

## Visions, needs and requirements for (future) research environments: An exploration with Nobel Laureate Joachim Frank

Katharina Flicker (TU Wien), Joachim Frank (Columbia University)

Science is a global endeavor: regional initiatives such as the EOSC are coordinating with similar initiatives on a global scale to ensure that scientists can cooperate globally, within academia as well as with industry. Thus, the perspective of researchers outside Europe is particularly relevant, to learn about expectations, needs and visions on the future of research and international research collaboration. Let's see what Nobel Laureate Joachim Frank has to say.

## ">We against them< mentality might defeat existing international cooperation"

**TU Wien:** What does your work currently focus on?

JF: I focus on taking advantage of single-particle cryo-EM technology (for which I was awarded a Nobel Prize in Chemistry) as applied in structure biology research. Several collaborations focusing on diverse molecules (receptors, ion channels, ribosome) implicated in disease processes.

**TU Wien:** What routines do you repeatedly do that could be automated?

JF: I spend a lot of time on unreasonable, absolutely trivial tasks like setting up or updating profiles, linking credentials of journals to other credentials, chasing lost passwords, uploading manuscripts, dealing with 1-800 phone lines with automated voices in numerous service contexts. These are results of completely misguided attempts at automation. The extent of these pervasive changes in infrastructure, compared with the settings I was used to, is such that I frequently fly in a rage.

**TU Wien:** Where are the challenges in the current digital services you use? What kind of support is needed to face those challenges?

JF: For the subset of digital services I singled out above, less automation would be much preferable. For digital services related to public archiving, knowledge base networks, bioinformatics, standardized lab record keeping, domain-specific search engines, the integration of multiple existing platforms would be very desirable.

**TU Wien:** How will research look like in 5-25 years? What will be the effect and impact on research environments like infrastructures, services and policies?

JF: The state of the world in all aspects after the current pandemic is unpredictable. I don't think it is worthwhile thinking of a status quo ante and strategizing for it. "We against them" mentality might defeat existing international cooperation. Also, depending on the politics of individual countries or alliances, a good deal of science funding might go into the study of pandemic-prone pathogens and how to combat them.

The USA has proven extremely vulnerable to economic disruption, and if the waves of the pandemic continue under the current models, science funding and the pursuit of science as we know it might be in jeopardy.

**TU Wien:** What are the biggest barriers to opening up code, data...?

"If the waves of the pandemic continue under the current models, science funding and the pursuit of science as we know it might be in jeopardy"

**JF:** In my area there is a controversy about a patent claim relating to data processing methodology that demonstrably slows down progress in the field.

**TU Wien:** What does it take to encourage you and researchers coming from your field to open your science?





JF: The general trend in recent years to deposit preprints in the life sciences is a good sign and my prediction is that it will become an accepted mode of fast communication, pending the blessing of authoritative review. In the USA NIH has been very effective encouraging or enforcing open science including free dissemination of software, deposition of data, and dissemination of publications. Still I favor immediate unrestricted access to publications worldwide.

**TU Wien:** How could the EOSC help to drive open science and facilitate open access?

**JF:** Lobby for legislation that curtails publishers of scientific journals in their profiteering, one of the main reasons for the current barriers.

"[The EOSC] is a good model for fostering Open Science"

**TU Wien:** Please describe in one short sentence what is the main added value that you see in the EOSC.

JF: It's a good model for fostering open science.



Photo Credit to Michael DiVito

Joachim Frank is a Professor of the Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, and the Department of Biological Sciences at Columbia University. He received his Ph.D. from the Technical University in Munich and, in 1975, joined the Wadsworth Center in Albany as a Senior Research Scientist. In 1985, he also joined the faculty of the Department of Biomedical Sciences of SUNY Albany. In 2008 he moved to New York to assume his current positions. Dr. Frank's lab has developed techniques of single-particle reconstruction of biological macromolecules, specializing in mathematical and computational approaches. He has applied these techniques of visualization to explore the structure and dynamics of the ribosome during the process of protein synthesis and to study a number of ion channels.

Dr. Frank is a member of the National Academy of Sciences and of the American Academy of Microbiology. He is also a fellow of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences and of the American Association for the Advancement of Science. In 2014 he was honored with the Franklin Medal for Life Science. In 2017 he shared the Wiley Prize in Biomedical Sciences with Richard Henderson and Marin van Heel. He was awarded the 2017 Nobel Prize in Chemistry together with Jacques Dubochet and Richard Henderson.



