



Big Data to Enable Global Disruption of the Grapevine-powered Industries

D8.1 - Piloting Plan

DELIVERABLE NUMBER	D8.1
DELIVERABLE TITLE	Piloting Plan
RESPONSIBLE AUTHOR	Aikaterini Kasimati (AUA)



GRANT AGREEMENT N.	780751
PROJECT ACRONYM	BigDataGrapes
PROJECT FULL NAME	Big Data to Enable Global Disruption of the Grapevine-powered industries
STARTING DATE (DUR.)	01/01/2018 (36 months)
ENDING DATE	31/12/2020
PROJECT WEBSITE	http://www.bigdatagrappes.eu/
COORDINATOR	Nikos Manouselis
ADDRESS	110 Pentelis Str., Marousi, GR15126, Greece
REPLY TO	nikosm@agroknow.com
PHONE	+30 210 6897 905
EU PROJECT OFFICER	Ms. Annamária Nagy
WORKPACKAGE N. TITLE	WP8 Grapevine-powered Industry Application Pilots
WORKPACKAGE LEADER	Agricultural University of Athens
DELIVERABLE N. TITLE	D8.1 Piloting Plan
RESPONSIBLE AUTHOR	Aikaterini Kasimati (AUA)
REPLY TO	akasimati@aua.gr
DOCUMENT URL	http://www.bigdatagrappes.eu/
DATE OF DELIVERY (CONTRACTUAL)	30 June 2018 (M6), 31 March 2019 (M15, updated version), 31 December 2019 (M24, Updated Version)
DATE OF DELIVERY (SUBMITTED)	29 June 2018 (M6), 29 March 2019 (M15, updated version), 6 August 2019 (M20, Updated Version), 30 December 2019 (M24, Updated Version)
VERSION STATUS	4.0 Final
NATURE	Report (R)
DISSEMINATION LEVEL	Public (PU)
AUTHORS (PARTNER)	Aikaterini Kasimati (AUA)
CONTRIBUTORS	Spyros Fountas (AUA), Evangelos Anastasiou (AUA), Maritina Stavrakaki (AUA), Florian Schlenz (Geocledian), Simone Parisi (ABACO), Coraline Damasio (INRA), Arnaud Charleroy (INRA), Eleni Foufa (Symbeeosis), Pantelis Natskoulis (Symbeeosis), Eva Bozou (Agroknow)
REVIEWER	Nikos Manouselis (Agroknow), Eva Bozou (Agroknow)

VERSION	MODIFICATION(S)	DATE	AUTHOR(S)
0.1	Initial ToC and document structure	17/05/2018	Aikaterini Kasimati (AUA)
0.4	Piloting Activities Planning Report - Template	21/05/2018	Aikaterini Kasimati (AUA)
0.8	Individual Piloting Plans	07-27/06/2018	Aikaterini Kasimati (AUA), Maritina Stavrakaki (AUA), Eleni Foufa (Symbeeosis), Florian Schlenz (Geocledian), Simone Speringo (ABACO), Sabine Karen Yemadje Lammoglia (INRA)
0.9	Internal Review	28/6/2018	Panagiotis Zervas (Agroknow)
1.0	Partners review, final comments and edits	29/06/2018	Aikaterini Kasimati (AUA)
2.0	Updated version	01/02/2019	Aikaterini Kasimati (AUA), Maritina Stavrakaki (AUA), Florian Schlenz (Geocledian), Simone Parisi (ABACO), Coraline Damasio (INRA), Arnaud Charleroy (INRA), Eleni Foufa (Symbeeosis)
2.7	Partners review, final comments and edits	26/7/2019	Nikos Manouselis (Agroknow), Simone Parisi (ABACO), Coraline Damasio (INRA), Arnaud Charleroy (INRA), Pantelis Natskoulis (Symbeeosis), Eva Bozou (Agroknow)
3.0	Updated version	06/8/2019	Aikaterini Kasimati (AUA)
3.7	Partners review, final updates and edits	10/12/2019	Maritina Stavrakaki (AUA), Florian Schlenz (Geocledian), Simone Parisi (ABACO), Coraline Damasio (INRA), Pantelis Natskoulis (Symbeeosis), Eva Bozou (Agroknow)

4.0	Updated version	30/12/2019	Aikaterini Kasimati (AUA)
-----	-----------------	------------	------------------------------

PARTICIPANTS		CONTACT
<p>Agroknow IKE (Agroknow, Greece)</p>		<p>Nikos Manouselis Email: nikosm@agroknow.com</p>
<p>Ontotext AD (ONTOTEXT, Bulgaria)</p>		<p>Todor Primov Email: todor.primov@ontotext.com</p>
<p>Consiglio Nazionale DelleRicerche (CNR, Italy)</p>		<p>Raffaele Perego Email: raffaele.perego@isti.cnr.it</p>
<p>Katholieke Universiteit Leuven (KULeuven, Belgium)</p>		<p>Katrien Verbert Email: katrien.verbert@cs.kuleuven.be</p>
<p>Geocledian GmbH (GEOCLEDIAN Germany)</p>		<p>Stefan Scherer Email: stefan.scherer@geocledian.com</p>
<p>Institut National de la Recherché Agronomique (INRA, France)</p>		<p>Pascal Neveu Email: pascal.neveu@inra.fr</p>
<p>Agricultural University of Athens (AUA, Greece)</p>		<p>Katerina Biniari Email: kbiniari@aua.gr</p>
<p>Abaco SpA (ABACO, Italy)</p>		<p>Simone Parisi Email: s.parsi@abacogroup.eu</p>
<p>Symbeosis (Symbeosis, Greece)</p>	 <p>Symbeosis</p>	<p>Konstantinos Rodopoulos Email: rodopoulos-k@symbeosis.com</p>

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The deliverable D8.1, “Piloting Plan”, aims to give a general scope of the activities that will be undertaken during the project lifetime under the pilots, a report documenting the plan for the development and execution of the pilots and the methodology and materials for the pilot trials. The objective of this deliverable is to provide, in the first part, generic guidelines to all the pilots that will constitute instantiations of the use cases that have already been identified in WP2. Updated versions of this deliverable, including refined piloting plans, are due to M15 and M24 of the project lifetime.

Deliverable D8.1, “Piloting Plan”, is based on the individual plans of the following pilots: Table and Wine Grapes Pilot (AUA), Wine Making Pilot (INRA), Farm Management Pilot (ABACO & Geocledian), Natural Cosmetics Pilot (Symbeeosis) and Food Protection Pilot (Agroknow). This document reports tailored guidelines and concludes with a detailed overview of the planning for each of the farmers’ and buyers’ pilots. Information was directly provided by the pilot leaders to ensure the specificity of the guidelines and the smooth progress of the operations.

The document is structured as follows. Chapter 1 serves as an introduction to the deliverable whereas Chapter 2 provides the overall approach regarding the revised strategy (see D9.2) and Chapter 3 an overview of the farmers and buyers piloting plans containing important information regarding them, in order to describe the importance of these pilot trials and the methodology and materials that will be used. Each of these pilot plans is separated in four sections: the introduction and its specific goals, the technical guidelines and methodology to be used, the measurements to be performed and the envisaged outcomes. The introduction describes the importance of the pilot trials proposed and contains information to clearly identify why the pilot is being conducted and what the pilot is intended to accomplish, along with any assumptions being made. In the technical guidelines section, the site description (when applicable) where the pilot trials will take place, the equipment and methods used (when applicable), along with a detailed description of all resources necessary to fully conduct the pilot, and the expected timeline are included. Finally, the envisaged outcomes part constitutes a description of how the collected data and datasets will be used to operate in favour of the BigDataGrapes project. Chapter 4 illustrates the connection of the pilots to the use cases identified in WP2 while Chapter 5 the future activities will be implemented and Chapter 6 contains the conclusions regarding the pilot planning.

It is worth mentioning that the 1st version of this deliverable was submitted in M6 (June 2018) and outlined the piloting plans to be followed throughout the course of the project. This deliverable is to be periodically updated to take account of additional methodologies, measurements and data adopted during the project lifetime. This is the 4th version of the deliverable D8.1.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	5
TABLE OF CONTENTS	6
LIST OF FIGURES.....	8
1 INTRODUCTION	10
2 FARMERS AND BUYERS PILOTS OVERALL APPROACH.....	12
3 INDIVIDUAL PILOTING PLANS.....	14
3.1 TABLE AND WINE GRAPES PILOT (AUA)	14
3.1.1 Specific Goals, Technological Guidance, Measurements and Envisaged Outcomes.....	14
3.1.2 Assess Table and Wine Grapes Pilot Results	22
3.2 WINE MAKING PILOT (INRA).....	22
3.2.1 Specific Goals, Technological Guidance, Measurements and Envisaged Outcomes.....	22
3.2.2 Assess Wine Making Pilot Results.....	28
3.3 FARM MANAGEMENT PILOT (ABACO – GEOCLEDIAN).....	30
3.3.1 Specific Goals, Technological Guidance, Measurements and Envisaged Outcomes.....	30
3.3.2 Assess Farm Management Pilot Results	36
3.3.3 INVOLVEMENT OF END USER EVALUATION PANEL.....	39
3.4 NATURAL COSMETICS PILOT (SYMBEEOSIS).....	40
3.4.1 Specific Goals, Technological Guidance, Measurements and Envisaged Outcomes.....	40
3.4.2 Assess Natural Cosmetics Pilot Results.....	46
3.5 FOOD PROTECTION PILOT (AGROKNOW).....	47
3.5.1 Specific Goals, Technological Guidance, Measurements and Envisaged Outcomes.....	47
3.5.2 Assess FOODAKAI Pilot Results.....	50
4 DATA, DATASETS AND USE CASE SCENARIOS	54
5 NEXT STEPS.....	56
6 CONCLUSIONS	57
7 REFERENCES	58

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Overview of Data and Datasets that will be gathered once the Table and Wine Grapes pilot will have run its course over a season/ year	22
Table 2: Overview of data and datasets that will be gathered once the Wine Making pilot will have run its course over a season/ year	28
Table 3: Overview of the data and datasets that will be gathered once the Farm Management pilot will have run its course over a season/ year	36
Table 4. Vineyards chosen for sample collection.....	41
Table 5: Overview of the data and datasets that will be gathered once the Natural Cosmetics pilot will have run its course over a season/ year	46
Table 6. Use Cases and Scenarios	54
Table 7. Use Case Scenarios' Connection to Pilots	54

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Palivou Estate test site (Google Earth Pro)	15
Figure 2: Kontogiannis Estate test site (Google Earth Pro).....	15
Figure 3: Fasoulis Estate test site (Google Earth Pro)	16
Figure 4: EM38-MK2 (left) and Archer Data logger (right).....	16
Figure 5: Topcon HiPer V RTK GPS.....	17
Figure 6: Crop Circle ACS-470.....	17
Figure 7. Laser Scanner LMS100 (left) and SpectroSense2+ (right)	18
Figure 8: Crop Circle RapidSCAN AC-45 handheld reflectance sensor	18
Figure 9: Vantage Pro2 Weather Station (left) and Decagon EC-5 soil moisture sensor (right).....	19
Figure 10: Phantom 4 Pro drone w/ multispectral and thermal cameras	19
Figure 11: Timeline of table and wine grapes piloting plan.....	21
Figure 12: Landfield of Pech Rouge (INRA, France) (Google Maps)	23
Figure 13: INRA Pech Rouge Experimental Unit.....	24
Figure 14: INRA Technological Facilities – Delayed Fermentations	25
Figure 15: Oak Barrel Cellar.....	25
Figure 16: SAFAS UV-mc2 Spectrophotometer	26
Figure 17: Sensorial Analysis Platform	26
Figure 18: Timeline of Wine Making piloting plan.....	28
Figure 19: 12 HA of vineyards of Brunello of Montalcino.....	31
Figure 20: 35 HA of Vineyards of CHIANTI D.O.C.	31
Figure 21: SITI4farmer screen view	32
Figure 22: Sensor & Weather Station.....	33
Figure 23. Rain Gauge Module	33
Figure 24: “Il Palazzo” farm GIS plot and related historical meteorological data display example	34
Figure 25: “Casato Prime Donne” farm GIS plot and related historical meteorological data display example ...	35
Figure 26: Timeline of Farm Management piloting plan	36
Figure 27: A Sentinel-2 Chlorophyll Index Red Edge (CI-RE) time series for 2017 & 2018 over parts of the Casato Prime donne site in Tuscany, visualized in a data analysis and review client.....	39
Figure 28: A combined Landsat-8 & Sentinel-2 NDVI time series for 2013 - 2019 over a parcel of the Palivou estate in Greece, visualized in a data analysis and review client.....	39
Figure 29: Dispersion of samples across the Greek territory	41
Figure 30: Collaborating Company’s (APIVITA) laboratory	42
Figure 31: Elma S60H Elmasonic.....	42
Figure 32: pHmeter, METTLER-TOLEDO	42
Figure 33: Digital Refractometer ATAGO	43

Figure 34: (a) NUVE Incubator, (b) Laminar Telstar BO-II-A.....43

Figure 35: Memmert Universal Oven43

Figure 36: UV Spectrophotometer44

Figure 37: Nanoquant, infinite M₂₀₀ Pro.....44

Figure 38: CFX connect Real time System44

Figure 39: Timeline of Natural Cosmetics piloting plan.....46

Figure 40: Food Protection dashboard of FOODAKAI system.....48

Figure 41: Timeline of Food Protection piloting plan.....53

LIST OF DIAGRAMS

Diagram 1: BigDataGrapes revised dissemination and exploitation strategy13

1 INTRODUCTION

Data-driven approaches have the potential to improve decision making in different industries and settings, which sometimes requires that the involved researchers and practitioners act as a ‘data scientist’, lighting up the meaningful relationships and patterns in the available data. However, in the case of complex systems, the variability and heterogeneity of data assets that can be combined and produce meaningful insights, might not be manageable by personnel specialized in other than data analysis domains. Thus, it is evident that, a rich, large-scale and diverse data pool is needed for carrying out the foreseen research and industry-centred activities, nevertheless, the incorporation and/or production of an extended data pool that combines different data types potentially bears extremely high costs on personnel and equipment.

From Day 1 and throughout the lifecycle of the project, BigDataGrapes will continuously collect and monitor sensor data derived from all test sites owned or accessible by consortium members, bringing an expansive and diverse collection of datasets. These streams of data and datasets will serve as the basis for carrying out research and technical work and will be used as the testbed for enabling the implemented technical components to efficiently handle the volume and intricacies of these data, clearly acquired from realistic in-field conditions. The corporate and public organisations that are producing and harvesting these data assets will contribute them to a data marketplace demonstrator that will serve as the project’s experimentation environment, an environment where the testing and adjustment of the proposed technical solutions can be carried out in a realistic setting. As the project progresses, the data pool will be continuously enriched in volume and range, in accordance with the needs and requirements of the covered use cases.

Moving from testing in laboratory conditions to testing in real-world settings, BigDataGrapes will design and execute application pilots, pertaining to the defined Use Cases, under WP8, “Grapevine-powered Industry Application Pilots”. The work package will be responsible for the planning and preparation of the pilots, the definition of the experimental and evaluation protocols to be followed, the execution of the pilots and ultimately, the collection and evaluation of the pilot results and their assessment over indicators defined by the end users. In this context, this document provides the plan for developing and executing the pilots during the BigDataGrapes project lifetime, outlines how they should be designed and deployed, what methodology will be adopted and what materials will be used for the pilot trials.

The aforementioned detailed piloting and evaluation plan will be produced and followed during pilot execution. The BigDataGrapes Piloting partners will run a set of human-centred assessment activities, organised in the following phases:

Formative phase, leading up to the “Use Case Definition & Assessment Planning” (M9): Industry-centred requirements and the concrete use cases where the BigDataGrapes solution will be applied and tested against these requirements will be defined through WP2 and WP8. During this phase, suitable data and processes for fulfilling the requirements of the specific use cases will be identified and relevant piloting activities will be defined.

Intermediate phase, leading up to “Functional Assessment Sessions” (M18): The first round of controlled pilot trials, will implement a first version of the pilots, using the first versions of newly developed BigDataGrapes components. These will be restricted piloting trials in terms of scale and complexity. The objectives of these trials are (a) to provide data for the assessment of early BigDataGrapes components and (b) to refine the pilots themselves into their subsequent iterations.

Validation phase, leading up to “Extensions’ usefulness assessment session” (M24): Based on the needs of the industry users that each pilot partner will suggest, special software tools extensions will be implemented. The extensions that will be developed should be tested in order to give a relevant and timely feedback to the other WPs.

Summative phase, leading up to “Operational Assessment” (M36): The final phase entails the validation of the BigDataGrapes components in real-life conditions and with realistic complexity. The components will be used throughout the timespan, with developments in the technologies incorporated opaquely in the operational platform. A summary of the operation of the system and the respective pilot observations will be delivered, followed by a final Evaluation report where the performance of the system will be assessed against the established evaluation criteria and the appropriate Key Performance Indicators.

2 FARMERS AND BUYERS PILOTS OVERALL APPROACH

One of the main goals of BigDataGrapes is to increase the competitiveness of the grapes oriented European IT companies and to position their services in a global tech market that serves organisations in the agriculture, food and beauty sectors. In order this to be achieved the revision of the existing dissemination and exploitation strategy is necessary since a holistic approach of the data flow is required. The harvested data of the existing pilots aim to help only farmers/ producers to solve their problems, while regarding buyers' problems there is no concern. According to the revised strategy produced under WP9 and based on the existing pilots, namely Table and Wine Grapes Pilot (AUA), Wine Making Pilot (INRA), Farm Management Pilot (ABACO & Geocledian), and Natural Cosmetics Pilot (Symbeeosis), a new pilot, the Food Protection Pilot (Agroknow) was added. This pilot concerns grapes price assessment, risk prediction assessment for grapes, pesticides residues prediction in grapes and fraud in raisins and vinegar. Furthermore, a separation between the types of pilots to "farmers' pilots", including all existing pilots and "buyers' pilot", including the Food Protection Pilot was performed based on the type of industry users that they serve. This new buyers' pilot aims to reinforce the dissemination and exploitation strategy of the project by completing its data flow.

As illustrated in the following diagram, all harvested data from the farmers' and buyers' pilots, other relevant projects, open sources, other sources and satellites are gathered at the BigDataGrapes Platform. All the aforementioned sectors in order to be able to exploit the gathered data should make full use of software tools such as the SIT14Farmer and FOODAKAI that ABACO and Agroknow provide, respectively. Industry users who choose to use these tools to solve a particular problem will have the privilege of enjoying unique benefits as, depending on the nature of the problem they are facing, an appropriate extension will be created that will be tailored to solve their problem in an integrated way.

In assessing the usefulness of these extensions, each pilot leader should validate these extensions by testing them through the organization of piloting activities such as onsite demonstration visits to specific companies or workshops with representative focus groups. At these dissemination activities will participate the industry users that each pilot leader has proposed. To ensure that all pilots follow a common evaluation methodology and are aligned in the dimensions that will examine, we will provide specific tools and guidelines as an extension of D8.2.




Diagram 1: BigDataGrapes revised dissemination and exploitation strategy

3 INDIVIDUAL PILOTING PLANS

All Farmers’ Pilot partners (Table and Wine Grapes Pilot- AUA, Wine Making Pilot- INRA, Farm Management Pilot- ABACO- Geocledian, Natural Cosmetics Pilot- Symbeeosis) and Buyers’ Pilot partners (Food Protection- Agroknow, Natural Cosmetics Pilot- Symbeeosis) provided important information regarding their pilots, in order to describe the importance of their pilot trials and the methodology and materials to be used.

Each of these pilot plans is separated in four sections: the introduction and its specific goals, the technical guidelines and methodology to be used, the measurements to be performed and the envisaged outcomes. The introduction describes the importance of the pilot trials proposed and contains information to clearly identify why the pilot is being conducted and what the pilot is intended to accomplish, along with any assumptions being made. In the technical guidelines section, the site description where the pilot trials will take place, the equipment and methods used, along with a detailed description of all resources necessary to fully conduct the pilot, and the expected timeline are included. Piloting plans were mainly developed based on methods and instruments found in the scientific literature. Finally, the envisaged outcomes part is a description of how the collected data and datasets will be used to operate in favour of the BigDataGrapes project.

3.1 TABLE AND WINE GRAPES PILOT (AUA)

3.1.1 Specific Goals, Technological Guidance, Measurements and Envisaged Outcomes

AUA’s Pilot Plan	Table and Wine Grapes Pilot
Introduction & Specific Goals	<p>Deriving meaningful knowledge from many relevant, yet heterogeneous data sources is very important and will act as the basis for future decision-making processes. Throughout the lifecycle of the project, AUA will continuously collect and monitor sensor, farming and phenological data derived from all test sites located in Greece.</p> <p>Soil properties, climate conditions and cultivation techniques constitute significant variables, which affect the quality of the final product. In particular, soil data (soil texture, soil electrical conductivity etc.) and weather data (average temperature, humidity etc.) affect both crop quality data (sugar content, anthocyanins content, phenolic compounds concentrations etc.) and crop quantity data (crop yield, berry weight and size etc.).</p> <p>Some of the goals to be achieved through this sensor and farming data collection, is to denote associations and correlations between precision agriculture information and phenological data and grape and wine chemical analysis. Location-specific data will be used as auxiliary sources and will lead to the supply of vegetation indexes corrected for vineyard cultivation practices, more accurately determined vegetation stages and input to plant performance and grape quality indicators among others. Finally, the ultimate goal is to correlate the aforementioned data with earth observation data to examine the effectiveness of applying machine learning techniques and eventually train the relevant machine learning components.</p>
Technical Methodology	<p><i>Site Description</i></p> <p>Three test sites have been chosen for data collection for BigDataGrapes in Greece. These are situated in the regional unit of Corinthia, in the north-eastern part of Peloponnese. The following have been selected: for winemaking Palivou Estate and Kontogiannis Estate and for table grapes Fasoulis Estate.</p>

Palivou Estate: is located in Nemea, planted with *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. ‘Agiorgitiko’ and ‘Merlot’ for winemaking. The row orientation is northeast-southwest, and the training/trellis system is VSP (vertical shoot positioned)- cane pruning, double Guyot.



Figure 1: Palivou Estate test site (Google Earth Pro)

Kontogiannis Estate: in Ancient Corinth having the same VSP-double Guyot or double Royat-training/trellis system planted with ‘Roditis’, ‘Savatiano’, ‘Mavroudi’ and ‘Soulтанina’ for winemaking. Its row orientation is north to south.




Figure 2: Kontogiannis Estate test site (Google Earth Pro)

Fasoulis Estate: situated in Nemea, cultivated with 22 different table grape varieties, where each line has a different variety. The orientation is southeast to northwest.



Figure 3: Fasoulis Estate test site (Google Earth Pro)

Equipment Used

Precision Agriculture Lab

- EM38-MK2 probe (Geonics LTD, Mississauga, ON, Canada) (Figure 4). Data collection is supported by the DAS70-AR Data Acquisition System (Archer Data logger). The EM38 measures apparent soil electrical conductivity (ECa) in millisiemens per metre (mS/m) in the root zone at 0.5 and 1.0 m depth and the in-phase ratio of the secondary to primary magnetic field in parts per thousand (ppt) (Kitchen et al., 2005; Anastasiou et al., 2017; Balafoutis et al., 2017). One measurement per season will take place.



Figure 4: EM38-MK2 (left) and Archer Data logger (right)

- HiPer V RTK GPS (Topcon Positioning Systems Inc., Livermore, CA, United States) (Figure 5). Records topographical data, such as field boundary points, and elevation data (Kitchen et al., 2005; Pedersen &

Lind, 2017). The final output can be a KML, KMZ file. This measurement will be performed once throughout the course of the project, at the beginning of the table and wine grapes pilot, prior to all other measurements.



Figure 5: Topcon HiPer V RTK GPS

- Crop Circle ACS-470 (Holland Scientific Inc., Lincoln, NE, United States) (Figure 6). This remote sensing tool is measuring the radioactive transfer and the biophysical characteristics of plant canopies. It is an active crop canopy sensor that provides basic reflectance information from plant canopies and soil as well as classic spectral vegetative index data (NDVI, NDRE etc.). Six measurements per season will be performed, to record in the most precise way the phenological growth of the grapevines. Vegetative indices measurements for NDVI will be done in two different canopy parts, by the side and at upper canopy of the vines, by fitting the equipment to a winegrowing tractor.



Figure 6: Crop Circle ACS-470

- Laser Scanner LMS100 (Sick AG, Waldkirch, Germany) (Figure 7). It is a light detection and ranging system (LiDAR) that scans the vine trees to estimate the so-called pixelated leaf wall area (PLWA) as well as to characterize vine canopy distribution and retrieve information regarding the vine shoots dimensions and weight (Tagarakis et al., 2018). The distance between the LMS100 laser measurement system and an object is calculated from the time-of-flight of the emitted pulse. This 2D LiDAR sensor can evaluate two reception signals per emitted measurement beam.



Figure 7. Laser Scanner LMS100 (left) and SpectroSense2+ (right)

- SpectroSense2+ GPS (Skye Instruments Ltd, Landrindod Wells, UK) (Figure 7). Used to estimate LAI (Leaf Area Index) and NDVI vegetation indices.
- Crop Circle RapidSCAN CS-45 (Holland Scientific Inc., Lincoln, NE, United States). Used to estimate vegetation indices such as NDVI and NDRE indices (Figure 8).



Figure 8: Crop Circle RapidSCAN AC-45 handheld reflectance sensor

- Software such as Surfer 11 (Golden Software), ArcGIS (ESRI, Redlands, CA, USA), Global Mapper for the generation of thematic maps.

Equipment purchased:

- Two Vantage Pro 2 weather stations (Davis Instruments Corp., Hayward, CA, United States) (Figure 9) with rain sensor, to detect rainfall, anemometer to measure wind speed and direction, air temperature sensor, air humidity sensor, barometer to monitor atmospheric pressure. The basic equipment can be supplemented with sensors for UV and solar radiation. The automatic weather station will be installed inside the vineyard. Weather information will be recorded throughout the growing season.



Figure 9: Vantage Pro2 Weather Station (left) and Decagon EC-5 soil moisture sensor (right)

- Four Decagon EC-5 soil moisture sensors (METER Group, Inc., Pullman, WA, USA) (Figure 9) recording throughout the growing season the humidity and temperature of the soil.
- Two (2) Phantom 4 Pro drones (Dà-Jiāng Innovations, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China) equipped with a multispectral Parrot Sequoia+ camera (Parrot SA, Paris, France) and a Flir Vue Pro thermal camera (FLIR Systems Inc., Wilsonville, Oregon, United States) to collect aerial imagery data and generate Vegetation Indices and Irrigation/Water Activity maps respectively.




Figure 10: Phantom 4 Pro drone w/ multispectral and thermal cameras

Laboratory of Viticulture

- Soluble solids will be determined using an ATAGO N1-a refractometer with a 0-32 Brix measurement range at 0.28 Brix increments.
- Total titratable acidity will be measured by titration with a 0.1 N NaOH solution and will be expressed as tartaric acid.
- The quantitative and qualitative analysis of the substances, which exist in berries, must and wines such as, organic acids, sugars, phenolic compounds, amino acids, anthocyanins, volatile compounds, etc. will be performed using an HPLC Shimadzu Nexera comprising a gradient pump Shimadzu Nexera X2, a ProStar model 410 AutoSampler, and a ProStar

model 330 Photodiode Array Detector on a reversed-phase Waters C18 x select (250 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 mm) column.

- Antioxidant activity (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl, DPPH) will be evaluated by the free radical scavenging activity of DPPH using a modified colorimetric method, while the reduction of the DPPH radical will be determined by measuring the absorption at 517 nm in a UV/Vis spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer, Lambda 25, Beaconsfield, Bucks, U.K.). The absorption of the antioxidant activity (Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power, FRAP) will be measured at 593 nm.

Expected Timeline

Measurements related to the Table and Wine Grapes pilot will take place during the whole duration of the project (Figure 11). Emphasis will be given during the summer months, May through September, while grapevines grow and produce grapes.

The boundaries of the vineyards will be geo-referenced using GPS technology at the very beginning of the project, as soon as the experimental fields are chosen. Time-stable zones will be formed using soil electrical conductivity (ECa) mapping, assisted by elevation mapping using the RTK-GPS. These data related to the boundaries, management zones and elevation will be used throughout the course of the project. Soil, weather and farming data will be continuously collected, starting on Day 1 of the project. Canopy characteristics and vegetation indices will be recorded with the use of Crop Circle, LiDAR laser scanner, SpectroSense2 and Crop Circle RapidSCAN sensors six times per season/summer starting at the beginning/middle of May, so that the phenological development of the grapevine, which is divided into 9 principal growth stages, will be followed in the best way. Similarly, drone imagery with thermal and multispectral cameras as well as Landsat-8 and Sentinel-2 satellite data will be collected during the same periods with the measurements for the canopy characteristics, again six times per season/summer. These measurements will be repeated every year. Some of the qualitative and quantitative characters of the grapevines, such as pH, soluble solids, total titratable acidity, antioxidant capacity by DPPH, FRAP assay, and aminoacids, will be tested three times over a season. Finally, the rest of the qualitative and quantitative characters will be assessed at the end of each season, when harvesting. Similarly, yield mapping will also be estimated once per year.

Measurements

- *Remote sensing for spatial data, topographical and elevation mapping*
- *Identification of grapevine varieties*
- *Geo-referenced apparent soil electrical conductivity (ECa)*
- *Canopy characteristics and vegetation indices using remote and proximal sensing*
- *Qualitative and quantitative characters; Grape and berry mechanical properties (weight, length, width, density etc.), berry deformation, berry detachment, density, grape volume, berries diameter, berries weight*
 For wine and table grapes: soluble solids, pH, total titratable acidity, total phenols and anthocyanins, total flavonoid content, total flavanol, flavonol,

	<p>flavone content, tannins, antioxidant capacity (trans-resveratrol, piceid, ε-viniferin) by DPPH, FRAP assay, aminoacids</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full phenolic profile of grapevine varieties in correlation with the phenological stages to improve the quality of viticultural products <p>For table grapes: leaf analysis, foliar chlorophyll contents photosynthetic pigment content of the leaves, water potential correlated to the proline content</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yield mapping • Soil, weather
<p>Envisaged Outcomes</p>	<p>The expansive and diverse collection of datasets for BigDataGrapes will serve as the basis for carrying out research and technical work. These data assets will contribute to a data marketplace demonstrator that will serve as the project’s experimentation environment. The streams will be used as the testbed for enabling the implemented technical components to efficiently handle the volume and intricacies of these data (correct sensor measurements, fill in missing values, corrupted or inconsistent data, adjust outliers, etc.), clearly acquired from realistic in-field conditions. As the project progresses, the data pool will be continuously enriched in volume and range, in accordance with the needs and requirements of the covered use cases.</p>

The proposed stage at which the Table and Wine Grapes pilot is going to conduct each testing throughout the course of the BigDataGrapes project, as well as its replications has been designated. Any pilot trial taking place within the Table and Wine Grapes pilot is accounted for in a timeline, illustrating all relevant testing in a chronological order per growing season and throughout the course of the project.




Figure 11: Timeline of table and wine grapes piloting plan

3.1.2 Assess Table and Wine Grapes Pilot Results

The table below provides an overview of the Data and the Datasets that will be gathered once the Table and Wine Grapes pilot will have run its course over a season/ year.

Table 1: Overview of Data and Datasets that will be gathered once the Table and Wine Grapes pilot will have run its course over a season/ year

Name	DataSet Description	Priority	Provenance	Data Type Format	Data size
Yield Mapping	Yield data	Essential	Laboratory equipment	csv, xls	MB
Grape and berry mechanical properties	Measurements	Essential	Laboratory equipment	csv, xls	MB
Classical analytical techniques (HPLC)	Phenolic composition data	Essential	Laboratory equipment	csv, xls	MB
Topographic data and elevation maps	Spatial data (boundaries and elevation data)	Essential	Remote sensing	csv, xls, xml	MB
Canopy sensing and vegetation indices	Canopy sensing data	Essential	Proximal sensors	csv, xls	MB
IoT stationary data	Soil moisture data, meteorological parameters	Essential	IoT data	csv, xls	MB
Drone imagery	Drone images	Essential	Multispectral and thermal cameras	GEOTIFF	GB
Eca sensing	Geo-referenced soil electrical conductivity data	Essential	Proximal sensors	csv, xls	MB

3.2 WINE MAKING PILOT (INRA)

3.2.1 Specific Goals, Technological Guidance, Measurements and Envisaged Outcomes

INRA's Pilot Plan	Wine Making Pilot
-------------------	-------------------

Introduction & Specific Goals

The INRA’s experimental unit of Pech Rouge (UEPR) is dedicated to research in the fields of viticulture and oenology with an integrated point of view that allows a transversal approach from the vineyard to the packaged final product. The unit conducts research and technological experiments on:

- Viticulture and the ecophysiology of the vine, with as a main issue a better knowledge and better control of grape quality.
- Enology with, as major research axes, the expression of quality potential existing in the grapes and wines and the on-line monitoring and control of the alcoholic fermentation.
- Technological processes with the aim to propose and study innovative technologies applicable to various steps of winemaking.
- The valuation of coproducts, extraction of molecules and environmental impacts.

Site Description

The INRA Pech Rouge Experimental Unit is located N43°08’47”, E03°07’19’ WGS84, in the Languedoc-Roussillon region (Aude department) of France. The landfield of Pech Rouge includes a total area of 170 ha of land planted with 38 hectares of vines, distributed in three areas. The INRA Pech Rouge Experimental Unit also contains analytical laboratories, technological tools and finally a Sensory Analysis Laboratory which enables the tasting of different wines.

Technical Methodology

Guidelines/




Figure 12: Landfield of Pech Rouge (INRA, France) (Google Maps)




Figure 13: INRA Pech Rouge Experimental Unit

Equipment Used

The experimental unit possess 5000 m2 of buildings representing experimental facilities and different installations (winemaking unit, microbiology, delayed fermentation, extraction and separation techniques, ageing, etc.) - covering a total of nearly 5000 m²:

Experimental technological facilities:

- A technological facility dedicated to grape extraction, grape processing and winemaking for experimental work scales from 100 kg to 5 tons. This winery is equipped with various facilities: destemmer, juicer, pressing units, flash release equipment, centrifuges, tangential filters, earth filters, various tanks, etc.
- A technological facility for delayed fermentations allowing alcoholic fermentations under controlled conditions with on-line acquisition of fermentation kinetics (16 100L-fermenters and 4 10L-fermenters). This facility is equipped with a plate pasteurizer and a storage room for storage of stabilized musts under aseptic conditions (at 2 °C) with a total capacity of 210 hl. In addition, it has new online monitoring equipment for the determination of volatile compounds in fermentation gases on 4 fermenters.



Figure 14: INRA Technological Facilities – Delayed Fermentations

- A technological facility dedicated to the technologies of separation / fractionation (electro-membrane and membrane processes, distillation processes, and membrane contactors).
 - Winery (a winery with traditional concrete tanks with a total volume of 1200 hl, and a more recent technological winery with stainless steel vats and tanks for a total capacity of 1500 hl).
 - Packaging facility (two bottling lines for wine lots ranging from 20 L to 100 hl with control of dissolved gases (oxygen and carbon dioxide).
 - Barrel cellar (Chai) with a capacity of 60 oak barrels (15 to 17 ° C, with humidity control).



Figure 15: Oak Barrel Cellar

- Wine bar: for the storage of all experimental wines up to a 4 years period.

Laboratory Analysis:

Neutral sugar amount is calculated relative to the allose (Albersheim et al., 1967). Polyphenol monomers are analyzed by HPLC-DAD according to the procedure described in Ducasse et al. (2010). Tannins are analyzed by HPLC after acid-catalyzed depolymerization reaction in the presence of a nucleophilic agent. The acid-catalyzed cleavage are carried out in the presence of excess 2-mercaptoethanol, according to the protocol developed by Roumeas, Aouf, Dubreucq, and Fulcrand (2013).

- UVmc2 spectrophotometer to perform spectrophotometric measurements



Figure 16: SAFAS UV-mc2 Spectrophotometer

Sensorial Analysis:

During sensory analysis sessions, the wine samples (40 mL) are served in black glasses following a monadic order (Latin square) in order to minimize carry-over effects (Macfie, Bratchell, & Greenhoff, 1989). The samples are identified by a set of three digits random codes, which are different for each judge and each sample. The wine analysis is intended to be purely olfactory. In this purpose; the glasses were covered with a lid. The terms listed by the judges are grouped together into term families in accordance with the Pearson correlation, the Wine Aroma Wheel (Noble et al., 1987).

- Sensorial analysis platform.



Figure 17: Sensorial Analysis Platform

Climatic Data:

Climatic Data are monitored by a weather station. These climatic data are used to compute the reference evapotranspiration (ET_o) according to Allen et al. (1998). Vines predawn leaf water potential measurements will be carried out between 3 and 5a.m. with a pressure chamber (Scholander et al., 1965).

Expected Timeline

	<p>Whilst a huge number of data is already available, measurements related to the Wine Making pilot will take place during the whole duration of the project. In order to adapt crop varieties, crop management practices and modes of canopy dressing to the requirements of research, the vineyard of Pech Rouge will continuously be evolved. But, to achieve the goals of the pilot, the following tasks will be continuously performed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Collecting existing data from our partners • Collecting information of fields, terrain, product quality <p>Measuring and monitoring field activities and winemaking activities</p>
<p>Measurements</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Climatic variables, • Soil characteristics, • Information coming from farms activities (treatments/fertilizing (when, what, how much), ground handling, or tasks related to the culture management, pest control, water status, yield etc) • Grape and berry mechanical properties (weight, length, width, density etc.) • Grape and berry chemical properties (anthocyanin etc.) • Qualitative and quantitative characteristics of must (sugar content, alcohol, pH etc.); • Information coming from winemaking activities (Bioconversion of sugar into ethanol and CO₂, Monitoring of alcoholic fermentation and sugar content, yeast characteristics etc.) • Expert panel of tasters' sensory analysis (wine bitterness, astringency, phenol content, aroma etc.) • Wine commercial information (number of bottles produced, number of bottles sold)
<p>Envisaged Outcomes</p>	<p>Previous and on-going experimentation on Pech Rouge experimental Unit will provide a large-scale datasets about winemaking and the vine-grape-wine continuum. Those data and datasets will be benefit for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The application and test of the BigDataGrapes solution • The validation of the BigDataGrapes components in real-life conditions and with complex dataset. <p>Our goal is also:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To have a device to improve data quality (correction) and make FAIR data • To have a better understanding of 'How data from the field can affect the wine quality?' and 'How vine water status can affect the wine quality?' • To discovery knowledge in order to design new viticulture / vinification systems

The proposed stage at which the Wine Making pilot is going to conduct each testing throughout the course of the BigDataGrapes project, as well as its replications has been designated. Any pilot trial taking place within the Wine Making pilot is accounted for in a timeline, illustrating all relevant testing in a chronological order per growing season and throughout the course of the project.




Figure 18: Timeline of Wine Making piloting plan

3.2.2 Assess Wine Making Pilot Results

The table below provide an overview of the data and the datasets that will be gathered once the Wine Making pilot will have run its course over a season/ year.

Table 2: Overview of data and datasets that will be gathered once the Wine Making pilot will have run its course over a season/ year

Name	DataSet Description	Priority	Provenance	Data Type Format	Data size
Genetic Data	Genetic profile, Morphological description, origin, etc.	Essential	French Network of Grapevine Repositories (Database of the collections)	csv or api	MB
Phenotypic data	Images of grapevines under diverse water stress	Essential	PhenoArch phenotyping platform (PHYS)	json files (and jpeg for images)	GB
Soil characteristics	Texture, pH etc.	Essential	Field measurement	xls	KB
Plot management	Treatments/fertilizing (when, what, how much), ground	Essential	Field measurement	Pdf,doc, xls	MB

	handling, or tasks related to the culture management, pest control, water status, yield etc				
Climatic data	Rainfall, temperature, radiation etc.	Essential	Field measurement	xls	MB
Grape and berry mechanical and chemical properties	Anthocyanin content, weight, length, width, density etc.	Essential	Field measurement	xls	MB
Qualitative and quantitative characteristics of must	Sugar content, alcohol, pH etc.	Essential	Laboratory equipment		MB
Winemaking activities	Bioconversion of sugar into ethanol and CO ₂ , Monitoring of alcoholic fermentation and sugar content, yeast characteristics etc.	Essential	Laboratory equipment	xls, pdf	MB
Sensory analysis	Expert panel of tasters' sensory analysis (wine bitterness, astringency, phenol content, aroma etc.)	Essential	Expert analysis	xls	MB
Wine commercial information	Number of bottles produced, number of bottles sold	Additional Data	Selling point	xls	MB

3.3 FARM MANAGEMENT PILOT (ABACO – GEOCLEDIAN)

3.3.1 Specific Goals, Technological Guidance, Measurements and Envisaged Outcomes

Abaco - Geocledian Pilot Plan	Farm Management Pilot
<p>Introduction & Specific Goals</p>	<p>The ABACO and Geocledian Farm Management Pilot is focused on developing a unique system that satisfies these needs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Farm Management with all the functionalities to support the farmer in his day by day activities and gather data from the field • Hosting data from different sources with proper tools and functionalities for comparisons and easy data management • Data exchange. A “day by day” data producer, to feed the generated data into the other BDG components and make use of the incoming information from the other BDG components. • Data visualization. The data relevant for the farmer should be displayed in a way th • at provides an added value and new insights to the farmer for his activities. <p>Two wine makers were identified as actors in this pilot. They will be involved in the pilot in two ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • They will be supported in their work by making the developed products and systems available to them. In addition to the farm management system itself this includes sensors and measurements that will provide data as basis for decision support. • On the other hand, these actors can help in designing the new system by providing input and knowhow about their needs and activities. They can also give insights on how to disseminate results, approach and ideas of the BigDataGrapes Project.
<p>Technical Guidelines/ Methodology</p>	<p><i>Site Description</i></p> <p>The approach expects to involve 2 wineries, making them an active part of the project, collecting data from the field, in automatic and manual manners, and therefore contribute to the results.</p> <p>Company Name: CASATO PRIME DONNE CIRCA Address: Località Casato – Montalcino, Tuscany, IT GPS Coordinates : 43.088196° N 11.464319° E Internet Site: www.cinellicolombini.it</p> <p>12 HA of Vineyards of Brunello of Montalcino</p>



Figure 19: 12 HA of vineyards of Brunello di Montalcino

Company Name: CANTINA IL PALAZZO
 Address: Loc. Antria, Arezzo, Tuscany, IT
 GPS Coordinates: 43.502773, 11.904402
 Internet Site: www.tenutailpalazzo.it

35 HA of Vineyards of CHIANTI D.O.C.




Figure 20: 35 HA of Vineyards of CHIANTI D.O.C.

Geocledian plans to acquire satellite data of one additional test site with pergola cultivation to compare the spectral behaviour of different vineyard cultivation types.

Equipment Used

- Abaco released a version of its product; SITI4farmer readily accessible by the 2 different winemakers, and all the project partners.

SITI4farmer is able to (with just an internet connection through browser):

- Prepare the graphical crop plan
- Manage farming practices and phenology phases
- Analyze indices and dashboards to support decisions (agro-meteorology and vegetation)
- Keeping farm data organized and accessible
- Recording field data with the SITI4I and app
- Printing and export data

Furthermore, it's able to integrate weather data and services from different sources, also, it can use open databases and local land registries made available by everyone that has an exposed service.




Figure 21: SITI4farmer screen view

In order to make full and comprehensive measurements in the fields, automatically as much as possible, ABACO acquired 2 Weather and sensor stations and integrated the data in their system. The Sensor Stations have been purchased directly by ABACO, and installed at the two farms, after a dedicated study with ABACO's experts, to find the right place and position in accordance with the expected measurement quality.

The weather stations have been set up with a data transmission via radio to a central server which transmits the data directly to SITI4farmer. They are equipped with:

- Modem, aerial, battery, solar panel;
- Rain Gauge Module
- Temperature and humidity sensors
- Wind direction system
- Wind speed measurement sensors
- Solar Radiation sensor
- Single Leaf Temperature Sensor
- Infrared Temperature Module
- IR Temperature sensor
- Instruments Leaf Wetness Sensor Module with 5-meter of cable
- Drill & Drop Sensor (Temperature and soil moisture sensors)



Figure 22: Sensor & Weather Station



Figure 23. Rain Gauge Module

- Geocledian is acquiring and processing Copernicus Sentinel-2 and USGS Landsat-8 images for all sites during the pilot run time directly to SITI4Farmer.

Expected Timeline

Abaco’s main Tasks and Operations, that will be performed to achieve the goals of the pilot are:

- Formal Engagement of the winery companies
- Collecting information of fields, terrain, product quality
- Analysis for the sensors set up on the right spot and configuration
- Deployment of the system SITI4farmer for the 2 companies
- Development of the interfaces and interoperability with the central system of the sensors stations
- Measurements and monitoring of field activities
- Integration with Geocledian services
- Improvement in data visualization with KU-Leuven
- Data modelling improvements with Ontotext

Throughout the pilot duration, Geocledian will acquire and process the described satellite data of all sites. Visible images and Vegetation Index Maps will be produced in their Processing platform Ag|knowledge and the

data be provided to all project partners in near real-time. The following figure provides a rough overview of ABACO’s and Geocledian’s main tasks:

Macro Activity	Time
Geocledian: Data acquisition, processing & provision	Q3-Q4.2018
Winery Company formal engagement	Q3.2018
Abaco’s Hardware & Software supplying	Q3.2018
Deploying of SIT14farmer	Q4.2018
Abaco’s Development & Configuration for sensors integration	Q4.2018
Training of user on the system	Q4.2018
Geocledian: Integration of new data sources	Q1-Q2.2019
Field Measurements & monitoring	Q1.2019 to Q4.2020
Geocledian: Development of Management Zones & data anomaly detection	Q1-Q4.2019
Geocledian: Improvement of vineyard specific products with feedback from users	Q1-Q4.2020

- Abaco, with its SIT14farmer system, is engaged to make a big picture of farm data on multiple views:
- Chemical and physical info on grapes
- Day by Day Activities in term of treatments, fertilization, field operation
- Plot and Fields information georeferenced
- Weather and soil main parameters measurements

Measurements




Figure 24: “Il Palazzo” farm GIS plot and related historical meteorological data display example




Figure 25: “Casato Prime Donne” farm GIS plot and related historical meteorological data display example

This means to measure all environment variables as presented in previous paragraph, and in table 1.2, together with all information coming from farms activities, for example: treatments/fertilizing (when, what, how much), ground handling, or tasks related to the culture management; and with data from the position, shape, terrain, geographically localized.

- Geocledian is delivering a variety of satellite data products via REST API to SITI4Farmer.
- Geocledian will acquire and process all Sentinel-2 data sets available (until a certain cloud cover threshold) for all sites during the pilot run time (max. every 2/3 days). Visible images and Vegetation indexes will be produced and the data will be provided to all project partners.
- Geocledian will acquire and process all Landsat-8 data sets available (until a certain cloud cover threshold) for all sites during the pilot run time (max. every 16 days). Visible images and Vegetation indexes will be produced and the data will be provided to all project partners.

Envisaged Outcomes

The Farm Management Pilot is focused on developing SITI4Farmer further into a unique system that satisfies these needs:

- Farm Management with all the functionalities to support the farmer in his day by day activities and gather data from the field
- Hosting data from different sources with proper tools and functionalities for comparisons and easy data management
- Data exchange. A “day by day” data producer, to feed the generated data into the other BDG components, and make use of the incoming information from the other BDG components.
- Data visualization. The data relevant for the farmer should be displayed in a way that provides an added value and new insights to the farmer for his activities.
- Semantic Data modelling by Ontotext and integration by Rest-API standard.

In the frame of the pilot, Geocledian is further developing their initial data processing platform Ag|knowledge into a Big Data Processing Platform that allows the scalable production, provision and analysis of large scale data sets of new vineyard-specific products for all test sites of the project so that they can be integrated into farm management systems, like

ABACO’s SIT14Farmer. The combination of remote sensing with in situ field and weather data will enable the development of new Farm Management products. ABACO makes use of the output from Geocledian, from sensors, and from the users of the system, in order to create knowledge maps and data systems to relate the crop quality with all the other variables. Finally, the system will integrate semantic data modelling by Ontotext, including BigDataGrapes dataset.

The proposed stage at which the Farm Management pilot is going to conduct each testing throughout the course of the BigDataGrapes project, as well as its replications has been designated. Any pilot trial taking place within the Farm Management pilot is accounted for in a timeline, illustrating all relevant testing in a chronological order per growing season and throughout the course of the project.




Figure 26: Timeline of Farm Management piloting plan

3.3.2 Assess Farm Management Pilot Results

The table below provide an overview of the data and the datasets that will be gathered once the Farm Management pilot will have run its course over a season/ year.

Table 3: Overview of the data and datasets that will be gathered once the Farm Management pilot will have run its course over a season/ year

Name	DataSet Description	Priority	Provenance	Data Type Format	Data size
------	---------------------	----------	------------	------------------	-----------

Sentinel-2	Sentinel-2A/B MSI visible & NIR bands, NDVI time series & advanced products	Essential	Copernicus EO Programme, ESA	JSON, GEOTIFF, PNG	150 GB/year*site
Landsat-8	Landsat-8 OLI visible & NIR bands & advanced products	Essential	USGS, NASA	JSON, GEOTIFF, PNG	6 GB/year*site
Chemical and physical info on grapes	Antocyanins, Ph, Brix values during maturation	Additional	Excel table file	XLS	TBD
Day by Day Activities in term of treatments, fertilization, field operation	Diary where farmer or operators can record and /or plan all the activities on their fields	Essential	SITI4farmer	Text file	TBD
Plot and Fields information georeferenced	Information of Plots position, shaping, cultures, type of seed, dates, and everything related on the culture and the farm itself (form official and not official point of view)	Essential	Form the field through SITI4farmer	Test files	TBD
Relative Humidity	Relative humidity (RH) is the ratio of the partial pressure of water vapor to the equilibrium vapor pressure of water at a given temperature	Essential	Field Sensors	Decimal Data	TBD

Air Temperature		Essential	Field Sensors	Decimal Data	TBD
Global Solar Radiation	It's the power per unit area received from the Sun in the form of electromagnetic radiation in the wavelength range of the measuring instrument	Additional	Field Sensors	Decimal Data	TBD
Wind Speed and Direction		Essential	Field Sensors	Decimal Data	TBD
Soil Temperature		Additional	Field Sensors	Decimal Data	TBD
Soil Moisture	Measurement of the water in the large and intermediate size pores that can move about in the soil and be easily used by plants	Essential	Field Sensors	Decimal Data	TBD
Precipitation	Rainfall measurements	Essential	Field Sensors	Decimal Data	TBD
Infrared Surface Temperature	Temperature Surface calculated with infrared measurements	Essential	Field Sensors	Decimal Data	TBD




Figure 27: A Sentinel-2 Chlorophyll Index Red Edge (CI-RE) time series for 2017 & 2018 over parts of the Casato Prime donne site in Tuscany, visualized in a data analysis and review client




Figure 28: A combined Landsat-8 & Sentinel-2 NDVI time series for 2013 - 2019 over a parcel of the Palivou estate in Greece, visualized in a data analysis and review client

3.3-3 INVOLVEMENT OF END USER EVALUATION PANEL

The new Dashboard for the Water Stress and irrigation decision support system will be evaluated by a panel of end users comprising the 2 already engaged winemakers, plus a winemakers consortium of the Oltrepo Pavese DOC Area (South west Lombardy Region), and 2 other consortia of Corn, Wheat and Tomato producers of the Po plain valley and Tuscany province of Siena.

The involvement of farmers of different crops enlarge the representativeness of the evaluators panel.

End-User Name	Type of Organisation	Best way to interact
---------------	----------------------	----------------------

TORREVILLA	Winemakers	Face to Face
CONSORZIO DI SIENA	Farmers	Face to Face
CONSORZIO DI CREMONA	Farmers	Face to Face
IL PALAZZO	Winemakers	Face to Face
CASATO PRIME DONNE	Winemakers	Face to Face

3.4 NATURAL COSMETICS PILOT (Symbeosis)

3.4.1 Specific Goals, Technological Guidance, Measurements and Envisaged Outcomes

Symbeosis’s Pilot Plan	Natural Cosmetics Pilot
<p>Introduction & Specific Goals</p>	<p>There is a need in extracting the most out of pharmaceutical plants for both economic and environmental reasons. A real challenge is to add high value to by-products. Wine making produces a lot of by-products that may have a significant biological value if there are adequate data concerning farm management. These data can lead to decisions concerning the processing of by-products in order to produce high added value active ingredients for cosmetics and food supplements. Bioactive compounds from winery by-products have disclosed interesting health promoting activities both <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i>. If properly recovered, they show a wide range of potential and remunerative applications in many industrial sectors, including cosmetics, pharmaceuticals, biomaterials and food. In fact, winemaking by-products are outstanding sources of oil, phenolic compounds and dietary fibre and possess numerous health benefits and multifunctional characteristics, such as antioxidant, colouring, antimicrobial and texturizing properties.</p> <p>The scenario presumes that precision farming and control of parameters linked to the quality of wine may provide by-products of superior quality. In particular, the pilot intends to gather samples of vineyard by-products across the Greek territory and more specifically vine leaves of two different grape varieties (Agiorgitiko and Mandilaria) and test their phytochemical profile and biological value after extraction.</p>
<p>Technical Methodology</p>	<p><i>Site Description</i></p> <p>A. Sample Collection</p> <p>For the first two years of the project, sixteen regions of the Greek territory have been chosen for sample collection, i.e. dried vine leaves of two different grape varieties (Agiorgitiko and Mandilaria). Also, samples of both grape varieties from the vineyard of Hellenic Agricultural Organization - “DEMETER” located in Attica are also tested. The dispersion and origin of the samples is shown in the following map, where the samples of Agiorgitiko are pictured in green and the samples of Mandilaria in red.</p>




Figure 29: Dispersion of samples across the Greek territory

In the following table there is a list of the vineyards chosen for sample collection and their location.

Table 4. Vineyards chosen for sample collection

No	Vineyard	Grape Variety	Region	City
1	Semeli Wines	Agiorgitiko	Peloponnese	Nemea
2	Pavlidis Estate	Agiorgitiko	Northern Greece	Drama
3	RIRA Vineyards	Agiorgitiko	Peloponnese	Aigio
4	Vassaltis Vineyards	Mandilaria	Aegean	Santorini
5	Strofilia Estate Winery	Agiorgitiko	Peloponnese	Stimfalia
6	Papagiannoulis Winery	Agiorgitiko	Northern Greece	Katerini
7	Tetramythos Wines	Agiorgitiko	Peloponnese	Ano Diakopto
8	Skouras Domaine	Agiorgitiko	Peloponnese	Argos
9	Moraitis Winery	Mandilaria	Aegean	Paros
10	Toplou Winery	Mandilaria	Crete	Sitia
11	Aoton Winery	Mandilaria	Attica	Peania
12	Biblia Chora Estate	Agiorgitiko	Northern Greece	Kavala
13	Papagiannakos Domaine	Mandilaria	Attica	Markopoulo
14	Hellenic Agricultural Organization "DIMITRA"	Mandilaria	Attica	Lykovrisi
15	Hellenic Agricultural Organization "DIMITRA"	Agiorgitiko	Attica	Lykovrisi
16	Agricultural University of Athens	Agiorgitiko	Peloponnese	Nemea

B. Laboratory testing

The preparation of vine leaf extracts and testing of biological efficacy of each sample are taking place at the laboratory of collaborating Company APIVITA S.A. – Natural Cosmetics, located in Industrial Park of Markopoulo Mesogaia in Greece.



Figure 30: Collaborating Company’s (APIVITA) laboratory

Equipment Used

- Extraction with ultrasounds assistance is conducted using Elma S60H Elmasonic Ultrasonic Bath.



Figure 31: Elma S60H Elmasonic

- The measurement of pH is conducted with a seven compact pH meter, METTLER-TOLEDO.



Figure 32: pHmeter, METTLER-TOLEDO

- The measurement of refractive index is conducted with a Digital Refractometer RX-a-series ATAGO



Figure 33: Digital Refractometer ATAGO

- A NUVE Incubator and a Laminar Telstar BIO-II-A are used for the incubation and measurement of total microbial count with classic development of micro-organisms in Petri-dishes.




Figure 34: (a) NUVE Incubator, (b) Laminar Telstar BO-II-A

- A Memmert Universal Oven 055 UN/UNm is used for incubation and measurement of yeasts and moulds with classic development of micro-organisms in Petri dishes.



Figure 35: Memmert Universal Oven

- A UV 1800 SPECTROPHOTOMETER, SHIMADZU EUROPA will be used for the measurement of antioxidant activity (DPPH & ABTS assay), total phenolic content (TPC) and total flavonoids content (TFC).



Figure 36: UV Spectrophotometer

- A Nanoquant, infinite M200 Pro, TECAN is used for the measurement of toxicity on skin cells (MTT assay).



Figure 37: Nanoquant, infinite M₂₀₀ Pro

- A CFX connect Real time System, BIO-RAD will be used for the measurement of gene expression on skin cells (Target SIRT1 mRNA transcripts using real time PCR).



Figure 38: CFX connect Real time System

Expected Timeline

Measurements related to the Natural Cosmetics pilot are taking place during the whole duration of the project. The collection of samples from the chosen vineyards is being repeated every year, followed by extraction using two different methods and measurements of biological efficacy of the developed extracts.

<p>Measurements</p>	<p>Extractions (Maceration & Extraction in Ultrasonic Bath) of the 21 samples are estimated to last 3 months, as well as the measurements of biological activity.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • pH • <i>Refractive index: Measurement of Brix%</i> • <i>Total microbial count: Measurement of TPC with classic development of micro-organism in petri-dishes</i> • <i>Yeasts and moulds: Measurement of Y&M with classic development of micro-organism in petri dishes</i> • <i>Antioxidant activity: Spectrophotometric method of antioxidant capacity using DPPH & ABTS assay</i> • <i>Total phenolic content: Spectrophotometric measurement of the phenolic content in the extract using TPC assay</i> • <i>Total flavonoid content: Spectrophotometric measurement of the flavonoid content in the extract</i> • <i>Toxicity on skin cells: Cell viability assessment using MTT assay</i> • <i>Gene expression on skin cells: Target SIRT1 mRNA transcripts using real time PCR</i>
<p>Envisaged Outcomes</p>	<p>Bioactive compounds found in wine-making by-products such as vine leaves possess multifunctional characteristics and show a wide range of potential and remunerative applications, concerning health promoting activities. Nevertheless, the quality of these by-products and more specifically their biological efficacy can vary depending on multiple parameters, such as the origin of the sample, environmental conditions, the recovery process and more.</p> <p>The collected data from the natural cosmetics pilot will provide the necessary information for the evaluation of the quality of each sample, linked with the special characteristics of the vineyard of origin. The goal is to face the challenge: "how data from the field can be linked to the biological efficacy of final products - an application on wine making by-products".</p>

The proposed stage at which the Natural Cosmetics pilot is going to conduct each testing throughout the course of the BigDataGrapes project, as well as its replications has been designated. Any pilot trial taking place within the Natural Cosmetics pilot is accounted for in a timeline, illustrating all relevant testing in a chronological order per growing season and throughout the course of the project.



Figure 39: Timeline of Natural Cosmetics piloting plan

3.4.2 Assess Natural Cosmetics Pilot Results

The table below provides an overview of the data and the datasets that will be gathered once the Natural Cosmetics pilot will have run its course over a season/ year.

Table 5: Overview of the data and datasets that will be gathered once the Natural Cosmetics pilot will have run its course over a season/ year

Name	DataSet Description	Priority	Provenance	Data Type Format	Data size
SVIs Data	Sentinel-2A/B MSI visible & NIR bands, NDVI time series & advanced products	Essential	Copernicus EO Programme ESA	json, geotiff, png	TB
SVIs Data	Landsat-8 OLI visible & NIR bands & advanced products	Essential	USGS, NASA	json, geotiff, png	TB
Agiorgitiko Samples UAE (11 samples)	Data on biological efficacy of samples of Agiorgitiko dried vine	Essential	Laboratory testing	csv, xls	MB

	leaves, developed with Ultrasound Assisted Extraction				
Agiorgitiko Samples MAC (11 samples)	Data on biological efficacy of samples of Agiorgitiko dried vine leaves, developed with Maceration	Essential	Laboratory testing	csv, xls	MB
Mandilaria Samples UAE (5 samples)	Data on biological efficacy of samples of Mandilaria dried vine leaves, developed with Ultrasound Assisted Extraction	Essential	Laboratory testing	csv, xls	MB
Mandilaria Samples MAC (5 samples)	Data on biological efficacy of samples of Mandilaria dried vine leaves, developed with Maceration	Essential	Laboratory testing	csv, xls	MB
Weather Data	Weather data (T, Rh, rainfall) on the regions selected for sample gathering	Essential	Open source data	csv, xls	GB

3.5 FOOD PROTECTION PILOT (Agroknow)

3.5.1 Specific Goals, Technological Guidance, Measurements and Envisaged Outcomes

Agroknow’s Pilot Plan	FOODAKAI Pilot
Introduction & Specific Goals	Food protection, including safety and fraud, is one of the most critical parameters in food production highly affecting the food companies from

the financial and brand point of view. Agroknow is providing a digital solution for the food industry that delivers trends and risk estimation for raw materials, ingredients and finished products. The solution is helping the Quality Assurance (QA) and Food Safety (FS) experts working in the food industry to identify risk in their supply chain. The current solution is limited to alarms, statistics, simple trends and search mechanisms.

During the last months Agroknow has performed a series of focused group and consultation meetings with several companies of the food industry, such as Gallo Winery, Conagra, Campbell, Pepsico, Hershey and Lamb Weston. The meetings were held during large food safety events like the GMA Science Forum. During these meetings Agroknow team validated the need for new FOODAKAI extensions that will enable risk predictions in the supply chain.

Thus, the main objective of this pilot is to enhance the current digital solution with new modules that will address further needs of the grape and wine supply chain. The enhancement will mainly focus on the further development of Agroknow’s Big Data platform with new software modules that will enable advanced data analysis and risk prediction using machine learning and deep learning methods.




Figure 40: Food Protection dashboard of FOODAKAI system

The specific goals of the food protection pilot are:

- To develop a software module that will be able to predict emerging and increasing risks for chemical hazards in the grapes and wines supply chain.
- To develop a price prediction dashboard that will include algorithms able to predict the prices of agricultural products, including grapes.
- To develop a food fraud dashboard that will help experts working in the food industry to perform an effective vulnerability assessment for products.

To develop a marketing automation module that will facilitate the exploitation of the food safety and fraud solutions that will be developed by Agroknow in the context of the project.

**Technical Guidelines/
Methodology**

A. Big Data Collection & Processing

We will identify all the datasets that are needed to develop the prediction services. The following data types will be explored and integrated into the Big Data Platform

- **Food recalls and border rejections** that include incidents for hazards and fraud identified in raw materials, ingredients and food products
- **Pricing data** for the agricultural products
- **Surveillance studies data** about hazards and fraud in food products on the market
- **Laboratory testing data** of the food companies and producers
- **Country risk and corruption index** data

After collecting the data, we will apply big data processing techniques available at the BDG software stack to filter, classify and enrich the data. Enrichment will include the automatic annotation with terms from multilingual semantic vocabularies for products and hazards.

B. Big Data Analysis

Based on the real industry scenarios we will define which are the main parameters that need to be predicted. Based on these findings we will test several machine learning and deep learning algorithms to predict parameters like the chemical risk, pricing and fraud. The goal will be to combine different datasets to achieve the optimum performance for the prediction of the risk in raw materials (e.g. grapes) and finished products (wine).

C. Prediction Dashboards

Sessions with the end users from industry will be organized to verify the digital services that will be developed and integrated in the FOODAKAI system. Mockups of the final services will be developed and will be validated with the end users. Based on the final version of the mockups, Agroknow will develop the functionalities and the user interface. The risk, price and fraud prediction dashboards will be tested by end users and further improved.

D. Marketing automation

By applying the BDG technologies we will produce food safety and fraud insights that can be used to develop powerful marketing content. We will focus on the development of two processes

- a. A process to enable the semi-automatic creation of data reports for specific product categories e.g. grapes-based products.
- b. A process for personalized marketing messages that will be based on the data reports and on the target prospect profile.

	<p>The two processes will be part of a generic marketing workflow that will take into account the profile of the end user. Algorithms that will classify the targeting users in specific categories will be defined and developed. Automated mechanisms for sending the data-powered emails will be designed and developed.</p>
Measurements	<p>A large number of different data sources and data types will be used to enable the predictions. We will use textual information and numerical data. Textual information includes mainly announcements about food recalls and border rejections whereas numerical data includes lab test results and pricing data. The main source of our datasets is the open data published by the governments and the private data for lab testing that will be provided by the companies.</p>
Envisaged Outcomes	<p>The QA and FS experts that are working in the food industry, and specifically in the grape supply chain, will be able to identify early enough potential risks for their supply chain so they can take the required corrective measures and finally to prevent a food recall or a border rejection for their products. The risk prediction will cover food safety and fraud risks. An existing digital solution that is already provided by Agroknow to the food industry, will be further enhanced and exploited in several food sectors that are developing products based on grapes.</p>

3.5.2 Assess FOODAKAI Pilot Results

The table below provides an overview of the data and the datasets that will be gathered once the Food Protection pilot will have run its course over a season/ year.

Name	DataSet Description	Priority	Provenance	Data Type Format	Data size
Lab testing data	Results of the laboratory testing that the food companies perform to identify the presence of hazards	Essential	Laboratory testing	xsl, csv	GB
Pricing data	Pricing data published by Statistical Authorities both at local and global level	Essential	Open Data published by Authorities	xsl, csv, API	GB
UK Food Standards Agency	Food recalls published by the UK Food	Essential	Open Data published by Authorities	Html	MB

	Standards Agency				
RASFF – Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed	Food recalls and border rejections information published by EU	Essential	Open Data published by Authorities	XML, Html	MB
Food Standards Australia New Zealand	Food recalls and border rejections information published by the Australian Gov	Essential	Open Data published by Authorities	Html	MB
FDA Recalls, Market Withdrawals, & Safety Alerts, warning letters, import refusals and inspection citations	Food recalls and border rejections information published by the United States Gov	Essential	Open Data published by Authorities	OpenFDA Food API, html, xsl, json, xml	GB
EFET - Hellenic Food Safety Authority	Food recalls published by the National Food Safety Authority of UK	Essential	Open Data published by Authorities	Pdf, Html	MB
Japanese Imported Foods Inspection Services	Food recalls and border rejections information published by the Japanese Gov	Essential	Open Data published by Authorities	Html, xsl	MB
Czech Agriculture and Food Inspection Authority	Food recalls published by the National Food Safety Authority of Czech Republic	Essential	Open Data published by Authorities	Html	MB
Healthy Canadians food alert information website	Food recalls published by the Canadian National Food Safety Authority	Essential	Open Data published by Authorities	Html	MB

Food Safety Authority of Ireland	Food recalls published by the Irish National Food Safety Authority	Essential	Open Data published by Authorities	Html	MB
German Food Safety: warnings and information to the public	Food recalls published by the German National Food Safety Authority	Essential	Open Data published by Authorities	Html	MB
		Essential	Open Data published by Authorities	Html	MB
Hong-Kong-Center for Food Safety	Food recalls published by the Irish National Food Safety Authority	Essential	Open Data published by Authorities	Html	MB
Open Food Facts	Crowd sourced open data about food products and their composition	Essential	Open Data published by Companies	Html	MB
ProMED-mail	Dataset about foodborne outbreaks, animal and plant diseases with global coverage	Essential	Open Data published by ProMED-email	Html	MB

The proposed stage at which the Food Protection pilot is going to conduct each testing throughout the course of the BigDataGrapes project, as well as its replications has been designated. Any pilot trial taking place within the Food protection pilot is accounted for in a timeline, illustrating all relevant testing in a chronological order per growing season and throughout the course of the project.




Figure 41: Timeline of Food Protection piloting plan

4 DATA, DATASETS AND USE CASE SCENARIOS

Four overarching (4) use cases and ten (10) relevant scenarios, in which the use cases are divided, have been identified so far under WP2, “T2.1-Use Cases & Requirements”.

Table 6. Use Cases and Scenarios

Use Cases	Use Case Scenarios
A. Data Anomaly Detection & Classification	A. Earth Observation Data Anomaly Detection & Classification
B. Prediction	B1. Yield Prediction B2. Predicting Biological Efficacy B3. Crop Quality Prediction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> for Optimizing Post Harvest Treatments of Table Grapes (B3-1) for Optimizing Winemaking (B3-2)
C. Farm Management	C1. Optimization of Farm Practices in the Vineyard C2. Management Zones Delineation for Vineyards
D. Food Protection	D1. Supply Chain Risk Prediction Dashboard D2. Price Prediction Dashboard D3. Price & Fraud Correlation Dashboard D4. Marketing Automation Dashboard

In the BigDataGrapes pilots (WP8), data can be derived from one or more datasets that relate to each use case. The data analysis phase is part of the definition of the BigDataGrapes use cases (WP2) and the BigDataGrapes pilots (WP8) focusing on the data types and formats, metadata standards, as well as the existing licensing options used. For these use case and scenarios, an adequate number of the supporting datasets have already been described with the help of the Data Management Plan template as presented in WP2. Furthermore, it is expected that before the start of the pilots, all aspects related to the datasets that will be used/produced as part of the project pilots will have been clarified and resolved. These aspects include questions related to hosting the data (persistence), appropriately describing the data (data provenance, relevant audience for re-use, discoverability), access and sharing (rights, privacy, limitations) and information about the human and physical resources expected to carry out the data management plans per dataset.

The pilots defined will constitute instantiations of these scenarios. The table below (Table 7) includes information about the specific use case scenarios to which each pilot will be connected to, as proposed by the pilot partners.

Table 7. Use Case Scenarios’ Connection to Pilots

Use Case Scenarios	Pilot	Partner
A. Earth Observation Data Anomaly Detection & Classification	A. Farm Management Pilot	A. ABACO-GEOCLEDIAN
B1. Yield Prediction B2. Predicting Biological Efficacy B3. Crop Quality Prediction	B1. Table and Wine Grapes Pilot B2. Natural Cosmetics Pilot B3-1. Table and Wine Grapes Pilot	B1. AUA B2.Symbeeosis (ex. APIGEA)

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • for Optimizing Post Harvest Treatments of Table Grapes (B3-1) • for Optimizing Winemaking (B3-2) 	B3-2. Wine Making Pilot	B3-1. AUA B3-2. INRA
C1. Optimization of Farm Practices in the Vineyard C2. Management Zones Delineation for Vineyards	C1. Farm Management Pilot Table and Wine Grapes Pilot C2. Table and Wine Grapes Pilot	C1. ABACO-GEOCLEDIAN AUA C2. AUA
D1. Supply Chain Risk Prediction Dashboard D2. Price Prediction Dashboard D3. Price & Fraud Correlation Dashboard D4. Marketing Automation Dashboard	D1. FOODAKAI Pilot	D1. Agroknow, Symbeosis (ex. APIGEA) D2. Agroknow D3. Agroknow D4. Agroknow

5 NEXT STEPS

As it has been mentioned in Section 2, the software tools extensions that will be developed, through the cooperation of the tools facilitators and the partner that leads each use case scenario, should be tested in order to give a relevant and timely feedback to the other WPs. Having an initial description of all pilots in this deliverable will help us revise and extend the common evaluation methodology in WP8. Therefore, it will inform the elaboration of the tools and guidelines to be provided by the next version of D8.2.

6 CONCLUSIONS

This deliverable, the “Piloting Plan”, belongs to WP8, which is responsible for the planning and preparation of pilot, the definition of the experimental and evaluation protocols to be followed, the execution of the pilots and ultimately, the collection and evaluation of the pilot results and their assessment over indicators defined by the end users.

One of the main goals of BigDataGrapes is to demonstrate how data value chains may be created in the grapevine-powered industries via deploying a proof-of-concept data marketplace for sharing and accessing large and heterogeneous grapevine-related data assets from both corporate and public organisations. This deliverable, aims to provide directions on how to collect and handle big data from different sectors of the grape value chain by giving a general scope of the activities that will be undertaken during the project lifetime under the pilots. This is a report documenting the plan for the development, design and execution of the application pilots and the methodology and materials for the pilot trials. The objective of this deliverable is to provide generic guidelines to all the pilots that will constitute instantiations of the use cases already identified in WP2.

As identified through this report all farmers’ and buyers’ pilots contribute to the main BigDataGrapes objectives but they have different specific goals:

- AUA will continuously collect and monitor sensor, farming and phenological data derived from all test sites located in Greece. Some of the goals to be achieved through this sensor and farming data collection, is to denote associations and correlations between precision agriculture information and phenological data and grape and wine chemical analysis.
- The INRA’s experimental unit of Pech Rouge (UEPR) is dedicated to research in the fields of viticulture and oenology with an integrated point of view that allows a transversal approach from the vineyard to the packaged final product.
- The ABACO and Geocledian Farm Management Pilot is focused on developing a unique system that satisfies these needs of Farm Management with all the functionalities to support the farmer in his day by day activities and gather data from the field, to host data from different sources, support data exchange and data visualization.
- The Natural Cosmetics pilot intends to gather samples of vineyard by-products across the Greek territory and more specifically vine leaves of two different grape varieties (Agiorgitiko and Mandilaria) and test their phytochemical profile and biological value after extraction.
- Finally, The Food protection pilot is focusing on enhancing the FOODAKAI system in order to satisfy the needs of the food industry with new digital services that will support price, fraud and risk prediction for products. To develop the new digital services, Agroknow will use the Big Data Processing and Analysis stack that will be developed in the context of the BigDataGrapes project. The pilot will also focus on deploying technologies that will facilitate the marketing and exploitation of the digital services in the food industry.

During this formative phase, when suitable data and processes for fulfilling the requirements of the specific use cases are being identified and relevant piloting activities defined, a minimum of 6 experimental vineyards of a grand total field area of ~ 95 ha (2-Italy [~ 47 ha], 1-France [~ 38 ha], 3-Greece [~ 10 ha]) are available for immediate experimentation and data collection. At least 100 grape varieties will be observed by domain experts of INRA Pech Rouge Experimental Vineyard and AUA Experimental Vineyard and product sampling will be performed in 3 chemical labs (Symbeeosis, INRA Pech Rouge, AUA).

The project’s Piloting Plan presented in this report is a constantly updating roadmap to an efficient execution of the pilots of the project and it is aligned with the project vision and objectives.

7 REFERENCES

- A.C. Noble, R.A. Arnold, J. Buechsenstein, E.J. Leach, J.O. Schmidt, P.M. Stern Modification of a standardized system of wine aroma terminology *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 38 (1987), pp. 143-146
- Anastasiou, E., Tsiropoulos, Z., Balafoutis, T., Fountas, S., Templalexis, C., Lentzou, D., & Xanthopoulos, G. (2017). Spatiotemporal stability of management zones in a table grapes vineyard in Greece. *Advances in Animal Biosciences*, 8(2), 510-514.
- Balafoutis, A. T., Koundouras, S., Anastasiou, E., Fountas, S., & Arvanitis, K. (2017). Life Cycle Assessment of Two Vineyards after the Application of Precision Viticulture Techniques: A Case Study. *Sustainability*, 9(11), 1997.
- Biniari, K., Gerogiannis, O., Daskalakis, I., Bouza, D., & Stavrakaki, M. (2018). Study of Some Qualitative and Quantitative Characters of the Grapes of Indigenous Greek Grapevine Varieties (*Vitis vinifera* L.) using HPLC and Spectrophotometric Analyses. *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici*, 46(1), 97-106.
- Bordiga M. (Ed.) (2015). "Valorization of wine making by-products" CRC Press.
- H.J. Macfie, N. Bratchell, K. Greenhoff Designs to balance the effect of order of presentation and first-order carry-over effects in hall tests *Journal of Sensory Studies*, 4 (1989), pp. 129-148
- Kitchen, N. R., Sudduth, K. A., Myers, D. B., Drummond, S. T., & Hong, S. Y. (2005). Delineating productivity zones on claypan soil fields using apparent soil electrical conductivity. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 46(1-3), 285-308.
- L. Roumeas, C. Aouf, E. Dubreucq, H. Fulcrand Depolymerisation of condensed tannins in ethanol as a gateway to biosourced phenolic synthons *Green Chemistry*, 15 (11) (2013), pp. 3268-3275
- Lavelli, Vera & Torri, Luisa & Zeppa, Giuseppe & Fiori, L & Spigno, Giorgia. (2016). Recovery of winemaking by-products for innovative food applications. *Ital. J. Food Sci.*, 28, 542-564.
- Lavelli, Vera, Luisa Torri, Giuseppe Zeppa, Luca Fiori, & Giorgia Spigno. (2016) Recovery of Winemaking By-Products for Innovative Food Applications – A Review. *Italian Journal of Food Science [Online]*, 28.4 (2016): 542-564. Web. 31 May. 2018
- M.-A. Ducasse, R.-M. Canal-Llauberes, M. de Lumley, P. Williams, J.-M. Souquet, H. Fulcrand, ..., V. Cheynier Effect of macerating enzyme treatment on the polyphenol and polysaccharide composition of red wines *Food Chemistry*, 118 (2) (2010), pp. 369-376
- Min-Sheng Su, Juan L. Silva (2006). Antioxidant activity, anthocyanins, and phenolics of rabbiteye blueberry (*Vaccinium ashei*) by-products as affected by fermentation, *Food Chemistry*, 97 (3), 447-451.
- Nerantzis, Elias & Tataridis, Panagiotis. (2006). Integrated Enology Utilization of winery by-products into high added value products. *J. Sci. Tech.* 1.
- P. Albersheim, D.J. Nevins, P.D. English, A. Karr A method for the analysis of sugars in plant cell wall polysaccharides by gas-liquid chromatography *Carbohydrate Research*, 5 (1967), pp. 240-245
- P.F. Scholander, H.T. Hammel, E.D. Bradstreet, E.A. Hemmingsen Sap pressure in vascular plants *Science*, 148(1965), pp. 339-346
- Pedersen, S. M., & Lind, K. M. (2017). Precision Agriculture—From Mapping to Site-Specific Application. In *Precision Agriculture: Technology and Economic Perspectives* (pp. 1-20). Springer, Cham.
- R.G. Allen, L.S. Pereira, D. Raes, M. Smith Crop Evapotranspiration. Guidelines for Computing Crop Water Requirements. *Irrigation and Drainage Paper 56* FAO, Roma (1998)
- Stavrakaki, M., & Biniari, K. (2016). Genotyping and phenotyping of twenty old traditional Greek grapevine varieties (*Vitis vinifera* L.) from Eastern and Western Greece. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 209, 86-95.
- Tagarakis, A. C., Koundouras, S., Fountas, S., & Gemtos, T. (2018). Evaluation of the use of LIDAR laser scanner to map pruning wood in vineyards and its potential for management zones delineation. *Precision Agriculture*, 19(2), 334-347.
- Teixeira A, Baenas N, Dominguez-Perles R, et al. (2014) Natural Bioactive Compounds from Winery By-Products as Health Promoters: A Review. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 15(9), 15638-15678.
- Yu J. and Ahmedna M. (2013). Functional components of grape pomace: Their composition, biological properties and potential applications. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 48, 221.