

Table 2

ANOVA results of the differences between the Intervention and Control Conditions in measures of intergroup attitudes

	Muslim Youth				<i>F</i> (1, 170)	<i>p</i>	<i>d</i> [*]
	Intervention		Control				
	<i>n</i> = 86		<i>n</i> = 86				
	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>			
Intergroup anxiety	3.01	1.18	3.52	.98	9.43	.002	.47
Negative emotions	2.20	1.28	2.68	1.36	5.63	.019	.36
Positive emotions	4.99	1.35	4.37	1.19	10.45	.001	.49
Ingroup norms	5.21	1.16	5.06	1.03	.74	.39	-
Outgroup norms	4.96	1.20	4.86	1.17	.28	.60	-
IOS	4.98	1.59	4.31	1.81	6.49	.012	.39
Thermometer	79.03	15.32	71.44	16.84	9.55	.002	.47
Behavioural intentions	5.46	1.11	4.92	1.12	9.98	.002	.48
Future contact	5.44	.97	4.93	1.06	10.66	.001	.50

	White British Youth				<i>F</i> (1, 205)	<i>p</i>	<i>d</i> [*]
	Intervention		Control				
	<i>n</i> = 104		<i>n</i> = 103				
	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>			
Intergroup anxiety	3.18	1.19	3.12	1.24	.15	.70	-
Negative emotions	2.22	1.55	2.36	1.39	.46	.50	-
Positive emotions	4.18	1.57	3.98	1.27	1.05	.31	-
Ingroup norms	4.91	1.50	4.81	1.41	.26	.61	-
Outgroup norms	4.81	1.42	4.75	1.36	.10	.77	-
IOS	3.68	1.87	3.48	1.96	.60	.44	-
Thermometer	69.96	23.91	69.61	22.40	.05	.83	-
Behavioural intentions	4.96	1.56	4.94	1.42	<.01	.93	-
Future contact	4.25	1.46	4.19	1.36	.09	.76	-

Note. Boldfaced effects are significant; IOS = inclusion of the other in the self; Thermometer = the outgroup feeling thermometer; Behavioural intentions = intended contact behavioural intentions toward the outgroup; Future contact = importance of future contact with the outgroup; *d* = Cohen's *d*; * *ds* are given only for *p* < .05; Each indicator was measured on a scale ranging from 1 (not at all) to 7 (very much), with the exception of the IOS with a range from 0⁰ (extremely unfavourable) to 100⁰ (extremely unfavourable).