’
 à = Ø dī-à-ǰí ‘It falls.’

Like PfvNeg particle á, Ipfv à fuses partially with 1st/2nd person and logophoric subject pronouns. For example, 1Sg imperfective nó à often contracts as nó = à or ná = à. and the final o of 1Pl é-yùo and of 2Pl or LogoPl bùò is elided (é-yù = à, bù = à).

For the full set of contractions of proclitic subject pronominals with Ipfv à, see the right-hand column in (130) in §3.4.6.3.

In all combinations with Ipfv à except those with third-person proclitics, Ipfv à is raised to M-tone before an L-initial verb stem (§3.6.2.1). This applies after pronominal as well as noun-headed NP subjects. For example, 1Sg nó à is realized before L as nó ā, nó = ā, or ná = ā.

Further examples of imperfective clauses with dynamic (i.e. aspectually sensitive) verbs are in (719).

- (719) a. mój = ā kě = [Ø kàʔá] = ā
 2Sg **Ipfv** eat.meat.**Ipfv** [Art meat] Q
 ‘Do you-Sg eat meat?’ (Ji)
- b. ðⁿ = Ø bē [kò-kò bíéʔ]
 3AnSg **Ipfv** come.**Ipfv** [Rdp-day all]
 ‘He/She comes every day.’ (Ji)
- c. [bó à sīⁿ [Ø [kē-ǰùⁿʔðⁿ]] ǰǰná] nī
 [LogoSg **Ipfv** work(v).**Ipfv** [Art [work(n)]] situation] Loc
 ‘(He said:) “(This is) the way I work (=do things).” ’ (F1, 2017-03 @ 00:45)
- d. [[ē ǰǰèʔé] bā dē = [Ø kě-kě]]
 [[Art God] if say.Pfv [Art Rdp-matter]]
 [[bè tōʔá =] ā klè]
 [[Dem.Def Foc] **Ipfv** be.done.**Ipfv**]
 ‘If God says (=ordains) things, that [focus] is how it happens.’
 (F1, 2017-03 @ 03:13)

The imperfective is usual for mental verbs *jī* ‘know’, *sòⁿ* ‘think (believe)’, *fā* ‘look for, and seek; want’, denoting current mental states.

- (720) a. *é, mó à jī= [[Ø blí-ké] kě]*
 ah!, 2Sg **Ipfv** know.**Ipfv** [[Art hare] matter]
 ‘Ah! You know about hare.’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 01:05)
- b. *dè [jó bó =ō sòⁿ] dè ...*
 Quot [if 3AnSg **Ipfv** think.**Ipfv**] that ...
 ‘... that if he thinks that ...’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 04:00)
- c. *nó, kètèklú à fā [commencer = nì]*
 1Sg, (name) **Ipfv** seek.**Ipfv** [begin 3InanObj]
 ‘I, Keteklu, want to begin it ...’ (Ma, 2017-02 @ 00:02)

Like the English present tense, the Tiefu-D imperfective can be used loosely for a future event.

- (721) *ḡ bā dè [má= ā klě= [Ø kě]]*
 2Sg if say.Base [2Sg **Ipfv** do.**Ipfv** [Art thing]]
 ‘if you-Sg say (=intend) that you (will) do a (certain) thing, ...’
 (Fl, 2017-03 @ 02:54)

Ipfv à also occurs with various predicates that denote stative qualities (722); see also §11.4.1 on adjectival predicates.

- (722) [*bó dó] ā cò*
 [3AnSg however] **Ipfv** be.clever.**Ipfv**
 ‘It (=hare) however is shrewd.’ (Fl, 2017-05 @ 00:36)

Ipfv à is not part of the identificational ‘it’s X’ construction (§11.2.1), and it does not combine with copula *kō* ‘be’ (§11.2.2). *Ipfv à* does combine with the homophonous infinitival morpheme *kō* as *k=ā*, followed by an *Ipfv* verb (§15.2.2).

10.2.2.2 Imperfective future with *bè* plus *Ipfv*

To indicate that the future event may recur, it is possible to have *bè* followed by an *Ipfv* rather than the usual *Pfv* verb. (723b) is the imperfective version of (723a).

- (723) a. *zàkí bē kùò mó*
 Z Fut hit.**Pfv** 2Sg
 ‘Zaki will hit you-Sg (once).’

- b. zàkí bē cùì mó
 Z Fut hit.Ipfv 2Sg
 ‘Zaki will hit you-Sg (more than once).’

We have no textual examples of the imperfective BE-future. This is likely because the simple imperfective can be used in future contexts.

10.2.2.3 Past habitual with *nǎ* plus Ipfv

This construction has post-subject particle *nǎ* (Bi *nǎⁿ*) plus Ipfv verb form. An example is *nǎⁿ jīⁿ* ‘used to drink’ (Bi). With its phonetic prolongation and with its rising tone, which is not lowered before an H-tone, *nǎ* sounds like it should be decomposable, for example into a past morpheme and an aspectual morpheme. However, there are no obvious candidates for either part. The past morphemes are dialectally variable (*tá*, *dè*, etc.), and Bi dialect *dè* is nasalized to *nè* only after a nasal syllable. As for the second element, PfvNeg *á* would be a good choice phonologically, but it is semantically disconnected.

nǎ Vb.Ipfv (past habitual) is distinct in both form and function from *nà á*-Vb.Base, which is the NA-future of a verb-verb compound beginning with the *á*- allomorph of ‘go’ (§10.2.3.2). The difference between the two constructions is clear when the verb has distinct base and Ipfv stems.

Textual examples: (724a) is a main clause, while (724b) is a relative clause.

- (724) a. ... [Ø *náⁿ-dì-ò*] *nǎⁿ* *klè* *bè-yá-ró*
 ... [Art elder-Pl] **PastHabit** do.Ipfv thus
 ‘... the elders used to do that.’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 02:50)
- b. *bùò* *nǎ* *ʃɪⁿ=* [Ø *bérá* *jèróⁿ*]
 LogoPl **PastHabit** work(v).Ipfv [Art work(n) Rel]
 ‘(said:) “the work that we used to do ...” ’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 05:50)

More examples occur at the beginning of texts from 2019-03 to -10, which describe traditional agricultural and ritual practices that are no longer performed.

This construction with *nǎ* competes with the regular past imperfective, e.g. Fl past *tá à* plus Ipfv verb (§10.3.1.3) or Bi IpfvPast *dè* plus Ipfv verb (§10.3.1.8). The past imperfective can be used in past habitual contexts (among others), as in 2017-10 @ 03:41 (‘that is what we used to eat’).

10.2.3 Future positive system

We elevate the NA-future to constitute its own subsystem. The NA-future allows no aspectual marking. It differs in this from the BE-future, which has both perfective and imperfective versions.

In competition with all three explicitly future constructions (with *bè* and *nà*), the simple imperfective construction (with *à*) can describe future events, roughly as in English (*Tomorrow I go to Bobo*).

10.2.3.1 Future (positive) with *nà* plus base (NA-future)

A marked, explicitly future VP is characterized by post-subject particle *nà*, followed by the base of the verb. The 1Sg combination *nó* *nà* and the 2Sg combination *mó* *nà* sometimes contract in allegro speech to *ná* = *à* and *má* = *à*, respectively. This has the unfortunate consequence of merging the future with the imperfective. Ambiguity is averted when the following verb distinguishes base (used in the NA-future) from Ipfv stems.

The use of the base, rather than Pfv or Ipfv which follow the rival future particle *bè*, suggests that the NA-future is aspectually unmarked. Phonologically, *nà* does not raise to M-toned before an L-tone as some other C \bar{v} particles do (§3.6.2.1), and it does not allow a preceding L-toned pronominal proclitic to raise.

The NA-future was regularly produced by our Ji assistant in elicitation based on cues in future tense (in French). Examples are in (725).

- (725) a. *zàkí* *nà* *bà*
 Z **Fut** come.**Base**
 ‘Zaki will come.’ (Ji)
- b. *zàkí* *nà* *gǔ=* [\emptyset *būⁿ?ǎⁿ*]
 Z **Fut** hit.**Base** [Art dog]
 ‘Zaki will hit a/the dog.’ (Ji)
- c. *nó* *nà* *dò* *fāⁿ?āⁿ*
 1Sg **Fut** sleep.**Base** here
 ‘I will sleep here.’ (Ji)

The NA-future is called “Intentionalis” by Winkelmann on the grounds that the future eventuality is intended (*intendiert*) by the subject. Many examples in our data support this. However, the NA-future is so common in texts and elicitation that it is best analysed as the unmarked future. In some of our examples, it goes beyond voluntary acts by animate agents. (726a) has an inanimate subject that can be construed as a willful agent only with difficulty. (726b) has a human subject that will suffer an unwished-for accident.

- (726) a. [*ē* *cǎⁿ*] [\emptyset *blō*] *nà* *wó*
 [Art tomorrow] [Art rain(n)] **Fut** rain.fall.**Base**
 ‘Tomorrow (the) rain will fall.’ (= ‘... it will rain.’) (Ji)
- b. [*è* *náⁿ-bí*] *nà* *dì-só*
 [Art person] **Fut** fall.**Base**
 ‘The person will fall down.’ (Ji)

In the textual examples (727), the future event is hypothetical to varying extents, i.e. its future realization is not strongly asserted.

- (727) a. *bè nà plé*
 Dem.Def **Fut** be.better.Base
 ‘That (course of action) will (=would) be better.’ (Fl, 2017-11 @ 09:23)
- b. *[ē klòʔó] mà bú [à nī],*
 [Art road] if be.gotten.Base [3Inan Loc],
à nà dáⁿ [ʔⁿ [ò bíéʔ]]
 3Inan **Fut** please.Base [Dat [3Pl all]]
 ‘If the road is gotten therein (=thereby), it will please everybody.’
 (Ji, 2017-11 @ 07:32)
- c. *fó→ [jèrʔⁿ jū→] wùðʔó,*
 must [Rel eye] be.open.Base,
[bó tòʔó] nà jī bùò
 [3AnSg Foc] **Fut** see.Base 2Pl
 ‘It must be one whose eyes are open (=who can see), he [focus] will (be able to) see you-Pl.’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 02:02)
- d. *bon, é-yùò nà sūʔð= [Ø bí-mlèⁿ] [ʔⁿ bùò]*
 well, 1Pl **Fut** give.Base [Art how.much.money] [Dat 2Pl],
 ‘Well, how much money shall we give you-Pl?’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 05:14)

In (728), the NA-future is a kind of complement to an existential predicate. For this construction, which can be positive or negative, see §17.7.1.

- (728) *[ē diè] ní-mā [nà tó] = ?*
 [Art sauce] not.be [**Fut** sauce.be.cooked.Base] Neg
 ‘There was no sauce to cook.’ (Fl, 2017-05 @ 00:57)

Example (729a), consistent with Winkelmann’s interpretation, expresses the speaker’s or the subject’s intended future action. However, (729b) is clearly nonvolitional.

- (729) a. *nó nà fūðⁿ [Ø cī], kúⁿʔúⁿ*
 1Sg **Fut** soak.Base [Art grain] today
 ‘I will soak (sorghum) grain, today’ (women, 2017-17 @ 00:12)
- b. *donc jó= ð= Ø tì-jó= [à ūⁿʔúⁿ],*
 so if 3Pl PfvNeg go-look.at.Base [3Inan head],
á! [bó nà wú [[yá bè] nī]]
 ah! [LogoSg **Fut** die.Base [[Dem.InanSg Top.Inan] Loc]]
 ‘So, if you-Pl don’t go and do a consultation (with a magician), I will die in this state.’ (Fl, 2017-05 @ 01:49)

10.2.3.2 Future *nà á-* ‘will go and ...’

In constructions of the type [X *go* [Infin *go*.Base-Vb2.Base ...] with ‘go’ echoed as a semantically redundant Vb1 in a verb-verb compound after the infinitival morpheme *kō*, the second ‘go’ takes a form differing from that of main-clause *yí?ē/yí?í/yí?í* ‘go’. Our F1 speaker has infinitival *kò ó-* ‘and go-’, reducible to *k = ó-* in allegro speech (§15.2.3.3.1). A similar modification takes place with future *bè*, hence *bè = ?í-* ‘will go and ...’ (§10.2.1.3).

There is a similar construction with future *nà*. Compare simple ‘will go’ (730a) with ‘will go and ...’ (730b).

- (730) a. *òⁿ nà yí?í*
 3AnSg Fut go.Base
 ‘He/She will go.’ (all, with minor tonal variants for *yí?í*)
- b. *òⁿ nà = á -séⁿ/-tōrāⁿ*
 3AnSg Fut **go.Base**-lie.down.Base/-sit.Base
 ‘He/She will go and lie down (=go to bed)/sit down.’ (F1 Ji)

A textual example is (731).

- (731) *ō dè [bùò nà á-kù?ò*
 3Pl say.Pfv [LogoPl **Fut** **go.Base**-remove.leaf.Base
 [ā sòrò?ò-dōⁿ?ōⁿ]]
 [3Inan baobab-sticky.sauce]]
 ‘They said: “We’ll go strip off (leaves) for its baobab-leaf sticky sauce.” ’
 (F1, 2017-05 @ 00:58)

This *nà á-* is homophonous with past habitual *nă*, but the latter is followed by the Ipfv stem of the verb (§10.2.2.3).

10.2.4 Progressive system

While semantically the progressive is most naturally allied with the imperfective, its morphosyntax and morphology in Tiefo-D require separate treatment.

10.2.4.1 Morphosyntax of the progressive

The progressive construction has the ingredients in (732). The form of the verb is discussed in the next subsection.

- (732) a. *kō* ‘be’ (or *pēⁿ* ‘remain’) in post-subject position;
 b. object NP preceding (rather than following) the verb;
 c. verb is followed by *nī*, originally the locative postposition.

The formula can be represented as (733). The brackets suggest that [O Vb.Prog] originally functioned as the complement of the locative position. This in turn suggests that O, although an open-ended NP, was originally and perhaps still is a kind of compound initial for the verb, and that the verb form was nominal in function.

- (733) S kō [[O Vb.Prog] nī] ...
 ‘S is (engaged) in O-VERB(ing)’

A construction of this form is likely a slight modification of an original construction where the verb was a verbal noun. This combination of verbal noun and locative nī, without kō ‘be’, occurs in texts (734). -nī nī often reduces to [ńnī] or [ńñ] in these examples, and may reduce even further. For example, kpàʔà-ń nī (734b) sounds like [kpàʔáá] on the recording, with tones pointing to the correct morphosyntax in spite of some segmental attrition.

- (734) a. [ɔ̃ⁿ klè-ń] nī ‘(she) doing’ Bi, 2017-07 @ 05:13
 b. à-māⁿ [Ø kpàʔà-ń] nī ‘was in poverty’ Bi, 2017-08 @ 03:44
 c. [è sóʔó-ńí] nī ‘falling to ground’ women, 2017-16 @ 00:15
 d. gō [diè-ń nīⁿ] ‘was entering’ Bi, 2017-10 @ 04:54

Example (735a) presents a progressive-like construction with a noun (‘something bad’) instead of a verb as complement of the postposition. In (735b) the complement is a verbal noun; this looks very much like the ancestor of the productive progressive construction.

- (735) a. bà kō [[[Ø kè] má [[á kòʔó jī] nī]]]
 if **be** [[[Art matter] IpfvNeg [[Inan be.good Indef] **Loc**]]
 ‘if (the person) is (involved) in something bad’ (Ma, 2018-02 @ 00:37)
- b. dè [Ø ná-bíó] wō [[Ø kèⁿʔèⁿ-ń] nī]
 Quot [Art person.Pl] **be** [[Art ascend.Base-**VblN**] **Loc**]
 ‘The people are (=will be) climbing there.’ (women, 2017-13 @ 00:54)

However, most progressives cannot be analysed synchronically in the fashion of (733). This is because the verb is not in verbal-noun form, and because when the verb is transitive the object preceding it may be a full NP with its own determiners, plural marking, etc. Our interlinear glosses therefore refrain from over-interpreting the morphological categories. In interlinears we label the verb with “.Prog” and we label the final nī simply as Prog. The form of the progressive verb is taken up in the following subsection.

Constructions like (733) occur in some other West European languages, though we have not mapped this feature geographically. Such constructions stick out clearly in otherwise SVO languages, because of the preverbal position of the object. In addition to Tiefo-D, this is the case in Pere in Côte d’Ivoire, on which one of us has worked. By contrast, in Mande-type S-(infl)-O-V-X languages, there is no sharp difference between progressives and other indicatives since objects precede verbs in all transitive clauses.

There is little danger that the final nī in Tiefo-D progressives could be misparsed as the 3Inan object = nì, a postverbal enclitic. Objects precede rather than follow the verb in

progressives, and this applies to pronominal as well as nonpronominal objects. 3Inan object is expressed by proclitic à between kō ‘be’ and the verb, as in (738a,g) below.

Semantically, the progressive resembles the familiar English construction (e.g. *be sweeping*). In Tiefo-D it can be applied to some mental verbs like kùḏⁿkḵⁿkḵⁿ ‘know’, see (750b) in §10.2.5.7 below. There is no progressive for pure statives like jī ‘know, be acquainted with’. The progressive does occur with perception verbs, e.g. jī ‘see’. As in English, a progressive clause typically serves as background against which a new foregrounded event will be highlighted.

Some elicited progressive examples are in (736). As usual, the article ē is usually not heard immediately after kō ‘be’, which can therefore drop to kò before an H-tone.

- (736) a. zàkí kō [bǎ nī]
 Z be [come.Prog Prog]
 ‘Zaki is coming.’ (< bà) (Ji)
- b. zàkí kō [[[Ø bṽⁿʔḵⁿ] gǔ] nì] kúʔúⁿ
 Z be [[[Art dog] hit.Prog Prog] today
 ‘Zaki is hitting a/the dog today.’ (< gò) (Ji)
- c. zàkí kò [[nó gǔ] nī]
 Z be [[1Sg hit.Prog Prog]
 ‘Zaki is hitting me.’ (Ji)
- d. nó kò [dí nī]
 1Sg be [eat.Prog Prog]
 ‘I am eating.’ (Ji)
- e. nó kò [[[máⁿgòrò yá] dí] nī]
 1Sg be [[[mango Dem.InanSg] eat.Prog Prog]
 ‘I am eating this mango.’ (F1)
- f. nó kò [[[Ø bó [ò jḵⁿ] kḵ-yùḏ] dǔ] nī]
 1Sg be [[[Art sheep.Pl [Pl two] Dem.AnPl] buy.Prog Prog]
 ‘I am buying these two sheep.’ (Ji)
- g. zàkí kò [[[Ø lóʔó] té] nī]
 Z be [[[Art attention] put.down.Prog Prog]
 ‘Zaki is paying attention.’ (Ji)

In the texts, the most common verb in progressives is ‘come’, including ‘come [with X]’ meaning ‘bring X’, and including compounds like klá-bà ‘come back’. Three examples are in (737a-c), followed by one example with the other major motion verb ‘go’ (737d).

- (737) a. ḏⁿ kò [klá-bǎ nī] [bì tḵʔḵ] = dēʔ
 3AnSg be [return.Base-come.Prog Prog] [Dem.Def place] Emph
 ‘He was coming back (to) that very place!’ (F1, 2017-02 @ 01:05)

- b. jí mó kō [bǎ nī] [kà [Ø fɛ]],
 if 2Sg **be** [come.**Prog Prog**] [with [Art talk(n)]],
 mó dè jèrɔ̃ⁿ = [Ø tī-tārāⁿ]
 2Sg say.Pfv Rel [Art truth]
 ‘If you-Sg are bringing the words, what you said is true.’
 (Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:08)
- c. [ē yǒ] = ō [bǎ nī] bè-kā
 [Art woman] **be** [come.**Prog Prog**] like.that
 ‘The woman was coming.’ (Fl, 2017-05 @ 01:34)
- d. [ē yǎ-ró] bà wò [yíí nī] [[Ø blāʔā] nīⁿ]
 [Art woman-Pl] if **be** [go.**Prog Prog**] [[Art pond] Loc]
 ‘whenever the women were going to the pond’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 00:30)

The remaining textual examples with kō ‘be’ are in (738).

- (738) a. ó kā = [[à jùdʔó] nī]
 1Pl **be** [[3Inan hear.**Prog Prog**]]
 ‘We are hearing (=listening to) it.’ (Ma, 2017-01 @ 00:53)
- b. ɔ̃ⁿ jà [à kò [déró-bǎ nī]]
 3AnSg see.Pfv [3Inan **be** [abound.Base-come.**Prog Prog**]]
 ‘It (=hare) saw that it (=pile of leaves) was growing.’ (Fl, 2017-05 @ 01:30)
- c. [è bítáró] wō [gbě nī]
 [Art leper] **be** [take.**Prog Prog**]
 ‘The leper was taking his turn.’ (women, 2017-13 @ 01:37)
- d. ñ mà wō [[[Ø kě] klě] nī]
 2Sg if **be** [[[Art matter] do.**Prog Prog**]]
 ‘if you are doing something’ (Ji, 2017-08 @ 10:53)
- e. [ò dó] wō [kèʔé = nī]
 [3Pl however] **be** [ruin(v).**Prog Prog**]
 ‘And yet they (=elephants) are wreaking havoc.’ (Ji, 2017-09 @ 03:01)
- f. ò kò [lá-běⁿ nī]
 3Pl **be** [prepare.**Prog Prog**]
 ‘They are getting ready (=organizing).’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 07:55)
- g. ó wō = [[à gǒ] nī]
 1Pl **be** [[3Inan narrate.**Prog Prog**]]
 ‘We are telling it (=tale).’ (women, 2017-12 @ 01:15)

- h. [ē yō-dè] wō [[[Ø nū] wǒ] nī]
 [Art woman-old] **be** [[[Art water] bathe.**Prog**] **Prog**]
 ‘An old woman was bathing.’ (women, 2017-13 @ 00:35)
- i. ðⁿ gò [cíʔé nī]
 3AnSg **be** [clean.**Prog** **Prog**]
 ‘He was cleaning (it).’ (women, 2017-13 @ 00:43)

There are occasional textual examples involving pìèⁿ/pēⁿ/pīⁿ ‘remain, stay’ instead of kō ‘be’, in what is otherwise a standard progressive clause. The difference is persistence: ‘keep VPing’ as opposed to just ‘be VPing’. (739a) has a transitive verb, whose object separates it from ‘remain’, which takes the place of ‘be’. (739b) has ‘remin’ in infinitival form, followed by an intransitive verb.

- (739) a. bó pìèⁿ [[ðⁿ nóⁿ] nīⁿ]
 3AnSg **remain.Pfv** [[3AnSg look.at.**Prog**] **Prog**]
 ‘She kept looking at it (=hare).’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 03:37)
- b. ðⁿ gō pēⁿ [kpèrèⁿ-nóⁿ nīⁿ]
 3AnSg Infīn **remain.Base** [turn.head.and.look.**Prog** **Prog**]
 ‘She kept turning her head to look back.’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 02:56)

See also the section on the progressive negative (§10.2.5.7), with additional textual examples.

10.2.4.2 Form of progressive verb with nī

The morphosyntax of the progressive is described in the preceding section. It remains to consider the form of the verb.

The data in (740) show that the progressive verb is derived from the base of the verb. Monotonal L and M base stems become LH, while monotonal H base stems remain H. Monosyllabic stems that become LH in the progressive lengthen their final vowel to accommodate the contour tone. The kō drops to kò when directly followed by an H-tone, by regular tone sandhi. “...” in (740) shows the position of objects for transitive verbs. Note that ‘is sleeping’ and ‘is buying’ are homophonous, though the base stems differ tonally and the difference in transitivity should avoid confusion (740a). Also homophonous are ‘is carrying (on back)’ and ‘is sacrificing’ (740b).

(740)	base	‘be’	progressive	gloss
a. Cv				
	bà	kō	bǎ nī	‘is coming’
	bá	kò	bá nī	‘is cultivating’
	dò	kō	dǒ nī	‘is speaking’
	dò	kō	dǒ nī	‘is sleeping’
	dō	kō ...	dǒ nī	‘is buying ...’

Chapter 10: Verbal inflection

dó	kō ...	dó nī	‘is sharing ...’
fó	kō ...	fó nī	‘is passing/going past’
gbā ⁿ	kō ...	gbǎ ⁿ nī	‘is sewing ...’
gbē	kō ...	gbě nī	‘is taking ...’
já	kō ...	já nī	‘is leaving ...’
té	kō ...	té nī	‘is putting down ...’
yé	kō	yé nī	‘is walking’
b. Clv			
blò	kō ...	blǒ nī	‘is carrying ... (on back)’
blō	kō ...	blǒ nī	‘is sacrificing ...’
klà	kō	klǎ nī	‘is hawking (before spitting)’
glú	kò	glú nī	‘is exiting’
klè	kō ...	klě nī	‘is doing ...’
c. Cív, Cuv			
piè	kō ...	pié nī	‘is frightening ...’
cùà ⁿ	kō ...	cùá ⁿ nī	‘is measuring ...’
d. CvCv			
cà?à	kō ...	cà?á nī	‘is drying ... out’
bā?ā	kō ...	bà?á nī	‘is ruining ...’
dó?ó	kō ...	dó?ó nī	‘is concealing ...’
kèrà ⁿ	kō	kèrá ⁿ nī	‘is reading’

In compound verbs, only the final element is affected: *dārā-lò* ‘strip (palm fronds)’, progressive *kō ... dārā-lǒ nī*; *klá-bà* ‘come back’, progressive *kò klá-bǎ nī*.

10.2.5 Negation of indicative verbs

10.2.5.1 Clause-final glottal

As in some other languages of the zone, negative indicative main clauses often end in a glottal stop, pronounced at the end of the final word. We represent it as an enclitic =?. Examples occur in the following sections.

The glottal is not always present, or at least is not always audible to our ears. In texts we do our best to capture what we hear, but readers should not put too much trust on this aspect of our transcriptions. We are usually unable to detect the negative glottal after an already glottalic sesquisyllable. The glottal is often omitted in long clauses, or in negative clauses that run into following clauses without a prosodic break. It is often absent from prohibitives (negative imperatives).

Clause-final elements that specifically block negative =? include polar interrogative =ā→ or variant (§13.2.1.1), and (w)ò ~ yò ‘or; whether’ at the end of paired ‘whether or not’ clauses (§16.3). The presence or absence of negative =? is moot when the clause already

ends a morpheme that frequently takes a final glottal in either positive or negative clauses, such as bíé(?) ‘all’ (§6.6.1.1) and clause-final emphatic = dē? ~ =rē? (§3.2.1.9).

10.2.5.2 Perfective negative with á

The perfective negative verb phrase consists of preverbal PfvNeg particle á plus the verb in its base stem.

- (741) a. zàkì á gò nó =?
- Z **PfvNeg** hit.**Base** 1Sg Neg
- ‘Zaki didn’t hit me.’ (Ji)
- b. [nó sè] á yíyí (=?)
- [1Sg father] **PfvNeg** go.**Base** Neg
- ‘My father did not go.’ (Ji)
- c. zàkì á dí =?
- Z **PfvNeg** eat.**Base** =**Neg**
- ‘Zaki didn’t eat.’ (Ji)
- d. ǎⁿ= Ø jì mó =?
- 3AnSg **PfvNeg** see.**Base** 2Sg =**Neg**
- ‘He/She didn’t see you-Sg.’ (< jī) (Ji)
- e. nó á yíyí =?
- 1Sg **PfvNeg** go.**Base** =**Neg**
- ‘I didn’t go.’ (Ji)

The particle contracts phonologically in allegro speech with 1st/2nd and logophoric subject pronouns. For example, nó á in (741e) optionally contracts as nó= á or ná= á, and diphthongal bùò (2Pl or 3Pl/LogoPl) regularly contracts as bù= á. The three L-toned third-person subject proclitics fuse more tightly but show rising tone: 3AnSg ǎ= Ø, 3Pl ǒ= Ø, 3Inan ǎ= Ø. For the full set of pronominal subject combinations, see the middle column in (130) in §3.4.6.3.

A few textual examples are in (742).

- (742) a. [ē tìlípàⁿ] = áⁿ bà =?
- [Art monkey] **PfvNeg** come.**Base** =**Neg**
- ‘The monkey did not come.’ (Ma, 2017-02 @ 00:35)
- b. ǎ= Ø gò-sò = dē?
- 3Inan **PfvNeg** be.right.**Base** Emph
- ‘It wasn’t justified at all!’ (Fl, 2017-03 @ 02:15)

- c. [jèrɔ̃ⁿ jù] á wùðʔó =?
 [Rel eye(s)] **PfvNeg** be.open.**Base** Neg
 ‘one whose eye has not opened (=is blind)’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 02:05)

10.2.5.3 Negative BE-future with má⁽ⁿ⁾ bè and Pfv

The positive form of the perfective BE-future is bè plus Pfv verb. This is negated by adding má (Bi máⁿ) before bè, often accompanied by a clause-final glottal stop. Although má⁽ⁿ⁾ is glossed “IpfvNeg” it has a broad distribution going beyond strictly imperfective clauses. The two well-attested future negative constructions are this one with má⁽ⁿ⁾ bè and another (see the following section) with just má⁽ⁿ⁾ but with the same Pfv verb, and we can detect no clear difference in meaning between them.

Elicited examples are in (743).

- (743) a. zàkì má bē bà / =?
 " " bè /glō "
 Z **IpfvNeg Fut** come.**Pfv/exit.Pfv** **Neg**
 ‘Zaki won’t come/leave.’ (Ji)
- b. nó má bè dē fāⁿ?āⁿ =?
 1Sg **IpfvNeg Fut** sleep.**Pfv** here **Neg**
 ‘I won’t sleep here.’ (Ji)
- c. nó má bè bē [Ø dè] =?
 1Sg **IpfvNeg Fut** cultivate.**Pfv** [Art field] **Neg**
 ‘I won’t cultivate the field (=do farming).’ (Fl Ji)
- d. mó má bè wūō =?
 2Sg **IpfvNeg Fut** die.**Pfv** **Neg**
 ‘You-Sg won’t die.’ (Fl Ji)

There is one textual example (744), set in a past-time narrative.

- (744) ò k-ā lí jèrɔ̃ⁿ dè tà má, [ē sěⁿ],
 3Pl Infin-Ipfv call.Ipfv Rel Quot spear, [Art arrow],
 [bè jì-ʃí] ò máⁿ bē ʃiʔ = [ðⁿ mó]
 [Dem.Def Indef-none 3Pl **IpfvNeg Fut** give.**Pfv** [Dat 2Sg]
 ‘What they call “spear,” (or) arrow(s), anything at all, they wouldn’t give (it) to you.’
 (Ma, 2017-10 @ 05:00)

10.2.5.4 Future negative with má⁽ⁿ⁾ and Pfv

The Pfv marking on the verb distinguishes this future construction from the imperfective negative with má⁽ⁿ⁾ plus Ipfv verb. The present construction is identical to the negative

BE-future described in the preceding section, except that *bè* is absent. It is possible that this simplified construction without *bè* evolved out of an original fuller one with *bè*, by gradual phonetic attrition. We can detect no meaningful semantic distinction between the two.

*má*ⁿ plus Pfv is obviously morphologically perfective. However, this is the most common future negative and to that extent it functions in practice as the negative counterpart of the positive *nà* future, which is aspectually neutral.

Elicited examples are in (745).

- (745) a. *nó má cārē mā =?*
 1Sg **IpfvNeg** do.long.time.Pfv there.Def **Neg**
 ‘I won’t be (=stay) there long.’ (F1 Ji)
- b. *zàkì má jà mó =?*
 Z **IpfvNeg** see.Pfv 2Sg **Neg**
 ‘Zaki won’t see you-Sg.’ (F1 Ji)
- c. *zàkì má glō =?*
 Z **IpfvNeg** exit.Pfv **Neg**
 ‘Zaki won’t come out.’ (F1 Ji)
- d. *nó má bē [Ø dè] =?*
 1Sg **IpfvNeg** cultivate.Pfv [Art] field] **Neg**
 ‘I won’t cultivate the field (=do farming).’ (F1 Ji)

There are quite a few textual examples, some of which are in (746). In (746a), the future negative clause is a paraphrase of a preceding positive future clause.

- (746) a. *á! [bó nà wú [[yá bē] nī]]*
 ah! [LogoSg Fut die.Base [[Dem.InanSg Top.Inan] Loc]]
[bó má yīē?ē-ŷì?ì]
 [LogoSg **IpfvNeg** get.up.Pfv]
 ‘I will die in this state, I will not be upright (=alive)!’ (F1, 2017-05 @ 01:49)
- b. *[é dó] má būō — [ē dié] tà?à-kó*
 [1Pl however] **IpfvNeg** get.Pfv — [Art sauce] again
 ‘“We won’t get any more (baobab-leaf) sauce.”’ (F1, 2017-05 @ 03:12)
- c. *ń?ń! nó máⁿ fiē-pōⁿ =?*
 unh-unh! 1Sg **IpfvNeg** pass.Pfv-be.able.Base **Neg**
 ‘No, I can’t go ahead (of you).’ (Bi, 2017-8 @ 02:46)

- d. *parce que* jí ǰⁿ= Ø dò = nì,
 because if 3AnSg PfvNeg speak.Base 3InanObj,
 ǰⁿ máⁿ mlēⁿ-tǰⁿ = ò dò
 3AnSg **IpfvNeg** release.**Pfv** 3AnSgObj Emph
 ‘Because if he doesn’t say it, he (=hyena) won’t release him.’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 06:20)
- e. dē bùò má tǎrēⁿ dē= [Ø tǎràⁿ?áⁿ]
 Quot LogoPl **IpfvNeg** sit.**Pfv** Quot [Art marriage]
 ‘(They) said (=thought) “we will never get married.”’
 (Fl, 2017-05 @ 00:26)

10.2.5.5 Negative with má⁽ⁿ⁾ plus base (absent)

Negative má (Bi máⁿ) is normally followed by the Pfv (for future negative), by bè plus the Pfv (for the other future negative), or by the Ipfv (for imperfective negative). See the preceding and following subsections. má plus base does not fit into this set of possibilities, and this combination did not occur in texts or in elicitation.

10.2.5.6 Imperfective negative with má⁽ⁿ⁾ plus Ipfv

The imperfective negative (IpfvNeg) preverbal particle is má (Bi máⁿ). The 2Sg combination mó má sometimes reduces to ñ má ~ Ø má.

The verb is in Ipfv form, which distinguishes the imperfective negative from the future negative with má⁽ⁿ⁾ plus Pfv (preceding section).

The imperfective negative denies the truth of the corresponding positive imperfective proposition. The time interval during which the truth of the proposition is denied normally includes the moment of speaking or another already established reference point (as in past-time narratives). However, as with the positive imperfective, the imperfective negative can function loosely as a future negative.

Elicited examples are in (747).

- (747) a. zàkì má kē= [Ø kà?á] =?
 Z **IpfvNeg** eat.meat.**Ipfv** [Art meat] **Neg**
 ‘Zaki doesn’t eat meat.’ (Ji)
- b. zàkì má gū= [Ø bŭⁿ?ǰⁿ] =?
 Z **IpfvNeg** hit.**Ipfv** [Art dog] **Neg**
 ‘Zaki doesn’t hit (the) dog.’ (Ji)
- c. nó má nī =?
 1Sg **IpfvNeg** drink.**Ipfv** **Neg**
 ‘I don’t drink.’ (Ji)

- d. zàkì má glú =?
 Z **IpfvNeg** exit.**Ipfv** **Neg**
 ‘Zaki doesn’t go/come out.’ (Ji)
- e. nó má bí =?
 1Sg **IpfvNeg** cultivate.**Ipfv** **Neg**
 ‘I don’t cultivate (=do farming).’ (Ji)

A few textual examples are in (748).

- (748) a. [Ø bũ̄ʃʃ̄] [kã = [Ø tìlípàʃ̄] má bèʃ̄ =?
 [Art dog] [with [Art monkey] **IpfvNeg** get.along.**Ipfv** **Neg**
 ‘The dog and the monkey don’t get along.’ (Ma, 2017-02 @ 01:45)
- b. ʃ̄ʃ̄ má dē =?, [è blíʃ̄í], kã = [Ø dìʃ̄è]
 3AnSg **IpfvNeg** sleep.**Ipfv** **Neg**, [Art night], and [Art daytime]
 ‘He wouldn’t sleep! Night and day!’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 01:04)
- c. mó má jē = [Ø t̄-ré j̄-rē] = ē
 2Sg **IpfvNeg** see.**Ipfv** [Art hole-Pl Indef-InanPl] Q
 ‘Do you not see some pits?’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:11)
- d. [è ná-bí] má klē = [Ø kě] k̄ʃ̄ʃ̄nì
 [Art person] **IpfvNeg** do.**Ipfv** [Art thing] carelessly
 ‘A person doesn’t do something carelessly.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:52)
- e. [bó k̄r̄ʃ̄ʃ̄] má jī [à gl̄-t̄ʃ̄ʃ̄] = r̄ēʃ̄?
 [LogoSg Top] **IpfvNeg** know.**Ipfv** [3Inan exit.Pfv-place] Emph
 ‘(said:) “I myself am not familiar with its place of exiting.”’
 (Fl, 2017-05 @ 01:46)
- f. [[bó t̄ʃ̄ʃ̄] gō p̄ēʃ̄ʃ̄] [bó máʃ̄ glú =?
 [[3AnSg Foc] Infin remain.Base] [3AnSg **IpfvNeg** exit.**Ipfv** **Neg**]
 ‘She [focus] stays (here), she doesn’t come out.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 03:30)

10.2.5.7 Progressive negative (má kō)

The progressive construction with kō (§10.2.4 above) is negated by adding IpvfNeg particle má between the subject and kō. The usual clause final =? is often added. The remainder of the clause is identical to the positive counterpart. This includes object-verb order. Elicited examples are in (749).

- (749) a. nó má kō [dí nī] =?
 1Sg **IpfvNeg** **be** [eat.**Prog** **Prog**] **Neg**
 ‘I am not eating (right now).’ (Ji)

- b. zàkì má kō [[[Ø bũⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ] gǔ] nī] =?
 Z **Neg** **be** [[[Art dog] hit.**Prog**] **Prog**] **Neg**
 ‘Zaki is not hitting a/the dog.’ (< gǔ) (Ji)

Textual examples are in (750).

- (750) a. nó má kō [kēⁿʔēⁿ-pǔⁿ nī],
 1Sg **IpfvNeg** **be** [ascend.Base-be.able.**Prog** **Prog**],
 ‘(Hare:) “(But) I am unable to climb (the tree).” ’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 03:30)
- b. ò má kâ= [[Ø kǔⁿ nī]
 3Pl **IpfvNeg** **be** [[3Inan know.**Prog**] **Prog**]
 ‘They weren’t aware of it.’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 03:38)
- c. ɔ̃ⁿ máⁿ gō= [[[Ø náⁿ-bí] bú] nī]
 3AnSg **IpfvNeg** **be** [[[Art child] get.**Prog**] **Prog**]
 ‘She was not getting (=bearing) a child.’ (women, 2017-18 @ 00:13)

The construction with ‘be’ and a locative PP based on a verbal noun, the likely ancestor of the progressive construction, is negated in (751).

- (751) à má kō [[jùò-ń t́] nī]
 3Inan **IpfvNeg** **be** [[sell.VbIN Foc.Inan] **Loc**]
 ‘The (weekly) market, it is there, (but) it isn’t involved in (real) selling [focus].’
 (Ma, 2018-07 @ 01:17)

10.2.5.8 Self-standing negative exclamations

The most common ‘yes!’ and ‘no!’ exclamations are positive ɔ̃ⁿhɔ̃ⁿ! ~ ɔ̃ⁿɔ̃ⁿ! ~ m̃m̃! without glottal stop, and negative ɔ̃ⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ! ~ ǎⁿʔǎⁿ! with medial glottal stop (§19.3.5). Below we present more forceful negative exclamations.

10.2.5.8.1 é?ē→ ‘oh no!’

This particle can be translated as ‘oh no!’, expressing alarm.

- (752) ò bà dīē [[[móⁿ ɲàrɔ̃ⁿ] d̀] nīⁿ], é?ē→
 3Pl if enter.Base [[[2Sg Rel] field] Loc], **oh.no!**
 ‘You-Sg in whose field they may enter, oh no!’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 01:52)

10.2.5.8.2 fòè ‘not at all!’ or ‘nothing at all!’

fòè is an emphatic negative interjection. It can be juxtaposed to an NP or to an already negative clause.

- (753) a. [è wùⁿ?úⁿ-kè] fòè!
 [Art problem] **not.at.all**
 ‘No worries at all!’ (Ma, 2017-10 @ 04:51)
- b. [ē dàⁿ?àⁿ] ní-māⁿ [móⁿ bà?à] fòè! fòè!
 [Art fire] not.be.Loc [2Sg Dat] **not.at.all!** **not.at.all!**
 ‘You had no fire (=light) at all!’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 04:54)

fíó (Bo, 2019-10 @ 05:33) appears to be a variant of fòè.

10.3 Temporal clitics and particles

10.3.1 Past reference time

The inflectional categories described above are all based on the temporal perspective of the moment of speaking. In the middle of extended narratives where there has already been a reset of the reference time, such categories as imperfective and progressive are understood to respect this reset, and no specific temporal marking is needed.

However, a reset of the reference time can be marked overtly. This is done by adding a “past” particle immediately after the subject, preceding other inflectional particles (such as Ipfv à, kō ‘be’ in the progressive, future particles bè and nà, and negative particles á and máⁿ). Past marking is especially useful for statives. The combination of past marking with Pfv verbs produces a past perfect (‘had already VPed’).

In addition to the main-clause inflectional contexts described in the following subsections, past markers are featured in counterfactual conditionals (§16.4)

10.3.1.1 Dialectal past particles (ká, tá, tâ, dè, lè, yì)

The forms of the post-subject past marker are in (754). In most non-imperfective contexts, our Ji speaker prefers ká, our Fl and Ma speakers prefer tá ~ tâ, and our Bi speakers prefer râ ~ tâ or sometimes rà. There is a separate set of past forms found in imperfective, stative, and counterfactual contexts. We gloss them as PastIpfv in interlinears, though their distribution goes beyond strictly imperfective contexts. The PastIpfv form appears to be systematic for our Bi speakers, but the corresponding forms for other dialects are optional. Bi past marker dè is of course grammatically distinct from the pandialectal quotative particle dè, though in a few textual passages there might be some ambiguity.

(754)	form	dialect	comment
	a. aspectually unmarked (including perfective)		
	ká, kâ [kâ:]	Bi Fl Ji Ma	
	tá, tâ [tâ:]	Bi Fl Ji Ma	
	râ, râ [râ:]	Bi	likely < *tâ
	b. imperfective, stative, and counterfactual (optional except Bi)		
	<i>suppletive</i>		
	yì	Fl	
	è	Ji	
	dè ~ lè	Bi	rè (tapped < dè), nè (nasalized < dè or lè)
	<i>compositional</i>		
	tá à	Fl	
	ká à	Ji	
	dà = à ~ rà = à	Bi	< dè ; nasalized variant nà = à

Winkelmann (1998: 180) gives **tá** for Bi and **ká** for other dialects.

The variant **tâ**, phonetic [tâ:], looks like a combination of **tá** with Ipfv **à**, or with **à-** ‘come’ as Vb1 in verb-verb compounds. Likewise, [kâ:] looks like **ká** plus **à** or **à-**. When these variants precede Ipfv verbs, we transcribe them bimorphemically as **tá à** and **ká à** and identify the second morpheme as the Ipfv particle or as **à-** ‘come’. Before an L-tone the imperfective forms are **tá ā** and **ká ā** by regular tone sandhi (§3.6.2.1). However, **tâ** also occurs especially in Fl dialect in past perfects before base verbs, which elsewhere cannot follow Ipfv **à**. One possible source for **tâ** plus base of verb is ***tá** (b)**à**-Vb2.Base, with **bà** ‘come.Base’ plus a second verb as compound final (§15.2.3). However, especially our Fl speaker appears to generalize **tâ** as a past marker, not only in past perfects but also before predicates with stative copula **kō** ‘be’ and **má kō** ‘not be’, where a compound with ‘come’ is out of the question.

For Fl, **tâ** combines with compounding allomorph **á-** ‘go’ as **tà á-**, pronounced [tǎ:] with rising tone. **tà á-** occurs chiefly in a specific construction meaning ‘when/as soon as’, so confusion is unlikely. See §15.3.5.5 for examples and analysis. This **tà á-** is distinct from past perfective negative **tâ á**, pronounced [tǎ:] by this speaker, with falling-rising <HLH> tone.

Rarely, it appears that Bo speakers can double past marking in the sequence **dè tá**, where the context does not support parsing **dè** as quotative. Relevant examples are (Bo, 2019-03 @ 01:46) and (Bo, 2019-10 @ 02:03).

10.3.1.2 Past perfect (perfective in past)

When the basic past morpheme (**ká**, **tá** ~ **tâ**, **râ** ~ **rà**) is followed by the base stem of a verb, the event is presented as having occurred before the past-shifted reference time. The examples most clearly recognizable as past perfect are those with verbs that have distinct forms for base and Ipfv. Many other verbs have identical base and Ipfv, making it difficult to distinguish past perfect from past imperfective in non-Bi dialects other than by context.

Elicited past perfect (positive) examples are in (755). The verbs in each case are definitely base rather than *Ipfv*. The three stems of the relevant verb are shown in parentheses under the free translation.

- (755) a. ðⁿ tá ɲɔ̃
 3AnSg **Past** drink.**Base**
 ‘He/She had (already) drunk.’ (Ji)
 (ɲùð/ɲð/ɲì)
- b. nó tâ ɲɔ̃
 1Sg **Past** drink.**Base**
 ‘I had drunk.’ (FI)
 (ɲùð/ɲð/ɲì)
- c. ðⁿ tá wú
 3AnSg **Past** die.**Base**
 ‘He/She had (already) gone died.’ (Ji)
 (wūð/wú/wí)
- d. nó tá ɲì-ɲɔ̃= [Ø bǝ]
 1Sg **Past** see.**Base-ExpPf** [Art elephant]
 ‘I had (once) seen an elephant (at that time).’ (Ji)
 (experiential perfect, §15.1.4.3)
 (ɲà/ɲì/ɲè Ji)

Textual examples of the same type are in (756). Further examples in counterfactual conditionals are in §16.4.1.

- (756) a. ... [(ē) bǝ [[n dèⁿʔéⁿ] tóʔó] ká bà
 ... [Art elephant [[Sg one] Foc] **Past** come.**Base**
 ‘It was one single elephant [focus] that had come.’ (Ji, 2017-09 @ 05:59)
 (bà/bà/bē)
- b. [ē kâ-wð-rù jèrɔ̃ⁿ] ðⁿ nâ sū[?]= ðⁿ
 [Art bone-Pl Rel] 3AnSg **Past** give.**Ipfv** Dat.3AnSg
 ‘the bones that he (=hyena) had given to her’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 10:07)
 (ʃìʔè/sū[?]ʔ/sū[?]ū)
- c. [ē yǎ jī] ò râ yíʔí-ʃìʔì [à nī]]
 [Art year Indef] 3Pl **Past** get.up.**Base** [3Inan Loc]]
 ‘One year they (=authorities) had come and gotten involved in that matter.’
 (Bi, 2017-09 @ 04:48)
 (yíʔē-ʃìʔì/yíʔí-ʃìʔì/yíʔ-ā-ʃìʔì for Bi)

- d. *donc*, [è blí-ké] kō lò, 5ⁿ kè-tè?è,
 so, [Art hare] Infīn show.Base, 3AnSgRefl hand,
 jèrðⁿ tâ dè [[bó jèrð] ní-mā =?]
 Rel **Past** say.Base [[LogoSg equal(n)] not.be.Loc Neg]
 ‘So, the hare pointed his hand (at) the one who had said (that) there was no equal
 to her beauty.’ (Fl, 2017-05 @ 03:58)
 (dè/dè/dò)
- e. [è bú] ká bú [bè yă rè]
 [Art money] **Past** be.gotten.Base [Dem.Def year Emph]
 ‘Money had been gotten that year.’ (Ji, 2017-09 @ 05:01, cf. 05:03)
 (būō/bú/bí)
- f. [ē jè-rò] rā bû= [Ø mílyóⁿ]
 [Art Indef-AnPl] **Past** get.Base [Art million]
 ‘Some (people) had gotten a million (CFA francs)!’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 05:02)
 (būō/bú/bí)

The textual examples in (757) below are likely or at least possibly past perfect (positive) as well, to judge from the context. However, the verbs in question have the same forms for base and Ipfv, making it difficult to distinguish past perfect from past imperfective. Many examples occur in the long texts 2017-09 and 2017-10, which describe past complex events or bygone practices. The temporal relationships from one clause to another are not always transparent, unlike the case with well-practiced tales that narrate a well-defined event sequence. *nâ* in (757a) is nasalized from *tâ*.

- (757) a. móⁿ nà wé [nó jèrðⁿ] có
 Sg **Past** refuse.Base [1Sg Rel] exactly
 ‘precisely me whom you-Sg had abandoned’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 08:12)
 (wīē/wé/wé)
- b. kà-sèrð= [Ø wèrè té] tá yíé =ē
 while [Art leaf.loincloth Foc.Inan] **Past** be.girded.Base Q
 ‘Whereas a leaf loincloth [focus] had been put on (hyena woman)?’
 (Ji, 2017-08 @ 02:27) (yīē/yíé/yíé)
- c. [ē là?à] ká dīē mā
 [Art hunger(n)] **Past** enter.Base there.Def
 ‘A famine had come in there.’ (Ji, 2017-09 @ 06:09)

A past perfect context lends itself to compounding of the verb with *-kō* ‘finish’ (758).

- (758) nó tá jō-kō [ŋ lăⁿ]
 1Sg Past drink.Base-**finish**.Base [1SgRefl beer]
 ‘I had finished drinking my beer.’ (Fl)

The nonpast version of the perfective negative is expressed by PfvNeg particle á plus the base of the verb (§10.2.5.2). The past perfect negative simply adds the past marker, before á. vv-Contraction may occur but it is inconspicuous when the past marker already has a-vowel. The attested combinations include ká á (Ji) in (759a-b) and tâ á (Fl) in (759c). The latter, when carefully spoken, has [tã] with rare <HLH> tones on a syllable, even though contracted. For Bi, because of vv-Contraction and lenition of t and d to tap r, there is some ambiguity as to whether rà = á is based on non-imperfective past râ ~ rà or on imperfective past dè. The tones of rà = á favor dè, though there is independent evidence that Fl past tá ~ tâ can occasionally appear L-toned before an H-tone, see tà á- (§15.3.5.5). The occasional Bi example with un-tapped dà á (759e) also favors dè, while tapped rà á (759d) is ambiguous. If we take the Bi examples as having dè rather than râ ~ rà, it means that dè has a broader range than the label “imperfective past” suggests.

- (759) a. òⁿ ká á glú / ɲɔ̃ / wú = ?
 3AnSg **Past PfvNeg** exit(v).Base/drink.Base/die.Base Neg
 ‘He/She had not (yet) exited / drunk / died.’ (Ji)
- b. ò ká á láblà = nì
 3Pl **Past PfvNeg** authorize.Base 3InanObj
 ‘They had not authorized it.’ (Ji)
- c. ó tâ á kɔ̃ⁿ = nì
 1Pl **Past PfvNeg** know.Base 3InanObj
 ‘We didn’t realize it.’ (Fl, 2017-11 @ 10:21)
- d. bó rà= á sòⁿ
 3AnSg **Past PfvNeg** accept.Base
 ‘It (=elephant) had been reluctant.’
 (Bi, 2017-09 @ 01:26)
- e. *est-ce que* [[mó bī-dò] dó]
 Q [[2Sg younger.sib] Poss.Inan]
 dà= á gàʔà-klè = ā→
(Ipfv)Past PfvNeg be.first.Base-be.done.Base Q
 ‘Had not your younger brother’s turn happened first?’
 (Bi, 2017-09 @ 02:12)

The past perfect negative context lends itself to addition of clause-final tàⁿ ‘(not) yet).

- (760) nó tá á ɲɔ̃ [ɲ lǎⁿ] tàⁿ = ?
 1Sg **Past PfvNeg** drink.Base [1SgRefl beer] yet Neg
 ‘I had not yet drunk my beer.’ (Fl)

10.3.1.3 Past imperfective with past morpheme *ká* of *tá* ~ *tâ*

The past imperfective describes a prolonged or recurrent activity or a prolonged situation. The classic function of past imperfectives is to provide background for a following foregrounded past-time event.

In Tiefo-D, the past imperfective is expressed by a past morpheme followed by a verb in the Ipfv stem. The examples in this section are those with the unmarked form of the past marker for the given dialect. These examples are all from the non-Bi dialects. For past imperfectives with the alternative, specifically imperfective past morphemes *yì*, *è*, and *dè* see §10.3.1.8 below. Both types of past imperfective compete with the quite different past habitual construction, which describes regular actions and events in the past ('used to VP'). The past habitual has a single post-subject inflectional morpheme *nǎ*, followed by an Ipfv verb (§10.2.2.3).

As noted in the preceding section, for the numerous verbs that have identical base and Ipfv stems, the past imperfective is sometimes indistinguishable from the past perfect. The distinction can be made, either by distinguishing Fl/Ji *tá* ~ *ká* (past perfect) from *tá à* ~ *ká à* (past imperfective). However, this is unreliable especially for Fl where *tâ* is generalizing as the past marker and occurs even in the past perfect.

Winkelmann (1998: 180-181) states that the past imperfective is marked by *ká* (Bi dialect *tâ*), which she labels the past imperfective morpheme ("Imperfektive-Vergangenheit"). This is followed by the base or Ipfv verb, in either case expressing past imperfective (not past perfect) for aspectually dynamic verbs, or past stative for statives. She gives (761) as an example of a dynamic verb that appears first in the past imperfective, then in the simple perfective (marking completion), setting up a foregrounded event.

(761)	Winkelmann	our transcription
i. the frog and the dove.	(omitted)	—
ii. they were working the field.	ʔò <i>ká bá dè</i>	ò <i>ká bā</i> = [Ø <i>dè</i>]
iii. they (had) worked the field.	ʔò <i>bɛ dè</i>	ò <i>bē</i> [Ø <i>dè</i>]
iv. then the rains came.	(omitted)	—

The verb 'cultivate, do farm work' is *bē/bá/bé*, so *bá* is clearly base rather than Ipfv. It is therefore incorrect to state that past *ká* or *tá* is intrinsically imperfective.

The main difficulty in identifying past perfect and past imperfective clauses for non-Bi dialects in texts is that many of the most common verbs do not distinguish base from Ipfv verb stems. In elicitation, we did find a semantic distinction for all verbs between the past perfect (with base verb), described in the preceding section, and the past imperfective. Since there is also a dedicated past progressive, the past imperfective generally denotes recurrent events and states.

We transcribe [*tâ:*] and [*kâ:*] as bimorphemic *tá à* and *ká à* in past imperfective contexts. Elicited examples of the past imperfective (positive), with clearly Ipfv verb stems or with locational *à-mā*, are in (762).

- (762) a. nó ká à jī [Ø lǎⁿ]
 1Sg **Past** **Ipfv** drink.**Ipfv** [Art sorghum.beer]
 ‘I used to drink sorghum beer.’ (Ji)
 (nùḍ/pḍ/jī)
- b. nó tá à jī
 1Sg **Past** **Ipfv** drink.**Ipfv**
 ‘I used to drink.’ (Fl)
- c. [nó tóʔó] ká à-mā fāⁿ?āⁿ
 [1Sg Foc] **Past** **be.Loc** here
 ‘I [focus] was here.’ (Ji)

Textual example (763a) is arguably past imperfective based on context, though the verb stem is ambiguous (base = Ipv). (763b) is also probably past imperfective if we correctly transcribe intercalated Ipv -à- in the verb-verb compound, but there is no audible difference between Ipv gàʔ-à-séⁿ and base gàʔà-séⁿ.

- (763) a. ḍⁿ tá à fā = [Ø kēⁿ]
 3AnSg **Past** **Ipfv** seek.**Ipfv** [Art fellow]
 ‘He would seek out the fellow.’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 01:17)
- b. sǒ ká ā gàʔ-à-séⁿ = ēⁿ
 who? **Past** **Ipfv** do.first.**Ipfv**-Ipfv-lie.down.Ipv Q
 ‘Who used to lie down first?’ (Ma, 2017-10 @ 01:20)

The past imperfective negative adds IpvNeg má (Bi máⁿ) between the past marker and the Ipv verb. Elicited past imperfective negatives, with Ipv verb stems, are in (764a-b), followed by a past negative stative locational (764c). Again, this is limited to non-Bi dialects.

- (764) a. nó ká má jī = nì = ʔ
 1Sg **Past** **IpfvNeg** drink.**Ipfv** 3InanObj Neg
 ‘I didn’t use to drink it.’ (Ji)
 (nùḍ/pḍ/jī)
- b. nó tâ má jī = ʔ
 1Sg **Past** **IpfvNeg** drink.**Ipfv** Neg
 ‘I didn’t use to drink.’ (Fl)
 (nùḍ/pḍ/jī)
- c. zàkí tâ ní-mà fāⁿ?āⁿ
 Z **Past** **not.be.Loc** here
 ‘Zaki was not here.’ (Fl)

Textual example (765) is past imperfective negative based on context and form.

- (765) [ē nà-bí-ó bíé] tá má dàⁿ mā =?
 [Art people all] **Past** **IpfvNeg** arrive.**Ipfv** there.Def Neg
 ‘Not everyone used to arrive there.’ (Fl, 2017-11 @ 02:28)

10.3.1.4 Past of copula kō ‘be’

For copular constructions, see §11.2.2. As with other stative predicates, past time is expressed by adding the (dialectally variable) past morpheme. *tā* is common for Fl, but we recorded just *ká* and *tá* for Ji. For Bi and closely related Bo the form is *rà*. As usual, the article of an immediately following noun is unpronounced after *kō* in the absence of an interruption, so *kō* drops to *kò* before H-tone.

- (766) a. [ē wù?ù] tá kò [(Ø) á tú-tū?ú]
 [Art house] **Past** be [Art Inan big]
 ‘The house was big.’ (Fl)
- b. [ē ná] tá kō [(Ø) kā tù-tū?ú]
 [Art cow] **Past** be [Art An big]
 ‘The cow was big.’ (Fl)
- c. [bó tó?ó] ká kò [(Ø) jérⁿ— ānà?à-nò]
 [3AnSg Foc] **Past** be [Art djinn— in.front-person]
 ‘He [focus] was the djinn boss.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 01:25)
- d. nó tá kò [(Ø) úⁿ-dìⁿ]
 1Sg **Past** be [Art chief]
 ‘I was the chief.’ (Ji)
- e. zàkí rà kò [(Ø) úⁿ-dìⁿ]
 Z **Past** be [Art chief]
 ‘Zaki was the chief.’ (Bi)
- f. [ē [dī-nā-dèⁿ]-dò té] rà kō bè
 [Art [old.days]-Poss.Inan Foc.Inan] **Past** be Dem.Def
 ‘That was the way of the old days.’ (Bo, 2019-09 @ 03:01)
- g. [ē dī-nā-dèⁿ] [é-yùò dó] rà wō [(Ø) lò tá-ró]
 [Art old.days] [1Pl Poss.Inan] **Past** be [Art chicken.Pl Foc-AnPl]
 ‘In the old days, our way was chickens [focus].’ (Bo, 2019-10 @ 04:00)

Negative ‘X was/were not Y’ adds *IpfvNeg* *má*, in stative negative function, between the past morpheme and *kō*.

- (767) nó tá má kò [(Ø) wúⁿ-dìⁿ]
 1Sg **Past** **IpfvNeg** **be** [Art chief]
 ‘I was not the chief.’ (Fl)

However, our Bi speaker switches from unmarked Past rà to IpfvPast dè before máⁿ kō ‘not be’ (§10.3.1.8) as in (768a). Our Fl speaker, after reflection, indicated that yì (imperfective-stative past morpheme, §10.3.1.8 below) was the appropriate past marker in this construction, even with positive polarity (768b).

- (768) a. ò dè máⁿ wò = [(Ø) jɔ̃ⁿ] = nē?
 3Pl **IpfvPast** **IpfvNeg** **be** [Pl two] **Emph**
 ‘They weren’t two (different ones) after all!’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 01:07)

- b. nó yì kò [(Ø) wúⁿ-dìⁿ]
 1Sg **IpfvPast** **be** [Art chief]
 ‘I was the chief.’ (Fl)

kō ‘be’ also occurs in many predicate adjective constructions (§11.4.2). These too are shifted to past time using the dialectally appropriate past markers.

10.3.1.5 Past progressive

The nonpast version of the progressive consists of kō ‘be’, the object (if present), and the verb in a kind of locative PP with nī (§10.2.4). The past-time version adds the past morpheme before kō (769).

- (769) a. nó ká kò [dí nī]
 1Sg **Past** **be** [eat.meal.**Prog** **Prog**]
 ‘I was eating (at that moment).’ (Ji)
- b. é!, já→ ò ká gō
 oh!, lo! 3Pl **Past** **be**
 [ò dígè-rò] [sègè nī] = dē?
 [PIRefl Recip] [weary(v).**Prog** **Prog**] **Emph**
 ‘Oh! Lo, they were wearing each other out!’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 02:40)

Past progressive negative examples are in (770).

- (770) a. nó ká má kò [dí nī]
 1Sg **Past** **IpfvNeg** **be** [eat.meal.**Prog** **Prog**]
 ‘I was not eating (at that moment).’ (Ji)
- b. zàkí ká má kō [[[Ø) kē-sùⁿ?ɔ̃ⁿ] sɔ̃ⁿ] nī]
 Z **Past** **IpfvNeg** **be** [[[Art work(n)] work(v).**Prog** **Prog**]
 ‘Zaki was not working.’ (Ji)

10.3.1.6 Future-in-past

The nonpast positive versions of the future are with *nà* plus base (§10.2.3.1), and with *bè* plus Pfv (§10.2.1.2) or less often Ipv (§10.2.2.2).

For a future-in-past (‘was going to VP’, ‘was about to VP’, etc.), the past morpheme can precede *bè* or *nà*. The positive future-in-past with *bè* is illustrated in (771).

- (771) a. ó ká bè tīē [Ø èʔé jī]
 1Pl **Past** **Fut** put.down.Pfv [Art thing Indef]
 ‘We were going to put something down.’ (Ji)
- b. nó tâ bè wūō
 1Sg **Past** **Fut** die.Pfv
 ‘I was about to die.’ (F1)
- c. ó dè bè glō [[Ø pìèⁿ?èⁿ] nī]
 1Pl **IpfvPast** **Fut** exit.Pfv [[PIRefl foot] Loc]
 ‘We would be about to go out on our own feet.’ (Bo, 2019-03 @ 03:15)

A positive example with future *nà* is (772).

- (772) nó tá nà jō
 1Sg **Past** **Fut** drink.Base
 ‘I was about to drink.’ (F1)

The nonpast version of the future negative has IpvNeg *má* (Bi *máⁿ*), optional *bè*, and the Pfv of the verb (§10.2.5.3). This can be put in the past by adding the regular past morpheme of the dialect.

- (773) nó tá má bè wūō =?
 1Sg **Past** **IpfvNeg** **Fut** die.Pfv Neg
 ‘I was not about to die.’ (F1)

10.3.1.7 Past of locational ‘be (somewhere), exist’ *à-māⁿ*

Corresponding to nonpast *à-mā* ‘be (somewhere), be present, exist’ (§11.2.3), as in *à-mā mā* ‘be there’, speakers who use *tá* ~ *tâ* as the unmarked past morpheme have *tá à-mā* (774).

- (774) a. [bè dáʔá-sórē=] [Ø jò-ní] **tá** à-mā
 [Dem.Def time-peer] [Art swallow-VbIN] **Past** **be.Loc**
 ‘In times like those, there was excision.’ (Bo, 2019-10 @ 01:54)
- b. [ē dīé jì] tá à-mā
 [Art sauce Inef] **Past** **be.Loc**
 ‘There used to be a sauce, ...’ (Bo, 2019-11 @ 01:53)

Speakers who use *ká* as basic past morpheme have *ká à-mā*.

- (775) a. [jèròⁿ ká à-mā] [[bì tòʔó] kò yá]
 [Rel **Past be.Loc**] [[Dem.Def Foc] be Dem.InanSg]
 ‘What(-ever) was there (in the tale), this [focus] is how it was.’
 (Ma, 2017-02 @ 01:49)
- b. [è bú-ní] ká à-mā [à ní]
 [Art get.Base-VblN **Past be.Loc**] [3Inan Loc]
 ‘There was a benefit there.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 06:45)
- c. [nó fē-nī =rè] ká à-mā [nàsàrá-kèⁿ kǎⁿ]
 [1Sg greet-VblN even] **Past be.Loc** [white.person-male Dem.AnSg]
 ‘My salute was (also) to this white man.’ (Fl, 2017-11 @ 11:09)

For Bi dialect, IpfvPast *dè* rather than regular past *râ ~ rà* is used; see the following section.

The past negative is based on *ní-mā* ‘not be (somewhere)’. Non-Bi dialects prepose the regular past marker. A Bo speaker used *tà* as variant of past *rà*.

- (776) a. mó tá ní-mā fāⁿ?āⁿ
 2Sg **Past not.be.Loc** here
 ‘You-sg were not here.’ (Fl)
- b. [ē jùsúⁿ] tà ní-mà
 [Art cotton] **Past not.be.Loc**
 ‘There didn’t use to be cotton.’ (Bo, 2019-03 @ 00:32)

10.3.1.8 Imperfective past *yì* (Fl), *è* (Ji), or *dè ~ lè* or *dà = à* (Bi)

In this construction, the usual past markers (*ká*, *tâ*, *râ ~ rà*) are replaced by the marked imperfective past (IpfvPast) inflectional morpheme *yì* (Fl), *è* (Ji), or *dè ~ 2* (Bi). In Fl and especially Ji dialects, the replacement is optional. It is systematic in Bi dialect. Bi has both a simple form *dè* (with surface variants *nè* and *rè*), for some speakers also *lè*, and a composite form *dà = à* including Ipfv particle *à*.

The IpfvPast morpheme occurs before imperfective verbs, both positive and negative. It also occurs in the combination *yì-mā* (Fl) or *dè mǎⁿ* (Bi) ‘was/were (somewhere)’, the past-time version of *à-mā* ‘is (somewhere)’.

In Ji dialect, *è* can contract with a preceding vowel, especially 1Sg *nó* and 2Sg *mó*, as *nó = ò* and *mó = ò*. Bi *dè* contracts with Ipfv *à* as *dà = à*.

Positive examples below have Fl/Ji *yì* and *è* (777a-b), Bi simple *dè* (777c-k), and Bi composite *dà = à* (777l-o). The textual examples with simple *dè* happen to involve verbs of base=Ipfv type, but the elicited example (777k) has a clear Ipfv verb.

- (777) a. [è lɛ̃ⁿʔɛ̃ⁿ] yì cùì zàkí
 [Art cold(n)] **IpfvPast** hit.**Ipfv** Z
 ‘Zaki was cold (=felt cold).’ (F1)
- b. nó yì jĩⁿ [Ø kē-jùⁿʔàⁿ] (F1)
 nó = ò " " " (Ji)
 1Sg **IpfvPast** work(v).Ipfv [Art work(n)]
 ‘I used to work/was working.’ (F1 Ji)
- c. ā klè tá [bó dè cɔ̃ʔɔ̃]
 3Inan do.Pfv like [3AnSg **IpfvPast** fear.**Ipfv**]
 ‘It was like it (=elephant) was afraid.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 01:26)
 (cèʔè/cɔ̃ʔɔ̃/cɔ̃ʔɔ̃)
- d. jáⁿbè à rè klè bè-yá-ró
 anyway 3Inan **IpfvPast** be.done.**Ipfv** thus
 ‘Anyway, that’s how it was done.’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 00:44)
- e. [ó kòⁿnìⁿ] dè é =nìⁿ bè-yá-ró
 [1Pl Top] **IpfvPast** walk.**Ipfv** 3InanObj thus
 ‘As for us, we used to walk it like that.’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 06:40)
- f. í-yùò rè dí [bè tóʔó]
 1Pl **IpfvPast** eat.**Ipfv** [Dem.Def Foc]
 ‘That [focus] is what we used to eat.’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 03:41)
- g. [ē pòʔò bó] rè é kósóbéʔ
 [Art the.bush Top] **IpfvPast** walk.**Ipfv** well
 ‘The hunt [topic] was going well.’ (Bi, 2017-120 @ 05:06)
- h. [ē jɔ̃-yùò ró] dè gàʔ-à-séⁿ
 [Art fetish-owner.Pl Foc] **IpfvPast** do.first.**Ipfv**-Ipfv-lie.down.Ipfv
 ‘It was the fetishists [focus] who used to lie down first.’
 (Bi, 2017-10 @ 01:23)
- i. í-yùò dè māⁿ
 1Pl **IpfvPast** be.**Loc**
 ‘We were there.’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 03:10)
- j. [é sāwāʔā] dè māⁿ
 [Art rattle(n)] **IpfvPast** be.**Loc**
 ‘There were rattles there.’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 05:39)
- k. nóⁿ dè jĩⁿ [Ø lǎⁿ]
 1Sg **IpfvPast** drink.**Ipfv** [Art beer]
 ‘I used to drink beer.’ (Bi)

- l. [ē lè-kè-rò ró] dà= à fō= [[Ø ānàⁿ?àⁿ] nī]
 [Art citizen-Pl Foc] **IpfvPast** **Ipfv** pass.**Ipfv** [[Art face] Loc]
 ‘It was rather the ordinary citizens [focus] who went ahead (first).’
 (Bi, 2017-10 @ 01:33)
- m. ó dà= à glú
 1Pl **IpfvPast** **Ipfv** exit.**Ipfv**
 ‘We were getting out (=abandoning it).’ (Bo, 2019-06 @ 00:30)
- n. [[è yúó jōⁿ] kě] dà= à dáⁿ [òⁿ bó]
 [[Art people two] matter] **IpfvPast** **Ipfv** be,pleasant.**Ipfv** [Dat LogoSg]
 ‘(said:) “Two of them were beloved of me.”’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 07:52)
- o. jèró dà= à fō= [[Ø ānàⁿ?àⁿ] nīⁿ]
 Rel.AnPl **IpfvPast** **Ipfv** pass.**Ipfv** [[Art face] Loc]
 ‘the ones who went forward (first)’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 01:29)

Past imperfective negative clauses also have these IpvPast morphemes (778). In (778a), /nó è/ → nó = ò.

- (778) a. nó =ò má ſīⁿ [Ø kē-ſùⁿ?òⁿ]
 1Sg **IpfvPast** **IpfvNeg** work(v).**Ipfv** [Art work(n)]
 ‘I didn’t use to work.’ (Ji)
- b. [ē lēⁿ?éⁿ] yì má cùì zàkí
 [Art **cold(n)**] **IpfvPast** **IpfvNeg** hit.**Ipfv** Z
 ‘Zaki wasn’t cold (didn’t feel cold).’ (Fl)
- c. ò tá má yē= [Ø pò?ⁿ] =ā
 3Pl **IpfvPast** **IpfvNeg** walk.**Ipfv** [Art the.bush] Q
 ‘Would they not have gone hunting?’ (Ma, 2017-10 @ 02:54)
- d. nóⁿ nè máⁿ glú-ā-yì?è móⁿ]
 1Sg **IpfvPast** **IpfvNeg** exit.**Ipfv**- Ipv-unload.**Ipfv** 2Sg]
 [kò-kò sú→]
 [Rdp-day all]
 ‘I have not been going out to unload you every day.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 04:45)
- e. í-yùò dè máⁿ jī =wò =?
 1Pl **IpfvPast** **IpfvNeg** know.**Ipfv** 3PlObj Neg
 ‘We were unfamiliar with them.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 00:24)
- f. [kètàⁿ dáⁿ] ó dè máⁿ sòⁿ
 [truth pleasant] 1Pl **IpfvPast** **IpfvNeg** consent.**Ipfv**
 ‘Truthfully, we didn’t use to consent ...’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 06:32)

- g. ðⁿ nè máⁿ klè jèrɔⁿ
 3AnSg **IpfvPast** **IpfvNeg** do.**Ipfv** Rel
 ‘what she (previously) was not doing’ (women, 2017-12 @ 02:38)

Substitution of yì ~ è for the general past markers is optional. The examples given above can be rephrased, in non-Bi dialects, with general past markers ká and tá ~ tâ, which combine with Ipfv à as ká à and tá à.

- (779) a. nó tá à ʃɪⁿ [Ø kē-ʃùⁿ?ðⁿ]
 1Sg **Past** **Ipfv** work(v).Ipfv [Art work(n)]
 ‘I used to work.’ (F1)
- b. [è lēⁿ?éⁿ] tá à kēⁿ?èⁿ nó
 [Art cold(n)] **Past** **Ipfv** go.up.Base 1Sg
 ‘I was cold.’ (lit. “the cold climbed up on me”) (F1)
- c. nó tâ má ʃɪⁿ [Ø kē-ʃùⁿ?ðⁿ]
 1Sg **Past** **IpfvNeg** work(v).Ipfv [Art work(n)]
 ‘I didn’t use to work.’ (F1)

In Bi dialect, general past rā ~ rà is replaced by dè before Ipfv à as dà = à, although its tapped variant rà = à might be parsed by some speakers with rà rather than with dè.

In §16.4 below we show that the same IpfvPast morphemes (yì, è, dè) also occur in counterfactual conditionals, where they normally combine with base (not Ipfv) verbs.

10.3.1.9 Stative adjectival verbs with regular past markers

Adjectival verbs (‘be hot’, ‘be big’, etc.) are described in §11.4.1 below. In nonpast contexts they co-occur with Ipfv particle à or IpfvNeg má⁽ⁿ⁾. The verbs merge base with Ipfv, and often with Pfv (if the latter exists at all), so these stem labels don’t have much value for adjectival verbs. Some other stative verbs like invariant plé ‘be easy’ or ‘be better’ behave similarly.

The corresponding past-time clauses add the dialectally appropriate past marker after the subject. In dialects other than Bi, the positive past forms are tá à and ká à plus the verb. Examples are in (780).

- (780) a. [ē nù] tá à bð
 [Art water] **Past** **Ipfv** be.hot/burn.Ipfv
 ‘The water was hot.’ (F1)
- c. zàkì ká à gbā?ā
 Z **Past** **Ipfv** be.big.Ipfv
 ‘Zaki was fat.’ (Ji)

For Bi dialect, the IpfvPast morpheme *dè* is followed directly by the verb, without Ipfv *à*, as also in regular past imperfectives.

- (781) a. *zàkí* *dè* *dī?ē*
 Z **IpfvPast** be.long.Ipfv
 ‘Zaki was tall.’ (Bi)
- b. *zàkí* *dè* *gbā?ā*
 Z **IpfvPast** be.big.Ipfv
 ‘Zaki was fat.’ (Bi)
- c. [*bó* [*n* *dèⁿ?éⁿ]]* *nè* *plé*
 [3AnSg [Sg one]] **IpfvPast** be.better.Ipfv
 ‘By itself it was better.’ (Bi 2017-09 @ 01:24)
- d. *é!* [*ē* *kě*] *rè* *kā?ā* *dī-nāⁿ-dèⁿ* = *nē?*
 oh! [Art thing] **IpfvPast** be.hard.Ipfv in.the.past Emph
 ‘Oh, the thing was indeed difficult back in those days!’
 (Bi, 2017-10 @ 03:31)
- e. [*ā* [*nù?ó-sū?ō*]-*dà?à*] *à* *lè* *kò*
 [3Inan [mouth-catch.Pfv]-time] 3Inan **IpfvPast** be.good.Ipfv
 ‘In the beginning (=at first), it was good.’. (Bo, 2019-03 @ 01:04)

Past negative examples are in (782). The past or IpfvPast morpheme precedes IpfvNeg *má⁽ⁿ⁾*, the regular negative marker for all non-perfective clauses.

- (782) a. *òⁿ* *nè* *máⁿ* *gbā?ā* *bè-yá* = *rē?*
 3AnSg **IpfvPast** **IpfvNeg** be.big.Ipfv thus Emph
 ‘It was not all that big.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 00:31)
- b. *zàkí* *dè* *máⁿ* *dī?ē* (= ?)
 Z **IpfvPast** **IpfvNeg** be.long.Ipfv Neg
 ‘Zaki wasn’t tall.’ (Bi)
- c. [*ē* *wù?ù*] *tā* *má* *kò* [*á* *tú-tū?ú*]
 [Art house] **Past** **IpfvNeg** be [Inan big]
 ‘The house was not big.’ (F1)
- d. *zàkí* *tā* *má* *dī?è / gbā?ā* (= ?)
 Z **Past** **IpfvNeg** be.long.Ipfv/be.big.Ipfv Neg
 ‘Zaki was not tall/fat.’ (F1)
- e. *zàkí* *tá* *má* *dī?è / gbā?ā* (= ?)
 Z **Past** **IpfvNeg** be.long.Ipfv/be.big.Ipfv Neg
 [= (d)] (Ma)

- f. zàkí ká má dìʔè / gbāʔā (=ʔ)
 Z **Past** **IpfvNeg** be.long.Ipfv/be.big.Ipfv Neg
 [= (d)] (Ji)
- g. [ē nù] tâ má b̀ =ʔ
 [Art water] **Past** **IpfvNeg** be.hot.Ipfv Neg
 ‘The water was not hot.’ (F1)

10.3.1.10 Past of identificational ‘it is’ construction

The nonpast version of this construction has enclitic =à ~ =yà (or variant) after the predicative NP, followed by glò under some conditions (§11.2.1.1). The negative version is má⁽ⁿ⁾ glò =ʔ (§11.2.1.2).

Adding a past marker to this is problematic. Our F1 and Ji speakers rejected any version of [X Past it.is] meaning ‘it was X’, such as #X ká =à or #X tá =à. There is a textual example of [X Past it.is] for Bi, but expected =à glò is replaced by wò glò (783a). Here wò is a cross between the segmental form of copula kō (which does not drop to kò before an L-tone) and the tone and syntax of =à ‘it is’. Other Bi textual examples that we initially thought were of the type [X Past it.is], such as (783b), turn out on closer inspection to involve dá = lenited from inanimate focalizer té.

- (783) a. [bè tóʔó] rā wò glò
 [Dem.Def Foc] **Past** it.is it.is
 ‘That [focus] is what it was.’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 05:03)
- b. [ē pōʔō-kèⁿʔèⁿ-ń] dá =] =à = dēʔ
 [Art the.bush-ascend.Base-VblN **Foc.Inan**] **it.is** Emph
 ‘That was (=really meant) going up (=out) into the bush.’
 (Bi, 2017-10 @ 00:50)

Since [X Past it.is] is either ungrammatical or marginal depending on speaker, a back door can be used to express the relevant sense. The topic noun is focalized, which requires final =à glò for positive polarity. The regular past marker may then be added (784a). The negative counterpart is past marker plus má plus glò =ʔ (784b).

- (784) a. [bè tōʔó] tá [=à glò]
 [Dem.Def **Foc**] **Past** [it.is it.is]
 ‘That [focus] is what it was.’ (F1)
- b. [bè tōʔó] tá má glò =ʔ
 [Dem.Def **Foc**] **Past** IpfvNeg **it.is** Neg
 ‘That [focus] is not what it was.’ (F1)

10.3.2 Phasal polarity

10.3.2.1 ‘Still’, ‘up to now’ (dá = à, b̀̀ré)

In the elicited example (785), the combination dá = à including Ipfv particle à, followed by an Ipfv verb form, was offered as a translation of ‘still, up to now’. We identify the initial morpheme (factoring out vv-Contraction) as dó ‘however’, a subject-final particle (§19.3.8) with mildly adversative function (lightly challenging the addressee’s expectations).

(785) [zàkí dá =] à jĩⁿ [Ø kē-ʃùⁿ?òⁿ] mā
 [Z **however**] **Ipfv** work(v).Ipfv [Art work(n)] there.Def
 ‘Zaki is still working there.’ (F1)

A similar construction occurs in textual example (786). The individual observed was dead but was being propped up to make the djinns think he was still alive.

(786) [è jéríⁿ-ní dá =] ā jè [òⁿ lēⁿ-kà?à]
 [Art djinn-Pl **however**] **Ipfv** see.Ipfv [3AnSg stand.Pfv-Ppl.An]
 ‘The djinns still saw him standing.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 03:22)

This construction is only available to aspectually dynamic verbs, i.e. those which can combine with Ipfv à.

In texts, b̀̀ré (< Jula bèléⁿ) occurs in the sense ‘still’, either by itself as in (Bi, 2017-08 @ 03:11) or in the combination álè b̀̀ré (§19.1.7) as in (Bi, 2017-08 @ 08:47). álè kúⁿ?úⁿ ‘even today’ is also in common use, when the time frame extends over long periods. tà?à-kó ‘again’ can sometimes be translated as ‘still’, as in 2017-09 @ 08:01. The verb ‘stay, remain’ (pìèⁿ/pēⁿ/pīⁿ) is common in texts. Its usage can obviate the need for a dedicated ‘still’ adverb. One of several examples is (Bi, 2017-08 @ 08:08).

10.3.2.2 ‘Again’ (klá, táⁿ-, tà?à-kó)

For ‘VP again’, two options are a VP sequence or compound beginning with klē/klá/klá ‘return, do again’ (§15.1.3.1) or a compound beginning táⁿ- (§15.1.3.3). These are covered in chapter 15 in the sections just indicated. Compare English *re-* with verbs.

There is also an adverb tà?à-kó that can be added to any VP. It can be glossed ‘again’, as ‘lately, since then’, or under negation ‘any longer, any more’.

(787) zàkí bà tà?à-kó
 Z come.Pfv **again**
 ‘Zaki came again.’ (F1)

Textual examples of tà?à-kó are: **F1** (2017-05 @ 00:02 ‘once again’, 02:34 ‘the very same’, and 03:21 ‘again’), **Ji** (2017-07 @ 03:13 ‘again’, 2017-08 @ 09:07 [sense unclear], 2017-09 @ 08:01 ‘still, since then’), **Bi** (2017-09 @ 05:37 ‘again’), **Bo** (2019-03 @ 01:46 ‘lately’,

2019-06 @ 00:25 ‘lately’). Some of these passages also include the verb *klē/klá/klá*. Further examples of *tàʔà-kó* are given or cited in the following subsection.

For pragmatic ‘moreover, furthermore’, see §19.1.5 at (1482).

10.3.2.3 ‘No longer’ (negation plus *tàʔà-kó*)

‘No longer; not any more’ can be expressed by combining an imperfective negative predicate with *tàʔà-kó* ‘again’. This construction can be used with ordinary verbs or with statives like ‘have’ and ‘be’. A more precise gloss in some passages is ‘(not) since then’.

- (788) a. *nó má ʃɪⁿ [Ø kē-ʃùⁿʔàⁿ] tàʔà-kó*
 1Sg Ipfv**Neg** work(v).Ipfv [Art work(n)] **again**
 ‘I no longer work.’ (F1)
- b. *nó má kã= [Ø wùʔú] tàʔà-kó*
 1Sg Ipfv**Neg** with [Art house] **again**
 ‘I no longer have a house / any houses.’ (F1)
- c. *nó má kò [(Ø) wúⁿ-dìⁿ] tàʔà-kó*
 1Sg Ipfv**Neg** be [Art chief] **again**
 ‘I am no longer the (village) chief.’ (F1)

Textual examples of ‘no longer; not any more’ are **F1** (2017-05 @ 03:12), **Ji**, (2017-08 @ 08:55), and **Bo** (2019-03 @ 01:53-57).

10.3.2.4 ‘Not yet’ (negation plus *tàⁿ*)

‘Not yet’ is expressed by adding adverb *tàⁿ* ‘yet’ to a negative clause.

- (789) a. *zàkì á bà tàⁿ ?*
 Z Pfv**Neg** come.Base **yet** Neg
 ‘Zaki has not yet come.’ (F1)
- b. *nó má kã= [Ø wùʔú] tàⁿ ?*
 1Sg Ipfv**Neg** with [Art house] **yet** Neg
 ‘I don’t have a house yet.’ (F1)
- c. *ś= á dí tàⁿ =?*
 1Pl Pfv**Neg** eat.Base **yet** Neg
 ‘We haven’t eaten yet.’ (Ji)

There is one textual example (790).

- (790) dè bùò á jī [ò jū-dǒ] tàⁿ ?
 Quot LogoPl PfvNeg see.Base] [PIRefl eye-man] **yet** Neg
 ‘(said:) “we haven’t seen (=gotten) our husbands of choice yet.” ’
 (F1, 2017-05 @ 00:29)

tàⁿ does not occur in positive statements. However, it can occur in polar interrogatives like (791).

- (791) *est-ce que* zàkí bà tàⁿ = āⁿ
 Q Z come.Pfv **yet** Q
 ‘Has Zaki come yet?’ (F1)

10.3.2.5 ‘Already’ (kō)

‘Already’ can be expressed by a verb sequence or compound ending in kō ‘finish’ (§15.1.3.6). With a more or less punctual verb like ‘come’, ‘already’ is the usual interpretation (792b). kō can also be added to stative constructions like ‘have’ (792c).

- (792) a. nó bē-kō
 1Sg cultivate.Pfv-**finish.Base**
 ‘I have finished cultivating.’ = ‘I have already cultivated.’ (F1)
- b. zàkí bà-kō
 Z come.Pfv-**finish.Base**
 ‘Zaki has already come.’ (F1)
- c. zàkí kǎ = [Ø dōrā?á] [ò jōⁿ] kō
 Z with [Art courtyard] [Pl two] **finish.Base**
 ‘Zaki already has two houses.’ (F1)

As with Eng *already*, it is understood that the completion was fairly recent. For cases where the event may have occurred at any time in the past, see the experiential perfect ‘have ever VPed, have VPed (at least once)’, §15.1.4.3.

Some textual passages where ‘already’ would be part of an idiomatic English translation lack kō, for example (F1, 2017-03 @ 02:05).

This kō should be distinguished from the noun kō ‘(a specific) day’, and the related adverbial kō-kō ‘nowadays’.

10.4 Deontic modals

10.4.1 Imperatives and prohibitives

10.4.1.1 Imperative (unsuffixed singular, plural preverb ò)

The verb, in the base form, serves as imperative. The Ipfv form of the verb cannot be used. There is usually no overt marking of 2Sg subject (addressee), although the full 2Sg subject pronoun mó is occasionally present before the verb. 2Pl subject (addressee) is expressed by ò, which is partially homophonous to the 3Pl pronominal proclitic ò ~ ò̄.

- (793) a. bá dè-à
 cultivate.**Base** field-2SgPoss
 ‘Cultivate-2Sg your field!’ (Ji)
- b. ò bá [ò dè]
 Imprt.PI cultivate.**Base** [PlRefl field]
 ‘Cultivate-2Pl your field(s)!’ (Ji)

Textual examples of the singular imperative include mó tètè-klé ‘you be quiet!’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 01:43), k̄ = nì ‘finish it!’ (Ma, 2017-01 @ 00:35), and léⁿ [[Ø jùtèté dó] nī] ‘accept God’s (role)!’ (Ma, 2017-02 @ 03:07). A textual example of the plural imperative is ò tó-jū⁵ ‘listen-2Pl!’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 00:53).

Imperative subjects can bind reflexives in non-subject NPs. (793a-b) above show reflexive possessor marking on the object. For simple reflexive objects in imperatives (‘kill yourself!’ etc.), see §18.1.2.

Most imperatives in the texts are quoted. Quoted imperatives present the same base stem of the verb as in nonquoted imperatives. Unless the original addressee is the current speaker or addressee, the original addressee is shifted to third person, either 3AnSg òⁿ or 3Pl ò (§17.1.4).

Plural imperative with ò and 3Pl perfective with ò̄ are usually distinguishable by either or both of two indicators. First, the verb takes base form in imperatives and Pfv form in perfectives. Second, plural imperative ò does not raise to ò̄ before an L-tone, while 3Pl proclitic ò̄ does (794a-b).

- (794) a. ò̄ jètètè
 3Pl write.Pfv
 ‘They wrote.’ (Ji)
- b. ò jètètè
 Imprt.PI write.Base
 ‘Write-2Pl!’ (Ji)

So full ambiguity results only with verbs that have a single nonlow-toned form for Pfv and base. Some such verbs have adjectival semantics and are not often used in commands.

10.4.1.2 Prohibitive

10.4.1.2.1 Prohibitive mâ⁽ⁿ⁾, plural ò mâ⁽ⁿ⁾

The prohibitive (negative imperative) is expressed by mâ (Bi mâⁿ) plus the base stem of the verb. The contour tone requires some prolongation of the vowel. However, in allegro speech the tone can be flattened to mā, which does not require secondary prolongation. For plural subject, ò is proposed to mâ. The clause-final glottal stop that is common in indicative negatives is occasionally present in prohibitives.

The Ipfv stem may occur instead of the usual base stem for blanket prohibition over a wide time interval, as in ‘don’t ever ...!’ There is a textual example of Ipfv gblī ‘take’ in the sense ‘choose’ in a quoted prohibitive; see (1313a) in §17.1.6.2.

Prohibitive mâ differs tonally from IpfvNeg má, which occurs in a range of negative clauses (imperfective, stative, future). The danger of confusion is mitigated by the fact that IpfvNeg má is immediately followed either by an Ipfv or Pfv (but not base) verb stem, or by future bè.

Some elicited prohibitives are in (795).

- (795) a. mâ bà
Proh come.**Base**
 ‘Don’t-2Sg come!’ (Ji)
- b. ò mâ bà
Imprt.PI **Proh** come.**Base**
 ‘Don’t-2Pl come!’ (Ji)
- c. mâ bá dè-à
Proh cultivate.**Base** field-2SgPoss
 ‘Don’t cultivate-2Sg your field!’ (Ji)
- d. ò mâ bá [ō dè]
Imprt.PI **Proh** cultivate.**Base** [PIRefl field]
 ‘Don’t cultivate-2Pl your field(s)!’ (Ji)
- e. ò má n̄ =?
Imprt.PI **Proh** drink.**Base** Neg
 ‘Don’t-2Pl drink!’ (F1 Ji)

Two textual examples of prohibitives with second-person subject-addressee are in (796).

- (796) a. mâ mà
Proh laugh.**Base**
 ‘Don’t-2Sg laugh!’ (Ma, 2017-01 @ 01:48)

- b. *mâ dè dè* [[Ø úⁿ bíé] nī]
Proh say.**Base** Quot [[Art village all] Loc]
 ‘Don’t say (=think) that (it’s) in the whole village!’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 04:31)

The specific combination in (796b), namely *mâ dè* ‘don’t say’, is especially common, since ‘say’ has a broad range of meanings including ‘think’ and therefore ‘intend, plan (to)’. *mâ dè* occurs when the speaker wishes to dissuade the addressee from a course of action or from a thought. Other textual examples are (Ji, 2017-01 @ 04:34), along with numerous examples from our Bi speaker pronounced *māⁿ dè ~ mā nè* : (2017-07 @ 09:43 & 09:59), (2017-08 @ 10:22 & 10:31), and (2017-10 @ 06:35). Likewise *mâ dò* (Fl, 2017-03) with a different form of the base verb.

Prohibitives can be made emphatic. One predictable way to do this is to add the all-purpose clause-final emphatic = *dē?* (§19.4.1), as in *mâ bà = rē?* ‘don’t come!’. Another emphatic attested with prohibitives is *ké* (§19.4.6), as in *mâ bà ké* ‘don’t come!’ Another adverb that can be added is *tà?à* or *tà?à-kó* ‘again’ (§10.3.2.2), as in *mâ bà tà?a* ‘don’t come back (again)!’.

Many prohibitives that occur in the recordings are quoted prohibitives, with an explicit quotative verb or quotative particle. They have the same *mâⁿ* and the same base verb as in unquoted prohibitives; see §17.1.6.2 for discussion and examples. Purposive clauses can also use prohibitives (§17.6.2.4).

Prohibitives may also have first or third person subjects, without an overt quotative or other subordinator. The examples in (797) reflect the speaker’s own views. The form of *ò mâ* in (797b) would also be compatible with 2Pl subject prohibitive, but the context suggests that it is 3Pl.

- (797) a. *é-yùò mâ klà-lò* [[*dù?* = á] nī]
1Pl Proh play.**Base** [[cliffs Dem.InanSg] Loc]
 ‘We mustn’t play in (=be neglectful of) those cliffs.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 10:10)
- b. *ò mâ glō* [Ø *kè-tè?* =] [*à* nī]
3Pl Proh take.out.**Base** [Art hand] [3Inan Loc]
 ‘So, the villagers too, they mustn’t keep— they mustn’t abandon it.’
 (Ji, 2017-11 @ 10:50)
- c. [*kā jì*] *mâ kè-klē = nì*
 [creature Indef] **Proh** ruin(v).**Base** 3InanObj
 ‘Nothing (=no creature) must spoil it.’ (Ji & Fl, 2017-11 @ 04:53)

The examples in (797) have the same structure as quoted prohibitives (§17.1.6.2) and other subordinated prohibitives, but they do not imply a subordinator.

10.4.1.2.2 Prohibitive variant má-nà

A variant prohibitive má-nà instead of mâ is attested but appears to be much less common. We can discern no semantic or pragmatic difference between mâ and má-nà. má-nà occurs in one ordinary textual passage (798b), and in traditional songs (text 2019-13).

- (798) a. má-nà bà
 Proh come.Base
 ‘Don’t come!’ (Fl)
- b. [ē yǒ] má-nà kàⁿ?àⁿ-klú [kǎ = [Ø dǒ bàⁿ?àⁿ]]
 [Art woman] **Proh** get.together.Base [with [Art man other]]
 ‘The woman may not get together with another man.’
 (Bo, 2019-10 @ 05:19)

One is tempted to parse -nà as a special case of either future nà (§10.2.3.1) or counterfactual nà (§16.4.2). However, it may be that má-nà (possibly unsegmentable) is simply the archaic form of mâ. This would account diachronically for the falling tone of mâ, which is otherwise rare in stems and grammatical morphemes.

The nà element is more reliably present in the combinations with ‘go’ compounds to which we now turn.

10.4.1.2.3 Prohibitive má-nà á- or mà á- ‘don’t go and ...!’

mâ and má-nà can be followed by a special form of ‘go’ as verbal compound initial preceding another verb (in base form). The ‘go’ verb, whose base is elsewhere yí?í, takes the form í- (Fl) or (probably assimilated) á- (Ji). The attested combinations are má-nà í- varying with mâ í- for Fl, and má-nà á- for Ji.

- (799) a. má-nà (~ mâ) í-dīē = ?
 Proh go.Base-enter.Base Neg
 ‘Don’t go in!’ (Fl)
- b. má-nà á-dīē = ?
 Proh go.Base-enter.Base Neg
 ‘Don’t go in!’ (Ji)

10.4.2 Hortatives

Hortatives are suggestions rather than commands. Classically they have one or more second person addressees, and indicate or imply a potential agentive group also including the speaker, as in ‘let’s VP!’ Such hortatives are hybrids between imperatives (addressed to one or more listeners) and 1Pl subject clauses. The English type *let’s VP!* derived from biclausal *let us [_ VP]!* reflects this hybridization.

Tiefo-D hortatives can often be translated as ‘let’s VERB!’ with implied 1Pl agentive group. However, they can also occur in suggestions for action to be carried out by agentive groups not including the speaker. This is to be expected in quoted hortatives, but it can also happen in unquoted hortatives.

10.4.2.1 Hortative positive

10.4.2.1.1 gbèʔé ‘let’s go!’

There is a suppletive hortative-only verb *gbèʔé*, which by itself usually means ‘let’s go!’ It is phonologically unrelated to *yīʔē/yíʔí/yíʔí* ‘go’. In fact, *gbèʔé* can be combined with a following verb, including *yīʔē/yíʔí/yíʔí*.

When used without an overt subject or other preverbal morpheme, *gbèʔé* implies a single addressee (800a). If the addressee is plural, so that the agentive ‘we’ includes at least three persons, *ò* is preposed (800b). This is the same morpheme that occurs in plural-addressee imperatives.

- (800) a. *gbèʔé*
 go.Hort
 ‘Let’s-2Sg go!’ (Ji)
- b. *ò gbèʔé*
 Imprt.Pl go.Hort
 ‘Let’s-2Pl go!’ (Ji)

jó (see the following section) is optionally preposed.

There is one unquoted *gbèʔé* in the recordings, addressed by one speaker to the other speaker before beginning a tale (801).

- (801) *gbèʔé* [kà lō]
go.Hort [with1 3Inan]
 ‘Let’s proceed with it!’ (Ma, 2017-01 @ 01:48)

While ‘let’s go!’ is often an appropriate free translation, *gbèʔé* sometimes has a more subtle exhorting function and does not always include the speaker in the agentive role. Most textual examples of *gbèʔé* are in quoted hortatives, presented and analysed in §17.1.6.3). Quoted hortatives add an overt subject.

gbèʔé does not occur in hortative negatives (‘let’s not go!’).

10.4.2.1.2 Hortative *jí, jó, kò* without overt subject

Elicited hortatives (the cues being French hortatives like *allons-y!* and *asseyons-nous!*) other than ‘let’s go!’ (on which see the preceding section) generally begin with *jó* before the verb. For plural addressee, *ò* is preposed to *jó*, consistent with preverbal *ò* for other plural-

addressee deontics (imperatives, prohibitives, gbè?é ‘let’s go!’). This linear order distinguishes jó from jí ‘if’, which precedes subjects.

jó is optionally but often followed by kò, which often contracts to encliticized = ò, resulting in jó = ò. We label both jó and kò as “Hort” in interlinears.

Hortative kò is audibly distinct from infinitival kō when immediately followed by a non-high tone. However, infinitival kō drops to kò before an H-tone, in which case the two morphemes are homophonous.

The verb in a hortative may be in Ipfv (802a,f) or base (802b-e) stem for verbs that distinguish the two. The choice depends on whether the proposal is for a single action (base stem), or for open-ended repetitions of the action (Ipfv stem). In the imperfective construction there is no Ipfv particle à following kò. Therefore kò plus Ipfv (imperfective hortative) is clearly distinct from k-à plus Ipfv (imperfective infinitive). However, Ipfv -à- is intercalated between compounded verbs in imperfective hortatives (802f).

- (802) a. jó = ò dí / jī / dē
Hort Hort eat.**Ipfv** / drink.**Ipfv** / sleep.**Ipfv**
 ‘Let’s-2Sg eat/drink/sleep!’ (F1)
- b. jó = ò tārāⁿ / kēⁿ?ēⁿ / bá / bà
Hort Hort sit.**Base** / ascend.**Base** / cultivate.**Base** / come.**Base**
 ‘Let’s-2Sg sit down/go up/do farm work/come!’ (F1)
- c. jó bá [ó dè]
Hort cultivate.**Base** [PlRefl field]
 ‘Let’s-2Sg cultivate our field(s)!’ (F1 Ji)
- d. jó dō= [Ø báⁿ]
Hort buy.**Base** [Art sheep]
 ‘Let’s-2Sg buy a sheep!’ (Ji)
 (< dō [è báⁿ])
- e. ò jó dō= [Ø báⁿ]
Imprt.Pl Hort buy.**Base** [Art sheep]
 ‘Let’s-2Pl buy a sheep!’ (Ji)
- f. ò jó kò sùⁿ-àⁿ-[yī?-á-ʃiʔi]
Imprt.Pl Hort Hort do.early.**Ipfv-Ipfv**-[get.up.**Ipfv**]
 ‘Let’s-2Pl get up early (regularly)!’ (F1)
- g. ń ñò rà-tē
 1Sg Hort go.Base-put.down.Base
 [wò dī-à-glō = nīⁿ] [wò bó]
[Hort remove.**Ipfv** 3Inan] **[Hort** tie.**Ipfv**]
 ‘Let me go and put down (the baobab) and take it (=finery) out and tie (it on).’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 09:05)

The origin of *jó* is an interesting question. Some of our speakers suggest that *jó* is a contraction of *jí ó* ('if we'), but since *jó* follows imperative plural *ò* it cannot be taken as clause-initial. An alternative etymon is *já* 'leave, let', which occurs in causative constructions (§17.4.2.5.4). The shift to *jó* may have generalized from assimilation in *jó = ò*.

kò by itself without *jó* can mark a clause as hortative (803a). However, (803a-b) without *jó* and with no other overt subject can be interpreted as second-person subject hortatives ('go ahead and eat!'), not including the speaker. For plural addressee, *ò* (which remains L-toned) is proposed to *kò* (803b). If *jó* is added clause-initially, the speaker is included in the proposed action group.

- (803) a. *kò* *dí / jī*
Hort eat.Ipfv(or base) / drink.Ipfv
 'Go ahead-2Sg and eat/drink!' (F1 Ji)
- b. *ò* *kò* *dí / jī*
Imprt.PI Hort eat.Ipfv(or base) / drink.Ipfv
 'Go ahead-2Pl and eat/drink!' (F1 Ji)

Compare hortative-style wishes with overt pronominal subject proclitics (§10.4.2.3.1 below).

In texts, *jó* in hortative function (i.e. disregarding contractions of *jí* 'if' with pronominal subjects) is uncommon. There is one example of plural-addressee *ò jó* (804).

- (804) [*ò* *jó* *kà = á-nè?ě =* [Ø *wānà?à-yùò*]
 [Imprt.PI **Hort Hort** go.Base-ask.Base [Art face-people]
 'Let's go request (it) from the authorities.' (F1, 2017-11 @ 06:45)

Hortative *jó kò ~ jó = ò* is distinct from infinitival *jí kō*, which highlights the locally climactic event in an event series (§15.2.1.2, §16.1.1.5).

10.4.2.1.3 Hortatives with overt subjects

The common form of hortatives in texts has just *kò* without *jó*. Unlike the elicited examples (preceding section), the textual hortatives often have overt subjects, whether 1Pl or otherwise (1Sg, 2Sg, third person). Here we present only hortatives without quotative frames. The verbs are specified in interlinears as base, Ipfv, or base/Ipfv (the latter when the verb has the same form for both stems).

- (805) a. *ó* *kò* *dò-wē—* [[*ó* *wì-ě?ě*] *nī*
 1Pl **Hort** speak.Base-put.Base— [[1Pl put-Ppl.Inan] Loc]
 'Let's speak into our recorder.' (Ji, 2017-01 @ 00:23)
 (refers to a digital microphone)
- b. *mó* *wò* *kà^{n?}-àⁿ-jī* =*ñ* *kè*
 2Sg **Hort** reply.Ipfv 3InanObj Emph
 'Come on, respond to it!' (Ma, 2017-02 @ 00:35)

- c. ñ gò tê= [Ø lóʔá=] =ā
 2Sg **Hort** put.**Base/Ipfv** [Art intelligence] Q
 ‘You-Sg should pay attention (=be wary), right?’ (Fl, 2017-06 @ 01:40)
- d. [mó gbē [Ø jī] k̄s]
 [2Sg pick.up.Base [Art Indef] finish.Base]
 [nó kò gbē [ē cīō [ò sáⁿ]]
 [1Sg **Hort** pick.up.**Base** [Art bird.Pl [Pl three]]
 ‘You-Sg take an(other) one! Let me pick up (=talk about) three birds.’
 (Bi, 2017-06 @ 00:03)
- e. [bì tóʔó] kò yíʔí
 [Dem.Def Foc] **Hort** go.**Base/Ipfv**
 ‘May that [focus] go (on)!’ (women, 2017-12 @ 01:08)
- f. j̄ròⁿ mā bà [k̄a= [Ø wùⁿ?úⁿ-kě]],
 [Rel if come.Base [with [Art head-matter]],
 òⁿ ñò j̄ó =nì
 3AnSg **Hort** look.**Base** 3InanObj
 ‘If someone has come with a problem, he (=chief) should look at it.’
 (Ma, 2018-02 @ 01:12)

A special case of hortative with subjects is imprecations of the type ‘(May) God VP!’ (§10.4.2.3). However, they do not have quotative frames.

Additional elicited examples are in (806).

- (806) a. ó kò dē/jī
 1Pl **Hort** sleep.**Ipfv**/drink.**Ipfv**
 ‘Let’s sleep/drink!’ (Fl Ji)
- b. òⁿ/ò kò jī
 3AnSg/3Pl **Hort** drink.**Ipfv**
 ‘Let him-or-her/them drink!’ (Fl Ji)

10.4.2.2 Hortative negative (má jó, má jó kò)

The hortative negative is much less common than its positive counterpart. It is formed by preposing *IpfvNeg* má⁽ⁿ⁾ to jó, without kò. The verb can only take base stem form. Plural addressee is marked with initial ò in the same way as other positive and negative deontics. ‘Let’s not go!’ is based on the regular ‘go’ verb yīʔē/yíʔí/yíʔí, not on the suppletive gbèʔé ‘let’s go!’ (807c).

- (807) a. má jó bá [ò dè]
IpfvNeg Hort cultivate.Base [PlRefl field]
 ‘Let’s-2Sg not cultivate our field(s)!’ (Ji)

- b. ò má jó bá [ō dè]
 Imprt.Pl **IpfvNeg Hort** cultivate.Base [PIRefl field]
 ‘Let’s-2Pl not cultivate our field(s)!’ (Ji)
- c. má jó yíí
IpfvNeg Hort go.Base
 ‘Let’s-2Sg not go!’ (Ji)
- d. ò má jó ɲō =?
 Imprt.Pl **IpfvNeg Hort** drink.Base Neg
 ‘Let’s not drink!’ (Fl Ji)

If *kò* is added after *jó*, the verb can take *Ipfv* form. In other words, *má jó kò* plus *Ipfv* functions as the imperfective counterpart of *má jó* plus base. Our Fl assistant rejected (808) with base *bá* instead of *Ipfv bé*.

- (808) ò má jó kò bé [ē dè]
 Imprt.Pl **IpfvNeg Hort Hort** cultivate.Ipfv [Art field]
 ‘Let’s not cultivate the field (now or ever)!’ (Fl)

Textual example (1318) below has the same form as the elicited hortatives given just above, except that an overt subject is present.

10.4.2.3 Wishes and imprecations

10.4.2.3.1 Wishes with hortative *kò*

Divine wishes and imprecations have ‘God’ as subject (‘may God ...!’), and otherwise have the form of regular hortatives. The subject may be any NP or pronoun, including 1Pl (809c). A special 1Pl non-subject form (*ē*) *mìé* (§4.3.1.4) is attested chiefly in such formulae, notably the very common (809a).

- (809) a. [ē jùè?é] kò tà^p-jū?ō [Ø mìé]
 [Art God] **Hort** help.Base [Art 1Pl]
 ‘May God help us!’ (Ma, 2017-03 @ 03:18)
 likewise (Fl, 2017-02 @ 02:09), (Ma, 2017-05 @ 04:46), (Ma, 2017-10 @ 07:06),
 (women, 2017-12 @ 00:39)
- b. [ē jù?é] ò sú?ú= [Ø dùgá]
 [Art God] **Hort** catch.Base/Ipfv [Art blessing]
 ‘May God receive our prayers!’ (women, 2017-12 @ 00:43)
- c. ó kò dí
 1Pl Hort eat.Base
 ‘Let’s eat!’ (Fl Ji)

10.4.2.3.2 Wishes with *kò ká* including subjunctive *ká*

In the combination *kò ká*, the first morpheme could in theory be infinitival *kō* or hortative *kò*. We take it as hortative. A difficulty with the identification of *ká* is that a *ká-* occurs as Vb1 in verb-verb compounds in the sense ‘VP again’ (§15.1.3.2). Both *kò ká* in wishes and *ká-* ‘VP again’ are immediately followed by a verb in base form. Moreover, in some textual occurrences one could argue that *kò ká* is really just the hortative of ‘VP again’.

The textual passages involving wishes are in (810).

- (810) a. [ò wò ká klá [[è dé-lèʔèⁿ] nī]
 [3Pl **Hort** **Sbjn** return.Base [[Art health] Loc]
 [ò ká yíʔí [à [Ø úⁿ]],
 [**Hort** **Sbjn** go.Base [with [Art village]],
 [è úⁿ] [ānàʔà nī]
 [Art village] [face Loc]
 ‘May they go back in good health. May they take the village (=local area) forward.’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 00:35 & 00:37)
- b. [ē jùèʔé] wò ká tàⁿ-jūʔʔ [ò biéʔ],
 [Art God] **Hort** **Sbjn** help.Base [3Pl all],
 [kò sūʔʔ [Ø bēⁿ]
 [Hort give.Base [Art peace]
 ‘May God help all of them! (And) give (them) peace!’
 (Fl, 2017-02 @ 02:09)
- c. ò gò ká tàⁿ-jūʔʔ [Ø mié], ē→,
 3Pl **Hort** **Sbjn** help(v).Base [Art 1Pl], oh!,
 kã = [[Ø kã sèⁿ-sē-réⁿ jèró] à bē [ó bàʔà]]
 with [[Art creature red-Pl Rel.AnPl] Ipfv come.Ipfv [1Pl chez]]
 ‘May they help us! Along with the white people who come to our zone.’
 (Fl, 2017-11 @ 06:50)

ká alone, and the combination *kò ká*, also occur in purposive clauses (§17.6.2.6).

10.4.2.4 Negative wish with *Jula kánà*

kánà, said to be borrowed from *Jula*, occurs in a negative imprecation in one textual passage (811).

- (811) ò kánà kèʔè-kò-dórã = [Ø mié]
 3Pl **HortNeg** ruin(v).Base-finish.Base-do.a.lot.Base [Art 1Pl]
 ‘May they (=elephants) not completely ruin (all of) us!’ (Ji, 2017-09 @ 08:10)

11 Clause, VP, and predicate structure

11.1 Clausal constituents

The basic order of constituents is (812).

- (812)
- a. preclausal elements (topic, jí ‘if’, pragmatic elements)
 - b. subject
 - c. ‘however’ (dó ~ dé), analysed as part of the subject
 - d. infinitive (kō) or ‘if’ (bà ~ mà)
 - e. past (ká, tâ, dè, etc.)
 - f. aspect-negation inflections
 - g. verb stem (Pfv, base, or Ipfv)
 - h. direct object (including ditransitive theme)
 - i. indirect object (dative)
 - j. adverbial adjuncts
 - k. clause-final emphatic particle

An example showing a portion of this order is (813).

- (813) má = á sūʔɔ̃ = [Ø bú] [ʔⁿ nó] kúⁿʔúⁿ = ?
2Sg PfvNeg give.Base [Art money] [Dat 1Sg] today Neg
‘You-Sg didn’t give me the money today.’ (Ji)

In the progressive construction, direct objects precede verbs.

There is no “structural” case marking. That is, there are no morphological distinctions between subject and direct object NPs. The exception is that some pronominal categories have special post-verbal enclitic object forms, and/or reduced pre-verbal proclitic subject forms.

There is no productive valency-changing derivational morphology (passive, causative, applicative). Many verbs are ambi-valent (labile), allowing ready alternation of transitive ‘X VERB Y’ and mediopassive (middle) ‘Y VERB’.

Temporal adverbials (‘today’) either occur late in the clause, or fronted to preclausal position to establish a setting (814a).

- (814)
- a. kúⁿʔúⁿ ná = à yíʔí = [[Ø yíʔé] nī]
today 1Sg Ipfv go.Ipfv [[Art trip(n)] Loc]
‘Today I am traveling.’ (Ji)
 - b. ná = à yíʔí = [[Ø yíʔé] nì] kúⁿʔúⁿ
1Sg Ipfv go.Ipfv [[Art trip(n)] Loc] **today**
‘I am traveling today.’ (Ji)

Spatial and manner adverbials are fronted less often. They usually occur at or near the end of the clause. NPs can appear as preclausal topics, generally requiring pronominal resumption in the clause proper. Constituents can be focalized without being moved, by adding focus markers.

11.1.1 Subjects

Subjects occur in clause-initial position, before any clause-level inflectional particles (if present) and before verbs and other predicates. Pronominal as well as nonpronominal (noun-headed) subjects occur in the same linear position. There is no “nominative” case-marking. There is no subject agreement on the verb.

11.1.1.1 Subjects in indicative main clauses

Noun-headed NPs can function as subjects in the same form that they have in other functions (object, possessor). Pronominal subjects can take full (independent) form or proclitic form, the details varying from one pronoun to another. Third-person pronominal subjects generally take proclitic form (3AnSg δ^n , etc.), as they do when functioning as possessor or postpositional complement.

The only major difference in form between subjects and other NPs is that subjects may end in $dé \sim dó$ ‘however’ (§19.3.8).

When there is no overt clause-level inflectional particle, the subject is immediately followed by the verb or other predicate. This is the case in perfective positive clauses (815a-b). The subject is followed by the copula ‘be’ in (815c).

- (815) a. $zàkí / nó \quad dīè-só$
Z / 1Sg fall.Pfv
 ‘Zaki / I fell.’ (FI)
- b. $[nó \quad sē] / \quad mó \quad klē^nʔē^n \quad [[\emptyset \quad ʃi^nʔi^n] \quad yá]$
[1Sg father] / 2Sg ascend.Pfv **[[Art tree] Dem.InanSg]**
 ‘My father / You-Sg climbed that tree.’ (FI)
- c. $[è \quad bí-ʃiō] / \quad é-yùò \quad kō \quad [\emptyset \quad còfó]$
[Art child.PI] / 1PI be **[Art Tiefo]**
 ‘The children / We are Tiefo.’ (FI)

When a nonzero clause-level inflectional particle is present, the particle occurs between the subject and the verb. This is the case for imperfective and future positive clauses, and for all negative clauses. Pronominal subjects usually contract with vocalic inflectional particles (PfvNeg $á$, Ipfv $à$) as in (816a); see §3.4.6.3 for analysis and paradigms.

- (816) a. ná= á jī zàkí
 1Sg **PfvNeg** see.Base Z
 ‘I did not see Zaki.’ (Fl)
 (< nó á jī)
- b. [mó sē] bē bà fāⁿāⁿ kūⁿúⁿ
 [2Sg father] **Fut** come.Pfv here today
 ‘Your-Sg father will come here today.’ (Fl)
 (< bē bà)
- c. zàkí à jīⁿ [Ø kē-jùⁿʔðⁿ] [ɔ̃ⁿ bàʔà]
 Z **Ipfv** work(v).Base [Art work(n)] [3AnSgRefl chez]
 ‘Zaki works at (his) home.’ (Ji)
 (< ðⁿ bàʔà)

11.1.1.2 Subjects in relative and complement clauses

In relative clauses, subjects occur in their usual clause-initial position. If a non-subject head NP is not shifted to the left (preceding the relative clause), it occurs in its regular postverbal position.

- (817) a. mó kùō= [Ø bŭⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ jèrɔ̃ⁿ]
 2Sg hit.Pfv [Art dog Rel]
 ‘the dog that you-Sg hit-Past’ (Ji)
- b. má= á kō= [Ø bŭⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ jèrɔ̃ⁿ]
 2Sg PfvNeg hit.Base [Art dog Rel]
 ‘the dog that you-Sg didn’t hit’ (Ji)

In textual passages where several successive actions are predicated, noninitial clauses are often expressed as sequenced VPs containing infinitival *kō* (§15.2). If the subject is held constant, it may or may not be repeated as a pronoun before the *kō* VPs. In (818), two infinitival VPs follow the initial main clause. The first infinitival clause repeats the subject as a pronoun, the second does not.

- (818) ó bà flò = nì,
 1Pl if sauté.Base 3InanObj,
 ó gō júáⁿ-glō = nì,
 1Pl **Infin** lick.Base-remove.Base 3InanObj,
 kō càʔà = nì,
Infin dry.in.sun.Base 3InanObj
 ‘When we have sautéed it, we scoop it out. Then (we) dry it (in the sun).’ (women,
 2017-16 @ 00:24-00:27)

11.1.1.3 Subjects of imperative and hortative verbs

In main-clause (i.e. not quoted) imperatives, there is no overt marking for singular addressee, and a special marker ò (distinct from 2Pl pronoun bùò) occurs for plural addressee. The plural-addressee construction is often distinguishable from perfective main clauses with 3Pl subject ò, since imperatives use the base of the verb, not the Pfv.

- (819) a. tārāⁿ
 sit.Base
 ‘Sit-2Sg down!’ (FI)
- b. ò tārāⁿ
Imprt.Pl sit.Base
 ‘Sit-2Pl down!’ (FI)
- c. ò tārèⁿ
3Pl sit.Pfv
 ‘They sat down.’
 (< ò)

There is an issue whether ò in (819b) marks 2Pl subject as such, or merely plural addressee. The issue is clearer with hortatives, which use ò to mark plural addressee, while the logical subject may be 1Pl, cf. Eng *let’s eat!* This suggests that ò with deontic clauses marks addressee rather than subject, but that deontics also have subjects which strictly include the addressee(s).

In examples where a regular 2Sg or 2Pl pronoun precedes the imperative, we take it to be a vocative or a topic.

- (820) a. mó(,) tārāⁿ
2Sg(,) sit.Base
 ‘You-Sg, sit-2Sg down!’ (FI)
- b. bùò(,) ò tārāⁿ
2Pl(,) Imprt.Pl sit.Base
 ‘You-Pl, sit-2Pl down!’ (FI)

Imperative subjects can bind reflexives in non-subject functions. See (793a-b) above for reflexive possessors, and §18.1.2 for reflexive objects.

11.1.1.4 Temporal and meteorological subject-verb collocations

Some temporal and meteorological events are expressed by lexicalized subject-object collocations.

- (821) a. [è tɛ̃ⁿ] klē
 [Art **daybreak**] day.break.Pfv
 ‘Day broke.’ (Fl)
- b. [ē bliʔí] yūō
 [Art **night**] become.black.Pfv
 ‘Night fell.’ (Fl)
- c. [ē dè] tīɛ̃ⁿʔɛ̃ⁿ
 [Art **sun**] become.warm.Pfv
 ‘It was (=became) mid-day.’ (around noon to 2 PM) (Fl)
- d. [ē dè] sē(-dīē)
 [Art **sun**] land(v).Pfv(-enter.Base)
 ‘The sun set.’ (Fl)

In (821a), the noun tɛ̃ⁿ has the specialized sense ‘daybreak’ and it occurs chiefly in this collocation with the otherwise unattested klē/klē/klē ‘(day) break’, distinct in the Pfv from klè/klē/klē ‘crack open (nut, shell)’, and distinct throughout from invariant klè ‘do’ or ‘be done, happen’. Compare dè ‘day (as unit of time)’ or ‘sun’, and kō ‘daytime (daylight hours)’ or ‘(a specific) day’.

The collocations in (821b-c) have adjectival (color and temperature) verbs. The verb in (821d) is sē/só/só (Bi sūō/só/só) ‘land (v); (bird) perch, come to rest’.

The verb ‘become black’ in (821b) can also be used in a construction with human subject. (822) could describe someone who came late for a morning rendez-vous. We take yūō as causative ‘cause to become black’, i.e. ‘cause to be (still) night’.

- (822) zàkí yūō [Ø bliʔí]
 Z make.black.Pfv [Art **night**]
 ‘Zaki showed up late.’ (Fl)

The onset of meteorological seasons of the year is expressed by ‘enter’, by ‘exit (v)’ (in the sense: come out, appear, emerge), by ‘arrive’, or by ‘be put’. Of these, ‘exit (v)’ denotes the transition into the indicated season, cf. local Fr *l’hivernage s’annonce* ‘the rainy season announces itself’, while ‘enter’ and ‘arrive’ denote the full onset. Expressions for the middle and end of a season, using transparent vocabulary, are in (823d-e).

- (823) a. [ē klàʔá] glō / diè / dèⁿ
 [Art **rainy.season**] exit(v).Pfv / enter.Pfv / arrive.Pfv
 ‘The rainy season has begun.’ (Fl)
- b. [ē tùwíé] glō / diè / dèⁿ
 [Art **dry.season**] exit(v).Pfv / enter.Pfv / arrive.Pfv
 ‘The dry season has begun.’ (Fl)

- c. [ē klàʔá] diè [à ʃícùòʔò]
 [Art **rainy.season**] enter.Pfv [3Inan middle]
 ‘The rainy season is in its middle.’ (F1)
- d. [ē klàʔá] kpà
 [Art **rainy.season**] finish.Pfv
 ‘The rainy season has ended.’ (F1)
- e. [ē fūʔú] tīē
 [Art **heat(n)**] be.put.Pfv
 ‘It’s hot season.’ (F1)

A nominal expression for the middle of the rainy season, around August, is [sè-rè-ʔó]-blō-dāʔá (F1), literally ‘caterpillar-rain-time’. This alludes to the prevalence of *Cirina butyrospermi*, an edible caterpillar on karité (shea) tree (*Vitellaria paradoxa*) that occurs in enormous numbers in the area and is consumed at that time.

The verb wē/wó/wó, elsewhere meaning ‘(wet clothes) dry out’, combines with the noun ‘rain’ as subject in the sense ‘rain fall’ (824a), perhaps in the sense that the clouds are emptied of water. Another collocation with ‘rain (n)’ as subject is with the verb kèⁿ/kāⁿ/kāⁿ ‘(rain) cease’ (824b), distinct tonally in base=Ipfv from kèⁿ/kàⁿ/kàⁿ ‘scrape’. ‘Rain (n)’ is also used with ‘come’ in the sense of ‘be about to rain’ or ‘start raining’.

- (824) a. [ē blō] wē
 [Art **rain(n)**] rain.fall.Pfv
 ‘It rained.’ (F1)
- b. [ē blō] kèⁿ
 [Art **rain(n)**] rain.cease.Pfv
 ‘It stopped raining.’ (F1)

The noun ‘wind’ combines most often with the verb gbà/gò/gò ~ gù ‘tap, bump’. This noun can also combine with the stative, adjective-like predicate fārē ‘fan (sth, sb)’ in the sense ‘be breezy, wind blow off and on’ (825b). ‘Wind stop’ is transparent, with lēⁿ/léⁿ/léⁿ ‘stop’ (825c).

- (825) a. [ē ɲùòʔó] ā gò
 [Art **wind(n)**] Ipfv tap.Ipfv
 ‘The wind blows/is blowing.’ (F1)
- b. [ē ɲùòʔó] à fārē
 [Art **wind(n)**] Ipfv fan(v).Ipfv
 ‘It’s breezy.’ (wind is blowing off and on) (F1)
- c. [ē ɲùòʔó] lēⁿ
 [Art **wind(n)**] stop.Pfv
 ‘The wind has stopped (blowing).’ (F1)

Ambient temperature (heat, cold) is covered in §11.1.1.6 below.

11.1.1.5 Emotional subject-verb collocations

Predications of personality type have as subject a possessed form of *lĩ*ⁿ ‘guts; interior’ or of *sò*ⁿ ‘heart, moral center’. ‘Guts’ can be ‘sweet’ or ‘bitter’. ‘Heart’ is simply ‘good’ or its negation.

- (826) a. [zàkí lĩⁿ] = àⁿ dáⁿ
 [Z **guts**] Ipfv be.sweet/pleasant.Ipfv
 ‘Zaki is kind.’ (F1)
- b. [zàkí lĩⁿ] = àⁿ tɛⁿ
 [Z **guts**] IpfvNeg be.bitter.Ipfv
 ‘Zaki is mean.’ (F1)
- c. [zàkí sòⁿ] = āⁿ kò
 [Z **heart**] Ipfv be.good.Ipfv
 ‘Zaki is good-natured (doesn’t anger easily).’

Some predicates of temporary emotional state have as subject a possessed form of *ɲó* (or variant). Here it can be glossed as ‘heart’ in the sense of energy, courage, vitality. *ɲó* is related to *ɲó-rò*ⁿ ‘liver’, an originally plural form that now functions as singular. Happiness or unhappiness is expressed with the verb ‘become cold’, i.e. ‘cool (down)’. This verb can take the full range of tense-aspect inflections (827).

- (827) a. [zàkí ɲó] lɛ̃ⁿ
 [Z **heart**] become.cold.Pfv
 ‘Zaki is (has become) happy.’ (F1)
- b. [zàkí ɲó] á lĩⁿ = ?
 [Z **heart**] PfvNeg become.cold.Base Neg
 ‘Zaki is unhappy (sad).’ (F1)
- c. [zàkí ɲó] bè lɛ̃ⁿ
 [Z **heart**] Fut become.cold.Pfv
 ‘Zaki will be(come) happy.’ (F1)

Anger takes as subject a possessed form of *ɲá-ɲùⁿʔòⁿ* ‘anger’ (Bi dialect *ɲá-ɲùⁿʔòⁿ*), a compound consisting of a variant of *ɲó* ‘heart (seat of emotions)’ and the noun *ɲùⁿʔòⁿ* ‘pain’ (828a). A stronger expression emphasizing the somatic manifestation of rage uses ‘breath’ (828b). In both cases the verb is *klò/kò/kō* ‘(water) stir, be agitated, start to boil’, also ‘emit (sweat); suffer (craziness)’.

- (828) a. [zàkí ɲá-jùⁿʔòⁿ] klò
 [Z **heart-pain**] be.agitated.Pfv
 ‘Zaki is (=has gotten) angry.’ (F1)
- b. [zàkí gùʔó] klò
 [Z **breath**] be.agitated.Pfv
 ‘Zaki is seething (livid) with rage.’ (F1)

For ‘shame’ see (831) below.

11.1.1.6 Bodily-state collocations

Nouns ‘hunger’ and ‘thirst’ are subjects of ‘be’ plus a locative PP denoting the experiencer. The alternative phrasing has ‘hunger’ or ‘thirst’ as subject with verb ‘catch’ in the sense ‘afflict’.

- (829) a. [ē làʔà] kō [zàkí nī]
 [Art **hunger**] be [Z **Loc**]
 ‘Zaki is hungry.’ (F1)
- b. [ē ɲòʔó] kō [zàkí nī]
 [Art **thirst**] be [Z **Loc**]
 ‘Zaki is thirsty.’ (F1)
- c. [ē làʔà / ɲòʔó] sūʔō zàkí
 [Art **hunger / thirst**] catch.Pfv Z
 ‘Zaki is hungry/thirsty.’ (F1)

For ‘be hungry’ see the textual example (Bi, 2017-07 @ 05:54).

Sickness is associated with felt heat. It is predicated in the same phrasing as with ‘hunger’ and ‘thirst’ above. Other predicates of affliction not involving somatic states can use ‘get’ as verb, as with ‘misfortune’ in (830c).

- (830) a. [ē lá-fùʔù] kō [zàkí nī]
 [Art **heat**] be [Z **Loc**]
 ‘Zaki is sick.’ (F1)
- b. [ē lá-fùʔù] ʃūʔō zàkí
 [Art **heat**] **catch.Pfv** Z
 ‘Zaki is sick.’ (F1)
- c. [ē kò-má-kò] bùò zàkí
 [Art **misfortune**] **get.Pfv** Z
 ‘Zaki had an accident (misfortune).’ (F1)

ʃũʔō ‘catch’ can also be used with the noun ‘shame’ as subject. This construction denotes a sudden feeling of shame (831a). A more enduring shame is expressed as (831b), with verb kùò/kò/cùì ‘hit, kill’. Interestingly, the experiencer does the hitting!

- (831) a. [ē sàrí] ʃũʔō nó
 [Art **shame(n)** **catch.Pfv** 1Sg
 ‘I (suddenly) felt shame; I was overcome by shame.’ (Fl)
- b. nó kùò = [Ø sàrí]
 1Sg **hit.Pfv** [Art shame(n)]
 ‘I was ashamed.’ (Fl)

The noun (è) dé-lèⁿʔèⁿ ‘(good) health’ occurs in two frames. (832a) is literally “... has health”. (832a) is literally “health is in ...”.

- (832) a. zàkí kà [Ø dé-lèⁿʔèⁿ]
 Z **with** [Art **health**]
 ‘Zaki is healthy.’ (Fl)
- b. [è dé-lèⁿʔèⁿ] à-mā [zàkí nī]
 [Art **health**] be.Loc [Z **Loc**]
 ‘Zaki is healthy.’ (Fl)

As temperature expressions, ‘heat’ (in the literal sense) and ‘cold (n)’ are subjects of action verbs with the experiencer as object. tó in (833a) is the verb tārō/tó/tó ~ tú ‘cook (sauce) by boiling; brew (beer) by boiling’.

- (833) a. [ē lá-fùʔù] à tó zàkí
 [Art **body.heat(n)** Ipfv **boil.Ipfv** Z
 ‘Zaki is hot (feels hot).’ (Fl)
- b. [ē lēⁿʔéⁿ] ā cùì zàkí
 [Art **cold(n)** Ipfv **hit.Ipfv** Z
 ‘Zaki is cold (feels cold).’ (Fl)
- c. [ē lēⁿʔéⁿ] klèⁿʔèⁿ nó
 [Art **cold(n)** **go.up.Pfv** 1Sg
 ‘I am cold.’ (lit. “Cold climbs up on me”) (Fl)

The phrasing “climbs up on X” is also used with full-body trembling (ē jì-jí) as subject.

Ambient cold and heat can also be described without overt reference to an experiencer. In addition to transitives where the object is simply omitted, i.e. generalized (834a-b), there is a dedicated construction with tòʔò ‘place’ as subject (834c-e)

- (834) a. [è lá-fù?ù] à tó
 [Art **body.heat(n)**] Ipfv boil.Ipfv
 ‘It’s sweltering hot.’
- b. [ē lēⁿ?éⁿ] ā cùì
 [Art **cold(n)**] Ipfv hit.Ipfv
 ‘It’s bitterly cold.’
- c. [ē tǝ?ǝ] ā bǝ
 [Art **place**] Ipfv burn.Ipfv
 ‘It’s hot (out).’ (Fl)
- d. [ē tǝ?ǝ] à tū?ū
 [Art **place**] Ipfv be.hot.Ipfv
 ‘It’s hot (out).’ (Fl, archaic verb)
- e. [ē tǝ?ǝ] lēⁿ
 [Art **place**] be.cold.Pfv
 ‘It’s cold (out).’

‘X bleeds’ is phrased as “blood exits from X.” However, ‘X has a nosebleed’ is phrased as “X’s nose bursts.” The noun ‘blood’ is optionally added as object.

- (835) a. [ē tǝrǝⁿ] =ǝⁿ glú [zàkí nī]
 [Art **blood**] Ipfv exit.Ipfv [Z Loc]
 ‘Zaki is bleeding.’ (Fl)
- b. [zàkí mēⁿ?éⁿ] fè ([ē tǝrǝⁿ)
 [Z **nose**] burst.Pfv ([Art blood])
 ‘Zaki’s nose is bleeding.’ (Fl)

‘X sweats (profusely)’ can be expressed as ‘X’s sweat jumps’. The verb ‘jump’ is usually yìè/yī/yī but an intensive form yārī ‘keep jumping’ is attested in a text (836). yārī may be a derived verb but we have no other similar examples (§9.6).

- (836) [[ò bíé] fǝrú] g-ā yārī
 [[3Pl all] **sweat(n)**] Infin-Ipfv **jump**.Ipfv
 ‘All of them were sweating profusely.’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 06:19)

11.1.2 Simple transitives

11.1.2.1 Direct objects of simple transitives

Direct objects immediately follow transitive verbs (except in the progressive construction). There is no case-marking of noun-headed object NPs (837a). First and second person pronominal objects have the same forms as in other grammatical functions (837b).

(837) a. nó kùò = [Ø nà-bí]
 1Sg hit.Pfv [Art child]
 ‘I hit the child.’ (F1)

b. [ē nà-bí] kùò nó
 [Art child] hit.Pfv 1Sg
 ‘The child hit me.’ (F1)

Third person pronominals, however, have special object enclitics (§4.3.2.3): inanimate = nì, animate singular = (y)ò, animate plural = (w)ò and their variants. There is also an optional 2Sg object enclitic = mì (§4.3.1.3).

11.1.2.2 Predicates with onomatopoeias and loanwords

Onomatopoeias denoting sounds produced by an entity can function directly as stative predicates. For present time, either Ipfv à or IpfvNeg má precedes the onomatopoeia (838a-b). For past time, the dialectally appropriate past morpheme is added after the subject (838c-d).

(838) a. [ē mótáré] ā pò-pò-pò-pò
 [Art motor] Ipfv (sound of motor)
 ‘The motor is rumbling.’ (F1)

b. à má pò-pò-pò-pò
 3Inan IpfvNeg (sound of motor)
 ‘It is not rumbling.’ (F1)

c. à tâ pò-pò-pò-pò
 3Inan Past (sound of motor)
 ‘It was rumbling.’ (F1)

d. à tâ má pò-pò-pò-pò
 3Inan Past IpfvNeg (sound of motor)
 ‘It wasn’t rumbling.’ (F1)

Onomatopoeias can be made into regular verbal predicates using the semantically light and formally invariant transitive verb *klè* ‘do’, here in its intransitive function ‘be done; happen; become’. In this case the predicate has the full range of tense-aspect categories.

(839)	[<i>ē</i>	<i>mótáré</i>] ...		
	[Art	motor] ...		
	a. ...	<i>klè</i>	<i>pò-pò-pò-pò</i>	perfective
	b. ...	<i>á klè</i>	<i>pò-pò-pò-pò</i>	perfective negative
	c. ...	<i>à klè</i>	<i>pò-pò-pò-pò</i>	imperfective
	d. ...	<i>má klè</i>	<i>pò-pò-pò-pò</i>	imperfective negative
	e. ...	<i>bē klè</i>	<i>pò-pò-pò-pò</i>	BE-future
	f. ...	<i>má bē klè</i>	<i>pò-pò-pò-pò</i>	future negative
	g. ...	<i>nà klè</i>	<i>pò-pò-pò-pò</i>	NA-future

klè ‘do’ or ‘be done’ also forms collocations with loanwords that cannot directly combine with inflectional particles. An example is *klè constat* ‘made a report’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 05:08)

11.1.2.3 Lexicalized verb-object collocations

A number of lexicalized subject-verb collocations were presented above, especially in §11.1.1.4. There are also a number of tightly-knit verb-object collocations. Some examples are in (840).

(840)	verb	object	collocation gloss	comment
	a. <i>wè/wō/wō</i> (Bi Ji) <i>wè/wūō/wūō</i> (Fl Ma)	(<i>ē</i>) <i>dàrìⁿʔíⁿ</i>	‘sing a song’	
	b. <i>lè/lǝ/lǝ</i>	(any surface) (<i>ē</i>) <i>fliⁿʔíⁿ</i>	‘scratch (sth)’ ‘cough (v)’	
	c. <i>kūō/kú/cújí</i>	(any object) (<i>ē</i>) <i>dè</i> (any person) (any woman)	‘cut (sth)’ ‘clear a field’ ‘interrupt (sb)’ ‘court (v), woo’	
	d. <i>kpèⁿʔèⁿ/kpàⁿʔàⁿ/kpiⁿʔíⁿ</i>	(nail, needle) (<i>è</i>) <i>ló-tù-tà-rù</i>	‘drive in, nail (v)’ ‘kneel’	“drive in knees”
	e. <i>wēʔē/wáʔá/wáʔá</i>	(<i>è</i>) <i>ná-tè</i>	‘make noise’	cf. (<i>ē</i>) <i>nā-tò</i> ‘ear’
	f. <i>yùè/wē/yūī</i> (Fl)	(<i>ē</i>) <i>kè-tèʔè</i>	‘lend a hand, help’	“put in hand”

11.1.2.4 Cognate nominals associated with verbs

Deverbal nominals are presented in §4.2.1.1 (productive verbal noun) and §4.2.1.2 (lexical nominals). In most cases verbs and cognate nominals do not combine into fixed collocations. One doesn't 'weep a weeping', 'die a death', 'jump a jump', 'fall a fall', or the like. One important exception is that one does 'work (=perform) a work' (841). Here the cognate nominal adds a compound initial (presumably *kě* 'matter, issue').

(841)	verb	noun	gloss of combination
	sùḁ ⁿ /sḁ ⁿ /fī ⁿ	(ē) kē-sù ⁿ ?ḁ ⁿ	'work (v), perform work'

There is a textual example of 'damage (some) damage' including a verb and the related verbal noun (Ji, 2017-09 @ 04:07).

11.1.2.5 Ditransitives

The prototypical ditransitive verbs are 'X give Y to Z' and 'X show Y to Z'. In Tiefo-D the order is similar to the English translations just given: subject, inflectional particles, verb, theme (Y) as direct object, and indirect object Z as dative PP. The Y constituent may be pronominal or a full noun-headed NP, and it takes the same form as direct objects in simple transitives. Pronominal indirect objects do not move to postverbal position over a nonpronominal direct object.

The indirect object Z is expressed as a PP with dative preposition ḁⁿ or variant (§8.1.2, §4.3.2.3). ḁⁿ can also function with no further morphemic material as the 3AnSg dative form. Although this is syntactically a preposition bracketed with the following NP, it is pronounced as an enclitic on a preceding theme NP if there is one. If the theme is omitted, ḁⁿ is encliticized to the verb.

- (842) a. ò bà sū?ḁ, [ē yīē-bìfīḁⁿ] [ḁⁿ = [Ø dǎ]]
 3Pl if **give**.Pfv, [Art young.woman] [Dat [Art man]]
 'when they give a young woman to a man' (Bo, 2019-10 @ 00:06)
- b. d= ó mâ lò bè [ḁⁿ [Ø yúó]]
 Quot 1Pl Proh **show**.Base Dem.Def [Dat [Art people]]
 '(They said) for us not to show that to people.' (Fl, 2017-11 @ 04:22)

Preposition ḁⁿ occurs only in such ditransitives, and in the complement of dáⁿ 'be pleasing (to sb)'. The indirect object of 'say' is expressed by dative postposition bà?à (§8.1.1).

11.1.3 Additional arguments and adjuncts

11.1.3.1 Syntax of expressive adverbials (EAs)

Tiefō-D is not rich in expressive adverbials (EAs), a term we prefer to “ideophone.” See §8.5.8 for those we have observed.

Of the textual examples, most are adverbial adjuncts rather than predicates or NP-internal modifiers. See kpàpìò-kpàpìò-kpàpìò ‘digging furiously’ (Fl, 2017-03 @ 00:50), jàⁿ→ ‘much-branched (tree)’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 05:40), and pàrèkètè ‘wrecked, in terrible shape’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 03:47).

Some EAs can be made predicative by preposing the copula kō ‘be’ or its negation má kō ‘not be’. See the examples with séⁿ→ ‘tiny’ in (593) in §8.5.2.2.5. Another example is (843), which occurs in greetings (§19.6).

- (843) [è bí-sīō] kò é-glé = ē→
 [Art child.PI] **be** Rdp-in.good.health Q
 ‘Are the children in good health?’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 00:11)
 (similarly @ 00:12 as glé-glé = ē→)

11.1.3.2 Adverbial phrases with verbs of motion and location

As explained in §8.3.1, directional ‘to X’ and ‘from X’ are expressed not by adpositions but by motion verbs like ‘go’, ‘arrive’, and ‘exit, go/come from’.

Intransitive verbs of motion, and verbs like ‘be’ or ‘sit’ that denote static position, readily combine with locational adverbial phrases such as the PP ‘in the field’ (844a-c).

- (844) a. ðⁿ yīē?ē [[Ø dè] nī]
 3AnSg **go**.Pfv [[Art field] **Loc**]
 ‘He/She went to the field.’ (Fl)
- b. ðⁿ glō [[Ø dè] nī]
 3AnSg **exit(v)**.Pfv [[Art field] **Loc**]
 ‘He/She left (=has come from) the field.’ (Fl)
- c. ðⁿ= Ø-mā [[Ø dè] nī]
 3AnSg **be.Loc** [[Art field] **Loc**]
 ‘He/She is in the field.’ (Fl)

One can substitute lexical adverbs like ‘here’ and ‘there’ for ‘in the field’ in these examples.

Transitive verbs of transfer (‘put’ ‘remove’) also take locational adverbial complements, as well as direct objects. The direct object (theme) follows the verb and precedes the locational.

- (845) a. nó wìè [Ø bú=] [[[Ø plù?ú] líⁿ ní]
 1Sg **put.in**.Pfv [Art money] [[[Art bag] guts] **Loc]**
 ‘I put the money in(side) the bag.’ (F1)
- b. nó dīē-glō= [Ø bú=] [[[Ø plù?ú] líⁿ ní]
 1Sg **remove**.Pfv [Art money] [[[Art bag] guts] **Loc]**
 ‘I took the money out of the bag.’ (F1)
- c. nó tīē= [Ø bú=] [[[Ø plù?ú] líⁿ ní]
 1Sg **put.down**.Pfv [Art money] [[[Art bag] guts] **Loc]**
 ‘I kept/left the money in(side) the bag.’ (F1)

Similarly, directional predicates of conveyance (‘bring’, ‘take/convey’) consisting of ‘come’ or ‘go’ plus a ‘with X’ PP, are often followed by spatial adverbials.

- (846) zàkí bà [kà [Ø náklò] fāⁿ?āⁿ
 Z **come**.Pfv [**with** [Art rice]] **here**
 ‘Zaki brought the rice here.’ (F1)

These examples show that the final constituent which denotes the location is an adverbial phrase rather than a (first or second) direct object or theme. Their characterization as adverbials is obscured by the fact that some nouns denoting common locations omit a locative postposition, cf. Eng *went home*. An example is *lē* ‘village, homestead’ in (847a-b).

- (847) a. ðⁿ yīē?ē [Ø lē]
 3AnSg **go**.Pfv [Art **village**]
 ‘He/She went to the village.’ (F1)
- b. ná= à yī?í [kà mō=] [Ø lē]
 1Sg Ipv **go**.Ipfv [with 2Sg] [Art **village**]
 ‘I’ll take you-Sg to the village.’ (F1)

That ‘village’ is adverbial rather than a direct object in (847a-b) is shown by the fact that it cannot be pronominalized. Instead, it is replaced by a demonstrative adverb like *mā* ‘there’.

11.1.4 Verb phrase

The main evidence for positing VP as a phrasal category, without a subject or a clause-level inflectional particle, is the infinitival construction with *kō* followed by a verb (in base form) and any postverbal arguments and/or adjuncts. See §15.2 for discussion and examples.

11.2 ‘Be’, ‘become’, ‘have’, and other statives and inchoatives

11.2.1 Identificational predicates (‘it’s X’)

11.2.1.1 Positive ‘it is X’ (=à ~ =yà, sometimes plus glò)

Identificational ‘it’s X’ (Fr *c’est X*) is an enclitic =à (variant =yà). The topical referent is understood but covert (or expressed as a preclausal topic). The overt NP specifies it further. If there is an overt subject-topic within the clause, i.e. ‘Y is (an) X’, the copula construction Y kō X with kō ‘be’ (§11.2.2 below) is normally used.

The L-tone distinguishes the ‘it is’ enclitic from polar interrogative =ā, which is articulated at a pitch level slightly below that of modal M-tone (§13.2.1.1). The absence of =à in negative má glò =? (see the following section) suggests that =à might be identified as a variant of the Ipfv particle à, which is otherwise always followed by a verb or other predicate. However, Ipfv à does not have a variant with initial y.

- (848) a. sǎⁿ =yà
 who? **it.is**
 ‘Who is it?’ (e.g. to someone knocking at the door) (Ji)
 dialectal variants: sǎⁿ-wí =yà, sǎ =yà, sǎá =à (§13.2.3.1)
- b. [ē fɪʔá =] =à
 [Art what?] **it.is**
 ‘What is it?’ (< fɪʔé =à) (Ji)
- c. [è ná] =yà
 [Art cow] **it.is**
 ‘It’s a cow.’ (Ji)

Pronouns take full independent form, as opposed to proclitic or reduced form, in this construction. Definite inanimate demonstrative bè is the only option for inanimates. Minor dialectal variants are omitted in (849).

(849)	category	‘it’s ___’	textual example
a.	1Sg	nó = (y)à	(Bi, 2017-07 @ 04:39)
	2Sg	mó = (y)à	
	1Pl	é-yù = à ~ é-yùò = yà	
	2Pl	bùò = (y)à	
b.	3AnSg/LogoSg	bó = (y)à	(Bi, 2017-07 @ 08:44), logophoric
	3Pl/LogoPl	bùò = (y)à	(women, 2017-18 @ 00:28)
	Dem.Def	bè = (y)à	

The forms with =à that are shown in (849) are before optional vv-Contraction. For example, mó =à ‘it’s you-Sg’ may appear as má =à or as mó =à.

The fuller form with =yà is preferred when it is in turn followed by the interrogative enclitic =ā. Thus é-yùò =yà =ā ‘is it us?’, which is pronounced with a prolonged final [àā] whose tone rises to a pitch between that of modal L and M tone.

glò (interlinear gloss ‘it.is’) is added after identificational =(y)à (same interlinear) when the theme is focused, either by a focus morpheme or by dó ~ dé ‘however; contrary to expectation’ (850). See also §13.1.3.5, which includes several textual examples.

(850) a. [ē ʃɪⁿʔɪⁿ dá=] =à glò
 [Art tree however] **it.is** **it.is**
 ‘It’s a tree [focus].’ (Bi)

b. [ē yò dó? =] =à glò
 [Art woman Foc] **it.is** **it.is**
 ‘It’s a woman [focus].’ (Bi)

Most exceptions to this (i.e. without glò) involve the specific phrase [bè tó?ó] =(y)à (and minor variants) ‘that’s it’, which summarizes a just-described general situation (‘that’s how it is/was’) rather than identifying a referent. However, =à glò does appear in past-time versions of this, see (852a-b) below.

In conditional antecedents (‘if’ clauses), the combination bà ~ mà ‘if’ plus =à ‘it is’ appears to require glò. It occurs in multiple textual examples (851).

(851) a. jí bè bā =à glò
 if Dem.Def if **it.is** **it.is**
 ‘if that [focus] is (the way) it is’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 02:53)

b. [ē ɲū-kě] bā =à glò
 [Art water-matter] if **it.is** **it.is**
 ‘if it’s a question of water’ (Fl, 2017-11 @ 06:10)

c. [ē kě ō kě] bā =à glò
 [Art matter or matter] if **it.is** **it.is**
 ‘if it’s whatever question [focus]’ (Fl, 2017-11 @ 06:17)

d. [ɔ̃ⁿ glō-kò] bā =à glò
 [3AnSg exit.Pfv-day] if **it.is** **it.is**
 ‘if it is his/her (baby’s) day for coming out’ (women, 2017-19 @ 00:31)

e. [ē yǒ] bā =à glò
 [Art woman] if **it.is** **it.is**
 ‘if it’s a girl’ (women, 2017-19 @ 00:33)

f. [ē dǒ] bā =à glò
 [Art man] if **it.is** **it.is**
 ‘if it’s a boy’ (women, 2017-20 @ 00:20)

For past-time ‘it was X’ including a dialectally appropriate past morpheme, the enclitic =à is seemingly replaced by the copula (k)ō ‘be’ or variant (852a). However, this may just be a slightly irregular contraction. The regular =à does appear in other dialects (852b).

- (852) a. [bè tóʔó] rè ò glò
 [Dem.Def Foc] **IpfvPast** **Infin** **it.is**
 ‘That [focus] is what it was.’ (Bi, 2017-10@ 05:03)
- b. [bè tōʔó] tá =à glò
 [Dem.Def Foc] **Past** **it.is** **it.is**
 ‘That [focus] is what it was.’ (F1)

11.2.1.2 ‘It is not X’ (X má glò =?)

The negative counterpart of ‘it’s X’ (preceding section) is X má⁽ⁿ⁾ glò plus negative enclitic =?. The glottal stop is omitted in the polar interrogative form which ends in glò =ā.

Again, the topical referent (‘it’ in the translation) is understood as specific, but covert. Here má (Bi máⁿ) is the IpfvNeg particle, which is also used in negative statives. The final glò is obligatory under negation, just as in positive conditional antecedents and in positive clauses after a focalized constituent (preceding section).

- (853) a. nó má glò =?
 1Sg **IpfvNeg** **it.is** **Neg**
 ‘It isn’t me.’ (Ji)
- b. [è ná] má glò =?
 [Art cow] **IpfvNeg** **it.is** **Neg**
 ‘It isn’t a cow.’ (Ji)
- c. zàkì má glò =ā
 Z **IpfvNeg** **it.is** **Q**
 ‘It isn’t Zaki?’ (F1 Ji)

If the theme is a pronoun, it generally takes full independent form, as in é-yùò má glò =? ‘it isn’t us’ and bó má glò =? ‘it isn’t him/her’. A proclitic did occur in a textual example (854).

- (854) jǎ→ ñ máⁿ glò =?
 lo! **2Sg** IpfvNeg it.is Neg
 ‘But lo, it isn’t (=wasn’t) you-Sg.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 04:39)

This construction with má glò =? also occurs in focalized constructions (§13.1.3.5). má⁽ⁿ⁾ glò =? is unrelated to the phonologically similar IpfvNeg má⁽ⁿ⁾ glú =? ‘does not go out’. It is also distinct from the negative copula construction X má⁽ⁿ⁾ kō Y or variant ‘X is not Y’

with both the referent X and the predicate Y overtly expressed. Copula *kō* ‘be’ is covered in the following section.

11.2.2 Copular predicates (‘X is Y’)

11.2.2.1 Positive ‘X is Y’ (*kō*)

kō ‘be’ can function as a copula, equating two NPs X and Y. Since copula *kō* is normally followed by a noun (rarely by a PP, see below), it is easily distinguishable from infinitival *kō* and from hortative *kò*, which are directly followed by verb stems. However, all of these morphemes undergo similar phonetic processes, with *k* lenited to *g*, then *w*, then zero.

An overt subject-topic X is obligatory in the copula construction. The subject-topic is often a pronoun. When the predicative element is a noun-headed NP, in theory it is preceded by the article *ē*, but unless there is a hesitation pause and restart the article usually has no phonetic manifestation. For example, in (855c) the form with *kò ná* rather than *kō = [Ø ná]* (< /*kō* [è ná]/) is usual. The M-toned article does not function as a buffer between *kō* and *ná*, so *kō* drops to L-tone. We therefore often parenthesize *Ø* in the Tiefo-D transcription, while keeping “Art” in the interlinear on the belief that it is structural present.

- (855) a. *nó* *kō* [(Ø) *còfó*]
 1Sg **be** [Art Tiefo]
 ‘I am a Tiefo.’ (Ji)
- b. *kǎⁿ* *kō* [(Ø) *sàkpè?è*]
 Dem **be** [Art donkey]
 ‘That’s a donkey.’ (Ji)
- c. *kǎⁿ* *kò* [(Ø) *ná*]
 Dem **be** [Art cow]
 ‘That’s a cow.’ (Ji)
- d. *kō-yùò* *kò* [(Ø) *nó*]
 Dem.AnPl **be** [Art cow.Pl]
 ‘Those are cows.’ (Ji)

In textual examples (856), *kō* is followed by a predicative PP. The PP is understood as abstract rather than spatiotemporal. Usually *à-mā* ‘be (somewhere)’ rather than copula *kō* occurs before adverbial phrases.

- (856) a. [*nó* *fē-nī*] *kō* [[*bùò* *bíé*] *bà?à*]
 [1Sg greeting(n)] **be** [[2Pl all] **Dat**]
 ‘My greeting is to all of you.’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 00:14)

- b. mó kō [[í?é kē-sùⁿ?òⁿ] nī] fāⁿ?āⁿ
 2Sg **be** [[which? work(n)] **Loc**] here
 ‘What activity are you in here?’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:51)

Additional textual examples are in (857). (857b-c) illustrate the frequent pre-copula position of the more informative NP in the equation, the opposite of English order, making literal translations unidiomatic.

- (857) a. mâ dò dè mó kō [Ø nā-dè]
 Proh say.Base Quot 2Sg **be** [Art old.man]
 ‘Don’t say (=think) that you are an old man.’ (Fl, 2017-03 @ 03:00)
- b. à kō kà-tó
 3Inan **be** like.that
 ‘It’s like that.’ (i.e. ‘That’s the way it is’) (Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:08)
- c. *donc*, dè [[bùò dó] bòná té] ò bè
 so, Quot [[3Pl Poss.Inan] gift Foc.Inan] **be** Dem.Def
 ‘So, the reward for their (action) [focus] is that.’ (i.e. ‘That’s the reward...’) (Ji, 2017-04 @ 06:18)

Some other functions of copula kō are listed in (858), with section references. The presentatives are further examples of fronting the more informative NP.

- (858) a. in progressive construction (§10.2.4, §10.2.5.7)
 b. in presentative construction with predicate demonstrative (§4.4.4.2)
 c. makes expressive adverbials predicative (§11.4.4)

11.2.2.2 Negative ‘X is not Y’ (má kō)

Copula kō is negated by a preceding má, which is also the IpfvNeg particle.

- (859) kǎⁿ má kō [Ø ná]
 Dem **IpfvNeg** **be** [Art cow]
 ‘That isn’t a cow.’ (Ji)

Two among several textual examples are in (860).

- (860) a. [è jíó-wù?ù té] má kò yá = ā
 [Art magician-house Foc.Inan] **IpfvNeg** **be** Dem.InanSg Q
 ‘Isn’t that the magician’s house [focus]?’ (Fl, 2017-05 @ 03:50)
- b. [è náⁿbè?è bó] máⁿ gò [(Ø) jíglò rā =] = ā
 [Art Bouki Top] **IpfvNeg** **be** [Art hyena even] Q
 ‘Is not Bouki (the same as) hyena?’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 01:06)

11.2.3 Existential and locative predicates ('be in/at X')

11.2.3.1 Positive locational predicates (à-mā)

Positive predications of location valid for present time, hence 'be (somewhere), be present, exist', are based on a form that appears as à-mā (Bi dialect à-māⁿ) in the absence of a following locational. The à- is required after nonpronominal NPs and after 1st/2nd person pronouns. It resembles the (positive) Ipfv morpheme à. It also combines with third person subject proclitics in the same way that Ipfv à does, hence 3AnSg ðⁿ = Ø-mā, 3Pl ò = Ø-mā, and 3Inan àⁿ = Ø-mā. Also like Ipfv à, it can be replaced by IpfvPast morphemes in yì-mā (Fl) and dè māⁿ (Bi) 'was (somewhere)'.

There are some objections to identifying the onset of à-mā as the Ipfv morpheme. First, -mā has no other verb-like properties. It has no verbal noun, for example. Second, the negation of à-mā is not the expected #má mā with IpfvNeg má, rather a suppletive ní-mā (see the following section). The opposition of positive à- and negative ní- does not occur elsewhere in the language. Since ní-mā is clearly irregular, which leaves à-mā structurally isolated, we transcribe them both as shown here.

The mā in à-mā, negative ní-mā, and past yì-mā resembles the discourse-definite demonstrative adverb mā 'there', inteterlinear gloss "there.Def". The adverb is a candidate to be the etymological source for locational -mā, but the two are distinct synchronically. For one thing, they co-occur, so à-mā mā 'is/are there (definite)' is very common throughout the texts, as is the nearly synonymous à-mā [à nī] 'is/are in it, is/are therein'. For another, à-mā readily combines with adverbial phrases that are incompatible with 'there (definite)', as in à-mā fāⁿ?āⁿ 'is/are here' and with locations that are introduced into the discourse for the first time. Finally, à-mā may occur without an adverbial in the sense 'be present, exist' unspecified for location. This existential function ('there is/are X') is common when the subject is something like 'milk', 'sugar', or 'money'

Pronominal-subject combinations with à-mā are in (861).

- (861) a. 1Sg ná = à-mā (or nō = Ø-mā)
 2Sg má = à-mā (or mō = Ø-mā)
- b. 1Pl é-yù = à-mā (or ô = Ø-mā)
 2Pl bù = à-mā
- c. 3AnSg ðⁿ = Ø-mā
 3Pl ò = Ø-mā
 3Inan à = Ø-mā

Some elicited examples are in (862).

- (862) a. ná = à-mā
 1Sg **be.Loc**
 'I'm present (here/there).' (Fl Ji)

- b. ná= à-mā fāⁿʔāⁿ
 1Sg **be.Loc** here
 ‘I’m here.’ (F1 Ji)
- c. ná= à-mā [[Ø dè] nī]
 1Sg **be.Loc** [[Art field] Loc]
 ‘I am at/in the field.’ (F1 Ji)
- d. ná= à-mā [[Ø pòʔó] nī]
 1Sg **be.Loc** [[Art the.bush] Loc]
 ‘I am out in the bush.’ (F1 Ji)
- e. ná= à-mā [[Ø blāʔā] nī]
 1Sg **be.Loc** [[Art pond] Loc]
 ‘I am at the pond.’ (F1 Ji)
- f. ná= à-mā = [[Ø dúʔú] nī] (Ji)
 " " " dūʔú " (F1)
 1Sg **be.Loc** [[Art forest] Loc]
 ‘I am in the forest.’ (F1 Ji)
- g. ná= à-mā [[Ø wùʔú] tōⁿ]
 1Sg **be.Loc** [[Art house] Loc]
 ‘I am at/in the house.’ (F1 Ji)
- h. ná= à-mā [[zàkí tōʔò] nī]
 1Sg **be.Loc** [[Art place] Loc]
 ‘I am at Zaki’s place.’ (F1 Ji)

11.2.3.2 Past-time locational predicates (yì-mā, dè māⁿ, etc.)

There is a past-time form yì-mā, glossed ‘was/were present’ or ‘was/were (somewhere)’. There is one textual attestation (863).

- (863) [ē lō [yūō jōⁿ] jè-rò tó-ró] yì-mā
 [Art young.woman.Pl [people two] Indef-AnPl Foc-AnPl] **Past-be.Loc**
 ‘There were two young women [focus] (there).’ (F1, 2017-05 @ 00:19)

The yì- in yì-mā matches the past imperfective morpheme for this dialect (§10.3.1.8).

The infrequency of yì-mā is due to the fact that (à-)mā can be directly moved into past time by preposing the dialectally appropriate past morpheme. For Bi, the usual past morpheme is dè, and this combines directly with māⁿ as dè māⁿ (variant rè māⁿ).

- (864) a. [è ná-dòⁿ?òⁿ jī] rè māⁿ
 [Art Hum-one Indef] **IpfvPast** **be.Loc**
 ‘There was (also) another person’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 07:52)
- b. í-yùò dè māⁿ
 1Pl **IpfvPast** **be.Loc**
 ‘We were there ...’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 03:10)

Additional Bi dialect textual examples of *dè māⁿ* are (2017-10 @ 02:10 & 05:39). The *à-* morpheme is absent, as it is in past imperfectives in this dialect with *dè* and *Ipfv* verb.

In other dialects the past morpheme is *tá*, *tâ*, or *ká*, and because of their alternative pronunciations it can be difficult to determine whether they are preposed to *mā* or preposed to *à-mā* (in the latter case, with vowels contracting).

- (865) a. [jèròⁿ ká à-mā] [[bì tò?ó] kò á]
 [Rel **Past** **be.Loc**] [[Dem.Def Foc] be Dem.InanSg]
 ‘What(-ever) was there (in the tale), this [focus] is how it was.’
 (Ma, 2017-02 @ 01:49)
- b. ò gō→, ká à-māⁿ í-á-lò,
 3Pl Infin, **Past** **be.Loc**, you.know.it,
 [ē wìè-[fð-rè]] ní-māⁿ [ō bà?à]
 [Art wear.Pfv-[garment-Pl] not.be.Loc [3Pl Dat]
 ‘They were there. You know. They had no clothes to wear.’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 00:11) (í-á-lò < Jula ‘you know it’)
- c. [nó fē-nī =rè] ká à-mā [nàsèrá-kèⁿ kǎⁿ]
 [1Sg greet-VblN even] **Past** **be.Loc** [white.person-male Dem.AnSg]
 ‘My salute was (also) to this white man.’ (Fl, 2017-11 @ 11:09)

11.2.3.3 Negative locational predicate (ní-mā)

The negative counterpart of *à-mā* and its variants replaces *à-* by *ní-*. This is the only context where negative *ní-* occurs. Some simple elicited examples are in (866).

- (866) a. nó ní-mā =?
 1Sg **not.be.Loc** Neg
 ‘I am not present (here/there).’ (Ji)
- b. nó ní-mā [[Ø wù?ú] tɔⁿ] =?
 1Sg **not.be.Loc** [[Art house] Loc] Neg
 ‘I am not in the house.’ (Ji)

- c. *nó ní-mā* [[Ø *dè*] *nī*] = ?
 1Sg **not.be.Loc** [[Art field] Loc] Neg
 ‘I am not at/in the field.’ (Ji)
- d. *zàkí ní-mā* [[Ø *wùʔú*] *t̩ⁿ*] = ?
 Z **not.be.Loc** [[Art house] Loc] Neg
 ‘Zaki is not in the house.’ (Ji)

There are many textual examples. Most are negative existentials (‘there is no X’ or ‘X does not exist’) as in (867a) below and (865b) above. A smaller number express the absence of an individual from a specific place as in (867b).

- (867) a. [*ē t̩̀ràⁿ-wò-ní* = *r̩̀*] *ní-mā* [*à nī*]
 [Art rest(v).Base-VblN even] **not.be.Loc** [3Inan Loc]
 ‘There was no rest therein.’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 01:13)
- b. [*ē t̩̀ʔé j̩̀*] *móⁿ ní-mā* [[*à k̩̀ō-t̩̀ʔ̩̀*] *nī*]
 [Art hole Indef] 2Sg **not.be.Loc** [[3Inan cut.Pfv-place] Loc]
 ‘A burrow. You-Sg were not there where (and when) it was dug out.’
 (Bi, 2017-10 @ 04:54)

Superlative predicates are regularly phrased as ‘X’s equal (for some quality) does not exist’. One of several examples is (868). *ǹ̩ʔ̩̀* is from Jula but is very common.

- (868) *dè* [[*bó tóʔó*] *lóʔó ǹ̩ʔ̩̀*] *ní-mā* = ?
 say.Pfv [[LogoSg Focus] intelligence **equal(n)**] **not.be.Loc** **Neg**
 ‘(Hare said:) ‘I [focus] am the smartest (of the animals).’’
 (Ji, 2017-01 @ 01:02)

Negative ‘was not (somewhere)’ or ‘did not exist’ is expressed as the dialectally appropriate past morpheme plus *ní-mā*, for example Fl *tâ ní-mā*.

11.2.4 ‘Become’, ‘happen’, and ‘remain’ predicates

11.2.4.1 ‘Remain’ (*p̩̀èⁿ/p̩̀ēⁿ/p̩̀īⁿ*)

This verb means ‘(someone) stay, remain, stay behind’ (869a) or ‘(something) be left over’ (869b).

- (869) a. *zàkí p̩̀èⁿ =* [Ø *l̩̀*]
 Z **remain.Pfv** [Art village]
 ‘Zaki stayed in the village.’ (Fl)

- b. [è [á bī-bì]] pìèⁿ [nà dí]
 [Art [Inan small]] **remain.Pfv** [**Fut eat.Base**]
 ‘There’s a little bit left to eat.’ (F1)

Most textual examples are of the first type, emphasizing continuity of spatial position. In narratives, such phrasings as ‘he/she stayed like that’ describe temporal interludes between focal events, often with an adverbial like *bè nī* ‘like that, in that situation’, as in (Ji, 2017-1 @ 02:21). Some other examples show the sense ‘be left’, as in (F1, 2017-03 @ 02:12).

For *pìèⁿ/pēⁿ/pīⁿ* as a compound initial in verb-verb compounds meaning ‘keep VERB-ing’, see §15.1.3.5.

11.2.4.2 ‘Become’ with nominal (“arrive,” “turn,” “be made”)

There are multiple ways to translate ‘become a(n) X’ where X is some category of entity that is expressed as a noun. If the change is a life stage resulting from natural development, the verb *dèⁿ/dàⁿ/dāⁿ* ‘arrive, reach, attain’ is used. In other words, the subject ‘arrives at’ the relevant stage (870a). ‘Arrive’ can also mean ‘(grain crop) ripen’ (Ma, 2018-04 @ 00:03).

If a magical or other unnatural transformation is described, the labile verb *lē/ló/ló* ‘turn, change’ (intransitive ‘X turn into’, transitive ‘turn/transform X into’) is used (870b). Both intransitive and transitive ‘change’ require a clause-final NP (without adposition) denoting the new entity. In the transitive case (870c) this final NP is clearly not the direct object, rather an adjunct or secondary predicate. This interpretation is also indicated for the intransitive case (870b) where the final NP (‘white person’) looks superficially like a direct object, but cannot be replaced in the same meaning with an object pronominal enclitic.

- (870) a. *ṣⁿ* *dēⁿ* = [Ø *yǒ*]
 3AnSg **arrive.Pfv** [Art woman]
 ‘She became (=developed into) a woman.’ (F1)
- b. *zàkí* *lē* [Ø *kā* *ʃèⁿ-ʃèⁿ?éⁿ*]
 Z **turn.Pfv** [Art creature Rdp-red]
 ‘Zaki has become (=turned into) a white person.’ (F1)
- c. *nó* *lē* *zàkí* = [Ø *kā* *ʃèⁿ-ʃèⁿ?éⁿ*]
 1Sg **turn.Pfv** Z [Art creature Rdp-red]
 ‘I (e.g. a sorcerer) transformed Zaki into a white person.’ (F1)

Textual examples of *ló* ‘turn, change’ are in (871).

- (871) a. *ṣⁿ* *ló*— *bùḏ* = [Ø *bǒ*]
 3AnSg **turn.Base**— 3Pl [Art elephant]
 ‘(told) him to transform them (=villagers) into elephant(s).’
 (Ji, 2017-09 @ 06:37)

- b. kò klá [kò ló [ò míⁿʔáⁿ]],
 Infin return.Base [Infin **turn**.Base [PIRefl Refl]]
 ‘to be transformed back into themselves (=their original selves)’
 (Bi, 2017-09 @ 07:12)
- c. fó→ kō à lô= [Ø náⁿ-bí]
 until Infin Ipfv **turn**.Ipfv [Art person]
 ‘Eventually she was turning into an (adult) person.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 05:17)

Another way to say ‘become X’ is with the invariant verb klè ‘do’ in the mediopassive sense ‘be done, be made, happen’.

- (872) a. áywà *comme* ā klè= [Ø f̄r̄iʔi] bè-yá-ró
 well as 3Inan **be.done.Pfv** [Art craziness] thus
 ‘Well, it became craziness in that way.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 05:03)
- b. [ē dùʔù =rē] klè, [é *garde-corps*]
 [Art cliff(s) even] **be.done.Pfv**, [1Pl bodyguard]
 ‘The cliffs became our protector.’ (Fl, 2017-11 @ 05:39)
- c. [bó tòʔó] kō klè, [[è wúⁿ bíéʔ], ñ wúⁿ-dìⁿ]
 [3AnSg Foc] Infin be.done.Base, [[Art village all], (nasal) chief]]
 ‘He [focus] has become the chief of the entire village (cluster).’
 (Ma, 2018-01 @ 02:12)

11.2.5 Mental and emotional statives

11.2.5.1 Verbs of knowledge

The basic difference between the two ‘know’ verbs is that kùⁿ/kṣⁿ/kṣ̄ⁿ expresses acquisition or knowledge of a fact, while invariant stative or imperfective jī expresses familiarity, somewhat as in Fr *savoir* and *connaître*. There is some competition between them in the middle, namely with bodies of learned knowledge such as magical lore.

11.2.5.1.1 kùⁿ/kṣⁿ/kṣ̄ⁿ ‘know (a fact), realize’

‘Know/realize (a fact)’ or ‘recognize (someone)’ is a transitive verb kùⁿ/kṣⁿ/kṣ̄ⁿ. It occurs in perfective frames (positive and negative), and in infinitival kō kṣⁿ. The Ipfv form, also kṣⁿ, is elicitable but rarely used. The perfective literally denotes the event of coming to know (finding out, discovering, learning), but it implies stable knowledge into the present.

Simple elicited examples without a clausal complement are in (873).

A few textual examples (among many) are in (876).

- (876) a. *é, mó à jĩ= [[Ø blí-ké] kě]*
ah!, 2Sg Ipfv know.Ipfv [[Art hare] matter]
 ‘Ah! You know about hare.’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 01:05)
- b. *bó à jĩ= [Ø ló?ó],*
LogoSg Ipfv know.Ipfv [Art intelligence],
k-à fô= [Ø ná-bíó bíé?]
Infin-Ipfv pass.Ipfv [Art people all]
 ‘(said:) “I know magic more than everyone (else).” ’
 (Ji, 2017-01 @ 01:10)
- c. [*bó kàròⁿ má jĩ [à glō-tò?ò] =rē?*]
 [LogoSg Top] IpfvNeg know.Ipfv [3Inan exit.Pfv-place] Emph
 ‘(said:) “I myself am not familiar with its place of exiting.” ’
 (lit. “... don’t know where it came from”) (Fl, 2017-05 @ 01:46)

11.2.5.2 Verbs of desire

11.2.5.2.1 ‘Want’ construction *kō ... bà?à* or *kà-bà?à*

‘X want(s) Y’ with nominal complement is expressed with *kō* ‘be’ followed by a PP with dative postposition *bà?à* (§8.1.1). Elicited examples are in (877). *kō* is negated as *má kō*, as in other constructions (877c). The high-frequency combination *kō* plus third person inanimate *à* contracts to *kà*, and *kà-bà?à* is rather fused. We transcribe it as a single word (877d). The *kà-* is L-toned as opposed to the *kā* we would expect from tone sandhi if it were treated as *kō* plus 3Inan *à* before L-toned *bà?à*.

- (877) a. *mó kō [[Ø ʃĩ?é] bà?à]*
2Sg be [[Art what?] Dat]
 ‘What do you-Pl want?’ (Ji)
- b. *zàkí kō [[Ø nū] bà?à]*
Z be [[Art water] Dat]
 ‘Zaki wants some water.’ (Ji)
- c. *nó má kō [[Ø kà?á] bà?à]*
1Sg IpfvNeg be [[Art meat] Dat]
 ‘I don’t want meat.’ (Ji)
- d. *zàkí kà-bà?à*
Z want.it
 ‘Zaki wants it.’ (Ji)

One textual example has a nominal complement. The sense is ‘love, be fond of’, referring to a mother’s relationship to her daughters. She loved them, with one exception (878).

- (878) àⁿ máⁿ gō [[n dèⁿ?èⁿ dó] bà?à]
 3AnSg IpfvNeg **be** [[Sg one Poss.Inan] **Dat**]
 ‘She didn’t love (=hated) a certain one (of them).’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 01:49)

kà-bà?à ‘want(s) it’ occurs in ‘want(s) [to VP]’ and ‘want(s) X [to VP]’ constructions with VP and propositional complements, where the inanimate pronominal resumes the lower clause (§17.4.3.1). Most textual examples of kà-bà?à are of these constructions.

11.2.5.2.2 ‘Seek, look for’ (fê/fā/fā)

The transitive verb fê/fā/fā has the core sense ‘look for, seek’, which entails an active search for the object. This is clearly the correct translation in contexts like ‘looked for termites’, ‘go look for food’, and ‘look for firewood’, all of which occur in the texts. However, ‘look for, seek’ implies desire for the object, and when the object is more abstract a free translation with ‘want’ or ‘wish/hope for’ is sometimes appropriate. Elicited examples (879a-b) are two variants of a common question involving search and desire, where either ‘look for’ or ‘want’ would be appropriate.

- (879) a. má = à fā [ē]i?é]
 2Sg Ipfv **seek.Ipfv** [Art what?]
 ‘What are you-Sg looking for?’ (i.e. ‘What do you want here?’) (Ji)
- b. má =à fā [Ø bē-kè]
 2Sg Ipfv **seek.Ipfv** [Art what?]
 [= (a)] (Ji)

Among textual examples, those where fê/fā/fā has an abstract object are those in (880).

- (880) a. nó, kétéklú à fā [*commencer* = nì] dè-rè
 1Sg, (name) Ipfv **seek.Ipfv** [begin 3InanObj] now
 ‘I, Keteklu, want to begin it (a tale) now.’ (Ma, 2017-02 @ 00:02)
- b. [è yúó jī] bùdò máⁿ fā [Ø jī] = ā
 [Art people Indef] 3Pl IpfvNeg **seek.Ipfv** [Art something] Q
 ‘And other people [topic] won’t want anything?’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 07:54)
- c. ô = Ø fā = ñ [Ø ná-dì-ò]
 1Pl Ipfv **seek.Ipfv** 3InanObj [Art old.man-Pl]
 ‘We want it (from) the old men.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 07:19)
 (refers to getting help to improve a road)

11.3 Quotative verbs *dè/dò/dò* ‘speak’ and *dè/dè/dò* ‘say’

The basic quotative verb is *dè/dò/dò* in the sense ‘speak, say (it)’. It can be intransitive ‘speak, talk’. It can also be ‘say, tell’ with a nominal object as in ‘say it, tell it to (sb)’ or ‘say this/that (to sb)’. When it means ‘say’ with immediately following quoted matter, the variant *dè* is not only the Pfv but also the base stem. Specifically, *dè* occurs in deontics (*mâ dè* ‘don’t say!’) in some dialects, and it occurs widely in infinitival *kō dè* ‘(and then) said “...”’ as opposed to *kō dò* ‘and then spoke’ or *kō dò = nì* ‘and then said it’. However, *dò* occurs in all senses in other inflectional contexts, e.g. PfvNeg *á dò* ‘did not speak/say’ and future *nà dò* ‘will speak/say’. In all senses, a dative with postposition *bà?à* may be added to specify the addressee.

The situation is complicated by the use of a related but distinct *dè* as a pre-quotative ‘that’ particle, either immediately following a ‘say’ verb or by itself (§17.1.2.1). When only one *dè* occurs before quoted matter, we parse it as ‘say’ if it is preceded by an overt subject, otherwise as the quotative particle. For Bi dialect, *dè* in either function may be fully nasalized to *nè* (after a nasal syllable) or tapped to *rè*. In this dialect, yet another *dè* morpheme is the most common past time marker (preceding any verb).

The textual examples in (881) illustrate *dè/dò/dò* as intransitive ‘speak’ or transitive ‘say/tell (it/that)’, i.e. not including quoted matter. Infinitival *kō dò* is in (881e).

- (881) a. [nó tó? =] ā dò = nì [mó bà?à]
 [1Sg Foc] Ipv **speak**.Ipfv 3InanObj [2Sg Dat]
 ‘I will tell it to you.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 10:24)
- b. bùò nà dò [kã = [Ø dīé]]
 LogoPl Fut **speak**.Base [with [Art 1Pl]]
 ‘(saying/intending) they will speak with all of us.’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 00:19)
- c. álð→ ó nà dò-dò [Ø fé jèrón]
 then 1Pl Fut Rdp-**speak**.Base [Art word(s) Rel]
 ‘So then, the words that we will speak ...’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 00:42)
- d. kã = à-dò [Ø fé] [ḡⁿ bà?à]
 [Infin come.Base-**speak**.Base [Art word(s)] [3AnSg Dat]
 ‘to speak (words) to him’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 03:54)
- e. ḡⁿ gō sàrò
 3AnSg Infin proceed.to.Base
 [wò glú [à lō]] [wō dò]
 [Infin exit(v).Base [with 3Inan]] [Infin **speak**.Base]
 ‘She proceeded to explain that.’ (lit. “... to bring it out and speak”)
 (Bi, 2017-07 @ 07:44)
- f. [ó ná-dì-ð] dē = [Ø jī]
 [1Pl old.man-Pl] **speak**.Pfv [Art something]
 ‘Our old men (=our elders) said something.’ (Ji, 2017-09 @ 05:59)

The examples in (882) illustrate ‘say’ followed by quoted matter, with or without an intervening quotative particle *dè*. Note infinitival *kō dè* rather than *kō dò* in (882a). (882c-d) show dialectal variation in the base stem of ‘say’.

- (882) a. *ō lè, bè kō dè dè ...*
 Infin show.Base, Dem.Def Infin **say**.Base **that ...**
 ‘And (the sign) shows, that (sign) says, ...’ (Ji, 2017:11 @ 08:51)
- b. *ó dè= é à jū? = [ā kě]*
 1Pl **say**.Pfv 1Pl Ipfv hear.Ipfv [3Inan matter]
 ‘We said that we hear about it.’ (Ji, 2017:11 @ 03:06)
- c. *mâ dè dè [[Ø úⁿ bíé] nī]*
 Proh **say**.Base Quot [[Art village all] Loc]
 ‘Don’t say (=think) that (it’s) in the whole village!’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 04:31)
- d. *mâ dò dē [zàkí à-mā]*
 Proh **say**.Base Quot [Z be.Loc]
 ‘Don’t say that Zaki is here.’ (F1)
- e. *dò dē zàkí à-mā*
say.Base Quot [Z be.Loc]
 ‘Say-2Sg that Zaki is here!’ (F1)

For extended analysis of quotative clauses, i.e. with ‘say’ and an immediately following quotation, see §17.1.

11.4 Adjectival predicates

11.4.1 Positive stative adjectival verbs

In this construction, the predicate is a verb that is associated semantically with a modifying adjective, though sometimes different in form or even suppletive. To denote states valid for time intervals that include the present, Ipfv particle *à* followed by the verb. The syntactic context suggests that the verb is in the Ipfv stem.

- (883) a. [*ē jū*] *ā bò*
 [Art water] **Ipfv** be.hot/burned.Ipfv
 ‘The water is hot.’ (F1)
- b. [*ē jū*] *à léⁿ*
 [Art water] **Ipfv** be.cold.Ipfv
 ‘The water is cold.’ (Ji)

- c. zàkí ā dìʔè
 Z **Ipfv** be.long.Ipfv
 ‘Zaki is tall.’ (Ji)
- d. [à lóⁿ] à dáⁿ = nēʔ
 [3Inan shade] **Ipfv** be.pleasant.Ipfv Emph
 ‘(said to tree:) “Your shade is really nice!” ’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 00:49)

Adjectival domains that have such verbs include color (‘white’, ‘black’, ‘red’), measure (‘big/fat’, ‘small’, ‘long’, ‘short’, ‘deep’, ‘wide’), evaluation (‘good’), surface (‘hard’), and temperature (‘hot’, ‘cold’). The phonological relationships between modifying adjectives and adjectival verbs are complex, and some verbs are suppletive (§4.5.3.1-2, §9.4).

As with other stative constructions (‘want’, ‘have’, ‘be somewhere’), these predicates have past-time forms that add the dialectally appropriate past morpheme after the subject. As usual in past imperfectives, the Ipv morpheme à is either absent (Bi) or phonologically fused with the past morpheme (other dialects). See §13.3.1.9 for examples and analysis.

11.4.2 Predicates with kō ‘be’ of adjectives with classifiers

Not all modifying adjectives have associated verbs. In this section we consider the subset of adjectives that can be preceded by animacy classifiers (§4.5.1-2). Their predicative forms consist of kō ‘be’ followed by the classifier and adjective. Except for the presence of the classifier, this predicative construction is the same as the copula construction for NP predicates, which also has kō ‘be’. This can be taken as evidence that the combination of classifier plus stem is syntactically nominal, although it can also be attached as a modifier to a noun (§4.5.1). The tone of the adjective can differ depending on whether a classifier is present, and if present whether it is inanimate á or animate kā (§4.5.3.1-2).

As an example, consider the adjectival sense ‘big’. As modifier directly following a noun, the form is tū-tūʔú. The forms with animacy classifiers á (inanimate) and kā (animate) can function as NPs (‘a/the big one’). They can also be made predicative with kō (884a-b).

- (884) a. [ē wùʔú] kò [á tū-tūʔú]
 [Art house] **be** [**Inan** big]
 ‘The house is big.’ (FI)
- b. [è ná] kō [kā tū-tūʔú]
 [Art cow] **be** [**An** big]
 ‘The cow is big.’ (FI)

A roughly synonymous alternative is to use the verb gbāʔā ‘be big; be fat’, which belongs to the set of adjectival verbs (see the preceding section).

For kō with expressive adverbials, some of which have adjective-like senses, see §11.4.4 below.

11.4.3 Negative adjectival and stative predicates

The negative counterpart of positive adjectival verbs has *IpfvNeg* (also stative negative) *má* (*Bi máⁿ*) plus the verb, often with final glottal.

- (885) a. zàkì má⁽ⁿ⁾ dìʔè
 Z IpfvNeg be.long.Ipfv
 ‘Zaki isn’t tall.’ (F1 Ji)
- b. zàkì má gbāʔā
 Z IpfvNeg be.big.Ipfv
 ‘Zaki isn’t fat.’ (F1 Ji)
- c. [ē ɲū] má b̀ò =ʔ
 [Art water] **IpfvNeg** be.hot/burn.Ipfv Neg
 ‘The water is not hot.’ (F1)
- d. [[ɔ̃ⁿ wí] [yí-ʃíʔì]-ní] má dáⁿ =ʔ
 [[3AnSg owner] [get.up]-VblN] **IpfvNeg** be.pleasant.Ipfv Neg
 ‘The fellow’s recovery isn’t pleasant.’ (F1, 2017-05 @ 01:55)

As elsewhere, *kō* ‘be’ is negated as *máⁿ kō* (886).

- (886) [ē wùʔù] má k̀ò [á tú-tūʔú]
 [Art house] **IpfvNeg** be [Inan big]
 ‘The house is not big.’ (F1)

11.4.4 Predicates with *kō* ‘be’ plus expressive adverbial

Expressive adverbials have adverb-like or adjective-like senses, and often have unusual phonological features (§8.5.8). Especially those with adjective-like senses, i.e. those that denote temporary or permanent qualities of things, are made predicative in the same way that NPs become predicate nominals, viz., with *kō* ‘be’ or its negation *má kō*. The EA ‘lukewarm’ exemplifies this in (887).

- (887) a. [ē ɲū] k̀ò blāⁿ-blāⁿ
 [Art water] **be** lukewarm
 ‘The water is (luke-)warm.’ (F1)
- b. [ē ɲū] má k̀ò blāⁿ-blāⁿ
 [Art water] **IpfvNeg** **be** lukewarm
 ‘The water is not (luke-)warm.’ (F1)

11.5 Possessive predicates

11.5.1 ‘X have Y’ constructions

11.5.1.1 ‘X (be) with Y’ (kà)

The first ‘have’ construction contains *kà* (or variant such as *gà*, *à*, or in Bi *hà*) ‘with’. It contracts with a following M-toned article \bar{e} as $k\bar{a} = \emptyset$, or as $k\bar{a} = \emptyset$ if \bar{e} has dropped to \bar{e} before an H-tone before the contraction. A personality attribute as well as a physical entity can be possessed (888b-c). Like other stative predicates, this construction can be shifted into past time using a post-subject past morpheme (888d).

- (888) a. $n\acute{o}$ $k\bar{a} =$ [\emptyset $b\bar{u}^n\eta^5^n$]
 1Sg **with** [Art dog]
 ‘I have a dog.’ (Ji)
- b. \bar{s}^n $k\bar{a} =$ [\emptyset $l\bar{o}\eta^6$]
 3AnSg **with** [Art sneakiness]
 ‘He/She is sneaky.’ (said e.g. of a sneak thief) (Fl)
- c. η^n $m\acute{a}$ $k\bar{a} =$ [\emptyset $l\bar{o}\eta^6$]
 3AnSg IpfvNeg **with** [Art sneakiness]
 ‘He/She isn’t sneaky.’ (Fl)
- d. η^n $t\acute{a}$ $k\bar{a} =$ [\emptyset $l\bar{o}\eta^6$]
 3AnSg Past **with** [Art sneakiness]
 ‘He/She used to be sneaky.’ (Fl)

Negation is by IpfvNeg $m\acute{a}^{(n)}$ (889). The alternative construction with $b\grave{a}\eta\grave{a}$ (see the following section) is preferred in negative contexts.

- (889) a. $n\acute{o}$ $m\acute{a}$ $k\bar{a} =$ [\emptyset $b\bar{u}^n\eta^5^n$]
 1Sg Neg **with** [Art dog]
 ‘I don’t have a dog.’ (Ji)
- b. [\bar{e} $s\acute{u}gl\bar{o}-y\grave{o}$] $m\acute{a}^n$ [$k\bar{a} =$ [\emptyset $f\bar{e}\eta^6$]] = \bar{e}
 [Art hyena-woman] IpfvNeg [**with** [Art wrap(n)]] Q
 ‘Hyena woman didn’t have a wrap?’ (Ji, 2017-08 @ 02:32)

The construction with *kà* plus NP as predicate is unique in the language in lacking a verb or other verb-like predicative word. One might hypothesize that *kà* in this construction reflects contraction of an original $*k\bar{o}$ *kà* ‘be with’.

Another way to make the *kà* phrase predicative is to add the ‘it is’ enclitic $=(y)\grave{a}$ to the possessum. An example is (890).

- (890) [ē kè bíé] kà= [Ø dāⁿ] = à
 [Art thing all] **with** [Art boundary] **it.is**
 ‘Every thing is with (=has) a limit.’ (Ma/Ji, 2017-04 @ 03:05)

11.5.1.2 ‘Y be of X’ (bà?à)

This possessive construction consists of an existential predicate plus a PP with possessive postposition *bà?à*. Since this postposition also means ‘chez, at the place of’, the construction can be parsed literally as ‘there is (not) an X in the presence/custody/zone of Y’. Negation favors this construction over that with *kà* (891b-e).

- (891) a. [ē pú?ǎ=] Ø-mà [nó bà?à]
 [Art stick] **be.Loc** [1Sg **Dat**]
 ‘I have a stick.’ (Ji)
- b. [è pú?ǎ] ní-mà [nó bà?à] = ?
 [Art stick] **not.be.Loc** [1Sg **Dat**] Neg
 ‘I don’t have a stick.’ (Ji)
- c. [ē klò?ó] ní-mā [é-yùò bà?à]
 [Art road] **not.be.Loc** [1Pl **Dat**]
 ‘We don’t have a (paved) road.’ (Ma, 2018-08 @ 01:09)
- d. [ē wìè-[fǎ-rè]] ní-māⁿ [ō bà?à]
 [Art wear.Pfv-[garment-Pl] **not.be.Loc** [3Pl **Dat**]
 ‘They had no clothes to wear.’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 00:11)
- e. [ē dàⁿ?àⁿ] ní-māⁿ [móⁿ bà?à]
 [Art fire] **not.be.Loc** [2Sg **Dat**]
 ‘You had no fire (=light).’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 04:54)

A variation on this is of the type ‘[X’s stick] exists’, where the owner is phrased directly as the possessor of the possessed entity. (892) happens to end in a PP with *bà?à*, but this PP has locative rather than possessive sense. “Possession” (using the term loosely) is expressed by the 1Sg possessor on the subject, even though the 1Sg pronoun is topicalized.

- (892) [[nó kǎn] nè?è-ní] à-mā [ō bà?à]
 [[1Sg Top] ask-VblN] **be.Loc** [3Pl **Dat**]
 ‘As for me, I have a request for them.’ (Fl, 2017-11 @ 07:05)

11.5.2 ‘Y belong to X’ predicates (dó or júó)

In this version, the possessum is the subject. The possessor is expressed in the predicate after *kō* (or variant) ‘be’ or before a clause-final ‘it is’ enclitic. In (893a), *dó* is the default

inanimate possessum (§6.2.4.1), so the construction is literally ‘that is [my thing]’. When the subject is plural, *dó* remains invariant, suggesting that it is on its way to becoming a genitive postposition (893b,d). Compare noun *dó* ‘(someone’s) share’, which has a plural *dó-ró*.

- (893) a. [è yá] gò [nóⁿ dó]
 [Art Dem.InanSg] **be** [1Sg **Poss.Inan**]
 ‘That (one) is mine.’ (Bi)
- b. ípàrè gò [nóⁿ dó]
 Dem.InanPl **be** [1Sg **Poss.Inan**]
 ‘Those are mine.’ (Bi)
- c. [[móⁿ d̀] dó té] =à
 [[2Sg man] **Poss.Inan** Foc.Inan] **it.is**
 ‘And yet it belongs to your husband.’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 09:39)
- d. [wè-rú ípàrè bíé] kò [nó dó]
 [house-Pl Dem.InanPl all] **be** [1Sg **Poss.Inan**]
 ‘All these houses belong to me.’ (Fl)

When the possessum is animate, default animate possessum *júó* (§6.2.4.2) replaces *dó*.

- (894) a. [yǒ kǎⁿ] kò [nó júó]
 [woman Dem.AnSg] **be** [1Sg **Poss.An**]
 ‘That woman is mine.’ (Fl)
- b. [bí-ḡĩō kǎ-rò bíé] kò [nó júó]
 [child.Pl Dem.AnPl all] **be** [1Sg **Poss.An**]
 ‘All those children are mine.’ (Fl)
- c. [nó kǎ-rò bíé] kō [zàkì júó]
 [cow.Pl Dem.AnPl all] **be** [Z **Poss.An**]
 ‘All those cows belong to Zaki.’ (Fl)
- d. [nǎ kàⁿ] má kò [nó júó]
 [cow Dem.AnSg] IpfvNeg **be** [1Sg **Poss.An**]
 ‘That cow isn’t mine.’ (Fl)

When the possessor is focalized and fronted, the normally clause-final ‘it is’ enclitic =à is optionally followed by a post-focus morpheme *glò* (895a), here glossed ‘it.is’ in interlinears (§11.2.1.1, §13.1.3.5). The negative counterpart, not requiring focalization, is the regular ‘it is not’ combination *máⁿ glò =?* (895c-d).

- (895) a. [zàkì dó dé] =à glò
 [Z **Poss.Inan** however] **it.is** **it.is**
 ‘It is Zaki’s [focus].’ (Bi)

- b. [[zàkí tōʔó] júó] =yà =dēʔ
 [[Z Foc] **Poss.An**] it.is Emph
 ‘It (=cow, child) is Zaki’s [focus].’ (F1)
- c. [zàkì dó] máⁿ glò =ʔ
 [Z **Poss.Inan**] **IpfvNeg** **it.is** **Neg**
 ‘It is not Zaki’s.’ (Bi)
- d. [zàkì júó] máⁿ glò =ʔ
 [Z **Poss.An**] **IpfvNeg** **it.is** **Neg**
 ‘It (=cow) is not Zaki’s.’ (F1)

11.6 Numeral predicates

When a numeral without a preceding noun or adjective is the predicate, it follows *kō* ‘be’. Numerals ‘2’ to ‘9’ take the same plural classifiers as they do for clause-internal subject, object, etc. (§4.6.1.2, §6.4.1). Literal translations are of the type ‘(the) Xs are NUM’. Freer translations are of the type ‘there are NUM Xs’.

Plural classifier *ò*, like article *ē* at the beginning of NPs, is usually unpronounced immediately after *kō* ‘be’, unless there is an interruption. One consequence is that *kō* drops to *kò* before an H-tone (896a-b).

- (896) a. [ē bə-ró / wə-rú] kò [(Ø) sáⁿ]
 [Art elephant-Pl / house-Pl] **be** [(Pl) **three**]
 ‘The elephants/houses are three.’ (F1 Ji)
- b. [è bə-ró / wə-rú] kò [(Ø) támm]
 [Art elephant-Pl / house-Pl] **be** [(Art) **ten**]
 ‘The elephants are ten.’ (F1 Ji)
- c. [ē wə-rú] kō [(Ø) kàⁿ]
 [Art house-Pl] **be** [(Pl) **five**]
 ‘The houses are five.’ (F1 Ji)

Human plural classifier *yúó* ‘people’, as head or following the head as a human classifier, has its usual tonal variants before ‘2’ and ‘3’ (897).

- (897) [è bí-ʃīō] kō [yùò sáⁿ]
 [Art child.Pl] **be** [**people** three]
 ‘The children were three (in number).’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 01:49)

in the conversion. A reasonable guess is that the conflation happened first in the imperfective (for example, with adjectival predicates) and later extended analogically to the perfective.

The conflation into a verb-verb compound occurs regularly in natural speech in our data wherever Vb1 and fó are not separated. However, in careful speech the infinitival morphology can always be restored.

The next two sections illustrate these formulae, first with adjectival predicates and then with ordinary VPs.

12.1.1 Predicative adjective with fó ‘pass’ and comparandum

Many adjectival predicates involve stative verbs like *dìʔ(è)* (Bi *dīʔ(è)*) ‘be long, tall’, *gbāʔā* ‘be big, fat’, *kò* ‘be good, pretty’, *dá* ‘be sweet, pleasing’ and the like. They occur in imperfective constructions to express qualities. To make these predicates comparative (‘be longer/taller than Y’, ‘be bigger/fatter than Y’), it suffices to add a phrase with fó ‘(sur)pass’. Since adjectival verbs like *dìʔ(è)* do not require postverbal constituents (such as objects), fó regularly merges with the adjectival verb to form a verb-verb compound. Since the construction is imperfective, -à- is intercalated in the normal fashion for imperfective compounds (900a-c).

- (900) a. *zàkí ā dìʔ(è)-à-fó nó]*
 Z Ipfv **be.long.Ipfv-Ipfv-pass.Ipfv** 1Sg]
 ‘Zaki is taller than I (am).’ (F1 Ji)
- b. *zàkì má dìʔ(è)-à-fó nó]*
 Z IpfvNeg **be.long.Ipfv-Ipfv-pass.Ipfv** 1Sg]
 ‘Zaki isn’t taller than I (am).’ (F1 Ji)
- c. *ḡ bā dè [má= ā kò-à-fó]*
 2Sg if say.Base [2Sg Ipfv **be.good.Ipfv-Ipfv-pass.Ipfv]**
 ‘if you-Sg say that you-Sg are more beautiful’ (F1, 2017-05 @ 04:20)

Like other statives, these adjectival predicates require a post-subject past morpheme to displace the states into the past: ‘was/were ADJ’ (§10.3.1.9).

- (901) a. *zàkí dè dīʔ(è)-à-fó nóⁿ*
 Z **IpfvPast** *be.long.Ipfv-Ipfv-pass.Ipfv* 1Sg]
 ‘Zaki was taller/fatter than I (was).’ (Bi)
- b. *zàkí tá ā dìʔ(è)-à-fó nó]*
 Z **Past** *Ipfv be.long.Ipfv-Ipfv-pass.Ipfv* 1Sg]
 ‘Zaki was taller than I (was).’ (F1 Ma)

12.1.2 Verbal predicate plus fó '(sur)pass'

A VP of any type and of any TAMP inflectional category can combine with fó '(sur)pass' to constitute a comparative. If there is no postverbal constituent following Vb1, such as a direct object, fó is free to merge with Vb1 to form a verb-verb compound. The examples below are perfective (902a), imperfective (902b-d), imperative (902e), and prohibitive (902f). In textual example (902g), fó is compounded with Vb1, while postverbal constituents follow the compound. In other words, fó has jumped leftward over the postverbal constituents. Compare (902g) with the essentially synonymous (903g) below, which keeps fó away from Vb1.

- (902) a. zàkí dī-è-fó nó
 Z eat.Pfv-**pass**.Base 1Sg
 'Z ate more than I (did).' = 'Zaki out-ate me.' (Fl Ji)
- b. zàkí à dí-à-fó nó]
 Z Ipfv eat.Ipfv-Ipfv-**pass**.Ipfv 1Sg]
 'Zaki eats more than I (do).' (Fl Ji)
- c. zàkì máⁿ dí-à-fó nó
 Z IpfvNeg eat.Ipfv-Ipfv-**pass**.Ipfv 1Sg
 'Zaki doesn't eat more than I (do).' (Ji)
- d. zàkí à wō-à-fó nó
 Z Ipfv sing.Ipfv-Ipfv-**pass**.Ipfv 1Sg
 'Zaki sings better/more than I do.' (Ji)
- e. dí-fó zàkí
 eat.Base-**pass**.Base Z
 'Eat-2Sg more than Zaki!' (Ji)
- f. ò mâ dí-fó zàkí
 Imprt.Pl Proh eat.Base-**pass**.Base Z
 'Don't-2Pl eat more than Zaki.' (Ji)
- g. nó kùdⁿ-fó [è ná-bíó bíé?], kà [Ø ló?ó]
 1Sg **know**.Pfv-**pass**.Base [Art people all], with [Art intelligence]
 'I know more than any one about magic' (Ji, 2017-01 @ 03:25)

The presence of an object or other constituent directly after Vb1 blocks the conflation into a verb-verb compound. An infinitival adjunct is the only output (903). (903h) has the imperfective version.

- (903) a. nó bē= [Ø sù-má-klà?à] [kò fó zàkí]
 1Sg **cultivate**.Pfv [Art maize] [**Infin pass**.Base Z]
 'I raised more maize than Zaki (did).' (Fl Ji)

- b. ná= á bâ= [Ø súmá-klà?à] [kò fó zàkí]
 1Sg PfvNeg **cultivate**.Base [Art maize] [Infin **pass**.Base Z]
 ‘I didn’t raise more maize than Zaki (did).’ (F1 Ji)
- c. nó nà bâ= [Ø súmá-klà?à] [kò fó zàkí]
 1Sg Fut **cultivate**.Base [Art maize] [Infin **pass**.Base Z]
 ‘I will cultivate maize more than Zaki (will).’ (Ji)
- d. nó bè bē= [Ø súmá-klà?à] [kò fó zàkí]
 1Sg Fut **cultivate**.Pfv [Art maize] [Infin **pass**.Base Z]
 ‘I will cultivate maize more than Zaki (will).’ (Ji)
- e. [ē dàⁿ?áⁿ] kè?è [nó dè] [kò fō= [Ø blō?ō]]
 [Art fire] **ruin**.Pfv [1Sg field] [Infin **pass**.Base [Art dust]]
 ‘The fire damaged my field more than the dust (did).’ (Ji)
- f. kà-gōrēⁿ [ē kà?á] [kò fó nó]
eat.meat.Base-do.well.Base [Art meat] [Infin **pass**.Base 1Sg]
 ‘Eat more meat than I (do)!’ (F1 Ji)
- g. bó à jī= [Ø ló?ó],
 Logo Ipfv **know**.Ipfv [Art intelligence],
 k-ā fô= [Ø ná-bí-ó bíé?]
Infin-Ipfv pass.Ipfv [Art person-Pl all]
 ‘(said:) “I know magic more than anyone (else).” ’
 (Ji, 2017-01 @ 01:10)

Notice the ambiguity in the translation of (904). Either the subject or the indirect object of the main verb ‘give’ can be the comparandum.

- (904) 5ⁿ jī?è [Ø bú] [5ⁿ zàkí] [kò fó nó]
 3AnSg **give**.Pfv [Art money] [Dat Z] [Infin **pass**.Base 1Sg]
 ‘He/She gave more money to Zaki than to me.’
 or: ‘He/She gave more money to Zaki than I (did).’ (F1 Ji)

12.1.3 ‘Be better, be more’ (plé)

The predicate is invariant plé ‘be better’, analysable as an Ipfv verb following Ipfv particle à or IpfvNeg má⁽ⁿ⁾. The verb is followed by a locative PP with the comparandum. fó ‘pass’ is absent. A domain of comparison phrase may be added, also in locative PP form (905c-d).

- (905) a. zàkí à plé [nó nī]
 Z Ipfv **be.better**.Ipfv [1Sg Loc]
 ‘Zaki is better than I (am).’ (F1 Ji)

- b. zàkì má⁽ⁿ⁾ plé [nó nī]
 Z Ipfv **be.better**.Ipfv [1Sg Loc]
 ‘Zaki isn’t better than I (am).’ (F1 Ji)
- c. zàkí à plé [nó nī]
 Z Ipfv **be.better**.Ipfv [1Sg Loc]
 [[ē d̀̀rìⁿʔíⁿ-wè-t̀̀ʔ̀̀] nī]
 [[Art song-sing.Pfv-place] Loc]
 ‘Zaki is better than I (am) at singing song(s).’ (Bi)
- d. zàkí à plé= [Ø d̀̀rìⁿʔíⁿ-wò-ń] nī]
 Z Ipfv **be.better**.Ipfv [Art song-sing.Base-VblN] Loc]
 [k-ā fó nó]
 [Infin-Ipfv pass.Ipfv 1Sg]
 ‘Zaki is better than I (am) at singing song(s).’ (Bi)

In the absence of an overt (or contextually understood) comparandum, plé can be interpreted loosely as superlative (906a). It may be focalized, and/or a locative PP in partitive function may be added (906b).

- (906) a. zàkí à plé
 Z Ipfv **be.better**.Ipfv
 ‘Zaki is better/the best.’ (Ji)
- b. [zàkí tó? =] à plé [é-yùò nī]
 [Z Foc] Ipfv **be.better**.Ipfv [1Pl Loc]
 ‘Zaki [focus] is the best among us.’ (Ji)

In the texts, plé occurs as an intransitive ‘be better’ without a comparandum or domain of comparison.

- (907) a. [bó [n d̀̀èⁿʔéⁿ]] nè plé
 [3AnSg [Sg one]] IpfvPast **be.better**.Ipfv
 ‘By itself it was better.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 01:24)
- b. [ā s̀̀g̀̀éⁿ] k-ā f̀̀s̀̀àyá
 [3Inan fatigue] Infin-Ipfv improve.Ipfv,
 k-ā plé
 Infin-Ipfv **be.better**.Ipfv
 ‘The fatigue (=hardship) has improved, it is better.’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 05:20)

The sense ‘X is better (than Y)’ can also be expressed by simple fó ‘surpass’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 03:15) or by combining fó ‘surpass’ with kò ‘be good’ as in ā kò-à-fó (Bi, 2017-08 @ 03:11).

12.1.4 ‘Be more (abundant)’

In (908), the predicate is the simple locational-existential à-mā ‘be (present)’. This is followed by an imperfective infinitival VP with k-à.

- (908) [ē bð-rô=] Ø-mā fāⁿ?āⁿ] [k-à fô= [Ø gbó-gbð-ró]
 [Art elephant-Pl **be.Loc** here] [Infin-Ipfv **pass.Ipfv** [Art lion-Pl]
 ‘Elephants are more numerous than lions here.’ (Ji)
 (singular gbáⁿ-gbàⁿ?áⁿ ‘lion’, plural gbáⁿ-gbð-ráⁿ ~ gbó-gbð-ró)

12.1.5 Superlatives

The way to phrase this explicitly is with the construction ‘X’s peer doesn’t exist’, with noun díⁿ ‘equal (n), peer’. Jula borrowing ɲðyð is common in the texts instead of díⁿ.

- (909) a. [ɔⁿ kò-ní díⁿ] ní-mā =?
 [3AnSg be.good-VblN **peer**] **not.be.Loc** Neg
 ‘She has no peer in beauty.’ (Ji)
- b. dè [[bó tó?ó ló?ó] ɲðyð] ní-mā =?
 Quot [[LogoSg Foc] intelligence] **equal(n)** **not.be.Loc** Neg
 ‘(Hare said:) ‘I [focus] am the smartest (of the animals).’ ’
 (Ji, 2017-01 @ 01:02)
- c. dè [[bó ɲðyð] ní-mā =?
 say.Base [[LogoSg **equal(n)**] **not.be.Loc** Neg]
 ‘said that there was no equal to her beauty.’ (F1, 2017-05 @ 03:58)

12.2 Symmetrical comparatives

12.2.1 ‘Equal; be as much as’ (dàⁿ)

The verb dèⁿ/dàⁿ/dàⁿ ‘arrive (at), reach’ occurs in comparatives in the sense ‘be/become equal to’ or ‘be/become as much as’ (910a). In positive clauses this produces a symmetrical comparative. Under negation the verb means ‘not be equal to, fall short of, be less than’ (910b), so the construction is asymmetrical.

- (910) a. zàkí dī?è-ní dèⁿ nó
 Z be.long-VblN **reach.Pfv** 1Sg
 ‘Zaki is (=has come to be) as tall as I (am).’ (F1 Ji)
- b. zàkí dī?è-ní á dàⁿ nó =?
 Z be.long-VblN PfvNeg **reach.Base** 1Sg =Neg
 ‘Zaki is not (=has not become) as tall as I (am).’ (F1 Ji)

dèⁿ/dàⁿ/dàⁿ can also be added to a main clause in the form of an infinitival imperfective VP k-ā dàⁿ.

- (911) [è ń jì] má jî= [Ø ló?ó]
 [Art person Indef] IpfvNeg know [Art intelligence]
 [k-ā dàⁿ bó]
 [**Infin-Ipfv** **arrive.Ipfv** LogoSg]
 ‘(thought:) “Nobody knows magic as much as I (do).” ’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 01:29)

12.2.2 ‘Match, be equal to’ (bēⁿ)

When two individuals are asserted to be equal on a scalar quality, either of two constructions involving invariant verb bēⁿ ‘match, be equal to’ may be used. By the way, this verb is distinct from invariant bēⁿ ‘(two or more) get along well’, which is also the final in lē-bēⁿ/ló-bēⁿ/ló-bēⁿ ‘(two or more) go around (a tree, an obstacle) and meet up (on the other side)’, as in (Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:13).

As with fó ‘(sur)pass’ and dàⁿ ‘arrive, attain’, comparative constructions with bēⁿ can be divided into those where this is the main verb and those in which it is part of an infinitival imperfective VP. In (912a-b), the domain of comparison is expressed as ‘length, height’ in the subject NP, and bēⁿ is the only verb. The à in à bēⁿ is therefore the Ipfv morpheme. In (912c-d), by contrast, -bēⁿ ... is Vb2 in an imperfective verb-verb compound.

- (912) a. [zàkí kà nó] [ó dì?è-ná=] à bēⁿ
 [Z with 1Sg] [1Pl be.long-VlbN] Ipfv **be.equal.Ipfv**
 ‘Zaki and me, our heights are equal.’ (< dì?è-ní) (F1 Ji)
- b. [[nó dì?è-ní] [kā [zàkì dó]]] ká à bēⁿ
 [[1Sg be.long.Base-VblN] [and [Z Poss.Inan]]] Past Ipfv **be.equal.Ipfv**
 ‘My height and Zaki’s used to be equal.’ (Ji)
- c. [zàkí ā dì?(è)-à-bēⁿ [(k)à nó]]
 [Z Ipfv be.long.Ipfv-Ipfv-**be.equal.Ipfv** [with 1Sg]]
 ‘Zaki is of the same height as me.’ (< kà nó) (F1 Ji)
- d. [ná= ā dì?(è)-à-bēⁿ [(k)à júò]]
 [1Sg Ipfv be.long.Ipfv-Ipfv-**be.equal.Ipfv** [with 3An]]
 ‘I am of the same height as him/her.’ (< kà júò) (Ji)

We have also recorded similar constructions with ò bēⁿ after future bè. The ò is optional, and its morphemic identity is mysterious. It could in theory be reduced from infinitival kō or hortative kò, but neither makes sense in (913a), and no fuller pronunciation with k is possible. The ò is unattested in other future clauses, and (913c) was rejected.

- (913) a. ó bē (ò) bēⁿ = āⁿ
 1Pl Fut (??) be.equal.Pfv Q
 ‘Will we be equal?’ (F1 Ji)
- b. ó bē (*ò) bà
 1Pl Fut (* ??) come.Pfv
 ‘We will come.’ (F1)

Negative counterparts mean e.g. ‘X and Y are not equally tall’, and are logically equivalent to asymmetrical comparatives with fò.

- (914) a. ó má bēⁿ = ?
 1Pl IpfvNeg be.equal.Pfv Neg
 ‘We won’t be equal.’ (F1 Ji)
- b. ó má bē ò bēⁿ = ?
 1Pl IpfvNeg Fut ?? be.equal Neg
 ‘We will not be equal.’ (F1 Ji)

12.2.3 ‘One’ dèⁿʔéⁿ = ‘equal’

A locative PP [ē dèⁿʔéⁿ] nī including the numeral ‘one’ (§4.6.1.1), by extension ‘same, identical’ or ‘equal’, is also common in symmetrical comparison.

- (915) [zàkí kà nó], ó bē klè= [[Ø dèⁿʔéⁿ] nī]
 [Z and 1Sg], 1Pl Fut be.done.Pfv [[Art **one**] Loc]
 ‘Zaki and I, we will be one (=equal).’ (F1 Ji)

In this construction, there is no pre-numeral particle n before dèⁿʔéⁿ, compare [ē yǒ] [n dèⁿʔéⁿ] ‘one woman’ with singular particle n.

13 Focalization and interrogation

13.1 Focalization

Focalization is the highlighting of a constituent to emphasize the identity of an individual, place, time, reason, or other element, in opposition to other logically possible alternatives in a specific grammatical function. In §13.1 we present focalization constructions in statements. In §13.2 we show that similar analyses also apply to questions, especially content (WH) questions.

13.1.1 Focus particles *tóʔó* ~ *tó*, *tá-ró*, *té*

Focus particles follow the focalized element (noun, pronoun, adverb), or just its head (pronoun, noun, noun plus modifiers). The forms are in (916). One might expect inanimate rhotic plural #*tá-ré* on the model of e.g. inanimate plural indefinite *jā-rē*, but it does not occur.

(916)	animate singular or all-purpose	<i>tóʔó</i>	variants <i>tó</i> , <i>tōʔó</i> , <i>nóʔó</i> , <i>róʔó</i> , <i>ró</i>
	animate plural	<i>tá-ró</i>	
	inanimate	<i>té</i>	variants <i>tê</i> , <i>ré</i>

Some of our speakers report an archaic pronunciation *túgú* for the ubiquitous modern *tóʔó*.

In its full pronunciation with clear glottalic peak, *tóʔó* undergoes the usual tonal adjustments for Fl (*tōʔó*) and Ma (*tòʔó*). However, in natural speech *tóʔó* can be reduced to *tó*. Transcriptions of rapid speech by Fl and Ma speakers are therefore approximate.

The o/e alternation in (916) is suggestive of an original noun-class (e.g. animacy) distinction, see §4.1.3 for background. Synchronically however, if *té* were directly formed from *tóʔó* by vowel-mutation, glottalized **téʔé* would be expected. We have never observed glottalization in any variant of *té*.

tóʔó is animate singular, strictly speaking, but it can generalize to plurals and to inanimates. A reduced form *tó* occurs in *bè-kà-tó* ‘that’s why/how ...’ (§8.5.5.2.1). A marked animate plural is *tá-ró*, showing the same morphophonemics as the productive rhotic plural of nouns and adjectives.

té can spread to other contexts in the sense ‘exactly, precisely’. It occurs in this sense in *fàⁿʔàⁿ té* ‘right here’. In (917) it has this function following a human pronoun.

(917)	<i>dē</i>	[<i>bùò</i> <i>té</i>]	= <i>ō</i>	[(<i>Ø</i>) <i>kùⁿ-yùò</i>]
	Quot	[3Pl precisely]	be	[Art know.Pfv-people]
		‘(said:) precisely <u>they</u> [focus] are the ones who know (=experts).’		
		(Bi, 2017-09 @ 07:45)		

The form *té* occurs phrase-medially. It is heard as [tê:] prepausally (e.g. clause-finally) especially in the predicative sense ‘(it) is like that’. In some cases this is segmentable as *té = è* with a variant of the ‘it is’ enclitic, elsewhere = (y)à. This segmentation is supported by pairing with corresponding negative predicate *má glò = ?*, as in *bì-kà té = è* ‘it’s like that’ versus *bè má glò = ?* ‘it isn’t (like) that’ (§8.5.5.2). We have also recorded *té = yà* as a variant pronunciation. *té = è* with ‘it is’ is paralleled by *té = ē* with interrogative enclitic (Ma, 2017-01 @ 01:07), which appears to function as the interrogative version of *tó* in *klè kà-tó* ‘happened thus’.

Not all cases of [tê:] can plausibly be analysed as containing the ‘it is’ enclitic. Some speakers, including our Ji speaker, appear to use *tê→* as a prepausal variant of *té*. For our Bi speaker, clause-final (or phrase-final) = *rê→* is an emphatic that does not necessarily focalize the preceding word (which may even be a verb), see §19.4.4.

13.1.2 Basic morphosyntax of focalization

13.1.2.1 Full independent pronouns obligatory under focus

When a pronoun is focalized, it must take its full independent form. The 1st/2nd person combinations are in (918), disregarding minor and predictable dialectal variants. Reduced proclitics (1Sg *ń*, 2Sg *ń*) and the short 1Pl forms (*é*, *ó*) are ungrammatical before focus morphemes.

(918) category	focused	textual example
1Sg	<i>nó tó?ó</i>	(Ji, 2017-01 @ 03:23)
2Sg	<i>mó tó?ó</i>	(Ji, 2017-01 @ 04:19)
1Pl	<i>é-yùò tó-ró</i>	(Ji, 2017-04 @ 00:02)
2Pl	<i>bùò tó-ró</i>	(Ma, 2017-10 @ 06:45)

Bi dialect has 1Sg *nóⁿ tó?ó* and 2Sg *móⁿ tó?ó*, which optionally fully nasalize to *nóⁿ nó?ó* and *móⁿ nó?ó*.

Focalized animate third person pronominals take the b-initial nonclitic forms, whether or not they are logophoric (i.e. coindexed with the author of a quotation). Third person proclitics are ungrammatical (symbol #) under focalization (3AnSg #*òⁿ tó?ó*, 3Pl #*ò tó?ó* or #*ò tó-ró*). For inanimates, discourse-definite *bè* ‘that (same)’ is required.

(919) category	focused	textual example
a. 3AnSg or LogoSg	<i>bó tó?ó</i>	(Ma, 2018-01 @ 02:12), nonlogophoric (F1, 2017-03 @ 00:41), logophoric (Bi, 2017-09 @ 01:01), nonlogophoric
	"	(women, 2017-13 @ 02:24), logophoric
b. 3Pl or LogoPl	<i>bùò tó-ró</i>	(Ji, 2017-04 @ 06:13), nonlogophoric
	"	(Ji, 2017-04 @ 04:44), logophoric

- c. inanimate bè tóʔó more than fifty textual examples
 bè té only in: [bè té] já ‘that’s why ...’ (§8.1.3)

13.1.2.2 Focus morpheme precedes numerals and demonstratives

In NPs containing both a noun/pronoun as head and a numeral, the focus marker can attach to the pre-numeral string (pronoun, noun, noun plus adjective). Recall that numerals ‘1’ to ‘9’ are preceded by classifiers.

- (920) a. [é-yùdò tóʔó [nūō jōⁿ]] klē-bà
 [1Pl **Foc-AnPl** [people **two**]] return.Pfv-come.Base
 ‘It’s us [focus] two who have come back.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 00:02)
- b. [bó tóʔó [n dèⁿʔéⁿ]] = à
 [3AnSg **Foc** [Sg **one**]] it.is
 ‘It is (=was) the same one.’ (Ji/Bi, 2017-09 @ 01:06)

In NPs containing both a noun as head and a demonstrative, the focus marker is attached to the pre-demonstrative string, to judge by the only relevant textual example (921).

- (921) [è [blí-ké]-yò tóʔó kǎⁿ] yīʔē
 [Art [hare]-woman **Foc** **Dem.AnSg**] go.Pfv
 [ō rà-pārē]
 [Infin go.Base-dress.up.Base]
 ‘That hare woman [focus] went and dressed up.’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 03:32)

However, a demonstrative without a nominal head is followed by the focus marker, as in kàⁿ nóʔó (< /kǎⁿ tóʔó/) in (Bi, 2017-07 @ 03:30).

In NPs containing both a noun or pronoun as head and the universal quantifier bíé(?), the focus marker again follows the noun or pronoun.

- (922) móⁿ nàⁿ sò [bì tóʔó bíé] [kò yíʔí]
 2Sg Fut carry.on.head.Base [Dem.Def **Foc** **all**] [Infin go.Base]
 ‘You will carry all that [focus] on your head and go?’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 07:54)

However, a postnominal modifying adjective cannot be separated from the modified noun. In (923), the focus marker follows the adjective.

- (923) [ē sò bí-bī tōʔó] bà
 [Art horse **small** **Foc**] come.Pfv
 ‘It was the small horse [focus] that came.’ (F1)

13.1.2.3 Focalized constituent remains *in situ*

Since subjects are already clause-initial, the question whether focalized subjects are moved to clause-initial (or preclausal) position is moot. The issue is consequential for otherwise noninitial constituents such as objects. With exceptions involving clefts (see the following section), the general pattern is that the focalized constituent remains in its regular linear position. This is observed in (924), where the focalized constituent follows the verb ‘say’.

- (924) [kō tārāⁿ] [kō dō [bè tòʔó=] [[Ø dəràʔá] nī]]
 [Infin sit.Base] [Infin say.Base [Dem **Foc**] [[Art courtyard] Loc]]
 ‘(Then he) sat and said that [focus] in a courtyard!’ (Ma, 2017-03 @ 00:32)

Further examples can be found in the sections below about nonsubject focus.

13.1.2.4 Focalization expressed by cleft constructions

In the simple ‘it is’ construction, the theme is often focalized (925a-b).

- (925) a. *donc* [bè tòʔó=] =à
 so [Dem.Def **Foc**] **it.is**
 ‘So that’s it.’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 04:09)
- b. [à tīē-tòʔò] té] =à
 [3Inan be.put.down.Pfv-place **Foc.Inan**] **it.is**
 ‘It (=that) is its place of being put down [focus].’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 04:45)
 (formula at end of a tale)

In a few textual examples, an ‘it is’ phrase with focalized discourse-definite *bè* looks somewhat like an English cleft construction (*that’s why...*). In (926) the fronted constituent (a manner adverbial) is resumed in the clause proper by *kà-tó*.

- (926) wálà→, [[bè tòʔó=] =à] [ā bè klè kà-tó]
 voilà, [[Dem.Def **Foc**] **it.is**] [3Inan Fut be.done.Pfv like.that]
 ‘Right. That (way) [focus] is how it will be done.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 09:19)

Clefting of non-subject constituents such as objects is marginal, though examples can be elicited.

13.1.2.5 Focalization of resumptive demonstrative

Discourse-definite inanimate demonstrative *bè* resumes referents from preceding discourse. The relevance of this to focalization is that a referent or situation may be presented in a main clause or conditional antecedent, then resumed as focus in a second clause.

- (927) [[ē fùs] pépàrè-pépàrè-kò]
 [[Art fish] Rdp-flat-Ppl.AnPl]
 í-yùò rè dí [bè tó?ó]
 1Pl IpfvPast eat.Ipfv [Dem.Def **Foc**]
 ‘(little) flat fish, that [focus] is what we used to eat.’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 03:41)

The frequency of this construction means that there are relatively few textual examples of focus markers being added directly to nonpronominal NPs.

13.1.2.6 Focalization disfavored by negation

Negative statements (as opposed to negative questions) are unfavorable to constituent focalization. For example, in (928) the positive identificational ‘it is’ clause focalizes the theme, while the following negative identificational ‘it is not’ clause does not.

- (928) [ē jǎ-rō] dè—, [[bó tó?ó] =yà]
 [Art Indef-AnPl] Quot—, [[**3AnSg Foc**] it.is]
 [ē jǎ-rō] dè [bó máⁿ glò =?]
 [Art Indef-AnPl] Quot [**3AnSg IpfvNeg** it.is Neg]
 ‘Some people said, “it is him (= the same elephant) [focus]!” Some (others) said “it isn’t him!”’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 01:01)

There is one textual example of a focalized theme (“subject”) in a negative equational (copular) clause. It is in a polar interrogative of a semi-rhetorical type (i.e. the questioner believes the identification is true). Therefore the context is not truly negative.

- (929) [è jíó-wù?ù té] má kò yá =ā
 [Art magician-house Foc.Inan] **IpfvNeg be** Dem.InanSg Q
 ‘Isn’t that the magician’s house [focus]?’ (Fl, 2017-05 @ 03:50)

There is a single textual example of a focalized subject of a noninterrogative negative clause (930a). The semantic context is not entirely clear. The elicited example (930b) is clear enough: the negation scopes over the focalization semantically.

- (930) a. dè [mó tó? =] á k⁵ⁿ =?
 Quot [2Sg **Foc**] **PfvNeg** know.Base Neg
 ‘You [focus] don’t know it.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 10:19)
- b. [nó tó? =] á gò mó
 [1Sg **Foc**] **PfvNeg** hit.Base 2Sg
 ‘It wasn’t I [focus] who hit you-Sg.’ (Ji)

13.1.2.7 Focalization of infinitival subjects

VPs that begin with infinitival *kō* can either function as subjectless VPs or they can be furnished with a preceding subject NP. Such infinitival clauses and VPs often describe sequenced events that are semantically independent (§15.2). Such sequences are distinct from infinitival VPs and clauses that are subordinated to a matrix verb (§17.4).

In (931) we see that the subject of a *kō* clause can be focalized when the clause is semantically independent.

- (931) [bó tòʔó] *kō* klè, [[è wúⁿ bíé] wúⁿ-dìⁿ]
 [3AnSg **Foc** **Inf** be.done.Base, [[Art village all] chief]
 ‘He [focus] has become the chief of the entire village (cluster).’
 (Ma, 2018-01 @ 02:12, hesitation omitted)

We have no example of a nonsubject constituent in an infinitival VP being focalized.

13.1.2.8 Focalization in conditional antecedents

Conditional antecedent (‘if’) clauses with *bà* readily combine with constituent focalization. For example, in text 2017-20 the discussion is about childbirth practices, which depend on the sex of the newborn. Focalization here is expressed by adding *glò* (§13.1.3.5 below).

- (932) a. [ē dǒ] *bā* =à *glò*
 [Art man] if it.is it.is
 ‘if it’s a boy [focus], ...’ (women, 2017-20 @ 00:20)
- b. [ē yǒ] *bā* =à *glò*
 [Art woman] if it.is it.is
 ‘if it’s a girl [focus], ...’ (women, 2017-20 @ 00:23)

13.1.2.9 Focalization in imperative clauses

The functional equivalent of focalizing the subject/addressee of an imperative, typically in contrastive contexts such as double imperatives with different addressees/subjects, is to phrase the sequence as paired hortatives with two 2Sg subject pronouns (933a), or one 2Sg and one demonstrative (933b). The difference is that in (933a) the speaker shifts overtly to a different addressee, whereas in (933b) the speaker keep addressing the same individual, at least superficially. Focalizing morphemes are not present.

- (933) a. mó kò pēⁿ, mó kò yíʔí
 2Sg Hort stay.Base, 2Sg Hort go.Base
 ‘you_x stay, and you_y go!’ (Ji)

- b. mó kò pēⁿ, kǎⁿ kò yííí
2Sg Hort stay.Base, Dem.AnSg Hort go.Base
 ‘you stay, and that one go(es)!’ (Ji)

It is possible to focalize a nonsubject NP in an imperative. In (934a), *tê→* is best parsed as inanimate focalizer (prepausal form) rather than as a clause-final emphatic, the latter being pronounced =*rê→* by most speakers (§19.4.4)

- (934) a. bà [kà [Ø tè *tê→*]
 come.Base [with [Art tea **Foc.Inan]**
 ‘Bring tea [focus]!’ (Ji)

- b. bà [kà [zàkì tó?ó]]
 come.Base [with [Z **Foc]**
 ‘Bring Zaki [focus]!’

13.1.3 Examples of focalization by grammatical function

In the following sections we present examples of focalization of subjects, objects, PPs, possessors, and themes in copular and identificational predicates. Most examples were elicited. See also the sections on various content (WH) interrogatives in the second half of this chapter (§13.2).

13.1.3.1 Subject focalization

In ordinary main clauses, the subject is in initial position. It is focalized by adding a focus particle such as *tó?ó* (unmarked or AnSg), plural *tó-ró*, or inanimate *té*. Subjects with *tó?ó* are illustrated in (935).

- (935) a. [nó *tó?ó*] nà mē [wù? = = á]
 [1Sg **Foc**] Fut build.Base [house Dem]
 ‘It’s I [focus] who will build this house.’ (Ji)
- b. [nó *tó?ó*] bà kǎ = [Ø nù fú]
 [1Sg **Foc**] come.Pfv with [Art water hot]
 ‘It was I [focus] who brought the tea.’ (Ji)
 (note: “hot water” = ‘tea’ here)
- c. [mó *tō?ó*] nà yííí
 [2Sg **Foc**] Fut go.Base
 ‘It’s you-Sg [focus] who will go.’ (F1)

- d. [[bè tóʔó] fiē] ʃɪná] nīⁿ
 [[[Dem.Def **Foc**] pass.Pfv] situation] Loc
 ‘once that was over’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 05:08)
- e. [*Jean-Pierre* tóʔó] klē-bà
 [JP **Foc**] return.Pfv-come.Base
 ‘Jean-Pierre [focus] has come back.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 00:02)
- f. [nó tóʔó] à-mā
 [1Sg **Foc**] be.Loc
 ‘I [focus] am here.’ (Ji)

Animate plural tó-ró and inanimate té are illustrated in (936).

- (936) a. [bùò tó-ró] nà yīʔí
 [2Pl **Foc.AnPl**] Fut go.Base
 ‘It’s you-Pl [focus] who will go.’ (F1)
- b. [ē ʃɪⁿʔèⁿ-èʔè té] nà yīʔí
 [Art run.Pfv-Ppl.Inan **Foc.Inan**] Fut go.Base
 ‘It’s the vehicle [focus] that will go.’ (F1)

For (infrequent) focalization of subjects of infinitival VPs, see §13.1.2.7 above.

13.1.3.2 Object focalization

Animate singular focus particle tóʔó occurs in (937). In elicited sentences, the focalized object may remain in its normal position (937a), or it may appear in a fronted cleft construction with =à ‘it is’ (937b). The clefting may be artificial, influenced by French translation cues. In texts, when a heavy NP is fronted it functions as topic, and is resumed later by a pronoun or demonstrative (937c).

- (937) a. ná= à fā [mó tóʔó]
 1Sg Ipv seek.Base [2Sg **Foc**]
 ‘It’s you-Sg [focus] that I’m looking for.’ (Ji)
- b. [[mó tóʔó=] =à] ná= à fā
 [[2Sg **Foc**] **it.is**] 1Sg Ipv seek.Base
 [=a] (Ji)

- c. [è ná-bí nérámá] mā dè jèrɔ̃ⁿ,
 [Art person very.good] if say.Base Rel,
 [è jírɪⁿ] à ʃɪⁿ [bì tóʔó]
 [Art djinn] Ipfv work(v).Ipfv [Dem.Def **Foc**]
 ‘Whatever a human said (to do), that [focus] is what the djinn would perform.’
 (Ji, 2017-04 @ 00:49)

Animate plural *tá-ró* shows the same alternative constructions in elicitation (938a-b).

- (938) a. ná= à fā [bùò tá-ró]
 1Sg Ipfv seek.Ipfv [2Sg **Foc.AnPl**]
 ‘It’s you-Pl [focus] that I’m looking for.’ (Ji)
- b. [[bùò tá-ró] =yà] ná= à fā
 [[2Pl **Foc.AnPl** it.is] 1Sg Ipfv seek.Ipfv
 [=a] (Ji)

Inanimate focus marker *té* marks the object in (939).

- (939) a. [yá té =è] ná= à fā (Ji)
 " " =yà] " " " (Fl)
 [Dem.InanSg **Foc.Inan it.is**] 1Sg Ipfv seek.Ipfv
 ‘That [focus] is what I am looking for.’
- b. [ē ʃɪⁿʔèⁿ-èʔè té =è] ná= à fā
 [Art vehicle **Foc.Inan it.is**] 1Sg Ipfv seek.Ipfv
 ‘It’s the car [focus] that I am looking for.’ (Ji)
 (variant ...té =yà...)
- c. ná= à fā [ʃɪⁿʔèⁿ-èʔè té]
 1Sg Ipfv seek.Ipfv [vehicle **Foc.Inan**]
 [=b] (Ji)
- d. [érè té =è] ná= à fā
 [Dem.InanPl **Foc.Inan it.is**] 1Sg Ipfv seek.Ipfv
 ‘Those (inanimate) [focus] are what I’m looking for.’ (Ji)
- e. ná= à fā [bè tóʔó]
 1Sg Ipfv seek.Ipfv [Dem.Def **Foc**]
 ‘That [focus] is what I am looking for.’ (Fl)

13.1.3.3 Focalization of PP or other adverb

Semantically it requires very special contexts to distinguish full PP focalization (‘it was next to the house] rather than [inside the granary] that ...’) from focalization of just the NP

complement of the adposition ('it was next to [the house] rather than [next to] [the granary] that ...').

When the adposition is a preposition (instrumental-comitative, ditransitive dative) and is not fronted, no overt distinction between PP and complement focalization is possible, since in either case the focus marker follows the complement. Therefore in (940a) it is indeterminate whether *té* has narrow scope over *yá* or broader scope over the PP *kà yá*. Alternatively, the PP as a whole can be fronted and clefted (940b).

- (940) a. ná= à bé [kà yá tē→]
 1Sg Ipfv cultivate.Ipfv [with Dem.InanSg **Foc.Inan**]
 'I cultivate with that [focus].' (Ji)
- b. [kà yá tē]
 [**with** Dem.InanSg **Foc.Inan**]
 ná= à bé [(k)à lō]
 1Sg Ipfv cultivate.Ipfv [with 3Inan]
 'It's with that [focus] that I cultivate.' (Ji)

When the adposition is a postposition, the focus marker can directly follow the NP complement (941a-b).

- (941) a. [[ē wùʔú tē→] tōⁿ é-yùò nà dí
 [[Art house **Foc.Inan** **under**] 1Pl Fut eat.Base
 'It's in the house [focus] that we will eat.' (Ji)
- b. ò kō [[∅ kī-sùⁿʔòⁿ tē] ò] dóróⁿ
 3Pl be [[Art work(n) **Foc.Inan** **Loc**] only
 'They were at work [focus] only!' (Ji, 2017-04 @ 03:30)

Alternatively, the focus marker can follow the postposition (942), with little or no change in meaning. These elicited examples show that the scope distinction can be made.

- (942) a. [[ē wùʔú] tōⁿ tē =è] é-yùò nà dí
 [[Art house] **under Foc.Inan it.is**] 1Pl Fut eat.Base
 'It's in the house [focus] that we will eat.' (Ji)
- b. [[[ē wùʔú] līⁿ] nì tē =è] ó nà dí
 [[[Art house] inside] **Loc Foc.Inan it.is**] 1Pl Fut eat.Base
 'It's inside the house [focus] that we will eat.' (F1)

Example (943) illustrates an alternative construction involving the focalized complement of *kà* 'with'. The preposition is absent in the initial focalized NP, which seems to also be topicalized. The preposition appears after the main verb with a resumptive third animate pronoun (943a) or 3Pl demonstrative (943b).

- (943) a. [bó tóʔó] ná= à yíʔí [kà júò]
 [3AnSg **Foc**] 1Sg Ipfv go.Ipfv [**with** 3An]
 ‘It’s he/she [focus] that I am going with.’ (Ji)
- b. [bùò t́-ró] ná= à yíʔí [kà k̄-yùò]
 [3Pl **Foc-AnPl**] 1Sg Ipfv go.Ipfv [with Dem.AnPl]
 ‘It’s them [focus] that I am going with.’ (Ji)

Simple spatiotemporal adverbs like (è) kúʔúʔn ‘today’ are nouns morphosyntactically and can be focalized like other NPs. Temporal adverbs can occur clause-initially to establish a temporal setting (944a), while spatial adverbs are normally postverbal (944b), but either can combine with a focalizing particle.

- (944) a. [ē còʔn té =è] ó nà yíʔí
 [Art tomorrow **Foc.Inan** it.is] 1Pl Fut go.Base
 ‘It’s tomorrow [focus] that we’ll go.’ (Fl Ji)
- b. ó nà dí [fàʔàʔn t̄ê→]
 1Pl Fut eat.Base [here **Foc.Inan**]
 ‘We’ll eat here [focus].’ (Ji)

13.1.3.4 Focalization of possessor

In (945), the possessor of a NP is focalized.

- (945) a. [[mó tóʔó] b̄ʔʔʔn] jùʔn nó
 [[2Sg **Foc**] dog] bite.Pfv 1Sg
 ‘It was your [focus] dog that bit me.’ (Ji)
- b. [[bó tóʔó] náʔ-bí dá=] =à glò
 [[3AnSg **Foc**] child however] it.is it.is
 ‘And yet it was her own child [focus].’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 00:30)
- c. [[bè t̄óʔó=] j̄ʔʔ] ní-mā =ʔ
 [[Dem.Def **Foc**] equal(n)] not.be.Loc Neg
That [focus] (i.e. getting married early) has no match (=it’s the best practice).’
 (Fl, 2017-05 @ 04:37)

This is distinct from focalization of the entire possessed NP including the possessum (946).

- (946) [[mó b̄ʔʔʔn tóʔó] jùʔn nó
 [[2Sg dog **Foc**] bite.Pfv 1Sg]
 ‘It was your dog [focus] that bit me.’ (Ji)

13.1.3.5 Focalization of theme in ‘it is’ construction (=à glò)

The ‘it is X’ construction has the form X = (y)à or variant in the absence of focalization (§11.2.1.1). If X is focalized, as in ‘X is what it is’, the focalized constituent is followed by a focus marker such as tóʔó (animate) or by dó ~ dé ‘however, contrary to expectation’. The focus construction usually ends with glò after the enclitic =à. The morpheme glò is also obligatorily present in conditional antecedent X bā =à glò ‘if it’s X’ (§11.2.1.1) and in negative X má⁽ⁿ⁾ glò=? ‘it is not X’ (§11.2.1.2). An infinitival (k)ō glò without =à is also attested after a past marker (947d).

- (947) a. [ē sēⁿ-wùʔù té] =à glò
 [Art lie.down.Pfv-house **Foc.Inan** **it.is** **it.is**
 ‘A sleeping house [focus] is what it is.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 05:23)
- b. [ɔ̃ⁿ dō tóʔó dá=] =à glò
 [3AnSg man Foc however] **it.is** **it.is**
 ‘Although (in fact) her husband [focus] was what he was.’
 (women, 2017-12 @ 02:21)

Past time versions are in (948).

- (948) a. [bè tóʔó] rā wò glò
 [Dem.Def Foc] **Past** **it.is** **it.is**
 ‘That [focus] is what it was.’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 05:03)
- b. [bè tóʔó] tá à glò
 [Dem.Def Foc] **Past** **it.is** **it.is**
 ‘That [focus] is what it was.’ (Ji)

13.1.4 No focalization of verb or VP

There is no VP-focalization construction. The question (949a) effectively asks for a VP, having specified the subject. However, a response to this question like (949b) shows no overt focalization of the VP.

- (949) a. má= ā klē= [Ø kè]
 2Sg Ipfv do.Ipfv [Art what?]
 ‘What are you doing?’ (F1)
- b. ná= ā ʃɪⁿʔɪⁿ
 1Sg Ipfv run.Ipfv
 ‘I am running.’ (F1)

We have likewise found no morphosyntactic construction for focusing specifically on a verb, as in ‘I didn’t sell a sheep, (rather) I bought a sheep.’

The closest thing to clause-level focalization is the use of emphatic particles at the end of clauses, especially = dē? (§19.4.1).

13.2 Interrogatives

13.2.1 Clause-final interrogative enclitics and particles

Other than content interrogative words ('who?', 'where?', etc.), the interrogative markers are clause-final (950).

(950) a. clause-final enclitic

= ā

b. clause-final particle

tē

= ā and tē can occur in both polar (yes-no) and content (WH) questions, with some restrictions. In polar questions, they are the only interrogative elements.

General comments about = ā and tē are given immediately below (§13.2.1.1-2). Polar interrogatives with them are presented in §13.2.2.1-3. A few additional examples of = ā and tē occur scattered through the sections on content interrogatives in §13.2.3.

13.2.1.1 Clause-final interrogative enclitic = ā

The common interrogative marker in everyday conversation is a vocalic extension whose basic form is an enclitic = ā. Its combination with a preceding vowel is subject to optional vv-Contraction by which a preceding nonlow vowel quality {i e ε o u} can be extended into the enclitic. The enclitic is also nasalized after a nasal syllable.

The enclitic = ā is pronounced at a lower-mid pitch level that can be held (prolonged) briefly. The pitch is lower than modal M and higher than L, so there is always some pitch shift up or down when = ā is added. For example, it combines with mā 'there' as mā = ā, with a small downward pitch shift at the boundary. We do not represent these pitch nuances in our regular transcription, but one could do so with a downstep notation: mā = 'ā.

= ā added to a statement turns it into a polar question (§13.2.2.1). = ā can also be added redundantly to some content interrogatives.

13.2.1.2 Clause-final interrogative particle tē

tē can occur redundantly at the end of content interrogative clauses ('who?', 'where?', etc.). Less often, it can convert a statement into a polar question (§13.2.2.2). Its form and usage may be influenced by *Jula ò té yà*.

A review of textual examples shows that tē occurs almost exclusively in quoted questions, especially in tales with human-like protagonists who interact with others. For example, approximately twenty examples of tē questions occur in the long tale in text

2017-08, all in the form of quoted questions. By contrast, in the conversational text 2017-09, of nearly the same duration and involving the same two speakers, there is not a single example of *tē*.

In the whole textual corpus, only three occurrences of *tē* are in questions directed by the current speaker to the current addressee, as opposed to quotations. On closer inspection, all of these apparent outliers have features in common with quoted interrogatives. The first asks the addressee to put himself in the shoes of a protagonist in the tale. It is almost as if the narrator had entered into the narrative and was speaking directly to the protagonist.

- (951) [[móⁿ nīⁿ] nè fì móⁿ] [gò wé móⁿ]
 [[2Sg mother] IpfvPast give.birthIpfv 2Sg] [Infin abandon.Base 2Sg]
 [mó nà yíí [sóⁿ bàʔà] tē
 [2Sg Fut go.Base [who? chez] Q
 ‘If your mother had given birth to you and then abandoned you, whose place would you go to?’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 00:38)

The second is a self-quotation, complete with quotative particle *dè*.

- (952) [nó bí-ní] à-mā, mó bàʔà,
 [1Sg ask-VbIN] be.Loc, 2Sg Dat,
dè est-ce que [Ø dùʔù-tè-rè yá]
 Quot Q [Art cliff-hole-Pl Dem.InanSg]
 [á kòʔó] ò [Ø kè] [ó bàʔà] tē
 [Inan good] be [Art what?] [1Pl Dat] Q
 ‘My question is (this), to you, those grottos, what good are they for us?’
 (Fl, 2017-11 @ 00:26)

In the third example (‘If they slaughtered (the chickens) on the fetish, why is that?’), see (982d) below, the reason for using *tē* is that ‘why?’ elicits the justification expressed by long-dead elders, not the addressee’s interpretation.

So *tē* is basically a quotative interrogative particle. It does not, however, invariably replace the enclitic =*ā* in quotations. In the quoted passage (953), first =*ā* and then *tē* occur in a two-part, disjunctive polar question. The two parts are also separated by *tàⁿ* ‘or’ (§7.2.2).

- (953) *dè bon,* [è ná-klùⁿ?ùⁿ bó] mlōⁿ
 Quot well, [Art cheek Top] swell.up.Pfv
 íj sóʔó-lè =n [Ø gbē] =ā tàⁿ
 1Sg jab.Base-rip.Base 3InanObj [Art outside] Q or
 [íj sóʔó =n [[Ø nīⁿ] ñ] tē
 [1Sg jab.Base 3InanObj [[Art interior] Loc] Q
 ‘(Hare) said, “well, the cheek [topic] is swollen. Should I jab (=pierce) it from the outside, or should I jab it from the inside?”’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 05:11)

Likewise, the quoted passage (954) has two closely juxtaposed questions, the first with =*ā* and the second with *tē*. Here =*ā* (in the assimilated vocalic form =*ō*) is prolonged somewhat

to lead into the second clause with no prosodic break. *tē* by contrast is limited to the end of prosodic units.

- (954) *é! dè sòⁿ-mó nà sū?ṵ bè [ḵⁿ bó] = ṵ→,*
 oh! Quot **who?** Fut give.Base Dem.Def [Dat LogoSg] **Q**,
nóⁿ nàⁿ klè [áⁿ bè] [gā= à-bú bè] tē
 1Sg Fut do.Base [**how?** Top.Inan] [Infin come.Base-get.Base Dem.Def] **Q**
 ‘(Hare:) “Oh, who will give that to me? What will (=must) I do to (come and) get that?”’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 01:38)

13.2.2 Polar (yes/no) interrogatives

Most polar interrogatives consist of a single question that can be answered yes or no. However, such questions always imply a disjunction of two questions, one overtly or covertly the negation of the other. See the final example in the preceding section for an overt disjunction.

In copular sentences (‘X is Y’), a content interrogative (‘who?’, ‘what?’, ‘which X?’) may occur in second position, after *kō* (or variant) ‘be’. For example, (955) is phrased as ‘you are who?’ rather than English-style as ‘who are you?’

- (955) *mó wō [sòⁿ-wí bó] tē*
 2Sg be [**who?-owner Top**] **Q**
 ‘(said:) “who exactly are you-Sg?”’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 07:36)

13.2.2.1 Polar interrogatives with clause-final =*ā*

The usual way to make polar questions in conversation is to add =*ā* to a clause in statement form, except that the optional clause-final negative =*?* is omitted. For the distinction between =*ā* and *tē* see §13.2.1 above. Some elicited examples are in (956).

- (956) a. *mó nà bà= [Ø cṵⁿ] = āⁿ*
 2Sg Fut come.Base [Art tomorrow] **Q**
 ‘Will you-Sg come tomorrow?’ (Ji)
- b. *mó nà yí(ŋí) kúⁿ?á= āⁿ,*
 2Sg Fut go.Base today **Q**,
tà mó nà pēⁿ = ēⁿ
 or 2Sg Fut remain.Base **Q**
 ‘Will you-Sg leave today, or will you-Sg stay?’ (< *kúⁿ?úⁿ*) (Ji)
 (variant pronunciation *pēⁿ = āⁿ*)
- c. *mó nà bà / glú = ā*
 2Sg Fut come.Base/exit(v).Base **Q**
 ‘Will you-Sg come/go out?’ (Ji)

- d. mó diè-só =ā
 2Sg fall.Pfv **Q**
 ‘Did you-Sg fall? (F1 Ji)
- e. mó dī= =ā→
 2Sg eat.Pfv **Q**
 ‘Have you-Sg eaten?’ (< dīē) (Ji)

A single word or constituent may also be interrogated without the rest of the relevant clause: *nó* = *ō* ‘me?’ or more often focalized [*nó tó?ó*] = *ō* ‘me [focus]?’ (both Ji).

Interrogative =ā is pronounced with a steady pitch level between modal clause-internal M and modal L. This pitch level distinguishes interrogative =ā from the L-toned identificational ‘it is’ enclitic =à (§11.2.1.1) which has lower pitch. The intermediate M/L pitch level of interrogative =ā is shared by the ‘whether’ particle =*ō* (and variants) that occurs after both clauses in willy-nilly conditional antecedents (§16.3).

Interrogative =ā can follow the ‘it is’ enclitic =(y)à. The combination =(y)à =ā shows a pitch rise at the end. The theme of ‘it is’ is often but not always focalized (957b). The interrogative enclitic can also be added to the past-time ‘it is’ construction (957c).

- (957) a. *nó* =yà =ā
 1Sg **it.is** **Q**
 ‘Is it me?’ or ‘It’s me?’ (Ji)
- b. [*nó tó?ó*] =yà =ā
 [1Sg **Foc**] **it.is** **Q**
 ‘Is it me [focus]?’ (Ji)
- c. [*nó tó?o*] tá à glò =ā
 [1Sg **Foc**] **Past** **it.is** **it.is** **Q**
 ‘Was it me [focus]?’ (Ji)

Interrogative enclitic =ā is especially common in light or pro forma questions, where a specific answer is elicited (and sometimes omitted by the interlocutor). For example, the enclitic occurs in routine ‘how are you?’ greetings (958).

- (958) [è bí-sīō] kò é-glé =ē→
 [Art child.PI] be Rdp-in.good.health **Q**
 ‘Are the children in good health?’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 00:11)

The enclitic occurs in simple confirmation requests from listeners during narrative performances. Questions like (959) sometimes function as routine backchannel support to the narrator. In other textual passages the backchannel takes declarative rather than question form. It can be difficult to determine in a given case whether the interrogative enclitic is present.

- (959) à kō klè kà-té = ē
 3Inan Infin be.done thus-Foc.Inan Q
 ‘Did it happen thus?’ (Ma, 2017-01 @ 01:07), cf. (Ji, 2017-04 @ 01:47)

In backchannel or confirming function, the narrator’s full clause may be truncated by the listener (960).

- (960) narrator: kō dō [bè tōʔó =] [[Ø nā-dè dígòʔò] bàʔà]
 Infin say.Base [Dem.Def Foc] [[Art old.man other] Dat]
 listener: [è ná-dè dígòʔò] bàʔ = = ā
 [Art old.man other] Dat Q
 narrator: ‘(And he) said that [focus] to another (=a different) old man.’
 listener: ‘To another old man?’ (Fl and Ma, 2017-03 @ 00:34 and 00:35)

The interrogative enclitic is not limited to polar (yes-no) questions. It also occurs at the end of questions containing certain content interrogative (WH) words. It is especially common with ‘where?’ (§13.2.3.3), ‘how’ and ‘how many?’ (§13.2.3.5.1-2), and ‘which?’ (§13.2.3.6.1). Since ‘which?’ interrogatives are homophonous with relative markers, the presence of the final interrogative enclitic has disambiguating function.

13.2.2.2 Clause-final quotative interrogative particle tē

In texts, this particle is effectively limited to quoted questions, especially in narratives, as explained in §13.2.1.2 above. In addition, most of the textual examples are of content interrogatives. However, there are also a few polar interrogatives. Two of them, (961) and (962), are polite requests in negative interrogative (‘won’t you...?’) form.

- (961) ò kò fé = ò, d = ðⁿ má sābārī
 3Pl Infin greet.Base 3AnSgObj, say.Pfv 3AnSg IpfvNeg forgive.Base
 [kō kùʔò = [Ø sèròʔò-dòʔòⁿ jī]
 [Infin strip.Base [Art baobab-sticky.sauce Indef]
 [kō sūʔ = [ðⁿ bùò] tē]
 [Infin give.Base [Dat LogoPl] Q]
 ‘They greeted him and asked “please won’t you-Sg forgive (us) and strip off some (leaves for) sticky baobab sauce and give (it) to us?” ’
 (Fl, 2017-05 @ 01:17)

- (962) é! d = ò máⁿ sūʔò [Ø jī] [ðⁿ bó]
 oh! Quot 3Pl IpfvNeg give.Base [Art something] [Dat LogoSg]
 [wò kóⁿ] tē
 [Infin chew.Base] Q
 ‘(said:) “Won’t you-Pl give me some (of the sorghum) to munch on?” ’
 (Bi, 2017-07 @ 05:54)

Another set of examples, all of the same form with one plant-part term changed, are invitations to the protagonist (hare) by a rather intelligent baobab tree to taste the baobab's edible parts one by one. After hare has finished with the leaves, it's time for the next offering (963).

(963) é! d= àⁿ pìè-ᵐóⁿ [bó bíó bè] tē
 oh! Quot 3AnSg taste.Pfv [LogoSg fruits Top.Inan] Q
 '(said:) "Have you-Sg tasted my fruits?"' (Bi, 2017-08 @ 01:04)

Finally, there is a poignant scene where a long-lost daughter finds her mother.

(964) é! [nóⁿ nīⁿ], [móⁿ tóʔó] wō kǎⁿ tē
 ah! [1Sg mother], [2Sg Foc] be Dem.AnSg Q
 '(said:) "Oh! My mother, is that really you?"' (Bi, 2017-07 @ 08:44)

Interrogatives with tē may be direct or indirect quotations, i.e., they may keep the pronouns from the original utterance, or they may be converted or updated (§17.1.4). (964) preserves an original 2Sg pronoun, but (961-3) show the conversion of original 2Sg to 3AnSg that signals indirect quotation.

13.2.2.3 Polar interrogative as challenge or reproof

A polar interrogative whose content is an event that has already taken place and that is known to speaker and addressee can function as a challenge, in effect demanding an explanation. An example is (965).

(965) [kà-kà sú→] máⁿ= àⁿ fó mō→,
 [Rdp-day all] 2Sg Ipv pass.Ipv concerning,
 kúⁿ?úⁿ móⁿ ŋ= à-rè [nóⁿ fó] tē
 today 2Sg Infin come.Base-say.Base [1Sg pass.Base] Q
 'Every day you go (=have been going) ahead, (but) today you (come and) tell me to go ahead?' (Bi, 2017-08 @ 02:42)

13.2.2.4 French *est-ce que* in polar interrogatives

As in the other languages of the zone, *est-ce que* in one variant or another converts a following statement into a polar interrogative. Examples are (Ji, 2017-04 @ 05:14) and (Bi, 2017-09 @ 02:12).

13.2.2.5 Rhetorical questions

Rhetorical questions have the form of polar interrogatives but solicit at most a nod of the head or similarly pro forma confirmation from the addressee. Such questions may end in the

=ā enclitic described above. In particular, negative questions often have rhetorical function, as in (966).

- (966) mó n̄= [Ø t̄ʔ̄ð], [[ē p̄ōʔ̄ō] l̄ⁿ],
 2Sg look.at.Base [Art place], [[Art the.bush] guts],
 mó má n̄ē= [Ø t̄ð-ré j̄ō-rē] = ē
 2Sg IpfvNeg see.Ipfv [Art hole-Pl Indef-InanPl] Q
 ‘(If) you look at the place, out in the bush, do you not see some pits?’
 (Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:11)

13.2.3 Content (WH) questions

In general the content interrogative words (‘who?’, ‘what?’, ‘where?’, ‘how?’, and so forth) are not fronted. They remain in their regular position in the clause. As we would expect from *in situ* interrogatives, more than one of them may occur in the same clause.

- (967) s̄ⁿ-wá= à lúⁿ s̄ⁿ tàʔà-kó
who? Ipfv look.Ipfv **who?** again
 ‘Who looks at who else any more?’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 05:25)

Various grammatical morphemes tend to be glommed on to the primary WH words, resulting in a wide range of dialectal variants. wí ‘owner’ is part of some forms of ‘who?’. Postposed topic markers are tend to fuse to interrogatives: animate singular bó for ‘who?’ and inanimate bè with ‘what?’, ‘where?’, ‘when?’, and ‘how?’. Both topic markers can follow ‘which?’ depending on animacy. Preposed bè, which is elsewhere inanimate discourse-definite rather than topicalizing, occurs fused with ‘what?’ in some forms.

13.2.3.1 ‘Who?’ (s̄ⁿ ~ s̄ and extended forms)

‘Who?’ (human) is dialectally s̄ⁿ or s̄ or some extension of these. Elicited forms are in (968). There is no ē article, which avoids any confusion with the noun ē s̄ ‘pig’. The Fl variant s̄ⁿ is unusual in having nasalized oⁿ that does not shift toward ɔⁿ. It is evidently a recent contraction from another variant s̄ò-mó.

- | (968) form | dialect |
|---|---------|
| s̄ | Ji |
| s̄ ⁿ | Bi Ji |
| s̄ò-wí | Ma |
| s̄ ⁿ -wí-bó | Bi |
| s̄ò-bó | Ji |
| s̄ò-bó-wí | Ji |
| s̄ ⁿ -wí | Bi Ji |
| s̄ ⁿ -bó ~ s̄ ⁿ -mó | Bi |

sò-mó	F1
sǒ ⁿ	F1

Forms attested in the texts are in (969). The Bi speaker was the most prolific in using ‘who?’ questions.

(969)	form	dialect	reference
a.	sǒ	Ji	2017-04 @ 06:03
		Ma	2017-10 @ 01:20
b.	sò-wí	Ma	2017-10 @ 02:24
c.	sǒ ⁿ	Bi	2017-07 @ 00:38
			2017-08 @ 06:37
			2017-10 @ 05:25
d.	sǒ ⁿ -bó ~ sǒ ⁿ -mó	Bi	2017-08 @ 00:59
			2017-08 @ 01:38
			2017-08 @ 04:45
			2017-08 @ 06:48
e.	sǒ ⁿ -wí	Bi	2017-08 @ 01:11
			2017-10 @ 05:25
f.	sǒ ⁿ -wí-bó	Bi	2017-07 @ 07:36
			2017-10 @ 06:20

wí ‘owner’ occurs as a possessed noun in human reference-tracking expressions like ðⁿ wí ‘the fellow’ (§18.5.1.2), as well as being the final in many ‘owner of X’ compounds (§5.1.9). bó is a topic marker (§19.1.2.1) and this is the likely source of spreading into ‘who?’, though bó is also the third animate singular independent (‘he/she/it’) and logophoric pronoun. For Bi dialect we interpret mó in sǒⁿ-mó as the fully nasalized form of bó (§3.4.4.3). This is also the likely source of F1 sǒⁿ-mó.

Elicited example sentences with ‘who?’ are in (970).

(970) a.	sǒ ⁿ -wí	=yà	
	who? -owner	it.is	
	‘Who is it?’ (Ji)		
	[variant: sò-wá = à (Ji)]		
b.	sǒ ⁿ	gbà	mó
	who?	hit.Pfv	2Sg
	‘Who hit you-Sg?’ (Ji)		
	[variant: sǒ gbà mó (Ji)]		

- c. [wù?ù yá] kō [sǎⁿ dó=] = à
 [house Dem] be [who? Poss.Inan] it.is
 ‘This house is whose?’ (< dó) (Ji)
- d. bùò gō sǎⁿ = ǎⁿ
 2Pl be who? Q
 ‘Who are you-Pl?’ (Ji)
- e. [mó gà sǎⁿ] bē [Ø dè] = ē
 [2Sg with who?] cultivate.Pfv [Art field] Q
 ‘You-Sg and who (else) cultivated the field?’ (Ji)
- f. sǒ à-mā
 who? be.Loc
 ‘Who’s there?’ (Ji)
- g. mó ʃì?è [ǎⁿ sò-mó]
 2Sg give.Pfv [Dat who?]
 ‘You-Sg gave (it) to whom?’ (Fl)

Some textual examples of ‘who?’ have been presented above: (951), (954) (955). Further textual examples are in (971).

- (971) a. mó wō [sǎⁿ-wí bó] tē
 2Sg be [who?-owner Top] Q
 ‘(said:) “who exactly are you-Sg?”’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 07:36)

- b. á dè á [sǎⁿ à sū?ū bè [ǎⁿ móⁿ] tē
 ah! Quot ah! [who? Ipfv give.Ipfv Dem.Def [Dat 2Sg] Q]
 ‘(said:) “Ah, who will give that to you?”’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 06:37)

It is possible to double ‘who?’ in the same clause, with different referents. The “who? ...who? ...” in (972) corresponds to *who? ...anyone else...* in idiomatic English. Compare the double relatives in (1016a-b) below.

- (972) à [bè tó?ó] klè [Ø bí-ʃìò jírí-kò],
 ah! [Dem.Def Foc] do.Pfv [Art child.Pl small-AnPl]
 é sǎⁿ-wá= à lúⁿ sǎⁿ tà?à-kó
 oh! [who? Ipfv look.Ipfv who? again
That [focus] is what made small children (be such that), who looks at who else any more?’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 05:25)

13.2.3.2 ‘What?’, ‘with what?’, and ‘why?’

13.2.3.2.1 ‘What? (kè, bē-kè, kèʔé, ʃiʔé, etc.)

The attested forms for ‘what?’ are in (973). ē is the article.

(973)	form	dialect	comment
a.	(ē) kè	Fl Ji	cf. (ē) kě ‘matter, issue, thing (abstract)’
b.	combinations containing bē		
	(ē) bē-kè	Ji	
	(ē) bē-gè	Bi	
	(ē) kè-bè	Fl	
c.	(ē) èʔé	Bi Ma	“thing”
d.	possible frozen combinations containing *èʔé ‘thing’		
	(ē) kèʔé	Ma	
	(ē) gèʔé	Bi (women)	
	(ē) ʃiʔé	Ji	
	(ē) dèʔé	Bi (women)	

The form (ē) kè (973a) is likely derived from (ē) kě ‘matter, issue, affair’, i.e. ‘abstract thing’. The forms in (973b) are combinations of kè or variant with a preceding or following bē. Prenominal bē is elsewhere discourse-definite inanimate; postnominal bē is elsewhere inanimate topic (§19.1.2.1). Elicited examples are in (974).

(974)	a.	má =	ā	klè =	[Ø	kè]
		2Sg	Ipfv	do.Ipfv	[Art	what?]
		‘What are you-Sg doing?’ (Ji)				
		(variant with final kè = è including question particle)				
	b.	má =	à	fā	[Ø	bē-kè]
		2Sg	Ipfv	seek.Ipfv	[Art	what?]
		‘What are you-Sg looking for?’ (Ji)				
	c.	yá	wō	[Ø	bē-gè]	
		Dem.InanSg	be	[Art	what?]	
		‘What is that?’ (Bi)				
	d.	[ē	bē-gè]	gò	[mó	nī]
		[Art	what?]	be	[2Sg	Loc]
		‘What is in you?’ (= ‘What happened to you?’) (Bi)				

- e. [ē kè-bè] klè
 [Art **what?**] be.done.Pfv
 ‘What happened?’ (Fl)
- f. [ē kè] nà klè
 [Art **what?**] Fut happen.Base
 ‘What will happen?’ (Fl)

There is one textual example (975).

- (975) dè *est-ce que* [Ø dù?ù-tà-rè yá]
 Quot Q [Art cliff-hole-Pl Dem.InanSg]
 [á kò?ó] ò [Ø kè] [ó bà?à] tē,
 [Inan good] be [Art **what?**] [1Pl Dat] Q
 ‘(said:) “those grottos, what good are they for us?”’ (Fl, 2017-11 @ 00:26)

Example (973c) above is just the noun ‘thing, object’, like Italian *cosa*. As interrogative ‘what?’ it is often expanded as kè?é, dè?é, or fì?é. It is possible that fì?é is a frozen contraction of a ‘which?’ element also preserved in ‘when?’ interrogatives (§13.2.3.4) plus noun è?é ‘thing’. Elicited examples are in (976).

- (976) a. yá wō [Ø è?é]
 Dem.InanSg be [Art **what?**]
 ‘What is that?’ (Bi)
- b. [ē è?é] gò [mó nī]
 [Art **what?**] be [2Sg Loc]
 ‘What is in you?’ (= ‘What happened to you?’) (Bi)
- c. [ē fì?é] =yà
 [Art **what?**] it.is
 ‘What is that?’ (Ji)
 [contracted variant ē fì?á = à (Ji)]
- d. [è fì?é] bùò mó
 [Art **what?**] get.Pfv 2Sg
 ‘What got you-2Sg?’ (i.e. ‘What happened to you?’) (Ji)
- e. má= à fā [Ø fì?é]
 2Sg Ipfv seek.Ipfv [Art **what?**]
 ‘What are you-Sg looking for?’ (Ji)
- f. [è yá] kō [Ø kè?é] =ē
 " " " " è?é "
 [Art Dem.InanSg] be [Art **what?**] Q
 ‘That is what?’ (Ma)

g. yá kō [Ø fɪʔé] = ē
 Dem.InanSg be [Art **what?**] Q
 ‘That is what?’ (Ji)

h. [ē fɪʔé] klè
 [Art **what?**] be.done.Pfv
 ‘What happened?’ (Ji)

There is one textual example with (ē) èʔé (977a) and one with (ē) dèʔé (977b).

(977) a. dè é!, dè bùò ā klè= [Ø èʔé] tē
 Quot oh!, Quot 3Pl Ipfv do.Ipfv [Art **what?**] Q
 ‘(said:) “oh! What are you-Pl doing?”’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 05:47)

b. dè ɓⁿ jà= [Ø dèʔé] tē
 Quot 3AnSg see.Pfv [Art **what?**] Q
 ‘(said:) “So what did you see?”’ (women, 2017-13 @ 00:46)

13.2.3.2.2 ‘With what?’

Instrumental ‘with (= by means of) what?’ was elicited as (978). *kà* is the instrumental (and comitative) preposition (§8.2).

(978) form dialect
 kǎ= [Ø kè] Fl Ji
 kǎ= [Ø kèʔé] Ma

An elicited example is (979).

(979) bùò à mlīⁿ [Ø wùʔú] [kǎ= [Ø kè]]
 2Pl Ipfv build.Ipfv [Art house] [**with** [Art **what?**]]
 ‘With what do you-Pl construct a house?’ (Ji)

13.2.3.2.3 Various ‘why?’ constructions

There are several ways to translate English ‘why?’ questions. The first is biclausal, phrased along the lines of ‘what cause(d) ...?’ with invariant verb *klè* ‘do, make’. The complement is an infinitival clause, which can take imperfective form when the underlying event is protracted or habitual (980b). This construction expresses causation by external or impersonal forces (980d). For the causative syntax see §17.4.2.5.1.

- (980) a. [ē ʃɪʔé] klè [mó kō bà]
 [Art what?] do.Pfv [2Sg Infin come.Base]
 ‘What brings you-Sg here?’ (= ‘Why did you-Sg come?’) (Ji)
- b. [ē kèʔé] klè [mó k-ā kó] = ̄o
 [Art what?] do.Pfv [2Sg Infin-Ipfv weep.Ipfv] Q
 ‘What makes you-Sg weep?’ (= ‘Why are you weeping?’) (Ma)
- c. [ē kè] klè [mó k-ā kó] = ̄o
 [Art what?] do.Pfv [2Sg Infin-Ipfv weep.Ipfv] Q
 ‘What makes you-Sg weep?’ (= ‘Why are you weeping?’) (Fl)
- d. [ē kè] klè= [[Ø wùʔú] kō ði-só] = ̄o
 [Art what?] do.Pfv [[Art house] Infin fall.Base] Q
 ‘What made the house collapse?’ (Fl)

Instead of klè ‘do’, the higher verb can be já ‘leave, let’, a milder causative with the same syntax (§17.4.2.5.4).

- (981) [ē kè] já [ò k-ā bē]
 [Art what?] let.Pfv [3Pl Infin-Ipfv come.Ipfv]
 ‘For what (reason) do they come?’ (Fl, 2017-11 @ 01:08)

If ‘why?’ seeks to smoke out the subject’s thoughts or intentions, it can be expressed in a quotative adjunct with quotative particle dè. Here ‘how?’ competes with ‘what?’ as the content interrogative, as it does with ‘say’ (‘say how?’ = ‘say what?’).

- (982) a. má= à kó [dè= [Ø kè]]
 2Sg Ipfv weep.Ipfv [Quot [Art what?]]
 ‘Why are you weeping?’ (Fl)
- b. mó bà [dè mlɛ̃ⁿ] = ɛ̃ⁿ
 2Sg come.Pfv [Quot how?] Q
 ‘Why did you come?’ (Fl)
- c. d= ɔ̃ⁿ= Ø kó [dè= [Ø gèʔé]] tē
 Quot 3AnSg Ipfv weep.Ipfv [Quot [Art what?]] Q
 ‘(said:) “Why are you weeping?”’ (women, 2017-18 @ 00:21)
 (hesitations edited out)
- d. ò bā kō= [Ø jǒ] = nì],
 3Pl if kill.Base [[Art fetish] Loc]],
 dē bē-kèʔé tē
 Quot what? Q
 ‘If they slaughtered (the chickens) on the fetish, why is that?’
 (Bo, 2019-10 @ 05:03)

There is also a clause-final ‘why?’ interrogative ɲùʔù [ɲùʔù], attested once for Ji dialect but not recognized by others, so its status is uncertain. It was added as an adverb to a single clause (983).

- (983) mó bà ɲùʔù
 2Sg come.Pfv **why?**
 ‘Why did you-Sg come?’ (Ji)

Bi dialect also makes use of a PP ‘in what?’.

- (984) móⁿ bà [ɛʔɛ nī]
 2Sg come.Pfv [**what?** **Loc**]
 ‘Why did you-Sg come?’ (Bi)

See also under ‘how?’ in §13.2.3.5 below.

13.2.3.3 ‘Where?’ (ē sē)

Interrogative ‘where?’ focally inquires about spatial location, either of a stationary entity or as one of the endpoints of a trajectory. It can also mean ‘how?’ in the abstract sense ‘in what circumstances’, as in ‘how can this happen?’

The form is ē sē (Bi Ji) including the article, which appears clearly in clause-initial (postpausal) position and is elsewhere often unpronounced. (For sè-kúⁿʔùⁿ see the end of this section.) When clause-final, sē takes the form sē = ē, where the interrogative enclitic = ā assimilates vocalic quality but is pronounced at a pitch slightly lower than mid-tone. In a closer transcription one could write sē = ‘ē with downstep. Both à-mā ‘be (somewhere)’ and copula kō ‘be’ can combine with sē.

- (985) a. mó à-mā [Ø sè] kúⁿʔùⁿ
 2Sg be.Loc [Art **where?**] today.Q
 ‘Where are you-Sg today?’ (< kúⁿʔùⁿ) (Ji)
- b. mó kō [Ø sē] = ē
 2Sg be [Art **where?**] Q
 ‘Where are you-Sg?’ (Ji)
- c. [ē kàʔá] kō [Ø sē] = ē
 [Art meat] be [Art **where?**] Q
 ‘Where is the meat?’ (Ji)
- d. mó à yíŋ= [Ø sē] = ē
 2Sg Ipv go.Ipv [Art **where?**] Q
 ‘Where are you-Sg going?’ (Ji)

- c. [ē yí?ē-tò?ò] Ø-mā [Ø sē] = ē
 [Art go.Pfv-place] be.Loc [Art **where?**] Q
 ‘Where is (=was) the way out?’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 02:50)

An embellishment *sè-kúⁿ?òⁿ* (Ji) or *sè-kúⁿ?óⁿ* (Fl) is used in rhetorical and exasperated questions. Compare English interrogative *wherever did...?* and *where (the hell/in the world) did...?*. There are two textual examples from our Ji speaker.

- (988) a. [mó ò?ó bè] kō [Ø [sè-kúⁿ?òⁿ]-díⁿ] = íⁿ
 [2Sg arm Top.Inan] be [Art [**wherever?**]-equal(n)] Q
 ‘Your arm is equal to where (=extends how far)?’
 (Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:11)
- b. ò = Ø glú [kã = [Ø nū]] sè-kúⁿ?òⁿ bè-kā
 3Pl Ipfv exit(v).Ipfv [with [Art water]] **wherever?** like.that
 ‘Where the hell did they come out with (=get) water like that?’
 (Ji, 2017-04 @ 06:08)

An occasional alternative to (ē) sē is *ké jèróⁿ* (Fl) or *kí jèróⁿ* (Ji), literally ‘which side?’. The sense is ‘whereabouts?’, i.e. less pinpointed than sē.

- (989) mó à-mā [ké jèróⁿ] = ɔ̃ⁿ
 2Sg be.Loc [**side which?**] Q
 ‘Whereabouts are you-Sg?’ (Fl)

13.2.3.4 ‘When?’ (ʃíⁿ dá?á, ʃíⁿ-gō)

The temporal adverbial interrogative is phrased as *ʃíⁿ dá?á* ‘which time?’ (Bi Ji). It is based on the noun (è) *dá?á* ‘moment, (point in) time’. The ‘which?’ element here is *ʃíⁿ*, which occurs only in ‘when?’ combinations (‘what time’, etc.). The context may be clock time or seasonal. More specific combinations like ‘(in) which year?’ are also possible (990c). ‘(On) which day?’ is *ʃíⁿ-gō* (Bi) or fully nasalized form *ʃíⁿ-ŋō* (Fl Ji) (990d-e), cf. *kō* ‘day’. *bè* is optionally appended as in ‘what?’ and ‘where?’ questions (990d). There are no textual examples.

- (990) a. má = à dū = [Ø súmá-klà?à] [ʃíⁿ dá?á]
 2Sg Ipfv sow.Ipfv [Art maize] [**which? time**]
 ‘When do you-Sg plant the maize?’ (Ji)
- b. é-yùò nà yíí [ʃíⁿ dá?á]
 1Pl Fut go.Base [**which? time**]
 ‘What time will we leave tomorrow?’ (Ji)

- c. mó bà [ʃɪⁿ yǎ] = ā
 2Sg come.Pfv [**which?** **year**] Q
 ‘(In) which year did you come?’ (Ji)
- d. [ē ʃɪⁿ-ɲɔ̃ (bè)] ɲ nà bà
 [Art **which?-day** (Top.Inan)] 2Sg Fut come.Bast
 ‘On what day will you-Sg come?’ (Fl)
- e. [ē ʃɪⁿ-ɲɔ̃] má kò = ?
 [Art **which?-day**] IpfvNeg be.good.Ipfv Neg
 ‘Which day is not good?’ (Fl)

An alternative is dáʔá jèrôⁿ ‘which time?’ (Fl Ji).

- (991) bùò à jû= [Ø súmá-klàʔà] [dāʔá jèrôⁿ]
 2Pl Ipfv sow.Ipfv [Art maize] [**time** **which?**]
 ‘At what time (=season) do you plant maize?’ (Fl)

13.2.3.5 ‘How?’ and ‘how many/much?’

13.2.3.5.1 ‘How?’ (mlěⁿ, mē-kā, áⁿ)

‘How?’ (manner adverbial interrogative) is expressed by any of the dialectal variants in (992). mlěⁿ is often flattened to mlēⁿ. ‘How?’ interrogatives are common in texts because ‘do what?’ and ‘say what?’ can be expressed as ‘do/say how?’ (cf. local French *comment faire?*).

- | (992) | form | dialect | textual reference (if any) |
|-------|----------------------------------|---------|---|
| a. | mlě ⁿ | Fl Ji | |
| b. | mlē ⁿ -kā | Fl Ji | (Ji, 2017-08 @ 06:17) |
| | mē-kā | Ji | |
| | mì-kā | Bo | (Bo, 2019-10 @ 00:06) |
| | mē-ɲā | Bo | (Bo, 2019-01 @ 00:33) |
| | mē-kà-dí ⁿ | Ji | (Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:13) |
| | mē-ɲà-dí ⁿ | Bo | (Bo, 2019-03 @ 03:32) |
| c. | á ⁿ | Bi | (Bi, 2017-07 @ 08:51)
(Bi, 2017-08 @ 01:22, 01:38, 04:51) |
| d. | mē-yá | Bi | (Bi, 2017-09 @ 02:24) |
| e. | mlē ⁿ -á ⁿ | Bi | (Bi, 2017-07 @ 08:02)
(Bi, 2017-08 @ 01:22, 03:35, 09:48, 10:39) |

mlěⁿ in (992a) is related to noninterrogative manner adverb mlěⁿ ‘like this/that’ (§8.5.5.1). k̄ā is a noun meaning ‘manner’. díⁿ is a noun elsewhere meaning ‘equal (n), peer; breed’. We know of no other morpheme that is related to áⁿ. It may be a reduction of mlěⁿ-áⁿ (992e), which however is itself nontransparent. áⁿ occurs in mlěⁿ-áⁿ = āⁿ (993c), which may simply be how /mlěⁿ = à/ is pronounced in Bi dialect.

Prepausal mlěⁿ combines with the interrogative enclitic as mlěⁿ = ēⁿ, sometimes pronounced mlēⁿ = ēⁿ (F1, Ji). Some elicited examples of mlěⁿ and mlěⁿ-áⁿ are in (993)

- (993) a. mó nà klè mlěⁿ = ēⁿ
 2Sg Fut do.Base **how?** Q
 ‘What (“how?”) will you-Sg do?’ (Ji)
- b. à kō mlěⁿ = ēⁿ
 3Inan be **how?** Q
 ‘How is it?’ (Ji)
- c. à gō mlěⁿ-áⁿ = āⁿ
 3Inan be **how?** Q
 ‘How is it?’ (Bi)

A textual example of mè-kà-díⁿ is (994). The context is a climb up a tree where it would be difficult for one’s arms to meet on the other side of the trunk.

- (994) mó nà ló-bá?á mó nà ló-běⁿ =
 2Sg Fut surround.Base 2Sg Fut turn.Base-meet.Base
 [Ø ʃíⁿʃíⁿ] mè-kà-díⁿ = íⁿ hé
 [Art tree] **how?-manner-equal(n)** Q huh?
 ‘How will (=can) you go around the tree and meet up?’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:13)

The five textual examples of simple áⁿ are all from the Bi speaker, all immediately follow the verb klè ‘do’, and some (but not all) are followed by inanimate topic bè. Two examples are in (995).

- (995) a. é! bó nàⁿ klè [áⁿ bè]
 oh! LogoSg Fut **do**.Base [**how?** **Top**.Inan]
 ‘(He said:) “Oh! What will (=can/must) I do, in order to get that?”’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 01:22)
- b. ɲánɔ̀ [mó dá =] ā klè [áⁿ bè] tē
 friend [2Sg however] Ipfv **do**.Ipfv [**how?** **Top**.Inan] Q
 ‘Friend, but what have you done?’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 04:51)

The manner interrogative is also part of a ‘why?’ construction, which is phrased as ‘how did it happen that ...?’

- (996) ā klè mē-(k)à [mó ò bà]
 3Inan be.done.Pfv **how?** [2Sg Infin come.Base]
 ‘Why did you-Sg come?’ (Ji)

Our Bi assistant has mlèⁿ-yá (= ā) ‘how?’ in this construction.

Inanimate topic marker bè is less common in ‘how?’ questions that in some other nonhuman content interrogatives. However, mlèⁿ-áⁿ bè occurs in (Bi, 2017-08 @ 09:48).

13.2.3.5.2 ‘How many/much?’ (mlèⁿ, bí-mlèⁿ)

mlèⁿ ~ mlēⁿ ‘how?’ is also the interrogative of quantity, ‘how much?’ or ‘how many?’ In this function it has morphosyntactic affinities to numerals. It follows plural ò or human plural yúó, as do numerals ‘2’ to ‘9’ (§6.4.1). Plural ò is used even for mass nouns like ‘sugar’.

- (997) a. mó kà [Ø bó [ò mlēⁿ]] = ēⁿ
 2Sg with [Art sheep.Pl [Pl **how?**]] Q
 ‘How many sheep do you-Sg have?’ (Ji)
- b. mó wìè [Ø sùkár = [ò mlēⁿ]] = ēⁿ
 2Sg put.in.Pfv [Art sugar [Pl **how?**]] Q
 ‘How much sugar did Zaki put in the tea?’ (Ji)
- c. [mó n̂ = [Ø mlēⁿ]] wūō = ò
 [2Sg cow.Pl [Pl **how?**]] die.Pfv Q
 ‘How many of your cows died?’ (Ji)
- d. é-yùò kò [yúó mlèⁿ]] = ēⁿ
 1Pl be [people **how?**]] Q
 ‘We are how many people?’ (F1 Ji)
- e. [ò mlēⁿ]] diè-só = ò
 [Pl **how?**]] fall.Pfv Q
 ‘How many (things) fell?’ (F1)

‘How many times’ is nī mlèⁿ.

When ‘how much?’ refers to money, a compound-like form (è) bí-mlèⁿ is used. The initial functions as a numeral classifier for currency. It is related to bú ‘money’, and more specifically to the first element of bí-kló ‘cowry’ (cowries were formerly used as currency), cf. also bú fiàⁿ?àⁿ ‘silver (metal)’.

- (998) é-yùò nà sū?ǝ = [Ø bí-mlèⁿ]] [ǝⁿ bùò]
 1Pl Fut give.Base [Art how.much.money] [Dat 2Pl]
 ‘How much money shall we give you-Pl?’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 05:14)

The distributive iteration $ml̥^n$ - $ml̥^n$ means ‘how many/much each?’ This is common in connection with unit prices in markets and stores. It is often heard as $ml̥^n$ - $ml̥^n$. For currency, the distributive is $bí$ - $ml̥^n$ - $ml̥^n$.

- (999) a. $[mó^n \quad gbī^nʔ^n]$ $kō$ $[∅ \quad ml̥^n$ - $ml̥^n]$ = $ē^n$
 [2Sg peanut] be [Art **how?-how?**] Q
 ‘How much (each) are your-Sg (bunches of) peanuts?’ (Ji)
- b. $à$ $kò$ $bí$ - $ml̥^n$ - $ml̥^n$ $kū^nʔ^n$
 3Inan be **money-how?-how?** today
 ‘How much (each) are they today?’ (F1)

The sense ‘how many-eth’ (Fr *quantième*), for example specifying a student’s rank in a class, is expressed as a human ordinal (§4.6.2.3).

- (1000) $mó$ $kò$ $[(∅) \quad yúó \quad ml̥^n$ - $nò]$
 2Sg be [Art person **how.many?-Ord.Hum]**
 ‘You are how-many-eth (=what rank)?’ (Ji)

13.2.3.6 ‘Which?’

In addition to the well-attested ‘which?’ forms in the subsections below, there is a single attested of $ègè \quad è \quad dí^n$ $mó$ ‘what kind?’ (Bo, 2019-11 @ 01:20). It contains $dí^n$ ‘breed, kind’, $mó$ nasalized from topic-marking $bó$, and $ègè$ which is probably related to forms of ‘what?’ Compare $ʃ^n$ - $kà$ - $bō$ and $ʃ^n$ - $kà$ - $bò$ - $dí^n$ ‘what kind?’ in §13.2.3.6.2 below.

13.2.3.6.1 $jèrɔ^n$ and its plurals ‘which?’

The forms in (1001) can function as ‘which?’ interrogative adjectives.

- (1001) $jèrɔ^n$ singular
 $jèró$ animate plural
 $jèré$ inanimate plural

These forms are identical to relative markers (§14.1.1), but they occur in clauses that end in interrogative enclitic = \bar{a} (which can contract with the preceding vowel). A third paradigm, that of indefinite markers, has plural but not singular forms that are segmentally identical, but they are level M-toned: singular $jī$, animate plural $jā$ - $rō$, and inanimate plural $jā$ - $rē$ (§4.4.2.3).

Examples of interrogative function are in (1002). Our Bi speaker likes to use topic markers ($bó$, $bùò$, $bè$) followed by $dí^n$ (elsewhere ‘peer; breed’) after ‘which?’ (1002h-j). Compare for Ma dialect, with the order reversed, $ʃ^n$ - $jèró \quad dí^n \quad bùò$ (1005) below.

- (1002) a. [ē wùʔú jèrɔ̃ⁿ] = ɔ̃ⁿ
 [Art house **which?**] Q
 ‘Which house (is it)?’ (Ji)
- b. má= à fâ= [Ø máⁿgèrō jèrɔ̃ⁿ] = ɔ̃ⁿ
 2Sg Ipfv seek.Ipfv [Art mango **which?**] Q
 ‘Which mango do you want?’ (Ji)
- c. [è bí-siō jèró] nà bá = ā
 [Art child.Pl **which?.AnPl**] Fut cultivate.Base Q
 ‘Which children (=sons) will do the farming?’ (Ji)
- d. mó bà [yǎ jèrɔ̃ⁿ] = ɔ̃ⁿ
 2Sg come.Pfv [year **which?**] Q
 ‘Which year did you-Sg come?’ (F1)
- e. má à séⁿ [[wùʔú jèrɔ̃ⁿ] nī] = ī
 2Sg Ipfv lie.down.Ipfv [[house **which?**] Loc] Q
 ‘In which house do you lie down (=live)?’ (F1)
- f. [wè-rú jèré] = ē
 [house-Pl **which?.InanPl**] Q
 ‘which houses?’ (F1)
- g. [wùʔú jèrɔ̃ⁿ] diè-só = ɔ̃
 [house **which?**] fall.Pfv Q
 ‘Which house collapsed?’ (F1)
- h. [wùʔú jèrɔ̃ⁿ bè díⁿ] diè-só = ɔ̃
 [house **which? Top.Inan breed**] fall.Pfv Q
 ‘Which house collapsed?’ (Bi)
- i. móⁿ dèrò [wùʔú jèrɔ̃ⁿ bè díⁿ] = īⁿ
 2Sg buy.Pfv [house **which? Top.Inan breed**] Q
 ‘Which house did you-Sg buy?’ (Bi)
- j. [ē dè-fê jèròⁿ mó díⁿ] à-māⁿ [mó bàʔà]
 [Art talk(n) **which? Top breed**] be.Loc [3AnSg Dat]
 ‘What (right to) talk did she have?’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 00:35)

In textual example (1003) the question is sarcastic and rhetorical, effectively ‘how (the hell) could they (=hare and hyena) wear women’s loincloths, having no buttocks?’

- (1003) [ē wàré bè] nà yíé
 [Art loincloth Top.Inan] Fut loincloth.be.worn.Base
 [[pètè-ɲùʔò jèrɔ̃ bè] nī]
 [[buttock **which?** Top.Inan] Loc]
 ‘That women’s loincloth would be worn on which buttocks?’
 (Ji, 2017-08 @ 00:25)

13.2.3.6.2 ʃìʔé ‘which?’ and related forms

The form (ē) ʃìʔé occurs occasionally in the sense ‘what?’ (§13.2.3.2.1). It means ‘which?’ when preposed to another noun. It occurs in the textual passage (1004). The speaker first uses the Jula borrowing b́rá ‘work (n)’, then rephrases with the native Tiefo-N term kē-sùʔò̃.

- (1004) dē [kè̃ yá bó = rē]
 Quot [fellow Dem.InanSg Top even]
 kō [[(Ø) ʃìʔé-b́rá] nī] [[tòʔ = á] nī],
 be [[Art **which?**-work(n)] Loc] [[place Dem.InanSg] Loc],
 [ē ʃìʔé-[kē-sùʔò̃]] nī
 [Art **which?**-work(n)] Loc
 ‘(thought:) “This fellow [topic] is engaged in what (sort of) activity here?”’
 (Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:43)

A form ʃìⁿ- occurs in ‘which time (day, etc.)’ temporal interrogatives (§13.2.3.4 above). It is also attested in the unusual compound-like combination in (1005). It is preceded by a list of human categories (chief, fetishists, ordinary citizens). ʃìⁿ-ɲèrò-díⁿ contains animate plural jèró ‘which?’ (or relative), and díⁿ ‘peer; breed’. The latter is elsewhere a compound final in kà-díⁿ ‘manner’.

- (1005) ʃìⁿ-ɲèrò díⁿ bùò,
which?.Pl peer Top.AnPl,
 sò ká ā gáʔ-à-séⁿ = ēⁿ
 who? Past Ipfv be.first.Ipfv-Ipfv-lie.down.Ipfv Q
 ‘Which of you-Pl? Who used to lie down first?’ (Ma, 2017-10 @ 01:20)

Another nontransparent combination is ʃì-kā-bō ‘what kind?’ (F1 Ji) or ʃì-à-bó (Bi). It can occur alone, or be compounded to a following díⁿ ‘equal, peer’ (in the sense ‘race, breed, species’) and/or a noun denoting the general class. One can discern (ē) kā ‘manner’ in the middle, leaving ʃì- as a somewhat opaque interrogative initial. -kā-bō is rather fused and is treated as a single form in tone sandhi (1006e). F1 Ji -bō resembles the animate singular topic marker bó, but ʃì-kā-bō works with inanimate as well as animate nouns. For Bi the identification of topic bó is clearer. On the other hand ʃì-à- in the Bi form is somewhat opaque due to the loss of *k.

- (1006) a. [dè = [Ø fî-kā-bō jùèʔé] tē
 [say.Pfv [Art **what.kind?** God] Q
 ‘(said:) “What kind of God?”’ (F1, 2017-03 @ 00:39)
- b. [ē fî-kā-bō] = ō
 [Art **what.kind?**] Q
 ‘what kind?’ (F1)
- c. [ē fî-kā-bō] = yà
 [Art **what.kind?**] it.is
 ‘What kind is it?’ (F1)
- d. [ē [fî-kā-bō]-[bùⁿʔàⁿ-díⁿ]] = yà
 [Art [**what.kind?**]-[dog-equal]] it.is
 ‘What kind of dog is it?’ (F1)
- e. [ē [fî-kà-bò]-díⁿ] = yà
 [Art [**what.kind?**]-equal] it.is
 ‘What kind (breed) is it?’ (F1)
- f. ē fî-à-bó kàʔá = à
 Art what.kind> mean it.is
 ‘What kind of meat is it?’ (Bi)

13.2.4 Embedded interrogatives

13.2.4.1 Embedded polar interrogatives

For polar interrogatives embedded under ‘(not) know’, as in ‘I don’t know whether ...’, see §17.3.1.3-4.

13.2.4.2 Embedded content interrogatives

Cross-linguistically, a content interrogative embedded under a verb like ‘(not) know’ can either retain the basic interrogative morphosyntax (‘I don’t know [who will go]’) or may replace the content (WH) interrogatives with corresponding light nouns (‘I don’t know [the person who will go]’).

Direct elicitation using French cues might bias the answers. The few relevant textual examples point to the light-noun construction (1007a-b). However, (1007c) preposes the complement as a headless relative clause.

- b. [ē k̄a-wà-rù jèrɔ̃ⁿ] ðⁿ nà sūʔ= ðⁿ
 [Art bone-Pl **Rel**] 3AnSg Past give.Base Dat.3AnSg
 ‘the bones that he (=hyena) had given to her’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 10:07)
- c. [mó dó] nà [á kà-rèⁿ-ʔéⁿ jèrɔ̃ⁿ bíé]
 [2Sg however] see.Pfv [Inan many **Rel** all]
 ‘the many (things) that you have seen’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 07:54)

14.1.2 Position of head NP in the relative construction

The head NP usually remains in its clause-internal position. In elicitation we have recorded some examples with fronted heads, perhaps influenced by French translation cues. The distinction is moot in subject relatives since subjects are already clause-initial. The internal position of head NPs is best seen with object, possessor, and adpositional complement relatives.

14.1.3 Compatibility with nominal article

The pronominal article *ē* is optional with head NPs that contain a relative marker. This is similar to the situation with postnominal demonstratives. The presence or absence of the article is most reliably determined in subject relative heads, which occur clause-initially.

Many of the simple elicited subject relatives in §14.2.1, provided by our Ji speaker, lack the article. The article is present before head nouns in some subject relatives in the texts. This is also the case in headless relatives, where *ē* is optional before *jèrɔ̃ⁿ*.

14.1.4 Position of relative marker within the head NP

The relative marker occurs at or near the end of the head NP. The relative marker follows modifying adjectives (1011a), numerals (1011b), and demonstratives (1011c), but it precedes ‘all’ (1011d) and logical particles like ‘also, too’ (1011e).

- (1011) a. [ē sà-ríⁿ tù-tà-rù jè-ré] diè-só
 [Art tree-Pl **big-Pl** **Rel-InanPl**] fall.Pfv
 ‘the big trees that fell’ (Ji)
- b. [ē sà-ríⁿ [ò sáⁿ] jè-ré] diè-só
 [Art tree-Pl [Pl **three**] **Rel-InanPl**] fall.Pfv
 ‘the three trees that fell’ (Ji)
- c. bùò lè [tɔ̃ʔ= á jèrɔ̃ⁿ]
 LogoPl show.Pfv [place **Dem.InanSg** **Rel**]
 ‘(said:) “that place which we showed (you)” ’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 04:27)

- d. $\text{bùò} \quad \text{lè} \quad [\text{tòʔò} \quad \text{jèrè} \quad \text{bíéʔ}]$
 LogoPl show.Pfv [place **Rel.InanPl** **all**]
 ‘(said:) “all the places which they showed (us)” ’ (Ji)
- e. $[\text{bè}^n\text{-kò} \quad \text{jèrò} \quad \text{fárâ}^n =] \quad \text{Ø-mā}$
 [animal.Pl **Rel.AnPl** **too**] be.Loc
 ‘the wild animals that are there too’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 01:37)

14.1.5 Demonstrative and pronoun heads

Deictic demonstratives (1012a-b) and personal pronouns (1012c) may function as heads.

- (1012) a. $[\text{yá} \quad \text{jèrò}^n] \quad \text{klè}$
 [**Dem.InanSg** **Rel**] be.done.Pfv
 ‘that which has happened’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 04:35)
- b. $[\text{kǎ}^n \quad \text{jèrò}^n] \quad \text{gèʔè} \quad [\text{kō} \quad \text{jī}^n \quad = \text{ò}]$
 [**Dem.AnSg** **Rel**] be.first.Pfv [Infin see.Pfv 3AnSgObj]
 ‘that one who had seen it (=hawk) first’ (Bi, 2017-06 @ 01:15)
- c. $\text{mó}^n \quad \text{nâ} \quad \text{wé} \quad [\text{nó}^n \quad \text{jèrò}^n] \quad \text{có,}$
 2Sg Past abandon.Base [**1Sg** **Rel**] exactly,
 $[\text{nó}^n \quad \text{nóʔó}] \quad \text{ō} \quad \text{kǎ}^n$
 [1Sg Foc] be Dem.AnSg
 ‘Precisely me whom you-Sg had abandoned, this is me!’
 (Bi, 2017-07 @ 08:12)

In (1013), however, the plural pronoun is a “possessor” in partitive function, denoting the set out of which the singular referent is picked out.

- (1013) $[\text{ò} \quad \text{jèrò}^n] \quad \text{wō} \quad \text{klè} \quad [\text{tá} = \quad [\text{Ø} \quad \text{wùò-bí}]]$
 [**3PI** **Rel**] Infin be.done.Base [like [Art orphan]]
 ‘the one (of them) who was like an orphan’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 02:14)

14.1.6 Headless relatives

An understood or nonspecific head may be covert, leaving the relative marker as the apparent head. When the relative appears after a pause, the article \bar{e} is optional; it is absent in (1014a) but present in (1014b). In some passages the headless relative means ‘whatever’, ‘whoever’, or the like and is then resumed by a discourse-definite demonstrative in a following main clause (1014a).

A correlative without overt relative marking is (1019).

- (1019) ò má já [bè èʔé],
 3Pl IpfvNeg leave.Ipfv [Dem.Def thing],
 [bè èʔé] ní-mā
 [Dem.Def thing] not.be.Loc
 ‘That thing (which) they don’t leave alone, that thing does not exist.’ (i.e., ‘they leave nothing alone’) (Ji, 2017-09 @ 08:13)

14.2 Relative clauses organized by head NP function

14.2.1 Subject relative clause

The subject remains in clause-initial position. Elicited examples are in (1020).

- (1020) a. [[ná-bí jàróⁿ] diè-só] [ðⁿ kō [Ø sē] = ē]
 [[person **Rel.Sg**] fall.Pfv] [3AnSg be [Art where?] Q]
 ‘The person who fell, where is he/she?’ (Ji)
- b. [[ná-bí-ó jàró] diè-só] [ò kō [Ø sē] = ē]
 [[person.Pl **Rel.AnPl**] fall.Pfv] [3Pl be [Art where?] Q]
 ‘The people who fell, where are they?’ (Ji)
- c. [[būⁿʔōⁿ jàróⁿ] jùðⁿ mó] [ðⁿ kō [Ø sē] = ē]
 [[dog **Rel**] bite.Pfv 2Sg] [3AnSg be [Art where?] Q]
 ‘The dog that bit you-Sg, where is it?’ (Ji)
- d. [[ʃⁿʔíⁿ jàróⁿ] diè-só] [à Ø-mā [Ø sē] = ē]
 [[tree **Rel**] fall.Pfv] [3Inan be.Loc [Art where?] Q]
 ‘The tree that fell, where is it?’ (Ji)
- e. [ē sà-ríⁿ sàⁿ-sà-ròⁿ] jàré diè-só
 [Art tree-Pl long-Pl] **Rel.InanPl** fall.Pfv
 ‘the tall trees that fell’ (Ji)
- f. [ē sà-ríⁿ [ò jōⁿ] jàré diè-só
 [Art tree-Pl [Pl two] **Rel.InanPl** fall.Pfv
 ‘the two trees that fell’ (F1)

A textual example is (1021).

- (1021) [yúó jàróⁿ] bè sùtóra móⁿ
 [person **Rel**] Fut bury.Pfv 2Sg
 ‘the person who will bury you-Sg’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 09:51)

Textual examples are in (1025). Both are headless.

- (1025) a. fǝ→ [jǝrǝⁿ jū→] wùǝǝǝ
 must [Rel eye] be.open.Base
 ‘it must be one whose eyes are open’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 02:02)
- b. [jǝrǝⁿ kè] máⁿ dáⁿ w̃ⁿ = ʔ
 [Rel matter] IpfvNeg be.pleasant.Ipfv Dat.3AnSg Neg
 ‘the one (=girl) whom she didn’t like’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 04:29, edited)

14.2.4 Relativization on the complement of an adposition

The complement of prepositions *kà* (or variant) ‘with’ and dative *ǝⁿ* can be relativized on. In (1026a), ‘ax’ is the complement of *kà* and is followed by the relative marker. In (1026b), the relative marker functions as head of an otherwise headless relative. (1026c) features dative *ǝⁿ*.

- (1026) a. [má= ā gù-à-cǝí= [Ø f̃ⁿʔⁿ] [kà [nàʔá jǝrǝⁿ]],
 [2Sg Ipfv chop.Ipfv [Art tree] [with [ax Rel]]],
 à kō [Ø sē] = ē
 3Inan be [Art where?] Q
 ‘The ax that you-Sg chop trees down with, where is it?’ (Ji)
- b. [í-yùǝ kǝrú] f̃= [à jǝrǝⁿ],
 [1Pl generation] pass.Pfv [with Rel],
 [bè tóǝ] gò yá
 [Dem.Def Foc] be Dem.InanSg
 ‘What our generation went with in the past, that [focus] is what it was.’
 (Bi, 2017-10 @ 06:40)
- c. nó f̃ⁿǝ [Ø bú] [ǝⁿ [yúǝ jǝrǝⁿ]]
 1Sg give.Pfv [Art money] [Dat [person Rel]]
 ‘the person to whom I gave the money.’ (F1)

The nominal complement of the locative postposition *nī* is relativized on in (1027a). (1027b) is a bit more complex semantically.

- (1027) a. mó [diè-sǝ]-dīē [[t̃ⁿǝ jǝrǝⁿ] nī],
 2Sg [fall.Pfv]-enter.Base [[hole Rel]] Loc],
 à kō [Ø sē] = ē
 3Inan be [Art where?] Q
 ‘The pit that you-Sg fell into, where is it?’ (Ji)

- b. [ē sáwú] gbèʔè [jèrɔ̃ⁿ nīⁿ]
 [Art shed] be.piled.up.Pfv [**Rel** **Loc**]
 ‘something in (=for) which a storage shed has been put together ...’
 (Bi, 2017-10 @ 07:02)

Postposition bàʔà is featured in (1028). It can be dative with ‘say’, or mean ‘at the place of, chez’.

- (1028) a. ná= à cīⁿ [[kěⁿ jèrɔ̃ⁿ] bàʔà]
 1Sg Ipfv spend.night.Ipfv [[fellow **Rel**] **chez**]
 ‘the man at whose place I spend the night (=lodge).’ (Ji)
- b. nó dè =nì [[yǒ jèrɔ̃ⁿ] bàʔà]
 1Sg say.Pfv 3InanObj [[woman **Rel**] **Dat**]
 ‘the woman to whom I said it’ (Ji)

Textual examples with locative postposition nī, involving temporal and manner adverbial relatives, are in (1030-1031) below.

14.2.5 Adverbial relatives (‘place’, ‘time’, ‘manner’)

The noun tɔ̃ʔɔ̃ ‘place’ often functions as head. (1029a) equates a ‘place’ relative with a ‘place’ compound. When such relatives function adverbially, as in (1029b-c) and several other textual examples, a locative postposition is understood and can be overt, but in most textual examples it is covert. See also §15.3.3.

- (1029) a. ā gblè [tɔ̃ʔɔ̃ jèrɔ̃ⁿ],
 3Inan be.picked.up.Pfv [**place** **Rel**],
 [à tīē-tɔ̃ʔɔ̃ té] = à
 [3Inan be.put.down.Pfv-place Foc.Inan] it.is
 ‘The place where it was picked up, it (=that) is its place of being put down
 [focus].’ (formula for ending a tale) (Ji, 2017-01 @ 04:45)
- b. ɔ̃ⁿ glō [kò léⁿ [tɔ̃ʔɔ̃ jèrɔ̃ⁿ]] dórɔ̃ⁿ,
 3AnSg exit(v).Pfv [Infin stop.Base [**place** **Rel**]] immediately,
 [ē būɔ̃ⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ] kò cárúⁿ-[yíí-fíʔi]
 [Art dog] Infin run.hard.Base-[get.up.Base]
 ‘At the spot where he (=monkey) had just come out (from the foliage) and
 stopped, the dog suddenly leapt up.’ (Ma, 2017-02 @ 01:36)
- c. bó gblè [ɔ̃ⁿ fīʔé] [tɔ̃ʔɔ̃ jèrɔ̃ⁿ]
 LogoSg take.Pfv [3AnSgRefl daba] [**place** **Rel**]
 ‘(said:) “where I picked up my daba”’ (F1, 2017-03 @ 02:37)

Temporal nouns, especially (è) dáʔá ‘(point in) time, moment’, are also common as head. A locative postposition is overt (1030a) or absent but implied (1030b).

- (1030) a. [ē dè] sē-dīē [[dáʔá jèrɔ́ⁿ] nī]
 [Art sun] set.Pfv-enter.Base [[**time Rel**] **Loc**]
 ‘when the sun had set and gone under, ...’ (Fl, 2017-03 @ 01:54)
- b. ɓⁿ [sèⁿ-glō]-kō [dáʔá jèrɔ́ⁿ]
 3AnSg [take.off.Pfv]-finish.Base [**time Rel**]
 ‘when she (=hare) had finished picking (them) out, ...’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 02:02)

Manner nouns such as (ē) kā ‘manner, way’ may also serve as heads. (1031) has a locative postposition. See also §15.3.1.1.

- (1031) [ē dèràʔá], à būō-būō [[kā jèrɔ́ⁿ] nī]
 [Art tale], 3Inan Rdp-be.gotten.Pfv [[**manner Rel**] **Loc**]
 ‘the tale, in the (same) way it was (originally) gotten (=learned), ...’
 (Fl, 2017-05 @ 00:14)

14.2.6 Relativization from subordinated clause

Since the head of the relative remains in place, “island” constraints relevant to languages with external heads do not apply.

- (1032) è nó-fíʔé, ò kâ bà [ã = [Ø jùsúⁿ]],
 Art cow.Pl-daba, 1Pl Past come.Base [with [Art cotton]],
 k-ã sùʔũ = [Ø èʔé jèrɔ́ⁿ] [g-ã bé]
 Infin-Ipfv give.Ipfv [Art thing **Rel**] [Infin-Ipfv cultivate.Ipfv]
 ‘The ox-drawn plow, the thing_x that they had brought cotton to give (it_x) (to farm with).’ (Bo, 2019-06 @ 00:45)

15 Verbal compounds, infinitives, and adverbial clauses

There are two major ways that two verbs can be combined into a multi-verb construction. The first is simple compounding: Vb1-Vb2, where the two verbs are adjacent (except for intercalated -à- in the Ipfv form). In such compounds the two verbs typically describe different aspects (co-events) of a single event, such as primary action and motion, or action and duration. Compounds are the subject matter of §15.1.

In the second construction, Vb1 is the main verb, and Vb2 along with its complements and adjuncts is adjoined in the form of an infinitival clause or VP. Infinitival phrases are the subject of §15.2.

Adverbial clauses, including temporal (‘when...’) and spatial (‘where...’) are discussed in §15.3.

15.1 Verb-verb compounding

Verb compounds normally denote single events, which may be analysed into two (or more) co-events each represented by a verb stem. This is the case with the verb pairs in (1033). The direct object in (1033a-b), shared logically by the two transitive verbs, follows the compound.

- (1033) a. nó mé-kò [Ø gbáⁿ-gbàⁿ?áⁿ]
1Sg shoot.Pfv-kill.Base [Art lion]
‘I shot and killed a lion.’ (Ma)
- b. nó gbà-kö = [Ø bũⁿ?ũⁿ]
1Sg hit.Pfv-kill.Base [Art dog]
‘I beat the dog to death.’ (Fl)
- c. mó dè-tāⁿrāⁿ
2Sg sleep.Pfv-sit.Base
‘You dozed off (sitting).’ (Ji)

Verb compounds occasionally extend to rapid turnarounds conceptualized as a single complex event, where one motion subevent is immediately followed by another that reverses it (1034a-c). However, in such sequences, the second event can also be expressed by an adjoined infinitival VP.

- (1034) a. ðⁿ [dìè-só]-[yí?í-fí?ì]
3AnSg [fall.Pfv]-[get.up.Base]
‘He/She fell down and got right up.’ (Ji)

- b. ðⁿ yìʔè-klá
 3AnSg go.Pfv-return.Base
 ‘He/She went (away) and returned (came right back).’ (F1 Ji)
- c. à wìʔè-[wáʔá-t̃ⁿ]
 3Inan open.Pfv-[shut.Base]
 ‘It opened and shut.’ (Ji)

The morphology of verb-verb compounds (Vb1-Vb2) was sketched in §10.1.6. The key points are that Vb1 can take any of its three regular stems (Pfv, base, Ipfv) as though it were uncompounded; Vb2 can only occur in its base and Ipfv stems; and Ipfv particle à occurs both before the compound and as an intercalated copy between the two verbs.

(1035) shows the results of applying these rules.

(1035) composite category formulae

Pfv	Vb1. Pfv	-	Vb2. Base
base	Vb1. Base	-	Vb2. Base
Ipfv	à Vb1. Ipfv	- à -	Vb2. Ipfv

Compounding is recursive. Most triple compounds can be bracketed as [Vb1-Vb2]-Vb3 or as Vb1-[Vb2-Vb3], but bracketing has no effect on forms. A quadruple compound occurs in a text (1036).

- (1036) kō [sò-[klá-bà]]-té
 Infin [carry.on.head.Base-[return.Base-come.Base]]-put.down.Base
 ‘(Then they) bring back (the boys) and put (them) down.’
 (Bi, 2017-10 @ 06:58)

From the top down, ‘carry (them) back on the head’ is compounded to ‘put down’. The first element is analysable into sò ‘carry on head’ and the compound klá-bà ‘come back’.

Verb-verb compounds form the verbal noun by adding the usual suffix -ní to the final verb. The verb-verb compound used in the verbal noun is the base, meaning specifically that the initial as well as the final are morphologically base. The verbal noun suffix -ní induces dropping of the final from M to L by regular tone sandhi (§3.6.2.2), leaving the initial verb unaffected (1037a). Some M-M base stems, especially those with C^v- or C^rv- initials, drop the entire compound to L-tone before -ní (1037b). However, the distinction between M-L-ní and L-L-ní is subtle, especially in elicitation where our speakers tend to undo tone sandhi. (1037c) is a triple compound.

(1037)	compound (base)	gloss	verbal noun	dialect/reference
a.	blá-glō	‘sweep away’	blá-glò-ní	Bi, 2017-10 @ 05:29
	gò-t̃ ⁿ	‘block (path)’	gò-t̃ ⁿ -ní	(various)
	kā ⁿ ʔā ⁿ -sō	‘reply’	kā ⁿ ʔā ⁿ -sò-ní	(various)
	kóʔó-t̃ ⁿ	‘hang head’	kóʔó-t̃ ⁿ -ní	(various)

kō-sō	‘dispossess’	kō-sò-ní	(Fl)
mé ⁿ -tṣ ⁿ ~ mí-tṣ ⁿ	‘throw, shoot’	mí-tṣ ⁿ -ní	(Ji)
sā ⁿ -gbē	‘gather up’	sā ⁿ -gbè-ní	(Fl)
tì-tṣ ⁿ	‘spill’	tì-tṣ ⁿ -ní	(various)
wáʔá-tṣ ⁿ	‘shut’	wáʔá-tṣ ⁿ -ní	(various)
wē-tàʔà	‘help (v)’	wē-tàʔà-ní	Ma, 2018-05 @ 00:42
b. dī-glō	‘take out’	dī-glò-ní	(various)
tārā ⁿ -wō	‘rest (v)’	tārā ⁿ -wò-ní	Ji, 2017-04 @ 01:13
yī-dīē	‘dive in’	yì-dìè-ní	(Fl)
c. gàʔà-tī-tṣ ⁿ	‘kneel’	gàʔà-tī-tṣ ⁿ -ní	(various)

The remainder of section §15.1 is organized around the semantic relationships between the two co-events, as previewed in (1038).

(1038) §15.1.1	overlapping non-motion actions
§15.1.2	action and extent (amplification, diminution)
§15.1.3	action and temporal pattern (e.g. repetition)
§15.1.4	action and temporal location
§15.1.5	action and motion
§15.1.6	action and NP roles
§15.1.7	ability and failure
§15.1.8	opaque compounds

15.1.1 Overlapping non-motion actions

15.1.1.1 Simple transitive-transitive (tr-tr) examples

In (1039), two transitive verbs denote co-events that can be conceptualized as chronologically sequential (although overlapping in part), or as action plus result. Only base forms are shown here, and dialectal pronunciation variants are omitted.

(1039) compound	gloss	Vb1 gloss	Vb2 gloss
bó-súʔú	‘grip; lean hand on’	‘get’	‘catch’
dārā-lò	‘strip and rip off’	‘strip (v)’	‘rip’
fē ⁿ -pā ⁿ	‘hold down’	‘press’	‘join, link (v)’
gò-kò	‘beat to death’	‘hit’	‘kill’
gbè-dó	‘divide and share’	‘pick up, take’	‘divide’
gbè-yíʔé	‘raise up’	‘pick up, take’	‘turn over (earth)’
gò-kú	‘chop (wood)’	‘hit’	‘cut’
gò-kèʔè	‘wreck (v)’	‘hit’	‘ruin’
gò-nèʔè	‘awaken (sb) by nudging’	‘hit’	‘awaken’
lí-súʔú	‘shape into balls in hand’	‘shape into balls’	‘grab’

lò-gbē	‘gather (things)’	‘gather’	‘pick up, take’
mé-kò	‘shoot dead’	‘shoot’	‘kill’
só-cù?ò	‘burn up’	‘ignite’	‘char’
só?ó-pló	‘pierce, make hole in’	‘jab’	‘pound (grain); dig pit’

15.1.1.2 Simple intransitive-intransitive (intr-intr) examples

Intransitive-intransitive compounds are presented here. “Intransitive” may include mediopassive functions of ambi-valent (labile) verbs. In (1040a), the first verb denotes a durative state during which the event denoted by the second verb occurs. In (1040b), Vb1 denotes an action while Vb2 is abstract. (1040c) has various combinations including ‘sleep’. (1040d) is a somewhat atypical combination of two verbs that denote successive, though coordinated, events.

(1040)	compound	gloss	Vb1 gloss	Vb2 gloss
a.	cà ⁿ -lɛ ⁿ fò-gbò?ò dɔ̄-glù ⁿ (F1)	‘stretch out’ ‘explode’ ‘snore’	‘separate’ ‘pop (v)’ ‘sleep (v)’	‘stand’ ‘be shattered’ ‘rumble, growl’
b.	jà?à-bló	‘lose one’s way’	‘be spread’	‘make a mistake’
c.	dɔ̄-tɛrā ⁿ dɔ̄-dò yé-dɔ̄	‘doze off’ ‘talk in sleep’ ‘sleepwalk’	‘sleep’ ‘sleep’ ‘walk’	‘sit’ ‘speak’ ‘sleep’
d.	[dì-só]-[yí?í-fí?í]	‘fall and get up’	‘fall’	‘get up’

15.1.1.3 Simple intransitive-transitive (intr-tr) examples

In (1041), Vb1 is intransitive, and Vb2 (and the compound as a whole) is transitive.

(1041)	compound	gloss	Vb1 gloss	Vb2 gloss
	sò ⁿ -kɔ̄ ⁿ	‘remember (sth)’	‘think’	‘know (sth)’

15.1.1.4 Simple transitive-intransitive (tr-intr) examples

Some verbs are prototypically transitive as uncompounded verbs, but shift to a more abstract function as initials in verb-verb compounds. If the second verb is intransitive, so is the compound. The cases we are interested in here preserve the agentive quality of the transitive, as opposed to mediopassive sense.

kp̀lè/kl̀lò/kl̀lò most often means ‘bump, knock, butt (with head), kick’ as an uncompounded verb. A specialized intransitive collocation is ‘(heart) beat’. As a compound initial with motion verbs, this verb adds the notion of approaching the destination. See §15.1.5.6 for examples.

gb̀à/g̀d̀/ɡ̀d̀ ~ ɡ̀ù most often means ‘hit, tap’ as an uncompounded verb. Other transitive senses are ‘dig (by hacking with a tool)’, ‘narrate (a tale)’, and ‘emit (a shout)’. A specialized intransitive sense is ‘(wind) blow’. The compound ɡ̀d̀-d̀órá ‘keep going’ is intransitive.

15.1.1.5 Compounds with verbs of putting

The main verbs of putting are in (1042).

(1042)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	dialect	gloss
a.	tīē	té	té	(all)	‘put down; be put down’
b.	wìè	wē	wī	Bi Ji	‘put in or on’
	ỳq̀è	"	"	Fl	"
	vìè	"	"	Ma	"
c.	j̀ùèʔè	j̀ùd̀ʔd̀	j̀ùʔù	Fl Ma	‘put (pot, kettle) up (on fire)’
	d̀iʔè	j̀ùʔd̀	"	Ji	
	j̀iʔè	"	"	Bi	

j̀ùʔd̀ ‘put up on’ is homophonous with another verb, ‘follow’, except in the Pfv for Fl dialect (§3.4.2.5). For compounds ending in ‘follow’, and others ending in -j̀ūʔɔ̄ ‘help’, see §15.1.1.6 below.

There are four known compounds ending in -wē ‘put in’ (1043).

(1043) compound	gloss	Vb1 gloss
fé ⁿ -wē	‘stir in (ingredients)’	‘stir with stick’
gbé-wē	‘button up’	‘split, spread’
kóʔó-wē	‘hold down (one’s head)’	‘bend over’
pàʔà-wē	‘push in (firewood, into fire)’	‘push’

By contrast, té ‘put down’ is fairly common in compounds, as Vb1 and especially as Vb2 (1044). As Vb2, the tone is usually -té in semantically transparent compounds of the type ‘Vb1 and put down’, but there are a number of more lexicalized compounds with -tē. In kl̀è-tē ‘fail’ there is no obvious semantic connection to ‘put down’.

(1044)	compound	gloss	Vb1 gloss	Vb2 gloss
a.	té- as Vb1			
	té-sà ⁿ ?à ⁿ	‘line up, align’	‘put down’	?
	té-sū?ɔ̃	‘leave behind’	"	‘give’
	té-ló	‘put down and turn’	"	‘turn’
b.	-tē/-tē as Vb2 (see also -tē ‘fail to Vb1’ §15.1.7.2)			
	<i>transparent compounds with -té</i>			
	gbè-té	‘take and put down’	‘pick up’	‘put down’
	má?á-té	‘roll and put down’	‘roll’	"
	<i>lexicalized compounds with -tē</i>			
	cá-ró-tē	‘hang up’	‘hang’	‘put down’
	dó-tē	‘divide and share’	‘divide’	"
	kó?ó-tē	‘hold down (head)’	‘lower (head)’	"
	klè-tē	‘fail’	‘do’	" (?)
	sà ⁿ ?à-tē	‘line up, align’	?	"

For ‘listen’ (1045a) and ‘prop up, stabilize’ (1045b), Vb1 is té ‘put down’ for Bi, but tó ‘assemble, do together’ for the other dialects. This also accounts for the distinctive Pfv forms tē- and tīē-. For ‘listen’, Vb2 is ‘hear’, though the Bi speaker provided a variant -jū?ū for the usual Ipv form -jū?ɔ̃. For -tó as Vb2 in compounds in the sense ‘together’, see §15.1.6.1.

(1045)	compound	gloss	Vb2 gloss	dialect
a.	tē-jū?ɔ̃/tó-jū?ɔ̃/tó-à-jū?ɔ̃	‘listen’	‘hear’	Ji
	tē-jū?ɔ̃?ɔ̃/tó-jū?ɔ̃?ɔ̃/tó-à-jū?ɔ̃?ɔ̃	"	"	F1
	tīē-jū?ɔ̃/té-jū?ɔ̃/té-à-jū?ū	"	"	Bi
b.	tē-sō/tó-sō/tó-à-fī	‘prop up’	§15.1.1.9	F1 Ji Ma
	tīē-sō/té-sō/té-à-fī	"	"	Bi

15.1.1.6 Compounds with -jù?ɔ̃ ‘follow’ and -jū?ɔ̃ ‘help’ as Vb2

The verb ‘follow’ has the forms in (1046). In its basic sense it is intransitive, but requires a PP with postposition fīē ‘after’. Minor phonetic variants are omitted. Except for the initial consonant in the Pfv in F1 dialect (§3.4.2.5), this verb is homophonous with ‘put (pot, kettle) up’ (1042c).

(1046) Pfv	base	Ipv	dialect
dī?è	jù?ɔ̃	jù?ù	F1 Ji
jī?è	"	"	Bi
jù?è	"	"	Ma

This verb occurs as Vb2 in compound (1047). Like simple ‘follow’, these compounds require a PP with ʃīē ‘after’.

(1047) compound	gloss	Vb1 gloss
cáru ⁿ -jùʔð	‘run hard after’	‘run hard, sprint’
ʃī ⁿ ʔī ⁿ -jùʔð	‘run after’	‘run’
yī-jùʔð	‘fly after’	‘fly (v)’

This L-toned compound final is distinct from M-toned compound final -jūʔð. The latter is related to tènⁿ-jūʔð/tàⁿ-jūʔð/tàⁿ-àⁿ-jūʔū, the default ‘help’ verb. These verbs take simple direct objects, not PPs.

(1048) compound	gloss	Vb1 gloss
ʃī ⁿ ʔī ⁿ -jùʔð	‘help (sb) to run’	‘run’
dí-jūʔð	‘help (sb) to eat’	‘eat (meal)’
tārā ⁿ -jūʔð	‘help (sb) to sit’	‘sit’

yé ‘walk’ combines readily with both finals: yé-jùʔð ‘walk after (sb)’, yé-jūʔð ‘help (sb) walk’.

The phonologically similar verbs jùʔð ‘put (kettle, pot) up (on fire)’ and M-toned jūʔð ‘hear’ do not commonly occur as Vb2 in lexicalized compounds.

15.1.1.7 Compounds with ló ‘turn’ as Vb1 or Vb2

lē/ló/ló ‘turn, change’ (intransitive and transitive) is an important verb that occurs in several compounds.

(1049)	compound	gloss	Vb1 gloss	Vb2/Vb3 gloss
a. as Vb1				
	ló-dá ⁿ	‘change direction, turn’		?
	ló-fó	‘detour and continue’		‘pass, go past’
	ló-ŋīʔē	‘roll up’		‘bend, fold’
	ló-ŋó	‘turn and look’		‘look (at)’
	ló-bāʔā	‘go around; surround’		‘misuse, ruin’
	ló-càʔà	‘lie on one’s back’		‘set out to dry’
	ló-gàʔà	‘fold’		‘snap, break’
	ló-kà ⁿ ʔà ⁿ	‘encounter by chance’		(kà ⁿ ʔà ⁿ -sō ‘reply’)
b. as final verb (Vb2 or Vb3)				
	dú ⁿ ʔú ⁿ -ló	‘stir up (and flip)’	‘stir’	
	kpè-ló	‘turn, roll over’	‘roll’	
	kpè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ -ló	‘slip, slide’	‘twist, bend’	

má-ló	‘change direction, turn’	(má-ǰíé ‘muddy water clear up’)
sù ⁿ ʔù ⁿ -ló	‘organize (baggage)’	?
yáʔá-ló	‘fence in’	‘disrupt’

c. as medial verb in triple compound

sé ⁿ -ló-càʔà	‘lie down on one’s back’	‘lie down’	‘set out to dry’
sé ⁿ -ló-wòʔò	‘lie down on one’s belly’	‘lie down’	?

15.1.1.8 mí- ‘strew’ and mé- ‘throw’ as Vb1

Two semantically and phonologically similar transitive verbs are in (1050). For Bi dialect all vowels are phonemically nasalized (méⁿ, etc.).

(1050) Pfv base Ipv dialect

a. ‘shoot; throw; toss (cowries)’

mlē ⁿ	mé	mlí ⁿ	Bi Fl Ji
mē	"	mí	Ma

b. ‘scatter, strew (grains); spray, sprinkle (liquid)’

mīē	mí	mí	Bi Fl Ji
-----	----	----	----------

Not surprisingly, the compounds in (1051) show hybridization, with mlēⁿ (Pfv) and mí (base).

(1051) Pfv base dialect

a. ‘disperse (intr)’

mlē ⁿ -jāʔā	mí-jāʔā	(various)
------------------------	---------	-----------

b. ‘throw, shoot; release’

mlē ⁿ -tō ⁿ	mí-tō ⁿ	Fl Ji
-----------------------------------	--------------------	-------

15.1.1.9 Compounds with -so and -ǰi as Vb2

Three verbs (1052a-c) have segmental so in the base but different tones. One of them shares an Ipv ǰī with a fourth verb (1052c-d).

(1052)	Pfv	base	Ipv	gloss
a.	sē/sūō	só	só	‘(bird) land; collapse; (sun) set’
b.	sè	sò	sò	‘carry on head’
c.	sùò/ǰùò	sō	ǰī	‘take, receive; take (a breath)’
d.	ǰìè	ǰī	ǰī	‘give birth’

Of the four, only (1052c) ‘take, receive’ occurs commonly as Vb2 in lexicalized compounds. All compounds in (1053) have a Vb2 that is compatible segmentally and semantically with (1052c). In several cases the semantic connection is also reasonable.

(1053)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	Vb1 gloss
	gbà-sō	gò-sō	gò-à-ǰī	‘reach agreement’	‘tap, bump’
	klì ⁿ -sō	klì ⁿ -sō	klì ⁿ -à ⁿ -ǰī	‘borrow’	‘borrow’
	kùò-sō	kò-sō	cùì-à-ǰī	‘take (sth away from)’	‘hit’
	lè ⁿ -sō	lè ⁿ -sō	lè ⁿ -è-ǰī	‘take (sth) away from’	‘drive away’
	nèʔè-sō	nèʔè-sō	nèʔ-à-ǰī	‘get by asking’	‘ask for’
	ǰūò-sō	ǰó-sō	ǰú-à-ǰī	‘envy (v), emulate’	‘look at’
	tíè ⁿ -sō	tí ⁿ -sō	tí ⁿ -à ⁿ -ǰī	‘take by force’	‘pull’

klìⁿ-sō and simple klìⁿ ‘borrow (sth, from sb)’ have antonym klìⁿ-sūʔò ‘lend (sth, to sb)’, with ‘give’ as Vb2.

Three additional compounds (1054) have a Vb2 that is compatible segmentally with ‘take, receive’ (1052c), but the meanings are difficult to reconcile. The tones are correct in (1054a) but not (1054b). The identity of Vb1 in each case is also problematic.

(1054)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	Vb1 gloss
a.	kè ⁿ ʔè ⁿ -sō	kā ⁿ ʔā ⁿ -sō	kā ⁿ ʔ-à ⁿ -ǰī	‘reply; help to lift’	‘encounter’
	tē-sō	tó-sō	tó-à-ǰī	‘prop up’	§15.1.1.5 (1045b)
	tē-sō	té-sō	té-à-ǰī (Bi)	"	
b.	dìè-só	dì-só	dī-à-ǰí	‘fall’ (F1 Ji Ma)	?
	"	dí-só	dí-à-ǰí	" (Bi)	

The final in the compound (1055) is phonologically compatible with ‘give birth’ (1052d) above, but this would make no sense semantically here.

(1055)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	Vb1 gloss
	lè ⁿ -ǰī	lé ⁿ -ǰī	lé ⁿ -à-ǰī	‘wait for (sb)’	‘stand’

The only known lexicalized compound whose Vb1 is any of the verbs mentioned above is (1056). The initial is related to ‘take, receive’ (1052c) but the final is obscure.

(1056)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	Vb2 gloss
	sùò-bó ⁿ	sò-bó ⁿ	ǰī-à-bó ⁿ	‘rescue, save (sb)’	?

15.1.1.10 Compounds with yī- as Vb1

The verb yìè/yī/yī ‘fly; jump’ occurs as Vb1 in (1057a-b). Some dialectal variants are omitted (e.g. Ma Pfv zìè). The semantic relationship of (1057b) with ‘fly; jump’ is unclear. The initial in (1057c) is yí?ē/yí?í/yí?í ‘go’, clearly so in careful pronunciation.

(1057)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	Vb2 gloss
a.	yìè-dà ⁿ yìè-dā yìè-dīē	yī-dà ⁿ yī-dā yī-dīē	yī-ā-dà ⁿ yī-à-dā yī-à-dīē	‘jump over; cross’ (Ji) " (Bi Fl Ma) ‘dive in, plunge’	‘arrive’ ? ‘enter’
b.	yìè-fló	yì-fló	yì-à-fló	‘fill’	‘untie’ (?)
c.	yí?ē-ſì?ì	yí?í-ſì?ì	yí?-ā-ſì?ì	‘get up’	?

‘Fly, jump’ can be added to ‘get up’ as Vb1 in a triple compound: yì-[yí?í-ſì?ì] ‘fly up, take flight’.

15.1.1.11 Compounds with -jǎ(ⁿ) ‘look at’ as Vb2

The two main verbs of vision are jà/jǎ/jǎè ‘see’ and jǎw̄/jǎ/jǎ ‘look (at)’. Bi dialect has Ipvf lúⁿ instead of jǎ. ‘See’ does not occur as Vb2 in lexicalized compounds. Lexicalized compounds with ‘look (at)’ as Vb2 are presented here; for the experiential perfect construction of the same form see §15.1.4.3.

The compounds in (1058) involve vision from unusual angles.

(1058)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	dialects
a.	cè-jǎ cè-jǎ ⁿ	cà-jǎ cà-jǎ ⁿ	cà-à-jǎ cà-à-lú ⁿ	‘look up at’ "	Fl Ji Bi
b.	jùè ⁿ -jǎ jùè ⁿ -jǎ ⁿ	jùà ⁿ -jǎ jùà ⁿ -jǎ ⁿ	jù ⁿ -à ⁿ -jǎ jù ⁿ -à ⁿ -lú ⁿ	‘look down at’ "	Fl Ji Bi
c.	flè-jǎ flè-jǎ ⁿ	flè-jǎ flè-jǎ ⁿ	flè-à-jǎ flè-à-lú ⁿ	‘peek (to the side)’ "	Fl Ji Bi
d.	klè ⁿ -jǎ klè ⁿ -jǎ ⁿ	kè ⁿ -jǎ kè ⁿ -jǎ ⁿ	klī ⁿ -à ⁿ -jǎ klī ⁿ -à ⁿ -lú ⁿ	‘turn head to look at’ "	Fl Ji Bi
e.	lè-jǎ lè-jǎ ⁿ	ló-jǎ ló-jǎ ⁿ	ló-à-jǎ ló-à-lú ⁿ	‘turn around and look’ "	Fl Ji Bi

The compounds in (1059) extend beyond vision, but retain the more abstract sense ‘attempt to perceive’ that is associated with ‘look at’.

(1059)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	Vb1 gloss	dialects
a.	klè-ᵑó	klè-ᵑó	klè-à-ᵑú	‘try (to do)’	‘do’	F1 Ji
	klè-ᵑó ⁿ	klè-ᵑó ⁿ	klè-à-lú ⁿ	“	“	Bi
b.	pè ⁿ -ᵑó	pá ⁿ -ᵑó	pá ⁿ -à-ᵑú	‘taste (v)’	‘touch’	F1 Ji
	pìè ⁿ -ᵑó ⁿ	pá ⁿ -ᵑó ⁿ	pá ⁿ -à-lú ⁿ	“	“	Bi

15.1.1.12 Compounds with bló- ~ blú- ‘do by mistake’ as Vb1

The verb blē/bló/bló (Ji), blē/blú/blú (F1 Ma), or blō/blú/blú (Bi) ‘err, make a mistake’ can be Vb1 to a wide range of intransitive and transitive verbs in the sense ‘(do) by mistake’.

(1060) compound	gloss	Vb2 gloss	dialect
bló-dīē	‘enter by mistake’	‘enter’	Ji
bló-mé	‘shoot by mistake’	‘shoot’	Ji

See also jà?à-bló ‘lose one’s way’ (§15.1.1.2), where this verb is Vb2.

15.1.2 Action and extent

Under this rubric we consider verb-verb compounds in which one of the verbs (always Vb2 in our data) specifies amplification (‘do a lot’, ‘do very much’) or diminution (‘do a little’).

15.1.2.1 Amplification

The three verbs in (1061) can function as Vb2 in compounds that amplify the extent of the eventuality described by Vb1. (1061c) is itself a compound (§15.1.1.10 above). gōrēⁿ as uncompounded verb means ‘fix; make, manufacture’. The already compounded yī-dā and yī-dàⁿ mean ‘cross over, jump over, overflow’ without further compounding. The glosses in (1061) apply when these forms function as Vb2 in compounds with an open-ended set of Vb1’s.

(1061) a.	-gōrē ⁿ (Bi F1 Ji)	‘do a lot’ (also qualitative ‘do well’, §8.5.4.1)
b.	-dórá	‘do a lot, do too much’
c.	-yī-dā (Bi F1 Ma)	‘do too much’
	-yī-dà ⁿ (Ji)	

The order in (1061) reflects increasing degree of emphasis, with -yī-dà ~ yī-dàⁿ strongest.

(1062)	nó	nà	bē-gōrē ⁿ	‘I will get fairly/rather tired.’
			bè-dórá	‘I will get very tired.’
			bē-[yī-dā]	‘I will get exhausted.’
	1Sg	Fut	get.tired.Base-...	

In amplification function, -gōrēⁿ and -dórá occur only as compound Vb2. By contrast, yī-dā ~ yī-dā can appear either as a compound Vb2 or as an adjoined infinitival clause kō yī-dā ‘excessively’.

The combination -gòrēⁿ-dórá is attested, forming triple compounds. Since -gòrēⁿ can have evaluative sense ‘VP well’, the sense of -gòrēⁿ-dórá could in theory be a mix of quality and amplification: ‘VP really well’ or ‘really VP well’. However, in some examples the combination just seems to be a slightly stronger form of ‘(be/do) very much’ (1063a), compare Eng *well and truly (tired, defeated, etc.)*. In the triple combination (1063b), ‘overflow’ is added as an attached infinitivalVP.

(1063) a.	nó	nà	bē-gòrē ⁿ -dórá	
	1Sg	Ipfv	get.tired.Base- do.well .Base- do.a.lot .Base	
			‘I will get really tired.’	(Ji)
b.	nó	nà	bē-gòrē ⁿ -dórá	
	1Sg	Ipfv	get.tired.Base- do.well .Base- do.a.lot .Base	
			[kō yī-dā ⁿ]	
			[Infin overflow .Base]	
			‘I will get genuinely exhausted.’	(Ji)

These verbs can be compounded to a transitive as well as to an intransitive Vb1. In the transitive case, the entire compound precedes the object. Examples are in the following subsections.

15.1.2.1.1 gōrēⁿ ‘(do) well’ or ‘(do) quite’ as Vb2

gōrēⁿ (Bi) as an uncompounded transitive verb means ‘manufacture’ or ‘fix, repair’. As mediopassive intransitive it means ‘be manufactured, be fixed’. The emphasis is on quality: ‘make (sth) well, properly’. As Vb2 in compounds it means ‘VP well’ or ‘VP a lot’, cf. Fr *bien*. In free translations, adverb ‘really’ is sometimes appropriate. Some elicited examples are in (1064).

(1064) compound	gloss	Vb1 gloss	dialect
ʃi ⁿ ʃi ⁿ -gōrē ⁿ	‘run well; really run’	‘run’	Ji
gò-gōrē ⁿ	‘give a good beating to’	‘hit’	Ji
bē-gōrē ⁿ	‘get rather tired’	‘get tired’	Fl

This Vb2 can be used with adjectival predicates in the sense ‘quite, rather’.

- (1065) [ē dīṣʷ=] = ṣʷn kǎʔ-à-gǎrēʷn
 [Art firewood] Ipfv be.hard.Ipfv-Ipfv-**do.well**.Ipfv
 ‘The firewood is quite hard.’ (F1)

There are two textual occurrences. The context in (1066a) is stewardship of the local grotto with its prehistoric engravings. (1066b) is from a recording about making shea butter.

- (1066) a. ṅ káʷn [gò súʔú-gǎrēʷn = nì]
 2Sg must [Infin catch.Base-**do.well**.Base 3InanObj]
 ‘You must take good care of it.’
 (F1 & Ji, 2017-11 @ 10:45, cf. @ 04:53, @ 10:48)

- b. ó bà sǎrē-gǎrēʷn = nì,
 1Pl if skim.Base-**do.well**.Base 3InanObj,
 ó gò— té-sūʔṣ = nì mā
 1Pl Infin— put.down.Base-give.Base 3InanObj there.Def
 ‘When we have skimmed it off well, we set it down there.’
 (women, 2017-16 @ 01:04, edited)

15.1.2.1.2 -dǎrá ‘(be/do) very much/too much’ as Vb2

-dǎrá occurs as second element in a verb-verb compound. Its verbal noun is -dǎrá-ní. It is more common than other augmentative Vb2’s. It is generally more emphatic than -gǎrēʷn in augmentative contexts. In some examples it indicates multiplicity. -dǎrá is invariant in form except for low-level tone sandhi. Elicited examples are in (1067).

(1067) compound	gloss	Vb1 gloss	dialect
ʃʷnʃʷn-dǎrá	‘run a lot’	‘run’	Ji
gò-dǎrá	‘hit a lot’	‘hit’	Ji
bè-dǎrá	‘get very tired’	‘get tired’	F1

gò-dǎrá ‘hit a lot’ can also mean ‘keep going, continue on one’s way’ (F1, 2017-03 @ 01:28). Like -gǎrēʷn, -dǎrá can function as Vb2 with adjectival predicates.

- (1068) [ē dīṣʷ=] = ṣʷn kǎʔ-à-dǎrá
 [Art firewood] Ipfv be.hard.Ipfv-Ipfv-**do.a.lot**.Ipfv
 ‘The firewood is very/too hard.’ (F1)

Textual examples are in (1069).

- (1069) a. [è jíríⁿ-ní] kō— à cɔʔ-à-dérá
 [Art djinn-Pl] Infin— Ipfv fear.Ipfv-**do.a.lot**.Ipfv
 [è ná-bí jórámá]
 [Art person very.good]
 ‘The djinns were—, very afraid of a human.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 00:57)
- b. bó jàⁿ-dérá= [Ø à-ré]
 3AnSg see.Pfv-**do.a.lot**.Base [Art thing-Pl]
 ‘He (=hyena) saw lots of things.’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 06:55)
- c. wálà→, kō [yī-dà]-dérá
 right, Infin [cross.over.Base]-**do.a.lot**.Base
 ‘Right, (and must not) overstep too far’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 10:51)
- d. [kō sò-dér= [é bàʔà] bè-yá-ró
 [Infin take.Base-**do.a.lot**.Base [1Pl Dat] thus
 ‘and invaded our country.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 01:47)
- e. jí bó jàⁿ-dérá→, [ē blāʔā] yìè-fló
 if 3AnSg see.Pfv-**do.a.lot**.Base, [Art pond] be.full.Pfv
 ‘when she saw (that) the pond was full (of elephants)’
 (Bi, 2017-09 @ 02:45)
- f. [wō [tì-tòⁿ]-dérá [bó nīⁿ] bè-yá-ró
 [Infin [pour.Base]-**do.a.lot**.Base [3AnSg Loc] thus
 ‘It (=elephant) then poured (=dropped heavily) on her.’
 (Bi, 2017-09 @ 03:12)
- g. kō bà [Ø= à-jìⁿ-dérá =ò]
 Infin come.Base [Infin come.Base-see.Base-**do.a.lot**.Base 3AnSgObj]
 pèrèkètè bè-yá-ró
 wrecked thus
 ‘(Then) they came and had a good look at her in bad shape.’
 (Bi, 2017-09 @ 03:47)
- h. ò kánà kèʔè-kò-dérá= [Ø mié]
 3Pl Proh ruin(v).Base-finish.Base-**do.a.lot**.Base [Art 1Pl]
 ‘May they (=elephants) not completely ruin (all of) us!’
 (Ji, 2017-09 @ 08:10)
- i. gō jìⁿ-dérâ= [Ø blí-ké] bè-yá-ró
 Infin see.Base-**do.a.lot**.Base [Art hare] thus
 ‘(Then they) managed to see (=get) hares in that way.’
 (Bi, 2017-10 @ 04:47)

- j. Ø mà [wáʔá-t̃ⁿ]-dǎrá = nì
 2Sg if [shut.Base]-**do.a.lot**.Base 3InanObj
 ‘if (you) close it quite off’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 02:44)

-dǎrá may be obscurely related to the verb dǎrē/dǎró/dǎró ‘abound, become numerous’ although the vocalism does not match. The two can combine into a quasi-iterative compound in the sense ‘abound, become numerous or a lot’, with the final dropping to M-toned: Pfv dǎrē-dǎrá ‘abounded, became great’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 05:40), base dǎró-dǎrá (Ji, 2017-09 @ 07:38), Ipfv à dǎró-à-dǎrá ‘become abundant’ (Ji, 2017-09 @ 07:35).

15.1.2.1.3 Vb2 -yī-dā ~ -yī-dàⁿ ‘overflow’ as ‘do excessively’

The already compound verb yìè-dā/yī-dā/yī-à-dā (Bi Fl) or, with a different final, yìè-dàⁿ/yī-dàⁿ/yī-à-dàⁿ (Ji) means ‘jump over; cross; overflow; overstep; transgress (boundary)’ if no further verb is added as Vb1. The final -dā (Bi Fl) is not otherwise known. The dialectal alternative -dàⁿ (Ji) is the verb ‘arrive’.

yī-dā or yī-dàⁿ may be added to a preceding Vb1 in the sense ‘VP extremely, excessively, too much’. We will gloss it literally as ‘overflow’ in such examples.

- (1070) a. nó blè-[yī-dā]
 1Sg get.tired.Pfv-[**overflow**.Base]
 ‘I got extremely tired (=exhausted).’ (Fl)
- b. ná= à blī-à-[yī-à-dā]
 1Sg Ipfv get.tired.Ipfv-Ipfv-[**overflow**.Ipfv]
 ‘I (often) get extremely tired.’ (Fl)

Alternatively, the infinitival VP kō yī-dā or kō yī-dàⁿ can be added to a clause containing the other verb. Examples are (1071) below and (1063b) above.

- (1071) ðⁿ má káⁿ [wō dō] [kō zī-dā]
 3AnSg IpfvNeg ought [Infīn say.Base] [Infīn **overflow**.Base]
 ‘He (=chief) musn’t say too much.’ (Ma, 2018-02 @ 01:15)

15.1.2.2 -dǎ/-dō ‘be/do a little’ as Vb2

For ‘VP a little’ or ‘VP somewhat’ in a scalar context, the stem —/dǎ/dō (Fl) ‘be/do a little’ is added as compound final to another verb. -dǎ is the base and can combine with an initial Pfv or base verb. -dō is the Ipfv. Elicited examples are in (1072). There are no textual examples.

- (1072) a. nó blè-dǎ
 1Sg get.tired.Pfv-**do.a.little**
 ‘I am (=have become) slightly tired.’ (Fl)

- b. nō nà bē-dō
 1Sg Fut get.tired.Base-**do.a.little**.Base
 ‘I will get a little tired.’ (Fl)
- c. ná =à blī-á-dō
 1Sg Ipfv get.tired.Ipfv-Ipfv-**do.a.little.Ipfv**
 ‘I am (=have become) slightly tired.’ (Fl)

Like some of the amplifying Vb2’s, this verb (in Ipfv form -dō) can be added to adjectival predicates.

- (1073) [ē dīōⁿ? =] = ðⁿ kã[?]-à-dō
 [Art firewood] Ipfv be.hard-**do.a.little.Ipfv**
 ‘The firewood is a bit hard.’ (Fl)

The forms of —/dō/dō are phonologically compatible with those of the pandialectal verb dèrè/dō/dō ~ dū ‘buy’, but there is no obvious semantic link.

A more promising connection is with the morphologically unusual compound ‘be lacking, missing’. The base is dó-dō but the Pfv in particular is variable across dialects. See §10.1.6.3 for details.

For adverbs and adjectives that diminish scalar quantities, see §8.5.2.2.

Reduplicative dèmè-dèmè ‘do a little’, from Jula, is attested (Bi, 2017-09 @ 03:50).

15.1.2.3 Satiety with -dé as Vb2

The general verb ‘be sated, full (after consumption)’ is dōrē/dé/dé ~ dí. It combines with preceding verbs of consumption and some others.

(1074)	cpd	gloss	Vb1 gloss	comment
a. with -dé (base stem)				
	dì-dé (Fl Ji)	‘be full after eating’	‘eat (meal)’	variant dí-dé (Bi)
	kà-dé	‘be full (of meat)’	‘eat (meat)’	
	ɲè-dé	‘quench one’s thirst’	‘drink’	
	sò-dé	‘overload’	‘carry (on head)’	
	wè-dé	‘(boy) be ready (to marry)’	‘be put’	(Ma, 2017-10 @ 00:24)
b. with -dé (base stem)				
	wò-dé	‘be well-bathed (cleansed)’	‘bathe’	(Bo, 2017-13 @ 04:03)

‘Be well-bathed’ (1074b), attested for Bi (including Bo) dialect, has the stem paradigm wè-dé/wò-dé/lū-à-dé, presumably with irregular ATR harmony. This expression is culturally important since it can mean ‘(girl) be cleansed (by excision)’, traditionally a rite de passage preparing adolescent girls for marriage. It occurs in the women’s marriage songs in Bo text 2019-13 beginning @ 04:03. Compare láⁿ ‘wash’ in the context of male circumcision (Bi,

2017-10 @ 00:08). The semantically unrelated verb *jò* ‘swallow’ can mean ‘excise (girl)’ (Bo text 2019-10 @ 00:30) or ‘circumcise (boy)’.

15.1.3 Action and temporal pattern

In the verb-verb compounds described in the subsections below, either Vb1 or Vb2 modifies the internal temporal structure of the eventuality described by the other verb. These modifications include repetition, frequency, prolongation, and completion.

15.1.3.1 *klá-* ‘return, repeat’

The verb *klē/klá/klá* ‘return, go back’ can combine with a following Vb2 in the sense ‘repeat, do again’. Attested compounds of *klá-* are in (1075). In all cases the meaning of the compound includes motion (1075a) or some other change of state (1075b). The most common combination is *klá-bà* ‘come back’.

(1075)	compound	gloss	Vb2 gloss	reference
	a. motion			
	<i>klá-bà</i>	‘come back’	‘come’	(Ma, 2017-01 @ 01:05)
	<i>klá-yíʔí</i>	‘go back’	‘go’	(women, 2017-14 @ 00:29)
	<i>klá-sárúⁿ</i>	‘go/climb back up’	‘ascend’	(Ji, 2017-01 @ 03:57)
	b. non-motion change of state			
	<i>klá-dō</i>	‘go back to sleep’	‘sleep (v)’	
	<i>klá-pēⁿ</i>	‘remain, be left’	‘stay’	(Ji, 2017-09 @ 07:26)
	<i>klá-wē</i>	‘change clothes’	‘put on’	(women, 2017-13 @ 02:53)
	c. triple compound			
	<i>klá-ʃiⁿʔiⁿ-bà</i>	‘come running back’	‘run’+‘come’	(Fl, 2017-05 @ 03:21)

See also the quadruple compound [*sò-[klá-bà]*]-*té* in (1036) above.

Any of the forms of *klē/klá/klá* can be used as a main verb, followed by an infinitival VP expressing the repeated action (§15.2.3.1). This alternative periphrasis is likely responsible for the paucity of attested lexicalized compounds.

15.1.3.2 *ká-* ‘do again’

Another Vb1 in compounds that can mean ‘repeat’ or ‘renew’ is *ká-*. Its historical relationship to *klá-* is unclear. *ká-* is said by some speakers to be a Jula borrowing, but Jula *kà* (L-toned) is an infinitival or VP-conjoining particle similar to Tiefó-D *kō*.

As usual in compounds, the Vb2 following *ká-* is in base form (except in Ipfv compounds). Unlike *klá-*, which usually requires either motion or (for non-motion verbs)

- (1079) a. *dè óⁿ!*, *jánà*, *jà = à* *mà kō* *bè-kā = rē?*,
 Quot oh!, friend, if 3Inan if be thus Emph,
nó nà ká-dò [*à-bì-píóⁿ jì*] [*mó bà?à*]
 1Sg Fut **do.again**.Base-say.Base [a.little Indef] [2Sg Dat]
 ‘(Francolin:) “Ah, friend, if (=since) it is thus, I will reveal to you a little
 something.”’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 03:31)
- b. *mó sū?ò =* [*Ø bú j =*] [*óⁿ bà?à*]
 2Sg take.Pfv [Art money Indef] [3AnSg Dat]
kǎⁿ, *òⁿ nà ká-[tàⁿ-jù?ò]* *mó mlěⁿ tē*
 Dem.AnSg, 3AnSg Fut **do.again**.Base-[help.Base] 2Sg how? Q
 ‘You-Sg received some money from him, that one. How will he help (you)
 again?’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 06:56)

15.1.3.3 táⁿ- and tá- ‘do again; do too’

Another way to say ‘VP again’ is to add *tēⁿ-/táⁿ-/tá-* as Vb1 to the target verb. The known examples are in (1080). Motion verbs are represented but are a small percentage of attestations.

(1080)	compound	gloss	Vb2 gloss	reference
	a. motion			
	<i>táⁿ-bà</i>	‘come again’	‘come’	(Bi, 2017-07 @ 06:50)
	<i>táⁿ-dàⁿ</i>	‘arrive again’	‘arrive’	(women, 2017-18 @ 00:39)
	<i>táⁿ-[dì-só]</i>	‘fall again’	‘fall’	Fl
	b. non-motion			
	<i>táⁿ-jīⁿ</i>	‘see again’	‘see’	(Bi, 2017-07 @ 06:50)
	<i>táⁿ-dò</i>	‘say again’	‘say’	(Bi, 2017-08 @ 08:12)
	<i>táⁿ-léⁿ</i>	‘stop again’	‘stand, stop’	(Bi, 2017-08 @ 08:35)
	<i>táⁿ-gbē</i>	‘take over for’	‘take’	(women, 2017-13 @ 01:17)
	<i>táⁿ-gò</i>	‘emit another (shout)’	‘hit; emit’	(women, 2017-13 @ 03:35)
	<i>táⁿ-sū?ò</i>	‘serve’ (§15.1.6.2)	‘give’	(women, 2017-12 @ 02:46)

A lengthy compound is *táⁿ-bó-wē-tà?à* ‘tie fast onto (one’s hips)’, (Bi, 2017-08 @ 03:02).

In the Bofoboso texts, *tá-* (attested as base) can mean ‘(do) too’ in the imitative sense, as in ‘when monkey climbed down, dog climbed down too’ (2019-01 @ 01:13).

15.1.3.4 kpóⁿ?óⁿ- ‘do frequently’ as Vb1

The verb *kpóⁿ?óⁿ-* ‘be/do often’ is Vb1 in the compound. The combination is incompatible with perfective aspect for semantic reasons. The Ipfv form is *kpóⁿ?óⁿ-àⁿ-* plus the main verb. Our only examples are elicited (1081).

- (1081) a. ná= à kpóʔ-âⁿ-yíʔ= [[Ø úⁿ] nī]
 1Sg Ipfv **do.often**.Ipfv-Ipfv-go.Ipfv [[Art village] Loc]
 ‘I often go to the village.’ (Ji)
- b. nó má kpóʔ-âⁿ-yíʔ= [[Ø úⁿ] nī]
 1Sg IpfvNeg **do.often**.Ipfv-Ipfv-go.Ipfv [[Art village] Loc]
 ‘I don’t often go to the village.’ (Ji)

15.1.3.5 pēⁿ- ‘keep VPing’

The verb pìèⁿ/pēⁿ/pīⁿ is common in the sense ‘remain, stay’ followed by an adverbial phrase denoting an abstract situation with bè nī ‘in that’, or denoting a spatial location. Two among many textual examples are (Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:21 & 02:41).

The verb can also take a verb or VP complement in the sense ‘keep VPing’. In (1082a) it is Vb1- in a verb-verb compound. In (1082b-c) it is followed by a progressive construction. If the progressive verb is intransitive it can be considered to be compounded (1082b), but if it is transitive the object intervenes between ‘remain’ and the progressive verb (1082c). In (1082d) ‘remain’ is followed by an imperfective infinitival VP which is repeated to emphasize duration.

- (1082) a. móⁿ ηō pēⁿ-dè
 2Sg Infin **remain**.Base-say.Base
 ‘You keep saying (that ...).’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 10:42)
- b. ðⁿ gō [pēⁿ-[kpèⁿ-jóⁿ] nī]
 3AnSg be [**remain**.Base-[turn.head.and.look.Prog] Prog]
 ‘She kept turning her head to look back.’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 02:56)
- c. [bó pìèⁿ [ðⁿ jόⁿ] nī]
 [3AnSg **remain**.Pfv [3AnSg look.at.Prog] Prog]
 ‘She (=hyena woman) kept looking at it.’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 03:37)
- d. bó pìèⁿ [g-â dī= [Ø jīⁿʔīⁿ-bíó]],
 3AnSg **remain**.Pfv [Infin-Ipfv eat.Ipfv [Art tree-fruit]],
 g-â dī= [Ø jīⁿʔīⁿ-bíó]
 Infin-Ipfv eat.Ipfv [Art tree-fruit]
 ‘It kept eating and eating the tree fruits.’ (Bi, 2017-06 @ 01:25)

15.1.3.6 Vb2 or separate verb (-)kō ‘finish VPing’

The regular, uncompounded verb ‘finish’ is kpà/kō/kō ~ kū. This verb is ambi-valent, intransitive ‘be finished, end’ or transitive ‘finish (something)’. It indicates that an activity has reached its logical completion, or that an action has been carried out completely. A

It is also possible to add *k̄* after a complete VP including a postverbal complement or adjunct. (1086a) and (1086b) appear to be essentially synonymous.

(1086) a. *nó* *dīē-k̄* [Ø *kàʔá*]
 1Sg eat.Pfv-**finish**.Base [Art meat]
 ‘I have finished eating the meat.’ (Flaso)

b. *nó* *dīē* [Ø *kàʔá*] *k̄*
 1Sg eat.Pfv [Art meat] **finish**.Base
 [=a)]

A textual example with *k̄* separated from the preceding verb is (1087).

(1087) *donc* *ō* *bà* *á-té* =ò *k̄*,
 so 3Pl if go.Base-put.Base 3AnSgObj **finish**.Base,
ò *k̄* *sàrò* ...
 3Pl Infin proceed.to.Base ...
 ‘When they have gone and installed him, they proceed to ...’
 (Ma, 2018-01 @ 02:07)

Our practice is to transcribe *-k̄* as a compound Vb2 (i.e. hyphenated) unless there is some constituent between it and the main verb.

The combination of *-k̄* with *-p̄* ‘be able to, can’ creates a construction translatable as active ‘be able to Vb1’ or (medio-)passive ‘be able to be Vb1-ed’. In non-time-sensitive contexts, the latter can mean ‘be Vb1-able’, or when negated ‘be un-Vb1-able’.

(1088) a. [*ē* *dè*] *á* *bá-k̄-p̄* =?
 [Art field] PfvNeg cultivate.Base-finish.Base-be.able.Base Neg
 ‘The field couldn’t finish being cultivated.’ (Ma, 2017-03 @ 02:08)
 (context: the farmer did not have time to finish weeding the field)

b. [*à* *má* *sàrà-k̄-p̄* *dò*]
 [3Inan IpfvNeg pay.Ipfv-finish.Base-be.able.Base Emph]
 ‘It (=damage) cannot be fully paid for.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 05:40)
 (context: elephants have caused disastrous damage to fields)

For ‘cease, halt, abandon (doing)’, describing cessation without reaching the natural endpoint implied by ‘finish (doing)’, see §17.5.2.

15.1.3.7 Vb2 -tèʔè ‘be accustomed to VP’

As independent verb, invariant *tèʔè* can take a locative PP complement. The complement of the locative postposition may be a verbal noun (§17.5.3).

The alternative is a compound with *-tèʔè* as Vb2. If Vb1 is transitive, its complements follow the verb-verb compound. There are two textual examples.

- d. 5ⁿ kùò-c5ⁿ [kà nó]
 3AnSg hit.Pfv-**spend.night**.Base [with 1Sg]
 ‘He/She spent the night hitting me.’ (Fl)
- e. 5ⁿ ɲùḍ-c5ⁿ
 3AnSg drink.Pfv-**spend.night**.Base
 ‘He/She spent the night drinking.’ (Ji)

This is distinct from ‘do (something) at night’, where the nighttime is merely an enclosing time interval during which a brief event occurred. This requires a PP ‘at night’.

- (1091) ɖⁿ wūḍ= [[Ø blíʔí] nī]
 3AnSg die.Pfv [[Art night] Loc]
 ‘He/She died at night (=during the night).’ (Ji)

15.1.4.2 ‘Spend the day VP-ing’ with -só as Vb2

The counterpart ‘spend the (whole) daytime VP-ing’, or more accurately ‘VP until the end of the day’, uses the intransitive verb *sē/só/só*, whose most relevant sense as simple verb is ‘(sun) set’, as Vb1 in the compound. Sunset is here the boundary of the relevant time interval. The normal subject of the verb is *ē dè* ‘sun; day(time)’. This noun becomes the direct object in the compound. If Vb2 is transitive, its object is expressed as a PP (1092).

- (1092) 5ⁿ kùò-sḵ= [Ø dè] [nó nī]
 3AnSg hit.Pfv-**sun.set**.Base [Art sun/day] [1Sg Loc]
 ‘He/She spent the day hitting me.’ (Fl)

This construction can be paraphrased more transparently by shifting Vb1 into a verbal-noun locative PP following ‘sun/day’.

- (1093) nó kpā= [Ø dè=] [[Ø ʃɪⁿʔɪⁿ-ní] nī]
 1Sg finish.Pfv [Art **daytime**] [[Art run-VblN] Loc]
 ‘I finished (=spent) the day running.’ (Fl)

15.1.4.3 Experiential perfect (‘have ever VPed’) with -ɲó as Vb2

A simple perfective clause like (1094a) below can be elaborated as an experiential perfect (ExpPf), translatable with ‘have ever’, by adding -ɲó (Bi -ɲóⁿ) as Vb2 in compound to the main verb (1094b-c). -ɲó is elsewhere the base of the verb ‘look (at)’ *ɲūḵ/ɲó/ɲú* (Bi *ɲūḵⁿ/ɲóⁿ/lúⁿ*), and occurs as Vb2 in some lexicalized compounds involving vision, taste, and trying (§15.1.1.11, §15.1.7.2).

The experiential perfect construction describes an experience such as seeing a rare entity or going to an important but distant place that leaves a durable memory or results in a change of status. The compound occurs in perfective clauses.

- (1094) a. $nó$ $ɲǎ =$ $[Ø$ $bǔ]$
 1Sg see.Pfv [Art elephant]
 ‘I saw an elephant.’ (Ji)
- b. $mó$ $ɲà-ɲɔ̄ =$ $[Ø$ $bǔ]$ $= ɔ̄$
 2Sg see.Pfv-**ExpPf** [Art elephant] Q
 ‘Have you-Sg ever seen an elephant?’ (Fl Ji)
- c. $nó$ $ɲà-ɲɔ̄ =$ $[Ø$ $bǔ]$
 1Sg see.Pfv-**ExpPf** [Art elephant]
 ‘I have (at least once) seen an elephant.’ (Fl Ji)
- d. $nó$ $cìè-ɲɔ̄ =$ $[Ø$ $kàʔá]$
 1Sg eat.meat.Pfv-**ExpPf** [Art meat]
 ‘I have (once) eaten meat.’ (Ma)

Under negation, this construction means ‘have never VPed’. As usual the perfective negative is expressed by particle *á* plus the base of the verb.

- (1095) a. $[ē$ $bǔ]$ $ná =$ $á$ $ɲì-ɲó$ $= ?$
 [Art elephant] 1Sg **PfvNeg** see.Base-**ExpPf** Neg
 ‘An elephant [topic] I have never seen.’ (Ji)
- b. $ǔ^n =$ $Ø$ $ɲì-ɲó$ $nó$ $= ?$
 3AnSg **PfvNeg** see.Base-**ExpPf** 1Sg Neg
 ‘He/She has never seen me.’ (Fl Ji)

There is one textual example.

- (1096) $ǔ^n$ $ɲō$ $bà$ $[gǎ =$
 3AnSg Infin come.Base [with
 $[Ø$ $tàpùʔð$ $jèr =]$ $= á^n$ $sé^n-ɲó]$
 [Art mat Rel] **PfvNeg** lie.down.Base-**ExpPf**
 ‘She then brought a (new) mat that had never been slept on.’
 (women, 2017-13 @ 03:22)

Since *-ɲó* is H-toned, it should trigger M#H-to-L#H on a preceding verb. This is indeed the case in all dialects with most invariant verbs of tonal type MMM. The forms in (1097a-b) are valid for all dialects (Bi with *-ɲóⁿ*), in both the perfective (with Pfv verb) and perfective negative (with base verb).

- (1097) Pfv = base ExpPf gloss
- a. loans from Jula, invariant stems
- | | | |
|--------|-----------------|----------------|
| $kāɲā$ | $kàɲà-ɲó^{(n)}$ | ‘coincide’ |
| $kēñē$ | $kènè-ɲó^{(n)}$ | ‘be in health’ |

pārē	pàrè-ᵐᵐᵐ(ᵐ)	‘put on finery’	
ᵐāyāmī	ᵐàyàmì-ᵐᵐᵐ(ᵐ)	‘mix’	
b. other invariant MMM verbs			
bē ⁿ	bè ⁿ -ᵐᵐᵐ(ᵐ)	‘be equal’	
gārē ⁿ	gàrè ⁿ -ᵐᵐᵐ(ᵐ)	‘fix’	
klē	klè-ᵐᵐᵐ(ᵐ)	‘(day) break’	è tē ⁿ is subject
ᵐīʔē	ᵐìʔè-ᵐᵐᵐ(ᵐ)	‘bend, fold’	
sārē	sàrè-ᵐᵐᵐ(ᵐ)	‘skim off from top’	

Our speakers agree on L-toned Pfv and base stems before -ᵐᵐᵐ(ᵐ) for the very numerous LMM verbs, along with the single LML verb ‘see’ and the rare LLM verbs like ‘laugh’. In other words, verbs whose paradigms include an L-toned stem never appear with level-toned (M or H) experiential perfects. The verbs in (1098) are representative. Here we omit the more or less predictable ⁿ diacritic for Bi.

(1098)	Pfv/base	ExpPf (Pfv/base)	gloss
a.	dìè/dīē	dìè-ᵐᵐᵐ / dìè-ᵐᵐᵐ	‘enter’
	blè/bē	blè-ᵐᵐᵐ / bè-ᵐᵐᵐ	‘get tired’
	ᵐùᵐ/ᵐᵐ	ᵐùᵐ ⁿ -ᵐᵐᵐ / ᵐᵐ-ᵐᵐᵐ	‘drink’
b.	ᵐà/ᵐī	ᵐà-ᵐᵐᵐ / ᵐì-ᵐᵐᵐ	‘see’
c.	mè/mà	mè/-ᵐᵐᵐ / mà-ᵐᵐᵐ	‘laugh’

In (1099a), the 3AnSg pronominal subject ᵐⁿ does not raise to M-toned as it does in (1099b), though in both cases ᵐⁿ is followed by a Pfv verb beginning with an L-tone. The difference is that the initial in (1099a) is M-toned dīē, before which ᵐⁿ does not raise (ᵐⁿ dīē ‘he/she ate’).

(1099) a.	ᵐ ⁿ dìè-ᵐᵐᵐ ⁿ	Bi	‘he/she ate once’	< dīē
b.	ᵐ ⁿ dīē-ᵐᵐᵐ ⁿ	Bi	‘he/she entered once’	< dìè

For MMM verbs other than those in (1097), and for MHH verbs, our Ji speaker in elicitation tended to flatten the tones of what should be L-H experiential perfects. (1100) gives examples with invariant MMM verbs, for which Pfv and base of the experiential perfect are identical. Similarly with jārē ‘become complicated’, jīēⁿ ‘broadcast’, tīⁿʔēⁿ ‘become warm’, and fē ‘greet’ or ‘steal’. We have difficulty determine whether the Ji speaker’s level-toned forms are M- or H-toned; we transcribe them here as M-toned.

(1100)	Pfv = base	ExpPf	dialect	gloss
a.	kō	kō-ᵐᵐᵐ	Ji	‘crawl’
	"	kᵐ-ᵐᵐᵐ ⁿ	Bi Fl	

b.	kpē	kpē-ɲɔ̃	Ji	‘roll on ground’
	"	kpè-ɲɔ̃ ⁿ	Bi Fl	

The same division among speakers occurred for the few MMM verbs that have segmental differences from Pfv to base (1101a-b), and for the large number of MHH verbs (1101c-d). Again we have difficulty determining whether the Ji speaker’s level-toned forms are M- or H-toned.

(1101)	Pfv/base	ExpPf (Pfv/base)	dialect	gloss
a.	dē (invariant) jīē/dē/dē	dē-ɲɔ̃ (invariant) jìè-ɲɔ̃ ⁿ / dè-ɲɔ̃ ⁿ	Ji Bi Fl	‘pick (cotton)’ "
b.	dī?ē/jū?ɔ̃ dī?ē/jū?ɔ̃	dī?ē-ɲɔ̃ ⁿ / jū?ɔ̃-ɲɔ̃ ⁿ dī?è-ɲɔ̃ ⁿ / jù?ɔ̃-ɲɔ̃ ⁿ	Fl Ji Bi Fl	‘hear’ "
c.	glō/glú "	glō-ɲɔ̃ / glú-ɲɔ̃ glò-ɲɔ̃ ⁿ / glú-ɲɔ̃ ⁿ	Ji Bi Fl	‘exit (v)’ "
d.	fē/fú "	fē-ɲɔ̃ / fú-ɲɔ̃ fè-ɲɔ̃ ⁿ / fú-ɲɔ̃ ⁿ	Ji Bi Fl	‘fan (v)’ "

We suspect that the inter-speaker differences may be artefacts of elicitation, and that the Bi/Fl versions are representative of natural speech.

15.1.4.4 Vb1 gà?à- ‘do first, be first to do’

The verb gè?è/gà?à/gà?à ‘be/do first (before something else)’ or ‘be the first to do’ is partially homophonous except in the Ipfv with another verb gè?è/gà?à/gè?è ~ gì?ì. The latter has senses like ‘break, snap (stick or stem)’. The former occurs mainly as Vb1- in verb-verb compounds.

(1102) a.	sò	ká	ā	gà?à-à-sé ⁿ	= ē ⁿ
	who?	Past	Ipfv	be.first.Ipfv-Ipfv-lie.down.Ipfv	Q
	‘Who used to lie down first?’ (Ma, 2017-10 @ 01:20)				
b.	[[mó ⁿ	bī-dò]		dó]	
	[[2Sg	younger.sib]		Poss.Inan]	
	dà=	á		gà?à-klè	= ā→
	(Ipfv)Past	PfvNeg		be.first.Base-be.done	Q
	‘Had not your younger brother’s turn happened first?’				
	(Bi, 2017-09 @ 02:12)				

- c. [è náⁿblá ró] ā gàʔ-à-cíí
 [Art leader Poss.Inan] Ipfv **be.first.Ipfv-Ipfv-cut.Ipfv**
 ‘The leader is the first to cut (food).’ (Bi 2017-10 @ 02:34)

15.1.4.5 Vb1 sūāⁿ- ‘do early in the morning’

sūāⁿ- is attested only in compounds.

(1103) Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	Vb2 gloss
sùè ⁿ -bà	sūā ⁿ -bà	sū(ā) ⁿ -à ⁿ -bē	‘come early’	‘come’
sùè ⁿ -dí	sùà ⁿ -dí	sū(ā) ⁿ -à ⁿ -dí	‘eat early’	‘pass’
sùè ⁿ -fó	sùà ⁿ -fó	sū(ā) ⁿ -à ⁿ -fó	‘leave early’	‘pass’
sùè ⁿ -[yíʔí-ʃíʔí]	sùà ⁿ -[yíʔí-ʃíʔí]	sū(ā) ⁿ -à ⁿ -[yíʔí-ā-ʃíʔí]	‘get up early’	‘get up’

We were unable to elicit similar compound initials for late afternoon or evening.

15.1.5 Action and motion

15.1.5.1 bà ‘come’ as Vb1 or Vb2

bà/bà/bē ‘come’ can function as Vb1 in a wide range of compounds in main clauses. The compound as a whole may describe a simple motion event (1104a), or it may describe a sequence of motion and an immediately subsequent event (1104b). The latter is rather uncommon, except in simple commands and invitations like (1104b).

(1104)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	Vb2 gloss
a.	bà-ʃìà ⁿ	bà-ʃìà ⁿ	bē-ā-ʃìà ⁿ	‘come suddenly, blow in’	‘appear suddenly’
	bà-dīē	bà-dīē	bē-à-dīē	‘come in, come and enter’	‘enter’
b.	bà-dí	bà-dí	bē-à-dí	‘come (and) eat’	‘eat meal’

The usual way to combine ‘come’ with a following event in other discourse contexts is the infinitival construction with the motion verb echoed redundantly, as in [...*come* [Infin *come*-Vb2 ...]]. With a different main-clause verb, as in [...Vb3 [Infin *come*-Vb2 ...]], there need be no actual motion. See §15.2.3.2 below for this construction.

Our Bi speaker sometimes iterates bà- as Vb1 in compounds. Thus bà-bà-kāñā ‘come and coincide with’ in (Bi, 2017-10 @ 03:18) and bà-bà-á-daⁿ ‘(come and) arrive’ in (Bi, 2017-07 @ 01:16). In both examples, the first element cannot be parsed as bà ‘if’ since the iterated forms are actually preceded by bà ‘if’ (nasalized and tone-raised to mā). Uncompounded Pfv bà can also be iterated as bà-bà to indicate multiple individuals (Bi, 2017-09 @ 00:16, Ma 2021-01 @ 00:16).

As Vb2, -bà occurs in a few compounds. Most of them have Vb1's that are more or less productive with motion verbs, so the meaning of the compound is straightforwardly compositional (1105a). In (1105b), by contrast, the motion event follows an action denoted by the Vb1. In (1105c), 'come' adds an inchoative ('become') sense to an adjectival verb.

(1105)	compound	gloss	Vb1 info	reference
a.	glú-bà	'come out'	'exit (v)'	(Bi, 2017-07 @ 03:51)
	klá-bà	'come back'	§15.1.3.1	(Ji, 2017-09 @ 07:20)
	ká-bà	'come back'	§15.1.3.2	(Ji, 2017-11 @ 08:55)
	klá-ʃí ⁿ ʔí ⁿ -bà	'come running back'	'return-run'	(Fl, 2017-05 @ 03:21)
	klò-bà	'approach here'	§15.1.5.6	
	pó ⁿ ʔó ⁿ -bà	'come in a hurry'	'hurry'	
	tá ⁿ -bà	'come again'	§15.1.3.3	(Bi, 2017-07 @ 06:50)
b.	pá ⁿ -bà	'ladle and come'	'ladle (v)'	
c.	dáró-bà	'become abundant'	'be many'	(Bi, 2017-09 @ 07:26)

15.1.5.2 yíʔí 'go' as Vb1 or Vb2

The pure 'go' verb is yíʔē/yíʔí/yíʔí, with the usual tonal variants in glottalic sesquisyllables for Fl and Ma dialects.

With one major exception, yíʔí does not occur as Vb1 in lexicalized compounds, excluding those with productive Vb2's like -pɔ̃ⁿ 'be able'. The exception is (1106).

(1106) Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	Vb2 gloss
yíʔē-ʃíʔí	yíʔí-ʃíʔí	yíʔí-ʃíʔí	'get up, arise'	?

This highly lexicalized compound is semantically opaque (Vb2 is not otherwise attested, and 'get up' is only loosely related semantically to 'go'). This compound can itself be Vb2 in triple compounds: kòʔò-[yíʔí-ʃíʔí] 'get up' (Vb1 = 'be uprooted, plucked'), yì-[yíʔí-ʃíʔí] 'fly (up and) away, take flight'.

Like 'come', 'go' as Vb1 of compounds in infinitival and future constructions undergoes formal changes (including suppletion), and discourse functions may override the lexical motion sense. On these constructions, see §15.2.3.3 below.

As Vb2 in main-clause compounds, 'go' behaves similarly to 'come'. Most of these compounds are semantically transparent (1107). Those in (1107b) are interesting since Vb1 is elsewhere H-toned at least for some of the same speakers, but is treated as M-toned (and so drops to L-toned before H).

(1107)	compound	gloss	Vb1 gloss	reference
a.	sérú ⁿ -yííí	‘climb down’	‘descend’	Ji
	glú-yííí	‘exit and go’	‘exit (v)’	Ji
	klá-yííí	‘go back’	‘return’	§15.1.3.1; women, 2017-14 @ 00:29
	klò-yííí	‘move away’	‘budge’	§15.1.5.6
	pó ⁿ ?ó ⁿ -yííí	‘go away in a hurry’	‘hurry’	
	ʃí ⁿ ?í ⁿ -yííí	‘run away’	‘run’	(Fl, 2017-05 @ 01:07)
b.	kè ⁿ ?è ⁿ -yííí	‘climb up’	‘ascend’	Ji; women, 2017-13 @ 01:49
	yè-yííí	‘walk along’	‘walk’	Ji; (Bi, 2017-08 @ 00:37)

15.1.5.3 -á- ‘go’ medially in triple compounds

In (1108) bà- ‘come’, iterated as bà-bà- to indicate multiple occurrences, combines with -dàⁿ ‘arrive’. Instead of all-L-toned #bà-bà-dàⁿ, we hear phonetic [bàbǎ:dà]. The length and rising pitch of the medial vowel indicates the presence of -á-, a specialized allomorph of ‘go’ elsewhere observed in infinitival kà = á-dàⁿ ‘(and) went and arrived’ (§15.2.3.3.2) and in past tà = á-dàⁿ ‘had arrived’ (§15.3.5.5).

(1108)	ò ⁿ	mā	bà-bà-á-dà ⁿ ,
	3AnSg	if	Rdp-come.Base- go .Base-arrive.Base,
	ʃ ⁿ =	Ø	wō dè
	3AnSg	Ipfv	sing.Ipfv Quot
	‘Whenever she came and arrived, she sang: ...’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 01:16)		

15.1.5.4 ‘Enter’ (-dīē) as Vb2

dìè/dīē/dīē ‘enter’ is fairly common as second verb, with a more or less literal sense. In its base/Ipfv form -dīē, it is added to ‘run’ in (1109a) and to an already compounded verb meaning ‘fall down’ that itself begins with ‘enter’ (1109b).

(1109) a.	zàkí	ʃí ⁿ ?è ⁿ -dīē	[[ē	wù?ú]	tō ⁿ]
	Z	run.Pfv- enter .Base	[[Art	house]	under]
	‘Zaki ran into the house.’ (Ma)				
b.	nó	[dìè-só]-dīē	[[Ø	tìè?é]	nī]
	1Sg	[fall.Base]- enter .Base	[[Art	pit]	Loc]
	‘I fell down into the pit.’ (Fl)				

Representative compound verbs ending in ‘enter’ are in (1110).

(1110) Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	dialect
bà-dīē	bà-dīē	bē-à-dīē	‘come in’	(all)
[dìè-só]-dìè	[dì-só]-dīē	[dī-à-ʃí]-à-dīē	‘fall into’	F1
kplè-dīē	klò-dīē	klò-à-dīē	‘approach’	Ji Ma F1
ʃɪ ⁿ ʔè ⁿ -dīē	ʃɪ ⁿ ʔɪ ⁿ -dīē	ʃɪ ⁿ ʔ-à ⁿ -dīē	‘run in’	F1 Ji
yìè-dīē	yī-dīē	yī-à-dīē	‘jump/dive into’	F1 Ji

15.1.5.5 ‘Exit (v)’ (-glú) and ‘take out’ (-glō) as Vb2

Whereas many Tiefo-D verbs are labile, showing no difference in form between transitive and intransitive (often mediopassive) function, this verb pair does distinguish base from Ipfv stems depending on transitivity. (1111a) is the regular intransitive verb ‘exit (v)’, and also occurs as Vb2 in intransitive compounds. -glō (1111b) occurs as Vb2 in transitive compounds.

(1111)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss
a.	glō	glú	glú	‘exit (v), go/come out’
b.	dīē-glō	dī-glō	dī-à-glō	‘take out, remove’
	—	-glō	-glō	‘remove’ (in other compounds)

Given that Vb2 in verb-verb compounds uses only the base and Ipfv stems, it follows that as Vb2 -glú can only be intransitive ‘exit (v)’ while Vb2 -glō can only be transitive ‘take out’. Representative compounds are in (1112). The examples in texts or from lexical elicitation are mainly transitive, but most transitives can be used intransitively (mediopassively) on grounds of lability. Minor dialectal differences in vowel nasalization are omitted in (1112).

(1112)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	dialect
a. intransitive					
	ɲùè-glú	ɲù-à-glú	ɲù-à-glú	‘escape’	Bi F1 Ji
	ʃɪ ⁿ ʔè ⁿ -glú	ʃɪ ⁿ ʔɪ ⁿ -glú	ʃɪ ⁿ ʔ-à-glú	‘run out; show up’	F1 Ji
b. transitive					
	<i>basic verb ‘take out’</i>				
	dīē-glō	dī-glō	dī-à-glō	‘take out, remove’	(all)
	<i>other transitives</i>				
	gè ⁿ -glō	gà ⁿ -glō	gà ⁿ -à ⁿ -glō	‘unhook, disengage’	F1 Ji Ma
	gbē-glō	gbé-glō	gbé-à-glō	‘separate, isolate’	F1 Ji
	gblè-glō	gbē-glō	gblī-à-glō	‘pick up’	(all)
	gbā-glō	gó-glō	gó-à-glō	‘scoop (liquid)’	F1 Ma
	"	"	gú-à-glō	"	Ji
	jūē ⁿ -glō	júá ⁿ -glō	jú ⁿ -à ⁿ -glō	‘fish (=scoop) out’	(all)

nù-è-glō	nù-à-glō	nù-à-glō	‘rescue (sb)’	Bi Fl Ji
sè ⁿ -glō	sā ⁿ -glō	sē ⁿ -à ⁿ -glō	‘pick out & remove’	Bi Ma
"	"	sā ⁿ -à ⁿ -glō	"	Fl Ji
sà-è-glō	sē-glō	sē-à-glō	‘chip off, carve off’	Bi Fl
blē-glō	blá-glō	blá-à-glō	‘divorce; sweep away’	Bi Fl Ji
"	"	blé-è-glō	"	Ma

15.1.5.6 klò- as Vb1 in ‘approach’ and ‘dis-approach’ compounds

The otherwise transitive verb kplè/klò/klò ‘bump, knock’ combines with verbs of approaching and those of slight separation (disapproaching, so to speak). They are generally intransitive as indicated by the glosses. They can also be transitive, e.g. ‘put (something) up a little’.

(1113) compound	gloss	Vb2 gloss
klò-dā ⁿ	‘approach and arrive’	‘arrive’
klò-bà	‘come near (here)’	‘come’
klò-dīē	‘approach and enter’	‘enter’
klò-yí?í	‘move over, move away (a little)’	‘go’
klò-glú	‘move over and exit’	‘exit (v)’
klò-sárú ⁿ	‘move down (a little)’	‘descend’
klò-kē ⁿ ?ē ⁿ	‘move up (a little)’	‘ascend’

There are no textual examples.

15.1.5.7 fó ‘pass, depart’ in compounds

The verb fīē/fó/fó ‘pass by, go past; depart, continue on one’s way’ is common as a main verb, and is also an important part of asymmetrical comparatives (§12.1). It occurs as Vb1 in a few lexical compounds (1114). It shows no remarkable semantic shifts, but in (1114b) it shows vocalic variants that suggest that the compound is no longer transparent.

(1114)	compound	gloss	Vb2 gloss	reference
a.	fó-gbè?é	‘let’s proceed’	‘let’s go!’	(Bi, 2017-08 @ 02:38)
b.	fó-já (dialectally also fī-já, fú-já)	‘leave behind; surpass’	‘leave’	(Bi, 2017-09 @ 02:40) (Ji, 2017-11 @ 09:38)

As Vb2, it can occur in comparatives (1115a) or in its regular motion sense (1115b).

(1115)	compound	gloss	Vb1 gloss	reference
a.	kò ⁿ -fó	‘know more than’	‘know’	(Ji, 2017-08 @ 03:25)
b.	ló-fó	‘go around and keep going’	‘turn’	(Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:31)
	(y)é-fó	‘walk away’	‘walk’	(Bi, 2017-07 @ 04:55)

15.1.6 Action and NP roles

15.1.6.1 -tó ‘do together’ as Vb2

The verb *tē/tó/tó* ‘do together’ occurs in the compounds in (1116). An M-tone before *-tó* drops to L by regular tone sandhi. In (1116a), it is the objects of the transitive verb that are together. In (1116b), an intransitive change of state coincides with meeting. In (1116c), a motion event is followed chronologically by a meeting.

(1116)	compound	gloss	Vb1 gloss	reference
a.	kà ⁿ -tó	‘pile up’	(?) ‘encounter’ (kā ⁿ ?ā ⁿ)	(Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:19)
	sà ⁿ -tó	‘put together’	‘pick out, collect’	cf. (462b)
b.	tàrà ⁿ -tó	‘have a meeting’	‘sit’	
c.	bà-tó	‘come and meet’	‘come’	
	ʃí ⁿ ʔí ⁿ -tó	‘run and meet’	‘run’	

For some dialectal interchange between *tē/tó/tó* ‘do together’ and *tīē/té/té* ‘put down; be put down’, see §15.1.1.5.

15.1.6.2 Vb2 -sū?ɔ̄ ‘give’

The ‘give; send’ verb has dialectally variable consonantal onset. In the base and Ipfv, which have u as first vowel, C1 is usually s in Bi and Ji, ʃ in Fl, and f in Ma (§3.2.1.10), hence *sū?ɔ̄/sū?ū* (Bi Ji), *ʃū?ɔ̄?ɔ̄/ʃū?ū* (Fl), and *fū?ɔ̄?ɔ̄/fū?ū* (Ma). The Pfv begins with ʃi except fi for Ma and a variant with ʃu (realized as [ʃu] before front vowel) for Fl.

(1117) dialect	Pfv	base	Ipfv
Bi Ji	ʃi?è	sū?ɔ̄	sū?ū
Fl	ʃi?è?è ~ ʃü?è?è	ʃū?ɔ̄?ɔ̄	ʃū?ū
Ma	fi?è?è	fū?ɔ̄?ɔ̄	fū?ū

This verb differs in tone and +ATR vocalism from ‘catch’ *sū?ò/sú?ó/sú?ú* (with minor dialectal variants).

-sūʔɔ̄/-sūʔū (base/Ipfv) occurs as Vb2 in several compounds (1118a). The general sense that one can extract from the compounds is one of motion away from the deictic center. This vectorial sense is already observable in the uncompounded verb, which can mean ‘send’ as well as ‘give’, and which occurs in combinations like ‘give (=extend, hold out) one’s hand’. In the compounds, Vb1 is transitive in many cases. In some cases one can translate freely with English transitive verb plus ‘away’. The examples in (1118) show the base of the compound, while textual examples may be Pfv or Ipv.

(1118)	compound	gloss	Vb1 gloss	reference/comment
	bàʔà-sūʔɔ̄	‘sling (over shoulder)’	‘sling’	(Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:09)
	cā-sūʔɔ̄	‘retract head’	‘raise (neck)’	
	dó-sūʔɔ̄	‘distribute’	‘share, divide’	Bi jūā-sūʔɔ̄
	dò-sūʔɔ̄	‘tell (several people)’	‘say’	Bi, 2017-10 @ 04:34
	fě-sūʔɔ̄	‘greet at a distance’	‘greet’	Bi, 2017-08 @ 04:01
	fàri-sūʔɔ̄	‘fling away’	‘heave’ (< Jula)	Bi, 2017-09 @ 02:54
	gùò-sūʔɔ̄	‘belch’	‘belch’	Ji
	já-sūʔɔ̄	‘abandon’	‘leave (sth)’	§17.5.2.1
	kó-sūʔɔ̄	‘let out a wail’ (Ipfv)	‘weep’ (kó)	Bi, 2017-09 @ 03:40
	lá ⁿ -sūʔɔ̄	‘guide (v)’	‘advise’	Ji, 2017-11 @ 00:54
	ɲó-sūʔɔ̄	‘look out for’	‘look’	Bi, 2017-06 @ 01:43
	pàʔà-sūʔɔ̄	‘push away’	‘push’	
	té-sūʔɔ̄	‘put and leave’	‘put down’	women, 2017-16 @ 01:04

A clausal example with a direct object and a PP is (1119).

(1119)	nó	tīē-ʃūʔɔ̄	[Ø	bú]	[[[ē	plùʔú]	lī ⁿ	nī]
	1Sg	put.down.Pfv-give.Base	[Art	money]	[[[Art	bag]	guts]	Loc]
		‘I put the money into the bag.’	(F1)					

15.1.6.3 sā- and fē- ‘do secretly’

The verb initial sē-/sā-/sā- (not attested outside of compounds) and in some cases the verb fē/fē/fē ‘steal’ can combine with a range of verbs in the sense ‘do secretly, furtively, clandestinely’. fē is more strongly pejorative.

(1120)	compound	gloss	Vb2 gloss
a.	sā-klè	‘do secretly’	‘do’
	sā-dò	‘speak secretly’	‘say’
	sā-bà	‘come secretly’	‘come’
b.	fē-bà	‘come secretly’	‘come’
	fē-dí	‘eat secretly’	‘eat meal’

f. [è bítóró], mó nà kēⁿ?ēⁿ-pōⁿ tē
 [Art leper], 2Sg **Fut** ascend.Base-be.able.Base Q
 ‘You, a leper, will be able to climb?’ (women, 2017-13 @ 01:34)

There is one textual example of the bē future in a conditional antecedent with bà ‘if’:

(1124) jó= ðⁿ mà bē tārèⁿ-pōⁿ,
 if 3AnSg if **Fut** sit.Pfv-be.able.Base,
 ðⁿ wō dò =nì
 3AnSg Infin say.Base 3AnSg
 ‘If he can (=is willing to) be seated (=serve as chief), he says (it).’
 (Ma, 2018-01 @ 01:17)

The future negative has IpfvNeg má⁽ⁿ⁾ plus Pfv Vb1 (1125).

(1125) ní?h! nó máⁿ fīē-pōⁿ =?
 unh-unh! 1Sg **IpfvNeg** pass.Pfv-be.able.Base Neg
 ‘No, I will not be able to go ahead (of you).’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 02:46)

It is also possible to phrase ‘can (not) VP’ in the progressive. This is expressed by kō ‘be’ and particle nī. There is one textual example.

(1126) nó má kō [[kēⁿ?ēⁿ-pōⁿ]] nī
 1Sg IpfvNeg **be** [[ascend.Base-**be.able.Prog**]] **Prog**
 ‘I am unable to climb (the tree).’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 03:30)

The Ipfv form of -pōⁿ is -plūⁿ. Before we get to that, we mention that there are some “pseudo-imperfectives” that superficially appear to contain Ipfv particle à before the compound verb. In the relevant examples, the apparent à is actually an optionally reduced form of future nà. Consider the schemata (1127a-b).

(1127) a. X à Vb1.**Ipfv-** à- plūⁿ
 b. X à Vb1.**Base-** pōⁿ

In the true imperfective construction (1127a), Vb1 and Vb2 both take Ipfv stem form, and the two are separated by the intercalated Ipfv -à- (raised to -ā- by tone sandhi). In pseudo-imperfective (1127b), there is no intercalated -à- and both Vb1 and Vb2 are base stems. An elicited example of the pseudo-imperfective is (1128).

(1128) ná= à kēⁿ?ēⁿ / sárúⁿ / dō -pōⁿⁿ
 1Sg **Fut** ascend.**Base**/descend.**Base**/sleep.**Base** **be.able**
 ‘I can go up/go down/sleep.’ (Ji)

There are four instances of Ipfv -plūⁿ in the texts. All are negative, and all express complete impossibility, as opposed to the simple inability of an individual to accomplish an act on a given occasion.

- (1129) a. *comme* [è yúó] má dàⁿ-àⁿ-plūⁿ
 like [Art person] **IpfvNeg** arrive.Ipfv-**Ipfv-be.able.Ipfv**
 ‘since nobody (=no djinn) can manage to get close (to it)’
 (Ma, 2017-04 @ 03:54)
- b. [ðⁿ úⁿʔúⁿ] máⁿ [gblī-à-yíʔé]-à-plūⁿ = ʔ
 [3AnSg head] **IpfvNeg** [be.lifted.Ipfv]-**Ipfv-be.able.Ipfv** Neg
 ‘There was no way her head could be lifted.’
 (Bi & Ji, 2017-07 @ 09:22)
- c. [ē sàrí] sūʔō = ò
 [Art shame(n)] catch.Pfv 3AnSgObj
 ðⁿ máⁿ ʔú-à-plūⁿ = ʔ
 3AnSg **IpfvNeg** look.at.Ipfv-**Ipfv-be.able.Ipfv** Neg
 ‘She was ashamed. There was no way she could look.’
 (Ji, 2017-07 @ 09:26)
- d. [è náⁿ-bí] má nèʔè-à-ʃī-à-plūⁿ
 [Art person] **IpfvNeg** ask.Ipfv-Ipfv-receive.Ipfv-**Ipfv-be.able.Ipfv**
 [ē èʔé] [[è fǒrá] bàʔà] tàʔà-kó
 [Art thing] [[Art stone.shelf] Dat] again
 ‘A person can’t any longer ask for and get a thing from a stone shelf.’
 (women, 2017-18 @ 01:19)

Our Fl speaker explicitly stated that the imperfective with -plūⁿ is more forceful than that with -pðⁿ. We interpret this as confirming our total impossibility interpretation. Some further elicited examples are in (1130).

- (1130) a. ná= à klīⁿʔīⁿ/ʃīⁿʔīⁿ -àⁿ-plūⁿ
 1Sg Ipvf ascend.Ipfv/run.Ipfv **-Ipfv-be.able.Ipfv**
 ‘I can go up/run (any time you want)’. (Fl)
- b. nó má klīⁿʔīⁿ -àⁿ-plūⁿ = ʔ
 1Sg IpvfNeg ascend.Ipfv **-Ipfv-be.able.Ipfv** Neg
 ‘I cannot go up (at all)’. (Fl)

15.1.7.2 Vb2 -ʔó ‘try to VP’ and -tē ‘fail to VP’

-tē ‘fail’ and -ʔó ‘try’ occur as -Vb2 in compounds. -ʔó is unmistakably the verb ʔùð/ʔó/ʔú (Bi ʔùðⁿ/ʔóⁿ/lúⁿ) ‘look at’. -tē is arguably related to tīē/té/té ‘put down’, which is sometimes M-toned as -Vb2 in its basic meaning, but the semantic gap between ‘put down’ (or intransitive ‘be put down’) and ‘try’ is nontrivial.

The default ‘try’ and ‘fail’ verbs have invariant klè- ‘do’ or intransitive ‘be done’ as Vb1- in the compound (1131a). A range of specific Vb1’s can combine with -tē ‘fail’ (1131b). The ‘fail’ compounds typically denote unsuccessful attempts in the past.

(1131) compound	gloss	Vb1 gloss
a. klè-tē	‘fail (to so sth)’	‘do’
klè-ṅó	‘try, look into’	‘do’
b. kē ⁿ ?ē ⁿ -tē	‘fail to climb’	‘ascend’
yé-tē	‘fail to walk’	‘walk’
dí-tē	‘fail to eat’	‘eat (meal)’
kō-tē	‘fail to crawl’	‘crawl’
fó-tē	‘fail to get past’	‘pass by’

-ṅó can mean ‘consider (doing), plan (to do)’ as well as actually ‘try (to do)’. It does not imply success or failure and is therefore appropriate in future or hypothetical contexts. One impediment to using -ṅó in other than future contexts is that the same -Vb2 occurs as the basic experiential perfect (§15.1.4.3), as in ‘have you ever seen an elephant?’ Since the experiential perfect invariably denotes past events, -ṅó is free to occur in a different sense in future contexts.

klè-ṅó ‘try (to do)’ occurs in a tale after francolin had suggested to hare a way to climb a baobab tree. Hare replies concerning this future attempt (1132a). klè-ṅó can take a quotative complement (1132b), reinforcing the view that planning as well as the final effort is included in the sense.

(1132) a. mó dè jèrⁿ, í ná klè-ṅó = nì,
 2Sg say.Pfv Rel, 1Sg Fut **do.Base-look.at.Base** 3InanObj
 ‘What you said, I will try it.’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 03:41)

b. ɓⁿ klè-ṅó
 3AnSg **do.Pfv-look.at.Base**
 [dè bó ná gò-kú = [Ø ʃíⁿ?íⁿ]]
 [Quot LogoSg Fut chop.Base [Art tree]]
 ‘He/She tried to chop the wood.’ (Ji)

In another tale, the task assigned to suitors of a young woman is to climb a fromager (*Ceiba*) tree. Here the verb is klè-tē and the failed attempts have already occurred.

(1133) [ē jī] gò táⁿ-gbē,
 [Art someone] Infin take.over.Base,
 bò-wí gò yí?í
 fellow Infin go.Base
 [kō rà-kēⁿ?ēⁿ [kō klè-tē]]
 [Infin go.Base-ascend.Base [Infin **fail.Base**]]
 ‘Someone (else) would take over (from him). That fellow would go and (try to) climb up and fail.’ (women, 2017-13 @ 01:17)

In (1133), the verb ‘ascend’ (i.e. ‘climb’) and the compound verb ‘fail’ are expressed as separate infinitival VPs. Shortly thereafter in the same text, the two verbs are combined, with ‘ascend’ replacing the default *klè-* ‘do’ (1134).

- (1134) [jèró kī-tà-rè-ʔè] kō jᵑⁿ-jᵑⁿ [ò klēⁿʔēⁿ-tè mō→]
 [Rel.AnPl hand-Pl] be two-two] [3Pl **ascend**.Pfv-**fail**.Base concerning]
 ‘Those who have two arms, they have failed to climb up.’
 (women, 2017-13 @ 01:30)

‘Fail to VP’ can of course alternatively be expressed as the negation of ‘can VP’ with *-pᵑⁿ/-plūⁿ* as Vb2 (preceding subsection).

15.1.8 Opaque compounds

Many compounds are more or less opaque in the sense that at least one of the verbs is not identifiable with any simple verb in any relevant meaning. That they are compounds is shown by the intercalation of *Ipfv -à-* between Vb1 and Vb2. Two examples are in (1135).

(1135) Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss
<i>klè-lò</i>	<i>klà-lò</i>	<i>klà-(à-)lò</i>	‘have fun, play’
<i>tè-klé</i>	<i>tè-klé</i>	<i>tè-à-klé</i>	‘be quiet’

15.2 Infinitival phrase with *kō*

In true verb-verb compounds, two or more verbs are directly adjacent, except when the *Ipfv* morpheme is intercalated between them. By contrast, infinitival VPs or clauses are always separated from a main verb (or a preceding infinitival phrase) at least by infinitival *kō* and often by other constituents or a prosodic break. The difference between an **infinitival VP** and an **infinitival clause** is that the latter has an overt subject, preceding *kō*. **Infinitival phrase** subsumes the two.

Infinitival *kō* is often slackly articulated as *gō*, *wō*, or *ō* in allegro speech, except when pronounced after a hesitation or prosodic break. The tone is dropped to L (*kò*, *gò*, etc.) before an H-tone, by regular tone sandhi.

kō is also the ‘be’ copula, which occurs with nominal and some other predicates (§11.2.2, §11.4.2, §11.4.4). The copula is part of the progressive construction (§10.2.4.1). Although infinitival *kō* and copula *kō* have similar pronunciation variants, there is no morphosyntactic evidence that they are the same morpheme. Hortative *kò* (§10.4.2.1.2) is accidentally homophonous with the two *kō* morphemes, but only before an H-tone due to tone sandhi.

Infinitival *kō* is followed immediately by a verb in base form, except that *Ipfv* particle *à* may separate them (it fuses with *kō* as *k-à*), see §15.2.2 below. Copula *kō* ‘be’ is intrinsically stative and has no imperfective counterpart, and hortative *kò* cannot be directly followed by *Ipfv à*.

The infinitival morpheme *kō* normally cannot be followed by any TAMP inflectional morpheme other than *Ipfv à*. However, occasionally it is followed by *PfvNeg á*, the textual examples being (Bi, 2017-08 @ 04:59) and (Ji, 2021-02 @ 02:49). There is a possible example of *kō* plus past *tá* (Bo, 2019-10 @ 03:01).

A distinction can be made between two major functions of infinitival phrases. The first is reporting a sequence of events, as in narrative. An initial fully-inflected main clause can be followed by one or more infinitival VPs, usually with the same subject as the main clause. An example of such a VP sequence is ‘X came in, sat down, and got up’ expressed as ‘X came in, to sit down, to get up’. In this example, three discrete, more or less punctual events succeed each other in time. A variation on this is an imperfective infinitival clause, whose time interval may overlap with that of the main clause, as in ‘X was running, to be singing’ meaning ‘X ran along singing’.

It is also possible for an infinitival clause to have a different subject than the main clause (or a preceding infinitival phrase). (1136) shows three infinitival phrases, an initial infinitival VP, then an infinitival clause with a different subject, then another which reverts to the subject of the first VP.

- (1136) [kā = à-wō]
 [Infin come.Base-sing.Ipfv]
 [ò gō sūʔō [Ø gblèⁿ?èⁿ]]
 [3Pl Infin give.Base [Art sorghum]]
 [ðⁿ wò kóⁿ]
 [3AnSg Infin munch.Base]
 ‘(She) came and sang and (they) gave (her) sorghum, and she munched (it).’
 (Bi, 2017-07 @ 06:55)

See also (Bi, 2017-08 @ 011) ‘then the fruits came off and fell, and she picked them up’, where both clauses are infinitival in form and both have overt subjects.

The second function is the subordination of one clause or VP to the verb of the main clause, as in English control constructions like *X forgot [to VP]* or *X instructed Y [to VP]*. In this case, the subordinated eventuality is inseparable from the main one. Some main-clause verbs require a (same-subject) infinitival VP, others like ‘instruct’ require a (different-subject) infinitival clause, and still others like ‘want’ allow both.

This section on infinitival phrases is organized as follows. §15.2.1 presents non-motion VP sequences with *kō*, generally interpreted as denoting discrete, sequenced events. §15.2.2 covers VP sequences with imperfective *k-ā* (< *kō à*). §15.2.3 presents specialized infinitival combinations involving motion verbs ‘return’, ‘come’, and ‘go’. Subordinated infinitival phrases are covered in §17.4.

15.2.1 Non-motion VP sequences

15.2.1.1 With infinitival *kō* plus base

In narratives of past-time events, as in most tales, event sequences are often phrased as one main clause followed by one or more same-subject infinitival VPs. Examples abound in the

texts. For example, in text 2017-01 beginning at 03:50 and omitting the interlocutor's interventions, we have the sequence in (1137). Infinitival VPs are indented.

(1137)	ð ⁿ	klē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ -pō ⁿ	
	3AnSg	ascend.Pfv-be.able.Base	
	kò	yíí	
	Infin	go.Base	
	k =	ó-ló-dīē	
	Infin	go.Base-turn.Base-enter.Base	
fó	kò	kē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	
until	Infin	ascend.Base	
	kò	yíí	
	Infin	go.Base	
	k =	ó-ló-dīē	
	Infin	go.Base-turn.Base-enter.Base	
	k =	ó-ló-dīē	
	Infin	go.Base-turn.Base-enter.Base	
	kò	tē =	[Ø tùpè ⁿ ʔé ⁿ]
	Infin	put.down.Base	[Art gourd]
	kò	klá-sórú ⁿ	
	Infin	return.Base-descend.Base	

‘He (=hare) was able to climb, and went and turned onto (a branch), to the point that (he) went up, and went and turned onto (a branch), and turned onto (it), and put the gourd down, then (he) climbed back down.’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 03:50-57)

Even if we disregard repetitions, which were partially triggered by the interlocutor's interruption (not shown here), this extract expresses approximately six distinct events as infinitival VPs. Importantly, the events are chronologically ordered. We often add ‘then’ in free translations.

Except in imperfective infinitivals, the verb that immediately follows *kō* is in base form. This can be seen in infinitives based on verbs that distinguish the three stems (1138).

(1138)	Pfv	base	Ipfv	gloss	Infin	reference
	ʃi(è)ʔè	sū(ṣ)ʔṣ	sūʔū	‘give’	kō sū(ṣ)ʔṣ	(F1, 2017-02 @ 02:09)
	tàrè ⁿ	tārāⁿ	tārē ⁿ	‘sit’	kō tārāⁿ	(Ma, 2017-03 @ 00:32)
	gblè	gbē	gblī	‘pick up’	kō gbē	(F1, 2017-03 @ 01:21)
	jà	ji	jè	‘see’	kō ji	(Ma, 2017-04 @ 01:34)
	sùò	sō	ʃī	‘receive’	kō sō	(Ji, 2017-09 @ 07:12)

Since the aspectual opposition within infinitival phrases is *kō* versus imperfective *k-ā*, *kō* in sequences like those in (1138) is functionally somewhere between perfective and aspectually unmarked.

Sequenced infinitival VPs do not require overt subjects when they are understood to share a subject with a preceding main clause or infinitival phrase. However, a resumptive pronominal subject is optionally added. In (1139a), a negative clause is followed by a

(positive) infinitival clause. In (1139b), a narrative sequence resumes after some conversational banter.

- (1139) a. ò má tārēⁿ-àⁿ-wō [à nī],
 3Pl IpfvNeg rest.Ipfv [3Inan Loc],
 ò kō à-tārāⁿ [kō klè [ō gě-jì-ní]]
3Pl Infin come.Base-sit.Base [Infin do.Base [3Pl Recip-see.Base-VblN]]
 ‘They didn’t rest therein. Then they came and sat together to hold their meeting.’
 (Ma, 2017-04 @ 01:52)

- b. *donc*, ò kō tārāⁿ [kò jò [ò dígè-rò]] be-kā
 so, **3Pl Infin** sit.Base [Infin look.at.Base [PIRefl Recip]] thus
 ‘So, they sat and looked at each other.’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 02:47)

If the second of two clauses is negative, it cannot be connected to the first with kō. Instead, it takes main-clause form (1140).

- (1140) [nó bà fāⁿ?āⁿ [Ø dèⁿ]]
 [1Sg come.Pfv here [Art yesterday]]
 [ná =á jì mó =?]
 [1Sg **PfvNeg** see.Base 2Sg Neg]
 ‘I came here yesterday but I didn’t find you-Sg.’ (F1)

An infinitival VP without an overt subject occasionally has a different logical subject than the preceding clause (or infinitival VP). This occurs mainly in specific constructions in which the preceding clause has a verb like ‘help’ (§17.4.2.3.1). Another example of this type is (1141), where the object of ‘pull out’ is understood to be coindexed to the logical subject of ‘exit (v)’. Intransitive glú ‘exit.Base’ is distinct from transitive glō ‘take.out.Base’ (§15.1.5.5).

- (1141) *donc* ò wò tīⁿ-glò nóⁿ [wò glú]
 so 3Pl Infin pull.Base-take.out 1Sg [Infin exit(v).Base]
 ‘So, they pulled me out (of the burrow).’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 04:47)

15.2.1.2 With jí plus infinitival VP or clause

Clause-initial jí occurs elsewhere in some conditional antecedent (‘if’) clauses (§16.1.1.4-5) and in some hortatives (§10.4.2.1.2). There are also several textual examples of jí followed either by an infinitival VP (without overt subject) or by an infinitival clause (with a subject NP separating jí from infinitival kō). In these infinitival examples, jí highlights local narrative climaxes, as in the final infinitival phrase in a paragraph-like section of a narrative (cf. Eng *and finally* ...). It is weaker than já→ ‘lo!’ (§19.3.7), which marks dramatic events in narratives.

In (1142a) the events are chronologically sequenced. In (1142b) they are spatially separated. A similar example but with kō ‘be’ is (1142c).

- (1142) a. δ^n mlēⁿ-kō = [Ø cī⁵ⁿ],
 3AnSg throw.at.Pfv-kill.Base [Art bird],
 δ^n kō kō⁵ [Ø cī⁵ⁿ-bàrà?à],
 3AnSg Infin pluck.out.Base [Art bird-hair],
 jí wō wē [Ø cī⁵ⁿ] [[δ^n júfá n̄]
if Infin put.in.Base [Art bird] [[3AnSgRefl pocket] Loc]
 ‘Having hit and killed the bird, he plucked out the feathers, whereupon he put the
 bird in his pocket.’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 07:41-45)

- b. jíⁿ-níⁿ móⁿ pì^{ēn} = [Ø pàmlúⁿ?úⁿ]
 Prsntv 2Sg remain.Pfv [Art naked]
 jí [è [blí-ké]-yò] gō pēⁿ [[Ø fē?é] níⁿ]
if [Art [hare]-woman] **Infin** remain.Base [[Art wrap] Loc]
 ‘There you stayed, naked. Meanwhile hare’s wife remained in wraps (=well-
 dressed).’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 10:12-14)

- c. [ē kùⁿ?óⁿ] kō bà [Ø = à líⁿ]
 [Art early.afternoon] Infin come.Base [Infin come.Base cool.off.Base]
 jó = ò kō [[ò dígà-rò] ʃīē],
if 3Pl **be** [[PIRefl Recip] behind]
 ‘(When) the early afternoon cooled off, they were after each other (=in a chase).’
 (Fl, 2017-03 @ 01:44)

Other textual examples of jí kō in similar highlighting function are (Ji, 2017-01 @ 03:39),
 (Bo, 2019-10 @ 03:38), (Ji, 2021-02 @ 00:55). See also (1221) in §15.3.5.7.1.

Elicited examples follow. (1143c) is imperfective.

- (1143) a. [è bí-ʃīō] jū⁵ⁿ
 [Art child.Pl] dance.Pfv
 [jí kō wūō [Ø dèrìⁿ?íⁿ]]
[if Infin sing.Base [Art song]]
 ‘The young people danced and sang.’ (Fl)

- b. ò nà yé [jí kō ʃíⁿ?íⁿ]
 3Pl Fut walk.Base **[if Infin** run.Base]
 ‘They will walk as well as run.’ (Fl)

- c. δ^n = Ø yé [jí k-â ʃíⁿ?íⁿ]
 3AnSg Ipfv walk.Ipfv **[if Infin-Ipfv** run.Ipfv]
 ‘He/She walks as well as runs.’

This construction cannot be negated. When (1143c) is negated, it is rephrased as two full
 clauses, with dó ~ dé ‘however’ (§19.3.8) after the second subject.

- c. ò k-ā bē bè-kā
 3Pl **Infin-Ipfv** come.**Ipfv** thus
 ‘They came in thus.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:47)
 (bà/bà/bē)

In (1147), the imperfective infinitival k-ā clause is repeated to emphasize prolongation.

- (1147) [è blí-ké] kō —
 [Art hare] Infin —
 [k-ā kù?ù = [Ø sàrò?ò-dṣⁿ?ṣⁿ]
[Infin-Ipfv strip.Ipfv [Art baobab-sticky.sauce]
 [k-ā kù?ù = [Ø sàrò?ò-dṣⁿ?ṣⁿ]
[Infin-Ipfv strip.Ipfv [Art baobab-sticky.sauce]
 ‘The hare was stripping off baobab leaves and stripping off baobab leaves.’
 (Fl, 2017-05 @ 01:26)
 (cù?è/kù?ò/kù?ù and minor variants)

For other similar examples of the prolongation construction, see (Bi, 2017-08 @ 00:37 & 04:49) and (Bi, 2017-09 @ 03:15). In one textual passage, the infinitival k-ā is dropped in the repetitions, perhaps because the Ipfv verb is a compound that begins with a similar syllable.

- (1148) ṣⁿ bà [gā = à-dàⁿ],
 3AnSg come.Pfv [Infin come.Base-arrive.Base],
 Ø-ā kó-à-sū?ū,
 Infin-Ipfv weep.Ipfv-Ipfv-give.Ipfv,
 kó-à-sū?ū kó-à-sū?ū
weep.Ipfv-Ipfv-give.Ipfv weep.Ipfv-Ipfv-give.Ipfv
 náⁿ-bíó kō jū?ṣ [ṣⁿ kó?ó]
 person-Pl Infin hear.Base [3AnSg weeping(n)]
 ‘When she arrived here, she was letting out a wail. Wailing and wailing. Then people heard her wailing.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 03:40-45)

15.2.3 Infinitival phrases with motion verbs

The primary motion verbs are those in (1149), shown in base stem only.

- (1149) bà ‘come’
 yí?í ‘go’
 glú ‘exit’
 dīē ‘enter’
 kēⁿ?ēⁿ ‘ascend’
 sórúⁿ ‘descend’
 klá ‘return’

No special issues arise when any of these occurs by itself (i.e. uncompounded) in an infinitival phrase: *kō bà* ‘and came’, *kō dīē* ‘and entered’, and so forth. Only one of the verbs, *klá* ‘return’, has any special attributes as a main verb controlling a following infinitival VP, where it has the sense ‘repeat, VP again’ (§15.2.3.1).

However, ‘come’ and ‘go’ feature prominently in an unusual construction type that can be schematized as in (1150).

- (1150) a. main clause with ‘come’ or Vb3 [Infin ‘come’-Vb2 ...]
 b. main clause with ‘go’ or Vb3 [Infin ‘go’-Vb2 ...]

That is, ‘come’ or ‘go’ is Vb1 in a verb-verb compound in the infinitival phrase, even when ‘come’ or ‘go’ has already been part of the preceding clause or VP. This construction is tricky because of two phenomena (1151) that are specific to it.

- (1151) a. ‘come’ or ‘go’ as Vb1 is reduced in form, or suppletive.
 b. ‘come’ (and to some extent ‘go’) need not refer to motion.

As a result, apparently pointless redundancies like ‘X **come** [and **come**-Vb2...]’ are common, as are apparent nonsequiturs like ‘X lie down [and **come**-**sleep**]’ (with no motion involved). These constructions are analysed in §15.2.3.2 below.

15.2.3.1 *klá* ‘return’ plus infinitival VP (‘VP again’)

The verb *klē/klá/klá* ‘return, go back’ combines with a following infinitival VP in the sense ‘repeat, do again’. In (1152), *klá* is itself connected to two preceding VPs. ‘Fall again’ denotes a single event, so there are only three (not four) sequenced events in this example.

- (1152) [è bí-sīⁿ] dīè-só, kò yííí-ǰííí,
 [Art child] fall.Pfv, Infin get.up.Base,
 kò klá [kō dī-só]
 Infin **return**.Base [Infin fall.Base]
 ‘The child fell, got up, and fell again.’ (Ji)

We have previously noted that *klē/klá/klá* can also function as Vb1 in verb-verb compounds, with the combination *klá-bà* ‘come back’ especially common (§15.1.3.1).

15.2.3.2 Infinitival VPs with Vb1 *bà*- ‘come’ (*kō bà*-, *kā* = à-, Ø = à)

The verb ‘come’ has an irregular paradigm of Pfv=base ≠ Ipv type as a regular main verb: *bà/bà/bē* (§10.1.3). The regular infinitival forms are therefore *kō bà* and the less common imperfective *k-à bē*. Before proceeding, we note that *bà*- ‘come’ can easily be distinguished from *bà* (dialectally *mà*) ‘if’. The ‘if’ particle follows subjects in conditional antecedent clauses and never directly follows infinitival *kō*, so the morphosyntactic distributions of the two morphemes do not overlap.

In main clauses, ‘come’ can occur as Vb1 in an open-ended set of compounds, and as Vb2 in a smaller set of compounds, with no phonological reduction. See the data in §15.1.5.1. Our concern here is with bà- as Vb1 in compounds following infinitival kō. The general construction is (1153a-b).

- (1153) a. main clause with any other Vb3 [Infin ‘come’-Vb2 ...]
 b. main clause with ‘come’ [Infin ‘come’-‘Vb2 ...]

The pattern (1153b) with double ‘come’ is more common in our texts than (1153a) with all other verbs combined. The literal sense of centripetal motion is redundant in (1153b), and this confirms our suspicion that even in (1153a) centripetal motion is not highlighted, and may not even be present. Instead, the post-infinitival ‘come’ appears to have a discourse function, suggesting a slight conceptual or temporal separation between the Vb3 event and the Vb2 event.

15.2.3.2.1 Semantic and aspectual restrictions on doubled ‘come’

‘Come’ is usually not doubled, as either kō bà- or k̄ = à-, when the following Vb2 is semantically incompatible with centripetal motion, as with ‘go’ and ‘return’. In (1154a-b) below, infinitival kō is directly followed by the next verb, without ‘come’ as compound Vb1.

Imperatives likewise generally fail to double ‘come’. Instead we get a monoclausal construction with ‘come’ as Vb1 in a verb-verb compound (1154c-d).

‘Come’ is also not transparently doubled when the overall context is imperfective. Were it transparently doubled, we would expect Ipfv bē ‘come’ plus [k-ā bē-à-Vb2 ...], with a second occurrence of Ipfv bē- doubled as Vb1 in the compound. This transparent phrasing is avoided; instead, the same bà- and elided à- that occur in perfectives appear in a construction that is in every other respect morphologically imperfective, so we label them as ‘come.Ipfv’ in spite of their divergence from bē. The fullest form is kō bà-à- plus Ipfv Vb2 (1154e). Only when the b is pronounced is bà- clearly identifiable as a doubled ‘come’, as opposed to simple Ipfv à. Most speakers contract kō bà-à- to k̄ = Ø-à- or k = à-à- plus Ipfv Vb2 (1154f). This in turn can be further shortened to [kà], which we artfully transcribe as k = à-Ø- (1154g). The pronunciations without b can alternatively be parsed as kō plus Ipfv à, unless a given speaker has a slight tonal distinction between the two. In either parsing, the k of the infinitival morpheme is often lenited to g or w, hence g/w = à-à- or shortened g/w = à-Ø-. It would be very reasonable to reinterpret the contraction from kō bà as a portmanteau k̄ ~ ḡ ~ w̄. However, comparison with the double-‘go’ construction with Infin ‘go’-Ipfv-(1154h) gives some credence to the parsing Infin ‘come’-Ipfv in (1154e-g).

- (1154) a. zàkí bà [kō yīʔí]
 Z come.Pfv [Infin go.Base]
 ‘Zaki came and went.’ (F1)
- b. zàkí bà [kò klá]
 Z come.Pfv [Infin return.Base-come.Base]
 ‘Zaki came and went back.’ (F1)

- c. ò bà-ŋɔ̃
 Imprt.Pl come.Base-drink.Base
 ‘Come-2Pl drink!’ (Bi Ji)
- d. bà-dí
 come.Base-eat.Base
 ‘Come-2Sg eat!’ (Bi Ji)
- e. [kò-kò sú→] ðⁿ= Ø bē
 [Rdp-day all] 3AnSg Ipfv come.Ipfv
 [kō bà-à-dē fāⁿʔāⁿ]
 [**Inf**in **come**.Ipfv-Ipfv-sleep.Ipfv here]
 ‘Every day, he/she comes and sleeps here.’ (F1)
- f. já-á-m-bè [fàámá = rē jèrá =] à bē
 otherwise [authority even Rel.AnPl] **Ipfv** **come**
 [k = à-à-ŋú = nì]
 [**Inf**in **come**.Ipfv-Ipfv-look.at.Ipfv 3InanObj]
 ‘anyway, even the authorities who come and look at it’
 (Ji, 2017-11 @ 07:39)
- g. [kò-kò sú→] ðⁿ= Ø bē
 [Rdp-day all] 3AnSg Ipfv come.Ipfv
 [g = Ø-à-ŋī [Ø ŋūⁿ] (Bi)
 [k = Ø-à-ŋī [Ø ŋū] (Ji)
 [**Inf**in **come**.Ipfv-Ipfv-drink.Ipfv [Art water]
 ‘Every day he/she comes and drinks water.’ (Bi Ji)
- h. [kò-kò sú→] ðⁿ= Ø yííí
 [Rdp-day all] 3AnSg Ipfv go.Ipfv
 [kō tì-à-dē [bè tòʔò]]
 [**Inf**in **go**.Ipfv-Ipfv-sleep.Ipfv [Dem.Def place]]
 ‘Every day, he/she goes and sleeps there.’ (F1)

15.2.3.2.2 k̄ā = à- ‘and come’ versus imperfective infinitival k-ā

This semantic bleaching of the directional motion sense of ‘come’ is usually paralleled by phonological reduction, to the point of near-disguise. The combination of infinitive plus simple ‘come’ is always the transparent kō bà (or imperfective k-ā bē). In infinitival compounds, (1155a) is possible when bà- ‘come’ does not redundantly echo a preceding motion verb. When it does follow a perfective or infinitival motion verb, the b of bà- is regularly elided (1155b), which usually leads to vocalic contraction of kō à- to [k̄ā], transcribed k̄ā = à- (1155c). The usual optional lenition of infinitival kō to gō or wō also applies to this combination, resulting in ḡā = à- or w̄ā = à- (1155d). The M-tone in the forms in (1155c-d) is lowered by some speakers to L, resulting in kà = à- or lenited gà = à- or wà =

à- (1155e), and then sometimes shortened to [kà] ~ [gà] ~ [wà] transcribed k = à- etc. (1155f). In all of the combinations so far, the infinitival VP is clearly separate from bà ‘came’ in the main clause. However, it is also possible for bà ‘came’ in the main clause to fuse with the following k̄ā = à- etc. to form a single long syllable [bà:] transcribed bà [Ø à- (1155g). In this last variant, the break between main clause and infinitival VP is obscured. In the preceding subsection we described similar reductions in imperfective contexts. In (1155a-g), which occur in non-imperfective contexts, we can at least be sure that we are dealing with (b)à-- ‘come’ and not with Ipfv à.

- (1155) a. (verb other than ‘come’) plus [kō bà-Vb2...]
 b. (bà) plus [kō à-Vb2 ...]
 c. (bà) plus contracted [k̄ā = à-Vb2 ...]
 d. (bà) plus lenited [ḡā = à-Vb2 ...], [w̄ā = à-Vb2 ...]
 e. (bà) plus tone-dropped [k/g/wà = à-Vb2 ...]
 f. (bà) plus tone-dropped and shortened [k/g/w = à-Vb2 ...]
 g. fully fused ... bà [Ø à-Vb2 ...]

(1156) shows how the ‘and came and Vb2-ed’ construction with k̄ā à-Vb2.Base can be distinguished from imperfective infinitival k-à Vb2.Ipfv in transcription, even when k̄ā à- and k-à are phonetically indistinguishable. The verb ‘sleep’ (1156a) has distinct base and Ipfv stems, and the choice between them determines the correct parsing. By contrast, ‘do’ (1156b) is an invariant verb. The only audible clue pointing to a correct parsing with ‘do’ is that à- as Vb1 ‘come’ is L-toned, while Ipfv à raises to ā before L-toned verbs. When an invariant verb has a nonlow tone, like ‘leave, let’ (1156c), a transcriber must rely on context since there may be no reliable phonetic cues.

(1156)	base	Ipfv	gloss	Infin	‘and come and’ k̄ā à-Vb2.Base	Infin Ipfv k-à Vb2.Ipfv
a.	dō (Fl) dò (Bi Ji Ma)	dē "	‘sleep (v)’ "	kō dō kō dò	k̄ā/kà = à-dō k̄ā/kà = à-dò	k-à dē k-à dē
b.	klè	klè	‘do’	kō klè	k̄ā/kà = à-klè	k-à klè
c.	já	já	‘leave, let’	kò já	k̄ā/kà = à-já	k-à já

For speakers who lower the tone of k̄ā à- ‘and come and’ to low, as in kà = à- and k = à- and lenited variants, it may be possible to distinguish ‘and come and’ from imperfective infinitivals by tones, subtly.

15.2.3.2.3 Infinitival ‘come-Vb2’ after main clause with other verb

The construction (1153a) above, with verbs other than ‘come’ in the main clause or infinitival phrase preceding the infinitival VP, is illustrated in (1157) below. The choice of examples is filtered so that -Vb2 following post-infinitival ‘come’ must be clearly in base rather than Ipfv

stem, so parsing is unambiguous. We also exclude repetitions of the same phrases within a text. Of the passages in our texts that satisfy this filter, all but one have contracted $k\bar{a} = \grave{a}$ - or lenited variant $g\bar{a} = \grave{a} \sim w\bar{a} = \grave{a}$ -. The exception with uncontracted $k\bar{o}$ $b\grave{a}$ - is (1157d), where a new start is motivated by the switch from ‘go’ to ‘come’, and by the fact that the ‘go force out’ VP is an echo of the preceding clause, used as background for a following foregrounded clause (not shown here). In (1157a-f) the relevant infinitival VP has no overt subject. In (1157g), by contrast, it has a pronominal subject, so it is an infinitival clause (rather than VP). An overt subject is required in this case by the shift from third singular to third plural subject within the passage.

- (1157) a. δ^n $\eta\grave{o}$ $gl\acute{u}$ [$w\bar{a} = \grave{a}$ - η^n]
 3AnSg Infin **exit(v).Base** [Infin **come.Base-see.Base**]
 ‘He came out to see.’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 04:45)
- b. $b\grave{u}\grave{o}$ $kl\bar{e}$ [$g = \grave{a}$ - η^n]
 3Pl **return.Pfv** [Infin **come.Base-see.Base**
 [[\emptyset $s\grave{u}^n$ - $w\bar{i}$]] $f\bar{i}\bar{e}$]]
 [[Art medicine-owner] pass.Pfv]]
 ‘They (eventually) came back, only to see (=find) that the magician had passed (away).’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 07:23)
- c. $k\grave{o}$ $s\acute{u}^n\acute{u}$ $=\grave{o}$ [$g = \grave{a}$ - $t\bar{r}\bar{a}^n$]
 Infin **catch.Base** 3AnSgObj [Infin **come.Base-make.sit.Base**]
 ‘Then (she) took hold of her and had her sit.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 08.27)
- d. $f\acute{o}$ $w\bar{o}$ $r\grave{a}$ -[$m\acute{e}^n$ - $t\bar{5}^n$],
 until Infin go.Base-[**throw.out.Base**],
 $k\bar{o}$ $b\grave{a}$ -[$m\acute{e}^n$ - $t\bar{5}^n$]
 Infin **come.Base**-[**throw.out.Base**]
 ‘Until (they) went and forced (it) out. (They) came and forced (it) out (and ...)’
 (Bi, 2017-09 @ 00:50)
- e. \acute{o} $y\bar{i}\bar{?}\bar{e}$ - $f\bar{i}\bar{?}$ [$k\bar{a} = \grave{a}$ - η^n $=n\bar{i}$]
 1Pl **get.up.Pfv** [Infin **come.Base-see.Base** 3InanObj]
 ‘We arose (=were born) and found (=inherited) it.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 01:15)
- f. $n\acute{o}^n$ $n\grave{a}$ $kl\grave{e}$ [\acute{a}^n $b\grave{e}$]
 1Sg Fut **do.Base** [how? Top.Inan]
 [$g = \grave{a}$ - $b\acute{u}$ $b\grave{e}$ $t\bar{e}$
 [Infin **come.Base-get.Base** Dem.Def] Q
 ‘What will (=must) I do to get that?’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 01:38)

- g. [ē yǒ] būs [wò glú],
 [Art woman] tie.Pfv [Infin **exit(v).Base**],
 [ò [gà= à-[lò-kàⁿ?àⁿ] [ò dígè-rò]
 [3Pl [Infin **come.Base-encounter.Base**] [PIRefl Recip]
 ‘The woman tied on (her wrap) and set off. They (=two women) came and met up.’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 02:22)

The pre-infinitival verbs in (1157) above are motion verbs ‘exit (v)’ and ‘return’, change of position verb ‘get up’ which implies immediately following motion, and transitives ‘do’, ‘catch’, and ‘throw out’. In theory, ‘come’ could add a centripetal direction to the two motion verbs (‘come out’ as opposed to ‘go out’, ‘come back’ as opposed to ‘go back’), and to the motion implied by ‘get up’. However, these examples occur in narrative passages that do not include quoted speech, so they do not have a well-defined deictic center. Centripetal motion is also absent or irrelevant in the other examples.

15.2.3.2.4 ‘Come’ in main clause plus infinitival ‘come-Vb2’

We now present textual examples of schema (1153b) above, where the pre-infinitival phrase already has ‘come’. The second ‘come’ inside the infinitival VP is therefore redundant semantically in its lexical sense. This combination is so common that one can speak of a semi-automatic syntactic process of doubling (echoing) the motion verb (also observable with ‘go’, see the following section). In other words, an expected [...*come* [Infin Vb2...]] is actually expressed as [...*come* [Infin *come-Vb2*...]]. However, as noted above, due to phonological elision and semantic redundancy, the second ‘come’ is somewhat obscured.

We apply the same filters described above (Vb2 must be clearly in base stem, and repetitions are omitted). This leaves us with plenty of textual examples (1158). All of them have the elided *kā = à-* or variant *gā = à-* or *wā = à-*, rather than unelided *kō bà-*.

- (1158) a. jāā→ [Ø tìplípàⁿ] bà, [kà= à-[tṵ-tṵrāⁿ]]
 lo! [Art monkey] **come.Pfv**, [Infin **come.Base-[hide.Base-sit.Base]**]
 ‘Lo, the monkey came, and sat down in hiding.’ (Ma, 2017-02 @ 00:50)
- b. [è wí jī] bà [wā— à-gbē =ò]
 [Art owner Indef] **come.Pfv** [Infin— **come.Base-pick.up.Base** 3PlObj]
 ‘Today some fellow came and took them.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 04:33, edited)
- c. bó bà [gà= à-jī ...]
 LogoSg **come.Pfv** [Infin **come.Base-see.Base ...]**
 ‘(said:) “I came and saw ...”’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 07:56)
- d. *parce que* ò bà [gà= à-bū= [Ø bú]]
 because 3Pl **come.Pfv** [Infin **come.Base-get.Base** [Art money]]
 ‘Because they came and got some money.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 05:23)

- e. $\acute{\eta}$ $n\grave{a}$ $b\grave{a}$ [$g\grave{a}$ = \grave{a} - $n\acute{\eta}$ —]
 1Sg Fut **come.Base** [Infin **come.Base-see.Base**—]
 ‘I would come and see—’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 04:56)
- f. [$n\acute{o}^n$ $b\grave{a}$ [\emptyset = \grave{a} - $s\acute{u}?$ = [δ^n $m\acute{o}^n$]]
 [1Sg **come.Pfv** [Infin **come.Base-give.Base** [Dat 2Sg]]
 ‘I came and gave (that) to you.’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 10:00)
- g. \bar{o} $n\grave{a}^n$ $b\grave{a}$ [$g\bar{o}$ = \grave{a} - $n\acute{o}^n$ = $n\acute{\eta}^n$ $k\grave{e}$]
 3Pl Fut **come.Base** [Infin **come.Base-look.at.Base** 3InanObj Emph]
 ‘They will definitely come and look at it.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 05:32)
- h. $k\bar{o}$ $b\grave{a}$ [$g\bar{a}$ = \grave{a} - $gb\bar{e}$ [\emptyset $t\grave{i}$ - $t\grave{e}?\acute{e}$]]
 Infin **come.Base** [Infin **come.Base-take.Base** [Art pot]]
 ‘(We) then come and take a cooking pot.’ (women, 2017-14 @ 00:21)
- i. $j\grave{e}r\acute{o}$ $b\grave{a}$ [$k\grave{a}$ = \grave{a} - $n\acute{o}$ - $n\acute{o}$ = $n\acute{\eta}$]
 Rel.AnPl **come.Pfv** [Infin **come.Base-Rdp-look.at.Base** 3InanObj]
 ‘those who came and looked intensively at it’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 07:50)
- j. $b\acute{o}$ $b\grave{a}$ [$g\grave{a}$ = \grave{a} - $n\acute{o}^n$ [\bar{e} $t\grave{o}?\grave{o}$]]
 3AnSg **come.Pfv** [Infin **come.Base-look.at.Base** [Art place]]
 ‘It came to look at the place.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 00:42)
- k. \bar{o} $b\grave{a}$ [$g\grave{a}$ = \grave{a} - $n\acute{\eta}$...]
 3Pl **come.Pfv** [Infin **come.Base-see.Base** ...]
 ‘They came and saw that ...’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 00:33)
- l. $b\acute{o}$ $b\grave{a}$
 3AnSg **come.Pfv**
 [$g\grave{a}$ = \grave{a} - $gb\bar{e}$ - $y\acute{\eta}?\acute{e}$ [δ^n $\acute{u}^n\acute{u}^n$]
 [Infin **come.Base-pick.up.Base-lift.Base** [3AnSgRefl head]
 ‘She then came and raised her head.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 02:45)
- m. $k\bar{o}$ $b\grave{a}$ [\emptyset = \grave{a} - $n\acute{\eta}^n$ - $d\acute{e}r\acute{a}$ = \grave{o}]
 Infin **come.Base** [Infin **come.Base-see.Base-do.a.lot.Base** 3AnSgObj]
 ‘(They) came and had a good look at her.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 03:47)

In view of all these clear cases, we assign some less clearcut textual examples (i.e. where Vb2 does not distinguish base from Ipfv) to the same construction. An example is (1159), where $d\grave{a}^n$ ‘arrive’ has the same form as base and Ipfv.

- (1159) *donc* \bar{s}^n $b\grave{a}$ [$g\grave{a}$ = \grave{a} - $d\grave{a}^n$]
 so 3AnSg **come.Pfv** [Infin **come.Base-arrive.Base**]
 ‘So, she came and arrived (home).’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 03:17)

As indicated in §15.2.3.2.2 above, and schematized as (1155g), the sequence of main-clause *bà* ‘come’ immediately followed by infinitival *kā* = *à-* can fuse as [*bà:*] for some speakers. This is transcribed *bà* [\emptyset = *à-*, but the infinitival construction is no longer fully transparent. With the addition of Vb2, the transcription is *bà* [\emptyset = *à*-Vb2.Base ...]. This *bà* [\emptyset = *à-*] differs phonetically only in vowel length from the simple compound *bà*-Vb2.Base. Textual examples given above are (1158f,m). In elicitation, we heard the vowel length clearly in the relevant examples.

- (1160) a. *zàkí* *bà* [\emptyset *à-dí* / *ɲɔ̃*]
 Z **come.Pfv** [Infin **come.Base-eat.Base** / **drink.Base**]
 ‘Zaki came and ate/drank.’ (Fl Ma)
- b. *nó / ò* *bà* [\emptyset *à-dí* / *ɲɔ̃*]
 1Sg / 3Pl **come.Pfv** [Infin **come.Base-eat.Base** / **drink.Base**]
 ‘I/They came and ate/drank.’ (Fl Ma)

In allegro speech in texts, the vowel length is more subtle, but in textual examples (1161a-c) we were able to clarify the construction during transcription with the original speaker present.

- (1161) a. [*ē* *blō*] *bà* *tɔ̃ⁿ* = *mì*
 [Art rain(n)] **come.Pfv** **surprise.Base** 2SgObj
 ‘The rain comes and takes you by surprise.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 05:03)
- b. *kō* *bà* [\emptyset = *à-ɲī* = *nì*]
 Infin **come.Base** [Infin **come.Base-see.Base** 3InanObj]
 ‘(They) come and see it.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 06:24)
- c. *ɔ̃ⁿ* *mà* *klá-bà*
 3AnSg if return.Base-**come.Base**
 [\emptyset *à-ɲī* [mèrèké *jèrɔ̃ⁿ*]]
 [Infin **come.Base-see.Base** [angel Rel]]
 ‘The angel that you will come back and see.’ (women, 2017-18 @ 00:35)

Some further textual examples are in (1162), but they involve verbs (*fó*, *dīē*) that have identical base and Ipfv stems.

- (1162) a. [*dè-dè* *nī*] [*è* *bítáró*] *kō* *bà* [\emptyset = *à-fó*]
 [now Loc] [Art leper] Infin **come.Base** [Infin **come.Base-pass.Base**]
 ‘Now a leper came by.’ (women, 2017-13 @ 00:30)
- b. [*è* *bítáró*] *bà* [\emptyset = *à-dīē*]
 [Art leper] **come.Pfv** [Infin **come.Base-enter.Base**]
 ‘The leper came and went in.’ (women, 2017-13 @ 02:48)

The Ma speaker is underrepresented in the textual data. The elicited examples in (1163) are intended to compensate for this, and also illustrate infinitival VPs that have the same form

following perfective negative, imperfective, and future main clauses. ‘Eat’ has identical base and Ipfv, but ‘drink’ distinguishes the two stems. In the imperfective example (1163b), ‘come’ as Vb1- is still à-, not bē- (regular Ipfv of ‘come’), and -Vb2 is still base (not Ipfv). The negation in (1163a) has broad scope over the main clause and infinitival VP.

- (1163) a. zàkì á bà
 Z PfvNeg **come**.Base
 [kā = à-dí / -ɲɔ̃] = ?
 [Infin **come**.Base-eat.Base/drink.Base] Neg
 ‘Zaki didn’t come and eat/drink.’ (Ma)
- b. [kò-kò sú→] zàkí à bē
 [Rdp-day all] Z Ipfv **come**.Ipfv
 [kā = à-dí / -ɲɔ̃]
 [Infin **come**.Base-eat.Base/drink.Base]
 ‘Every day, Zaki comes and eats/drinks.’ (Ma)
- c. zàkí bē bà
 Z Fut **come**.Pfv
 [kā = à-dí / -ɲɔ̃]
 [Infin **come**.Base-eat.Base/drink.Base]
 ‘Zaki will come and eat/drink.’ (Ma)

15.2.3.3 ‘Go’ as compound Vb1 in infinitival phrases

The basic ‘go’ verb is yí?ē/yí?í/yí?í. In any position, yí?í is subject to phonetic reduction as yí or ?í. The full glottalic form yí?í becomes yì?í for Ma and yī?í for F1 due to regular glottal effects on tones.

This verb gets competition from fiē/fó/fó ‘pass, go past, depart, go away, continue on one’s way’. The situation is similar to local French *aller* versus *partir*. yí?í ‘go (*aller*)’ tends to denote entire trajectories, while fó focuses on their onsets (departures). For verb-verb compounds including fó, see §15.1.5.7. yí?í is much more common as Vb1 in compounds, and it has numerous irregularities in form. It is regularly doubled in infinitival compounds in much the same way as ‘come’ (preceding sections).

Compounds that can occur in main clauses with yí?í as Vb1 or as Vb2 were presented in §15.1.5.2 above. Simple infinitival phrases that have ‘go’ as the only verb, for example when followed by a spatial adverbial, take the expected form kò yí?í in all dialects (1164).

- (1164) a. ò gò yí?í= [[Ø flí-kò] bà?à]
 3Pl **Infin go**.Base [[Art termite-Pl] chez]
 ‘They (=djinn) went to the place of the termites.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 05:09)

- b. [è bí-ʃīō] wèʔè
 [Art child-Pl] grow.up.Pfv
 [gò yíʔí= [[[[Ø fèʔè] lɛʳ]] tòʔò] nī]]
 [**Infin go.Base** [[[[Art garment] wash.Pfv] place] Loc]]
 ‘The children had grown up. They went to wash clothes.’
 (Bi, 2017-07 @ 05:32)

The imperfective infinitival counterpart is k-ā yíʔí.

Like ‘come’, ‘go’ has special forms and properties as Vb1 in a verb-verb compound in an infinitival phrase. The forms are variable across dialects and are sensitive to aspect (1165).

(1165) ‘go’ as Vb1 - in compounds in infinitival phrases

a.	kò ó-	Fl	§15.2.3.3.1
	k = ó-	Fl Ji Ma	"
	kò = ʔó-	Ma	"
b.	kà = á-	Ji	§15.2.3.3.2
c.	kō rà-	Bi	§15.2.3.3.4
	kō là-	Bi	"
	rà-	Bi	"
d.	imperfective		
	kō tì-à-	Fl	§15.2.3.3.3
	tì-à-	Fl	"
	kō tà-à-	Ji	"
	kō rà-à-	Bi	§15.2.3.3.5

Vb2 is in base stem following any of the variants in (1165a-c), and in Ipfv stem after the variants in (1165d).

Also parallel to ‘come’, the larger construction is most often [...go...[Infin go-Vb2]], with ‘go’ in the pre-infinitival phrase and then doubled as Vb1- in the compound following infinitival kō. However, the pre-infinitival phrase sometimes has a different verb, such as the near-synonym fīē/fó/fó ‘pass’ mentioned above. Other motion and non-motion verbs are also possible. The preposition-like fó ‘until, all the way to’ can also take an infinitival complement with ‘go’ as Vb1.

15.2.3.3.1 kò ó-, k = ó-, and kò = ʔó-

In dialects other than Bi, the construction [...go [Infin go-Vb2...], with redundant second ‘go’ inside the infinitival VP, is often realized with one of the variants in (1166). These forms are not used in imperfective infinitival phrases. In most cases the preceding main clause is

perfective positive, but any inflectional category that is not specifically imperfective is possible.

(1166) variant	dialect
kò = ʔó-	Ma
kò ó-	Fl
k = ó-	Fl Ji Ma

In recordings we usually hear simple [kó]. In careful speech we hear [kòó] for Fl, [kòʔó] for Ma, and [kó] for Ji. A likely diachronic source is *kò yíʔí- with forward vocalic assimilation and contraction to *kò ʔó-.

We transcribe the variant with preserved glottal stop as kò = ʔó- with the clitic boundary =. This is because glottal stop cannot occur word-initially elsewhere. The variant k = ó- also shows clear phonological interaction.

Examples of kò = ʔó- and k = ó for Ma dialect are in (1167a-c). A possible textual example is (1167d), but in allegro speech it is not easy to distinguish kò = ʔó- from k = ó-. Pfv zīēʔē ‘went’ drops by regular tone sandhi to L-toned before k = ó (1167f) but not before kò ʔó-.

- (1167) a. nó zīēʔē [kò = ʔó-kò [Ø gbáⁿ-gbàⁿʔáⁿ]]
 1Sg go.Pfv [Infin go.Base-kill.Base [Art lion]]
 ‘I went and killed a lion.’ (Ma)
- b. nó nà yíʔí [kò = ʔó-kò [Ø gbáⁿ-gbàⁿʔáⁿ]]
 1Sg Fut go.Base [Infin go.Base-kill.Base [Art lion]]
 ‘I will go and kill a lion.’ (Ma)
- c. nó zīēʔē [kò = ʔó- dí / ɲɔ̃]
 1Sg go.Pfv [Infin go.Base- eat.Base / drink.Base]
 ‘I went and ate/drank.’ (Ma)
- d. [fó→ [kò = ʔó-só= [Ø dè]
 [until [Infin go.Base-set.Base [Art sun]
 ‘(from early morning) until the sun (went and) set’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 01:47)
- e. zàkì á yíʔí [k = ó- dí / ɲɔ̃] =?
 Z PfvNeg go.Base [Infin go.Base- eat.Base / drink.Base] Neg
 ‘Zaki didn’t go and eat/drink.’ (Ma)
- f. zàkí bē zìèʔè [k = ó- dí / ɲɔ̃]
 Z Fut go.Pfv [Infin go.Base- eat.Base / drink.Base]
 ‘Zaki will go and eat/drink.’ (Ma)

- g. gbèʔé [k= ó- dí / ɲɔ̃]
 go.Hort [Infin **go.Base-** eat.Base / drink.Base]
 ‘Go eat/drink!’ (hortative) (Ma)

In (1167d) the subject ‘sun’ appears to be extraposed to the right. It may be that ‘set’ is construed as causative ‘cause to set’, making ‘sun’ the object, or this may be a production error. The usual phrasing is fó [kã = [Ø dè-só-ní] ‘until sunset’ (Fl).

Ma dialect drops the tone of an H-toned preglottalic vowel segment, hence yìʔí ‘go.Base’ corresponding to Bi Ji yíʔí. However, in the case of kò ʔó- the tones are etymologically correct (*kò yíʔí-) rather than attributable to pre-glottal tone-lowering.

Elicited examples of kò ó- (Fl dialect) are in (1168). The same speaker pronounces them as [kó], transcribed k = ó-, in allegro speech.

- (1168) a. fó [kò =ó dí / ɲɔ̃]
 pass.Base [Infin **go.Base** eat.**Base** / drink.**Base**]
 ‘Go eat/drink!’ (Fl)
- b. zàkí yīēʔē [kò =ó dí / ɲɔ̃]
 Z go.Pfv [Infin **go.Base** eat.**Base** / drink.**Base**]
 ‘Zaki went and ate/drank.’ (Fl)
- c. zàkì á yīʔí [kò =ó dí / ɲɔ̃] =?
 Z PfvNeg go.Base [Infin **go.Base** eat.**Base** / drink.**Base**] Neg
 ‘Zaki didn’t go and eat/drink.’ (Fl)
- d. zàkí nà fó [kò =ó dí / ɲɔ̃]
 Z Fut pass.Base [Infin **go.Base** eat.**Base** / drink.**Base**]
 ‘Zaki will go and eat/drink.’ (Fl)
- e. zàkí bè fīē [kò =ó dí / ɲɔ̃]
 Z Fut pass.Pfv [Infin **go.Base** eat.**Base** / drink.**Base**]
 ‘Zaki will go and eat/drink.’ (Fl)

Elicited examples of k = ó- for Ji dialect are in (1169). Pfv yīʔē drops to yìʔè by tone sandhi in (1169b).

- (1169) a. yíʔí [k= ó- dí / ɲɔ̃]
 go.Base [Infin **go.Base-** eat.**Base** / drink.**Base**]
 ‘Go eat/drink!’ (Ji)
- b. ʔⁿ yìʔè [k= ó-tɔ̃]
 3AnSg go.Pfv [Infin **go.Base-hide.Base**]
 ‘He/She went and hid.’ (Ji)

Two textual examples of k = ó- are in (1170).

- (1170) a. nó nà kēⁿ?ēⁿ = nì,
 1Sg Fut take.up.Base 3InanObj],
 kò yí?í [k = ó-dú?ú = nì]
 Infin go.Base [Infin go.Base-hide.Base 3InanObj]
 ‘I will take it up, and (go and) hide it.’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 03:15)
- b. [ē blí-ké] kō ʃíⁿ?íⁿ-yí?í,
 [Art hare] Infin run.Base-go.Base,
 [kō yí?í] [g = ó-kēⁿ?ēⁿ [Ø sàrò?ò]]
 [Infin go.Base] [Infin go.Base-ascend.Base [Art baobab]]
 ‘The hare ran away. He went and climbed up the baobab tree.’
 (Fl, 2017-05 @ 01:07)

Bare infinitival kō without ‘go’ doubling, rather than doubled k = ó-, occurs when the pre-infinitival clause or VP and the following infinitival phrase cannot be conceptualized as co-events. This is necessarily the case with ‘go’ in its literal sense followed by ‘come back’.

- (1171) a. ðⁿ yí?ē [kò klá-bà]
 3AnSg go.Pfv [Infin return.Base-come.Base]
 ‘He/She went and (then) came back.’ (Ji)
- b. ðⁿ yīē?ē [kō bà]
 3AnSg go.Pfv [Infin come.Base]
 ‘He/She went and came (back).’ (Fl)

15.2.3.3.2 kà = á- ‘and went and’

Infinitival kō combines with the á- allomorph of ‘go’, limited to initial position in verb-verb compounds (before Vb2, the second verb), as kà = á-. It is not attested for our Bi speaker.

For the Ji speaker, we elicited kà = á- in (1172a-b). The compounded verb (‘eat’, etc.) is in the base stem as usual for the second verb in a compound.

- (1172) a. nó kà = á -dí / -jṵ / -dò
 1Sg Infin go.Base -eat.Base/-drink.Base/-sleep.Base
 ‘(and) I went and ate/drank/slept.’ (Ji)
- b. nó kà = á-jī = [Ø bí-sìò fīē]
 1Sg Infin go.Base-see.Base [Art child.Pl pass.Pfv]
 ‘(and) I went and saw/found that the children had left.’ (Ji)

Textual examples for Fl and Ji are in (1173). For broader discourse context consult the texts themselves.

- (1173) a. ðⁿ yīʔē [kà = á-klè ...]
 3AnSg go.Pfv [**Infin** **go.Base**-do.Base ...]
 ‘He went and had ...’ (Ji, 2017-09 @ 07:00)
- b. [ē sǒ], kà = á-dàⁿ
 [Art pig], **Infin** **go.Base**-arrive.Base
 [[ē kèʔè-rè-ʔé jǎ-rē] nì] dóróⁿ
 [[Art *Gardenia*-Pl Indef-InanPl] Loc] only
 ‘when the warthog arrived at some *Gardenia erubescens* trees’
 (Fl, 2017-03 @ 01:58)
- c. ò á-nī [ðⁿ nā-dè dígòʔò]
 Infin go.Base-see.Base [3AnSgRefl old.man other]
 ‘(and) went and saw his (=the) other old man’ (Fl, @ 2017-03 @ 02:25)
- d. [bùò tó-ró] tà-à-gū = [Ø nū],
 [3Pl Foc-AnPl] go.Ipfv-Ipfv-draw.water.Ipfv [Art water],
 kò á-sūʔ = [ðⁿ [Ø flí-kò]]
Infin **go.Base**-give.Base [Dat [Art termite-Pl]]
 ‘It’s they [focus] who go and draw water, and then go and give (it) to the termites.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 06:13)
- e. é→ [ē kàʔá-kà-kàʔà jī],
 hey [Art plump.game.animal Indef],
 [gà = á-glú [ŋ nī]] dē = [Ø sǒ] =yà
[Infin go.Base-exit(v).Base [1SgRefl Loc]] Quot [Art pig] it.is
 ‘(said:) “A plump game animal appeared to me. It was a warthog.”’
 (Fl, 2017-03 @ 02:31)

The textual examples are concentrated in narrative contexts where the arrival or appearance of a protagonist is followed by a foregrounded event.

The narrative context and the use of á- ‘go and’ link this construction with tà = á- (§15.3.5.5), where however the first element appears to be the past morpheme.

15.2.3.3.3 Imperfective kō tì-à-, kō tà-à- ‘and go(es) and’

Dialectally, tì- suppletes yíʔí ‘go’ chiefly in imperfective infinitives following an imperfective main clause with ‘go’.

We begin by distinguishing this from the initial in the compound verb (1174) and its minor dialectal variants. (1174) does not involve motion and has a diphthongal Pfv.

- (1174) Pfv base Ipfv gloss
 tì-è-tǎⁿ tì-tǎⁿ tì-à-tǎⁿ ‘spill, pour’

Suppletive *tì-* ‘go’ occurs as such in data from our Fl and Ma speakers. The Fl speaker suggests that it is a borrowing from Jula, which has *kà tá-* ‘and/to go and ...’ in some infinitival verb-verb compounds. However, this is likely a secondary association, as *tì-* appears to be well-integrated into the grammatical system in most Tiefo-D dialects. The Fl speaker uses *tì-* ‘go’ as Vb1- in verb-verb compounds in two contexts: imperfective infinitive phrases (VPs or clauses) and perfective negative clauses.

kō tì-à- functions chiefly as the imperfective counterpart of *k = ó-*, *kò ó-*, and other dialectal variants in non-imperfective ‘go (and go) and VP’ constructions (§15.2.3.3.1 above). The *-à-* is recognizable as the intercalated Ipfv morpheme which occurs in all true verb-verb compounds, see the beginning of this chapter. The *kō* is sometimes omitted, resulting in just *tì-à-*. The larger context usually has ‘go’ in the pre-infinitival clause or VP, so that *tì-* functions as an echo of ‘go’.

Elicited examples with infinitival *kō tì-à-* are in (1175a-b) for Fl and (1175c) for Ma.

- (1175) a. [kò-kò sú→] zàkí à yīʔí
 [Rdp-day all] Z Ipfv go.Ipfv
 [kō tì -à -dí / -ɲí]
 [Infin go.Ipfv -Ipfv -eat.Ipfv / -drink.Ipfv
 ‘Every day, Zaki goes and eats/drinks.’ (Fl)
- b. zàkì má yīʔí [kō tì -à -tō]
 Z IpfvNeg go.Ipfv [Infin go.Ipfv -Ipfv -hide.Ipfv]
 ‘Zaki doesn’t go and hide.’ (Fl)
- c. ɔ̃ⁿ = Ø yīʔí [kō tì -à -dē]
 3AnSg Ipfv go.Ipfv [Infin go.Ipfv -Ipfv -sleep.Ipfv]
 ‘He/She (often) goes and sleeps.’ (Ma)

Elicited examples of just *tì-* without *kō* are in (1176). Since *tì* is now adjacent to ‘go’, one might take it as the medial verb in a triple compound. However, there is no intercalated *-à-* between ‘go’ and *tì-*, which suggests that *tì-* functions as a portmanteau for *kō tì-*. If a constituent such as *mā* ‘there.Def’ is added after ‘go’, the full *kō tì-à-* must be used (1176c).

- (1176) a. [kò-kò sú→] zàkí à yīʔí
 [Rdp-day all] Z Ipfv go.Ipfv
 [tì -à -dí / -ɲō]
 [go.Ipfv -Ipfv -eat.Ipfv / drink/Base
 ‘Every day, Zaki goes and eats/drinks.’ (Fl)
- b. zàkì má yīʔí [tì -à -tō]
 Z IpfvNeg go.Ipfv [go.Ipfv -Ipfv -hide.Ipfv]
 ‘Zaki doesn’t go and hide.’ (Fl)

- c. [kò-kò sú→] zàkí à yííí mā
 [Rdp-day all] Z Ipfv go.Ipfv
 [kō tà -à -dí / -jǫ]
 [**Infin go.Ipfv -Ipfv** -eat.Ipfv / drink/Base
 ‘Every day, Zaki goes there and eats/drinks.’ (Ji)

In texts, the same Fl speaker also used *tì- ‘go’* in verb-verb compounds following *PfvNeg á*, with no imperfective morphology. All three examples are in conditional antecedents with *jí* (1177).

- (1177) a. dè j= ó á tì-jó =ñ= [Ø sòbɛ́]
 say.Pfv if 1Pl **PfvNeg go-look.at.Base** 3InanObj [Art candor]
 ‘(They) said, “oh! If we don’t go look at (=consider) it seriously, ...”’
 (Fl, 2017-05 @ 02:03)
- b. *donc* jó= ǒ= Ø tì-jó [à wūⁿʔúⁿ]
 so if 3Pl **PfvNeg go-look.at.Base** [3Inan head]
 ‘(said:) “So, if you-Pl don’t go and do a consultation (with a magician), ...”’
 (Fl, 2017-05 @ 01:49)
- c. [[bùò nā-dòⁿʔóⁿ] nì] [jí nā-dòⁿʔòⁿ =ó tì-fōrū =
 [[2Pl person-one] Loc] [if person-one **PfvNeg go-marry.Base**
 [[Ø blí-ké] bàʔà]]
 [[Art hare] Dat]]
 ‘Among you-Pl, if one (of you) doesn’t go get married to hare, ...’
 (Fl, 2017-05 @ 02:48)

Our Ji speaker has (kō) *tà-à-Vb2 ‘go and Vb2’* corresponding to (kō) *tì-à-Vb2* in Fl and Ma. A Ji textual example with just *tà-à-Vb2* is (1178). We mark it up as *tà-à-* parallel to Fl/Ma *tì-à-*, but segmentation is less transparent for Ji.

- (1178) [bùò tó-ró] tà-à-gū= [Ø jū]
 [3Pl Foc-AnPl] **go.Ipfv-Ipfv-draw.water.Ipfv** [Art water]
 ‘It’s they [focus] who go and draw water.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 06:13)

This occurred with the infinitival morpheme as *kō tà-à-* in an elicited example (1179a) and a textual example (1179b).

- (1179) a. [kò-kò sú→] zàkí à yííí
 [Rdp-day all] Z Ipfv come.Ipfv
 [kō tà-à-dí / -jǫ]
 [**Infin go.Ipfv-Ipfv-eat.Ipfv / drink.Ipfv**
 ‘Every day, Zaki goes and eats/drinks.’ (Ji)

- e. kō sò [kò yíí],
 Infin carry.on.head.Base [Infin go.Base],
 ò kō rà-sūʔ̃ = nì
 3Pl **Infin go.Base-give.Base** 3InanObj,
 [[ē lō-kùò-t̃ʔ̃] nī]
 [[Art chicken.Pl-kill.Pfv-place] Loc]
 ‘Then (they) carried it and went and gave it (to people) at the chicken slaughtering place.’ (Bo, 2019-10 @ 04:35)

For the many verbs that have identical base=Ipfv, correct parsing of textual examples depends on the transcriber’s ability to distinguish kō rà-à- from kō rà- in what is often rapid speech. Discourse context is often relevant to parsing. Some examples that we interpret as kō rà- plus the base stem of -Vb2 are in (1181).

- (1181) a. ʔ̃ⁿ wò yíí māⁿ,
 3AnSg Infin go.Base there.Def,
 kō rà-súʔ̃ = = ò]
Infin go.Base-catch.Base 3AnSgObj]
 ‘It (=elephant) went there, and caught her.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 03:06)

- b. áywà, [ē nāⁿ-bèʔ̃è] wò yíí,
 well, [Art Bouki] Infin go.Base,
 kō rà-ló [ʔ̃ⁿ mǔⁿ]]
Infin go.Base-turn.Base [3AnSgRefl voice]]
 ‘Well, Bouki went and changed his voice.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 01:53)

kō rà is simplified to just rà in (1182). This makes rà look superficially like a medial verb in a triple verb compound. This simplification is only attested for Bi dialect and only before ‘arrive’.

- (1182) ò yíʔ̃ē [Ø rà-dàⁿ]
 3Pl go.Pfv [Infin go.Base-arrive.Base]
 ‘They (went and) arrived.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 07:10)

In addition to infinitival kō rà- and its variants, rà- ‘go and’ is attested in conditional antecedents (§16.1.1.6.2). However, in that context it can be difficult to distinguish rà- ‘go and’ from past allomorph râ.

15.2.3.3.5 Bi kō rà-à- ‘goes and’

The morphologically imperfective version of Bi kō rà- (preceding subsection) is kō rà-à-, ending in intercalated Ipfv -à-. There is one clear textual example (1183a), with verb cùⁿ/c̃ⁿ/cīⁿ ‘spend the night’, so -cīⁿ is unmistakably Ipfv. The text describes recurrent activities from the past. In (1183b), dī-à-glō ‘take out’ is clearly imperfective, and the whole context is future-looking. té ‘put down’ has base=Ipfv, so we can’t rule out a transcription

with imperfective *rà-à-tē*. Since the putting down is a bounded event at the tree, while the taking out can be done repeatedly, we favor *rà-tē*.

- (1183) a. *ó gō rà-à-cīⁿ* [bè tòʔò],
 1Pl Infin go.Ipfv-Ipfv-spend.night.Ipfv [Dem.Def place]],
donc ó gō rà-à-cīⁿ [bè tòʔò],
 so 1Pl **Infin go.Ipfv-Ipfv-spend.night.Ipfv** [Dem.Def place],
 [k-ā cùṛ= [Ø kà-rá]
 [Infin-Ipfv kill.Ipfv [Art meat-Pl]
 ‘We would go and spend the night at that place. So, having gone and spent the night there, we would kill wild animals.’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 03:26-28)

- b. *ðⁿ ṅō rà-tē*
 3AnSg Hort go.Base-put.down.Base
 [wō dī-à-glō =nì] [wò bó]
 [Infin remove.Ipfv 3Inan] [Infin tie.Ipfv]
 ‘Let me go and put down (the baobab), and take it (=finery) out and tie (it on).’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 09:05)

Our main Bi speaker tended to avoid imperfective infinitival doubling of ‘go’. For example, (1184a) is his regular way of doubling ‘go’ in perfective contexts. However, he preferred a simple one-clause construction with ‘go’ as compound Vb1 in imperfective contexts (1184b). This may account for the marginal status of imperfective *kō rà-à-*.

- (1184) a. *zàkí yīʔē [gō rà-dí]*
 Z go.Pfv [Infin **go.Base-eat.Base**]
 ‘Zaki went and ate.’ (Bi)
- b. *zàkí à yīʔ-à-dí*
 Z **Ipfv** go.Ipfv-Ipfv-eat.Ipfv
 ‘Zaki goes and eats (regularly).’ (Bi)

15.3 Adverbial clauses with infinitival or subordinating morpheme

In this section we present subordinated clauses that function as manner (‘the way/how ...’), spatial (‘where ...’), and temporal (‘when ...’) adverbial adjuncts to main clauses. Some of these are straightforward special cases of the relative construction (chapter 14) with a noun like ‘time’, ‘place’, or ‘manner’ as head. As NPs, such relatives can occasionally function as arguments (e.g. ‘[the time when they will be married] is approaching’). Other adverbial clauses have more idiosyncratic structures.

We begin with manner adverbial clauses (§15.3.1), followed by mixed manner-temporal (§15.3.2), spatial (§15.3.3), mixed spatial-temporal including bipartite ‘from/since X (all the way) to/until Y’ (§15.3.4), and temporal (§15.3.5).

15.3.1 Manner adverbial clause

Clausal manner adverbials may be compared to simple manner adverbs (§8.5.5), to ‘like/similar to X’ phrases with quasi-preposition *ká* or *tá* depending on dialect (§8.5.1), and to deverbal compounds with *-kà* ‘manner’ (§5.1.7.2).

15.3.1.1 ‘The way ...’ (*kā jèrɔ̃ⁿ*)

In this construction, the manner of some eventuality is positively compared to that denoted by the main clause. The noun *kā* ‘manner, way’ is the head of a relative clause (§14.2.5).

(1185) a. [ē d̄àràʔá], à b̄ūō-b̄ūō [[kā j̄èrɔ̃ⁿ] nī],
 [Art tale], 3Inan Rdp-be.gotten.Pfv [[**manner Rel**] Loc],
 ‘the tale, in the (same) way it was (originally) gotten (=learned)’
 (Fl, 2017-05 @ 00:14)

b. ō bà-bà [kā j̄èrɔ̃ⁿ],
 3Pl Rdp-come.Pfv [**manner Rel**],
 ó ḡà-bàʔà [wò dò [bè tóʔó]],
 1Pl want.it [Hort speak.Base [Dem.Def Foc]],
 ‘The way they they have kept coming, that [focus] is what we want to talk about.’
 (Bi, 2017-09 @ 00:16)

15.3.1.2 ‘Like ...’ (*ká/tá*)

ká ~ *tá* ‘like’ occurs elsewhere as a quasi-preposition before NPs (§8.5.1.1). Unlike true prepositions, it can also occur clause- or VP-initially to form manner adverbials. In these respects it behaves like Eng *like*.

In (1186a-b), the manner clause follows infinitival clauses with the same logical subjects. This subject is not repeated in the manner clause, so ‘like’ is followed immediately by *nà*. We gloss the latter as future (“Fut”), but *nà* can be counterfactual in some constructions (§16.4.2).

(1186) a. [è úⁿúⁿ-t̄àr̄èⁿ-yùò] kō w̄ūʔ̄ɔ̄-w̄ūʔ̄ɔ̄ = nīⁿ,
 [Art head-sit.Pfv-people] Infin Rdp-suck.Base 3InanObj,
 tá nàⁿ w̄ūʔ̄ɔ̄-kò [ò m̄íⁿʔ̄áⁿ],
like Fut suck.Base-kill.Base [PIRefl Refl],
 ‘The leaders gobbled it (=meat) up, like (they) would eat themselves to death.’
 (Bi, 2017-10 @ 03:37)

- b. à gō ā klè
 3Inan Infin Ipfv be.done.Ipfv
 [ká nà klè [ē fliʔ =] [[ē yǒ] bàʔà]
 [**like** **Fut** be.done.Base [Art insanity] [[Art woman] chez]
 ‘It (=situation) was like the woman would go crazy.’
 (women, 2017-18 @ 00:15)

The elicited example (1187) has a new subject after ‘like’.

- (1187) ná= à ʃɪ̃ⁿ [Ø kē-sùⁿ?ðⁿ]
 1Sg Ipfv work(v).Ipfv [Adv work(n)]
 [ká zàkí à ʃɪ̃ⁿ [Ø kē-sùⁿ?ðⁿ]]
 [**like** Z Ipfv work(v).Ipfv [Adv work(n)]]
 ‘I work like Zaki works.’ (Ji)

15.3.1.3 ‘As though ...’ (ā klè ká/tá)

In this construction, the manner of the subordinated eventuality is framed as hypothetical (i.e. seeming) or counterfactual. In (1187), the main clause means ‘it was done, it happened’ by itself, but here it corresponds to ‘it is/was (as though ...)’. The modal quality is expressed by ká ~ tá ‘like’, followed by an indicative main clause. The inanimate pronominal à in the main clause resumes the situation expressed by the ‘like’ clause, compare the very common ā klè kà-tó ‘it happened thus’.

- (1188) a. [à dó] klè [ká [à sē]]
 [3Inan however] **be.done.Pfv** [**like** [3Inan collapse.Pfv]]
 ‘However it is as though it collapsed.’ (Ma, 2017-10 @ 06:45)
- b. ā klè [tá [bó dè c5ʔ5]]
 3Inan **be.done.Pfv** [**like** [3AnSg IpfvPast fear.Base]]
 ‘It was like it (=elephant) was afraid.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 01:26)
- c. dè móⁿ mā kò [n dèⁿ?éⁿ], ā klè
 Quot 2Sg if kill.Base [Sg one], 3Inan **be.done.Pfv**
 [ká [móⁿ kùò [náⁿ-bí-ó é-rúⁿ] [yúó támwú]]
 [**like** [2Sg kill.Pfv [person-Pl head-Pl] [people ten]]
 ‘(The authorities say:) “If you-Sg kill one (elephant), it’s like (=the legal equivalent of) you killed ten people.” ’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 04:10)

The combination tá nà, with future (or possibly counterfactual) nà, occurs in (Bo, 2019-06 @ 00:15) in a hyperbolic context: ‘rain was there enough to kill’. However, tá could also be read as the past morpheme in this example.

15.3.1.4 ‘Seems/looks like ...’ (àⁿdéné nī)

To indicate that the subordinated situation is imagined by a third person, the subordinated situation takes indicative clause form without *ká ~ tá*. The verb of the main clause is ‘look (at)’, followed by quotative *dè* and the content of the protagonist’s thinking. àⁿdéⁿ?éⁿ nī ‘in appearance’ is part of the quoted thought. àⁿdéⁿ?éⁿ (< Fr *on dirait* ‘one would say’, i.e. ‘it looks like...’) is partially nativized as a noun, perhaps because it resembles the numeral ‘one’.

- (1189) a. bó à lúⁿ n= [àⁿdéⁿ?éⁿ nī]
 3AnSg Ipfv **look.at.Ipfv** Quot [**appearance** Loc]
 [bè tó?ó], [bè bèrè] ā kò-à-fó,
 [Dem.Def Foc], [Dem.Def still] Ipfv be.good.Ipfv-Ipfv-pass.Ipfv,
 [[è [blí-ké]-yò] bà?à] dó]
 [[Art [hare]-woman] Dat] Poss.Inan]
 ‘It seemed to her that that [focus], that was (still) better than the one that was with hare woman.’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 03:11)

- b. [ē bǒ] g-ā lúⁿ
 [Art elephant] Infin-Ipfv **look.at.Ipfv**
 dà= [àⁿdéⁿ?éⁿ n=] [ǒⁿ wūō]
 Quot [**appearance** Loc] [3AnSg die.Pfv]
 ‘It seemed to the elephant that she had died.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 03:30)

15.3.2 Mixed manner-temporal clauses (sìná nī ~ sǐná nī)

The very common element *sìná nī* or *sǐná nī* occurs clause-finally, at the end of an otherwise normal indicative main clause. It is formally a PP with locative postposition *nī*, but it rarely occurs in any other form. It appears to take the entire preceding clause as a kind of compound initial. In elicitation we came across the compound noun *sìná-díⁿ* ‘(any) kind of situation’.

The *sìná nī* construction is variably translatable as manner adverbial (‘the way’) or temporal (‘as soon as; after’). Eng *as* also has a range of manner and temporal functions, but the temporal function of *sìná nī* is to describe a situation created by the event, not the event itself. However, it does not allow normal nominal modifiers and is always clause-final.

Examples of the manner function are in (1190).

- (1190) a. [è fé] klè kà-tó [[mó dè] sìná nī]—
 [Art talk(n)] be.done.Pfv thus-Foc [[2Sg say.Pfv] **situation**] **Loc**—
 [[ǐ dǐ?ē = nì] sìná nī]
 [[2Sg hear.Pfv 3InanObj] **situation**] **Loc**
 ‘The talk happened like that, the way you-Sg said— (or rather) the way you heard it.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 04:27)

- b. dè [[bó nà yá] ʃɪná nī],
 say.Pfv [[[LogoSg see.Pfv Dem.InanSg] **situation**] **Loc**],
 [ē sðbé] nī
 [Art candor] Loc
 ‘... said “how I have seen that, in all honesty” ’ (Fl, 2017-05 @ 00:42)
- c. [[[móⁿ nàⁿ klè] ʃɪná nīⁿ] [wò bú māⁿ]
 [[[2Sg Fut do.Base] **situation**] **Loc**] [Infin get.Base there.Def]
 ‘After you act in (such) a way, (you) will then succeed there.’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 10:29)
- d. [ē gblèⁿ?èⁿ] bà dīē [[[à wō klè] ʃɪná nī]
 [Art sorghum] if enter.Base] [[[3Inan Infin do.Base] **situation**] **Loc**]
 ‘Sorghum, when sorghum ripens, the way it does.’
 (Bi, 2017-07 @ 09:15) (alludes to decumbent grain heads)
- e. énáǫ [í-yùò náⁿ-dì-ò] nǎⁿ klè—
 anyway [1Pl elder-Pl] PastHabit do.Ipfv—
 [[[nǎⁿ láⁿ [ē dè-ró] kè-tè?è]] ʃɪná nīⁿ
 [[[PastHabit wash.Ipfv [Art male-Pl] hand]]] **situation**] **Loc**]
 ‘Anyway, the way our elders used to circumcise boys.’
 (Bi, 2017-10 @ 00:08)

The manner-adverbial sense ‘the way ...’ lends itself to combination with clause-initial Fr *comme* (Ji, 2021-02 @ 01:39).

Examples that we attribute to the temporal function are in (1191).

- (1191) a. [[[[ē [kè-tè?è]-bù] wìè] sɪná nī]
 [[[[Art [hand]-digit] be.put.Pfv] **situation**] **Loc**]
 ɲánòⁿ kō dɔ̃ⁿ-pāⁿ [Ø [kè-tè?è]-bù]
 friend Infin bite.Base-press.on.Base [Art [hand]-digit]
 ‘As soon as (hare’s) finger was put in, the friend (=hyena) bit and held the finger
 (in its teeth).’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 05:33)
- b. [[bè tó?ó] fīē] ʃɪná nīⁿ,
 [[[[Dem.Def Foc] pass.Pfv] **situation**] **Loc**],
 hàyà, ō bà-gbē [kō klè *constat*]
 well, 3Pl come.Pfv-pick.up.Base [Infin do.Base report]
 ‘Once that was over, well, they came and took over and made a report.’
 (Bi, 2017-09 @ 05:08)

In elicitation, sɪná nī occurred in translations of ‘after’ clauses (Fr *après que*).

- (1192) a. [[[nó lēⁿ [Ø fɛʔé]] sɪná nī]
 [[[1Sg wash.Pfv [Art wrap]] **situation** **Loc**]
 [ɔ̃ⁿ kō bà-glú [nó nī]]
 [3AnSg Infin come.Base-exit.Base [1Sg Loc]]
 ‘After I washed the garment, he/she appeared (abruptly) to me.’ (F1)
- b. [[[è bí-ʃiō] bà dí-kō] sɪná nī]
 [[[Art child.Pl] if eat.Base-finish.Base] **situation** **Loc**]
 [ó nà yíʔí]
 [1Pl Fut go.Base]
 ‘After the children have finished eating, we will go.’ (F1)

sɪná nī also occurred in translations of ‘since’ clauses (Fr *depuis que*). We note in §15.3.5.3 that ‘when ...’ clauses (e.g. with kàtó) can also occur in such contexts, there being no dedicated ‘since’ element in Tiefo-D.

- (1193) [[[nó bà] sɪná nī]
 [[[1Sg come.Pfv] **situation** **Loc**]
 ná= á dí =?
 1Sg PfvNeg eat.Base Neg
 ‘Since I came, I haven’t eaten.’ (F1)

The “temporal” cases of sɪná nī do not simply specify the chronological relationships between the main and subordinated eventualities. Especially in the textual examples, the sɪná nī clause describes a preceding event that has created a situation in which the second eventuality occurs.

15.3.3 Spatial adverbial clause (‘where ...’)

In texts, many relative clauses with ‘place’ as head (§14.2.5) are separate topical phrases. In (1194), the spatial relative is preposed as topic, and is resumed by ‘that (same) place’ within the main clause.

- (1194) ē pòʔò-yíʔí-tòʔò jèrɔ̃ⁿ,
 Art the.bush-go.Base-**place** **Rel**,
 ó gō rà-à-cīⁿ [bè tòʔò]],
 1Pl Infin go.Ipfv-Ipfv-spend.night.Ipfv [Dem.Def place]],
 ‘The hunting place where (the bungalow was), we would go and spend the night at that place.’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 03:26).

In (1195), the spatial relative is again preposed, but it is not resumed inside the clause. The spatial relative could therefore be analysed as a subordinated adverbial clause, as a possible alternative to a topicalized preclausal setting adverbial.

- (1195) má= à dīē [tòʔò jèrɔ̃ⁿ]
 2Sg Ipfv enter.Ipfv [**place** **Rel**]
 [ē blō =rè] má dàⁿ [mó nī]
 [Art rain(n) even] IpfvNeg arrive.Base/Ipfv [2Sg Loc]
 ‘(In) the place where you go in, the rain doesn’t reach you.’
 (Ji, 2017-11 @ 05:10)

Some occurrences of ‘place’ as relative head have abstract rather than literal spatial reference. Almost every tale has formulaic initiations and endings that refer to the abstract “place” where the tale was ‘picked up’, and where it is then ‘put (back)’ after narration (1196).

- (1196) a. [bè fé] dè [kò yíí [tòʔò jèrɔ̃ⁿ]],
 [Dem.Def talk(n)] Quot [Infín go.Base [**place** **Rel**]],
 à má dīʔè ké,
 3Inan IpfvNeg be.long.Ipfv Emph,
 ‘The place where that talk (=tale) went, it isn’t too far away.’
 (Ji, 2017-01 @ 04:09)

- b. ñ gblè =nì [tòʔò jèrɔ̃ⁿ]
 2Sg pick.up.Pfv 3InanObj [**place** **Rel**]
 ñ bà té =nì fāⁿʔāⁿ
 2Sg come.Pfv put.Base 3InanObj here
 ‘Where you-Sg picked it (=tale) up, you came and put it down here.’
 (Ma, 2017-05 @ 04:44)

In other contexts, ‘place’ may mean more abstractly ‘situation’ (1197a), or stage within a developing situation (1197b). For the latter compare Eng *point* as in *at the point where/when ...*

- (1197) a. [ô= Ø-mā [tòʔò jèrɔ̃ⁿ]] [ô= Ø-mā mā],
 [1Pl be.Loc [**place** **Rel**]] [1Pl be.Loc there.Def],
 ò kánà kèʔè-kè-dórā= [Ø mīé]
 3Pl Hort.Neg ruin(v).Base-finish.Base-do.a.lot.Base [Art 1Pl]
 ‘The place (=situation) where we are, we are there (=in it). May they (=elephants) not completely ruin (all of) us!’ (Ji, 2017-09 @ 08:10)

- b. [bó mlēⁿ =ò [tòʔò jèrɔ̃ⁿ]]
 [LogoSg shoot.Pfv 3AnSgObj [**place** **Rel**]]
 [ðⁿ fīē [kà [bó fīʔé]]],
 [3AnSg pass.Pfv [with [LogoSg daba]]],
 ‘(said:) “Where (=when) I shot (the daba) at it, it went away with my daba.”’
 (Fl, 2017-03 @ 02:42)

15.3.4.2 ‘... until got tired’ = ‘... for a very long time’

This colorful expression has counterparts in many languages in the zone. It denotes extended prolongation of an activity, not necessarily focusing on physical weariness. It is therefore used with verbs like ‘eat’ (1201) and ‘laugh’ as well as ‘work’, ‘run’, and the like. The free translations in (1201) use English idioms. *fó* here is the particle ‘until, all the way to’ (preceding section).

(1201) a. ðⁿ dīè [fó kō bē]
 3AnSg eat.Pfv [**until** Infin become.tired.Base]
 ‘He ate until he was stuffed.’ (< dīē) (Ji)

b. ɓⁿ mē [fó kō bē]
 3AnSg laugh.Pfv [**until** Infin become.tired.Base]
 ‘He laughed until his sides hurt.’ (Ji)

15.3.5 Temporal adverbial clauses

In addition to the constructions described in the following subsections, post-subject *bà* and variants ‘if/when’ play a significant role in expressing chronological sequencing of events. We defer description of this construction to the following chapter on conditionals.

15.3.5.1 Adverbial relative clause with ‘time’ as head

A relative clause headed by *dá?á* ‘time’ (or tonal variant), or any temporal noun (‘day’, ‘year’, etc.), can function as a temporal relative clause (§14.2.5). In theory the relative construction should be followed by a locative postposition to qualify as a true subordinated clause, but this is honored in the breach.

Elicited examples are in (1202).

(1202) a. [[kō jèrɔⁿ] nó bà]
 [[**day** **Rel**] 1Sg come.Pfv]
 [ē blò] tá à wó
 [Art rain(n)] Past Ipfv rain.fall.Ipfv
 ‘(On) the day when I came, it was raining.’ (F1)

b. [[dā?á jèrɔⁿ] nó kō [bǎ nī]
 [[**time** **Rel**] 1Sg be [come.Prog Prog]
 [zàkì tá à-mā]
 [Z Past be.Loc]
 ‘At the time when I was on my way (here), Zaki was present (here).’ (F1)

Textual examples are in (1030) in §14.2.5.

15.3.5.2 ‘Until today’ (bàna kúⁿʔúⁿ)

bàna occurs in the phrase bàna kúⁿʔúⁿ (and tonal variants) ‘until today, up to now, so far’.

- (1203) a. ná = á dí [[ē dèⁿ] [bàna kúⁿʔúⁿ]
 1Sg PfvNeg eat.Base [[Art yesterday] [**until today**]
 ‘I haven’t eaten since yesterday.’ (F1)
- b. [[bàna kúⁿʔúⁿ] nó má dè = [= à zàkí]]
 [[**until today**] 1Sg IpfvNeg speak [with Z]]
 [kàtó = ʔⁿ kùò nó]
 [since 3AnSg hit.Pfv 1Sg]
 ‘I haven’t spoken to Zaki ever since he hit me.’ (F1)

We have no textual examples, and our speakers did not accept bàna with other adverbials such as ‘this year’. bàna may include (a reflex of) bà ‘come’ but if so the formation is nontransparent. In (1203b) bàna kúⁿʔúⁿ is combined with kàtó ‘(starting) from, since’ in the other clause. See the following subsection for kàtó.

Another construction for ‘until (a point in time)’ is illustrated in (1204). It is based on the infinitive of ‘come-arrive (at)’.

- (1204) a. álè k̄a = à-dàⁿ kúⁿʔúⁿ
 until Infīn **come.Base-arrive.Base** today
 ‘until today, up to now, so far’ (Ji)
- b. álè k̄a = à-dàⁿ = [Ø dèⁿ]
 until Infīn **come.Base-arrive.Base** [Art this.year]
 ‘until this year’ (Ji)

15.3.5.3 ‘When ...’ or ‘since ...’ (kàtó)

kàtó ‘when ...’ or ‘since ..., (starting) from’ is borrowed from Jula. It is distinct from bè-kà-tó ‘thus’, but the latter can reduce to kà-tó. The two differ in that kàtó ‘when’ is always clause-initial, while (bè-)kà-tó is usually postverbal (but see below).

kàtó occurs clause-initially, before an ordinary indicative clause. The sense ‘when ...’, specifying the time of an event or process, is observed in (1205).

- (1205) a. [kàtó nó bà]
 [**when** 1Sg come.Pfv]
 [nó bà-nì [nó sē]]
 [1Sg come.Pfv-see.Base [1Sg father]]
 ‘When I came, I came and saw my father.’ (F1)

(1215)	formula	text
X	<p> $\dot{\text{ɔ}}^{\text{n}}$ wò sárúⁿ 3AnSg Infin descend.Base ‘He came down (the tree).’ </p>	
X lò	<p> $\dot{\text{ɔ}}^{\text{n}}$ sārṓⁿ lò, 3AnSg descend.Pfv after, ‘When he came down (=had come down), ...’ </p>	
Y	<p> $\dot{\text{o}}$ gò yííí [Ø lē] 3Pl Infin go.Base [Art home] ‘They went home’ (women, 2017-12 @ 02:08) </p>	

Further examples from the women’s narratives are in (1216).

- (1216) a. [è bítáró] wō kēⁿʔēⁿ
 [Art leper] Infin ascend.Base
 [è bítáró] klēⁿʔēⁿ lò,
 [Art leper] ascend.Pfv **after**,
 [è bítáró] wō rà-[gò-gò] [à bēⁿʔéⁿ]
 [Art leper] Infin go.Base-[Rdp-beat.Base] [3Inan tomtom]
 ‘The leper climbed up. Then the leper (went and) kept beating that tomtom.’
 (women, 2017-12 @ 01:54 to 01:59)
- b. [ē yǒ] kò máⁿʔáⁿ-súʔó = = ò,
 [Art woman] Infin roll.Base-catch.Base 3AnSgObj,
 ṓⁿ mēⁿʔēⁿ-súʔó = = ò lò,
 3AnSg roll.Pfv-catch.Base 3AnSgObj **after**,
 ḍⁿ nè máⁿ klè jèrṓⁿ,
 3AnSg IpfvPast IpfvNeg do.Ipfv Rel,
 ḍⁿ wō jàʔǎ = [Ø tàpùʔò fùⁿʔòⁿ]
 3AnSg Infin lay.out.Base [Art mat new]
 ‘The woman would hug him tightly. After she hugged him, which she previously was not doing, she would lay out a new mat ...’
 (women, 2017-12 @ 02:33 to 02:38)
- c. [ē dǒ] wō já [ḍⁿ míⁿʔáⁿ],
 [Art man] Infin leave.Base [3AnSgRefl Refl],
 [ē dò] já [ḍⁿ míⁿʔáⁿ] lò,
 [Art man] leave.Base [3AnSgRefl Refl] **after**,
 [è náⁿ-bí] kò dó—
 [Art child] Infin buy.Base—
 ‘The man gave up. When the man gave up, the young person (=girl) bought—’
 (women, 2017-13 @ 03:08 to 03:14)

- d. ɓⁿ dè [bó má tārēⁿ =ʔ],
 3AnSg say.Pfv [LogoSg IpfvNeg sit.Ipfv Neg],
 dè bó má tārēⁿ lò,
 Quot LogoSg IpfvNeg sit.Ipfv **after**,
 [ē sē] k-ā jè [Ø úⁿʔúⁿ] ní-mā [à nī]
 [Art father] Infin-Ipfv see.Ipfv [Art head] not.be.Loc [3Inan Loc]
 ‘(She) said she would not marry. After she said she would not marry, the (=her)
 father could see that there was no head in it (=that she was stubborn.’
 (women, 2017-13 @ 00:08 to 00:13)
- e. ... kō léⁿ-klá,
 ... Infin stand.Base-return.Base,
 ɖⁿ mà léⁿ-klá lò,
 3AnSg if stop.Base-return.Base **after**,
 ɖⁿ ŋō bà-léⁿ—
 3AnSg Infin come.Base-stop.Base—
 ‘(said:) “... then get up and return. When you have gotten up and returned,
 come stand—” ’ (women, 2017-13 @ 02:35 to 02:38)

There are only scattered instances of clause-final *lò* in the texts from our male speakers. The references are: (Bi, 2017-07 @ 07:02; 2017-09 @ 06:06 & 07:00), (Fl, 2017-03 @ 01:10; 2017-05 @ 00:33 & 04:15), and (Ji, 2017-04 @ 06:52). The male speakers generally preferred the *kō sà̀r̀* [kō ...] ‘and proceed(ed) to’ construction (§15.3.5.7.1) or the simple *bà* ‘if/when’ construction (chapter 16) to overtly specify chronological relationships among events. The male speakers made little use of echo constructions in narrative.

In extended greeting sequences, *lò* has a topicalizing function ‘what about X?’. The connection with ‘after’ is that this question type follows others that ask for the health of the addressee and the latter’s immediate kin.

- (1217) [bù̀dò d́ŕáʔá-yúó] l̀ò
 [2Pl courtyard-people] **Top**
 ‘What about your-Pl people of the household?’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 00:09)

For ‘what about?’ see also (Bo, 2019-10 @ 03:06)

In texts, it can be difficult to distinguish *lò* ‘after’, as described above, from a clause-final emphatic that takes any of the forms *lò*, *d̀ò*, *l̀è*, and *r̀è* (§19.4.2).

15.3.5.7 Constructions with *s̀à̀r̀*

The Jula verb *s̀à̀r̀* occurs in two distinct Jula constructions which are imported into Tiefó-D. In the first construction, the construction is *kō s̀à̀r̀* [kō...] ‘and then proceed to ...’, where *s̀à̀r̀* is itself in infinitival form, connected to a preceding clause or VP, and *s̀à̀r̀* in turn takes an infinitival VP complement. This construction specifies chronological sequencing and corresponds to Jula *kà s̀à̀r̀* [kà...]. The other is *kà-s̀à̀r̀* ‘whereas, although’ or ‘meanwhile’, which does not specify chronological sequencing.

15.3.5.7.1 kō sàrò [kō...] ‘and then proceed to ...’

A common way to overtly specify the chronological relationship between two actions is the construction (1218). A subject is possible before kō sàrò, but it is sometimes omitted since the logical subject is generally coindexed with that of the preceding VP. Rarely sàrò is in a main clause rather than an infinitival adjunct; see (1221b) below.

(1218) ...VP1
(subject) kō sàrò [kō VP2]

We gloss this sàrò as ‘proceed.to.Base’ in interlinears. There are more than twenty examples in our texts. Those in (1219) have overt subjects (‘djinn’s’, ‘she’) before kō sàrò.

(1219) a. *donc*, [è jéríⁿ-ní] kō sàrò
so, [Art djinn-Pl] **Infin** **proceed.to.Base**
[kō bú [ò miàʔá]]
[Infin get.Base [PlRefl Refl]]
‘So, the djinns proceeded to become free.’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 04:08)

b. ʔⁿ gō sàrò
3AnSg **Infin** **proceed.to.Base**
[wò glú [à lō]] [wō dò]
[Infin exit(v).Base [with 3Inan]] [Infin speak.Base]
‘She (=grandmother) proceeded to explain that.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 07:44)

Example (1220) omits the subject which is already present in the preceding VP or clause.

(1220) ó gō flè = nì,
1Pl Infin pour.off.Base 3InanObj,
kō sàrò [kō gbē= [Ø púⁿ-pùʔò]] ...
Infin **proceed.to.Base** [Infin take.Base [Art kneading.stick]] ...
‘We pour it off. ‘Then (we) proceed to take a kneading-stick (and knead it).’
(women, 2017-16 @ 01:07-11)

kō sàrò also occurred in elicited data based on cues with ‘before ...’ (Fr *avant de/que*). The minor difference between [...VP1] [*before* VP2] and [...VP1] [*and proceed to* VP2] is a matter of perspective. Our data show that the linear sequence of VPs reflects the actual event chronology, i.e. one doesn’t prepose a ‘before’ clause to another clause. Clause-initial jí in (1221a-b) occurs elsewhere in conditional antecedents (chapter 16), but here it has the climax-marking function also seen with infinitival jí kō (§15.2.1.2)

- (1221) a. é-yùò bè dīē
 1Pl Fut eat.Pfv
 [jí [kō sèrò] [kō yīʔí]]
 [if [Infin **proceed.to.Base**] [Infin go.Base]
 ‘We will eat before we go.’ (F1)
 (lit. “We will eat, and then proceed to go.”)
- b. é-yùò dīē kō-kō
 1Pl enter.Pfv Rdp-finish.Base
 [jí [Ø blō] sèrò [kō bà]]
 [if [Art rain(n)] **proceed.to.Pfv** [Infin come.Base]]
 ‘We had already gone inside before the rain came.’ (F1)

15.3.5.7.2 kà-sèrò ‘while, whereas, and yet, meanwhile’

This clause-initial form, borrowed from Jula, has the semantic effect of juxtaposing the content of its clause with that of another, without any chronological sequencing. The contents of the juxtaposed clauses may be disharmonious (‘whereas’, ‘although’, ‘and yet’), neutral (‘while’), or involve a shift of scene or perspective (‘meanwhile’). We use ‘while’ in interlinears. We count nine total examples in our texts. Some are in (1222).

- (1222) a. [ká= [Ø wùò-bí] [kà-sèrò [móⁿ nī] à-mā]
 [like [Art orphan] [**while** [2Sg mother] be.Loc]
 ‘like an orphan, although your mother is there.’ (Ji, 2017-07 @ 00:43)
- b. [kò gō= [Ø nūⁿ]] [ɲò klá],
 [Infin draw.water.Base [Art water]] [Infin return.Base],
 [kà-sèrò [bó dǒ] à sēⁿ
 [**while** [3AnSg man] Ipfv gather.Ipfv
 [ē kè-rà-ʔá jèròⁿ] mō→]
 [Art meat-Pl Rel] concerning],
 bó á gbē bè
 3AnSg PfvNeg take.Base Dem.Def
 ‘Then she (=hare) drew water and went back. Meanwhile, the wild animals that her (=hyena’s) husband was gathering (hunting), did she not take them?’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 03:00-02)
- c. nóⁿ kō yūā [ná= á nī]
 1Sg Infin grope.Base [1Sg PfvNeg see.Base]
 [wō sūʔō [ɲ] kè-tèʔè]],
 [Infin give.Base [1SgRefl hand]],
 à-sèrò= [Ø būⁿʔōⁿ] dìʔè [wō dīē]
while [Art dog] follow.Pfv [Infin enter.Base]
 ‘I groped along, without seeing. (I) gave (=reached out) my hand, while the dog pursued it (=hare) into (the burrow).’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 04:23)

15.3.5.8 *sáni* and *sáⁿtíé* ‘when’

sáni and *sáⁿ-tíé* ~ *sáni-tíé* are borrowings from Jula. *sáni* is attested in a somewhat fragmented passage (Bi, 2017-10 @ 05:36) that we will not reproduce here. It seems to mean ‘by the time (that ...)’ in (Bo, 2019-03 @ 02:05). A related form may be *sáⁿdè* (Bo, 2019-11 @ 01:08).

Clause-initial *sáⁿ-tíé* ~ *sáni-tíé* is somewhat fused. It is said to be from Jula *sáni ò cé* ‘before’ or ‘until’. There is one textual example (1223). The exact sense is unclear but it does involve a temporal gap between two events.

- (1223) ò kà= á-pēⁿ [bè tǝʔǝ] [íjàʔà nī]
 3Pl Infin go.Base-remain.Base [Dem.Def place] [business Loc]
sáⁿ-tíé ò nà klá-bà
when 3Pl Fut return.Base-come.Base
 ‘They (people turned into elephants) remained in that place going about their business, while they were (getting ready) to come back.’ (Ji, 2017-09 @ 07:20)

The other textual attestation of *sáⁿ-tíé* is in a conditional antecedent from the same speaker (Ji, 2021-02 @ 03:09, repeated at 03:18): ‘whenever a war begins’.

15.3.5.9 Clause-final *dárǝⁿ* ‘only’ in sense ‘as soon as’

The ‘only’ particle *dárǝⁿ* (§19.2.1) can occur at the end of a clause denoting a telic event in the sense ‘as soon as’, ‘no sooner (did ...)', setting up a following foregrounded event (1224).

- (1224) ǝⁿ glō [dè bá= ā ʃɪⁿʔɪⁿ dárǝⁿ→],
 3AnSg exit(v).Pfv [Quot LogoSg Ipfv run.Ipfv **only**],
 [ē bǝ] wò tíⁿ-gbē =ǝ
 [Art elephant] Infin pull.Base-pick.up 3AnSgObj
 ‘As soon as she got out (of the water) intending to flee (from it), the elephant pulled her and picked her up.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 02:50-54)

See also (Ma, 2017-02 @ 01:36).

15.3.5.10 ‘Since [time measure] ago’ (*à* = Ø *yíʔí*)

à = Ø *yíʔí* ‘it goes’ occurs at the beginning of a construction denoting an extended time interval continuing to the present, cf. Eng *for* as in *for (the last) five years ...*

- (1225) à= Ø *yíʔí*= [[Ø blō [Ø jǝⁿ]] nī]
 3Inan Ipfv go.Ipfv [Art rain(n) [Pl two] Loc]
 nó má jè mó
 1Sg IpfvNeg see.Ipfv 2Sgs
 ‘I haven’t seen you for two years.’ (Ji)

Chapter 15: Verbal compounds, infinitives, and adverbial clauses

The syntax, with Ipv verb, is similar to French (*depuis deux ans je ne te vois pas*) rather than to English with its present perfect (*have seen*) predicate.

16 Conditional constructions

True conditionals express an entailment between two propositions in which the second (the “consequent”) is asserted to be true or imperative if the first (the “antecedent”) is true. Conditionals are **hypothetical** when the reality of the antecedent eventuality is not known, either because it lies in the future or because the speaker cannot determine its truth (§16.1). Special cases are ‘even if’ (§16.2.1), ‘as soon as’ (§16.2.2), and ‘whether or not’ (willy-nilly) conditionals (§16.3). Conditionals are **counterfactual** when the reality of the antecedent is known or supposed to be false (§16.4).

In Tiefó-D as in many languages the difference between hypothetical conditionals (‘if’) and simple chronological sequencing (‘when/after’) is blurry.

16.1 Hypothetical conditionals

Typical hypothetical conditionals have the structure (1226). Sbj = subject, Vb = verb.

(1226) a. antecedent

positive:	(jí)	Sbj	bà		Vb.Base ...
negative:	(jí)	Sbj	bà	má ⁿ	Vb.Base ...

b. consequent

indicative main clause (positive or negative)
or: deontic (e.g. imperative, prohibitive) clause

jí has a less common dialectal variant já. There may be an etymological connection with já ‘leave, let’, which itself has a dialectal (Bi) variant jí.

In simple future-time ‘if ... then ...’ hypotheticals, the consequent is normally a regular NA-future indicative clause (1227) or an infinitival phrase.

(1227) ñ	bà	ɲò	yá,	
2Sg	if	drink. Base	Dem.InanSg,	
[è	lá-fù?ù]	nà	sú?ú	mó
[Art	disease]	Fut	catch.Base	2Sg

‘If you-Sg drink that, you’ll be sick’ (“sickness will catch you”) (Fl)

However, we will see that the consequent may also be infinitival in form, as though it were part of a series of parallel events in a narrative (§16.1.2.3). Therefore the semantic relationship between antecedent and consequent may be somewhat different between Tiefó-D and European languages.

Two antecedent clauses may be juxtaposed, leading to a single consequent. An example is (Fl, 2017-11 @ 06:10): ‘If it’s a question of water, a question of water, if you just go and arrive there, ...’. In this example, the first antecedent clause is higher-level, merely

indicating the topic. See §16.1.1.9 below for another way to combine two clauses within an antecedent, using an infinitival construction.

16.1.1 Hypothetical antecedents

There are two particles relevant to antecedents. Post-subject *bà* is very common by itself, in which case the free translation may waver between ‘if’, ‘when’, and ‘whenever’. When clause-initial *jí* is present, often accompanied by *bà*, the hypothetical (irrealis) modality is strengthened.

16.1.1.1 Post-subject *bà* ~ *mà* ‘if’

This morpheme occurs immediately after the subject. The dialectal variants are in (1228).

(1228) morpheme	dialect	comment
<i>bà</i>	Fl Ma	
"	Bi	nasalizes to <i>mà</i> after a nasal or nasal syllable
<i>mà</i>	Ji	

bà ~ *mà* raises to *bā* ~ *mā* before an L-tone (§3.6.2.1).

In Bi, the initial stop in *bà* often fully nasalizes to *m* after a nasal consonant (proclitic 1Sg *ŋ* or 2Sg *ŋ*) or a nasal syllable (3AnSg proclitic *ɔ̃*ⁿ, 2Sg *mó*ⁿ, 1Sg *nó*ⁿ). Such full nasalization is typical of Bi dialect in several morpheme combinations (§3.4.4.3). As usual in such cases, the nasality does not extend to the end of the syllable, so we have *mà* rather than *#màⁿ*, with no forward nasalizing effect on the following verb. Hence /*ŋ* *bà* *bà*/ ‘if you-Sg come’ is realized in Bi dialect as (*ŋ*) *mà* *bà*, not as *#(ŋ) màⁿ mà⁽ⁿ⁾*.

The proclitic 2Sg combination /*ŋ* *bà*/ is problematic in Bi dialect, since after nasalizing *b* to *m*, the proclitic nasal is often deleted, resulting in \emptyset *mà* varying with *ŋ* *mà*. The nonproclitic 2Sg pronoun may also be used before *bà* (*mó* *bà*, Bi *mó*ⁿ *mà*).

Although full nasalization does not usually occur in other dialects, the Ji variant *mà* may be the diachronic result of generalization of a former nasalized variant like that in present-day Bi dialect.

The verb following *bà* or variant in antecedent clauses is normally in base form, which suggests an original verb-verb compound. An etymological derivation from *bà* ‘come’ as first verb would explain this. However, the fact that other inflectional morphemes intervene between *bà* ‘if’ and the following verb (next subsection below) is counterevidence against ‘come’ as etymon.

16.1.1.2 Combinability of *bà* with inflections and verb forms

In positive antecedents, the most common construction is *bà* (or variant) plus the base of the verb. Some examples involving verbs with distinct base and *Ipfv* forms, so that the base stem is unmistakable, are in (1229). Minor dialectal variants in vocalism are normalized here.

(1229)	verb	<i>bà/mà</i> ...	gloss	reference
	<i>bà/bà/bē</i>	... <i>bà</i>	‘come’	(Ma, 2017-01 @ 01:26)
	<i>nà/nī/nè</i>	... <i>nī</i>	‘see’	(Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:11)
	<i>dì-è-só/dì-só/dī-à-í</i>	... <i>dì-só</i>	‘fall’	(Fl, 2017-05 @ 01:55)
	<i>klɔ̃ⁿ/kɔ̃ⁿ/klúⁿ</i>	... <i>kɔ̃ⁿ</i>	‘chew’	(Bi, 2017-08 @ 06:07)
	<i>ʃiʔè/sūʔɔ̃/sūʔū</i>	... <i>sūʔɔ̃</i>	‘give’	(Bi, 2017-08 @ 06:29)

The combinations in (1229) are aspectually unmarked, but functionally perfective or at least non-imperfective (above all, non-habitual). The aspectual distinction can be subtle, since statements of general causal relationships are often formulated in terms of specific exemplars, for example with generic ‘you’ in Tiefö-D as in English, e.g. ‘if a bee bites you (generic), you’ll feel it’.

bà or variant can be separated from the verb by any of the inflectional elements in (1230).

(1230) a. negative		
	<i>á</i>	perfective negative
	<i>máⁿ</i>	imperfective negative
b. positive		
	<i>kō ... nī</i>	progressive (‘be ...-ing’)
	<i>bè</i>	future
	<i>nà</i>	future
c. neutral		
	<i>kō</i>	infinitive

Perfective negative *bà á* is for practical purposes the negation of the aspectually unmarked *bà* plus base of verb. As elsewhere, the verb after *á* is in base form.

(1231) a.	<i>jí</i>	<i>bè</i>	<i>bà</i>	<i>á</i>	<i>klè,</i>
	if	Dem.Def	if	PfvNeg	be.done. Base,
	[è	blí-ké	kǎ ⁿ]	= à ⁿ	wí = rēʔ
	[Art	hare	Dem.AnSg]	Ipfv	die.Ipfv Emph
	‘If that isn’t done, that hare surely dies.’ (Fl, 2017-05 @ 03:09)				

- b. [ðⁿ màⁿ á dī-glō]
 [3AnSg **if** **PfvNeg** remove.**Base**]
 [ðⁿ nàⁿ sòⁿ?ðⁿ [kútáru té] lè]
 [3AnSg Fut defecate.Base [entirely Foc.Inan] Emph]
 ‘If he doesn’t take it out, he will totally shit (=be screwed).’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 07:03)

- c. jí zàkì á jṵ =?,
 if Z PfvNeg drink.Base Neg,
 à má dáⁿ ðⁿ
 3Inan IpfvNeg be.sweet.Ipfv Dat.3AnSg
 ‘If Zaki doesn’t drink, he isn’t happy.’ (Ji)

Imperfective aspect is very rare in conditional antecedents with *bà ~ mà*, since the basic antecedent type with base verb does not restrict the number of hypothetical events. If the verb in the antecedent is *jī* ‘know, be familiar with’, which is intrinsically imperfective, the antecedent is understood as imperfective semantically. Under negation, *IpfvNeg* *máⁿ* rather than *PfvNeg* *á* is used before *jī* (1232a). However, the positive version lacks *Ipfv* *à* (1232b). The situation is the same with a purely stative adjectival verb like *dū?ú* ‘be heavy’ (1232c-d). Similarly, locational *à-mā* ‘be (somewhere)’ and its negation *ní-mā* ‘not be (somewhere)’ occur in antecedents (1232e-f). However, any adjectival quality (color, size, wetness, taste, temperature, etc.) that has an associated dynamic verb will use the latter (e.g. ‘turn red’ rather than ‘be red’) in conditionals, with *PfvNeg* *á* in the negative version (1232g-h).

- (1232) a. móⁿ mà máⁿ jī [Ø kě bè]
 2Sg if **IpfvNeg** know.**Ipfv** [Art matter Top.Inan]
 ‘if you (generic) aren’t familiar with a (certain) thing’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 04:29)

- b. jí mó mà jī [Ø kě jī]
if 2Sg if know.**Ipfv** [Art matter Indef]
 ‘if you are familiar with something’ (Ji)

- c. à bà má dū?ú, bà [kà lō]
 3Inan if **IpfvNeg** be.heavy.**Ipfv**, come.Base [with 3Inan]
 ‘If it isn’t heavy, bring it!’ (F1)

- d. à bà dū?ú, já =nì mā
 3Inan if be.heavy.**Ipfv**, leave.Base 3InanObj there.Def
 ‘If it’s heavy, leave it there!’ (F1)

- e. jí= [Ø bī-dǒ jī] bā à-mà fǒráⁿ
 if [Art younger.sib Indef] if **be.Loc** too
 ‘if moreover there is any younger brother’ (Ma, 2018-01 @ 01:21)

- f. jí zàkí bà ní-mā
if Z if **not.be.Loc**
'if Zaki isn't there' (Fl)
- g. à bà á nāʔá, mâ dí = nì
3Inan if **PfvNeg** turn.red.**Base**, Proh eat.Base 3InanObj
'If it hasn't turned red (=if it isn't red), don't eat it!' (Fl)
- h. à bà nāʔá, dí = nì
3Inan if turn.red.**Base**, eat.Base 3InanObj
'If it has turned red (=if it is red), eat it!' (Fl)

In elicitation, our Fl assistant did accept (with misgivings) imperfective antecedents based on aspectually dynamic verbs. Along with the usual phrasing (1233a), he accepted the imperfective version (1233b), with *dē* 'sleep.Ipfv' but without Ipfv *à*. There are no textual attestations of such imperfectives. For practical purposes, the antecedent with base verb neutralizes the aspectual opposition.

- (1233) a. [ɲ bà dɔ] [zàkí nà kò = mì]
[2Sg if sleep.**Base**] [Z **Fut** hit.**Base** 2SgObj]
'If you-Sg fall asleep, Zaki will hit you.' (Fl)
- b. [ɲ bà dē] [zàkí bē cùì = mì]
[2Sg if sleep.**Ipfv**] [Z **Fut** hit.**Ipfv** 2SgObj]
'If ever/Whenever you fall asleep, Zaki will hit you.' (Fl)

A progressive antecedent is in (1234).

- (1234) [ē yè-ró] bà wò [yíʔí ñ] [[Ø blāʔā] nī],
[Art woman-Pl] if be [go.**Prog Prog**] [[Art pond] Loc],
[ò bíé]— ɲ à lúⁿ [ò dígè-rò]
[3Pl all]— (nasal) Ipfv look.at.Ipfv [PIRefl Recip]
'Whenever the women were on the way to the pond, everybody was looking at
(=could see) each other.' (Bi, 2017-08 @ 00:30-34)

There are several textual attestations of *bà* plus the BE-future (1235a-d). At least some of them involve volition. There is one textual example of *bà* plus the NA-future (1235d). This example involved encouraging a reluctant speaker-singer during a recording session.

- (1235) a. dè [jó= ò bà bè yīēʔē] [dè bon]
say.Pfv [if 3Pl if **Fut** go.**Pfv**] [say.Pfv well]
dè bá= à jī= [[Ø jíó-kèⁿ jī] tòʔò]
say.Pfv LogoSg Ipfv know [[Art magician Indef] place]
'(Hare:) said: "if you-Pl will go (that way), well, I know the location of a
magician."' (Fl, 2017-05 @ 02:08)

(1237) dè Ø mà kɔ̃ⁿ= [Ø bìnʔéⁿ],
 Quot 2Sg **if** chew.Base [Art leaf],
 ñ kō dè á dè— [[ē sà̀ròʔ = á] bìnʔéⁿ]
 2Sg Infin say.Base oh! Quot [[Art baobab Dem.InanSg] leaf
 à dáⁿ = dēʔ,
 Ipfv be.pleasant Emph,
 ‘(Hare:) “if you chew the leaves, you’ll say ‘this baobab’s leaves sure are tasty!’ ” ’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 06:07)

In (1238), both antecedent and consequent events occurred in the past, so ‘when’ is the clear choice for free translation.

(1238) ó bà jùʔð [ó bié] ò yíʔí
 1Pl **if** hear.Base [1Pl all] Infin go.Base
 [gō rà-ɲóⁿ = òⁿ]
 [Infin go.Base-look.at.Base 3AnSgObj]
 ‘When we heard, all of us went there to look at it.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 00:35)

The bà construction figures in a typical Tiefo-D discourse pattern, whereby the narrator invites the listener into the scene being described. A phrase like ‘if you saw X’ is the mechanism for this (1239). ‘Friend’ at the beginning is a vocative to the addressee.

(1239) ɲánð, [ɔ̃ⁿ blè = rēʔ], fɔ̃ k-ā—
 friend, [3AnSg get.tired Emph], until Infin-Ipfv—
 fɔ̃— mó mà ɲó— [[= ɔ̃ⁿ dé-ɲūⁿ]
until— 2Sg **if** look.Base— [[3AnSg body-water]
 [[[k-ā sárúⁿ-àⁿ-dórá] sɪ́ná] nī]
 [[[Infin-Ipfv descend.Ipfv-Ipfv-be.very.Ipfv] situation] Loc]
 ‘My friend, he sure was tired, to the point (extent) that, if you looked, (you’d see that) his sweat was continuously dripping down.’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:25)

An antecedent clause with bà may be immediately followed, often with no prosodic break, by a second same-subject VP with bà but without repeating the subject. One construction of this type has ‘come’ in the first antecedent, followed by a VP with doubled ‘come’ compounded with a second verb, see §16.1.1.6.1 below. However, the double-antecedent construction is more general than this. Whenever two events can be phrased as a main clause plus a same-subject infinitival VP, they can be phrased as a double-antecedent construction in a conditional. An example is (Bo, 2019-03 @ 00:17) where the second antecedent is just bà kɔ̃ ‘(and) if finished’. Another is (Bo, 2019-03 @ 00:57) where the second antecedent begins with mā dàⁿ ‘(and) if (it) has reached ...’. Here the prosodic phrasing is so tight that 3InanObj = nì⁽ⁿ⁾ at the end of the first antecedent nasalizes bà to mà (which raises to mā before L-tone). A triple antecedent is [... bà dú] [bà yíʔí-ʃíʔí] [bā dàⁿ] ‘when (the maize) has been sown (=planted), and has grown (high), and has ripened’ (Bo, 2019-06 @ 00:12).

The second antecedent in such a pairing sometimes has a new subject, as in (Bo, 2019-03 @ 00:22): ‘when the clearing (of the field) is finished and when it has rained, ...’. Here, however, the prosodic phrasing need not be tight.

For *mā-jī*, apparently frozen from ‘if you see/saw’ but functioning as a backchannel element in interactive narrative, see §19.5.2.

16.1.1.4 Antecedents with pre-subject *jí* plus post-subject *bà*

The preceding section described hypothetical antecedents with post-subject *bà* as the only conditional marker. Here we consider antecedents with both clause-initial (pre-subject) *jí* and post-subject *bà*. In general, *jí* reinforces the hypothetical (irrealis) modality of the consequent, and thereby reinforces the contingent nature of the consequent. This makes ‘if’ rather than ‘when’ appropriate in free translations. In other words, the *jí* ... *bà* combination is not regular in narrated event sequences as is simple *bà*.

(1240) a. *jí*= [Ø *bī-dǔ* *jī*] *bā* *à-mà* *fǒráⁿ*,
if [Art younger.sib Indef] **if** be.Loc too,
 [ē *bī-dǔ*] *kō* *dò* =*nì* [[Ø *bí-ǰō*] *bà?à*],
 [Art younger.sib] Infin say.Pfv 3InanObj [[Art children] Dat],
 ‘If moreover there is any younger brother, the younger brother will say it to the children.’ (Ma, 2018-01 @ 01:21)

b. *jí* [à *dó*] *bà* *kō*= [Ø *wú-ní*]
if [3Inan Poss.Inan] **if** be [Art die.Base-VbIN]
 [ò *má* *dò* *bà-bà?à*] [ǰ *kò* *wú* =*ū*→],
 [3Pl IpfvNeg speak.Base/Ipfv quickly] [1Sg Hort die.Base Q],
 ‘(Hare:) “ooh! ooh! If its (meaning) is (my) dying, won’t you-Pl tell (me) quickly, so I may die!” ’ (Fl, 2017-05 @ 03:29)

c. *dè* *bon* *dè* *jí* *ǰⁿ* *mà* *á* *là* [bó *nī*]
 Quot well Quot **if** 3AnSg **if** PfvNeg believe.Base [LogoSg Loc]
 ‘(said:) “all right, if you-Sg don’t believe me, ...’
 (women, 2017-13 @ 02:31)

While (1240a) is a classic conditional describing a causal relationship, the antecedents in (1240b-c) are discourse-pragmatic in nature. This is also the case in (1241).

(1241) *jí* *bè* *bā* =à *glò*
if Dem.Def **if** it.is it.is
 ‘if that [focus] is (the way) it is’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 02:53)

16.1.1.5 Pre-subject *jí* without *bà* in narrative and conditionals

Clauses with *jí* followed by a regular main clause, without *bà*, are slightly more frequent in the texts than those with both *jí* and *bà*. Clause-initial *jí* without *bà* does not always function as a conditional antecedent. When *jí* is added to an infinitival VP (*jí kō*), it mildly highlights

the content of the new event, as the climax of a local narrative sequence. For discussion and examples, see §15.2.1.2.

Some examples with just *jí* that can be interpreted as conditional antecedents are formulaic expressions. The most important of these has the two variants in (1242a-b). Both are likely contracted from the original full form in (1242c), which did not occur in the texts but which our assistants readily produced.

- (1242) a. *jí* *á* *m* *bè*
 já= *á* *m* *bè*
- b. *jí* *má* *bè*
- c. *jí* *à* *má* *kō* *bè*
 if 3Inan IpfvNeg be Dem.Def
 ‘if it isn’t thus’

The textual references are listed in (1243). The translations are rough.

- (1243) Bi, 2017-10 @ 05:06 ‘otherwise’
 Bi, 2017-10 @ 05:29 ‘anyway, ...’
 Ji, 2017-11 @ 04:59 ‘other than that, ...’
 Ji, 2017-11 @ 07:39 ‘anyway, ...’
 Ji, 2017-11 @ 10:10 ‘anyway, ...’
 Ji, 2017-11 @ 10:56 ‘in other words’
 Ji, 2017-11 @ 11:05 ‘otherwise’

For the discourse functions of this combination, see §19.1.3. Positive counterparts (‘if it is thus/that’) are also attested (1244a-b).

- (1244) a. *jí* *bè* = *yà*
 if Dem.Def it.is
 ‘if it’s that (way)’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 08:15)
- b. *jí* *bè* = *yà* = *rē→*
 if Dem.Def it.is Emph
 ‘if that is the case’ (women, 2017-18 @ 00:28)

Compare the final example in the preceding section, with both *jí* and *bà*.

Some *jí* antecedents in the texts are counterfactual, and we consider them in §16.4.1 below. Most of the remaining *jí* antecedents are standard hypothetical conditionals like those in (1245a-b).

(1245) a. *jî* = [Ø ná-bí jérámá] á dò dē ðⁿ tārāⁿ-wō,
if [Art person very.good] PfvNeg say.Base Quot 3AnSg rest(v).Base,
 ðⁿ má tārēⁿ-àⁿ-wō = ?
 3AnSg IpfvNeg rest(v).Ipfv Neg
 ‘Unless the human told him (=a djinn) to rest, he didn’t rest.’
 (Ji, 2017-04 @ 01:13)

b. *jí* [jèróⁿ jù] á wùdʔó,
if [Rel eye(s)] PfvNeg be.open.Base,
 [ō tò bíé] nà jī bùdò
 [3Pl other all] Fut see.Base 2Pl
 ‘If (there is/you are) one whose eye has not opened (=is blind), all the others will see you-Pl.’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 02:05)

c. *dè* [jí bó wō [Ø dèrìⁿʔíⁿ jī]]
 Quot [**if** LogoSg sing.Base [Art song Indef]]
 ‘(said:) “If I sing a song, ...”’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 05:57)

jí has other functions, not always easily distinguishable in texts. It can function as a dubitative complementizer ‘whether’ (§17.3.1.3). *jí* is present in some hortative clauses (§10.4.2.1.2). In (1246a), from a text describing marriage practices, *jí* is added to the very common infinitival *kō sèrè* ‘(and) proceed to’, to emphasize the locally climactic event. In (1246b) *jí* seems to function as a variant of *jà* ‘leave (alone); let, allow’.

(1246) a. *kō sū?* = [ðⁿ [Ø ná-bí-ó]] [kò dí],
 Infin give.Base [Dat [Art person-Pl]] [Infin eat],
jí kō sèrè [kō klà-lò [ē klà-lò-ní]],
 if Infin proceed.Base [Infin have.fun.Base [Art party]],
 ‘Then they give it to the people to eat. And then they have fun (sing and dance).’
 (Bo 2019-10 @ 03:38)

b. *jí nó wō [kō bà]*
 if 1Sg bathe.Base [Infin come.Base]
 ‘(Please wait) until I bathe and come back.’ (F1)

16.1.1.6 Antecedents with *bà/mà* ‘if’ plus motion-verb compound

In §15.2.3.2-3 above we described constructions of the type (1247a-b).

(1247) a. [... *come* ...] [Infin *come*.Base-Vb2.Base ...]
 b. [... *go* ...] [Infin *go*.Base-Vb2.Base ...]

where the infinitival VP contains ‘come-’ or ‘go-’ in base form (regular after infinitival *kō*) compounded with another verb (Vb2), and where this follows a main clause or VP containing a form of ‘come’ or ‘go’ (less often some other verb). The compound-initial ‘come-’ or ‘go-’

- (1251) ñ mā à-bú māⁿ],
 2Sg **if** **come.Base**-get.Base there.Def],
 [bè ò bè]
 [Dem.Def be Dem.Def]
 ‘If you-Sg get (=make a profit) there, that’s what it is.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 05:17)

Other likely textual examples of this *bā à-Vb2* construction, but with verbs (Vb2) that do not distinguish base from Ipfv, are the following: (Bi, 2017-09 @ 04:36 & 04:48 & 05:32 & 05:35; 2017-10 @ 02:58).

16.1.1.6.2 *bā/mà* ‘if’ plus ‘go-Vb2’ compound

While the reduced compounding form of *bā* ‘come’ is reliably *à-*, the dialects have a wide range of often well-disguised compounding forms that replace *yí?ē/yí?í/yí?í* ‘go’. Those attested with *bā/mà* ‘if’ are listed in (1252). The “regular” *bā yí?í-Vb2* is unattested.

- | (1252) | ‘if go and Vb2’ | | dialect |
|--------|-----------------|----------|----------------|
| a. | <i>bā-?á</i> | Vb2.Base | Ma |
| | <i>mā á-</i> | Vb2.Base | Ji |
| | <i>bā í-</i> | Vb2.Base | Fl |
| b. | <i>bā rà-</i> | Vb2.Base | Bi |
| | <i>bā là-</i> | Vb2.Base | Bi (woman), Bo |

The double-antecedent construction with a regular form of ‘go’ or a semantically related verb in the first antecedent and a ‘go-Vb2’ compound in the second is illustrated in (1253).

- (1253) a. *zàkí* *mà* *yí?í* [*mà* *á-pō*] (Ji)
 “ *bā* *yí?í* [*bā* *í-pō*] (Fl)
 Z **if** **go**.Base [**if** **go**.Base-drink.Base]
 ‘if Zaki goes and drinks’
- b. *mó* *mà* *gbē* [*mà* *á-dāⁿ* = [Ø *còfōrá*]]
 2Sg **if** take.Base [**if** **go**.Base-arrive.Base [Art T]]
 ‘if you take (the road) and go and arrive in Tiefora (village)’
 (Ji, 2017-11 @ 09:19)
- c. *ò* *bā* *yí?í* [*bā* *rà-dāⁿ* *māⁿ*]
 3Pl **if** **go**.Base [**if** **go**.Base-arrive.Base there.Def]
 ‘When they went and arrived there, ...’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 00:53)

Disguised ‘go-Vb2’ compounds can also occur in simple antecedents that do not follow another antecedent with ‘go’. Textual examples of ‘if go.Base-Vb2.Base’ are in (1254). All show substitutions for ‘go’ as in infinitival compounds for the same dialects.

(1257) a. jí bè má glò
if Dem.Def **IpfvNeg** **it.is**
 ‘if it isn’t that’ (i.e. ‘otherwise’) (Ji)

b. jí nó má glò, sǒ nà yí?í
if 1Sg **IpfvNeg** **it.is**, who? Fut go.Base
 ‘if not me, who will go?’ (Ji)

See also jí-má-bè (§16.1.1.5, §19.1.3), and (1505) in §19.4.2.

16.1.1.9 Infinitival kō bà/mà ‘and if then’

The combination of infinitival kō plus bà ~ mà ‘if’ is attested twice in the texts for Ji dialect, in the form kō mà, as the second of two antecedent clauses. In the first antecedent clause, kō ‘be’ is part of the progressive construction. The second antecedent clause has infinitival kō followed by mà ‘if’. This is Ji dialect, so mà ‘if’ cannot be confused with bà- ‘come’ as compound initial. In narrative, infinitival phrases (clauses and VPs) typically function to mark chronological event sequences, and this appears to be the case in (1248).

(1258) *alors* [ò mà gō bă nī]
 then [3Pl if be come.Prog Prog]
 ò gō mà nī [bè è?é]
 3Pl **Infin** **if** see.Base [Dem.Def thing]
 ‘So if they are coming, and if they then see that thing, ...’
 (Ji, 2017-11 @ 08:03)

The other example of kō bà is (1259).

(1259) ò kō bà [Ø= à-nī =nì],
 3Pl Infin come.Base [Infin come.Base-see.Base 3InanObj],
 kō mà jǒ =n] mlěⁿ-ſî?é
Infin **if** look.at.Base 3InanObj] like.that-manner
 ‘(They) come and see it (=grotto). Then if (they) look at it—’
 (Ji, 2017-11 @ 06:24 to 06:26)

16.1.2 Consequents in hypothetical conditionals

Consequent clauses that follow hypothetical antecedents with jí and/or bà are regular main clauses for the most part. They can be in various tense-aspect categories (imperfective, future, stative), either positive or negative, and either indicative or interrogative. In past-time contexts where we might expect a perfective or imperative consequent, infinitival phrases appear in some textual examples.

16.1.2.1 Future-tense consequent

The most common positive future clause type has post-subject future *nà* plus base of verb (1260a). The future negative has *IpfvNeg máⁿ* plus *Pfv* verb (1260b). These consequents follow prototypical antecedents that describe hypothetical future events.

(1260) a. [cógó-cògò, [ɔ̃ⁿ wí] bā bà],
 [anyway, [3AnSg owner] **if** come.Base],
 [bó nà fùʔú [ɔ̃ⁿ wí] kùⁿʔúⁿ bè
 [LogoSg **Fut** get.together.**Base** [3AnSg owner] today Dem.Def
 ‘(thought:) “anyway, when the fellow comes, I will meet (=confront) the fellow even today.”’ (Ma, 2017-02 @ 01:26)

b. *parce que* jí ʒⁿ= Ø dō = nì,
 because **if** 3AnSg PfvNeg speak.Base 3InanObj,
 ɔ̃ⁿ máⁿ mlēⁿ-tɔ̃ⁿ = ò dō
 3AnSg **IpfvNeg** release.**Pfv** 3AnSgObj Emph
 ‘Because if he (=hare) doesn’t say it, he (=hyena) won’t release him.’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 06:20)

16.1.2.2 Imperfective or stative consequent

The consequent may be imperfective to describe a recurrent event, or stative to describe a fixed state (1261a). In (1261b) the consequent is past imperfective.

(1261) a. ālè [à= ānàʔà-yùò] mà glú tòʔò-tòʔò, kō bà,
 even [3Inan face-people] **if** exit(v).Base Rdp-place, Infin come.Base,
 ... [nó tóʔó] à yíʔí [à júò]
 ... [1Sg Foc] **Ipfv** go.**Ipfv** [with 3An]
 ‘Even if leaders come here from various places, ... I [focus] go with them.’
 (Ji @ 00:41 to 00:51)

b. à dé-[níʔéⁿ-níⁿ] bà kō→,
 3Inan body-[be.sour-VblN] if finish.Base,
 [ē pòʔó] dè é = rēʔ
 [Art the.bush] **IpfvPast** be.walked.**Ipfv** Emph
 ‘When the pain of it ended, hunting would be done.’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 03:01)

Another imperfective example is in (1015a) above.

16.1.2.3 Infinitival consequent

In texts, when the consequent denotes an already realized event, it often appears in infinitival rather than *Pfv* form. The examples are for Bi dialect (1262). See also (1238) above.

(1262) a. ó bā d= ó= à dī= [à— [Ø bɛ́nʔɛ́n]],
 1Pl **if** say.Base 1Pl Ipfv enter.Base [with— [Art tomtom]],
 bɛ́nʔɛ́n-yúó gò yíʔí
 tomtom-people **Infin** go.Base
 ‘If we intended to enter (Jinejan) with tomtoms, the tomtom people (=players)
 went (in).’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 05:48-54)

b. ǒ bà yíʔí [bā rà-dàⁿ māⁿ],
 3Pl **if** go.Base [**if** go.Base-arrive.Base there.Def],
 ǒ kō gbē = wò
 3Pl **Infin** pick.up.Base 3AnPlObj
 ‘When they went and arrived there (=in the bush), they took them.’
 (Bi, 2017-10 @ 00:53)

c. ó bà glú [bè tòʔò],
 1Pl **if** exit.Base [Dem.Def place],
 ó gō sèrò [gò→ má-ló]
 1Pl **Infin** proceed.to.Base [Infin turn.Base]
 ‘When we left that place, we proceeded to change direction.’
 (Bi, 2017-10 @ 06:22)

However, what might seem to be infinitival consequents in imperative function are analysed as hortatives with kò (1263).

(1263) a. ǒ bā rà-nī = ǒⁿ,
 3Pl **if** go.Base-see.Base 3AnSgObj,
 ǒ gò súʔ= = ǒ [wō bà]
 3Pl **Hort** catch.Base 3AnSgObj [Infin come.Base]
 ‘If you-Pl go and see her, catch her and come (=bring her).’
 (Bi, 2017-07 @ 06:39)

b. [[è dúrnáⁿ nīⁿ], [Ø mā à-māⁿ māⁿ]
 [[Art world] Loc], [2Sg **if** be.Loc there.Def]
 [Ø nà= à-klè= [Ø kě] dón-dón-dón-dón
 [2Sg **Hort** come.Base-do.Base [Art matter] a.little (iterated)
 ‘In this world, if you are there, do a thing gently.’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 10:25)

Optionally, infinitival consequents can occur where a future clause would also have been appropriate.

(1264) a. [ǒⁿ mà ká-súʔú = nì]
 [3AnSg **if** do.again.Base-catch.Base 3InanObj]
 [à kō gǎⁿ= [[Ø ʃíʔíⁿ nī]]
 [3Inan **Infin** get.stuck.Base [[Art tree] Loc]]
 ‘And if he puts his arms around it (=tree) again, it (=gourd) will catch (=get stuck)
 on the tree.’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:19)

- b. [bè-kā ò mā bà] [ò kò glú ù?ù]
 [thus 3Pl **if** come.Base] [3Pl **Infin** exit(v).Base together]
 ‘That way, when they come, they (will) leave together (in a group).’
 (Ji, 2017-09 @ 08:18)

The ability of consequents to appear in infinitival form, like noninitial clauses in narrative event sequences, suggests a tighter relationship between antecedents and consequents than we find in languages like English. One might summarize this by saying that Tiefo-D puts more emphasis on the chronological sequencing of antecedent and consequent than on any causal logic.

16.1.2.4 Imperative consequent

Imperative and other deontic consequents were elicited, so there is no hard grammatical prohibition on them. (1265) illustrates with an imperative. As a reminder, the base of the verb functions as imperative.

- (1265) jî= [Ø [wó-rúⁿ]-fǎ-ró] bā bà, ʃíⁿʔíⁿ
 (**if**) [Art thief-Pl] **if** come.Base, run.**Base**
 ‘If the thieves come, run-2Sg!’ (Bi)

16.1.2.5 Interrogative consequent

The consequent may be a question.

- (1266) jí máⁿ= à-màⁿ [bó cù?à-tòⁿ] mǎ→,
if 2Sg be.Loc [3AnSg under] concerning,
 móⁿ nàⁿ— móⁿ nàⁿ bú míⁿ?-âⁿ mǎ-yá= = ā
 2Sg Fut— 2Sg Fut get.Base Refl-2SgRefl **how?** **Q**
 ‘If you are under it (=elephant), how will you find (=save) yourself?’
 (Bi, 2017-09 @ 02:20 to 02:24)

16.2 Alternatives to regular ‘if’ particles

16.2.1 ‘Even if ...’ (álè)

Clause-initial álè ‘even’ followed by a regular main clause means ‘even if...’. In other words, the factuality of the antecedent proposition has no effect on that of the consequent proposition. The ‘even if’ antecedent clause may be in any inflectional category, including perfective (1267a) and future. bà ~ mà may be absent (1267a-b) or present (1267c). Examples in (1267) are elicited.

of modal M and L tones. This is an intonational feature shared with polar interrogative = ā (§13.2.2.1).

- (1270) a. [ē blō] à wó = ō
 [Art rain(n)] Ipfv rain.fall.Ipfv **whether**,
 [ē blò] máⁿ wó = ō,
 [Art rain(n)] IpfvNeg rain.fall.Ipfv **whether**,
 ná= à yíŋ= [[Ø dè] nī]
 1Sg Ipfv go.Ipfv [[Art field] Loc]
 ‘Whether it rains or not, I’m going to the field.’ (Ji)
- b. ðⁿ kō, [ē f̄rà-f̄ŋⁿ] wō,
 3AnSg be, [Art African] **whether**,
 ðⁿ wō [ē anglais] wō
 3AnSg be [Art English] **whether**
 ‘whether he/she be an African, or whether he/she be an English person’
 (Fl, 2017-11 @ 10:03)
- c. [è náⁿ-bí] à kó = ō→,
 [Art child] Ipfv weep.Ipfv **whether**,
 ðⁿ= Ø mīē ō→,
 3AnSg Ipfv laugh.Ipfv **whether**,
 [ɔ̄ⁿ dò tóʔó] wō kǎⁿ
 [3AnSg man Foc] be Dem.AnSg
 ‘Whether the young woman is weeping, or whether she is laughing (i.e. whether she likes it or not), her husband [focus] is that one.’
 (women, 2017-13 @ 02:01)
- d. [[è lé] dó] = ō,
 [[Art house] Poss.Inan] **whether**,
 [[è sáwú-cáʔá] dó] = ō
 [[Art outside.of.house] Poss.Inan] **whether**,
 [à bíé] à dí [[bè tóʔó] dīē-kà]
 [3Inan all] Ipfv be.eaten.Ipfv [[Dem.Def Foc] eat.Pfv-manner
 ‘Whether the one for the house, or whether the one for the outside of the house. Both are eaten in that (same) [focus] manner of eating.’
 (Bi, 2017-10 @ 02:45)

In one example, the consequent is repeated verbatim after both antecedents. In this case, the particle is L-toned (1271). This suggests that the distinctive intonational pitch of the particle in the preceding examples is conditional on direct juxtaposition of the two antecedent clauses.

- (1271) Ø ηō nā-dè = ò,
 2Sg be old.man **whether**,
 lēⁿ = [[Ø jùèʔè dó] nī],
 accept.Base [[Art God Poss.Inan] Loc],
 Ø ηò bí-ʃīⁿ = òⁿ,
 2Sg be child **whether**,
 lēⁿ = [[Ø jùèʔè dó] nī]
 accept.Base [[Art God Poss.Inan] Loc]
 ‘Whether you are an old man, accept God’s (role)! Whether you are a child, accept God’s (role)!’ (Fl, 2017-03 @ 03:07-10; hesitation omitted)

In other words, ‘whether you are an old man or a child, ...’.

Another textual example omits the clause-final disjunctive particles and directly juxtaposes the positive and negative antecedent clauses, without a prosodic break (1272). In this example there is no special intonational right-boundary pitch target in either clause. lēⁿ [= èⁿ = nī] is the usual pronunciation of /lēⁿ à nī/.

- (1272) dè [[ē jùèʔé] lēⁿ [= èⁿ nī]]
 Quot [[Art God] accept.Pfv [3Inan Loc]]
 [[ē jùèʔè] á lēⁿ [= èⁿ nī]]
 [[Art God] PfvNeg accept.Base [3Inan Loc]]
 ‘(said:) “... whether God accepts it or God doesn’t accept it, ...” ’
 (Fl, 2017-03 @ 00:28)

16.4 Counterfactual conditionals

In a counterfactual conditional, the antecedent event is understood not to have occurred. In the alternative reality in which the antecedent event did occur, the consequent event followed it.

16.4.1 Post-subject morphemes in antecedents and consequents

The antecedent of a counterfactual is expressed by combining pre-subject jí ‘if’ with a post-subject morpheme that precedes a negative marker (if present) and the verb in base stem. The post-subject morpheme is a past marker, in most cases (dè, yì, è) identical in form to an IpfvPast morpheme used before Ipfv verbs in the past imperfective construction (§10.3.1.8). For Bi, IpfvPast dè occurs when the only ‘if’ morpheme is clause-initial jí (§16.4.3-4) while regular past râ is required when post-subject bà ‘if’ is present (§16.4.5). Since PfvNeg á is regular, the only remaining oddity in (1273) is nà in Ji negative antecedents with nà á. On this nà see §16.4.2 below.

(1273) Counterfactual antecedent post-subject morphemes

dialect	post-subject comment		
	positive	negative	
Bi	dè, rà, rā	dè á	rā ~ rà Past, dè IpfvPast (§10.3.1.1)
Fl	yì	yì á	yì IpfvPast
Ji	è	nà á	yì IpfvPast, nà CFact

Ji è is realized as ò after 1Sg nó and 2Sg mó.

Counterfactual consequents have the post-subject morphemes in (1274). bè is the future marker, and even when optionally omitted it is followed by a Pfv verb as it is in main clauses. bè raises to bē before L-tone. The chief oddity in (1274) is the combination nà bè, which does not occur in main clauses.

(1274) Counterfactual consequent post-subject morphemes

dialect	post-subject	
	positive	negative
Bi	nà ⁿ bè ~ nà ⁿ mè	dè má ⁿ
Fl	nà bè	ì má
Ji	nà bè, è bè	(è) má (bè)

A special case is the bare-bones construction jí X má glò ‘if not for X’, a morphologically hypothetical antecedent that is, however, usually followed by a counterfactual consequent. See (Ji, 2017-04 @ 05:19) for a textual example.

16.4.2 Post-subject nà as counterfactual morpheme

In main clauses, nà (Bi nàⁿ) is the productive future particle in all dialects, followed by the base of the verb (§10.2.3.1). However, in counterfactuals it occurs in consequents in contexts that make a direct connection with future main clauses problematic. This is already evident in the arrays showing post-subject morphemes and their combinations in elicited counterfactuals (preceding section).

Specifically, the combination nà bè (plus Pfv verb) in counterfactual consequents means ‘would have’. In other words, it is an irrealis future-in-past, similar to the so-called “(past) conditional” of Romance languages. Since bè plus Pfv stem is a future construction (§10.2.1.2), what nà adds is the shift to a past reference time, along with the irrealis modal quality. The same is true of nà in the combination nà á with PfvNeg morpheme á in antecedents for Ji dialect.

We therefore label nà (Bi nàⁿ) in counterfactuals not as future, rather as counterfactual (“CFact”). We will see that in some textual passages nà combines in similar function with infinitival kō (§16.4.7 below). The CFact label might also be appropriate for nà in tá nà ‘like/as though’ clauses (§15.3.1.2).

In Bi dialect, the future and counterfactual morphemes are *nàⁿ* with the typical nasalized vowels of this dialect. This is distinct from *nà* with oral vowel in Bi counterfactual antecedents, which is a variant of *rà* secondarily nasalized after a nasal syllable, as in 1Sg *nóⁿ à*.

16.4.3 Elicited counterfactuals

Elicited examples for Bi dialect are in (1275). The ‘if’ morpheme is clause-initial *jí*, not post-subject *bà*. The post-subject inflectional morpheme in the antecedent is *IpfvPast* *dè* followed by base (not *Ipfv*) verb for positive polarity, and *IpfvPast* *dè* plus perfective negative for negative polarity. The consequent has *nàⁿ* plus perfective future with *bè* for positive polarity, and *IpfvPast* *dè* plus future negative for negative polarity. *IpfvPast* *dè* and future *bè* can be fully nasalized, respectively, to *nè* (1275a) and to *mè* (1275b) after a nasal syllable. *bè* (or nasalized *mè*) is raised to M-tone before an L-tone. (1275d) has negative antecedent and negative consequent.

(1275) a. *jí* *nóⁿ* *nè* *kɔ̃ⁿ* = *nìⁿ*,
if 1Sg **IpfvPast** know.**Base** 3InanObj,
nóⁿ *nàⁿ* *mē* *tɛ̃ⁿ-jùʔ̀* *móⁿ*
 1Sg **CFact** **Fut** help.Pfv 2Sg
 ‘If I had known, I would have helped you.’ (Bi)

b. *jí* *zàkí* *dè* *glú*,
if Z **IpfvPast** exit.**Base**,
nó *nàⁿ* *mē* *ɲà* = *ò*
 1Sg **CFact** **Fut** see.Pfv 3AnSgObj
 ‘If Zaki had come out, I would have seen him.’ (Bi)

c. *jí* *zàkí* *dè* *ɲìⁿ* *nóⁿ*
if Z **IpfvPast** see.**Base** 1Sg
 ‘if Zaki had seen me’ (Bi)

d. *jí* *nóⁿ* *dè* *á* *ɲī* = *nìⁿ*,
if 1Sg **IpfvPast** PfvNeg see.Base 3InanObj,
nóⁿ *dè* *máⁿ* *lē* [*à* *nīⁿ*]
 1Sg **IpfvPast** *IpfvNeg* accept.Pfv [3Inan Loc]
 ‘If I hadn’t seen it (myself), I wouldn’t have believed it.’ (Bi)

Elicited examples for Ji dialect are in (1276). The antecedent has *IpfvPast* *è* in one surface form or another plus the base stem of the verb for positive polarity, and *CFact* *nà* plus perfective negative for negative polarity. The consequent has *CFact* *nà* or *IpfvPast* *è* plus perfective future with *bè* for positive polarity, and optional *IpfvPast* *è* plus future negative (with *bè* optional) for negative polarity. Negative antecedents are in (1276d-e). Negative consequents are in (1276c-d,f).

- (1276) a. jí nó =ò kɔ̃ⁿ =nì,
if 1Sg **IpfvPast** know.**Base** 3InanObj,
nó nà bē tɛ̃ⁿ-jùʔò mó
1Sg **CFact Fut** help.Pfv 2Sg
‘If I had known it, I would have helped you.’ (Ji)
- b. jí zàkí è glú,
if Z **IpfvPast** exit(v).**Base**,
nó =ò bē ɲà =(y)ò
1Sg **CFact Fut** see.Pfv 3AnSgObj
‘If Zaki had come out, I would have seen him.’ (Ji)
- c. jí mó =ò tɔ̃,
if 2Sg **IpfvPast** hide.**Base**,
zàkì máⁿ ɲà mó
Z **IpfvNeg** see.**Pfv** 2Sg
‘If you-Sg had hid, Zaki wouldn’t have seen you.’ (Ji)
- d. jí zàkí nà á glú,
if Z **CFact PfvNeg** exit.**Base**,
[ē bɔ̃] má (bè) bũ= =ò
[Art elephant] **IpfvNeg (Fut)** get.Pfv 3AnSgObj
‘If Zaki hadn’t come out, the elephant wouldn’t have gotten him.’
(< bũò) (Ji)
- e. já zàkí nà á ɲɔ̃
if Z **CFact PfvNeg** drink.**Base**
‘if Zaki hadn’t drunk’ (Ji)
- f. jí zàkí nà á glú,
if Z **CFact PfvNeg** exit(v).**Base**,
nó =ò má ɲà =yò
1Sg **CFact IpvfNeg** see.Pfv 3AnSgObj
‘If Zaki hadn’t come out, I would not have seen him.’ (Ji)
(< /nó è má/)

Elicited examples for F1 dialect are in (1277). The antecedent has IpvfPast yì, which is followed by base stem for positive polarity and by perfective negative for negative polarity. The consequent has CFact nà plus perfective future with bè for positive polarity, and IpvfPast yì plus future negative (without bè) for negative polarity. Negative antecedents are in (1277d-e). A negative consequent is in (1277e).

- (1277) a. jí zàkí (y)ì glú,
if Z **IpfvPast** exit.Base,
 nó nà bē jà =ò
 1Sg **CFact** **Fut** see.Pfv 3AnSgObj
 ‘If Zaki had come out, I would have seen him.’ (F1)
- b. jí zàkí (y)ì jì nó
if Z **IpfvPast** see.Base 1Sg
 ‘if Zaki had seen me’ (F1)
- c. nó nà bē wūō
 1Sg **CFact** **Fut** die.Pv
 ‘I would have died’ (F1)
- d. já zàkí (y)ì á jō
if Z **IpfvPast** **PfvNeg** drink.Base
 ‘if Zaki hadn’t drunk’ (F1)
- e. jí zàkí (y)ì á glú,
if Z **IpfvPast** **PfvNeg** exit(v).Base,
 nó yì má jà =yò
 1Sg **IpfvPast** **IpfvNeg** see.Pfv 3AnSgObj
 ‘If Zaki hadn’t come out, I would not have seen him.’ (F1)

The following sections present textual rather than elicited examples.

16.4.4 Counterfactuals with IpvfPast dè in antecedent (Bi dialect)

There are a fairly small number of textual passages that we interpret as counterfactuals, and most are structurally incomplete or aberrant. Those from Bi dialect with IpvfPast dè in the antecedent are clearly contrary-to-fact.

(1278) illustrates one main Bi type of antecedent (jí ‘if’, past dè, base of verb). The consequent, however, has nàⁿ plus base of verb, which matches the simple NA-future. This diverges from the elicited examples (1275a-b) above whose consequents have CFact nàⁿ, future bē, and Pfv verb. The nàⁿ tārāⁿ (before tone sandhi) in (1278) would be nàⁿ mē tārēⁿ if it had followed the pattern of (1275a-b). However, the context calls for an irrealis future-in-past, so we gloss nàⁿ in (1278) as counterfactual, as explained in §16.4.2 above.

- (1278) á jí bó dè bú =ò,
 ah! **if** LogoSg **IpfvPast** get.Base 3AnSgObj,
 bó nà tārāⁿ [[bó tó?ó] bà?à],
 LogoSg **CFact** sit.Base [[3AnSg Foc] Dat],
 ‘(said:) “Ah, if I had gotten him (as husband), I would have married him [focus].’
 (women, 2017-13 @ 02:24)

(1279) is another example with the main Bi type of antecedent (jí ‘if’, past dè, base of verb). Here the consequent is a simple BE-future, without CFact nàⁿ.

(1279) jò = = ðⁿ nè jūʔō [Ø làⁿ-ní] [[ē cīōⁿ] bàʔà],
if 3AnSg **IpfvPast** hear.Base [Art advise-VblN] [[Art bird] Dat],
 dáʔá-ʃíʔé ðⁿ bè būō [ðⁿ míⁿʔáⁿ]
 at.that.time 3AnSg Fut get.Pfv [3AnSgRefl Refl]
 ‘If he (=hyena) had listened to advice from the bird, then he would have gotten (=saved) himself.’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 11:00)

(1280), from the same Bi speaker, is a rhetorical question in the dialectally regular counterfactual consequent form with IpfvPast dè (not CFact nàⁿ), followed by future negative (without bè). The verb is Pfv wūō. (1280) is preceded in the text only by the abbreviated ‘otherwise’ rather than by a full counterfactual antecedent clause.

(1280) ðⁿ nè máⁿ wū = = ā →
 3AnSg **IpfvPast** **IpfvNeg** die.Pfv Q
 ‘Would he not have died?’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 02:19)

16.4.5 Past hypothetical antecedents with bà rā, bà tâ

Several textual passages, mainly from our Bi speaker, have antecedents with post-subject bà ‘if’ (becoming mà after nasal syllable), plus Past rā. The following verb is clearly base rather than Ipfv in (1281a-b), the verbs being nà/nī/nè ‘see’ and būō/bú/bí ‘get’. The secondary nasalization of bà to mà does not entail further rightward nasalization of rā to nā.

This type of antecedent, schematically [X bà rā Vb.Base], differs structurally and semantically from another common Bi dialect antecedent pattern, schematically [jí X dè Vb.Base]. The latter is illustrated in (1275a-c) and (1278-1279) above. The choice between IpfvPast dè and Past rā correlates with absence versus presence of immediately preceding bà ‘if’. Semantically, the type [X bà rā Vb.Base] is not clearly contrary-to-fact in the fashion of [jí X dè Vb.Base]. In other words, [X bà rā Vb.Base] is a past hypothetical ‘if when X VPed’ denoting an antecedent event that occasionally happened or may have done so.

Some examples of bà rā or nasalized mà rā in (1281) lack an immediately following consequent clause in the recording.

(1281) a. í →, [[è náⁿ] mà rā jīⁿ = ò]
 oh, [[Art cow] **if** **Past** see.Base 3AnSgObj]
 ‘Ah, if a bull saw it (=elephant), ...’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 01:33)

b. *mais* ðⁿ mà rā bû = [Ø náⁿ]
 but 3AnSg **if** **Past** get.Base [Art bovine]
 ‘but if it (=elephant) encountered a bull, ...’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 01:30)

- c. ò bà rā rè ...
 3Pl **if** **Past** say.**Base** ...
 ‘if they (=elders) had told (them to ...)’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 00:18)
- d. ó bà rā rè ...
 1Pl **if** **Past** say.**Base** ...
 ‘If we said ...’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 05:45)
- e. jí-á-rí-bè ó bà rā klè
 otherwise 1Pl **if** **Past** do.**Base**
 ‘anyway, when we had done’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 05:29)

There is a similar passage with bà tâ for the Ma speaker (1282). láⁿ is one of the base=Ipfv verbs but in this context we take it as base.

- (1282) [ō kè-tè?è] bà tâ láⁿ
 [3Pl hand] **if** **Past** be.washed.**Base**
 ‘if their hand had been washed, ...’ (Ma, 2017-10 @ 02:52)

16.4.6 Irrealis clauses or counterfactual consequents with nà bè

As explained earlier and illustrated with elicited examples (1275a-b), a basic counterfactual consequent construction for Bi dialect has CFact nàⁿ, future bè, and Pfv verb. This is validated by textual examples (1283a-c). Only (1283a) is a full counterfactual with both antecedent and consequent. dà in the antecedent in (1283a) seems to be a variant of dè.

- (1283) a. jí bó dà jíⁿ?áⁿ= [Ø jū],
 if 3AnSg IpfvPast redder.Base [Art eye(s)],
 [bó tè-tè?è] nàⁿ bē gbè?è
 [3AnSg waterjar] **CFact** **Fut** be.shattered.**Pfv**
 ‘If she didn’t watch out, her waterjar would be (=was at risk of being) shattered.’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 03:37)
- b. móⁿ nàⁿ bè dīē-glō [Ø jī]
 2Sg **CFact** **Fut** remove.**Pfv** [Art something]
 ‘Would you take something (else)?’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 10:39)
- c. dá?á-í?é móⁿ nàⁿ bē bà
 at.that.time 2Sg **CFact** **Fut** come.**Pfv**
 [Ø= à-íⁿ =nì māⁿ] =āⁿ
 [Infin come.Base-see.Base 3InanObj there] Q
 ‘At that time, you would come and see it there?’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 10:45)

For Ji we have one textual example of *nà bè*, this time with *Ipfv* verb since it describes what would have been a continuing situation (1284).

- (1284) jí [bùdò kè] má glò,
 if [2Pl matter] IpfvNeg it.is,
 é-yùdò nà bè ʃɪⁿ [[Ø kē-sùⁿ?ðⁿ] nī] mā
 1Pl **CFact Fut** work(v).**Ipfv** [[Art work(n)] Loc] there.Def
 ‘If not for your-Pl involvement, we would (still) be performing work there.’
 (Ji, 2017-04 @ 05:19)

16.4.7 Counterfactual consequents with *kō* and *nà kō*

Infinitival clauses can occur in narratives to describe chronologically sequenced events (§15.2.1.1), but mere sequencing is semantically inadequate for a counterfactual consequent. In hypothetical conditionals, infinitival *kō* is attested occasionally in antecedents that are themselves sequenced with another antecedent. Importantly, *kō* is immediately followed by *bà ~ mà* ‘if’ in those examples (§16.1.1.9).

The combination of *nà* (*Bi nàⁿ*) with *kō* is also attested. Some of the examples are ambiguous as to whether the second morpheme is infinitival *kō* (dropping to *kò* before H) or hortative *kò*. A further contributor to ambiguity is that infinitival *kō* and very often hortative *kò* are both followed by the base stem of the verb. Only hortative *kò* can immediately precede an *Ipfv* verb, without an intervening morpheme. This is only helpful in distinguishing infinitival from hortative phrases for verbs that distinguish base from *Ipfv*.

In (1285), the verb is M-toned and unmistakably *Ipfv* rather than base. We therefore confidently parse *kò* as hortative.

- (1285) bùdò nà kò ʃɪⁿ= [Ø bárá jèrɔⁿ]
 LogoPl **CFact Hort** work(v).**Ipfv** [Art work(n) Rel]
 ‘(said:) “the work that we would have (had to) do” ’ (hesitation omitted)
 (Ji, 2017-04 @ 05:42, hesitation omitted)

Based on this structurally clear example, we apply a similar markup to other textual examples that have *nà kō* before L-toned verb that could be either base or *Ipfv*.

In (1286), the context is that hyena is trying to induce hare to prick the inside (not outside) of hare’s cheek (so hyena can bite and hold hare’s paw). The antecedent here is not technically (past) counterfactual, but hyena is effectively prohibiting that event, so it is close to being counterfactual. For our *Bi* speaker, the L-toned verb *tù?ù* can be either base or *Ipfv*.

- (1286) d= ðⁿ sɔ́ʔɔ́ [[ē lɪⁿ] nɪⁿ], à bà sɔ́ʔɔ́-gbē
 Quot 3AnSg jab.Base [[Art interior] Loc], 3AnSg **if** be.pierced.Base
 [[Ø cèrè-nɪⁿ] nàⁿ gò tù?ù [bó dé]
 [[Art fly(n)-Pl] **CFact Hort** bother.Ipfv [LogoSg body]
 ‘(Hyena) said, “Jab it from the inside! If it were pierced (from the outside), flies would bother my body!” ’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 05:15, cf. 05:21)

17 Quotative, complement, and purposive clauses

17.1 Quotative complements

Thought is equated with (inner) speech. Therefore ‘say’ can also mean ‘say to oneself, think’ with reference to propositional content. There is no difference in form between speech quotations and thought quotations.

‘X said he_x/she_x (logophoric) will VP’ is the usual phrasing for ‘X decided to VP’ or ‘X tried to VP’; see also §17.6.2.3 below.

- (1288) [ē bŭⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ] dè [bá= à klɪⁿʔɪⁿ]
 [Art dog] say.Pfv [LogoSg Ipfv ascend.Ipfv]
 [[ē bŭⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ] klɛ̃ⁿʔɛ̃ⁿ-tē],
 [[Art dog] ascend.Pfv-fail.Base],
 ‘Dog said he would (=attempted to) climb, (but) dog was unable to climb.’
 (Bo, 2019-01 @ 01:21)

17.1.1 Quotative verbs dè/dò/dò and dè/dè/dò

The verb of speaking has two variants, cf. §10.1.3. Especially in Bi dialect, dè is sometimes pronounced rè with a tap after an oral vowel, or fully nasalized to nè after a nasal vowel. The stem paradigms are in (1289).

(1289)	gloss	Pfv	base	Ipfv
a.	‘speak, say (sth)’	dè	dò	dò
b.	‘say “...”’	dè	dè / dò	dò

In (1289a), ‘speak’ or ‘say’ is followed by an NP or adverb (‘said it’, ‘said that’, ‘said a greeting’, ‘said thus’). (1289b) is ‘say’ followed by quoted matter (with or without intervening quotative particle dè, §17.1.2.1). The only morphological difference is that (1289a) always has dò as base stem, while (1289b) has a mix of dè and dò depending on the construction and the dialect. In this respect, (1289a) follows the regular pattern for verbs that have an e/o alternation, viz., with e in the Pfv versus o in the base, while (1289b) is irregularly idiosyncratic.

The main constructions requiring the base of the verb are displayed in (1290). Minor dialectal variation in secondary nasalization is omitted here.

(1290)		‘speak/say (sth)’	‘say “...”’	dialect
a.	dò versus dè			
	infinitive	kō dò	kō dè	all
	prohibitive	mâ dò	mâ dè	Bi Ji (not Fl)
	NA-future	nà dò	nà dè	Ji Ma
b.	dò in both cases			
	perfective negative	á dò	á dò	Fl Ji
	imperative	dò	dò	Fl Ji
	prohibitive	mâ dò	mâ dò	Fl (not Bi Ji)
	NA-future	nà dò	nà dò	Fl
	verbal noun	dò-ní	dò-ní	(all)

The infinitival construction is illustrated in (1291). The key datum is kō dè ‘and (then) said “...”’ in (1291a). This combination occurs several times in our texts. kō dò in (1291b) is morphologically regular but is limited to ‘speak, say (something)’ with at most an NP object.

(1291) a. *donc* ðⁿ gō dè áy!, mó dè jèr^ó, ...
 so 3AnSg **Inf** say.Base ah!, 2Sg say.Pfv Rel, ...
 ‘Well, he then said: “Ah, what you said, ...”’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 03:41)

b. é, [kō tārāⁿ]
 huh!, [Inf sit.Base]
 [kō dò [bè tòʔò=] [[Ø dèràʔá] nī]]
 [**Inf** say.Base [Dem Foc] [[Art courtyard] Loc]]
 ‘Huh? (He) sat and said that [focus] in a courtyard!’
 (Ma, 2017-03 @ 00:32)

The prohibitive with mâ⁽ⁿ⁾ has either dè or dò for ‘say “...”’ depending on the dialect. Compare Bi Ji mâ dè or nasal variant (1292a-b) with Fl mâ dò (1292c).

(1292) a. mâ dè dè [[Ø úⁿ bíé] nī]
Proh say.Base Quot [[Art village all] Loc]
 ‘Don’t say (=think) that (it’s) in the whole village!’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 04:31)

b. māⁿ nè [má= à—]
Proh say.Base [2Sg Ipfv—]
 ‘Don’t say you’ll—’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 09:43)

c. mâ dò dè [mó— kō nā-dè, ...]
Proh say.Base Quot [2Sg— be old.man, ...]
 ‘Don’t say (=think) that you are an old man, (and) ...’
 (Fl, 2017-03 @ 03:00)

The NA-future is nà dè for ‘say “...”’ (1293). Contrast nà dò for ‘speak, say (something).

(1293) a. ... [Ø jī] nà dè [mó sūʔò = ...]
 ... [Art someone] **Fut** **say**.Base [2Sg take.Pfv ...]
 ‘Someone will say that you-Sg received ...’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 06:52)

b. *donc* mó nà dè [dò = ò ...]
 so 2Sg **Fut** **say**.Base [Quot 3Pl ...]
 ‘... will you tell them (to ...)’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 07:04)

The perfective negative is á dò for both ‘speak, say (something)’ and ‘say “...”.’ The latter is illustrated in (1294), see also (Ji, 2017-04 @ 05:46), (Ji, 2017-11 @ 10:32).

(1294) jî = [Ø ná-bí jórámá] á dò dē ðⁿ tārāⁿ-wō
 if [Art person very.good] **PfvNeg** **say**.Base Quot 3AnSg rest(v).Base
 ‘unless the human told him (=a djinn) to rest’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 01:13)

The imperative has dò.

(1295) dò [zàkí bàʔà] [dē ðⁿ bà]
 say.Base [Z Dat] [Quot 3AnSg come.Base]
 ‘Tell-2Sg Zaki to come!’

The verbal noun is dò-ní. The agentive is dè-nò ‘speaker’.

17.1.2 Quotative particles

17.1.2.1 Quotative particle dè

dè, identical in form to the Pfv of ‘say’, can introduce a quotation. It is vaguely similar to the Eng *that* complementizer in ‘X say [that ...]’. Like Pfv dè ‘said’, the particle can be tapped to rè, especially in Bi dialect. Unlike Pfv dè ‘said’, the particle raises to M-toned before an L-tone (§3.6.2.1).

Quotative particle dè often directly follows dè ‘say’ (1296a) or infinitive kō dè ‘and said’. In the combination dè dè, only the second dè (the particle) is eligible to raise to dē (1296b). The two dè may be separated by other elements, as in (1296c).

(1296) a. mâ dè [dè [[Ø úⁿ bíé] nī]],
 Proh **say**.Base [**Quot** [[Art village all] Loc]],
 ‘Don’t say (=think) that (it’s) in the whole village!’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 04:31)

b. ò dè [dē bùò bà]
 3Pl **say**.Pfv [**Quot** LogoPl come.Pfv]
 ‘They_x said that they_x came.’ (Fl Ji)

- c. ʃⁿ = Ø d̀ò = ǹì [sú→ bíé]
 Z PfvNeg say.Base 3InanObj [always all]
 [d̀è bó ǹà bà]
Quot LogoSg Fut come.Base
 ‘He_x always says that he_x will come.’ (F1 Ji)
- d. z̀àkì á d̀ò [d̀è bó ǹà bà]
 Z PfvNeg say.Base [**Quot** LogoSg Fut come.Base]
 ‘Zaki_x didn’t say that he_x will come.’

In a narrative, if it is obvious who the speaker is, a simple *d̀è* without a subject may function to frame a quotation. We gloss such occurrences as *Quot* (i.e. the quotative particle).

- (1297) áywà, d̀è ʃⁿ m̀ā r̀è
 well, **Quot** 3AnSg if say.Base
 [[b̀ó b̀ìⁿ?éⁿ] = àⁿ dáⁿ
 [[LogoSg leaf] Ipfv be.pleasant.Ipfv
 ‘“Well,” (the tree) said, “if you say that my leaves are pleasant (=tasty), ...” ’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 01:04)

In an extended quotation, or in a two-part quotation (e.g. with an initial exclamation), *d̀è* may be repeated at the beginning of a new chunk. It may even reappear in the middle of a sentence.

- (1298) a. d̀è é!, d̀ē bùò ā kl̀ē = [Ø è?é] t̀ē
Quot oh!, **Quot** 3Pl Ipfv do.Ipfv [Art what?] Q
 ‘(She) said, “oh! What are you-Pl doing?” ’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 05:47)
- b. [è blí-ké] d̀ē = [[Ø t̀ùplípàⁿ] bà?à]
 [Art hare] say.Pfv [[Art monkey] Dat]
 d = ó ǹà t̀ē = [[Ø bùⁿ?ʃⁿ] yíé]
Quot 1Pl Fut put.down.Base [[Art dog] name]
 d̀è m̀è-ŋā t̀ē
Quot how? Q
 ‘Hare said to monkey, “how shall we put (=call) dog’s name?” ’
 (Bo, 2019-01 @ 00:30)

d̀è can also function as a complementizer with other main-clause verbs like ‘know’ and ‘hear’ (§17.3.1.1, §17.3.1.5-6).

17.1.2.2 Quotative marker *l̄ē*→

A rare alternative to *d̀è d̀è* ‘said that’, attested once in the texts, is *d̀è l̄ē*→. Whereas quotative *d̀è* is followed by quoted matter with no prosodic break, *l̄ē*→ is followed by a pause before continuing with the quotation (1299).

(1299) *nó* *dè* *lē→*,
 1Sg say.Pfv **that**,
 [è *lóʔó* *té*] à-mā [[Ø *tùpèⁿʔéⁿ*] *n̄*] = *dēʔ*
 [Art cleverness Foc.Inan] be.Loc [[Art gourd] Loc] Emph
 ‘I said that, magic power [focus] is in the gourd.’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 03:13)

17.1.3 Dative PP with postposition *bàʔà*

There are two adpositions that can be labeled dative. One is preposition *ɔ̃ⁿ* which marks the recipient in ditransitives like ‘give’, the typical sequence being X *give* Y [*ɔ̃ⁿ* Z] ‘X give Y to Z’ (§8.1.2). The other is postposition *bàʔà*, which is either a general dative-benefactive, an abstract spatial ‘among’ or ‘chez’, or part of the ‘want’ construction (§8.1.1).

Postposition *bàʔà* is used to mark the addressee in the main clause with *dè/dè/dò* ‘say “...”’, or *dè/dò/dò* ‘speak, say (something)’, or just the quotative marker *dè*.

(1300) a. *dè* *é!*, *dè—* [[è *síglò-yò*] *bàʔà*],
 Quot oh!, **Quot—** [[Art hyena-woman] **Dat**],
d= *ɔ̃ⁿ—* [*ɔ̃ⁿ* *dǔ*] ...
 Quot 3AnSg— [3AnSg man] ...
 ‘(Hare) said to hyena’s wife, “oh, your husband ...”’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 09:28)

b. *non*, *dàrisà*, *ní-ń-ká* *dò=* [Ø *jì*] [*mó* *bàʔà*]
 no, D, if.I.may **say**.Base/Ipfv [Art Indef] [2Sg **Dat**]
 ‘No, Drisa. If I (may) say something to you’ (Ji, 2017-09 @ 05:45)
 [*ní-ń-ká* < *Jula*]

17.1.4 Direct versus indirect quotation

Both direct and indirect quotations occur in the texts. The difference is that a direct quotation preserves the pronominal forms of the original utterance, while an indirect quotation replaces original first and second person pronouns. Except as indicated in later sections, the TAMP inflections (including future and imperative) and the deictic demonstratives are unchanged in either case.

The usual conversions of pronominal categories are in (1301), assuming that neither the original speaker nor the addressee coincides with the current speaker or addressee. The forms in the right-hand column are valid for subjects, possessors, and postpositional complements. For objects and prepositional complements the usual enclitic forms (not shown here) are used.

(1301) Conversions in indirect quotations

original category	in indirect quotation	form
a. original speaker		
1Sg	LogoSg	bó
1Pl	LogoPl	bùò
b. original addressee		
2Sg	3AnSg	ð ⁿ (proclitic)
2Pl	3Pl	ò (proclitic)

Schematic examples of direct and indirect quotations are in (1302).

(1302)	direct	indirect
a.	‘I will help you-Pl.’ ‘I will help them.’	X said [LogoSg will help 3Pl] " "
b.	‘You-Sg will help yourself.’	X said [3AnSg will help 3AnSgRefl]
c.	‘You-Sg will help him/her’	X said [3AnSg will help 3AnSg]
d.	‘We will help him/her.’	X said [LogoPl will help 3AnSg]

These formulae are sufficient when neither the original speaker nor the original addressee is a current speech event participant. If a participant of the original speech event coincides with the current speaker or addressee, the pronouns relevant to the current speech event are used. Therefore the logophorics are more precisely described as third-person logophorics, i.e. referents other than current speaker or addressee who are coindexed with the author of the quoted material.

Some elicited examples follow. In (1303a), LogoSg bó marks coindexation of the subject of ‘see’ with the quoted speaker (or author), while 2Sg mó is based on the current speech event. In (1303b), 1Sg nó in the quotation (as well as in the main clause) is directly based on the current speech event. The 3AnSg object enclitic = yò has either replaced the original 2Sg, or refers to a new third-person individual.

(1303) a.	zàkí	dè	[dè	bó	ɲà	mó]
	Z	say.Pfv	[Quot	LogoSg	see.Pfv	2Sg]
	‘Zaki _x said that he _x saw you-Sg.’ (F1)					
b.	nó	dè	=nì	[zàkí	bà?à]	
	1Sg	sayPfv	3InanObj	[Z	Dat]	
	[dè	nó	bē	tè ⁿ -jū?ɔ̃	=yò]	
	[Quot	1Sg	Fut	help.Pfv	3AnSgObj]	
	‘I said to Zaki _x that I will/would help him _x / him-or-her _y ’ (F1)					

In (1304a-b), the subject in both clauses is directly based on the current speech event.

- (1304) a. *nó* *dè* [*dè* *nó* *má* *bē* =?]
 1Sg say.Pfv [Quot 1Sg IpfvNeg come.Ipfv Neg]
 ‘I said that I am/was not coming.’ (Fl)
- b. *mó* *dè* [*dè* *mó* *má* *bē* =?]
 2Sg say.Pfv [Quot 2Sg IpfvNeg come.Ipfv Neg]
 ‘You-Sg said that you-Sg are/were not coming.’ (Fl)

In (1305a), 2Sg is again based on the current speech event, though the current addressee was probably also the original addressee (unless the command was transmitted by someone else). In (1305b), the subject of ‘help’ is coindexed with the main-clause subject Zaki, and since Zaki is not part of the current speech event the subject of ‘help’ is logophoric. The 1Sg object is based on the current speech event.

- (1305) a. *zàkí* *dè* [*dè* *mó* *pēⁿ* *fāⁿʔāⁿ*]
 Z say.Pfv [Quot 2Sg remain.Base here]
 ‘Zaki told you-Sg to stay here.’ (Fl)
- b. *zàkí* *dè* [*dè* *bó* *nà* *tàⁿ-jùʔò* *nó*]
 Z say.Pfv [Quot LogoSg Fut help.Base 1Sg]
 ‘Zaki said that he will/would help me.’

The tales (texts 2017-01 to -08, 2017-13, 2017-18, 2019-01) are full of direct and indirect quotations.

While original 2Sg is normally converted to 3AnSg, it can be converted to 3Inan in tales when a personified inanimate acts as a conversational partner. This is the case with baobab tree in text 2017-08. When hare addresses baobab, the conversion is from original 2Sg to 3Inan in (1306).

- (1306) *dà* = [*à* *lɔⁿ*] *à* *dáⁿ* = *nē[?]*
 Quot [3Inan shade] Ipfv be.pleasant.Ipfv Emph
 ‘(said:) “your shade is really nice!”’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 00:49)

17.1.5 Quoted interrogatives

A clause-final particle *tē* occurs frequently in quoted questions. It corresponds to clause-final enclitic = *ā*, which has a specific pitch signature in unquoted questions. Pronominals in the quoted question may be of direct or indirect type. See §13.2.2.2 for details and examples.

17.1.6 Jussive complement (reported imperative or hortative)

17.1.6.1 Quoted imperative

The original imperative (1307a) retains its form (base of verb stem) when quoted (1307b-c). A subject NP is present, representing the original addressee. In indirect quotation, the usual pronominal conversions and updates occur throughout the clause. The quotative particle *dè* is optionally present after the ‘say’ verb.

- (1307) a. $p\bar{e}^n$ $f\bar{a}^n?a^n$
 remain.**Base** here
 ‘Stay-2Sg here!’ (Ji)
- b. $z\grave{a}k\acute{i}$ $d\grave{e}$ $[(d\grave{e})$ $n\acute{o}$ $p\bar{e}^n$ $f\bar{a}^n?a^n$
 Z say.Pfv [(Quot) 1Sg remain.**Base** here]
 ‘Zaki told me to stay here.’ (Ji)
- c. $n\acute{o}$ $d\grave{e}$ $[(d\grave{e})$ $z\grave{a}k\acute{i}$ $p\bar{e}^n$ $f\bar{a}^n?a^n$
 1Sg say.Pfv [(Quot) Z remain.**Base** here]
 ‘I told Zaki to stay here.’ (Ji)

The imperative plural-addressee preverb *ò* that is present in unquoted imperatives (1308a) is absent in the quoted version, which instead has a genuine subject NP (1308b). However, in some examples this NP might happen to be the homophonous 3Pl *ò*.

- (1308) a. \grave{o} $p\bar{e}^n$ $f\bar{a}^n?a^n$
Imprt.PI remain.**Base** here
 ‘Stay-2Pl here!’
- b. $z\grave{a}k\acute{i}$ $d\grave{e}$ $[(d\grave{e})$ $\acute{e}-y\grave{u}\grave{o}$ $p\bar{e}^n$ $f\bar{a}^n?a^n$
 Z say.Pfv [(Quot) **1Pl** remain.**Base** here]
 ‘Zaki told us to stay here.’ (Ji)

It is possible to resume (anticipatorily) the command as an inanimate object pronominal = *nì* on the ‘say’ verb (1309a,c), and/or to add an overt dative PP with postposition *bà?à* (1309b-c). If the command was given to an intermediary who then transmits it, the dative is coindexed with the intermediary rather than with the subject of the imperative (1309d). This combination is awkwardly translatable into English unless two *tell* verbs are used.

- (1309) a. $z\grave{a}k\acute{i}$ $d\grave{e}$ = $n\grave{i}$ $[d\grave{e}$ $n\acute{o}$ $p\bar{e}^n$ $f\bar{a}^n?a^n]$
 Z say.Pfv **3InanObj** [Quot 1Sg remain.**Base** here]
 ‘Zaki told me to stay here.’ (FI)
- b. $z\grave{a}k\acute{i}$ $b\bar{e}$ $d\grave{e}$ $[n\acute{o}$ $b\grave{a}?\grave{a}]$ $[d\grave{e}$ $n\acute{o}$ $p\bar{e}^n$ $f\bar{a}^n?a^n]$
 Z Fut say.Pfv [1Sg **Dat**] [Quot 1Sg remain.**Base** here]
 ‘Zaki will tell me to stay here.’ (FI)

- c. zàkí dè =nì [nó bàʔà]
 Z say.Pfv **3InanObj** [1Sg **Dat**]
 [dè nó pēⁿ fāⁿʔāⁿ]
 [Quot 1Sg remain.Base here]
 ‘Zaki told me to stay here.’ (F1)
- d. zàkí dè [nó bàʔà] [dè mó pēⁿ fāⁿʔāⁿ]
 Z say.Pfv [1Sg **Dat**] [Quot 2Sg remain.Base here]
 ‘Zaki told me to tell you-Sg to stay here.’ (F1)

There are many textual examples of quoted imperatives, such as (1310a-b).

- (1310) a. dē ðⁿ líⁿ [ðⁿ jɔ́],
 Quot 3AnSg cool.**Base** [3AnSgRefl heart],
 ‘(said:) “Cool-2Sg your heart (emotional center)!” ’
 (F1, 2017-05 @ 03:41)
- b. ðⁿ jɔ́ [[ò yūō jɔ́ⁿ nī]
 3AnSg look.at.**Base** [[3Pl people two] Loc]
 ‘(said:) look-2Sg at (=consider) (which) of the two (people).” ’
 (F1, 2017-05 @ 03:53)

17.1.6.2 Quoted prohibitive

Quoted prohibitives likewise retain their original form with mâ⁽ⁿ⁾ plus base or sometimes Ipfv stem of verb (1311a), but add a subject (1311b).

- (1311) a. mâ pēⁿ fāⁿʔāⁿ
Proh remain.**Base** here
 ‘Don’t-2Sg stay here!’ (Ji)
- b. zàkí dè (dē) [ðⁿ mâ pēⁿ fāⁿʔāⁿ]
 Z say.Pfv (that) [3AnSg **Proh** remain.**Base** here]
 ‘Zaki told him/her not to stay here.’ (Ji)

Again, the imperative plural preverb in unquoted prohibitives (1312a) can be replaced by a full subject in the quotation (1312b-c).

- (1312) a. ò mâ pēⁿ fāⁿʔāⁿ
Imprt.PI Proh remain.**Base** here
 ‘Don’t-2Pl stay here!’
- b. zàkí dè (dè) [é-yùò mâ pēⁿ fāⁿʔāⁿ]
 Z say.Pfv (Quot) [1Pl **Proh** remain.**Base** here]
 ‘Zaki told us not to stay here.’ (Ji)

- c. zàkí dè =nè= [é-yùò bàʔà]
 Z say.Pfv 3InanObj [1Pl Dat]
 [dè ó mâ pēⁿ fāⁿʔāⁿ]
 [Quot 1Pl **Proh** remain.**Base** here]
 ‘Zaki told us not to stay here.’ (< dè = nì) (Fl)

There are many quoted prohibitives in the texts. (1313a) is a rare imperfective prohibitive. It is marked up as direct discourse with Imprt.Pl ò, but this is homophonous with 3Pl ò, so one could also mark it up as indirect. (1313b) has 3AnSg òⁿ as subject-addressee of the quoted prohibitive.

- (1313) a. é-yùò dē→ [Ø còfó-ró] d=
 1Pl Quot [Art Tiefo-Pl] say.Pfv
 [ò mâⁿ gblī [ē təràⁿʔáⁿ]
 [Imprt.Pl **Proh** pick.up.**Ipfv** [Art marriage]]
 ‘We the Tiefo say, “don’t-2Pl (try to) pick (your) marriage.”’
 (women, 2017-13 @ 03:44)

- b. dè [jùʔé bàʔà], *comme, bon*, [yá jèróⁿ] klè,
 Quot [God Dat], like well, [Dem.InanSg Rel] be.done.Pfv,
 òⁿ mâ já, [[è ná-bí jérámá] kò— jè bùò
 3AnSg **Proh** leave.**Base**, [[Art person very.good] Hort— see.Ipfv LogoPl
 ‘(They) said to God, like, “well, (with) that which has happened, you mustn’t
 allow a human to see us.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 04:35)

17.1.6.3 Quoted hortative

An original hortative can be quoted. An overt subject is present if the quotation is indirect. If the subject is third person (1314c), this means there is no overlap with the current speaker or addressee. A positive hortative is expressed in the usual way with suppletive ‘let’s go!’ (1314b) or for any other verb with hortative morphemes jó and/or kò (or variant) plus either base or Ipfv verb (1314b-c).

- (1314) a. zàkí dè [ó gbèʔé]
 Z say.Pfv [1Pl **go.Hort**]
 ‘Zaki said, let’s go!’ (Ji)
- b. zàkí dè [ó kò dí / jī]
 Z say.Pfv [1Pl **Hort** eat.Ipfv/drink.Ipfv]
 ‘Zaki said, let’s eat!’ (Ji)
- c. zàkí dè =nì [d= ò jó= ò dí]
 Z say.Pfv 3InanObj [Quot 3Pl **Hort Hort** eat.Ipfv]
 ‘Zaki said (to them), let’s eat!’ (Fl)
 [< /dè ò jí kò dí/]

Textual examples involving gbèʔé are in (1315).

- (1315) a. *donc*, d̀ = ò gbèʔé
 so, Quot 3Pl **go.Hort**
 [k̀ g̀ʔó d̀ = [Ø bl̀ⁿ]
 [Hort dig.Base Quot [Art well(n)]
 ‘(Dog:) “So, let’s go dig a well!” ’ (Ma, 2017-02 @ 00:20)
- b. [í-ỳd̀ ǹⁿ] nè [m̀ⁿ ỳʔí], m̀ⁿ gbèʔé
 [1Pl mother] say.Pfv [2Sg go.Base], 2Sg **go.Hort**
 ‘Our mother said for you-Sg to go, for you-Sg to (please) go.’
 (Bi, 2017-07 @ 06:58)
- c. d̀ *bon* d̄ bà-gbèʔè [ó ẁ ỳʔí]
 Quot well Quot come.Base-**go.Hort** [1Pl Hort go.Ipv]
 ‘(They) said, “Come, let’s go!” ’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 07:28)
- d. d̀→, [è [bl̀-k̀é]-ỳd̀] f̀-gbèʔé
 Quot, [Art [hare]-woman] pass.Base-**go.Hort**
 ‘(She) said, “hare woman, go ahead!” ’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 02:38)

(1316) was initially parsed as a quoted hortative with jí as well as k̀. However, it actually contains jí as dialectal variant of jí ‘leave, let’, followed by infinitival k̀.

- (1316) ń̀ ǹ = [ò jí [ń̀ ń̀ ò bà]]
 1Sg say.Pfv [3Pl **leave**.Base [2Sg **Inf** come.Base]]
 ‘I told them to have you come.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 09:01)

17.1.6.4 Quoted hortative negative

A negative hortative is likewise expressed in the usual way with prohibitive *mâ* plus either base or Ipv verb, but with a true subject. In elicited (1317), hortative *k̀* is optional after the prohibitive morpheme. Without *k̀* it can be parsed as a quoted prohibitive.

- (1317) z̀k̀í d̀ [ó mâ (k̀) dí / ń̀ = ?]
 Z say.Pfv [1Pl **Proh** (Hort) eat.**Base**/drink.**Base** Neg]
 ‘Zaki said, let’s not eat/drink!’ (Ji)

Textual example (1318) has parallel positive and negative quoted hortatives. Here the hortative morpheme is present in both clauses.

- (1318) *donc* [bùò tǎ-ró] kò—, bùò kò jè [Ø ná-bí],
 so [LogoPl Foc-AnPl] Hort—, LogoPl **Hort** see.Ipfv [Art person],
 [è ná-bí] mâ wò jè bùò
 [Art person] **Proh Hort** see.Ipfv LogoPl
 ‘(said:) “So we [focus] must, we must (be able to) see a human, (but) the human must
 not (be able to) see us.”’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 04:44)

17.1.6.5 Bare quoted hortative in obligational function

In the absence of a quotative frame, such as *dè*, a clause in the form of a positive or negative “quoted” hortative can function as an obligational (‘must’, ‘should’).

- (1319) a. ò gò jó nó
 3Pl **Hort** look.at.Base 1Sg
 ‘They must look at me.’ (Ji)
- b. ò mâⁿ kò jó nó
 3Pl **Proh Hort** look.at.Base 1Sg
 ‘They must not look at me.’ (Ji)

Overt obligational markers are *káⁿ* (§8.5.4.3, §17.4.3.3), *fó ~ fǒ* (in the following section), and *bá-kǒ* (§17.1.8).

17.1.7 Impersonal *fó ~ fǒ* ‘must’ with jussive or prohibitive clause

Especially when the obligation is attributable to a human agent, something like ‘must’ can be translated as an imperative or prohibitive, with a variable subject (not just second person). This is close to the structure of a quoted imperative, but with no overt marking of quotation. Clause-initial *fó ~ fǒ*, cf. *Jula fó* and Fr *il faut*, is optionally added clause-initially.

- (1320) a. (fó) zàkí bà / ò-só
 (**must**) Z come.**Base**/fall.**Base**
 ‘Zaki must come/fall.’ (F1 Ji)
- b. (fó) zàkí jó nó
 (**must**) Z look.at.**Base** 1Sg
 ‘Zaki must look at me.’ (F1 Ji)

There are four textual examples of *fó* and one of *fǒ* in this sense (not to be confused with *fǒ*→ ‘all the way to/from’ in spatiotemporal phrases). Three examples (1321a-b) are of the same type as the elicited examples above.

- (1321) a. ðⁿhóⁿ, fó ðⁿ dò [Ø fé]
 uh-huh, **must** 3AnSg speak.**Base** [Art talk(n)]
 ‘Un-huh, he (=hare) must speak.’ (Ji, 2017-08 @ 05:49)
- b. fó mó fé =ò
must 2Sg greet.**Base** 3AnSgObj
 ‘you-Sg must go greet (=welcome) him.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 04:55)
- c. fó→ [jàróⁿ jū→] wùð?ó
must [Rel eye] be.open.**Base**
 ‘It must be one whose eyes are open (=who can see).’
 (Ma, 2017-04 @ 02:02)

The other textual example has an inchoative adjectival verb with Ipfv à.

- (1322) fó bó à ná?ā= [Ø jū]
must LogoSg Ipfv redden.Ipfv [Art eye]
 ‘(said) “I must redden my eye(s) (=concentrate my attention)” ’
 (Fl, 2017-05 @ 00:46)

The negative counterpart of the positive examples presented above has prohibitive morphosyntax. fó may again be added clause-initially. Our only example is elicited.

- (1323) (fó) zàkí mâⁿ jó nó
(must) Z Proh look.at.Base 1Sg
 ‘Zaki must not look at me.’ (Fl Ji)

17.1.8 Impersonal bá-kō ‘must’ with jussive or prohibitive clause

bá-kō followed by a jussive clause is used like fó (preceding section). It appears to be pandialectal in spite of being ousted by the French-Jula borrowing fó in most of our data. It does occur in texts from Bofoboso (1324a).

- (1324) a. bá-kō, é bàrà [kò tá-fā
must, 1Pl try.hard.Base [Infin do.again.Base-look.for.Base
 [Ø tò?ò jī] [à nì] tē→,
 [Art place Indef] [3Inan Loc] Emph,
 ‘We must look again for another situation for it.’ (Bo, 2019-05 @ 00:39)
- b. bá-kō [bó [kà [bó dō tó?ó],
must [3AnSg [and [3AnSg man Foc],
 fó [kã= [Ø jù?é-lē]]
 until [with [Art God-house]]
 ‘It must be (just) her and her husband, until God’s house (=death).’
 (Bo, 2019-10 @ 05:24)

- c. bá-k̄s mó b̄a= [Ø t̄i-tó]
must 2Sg cultivate.Base [Art yam]
 ‘You-Sg must grow yams.’ (Fl)
- d. bá-k̄s mó mâ b̄a= [Ø t̄i-tó]
must 2Sg Proh cultivate.Base [Art yam]
 ‘You-Sg must not grow yams.’ (Fl)
- e. bá-k̄s mó gb̄e [Ø sùⁿ-bíó]
must 2Sg take.Base [Art medicine-children]
 ‘You must take the pills.’ (Fl)

This bá-k̄s is unrelated to the homophonous verb-verb compound bá-k̄s ‘finish cultivating’.

17.2 Indicative clausal complements without complementizer

The verbs ‘do, make’ and ‘let, leave’ can serve as main-clause verbs in the sense ‘cause’, followed by an indicative clause identical in form to a main clause. There is no complementizer. A rival construction (§17.4.2.5) has infinitival clauses as complements.

17.2.1 Periphrastic causatives without complementizer (kl̄e ‘do’)

The invariant verb kl̄e ‘do, make’ can take an indicative clause as complement in the sense ‘cause (to happen), bring about (that ...)’. Compare Eng *make* and Fr *faire en sorte que*. There is no complementizer. The subordinated proposition is optionally resumed as an inanimate object pronominal on kl̄e (1325b). These examples are elicited.

- (1325) a. [ē bl̄ò tóʔó] kl̄e [ná= á bà =?]
 [Art rain(n) Foc] **do**.Pfv [1Sg PfvNeg come.Base =Neg]
 ‘The rain caused me to not come.’ (=prevented me from coming) (Ji)
- b. [ē bl̄ō] kl̄e =n̄i bè-k̄a
 [Art rain(n)] **do**.Pfv **3InanObj** thus
 [ná= á bú [k̄ō bà] =?]
 [1Sg PfvNeg get.Base [Infin come.Base] =Neg]
 ‘The rain caused me to be unable to come.’ (Fl)

17.2.2 ‘See’ with indicative complement

If the subject directly perceived the event denoted by the complement, the complement takes regular indicative main-clause form. There is usually no complementizer. The complement may be perfective denoting a single bounded event (1326a-b), progressive denoting an ongoing unbounded process (1326c), or imperfective denoting a recurrent event type (1326d).

- (1326) a. *nó* *nà* [zàkí dîè-só / fiē / glō]
 1Sg see.Pfv [Z fall.Pfv / pass.Pfv / exit.Pfv]
 ‘I saw Zaki fall/go away/go out.’ (Ji)
- b. *nó* *nà* [zàkí gbă= [Ø bũⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ]]
 1Sg see.Pfv [Z hit.Pfv [Art dog]]
 ‘I saw Zaki hit the dog.’ (Ji)
- c. *nó* *nà* [zàkí kō [yĩ nì]]
 1Sg see.Pfv [Z be [jump.Prog Prog]]
 ‘I saw Zaki jumping.’ (Ji)
- d. [kò-kò sú→] ná= ā jè [[Ø bí-sīɔ̃ⁿ] dī-à-ʃí]
 [Rdp-day all] 1Sg Ipv see.Ipv [[Art child] fall.Ipv]
 ‘Every day I see the child fall down.’ (Ji)

There is some ambiguity as to whether Zaki in (1326a), and so forth for the other examples, is really the subject of the lower-clause verb or the object of ‘see’ in the main clause. In elicitation, our Ji assistant often paused after this NP, and pronounced the following complement with a resumptive subject pronoun. However, the best test for this is when the lower subject is a third-person inanimate pronoun, where one can easily distinguish object enclitic = nì from subject proclitic à, and this speaker used the latter (1327).

- (1327) *nó* *nà=* [ā dî-só]
 1Sg see.Pfv [3Inan fall.Pfv]
 ‘I saw it fall.’ (Ji)

‘See’ can also occur in inferential rather than direct-observation contexts.

- (1328) a. *nó* *yìʔè* [k= ó-jī [zàkì ní-mā = ?]]
 1Sg go.Pfv [Infin go.Base-see.Base [Z not.be Neg]]
 ‘I went and saw that Zaki was not present.’ (Ji)
- b. *nó* *kà=* á-jī= [[Ø bí-sīō] fiē]
 1Sg Infin go.Base-see.Base [[Art children] pass.Pfv]
 ‘I went and saw that the children had left.’ (Ji)

17.3 Propositional complements with dè, tá, or jí as complementizer

Clause-initial dè is common at the beginning of quoted matter (speech or thought), see §17.1 above. Here we show that dè also introduces clausal complements of the verbs ‘know’, ‘hear’, ‘look/consider’, ‘want’, ‘forget’, ‘fear’, ‘consent’, and ‘forbid’. These complements represent propositions that are conceptualized by the subjects of these verbs. We therefore continue to gloss dè as Quot(ative).

dè may raise to M-toned dē before an L-toned syllable.

In addition to quotative complements with *dè*, some of these verbs also allow **dubitative** complements indicating uncertainty. Dubitative complements may have clause-initial *jí* or *tá* as complementizers. *jí* occurs elsewhere as an ‘if’ morpheme in conditional antecedents (§16.1.1.4-5). *tá* resembles the ‘like, similar to’ particle (quasi-preposition), which is *ká* (Ji) or *tá* (Bi Fl Ma) (§8.5.1.1). Since some speakers may distinguish dubitative *tá* from *ká* ‘like’, we treat them as different morphemes.

17.3.1 ‘Know’ and ‘believe’ with propositional complement

The relevant verbs here are *kùḍⁿ/kḥⁿ/kḥⁿ* ‘know’ (§11.2.5.1.1) and invariant *sḍⁿ* ‘think, believe’. The latter can also mean ‘do willingly’ (§17.4.4.2).

As a reminder, the Pfv *kùḍⁿ*, literally ‘knew (i.e. learned)’ is regularly used to describe a continuing state of knowledge (‘knows’).

When the complement of ‘know’ is propositional, it begins with one of the particles described below. However, in one textual example the speaker simply pauses, then pronounces the “subordinated” clause as a main clause. The context is that if you don’t realize the value of the cliffs, you don’t know (=appreciate) a good thing.

(1329) *dè* [mó tó? =] á kḥⁿ =?, à = Ø kò
 Quot [2Sg Foc] PfvNeg **know**.Base Neg, 3Inan Ipfv be.good.Ipfv
 ‘You [focus] don’t know (=realize), (that) it’s good.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 10:19)

17.3.1.1 *kḥⁿ* ‘know (that ...)’ with quotative *dè*

Elicited quotative complements of ‘know (that ...)’ are in (1330). The subordinated sequence following *dè* has the form of a main clause. Either main or subordinated clause may be negated independently of the other. A third person subject of ‘know’ binds logophoric pronouns in the complement (1330d).

(1330) a. *nó* *kùḍⁿ* [dē zàkì á bà = ?]
 1Sg **know**.Pfv [Quot Z PfvNeg come.Base Neg]
 ‘I know that Zaki didn’t come.’ (Ji)

b. *zàkì* *kùḍⁿ* [dè ná = á bà = ?]
 Z **know**.Pfv [Quot 1Sg PfvNeg come.Base = Neg]
 ‘Zaki knows that I didn’t come.’ (Ji)

c. *zàkì* á *kḥⁿ* [dè *nó* bà]
 Z PfvNeg **know**.Base [Quot 1Sg come.Pfv]
 ‘Zaki doesn’t know that I have come.’ (Ji)

d. *zàkì* á *kḥⁿ* [dè [bó sē] nà bà]
 Z PfvNeg **know**.Base [Quot [LogoSg father] Fut come.Base]
 ‘Zaki_x doesn’t know that his_x father will come.’ (Jinejan)

- e. nó kùḏⁿ [dē zàkí nà bà]
 1Sg know.Pfv [**Quot** Z Fut come.Base]
 ‘I know that Zaki will come.’ (Ji)

The subordinated proposition may be anticipatorily resumed (anticipated) as a 3Inan object enclitic on ‘know’ in the main clause (1331).

- (1331) zàkí kùḏⁿ = nì [dè [bó sē] bē bà]
 Z know.Pfv **3InanObj** [Quot [LogoSg father] Fut come.Pfv]
 ‘Zaki_x knows that his_x father will come.’ (Fl)

There are many examples in the texts, including those in (1332).

- (1332) a. ǒ= Ø kṵⁿ [dè [bùḏ mà má] = àⁿ dḏ]
 3Pl PfvNeg know.Base [**Quot** [3Pl grandmother] it.is Emph]
 ‘They didn’t know that she was their grandmother.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 06:43)

- b. í! [[bùḏ yúó] wḵ kṵⁿ = nì]
 oh! [[3Pl people] Infin know.Base 3InanObj]
 [dḏ= ó bà
 [**Quot** 1Pl come.Pfv
 [[ē→, cì] wá?á-sḵ tḵ?ḵ nīⁿ]],
 [[Art, millet] make.noise.Base-take.Base place] Loc]],
 ‘Oh! The people (in Jinejan) knew that we had come in order to make noise and receive millet (grain).’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 06:03)

17.3.1.2 ‘(Not) know’ with nonquotative clausal complement

In (1333), the clause following ‘not know’ lacks quotative particle *dè* since it does not represent a complete propositional thought. It also lacks an ‘if’ or dubitative particle (see the following sections), since the issue isn’t the truth or falsity of the proposition. (1333) is future-oriented and implies a covert ‘what?’.

- (1333) ǵ= Ø kḵⁿ [[[bó nà klè] sḵná] nī]
 3AnSg PfvNeg know.Base [[[LogoSg Fut do.Base] situation] Loc]
 ‘He didn’t know what to do next.’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:35)

17.3.1.3 ‘(Not) know (if/whether ...)’ with *jí* ‘if’

If the complement is dubitative, as in negative ‘not know (whether ...)’ and in questions like ‘do you know (whether ...)?’, the most common option is to begin the subordinated clauses with *jí* ‘if’. The particle is sometimes prolonged as *jí→*. In these examples the complement is NA-future or BE-future.

- (1334) a. ná= á k̄ⁿ [jí→ [zàkí nà bà]]
 1Sg **PfvNeg** **know**.Base [**if** [Z Fut come.Base]]
 ‘I don’t know whether Zaki will come.’ (Ji)
- b. ná= á k̄ⁿ [jí zàkí bē bà]
 1Sg **PfvNeg** **know**.Base [**if** Z Fut come.Pfv]
 ‘I don’t know whether Zaki will come.’ (Fl)

In this construction, jí may be preceded by quotative dè, as in textual example (1335).

- (1335) dè [bó =rě=] Ø k̄ⁿ =nì
 Quot [LogoSg Emph] **PfvNeg** **know** 3InanObj
 dè jí [kè yá] kō bā
Quot if [matter Dem.InanSg] be nothing
 ‘(Hare) said: “I don’t know whether that (i.e. its fall) was for nothing
 (=coincidental).”’ (Fl, 2017-05 @ 01:41)

17.3.1.4 ‘(Not) know (if/whether ...)’ with dubitative tá ‘or’

An alternative to jí in this construction is the disjunctive particle tá (§7.2.2), here in dubitative function. Our Ji assistant used both particles, often producing jí first in elicitation, then pivoting to tá in repetitions.

- (1336) a. ná= á k̄ⁿ [tá= [Ø blō] nà bà]]
 1Sg **PfvNeg** **know**.Base [**or** [Art rain(n)] Fut come.Base]]
 ‘I don’t know whether rain will come (=it will rain).’ (Ji)
- b. zàkì á k̄ⁿ [jí / tá [bó nà bà]]
 1Sg **PfvNeg** **know**.Base [**if / or** [LogoSg Fut come.Base]]
 ‘Zakì_x doesn’t know whether he_x will come.’ (Ji)

17.3.1.5 s̄ⁿ ‘think, believe (that ...)’ with quotative complement

In the sense ‘believe (that ...)’ , invariant s̄ⁿ takes a quotative complement with particle dè. An example is (1337), where the narrator reports a (false) belief on the part of a protagonist.

- (1337) [jó bó =ō s̄ⁿ]
 [if 3AnSg Ipv **think**.Ipfv]
 [dè [bó tó?ó j̄nȳð] ní-mā [[è ló?ó] nī]]
 [**Quot** [LogoSg Foc equal(n)] not.be.Loc [[Art cleverness] Loc]]
 ‘if he thinks that his [focus] equal in cleverness (=magic) does not exist, ...’
 (Ji, 2017-01 @ 04:00)

However, the majority of false beliefs in narratives are expressed with *dè* ‘said’ in the sense ‘said (to oneself)’.

For *sòⁿ* in the sense ‘consent (to do sth)’, with infinitival complement, see §17.4.4.2.

17.3.1.6 *là* ‘be sure (that)’ with quotative complement

Invariant *là* ‘be sure, believe’ takes a locative PP denoting a person, followed by a quotative complement. In the negative the sense is ‘not be sure’.

- (1338) *nó á là [ðⁿ nī] [dē ðⁿ nà bà]*
 1Sg PfvNeg **believe**.Base [3AnSg Loc] [**Quot** 3AnSg Fut come.Base]
 ‘I’m not sure that he/she is coming.’ (Ji)

17.3.2 ‘Hear’ (*jūʔɔ*) with clausal complement

The verb ‘hear’ is *dīʔē ~ jīʔē/jūʔɔ/jūʔɔ* or variant. It takes complements of two types.

17.3.2.1 ‘Hear (that/whether ...)’ with quotative *dè* or dubitative *tá*

A propositional complement of ‘hear (that)’, i.e. in the context of hearsay rather than hearing a sound, begins either with quotative particle *dè* (1339a), or with dubitative *tá* (1339b-c). Since hearsay is intrinsically less authoritative than eye-witnessing, a dubitative complement is common in Tiefu-D even where English would use *that*. Logophorics may occur in the complement (1339b-c). The subordinated proposition may be anticipatorily resumed by an inanimate object pronominal (1339a).

- (1339) a. *nó dīʔē =nì [dē zàkí fiē]*
 1Sg **hear**.Pfv 3InanObj [**Quot** Z pass.Pfv]
 ‘I hear(d) that Zaki has gone.’ (Ji)
- b. *zàkí dīʔè [tá ò nà kò bó]*
 Z **hear**.Pfv [**whether** 3Pl Fut kill.Base LogoSg]
 ‘Zaki_x heard that they will/might kill him_x.’ (Ji)
- c. *zàkí dīʔè [tá ō kùò [bó būⁿʔɔⁿ]]*
 Z **hear**.Pfv [**whether** 3Pl kill.Pfv [LogoSg dog]]
 ‘Zaki_x heard that they (apparently) killed his_x dog.’ (Ji)

Nearly all textual examples of ‘hear’ have nominal objects (‘hear it’, ‘hear/listen to advice’, etc.). There is one example of ‘hear’ followed by *dè* and ‘say’, cf. Eng *if you hear us say...*

- (1340) má= à jūʔɔ̃ dè→ é-yùò dè→
 2Sg if hear.Base Quot 1Pl say.Pfv
 [Ø cəfə-rɔ̃] d= [ò mâⁿ gblī [ē təràⁿʔáⁿ]]
 [Art Tiefo-Pl] say.Pfv [Imprt.Pl Proh choose.Ipfv [Art marriage]]
 ‘If you hear that we have said, (we) the Tiefo have said, “don’t-2Pl (try to) pick
 (your) marriage.”’ (women, 2017-13 @ 03:44)

17.3.2.2 ‘Hear (sth happening)’ with progressive complement

When what was heard was the sound of an ongoing action, the complement takes progressive form (1341). Even here, dubitative tá is optionally present.

- (1341) a. nó dīʔē= [[Ø bí-siō] kò [jí ní]]
 1Sg hear.Pfv [[Art child.Pl] be [fight(v).Prog Prog]]
 ‘I heard the children (apparently) squabbling.’ (Ji)
- b. nó dīʔè tâ= [[Ø bí-siō] kò [jí ní]]
 1Sg hear.Pfv whether [[Art child.Pl] be [fight(v).Prog Prog]]
 ‘I heard the children (apparently) squabbling.’ (Ji)

17.3.3 ‘Look at, consider’ (nó) with jí ‘if (whether)’ complement

While *nà/nī/nè* ‘see’ takes indicative complements without quotative *dè* or other complementizer (§17.2.2), *nūɔ̃/nó/nú* ‘look at’ in the sense ‘consider (whether ...)’ can take a dubitative complement with *jí* ‘if’. There is one textual example.

- (1342) [ò kò nó =nì [jí= [Ø dē jī]—
 [3Pl Infin look.Base 3InanObj [if [Art elder.sib Indef]—
 [ē bī-dɔ̃ jī] bā à-mā [[Ø màsà-cé] bàʔà],
 [Art younger.sib Indef] if be.Loc [[Art chief] chez]
 ‘They look at (=consider) whether there is some elder sibling— (or rather) some
 younger sibling (of the deceased chief) at the chief’s place (=family).’
 (Ma, 2018-01 @ 00:52)

17.3.4 ‘Forget’ (pē) with quotative complement

pè/pē/pē (with minor variants) ‘forget’ takes a quotative complement with *dè*, when what is forgotten is a factual proposition (‘forget that ...’).

- (1343) zàkí pè [dè nó bà]
 Z forget.Pfv [Quot 1Sg come.Pfv]
 ‘Zaki forgot that I have come.’ (Ji)

There is a textual example (1344).

(1344) kō pē [dē= [Ø jùè?é] á sàròⁿ bó]
 Infin **forget**.Base [**Quot** [Art God] PfvNeg take.down.Base LogoSg]
 ‘(He) forgot that God didn’t bring him down.’ (Ma, 2017-03 @ 00:43)

For the infinitival construction ‘forget to VP’, see §17.4.2.2.

17.3.5 ‘Fear (that ...)’ (c5?5) with quotative complement

The transitive verb cè?è/c5?5/c5?5 ‘fear (v), be afraid of’ can take a quotative complement with dè. The complement may denote either a suspected but unverified past event (1345a), or a future event (1345b). The ‘fear’ verb takes Pfv form in present-time contexts.

(1345) a. nó cè?è [dè [[nó ná-bì] jè?è-bló]]
 1Sg **fear**.Pfv [**Quot** [[1Sg child] get.lost.**Pfv**]]
 ‘I fear that my child has gotten lost.’ (Ji)

b. nó cè?è [dē zàkí nà kò nó]
 1Sg **fear**.Pfv [**Quot** Z **Fut** kill.Base 1Sg]
 ‘I fear that/lest Zaki (might) kill me.’ (< kō) (Ji)

For the infinitival construction ‘be afraid (to VP)’, see §17.4.2.1.

17.4 Infinitival complements

In these constructions, the main clause may have a “control” verb that can take an infinitival complement: ‘X help Y [to VP]’, ‘X forget [to VP]’, ‘X cause Y [to VP]’. Infinitival complements have the same forms as infinitival phrases in narrative that function like conjoined clauses.

Before proceeding it is necessary to distinguish infinitival from hortative complements, which might otherwise cause confusion.

17.4.1 Infinitival versus hortative complements

The distinction between these two types of complements (clauses or VPs) is summarized in (1346).

(1346)	aspectually unmarked	imperfective
a. infinitival	(subject) kō Vb.Base ...	(subject) k-ā Vb.Ipfv ...
b. hortative	(subject) kò Vb.Base ...	(subject) kò Vb.Ipfv ...

It can be difficult to distinguish the two in the aspectually unmarked version, which is most common. Both infinitivals and hortatives make use of the base of the stem, and infinitival *kō* drops to *kò* before an H-toned verb (§15.2.1.1). Fortunately, the distinction is unmistakable in the imperfective, with infinitival *k-ā* (§15.2.2) versus hortative *kò*.

An additional difference is that some (but not all) hortative complements may begin with quotative particle *dè*.

Based on these criteria, the relevant constructions (with specific main-clause predicates, plus purposives) break down as shown in (1347). The division correlates with whether the complement is conceptualized in a verbalizable form by a protagonist.

(1347)	main clause	gloss
	a. infinitival <i>kō</i> , including imperfective <i>k-ā</i> plus Ipfv verb	
	<i>cōʔō</i>	‘be afraid (to VP)’
	<i>jíjà</i>	‘strive (to VP)’
	<i>klè/já/té/wē</i>	causative ‘make/let (X VP)’
	<i>pē</i>	‘forget (to VP)’
	<i>tàⁿ-jūʔō</i>	‘help someone (to VP)’
	<i>wē [Ø kè-tèʔè]</i>	‘join in, apply oneself, help (to VP)’
	(any)	purposive ‘in order to VP’
	b. hortative <i>kò</i> plus base or Ipfv stem (with or without quotative <i>dè</i>)	
	<i>kà-bàʔà</i>	‘want (to VP)’
	<i>sūʔō [Ø klòʔó]</i>	‘give road (=authorization, instruction) to sb (to VP)’
	<i>káⁿ</i>	‘must (VP)’
	c. infinitival (same-subject) or hortative (different-subject)	
	<i>léⁿ [à nī]</i>	‘consent (to VP)’, ‘consent for Y (to VP)’
	<i>sòⁿ (< Jula)</i>	“ “

Hortatives often co-occur with simple jussives (quoted imperatives and prohibitives).

In §17.4.2 just below we describe constructions with infinitival complements. §17.4.3 covers constructions with hortative and jussive complements. In §17.4.4 we describe main-clause predicates that allow both types of complement based on the same versus different subject distinction. Miscellaneous constructions involving verbal nouns and other nominals are in §17.5. Purposives and ‘because’ clauses are described separately in §17.6.

17.4.2 Constructions with infinitival complements

As indicated just above, infinitival phrases have *kō* plus base stem of verb, or in imperfective contexts *k-ā* plus Ipfv stem. Wherever possible we include imperfective examples.

17.4.2.1 ‘Be afraid (to VP)’ c̣ɔ̣ʔɔ̣ with infinitival VP

The verb c̣ɛ̣ʔɛ̣/c̣ɔ̣ʔɔ̣/c̣ɔ̣ʔɔ̣ can be intransitive ‘be afraid’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 01:26) or transitive ‘be afraid of, fear (sth/sb)’ with an NP object (Bi, 2017-04 @ 00:57). It may also take an infinitival VP complement, denoting a hypothetical same-subject event (1348). In present-time contexts, the Pfv form of ‘fear’ is preferred, interpretable as ‘have become fearful’ with continuing present relevance. The imperfective version with k-ā (1348c) indicates recurring bouts of fear.

(1348) a. nó c̣ɛ̣ʔɛ̣ [kō bà fāⁿʔāⁿ]
 1Sg **fear.Pfv** [**Infin** come.Base here]
 ‘I am afraid to come here.’ (Ji)

b. zàkì á c̣ɔ̣ʔɔ̣ [kò yíʔí= [[Ø pòʔó nī]]
 Z PfvNeg **fear.Base** [**Infin** go.Base [[Art the.bush] Loc]]
 ‘Zaki isn’t afraid to go out to the bush.’ (Ji)

c. ʔⁿ= Ø c̣ɔ̣ʔɔ̣ [k-ā bē]
 3AnSg Ipfv **fear.Ipfv** [**Infin-Ipfv** come.Ipfv]
 ‘He/She (always) fears to come.’ (Ji)

The complement can alternatively be expressed with a verbal noun.

(1349) ʔⁿ c̣ɛ̣ʔɛ̣= [Ø bà-ní] fāⁿʔāⁿ
 3AnSg **fear.Pfv** [Art come-VblN] here
 ‘He/She is afraid of coming here.’ (Ji)

For ‘be afraid that ...’ with propositional complement in quotative form, see §17.3.5.

17.4.2.2 ‘Forget (to VP)’ p̣ē with infinitival VP

‘Forget’ is p̣è/p̣ē/p̣ē ~ p̣ē (most dialects) or invariant p̣è (Ji). As in English, the verb may be intransitive, or transitive with nominal object. Also as in English, the verb can alternatively take an infinitival complement in the sense ‘forget to VP’, where the implied agent of the infinitival action is coindexed with the subject of the main clause. The imperfective version has k-ā (1350c).

(1350) a. zàkì p̣è [kō bà]
 Z **forget.Pfv** [**Infin** come.Base]
 ‘Zaki forgot to come.’ (Ji)

b. mâ p̣è [kō bà [kâ= [Ø kàʔā]]]
 Proh **forget.Base** [**Infin** come.Base [with [Art meat]]]
 ‘Don’t-2Sg forget to bring the meat!’ (Ji)

c.	ð ⁿ =	Ø	pē	[k-ā	bē]	(F1)
	"	"	pē	"	"	(Ji)
	3AnSg	Ipfv	forget .Ipfv	[Inf in-Ipfv	come.Ipfv]	
	'He/She (often) forgets to come.'					(F1 Ji)

For 'forget that ...' with propositional complement, see §17.3.4.

17.4.2.3 'Help' constructions with infinitival complement

17.4.2.3.1 tàⁿ-jūʔɔ̄ 'help' with object and infinitival complement

The transitive verb *tèⁿ-jūʔɔ̄/tàⁿ-jūʔɔ̄/tàⁿ-àⁿ-jūʔū* 'help' can take an infinitival complement, whose covert subject is coindexed with the object of 'help'. (1351 c-d) are imperfective with *k-ā*.

(1351) a.	zàkí	tè ⁿ -jūʔɔ̄	nó	[kō	kē ⁿ ʔē ⁿ	fā ⁿ ʔā ⁿ]
	Z	help .Pfv	1Sg	[Inf in	ascend.Base	here]
	'Zaki helped me climb up here.' (Ji)					
b.	zàkí	tè ⁿ -jūʔɔ̄	nó	[kō	mē	[Ø wùʔú]]
	Z	help .Pfv	1Sg	[Inf in	build.Base	[Art house]]
	'Zaki helped me build a house.' (Ji)					
c.	zàkí	ā	tà ⁿ -à ⁿ -jūʔū	nó	[k-ā	klī ⁿ ʔī ⁿ]
	Z	Ipfv	help .Ipfv	1Sg	[Inf in-Ipfv	ascend.Ipfv]
	'Zaki (often) helps me climb.' (Ji)					
d.	ð ⁿ =	Ø	tà ⁿ -à ⁿ -jūʔū	[ð ⁿ	tó-tōrā ⁿ -nò]	
	3AnSg	Ipfv	help .Ipfv	[3AnSgRefl	neighbor]	
	[k-ā	mē	[Ø	wùʔú]]		
	[Inf in-Ipfv	build.Ipfv	[Art	house]]		
	'He/She (often) helps his/her neighbor to build a house.' (Ji)					

17.4.2.3.2 wē [Ø kè-tèʔè] 'help' with object and infinitival complement

Another way to express 'help X [to VP]' is 'put (in) a hand to/for' (1352). Without a dative, this phrase means 'throw oneself actively (into an activity)'. In the 'help' examples, the phrasing makes it clear that the assistance was direct (physical), not indirect. The verb 'put (in)' is *wè/wē/wī* (for F1, *yè/wē/yī*). The first vowel of 'hand' varies by dialect: *kì-tèʔè* (Ma), *kè-tèʔè* (Ji), or *kè-tèʔè* (Bi F1). This is not a verb-verb compound, so no medial *-à-* is intercalated between 'put' and 'hand' in the imperfective. For multiple subjects, plural *kè-tè-rè* or variant can be used (1352b). (1352d) is imperfective with *k-ā*.

- (1352) a. zàkí wìě= [Ø kè-tè?è] [nó bà?à]
 Z **put.Pfv** [Art **hand**] [1Sg **Dat**]
 [nó kō bà]
 [1Sg **Infin** come.Base]
 ‘Zaki gave me a hand to (help me) go up.’ (Ji)
- b. kō wē [Ø kè-tè-rè] [[ò dígè-rò] bà?à]
 Infin **put.Base** [Art **hand-Pl**] [[PlRefl Recip] **Dat**]
 ‘(for them) to give a hand to each other’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 10:54, edited)
- c. wē [Ø kè-tè?è] [kō sōⁿ [Ø kē-sùⁿ?òⁿ]]
put.Base [Art **hand**] [**Infin** work(v).Base [Art work(n)]]
 ‘Apply yourself to the job!’ (F1)
- d. ðⁿ= Ø wī [Ø kè-tè?è] [k-â mē]
 3AnSg Ipfv **put.Ipfv** [Art **hand**] [**Infin-Ipfv** build.Ipfv]
 ‘He/She joins in (lends a hand) to build (houses).’ (Ji)

An antonymic construction with parallel structure is glō [Ø kè-tè?è] ‘withdraw help, stop helping’ (lit. “remove hand”).

Another compound verb meaning ‘help’ with the same Vb1 ‘put (in)’ is wē-tà?à (base).

17.4.2.4 jíjà and kā?ā ðⁿ mí?á ‘strive’ plus infinitival VP

jíjà ‘strive, try hard, make an effort’, a Jula borrowing, takes infinitival VP complements with implied same subject (1353). See also (1435) in §18.4.1. (1353b-c) are imperfectives with k-â.

- (1353) a. nó jíjà [kō kō [ŋ dè]]
 1Sg **strive.Pfv** [**Infin** finish.Base [1SgRefl field]]
 ‘I worked hard to finish (cultivating) my field.’ (F1 Ji)
- b. ná= à jíjà [k-â kō [ŋ dè]]
 1Sg Ipfv **strive.Ipfv** [**Infin-Ipfv** finish.Ipfv [1SgRefl field]]
 ‘I (regularly) strive to finish my field.’ (F1)
- c. ðⁿ= Ø jíjà [k-â bē]
 3AnSg Ipfv **strive.Ipfv** [**Infin-Ipfv** come.Ipfv]
 ‘He (always) makes an effort to come.’ (Ji)

The native Tiefó-D phrasing corresponding semantically to the borrowed jíjà is X kā?ā [ðⁿ míⁿ?á], literally “X harden him/her-self,” plus an infinitival complement. Compare Eng *steel oneself*.

- (1354) ðⁿ= Ø kǎʔǎ [ðⁿ míʔá] [k-ǎ bē]
 3AnSg Ipfv **harden**.Ipfv [3AnSgRefl **Refl**] [**Inf**-Ipfv come.Ipfv]
 ‘He (always) makes an effort to come.’ (Ji)

17.4.2.5 Periphrastic causatives with infinitival clauses

The verbs *klè* ‘do, make’, *wē* ‘put (in)’, *té* ‘put down’, and *já* ‘leave (behind)’ can function as main-clause verbs meaning ‘cause, induce’ or ‘let’. Each is followed by an infinitival clause, normally including an overt subject.

17.4.2.5.1 *klè* ‘do, make’ as causative with infinitival clause

A simple, all-purpose causative construction has the invariant verb *klè* ‘do, make’ in the main clause. The complement is an infinitival clause with a subject preceding *kō*. In imperfective contexts the imperfective infinitive *k-ǎ* occurs (1355d). The fact that ‘sheep’ in (1355a) is the subject of the infinitival VP, rather than the object of main-clause *klè*, is shown by its proclitic subject form *ðⁿ* in (1355b). Contrast *nó klè = yò* or variant ‘I made him/her/it (animate)’.

- (1355) a. *nó klè* [[Ø báⁿ] *kō* [ʃɪⁿʔɪⁿ]
 1Sg **do**.Pfv [[Art sheep] **Inf** run.Base]
 ‘I made the sheep-Sg run.’ (Fl)
- b. *nó klè* [ðⁿ *kō* ʃɪⁿʔɪⁿ]
 1Sg **do**.Pfv [3AnSg **Inf** run.Base]
 ‘I made it (=sheep) run.’ (Fl)
- c. *nó klè =* [[Ø nǎ-bí] *kō* ɲō = [Ø sǔⁿ]
 1Sg **do**.Pfv [[Art child] **Inf** drink.Base [Art medication]]
 ‘I made the child drink the medicine.’ (Fl)
- d. *nó ā klè* [[Ø báⁿ] *k-ǎ* dī-ǎ-ʃí]
 1Sg Ipfv **do**.pfv [[Art sheep] **Inf**-Ipfv fall.Ipfv]
 ‘I always make the sheep-Sg fall.’ (Fl)

A textual example is (1356). The nasal is a filler for hesitations.

- (1356) ðⁿ yīʔē [kǎ = á-klè =
 3AnSg go.Pfv [Inf go.Base-**do**.Base
 [[Ø kǔⁿ] gō, ɲ sūʔō [Ø sǔⁿ]
 [[Art fellow] **Inf**, (nasal) give.Base [Art medication]]
 ‘He went and had the fellow (=magician) give the magic potion.’
 (Ji, 2017-09 @ 07:00)

klè in the sense ‘cause’ can also take an indicative clause as complement (§17.2.1).

17.4.2.5.2 té ‘put (down)’ as causative with infinitival clause

tīē/té/té ‘put down’, by extension ‘determine, establish, set’, has causal scope over an infinitival clause in textual example (1357).

- (1357) [ē jàm—] kò ɲɔ̄ = [Ø kɔ̄],
 [Art comm[unity]—] Infin look.Base [Art day,
 kò té, [ò kō bà]
 Infin **put**.Base, [3Pl **Infin** come.Base]
 ‘The comm[unity]—, looks at (=considers) the date. They (=chiefly family) have them (=community) come.’ (Ma, 2018-01 @ 01:39)

17.4.2.5.3 wē ‘put in’ as causative with infinitival clause

The ‘put X in Y’ verb wè/wē/wī can also function as a kind of back-door causative, especially when the situation implies movement to a location by the subordinated agent. This is the case in (1358a-c), where ‘put’ has an infinitival clause as complement. In (1358b), ðⁿ is clearly the subject of the infinitival VP. However, it is possible to also express the subordinated agent as the direct object of ‘put’ in the main clause. In (1358c), which was spoken slowly in elicitation, there is both a 3AnSg object of ‘put’ and a coindexed 3AnSg subject of ‘cultivate.’ This construction is favored by the F1 speaker for third person pronominals, but first and second person pronominals are not doubled.

- (1358) a. zàkí wè [nó kò bá [ðⁿ dè]]
 Z **put**.Pfv [1Sg **Infin** cultivate.Base [3AnSgRefl field]]
 ‘Zaki made (=had) me cultivate his field.’ (Ji)
- b. nó wè [ðⁿ kò bá [nó dè]]
 1Sg put.Pfv [3AnSg **Infin** cultivate.Base [1Sg field]]
 ‘I made (=had) him cultivate my field.’ (F1)
- c. nó wè =yò [ðⁿ kò bá =nì]
 1Sg put.Pfv **3AnSgObj** [3AnSg **Infin** cultivate 3InanObj]
 ‘I made (=had) him/her cultivate it.’ (F1)

In (1359), ‘put’ is again the verb, but the complement is reduced to a PP based on a verbal noun. This is a single-clause construction with the subordinated agent as direct object of ‘put’, as shown by the 3AnSg object enclitic in (1359b).

- (1359) a. nó wè [Ø báⁿ] [[ē ʃiⁿ?iⁿ-ní] ní]
 1Sg **put**.Pfv [Art sheep] [[Art run-**VbIN**] Loc]
 ‘I put the sheep-Sg to flight.’ (F1)

- b. nó wìè =yò [[ē ʃiⁿʃiⁿ-ní] ní]
 1Sg put.Pfv 3AnSgObj [[Art run-VbIN] Loc]
 ‘I put-Past it (=sheep) to flight.’ (Fl)

17.4.2.5.4 já ‘leave (behind), leave (alone)’ as ‘let’ with infinitival clause

In this construction, verb já ‘leave (behind), leave (alone)’ is followed by a different-subject complement with infinitive kō. The sense can be permissive ‘let, allow’ or weak causal ‘have (sb do sth)’. Examples are in (1360). (1360c) is imperfective with k-ā. The verb já is invariant in most dialects but has a Pfv jē for Bi dialect. The bracketing of the 1Sg pronoun in (1360b-d) is hard to pin down, since já as simple verb readily takes objects. In (1360a) the 3AnSg pronoun is a proclitic, therefore bracketed with the infinitival phrase. However, (1360e) shows the Fl speakers predilection for doubling the third person pronoun as an object enclitic for já and as a subject proclitic for the infinitival clause.

- (1360) a. ðⁿ mâⁿ já
 3AnSg Proh leave.Base
 [ðⁿ ɲò táⁿ-dàⁿ [bó n̄] tàʔà-kó] =?
 [3AnSg Infin return.Base-arrive.Base [LogoSg Loc] again] Neg
 ‘(said:) “Don’t let it come back to me again.”’ (women, 2017-18 @ 00:39)

- b. zàkí já nó [kō d̄ɔ] (Fl)
 " " " " d̄ɔ (Ji)
 Z leave.Pfv 1Sg [Infin sleep.Base]
 ‘Zaki let me sleep.’ (Fl Ji)

- c. [è bí-ʃìò] má já nó [k-ā d̄ē]
 [Art child.Pl] IpfvNeg leave.Ipfv 1Sg [Infin-Ipfv sleep.Ipfv]
 ‘The children don’t let me sleep.’ (Fl Ji)

- d. zàkí já nó [kò léⁿ [kà [Ø ɲò-ní]]]
 Z leave.Pfv 1Sg [Infin stop.Base [with [Art drink.Base-VbIN]]]
 ‘Zaki had me stop drinking.’ (Ji)

- e. nó já =yò [ðⁿ kō d̄ɔ]
 1Sg leave.Pfv 3AnSgObj [3AnSg Infin sleep.Base]
 ‘I let him/her sleep.’ (Fl)

Another textual example is (Ji, 2017-04 @ 04:35), but its structure is made unclear by an interruption.

17.4.3 Hortative and jussive complements

Here we consider constructions with hortative and/or jussive (imperative or prohibitive) complements. See §17.4.1 above for the criteria used to distinguish hortative *kò* from infinitival *kō*.

17.4.3.1 *kà-bàʔà* ‘want’ plus jussive or hortative

‘Want it’ is normally pronounced *kà-bàʔà* (§11.2.5.2.1) and in this form it is morphologically opaque. Some speakers claim that it is analysable as *kō* [à bàʔà], literally “be [for it].” The *k* can weaken to *g* as in a number of grammatical morphemes (infinitival *kō*, hortative *kò*, preposition *kà* ‘with’). *kà-bàʔà* behaves as a stative predicate, and can therefore be negated (with *má*) and/or shifted to the past, but it cannot be aspectually modified.

In the sense ‘want to VP’ with same-subject complement, *kà-bàʔà* is followed by a hortative VP complement in some dialects; for a dialectal infinitival version see (1363c) below. The hortative VP has a verb in base form when denoting a single instance; and an *Ipfv* verb for habitual contexts. The examples in (1361) are elicited and refer to single instances.

- (1361) a. *zàkí kà-bàʔà [(k)ò tōrāⁿ]*
 Z **want** [**Hort** sit.Base]
 ‘Zaki wants to sit down.’ (Ji Ma)
- b. *zàkì má kà-bàʔà [(k)ò tōrāⁿ]*
 Z *IpfvNeg* **want** [**Hort** sit.Base]
 ‘Zaki doesn’t want to sit down.’ (Ji)
- c. *zàkí kà-bàʔà [(k)ò jì mó]*
 Z **want** [**Hort** see.Base 2Sg]
 ‘Zaki wants to see you-Sg.’ (Ji)
 (< /kò jì mó/)
- d. *zàkí kà-bàʔà*
 Z **want**
 [*kò jō* [Ø làⁿ] *kúʔúⁿ*]
 [**Hort** drink.Base [Art beer] today]
 ‘Zaki wants to drink (a) beer today.’ (Ji)
- e. *ǝⁿ má kà-bàʔà*
 3AnSg *IpfvNeg* **want.it**
 [*kò jò-jō* = [Ø jū]]
 [**Hort** drink.Base-look.Base [Art water]]
 ‘Zaki doesn’t want to ever drink water.’ (F1 Ji)
 [experiential perfect negative]

Textual examples are in (1362).

- (1362) a. ó gà-bà?à [ò gò = nì],
 1Pl **want.it** [**Hort** hit.Base 3InanObj],
 [ē nàsèrá gò gbē = nì]
 [Art white.person.Sg Hort pick.up.Base 3InanObj]
 ‘We want to narrate (“hit”) it, for the white person to take it.’
 (Bi, 2017-06 @ 00:11, hesitation omitted)
- b. ó gà-bà?à [kò— ò dò—]
 1Pl **want.it** [**Hort**— (nasal) speak.Base—]
 ‘We want to speak—.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 00:02)
- c. ó gà-bà?à [wò dò [bè tó?ó]]
 1Pl **want.it** [**Hort** speak.Base [Dem.Def Foc]]
 ‘That [focus] is what we want to talk about.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 00:16)

When the complement denotes multiple events at different times, the complement takes hortative imperfective form with *kò* plus *Ipfv* verb. For example, *ɲùð/ɲɔ̃/ɲi* ‘drink’ appears as base *ɲɔ̃* in (1361d) above, but as *Ipfv* *ɲi* in (1363a) below. *dē* ‘sleep’ is also *Ipfv* in (1363b). We have an example from Bo (dialect similar to Bi) with an imperfective infinitival complement with *k-ā*, suggesting a dialectal divergence in syntactic structure (1363c).

- (1363) a. zàkí kà-bà?à
 Z **want.it**
 [kò ɲi [Ø lǎⁿ] [kò-kò sú→]]
 [**Hort** drink.**Ipfv** [Art beer] [Rdp-day all]]
 ‘Zaki wants to drink beer every day.’ (Ji)
- b. zàkí kà-bà?à [kò dē]
 Z **want.it** [Hort sleep.**Ipfv**]
 ‘Zaki (often) wants to sleep.’ (F1 Ji)
- c. bè fíráⁿ, kà-bà?à [k-ā bē
 Dem.Def too, want.it [**Infin-IPfv** come.**Ipfv**]
 [[[à bíé] gblè-tò?ò] nī] tà?à-kó
 [[[3Inan all] take.Pfv-place] Loc] again
 ‘That too wants to come in order to take everything again.’
 (Bo, 2019-06 @ 00:49)

In the different-subject construction, the lower subject precedes hortative *kò* to create an hortative clause (1364a-d), or *kò* is omitted and the result is a jussive complement (1364e). Quotative *dè* is optionally present (1364c-e). The lower subject is bracketed with its clause as subject, and does not function as direct object of ‘want’. If it were direct object of ‘want’, the inanimate pronominal in (1364b) would be object enclitic = *nì* instead of subject proclitic *à*. (1364c) is imperfective.

- (1364) a. nó kà-bàʔà [zàkí kò nɔ́ nó]
 1Sg **want.it** [Z **Hort** look.at.Base 1Sg]
 ‘I want Zaki to look at me.’ (Ji)
- b. nó kà-bàʔà [à kò dî-só]
 1Sg **want.it** [**3Inan** **Hort** fall.Base]
 ‘I want it to fall.’ (Ji)
- c. nó kà-bàʔà [(dē) zàkí kò bē]
 1Sg want.it [(Quot) Z **Hort** come.Ipfv]
 ‘I want Zaki to come (regularly).’ (Ji)
- d. [nó sè] má kà-bàʔà
 [1Sg father] IpfvNeg **want**
 [dè nó kò glú-à-yé]
 [Quot 1Sg **Hort** exit(v).Ipfv-Ipfv-walk.Base]
 ‘My father doesn’t want me to travel.’ (Ji)
- e. [nó sē] kà-bàʔà
 [1Sg father] **want**
 [dè nó yíʔí [ē wàgá]]
 [Quot 1Sg go.Base [Art Ouaga]]
 ‘My father wants me to go to Ouagadougou.’ (Ji)

There is one textual example (1365).

- (1365) dē bùò =rē, má kà-bàʔà
 say.Pfv LogoPl even, IpfvNeg **want.it**
 [ò kò lǝ= [[Ø tǝʔǝ jǝrǝⁿ] má káⁿ]
 [3Pl **Hort** show.Base [[Art place Rel] IpfvNeg be.safe]
 ‘(They) say that even they don’t want them (=villagers) to show a place ...’
 (Fl, 2017-11 @ 04:29)

17.4.3.2 ‘Authorize/instruct’ plus hortative or jussive clause

‘Authorize/instruct’ differs from ‘want’ and some other constructions in that the subject of the complement is always disjoint to the subject of the main-clause verb. Local Fr *autoriser* can mean either ‘authorize’ (i.e. allow) or ‘instruct’ (i.e. command). ‘Authorize’ in the normal English sense is expressed in Tiefó-D either by the phrase ‘give the road’, with ʃɪʔè/sūʔɔ̄/sūʔū (or variant) ‘give’ plus noun (ē) klòʔó ‘road’, or by the verb láblà borrowed from Jula lá-blà.

The complement is usually hortative, occasionally a quoted imperative (jussive). Either of these may be preceded by quotative dē. If the authorization is for a single event or state, the complement is hortative kò plus the base stem (1366a-b), or just the base stem (1366c). The subordinated subject precedes kò.

- (1366) a. \bar{o} ʃiʔɛ= $[\emptyset \quad \text{klòʔó}]$
 3Pl **give.Pfv** $[\text{Art} \quad \text{road}]$
 $[\text{nó} \quad \text{kò} \quad \text{kò} \quad [\emptyset \quad \text{bó}]]$
 $[\text{1Sg} \quad \text{Hort} \quad \text{kill.Base} \quad [\text{Art} \quad \text{sheep}]]$
 ‘They authorized me to slaughter a sheep.’ (Ji)
- b. \bar{o}^n ʃiʔɛ= $[\emptyset \quad \text{klòʔó}]$
 3Pl **give.Pfv** $[\text{Art} \quad \text{road}]$
 $[\text{dè} \quad \text{nó} \quad \text{kò} \quad \text{ʃi}^n\text{ʔi}^n]$
 $[\text{Quot} \quad \text{1Sg} \quad \text{Hort} \quad \text{run.Base}]$
 ‘He instructed/authorized me to run.’ (Ji)
- c. \bar{o}^n ʃiʔɛ= $[\emptyset \quad \text{klòʔó}]$ $[\text{dè} \quad \text{nó} \quad \text{bà/ɲɔ}]$
 3AnSg **give.Pfv** $[\text{Art} \quad \text{road}]$ $[\text{Quot} \quad \text{1Sg} \quad \text{come.Base/drink.Base}]$
 ‘He/She authorized me to come/drink.’ (Ji Fl)

If it is a blanket authorization or instruction, potentially covering multiple events, hortative *kò* is followed by the *Ipfv* of the verb (1367). The three-stem paradigms of the relevant verbs are shown in parentheses after the examples.

- (1367) a. \bar{o} ʃiʔɛ= $[\emptyset \quad \text{klòʔó}]$
 3Pl **give.Pfv** $[\text{Art} \quad \text{road}]$
 $[\text{nó} \quad \text{kò} \quad \text{cùì} \quad [\emptyset \quad \text{bó}]]$
 $[\text{1Sg} \quad \text{Hort} \quad \text{kill.Ipfv} \quad [\text{Art} \quad \text{sheep.Pl}]]$
 ‘They have authorized me to slaughter sheep (whenever I want).’ (Ji)
 (*kùdò/kò/cùì*)
- b. \bar{o} ʃiʔɛ= $[\emptyset \quad \text{klòʔó}]$
 3Pl **give.Pfv** $[\text{Art} \quad \text{road}]$
 $[\text{dè} \quad \text{nó} \quad \text{kò} \quad \text{bē} / \text{ɲī}]$
 $[\text{Quot} \quad \text{1Sg} \quad \text{Hort} \quad \text{come.Ipfv} / \text{drink.Ipfv}]$
 ‘They authorized/instructed me to come/drink (any time).’ (Ji)
 (*bà/bà/bē, ɲùdò/ɲɔ/ɲī*)
- c. $\check{o} =$ \emptyset sūʔɔ $[\emptyset \quad \text{klòʔó}]$
 3Pl *PfvNeg* **give.Base** $[\text{Art} \quad \text{road}]$
 $[\text{dè} \quad \text{nó} \quad \text{kò} \quad \text{ɲú} = \quad [\emptyset \quad \text{tìʔé}]] = ?$
 $[\text{Quot} \quad \text{1Sg} \quad \text{Hort} \quad \text{look.at.Ipfv} \quad [\text{Art} \quad \text{hole}]] \quad \text{Neg}$
 ‘They didn’t authorize me to look at the hole (=grotto).’ (Ji)
 (*ɲūɔ/ɲó/ɲú*)

- d. [bùò dé] á sūʔǝ [Ø klòʔó]
 [3Pl however] PfvNeg **give**.Base [Art **road**]
 [d= ò wò cùì = wò]
 [Quot 3Pl **Hort** kill.**Ipfv** 3PlObj]
 ‘They didn’t give the authorization for them to kill them (=elephants).’
 (Ji, 2017-09 @ 07:54)
 (kùò/kò/cùì)

There is a textual example with láblà. The verb is followed by object enclitic ‘it’ resuming the subordinated clause, which is a quoted imperfective hortative in form.

- (1368) ò k̄ā= à-láblà = nì
 3Pl Infin come.Base-**authorize**.Base 3InanObj
 [d= ò kò nú = nì]
 [Quot 3Pl **Hort** look.at.**Ipfv** 3InanObj]
 ‘They came and authorized it, that they (=visitors) see it.’
 (Ji, 2017-11 @ 02:25)
 (nūǝ/nó/nú)

17.4.3.3 Obligational káⁿ plus hortative VP

káⁿ (< Jula) occurs in obligational and normative constructions in the texts. In simple predicates it can mean ‘be proper, right, normal, appropriate’, i.e. it describes socially approved behavior. It can also occur with a hortative VP with person-specific senses like ‘ought to’ or ‘must’. For the normative content see §8.5.4.2-3.

In positive contexts, the predicate either takes the simple form káⁿ without inflectional (e.g. imperfective) marking, or this form occurs in a combination pronounced ká-káⁿ or quasi-iterative káⁿ-káⁿ depending on speaker. For negative má⁽ⁿ⁾ káⁿ see the end of this section.

Our elicited positive examples (F1 and Ji dialects) have simple káⁿ (1369). The complement is normally hortative plus base of verb (1369a-b), but an Ipfv verb is also acceptable (1369c).

- (1369) a. nó káⁿ [gò klá-bà]
 1Sg **must** [**Hort** return.Base-come.Base]
 ‘I must come back (here).’ (Ji)
- b. mó káⁿ [kò bà]
 2Sg **must** [**Hort** come.Base]
 ‘You-Sg must come.’ (F1)
- c. nó káⁿ [kò bē]
 1Sg **must** [**Hort** come.Ipfv]
 ‘I must (always) come.’ (F1 Ji)

The construction with hortative complement occurs repeatedly in text 2018-02 (Ma dialect), which details in general terms the reciprocal duties of the Tiefo chief and his subjects. (1370a-b) are among several examples. This spekaer uses the combination *ká-káⁿ*.

(1370) a. [yúó jèrⁿ] ká-káⁿ [wò tārāⁿ]
 [person Rel] **ought** [**Hort** sit.Base]
 ‘The person who deserves to sit (=be installed as chief).’
 (Ma, 2018-01 @ 00:36)

b. [ðⁿ ká-káⁿ [kò klè—],
 [3AnSg **ought** [**Hort** do.Base—],
 [ē nà-bí-ó], [ē nà—] [è yúó]— [námáǎ]-kàʔa,
 [Art person-Pl], [Art per(son)—] [Art people]— [be.watchful]-Ppl.An,
 ‘He must do—. The people— The people, watchful ones.’
 (Ma, 2018-02 @ 00:16)

Passage (1371a) is a joint production by Bi and Ji speakers. (1371b) is from the Fl speaker.

(1371) a. Bi: [bè tóʔ=] =à, [è ná-bí] káⁿ-káⁿ [wò—]
 [Dem.Def Foc] it.is, [Art person] **ought** [**Hort**—]
 Ji: kò kèr^{sí}
Hort analyse.Base
 Bi: kò jɔⁿ= [Ø kě],
Hort look.at.Base [Art matter],
 kò bú= [Ø lóʔó] [à nī],
Hort get.Base [Art secret] [3Inan Loc],
 Bi: ‘That is, a person must ...’
 Ji: ‘... analyse’.
 Bi: ‘... look at a matter, to find the secret in it.’ (Bi/Ji, 2017-07 @ 09:36)

b. [ē bèʔè-nò] káⁿ-káⁿ
 [Art ruin.Pfv-Agent.Sg] **ought**
 [kò lēⁿ] bè-kà-tó
 [**Hort** be.chased.away.Base] thus-Foc
 ‘It’s appropriate that one who ruins (things) be chased away like that.’
 or: ‘One who ruins (things) must be chased away like that.’
 (Fl, 2017-02 @ 01:53)

There is one textual attestation of future *nà káⁿ*. Unlike the timeless obligations in the previous examples, this time the obligation is situation-specific and is bound to a future time.

- (1372) mó nà káⁿ [kò yíŋi= [Ø tòʔò jèrɔⁿ]]
 2Sg Fut must [Hort go.Base [Art place Rel]]
 [k= ó-ŋó =nì],
 [Hort go.Base-look.at.Base 3InanObj],
 ā pièⁿ [bè tòʔò]
 3Inan remain.Pfv [Dem.Def place]
 ‘The place to which you have to go to look at it (=grotto), it remains that place.’
 (Ji, 2017-11 @ 09:35)

The negative version (‘must/should/ought not’ or ‘isn’t proper, isn’t right’) is má káⁿ throughout our data. Obligation scopes over negation. Elicited examples are in (1373).

- (1373) a. nó má káⁿ [gò klá-bà]
 1Sg IpfvNeg must [Hort return.Base-come.Base]
 ‘I must not come back (here).’ (Ji)
- b. mó má káⁿ [kò bà]
 2Sg IpfvNeg must [Hort come.Base]
 ‘You-Sg must not come.’ (F1)

Negative má káⁿ is also attested six times in the texts about the chiefhood (Ma dialect), including (1374a). (1374b) is another textual example from the F1 speaker.

- (1374) a. ðⁿ má káⁿ
 3AnSg IpfvNeg ought
 [kò klè [[kě jèrɔⁿ má kò]]
 [Hort do.Base [[thing Rel] IpfvNeg be.good.Ipfv]]
 ‘He must not do anything that is bad.’ (Ma, 2018-02 @ 00:12, edited)
- b. [ē nā-dì-ð] má káⁿ [kò sò-só [ò dígè-rò]
 [Art old.man-Pl] Neg ought [Hort disagree.Base [PIRefl Recip]]
 ‘Old men should not contradict (=disagree with) each other.’
 (F1, 2017-03 @ 00:12)

There appears to be some dialectal mixing of káⁿ ‘must’ with what we have identified as subjunctive ká (§10.4.2.3.2). This may be the case in passage (1375). The Bi speaker echoes what the Ji speaker says almost verbatim except for switching ká to káⁿ.

- (1375) Ji: ó nà sùʔò-ŋó =nì, jó= ò nà—,
 1Pl Fut give.Base-look.Base 3InanObj, if 3Pl Fut—,
 ò kò ká dò-ŋó= [Ø jī]
 3Pl Hort Sbjn speak.Base-look.Base [Art something]
 Bi: ò kò káⁿ dõ= [Ø jī]
 3Pl Hort must speak.Base [Art something]
 Ji: ‘We will turn it over, in case they— so they may try to say something.’
 Bi: ‘So they may say something.’ (Ji & Bi, 2017-09 @ 08:43)

For a more strongly obligational construction with *fó* (Fr *il faut*), see §17.1.7. For another obligational, *bá-kɔ̃* ‘must’, see §17.1.8.

17.4.3.4 ‘Forbid, block’ (*tɔ̃ⁿ*) with prohibitive complement

This construction is phrased as main clause ‘X block Y’ with the verb *cùɔ̃ⁿ/tɔ̃ⁿ/tĩⁿ* ‘block (v)’, followed by quotative *dè* ‘that’ and a prohibitive complement with a pronominal copy of Y as subject.

- (1376) a. *zàkí cùɔ̃ⁿ nó [dè nó mâ glú = ?]*
 Z **block.Pfv** 1Sg [Quot 1Sg **Proh** exit.Base Neg]
 ‘Zaki prevented/blocked me from going out.’ (Ji)
- b. *nó má bè cùɔ̃ⁿ [Ø ná-bí]*
 1Sg IpfvNeg Fut **block.Pfv** [Art child]
 [d= òⁿ mâ kó = ?]
 [Quot 3AnSg **Proh** weep.Base Neg]
 ‘I can’t prevent the child from crying.’ (F1 Ji)

17.4.4 Mixed infinitival and hortative-jussive complements

The main-clause verbs considered below mean ‘consent, agree (to)’. They occur in a range of constructions, with infinitival same-subject VP complements and with hortative-jussive different-subject clausal complements.

17.4.4.1 *lɛ̃ⁿ* ‘consent, accept’ plus infinitival, hortative, or jussive

The verb *lɛ̃ⁿ/lɛ̃ⁿ/lɛ̃ⁿ* has a number of senses in different morphosyntactic frames (1377).

- | | | |
|--------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| (1377) | sense | syntax |
| | a. ‘stand up, stop, halt’ | intransitive |
| | b. ‘block (v), bar (v)’ | transitive |
| | c. ‘agree to, approve, consent’ | with locative PP complement |

The sense (1377c) is illustrated by (1378a), and also by e.g. (F1, 2017-03 @ 03:10) and (women, 2017-13 @ 03:03). (1378b) shows that the complement may be a verbal noun in a locative PP.

- (1378) a. *zàkí lɛ̃ⁿ [à nĩ]*
 Z **accept.Pfv** [3Inan **Loc**]
 ‘Zaki accepted/approved it.’ (Ji)

- b. δ^n $l\bar{e}^n$ [[\emptyset $b\grave{a}-n\acute{i}$] $n\bar{i}$]
 3AnSg **accept.Pfv** [[Art come-VblN] **Loc**]
 ‘He/She agreed to come.’ (F1)

We note that the high-frequency Pfv verb plus PP combination whose idealized form is $l\bar{e}^n$ [\grave{a} $n\bar{i}$] is regularly pronounced [$l\bar{e}\bar{e}n\bar{i}$] or with downdrift [$l\bar{e}\bar{e}n\bar{i}$] as implied by our transcription of (1378). We initially mis-parsed [$l\bar{e}\bar{e}n\bar{i}$] ~ [$l\bar{e}\bar{e}n\bar{i}$] as a transitive verb # $l\bar{e}\bar{e}^n$ (with abnormal long vowel) plus 3Inan object enclitic = $n\bar{i}$.

In the sense ‘agree to, approve, consent’ (1377c) the complement may also be an infinitival VP. In this case, the overall construction means ‘agree/consent [to VP]’, meaning that the subject of ‘accept’ commits to performing a same-subject action, following an invitation or request. The construction also includes the pronominal PP \grave{a} $n\bar{i}$ ‘in it’ in the main clause. We could think of \grave{a} $n\bar{i}$ as resuming the complement, i.e. ‘X agreed with/to it, namely to VP’. However, this syntactic phrasing is awkward in the context of Tiefo-D morphosyntax. Given its portmanteau-like quality mentioned above, we suspect that $l\bar{e}^n/l\bar{e}^n$ [= \bar{e}^n $n\bar{i}$] is in the process of fusing into a lexical stem. In this case it is doubtful that any true syntactic resumption is happening here.

(1379a-b) have infinitival complements. (1379c) is imperfective with $k-\bar{a}$. The use of infinitival complements suggests that the complement is not conceptualized as a quotation (‘X consents that “X (will) VP”’).

- (1379) a. $z\grave{a}k\acute{i}$ $l\bar{e}^n$ [\grave{a} $n\bar{i}$] [$k\bar{o}$ $b\grave{a}$]
 Z **accept.Prv** [3Inan **Loc**] [**Inf**in come.Base]
 ‘Zaki agreed (=consented) to come.’ (Ji)

- b. $\check{\delta}^n$ \emptyset $l\bar{e}^n$ [\grave{a} $n\bar{i}$]
 3AnSg PfvNeg **accept.Base** [3Inan Loc]
 [$k\bar{o}$ $j\grave{u}\grave{o}$ [$\check{\delta}^n$ $b\acute{o}^n$]]
 [**Inf**in sell.Base [3AnSgRefl sheep]]
 ‘He_x didn’t accept (=he refused) to sell his_x sheep-Sg.’ (Ji)

- c. $\delta^n =$ \emptyset $l\bar{e}^n$ [\grave{a} $n\bar{i}$] [$k-\bar{a}$ $b\bar{e}$]
 3AnSg Ipfv **accept.Ipfv** [3Inan Loc] [**Inf**in-Ipfv come.Ipfv]
 ‘He/She agrees to come (regularly).’ (F1 Ji)

However, it is possible to use a hortative clause instead of an infinitival VP, so long as the coindexed subject is overt in the complement, in the form of a pronoun. For third-person main-clause subjects, the coindexed subject is logophoric. This construction is marginal, and it was initially rejected, then grudgingly accepted, by some speakers.

- (1380) a. $\delta^n =$ \emptyset $l\bar{e}^n$ [\grave{a} $n\bar{i}$]
 3AnSg Ipfv **accept.Ipfv** [3Inan Loc]
 [$d\bar{e}$ $b\acute{o}$ $k\grave{o}$ $b\bar{e}$]
 [**Quot** **LogoSg** **Hort** come.Ipfv]
 ‘He/She (regularly) agrees to come.’ (Ji)
 (lit.: ‘He/She_x agrees [that he/she_x come]’)

- b. ná= à léⁿ [à nī]
 3AnSg Ipfv **accept.Ipfv** [3Inan Loc]
 [dè nó kò bē]
 [**Quot** **1Sg** **Hort** come.Ipfv]
 ‘I (regularly) agree to come.’ (F1)

By making the lower subject overt, speakers in effect treat this combination syntactically like the different-subject complements to which we now turn.

A hortative complement (with kò) as in (1381a) or a simple jussive complement (without kò) as in (1381b-d) is regular when the complement has a different subject. The quotative particle is usually present.

- (1381) a. ðⁿ= Ø léⁿ [à nī] [dè nó kò bē]
 3AnSg Ipfv **accept.Ipfv** [3Inan Loc] [**Quot** 1Sg **Hort** come.Ipfv]
 ‘He/She agrees that I may come (regularly).’ (Ji)

- b. [nó sè] á léⁿ [à nī]
 [1Sg father] PfvNeg **accept.Base** [3Inan Loc]
 [dè [nó yíí= [Ø lē]]] =?
 [**Quot** [1Sg go.**Base** [Art village]]] Neg
 ‘My father did not accept that I (=refused to let me) go to the village.’ (Ji)

- c. nó lēⁿ [à nī]
 1Sg **accept.Pfv** [3Inan Loc]
 [dē [zàkí bá [nó dè]]]
 [**Quot** [Z cultivate.**Base** [1Sg field]]]
 ‘I agreed that Zaki (=allowed Zaki to) cultivate my field.’ (Ji)

- d. nó nà léⁿ [à nī]
 1Sg Fut **accept.Base** [3Inan Loc]
 [dē [zàkí bá [nó dè]]]
 [**Quot** [Z cultivate.**Base** [1Sg field]]]
 ‘I will agree that Zaki (will) cultivate my field.’ (Ji)

17.4.4.2 sòⁿ ‘consent’ plus infinitival and jussive complements

The invariant verb sòⁿ, borrowed from Jula, means ‘consent (to sth), accept (a proposal)’ when followed just by a locative PP. This is similar to the morphosyntax of léⁿ in simple clauses (see the preceding subsection). sòⁿ can also mean ‘think (about sth)’ with the same morphosyntactic frame, or ‘believe (that ...)’ with a quotative complement.

With an infinitival complement sòⁿ means ‘consent (to do sth), be willing (to do sth).’ It presumably has a similar range of syntactic constructions as léⁿ but without the locative PP à nī. Textual example (1382) has an imperfective infinitival VP with g-à (for k-à).

- (1382) ó dè máⁿ sòⁿ
 1Pl IpfvPast IpfvNeg **consent.Ipfv**
 [g-â bē fāⁿʔāⁿ bē]
 [**Infin-Ipfv** come.Ipfv here Dem.Def]
 ‘We didn’t use to consent (=be willing) to come here like that.’
 (Bi, 2017-10 @ 06:32)

Elicited data confirm the infinitival construction for some subjects (1383). (1383b) is imperfective with k-â.

- (1383) a. ɓⁿ sòⁿ [à nī] [kō bà]
 3AnSg **agree.Pfv** [3Inan Loc] [**Infin** come.Base]
 ‘He/She agreed to come.’ (Ji)
- b. ɓⁿ= Ø sòⁿ [à nī] [k-â bē]
 3AnSg Ipfv **agree.Ipfv** [3Inan Loc] [**Infin-Ipfv** come.Ipfv]
 ‘He/She agrees to come (regularly).’ (Ji)

For different subjects, hortative (1384a) and simple jussive (1384b) complements occur.

- (1384) a. ɓⁿ= Ø sòⁿ [dè nó gò bē]
 3AnSg Ipfv **agree.Ipfv** [**Quot** 1Sg **Hort** come.Ipfv]
 ‘He/She agrees that I come (regularly).’ (Ji)
- b. ɓⁿ sòⁿ [dè nó bà]
 3AnSg **agree.Pfv** [**Quot** 1Sg come.**Base**]
 ‘He/She agreed that I come.’ (Ji)

17.5 Other clausal complements

17.5.1 ‘Begin to VP’ (súʔú ‘catch’ plus nùʔó ‘mouth’)

The verb sūʔō/súʔú/súʔú ‘catch’ combines with nùʔó [nùⁿʔóⁿ] ‘mouth’ in the construction “X catch [Y(’s) mouth]” meaning ‘X begin to Y’. Think of ‘mouth’ as ‘opening’. This phrasing occurs in other languages of the zone as well. Y is a deverbal nominal, and functions here as possessor (or compound initial). An incorporated object may occur before the verb in Y. For example, (1385a) is literally “Zaki caught [[his-sheep]-selling(’s) mouth].”

- (1385) a. zàkí sūʔō [[[ɓⁿ bó] jùd-ní] nùʔó]
 Z **catch.Pfv** [[[3AnSg sheep.Pl] sell.Base-VblN] **mouth**]
 ‘Zaki has begun selling his sheep-Pl.’ (Ji)
- ab [è bí-sīō] sūʔō [[ē bàʔá] nùʔó]
 [Art child.Pl] **catch.Pfv** [[Art cultivation] **mouth**]
 ‘The children have begun to farm.’ (Ji)

- c. ðⁿ sūʔō [[è kóʔó] ɲùʔó]
 3AnSg **catch.Pfv** [[Art weeping] **mouth**]
 ‘He/She began to weep.’ (Ji)
- d. ò súʔú [[è jɔⁿʔɔⁿ] ɲùʔó]
 Imprt.Pl **catch.Base** [[Art dancing] **mouth**]
 ‘Begin-2Pl to dance!’ (Ji)

This construction is distinct from “X put mouth [in Y]” which means ‘X discuss Y’ (e.g. Ma, 2018-02 @ 01:00).

A borrowing from Fr *commencer* ‘begin’ is now very common in all languages of the zone.

17.5.2 Cessation of action

These constructions indicate that an activity is terminated, either temporarily or permanently, without reaching a natural endpoint as with ‘finish’ (§15.1.3.6).

17.5.2.1 já ‘leave, abandon’ with verbal-noun complement

One cessation verb is já, which as simple transitive verb means ‘leave (sb/sth somewhere), abandon’. In this sense it may be compounded with ‘give’ (§15.1.6.2) to form já-sūʔɔ̄/já-sūʔɔ̄/já-à-sūʔū ‘cease (doing)’. The activity is expressed as a deverbal nominal such as a verbal noun. An object may be incorporated, preceding the verbal noun.

- (1386) a. nó já-sūʔɔ̄ [Ø lāⁿ-ɲð-ní] mā
 1Sg **abandon.Pfv** [Art beer-drink.Base-**VbIN**] there.Def
 ‘I have given up (=abandoned) drinking (sorghum) beer.’ (Ji)
- b. nó já-sūʔɔ̄ [Ø kàʔá-[kà-ní]] mā
 1Sg **abandon.Pfv** [Art meat-[eat.meat-**VbIN**]] there.Def
 ‘I have abandoned (=permanently stopped) meat-eating.’ (Ji)

A textual example of já-sūʔɔ̄ with NP object (‘abandon something’) is (Bi, 2017-10 @ 06:35). Uncompounded já is attested in the context of abandoning an activity, but again with NP rather than verbal-noun object, in (Bi, 2017-10 @ 00:33 & 03:14). There are no textual examples of either já-sūʔɔ̄ or já with verbal-noun object.

já is invariant in most dialects, but Bi has Pfv jē. já behaves somewhat like a causal postposition in the phrase [bè té] já and variants ‘that [focus] is why ...’ (§8.1.3).

For superfluous final mā in (1386a-b), see §4.4.3.2. For já in the sense ‘let, allow’ with infinitival complement, see §17.4.2.5.4.

17.5.2.2 ‘Halt, cease (doing)’ (léⁿ)

The other cessation verb is léⁿ/léⁿ/léⁿ in the sense ‘stop, block, prevent’, followed by a PP consisting of preposition kà ‘with’ and a deverbal nominal. If the nominal is from a transitive verb, it may be preceded by an incorporated object (1387a).

- (1387) a. nó léⁿ [kǎ= [(Ø lāⁿ) jǎ-ní]]
 1Sg stop.Pfv [with [(Art millet.beer) drink.Base-VbIN]]
 ‘I have stopped (=ceased) drinking (millet beer).’ (Ji)
- b. zàkí já nó [kò léⁿ [kà [Ø jǎ-ní]]
 Z leave.Pfv 1Sg [Infin stop.Base [with [Art drink.Base-VbIN]]]
 ‘Zaki had me stop drinking.’ (Ji)

This is of course quite distinct from léⁿ [à nī] ‘consent (to it)’ (§17.4.4.1).

17.5.3 tèʔè ‘be accustomed to’ with PP of verbal noun

Invariant tèʔè ‘be accustomed’ takes a complement in the form of a locative PP. The complement of the postposition itself may be an ordinary NP (1388a). It may also be a verbal noun (1388b), which may include an incorporated object.

- (1388) a. zàkí tèʔè [nó nī]
 Z be.accustomed.Pfv [1Sg Loc]
 ‘Zaki is accustomed (=has become accustomed) to me.’ (F1)
- b. mó tèʔè= [[Ø bǎ-[jǎ-ní]] nī] =à
 2Sg be.accustomed.Pfv [[Art elephant-[see.Base-VbIN] Loc] Q
 ‘Are you-Sg accustomed to seeing elephants?’ (F1)

-tèʔè may alternatively be compounded to a preceding verb (§15.1.3.7), forming a monoclausal construction.

17.6 Causal and purposive clauses

In causal constructions ‘X, because Y’, the eventuality Y causes or strongly favors a subsequent eventuality X. In purposive constructions ‘X, in order to Y’, eventuality X is carried out with the intention of producing eventuality Y. The chronological order of eventualities X and Y differs between the two constructions, although the linguistic order is usually X before Y in both cases. Intentionality by animate beings is always present in purposives, but is not required in causals. In purposives, the realization of the intended consequence Y is not asserted.

Causals are more straightforward and we present them first.

- (1390) é! bó nàⁿ klè áⁿ bè
 oh! LogoSg Fut do.Base how? Dem.Def
 [kò bú bè] tē
 [**Infin** get.Base Dem.Def] Q
 ‘(said:) “Oh! What will (=can/must) I do, in order to get that?” ’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 01:22)

Example (1391) from other dialects has the same structure. The combinations with different verbs confirm that infinitival *kō* rather than hortative *kò* is present.

- (1391) nó nà klè bè-kè / mlèⁿ-kā
 1Sg Fut do what?/how?
 [kò bú = nì]
 [kō jī/jō = nì]
Infin get.Base see.Base/drink.Base 3InanObj
 ‘What will I do, to get/see/drink it?’ (F1 Ji)

When the overall context is imperfective (habitual), denoting multiple events or an extended negative time interval, the infinitival VP takes the imperfective form *k-ā* followed by *Ipfv* verb. This is the case in (1392), where the verb is *cìè/kà/kè* ‘eat (meat)’, here clearly *Ipfv*. ‘Kill’ in the first clause implies acquisition.

- (1392) a. [móⁿ tóǒ] ā cǔĩ= [Ø kà?á] *mais*
 [2Sg Foc] *Ipfv* kill.*Ipfv* [Art meat] but
 móⁿ máⁿ jēⁿ= [Ø kà?á] [g-ā kè] =?
 2Sg *IpfvNeg* see.*Ipfv* [Art meat] [**Infin-*Ipfv*** eat.meat.***Ipfv***] Neg
 ‘It was you-Sg [focus] who would kill the animal, but you wouldn’t see (=end up with) any meat (for you) to eat.’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 03:35)

- b. mó má bî= [Ø súáⁿ-klà?à] [k-ā dí]
 2Sg *IpfvNeg* get.*Ipfv* [Art maize] [**Infin-*Ipfv*** eat.***Ipfv***]
 ‘You-Sg won’t get any maize to eat.’ (Bo, 2019-04 @ 00:52)

Some other similar passages might really have *kā* = *à-Vb2.Base* including *à-* ‘come’, rather than imperfective infinitival *k-ā*, so it is important to check the form of the verb.

These infinitival purposives are closely related to the motion-verb examples in the following subsection, and can occur in the ‘(something) to eat’ construction (§17.7.2).

17.6.2.2 Main clause with motion verb plus infinitival VP

Perhaps the most common type of main clause in purposives is motion by animate entities (‘went there to eat’, ‘came here to talk to us’). In Tiefó-D, unlike English, combinations like ‘go [to VP]’ and ‘come [to VP]’ are complicated by the repetition of the motion verb as a verbal compound initial (often reduced or suppletive) inside the infinitival VP. Therefore ‘go-’ and ‘come-’ function as *Vb1* - in verb-verb compounds with the primary verb (*-Vb2*) of

the infinitival VP: ‘go [Infin go-Vb2 ...]’ and ‘come [Infin come-Vb2 ...]’. This construction, very typical of Tiefo-D discourse, is described in §15.2.3.2-3 above. It is not always purposive, as shown by such cases as ‘he went and fell down’ or ‘she came and got attacked’. However, in more benign contexts there is at least a suggestive of purposeful motion.

A few elicited examples are in (1393).

- (1393) a. zàkí bà [kā= à-kò / -jì nó]
 Z **come.Pfv** [**Infin** **come.Base-kill/see.Base** 1Sg]
 ‘Zaki came and saw me.’ or ‘Zaki came to see me.’ (Ji)
- b. zàkì á yííí [kò tì dí / dǐ]
 Z PfvNeg **go.Base** [**Infin** **go.Base** eat/sleep.Base]
 ‘Zaki didn’t go and eat/sleep.’ or ‘Zaki didn’t go (there) to eat/sleep.’ (Fl)

A textual example is (1394). A hesitation has been emended out.

- (1394) kò yííí= [[Ø nīⁿ] bàʔà],
 Infin **go.Base** [[Art mother] chez],
 kō rà-sūⁿ?ⁿ [à rō]]
 Infin **go.Base-do.cooking.Base** [with 3Inan]]
 ‘(They) then went to their mother’s place, to do cooking with it (=song).’
 or ‘... and did cooking with it’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 06:20, hesitation omitted)

17.6.2.3 Quotative future clause as purposive

Quotative verb or particle *dè* can precede thought as well as speech quotations. Thought quotations can describe knowledge, beliefs, and observations, but also intentions. The latter requires future tense marking, and strongly favors LogoSg (i.e. original 1Sg) subject.

In (1395), a quotative clause is directly added to a motion verb, describing the individual’s intention. A more literal translation would be ‘Zaki came, (thinking) “I will eat”.’

- (1395) zàkí bà [dè bó nà dí]
 Z come.Pfv [**Quot** **LogoSg** **Fut** eat.Base]
 ‘Zaki came in order to eat.’ (Fl)

17.6.2.4 Purposive *yàⁿgó ~ jáⁿgò ~ sàⁿó* ‘so that’

A clause-initial purposive word (‘so that, in order that’) is attested in our texts in the forms shown in (1396).

(1396) form text reference

jáⁿgò (Ma, 2017-04 @ 04:17)
 yàⁿgó ~ jàⁿgó (Ji, 2017-01 @ 00:28 & 03:19)
 sàṅó ~ sàⁿgó (Fl, 2017-05 @ 02:24)

This word is borrowed from Jula jàngó (and variants) ‘so that, in order to’. It is followed by an infinitival phrase when positive. Unlike other purposive constructions, this one also allows negative purposives (‘so that X does not VP’). In this case the clause takes prohibitive form.

(1397) has a positive clause.

(1397) ó kò d̀̀-wē— [[ó wì-è?è] nī],
 1Pl Hort speak.Base-put.Base— [[1Pl put-Nom] Loc],
 [yàⁿgó kō—, kō gǔ= [Ø d̀̀rà?á]
 [so.that Infin—, Infin narrate.Base [Art tale]
 ‘Let’s speak into our recorder, in order to tell a tale.’
 (Ji, 2017-01 @ 00:23 to 00:28)

jáⁿkò is combined with subjunctive kò ká in (1402) in §17.6.2.6.

(1398) is a negative example with prohibitive morphosyntax (§10.4.1.2).

(1398) [kò bà-d̀̀ [bó bà?à]]
 [Infin come.Base-say.Base [LogoSg Dat]]
 [sàṅó bó mâ wú]
 [in.order.that LogoSg Proh die.Base]
 ‘(said:) “(you-Pl) should come and tell it to me, so that I do not die.’ ”
 (Fl, 2017-05 @ 02:24)

Textual passage (1399) has two jàⁿgó clauses, one negative and one positive.

(1399) ... [k= ó-dú?ú = nì [ē jè?é-cīⁿ]
 ... [Infin go.Base-hide.Base 3InanObj] [Art top],
 jáⁿgò= [Ø yúó] mā kōⁿ— kōⁿ = nì,
 so.that [Art people] Proh know.Base—, know.Base 3nanSgObj,
 ká nó, wálà→,
 like 1Sg, right!,
 jáⁿgó [nó tó?ó] kō jī = nì
 so.that [1Sg Foc] Infin know.Base 3InanObj
 ‘(said:) “... (I will) go and hide it at the top. So that people don’t know it like me.
 There! So that (only) I [focus] know it.” ’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 03:15 to 03:23)

17.6.2.5 Purposive with -t̀̀?̀̀ nī ‘in Vb-place’

An alternative to motion verb plus infinitival VP or motion verb plus quotative complement (preceding sections) is motion (or other) verb plus a locative PP based on a nominal

compound with a verb (in Pfv form) followed by -tòʔò ‘place’ (or more abstractly ‘position, situation’). If the verb has an object, it appears as a ‘possessor’ or nominal compound initial before the compound (1400b), cf. (1402a-c). Elsewhere, ‘place’ compounds may simply denote a physical location associated with the indicated activity, e.g. ‘sell.Pfv-place’ = ‘shop (n), store (n)’ (§5.1.7.3). Taken out of context, many examples could be interpreted either abstractly (as purposives) or literally. If the action is carried out in a dedicated space, the two readings merge into one.

Elicited examples are in (1400).

- (1400) a. zàkí bā= [[Ø dīē- / dē- -tòʔò] nī]
 Z come.Pfv [[Art eat.Pfv/sleep.Pfv] **-place** **Loc**]
 ‘Zaki came in order to eat/sleep.’ (Fl Ji)
- b. zàkí bà [[nó kùò-tòʔò] nī]
 Z come.Pfv [[1Sg kill.Pfv-**place**] **Loc**]
 ‘Zaki came in order to kill me.’ (Ji)

Textual examples are in (1401).

- (1401) a. [è bí-ʃìò] á yíʔí=
 [Art child-Pl] PfvNeg go.Base
 [[[Ø [fēʔè-lēⁿ]-tòʔò] nī] = ā
 [[[Art [garment-wash.Pfv]-**place**] **Loc**] Q
 ‘Did not the children go (there) in order to wash clothes?’
 (Bi, 2017-07 @ 05:29)
- b. [úⁿʔúⁿ yá bíē] [[Ø sàrè-tòʔò] nī]
 [head Dem.InanSg all] [[Art carve.Pfv-**place**] **Loc**]
 ‘in order to carve that whole head?’ (Ji, 2017-07 @ 08:34)
- c. kà-bàʔà [k-ā bē
 want [InfIn-Ipfv come.Ipfv
 [[[à bíé] gblè-tòʔò] nī] tàʔà-kó
 [[[3Inan all] take.Pfv-**place**] **Loc**] again
 ‘... wants to come in order to take everything again.’
 (Bo, 2019-06 @ 00:49)

17.6.2.6 Purposive with subjunctive (kò) ká

We have shown that kò ká, with hortative kò and what we call subjunctive ká, occurs in wishes like ‘May God help X!’ (§10.4.2.3.2). Here we present examples with kò ká that function as purposive clauses. The connection is, of course, that a purposeful action is carried out in order to achieve a wished-for result.

As in the earlier section of wishes, we note that ká- as Vb1 in verb-verb compounds means ‘repeat, do again’ (§15.1.3.2).

In (1402), the first clause is a simple hortative clause in purposive function. It is followed by an echo-like clause with the same verb, but with full-scale hortative-subjunctive weaponry including *jáⁿkò* ‘so that’ (§17.6.2.4 above) and subjunctive *ká*.

- (1402) [bùò fǎráⁿ] kò bú [ò miàʔá],
 [3Pl too] Hort get.Base [PIRefl Refl],
 [jáⁿkò kò ká bú = — [è ní] dón]
 [so.that Hort Sbjn get.Base — [Art life] a.little]
 ‘So they too could be free, in order to get (=have) some life, ...’
 (Ma, 2017-04 @ 04:17)

In (1403), *kò ká* by itself occurs in a purposive context. The act of turning over the floor to other speakers is designed to elicit more recorded material.

- (1403) ó nà sùʔð-ɲó = nì —,
 1Pl Fut give.Base-look.Base 3InanObj —,
 ò kò ká dò-ɲó = [Ø jī]
 3Pl Hort Sbjn speak.Base-look.Base [Art something]
 ‘We will turn it (=recording) over so they may try to say something.’
 (Ji, 2017-09 @ 08:43, hesitation omitted)

17.7 ‘(Something) to eat’

Three constructions express ‘X [to V]’ in the fashion of ‘something to eat’.

17.7.1 With future *nà*

This construction combines an NP denoting an entity, especially a quantity thereof (‘something’, ‘a little’, ‘a lot’, etc.) with a reduced small clause consisting of future *nà* and either a verb in passive function (‘something/nothing to be eaten’) as in (1404a-c) or an active verb (‘someone/nobody to strip ...’) as in (1404d). The agent of the verb is often impersonal.

- (1404) a. [ē èʔé jì] ní-mā [nà dí] =?
 [Art thing Indef] not.be.Loc [Fut be.eaten.Base] Neg
 ‘There is nothing left to eat.’ (F1)
- b. [ē à-ré kà-rèⁿ-ʔèⁿ] Ø-mā [nà ɲó] fāⁿʔāⁿ
 [Art thing-Pl many] be.Loc [Fut be.looked.at.Base] here
 ‘There are many things to see here.’ (F1)
- c. [ē diè] ní-mā [nà tó] =?
 [Art sauce] not.be [Fut sauce.be.cooked.Base] Neg
 ‘There was no sauce (diè) to cook.’ (F1, 2017-05 @ 00:57)

- d. [bùò má bũò = [Ø yúó]
 [2Pl IpfvNeg get.Pfv [Art person]
 nà kùʔʔ = [Ø dìé] [kō sũʔ = [ʔⁿ bùò]
Fut strip.Base [Art sauce] [Infin give.Base [Dat 2Pl]
 ‘You-Pl won’t get anyone to strip off (leaves for) sauce and give (them) to you-Pl.’ (Fl, 2017-05 @ 03:18)

The predicate of the main clause in this construction is either an existential as in (1404a-c), see also (869b), or a verb of acquiring as in (1404d). For ‘give’ see the following subsection.

17.7.2 Infinitival VP complement

With ‘give’ in the first clause, as in ‘X give Z to Y [(Y) eat (Z)]’, the phrasing here is ‘X give Z to Y [Infin eat]’, ending with a subjectless infinitival VP. The logical subject of ‘eat’ is coindexed with the dative (Y) in the first clause. This distinguishes the present construction from ordinary same-subject infinitival VPs that can be interpreted as purposive (§17.6.2.1-2). The two events (‘give’ and ‘eat’) are presented as an event sequence, with no overt purposive marking. In (1405a-c) the infinitival morpheme *kō* (subject to tone sandhi and lenition of *k*) is aspectually unmarked, so the infinitival VP is understood to denote a single event. In imperfective (i.e. habitual) contexts it is also possible to use an imperfective infinitival VP (1405d).

- (1405) a. gō sũʔʔ [Ø cèrú] ʔⁿ
 Infin give.Base [Art tô] Dat.3AnSg
 [wò dí]
[Infin eat.Base]
 ‘Then (she) gave her_x some tô (for her_x) to eat.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 08:39)
- b. [ʔⁿ gō sũʔ = [ʔⁿ [ʔⁿ yǒ]]]
 [3AnSg Infin give.Base [Dat [3AnSg woman]]]
 [gò bó]
[Infin tie.Base]
 ‘And then he gave (a wrap) to his wife, to tie on (=wear).’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 02:19)
- c. ʔⁿ ŋ-â sũʔ = [ʔⁿ kō-yùò] [wò dí]
 3AnSg Infin-Ipfv give.Ipfv [Dat Dem.AnPl] **[Infin eat.Base]**
 ‘She would bring and give (it) to those (others) to eat.’
 (Bi, 2017-07 @ 00:24)
- d. móⁿ máⁿ jěⁿ = [Ø kàʔá] [Ø-ā kè] =?
 2Sg IpfvNeg see.Ipfv [Art meat] **[Infin-Ipfv eat.meat.Ipfv]** Neg
 ‘You wouldn’t see (=end up with) any meat (for you) to eat.’
 (Bi, 2017-10 @ 03:35)

17.7.3 Participial construction with -è?è

A different construction is observed in (1406). Here ‘thing (for) sale’ is a compound noun consisting of a Pfv verb plus ‘thing’, appositional to ‘a/some house’. *dè-è?è* has the form of an inanimate participle (§4.5.4).

(1406) *nó būō [Ø wù?ú jī] [ē dè-è?è]*
1Sg get.Pfv [Art house Indef] [Art sell.Pfv-**Ppl.Inan**]
‘I found a house (that is) for sale.’ (F1)

18 Anaphora

In this chapter we discuss nominal elements that are coindexed to an antecedent (clause-mate subject, author of quotation, etc.), or that are specifically noncoindexed (obviative).

18.1 Reflexive

18.1.1 Reflexive possessor

A possessor of a nonsubject NP usually takes reflexive form when it is coindexed to the clausemate subject. Therefore ‘my sheep’ has a different form in (1407a) and (1407b). However, distinctive reflexive possessor forms are not obligatory, as shown by the alternative version of (1407a) with 1Sg *nó* instead of reflexive 1Sg *ṅ*.

(1407) a. *nó*⁽ⁿ⁾ *dè* [*ṅ* *bá*ⁿ]
1Sg sell.Pfv [1SgRefl sheep]
‘I sold my sheep-Sg.’ (F1 Ji)
(alternatively: *nó* *dè* [*nó* *bá*ⁿ])

b. *ḡ*ⁿ *dè* [*nó*⁽ⁿ⁾ *bá*ⁿ]
3AnSg sell.Pfv [1Sg sheep]
‘He/She sold my sheep-Sg.’ (F1 Ji)

The full set of pronominal reflexive possessors, shown next to their regular pronominal forms, is (1408) below. For 1Sg, the optional reflexive possessor form is reduced to a nasal consonant and has L-tone, contrasting with optional 1Sg subject proclitic *ṅ* with H-tone (§4.3.1.6.1). For 2Sg, suffixal *-à* (§4.3.1.2) is usual in reflexive possessor function, but there are a few attestations in other positions (e.g. subject), so we do not label it as specifically reflexive. It is the only pronominal suffix in any function, if object enclitics are excepted. 3Sg possessor has the same proclitic form *ḡ*ⁿ as in nonreflexive possession, raising to *ḡ*ⁿ before L-tone by tone sandhi. The various singular reflexive possessor forms are also part of singular reflexive objects, like 3AnSg *ḡ*ⁿ *mí?á* ‘him-/her-self’ (§18.1.2 below).

For all plural pronominals, the usual reflexive possessor form is *ò*, raising to *ō* before L-tone by tone sandhi. Confusion with regular 1Pl *ó* and regular 3Pl *ò* makes elicitation difficult. The fact that *ò* also replaces regular 2Pl shows that this is a transpersonal plural reflexive possessor. It is also part of transpersonal reciprocal *ò* *dígè-rò* ‘each other’ (§18.4.1) or *ō* *gě* (§18.4.3), and part of plural reflexive object *ò* *mí?á* ‘our-/your-/them-selves’ (§18.1.2 below).

(1408)	category	reflexive possessor	regular possessor
a.	1Sg	ɲ (or nó)	nó (Bi nó ⁿ)
	2Sg	-à (or mó)	mó (Bi mó ⁿ), less often -à
	3AnSg	ɖ ⁿ	ɖ ⁿ
b.	1Pl	ò (or ó)	é-yùò (Bi í-yùò) or ó ~ é
	2Pl	ò	bùò
	3Pl	"	ò

Textual examples are (1409a-b).

- (1409) a. [wō sūʔɔ̄ [ɲ kè-tèʔè]
 [Infīn give.Base [1SgRefl hand]
 ‘... (I) gave (=reached out) my hand’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 04:23)
- b. [è→ nāⁿ-bèʔè] wō bà
 [Art hyena] Infīn come.Base
 é→ ló [ɖⁿ mǔ]]
 (hesitation) turn.Base [3AnSgRefl voice]]
 ‘Bouki (=hyena) came, he changed his voice.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 00:48)
- c. ɲ būō yō-à dè-dè
 2Sg get.Pfv woman-2SgPoss now
 ‘You have now gotten your woman.’ (Bo, 2019-10 @ 02:51)
- d. jí bùò á— tōrāⁿ [kō klě= [Ø gě-ɲì-ní]]
 if 2Pl PfvNeg— sit.Base [Infīn do.Base [PIRefl Recip-see.Base-VblN]]
 ‘if you-Pl don’t sit down and see each other (=meet)’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 01:38)
- e. ò mà á-wē [ō kè-tèʔè] [à nī]
 3Pl if go.Base-put.Base [PIRefl hand] [3Inan Loc]
 ‘if they go and put their hand(s) on it’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 06:03)
- f. ó dè bè glō [[Ø pìèⁿ?èⁿ nī]
 1Pl IpfvPast Fut exit.Pfv [[PIRefl foot] Loc]
 ‘We would be about to go out on our own feet.’ (Bo, 2019-03 @ 03:15)

Additional elicited examples with plural pronominals are in (1410).

- (1410) a. é-yùò dè [ò nó]
 1Pl sell.Pfv [PIRefl cow.Pl]
 ‘We sold our cows.’ (F1 Ji)

b. *bùò* *dè* [*ò* *sàkpè?è*]
 2Pl sell.Pfv [**PIRefl** donkey]
 ‘You-Pl sold your-Pl donkey.’ (F1 Ji)

c. *ò* *dè* [*ò* *dè*]
 3Pl sell.Pfv [**PIRefl** field]
 ‘They_x sold their_x field.’ (F1 Ji)

An emphatic reflexive possessor *mó blé* ‘your very own’ occurs in *móⁿ blé fìè-[bì-fìò]* ‘your own birth children’ in (Bi, 2017-07 @ 09:43).

When the subject is logophoric singular, a reflexive possessor coindexed with it may appear in 3AnSg reflexive form *òⁿ* (1411a,c), or in 1Sg reflexive form *ì* (1411b). The two are difficult to distinguish in rapid speech due to phonetic desyllabification of *òⁿ* which is always preceded by a vowel. In any event, reflexivity clearly trumps logophoricity, since the reflexive possessor in these cases cannot be expressed by LogoSg *bó*.

(1411) a. [*dè* *bó* *bà* *yí?í* [[*òⁿ* *dè*] *nī* *kūⁿ?úⁿ*]
 [say.Pfv LogoSg if go.Base [[**3AnSgRefl** field] Loc] today]
 ‘... said, “if I go to my field today, ...” ’ (F1, 2017-03 @ 00:26)

b. *é→* *dè* *nánò*, *é→* [*ē* *kà?á-kà-kà?à* *jī*]
 hey Quot friend, hey [Art plump.game.animal Indef],
gà= *á-glú* [*ì* *nī*]
 when go.Base-exit(v).Base [**1SgRefl** Loc]
 ‘(He said:) “hey, my friend, a plump game animal appeared to me, ...” ’
 (F1, 2017-03 @ 02:31)

c. *zàkí* *dè* *dè* [*bó* *dè* [*òⁿ/ì* *ná*]]
 Z say.Pfv Quot [LogoSg sell.Pfv [**3AnSgRefl/1SgRefl** cow]]
 ‘Zaki_x said that he_x sold his_x cow.’

Logophoric plural *bùò* likewise binds plural reflexive possessor *ò* (1412).

(1412) a. [*è* *bí-fìò*] *dè* *dē* [*bùò* *dè* [*ò* *nó*]]
 [Art children] say.Pfv Quot [LogoPl sell.Pfv [**PIRefl** cow.Pl]]
 ‘The young people_x said that they_x sold their_x cows.’ (F1 Ji)

b. *bùò* *á* *nī* [*ò* *jū-dǒ*] *tàⁿ* =?
 LogoPl PfvNeg see.Base [**PIRefl** eye-man] yet Neg]
 ‘(said:) “we have not seen (=gotten) our husbands of choice yet.” ’
 (F1, 2017-05 @ 00:29)

- (1415) a. [mó úⁿ té] =à, mó wī?ē-tòⁿ [mó mí?á]
 [2Sg village Foc.Inan] it.is, 2Sg shut.Pfv [2Sg Refl]
 ‘It’s your-Sg village [focus]. You have shut yourself out.’
 (Ji, 2017-11 @ 02:51)
- b. mó nà— mó nà bú mí?ⁿ-àⁿ mē-yá = =ā
 2Sg Fut— 2Sg Fut find.Base Refl-2SgRefl how? Q
 ‘How will you find (=save) yourself?’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 02:24)
- c. ðⁿ kò wó?ó [ðⁿ mí?ⁿáⁿ]
 3AnSg Infin open.Base [3AnSgRefl Refl]
 ‘Then it opened itself.’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 01:43)
- d. kò klá [kò ló [ò mí?ⁿáⁿ]]
 Infin return.Base [Infin turn.Base [PIRefl Refl]]
 ‘(for them) to be transformed (back).’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 07:12)

In (1416), inanimate à mí?ⁿáⁿ is not strictly reflexive. It means something like ‘its own unique entity’. The point is to distinguish it from other entities. The context is reminiscence about how boys were raised in the past.

- (1416) *mais* *comme* [dè-dè dó],
 but as [now Poss.Inan],
 [bè díⁿ] ñā = [à mí?ⁿáⁿ],
 [Dem.Def manner] be [3Inan Refl],
 ‘But nowadays, the manner of (doing) that has become different.’
 (Bi, 2017-10 @ 00:30)

Possessed mí?ⁿáⁿ can have adverbial function in the sense ‘by oneself, alone, unaccompanied’ (1417). The context is that elephants pose dangers to people out in the bush.

- (1417) [è yé-ní [ò mí?ⁿáⁿ] dè-rè,
 [Art walk.Base-VblN] [PIRefl Refl] now,
 kò yé mí?ⁿá dè-rè ní-mā
 Infin walk.Base Refl now not.be.Loc
 ‘There is no walking alone (in the bush) now.’ (Ji, 2017-09 @ 08:18)

18.1.3 Reflexive PP complement

mí?ⁿáⁿ (preceding section) does not occur before postpositions in our data. Instead, either the simple reflexives or the regular pronominals occur before postpositions when the referent is coindexed with the clausemate subject. This combination is fairly uncommon. In elicitation, our speakers initially produce forms with regular (nonreflexive) pronominals. They accepted reflexive forms (1Sg ñ, 2Sg suffixed -à, Pl ò), which we suspect are more common in natural speech. Each of (1418a-c) has two options, one with regular pronominal and the other with

reflexive pronominal. There is no difference in form for 3AnSg (1418d) or for 3Pl. For 1Pl, there is likely a choice between ó and ò but they are difficult to disentangle in elicitation.

- (1418) a. nó tīē = nì [nó/ŋ̃] [īē]
 1Sg put.down.Pfv 3InanObj [1Sg/1SgRefl behind]
 ‘I put it down behind me/myself.’ (Fl Ji)
- b. tē = nì [mó] [īē] / [ī-à]
 put.down.Base 3InanObj [2Sg behind] / behind-2SgPoss
 ‘Put-2Sg it down behind you!’ (Fl Ji)
- c. ò tē = nì [bùò / ò] [īē]
 Imprt.Pl put.down.Base 3InanObj [2Pl / PlRefl behind]
 ‘Put-2Pl it down behind you!’ (Fl)
- b. zàkí tīē = nì [ɔ̃ⁿ] [īē]
 Z put.down.Pfv 3InanObj [3AnSg/3AnSgRefl behind]
 ‘Zaki put it down behind him(-self).’ (Ji)

In addition to [īē] ‘behind’, the morphosyntax illustrated above is valid for other noncomposite postpositions like bà?à ‘chez’, tɔ̃ⁿ ‘under’, and locative nī. The suffixed 2Sg forms are bà?-à, (pɔ̃ⁿ-)tɔ̃ⁿ-à, and nī-à. As usual, the 2Sg suffix is not limited to reflexive contexts, and we have a textual example of nī-à (Bi nīⁿ-àⁿ) in the sense ‘at your place’ in (Bi, 2017-08 @ 04:56).

A special case is a locative reflexive PP with mí?á in the sense ‘by X-self’ (1419).

- (1419) [ɔ̃ⁿ kō glō [Ø kè-tè?è] [[ɔ̃ⁿ miā?á] nī]
 [3AnSg Infin remove.Base [Art hand] [[3AnSgRefl Refl] Loc]
 ‘It (=hare) took (its) hand away (from the tree) by itself (=deliberately).’
 (Fl, 2017-05 @ 01:34)

18.1.4 Possessor of right conjunct

Reduced reflexive pronominals optionally replace regular pronominals as as possessors of right conjuncts when coindexed to the left conjunct (1420).

- (1420) a. nó kà [ŋ̃ / nó] báⁿ
 1Sg with [1SgRefl / 1Sg] sheep
 ‘me and my sheep-Sg’ (Fl Ji)
- b. é-yùò kà [ò / ó / é-yùò] sē]
 1Pl with [PlRefl / 1Pl / 1Pl] father]
 ‘we and our father’ (Fl Ji)

- c. mó kà ʃì-à / [mó sē]
 2Sg with father-2Sg / [2Sg father]
 ‘you-Sg and your father’ (F1)
- d. bùò kǝ= [Ø ʃì-ó]
 " kā [/ bùò "]
 2Pl with [PIRefl / 2Pl father-Pl]
 ‘you-Pl and your-Pl fathers’ (F1 Ji)
 (first variant < /kà ò ʃì-ó/)

18.2 Emphatic pronouns

18.2.1 Regular emphatics (tóʔó, míʔá, nā-dòʔóⁿ)

Pronouns may be emphasized contrastively in any of three ways, which can be combined. One is to focalize the pronoun with animate singular tóʔó (1421a-b) or animate plural tó-ró. The second is to add a reflexive form with míʔá or dialectal variant (1421a,c). The third is to add an individuating singular form nā-dòʔóⁿ ‘one person’ (i.e., ‘alone, unassisted’), in adverbial function (1421b).

- (1421) a. [nó tóʔó] nà mē [wùʔ= á] [nó míʔá]
 [1Sg **Foc**] Fut build.Base [house Dem.InanSg] [1Sg **Refl**]
 ‘I will build this house by myself.’ (Ji)
- b. [nó nā-dòʔóⁿ tóʔó] nà yíʔí
 [1Sg **one.person** **Foc**] Fut go.Base
 ‘I will go (there) alone.’ (Ji)
- c. ná= à yíʔí [ɲ mīāʔá]
 1Sg Ipfv go.Ipfv [1SgRefl **Refl**]
 ‘I will go alone.’ (F1)

18.2.2 ‘Apart, separate’ (mé, mé-mè)

‘X is apart (separated, alone)’ is expressed by copula ‘be’ plus a pronominally inflected form of mé (1422), which can be taken as either a noun or a postposition.

- (1422) nó kō [ɲ mé]
 1Sg be [1Sg **apart**]
 ‘I am apart (alone).’ (F1)

The reduced reflexive possessor forms are common this construction. As usual, the regular possessor pronouns are also possible.

(1423) 1Sg	ḡ	~ nó	mé	
2Sg			mé	-à
"		~ mó	mé	
3AnSg	ḡ ⁿ		mé	
1Pl	ò	~ ó	mé	
2Pl	ò	~ bùò	mé	
3Pl	ò		mé	

Distributive iteration (§4.6.1.6) mé-mè occurs when the subject denotes a set that is internally separated, and in parallelistic constructions with nonoverlapping subjects. The predicative element may be the locational ‘be’ verb (1424a) or the copula ‘be’ (1424b).

(1424) a. ò Ø-mā [ò mé-mè]
 3Pl be.Loc [PlRefl Rdp-**apart**]
 ‘They are separate.’ (Fl)

b. [ē dḡ-ró] (k)ō [ò mé-mè]
 [Art man-Pl] be [PlRefl Rdp-**apart**]
 [ē yḡ-ró] (k)ō [ò mé-mè]
 [Art woman-Pl] be [PlRefl Rdp-**apart**]
 ‘Men and woman are separated.’ (Fl)

It is likely that mé is diachronically related to reflexive mí?á. The two have semantic, phonological, and morphosyntactic similarities.

18.3 Logophorics

Warnings: a) logophoric bó (singular) and bùò (plural) can also function as optional nonlogophoric 3AnSg and 3Pl pronouns, for example under focalization; b) bùò doubles as 2Pl pronoun; c) bó and bùò at the end of a NP are topicalization markers (§19.1.2.1)

18.3.1 Logophoric pronouns (bó, bùò)

When a 3AnSg pronominal inside an indirect quotative complement (§17.1) is coindexed with the ascribed author of the quotation, i.e. when it represents an original 1Sg pronoun, it is expressed as logophoric bó. There are no restrictions on what syntactic function it carries out within its clause (subject, object, adpositional complement, possessor), unless it is pre-empted by a reflexive whose antecedent is inside the quotation, see (1411-1412) above. bó may contract with following vocalic inflectional particles (Ipfv à, PfvNeg á). For example, bó á in (1425b) can be pronounced [bóá], [bóá], or [bó:]. Free translations below present both the original utterance in “...” and the indirect quotation.

18.3.2 Speech-act participant pronouns trump logophorics

Logophorics are only used when the quoted speaker (author) is third person from the perspective of the current speech event. When the quoted speaker is also the current speaker or addressee, no logophoric is used in the quotation. Instead, the updated current pronominal categories are used (1427). If the current speaker was also the quoted author, the change is covert since 1Sg and 1Pl remain 1Sg and 1Pl when updated.

- (1427) a. *nó* *dè* [*nó* *nà* *bà*]
 1Sg say.Pfv [**1Sg** Fut come.Base]
 ‘I said, “I will come”.’
 = ‘I said that I will/would come.’ (Ji)
- b. *nó* *dè* [*dè* *nó* *bè* *bà*]
 1Sg say.Pfv [Quot **1Sg** Fut come.Pfv]
 ‘I said “I will come”.’
 = ‘I said that I will/would come.’ (Fl)
- c. *é-yùò* *dè* [(*dò*=) *ó* *nà* *bà*]
 1Pl say.Pfv [(Quot) **1Pl** Fut come.Base]
 ‘We said, “we will come”.’
 ‘We said that we will/would come.’ (Ji)
- d. *mó* *dè* [(*dè*) *mó* *nà* *bà*]
 2Sg say.Pfv [(that) **2Sg** Fut come.Base]
 ‘You-Sg said, “I will come”.’
 = ‘You-Sg said that you will/would come.’ (Ji)

18.3.3 Logophorics in doubly embedded clauses

Logophoric pronouns are not limited to the sole or highest quoted clause. In (1428), the first *bùò* is the possessor of ‘work (n)’ in a relative clause, subordinated to the following clause (which also contains an instance of *bùò*). A hesitation has been edited out.

- (1428) [*è* *flí-kò*] *dè* *á!*, *áⁿʔàⁿ*,
 [Art termite-Pl] say.Pfv ah!, nope!,
 [*bùò* *kē-sùⁿʔòⁿ* *á* *jèrⁿ*] *bùò* *sùòⁿ* = *rē* = *ē*
 [**LogoPl** work(n) Dem.InanSg Rel] **LogoPl** work(v).Pfv even Q
 ‘The termites said: “Ah, nope! This work of ours that we did?”’
 (Ji, 2017-04 @ 05:25)

Likewise, the first part of (1429) below is a quote within a quote, showing a logophoric (the one modifying ‘leaf’) whose author-antecedent is two clauses up, overstepping a syntactically closer quoted author in the intervening clause. Only context tells us that the first *bó* is coindexed with the higher author-antecedent (the tree) rather than with the intermediate one

(hare). As a reminder, an original ‘you’ (addressee) is regularly expressed as third person in indirect quotations.

(1429) áywà, dè ðⁿ mā rè [[bó bìⁿ?éⁿ] = àⁿ dáⁿ,
 well, Quot 3AnSg if say.Base [[LogoSg leaf] Ipv be.pleasant.Ipv,
 é! d= ðⁿ pìè-ṅóⁿ [bó bíó bè] tē
 oh! Quot 3AnSg taste.Pfv [LogoSg fruits Top.Inan] Q
 ‘“Well,” (the tree) said, “if you say that my leaves are pleasant, have you tasted my
 fruits?”’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 01:04)

Elicited example (1430) has three instances of bó. In the highest quotative clause, LogoSg bó coindexed to Zaki is the possessor of ‘father’. In the lower quotative clause (a jussive), the first LogoSg is the subject and is again coindexed to Zaki. The second LogoSg in that clause, as possessor of ‘house’, is coindexed with ‘his father’. If it were coindexed with Zaki it would take reflexive rather than logophoric form.

(1430) zàkí dè dè [[bó sē] dè
 Z say.Pfv Quot [[LogoSg father] say.Pfv
 [bó mè [bó wù?ú]]
 [LogoSg build.Base [LogoSg house]]
 ‘Zaki_x said that [his_x father]_y told him_x to build his_y house.’ (F1)

In (1431), the logophoric is separated from its antecedent by an intervening quotative clause with a different author. Since this author is 2Sg (i.e., the current addressee), there is no possibility of confusion.

(1431) zàkí dè dè [mó dè dè
 Z say.Pfv Quot [2Sg say.Pfv Quot
 [bó ā kē= [Ø bŭ5ⁿ?5ⁿ]]
 [LogoSg Ipv eat.meat.Ipv [Art dog]]
 ‘Zaki said that you-Sg said that he eats dog (meat).’ (F1)

18.4 Reciprocal

As in other languages, reciprocals occur prototypically in transitive clauses of the type ‘X-Pl Vb Y-Pl’, where both the subject X and the object Y denote sets of individuals, and where either there is a single global event involving X and Y as groups (as in ‘the men and the women confronted each other’), or there is some critical mass of subevents of the type ‘X_n Vb Y_n’. A slightly distinct but closely related construction is ‘X-Pl Vb together’.

18.4.1 Simple reciprocals (ò díḡè-rè)

Reciprocals are formed with ò díḡè-rè in nonsubject (often direct object) position. díḡè-rè is the rhotic plural of díḡè?è ‘other’. Taking ò as the transpersonal plural reflexive possessor (§18.1.1), ò díḡè-rè means ‘(our/their/your-Pl) others’.

- (1432) [è b́í-siō] kùò [ò dígè-rò]
 [Art child.Pl] hit.Pfv [**PIRefl Recip**]
 ‘The children hit each other (=had a fight).’ (Ji)

For 1Pl subject, in elicitation we found some spillage between ò dígè-rò and ó dígè-rò, the latter with specifically 1Pl possessor ó. However, in texts we heard only ò dígè-rò for 1Pl as well as other plural subjects (2Pl, 3Pl). 2Pl subject is illustrated in (1433).

- (1433) bùò gò j́ó [ò dígè-rò]
 2Pl Hort look.at.Base [**PIRefl Recip**]
 ‘You must look at each other!’ (Ji)

Representative textual examples of simple transitive reciprocals are in (1434).

- (1434) a. ó kō lāⁿ= [Ø dígè-rò]
 1Pl Infin advise.Base [**PIRefl Recip**]
 ‘(and) we advise each other.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 10:02)
- b. ò j́à [ò dígè-rò]
 3Pl see.Pfv [**PIRefl Recip**]
 ‘They saw each other (=met).’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 01:56)
- c. [ē nā-dì-ò] má káⁿ [kō sè-só [ò dígè-rò]
 [Art old.man-Pl] Neg ought [Hort contradict.Base [**PIRefl Recip**]
 ‘Old men should not contradict (=disagree with) each other.’
 (Fl, 2017-03 @ 00:12)
- d. jǎ→ ò ká wō [[ò dígè-rò] sègέ nī] = dē?
 lo! 3Pl Past be [[**PIRefl Recip**] weary(v).Prog Prog] Emph
 ‘Lo, they were wearing each other out!’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:40)

Although direct object position is usual for ò dígè-rò, it can occur in other nonsubject positions. In (1435), it is the complement of a dative postposition. A hesitation has been emended out.

- (1435) ò jíjà [kō wē [Ø kè-tè-rè] [[ò dígè-rò] bà?à]
 3Pl strive.Base [Infin put.Base [Art hand-Pl] [[**PIRefl Recip**] **chez**]
 ‘They should strive to give a hand to each other.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 10:54, edited)

See also the following section on ‘together’ expressions.

18.4.2 ‘Together’

English adverbial *together* is expressed as a locative PP with reciprocal ò dígè-rò as complement. Ji example (1436) was confirmed for Fl with minor phonetic differences.

- (1436) ó nà sɔ̃ⁿ [Ø kē-sùⁿ?ðⁿ]
 1Pl Fut work.Base [Art work(n)]
 [[ò dígè-rò] nī]
 [[PIRefl **Recip**] **Loc**]
 ‘We will work together.’ (Ji)

There are some textual examples. In (1437a) ò is the transpersonal plural reflexive. In (1437b) it is replaced by a marked 1Pl pronoun (§4.3.1.5).

- (1437) a. ó kō [[ò dígè-rò] nī]
 1Pl be [[**PIRefl** **Recip**] **Loc**]
 ‘We are together (=solidary).’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 05:30)

- b. ó kō jɔ̃ =nì, [ó-bé dígè-rò] nī
 1Pl Infin drink.Base 3InanObj, [1Pl **Recip**] **Loc**
 ‘We drink it, together.’ (women, 2017-17)

In (1438), dígè-rò is an incorporated noun in a verbal noun. The speaker’s point is that a tale should have a moral that is explained on its completion.

- (1438) [è [dígè-rò]-làⁿ-ní té] à-mā [à nī]
 [Art [**Recip**]-advise-VblN Foc.Inan] be.Loc [3Inan Loc]
 ‘Advice for each other is in it (=is part of it).’

18.4.3 Alternative reciprocal gě ~ gèré

An alternative to reciprocal ò dígè-rò (preceding section) as NP is ò gě or ò gè-ré. The latter form is overtly marked as plural, but the two forms have similar functions and distributions. ò is the same plural reflexive possessor seen above in ò dígè-rò, here raised to M-tone before an L-tone.

All speakers recognized and produced examples with gě ~ gè-ré, but in texts it occurred only in a few passages with our Ma speaker.

A simple reciprocal object construction is (1439a). (1439b) shows gě as incorporated object in a verbal-noun compound.

- (1439) [è jóríⁿ-ní] á jī [ò gè-ré]
 [Art djinn-Pl] PfvNeg see.Base [PIRefl **Recip-Pl**]
 ‘The djinns did not see each other.’ (Fl)

In textual example (1440), the clause with gě is syntactically parallel to the immediately preceding clause with dígè-rò. Both are complements of locative postpositions.

(1440) [à bíé] à fōrū [[ò dígè-rò] nī],
 [3Inan all] Ipfv marry.Ipfv [[PIRefl Recip] Loc],
 [ē nà-bí-ó] tārèⁿ-jájámí [[ō gě] nī]
 [Art person.PI] sit.Pfv-mix.Base [[PIRefl among] Loc]
 ‘They all marry each other. They are settled (=married) mixed among each other.’
 (Ma, 2018-07 @ 01:04)

In (1441), gě is an incorporated object in a verbal noun.

(1441) jí bùò á tārāⁿ [kō klè= [Ø gě-jì-ní]]
 if 2Pl PfvNeg sit.Base [Infin do.Base [PIRefl Recip-see.Base-VblN]]
 ‘If you-Pl don’t sit down and see each other (=meet), ...’
 (Ma, 2017-04 @ 01:38)

18.5 Additional reference-tracking devices

The elements discussed below are helpful in reference tracking across stretches of narrative and expository discourse, as opposed to clause- and quotation-internal anaphoric devices described above.

18.5.1 Reactivation of previously introduced discourse referent

Each of these expressions refers to a previously introduced discourse referent that has no specific name or that is generic. The initial introduction may take a form like ‘a (certain) person’ or other general description. In narratives involving more than one protagonist, a simple 3AnSg pronoun may be inadequate, and a noun-based phrase like ‘the fellow’ is more appropriate.

18.5.1.1 kěⁿ ~ kēⁿ ~ kēmè ‘fellow, guy’

In contexts other than narrative, the noun kěⁿ occurs in all dialects with a possessor X in the sense ‘X’s pal, buddy’, with plurals kà-réⁿ (Ji), kà-réⁿ-ní (Fl Ma), and kà-rèⁿ-níⁿ (Bi). The referent is always male. A final -kèⁿ occurs in compounds denoting male persons. For these forms and senses, see §4.1.4.1 and §5.1.6.8.

The noun may be used to introduce a generic or unnamed discourse referent, for example as indefinite ē kěⁿ jī or ē kēmè jī ‘a (certain) fellow’. The initial introduction may be in this form, but it can also be with another noun like è yúó jī ‘a (certain) person’. This referent may be evoked in subsequent discourse as ē kěⁿ or with tonal inversion è kēⁿ ‘the fellow’. A demonstrative may be added, as in kèⁿ yá or kèⁿ = áⁿ ‘this/that fellow’.

The forms attested in the texts are listed in (1442). We take ē kèⁿ before H-tone and level-toned ē kēⁿ as variants of ē kěⁿ (1442a-b). This still leaves several instances of è kēⁿ with clearly falling pitch (1442c).

(1442) a. kĕⁿ without demonstrative

ē kĕ ⁿ	Ji (2017-01 @ 02:45)
	Ji (2017-09 @ 07:00)
	Fl (2017-03 @ 01:10)
ē kĕ ⁿ (before H)	Ma (2017-04 @ 04:02)
ē kĕ ⁿ	Ma (2017-04 @ 03:49)

b. kĕⁿ before demonstrative

kĕ ⁿ yá	Ji (2017-01 @ 02:43)
kĕ ⁿ = á ⁿ	Ji & Ma (2017-04 @ 01:42)

c. kĕⁿ without demonstrative

ē kĕ ⁿ	Ji (2017-04 @ 03:08 & 03:32 & 03:36)
	Ma (2017-04 @ 01:17)

Several of the examples are from text 2017-04 where ‘the fellow’ became the regular way of referring to a protagonist. Near the beginning, francolin (partridge) is watching from a hidden position when hare comes into view. The animal characters are personified but lack personal names. Francolin wonders what hare is up to. The second line in (1443) has been slightly edited.

(1443) dè	[kĕ ⁿ	yá	bó	=rĕ?		
Quot	[fellow	Dem.InanSg	Top	Emph]		
kō	[[Ø	ʃi?é-[kĕ-sù ⁿ ?ò ⁿ]	nī]	[[tò?ò	yá]	nī],
be	[[Art	which?-[work(n)]]	Loc]	[[place	Dem.InanSg]	Loc],
‘(Francolin) “this fellow [topic] is engaged in what (sort of) activity here?” ’						
(Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:43)						

In (1444), a referent previously introduced in another tale as an old farmer goes to his field and encounters warthog.

(1444) [ē	kĕ ⁿ]	tà	á-dà ⁿ	[[Ø	gbli-lè-tò?ò]	nī]	
[Art	fellow]	Past	come.Base-arrive.Base	[[Art	ridge-tear.Pfv-place]	Loc]	
[dā?á	jèré	lò],	[ē	sō=]	Ø-mā	gō	kă ⁿ
[time	Rel.InanPl	after],	[Art	pig]	be.Loc	be	Dem.AnSg
‘When(-ever) the fellow (=the farmer) arrived at the outer edge (of the field), <u>there</u> was the warthog!’ (Fl, 2017-03 @ 01:10-13)							

18.5.1.2 ðⁿ wí, bò-wí (plural bò-yúo), è wí jī ‘fellow, individual’

wí ‘owner’ has a broad sense as compound final (§5.1.9). Such compounds describe defining associations, not necessarily ownership. Here we consider noncompound expressions containing wí that denote a nonspecific, indefinite individual, cf. Eng *the guy* and *the fellow*, and Fr *l’intéressé(e)* or *le mec*.

In the combinations δ^n wí and the rather fused bò-wí , 3AnSg δ^n and 3AnSg bò (tone-dropped from the usual bó) directly denote the referent, not a distinct ‘owner’ or associated person. The plural of bò-wí is bò-yúó . Indefinite è wí jì ‘someone’ (lit. “an owner”) is less common than δ^n wí or bó wí . It occurs once in the texts, as a synonym of the more common è yúó jì ‘someone’.

(1445) [è wí jì] bà [wā= à-gbē = wò]
 [Art owner Indef] come.Pfv [Infin come.Base-pick.up.Base 3PlObj]
 ‘Somebody came and took them.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 04:33)

δ^n wí and bò-wí function in narrative like \bar{e} $k\bar{e}^n \sim \text{è } k\hat{e}^n$ (preceding section), but are not limited to male referents. In (1446), dog as character in a tale lies in wait to catch an unknown individual who has been drinking from dog’s well. The mystery referent is initially introduced in a relative construction with ‘person’ as head, and is then referred to twice as δ^n wí.

(1446) dè [yúó jèr \acute{s}^n] à cá n ?-à n -kè?è [bó blù- $\eta\bar{u}$],
 Quot [person Rel] Ipv fight.Ipv-Ipv-ruin.Ipv [LogoSg well(n)-water],
 [cógó-cògò, [δ^n wí] bā bà],
 [anyway, [3AnSg owner] if come.Base],
 [bó nà fù?ú [δ^n wí] kù n ?ú n bè
 [LogoSg Fut get.together.Base [3AnSg owner] today Dem.Def
 ‘(dog thinks:) “the person who disturbs my well water, anyway, when the fellow comes, I will meet (=confront) the fellow even today.” ’
 (Ma, 2017-02 @ 01:22 to 01:26)

A list of textual examples is in (1447).

- (1447) a. δ^n wí Ma (2017-02 @ 01:22 to 01:26)
 Ma (2017-04 @ 03:56)
 Fl (2017-05 @ 00:02)
 Ji (2017-11 @ 06:38 & 08:46)
 Bi (2017-11 @ 06:35)
 women (2017-12 @ 00:55)
 women (2017-13 @ 01:22)
- b. bò-wí Ji (2017-08 @ 10:58, twice)
 Bi (2017-09 @ 05:19 & 05:42)
 Ma (2017-10 @ 06:21)
 women (2017-13 @ 01:11 & 01:17)

Focalizer tó?ó may immediately follow bó rather than following wí (1448). Proclitic 3AnSg δ^n is always replaced by bó when focalized.

(1448) [bó tóʔó wí] à fó [ānāⁿʔāⁿ nīⁿ]
 [3AnSg Foc owner] Ipfv pass.Ipfv [face Loc]
 ‘It’s he [focus] who goes ahead (of others).’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 02:07)

For b̀-*wí* and relative *wí j̀r̀ɔ̀ⁿ* together in a correlative construction, see §14.1.10.

18.5.1.3 díklè ‘so-and-so’

The noun (è) *díklè* ‘so-and-so’ can be used as a variable over personal names. It has no morphological plural but it can take a plural demonstrative: è *díklè k̄-ỳù̀* ‘those so-and-so’s’ (Ji).

18.5.2 Obviative expressions

By obviative we mean expressions that introduce or refer back to a secondary protagonist who is distinct from a primary protagonist or the main topic of a narrative section. For example, in a narrative about a man (primary protagonist) and his companion (secondary protagonist), the latter may be referred to periodically as ‘the/his counterpart’.

All terms for ‘other, distinct’ are relevant here.

18.5.2.1 díg̀ɔ̀ʔ̀ ‘other’

We have seen plural ò *díg̀-*r̀** as the main reciprocal marker (§18.4.1). Singular *díg̀ɔ̀ʔ̀* is an adjective meaning ‘other, distinct’.

The primary protagonist of the tale in text 2017-03 is an old farmer who brags to another old man about how fast he can complete his farm work. The secondary referent is introduced as ‘another old man’ (1449a), where ‘another’ indicates referential distinction rather than addition. Much later in the story, the same second old man is reintroduced as ‘his old man’ (1449b). After the narrative, its takeaway moral is expressed in generic terms by the speaker as (1449c).

(1449) a. *k̄* *d̀* [b̀ *t̀ɔ̀=*] [[Ø *nā-d̀* *díg̀ɔ̀ʔ̀*] *b̀ʔ̀*],
 Infin say.Base [Dem.Def Foc] [[Art old.man **other**] Dat],
 [*ē* *nā-d̀* *díg̀ɔ̀ʔ̀*] *d̀* *é*
 [Art old.man **other**] say.Pfv huh?
 ‘(the old man) said that [focus] to another (=a different) old man. The other old man said, “huh?”’ (F1 & Ma, 2017-03 @ 00:34 to 00:37)

b. *ɔ̀ⁿ* *á-nī* [*ɔ̀ⁿ* *nā-d̀* *díg̀ɔ̀ʔ̀*]
 3AnSg go.Base-see.Base [3AnSg old.man **other**]
 ‘(and) went and saw his (=the) other old man, ...’ (F1, 2017-03 @ 02:25)

- c. *mâ* *dò* *dè* [mó— *kō* *nā-dè*],
 Proh say.Base Quot [2Sg— be old.man],
dè [ē *nā-dè* *dìgòʔò* *jì*] *má* *wiè-tàʔà* *mó*
 Quot [Art old.man **other** Indef] IpfvNeg help.Pfv 2Sg
 [kà, [ē *cè-cīʔé*]]
 [with [Art intelligence]]
 ‘Don’t say (=think) that you being an old man, another old man doesn’t (=can’t)
 help you with (his) intelligence (=wisdom).’
 (Fl, 2017-03 @ 03:00 to 03:05)

18.5.2.2 *tò* ‘the others, the remaining ones’

Another term for ‘other’ is *tò*, a Jula borrowing. It functions as a possessed noun, with a pronominal possessor. However, in *ō tò* ‘the others’ the 3Pl pronominal *ò* (raised to *ō*) specifies the plurality of the referent, usually not that of a distinct “possessor.” *ō tò* means ‘the others, those that are left’, not ‘their remainder’ (e.g., what they have left to eat). In other words, the pronominal has partitive rather than true possessive function.

The Tiefo-D forms are in (1450).

- (1450) *ā tò* ‘the others, those that are left’ (inanimate)
ō tò ‘the others, those that are left’ (animate)

This paradigm is a good example of how the 3Pl pronoun *ò* is not easily extended to inanimates.

The forms in (1450) can be combined with *bíéʔ* ‘all’ as in *ā tò bíéʔ* ‘all the others, all the remaining ones’ (inanimate).

Only plural *ō tò* is attested in the texts (1451).

- (1451) a. *jí* [*jèrɔⁿ* *jù*] *á* *wùòʔó*,
 if [Rel eye(s)] PfvNeg be.open.Base,
 [*ō* *tò* *bíé*] *nà* *jī* *bùò*
 [3Pl **others** all] Fut see.Base 2Pl
 ‘If (there is/you are) one whose eye has not opened (=is blind), all the others will
 see you-Pl.’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 02:05)

- b. *jó* [*bó* *yīʔē* =*rò*],
 look.Base [3AnSg go.Pfv Emph],
bó *gò* *yíʔí* [*gō* *rà-súʔ* = [*ō* *tò*]]
 3AnSg Infin go.Base [Infin go.Base-catch.Base [3Pl **others**]]
 ‘Look! It (=elephant) went away, and it went in order to collect the others.’
 (Bi, 2017-09 @ 01:18)

- c. [bó tòʔó wí] à fó [ānàʔàⁿ nīⁿ],
 [3AnSg Foc owner] Ipfv pass.Ipfv [face Loc],
 [ō tò] wō jùʔò,
 [3Pl **others**] Infin follow.Base,
 ‘It’s he (the chief) [focus] who goes ahead. The others then follow.’
 (Bi, 2017-10 @ 02:07)

tò can combine with other nonsingular pronouns, again in partitive function, as in é-yùdò tò ‘the rest of us’.

While ā tò is parsable in Tiefó-D with 3Inan à, substantially the same combination (à tò) means ‘the other’ or ‘the remainder’ in Jula.

18.5.2.3 bàⁿʔàⁿ ‘other’

Another adjective for ‘other, distinct’ is bàⁿʔàⁿ, which occurs in a single text. (1452a) is repeated almost verbatim after a brief digression as (1452b). Focalizer tòʔó follows the adjective.

- (1452) a. [è wúⁿ-dìⁿ bàⁿʔàⁿ tòʔá=] à glú=
 [Art chief **other** Foc] Ipfv exit.Ipfv
 [[Ø tòʔò jī] n̄] [kō à-té =ò],
 [[Art place Indef] Loc] [Infin come.Base-put.Base 3AnSgObj],
 ‘Another chief [focus] goes out to a certain place, and installs him.’ (Ma, 2018-01 @ 01:57)
- b. [è wúⁿ-dìⁿ bàⁿʔàⁿ tòʔá=] à glú= [[Ø tòʔò] nī]
 [Art chief **other** Foc] Ipfv exit.Ipfv [[Art place] Loc]
 [kò =ʔó-té =ò],
 [Infin go.Base-put.Base 3AnSgObj],
 ‘Another chief [focus] goes out to the place and goes and installs him.’
 (Ma, 2018-01 @ 02:03)

19 Grammatical pragmatics

19.1 Topic and setting

We distinguish spatiotemporal settings from referential topics. The latter are entities that are established as the conceptual starting point for the following discourse.

19.1.1 Temporal settings

In the texts, the majority of occurrences of temporal expressions are postverbal within clauses, rather than being preposed to clauses. We verified this by searching our texts for ‘today’ and ‘now’, the two most common temporal adverbs. When they are fronted to preclausal position, often set off by a prosodic boundary, it is usually because of emphasis or because of a contrast with another time (including jumps across time in narratives).

In (1453), ‘today’ is added to the first clause as a kind of postposed topic, anticipating the contrast with ‘tomorrow’. The latter immediately follows and is set off prosodically from the second clause whose setting it provides.

(1453)

nó	nà	fū̄ ⁿ	[Ø	cī],	kú ⁿ ?ú ⁿ ,
1Sg	Fut	soak.Base	[Art	grain]	today ,
ē	cō ⁿ ,	nó	kò	júá ⁿ	= nì
Art	tomorrow ,	1Sg	Infin	strain.Base	3InanObj

‘I will soak (sorghum) grain, today. Tomorrow, I will strain it (=drain off the water).’
(women, 2017-17 @ 00:12)

A similar contrast, this time between ‘every day’ (i.e. ‘usually’) and ‘today’ in (1454).

(1454)

[è	blí-ké]	dè	áy!			
[Art	hare]	say.Pfv	oh!			
[kò-kò	sú→]	má ⁿ =	à ⁿ	fó	mô→,	
[Rdp-day	all]	2Sg	Ipfv	pass.Ipfv	concerning,	
kú ⁿ ?ú ⁿ	mó ⁿ	η=	à-rè	[nó ⁿ	fó]	tē
today	2Sg	Infin	come.Base-say.Base	[1Sg	pass.Base]	Q

‘Hare (woman) said, “oh! Every day you go (=have been going) ahead, (but) today you (come and) tell me to go ahead?” ’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 02:42)

The emphatic expression *álè kúⁿ?úⁿ* ‘even today’ (i.e., ‘even now’, with reference to a formerly common eventuality that still exists or takes place) is regularly preposed as in (1455), see also (Bi, 2017-10 @ 00:02) and (Fl, 2017-11 @ 11:16).

- (1455) [álè kúⁿʔúⁿ] à Ø-māⁿ dō
 [even today] 3Inan be.Loc Emph
 ‘Even today it (=inequality) exists.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 00:32)

In all occurrences of ‘today’ that occur without a contextually clear contrast, it occurs postverbally, with the exception of one passage (1456).

- (1456) dè á! dè kúⁿʔúⁿ, [è wí jī] bà ...
 Quot oh! Quot today, [Art owner Indef] come.Pfv ...
 ‘(The girl) said “oh!, today somebody came and”’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 04:33)

The other high-frequency temporal adverb is dè-dè ~ dè-rè ‘now’ (translatable as ‘then’ in past-time contexts). It occurs both preposed as a setting topic, and postverbally. When preposed, it regularly takes the full locative PP form dè-dè nī or dè-rè nī. An example is (1457), where ‘now/then’ sets off a new event in the narrative.

- (1457) [ē jàmá] fīē, kò fó,
 [Art crowd] pass.Pfv, Infin pass.Base,
 [dè-dè nī] [è bítáró] kō bà [Ø = à-fó]
 [now Loc] [Art leper] Infin come.Base [Infin come.Base-pass.Base]
 ‘The crowd went away. (They) went away. Now a leper came by.’
 (women, 2017-13 @ 00:30)

Other examples of preposed dè-dè nī ~ dè-rè nī are Fl (2017-05 @ 03:41), Bi (2017-07 @ 08:39), and women (2017-12 @ 02:31; 2017-13 2 01:28; 2017-20 @ 00:43).

When it occurs postverbally, ‘now’ is often simple dè-dè ~ dè-rè, less often the full PP form, but both are attested.

19.1.2 Preclausal referential topics

When an NP is set off from the rest of its clause as topic, it may be marked as such, either by the appropriate member of the set {bó, bùò, bè), or by a Jula borrowing with two forms, kàròⁿ and kènì. These are covered in the following subsections. If the topicalized NP corresponds to the subject of the clause, as is usually the case, a distinction must be made between a preclausal topic that is then resumed by a subject pronominal in the clause proper, and a clause-initial subject that is also marked as topical. If the topicalized NP corresponds to a postverbal constituent, its preclausal position is conspicuous.

Interrogative topic ‘what about X?’, is expressed by phrase-final lò, see end of §15.3.5.6.

19.1.2.1 bó, bùò, bè as topic markers

These elements are more familiar as pronouns and demonstratives (1458).

(1458) bó	3AnSg nonproclitic, 3AnSg logophoric	§4.3.2.1, §18.3
bùò	3Pl nonproclitic, 3Pl logophoric	§4.3.2.1, §18.3
bè	discourse-definite inanimate	§4.4.2.1

When they follow a noun or pronoun, these elements function as topic markers. bó is the unmarked member of the set, used not only for animate singular but also for inanimate referents. It can be added to 3AnSg pronoun bó, producing bó bó (1459d), but not to pronouns bùò or bè. It can follow relative marker jèrɔ̃ⁿ (1459d).

- (1459) a. dè *bon*, [è náklùⁿ bó] mlɔ̃ⁿ
 Quot well, [Art cheek **Top**] swell.up.Pfv
 ‘(Hare) said, “well, the cheek [topic] is swollen.” ’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 05:11)
- b. dè [ʃiē-lùʔù bó] dè móⁿ pìèⁿ-ɲóⁿ bè tē
 Quot [rear-skin **Top**] Quot 2Sg taste.Pfv Dem.Def Q
 ‘(Hare said:) “(Baobab will) say, ‘as for the bark, have you tasted that?’ ” ’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 06:35)
- c. dē [kèⁿ yá bó = rē]
 Quot [fellow Dem.InanSg **Top** Emph]
 kō [[(Ø) ʃiʔé-bérá] nī] [[tòʔ = á] nī]
 be [[Art which?-work(n)] Loc] [[place Dem.InanSg] Loc]
 ‘(Francolin thought:) “this fellow [topic] is engaged in some (sort of) activity here” ’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:43)
- d. [jèrɔ̃ⁿ bó] wò [dí-dé]-nèⁿ
 [Rel **Top**] be [eat.Base-be.full.Base]-Agent.Sg]
 [bó bó kàrɔ̃ⁿ] wò dí-dé
 [3AnSg **Top** Top] be eat.Base-be.full.Base
 ‘The one (bird) who ate to get full, as for it, it was stuffing itself (with food).’
 (Bi, 2017-06 @ 01:22)

Given that the topic phrase with bó is not set off prosodically, and it is not followed by a resumptive pronoun, one might argue that bó itself is a resumptive subject pronoun, coindexed with a preclausal topicalized NP, i.e. ‘the cheek’ (1459a) and ‘this fellow’ (1459c). While this may point to the diachronic origin of the construction, it is incorrect synchronically. First, there is no prosodic break between bó and the preceding NP. Second, the topicalized NP with bó may correspond to a non-subject pronominal in the clause, as in (1459b). Third, bó as pronoun is strictly 3AnSg, but as topic marker it may follow not only inanimate NPs but also the pronouns 1Sg nó and 2Sg mó, producing nó bó (Bi nóⁿ mó) and mó bó (Bi móⁿ mó), respectively. There are two textual examples of the 1Sg combination, (1460) and (Bo, 2019-03 @ 03:12). There is one of the 2Sg combination (Bi, 2017-08 @ 04:12).

(1460) [nóⁿ mó] nàⁿ glú-yí?í= [Ø sē] tē
 [1Sg Top] Fut exit.Base-go.Base [Art where?] Q
 ‘where would I [topic] have gone out and gone to?’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 04:47)

bó has fused to interrogative ‘who?’ in some dialectal variants, see (969) above. Our Bi speaker frequently used bó after ‘who?’ There are several examples in text 2017-08, including (1461).

(1461) [sòⁿ-wí bó] nàⁿ nè [bó máⁿ júⁿ]
 [who? Top] Fut say.Base [LogoSg IpfvNeg dance.Ipfv]
 ‘Who would say, “I don’t dance”?’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 06:20)

bó as topic marker may also follow demonstrative kǎⁿ (1462).

(1462) áywà [kǎⁿ bá=] á pēⁿ =āⁿ
 well [Dem.AnSg Top] PfvNeg remain.Base Q
 ‘Well, didn’t that one (=girl) [topic] stay (there)?’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 05:06)

Additional elicited examples of 1Sg nó bó in (1463).4

(1463) a. kō-yùò yí?ē,
 Dem.AnPl go.Pfv,
 [nó bó] má bè yí?ē sǎⁿ
 [1Sg Top] Neg Fut go.Pfv simultaneously
 ‘Those (other) people went, but I for my part will not also go.’ (Ji)

b. [nó bó] má bē
 [1Sg Top] IpfvNeg go.Ipfv
 ‘As for me, I will not come.’ (F1 Ma)

bùò as topic marker generally follows animate plural nouns, or those understood to have animate plural reference. (1464a-c) are textual examples, (1464d) is elicited.

(1464) a. *donc* [í-yùò bùò] gà— [Ø wǎ-wà-ní],
 so [1Pl Top.PI] with— [Art minnow-Pl],
 ‘So, we [topic] had minnows, (little) flat fish..’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 03:41)

b. móⁿ nàⁿ sò [bì tó?ó bíé] [kò yí?í]
 2Sg Fut carry.on.head.Base [Dem.Def Foc all] [Infin go.Base]
 [è yúó jī bùò] máⁿ fā [Ø jī] =ā
 [Art people Indef Top.PI] IpfvNeg seek.Ipfv [Art something] Q
 ‘You will carry all that on your head and go, and other people [topic] won’t want anything?’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 07:54)

- c. [ē lō bùò] ò = Ø cùĩ =
 [Art chicken.Pl **Top.AnPl**] 3Pl Ipfv kill.Ipfv
 [[Ø t̂ʔ̂-kàʔ̂] nĩ] bāʔ̂ā = ā
 [[Art place-bare] Loc] anyway Q
 ‘The chickens, do they kill (them) casually in an empty (=non-sacred) place?’
 (Bo, 2019-10 @ 04:30)
- d. [é-yùò bùò] má bē bà = ?
 [1Pl **Top.Pl**] IpfvNeg Fut come.Pfv Neg
 ‘We for our part will not come.’ (Ji)

There is one textual example of *bùò* after an inanimate plural noun ‘roots’. The narrative context is unusual, however, involving a rather magical tree that has sunk its roots into the head of a protagonist (Bi, 2017-07 @ 08:34).

Inanimate discourse-definite demonstrative *bè*, which sometimes behaves like an inanimate pronoun, functions as topic marker when it occurs at the end of an NP. An example is the preposed topical phrase in (1465), whose NP is repeated as subject of the following clause.

- (1465) [[ē t̂-ré] bè], [ē t̂-ré] ā kèⁿ mā
 [[Art hole-Pl] **Top.Inan**], [Art hole-Pl] Ipfv be.many.Ipfv there.Def
 ‘Concerning caves, caves are abundant there.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 04:59)

Some additional textual examples of *bè* as inanimate topic marker are listed in (1466).

- (1466) a. ē t̂pèⁿʔéⁿ bè ‘that gourd’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 01:58)
 b. mó ʔ̂ʔ̂ bè ‘your arm’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:11)
 c. k̄ⁿʔ̂úⁿ bè ‘that day’ (Fl, 2017-05 @ 03:35)
 d. ē ŵrè bè ‘that loincloth’ (Ji, 2017-08 @ 00:25)
 e. ē ŝròⁿʔ̂ á bè ‘this (same) baobab’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 00:49)
 f. bó bíó bè ‘my (logophoric) fruits’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 01:04)
 g. bó bìèⁿʔéⁿ bè ‘my (logophoric) leaves’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 06:02)
 h. nóⁿ bíó bè ‘my fruits’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 06:11)
 i. nóⁿ líⁿ-blĩⁿ bè ‘my insides’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 06:44)

All of these examples are from tales. (1466e-i) are variants on the same formulaic clause type, including a prenominal possessor, used by baobab tree in a tale to describe the taste of its various parts.

In (1466e), *bè* follows inanimate singular deictic demonstrative (y)á. Cf. also [yá bè] nĩ ‘in this (state)’ (Fl, 2017-05 @ 01:49), without a noun.

Like *bó*, *bè* has a tendency to co-occur and occasionally fuse with content interrogatives. While *bó* is naturally associated with ‘who?’, *bè* can fuse with ‘what?’, see *bē-kè* and *kè-bè* in (973) above. It can co-occur with ‘where?’, see (986a-c) above. It can also co-occur with ‘which?’ in inanimate contexts, as with [p̂t̂è-n̄ⁿʔ̂ ʔ̂r̂ⁿ bè] nĩ ‘on which buttocks?’ (Ji, 2017-08 @ 00:25).

prosodic break. If it is preclausal, a resumptive pronominal occurs in the following clause. Alternatively, the NP with *kòni* is the initial NP in the clause, either subject or possessor of the subject, with no prosodic break and no resumption. In this case, the NP with *kòni* may be immediately followed by a Pfv verb or by a post-subject inflectional morpheme. In the clause-internal examples, free English translations cannot capture the Tiefo-D syntax, which combines topicalization ('as for X') with seamless clause structure.

Most of the examples of these types have *kòni* directly following a pronominal. Third person pronominals take proclitic form (*ǝⁿ kòni* 'as for him/her', etc.). 1Sg may have either full or proclitic form. Most of the textual examples are listed in (1469). *kòni* is heavily used by the Ma speaker, and not all of his examples are included.

(1469) a. preclausal or independent with pronominal

<i>nóⁿ kòniⁿ</i>	'as for me'	(Bi, 2017-07 @ 04:42)
<i>bè kòni</i>	'as for that (definite)'	(Ma, 2017-10 @ 04:51), (Ma, 2021-01 @ 00:56)
<i>à kòni</i>	'as for it'	(Bo, 2019-03 @ 01:35)

b. integrated with pronominal

<i>ǰ kòni</i>	'as for me' (subject)	(Bi, 2017-07 @ 02:21)
<i>ó kòni</i>	'as for us' (subject)	(Bi, 2017-10 @ 06:40) (Ma, 2021-03 @ 00:34)
<i>à kòni</i>	'as for it'	(Ma, 2018-06 @ 01:12)
<i>[mó kòni] kà ...</i>	'as for you and ...'	(Bo, 2019-10 @ 05:33)
<i>bè tò'ó kòni</i>	'as for that [focus]'	(Ma, 2021-01 @ 00:58) (Ma, 2021-01 @ 00:38)

c. with noun

<i>è fé kòni</i>	'as for the talk (=tale)'	(Ji, 2017-04 @ 07:07)
<i>[[è fóló-fóló] nī] kòni</i>	'in the old days'	(women, 2017-20 @ 00:10)
<i>ē còfó-fē kòni</i>	'as for Tiefo language'	(Ma, 2021-01 @ 00:53)
<i>ē còfó kòni</i>	'as for the Tiefo'	(Ma, 2021-03 @ 00:03)
<i>ē mlàⁿ?àⁿ bó kòni</i>	'as for war (party)'	(Ma, 2021-03 @ 00:10)
<i>ē lēⁿ-tò'ò bè kòni</i>	'as for the sacred place'	(Ma, 2021-03 @ 00:23)
(long phrase)	'as for the grace of ...'	(Ma, 2021-03 @ 00:29)
<i>màsā-lē kòni</i>	'as for Masaso <i>quartier</i> '	(Ma, 2021-03 @ 01:02)

The preclausal type with resumption is illustrated in (1470a). The clause-internal subject type is illustrated in (1470b).

(1470) a. [*è fé kòni*] *à má dì?è* = ?
 [Art talk(n) **Top**] 3Inan IpfvNeg be.long.Ipfv Neg
 'As for the talk (=story), it isn't long (=is almost finished).'
 (Ji, 2017-04 @ 07:07)

- b. [í kòn =] = àⁿ dí = [Ø dí-já-è?è]
 [1Sg **Top**] Ipfv eat.Ipfv [Art eat.Base-leave.Base-Ppl.Inan]
 ‘As for me, I eat the leftovers.’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 02:21)

19.1.2.3.2 Clause-final kònì (and kò?òní)

In the texts there are two clause-final occurrences of kònì, which in this case is from Jula weak emphatic kònì meaning ‘indeed, truly’. In each case the kònì clause is background to a following foregrounded clause, a point of convergence with preposed topical NPs.

- (1471) a. *c’est ça, donc* jí-má-bě = [Ø dù?ù],
 that’s.it, so otherwise [Art cliff(s)],
 á [bè tó?ó = à] kònì
 ah! [Dem.Def Foc.Inan =it.is] **indeed**
 ‘That’s right. So, anyway, the cliffs, that’s how it is indeed.’
 (Ji, 2017-11 @ 11:40)

- b. jí nóⁿ mà = á pē kònìⁿ, [í-yùò bà?à] ...
 if 1Sg if PfvNeg forget.Base **indeed**, [1Pl chez] ...
 ‘If I have not indeed forgotten, in our zone ...’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 01:29)

A phonologically similar form, but with a clearly audible glottal, is kò?òní in (1472). The sense seems to be ‘carelessly, nonchalantly, in any old way’, and the relevant clause does not function as background. We hesitate to connect this form with kònì.

- (1472) [è ná-bí] má klě = [Ø kě] kò?òní
 [Art person] IpfvNeg do.Ipfv [Art thing] **carelessly**
 ‘A person doesn’t do something carelessly.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 02:52)

19.1.2.3.3 kònì ~ kòní as predicate ‘be thus’

Example (1473a) was injected by the Ma speaker after the Bi narrator stated that male circumcision was formerly done in large groups.

- (1473) a. à kònì
 3Inan **be.thus**
 ‘It was like that.’ (Ma, 2017-10 @ 00:15)
- b. à kòní
 3Inan **be.thus**
 ‘It is/was thus.’ (women, 2017-13 @ 03:48)

The context and form show that this is not the topic marker kònì. Instead, the phrase is borrowed from Jula. The full Jula form is à kòní lò ‘that is it’ ending in a demonstrative.

19.1.3 jí-má-bè and variants ‘otherwise, ...’ as abstract topic shifter

For this phrase and its variants, with textual references, see §16.1.1.5. A literal sense ‘if it isn’t thus’ is discernible, in spite of contraction and a tendency toward full lexicalization.

Discourse-definite bè refers back to preceding discourse. The full phrase jí-má-bè functions to frame the following content as a mild shift from preceding discourse. Depending on context, the phrase can be freely translated as ‘in other words’ (rephrasing what has just been said), or topic-shifting ‘otherwise’, ‘anyway’, ‘meanwhile’, or ‘in other news’. The topic shift is usually not dramatic.

(1474) follows a description of the various foreigner tourists who might be interested in visiting the local grotto in the nearby cliffs. jí-má-bè initiates a refocus on maintaining the cliff area.

- (1474) jí-má-bè, [dù? = á] jèrôⁿ = Ø-mā měⁿ-jǐ?é,
otherwise, [cliffs Dem.InanSg] Rel be.Loc like.this,
 é-yùò mâ klà-lò [[dù? = á] nī]
 1Pl Proh play.Base [[cliffs Dem.InanSg] Loc]
 ‘Anyway, those cliffs that are there like that, we mustn’t play in (=be neglectful of) those cliffs.’ (Ji, 2017-11 @ 10:10)

19.1.4 Clause-final mô→ ‘concerning ...’

Topic-marker mô→ follows an NP or a complete sentence. Unlike the constituent topicalizing markers, this one often comes at the end of a clause that sets up the background for the next clause (statement or question). We gloss it as ‘concerning’. Contextual free translations can be ‘whereas’, ‘considering that’, and the like. Schematic details of the textual examples are in (1475).

(1475) a. clause-final, background for statement

- (Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:01)
 (Ji, 2017-01 @ 03:39) discourse interrupted
 (Ji, 2017-04 @ 06:48) two examples
 (Bi, 2017-11 @ 07:07)

b. clause-final, background for contrasting statement (‘nevertheless’)

- (Bi, 2017-08 @ 02:42)
 (women, 2017-13 @ 01:30)

c. clause-final, background for question

- (Ji, 2017-04 @ 05:14)

d. end of conditional antecedent

- (Ji, 2017-09 @ 02:16)
 (Ji, 2017-09 @ 02:20)
 (Ma, 2017-10 @ 02:54) clause used like conditional antecedent

e. end of relative clause, background for question

(Bi, 2017-08 @ 03:02)

(Ma, 2017-10 @ 01:13 to 01:17)

f. after quotation, background for question

(Bi, 2017-10 @ 01:53)

g. other

(Bi, 2017-10 @ 01:45)

after NP, somewhat broken passage

(Ma, 2017-10 @ 02:16)

after adverbial PP, background for question

An example is (1476).

(1476) kō bà bú [dè dāmá] mō→,
 Infin if get.Base [day a.few] **concerning,**
 ò tāmá yē [Ø pò?á=] ā
 3Pl Past IpfvNeg walk.Ipfv [Art the.bush] Q
 ‘(If) they (circumcised boys) had a few days (to recover), would they have gone hunting?’ (Ma, 2017-10 @ 02:54)

19.1.5 fǒráⁿ ‘also, too’

The classic context for a particle with this sense is a parallel construction beginning with a clause containing one NP (X), followed by another clause that is more or less semantically identical except that it has a referentially distinct NP (Y) in the relevant grammatical function. Examples: ‘I gave an apple to X, and I gave one to Y also’; ‘I gave you an apple, and I gave you an orange too’; ‘X got sick yesterday, and so did Y’.

A string of examples of fǒráⁿ in this function occurs in texts 2018-04, which describes the different crops cultivated locally and indicates how many months each of them takes to ripen (1477).

(1477) a. maize 3 months
 peanut 3 months
 red sorghum 3 months
 b. millet 4 months
 cotton 4 months

After maize is discussed, each of peanut and red sorghum is introduced by the formula (1478). The crop name Y is topicalized (without an overt topic marker). It is resumed by discourse-definite bè ‘that one’ plus fǒráⁿ, constituting the subject of the clause indicating duration.

- (1478) [ē Y], [bè fǎráⁿ] ...
 [Art Y], [Dem.Def **too**] ...
 ‘Y, it too (is ...).’

The introduction of millet in (1477b) breaks the sequence since this crop requires four months. It is introduced in the same fashion as (1478) except that fǎráⁿ is conspicuously absent, showing that the scope of ‘too’ includes the duration reference (‘three months’ versus ‘four months’). Millet is followed by cotton, also of four months duration, and cotton is again introduced by the full formula (1478) including fǎráⁿ.

(1479) is an example of the formula (1478).

- (1479) [ē gbīⁿ?īⁿ], [bè fǎráⁿ] wō [[Ø fǎ-r=] [ò sáⁿ]]
 [Art peanut], [Dem.Def **too**] be [[Art moon-Pl] [Pl three]]
 ‘Peanut(s), that one too is three months.’ (Ma, 2018-04 @ 00:09)

In (1479), fǎráⁿ is inside the clausal subject NP and separate from the preclausal topical NP. However, in other passages fǎráⁿ itself functions as a topicalizer, shifting from a previous topic NP to a new one. In (1480), fǎráⁿ marks a topic switch from hare to the young women. It is preceded by hare telling himself ‘I must get one of those two young women.’

- (1480) [ē lò fǎráⁿ],
 [Art young.women **too**],
 [ē kō jī] [Ø dié-kě] fū?ō = wò
 [Art day Indef] [Art sauce-matter] catch.Pfv 3PlObj
 ‘As for the (two) young women, one day a sauce problem troubled them.’
 (Fl, 2017-05 @ 00:52)

Likewise in (1481), where francolin is replaced as topic by hare.

- (1481) [[è cò] kǎ= à-jī [Ø kěⁿ]
 [[Art francolin] Infin come.Base-see.Base [Art fellow]
 [Ø blí-ké fǎráⁿ=] [Ø cò] glō = nì
 [Art hare **too**] [Art energy] remove.Pfv 3InanObj
 ‘Francolin came and saw the fellow. Hare for his part had lost the energy (to climb) there.’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:45)

In some cases, fǎráⁿ occurs clause-finally and is not part of a specific NP. A free translation with sentential scope (‘moreover’, ‘furthermore’, ‘in addition’, ‘meanwhile’) is called for.

- (1482) [ē bí-?īō], jí= [Ø bī-dǎ jī] bā à-mà fǎráⁿ,
 [Art children], if [Art younger.sib Indef] if be.Loc **too**,
 [ē bī-dǎ] kō dò = nì [[Ø bí-?īō] bà?à],
 [Art younger.sib] Infin say.Pfv 3InanObj [[Art children] Dat],
 ‘The children. If moreover there is any younger brother, the younger brother will say it to the children.’ (Ma, 2018-01 @ 01:21)

Pronominal combinations are in (1483). If there is no textual reference, the form was supplied by the Ji speaker. Observe that there is no constraint against the use of third person proclitic forms.

(1483) 1Sg	nó fǎrá ⁿ	(Bi, 2017-07 @ 08:56)
1Pl	ó fǎrá ⁿ	(Ji, 2017-01 @ 00:28)
2Sg	mó fǎrá ⁿ	(Bi, 2017-08 @ 10:33)
2Pl	bùò fǎrá ⁿ	Ji
3AnSg	ǎ ⁿ fǎrá ⁿ	(Bi, 2017-09 @ 03:27), (Ma, 2018-02 @ 01:21)
3Pl	ò fǎrá ⁿ	Ji
3Inan	à fǎrá ⁿ	(Ji, 2017-11 @ 01:25 & 01:31)
Dem.Def	bè fǎrá ⁿ	(Ma, 2018-05 @ 00:38)
3AnSg/LogoSg	bó fǎrá ⁿ	(Bi, 2017-07 @ 01:45 among others)
3Pl/LogoPl	bùò fǎrá ⁿ	(Ma, 2017-04 @ 04:17)

When fǎráⁿ means ‘moreover’ or topicalizes a subject, it scopes over negation. (1484) is from a text strictly about the duties of the chief and the context involves no parallel referent.

(1484) [ǎ ⁿ	fǎrá ⁿ]	má	ká ⁿ	[wō	dò =	[ò	jǎ ⁿ -jǎ ⁿ]],	
[3AnSg	also]	IpfvNeg	ought	[Infin	say.Base	[Pl	two-two]],	
[ē	màsà-cé]	à =	Ø	kò	[kō	dò	[n	dè ⁿ ?é ⁿ]],
[Art	chief]	3Inan	Ipfv	be.good.Ipv	[Infin	say.Base	[Sg	one]],
[è	fě]	[n	dè ⁿ ?é ⁿ]					
[Art	talk(n)]	[Sg	one]					

‘He (=chief) furthermore mustn’t speak double-talk. It is good that the chief speak one (language), with one (=a single) language.’ (Ma, 2018-02 @ 01:20)

We have one textual example of fǎráⁿ followed by =rē ‘even’. The narrator has just stated that humans could not see the places where invisible djinns were drawing water. The listener then exclaims (1485).

(1485) ā	bù?ò	fǎrá ⁿ	=rē
3Inan	loam	too	even

‘Even the earth (was invisible).’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 06:10)

We also have one textual example with focus marker tó?ó followed by fǎráⁿ. A mother and her daughter whom she abandoned long ago have finally reunited.

- (1486) é! [nóⁿ nīⁿ], [[móⁿ tóʔó] wō kǎⁿ tē]
 ah! [1Sg mother], [[2Sg Foc] be Dem.AnSg Q]
 dè ɓⁿ dè [bó =à],
 Quot 3AnSg say.Pfv [LogoSg it.is],
 áywà [nóⁿ nóʔó fǎráⁿ] wō kǎⁿ,
 well [1Sg **Foc too**] be Dem.AnSg,
 mó nà wé [nóⁿ jèrɔⁿ]
 2Sg Past abandon.Base [1Sg Rel]
 “Oh! My mother, is that really you?”
 “It’s me!”
 “Well, this is me [focus] likewise! Me who(m) you abandoned.”
 (Bi, 2017-07 @ 08:44 to 08:47)

Here fǎráⁿ is motivated by the parallelism between the two reciprocating ‘it’s me’ phrases, which confirm their kin relationship.

19.1.6 Postnominal èrē ~ àrē or =rē ‘even’ or emphatic

èrē ~ àrē or slightly truncated =rē, from Jula yèré, is a common ‘even X’ particle added to subject NPs. It becomes =rè by regular tone sandhi before an H-tone. Our Bi speaker sometimes pronounces it as bisyllabic èrē (2017-09 @ 05:40 & 08:24, 2017-10 @ 03:04). Tap r normally cannot begin a word, suggesting that the usual form =rē is phonologically an enclitic. =rē competes with the more emphatic phrase-initial ‘even’ morpheme álè, another borrowing but one that precedes its constituent and can also mean ‘all the way to’ or ‘even if’ (§19.1.7 below, §16.2.1).

We have not heard =rē as # =dē with d instead of r. This indicates that it is not morphemically related to the clause-final emphatic enclitic =dēʔ (§19.4.1), even though some speakers regularly pronounce the latter as =rēʔ with tap r after a vowel.

Elicited examples of =rē are in (1487).

- (1487) a. [ná-bí =rē] nà klè-pɔⁿ =nì
 [child **even**] Fut do.Base-be.able.Base 3InanObj
 ‘Even a child can do it.’ (Ji)
- b. [mó =rē] nà klè-pɔⁿ =nì
 [2Sg **even**] Fut do.Base-be.able.Base 3InanObj
 ‘Even you can do it.’ (Ji)

The background to (1487a-b) is that some people can do it, and that even others who might not be expected to are also able to do it. Hence the free translations with ‘even’ (Fr *même* as in *même un enfant peut le faire*). Textual occurrences of =rē often do not follow this script, though we normalize the interlinear as ‘even’. In most cases it is a general emphatic, often in clauses that introduce a highlighted event.

- (1488) a. [ē t̄ràⁿ-wò-ní =rè] ní-mā [à nī]
 [Art rest(v).Base-VblN **even**] not.be.Loc [3Inan Loc]
 ‘There was no rest therein!’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 01:13)
- b. [nóⁿ dè] [ò bíé =r=] à-māⁿ [[ē kpà?à-ń] nī]
 [1Sg say.Pfv] [3Pl all **even**] be.Loc [[Art be.poor-VblN] Loc]
 ‘I said, everyone was in poverty.’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 03:44)
- c. [nó fē-nī =rè] ká à-mā [nàsèrà-kèⁿ kǎⁿ]
 [1Sg greet-VblN **even**] Past be.Loc [white.person-male Dem.AnSg]
 ‘My salute was (also) to this white man.’ (Fl, 2017-11 @ 11:09)

In some passages, the NP with =rē is topical, either being set off prosodically or having topic marker bó preceding =rē.

- (1489) a. é!, [ē kè?è-ní bó =rē] d̄rē-d̄rā
 oh!, [Art ruin(v)-VblN **Top even**] abound.Pfv
 ‘Oh! The damage [topic] has become great.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 05:40)
- b. dè [kèⁿ yá bó =rē]
 Quot [fellow Dem.InanSg **Top even**]
 kō [[Ø ʃì?é-bórá] nī] [[tò? = á] nī],
 be [[Art what?-work(n)] Loc] [[place Dem.InanSg] Loc],
 ‘(thought:) “this fellow [topic] is engaged in what (sort of) activity here?”’
 (Ji, 2017-01 @ 02:43)
- c. dè→, ē f̄-ré =rē, [ē klò?ó jī] gò yá
 Quot, Art wrap(n)-Pl **even**, [Art road Indef] be Dem.InanSg
 ‘(Hare) said, “(as for) the wraps (garments), here’s a road.’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 05:49)

Negation combines with =rē in an elicited example (1490). Here the semantic focus is on the verb ‘greet’ or possibly the VP ‘greet me’. Negation scopes over ‘even’. Compare (1488a) above.

- (1490) [zàkí bó =rà=] á f̄e nó =?
 [Z Top **even**] PfvNeg greet.Base 1Sg Neg
 ‘Zaki didn’t even greet me.’ (Ji)

19.1.7 Clause- or phrase-initial álè ‘even ...’

Clause-initial álè is an emphatic ‘even’ marker, preposed to and scoping over either a constituent or a clause. With clausal scope it can also occur in ‘even if’ conditional antecedents (§16.2.1).

This is a regional form occurring in several variants (hál, hálì, áli, álè, hadfè) with senses like emphatic ‘even’ and spatiotemporal ‘until, up to, all the way to’ across much of Islam-influence West Africa. It arguably descends from Arabic *hattaa*. In Tiefo-D it is not usual in spatiotemporal senses, for which alternative phrasings are in use (§8.3.10.1).

álè occurred in elicited sentences with ‘even’ (Fr *même*), where it competes with enclitic = rē (preceding section). The elicitation frame favored this reading, focusing on the immediately following NP. However, the examples elicited can also be ‘even if’ conditional antecedents. Positive and negative elicited examples of álè are in (1491).

- (1491) a. álè [Ø bí-siⁿ] nā klè-pⁿ = nì
even [Art child] Fut do.Base-be.able.Base 3InanObj
 ‘Even a child can do it.’ or ‘Even if a child can do it, ...’ (Ji)
- b. álè zàkì á bà = ?
even Z **PfvNeg** come.Base Neg
 ‘Even Zaki didn’t come.’ or ‘Even if Zaki didn’t come, ...’ (Ji)

In the texts, excluding ‘even if’ conditional antecedents, álè occurs mainly in phrases indicating extended temporal continuity all the way to the present or some other endpoint (1492).

- (1492) a. álè kúⁿ?úⁿ ‘even today’ (Bi, 2017-07 @ 00:32)
 (Ji, 2017-11 @ 00:02)
- b. álè bèré ‘even now(adays)’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 08:47)
 (Ji, 2017-11 @ 11:16)
 (women, 2017-12 @ 01:32)
- c. álè bé variant of (b) (F1, 2017-08 @ 06:45)
- d. álè fǝ→ ‘all the way until ...’ (F1, 2017-03 @ 01:38)

The remaining textual example has álè at the beginning of a spatial NP in the form of a relative construction. The exact sense of álè in discourse context is unclear here because the sentence was broken off after this NP.

- (1493) *donc*, álè bùò lè [tò? = á jèrⁿ] —
 so, **even** LogoPl show.Pfv [place Dem.InanSg Rel] —
 ‘So, (they said:) “even that place which we showed (you)” ’
 (Ji, 2017-11 @ 04:27)

19.1.8 Quantifier bíé(?) ‘all’ as emphatic ‘even ...’

In the elicited example (1494), the universal quantifier occurs in a clause-final constituent. Since ‘all tomorrows’ makes little sense, the contextual reading is ‘even tomorrow’ (local

French *même demain*). The sentence indicates that the speaker can do it any time, and by implication easily.

- (1494) [mó nà klè-pɔ̃ⁿ = nĩ = [Ø còⁿ bíéʔ]
 [2Sg Fut do.Base-be.able.Base 3InanObj [Art tomorrow all]
 ‘I can do it even (=as early as) tomorrow.’ (Ji)

19.2 ‘Only’ particles

The dedicated ‘only’ particles are clause-final *dárɔ̃ⁿ* and post-NP *jèʔè*. The difference in position correlates with differences in scope.

19.2.1 Clause-final *dárɔ̃ⁿ* ‘only; as soon as’

dárɔ̃ⁿ is borrowed from Jula *dárɔ̃ⁿ*. In elicited examples it is sometimes accompanied by a focus marker (1495).

- (1495) a. zàkí à dē (tê→) dárɔ̃ⁿ
 Z Ipfv sleep.Ipfv (Foc.Inan) **only**
 ‘Zaki just sleeps (=does nothing but sleep).’ (Ji)
- b. [ē kǒ-jòⁿ dárɔ̃ⁿ tê→] à-mā [nó bàʔà]
 [Art hundred-two **only** Foc.Inan] be [1Sg Dat]
 ‘I have a mere two hundred (=1000 CFA francs).’ (Ji)

There are eight examples in the texts, making this the most common ‘only’ form. In each case, *dárɔ̃ⁿ* is clause-final. Our F1 speaker pronounces this as *dárɔ̃ⁿ(→)* with final mid pitch and slight vocalic prolongation. This is an intonational effect, and resembles polar interrogatives with final = *ā*.

- (1496) Bi 2017-09 @ 02:50
 F1 2017-03 @ 01:58
 2017-05 @ 02:40
 2017-11 @ 06:10 & 08:29 (doubtful) & 08:40
 Ji 2017-04 @ 03:30
 Ma 2017-02 @ 01:36
 2017-04 @ 04:02

In some, like (1497), *dárɔ̃ⁿ* can be freely glossed ‘as soon as’ (1497). This function is fairly typical of ‘only’ particles in the region (at least Mali to Burkina). The effect is that the clause ending in *dárɔ̃ⁿ* sets up a following foregrounded clause. This accounts for the intonational modification mentioned above.

- (1497) [yúó jèrɔ̃ⁿ] bà Ø [bá= à bē]
 [person Rel] if say.Pfv [LogoSg Ipfv come.Ipfv]
 [kō yíʔ= [[ā tɔ̃ʔ] ñ] dárɔ̃ⁿ, ...
 [Infin go.Base [[3Inan place] Loc] **only**, ...
 ‘Any person who decides to come, as soon as he/she goes to that place, ...’
 (Fl, 2017-11 @ 08:40)

In (1498), however, the combination of dárɔ̃ⁿ with a focus marker produces the sense ‘exclusively, nothing but’.

- (1498) ò kō [[Ø kē-sùⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ té] ñ] dárɔ̃ⁿ
 3Pl be [[Art work(n) **Foc.Inan**] Loc] **only**
 ‘They were at work [focus] only!’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 03:30)

19.2.2 Postnominal jèʔè ~ jìʔè ‘only’

jèʔè (Ji) or jìʔè (Fl) comes at the end of an NP in the sense ‘only’. Elicited examples are in (1499). jèʔè may combine with a focus marker in either order (1499b-c). Singular human NPs or pronouns may combine it with nā-dòⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ ‘one person’ (1499b). The noun with jèʔè may be the complement of a following postposition (1499d).

- (1499) a. [ē kǔ-jɔ̃ⁿ jèʔè] à-mà [nó bàʔà]
 [Art hundred-two **only**] be [1Sg Dat]
 ‘I have only two hundred (=1000 CFA francs).’ (Ji)
- b. [nó nā-dòⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ jèʔè tóʔó] à yíʔí
 [1Sg **person-one only Foc**] Ipfv go.Ipfv
 ‘It’s only me [focus] who is going.’ (Ji)
- c. [bùò tó-ró jèʔè] à yíʔí
 [2Pl **Foc-AnPl only**] Ipfv go.Ipfv
 ‘It’s only you-Pl [focus] who are going.’ (Ji)
- d. zàkì má ʃɪⁿ [Ø kē-sùⁿʔɔ̃ⁿ],
 Z IpfvNeg work.Ipfv [Art work(n)],
 òⁿ= Ø pīⁿ [[Ø dǔ jèʔè] nī]
 3AnSg Ipfv remain.Ipfv [[Art sleep(n) **only**] Loc]
 ‘Zaki doesn’t work, he just sleeps (all the time).’ (Ji)

There is one textual example. The listener (Ji) interjects a comment into Bi’s narrative, in the form of an NP in isolation (1500).

- (1500) Bi: [[[móⁿ úⁿ?úⁿ b́é dé] klè] ʃɪná] nī→]
 [[[2Sg head all however] be.done.Pfv] situation] Loc]
 Ji: [ē ʃɪⁿ?ɪⁿ] jè?è
 [Art tree] **only**
 Bi: ‘(she said:) “But the way your whole head (is)!”
 Ji: ‘Nothing but tree (branches)!’ (Ji/Bi, 2017-07 @ 07:40)

An apparently related form jè?è-có means ‘identical, the same one’, when added to the numeral ‘one’ (1501).

- (1501) jà→ [bó tó?ó] [[n dèⁿ?éⁿ] jè?è-có] =à
 lo! [3AnSg Foc] [[Sg one] **identical**] it.is
 ‘In fact, it is (=was) that very same one.’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 01:04)

19.2.3 Forms of the numeral ‘one’ as ‘only, sole, unique’

The forms of the numeral ‘one’ are in (1502). n in n dèⁿ?éⁿ behaves like a specialized pre-numeral singular marker, parallel to plural ò before several nonsingular numerals. Postpausally it is L-toned, otherwise it picks up its tone from the preceding syllable. Here we omit minor variants and exclude jíé-nì, which is limited to the counting sequence. Details are in §4.6.1.1.

- (1502) n dèⁿ?éⁿ nonhuman, or as modifier after any noun
 nā-dòⁿ?óⁿ ‘one person, someone’ (without a separate noun)

In the preceding section we showed that jè?è ‘only’ and especially its derivative jè?è-có have an affinity for the numeral ‘one’.

In texts, we observe that nā-dòⁿ?óⁿ can mean ‘only, exclusively’ even when added to a nonhuman noun with a nonsingular numeral.

- (1503) [ē tò?ò] pìèⁿ, [[ē gbl=] [ò sáⁿ]] nā-dòⁿ?óⁿ
 [Art place] remain.Pfv, [[Art ridge] [Pl three] **only**
 ‘The place remained, with only three rows (of crops) left (to cultivate).’
 (Fl, 2017-03 @ 02:12)

This is likely the source of Bi dialect nàⁿ?àⁿ in the same function. This form does not occur as the numeral ‘one’ or as the NP ‘one person, someone’ in any dialect. Both nàⁿ?àⁿ and nāⁿ-dòⁿ?óⁿ occur in (1504).

- (1504) māⁿ nè— m̂ⁿ pēⁿ nàⁿ?àⁿ
 Proh say.Base— Proh remain.Base **only**
 [[bè nāⁿ-dòⁿ?óⁿ] nī]
 [[Dem.Def **only**] Loc]
 ‘You-Sg mustn’t say—, you mustn’t just stay (focused) on that only.’
 (Bi, 2017-06 @ 01:41)

19.2.4 Alternative two-clause ‘only X’ construction (‘if it isn’t X’)

A more complex phrasing involves two clauses, one negative and the other a kind of negative conditional ‘if it isn’t X’, i.e. ‘unless it is X’ (1505). jí ‘if’ or variant já can be used.

(1505) [ðⁿ má fā [ē jī] = ?]
 [3AnSg IpfvNeg seek.Ipfv [Art something Neg]
 [já= [Ø tìò?ò] má glò]
 [if [Art honey] IpfvNeg it.is]
 ‘He/She doesn’t want anything unless it’s (“if it’s not”) honey.’ (F1)

19.3 Preclausal and subject-final discourse markers

19.3.1 *bon*, ... ‘Well, ...’

Fr *bon* is common as a filler or mild scene shifter. It appears before a new clause and is often set off prosodically. The first example in the texts, among many, is 2017-01 @ 02:35.

19.3.2 *donc*, ... ‘Well, ...’

Fr *donc* is roughly interchangeable with *bon* as a filler or mild scene shifter. The first of many textual occurrences is 2017-01 @ 01:14.

19.3.3 *áywà*, ... ‘Well, ...’

áywà is from Arabic but is widely found in West African languages. It has the same functions as *bon* and *donc*. It is the form of choice for our Bi speaker, the only Muslim among our key speakers. For example, it occurs more than a dozen times just in text 2017-07 of which he is the narrator. Our F1 and Ma speakers did not use it. For Ji we can cite 2017-01 @ 00:53).

19.3.4 *hàyà*, ... ‘Well, ...’

This is another filler and mild scene shifter that is widespread in West Africa. We count six occurrences in our texts, of which four are from our Bi speaker, along with one each for Ji and F1.

(1506) Ji, 2017-04 @ 04:02
 F1, 2017-05 @ 02:34
 Bi, 2017-07 @ 03:59
 2017-09 @ 04:41 & 05:08
 2017-10 @ 02:38

19.3.5 ɔ̃ⁿhɔ̃ⁿ! ‘uh-huh (yes!)’ and ɔ̃ⁿ?ɔ̃ⁿ! ‘unh-unh (no!)’

These ubiquitous paralinguistic utterances occur as one-word ‘yes’ and ‘no’ responses. Segmental transcription is unreliable, the key differences being medial aspiration (ɔ̃ⁿhɔ̃ⁿ!, mhm!) versus medial glottal stop (ɔ̃ⁿ?ɔ̃ⁿ!), and LH versus HL tone (or pitch pattern).

Textual examples of positive ɔ̃ⁿhɔ̃ⁿ include (Ji, 2017-01 @ 01:50) and (Ma, 2017-05 @ 00:36).

Negative “unh-unh” ranges from approximately ɾɿ?ɾɿ (with lips closed) to ɿ?ɿ, ɔ̃ⁿ?ɔ̃ⁿ and áⁿ?àⁿ. Examples include (Ji, 2017-04 @ 05:25) and (Bi, 2017-08 @ 02:46 & 07:02 & 10:31).

19.3.6 *mais* ‘but’

Fench *mais* is a clause-initial ‘but’ marker, as in many languages of the zone. We count 18 examples in the texts, including Ma (2017-02 @ 01:12); Ji (2017-04 @ 02:11); and Bi (2017-07 @ 02:23).

19.3.7 Preclausal jǎ→ ‘lo!’

This particle, also found in other languages of the region, precedes a high-profile climactic clause within a narrative segment. (1507a) is a conditional consequent. (1507b) is a comment interjected by the addressee during a tale.

(1507) a. jǎ→ [è ń jī] fiè [[mó ānà?à] nī]
lo! [Art person Indef] pass.Pfv [[2Sg face] Loc]
 ‘Lo, someone (else) will go ahead of you.’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 03:07)

b. é!, jǎ→ ò ká wō [[[ò dígè-rò] sègɛ́] nī] = dɛ̃?
 oh!, **lo!** 3Pl Past be [[[PIRefl Recip] weary(v).Prog] Prog] Emph
 ‘Oh! Lo, they were wearing each other out!’ (Ma, 2017-04 @ 02:40)

jǎ→ is much more dramatic than jí, which can occur in narratives to highlight a clause as the local climax of a series of events (§16.1.1.5).

Other textual examples of jǎ→ are listed in (1508).

(1508) Bi 2017-07 @ 04:39
 2017-08 @ 08:31
 2017-09 @ 00:40 & 01:04
 2017-10 @ 04:06 & 04:14
 Fl 2017-11 @ 02:31 & 05:08 & 10:29
 Ji 2017-01 @ 02:37 & 03:50 & 04:05 & 04:07
 2017-04 @ 03:08 & 03:32 & 03:50
 2017-08 @ 05:19 & 08:35
 2017-09 @ 06:21

Ma 2017-02 @ 00:50
2017-04 @ 04:02

19.3.8 Subject-final *dé* ~ *dó* ‘however’

Particle *dé* ~ *dó*, from Jula, has a mildly adversative sense, and can often be translated with ‘however’, ‘nevertheless’, or ‘and yet’. Both *dé* and *dó* are common. These forms merge when contracted with a vocalic inflectional particles (imperfective *dá* = *à*, perfective negative *dá* = *â*). They occur at the end of subject NPs, including pronouns.

The adversative element can involve two clauses in a single speaker’s narrative, as in (1509). Other good examples of this are (Ji & Bi, 2017-07 @ 00:12) with *dé* and (2017-08 @ 04:21) with an indeterminate contracted variant.

- (1509) *dè* *bùò* *dè* [(ò) *máⁿ* *cùì*],
Quot 3Pl say.Pfv [3Pl IpfvNeg be.killed.Ipfv],
[ò *dó*] *wō* [kèʔé *nī*]
[3Pl **however**] be [ruin(v).Prog Prog]
‘They (=authorities) say, they (=elephants) aren’t (=cannot be) killed. And yet they are wreaking havoc.’ (Ji, 2017-09 @ 03:01)

Or it can be part of an exchange with an interlocutor, as in (1510). See also (2017-07 @ 01:06).

- (1510) interlocutor: *àáⁿ* *wō* [Ø *dàrìⁿʔíⁿ*]
no! sing.Base [Art song]
‘No! Sing the song!’
narrator: [nó *dó*] *bà* *wō* [Ø *dàrìⁿʔíⁿ*]
[1Sg **however**] if sing.Base [Art song]
[à *bíé* *dó*] *kō* *blèjè-rè-ń* *dò*
[3Inan all **however**] be Jula.hood Emph
‘But if I sing the song(s), all of it is in Jula!’
(women, 2017-12 @ 03:03)

In transcribing texts, two problems arise in identifying this morpheme, especially the variant *dó*. One is that *dó* is also the default inanimate possessum, which can occur at the end of subject (or non-subject) NPs. The other, less serious problem is that *dè* ‘said’ can combine with 1Pl *ó* as *d = ó*. We do our best, using context, to determine which of these phonologically similar elements is present in any given passage.

19.4 Clause-final elements

For clause-final interrogative particles, see §13.2.1 and §13.2.2.1-2. For clause-final *lò* ‘after’, see also §15.4.3.1. For clause-final glottal = ʔ, chiefly in negative clauses, see §10.2.5.1. For *wà*→ in disjunctive clauses, see §7.2.1. For clause-final =ò in willy-nilly conditional antecedents, see §16.3.

Discourse-definite manner adverbs such as *bè-kà-tó* and (Bi dialect) *bè-yá-ró* ‘like that, thus’ often occur clause-finally in contexts where they may be disregarded in free translations.

Other clause-final particles, mostly emphatic, are presented below.

19.4.1 Clause-final emphatic =*dē?* ~ =*rē?*

This is the local version of a regionally widespread clause-final emphatic particle, e.g. *Jula dé*. It is even used occasionally in local French. In Tief-D the variant =*rē?*, with a rhotic that does not occur-word-initially, shows that the morpheme can be a phonological enclitic. We transcribe it as an enclitic even in the variant =*dē?*. After a nasalized vowel it sometimes fully nasalizes to =*nē?* in Bi dialect (e.g., 2017-07 @ 04:53).

This enclitic is almost always prepausal (clause-final) and pronounced forcefully. Its basic tone is mid, but because of its amplitude speakers often anticipatorily reduce the amplitude and pitch of a preceding word. The consequence is that an M or LH-toned morpheme sometimes sounds almost L-toned before =*dē?*. This initially led us to think that the enclitic was H-toned =*dé?*.

This is a very common emphatic and there are dozens of textual examples. It can rhetorically reinforce a statement, in the same way as Eng *sure* in *it sure is cold today!* An elicited example is (1511).

- (1511) [*ē* *tòʔò*] *à* *óʔó* = *dē?*
 [Art place] Ipfv become.warm.Ipfv **Emph**
 ‘It sure is hot out!’ (temperature) (Ji)

Likewise, it can strongly confirm an interlocutor’s statement, as with *sure* in Eng *it sure is!* in response to the interlocutor’s *it’s hot out today*. Scalar predicates such as adjectival verbs lend themselves well to =*dē?* (1512a-b).

- (1512) a. [*ē* *ʃɪʔɪʔn-klēʔēʔn-kà*] *nà* *gbàrèyá* = *dē?*
 [Art tree-ascend.Pfv-manner] Fut be.difficult **Emph**
 ‘That way of climbing the tree sure will be difficult!’ (Ma, 2017-01 @ 02:05)

- b. *dě=* [*∅* *sàròʔ=* *á* *bè*]
 Quot [Art baobab Dem.InanSg Top.Inan]
dà= [*à* *lɔʔn*] *à* *dáʔn* = *nē?*
 Quot [3Inan shade] Ipfv be.pleasant.Ipfv **Emph**
 ‘(said:) “This baobab, your shade is really nice!” ’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 00:49)

Examples not involving scalar qualities are in (1513). An element of narrative surprise is present in the first two examples.

- (1513) a. *mais* *ò* *máʔn* *sūʔū* [*∅* *jí*] [*ʔn* *í-yùò*] = *dē?*
 but 3Pl IpfvNeg give.Ipfv [Art something] [Dat 1Pl] **Emph**
 ‘But they (=chief et al.) didn’t give us anything!’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 03:31)

- b. \bar{o} $d\bar{e}$ $m\acute{a}^n$ $w\bar{o} =$ $[\emptyset$ $j\bar{o}^n]$ $= d\bar{e}?$
 3Pl IpfvPast IpfvNeg be [Pl two] **Emph**
 ‘They weren’t two (different ones) after all!’ (Bi, 2017-09 @ 01:07)
- c. $[\bar{e}$ $d\bar{a}r\acute{a}?$ $b\bar{e}]$ $kp\acute{a}$ $[b\bar{e}$ $t\bar{o}?\bar{o}]$ $= d\bar{e}?$
 [Art tale Top.Inan] finish.Pfv [Dem.Def place] **Emph**
 ‘The tale ends in that place.’ (women, 2017-12 @ 02:58)

$=d\bar{e}?$ can also have admonitive (warning) function, like English unstressed and low-pitched *now* in *watch out for potholes now!* This function is common with imperatives and prohibitives, as well as with statements. (1514) has elicited examples.

- (1514) a. $m\acute{a}$ $gl\acute{u}$ $= d\bar{e}?$
 Proh exit.Base **Emph**
 ‘Don’t-2Sg go out, now!’ (Ji)
- b. $[\bar{e}$ $bl\bar{o}]$ $n\acute{a}$ $w\acute{o}$ $= d\bar{e}?$
 [Art rain(n)] Fut rain.fall.Base **Emph**
 ‘It’s going to rain, mind you!’ (Ji)
- c. $m\acute{a}$ $b\bar{e}$ $= d\bar{e}?$
 Proh be.tired.Base **Emph**
 ‘Don’t get tired!’ (= ‘Don’t trouble yourself!’) (Ji)

Textual examples along these lines are in (1515).

- (1515) a. \bar{o} $[t\acute{o}-j\bar{u}?\bar{o}]-g\bar{a}r\bar{e}^n$ $= n\bar{i}$ $= d\bar{e}?$
 Imprt.Pl [listen.Base]-do.well.Base 3InanObj **Emph**
 ‘Listen-2Pl well now!’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 00:53)
- b. $j\acute{i}$ $b\bar{e}$ $b\acute{a}$ \acute{a} $kl\bar{e}$,
 if Dem.Def if PfvNeg be.done.Base,
 $[\bar{e}$ $bl\acute{i}-k\acute{e}$ $k\check{a}^n]$ $= \grave{a}^n$ $w\acute{i}$ $= r\bar{e}?$
 [Art hare Dem.AnSg] Ipfv die.Ipfv **Emph**
 ‘If that isn’t done, this hare surely dies!’ (F1, 2017-05 @ 03:09)

$=d\bar{e}?$ is occasionally nonfinal, in which case the glottal stop is absent. This is the general situation for otherwise clause-final glottal stop, as with negative $=?$ and $b\acute{i}\acute{e}?$ ‘all’ (§3.2.1.9). We must be careful with morphemic identification here, since $=r\bar{e}$ (variant $\grave{e}r\bar{e}$) ‘even’ occurs at the end of NPs and has some emphatic force. Our criteria for distinguishing $=d\bar{e}?$ from the ‘even’ enclitic is that $=d\bar{e}?$ follows the verb or other predicate.

The examples of this type that appear to be systematic are those with $=d\bar{e}$ followed by the interrogative enclitic, resulting in $=d\bar{e} = \bar{e}$ with a partial pitch drop at the boundary between the two (1516a). An apparent textual example not of this type is (1516b), with L-toned $=d\bar{e}$ (before H-tone).

- b. ó yí?ē-ʃíʔi [wō jūʔō [ʔⁿ wé] = rê→]
 1Pl get.up.Pfv [Infin hear.Base [3AnSg name] **Emph**
 ‘We grew up (to adulthood) and only then did we hear its (=elephant’s) name.’
 (Bi, 2017-09 @ 00:26)
- c. [ò bíé] à yíé [Ø wàrè] = rê→
 [3Pl all] Ipv wear.loincloth.Ipv [Art leaf.loincloth] **Emph**
 ‘They (both wore (old-fashioned) women’s loincloths (made of leaves).’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 00:18)
- d. [ē sàròʔò = rê→], ñ cārē-kō [móⁿ dǔ]
 [Art baobab **Emph**, (nasal) crush.Pfv-kill.Base [2Sg man]
 ‘A baobab tree crushed your husband to death.’ (Bi, 2017-08 @ 09:53)

The other examples of = rê→ are from a woman who was born in Bi.

- (1520) a. nó ò yíʔí = rê→
 1Sg Infin go.Base **Emph**
 ‘I (will) go.’ (women, 2017-12 @ 01:39)
- b. à= Ø jùʔù [bì kò = rê→]
 3Inan Ipv be.put.up.on.Base [Dem.Def day Emph]
 ‘It is put up (on the fire, to brew) that same day.’
 (women, 2017-15 @ 00:32)

The other female speaker in the same recordings used tê→ clause-finally in two hortative passages. This is probably the same morpheme as = rê→. She was impatiently encouraging her interlocutor to begin a tale after a long hesitation (stage fright).

- (1521) a. *commencez*, kò yíʔí tê→
 begin!, Hort go.Base **Emph**
 ‘Begin (the tale)! Go on!’ (women, 2017-12 @ 01:39)
- b. *commencez*, [[[ē yō-[bì-ʃìʔⁿ]] dó] tê→
 begin! [[[Art woman-[child]] Poss.Inan] **Emph**
 ‘Begin! (The tale) of the adolescent girl!’ (women, 2017-12 @ 01:42)

The first woman’s response to the urging in (1521a) was (1520a) above, with = rê→. This confirms the suspicion that tê→ and = rê→ are variant dialectal pronunciations.

19.4.5 Clause-final emphatic kè

There are five examples in our texts of a clause-final particle kè. For a probably related construction with kè added directly to a spatiotemporal adverb (‘today’, ‘now’, ‘here’), see §8.5.3.2.6.

Two of the textual examples are in (1522). In (1522a), the speaker has begun a narrative and interrupts it to impatiently nudge the listener into responding more actively to it. In (1522b), the emphatic element is not readily determinable from the context, but we suggest ‘definitely’ in the free translation.

- (1522) a. [ē tìplípàⁿ] = áⁿ bà = ʔ,
 [Art monkey] PfvNeg come.Base =Neg,
 [mó wò kàⁿʔ-àⁿ-fī = ñ kè
 [2Sg Hort reply.Ipfv 3InanObj **Emph**
 ‘The monkey did not come. (to interlocutor:) Come on, respond to it!’
 (Ma, 2017-02 @ 00:35)
- b. ǒ nàⁿ bà [gǒ = à-ɲóⁿ = nìⁿ] kè
 3Pl Fut come.Base [Infin come.Base-look.at.Base 3InanObj] **Emph**
 ‘They will definitely come and look at it.’
 (Bi, 2017-09 @ 05:32)

In the third example, the Ma interlocutor gives feedback to the Bi narrator, expressing wonder that his interlocutor actually went into an animal burrow (1523). An echo like this expressing surprise at a narrative element could be taken as a kind of polar interrogative calling for confirmation.

- (1523) Bi: nó wǒ dī = [à = ānàʔà]
 [1Sg Infin enter.Base [with face]],
 ‘I went in with my face (=head-first).’ (Bi, 2017-10 @ 04:06)
- Ma: mó gǒ dīē kè é!
 2Sg Infin enter.Base **Emph** oh!
 ‘You actually went in!’ (Ma, 2017-10 @ 04:07)

In context, (1524) is most likely an emphatic statement. It refers to ancient wall engravings in the nearby grotto.

- (1524) ǒ ɲèʔè-ɲèʔè-té = nì kè
 3Pl Rdp-write.Pfv-put.in.Base 3InanObj **Emph**
 ‘They wrote (=engraved) it!’ (F1, 2017-11 @ 02:01)

In (1525), the speakers (termites) vehemently refuse payment that was offered to them for a major service. The emphatic context is demonstrated by the co-presence of emphatic negative fòy (< Jula) strengthening the simple negative ní-mā.

- (1525) é fòy ní-mā [à nī] kè
 oh! **not.at.all** not.be.Loc [3Inan Loc] **Emph**
 ‘There’s absolutely no (payment) in (=for) it.’ (Ji, 2017-04 @ 05:30)

19.4.6 Clause-final emphatic kùé ~ ké

There is one textual occurrence each of kùé and ké as clause-final emphatics. We take them as variants of a single morpheme. Jula has kòy ~ kóy. Compare the productive kóy in many Malian languages.

(1526) a. í d= [álè b̀̀r̀é] d̀̀= [Ø jī] à-māⁿ kùé
 oh! Quot [even still] Quot [Art something] be.Loc **Emph**
 ‘“Oh dear” said (hyena), “there are some (feathers) even now!”’
 (Bi, 2017-08 @ 08:47)

b. à má d̀̀?è ké
 3Inan IpfvNeg be.long.Ipfv **Emph**
 ‘It (=place) isn’t too far away.’ (Ji, 2017-01 @ 04:09)

ké can be used to make prohibitives (§10.4.1.2.1) emphatic.

19.4.7 Clause-final s̄āⁿ ‘simultaneously’

We have two textual examples of clause-final s̄āⁿ. Both are from Bi speakers (male and female). The context is ‘simultaneously’ or ‘more and more’, hence ‘thoroughly’. It is an emphatic of extent rather than of discourse function (surprise, etc.).

(1527) a. [è cí-cúó] k-ā glú-à-yíí s̄āⁿ
 [Art crop] Infin-Ipfv exit(v).Ipfv-Ipfv-go.Ipfv **simultaneously**
 ‘(The bird’s) crop was sticking out (=swollen) more and more.’
 (Bi, 2017-06 @ 01:28)

b. ó g̀ò d̄āⁿ= [Ø úⁿ?úⁿ] s̄āⁿ
 1Pl Infin shave.Base [Art head] **simultaneously**
 ‘We shave its head simultaneously.’ (hesitation omitted)
 (women, 2017-19 @ 00:28)

Cf. the elicited example (1463a) for Ji dialect.

This is distinct from sá (unnasalized and H-toned), a common emphatic in Bambara-Jula and other West African languages expressing impatience, especially in imperatives to children when they have to be repeated. It may be from Fr *ça*. It differs in form and discourse function from s̄āⁿ in (1527).

19.4.8 Clause-final t̀̀r̀è (hyena speaking)

A hyena called Bouki in local French is a familiar character in tales, often paired with hare or another animal character. In text 2017-08, the narrator (Bi dialect) regularly adds t̀̀r̀è at the end of Bouki’s utterances as a kind of speaker index. The first example is (1528).

during an aging speaker's childhood. In any event, the phrase is rather conventionalized and we refrain from over-parsing it.

có! 'exactly!' is an exclamation strongly supporting or even praising what the interlocutor has just said. For examples see §8.5.3.2.2.

ā k̀̀nì 'it's true' is from Jula, cf. §19.1.2.3.2 above. An example is (Ma, 2017-10 @ 00:15).

19.5.2 Reactive backchannel or uptake check (mā-jī)

Backchannel expressing surprise or amazement (equivalent to 'oh my!' or 'you don't say!') can take the form of an echo clause repeating part of the narrator's most recent clause, often adding a final polar interrogative enclitic (§13.2.2.1).

Another common reactive phrase is (1530), another partially frozen expression that doesn't lend itself easily to parsing.

(1530) mā-jī

This appears to be based on Ø mà jī 'if you-Sg see/saw' from /ŋ̀̀ bà jī/ or (for Ji) from /ŋ̀̀ mà jī/. Indeed, narratives are full of similar conditional antecedents with jī 'see (Pfv)'. Either 2Sg (as narrator's addressee, not as protagonist) or some narrative-internal protagonist is subject. Such phrases are common when the narrator describes a scene, and occasionally a nonvisual situation.

There is a gradation between two poles. Examples in (1531a) are conditionals of the type 'if X see(s) Y, (then) ...' with bà ~ mà 'if' plus jī 'see (Pfv)', and with a referential subject. Whether the act of seeing is really part of the narrative is variable. The 'if' particle remains L-toned in (1531a). Examples in (1531b-e) have fixed mā-jī and at best a pro forma 2Sg subject (if we assume that 2Sg subject proclitic ñ̀̀ is underlyingly present). Here mā-jī is frozen in form, except that the second syllable can drop to L before H-tone. Frozen mā-jī can function as simple reactive backchannel from the listener (characteristic of our Ma assistant when he is the listener), or it can be used by the narrator to frame a new event or situation. Such a frame seems to function in part as an uptake check, whereby the narrator checks whether the listener is paying attention and has understood so far. However, no actual response from the listener occurs in our texts. The example in (1531c) is unusual since it is prosodically bounded on both ends, and positioned between two narrative segments. It could be an update check or a simple filler, or both. Finally, the examples in (1531e) are hybrids; they have fixed mā-jī like (1531b-d) but appear to function as conditional antecedents with 2Sg subject like (1531a).

(1531) a. true conditionals with bà jī ~ mà jī

ō mà jī	Ji, 2017-11 @ 06:40	3Pl subject
ḡ̀̀ mà jī	Ji, 2017-11 @ 08:23	3AnSg subject
[ē bũ̀̀ḡ̀̀] mà jī	Ji, 2017-02 @ 01:57	'a dog'
mó mà jī	Ji, 2017-09 @ 06:06	2Sg subject
Ø mā-jī (before H)	Bi, 2017-08 @ 01:32	2Sg subject

- b. mā-jī framing new element in narrative
- | | |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| mā-jī | Ma, 2017-02 @ 01:45 |
| " | Ma, 2017-04 @ 02:35 |
| " | Ji, 2017-09 @ 06:47 |
| " | Ji, 2017-11 @ 05:26 & 09:57 |
| mā-jī ⁿ | Bi, 2017-10 @ 05:14 |
- c. mā-jī as narrator's uptake check
- | | |
|-------|-------------------------|
| mā-jī | Ma, 2017-04 @ 02:40 (?) |
|-------|-------------------------|
- d. mā-jī as backchannel by listener
- | | |
|-------|-----------------------------|
| mā-jī | Ma, 2017-01 @ 02:41 & 04:07 |
| " | Ma, 2017-02 @ 01:45 |
| " | Ma, 2017-03 @ 00:47 & 01:38 |
| " | Ma, 2017-05 @ 01:43 |
| " | Fl, 2017-11 @ 05:10 |
- e. mā-jī in conditional context
- | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|
| mā-jī | Fl, 2017-05 @ 00:29 |
| mā-jī ⁿ | Bi, 2017-10 @ 06:19 |

19.6 Greetings

The verb 'greet (someone)' is the invariant transitive *fē*, as in *ɔ̃ⁿ fē zàkí* 'he/she greeted Zaki'. '(A) greeting' is (*ē*) *fē-nī*, a verbal noun, or in some combinations the noun (*è*) *fé* which also means 'speech, language'. An alternative is *fē-sùʔð-ní* 'greeting, giving greetings', a tonally regular verbal noun based on a verb-verb compound with 'greet' followed by 'give'.

Some greeting sequences occur at the beginning of text 2017-01, and in 2017-12 @ 00:26 to 00:43. Most of the greetings and related formulas presented below are from Fl and Ji speakers.

19.6.1 Time-of-day greetings

Time-of-day greetings ("G") and responses ("R") to them are in (1532). Like other greetings they may be preceded by the name of the addressee or other referent. Most of these greetings are followed up by more questions and answers about children and other housemates. The noun *fē-nī* 'greeting' sometimes has a tonal variant *fē-ní* in the combination *fē-ná* = *à-mā* in some greeting formulae.

(1532) a. 'good morning' and response

G: *fé* *ʃiʔè* (repeated once for plural addressee)
greet get.up

R: *èé* *ʃiʔi→*

or: *èé* *ʃi* *māà→*

[cf. *yíʔē-ʃiʔi* (Pfv) or *yíʔí-ʃiʔi* (base) 'get up'; 1Pl é ; adverb *mā* 'there.Def']

or:

G: [ē cùⁿ?ùⁿ-[fê-ná =]] à-mā ([mó/bùò bà?à])
 [Art morning-[greet-VblN]] be.Loc ([2Sg/2Pl Dat])
 ‘A midday greeting (to you-Sg/you-Pl)’

[cf. fê-ní ‘greeting (n)’, but here with archaic tones as fê-ní]

R: òⁿ→, [mó/bùò dárá?á-yúó] lò
 yes [2Sg/2Pl courtyard-people] after
 ‘Yes. How about your-Sg/-Pl household?’

b. ‘Did you sleep well?’ and response, follow-up to (a)

G: mó yī?ē-ſì?ì (mà) glé-glê→
 greet get.up.Pfv (there.Def) in.good.health
 ‘Did you get up (there) in good health?’

R: òⁿ→
 yes

c. ‘good day’ (around the middle of the day) and response

G: [ē dī?è-[fê-ná =]] à-mā ([mó/bùò bà?à])
 [Art midday-[greet-VblN]] be.Loc ([2Sg/2Pl Dat])
 ‘A midday greeting (to you-Sg/you-Pl).’

R: òⁿ→, [mó/bùò dárá?á-yúó] lò
 yes [2Sg/2Pl courtyard-people] after
 ‘Yes. How about your-Sg/-Pl household?’

d. ‘good afternoon’ (2PM to dusk)

G: [ē dō?ō-[fê-ná =]] à-mā ([mó/bùò bà?à])
 [Art afternoon-[greet-VblN]] be.Loc ([2Sg/2Pl Dat])
 ‘An afternoon greeting to you-Sg/you-Pl’

R: (as for ‘good day’ above)

e. ‘good evening’ (at night)

G: [blí?í yūō]
 [night night.fall.Pfv]
 ‘Night has fallen.’

R: òⁿ→, mó/bùò glō-tò?ò(-yúó) lò
 yes, 2Sg/2Pl exit.Pfv-place-(people) after
 ‘Yes. How about (the people of) where you came from?’

f. ‘good night’ (before retiring)

G: kò dàⁿ?àⁿ blí?í
 Hort be.nice.Base night
 ‘May the night be nice.’

[< dāⁿ?āⁿ]

R: [ē jù?é] sú?ú = nì
 [Art God] catch.Base 3InanObj
 ‘(May) God catch (=grant) it.’

19.6.2 Situation-specific greetings

These greetings are given to people who are involved in a specific activity. The variable is the word for the people in the activity, which can either be a nominal compound ending in -yúó ‘people’ or a plural agentive ending in -yùò.

(1533) a. to people at work

G: [é fē-nā =] à-mā [[bùò [kē-sùⁿ?òⁿ]-yùò] bà?à]
 [1Pl greet-VblN] be.Loc [[2Pl [work(n)]-people] Dat]
 ‘Our greeting to you-Pl workers.’

R: òⁿ→, [é fē-nī] kò klá [mó/bùò bà?à]
 yes, [Art greet-VblN] Infin return.Base [2Sg/2Pl Dat]
 ‘Yes, our greeting is returned to you-Sg/-Pl.’

b. to people at a well or other water source

G: [é fē-nā =] à-mā [[bùò jū-gbā-yùò] bà?à]
 [1Pl greet-VblN] be.Loc [[2Sg water-draw.Pfv-Agent.Pl] Dat]
 ‘Our greeting to you-Pl water-drawers.’

R: [as for people at work]

c. to farmers

G: [é fē-nā =] à-mā [[bùò dè-yúó] bà?à]
 [1Pl greet-VblN] be.Loc [[2Sg field-people] Dat]
 ‘Our greeting to you-Pl water-drawers.’

R: [as for people at work]

19.6.3 Greetings to departing and returning travelers

A departing long-distance traveler is sent off with the blessing (1534). In the first version of the response, sá-kà ‘blessing’ is actually the term for ‘large vulture’. This is calqued from Jula, where the word for ‘blessing’ borrowed from Arabic is an accidental homophone of the Jula word for ‘large vulture’ (dùyá).

(1534) G: kò dāⁿ?āⁿ [è yí?é]
 Hort make.pleasant.Base [Art trip]
 kò fíéⁿ?éⁿ [[Ø klò?ó] bà?à]
 Hort make.clear.Base [[Art road] Dat]
 kō dīē [kà = á dáⁿ] [[[tèrèⁿ-tò?]-à] nī]
 Infin enter.Base [?? ?? be.sweet] [[[sit.Pfv-place]-2SgPoss Loc]
 ‘May (God) make your trip pleasant, may (He) clear the way, may (you) pleasantly (=peacefully) enter the place of your staying (=where you are going)!’

R: [ē jù?è] sú?ú [mó sá-kà]
 [Art God] catch.Base [2Sg blessing]
 ‘May God grant your blessing!’

or: [ē jùʔé] súʔú [mó dè-fê]
 [Art God] catch.Base [2Sg talk(n)]
 ‘May God grant your words (=what you said).’

A returning traveler is welcomed home by (1535).

(1535) G: òdé òdé ndé
 hurray! hurray! hurray!
 [ē kàʃí] á bú [Ø jùʔé]
 [Art thanks(n)] PfvNeg get.Base [Art God]
 ‘Hurray! Thanks cannot get (=suffice for) God!’
 R: [bùdò bíé] kò é-glê→
 [2Pl all] be in.good.health
 ‘You are all in good health?’

19.6.4 Condolences

The exchange in (1536) occurs when the greeter presents condolences to the survivors of the deceased. It reflects the association of heat with pain and disease, and of coolness with relief from pain.

(1536) G: [ē jùʔé] líⁿ [[Ø tó] [ðⁿ ní]
 [Art God] make.cool [Art ground] [3AnSg Loc]
 ‘May God cool the earth on him/her!’
 R: [ē jùʔé] súʔú = nì
 [Art God] catch.Base 3InanObj
 ‘(May) God catch (=grant) it.’

19.6.5 Annual wishes

On major holy days or at the end of the year, neighbors greet each other with the wishes in (1537).

(1537) a. G: [ē ʃì sòⁿ-sòⁿ?ðⁿ]
 [Art life long]
 [kà [Ø dé-lēⁿ?ēⁿ]]
 [with [Art health]]
 [kà [Ø bú kà̀rèⁿ?éⁿ]]
 [with [Art money a.lot]]
 ‘Long life, and (good) health, and lots of money!’
 b. G: [ē jùʔé] kò sārōⁿ [Ø blō kòʔò]
 [Art God] Hort take.down.Base [Art rain(n) good]
 ‘May God bring down some good rain!’

19.6.6 Invitations and thanks

Simple invitations to eat or to enter, addressed to visitors or passers-by, are transparent imperatives in form (1538a-b).

(1538) a. (ò) bà [kò dí]
 (PlAddr) come.Base [Infin eat.Base]
 ‘Come eat!’ (Fl Ji)

b. (ò) dīē-bà
 (PlAddr) enter.Base-come.Base
 ‘Come in!’ (Fl Ji)

Elaborate expressions of thanks, accompanied by blessings, take forms like those in (1539).

(1539) a. [nó fě-ná=] à-mā [mó bà?à]
 [1Sg greet-VblN] be.Loc [2Sg Dat]
 [mó jèrón] ʃi?ē= [Ø dī-è?è] [nó kò dí]
 [2Sg Rel] give.Pfv [Art food] [1Sg Infin eat.Base]
 ‘My greeting (=thanks) to you-Sg, you who gave food for me to eat.’

b. [nó fě-ná=] à-mā [[Ø āⁿ-tè-wí] bà?à]
 [1Sg greet-VblN] be.Loc [Art hearth-owner] Dat]
 ‘My greeting (=thanks) to the hearth-owner (=the cook).’
 [cf. āⁿ-tì?è (Ji), wāⁿ-tì?è (Fl) ‘hearth’]

c. [ē jù?é jèrón] ʃi?ē= [Ø dī-è?è]
 [Art God Rel] give.Pfv [Art food]
 òⁿ kò sú?ú= [Ø mîé] [kò-kò sú→]
 3AnSg Hort catch.Base [Art 1Pl] [Rdp-day all]
 ‘(And I thank) God who gave the food, may He catch (=grant) us (=our wishes) every day.’

d. [ē jù?é] mâ līⁿ= [Ø āⁿ-tì?è]
 [Art God] Proh make.cool.Base [Art hearth]
 à kò bò [kò-kò sú→]
 3Inan Hort burn.Base [Rdp-day all]
 ‘May God not (ever) let the hearth cool (from lack of food), may it burn every day.’

Thanks for miscellaneous services or gifts can take a form like (1540).

References cited

- Berthelette, John & Carol Berthelette. 2001. *Sociolinguistic survey report for the Tiéfo language*. SIL International. online:
https://www.sil.org/system/files/reapdata/67/27/48/67274852587554948301483132302180825127/SILESR2002_006.pdf
- Hantgan-Sonko, Abbie. 2018. Language endangerment in southwestern Burkina: A tale of two Tiefos. In: J. Kandybowicz, T. Major & H. Torrence (eds.), *African linguistics on the prairie*, 3-19. Berlin: Language Science Press.
<https://langsci-press.org/catalog/book/120>
- Heath, Jeffrey. 2019. Nominal classes/declensions and limited agreement in Tiefo languages (Burkina Faso). Paper presented at Gur workshop, Humboldt University--Berlin. Revision to appear in Ines Fiedler, Jan Junglas & Michael Schulze (eds.), *The many types of nominal classification in Gur* (Gur Monographs). Cologne: Köppe Verlag.
- Heath, Jeffrey, Aminata Ouattara & Abbie Hantgan. 2017. *Short grammar of Tiefo-N of Nyafogo (Gur, Burkina Faso)*. Language Description Heritage Library (online). Backup at Deep Blue (University of Michigan Library).
<http://ldh.clld.org/2017/01/01/escidoc2378140/>
<http://hdl.handle.net/2027.42/139024>
- Hébert, J. (R. P.) 1958. Une page d'histoire voltaïque: Amoro chef des Tiefo. *Bulletin de l'IFAN* 20 (Série B, 3-4), 377-405. Dakar: IFAN (Institut Français d'Afrique Noire).
- Miehe, Gudrun, Brigitte Reineke & Kerstin Winkelmann (eds.). 2012. *Noun class systems in Gur languages*, vol. 1, *Southwestern Gur languages (without Gurunsi)*; vol. 2, *North central Gur languages*. Cologne: Köppe.
- Naden, Anthony. 1989. Gur. In: John Bendor-Samuel & Rhonda Hartell (eds.), *The Niger-Congo languages: A classification and description of Africa's largest language family*, Lanham/New York/London: University Press of America, 140-168.
- Winkelmann, Kerstin. 1995. Politik und Sprachverlust: Die Rache der Prinzessin Gimbi und der Niedergang des Dorfes Numudara. In: K. Brunk & U. Greinert-Byer (eds.), *Mensch und Natur in Westafrika: Eine interdisziplinäre Festschrift für Gunter Nagel* (Berichte des Sonderforschungsbereichs 268), 259-267. Frankfurt am Main: Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität.
- Winkelmann, Kerstin. 1996. Quelques remarques sur l'histoire des Cefɔ. *Gur Papers/Cahiers Voltaïques* 1, 165-175.
- Winkelmann, Kerstin. 1998. *Die Sprache der Cefɔ von Daramandugu (Burkina Faso)*. (Berichte des Sonderforschungsbereichs 268). Frankfurt am Main: Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität. ISBN 3-9806129-0-2. [cited as "W98"].
- Winkelmann, Kerstin. 2007. Cefɔ. In: Gudrun Miehe & Kerstin Winkelmann (eds.), *Noun class systems in Gur languages*, vol. 1, *Southwestern Gur languages (without Gurunsi)*, Köln: Köppe, 480-493.

Indices

1. morphemes

[the transcriptions below do not include a) automatic tonal modifications of H-toned Cv?v sequences in Fl and Ma dialects; b) contour tones due to contractions, e.g. kǎ, nō, nô ; c) vowel nasalization after nasal consonants (distinctive only for Bi dialect)]

- =ʔá, =ʔí (alphabetized as á, í)
=ʔ clause-final, §3.2.1.9
at end of negative clause, §10.2.5.1
after ‘all’, §6.6.1.1
- a
- á a) perfective negative, §10.2.5.2
b) inanimate classifier with adjectives, §4.5.1, §6.3.1
c) = á InanSg demonstrative (variant yá), §4.4.2.2
d) á- ‘go and’, suppletive for yíʔí ‘go’ in compounds:
future nà á- ‘will go and’, §10.2.3.2
infinitival kà á- ‘and go and’, §15.2.3.3.2
prohibitive mà á- ~ má-nà á-, §10.4.1.2.3
medial -á- in three-verb compounds, §15.1.5.3
e) tà á- ‘as soon as’, §15.3.5.5
- ā a) Ipfv, raised from à before L-tone, §3.6.2.1
b) ‘with/and’ preposition (variant of kā), raised from kà before L-tone, §3.6.2.1
c) = ā or vocalic prolongation, clause-final interrogative, §13.2.1.1, §13.2.2.1
- à a) 3Inan proclitic pronominal
b) imperfective positive (before verb), §10.2.2.1.
intercalated between two compounded verbs, §10.1.6.1, §15.1.1
k-ā ~ k-ā imperfective infinitive, §15.2.2
(kō) tì-à-, (kō) tà-à- ‘(and) goes and’, in compounds, §15.2.3.3.3
kō rà-à- ‘and go and’ (Bi dialect), §15.2.3.3.5
à-mā ‘be (somewhere)’, §11.2.3.1
c) ‘with/and’ preposition (Bi dialect, variant of kà), §8.2
d) à- ‘come and’, reduced from bà- in compounds, §15.2.3.2
e) in à-bìʔéʔn ‘leaf’, §4.4.1.2
f) = à ‘it is’ after predicate NP (variant = yà), §11.2.1.1
g) -à 2Sg possessor suffix, §4.3.1.2, §6.2.5.2
especially as reflexive possessor, §18.1.1
- álè ‘even’, phrase- or clause-initial, §19.1.7
‘even if’, §16.2.1
álè fǒ ‘all the way to/until’, §8.3.10.2

- ānàʔà ~ wānàʔà ‘face’
 ānàʔà nī ‘in front of’, §8.3.5; ‘ahead, forward’
 àⁿdéné nī ‘seems/looks like ...’, §15.3.1.4
 áywà ‘well, ...’, §19.3.3
 ba
 bá ‘cultivate (crops)’, base stem
 bā raised from bà ‘if’ before L-tone
 bà a) ‘come’, Pfv=base (Ipfv bē), §10.1.3
 in: bà [kà X] ‘came with X’ = ‘brought X’, §11.1.3.2 at (846)
 as Vb1 or Vb2 in verb compounds (non-infinitival), §15.1.5.1
 infinitival kō bà ‘and come’ in biclausal constructions, §15.2.3.2
 in ‘from X to Y’, §8.3.10.1
 b) ‘if’ (dialectally mà), §16.1.1.1
 bàʔà dative and possessive postposition
 ‘chez, at the place of, among’, §8.1.1
 dative with ‘say (to sb)’, §17.1.3
 in ‘have’ construction, §11.5.1.2
 kà-bàʔà ‘want it’, §11.2.5.2.1
 in ‘want (to VP) construction, §17.4.3.1
 bá-kō ‘must’, §17.1.8
 bànà ‘until (today)’, §15.3.5.2
 bàⁿʔàⁿ ‘other’, §18.5.2.3
 be
 bé in: ó-bé ~ é-bé ‘all of us’ (< bíé), §4.3.1.5
 bē a) Ipfv of ‘come’, §10.1.3
 b) raised from future bè before L-tone, §3.6.2.1
 c) bē-kè ‘what?’, §13.2.3.2.1
 bè a) future particle
 perfective future, §10.2.1.2
 imperfective future, §10.2.2.2
 negated as má bè, §10.2.5.3
 bè = ʔí- ‘will go and’, §10.2.1.3
 b) discourse-definite inanimate, §4.4.2.1, §6.5.3
 c) discourse-definite manner adverb ‘thus’, §8.5.5.2.5
 bè-kā, bè-kà-tó, ‘like that’, §8.5.5.2.1
 bè-yá-ró, §8.5.5.2.2, §19.4.3
 bè tóʔó ~ bì tóʔó ‘that’s why ...’, §8.5.5.2 (see also bì-)
 d) NP-final inanimate topic marker, §19.1.2.1
 e) part of or adjoined to content interrogatives
 bē-kè ~ bē-gè ~ kē-bè ‘what?’, §13.2.3.2.1
 with sē ‘where?’, §13.2.3.3 at (986)
 bēⁿ
 bēⁿ ‘match, be equal to’, §12.2.2
 -bēⁿ compound final for young domestic animals, §5.1.6.3
 bère ‘still’, §10.3.2.1

Indices

- bi
- bí a) originally ‘child’, preserved in compounds (see also bíó, -bèⁿ)
ná-bí ~ nà-bí ‘person’ or ‘chld’, §5.1.6.1
bí-ǰĩⁿ ‘child’, §5.1.6.1 at (411)
b) bí-mlèⁿ ‘how much (currency)?’ (< bí-klò ‘cowry’), §13.2.3.5.2
- bī in: ē bī-kè ‘what?’ (variant of bē-kè), §13.2.3.2.1
- bì a) -bì, form of bí ‘child’, in compounds for animal juveniles, §5.1.6.1
b) variant (Bi dialect) of discourse-definite bè in some combinations
bì tóʔó, bì-kà-té, §8.5.5.2.1
- bíé(?) ‘all’, §6.6.1.1
as emphatic, §19.1.8
has final glottal stop before pause
- bio
- bíó a) ‘fruit(s), seed(s)’, §5.1.6.2
b) -bí-ó, plural of compound ending in -bí ‘child’
ná-bí-ó ‘people’ or ‘children’ (plural), §5.1.6.1
- bìò a) compound-final version of bíó, §5.1.6.2
b) -bì-ò, plural of -bì (juvenile animal), §5.1.6.1
- bó a) focalized or independent 3AnSg pronoun, §4.3.2.1
focalized, §13.1.2.1
b) 3AnSg logophoric pronoun, §18.3.1
c) NP-final animate singular topic marker, §19.1.2.1
d) in sò-bó (and variants) ‘who?’, §13.2.3.1
- bù compound final (‘finger’, ‘toe’), §4.1.2.2, §5.1.7.5
- bùò a) 2Pl pronominal, §4.3.1.1
b) 3Pl logophoric pronoun, §18.3.1
c) 3Pl independent pronoun, §4.3.2.1
focalized, §13.1.2.1
d) NP-final (animate) plural topic marker, §19.1.2.1
- cítùò ‘between’, §8.3.9.1
- có! ‘indeed!’, §8.5.3.2.2, §19.5.1
- cùʔà-tĩⁿ ‘under’, §8.3.8.2
- dáʔá ‘time’
as compound final, §5.1.7.7
head of adverbial relative, §15.3.5.1
ǰĩⁿ dáʔá ‘when?’, interrogative, §13.2.3.4
- dàⁿ ‘arrive, reach’, base=Ipfv (Pfv dèⁿ)
in comparatives (‘become equal to’), §12.2.1
in ‘not quite’ expressions, §8.5.3.1
- de
- dé ‘however’ (subject-final, variant dó), §19.3.8
- dē raised from dè (quotative particle) before L-tone
- dè a) ‘say’ (Pfv, variants rè, nè), §11.3, §17.1.1
b) quotative particle (variants rè, nè), §17.1.2.1
in complements, §17.3.1, §17.3.2.1
c) IpfvPast (Bi dialect, variants rè, nè), §10.3.1.8

Indices

- dε
- dé a) ‘body’
b) ‘be satiated’ (e.g. full after eating or drinking), base stem as Vb2 in verb compounds, §15.1.2.3
- dē a) ‘younger sibling’
b) ‘raise (child)’, Pfv only (base=Ipfv dá)
- dè a) ‘field’
b) dropped from dē before H-tone
- = dēʔ clause-final emphatic (variants = rēʔ, = nēʔ), §19.4.1
- dè-dè ‘now’ (variant dà-rè), §8.5.7.1
- dèⁿʔéⁿ ‘one’ (human variant nā-dèⁿʔéⁿ), §4.6.1.1
‘only’, §19.2.3
in comparatives, §12.2.3
adverbial [ē dèⁿʔéⁿ] nī, §12.2.3
- dérá Vb2 in verb compounds, ‘do a lot, do too much’, §15.1.2.1.2
- dà-rè variant of dē-dè ‘now’
- déróⁿ ‘only’, §19.2.1
‘as soon as’, §15.3.5.9
- die
- dié archaic 1Pl pronoun after verb or preposition, §4.3.1.4
- diē a) ‘enter’, base=Ipfv
in verb-verb compounds, §15.1.5.4
b) ‘ate’, Pfv only (base=Ipfv dí)
- diè a) ‘entered’, Pfv only
b) dropped from diē before H-tone
- dígàʔò ‘other’
ò dígà-rò reciprocal, §18.4.1
ò dígà-rò nī ‘together’, §18.4.3
- díklè ‘so-and-so’, §18.5.1.3
- díⁿ noun ‘breed, race, species’ or ‘(someone’s) equal, peer’
in superlatives (‘peerless’), §12.1.5
ʃɪnà-díⁿ ‘any kind’
bè-kà-díⁿ ‘thus’, §8.5.5.2.4
- do
- dó a) ‘however’ (subject-final, variant dé), §19.3.8
in biclausal presentatives, §4.4.4.3
b) (someone’s) ‘possession, share, role’ (etc.)
default inanimate possessum, §6.2.4.1
in ‘X belong to Y’ construction, §11.5.2
c) ‘divide, share’, Ipfv stem, §3.4.2.5 at (95b)
- dò ‘is/does a little’, Ipfv stem, §8.5.2.2.1
- dò a) ‘say’ or ‘speak’, §11.3
b) -dò, compounded inanimate possessum, in partitive function, §6.2.4.3
in compound ‘cooking oil’, §5.1.10.3
c) clause-final emphatic (variants lò, rò), §19.4.2

Indices

do	
-d̄	‘be/do a little’, base stem, §8.5.2.2.1
-d̄	final in affinal kin terms, §5.1.5.2
d̄nʔɔ̄n	nā-d̄nʔɔ̄n ‘one person’, §4.6.1.1 ‘only’, §19.2.3
d̄nɪ	‘a little, slightly’ (with further variants), §8.5.2.2.2
e	
é	a) 1Pl proclitic pronominal (variant ó), §4.3.1 é-yùò, 1Pl independent pronoun, §4.3.1 é-bé ‘all of us’, §4.3.1.5 b) variant (Bi dialect) of yé ‘walk’
ē	article before noun, §4.4.1.1, §6.5.1
è	a) dropped from article ē before H-tone b) IpfvPast, dialectal variant of yì, §10.3.1.8
érè	‘these/those’ inanimate plural demonstrative (Ji dialect), §4.4.2.2
-è	2Sg possessor suffix (variant of -à), §6.2.5.2 2Sg reflexive possessor (variant of -à), §18.1.1
εʔε	
èʔé	‘thing’ (part of) ‘what?’ interrogative, §13.2.3.2.1
-èʔè	inanimate participle, §4.5.4 in compounds, §5.1.10.2, §5.1.10.4
èrē	‘even’ (variant = rē), §19.1.6
f̄rān	‘also, too’, §19.1.5
fó	a) ‘must’, §17.1.7 b) ‘pass (by), depart, keep going’, base=Ipfv (Pfv fiē) ‘surpass’ in comparatives, §12.1.1-2
fòè	‘not at all’, §10.2.5.8.2
f̄	a) ‘until, all the way to’, §8.3.10.2, §15.3.4.1 f̄ kà ‘all the way to’, §8.3.10.2 b) ‘must’ (variant f̄ó), §17.1.7
gàʔà	‘do first’, base=Ipfv, §8.5.7.2, §15.1.4.4 as V1 in verb compounds, §15.1.4.4
gblàʔà	approximate (location), §4.4.3.3
gbèʔé	‘let’s go!’, §10.4.2.1.1
gě	reciprocal (variant gèré), §18.4.3
gεʔε	
gèʔé	‘what?’, §13.2.3.2.1
gèʔè	a) ‘did first’, Pfv b) ‘broke, snapped’, Pfv
gèré	reciprocal (variant gě), §18.4.3
gārēn	verb ‘fix; manufacture’, invariant ‘do a lot’ as Vb2 in verb compounds, §15.1.2.1.1 ‘do well’ as Vb2 in verb compounds, §8.5.4.1

- glo
- glō a) ‘take out, take away, remove’ (transitive), invariant, §9.3.2 at (625)
as second verb in verb-verb compounds, §15.1.5.5
b) ‘exited, departed’, Pfv only, §9.3.2 at (625)
functional equivalent of ablative, §8.3.1, §11.1.3.2 at (844b)
- glò a) ‘it is’ (negated or after focus)
à glò ‘it is’ after focalized constituent, §13.1.3.5
má⁽ⁿ⁾ glò = ? ‘it is not’, §11.2.1.2
‘if it is not’, §16.1.1.8
in periphrastic ‘only’ construction, §19.2.4
b) dropped from glō before H-tone
- glú base=Ipfv of intransitive ‘exit, depart’ (Pfv glō), §9.3.2 at (625)
in bodily-secretion expressions, §11.1.1.6 at (835)
as second verb in verb-verb compounds, §15.1.5.5
in ‘from X to Y’, §8.3.10.1
- go
- gō lenited from kō (infinitival or copula)
gò lenited from kò (hortative, or dropped from gō)
- gò-sō ‘be proper, right’, §8.5.4.2
- hàyà ‘well, ...’, §19.3.4
- i
- í-yùò dialectal (Bi) for é-yùò, 1Pl independent pronoun, 4.3.1
= ?í- ‘go and’, §10.2.1.3
- ìj̀ǹr̀è ‘these/those’ inanimate plural demonstrative (enclitic form), §4.4.2.2
ìj̀ǹr̀è yá (optional Ma dialect variant)
- ja
- já verb ‘leave, abandon, leave alone’, invariant except Bi Pfv jē
in ‘cease VPing’ construction, §17.5.2.1
in ‘why?’ interrogatives, §13.2.3.2.3
in periphrastic causatives, §17.4.2.5.4
já X má glò, ‘if it is not’, §16.1.1.8
- jǎ→ ‘lo!’, §19.3.7
- jáⁿgò in purposive clause, §17.6.2.4
- jàtí ‘exactly!’ or ‘indeed’, §8.5.3.2.3
- jè?è ‘only’ (variant jì?è), §19.2.2
- jəre
- jè-ré a) relative morpheme (inanimate plural), §14.1.1
b) ‘which?’ (singular), §13.2.3.6.1
- jē-rē inanimate plural indefinite, §4.4.2.3
- j̀èr̀óⁿ a) relative morpheme (singular), §14.1.1
b) ‘which?’ (singular), §13.2.3.6.1
- jəro
- j̀èr̀ó a) relative morpheme (animate plural), §14.1.1
b) ‘which?’ (animate plural), §13.2.3.6.1
- jē-rō animate plural indefinite, §4.4.2.3
- ji

Indices

- jí a) clause-initial particle
in conditional antecedents, §16.1.1.4-5
infinitival jí kō (~ jí kò) for local narrative climax, §15.2.1.2
in hortatives, §10.4.2.1.2
fused part of hortative jó, §10.4.2.1.2
in dubitative complements, §17.3.1.3
- jī a) indefinite ‘some’, §4.4.2.3
‘something’ or ‘someone’ as noun, §4.4.2.3
b) ‘know, be acquainted with’, §11.2.5.1.2
- jì dropped from jī before H-tone
- jìʔè ‘only’ (variant jèʔè), §19.2.2
- jíé-nì ‘one’ (in counting sequence), §4.6.1.1
- jí-má-bè ‘otherwise, anyway’, §19.1.3
- jó hortative, §10.4.2.1.2
- juo
- júó default animate possessum, §6.2.4.2
- júò third person animate pronominal after kà ‘with/and’, §4.3.2.4
- jùò compounded animate possessum, in partitive function, §6.2.4.3
- juʔo
- jūʔō a) ‘hear’, base stem, §3.4.2.5 at (97d)
with complement clause, §17.3.2.1-2
b) -jūʔō ‘help’ (in verb compounds), §15.1.1.6
tàⁿ-jūʔō ‘help’ (default compound), base stem, §17.4.2.3.1
- jùʔò a) ‘follow’, base stem, §3.4.2.5 at (97c)
in verb compounds, §15.1.1.6
b) ‘put (pot) up on (fire)’, base stem, §3.4.2.5 at (97b)
c) dropped from jūʔō before H-tone
- ka
- ká a) ‘like, similar to’ (dialectally tá), §8.5.1.1, §15.3.1.2
b) past (dialectally tá, tâ, dè), §10.3.1.1
c) subjunctive, §17.6.2.6
kò ká §10.4.2.3.2 (wishes), §17.6.2.6 (purposive clause)
ká-káⁿ, §8.5.4.3
d) ká- ‘do again’, in verb compounds, §15.1.3.2
- kā a) animate classifier with adjectives, §4.5.1, §6.3.1
b) raised from kà ‘with, and’ before L-tone, §3.6.2.1
c) k-ā, raised from k-ā (imperfective infinitival) before L-tone
d) kā = à- ‘and come and’ (< *kō bà-), §15.2.3.2
e) noun ‘manner’
kā jèrɔ̃ⁿ (relative clause head), §15.3.1.1
- k-ā imperfective infinitival < /kō à/, §15.2.2

Indices

- kà (see also kà-bàʔà)
- a) instrumental or comitative ‘with’ preposition, §8.2
in ‘X be with Y’ = ‘X have Y’ construction, §11.5.1.1
‘have health’, §11.1.1.6 at (832)
kà lō ‘with it/them (inanimate)’, §4.3.2.4, §8.2
kà júò ‘with him/her/it/them (animate)’, §4.3.2.4, §8.2
fǒ kà ‘all the way to’, §8.3.10.2
- b) ‘and’ conjunction for NPs, §7.1.1
- c) -kà ‘animal’, in some compounds, §5.1.7.1 (cf. kàʔá ‘meat’)
- d) -kà, form of kǎ ‘manner’ as compound final, §5.1.7.2
- e) kà = á- ‘and went and’ < infinitival kō plus á- ‘go and’, §15.2.3.3.2
‘when’ (Fr *quand*), §15.3.5.4
- káá
- kaʔa
- kàʔá ‘meat’, hence ‘hunted game animal’ (see also -kà ‘animal’)
- kàʔà deverbal animate singular participial suffix (Pl is -kǎ), §4.5.4
- kà-bàʔà ‘want (to VP)’, §11.2.5.2.1
with hortative complement, §17.4.3.1
- kaⁿ
- káⁿ ‘must, ought’, §17.4.3.3
káⁿ-káⁿ ~ kǎ-kǎⁿ, §8.5.4.3
- kǎⁿ AnSg demonstrative, §4.4.2.2
- kánà negative wish (< Jula), §10.4.2.4
- kàtǎgú ‘because ...’ (< Jula), §17.6.1.2
- kàtó ‘when ...’, §15.3.5.3
- ke
- ké clause-final emphatic (variant kùé), §19.4.6
- kě ‘matter, issue, (abstract) thing’
[X kě] nī ‘about/concerning X’, §8.4
- kè ‘what?’, §13.2.3.2.1
ē bē-kè ‘what?’, §13.2.3.2.1
kà = ā kè ‘with what?’, §13.2.3.2.2
kè-bè ‘what?’, §13.2.3.2.1
bē-kè ~ bē-gè ‘what?’, §13.2.3.2.1
- kè clause-final emphatic, §19.4.5
‘precisely’, §8.5.3.2.6
- kèʔé ‘what?’, §13.2.3.2.1
- keⁿ
- kěⁿ ‘guy, fellow, man’ (variant kêⁿ), §4.1.4.1, §18.5.1.1
- kêⁿ variant of kěⁿ
- kêⁿ ‘man’, in compounds, §5.1.6.8
- kèrǎⁿ NP-final topic marker, §19.1.2.2
- klá ‘return’, base stem
‘do again’ in verb compounds, §10.3.2.2, §15.1.3.1
‘do again’ with infinitival VP, §15.2.3.1

- klè ‘do’ or ‘be done’
in ‘why?’ interrogatives, §13.2.3.2.3
causative with indicative complement, §17.2.1
in periphrastic causatives, §17.4.2.5.1
- klò-ko ‘approach’ as Vb1 in verb compounds, §15.1.5.6
- kō a) copula ‘be’, §11.2.2.1
in progressive construction, §10.2.4
with predicate adjectives, §11.4.2
with expressive adverbial, §11.1.3.1, §11.4.4
in ‘hunger/thirst/sickness’ expressions, §11.1.1.6 at (829-830)
kō kǎⁿ, animate presentative, §4.4.4.2-3
negated as má kō, §11.2.2.2
b) infinitival, in event sequences and in subordination, §15.2
kō bà ‘and come’, §15.2.3.2
k = ó-, kò ó-, kò-ʔó ‘and go and’, §15.2.3.3.1
kà = á- ‘and went and’, §15.2.3.3.2
kō rà- ‘and go and’ (Bi dialect), §15.2.3.3.4
kō rà-à- ‘and goes and’ (Bi dialect), §15.2.3.3.5
kō tì-à ~ kō tà-à ‘and goes and’, §15.2.3.3.3
kō sàrè ‘and proceed(ed) to (do)’, §15.3.5.7.1
kō in counterfactual consequents, §16.4.7
in ‘something to eat’ construction, §17.7.2
- kò a) hortative, §10.4.2.1.2-3
in wishes, §10.4.2.3
b) dropped from kō (infinitival or copula) before H-tone
kò ó- and kò ʔó- ‘and go and’, §15.2.3.3.1
kò yá, inanimate presentative, §4.4.4.2-3
- kò = ó ~ kò-ʔó in VP following a ‘go’ verb, §15.2.3.3.1
- kɔ̄ kō a) ‘finish VPing’, ‘have already done’, §10.3.2.5, §15.1.3.6
b) ‘day’ (as locator in time)
ʃɪⁿ-gɔ̄ ~ ʃɪⁿ-ŋɔ̄ ‘which day?’, §13.2.3.4
c) kō-yùò ‘these/those’ animate plural demonstrative (variant kǎ-rò), §4.4.2.2
- kǎ- -kò kǎ-rò ‘these/those’ animate plural demonstrative (variant kō-yùò), §4.4.2.2
a) animate plural participial suffix (Sg is -kàʔà), §4.5.4
b) plural of -kà in animal compounds, §5.1.7.1
- kòni topic (‘as for’), < Jula, §19.1.2.3.1
- kɔ̄ⁿ ‘know’, §11.2.5.1.1
with complement clause, §17.3.1.1-4
- kùé clause-final emphatic (variant ké), §19.4.6
- l- intrusive -l- in Pfv and/or Ipv verb stems, §10.1.5.5
- lè clause-final emphatic (variant rè), §19.4.2
- lē→ preceding a quotation, §17.1.2.2

Indices

- léⁿ a) ‘stop, block, prevent’
 b) ‘cease’, §17.5.2.2
 b) ‘consent, agree (to do)’, §17.4.4.1
- líⁿ ‘guts, entrails’
 complex postposition [X líⁿ] ní ‘inside X’, §8.3.3
 in personality-type expressions, §11.1.1.5 at (826)
- lo
- ló ‘turn’, base=Ipfv (Pfv lē)
 as Vb1 or Vb2 in verb compounds, §15.1.1.7
- lō third person inanimate pronominal after kà ‘with/and’, §4.3.2.4
- lò a) ‘after’, clause-final particle, §15.3.5.6
 b) clause-final emphatic (variants rò, dō), §19.4.2
- ma (Bi dialect maⁿ except when secondarily nasalized from bà ‘if’)
- mā a) ‘there (definite)’, §4.4.3.1
 superfluous after ‘leave’, §4.4.3.2
 b) à-mā ‘be (somewhere)’, §11.2.3.1
 negative ní-mā, §11.2.3.3
 past yì-mā, §11.2.3.2
 c) variant of mâ (prohibitive)
 d) raised from mà ‘if’ before L-tone
 e) mā-jī (backchannel phrase), §19.5.2
- mà a) ‘if/when’ (post-subject), §16.1.1.1
 invariant form (Ji dialect)
 nasalized from bà (Bi dialect)
 b) dropped from mā before H-tone
- mâ prohibitive (variants mā, má-nà), §10.4.1.2.1
 in hortative negative, §10.4.2.2
 in complement of ‘forbid’, §17.4.3.4
 in ‘must not’(hortative negative) construction, §17.1.6.4
 in nominal compound, §5.1.13.1
- má a) contraction of 2Sg mó (in má = á, má = à)
 b) negative (except perfective negative)
 imperfective negative, §10.2.5.6
 future negative, §10.2.5.3-4
 má kō ‘not be’, §11.2.2.2
 má kō in progressive negative, §10.2.5.7
 má glò = ? ‘it is not’, §11.2.1.2
 má káⁿ ‘must not’, §17.4.3.3 at (1374)
- mè in manner adverbials, related to mlěⁿ
 mè-kā ‘how?’, §13.2.3.5.1
 mè-kà-díⁿ ‘how?’, §13.2.3.5.1
 mè-yá ‘how?’, §13.2.3.5.1
- me
- mé a) ‘shoot, throw’
 as Vb1 in verb compounds, §15.1.1.8
 b) ‘apart, separate’, §18.2.2

- mi
- mí- ‘scatter, strew’
as Vb1 in verb compounds, §15.1.1.8
- = mî 2Sg pronominal object enclitic, §4.3.1.3
- míʔá in reflexive objects, §18.1.2
in emphatic pronouns, §18.2.1
- mîé archaic 1Pl pronoun after verb or preposition, §4.3.1.4
- mleⁿ
- mlěⁿ nî ‘now’, §8.5.7.1
- mlěⁿ ‘thus, like that’, §8.5.5.1 (see also mè)
- mlěⁿʔ ‘how?’ and ‘how many?, how much?’, §13.2.3.5.2
- mo
- mó a) 2Sg pronominal, §4.3.1.1
b) nasalized from bó
sò-mó ‘who?’, §13.2.3.1
- mô→ clause-final particle, §19.1.4
- n n dèⁿʔéⁿ ‘one’, §4.6.1.1
- na
- ná- a) ‘person’, in compounds, §5.1.5.5 (see also -nò)
pì-ná ~ pè-ná ‘herder’, §5.1.5.4
b) contraction of 1Sg nó (in ná = á, ná = à)
- nā- variant of ná- ‘person’
nā-dòʔóⁿ ‘one person’, §18.2.1
- nà a) future, §10.2.3
in ‘something to eat’ construction, §17.7.1
nà á- ‘will go and’, §10.2.3.2
b) counterfactual, §16.4.2
nà bè, §10.2.1.4, §16.4.6
nà kò, §10.2.1.4, §16.4.7
- nă past habitual, §10.2.2.3
(variant of dè)
- nè
- ni
- ní a) -ní, verbal noun, §4.2.1.1
in deadjectival abstractive nominals, §4.1.2.5.6
b) -ní, default plural of nouns, §4.1.2.5
c) ní- negative element, only in ní-mà ‘not be (somewhere)’
d) ní, clause-final in presentatives, §4.4.4.1
- nî a) locative postposition, §8.3.2.1
with direction verbs, §11.1.3.2
in ‘be hungry/thirsty’ construction, §11.1.1.6
‘than’ in ‘better than’ construction, §12.1.3
b) after progressive verb, §10.2.4
c) ‘X times’ with numeral, §6.4.5
d) ‘mother’

Indices

- nì a) = nì 3Inan object enclitic, §4.3.2.3
 b) -nì ‘adult female’ compound final (variant -nìʔì), §5.1.6.6
 c) dropped from nī before H-tone
- ní-mā ‘is/are not (somewhere)’, §11.2.3.2
 in superlatives, §12.1.5
- nó 1Sg pronominal, §4.3.1.1
- nóʔó nasalized (Bi dialect) from tóʔó (focalizer)
- nè a) singular agentive, §4.2.2, §5.1.5.1 (related to ná-/nā- ‘person’)
 b) final in affinal kin terms (variant of -dè), §5.1.5.2
- nī
- nī a) ‘see’, base stem
 with indicative complement, §17.2.2
 b) ‘drink’, Ipfv stem
 c) nī = , variant of nó in inanimate pronominal presentative
 nī = ì ní, §4.4.4.1 at (331c)
- nɔ
- nɔ a) ‘look at’, base stem
 in presentatives, §4.4.4.1
 ‘have (once/ever) VPed’ (experiential perfect), §15.1.4.3
 negated ‘have never VPed’, §15.1.4.3
 ‘try to do’ or ‘consider doing’ in verb compounds, §15.1.7.2
 in other verb compounds, §15.1.1.11
 with complement, §17.3.3
 b) ‘heart (emotions, courage)’
 in emotion expressions, §11.1.1.5 at (827)
 as compound final, §5.1.7.6
- nɔ̄ ‘drink’, base stem
- nɔ̄ dropped from nɔ̄ before H-tone
- nɔ
- nú ‘look (at)’, base=Ipfv
- nū = variant of nɔ ‘look!’ in animate pronominal presentative
 AnSg nū = ɔ̄ⁿ ní, §4.4.4.1 at (331a)
 AnPl nū = wò ní, §4.4.4.1 at (331b)
- nɔo
- nūō variant of yúó ‘people’ in numerals ‘2’ and ‘3’, §4.6.1.2 at (365)
 ē nūō jǔⁿ ‘two people’ (dialectally with yūō)
- nùō dropped from nūō before H-tone, only in ē nùō sáⁿ ‘three people’
- ŋ
- ŋ 1Sg proclitic pronominal (subject, possessor), §4.3.1.6.1
- ŋ a) 1Sg reflexive possessor, §18.1.1
 b) 2Sg proclitic pronominal (subject), §4.3.1.6.2
 c) filler on resumption after a hesitation, §3.1.1.10
- ŋo
- ŋō nasalized (Bi dialect) from kō (infinitival or copula)
- ŋò nasalized (Bi dialect) from kò (hortative, or dropped from kō)
- ŋùʔùⁿ clause-final ‘why?’ (rare, Ji dialect), §13.2.3.2.3

o

- ó (~ é) a) 1Pl proclitic pronominal, §4.3.1.1
 b) in k = ó- ‘and went and’, §15.2.3.3.1
- ò a) 3Pl proclitic pronominal, §4.3.2.1
 b) transpersonal plural reflexive possessor, §18.1.1
 c) plural-addressee imperative, §10.4.1.1
 in hortatives, §10.4.2.1.2
 d) before numerals ‘2’ to ‘9’, §6.4.1
 in bahuvrihi compound, §5.2.2.2
 e) reduced from infinitival kō, copula kō, or hortative kò
 in hortative jó = ò, §10.4.2.1.2
 f) disjunction ‘or’ with repeated noun stem, §7.2.3
- ō a) raised from 3Pl proclitic pronominal ò before L-tone, §3.6.2.1
 b) raised from transpersonal plural reflexive possessor, §3.6.2
 c) reduced from infinitival kō or copula kō
- ō = Ø 3Pl subject combined with PfvNeg á
- ó-bé ‘all of us’, §4.3.1.5
- ó-yùò 1Pl independent pronoun, §4.3.1.1
- oⁿ
- òⁿ a) 3AnSg proclitic pronominal, §4.3.2.1
 b) 3AnSg reflexive possessor, §18.1.1
 c) dative preposition, §8.1.2
 after ditransitive verb (‘give’, ‘show’)
 after dáⁿ ‘be pleasing (to)’
 d) 3AnSg dative
- ōⁿ a) raised from 3AnSg proclitic òⁿ before L-tone, §3.6.2.1
 b) raised from 3AnSg reflexive possessor òⁿ before L-tone, §3.6.2.1
- pàⁿ-tōⁿ ‘under’, §8.3.8.1
- pē ‘forget’, base=Ipfv
 with complement, §17.3.4, §17.4.2.2
- pēⁿ ‘remain’, base stem, §11.2.4.1
 Vb1 in verb compounds ‘keep doing’, §15.1.3.5
 may substitute for ‘be’ in progressive, §10.2.4.1 at (739)
- pèⁿʔéⁿ ‘male (animal)’, §5.1.6.5
- plē a) ‘be better, be more’, §12.1.3
 b) ‘become easy; heal’, all stems
 c) ‘pound (in mortar)’, Pfv only (base=Ipfv pló)
- plūⁿ ‘be able (to do)’, Ipfv (base is -pōⁿ)
- pōⁿ
- pōⁿ variant of -pòⁿ in ná-pōⁿ ‘bull’, §5.1.6.4
- pōⁿ in ‘can, be able to’, in verb compounds, §15.1.7.1
- pòⁿ a) dropped from -pōⁿ before H-tone
 b) -pòⁿ in compounds for adult male domestic animals, §5.1.6.4
- r- a) (-ri, -re, -re, -ra, -rɔ, -ro, -ru)
 plural formative in nouns, adjectives, and demonstratives
 b) intrusive -r- in Pfv and/or Ipfv verb stems, §10.1.2.10, §10.1.5.4

Indices

- ra
- râ Past (Bi dialect), §10.3.1.1
- rà Past (Bi dialect), §10.3.1.1
- kō rà- ‘and go and’ (Bi dialect), §15.2.3.3.4
- kō rà-à- ‘and goes and’ (Bi dialect), §15.2.3.3.5
- re
- =rê→ emphatic, §19.4.4
- rè a) IpfvPast (Bi dialect, variant of dè), §10.3.1.8
- b) -rè ‘these/those’ inanimate plural demonstrative (enclitic form), §4.4.2.2
- c) clause-final emphatic (variant lè), §19.4.2
- =rē ‘even’ (variant èrē), §19.1.6
- =rē? variant of =dē? (clause-final emphatic)
- ro
- ró lenited (Bi dialect) from tóʔó (focalizer)
- bè-yá-ró ‘thus’ (Bi dialect for bè-kà-tó), §8.5.5.2.2, §19.4.3
- rò clause-final emphatic (variants lò, dò), §19.4.2
- sàní ‘before’, §15.3.5.8
- sáⁿtíé ‘before’, §15.3.5.8
- sàṅó in purposive clause, §17.6.2.4
- sà-tíé ‘between’, §8.3.9.2
- sàrò ‘proceed to (do)’
- kō sàrò ‘and proceed(ed) to’, §15.3.5.7.1
- kà-sàrò ‘whereas’, §15.3.5.7.2
- sārōⁿ a) ‘ascend, go up’, Pfv only (cf. sárúⁿ), §9.3.2 at (624)
- b) ‘take up, load’, all stems, §9.3.2 at (624)
- sárúⁿ ‘ascend, go up’, base=Ipfv (cf. sārōⁿ), §9.3.2 at (624)
- se
- sē a) ‘where?’, §13.2.3.3
- sē = è ‘is/are where?’, §13.2.3.3
- b) ‘father’
- c) verb ‘land; (sun) set’, Pfv only, §15.1.1.9 at (1052)
- sè a) ‘carried (on head)’, Pfv only, §15.1.1.9 at (1052)
- b) dropped from sē before H-tone
- séⁿ→ ‘tiny, minuscule’, §8.5.2.2.5, §8.5.8
- sìṅá nī (see ʃṅa)
- so
- só a) verb ‘land; (sun) set’, base=Ipfv, §15.1.1.9
- b) -só in verb compounds, ‘spend the day doing’, §15.1.4.2
- c) in: dī-só (Bi dí-só) ‘fall’, §15.1.1.9 at (1054b)
- sō ‘take, receive’, base stem, §15.1.1.9
- in verb compounds, §15.1.1.9

- sò a) part of ‘who?’, §13.2.3.1
sò-wí ‘who?’, §13.2.3.1
sò-bó ‘who?’, §13.2.3.1
sò-bó-wí ‘who?’, §13.2.3.1
sò-mó ‘who?’, §13.2.3.1
b) ‘carry (on head)’, base=Ipfv, §15.1.1.9
- sǒⁿ ‘who?’, §13.2.3.1
- sɔⁿ
- sǒⁿ a) ‘who?’, §13.2.3.1
b) ‘think, believe’
with complement clause, §17.3.1.5
- sú→ a) ‘all, every’
kò-kò sú→ ‘every day, always’, §6.6.1.2
b) ‘as soon as’, §16.2.2
- sūʔō ‘give’, base stem
as Vb2 in verb compounds, §15.1.6.2
- sūʔō Pfv of súʔú ‘catch’
- súʔú ‘catch’, base=Ipfv (Pfv sūʔō)
in affliction expressions, §11.1.1.6 at (830-831)
‘catch mouth’ = ‘begin’, §17.5.1
- ʃī ‘take, receive’, Ipfv (base is sō)
- ʃīʔé ‘what?’, §13.2.3.2.1
- ʃīē ‘behind, after’, §8.3.6, §8.5.7.3
- ʃìⁿ part of ‘when?’ interrogatives (‘which time?’ etc.), §13.2.3.4
ʃìⁿ dáʔá ‘when?’, interrogative, §13.2.3.4
ʃìⁿ-gō ~ ʃìⁿ-ŋō ‘which day?’, §13.2.3.4
- ʃijna (variant sijna)
- ... ʃijná nī ‘in situation (where)’ or ‘after’, §15.3.2
- ʃijná-díⁿ ‘any kind’
- ʃūʔō, ʃúʔú (see sūʔū)
- ta
- tá a) ‘like, similar to’, §8.5.1.1, §15.3.1.2
b) in dubitative complements, §17.3.1.4, §17.3.2.1
c) past (dialectally ká, rá ~ rà) §10.3.1.1
d) tá- ‘do again’ (initial in verb compound), §15.1.3.3
- tâ past (especially F1 dialect), §10.3.1.1
- tà tà á- ‘as soon as’, §15.3.5.5
- tàʔà ‘again’, §8.5.7.1
tàʔà-kó ‘again’, §8.5.7.1, §10.3.2.2-3
- tàⁿ ‘not yet’, §10.3.2.4
- táⁿ- ‘do again’ (initial in verb compound), §15.1.3.3

Indices

- te
- té a) inanimate focalizer (variants ré, tē→), §13.1.1
as emphatic for adverbs, §4.4.3.3
bè té já, §8.1.3
b) base=Ipfv of ‘put down’ (Pfv tīē), §11.1.3.2 at (845c)
in verb compounds, §15.1.1.5
main-clause verb in periphrastic causatives, §17.4.2.5.2
- tē→ a) inanimate focalizer or prepausal emphatic, §13.1.1
some cases analysable as té = è with ‘it is’ enclitic
b) clause-final emphatic, §19.4.4
- tē a) clause-final in quoted interrogative, §13.2.1.2, §13.2.2.2
b) -tē ‘fail to do’ as Vb2 in verb compound, §15.1.7.2
c) -tē from té ‘put down’ in some compounds, §15.1.1.5
- tè?è ‘be accustomed to VP’, all stems, §15.1.3.7
- téⁿ ‘daybreak’, §8.5.7.1
- tó-ró focus particle (animate plural), §13.1.1
in emphatic pronouns, §18.2.1
- tì ‘go’, in imperfective infinitival verb compounds
kō tì-à ~ kō tà-à ‘and goes and’, §15.2.3.3.3
- tó a) reduced from tó?ó (focalizer)
bè-kà-tó ‘thus’
b) ‘assemble’, base=Ipfv
‘do together’ in verb compounds, §15.1.6.1
- tó?ó focus particle, §13.1.1
in emphatic pronouns, §18.2.1
- tò ‘other’, §18.5.2.2
- tò?ò ‘place (n)’
in spatial adverbials, §15.3.3
as compound final, §5.1.7.3
as subject in weather expressions, §11.1.1.6 at (834)
tò?ò nī, ‘in order to VP’, §17.6.2.5
- tóⁿ
- tōⁿ ‘in/under’ postposition, §8.3.2.3
- tòⁿ dropped from tōⁿ before H-tone or in compounds
- úⁿ?úⁿ nī ‘on (the head of)’ postposition, §8.3.2.4
- wà→ ‘or’, §7.2.1
- wálà→ ‘right!’ (supportive backchannel), §19.5.1
- we
- wé ‘name’ (Bi dialect, elsewhere yíé)
- wē ‘put in’, base stem, §11.1.3.2 at (845)
in verb compounds, §15.1.1.5
in periphrastic causatives, §17.4.2.5.3

Indices

- wi
- wí singular ‘owner of’, compound final, §5.1.9
 - in ‘the fellow’ expressions, §18.5.1.2
 - in bahuvrihi compound, §5.2.2.2
 - part of ‘who?’ interrogative, §13.2.2.1
 - wī ‘put in’, Ipfv (base wē)
 - in periphrastic causatives, §17.4.2.5.3
- wo
- wō lenited from kō (infinitival or copula)
 - wò a) = wò 3PI postverbal object enclitic, §4.3.2.3
 - b) wò lenited from kò (hortative, or dropped from wō)
- ya
- yá InanSg demonstrative (variant á when postnominal) §4.4.2.2
 - =yà ‘it is’ after predicate NP (variant =à), §11.2.1.1
 - yàⁿgó in purposive clause, §17.6.2.4
- yi
- yī verb ‘fly, jump’, base=Ipfv
 - in verb compounds, §15.1.1.10
 - yì a) IpfvPast (dialectally dè, è), §10.3.1.8
 - yì-mā ‘was/were (somewhere)’, past of à-mā, §11.2.3.2
 - b) dropped from yī before H-tone
 - yì-fló ‘fill’, base stem
- yī-dā ‘cross, jump over, overflow’ (variant yī-dàⁿ)
 - ‘be/do too much’, §15.1.2.1.3
- yī-dàⁿ (variant of yī-dā)
- yi?e
- yī?ē a) ‘went’ (Pfv of yí?í)
 - b) ‘turned over (earth)’ (Pfv of yí?é)
 - yí?é ‘turn over (earth)’, base=Ipfv (Pfv yī?ē)
 - yì?è a) ‘take down, unload’ (invariant)
 - b) dropped from yī?ē before H-tone
 - yì?è [k = ó- ...] ‘went and’ (§15.2.3.3.1)
- yí?í ‘go’, base=Ipfv (Pfv yī?ē) (see also tì-, rà-)
 - kò ó-, k = ó-, kò ?ó- ‘and go and’, §15.2.3.3.1
 - kà á- ‘and went and’, §15.2.3.3.2
 - in ‘ago’ construction, §15.3.5.10
- yo
- yó(?) ‘exactly’, §8.5.3.2.5
 - =yò 3AnSg postverbal object enclitic (variant =ò), §4.3.2.3
- yuo
- yúó a) ‘person’ or ‘people’, §4.1.4.2
 - human classifier with nonsingular numerals, §4.6.1.2, §6.4.1
 - M-toned before ‘2’ and ‘3’, see under yūō and yùò below
 - yūō b) -yúó ‘owners of’, compound final, §5.1.9
 - in: ē yūō jǒⁿ ‘two people’ (dialectally with nūō)

- yùò a) plural agentive, §4.2.2, §5.1.5.1
- b) kō-yùò ‘these/those’ animate plural demonstrative, §4.4.2.2
- c) é-yùò 1Pl pronoun, §4.3.1.1
- d) dropped from yūō in ē yùò sáⁿ ‘three people’ (dialectally with nùò)

1. grammatical terms.

ability	§15.1.7
ablative	§8.3.1, §8.3.10
‘about’	§8.4
abstractive	
deadjektival	§4.1.2.5.6
adjectives	
paradigms	§4.5
syntax	§6.3
predicates	§11.4
adjectival verbs	
past time	§10.3.1.9
adjuncts	§11.1.3
adverbial phrase	§11.1.3.2
‘again’	§10.3.2.2, §15.2.3.1
agentive	
uncompounded	§4.2.2
compounds	§5.1.5.1
allative	§8.3.1, §8.3.10
‘already’	§10.3.2.5
amplification	§8.5.2.1
<i>anaphora</i>	(<i>see reflexive, reciprocal, logophoric</i>)
animacy	
pronouns	§4.3.2
participles	§4.2.3
default possessum	§6.2.4
‘animal’	
compounds	§5.1.6.3-9, §5.1.7.1
apherisis	§3.4.1.1.2
apocope	§3.4.1.1.1
apposition	§6.8
approximative	§4.4.3.3
article	§4.4.1
phonology	§3.4.6.1
in relatives	§14.1.3
2Sg possessor	§6.2.5.2
demonstratives	§6.5.2
ATR	§3.3.3, §3.3.9
noun plurals	§4.1.2.4
backchannel	§19.5

Indices

- bahuvrihi §5.2.2
- base stem (verb) §10.1
 - Vb2 in compound §10.1.6.2
- ‘be’ (see also copula, identificational)
 - ‘be (somewhere)’ §11.2.3
- ‘become’ §11.2.4.2
- ‘before’ §15.3.5.8, §15.3.2
- ‘begin’ §17.5.1
- ‘behind’ §8.3.6
- ‘beside’ §8.3.4.3
- ‘between’ §8.3.9
- body
 - bodily states §11.1.1.6
- case §6.7
- causation
 - “postposition” §8.1.3
 - causative §9.2, §17.2.1, §17.4.2.5
 - causal clause §17.6.1
- ‘child’
 - in compounds §5.1.6.1-2
 - juvenile animal §5.1.6.3
- clitic
 - proclitic §3.5.1
 - pronominal subjects §4.3.1.6 (1Sg and 2Sg)
 - enclitic §3.5.2
 - pronominal objects §4.3.2.3 (3rd person), §4.3.1.3 (2Sg)
 - post-subject morpheme §3.5.3
- clusters §3.2.2
- comitative §8.2
- cognate nominal §11.1.2.4
- comparatives Chapter 12
- complement clause (see also infinitival)
 - indicative §17.2
 - propositional §17.3
 - jussive §17.1.6
- compounds
 - nominal §5.1
 - deverbal §5.1.10
 - N-V-N §5.1.12
 - N-Adj §5.1.3
 - adjectival §5.2
 - verb-verb §10.1.6, §15.1
- conditional Chapter 16
 - relative §16.1.1.7
 - infinitival §16.1.1.9, §16.1.2.3
- conjunction §7.1

Indices

consonants	§3.2
alternations	
stem-initial	§3.4.2.3-9
nasal vs. prenasalized stop	§3.4.4.2
f vs. sibilants	§3.2.1.10
r vs. l or t	§4.1.2.1.3
intrusive	§3.4.3
<i>coordination</i>	<i>see conjunction, disjunction</i>)
copula	§11.2.2
past time	§10.3.1.4
counterfactual	§16.4
currency	§4.6.1.5
dative	
with ‘say’	§8.1.1, §17.1.3
ditransitive	§8.1.2
<i>deglottalization</i>	<i>(see glottal)</i>
demonstrative	
pronouns	§4.4.2.2
adverbs	§4.4.3
<i>denasalization</i>	<i>(see nasal)</i>
<i>deontic</i>	<i>(see imperative, prohibitive, hortative)</i>
determiner	§4.4.2
syntax	§6.5
diminution	§8.5.2.2 (see also ‘child’)
diphthong	§3.1.1.5, §3.4.5.3-4
verb stems	§10.1.2.5-7, §10.1.5.2-3
direction	<i>(see also ablative, allative, motion)</i>
‘away’	§15.1.6.2 (‘give’ as Vb2 in compound)
discourse marker	§19.3
discourse-definite	§4.4.2.1
manner adverbs	§8.5.5.2
disjunction (‘or’)	§7.2
distributive	
numerals	§4.6.1.6
echo clause	§10.2.1.1.2 (perfective)
elision	
initial stop	§3.4.2.1
emotion	
collocations	§11.1.1.5
‘heart’	§5.1.7.6
emphatic	
adverb modifier	§4.4.3.3
particles	§19.4.1-6
<i>enclitic</i>	<i>(see clitic)</i>
epenthesis	§3.4.1.2

Indices

evaluation	§8.5.4
‘do well’	§8.5.4.1
‘even’	
‘even if’	§16.2.1
‘exactly’	§8.5.3.2
exemplar	§5.2.1
existential	§11.2.3
experiential perfect	§15.1.4.3
expressive adverbial	§8.5.8
syntax	§11.1.3.1, §11.4.4
extent	§15.1.2
focalization	Chapter 13
‘forget’	§17.3.4, §17.4.2.2
‘front’	§8.3.5
fronting (vocalic)	
verb (Pfv, Ipfv)	§10.1.2.1-2, §10.1.5.1
nominal plural	§4.1.2.5.3
fractions	§4.6.3
future	
unmarked	§10.2.3
perfective	§10.2.1.2
imperfective	§10.2.2.2
future-in-past	§10.3.1.6
‘sth to eat’	§17.7.1
gender	
compounds	§5.1.6 (animal and human)
glottal	
glottal stop	§3.2.1.9
glottalic syllable	§3.1.1.6, §3.2.1.9
and tone	§3.6.1.5
rhotic plurals	§4.1.2.1.2
deglottalization	
in compounds	§5.1.2
‘go’	
Vb1 in compounds	§15.1.5.2, §15.2.3.3, §10.2.1.3, §10.2.3.2
greetings	§19.6
<i>habitual</i>	(<i>see past habitual</i>)
‘have’	§11.5.1-2 (see also possession)
‘hear’	
complements	§17.3.2
‘help’	
compounds	§15.1.1.6
complements	§17.4.2.3
hesitation	§6.2.2
hiatus	§3.4.5.2

hortative	§10.4.2
complements	§17.4.3
identificational ‘it is’	§11.2.1
past time	§10.3.1.10
imperative	§10.4.1.1 (see also jussive)
subject	§11.1.1.3
focalization	§13.1.2.9
imperfective	§10.2.2
Ipfv verb stem	§10.1
Ipfv particle à	§10.2.2.1
in verb compounds	§10.1.6.1, §3.4.6.4
IpfvPast	§10.3.1.8, §11.2.3.2
infinitival	§15.2.2
imprecations	§10.4.2.3
incorporation	§5.1.4, §5.1.5.1, §5.1.10.4
indefinite	§4.4.2.3
and relative markers	§14.1.8
generic ‘you who’	§14.1.9
infinitival	§15.2
and focalization	§13.1.2.7
complements	§17.4
‘sth to eat’	§17.7.2
‘inside’	§8.3.2.3, §8.3.3
instrumental	§8.2
intercalation	
of Ipfv -à-	§10.1.6.1, §3.4.6.4 (phonology)
interrogatives	§13.2
intonation	§3.7
intrusive {l r u i} after C1	
verb stems	§3.4.3, §10.1.2.5-8, §10.1.2.10, §10.1.5.2-5
<i>iteration</i>	(see reduplication)
jussive	§17.1.6
complements	§17.4.3
kin term	§6.2.3
composite	§5.1.8, §5.1.5.2
‘know’	§11.2.5.1
complements	§17.3.1
labial velar	§3.2.1.5
<i>labile</i>	(see transitivity)
laryngeal	§3.2.1.12
length (vocalic)	§3.3.5
lenition	§3.4.2.1
‘like’ (similarity)	§8.5.1
L#L-to-M#L	§3.6.2.1
LH#H-to-L#H	§3.6.2.3
<LH> flattens to M	§3.6.2.4

- location
 - locative PP §8.3.1-2
 - locational predicate §11.2.3
- logophoric §18.3
- manner
 - adverb(ial)s §8.5.5
 - compounds §5.1.7.2, §8.5.1.3
 - ‘how?’ §13.2.3.5
 - adverbial clause §15.3.1-2
- metathesis §3.4.5.1
- meteorology
 - collocations §11.1.1.4
- M#H-to-L#H §3.6.2.2
- motion
 - direction §8.3.1
 - verb compounds §15.1.5
 - ‘go’ and ‘come’
 - infinitival compounds §15.2.3.2-3
- mutation* (see vowels)
- nasal
 - nasalized vowel §3.3.4
 - prenasalized §3.2.2.2, §3.4.4.1
 - stop → nasal §3.4.4.3
 - y → j in verbs §3.4.2.2
 - denasalization §4.1.2.3
- negation
 - clausal §10.2.5
 - negative adjective §4.5.6
 - constituent §6.6.5
 - ‘not yet’ §10.3.2.4
 - ‘no longer’ §10.3.2.3
 - and focalization §13.1.2.6
- noun (see also plural)
 - noun class §4.1.3
 - noun phrase Chapter 6
- numerals §4.6.1
 - syntax §6.4.1
 - and focalization §13.1.2.2
 - predicates §11.6
 - pre-numeral ò
 - vv-Contraction §3.4.6.2
 - in compounds §5.2.2.2
- object §11.1.2.1
 - verb-object collocation §11.1.2.3
- obligation §17.1.6-8, §17.4.3.3
- obviation §18.5.2

Indices

‘on’	§8.3.2.1, §8.3.2.4
‘one’	
numeral	§4.6.1.1
equality	§12.2.3
‘one’ = ‘only’	§19.2.3
‘only’	§19.2, §15.3.5.9
onomatopoeia	§11.1.2.2
order (linear)	
clause-level	§2.2.1
ordinals	§4.6.2
‘firstly’	§8.5.7.2
‘other;	§18.5.2
‘over’	§8.3.7
‘owner’	
in compounds	§5.1.9
participle	§4.5.4
lexicalized	§4.2.3
in compound	§5.1.10.2-4
‘sth to eat’	§17.7.3
partitive	
compounds	§6.2.4.3
indefinite	§6.5.4 at (518)
with ‘all’	§6.6.1.1
superlative	§12.1.3 at (906b)
relativization	§14.1.5 at (1013)
‘other(s)’	§18.5.2.2
‘pass’	
comparatives	§12.1.1-2
passive	§9.2
past	
reference time	§10.3.1
past habitual	§10.2.2.3
perfect	
experiential perfect	§15.1.4.3
past perfect	§10.3.1.2
perfective	§10.2.1
phasal polarity	§10.3.2
place	
compounds	§5.1.7.3
plural	
nouns	§4.1.2
pluralia tantum	§4.1.2.7
<i>polar(ity)</i>	<i>(see interrogative, phasal polarity)</i>

possession	§6.2
2Sg possessor	§4.3.1.2 (suffix), §6.2.5.2
default possessums	§6.2.4
predicates	§11.5
post-subject morpheme	§3.5.3
progressive	§10.2.4
prohibitive	§10.4.1.2
‘proper’ (morality)	§8.5.4.2-3
PP (adpositional phrase)	
as compound initial	§5.1.11
<i>prenasalized</i>	(see <i>nasal</i>)
presentative	§4.4.4
<i>proclitic</i>	(see <i>clitic</i>)
prolongation	
lexical	§3.7.2
noun plural	§4.1.2.6
pronouns	§4.3
purposive clause	§17.6.2
<i>quality</i>	(see <i>evaluation</i>)
quantifier	§6.6 (see also extent)
quotation	§17.1
reciprocal	§18.4
‘together’	§15.1.6.1, §18.4.2
recursion	
possession	§6.2.1
reduplication	
lexicalized	
nouns	§4.1.1.9-11
adjectives	§4.5.3.2
verbs	§10.1.7
derivational	
adjectives	§4.5.5
plural -ní-ní	§4.1.2.5.5
stem iteration	
numerals	§4.6.1.6
nouns	§4.2.4, §6.6.2.2
referential tracking	§18.5 (see also obviation)
reflexive	§18.1
relativization	Chapter 14
relative markers	§14.1.1
with ‘if’	§14.1.7
same as ‘which?’	§13.2.3.6.1
‘remain’	§11.2.4.1
reversive	§9.1
rhotic	
plural of nouns	§4.1.2.1

Indices

- 'say' §11.3 (see also quotation)
- scalarity §8.5.2
- schwa §3.3.2, §3.4.1.2
- scope §6.6.3-4
- 'see'
 - with complement §17.2.2
- similarity §8.5.1
- spatial
 - postpositions §8.3
 - adverbs §8.5.7.3 (see also demonstrative)
 - adverbial clause §15.3.3-4
- specificity §8.5.3
- 'still' §10.3.2.1
- subject §11.1.1
 - subject-verb collocation §11.1.1.4-6
 - imperative subject §11.1.1.3
- syllable §3.1.1
 - glottalic §3.1.1.6
 - nasal §3.1.1.9-10
 - sesquisyllable §3.6.1.2.2
- temporal* (*see time*)
- time
 - compounds §5.1.7.7
 - adverbs §8.5.7.1-2
 - collocations §11.1.1.4
 - verb compounds §15.1.3-4
 - adverbial clause §15.3.4-5, §15.3.2
- tone
 - lexical melodies §3.6.1
 - glottalic syllables §3.6.1.5
 - noun compounds §5.1.1
 - verb stems §10.1.2.2-3, §10.1.2.9, §10.1.5
 - verb compounds §10.1.6.4
- topic §19.1
- transitivity §11.1.2-3
 - ambi-valent §9.3
 - labile §9.3
 - ditransitive §11.1.2.5
 - in verb compounds §15.1.1.1-4
- 'under' §8.3.2.3, §8.3.8.1-2
- 'until' §15.3.5.2
- verb
 - stem paradigms §10.1
 - verb-verb compounds §10.1.6
- verb phrase §11.1.4

Indices

verbal noun	§4.2.1
in compounds	§5.1.4
vocative	§6.9
vowel	(see also ATR)
noun classes	§4.1.3
alternation	
in verb stems	§3.3.8
back/front	§3.3.9
mutation	in noun plurals, §4.1.2.4.2-3
vv-Contraction	§3.4.6
‘want’	§11.2.5.2
willy-nilly	§16.3

Abbreviations and symbols

Adj	adjective
Adv	adverb(ial)
An	animate
Art	article
ATR	advanced tongue root
C	consonant (in CvCv, etc.)
CFact	counterfactual (§16.4.2)
Class	classifier
cpd	compound
Dat	dative
Def	definite
Dem	demonstrative
EA	expressive adverbial
Emph	emphatic
Eng	English
ExpPf	experiential perfect
Foc	focus
Fr	French
Fut	future
H	high tone
Habit	habitual (in PastHabit, §10.2.2.3)
Hort	hortative
Imprt	imperative
Inan	inanimate
Indef	indefinite
Ipfv	imperfective
L	low tone
Loc	locative
Logo	logophoric
M	mid tone
N	noun
Neg	negative
Num	numeral
O	object (in “SVO”)
Obj	object
Pfv	perfective stem of verbs
Pl	plural
Poss	possessor (in 2SgPoss), possessum (in Poss.An, Poss.Inan)
PP	adpositional phrase
Ppl	participle
Prsntv	presentative

Abbreviations and symbols

Prog	progressive
Proh	prohibitive
Q	question
Recip	reciprocal
Refl	reflexive
Rel	relative-clause marker
S	subject (in “SVO”)
Sbjn	subjunctive
Sg	singular
TAMP	tense, aspect, mood, polarity
Top	topic
V	verb (in “SVO”)
v	vowel (in CvCv, etc.)
Vb1	initial verb (in verb-verb compounds)
Vb2	final verb (in verb-verb compounds)
Vb	verb
VblN	verbal noun
W	Winkelmann

symbols

=	clitic boundary or phonological liaison
&	and
#	ungrammatical
*	reconstructed
→	in transcriptions: prolongation

tone diacritics

ˆ	high tone
˘	low tone
ˉ	mid tone
ˆ̇	rising tone (<LH>)
˘̇	falling tone (<HL>)
ˆ̂	rising tone (<LM>) due to contraction at boundaries
˘̂	falling tone (<ML>) due to contraction at boundaries
ˆ̃	falling tone (<HM>) due to contraction at boundaries
ˆ̄	rising-falling tone (<LHL>) due to contraction at boundaries
˘̄	falling-rising tone (<HLH>) due to contraction at boundaries

Appendix: User's guide to Tief-D lexical spreadsheet

The lexicon is in the form of spreadsheets, initially an Excel spreadsheet in xlsx format divided into multiple worksheets: nouns, adjectives, numerals, verbs, other, and places. "Other" includes grammatical morphemes (pronouns, postpositions, inflectional markers, etc.) as well as basic adverbs (especially spatiotemporal and manner). The organization of each worksheet is customized for the relevant stem-class.

Each xlsx worksheet corresponds to a separate csv spreadsheet for permanent archiving.

Noun worksheet

For nouns, from left to right the column have the following headings: code, syll #, shape, tone, cpd, med C, pl, Jinejan, Masaso, Flaso, Biton, Tief-D, Ji, Ma, Fl, Bi, English, French, comment, scientific name, basis for ID. The codings in each column can be used to sort the lexicon by any of the semantic, prosodic, tonal, and morphological characters that are coded.

"code" indicates semantic category (for flora-fauna see the following paragraphs): abstr[act], activ[ity], body, celest[ial], constr[uction], ethn[icity], fire, food, garm[ent], impl[ement], kin, liquid, med[ical], money, part plant (i.e. parts of plants), person, place, sense, shape, speech, subst[ance], thing, time, topog[raphy], weather.

Natural species labels in the "code" column are the following, beginning with fa[una] and fl[ora]. Domestic animals (e.g. livestock, donkey, dog) are fa mam dom. Wild fauna are classified as fa bird, fa fish, fa herp[etological], fa ins[ect], fa mam[mal], fa mol[lusc]. Birds, fish, and molluscs are not further subcategorized.

All herps are subgrouped into fa herp (croc[odile]), fa herp (lizard), fa herp (snake), and fa herp (tortoise).

"Insect" is used broadly; some species are further subgrouped as fa ins (ant), fa ins (arth[ropod]), fa ins (bee), fa ins (bug), fa ins (fly), fa ins (grasshopper), fa ins (larva), and fa ins (termite). Others are just fa ins.

Most wild mammals are further subgrouped into fa mam (antelope), fa mam (bat), fa mam (cat), fa mam (mouse), fa mam (primate), fa mam (squirrel). Others that don't fit into a substantial subgroup are just fa mam.

For flora, cultivated species (e.g. grain crops) are fl cult. All others are just fl.

The next several columns have phonological and morphological information.

"syll #" is the second column from left. indicates syllable number, distinguishing regular syllables (v or Cv) from diphthongal (Cuv, Civ), glottalic (Cv?v), and rhotic (Cərv), where "v" is any vowel. Codes use are of the type 1, 2, 3 etc. (for simple syllables), 1di (one diphthongal syllable), 1gl (one glottalic sesquisyllable), 1rh (one rhotic sesquisyllable), 1rh/gl (one rhotic and glottalic sesquisyllable), 1el (one Clv syllable), 1el/gl (one Clv?v sesquisyllable), 2gl (one regular syllable and one glottalic sesquisyllable), 2rh (one regular syllable and one rhotic sesquisyllable), and 2di (one regular and one diphthongal syllable).

For long stems (three or more syllables) we are less precise about glottalic and rhotic. No count is given for composite nouns, which just have “cpd,” “rdp,” or “cpd rdp” in this column.

“**shape**” is the canonical shape of uncompounded nouns, e.g. CvCv, Civ, Cuw, Cvʔv, Cərv, CvCvCv. Some short compounds are marked up with hyphens as in Cv-Cv. Most compounds are not marked up in this column. Simple reduplications (labeled “rdp only” in the “cpd” column) are marked up as Cv-Cv, Cv-Cvʔv (or similar), or as “iterative” (fully reduplicative and heavier than Cv-Cv).

“**tone**” is the tone melody for uncompounded stems (H, L, M, and combinations such as LH and ML). For compounds the tone patterns of each part are separated by hyphens, e.g. L-M-L.

The “**cpd**” column indicates compound and/or reduplication status and type. Forms whose only internal structure is reduplication (or full-stem iteration) are coded as “rdp only.” Ordinary noun-noun compounds are indicated by x, xx, or xxx to indicate how many non-reduplicative hyphens there are. This may be followed by “rdp” after a comma if one or two of the compounding elements is/are reduplicative. Other pieces of information added after commas include abstr[active], agent[ive] (V-nð or N-V-nð), bahuv[rihi], dimin[utive], n+adj (noun plus adjective, either modifying or compounded), n+num (noun plus numeral), ppl (animate participle -kàʔà), phrase (including a predicate), sex (male or female), V-shift (reduplicative but with a shift in vowels), V-N (verb-noun), N-V-N (noun-verb-noun), VbIN (verbal noun with or without incorporated object), and a few common compound finals (animal, bag, child, grub, house, manner, owner, place, stick, thing, time, tree). “final” and “initial” in this column means that the form occurs only as compound final or initial.

The “**r/?**” column indicates selected consonants in final sesquisyllables: ʔ, r, or both (“r, ʔ”). For compounds, only the final element is considered.

The “**pl**” column indicates the form(s) of plural of the noun, if any are attested. The codings are: a/ɔ (final a→ɔ), ɔ/o (final unnasalized ɔ→o), u/i (final u→i), denas[alization], NI (-ní suffix), O (suffix -o ~ -ɔ), R (rhotic plural), and R-NI (rhotic plus -ní suffix), suppl[etive].

The columns “**Jinejan**,” “**Masaso**,” “**Flaso**,” and “**Biton**” contain the data for each dialect. We worked intensively with one adult speaker for each dialect, so there may be some individual idiosyncracies in the data. The form of the article ē ~ è is given in parentheses after the singular noun. Many cells have singular \ plural pairings with the plural following \. Only closely related forms are given in each row. When a dash — appears in a cell, it means that the dialect does NOT have a form in that set. Either the speaker didn't know the word, or the speaker used a distinct synonym for that sense. As a result, the same gloss may reappear in two or more rows, each row containing one synonym (perhaps with small variations in pronunciation). The “comments” column often includes pointers to synonyms.

The column “**Tiefo-D**” contains a citation form extracted from the primary data in the four preceding columns. It normalizes tonal markings by undoing the effects of glottalic sesquisyllables on tones of H-toned words in Ma and Fl dialects. The Tiefo-D column may be useful in practical dictionary production.

The columns “**Ji**,” “**Ma**,” “**Fl**,” and “**Bi**” simply indicate which dialects are represented with forms in the relevant row. This was mainly useful during the fieldwork itself.

The columns “**English**” and “**French**” give glosses valid for the Tiefó forms in the same row.

The “**comment**” column has miscellaneous additional information, which may include a collocation, a synonym, an IPA transcription, or other background.

For natural-species terms only, the final columns are “**scientific name**” and “**basis**”. The latter indicates whether the species was seen or collected locally, or was elicited using images, recorded bird calls, or descriptions. The flora identifications should be reliable since most were seen locally by Heath. For fauna, Heath made use of field manuals, bird-call recordings, web images, his own substantial collection of images from previous flora-fauna work in the zone, and oral descriptions. Identifications for some birds (hawks and songbirds), fish, and locally extinct mammals are less reliable.

Adjectives worksheet

The “**category**” column codes for semantic domain: age, color, condition, difficulty, dimension, dirtiness, distance, fullness, heat, moisture, quality, quantity, shape, size, taste, texture, weight.

The “**rdp**” column uses the code “rdp” to indicate that the forms in that row are reduplicated. Some adjectives occur only in reduplicative form, others have both simple and reduplicated forms.

The “**Jinejan**,” “**Masaso**,” “**Flaso**,” and “**Biton**” columns contain the data. For modifying adjectives (as opposed to verbs), typically singular and plural are given with \ as the separator. The “**Tiefó-D**” column has a composite citation form based on the primary data, as for nouns.

The “**Ji**,” “**Ma**,” “**Fl**,” and “**Bi**” columns indicate which dialects are represented with data in that row, as for nouns.

The column “**form**” is customized for adjectives. The categories are stative, deverbal inan[imate], deverbal an[imate], postnom[inal], inan[imate], an[imate], and adverb. Stative is an imperfective verb, which generally has no Pfv form. The two deverbal forms are participles derived from the stative. The postnominal form can often be taken as lexically basic, and the regular inanimate form (with á) and the regular animate form (with kā) can be derived from it by morphophonological processes. The regular inanimate and animate forms can replace the postnominal form after a noun, or they can be used absolutely (without a noun). After animate kā, many adjectives have a special reduced form that also occurs as a compound final, especially in natural-species terms. The adverb category here refers to expressive adverbials, which are usually unrelated to regular adjectives. They may have special senses like ‘lukewarm’, or they may be intensifiers for ordinary adjectival senses. They can be made predicative by the copula kō ‘be’.

“**English**” and “**French**” columns have glosses. The “**comments**” column has cross-references to semantically related verbs and nouns, and other background. The “**examples**” column has phrasal examples, including predicates with copula kō and expressive adverbials.

Numerals worksheet

The “**code**” column has the codings num (up to ‘10’), num decimal (multiples of ten up to ‘100’), num high (starting with multiples of hundred), and ord[inal].

The data are in the “**Jinejan**,” “**Masaso**,” “**Flaso**,” and “**Biton**” columns. The numerals are followed by the plural classifier ò (‘2’ to ‘9’) or by the nominal article (ē), in parentheses. The “**Tiefo-D**” column has a suggested general form derived from the dialectal data.

“**English**” and “**French**” columns are either in numeral or spelled-out form.

“Comments” describe morphemic structure and/or usage.

Verbs worksheet

Each regular verb has Pfv (perfective), base, and Ipfv (imperfective) stems. For any given verb, they may all be identical, or two of them might be identical but distinct from the third, or all three are distinct. Some verbs lack a Pfv stem, either because they occur only as noninitial verb in compounds or because they are semantically stative.

The “**type**” column summarizes identity relationships among the three stems for the relevant verb: P=B=I (all three identical), P vs B=I (base and Ipfv identical but distinct from Pfv), or P vs B vs I (all three distinct), or rarely P=B vs I.

The “**tone**” column summarizes the tones of the three stems. Some Jula borrowings have invariant forms with a contour tone, indicated by (LH)x3 or {HL)x3. All uncompounded native Tiefo-D verbs have level-toned stems, but the Pfv or rarely the Ipfv may differ tonally by one notch from the other two. The codings for these verbs are a) LLL, MMM, and HHH for tonally invariant verbs, and b) LMM, MHH, or rarely LLH for verbs showing tonal variation. No uncompounded verb has a mix of L and H stems. For verb-verb compounds, parentheses are used, e.g. (L-H), (H-H)x2 if the Pfv is L-H and the other two stems are H-H, and (L-H)x2, (M-H) if Pfv and base are L-H and Ipfv is M-H.

In the “**syll**” column the number and type of syllables are indicated for uncompounded verbs (compounds have just “cpd”). Codings can be simple 1, 2, 3, but diphthongal, rhotic, and glottalic syllables are specified. Thus 2rh means two syllables including a rhotic sesquisyllable, 1gl means one glottalic sesquisyllable, and 1di means one diphthongal syllable (beginning Ci or Cu).

In the “**diph**” column the diphthong is shown as ui, uo, ie, etc. sometimes specified as e.g. Pfv ie or Ipfv ie (diphthong limited to the Pfv or to the Ipfv stem). Diphthongs in glottalic sesquisyllables as well as nonglottalic syllables (e.g. Ci?e and Ciε) are included.

The “**cpd**” column distinguishes compounds from various types of reduplication. For compounds the coding indicates whether the second verb is invariant (Vb2 inv) or has different forms, normally one in the composite Pfv and base versus a distinct form in the composite Ipfv (Vb2 var). Reduplicative stems are coded as rdp Cv-Cv, rdp Cv- (just Cv-repeated from a heavier base), rdp iter (iteration of the full base, which is heavier than Cv-), rdp irreg[ular], and rdp ident[ical] (where the base varies in form and the reduplicant matches these forms).

In the “**P voc**” and “**B/I voc**” columns, any change in vowel quality between Pfv and base=Ipfv is noted. Most subc verbs have a front vowel in the Pfv versus a low or back vowel in the base=Ipfv, e.g. ϵ versus ɔ or e versus o (keeping ATR value constant). If base and Ipfv also differ, this is indicated by codings like $\text{ɔ}/o$ or $\text{ɔ}/u$ in the B/I voc column. For verbs that do not change vowel quality among the three different stems, these columns are blank.

In the “**r/?**” column, final rhotic and/or glottalic sesquisyllables are indicated: ? for glottalic (Cv ? v), r for rhotic (C ə rv), and “ $r, \text{?}$ ” for both (C ə rv ? v).

In the “**C alt**” column, consonantal mutations (alternations) are indicated. For verb-verb compounds, only Vb1 is considered. Generally the Pfv and/or the Ipfv has a different initial consonant, often in association with an intrusive semivowel that creates a diphthongal syllable. The codings include the intrusive semivowel unless it is present in all three stems. Two-part codings are either Pfv versus base=Ipfv or less often Pfv=base versus Ipfv, the codings being c/k , d/ju , di/ju , fi/su , j/d , ju/d , ju/gb , gb/g , kp/k , k/c , $\text{ɲ}/lu$, $\text{ɲ}u/w$, w/lu , yu/w ,. A rare three-part coding is $kp/k/c$ (all three stems with different initial consonant). We do not include $s/\text{ʃ}$, $\text{ʒ}/y$, or v/w alternations which are essentially subphonemic.

Instead of basing the data columns on dialect, we use “**Pfv**,” “**base**,” and “**Ipfv**” columns to present the three stems for each verb. Each triplet of Pfv-base-Ipfv functions as a single variant. If triplets from two dialects differ in even one stem, they are presented as distinct rows. Therefore the “same” verb may appear in up to four rows with slightly different triplets. The base stem is used as citation form and in most respects is lexically basic. Pfv stems are often formed by fronting the base vowel, dropping the base tone one notch, and/or adding a semivowel or a liquid after C1. Ipfv stems are often identical to base stems. If not, the Ipfv may shift the vowel from [-ATR] $\{\epsilon \text{ ɔ}\}$ to [+ATR] $\{e o\}$ or raise it to $\{i u\}$ (the latter especially for Jinejan dialect), and/or may add l after C1.

After each triplet, the next columns are “**Ji**,” “**Ma**,” “**Fl**,” and “**Bi**” and indicate which dialects are associated with that triplet. A dash — in one of these cells indicates that the dialect uses a different triplet, or occasionally a completely different verb. A blank in a cell simply means that we have no data.

The next columns have “**English**” and “**French**” glosses. End-users can usually find the full set of dialect forms for the same lexical item by sorting the worksheet based on the English or French glosses.

The final “**comments**” column has background information, mainly about related lexical items and collocations.

Other worksheet

This worksheet collects elements that do not fit into any of the main stem-class categories.

The “**code**” column groups the entries into the following: adv[er], adv manner, adv space, adv time, anaphora, comparative, coord[ination], discourse, interrog[ative], neg[ation], NP, NP foc[alization], NP indef[inite], ordinal, postp[osition], pred[icate], pronoun, quant[ifier], subord[inator],

This is followed by data in the dialect-specific columns “**Jinejan**,” “**Masaso**,” “**Flaso**,” and “**Biton**,” then by a proposed general citation form in the “**Tiefo-D**” column. Then the usual “**English**,” “**French**,” and “**comments**.”

Substantially all of the information in this worksheet can be found in the grammar with more reader-friendly analysis.

Places worksheet

The columns are “**places**” which contains the Tief-D place name, “**French**” which gives the official name (as in maps), “**location**” which places the location in geographical context, and “**comments**” which include literal glossing of phrasal names.