This article was downloaded by: [University of Bath] On: 13 February 2014, At: 11:38 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Natural History

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tnah20

Metazoan parasite fauna of the round goby Neogobius melanostomus Pallas, 1811 (Perciformes: Gobiidae) collected from the Black Sea coast at Sinop, Turkey

Ahmet Özer^a

^a Ondokuz Mayıs University, Fisheries Faculty, Sinop, Turkey Published online: 15 May 2007.

To cite this article: Ahmet Özer (2007) Metazoan parasite fauna of the round goby Neogobius melanostomus Pallas, 1811 (Perciformes: Gobiidae) collected from the Black Sea coast at Sinop, Turkey, Journal of Natural History, 41:9-12, 483-492, DOI: <u>10.1080/00222930701234361</u>

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00222930701234361</u>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</u>

Metazoan parasite fauna of the round goby *Neogobius melanostomus* Pallas, 1811 (Perciformes: Gobiidae) collected from the Black Sea coast at Sinop, Turkey

AHMET ÖZER

Ondokuz Mayıs University, Fisheries Faculty, Sinop, Turkey

(Accepted 22 January 2007)

Abstract

Round gobies (*Neogobius melanostomus*) were collected from a small stream connected to the Black Sea coast of Sinop, Turkey and examined for metazoan parasites. A total of 122 gobies was investigated throughout a 1-year period. Long-term investigations can be useful for finding rare parasites and for determining the diversity and seasonality of parasites. Parasite species recovered were a Digenea gen. sp., *Pygidiopsis genata, Ascocotyle* sp., *Gyrodactylus proterorhini, Dichelyne minutus, Hysterothylacium aduncum, Spiroxys* sp., *Neoechinohynchus rutilii*, and *Ergasilus sieboldi*. Overall infection prevalence (%) and mean intensity values were 97.5% and 78.2 \pm 23.1 parasites per infected fish, respectively. Infection prevalence and mean intensity values for each parasite species in relation to season, fish size, and sex were also determined and discussed.

Keywords: Black Sea, Gobiidae, metazoan parasites, Neogobius melanostomus, Pisces, Turkey

Introduction

The round goby, *Neogobius melanostomus* Pallas, 1911, is a common gobiid in near-shore areas of the Black and Caspian Seas, Seas of Azov and Marmara (Charlebois et al. 1997), and is present in coastal waters off Sinop in Turkey (Berg 1949), where this study was conducted. The parasite fauna of the round goby and the existence of parasites in the native environments of this fish species have been reported by several authors (Bykovskaya-Pavlaskaya et al. 1964; Najdenova 1974; Yusupov and Urazbaev 1980; Kvach 2002a, 2005), and in the North American Great Lakes following their translocation via freighter ballast water (Charlebois et al. 1997). The gobiid parasite fauna is more heterogeneous than the gobiid fish fauna itself (Najdenova 1974) and its species composition depends mostly on the ecology of host fish (Kvach 2005).

In the present study, the existence of metazoan parasites in relation to season, the sex, and size classe of the round goby were investigated throughout a 1-year period at the Black Sea coast of Sinop, Turkey. This investigation is also the first parasitological survey of this fish species in Turkey.

ISSN 0022-2933 print/ISSN 1464-5262 online © 2007 Taylor & Francis DOI: 10.1080/00222930701234361

Correspondence: Ahmet Özer, Su Ürünleri Fakültesi, 57000, Sinop, Turkey. Email: aozer@omu.edu.tr

Materials and methods

Specimens of *N. melanostomus* were collected by hand net and cast net in Sırakaraağaçlar stream $(42^{\circ}21''24''N, 35^{\circ}1'5''E)$ which connects with the Black Sea on the coast of Sinop, Turkey. The sampling area is described in Özer (2003), but, briefly, the stream system is characteristically slightly brackish during the late autumn and early spring months (October to March) when the water level rises and connects with the Black Sea. In summer and early autumn, however, the water level drops, the connection is broken and the stream turns to fresh water. Sırakaraağaçlar stream is brackish in the autumn and winter (1–4 psu) but becomes increasingly fresh water in spring and summer (0 psu). The mean depth of the sampling site is approximately 1.5 m and its bottom is muddy and sandy in some parts.

Sampling was carried out on a monthly basis from October 1999 to September 2000. For parasitological examinations, fish were transported alive in local water directly to Sinop Fisheries Faculty Laboratory. A total of 122 round goby were investigated. At necropsy, they were weighed, the total length measured, and their sex determined. Gills, eyes, peritoneal cavity, mesenteries, and peritoneal viscera were examined for parasites using conventional methods. Fish were examined within 48 h of capture. Routine procedures were used to collect and process parasites.

The prevalence and mean intensity levels of the parasite species were determined according to Bush et al. (1997). Mean intensity values are given \pm SE. Variance-protected Tukey–Kramer analysis was performed to identify significant differences in the mean intensity values of each parasite species across season, fish size, and fish sex classes. Statistical significance was tested by using ANOVA, and if the ANOVA *P* for a group was <0.05 a Tukey–Kramer post-test, which is a multiple comparison procedure that is used when more than two-group comparison indicated significant group differences, was performed. Differences in parasite loading on male and female goby were tested using the Student's *t* test. The significance level (α) was set at 0.05 for all the statistical tests. α is used to indicate the probability of rejecting the statistical test, it is important to establish a value for α and it is customary to set α at 0.05. Statistical significance was accepted for probability values of *P*<0.05, which is α level here. Statistical tests and terms used here are in accordance with Zar (1984).

Results

The current study is the first to report parasites from round gobies captured from its natural environment on the Black Sea coast of Turkey. A total of nine parasite species was identified (Table I). If the number of parasite species in the individual host (infra community) is regarded, it is apparent that the majority of round goby hosts were infected with only two parasite species (Figure 1). The overall infection prevalence (%) and mean intensity values recorded from a total of 122 fish specimens were 97.5% and 78.2 ± 23.1 parasites per infected fish, respectively. Digenea gen. sp. was the most abundant parasite and had 84.4% infection prevalence and mean intensity values of 83.8 ± 26.3 parasites per infected fish. On the other hand, *Ergasilus sieboldi* was the least abundant parasite species (Table I). Seasonal prevalence and mean intensities of all parasite species infecting *N. melanostomus* (component community) are reported in Table II. Only Digenea gen. sp., *Pygidiopsis genata, Ascociyle* sp., and *Dichelyne minutus* were in sufficient number to make any statistical comparison of their seasonal mean intensity values and statistically significant

		Mean intensity
Parasite species	Prevalence (%)	$(\pm SE)$
Digenea gen. sp. (gills)	84.4	83.8 ± 26.3
Pygidiopsis genata Looss, 1907 (liver, intestine)	40.2	2.4 ± 0.2
Ascocotyle sp. (gills, intestine)	14.8	1.9 ± 0.2
Gyrodactylus proterorhini Ergens, 1967 (gills, fins, skin)	16.4	4.0 ± 0.7
Dichelyne minutus Rudolphi, 1819 (intestine)	50.8	3.9 ± 0.5
Hysterothylacium aduncum Rudolphi, 1802 (intestine)	11.5	3.1 ± 1.1
Spiroxys sp. (intestine)	16.4	5.4 ± 1.3
Neoechinorhynchus rutili Müller, 1780 (intestine)	7.4	1.4 ± 0.3
Ergasilus sieboldi von Nordmann, 1832 (gills)	2.5	9.0 ± 7.5
Overall	97.5	78.2 ± 23.1

Table I. Infection prevalence and mean intensity levels of metazoan parasites found in Neogobius melanostomus.

differences were determined between some seasons (P < 0.05) (Table II). When the numbers of parasite species are regarded, increases in spring and summer are evident (Figure 2). Statistically significant differences were also determined between the fish size classes (Table III): large fish had higher infection prevalence and intensities than did small fish. A similar pattern, more parasite numbers in larger fish, was also seen in the prevalence values of the number of parasite species detected in three different fish size classes (Figure 3). Both infection prevalence and mean intensity values of all individual parasite species, in general, were slightly greater in females but no statistically significant difference was determined (P > 0.05) (Table IV). The numbers of parasite species in both sexes were very similar and had the same pattern (Figure 4).

Discussion

The round goby belongs to the second largest fish family, Gobiidae, which is distributed worldwide in both salt and freshwater habitats (Charlebois et al. 1997), and its parasite fauna differs in native environments and in places of invasion. The total of nine metazoan parasite species identified here is fewer than the numbers reported by Gayevskaya et al. (1975; 47 species), by Machkevsky et al. (1990; 16 species), by Kvach (2002a; 15 species), and by Kvach (2005; 16 species), all from the native Black Sea environment, and by



Figure 1. Infection prevalence (%) with respect to the number of parasite species detected in the round goby throughout the investigation period.

Parasite species	Autumn (11-	Autumn (11–23°C) (n=30)		Winter (4–6°C) ($n=21$)		Spring (8.6–24.8°C) (<i>n</i> =30)		Summer (26.4–31°C) (<i>n</i> =41)	
	Prevalence (%)	Mean intensity $(\pm SE)$	Prevalence (%)	Mean intensity $(\pm SE)$	Prevalence (%)	Mean intensity (±SE)	Prevalence (%)	Mean intensity (±SE)	
Digenea gen. sp.	66.7	156.4±111.5 A	100	72.9 ± 30.9 A	80	$38.8 \pm 17.5 \text{ AB}$	90.2	$27.3 \pm 5.6 \text{ B}$	
Pygidiopsis genata	36.7	$3.9 \pm 1.0 \text{ A}$	57.1	2.3 ± 0.3 AB	26.7	1.5 ± 0.2 B	46.3	1.9 ± 0.2 B	
Ascocotyle sp.	10	$3.0 \pm 1.0 \text{ A}$	19	$2.0\pm0.4~\mathrm{A}$	6.7	1.0 ± 0.0^{a}	22	1.9 ± 0.4 A	
Gyrodactylus proterorhini	3.3	1	0	0	57	3.4 ± 0.5	0	0	
Dichelyne minutus	13.3	1.5 ± 0.3^a	9.5	1 ± 0.0^{a}	60	$2.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ A}$	87.8	$5.3 \pm 0.7 \text{ B}$	
Hysterothylacium aduncum	26.7	3.6 ± 1.8	23.8	2.6 ± 1.1	0	0	0	0	
Spiroxys sp.	0	0	0	0	7	$2.0\pm1.0^{\rm a}$	42.5	4.3 ± 0.9	
Neoechinorhynchus rutili	0	0	0	0	0	0	19.5	1.5 ± 0.4	
Ergasilus sieboldi	6.7	$1.5\pm0.5^{\rm a}$	0	0	0	0	2.4	24^{a}	
Overall	90	119.2±83.5 A	100	75.1±31.2 A	100	$105.4 \pm 47.5 \text{ A}$	100	33.2±5.5 A	

Table II. Seasonal infection prevalence and mean intensity levels of metazoan parasites found in Neogobius melanostomus.

Values followed by the same uppercase letter are not significantly different, analysis of variance-protected Tukey–Kramer, $\alpha = 0.05$. ^aToo few values for statistical analysis.



Figure 2. Seasonal infection prevalence (%) with respect to the number of parasite species detected in the round goby.

Ondrackova et al. (2005; 13 species) from the Danube River, Slovakia. The present total is higher than the numbers given by Kvach (2002b; seven species) in a salty closed lagoon of the North-Western Black Sea region, Ukraine; by Kvach (2002a; five species) in the Baltic Sea, and the numbers given by Muzzall et al. (1995; seven species) and Pronin et al. (1997; seven species), both following the establishment of this fish species in US fresh waters. In addition to the differences in the numbers of parasite species of the round goby in its native and expanded ranges, species composition of these parasites was also quite different as a result of different environmental, ecological, and host factors. The nematode species *Dichelyne minutus* and a digenean *Pygidiopsis genata* metacercariae were the only parasites present here and in native habitats in the north-western part of the Black Sea (Kvach 2002a, 2005). On the other hand, *H. aduncum* was first reported by Machkevsky et al. (1990) in the Gulf of Yagorlyk and later by Kvach (2002a) in the Gulf of Gdansk, Baltic Sea. *Ergasilus sieboldii* was found in Golovita Lake (Radulescu and Vasiliu 1951) and in the Gulf of Gdańsk (Kvach 2002a).

The parasites of the subclass Digenea are usually found encysted, encapsulated, or occasionally as free metacercarial or juvenile forms from tissues (occasionally lumen of gut: forms from eye may be free and active) (Gibson et al. 1996). Digenea gen. sp. was the most abundant parasite, both in prevalence and mean intensity values, and such an unidentified metacercariae has not been reported by any of the researchers who have conducted studies on the round goby so far. Some heterophyid parasites, such as *Cryptocotyle concavum* Creplin, 1825, has been reported as the dominating parasite species from the round goby and some other gobiids such as *Gobius niger* L. 1758, *Pomatoschistus minutus* Pallas, 1769, *P. pictus* Malm, 1865, *P. microps* Krøyer, 1838, and *Gobiusculus flavescens* Fabricius, 1779 (Zander and Kesting 1998; Kvach 2002a, 2005; Zander 2005a, 2005b), but they were not found in the present study. *Dichelyne minutus* and *P. genata* had the second and third

	Prevalence (%)			Mean intensity $(\pm SE)$			
Parasite species	≤60	61-80	≥81	$\leq 60 \ (n=43)$	61–80 (<i>n</i> =44)	≥81 (<i>n</i> =35)	
Digenea gen. sp.	79.1	88.6	85.7	$22.1 \pm 4.6 \text{ A}$	39.6±11.8 AB	211.3±85.3 B	
Pygidiopsis genata	34.9	29.5	57.1	$2.4 \pm 0.3 \text{ A}$	$2.5 \pm 0.7 \text{ A}$	$2.2 \pm 0.3 \text{ A}$	
Ascocotyle sp.	9.3	9.1	28.6	2.8 ± 0.9^{a}	2.3 ± 0.6^{a}	1.6 ± 0.2	
Gyrodactylus proterorhini	6.9	29.5	11.4	$3.7 \pm 0.9 \text{ A}$	$3.4 \pm 0.8 \text{ A}$	$6.3 \pm 1.4 \text{ A}$	
Dichelyne minutus	4.6	72.7	80	$1.0\pm0.0^{\rm a}$	$2.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ A}$	$6.1 \pm 0.8 \text{ B}$	
Hysterothylacium aduncum	18.6	11.4	2.9	$1.5 \pm 0.2 \text{ A}$	$4.8 \pm 2.8 \text{ A}$	7^{a}	
Spiroxys sp.	0	11.4	42.9	0	$3.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ A}$	$6.1 \pm 1.7 \text{ B}$	
Neoechinorhynchus rutili	0	9.1	8.6	0	$1.0\pm0.0^{\mathrm{a}}$	$1.8\!\pm\!0.6^{\rm a}$	
Ergasilus sieboldi	2.3	2.2	2.9	1^{a}	2^{a}	24 ^a	
Overall	93	100	100	$20.6 \pm 4.0 ~{\rm A}$	$39.7 \pm 10.8 \text{ A}$	$192.3 \pm 74.3 \text{ B}$	

Table III. Infection prevalence and mean intensity levels of metazoan parasites of *Neogobius melanostomus* according to the size classes of fish.

Values followed by the same uppercase letter are not significantly different, analysis of variance-protected Tukey– Kramer, $\alpha = 0.05$. ^aToo few values for statistical analysis.

highest infection prevalence rates, respectively. Kvach (2005) reported lower infection prevalence rates but higher (nearly three times for *D. minutus* and 50 times for *P. genata*) mean intensity values than in the present study for the same fish species from the NW Black Sea. *Hysterothylacium aduncum* from the NW Black Sea and *E. sieboldi* from the Gulf of Gdańsk (southern Baltic) were reported at low levels (Kvach 2002a) and similar results were also recorded in the present study. *Spiroxys* sp. has only been found in the round goby from a river and lake in Michigan, USA by Muzzall et al. (1995). As far as I am aware, *Ascocotyle* sp. and *Neoechinorhynchus rutili* have not been reported from the round goby so far and these parasites are also recorded for the first time from Turkey, along with *P. genata* and *G. proterorhini*.



Figure 3. Infection prevalence (%) with respect to the number of parasite species detected in the round goby of different size classes.

	Prevalence	e (%)	Mean intensity $(\pm SE)$		
Parasite species	Female (n=82)	Male (<i>n</i> =40)	Female (n=82)	Male (<i>n</i> =40)	
Digenea gen. sp.	83.9	87.5	110.0±39.4 A	32.9±6.3 A	
Pygidiopsis genata	40.2	40	$2.4 \pm 0.3 \text{ A}$	$2.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ A}$	
Ascocotyle sp.	15.9	12.5	2.2 ± 0.4 A	$1.6 \pm 0.2 \text{ A}$	
Gyrodactylus proterorhini	17.1	15	$4.1 \pm 0.9 \text{ A}$	$3.7 \pm 1.1 \text{ A}$	
Dichelyne minutus	53.7	45	$4.2 \pm 0.6 \text{ A}$	$3.3 \pm 0.6 \text{ A}$	
Hysterothylacium aduncum	7.3	20	$2.3 \pm 0.9 \text{ A}$	$3.6 \pm 1.8 \text{ A}$	
Spiroxys sp.	19.5	10	$6.1 \pm 1.5 \text{ A}$	$2.5 \pm 1.2 \text{ A}$	
Neoechinorhynchus rutili	8.5	5	$1.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ A}$	$2.1 \pm 1.5 \text{ A}$	
Ergasilus sieboldi	2.4	2.5	12.5 ± 11.5	2	
Overall	96.3	100	$100.9 \pm 34.4 \text{ A}$	33.2 ± 5.9 A	

Table IV. Infection prevalence and mean intensity levels of metazoan parasites found in *Neogobius melanostomus* according to the sex of host.

Values followed by the same uppercase letter are not significantly different, analysis of variance-protected Tukey–Kramer, α =0.05.

Many gobies are short-lived fishes that become adult in the first year and die mostly after the spawning season (Zander 1993). *Neogobius melanostomus*, however, can live for 3 years or longer (Charlebois et al. 1997). Young fish appear in summer and grow up to juveniles, which emigrate in autumn to deeper waters; when temperatures rise in the following spring they immigrate into shallow waters (Mayskiy 1960). The gobiid larvae and young individuals live in the suprabenthos (Zander and Kesting 1998). During this period they can ingest some nematode larvae together with their copepod prey (Zander 1993). Three metacercariae species: Digenea gen. sp., *P. genata*, and *Ascocotyle* sp., together with a species of nematode, *D. minutus*, have been found firstly infecting the round goby in autumn and in the other seasons. Study of the prey composition of the round goby was beyond the scope of the present study, but the first occurrence of three digeneans and a nematode species within the host in autumn could be the result of above-mentioned



Figure 4. Infection prevalence (%) with respect to the number of parasite species detected in the round goby of different sexes.

host-parasite interactions. A clear seasonality was observed in the occurrence of some parasite species such as G. proterorhini, H. aduncum, Spiroxys sp., N. rutili, and E. sieboldi, which appeared only in some seasons. Gyrodactylus proterorhyni and E. sieboldi can be differentiated from the rest by mode of life and infestation process. Both species had clear seasonal appearances. While G. proterorhyni occurred within the temperature range 11-24.8°C, especially May in spring, the predominant season for appearance, E. sieboldi occurred at a higher temperature range of 23-31°C, September in autumn and July in summer. Changes in water temperature are probably the most important cause of this specificity in their occurrences as was noted by Chubb (1977) for Gyrodactylus and other monogeneans. The occurrence of both parasites also coincides with 0-1 psu salinity in the stream system. Infection of the round goby with the nematode H. aduncum showed a seasonal appearance only in autumn and winter, and the same pattern of this parasite in another gobiid fish Gobius niger was observed by Zander and Kesting (1998). They reported this seasonal occurrence as a result of the dying off of parasites and the continuous reinfection during the spring and summer via ingestion of crustaceans that harbour parasite larvae. On the other hand, Spiroxys sp. found in the present study had a reverse occurrence pattern, firstly low appearance in spring and then higher infection prevalence and mean intensity values in summer. This could be a result of a longer accumulation period up to summer. This assumption is supported by the record of largest-sized round goby, coinciding with the summer season, with the greatest infection prevalence and mean intensity values. The number of parasite species and their corresponding seasonal infection prevalence increased in general in spring and summer. From late spring to early autumn, the connection of the stream with the Black Sea was broken and promoted eutrophication and, hence, the production of detritus-rich sediments, which is an advantageous environment for intermediate hosts that caused more successive parasitization, as was observed by Zander and Kesting (1998).

In general, there is a positive relationship between parasitism, age, and size. Larger, older individuals are more likely to be parasitized because they have been around longer and there is a greater probability that they will encounter parasites (Krist and Lively 1998). Here, in the present study, the number of parasite species and the specimen numbers of each parasite species, infection prevalence, and mean intensity values increased as the size of fish increased. In most of the parasite species, however, mean intensity values increased more consistently than those corresponding infection prevalence values. Similar results, in part, were also observed in some gobiid fish species by Zander and Kesting (1998).

Parasites may infect both sexes differently, because male and female fish often have different feeding habits (Rohde 1993). In our study, host sex did not have a significant influence on the parasite fauna of *N. melanostomus*, suggesting that habitat use and diet are similar for both sexes of this species. The parasite species numbers detected in both sexes of fish presented only slight differences, infection prevalence and mean intensity values for each parasite species were also very close in both sexes and this may also indicate that no difference was present in the susceptibility to parasites.

Acknowledgements

This study was granted by Ondokuzmayis University with the project number of S-076. I thank Dr Yuriy Kvach, Odessa Branch of the Institute of Biology of the Southern Seas of NAS of Ukraine, for additional comments.

References

- Berg LS. 1949. Freshwater fishes of the USSR and adjacent countries. Academy of Sciences of the USSR Zoological Institute, Moskova-Leningrad. (Translated 1965 by the Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem).
- Bush AO, Lafferty KD, Lotz JM, Shostak AW. 1997. Parasitology meets ecology on its own terms: Margolis et al. revisited. Journal of Parasitology 84:575–583.
- Bykovskaya-Pavlovskaya IE, Gusev AV, Dubinina NA, Izyumova TS, Smirnova IL, Sokolovskaya GA, Shtein GA, Shulman SS, Epshtein VM. 1964. Key to parasites of freshwater fish of the USSR. Part I. Academy of Sciences of the USSR Zoological Institute, Moskova-Leningrad. (Translated 1964 by the Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem).
- Charlebois PM, Marsden JE, Goettel RG, Wolf RK, Jude DJ, Rudnicka S. 1997. The round goby *Neogobius melanostomus* (Pallas), a review of European and North American literature. Chicago: Illinois Natural History Survey and Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Program. p 76. (INHS special publication; 20).
- Chubb JC. 1977. Seasonal occurrence of helminths in freshwater fishes. Part. I. Monogenea. Advances in Parasitology 15:133–199.
- Gayevskaya AV, Gusev AV, Delamure SL, Donets ZS, Iskova NI, Kornushin VV, Kovaleva AA, Margaritov NM, Markevich AP, Mordvinova TN, Najdenova NN, Nikolayeva VM, Parukhin AM, Pogoreltseva TP, Smogorzhevskaya LA, Solonchenko LA, Shtein GA, Shulman SS. 1975. Opredelitel parazitov pozvonochnyh Chernogo i Azovskogo morey. Kiev: Nauka Dumka. 551.
- Gibson DI, Margolis L, Kabata Z. 1996. Guide to the parasites of fishes of Canada. Part 4, Trematoda. Ottawa: NRC Research Press.
- Krist AC, Lively CM. 1998. Experimental exposure of juvenile snails (*Potamopyrgus antipodarum*) to infection by trematode larvae (*Microphallus* sp.): infectivity, fecundity compensation and growth. Oecologia 116:575–582.
- Kvach Y. 2002a. The round goby's parasites in native habitats and in a place of invasion. Oceanological Studies 31:51–57.
- Kvach Y. 2002b. Helminths parasitizing gobies and other fishes in the Budaksky Lagoon (Black Sea, Ukraine). Oceanological Studies 31:59–65.
- Kvach Y. 2005. A comparative analysis of helminth faunas and infection parameters of ten species of gobiid fishes (Actinopterygii: Gobiidae) from the north-western Black Sea. Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria 35:103–110.
- Machkevsky VK, Mordvinova TN, Parukhin AM. 1990. Gelmintofauna bychkov Yegorlytskogo zaliva i oz. Donuslav—mestah razmeshcheniya midiynyh hozyaystv. Ekologiya Morya 36:69–75. (Rus).
- Mayskiy VN. 1960. Pitanie bychka-kruglyaka i ispolzovanie im kormovoy bazy Azovskogo morya [Diet of the round goby and its using by forage reserve of the Sea of Azov]. Trudy AzNIIRH 1(1):361–400. (Rus).
- Muzzall PM, Peebles CR, Thomas MV. 1995. Parasites of the round goby, *Neogobius melanostomus* and tubenose goby, *Proterorhinus marmoratus* (Perciformes: Gobiidae), from the St. Clair River and Lake St. Clair, Michigan. Journal of the Helminthological Society of Washington 62:226–228.
- Najdenova NN. 1974. Parasite fauna of fishes of the family Gobiidae of the Black Sea and the Sea of Azov. Kiev: Naukova Dumka. p 182. (Rus).
- Ondrackova M, Davidova M, Pecinkova M, Blazek R, Gelnar M, Valova Z, Cerny J, Jurajda P. 2005. Metazoan parasites of *Neogobius* fishes in the Slovak section of the River Danube. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 21:345–349.
- Özer A. 2003. *Trichodina domerguei* Wallengren, 1897 (Ciliophora: Peritrichia) infestations on the Round Goby, *Neogobius melanostomus* Pallas, 1811 in relation to seasonality and host factors. Comparative Parasitology 70(2):132–135.
- Pronin NM, Fleischer GW, Baldanova DR, Pronina SV. 1997. Parasites of the recently established round goby (*Neogobius melanostomus*) and tubenose goby (*Proterorhinus marmoratus*) (Cottidae) from the St. Clair River and Lake St. Clair, Michigan, USA. Folia Parasitologica 44:1–6.
- Radulescu I, Vasiliu N. 1951. Infestatie masiva cu ectoparasiti la stronhil (*Gobius melanostomus* Pall). Buletinul Institutului de Cercetari Piscicole 4:59–66.
- Rohde K. 1993. Ecology of marine parasites: an introduction to marine parasitology. 2nd ed. Wallingford: CAB International. 298 p.
- Yusupov OY, Urazbaev AN. 1980. Parasitic ciliates, *Peritricha urceoliridae*, of fishes from the Aral Sea, USSR. Parazitologia 14:504–510.
- Zander CD. 1993. The biological indication of parasite life-cycles and communities from the Lübeck Bight, SW Baltic Sea. Zeitschrift für Angewandte Zoologie 79:377–389.

Zander CD. 2005a. Four-year monitoring of parasites in gobiid fishes of the southwest Baltic. III. Parasite species diversity and applicability of monitoring. Parasitology Research 95:136–144.

Zander CD. 2005b. Comparative studies on goby (Teleostei) parasite communities from the North and Baltic Sea. Parasitology Research 96:62–68.

Zander CD, Kesting V. 1998. Colonization and seasonality of goby (Teleostei, Gobiiadae) parasites from the southwestern Baltic Sea. Parasitology Research 84:459–466.

Zar JH. 1984. Biostatistical analysis. 2nd ed, Upper Saddle River (NJ): Prentice-Hall International. 718 p.