CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB ISSN : 2349-7750

INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES
SJIF Impact Factor: 7.187

Avalable online at: http://www.iajps.com *Research Article*

UPDATES REVIEW FOR CARDIAC DISEASE DIAGNOSIS IN FAMILY MEDICINE

[1]Eman saeed, [2]Mubarak badughaish

Article Received: November 2020 **Accepted:** December 2020 **Published:** January 2021

Abstract.

Background: *Primary care has an important role in cardiovascular risk management and a minimum size of scale of primary care practices may be needed for efficient delivery of managing and diagnosis of cardiac diseases.*

Objectives: *this narrative review was aimed to discuss the diagnostic and management approaches to cardiac diseases, and the ability to prevent it in primary care setting.*

Methodology: *A literature search was conducted in November 2020 using PubMed, CINAHL Plus, and PsycINFO. In PubMed the following search terms were used: family practice, heart disease, chronic heart diseases, primary care, diagnosis, management.*

Conclusion: *Variety of CVD patients in family medicine practice has very important location in morbidity overall number of health services users. Management CVD quality in family doctor team is acceptable, all signs are filled almost in huge portion, that's provides extremely acceptable avoidance CVD management and quality of clinical services. Medical care physicians need structured methods to detecting cardiac arrest; these approaches ought to involve stratifying patients into risk groups and assessing them with unbiased tests. In many cases, there is no immediate alternative to echocardiography to confirm the diagnosis and identify the etiology.*

Corresponding author:
Eman saeed,

QR code

Please cite this article in press Eman saeed et al, ***Updates Review For Cardiac Disease Diagnosis In Family Medicine.,*** *Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2021; 08[1].*

INTRODUCTION:

Cardiovascular disease [CVD], disease that affects the heart and vessels, includes raised blood pressure, coronary cardiovascular disease [CHD], heart failure [1]. Roughly 82 million Americans have several types of CVD. In primary care 0.7% to 2.7% of client encounters are due to chest pain [2]. However, the frequency of severe heart illness in these patients, e.g., chronic steady CHD or intense coronary syndrome [ACS], is low. In unselected patients providing with chest pain in medical care, the general frequency of coronary heart problem is in between 12.8 and 14.6% [2,3].

In Europe area CVD are responsible for 4, 3 million death by year, which suggests 48% of all death triggers [54% female deaths and 43% male deaths]. In European Community Country [ECC] are accountable for 42% deaths. CVD are the primary cause in male's death in Europe too other than Spain, France and Netherlands [4]. Less than half of CVD deaths is brought on by ischaemic hart diseases and one third by cerebrovascular illness. Last thirty years in a lot of European countries, West and South Europe mortality, incidence and lethality of CVD are reduced rather in East and Middle Europe where are increased. Nations with greater CVD are countries with bad financial advancement [4,5].

Heart failure is a progressively important problem for primary care doctors in a lot of healthcare systems in industrialized nations. The condition is almost as common as diabetes mellitus in older adults, occurring in a minimum of 2% of the adult population and increasing to 3% in those aged over 75 years [6]. The incidence of many cardiovascular diseases has actually declined over the past 20 years, the occurrence of heart failure has continued to increase, due in part to the fact that more individuals are enduring after intense myocardial infarctions and likewise to the increasing number of senior individuals [6]. Heart failure is difficult to detect properly on clinical premises. Only 26% of clients with suspected cardiac arrest described a quick gain access to center for echocardiography had the medical diagnosis verified after examination. Clinical medical diagnosis by health center physicians is simply as poor [7].

Precise and early diagnosis is very important in primary care, but single signs and signs are rarely sufficient to dependably diagnose CHD. This issue may be gotten rid of by establishing a forecast rule that combines numerous symptoms, indications, and other patient qualities like sex, age and coronary risk elements.

Objective:

the aim of this narrative review was to discuss the ability of family physicians and general practitioner to diagnose and manage mainly prevent the main cardiac diseases such as coronary heart disease [CHD], and heart failure in primary care setting, through reviewing most evidence based studies in the concerned topic.

METHODOLOGY:

A literature search was conducted in November 2020 using PubMed, CINAHL Plus, and PsycINFO. In PubMed the following search terms were used: family practice, heart disease, chronic heart diseases, primary care, diagnosis, management. The reference list of articles was also searched, identified by the search strategy and those selected that were relevant. Selected review articles and meta-analyses were included because they provide comprehensive overviews that may be beyond the scope of this article.

DISCUSSION:

Cardiovascular diseases are preventable in a great deal of patients. World Health Organization [WHO] approximated that middle phase of blood pressure decrease, obesity, cholesterol and tobacco usage, in population level, more than half decreased CVD incidence [4]. Action frame for avoidance CVD area is based on great recognized aims, select by management method and capacity advancement for their realization. Understood approaches for leading CVD programs contain practically frame objectives: hearth health promotion in entire population, symptoms of CVD avoidance, life promotion without tobacco, routine body exercise, hypertension danger aspects obliteration, reducing greater lipids level, battle against abdominal type weight problems and diabetes, specific diagnostically procedures, CVD control and therapy, program versus regression of CVD, without tension life, healthy food and healthy and comfy environment [8]. Global technique is the final goal of these actions to achieve less frequency in morbidity and mortality from CVD with lower CVD risks and their determinants, to develop logical and equivalent health developments for CVD handling, CVD trend follow up and their threat aspects from modern-day world [4,8].

Diagnostic and management setting:

A potential diagnostic art failure of aid in primary care is the evaluation of clients by determining plasma concentrations of brain natriuretic peptide. Data on the credibility of brain natriuretic peptide are contrasting. Brain natriuretic peptide testing had a

sensitivity of 97%, an uniqueness of 84%, a favorable predictive value of 70%, and a negative predictive worth of 98% in 106 clients with signs of recent onset who were described a fast access cardiac arrest center [9]. Similar predictive efficiency for the peptide was reported both in clients with validated left ventricular systolic dysfunction [the commonest cause of heart failure] in a population of 1653 adults aged between 25 and 75 years who were evaluated in Glasgow, a location with high rates of heart disease, [10] and in another paper in the BMJ in which 155 clients aged over 75 were evaluated in medical care [11]. However, these research studies were small, and a research study of 134 patients who were stable after a myocardial infarction discovered that brain natriuretic peptide might not anticipate the existence of mild to moderate left ventricular systolic dysfunction compared with regular function [McClure SJ et al, 20th Congress of the European Society of Cardiology, Vienna, 1998]. For 126 patients in basic practice who were referred to an echocardiography center, in another recent paper in the BMJ, there was just a small diagnostic benefit in including brain natriuretic peptide to standard investigations of electrocardiography and chest radiographs, although 1 in 7 patients was offered a false negative diagnosis.15 However, these latter findings contrast with a negative predictive worth of 98% reported in an accompanying paper on brain natriuretic peptide that appeared in the very same issue.14.

Cardiovascular diseases are avoidable in large number of clients. World Health Organization [WHO] estimated that middle phase of high blood pressure reduction, weight problems, cholesterol and tobacco usage, in population level, over half lowered CVD incidence [7]. Action frame for avoidance CVD area is based on good recognized aims, pick by management strategy and capability development for their realization. Understood methods for leading CVD programs include practically frame objectives: hearth health promo in entire population, symptoms of CVD prevention, life promotion without tobacco, routine body workout, hypertension risk elements elimination, reducing higher lipids level, battle against stomach type weight problems and diabetes, exact diagnostically treatments, CVD control and treatment, program versus regression of CVD, without stress life, healthy food and healthy and comfortable environment [4]. Global technique is the final objective of these actions to accomplish less frequency in morbidity and mortality from CVD with lower CVD dangers and their determinants. To develop rational and equal health innovations for CVD managing, CVD trend follow up and their risk factors from modern world [8,12].

Availability of diagnostic elements in primary care setting:

To positively establish a diagnosis of heart failure in primary care, most patients need to be referred for cardiac imaging. A recent study of a random sample of primary care doctors throughout six European nations reported only 5% [Netherlands] to 37% [United Kingdom] of general practitioners had direct access to echocardiography [9]. This poor access is partly due to an absence of skilled personnel to run clinics and partially to issues that providing primary care physicians with direct gain access to would result in inappropriate usage [althougha trial of open access echocardiography found just 12% of recommendations to be "inappropriate"] [7,8].

Are there alternatives to echocardiography in primary care? A regular electrocardiogram usually omits left ventricular dysfunction [10]. Nevertheless, changes might be subtle and medical care physicians' lack of ability in interpreting electrocardiograms might suggest that referral for specialist opinion is still needed.

A prospective diagnostic help in primary care is the assessment of clients by determining plasma concentrations of brain natriuretic peptide. However, information on the credibility of brain natriuretic peptide are conflicting. Brain natriuretic peptide screening had a sensitivity of 97%, a specificity of 84%, a positive predictive worth of 70%, and an unfavorable predictive worth of 98% in 106 clients with signs of current beginning who were described a fast gain access to heart failure clinic. Comparable predictive performance for the peptide was reported both in clients with confirmed left ventricular systolic dysfunction [the commonest cause of heart failure] in a population of 1653 adults aged in between 25 and 75 years who were screened in Glasgow, an area with high rates of heart disease, and in another paper in the BMJ in which 155 clients aged over 75 were screened in primary care [6,9,11]. However, these studies were little, and a research study of 134 clients who were steady after a myocardial infarction discovered that brain natriuretic peptide might not predict the presence of moderate to moderate left ventricular systolic dysfunction compared with regular function. For 126 patients in general practice who were described an echocardiography clinic, in another recent paper in the BMJ, there was only a little diagnostic benefit in adding brain natriuretic peptide to standard investigations of electrocardiography and chest radiographs, although 1 in 7 patients was given a false negative medical diagnosis [9,11]. However, these latter findings

contrast with a negative predictive value of 98% reported in an accompanying paper on brain natriuretic peptide that appeared in the exact same issue.

In one research study all CVD signs of medical services management are filled with higher portion of very little level which showed that in family physician group, quality medical services management is great however could be even much better. Brand-new patients with angina pectoris percentage sent out to ergometry or/and cardiologist remained in 51.72%, high blood pressure values ≤ 140/90 achived in 58.62% clients. Overall cholesterol values ≤ 5mmol/l was achieved just in 27.58% patients, what is very little filled level and it is essential to operate in clients education about healthy life style, healthy food and much better specific health care. In British nationwide research study about CVD, high blood pressure and stroke patients quality care in primary health care, 71.4% -88.6% clients with brand-new diagnose of AP were sent out to ergo test or cardiologist, what was better identify verification quality management of AP [13].

Clients with CVD assessment with patient's file registration Aspirin treatment administration in last 15 months or alternative anticoagulant therapy [other than with clear contraindications] remained in 68.96% [according to recognized standards minutes.25%, max level was 90%]. Comparable outcomes existed in research study [14] about CVD risk quality management realized in primary healthcare in some European nations which revealed that 80% clients with cardiac failure were with anticoagulant treatment. Clients with CVD percentage treated with ß-blockers in last 15 months remained in 79.31%. Indicator is filled what revealed excellent quality management treatment of CVD [min. 25%, max levels was 80%]. According to Brenan and others study, revealed that even half of severe myocardial infarct patients did not get Aspirin or β-blocers, and mistakes could be fatal and could be priceless and cause more costs than life and could be result of irresponsible practice [15].

CONCLUSION:

Huge number of CVD patients as noncontagious chronic diseases are treated in primary health. Family practitioner have really essential role in cardiovascular threat management. Considering that in our nation preventive programs are not at appropriate level, results of our study are acceptable. Variety of CVD patients in family medicine practice has very important location in morbidity overall number of health services users. Management CVD quality in family doctor team is acceptable, all signs are filled almost in huge portion, that's provides extremely acceptable avoidance CVD management and quality of clinical services. Medical care physicians need structured methods to detecting cardiac arrest; these approaches ought to involve stratifying patients into risk groups and assessing them with unbiased tests. In many cases, there is no immediate alternative to echocardiography to confirm the diagnosis and identify the etiology. The brain natriuretic peptide assay holds an amazing potential to identify who need to be listed for echocardiography, with the possibility that it can aid in treatment and predicting diagnosis.

REFERENCES:

1. Imes CC, Lewis FM. Family history of cardiovascular disease, perceived cardiovascular disease risk, and health-related behavior: a review of the literature. J Cardiovasc Nurs. 2014;29[2]:108-129. doi:10.1097/JCN.0b013e31827db5eb.
2. Verdon F, Herzig L, Burnand B, Bischoff T, Pecoud A, Junod M, Muhlemann N, Favrat B. Chest pain in daily practice: occurrence, causes and management. Swiss Med Wkly. 2008;138[23–24]:340–347.
3. Bösner S, Becker A, Haasenritter J, Abu Hani M, Keller H, Sönnichsen AC, Karatolios K, Schaefer JR, Seitz G, Baum E. et al.Chest pain in primary care: epidemiology and pre-work-up probabilities. Eur J Gen Pract. 2009;15[3]:141–146. doi: 10.3109/13814780903329528.
4. Beganlic A, Pavljasevic S, Kreitmayer S, et al. Qualitative Evaluation of Cardiovascular Diseases Management in Family Medicine Team in One Year Level. Med Arch. 2015;69[3]:140-144. doi:10.5455/medarh.2015.69.140-144.
5. Anonymous. Copenhagen: WHO-Regional Office for Europe; 2006. Gaining Health, The European Strategy for the Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Diseases. WHO.
6. Hobbs R. Can heart failure be diagnosed in primary care?. *BMJ*. 2000;321[7255]:188-189. doi:10.1136/bmj.321.7255.188
7. Friedlander Y, Siscovick DS, Arbogast P, et al. Sudden death and myocardial infarction in first degree relatives as predictors of primary cardiac arrest. Atherosclerosis. 2002;162[1]:211–216.
8. Lieshout van J, Grol R, Campbell S, Falcoff H, Capell EF, Glehr M, Goldfracht M, Kumpusalo E, Künzi B, Ludt S, Petek D, Vanderstighelen V, Wensin M. Cardiovascular risk management in patients with coronary heart disease in primary care: variation across countries and practices. An

observational study based on quality indicators. BMC Family Practice. 2012;13:96.
9. Cowie MR, Struthers AD, Wood DA, Coates AJS, Thompson SG, Poole-Wilson PA, et al. Value of natriuretic peptides in assessment with patients with possible new heart failure in primary care. Lancet. 1997;350:1349–1353.
10. McDonagh TA, Robb SD, Murdoch DR, Morton JJ, Ford I, Morrison C, et al. Biochemical detection of left ventricular systolic dysfunction. *Lancet* 1998:9-13
11. Smith H, Pickering RM, Struthers A, Simpson I, Mant D. Biochemical diagnosis of ventricular dysfunction in elderly patients in general practice: observational study. BMJ. 2000;320:906–908.
12. Saxen S, Car J, Eldred D, Soljak M, Majeed A. Practice size, caseload, deprivation and quality of care of patients with coronary heart disease, hypertension and stroke in primary care: national cross-sectional study. BMC Health Services Research. 2007;7:96.
13. Anonymous. Akreditacijski standardi za timove Porodične/Obiteljske medicine. Sarajevo: AKAZ; 2012. Agencija za kvalitet i akreditaciju u zdravstvu u FBiH.
14. Lieshout van J, Grol R, Campbell S, Falcoff H, Capell EF, Glehr M, Goldfracht M, Kumpusalo E, Künzi B, Ludt S, Petek D, Vanderstighelen V, Wensin M. Cardiovascular risk management in patients with coronary heart disease in primary care: variation across countries and practices. An observational study based on quality indicators. BMC Family Practice. 2012;13:96.
15. Brennan TA, Leape LL, Laird NM, et al. Incidence of adverse events and negligence in hospitalized patients. Results of the Harvard Medical Practice Study I. N Engl J Med. 1991;324:370–376.