# Let the Great World Spin: Revealing the Turbulent, **Stormy Atmospheres of Giant Planet Analogs**



Johanna M. Vos<sup>1</sup>, Jacqueline K. Faherty<sup>1</sup>, Jonathan Gagné<sup>2,3</sup>, Mark Marley<sup>4</sup>, Stanimir Metchev<sup>5,</sup> Emily Rice<sup>1,6</sup>, Kelle Cruz<sup>1,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>American Museum of Natural History, <sup>2</sup>Planétarium Rio Tinto Alcan, <sup>3</sup>Institute for Research on Exoplanets, Université de Montréal, <sup>4</sup>NASA Ames, <sup>5</sup>University of Western Ontario, <sup>6</sup>Macaulay Honors College CUNY, <sup>7</sup>Hunter College CUNY

@johannamvos

jvos@amnh.org

johannavos.github.io

# A large Spitzer survey for variability in young brown dwarfs

Young, low-mass brown dwarfs act as powerful analogs to the directly-imaged exoplanets.



We present preliminary results from the

The colours of the young, low-mass brown dwarfs form a distinct sequence in the color-magnitude diagram (Fig 1) - they are consistently redder and less luminous that the field brown dwarfs at a given spectral type. Their colors and spectra and remarkably similar to those of the directly-imaged exoplanets discovered to date.

Models generally invoke disequilibrium chemistry and thicker, high altitude clouds to explain their observed colors and spectra.

> **Figure 1:** Field brown dwarfs (grey) compared to our low-gravity sample (pink)

largest, most sensitive survey for photometric variability in isolated giant planet analogs with the Spitzer Space Telescope.

Our unique sample of 26 young L2-T5 brown dwarfs allows us to probe the effects of surface gravity and youth on the variability properties, by comparing with the field sample published in Metchev et al. (2015).

# We detect a large number of new low-mass variables





We detect photometric variability at 3.6 µm in 15/26 objects in our sample.

We correct for intrapixel sensitivity variations using a cubic function of the *x* and y coordinates. We identify variable light curves using a periodogram method and using the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). These techniques are outlined in Vos et al. (2020).



Elapsed Time (hr)

Figure 2: Variability detections from our survey. Blue points show 2.5 min cadence.  $\triangle$ BIC values show that a variable, sinusoidal model is favoured over a non-variable model.

The variability is sometimes sinusoidal and sometimes shows rapidly evolving light curves. These variations are likely due to condensate clouds rotating in and out of view.

Figure 3: Variability detections (pink) and nondetections (black) of our variability survey. For variable objects, the symbol area is proportional to the variability amplitude

# **Evidence for variability enhancement for low-mass objects**

## 3.6 µm Variability Amplitudes



### 3.6 µm Variability Occurrence Rates



**Figure 4:** Variability amplitudes of low-mass objects (pink) and field dwarfs (blue) as a function of spectral type.

#### Main Takeaways:

- 1. For each spectral bin, the max variability amplitudes occurs for young objects, suggesting a relation between low-gravity and high-amplitude variability
- 2. However this does not mean that all young brown dwarfs exhibit high-amplitude variability — their range of observed amplitudes is likely affected by viewing angle, the relative size of storms etc.

Figure 5: Variability occurrence rates for young objects (pink) and field objects (blue).

#### **Key Takeaways:**

- The variability occurrence rates of young objects remains high, from L to T spectral types.
- We observe no difference in variability occurrence rate across the L/T transition for either sample.

This work is partially supported by the National Science Foundation Award #1614527 and by the Spitzer Science Center Research Support Agreement for GO 14128.