# Generic Equality and Comparison for Common Lisp

Marco Antoniotti

Dipartimento di Informatica, Sistemistica e Comunicazione Università degli Studi di Milano Bicocca Viale Sarca 336, U14, Milan (MI), ITALY

> marco.antoniotti at unimib.it mantoniotti at common-lisp.net

> > March 31, 2011

#### Abstract

This document presents new generic functions for **Common Lisp** that provide user hooks for extensible *equality* and *comparison tests*, as well as generic *hashing*. This is in addition to the standard equality and comparison predicates. The current proposal is *minimal*, in the sense that it just provides one conceptually simple set of hooks in what is considered a cross-language consensus.

# 1 Introduction

Several Common Lisp functions rely on the :test keyword to pass a predicate to be used in their operations. This is a satisfactory solution in most cases, yet, while *writing* algorithms and libraries it would be useful to have "hooks" in the type and class system allowing for the definition of *extensible equality* and *comparison* tests.

This proposal contains a **minimal** set of (generic) functions that can be recognized in several language specifications, e.g., Java.

The specification is centered on two concepts: that of an *equality* test and that of a *comparison* generic operator. The *comparison* operator returns different values depending on whether the its execution determines the *ordering relationship* (or lack thereof) of two objects.

In addition to these two basic concepts, the specification provides notion of generic *hash function* too. Of course, there are several "caveats" to be taken into consideration in the interplay between equality and hashing.

# 2 Description

The the proposal describes the *equality*, *comparison* and *hashing*' operators. The *equality* operator is called EQUALS and some synonyms are also defined. The *comparison* operators is called COMPARE. The utility functions LT, GT, LTE, and GTE are also defined. Some synonyms are also defined. The *hashing* operator is called HASH-CODE.

The *comparison* operator returns one of four values: the symbols <, >, =, or /=. The intent of such definition is to make it usable in conjunction with case, ccase, and ecase; also, its intent is to make it possible to capture *partial orders* among objects in a set.

# **3** Equality and Comparison Dictionary

# 3.1 Standard Generic Function EQUALS

### Syntax:

EQUALS a b &rest keys &key recursive &allow-other-keys<sup>1</sup>  $\Rightarrow$  result

## Known Method Signatures:

EQUALS (*a* T) (*b* T) &rest keys &key recursive &allow-other-keys

```
EQUALS (a number) (b number)
&rest keys &key recursive &allow-other-keys
```

EQUALS (a cons) (b cons) &rest keys &key recursive &allow-other-keys

EQUALS (a character) (b character) &rest keys &key recursive case-sensitive &allow-other-keys

EQUALS (a string) (b string) &rest keys &key recursive case-sensitive &allow-other-keys

EQUALS (a array) (b array) &rest keys &key recursive &allow-other-keys

EQUALS (a hash-table) (b hash-table) &rest keys &key recursive (by-key t) (by-value t) (check-properties t) &allow-other-keys

#### Arguments and Values:

a b – Common Lisp objects.

recursive - a generalized boolean; default is NIL.

result - a boolean.

keys – a list (as per the usual behavior).

by-key – a generalized boolean; default is T.

by-values – a generalized boolean; default is T.

check-properties – a generalized boolean; default is NIL.

case-sensitive – a generalized boolean; default is T.

#### **Description:**

The EQUALS generic functions defines methods to test for "equality" of two objects a and b. When two objects a and b are EQUALS under an appropriate and type/class dependent notion of "equality", then the function returns T as *result*; otherwise EQUALS returns NIL as *result*.

If the argument *recursive* is T, then EQUALS *may* recurse down the "structure" of *a* and *b*. The description of each known method contains the relevant information about its *recursive* dependent behavior.

EQUALS provides some default behavior, but it is intended mostly as a hook for users. As such, it is allowed to add keyword arguments to user-defined EQUALS methods, as the &key and &allow-other-keys lambda-list markers imply.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Maybe it would make sense to supply a :key parameter (defaulting to identity) as well.

Known Method Descriptions: The following are the descriptions of EQUALS known methods; unless explicitly mentioned *recursive* and *keys* are to be considered as ignored.

EQUALS (a T) (b T)

&rest keys &key recursive &allow-other-keys

The default behavior for two objects a and b of type/class T is to fall back on the function  $eq^2$ .

EQUALS (a number) (b number)

&rest keys &key recursive &allow-other-keys

The default behavior for two objects a and b of type/class number is to bypass equalp and to fall back directly on the function  $=^{3}$ .

### EQUALS (a cons) (b cons)

&rest keys &key recursive &allow-other-keys

The behavior for two objects a and b of type/class cons depends on the value of *recursive*: if the value is non-NIL then the EQUALS calls function tree-equal with itself as :test; otherwise, EQUALS calls eq.

```
EQUALS (a \text{ character}) (b \text{ character})
```

&rest keys &key recursive (case-sensitive T) &allow-other-keys

The behavior for two character objects depends on the value of the keyword parameter *case-sensitive*: if non-NIL (the default) then the test uses char=, otherwise char-equal.

#### EQUALS (a string) (b string)

&rest keys &key recursive (case-sensitive T) &allow-other-keys

The behavior for two string objects depends on the value of the keyword parameter *case-sensitive*: if non-NIL (the default) then the test uses string=, otherwise string-equal.

### EQUALS (a array) (b array)

&rest keys &key recursive &allow-other-keys

The default behavior for two objects a and b of type/class **array** is to call **EQUALS** element-wise, as per equal p. The *recursive* argument is passed unmodified in each element-wise call to **EQUALS**.

**Example:** the following may be an implementation of EQUALS on arrays (modulo "active elements", fill-pointers and other details).

<sup>2</sup>Falling back onto eq has a few justifications.

A Java (or C++) programmer may find the connection more immediate, as this would make the behavior of EQUALS similar to the default java.lang.Object equals method.

Another reason to fall back on eq would be to make the behavior between the treatment of structure-objects and standard-objects uniform.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>It may be worthwhile to add a :epsilon keyword describing the tolerance of the equality test and other keys describing the "nearing" direction (Note: must check the correct numerics terminology.)

#### EQUALS (*a* hash-table) (*a* hash-table)

&rest keys &key recursive (by-key t) (by-value t) (check-properties t) &allow-other-keys

The EQUALS default behaviour for two hash-table object is the following. If a and b are eq, the result is T. Otherwise, first it is checked that the two hash-tables have the same number of entries, then three tests are performed "in parallel".

1. if by-key is non-NIL then the keys of the a and b are compared with EQUALS (with recursive passed as-is). The semantics of this test are as if the following code were executed

```
(loop for k1 in (ht-keys a)
  for k2 in (ht-keys b)
  always (apply 'EQUALS k1 k2 keys))
```

If *by-key* is NIL, the subtest is true.

2. if by-value is non-NIL then the values of the a and b are compared with EQUALS (with recursive passed as-is). The semantics of this test are as if the following code were executed

(loop for v1 in (ht-values a)
 for v2 in (ht-values b)
 always (apply 'EQUALS v1 v2 keys))

If *by-value* is NIL, the subtest is true.

3. it *check-properties* is non-NIL then all the standard hash-table properties are checked for equality using eq1, =, or null as needed. Implementation-dependent properties are checked accordingly. If *check-properties* is NIL, the subtest is true.

*result* is computed as the conjunction of the previous subtests.

**Synonyms:** the name EQUALS was chosen "vox populi". The Latin name would be AEQUALIS, which is Latin for "equal"; of course, this may not be the best name for a Common Lisp function. Some other synonims may be the symbol == or EQUIV. In general, synonyms should be defined by setting their fdefinition to (symbol-function 'aequalis).

#### **Examples:**

```
cl-prompt> (EQUALS 42 42)
T
cl-prompt> (EQUALS 42 'a)
NIL
cl-prompt> (EQUALS "abc" "abc")
T
cl-prompt> (EQUALS (make-hash-table) (make-hash-table))
T
cl-prompt> (EQUALS "FOO" "Foo")
NIL
cl-prompt> (EQUALS "FOO" "Foo" :case-sensitive nil)
T
cl-prompt> (defstruct foo a s d)
FOO
```

```
cl-prompt> (EQUALS (make-foo :a 42 :d "a string")
                   (make-foo :a 42 :d "a string"))
NIL
cl-prompt> (EQUALS (make-foo :a 42 :d "a bar")
                   (make-foo :a 42 :d "a baz"))
NIL
cl-prompt> (defmethod EQUALS ((a foo) (b foo)
                              &key (recursive t) &allow-other-keys)
               (declare (ignore recursive))
               (or (eq a b)
                   (= (foo-a a) (foo-a b))))
#<STANDARD METHOD EQUALS (FOO FOO)>
cl-prompt> (EQUALS (make-foo :a 42 :d "a string")
                   (make-foo :a 42 :d "a string"))
Т
cl-prompt> (EQUALS (make-foo :a 42 :d "a string")
                   (make-foo :a 42 :d "a String")
                   :case-sensitive t)
Т
```

Side Effects:

None.

Affected By:

TBD.

**Exceptional Situations:** 

TBD.

See Also:

HASH-CODE.

# 3.2 Standard Generic Function COMPARE

## Syntax:

COMPARE  $a \ b$  &rest keys &key recursive &allow-other-keys  $\Rightarrow$  result

### **Known Method Signatures:**

```
COMPARE (a T) (b T)
&rest keys &key recursive &allow-other-keys
```

```
COMPARE (a number) (b number)
&rest keys &key recursive &allow-other-keys
```

```
COMPARE (a character) (b character)
&rest keys &key recursive (case-sensitive NIL) &allow-other-keys
```

```
COMPARE (a string) (b string)
&rest keys &key recursive (case-sensitive NIL) &allow-other-keys
```

```
COMPARE (a symbol) (b symbol)
&rest keys &key recursive &allow-other-keys
```

### Arguments and Values:

```
a b – Common Lisp objects.
```

recursive - a generalized boolean; default is NIL.

result - a symbol of type (member < > = /=).

keys – a list (as per the usual behavior).

case-sensitive – a generalized boolean; default is T.

#### **Description:**

The generic function COMPARE defines methods to test the *ordering* of two objects a and b, if such order exists. The *result* value returned by COMPARE is one of the four symbols: <, >, =, or /=. The COMPARE function returns /= as *result* by default; thus it can represent *partial orders* among objects. The equality tests should be coherent with what the generic function EQUALS does.

If the argument *recursive* is T, then COMPARE *may* recurse down the "structure" of *a* and *b*. The description of each known method contains the relevant information about its *recursive* dependent behavior.

### Known Methods Descriptions:

```
COMPARE (a T) (b T)
```

&rest keys &key recursive &allow-other-keys

The default behavior for COMPARE when applied to two objects a and b of "generic" type/class is to return the symbol /= as *result*. The intended meaning is to signal the fact that no ordering relation is known among them.

COMPARE (a number) (b number)

&rest keys &key recursive &allow-other-keys

The default behavior for two objects a and b of type/class number is to compute *result* according to the standard predicates <, >, and =<sup>4</sup>.

 $<sup>^4</sup>$ Of course, the partition between real and complex must be taken into consideration.

#### COMPARE (*a* character) (*b* character)

&rest keys &key recursive (case-sensitive NIL) &allow-other-keys

The behavior for two character objects depends on the value of the keyword parameter *case-sensitive*: if non-NIL (the default) then the test uses char<, char>, and char= to compute *result*; otherwise it uses char-lessp, char-greaterp, and char-equal.

```
COMPARE (a \text{ string}) (b \text{ string})
```

&rest keys &key recursive (case-sensitive NIL) &allow-other-keys

The behavior for two string objects depends on the value of the keyword parameter *case-sensitive*: if non-NIL (the default) then the test uses string<, string>, and string= to compute *result*; otherwise it uses string-lessp, string-greaterp, and string-equal.

```
COMPARE (a symbol) (b symbol)
```

&rest keys &key recursive &allow-other-keys

When called with two symbols, the method returns = if a and b are eq, otherwise it returns /=.

### **Examples:**

```
cl-prompt> (COMPARE 42 0)
>
cl-prompt> (COMPARE 42 1024)
<
cl-prompt> (COMPARE pi pi)
cl-prompt> (COMPARE pi 3.0s0)
>
cl-prompt> (COMPARE 'this-symbol 'this-symbol)
=
cl-prompt> (COMPARE 'this-symbol 'that-symbol)
/=
cl-prompt> (COMPARE '(q w e r t y) '(q w e r t y))
cl-prompt> (COMPARE #(q w e r t y) #(q w e r t y 42))
/=
cl-prompt> (COMPARE "asd" "asd")
=
cl-prompt> (COMPARE "asd" "ASD")
>
cl-prompt> (COMPARE "asd" "ASD" :case-sensitive nil)
cl-prompt> (defstruct foo a s d)
FOO
```

```
cl-prompt> (COMPARE (make-foo :a 42) (make-foo :a 42))
/=
cl-prompt> (defmethod COMPARE ((a foo) (b foo)
                               &rest keys
                               &key recursive
                               &allow-other-keys)
              (let ((d-r (apply #'COMPARE (foo-d a) (foo-d b) keys))
                    (a-r (apply #'COMPARE (foo-a a) (foo-a b) keys))
                   )
                 (if (eq d-r a-r) d-r '/=)))
#<STANDARD METHOD COMPARE (FOO FOO)>
cl-prompt> (COMPARE (make-foo :a 0 :d "I am a FOO")
                    (make-foo :a 42 :d "I am a foo"))
/=
cl-prompt> (COMPARE (make-foo :a 0 :d "I am a FOO")
                    (make-foo :a 42 :d "I am a foo")
                    :case-sensitive nil)
<
cl-prompt> (COMPARE (make-array 3 :initial-element 0)
                    (vector 1 2 42))
/=
```

# 3.3 Functions LT, LTE, GT, and GTE

### Syntax:

LT  $a \ b$  &rest keys &key recursive &allow-other-keys  $\Rightarrow$  result

LTE a~b &rest keys &key recursive &allow-other-keys  $\Rightarrow~result$ 

GT  $a \ b$  &rest keys &key recursive &allow-other-keys  $\Rightarrow$  result

GTE a b &rest keys &key recursive &allow-other-keys  $\Rightarrow$  result

### Synonyms:

the full-name synonyms lessp, not-greaterp, greaterp, and not-lessp are provided s well. Their implementation should be based on setting the relevant fdefinition.

## **Description:**

The functions LT, LTE, GT, and GTE are shorthands for calls to COMPARE. Each one calls COMPARE as

(apply #'compare a b recursive keys)

The appropriate result is returned when COMPARE, on its turn, returns  $\langle , \rangle$ , or =. If COMPARE returns /=, then no ordering relation can be established, and the functions LT, LTE, GT, and GTE signal an error<sup>5</sup>.

## Examples:

```
cl-prompt> (lt 42 0)
NIL
cl-prompt> (lt 42 1024)
T
cl-prompt> (gte pi pi)
T
cl-prompt> (greaterp pi 3.0s0)
T
cl-prompt> (lt "asd" "asd")
NIL
cl-prompt> (lte "asd" "ASD")
NIL
cl-prompt> (lte "asd" "ASD" t :case-sensitive nil)
T
cl-prompt> (defstruct foo a s d)
FOO
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Decide which error.

```
cl-prompt> (defmethod COMPARE ((a foo) (b foo)
                                &rest keys
                                &key recursive &allow-other-keys)
              (let ((d-r (apply #'COMPARE (foo-d a) (foo-d b) keys))
                     (a-r (apply #'COMPARE (foo-a a) (foo-a b) keys))
                   )
                 (if (eq d-r a-r) d-r '/=)))
#<STANDARD METHOD COMPARE (FOO FOO)>
cl-prompt> (lte (make-foo :a 0 :d "I am a FOO")
                (make-foo :a 42 :d "I am a foo"))
Error: Uncomparable objects
       #S(FOO :a 0 :s NIL :d "I am a FOO") and
       #S(FOO :a 0 :s NIL :d "I am a foo")
cl-prompt> (lte (make-foo :a 0 :d "I am a FOO")
                (make-foo :a 42 :d "I am a foo")
                 :case-sensitive nil)
<
cl-prompt> (lte (make-array 3 :initial-element 0)
                (vector 1 2 42))
Error: Uncomparable objects #(0 \ 0 \ 0) and #(1 \ 2 \ 42).
```

Side Effects:

None.

## Affected By:

TBD.

### **Exceptional Situations:**

An "error" is signalled when called on a pair of objects for which no predicate is defined (which is like what happens for undefined methods).

# 3.4 Standard Generic Function HASH-CODE

Syntax:

HASH-CODE  $a \Rightarrow result$ 

# Known Method Signatures:

HASH-CODE  $(a \ T)$ 

# Arguments and Values:

a - a Common Lisp object.

result - a positive fixnum in the range (mod array-total-size-limit).

# **Description:**

The HASH-CODE generic function is provided as a companion to EQUALS for the benefit of those Common Lisp implementations that provide a handle on the inner working of hash tables (usually in the form of an extra :sxhash or :hash-function keyword argument to make-hash-table), or for bottom-up hash table implementations.

HASH-CODE is modeled after the JAVA hashCode() method of java.lang.Object. The same description applies almost unchanged. The general contract of HASH-CODE is the following.

- Whenever it is invoked on the same object more than once during an a Common Lisp session, the HASH-CODE generic function must consistently return the same fixnum, provided no information used in EQUALS comparisons on the object *a* is modified. This integer need not remain consistent from one Common Lisp session to another.
- If two objects are equal according to the EQUALS generic predicate, then calling the HASH-CODE generic function on each of the two objects must produce the same integer result.
- It is not required that if two objects are unequal according to the EQUALS generic predicate, then calling the HASH-CODE generic function on each of the two objects must produce distinct integer results. However, the programmer should be aware that producing distinct integer results for unequal objects may improve the performance of hashtables.

# Known Method Descriptions:

## HASH-CODE (a T)

The only method defined for HASH-CODE is the default one, which simply resolves to a call to sxhash. An implementation of the method can be:

```
(defmethod HASH-CODE ((a T)) (sxhash a))
```

## Examples:

None.

# Notes:

The implementation of HASH-CODE should coordinate with that of EQUALS. In particular, Section 18.1.2 "Modifying Hash Table Keys" of [2] and the definiton of sxhash in the same document should be taken into consideration.

# Side Effects:

None.

# Affected By:

The actual implementation of the  $\tt EQUALS$  methods.

# **Exceptional Situations:**

TBD.

# References

- [1] The Best of Intentions: EQUAL Rights and Wrongs in Lisp, published online at http://www.nhplace.com/kent/PS/EQUAL.html, 1997.
- [2] The Common Lisp Hyperspec, published online at http://www.lisp.org/HyperSpec/FrontMatter/index.html, 1994.

# A Copying and License



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.