ANTI-SYNCHRONIZIBAGCKSTEPPOOIGNTROL DESIGN FARERNEODOHAOTISYSTEM

Sundarapandian Vaidyanathan ¹

¹Research and Development Centre, Vel Tech Dr. RR & Dr. SR Technical University Avadi, Chenna600 062, Tamil Nadu, INDIA sundarvtu@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we derive new results for backstepping controller design for theyantironization of Arneodo chaotic system (1980). Backstepping control is a recursive procedure that combines the choice of a Lyapunov function with the design of a feedb controller. In antisynchronization of chaotic systems, the states of the synchronized systems have the same absolute values, but opposite signs. First, we derive an active backstepping controller for the astinchronization of identical Arneodo chaot ystems. Next, we derive an adaptive backstepping controller for the-amichronization of identical Arneodo chaotic system, when the system parameters are unknown. The vaditronization results for Arneodo chaotic systems have been proved using plunov stability theory. Numerical simulations have been shown to illustrate the backstepping controllers derived in this paper for Arneodo chaotic system.

KEYWORDS

Backstepping Control; Chaos; Arflynchronization; Arneodo System.

1. INTRODUCTION

Chaos theory deals with the behaviour of nonlinear dynamical systems that are highly sensitive to initial conditions, an effect which is popularly known as the butterfly effect [1]. Small differences in initial conditions result in widely diverging outcomes harotic systems, rending long-term prediction impossible in general. The chaos phenomenon was first observed in weather models by the American scientist, Lorenz ([2], 1963). Since then, chaos theory has found applications in a variety of fields in science dangineering [39].

The problem of controlling a chaotic system was first introduced by to ([10], 1990). The problem of chaos synchronization occurs when two or more chaotic oscillators are coupled or when a chaotic oscillator drives another odina oscillator ([11], 1990). The idea of chaos anti synchronization is to use the output of the master system to control the output of the slave system so that the states of the master and slave systems have the same absolute values, but opposite signs,i.e. the sum of the output signals of the master and slave systems can converge to zero asymptotically.

Since the pioneering work by Pecora and Carroll [11], various methods have been developed in the chaos literature for the synchronization of chaotic exts active control method-[12 15], adaptive control method [4260], time-delay feedback control method [21], sampled a control method [2203], sliding mode control method [230], backstepping control method [31 33], etc.

In this paper, we deplobackstepping control method for the antinchronization of identical Arneodo chaotic systems ([34], 1980). Backstepping control method is a recursive procedure that combines the choice of a Lyapunov function with the design of a feedback controller.

The organization of this research paper is as follows. In Section 2, we design an active backstepping controller for the anti-synchronization of identical Arneodo systems when the system parameters are known. In Section 3, we design an adaptive backstepping controller for the anti-synchronization of identical Arneodo systems when the system parameters are unknown. Section 4 contains the conclusions of this work.

2. ACTIVE BACKSTEPPING CONTROLLER DESIGN FOR THE ANTI-SYNCHRONIZATION OF ARNEODO SYSTEMS

2.1 Theoretical Results

Arneodo system ([34], 1980) is one of the classical 3-D chaotic systems as it captures many features of chaotic systems. In this section, we investigate the problem of active backstepping controller design for the anti-synchronization of identical Arneodo chaotic systems, when the system parameters are known.

As the master system, we consider the 3-D Arneodo dynamics

$$
\dot{x}_1 = x_2,\n\dot{x}_2 = x_3,\n\dot{x}_3 = ax_1 - bx_2 - x_3 - x_1^2,
$$
\n(1)

where x_1, x_2, x_3 are the states and a, b are positive, known parameters of the system.

Figure 1. Strange Chaotic Attractor of the Arneodo System

The Arneodo system (1) undergoes chaotic behaviour when the system parameter values are chosen as

$$
a = 7.5
$$
 and $b = 3.8$.

The strange chaotic attractor of the Arneodo system (1) is shown in Figure 1.

As the slave system, we consider the controlled 3-D Arneodo dynamics

$$
\dot{y}_1 = y_2,\n\dot{y}_2 = y_3,\n\dot{y}_3 = ay_1 - by_2 - y_3 - y_1^2 + u,
$$
\n(2)

where y_1, y_2, y_3 are the states and *u* is the active control to be designed.

The anti-synchronization error between the master system (1) and the slave system (2) is defined as

$$
e_1(t) = y_1(t) + x_1(t),
$$

\n
$$
e_2(t) = y_2(t) + x_2(t),
$$

\n
$$
e_3(t) = y_3(t) + x_3(t).
$$
\n(3)

The design problem is to find a control $u(t)$ so that the error converges to zero asymptotically, i.e. $e_i(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

The error dynamics is easily derived as

$$
\dot{e}_1 = e_2,\n\dot{e}_2 = e_3,\n\dot{e}_3 = ae_1 - be_2 - e_3 - y_1^2 - x_1^2 + u.
$$
\n(4)

In this section, we apply the active backstepping control method to design a controller $u(t)$.

Theorem 1. The identical Arneodo chaotic systems (1) and (2) are globally and exponentially anti-synchronized for all initial conditions by the active backstepping controller

$$
u(t) = -(3+a)e_1 - (5-b)e_2 - 2e_3 + y_1^2 + x_1^2.
$$
 (5)

Proof. First, we define a Lyapunov function

$$
V_1 = \frac{1}{2} z_1^2,\tag{6}
$$

where

$$
z_1 = e_1. \tag{7}
$$

Its time derivative along the solutions of systems (1) and (2) is obtained as

$$
\dot{V}_1 = z_1 \dot{z}_1 = e_1 \dot{e}_1 = e_1 e_2 = -z_1^2 + z_1 (e_1 + e_2).
$$
\n(8)

23

Next, we define

$$
z_2 = e_1 + e_2. \tag{9}
$$

From (9), it follows that

$$
\dot{V}_1 = -z_1^2 + z_1 z_2. \tag{10}
$$

Secondly, we define the Lyapunov function

$$
V_2 = V_1 + \frac{1}{2}z_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + z_2^2).
$$
 (11)

The time derivative of V_2 is given by

$$
\dot{V}_2 = -z_1^2 - z_2^2 + z_2(2e_1 + 2e_2 + e_3). \tag{12}
$$

Next, we define

$$
z_3 = 2e_1 + 2e_2 + e_3. \tag{13}
$$

From (13), it follows that

$$
\dot{V}_2 = -z_1^2 - z_2^2 + z_2 z_3. \tag{14}
$$

Finally, we define the Lyapunov function

$$
V = V_2 + \frac{1}{2}z_3^2 = \frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2).
$$
 (15)

Clearly, *V* is a positive definite function on R^3 .

The time derivative of *V* is obtained as

$$
\dot{V} = -z_1^2 - z_2^2 + z_2 z_3 + z_3 \left(2e_2 + 2e_3 + ae_1 - be_2 - e_3 - y_1^2 - x_1^2 + u \right)
$$
\n(16)

A simple calculation gives

$$
\dot{V} = -z_1^2 - z_2^2 - z_3^2 + z_3 \left[(3+a)e_1 + (5-b)e_2 + 2e_3 - y_1^2 - x_1^2 + u \right].
$$
\n(17)

Substituting the backstepping controller u defined by (5) in (17), we get

$$
\dot{V} = -z_1^2 - z_2^2 - z_3^2. \tag{18}
$$

Clearly, \dot{V} is a negative definite function on R^3 .

Hence, by Lyapunov stability theory [35], the error dynamics (4) is globally exponentially stable.

This completes the proof. \blacksquare

2.2 Numerical Results

For numerical simulations using MATLAB, the fourth order Runge-Kutta method with initial step $h = 10^{-8}$ is used to solve the Arneodo systems (1) and (2) with the backstepping controller *u* defined by (5). The parameters of the Arneodo chaotic systems are selected as $a = 7.5$ and $b = 3.8$.

The initial values of the master system (1) are chosen as

$$
x_1(0) = 14
$$
, $x_2(0) = -5$, $x_3(0) = 6$

The initial values of the slave system (2) are chosen as

$$
y_1(0) = 18
$$
, $y_2(0) = 12$, $y_3(0) = -16$

Figure 2 depicts the anti-synchronization of Arneodo chaotic systems (1) and (2).

Figure 3 depicts the time-history of the anti-synchronization errors e_1, e_2, e_3 .

Figure 2. Anti-Synchronization of Arneodo Chaotic Systems

Figure 3. Time-History of the Anti-Synchronizing Errors e_1, e_2, e_3

3. REGULATING ACTIVE BACKSTEPPING CONTROLLER DESIGN FOR THE ANTI-SYNCHRONIZATION OF ARNEODO SYSTEMS

3.1 Theoretical Results

In this section, we derive new results for the adaptive backstepping controller design for antisynchronization of Arneodo systems when the parameters *a* and *b* are unknown.

As the master system, we consider the 3-D Arneodo dynamics

$$
\dot{x}_1 = x_2,\n\dot{x}_2 = x_3,\n\dot{x}_3 = ax_1 - bx_2 - x_3 - x_1^2,
$$
\n(19)

where x_1, x_2, x_3 are the states and a, b are unknown parameters of the system.

As the slave system, we consider the controlled 3-D Arneodo dynamics

$$
\dot{y}_1 = y_2,\n\dot{y}_2 = y_3,\n\dot{y}_3 = ay_1 - by_2 - y_3 - y_1^2 + u,
$$
\n(20)

where y_1, y_2, y_3 are the states and *u* is the adaptive control to be designed.

The anti-synchronization error between the master system (19) and the slave system (20) is defined as

$$
e_1(t) = y_1(t) + x_1(t),
$$

\n
$$
e_2(t) = y_2(t) + x_2(t),
$$

\n
$$
e_3(t) = y_3(t) + x_3(t).
$$
\n(21)

The design problem is to find a control $u(t)$ so that the error converges to zero asymptotically, i.e. $e_i(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

The error dynamics is easily derived as

$$
\dot{e}_1 = e_2,\n\dot{e}_2 = e_3,\n\dot{e}_3 = ae_1 - be_2 - e_3 - y_1^2 - x_1^2 + u.
$$
\n(22)

In this section, we apply the adaptive backstepping control method to design a controller $u(t)$.

Inspired by the control law defined by Eq. (5) in the active backstepping controller design, we may consider the adaptive backstepping controller design law given by

$$
u(t) = -(3+\hat{a})e_1 - (5-\hat{b})e_2 - 2e_3 + y_1^2 + x_1^2,
$$
\n(23)

where $\hat{a}(t)$ and $\hat{b}(t)$ are estimates of the unknown parameters *a* and *b*, respectively.

We define the parameter estimation errors as

$$
e_a(t) = a - \hat{a}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad e_b(t) = b - \hat{b}(t) \tag{24}
$$

Note that

 \mathcal{L}

$$
\dot{e}_a(t) = -\dot{\hat{a}}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{e}_b(t) = -\dot{\hat{b}}(t) \tag{25}
$$

Next, we shall state and prove the second main result of this paper.

Theorem 2. The identical Arneodo chaotic systems (19) and (20) with unknown parameters *a* and *b* are globally and exponentially anti-synchronized for all initial conditions by the adaptive backstepping controller

$$
u(t) = -(3+\hat{a})e_1 - (5-\hat{b})e_2 - 2e_3 + y_1^2 + x_1^2,
$$
\n(26)

where $\hat{a}(t)$ and $\hat{b}(t)$ are estimates of *a* and *b*, respectively, and the parameter update law is given by

$$
\hat{a}(t) = (2e_1 + 2e_2 + e_3)e_1 + k_a e_a,
$$

\n
$$
\dot{b}(t) = -(2e_1 + 2e_2 + e_3)e_2 + k_b e_b,
$$
\n(27)

with positive control gains k_a and k_b .

Proof. First, we define the Lyapunov function

$$
V_1 = \frac{1}{2} z_1^2, \tag{28}
$$

where

$$
z_1 = e_1. \tag{29}
$$

The time derivative of V_1 is given by

$$
\dot{V}_1 = z_1 \dot{z}_1 = e_1 \dot{e}_1 = e_1 e_2 = -z_1^2 + z_1 (e_1 + e_2).
$$
\n(30)

Next, we define

$$
z_2 = e_1 + e_2. \tag{31}
$$

From (30), it follows that

 \mathbf{r}

$$
\dot{V}_1 = -z_1^2 + z_1 z_2. \tag{32}
$$

Secondly, we define the Lyapunov function

$$
V_2 = V_1 + \frac{1}{2}z_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + z_2^2).
$$
 (33)

The time derivative of V_2 is given by

$$
\dot{V}_2 = -z_1^2 - z_2^2 + z_2(2e_1 + 2e_2 + e_3).
$$
\n(34)

Next, we define

$$
z_3 = 2e_1 + 2e_2 + e_3. \tag{35}
$$

From (34), it follows that

$$
\dot{V}_2 = -z_1^2 - z_2^2 + z_2 z_3.
$$
\n(35)

Finally, we define the Lyapunov function

$$
V = V_2 + \frac{1}{2}z_3^2 + \frac{1}{2}(e_a^2 + e_b^2) = \frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 + e_a^2 + e_b^2).
$$
 (36)

The time derivative of *V* is obtained as

$$
\dot{V} = -z_1^2 - z_2^2 - z_3^2 + z_3 \left[(3+a)e_1 + (5-b)e_2 + 2e_3 - y_1^2 - x_1^2 + u \right] - e_a \dot{\hat{a}} - e_b \dot{\hat{b}}.
$$
 (37)

Substituting the backstepping controller u defined by (26) in (37), we get

$$
\dot{V} = -z_1^2 - z_2^2 - z_3^2 + e_a \left(e_1 z_3 - \dot{\hat{a}} \right) + e_b \left(-e_2 z_3 - \dot{\hat{b}} \right).
$$
\n(38)

Substituting the parameter law (27) in (38) and noting that $z_3 = 2e_1 + 2e_2 + e_3$, we get

$$
\dot{V} = -z_1^2 - z_2^2 - z_3^2 - k_a e_a^2 - k_b e_b^2,\tag{39}
$$

which is a negative definite function on R^5 .

Thus, by Lyapunov stability theory [35], the proof is complete.■

3.2 Numerical Results

For numerical simulations with MATLAB, the fourth-order Runge-Kutta method with initial step $h = 10^{-8}$ is used to solve the Arneodo systems (19) and (20) with the backstepping controller *u* defined by (26) and the parameter update law defined by (27).

The parameters of the Arneodo chaotic systems are chosen as $a = 7.5$ and $b = 3.8$.

The initial values of the parameter estimates are chosen as $\hat{a}(0) = 16$ and $\hat{b}(0) = 9$.

The control gains are chosen as $k_a = 6$ and $k_b = 6$.

The initial values of the master system (19) are chosen as

$$
x_1(0) = 4
$$
, $x_2(0) = 5$, $x_3(0) = -8$

The initial values of the slave system (20) are chosen as

$$
y_1(0) = 2
$$
, $y_2(0) = 6$, $y_3(0) = -5$

Figure 4 depicts the anti-synchronization of Arneodo chaotic systems. Figure 5 depicts the timehistory of the anti-synchronization errors e_1, e_2, e_3 . Figure 6 depicts the time-history of the parameter estimation errors e_a , e_b .

Figure 4. Anti-Synchronization of Arneodo Chaotic Systems

Figure 5. Time-History of the Anti-Synchronization Errors e_1, e_2, e_3

Figure 6. Time-History of the Parameter Estimation Errors e_a , e_n

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we derived new results for the anti-synchronization of identical Arneodo chaotic systems (1980) via backstepping control method. First, active backstepping controller was designed for the anti-synchronization of identical Arneodo chaotic systems with known system parameters. Next, adaptive backstepping controller was designed for the anti-synchronization of identical Arneodo chaotic systems with unknown system parameters. All the stability results in this paper were established using Lyapunov stability theory. Numerical figures using MATLAB were shown to illustrate the validity and effectiveness of the backstepping controller design for the anti-synchronization of identical chaotic systems for both the cases of known and unknown system parameters.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alligood, K.T., Sauer, T. & Yorke, J.A. (1997) *Chaos: An Introduction to Dynamical Systems*, Springer Verlag, New York.
- [2] Lorenz, E.N. (1963) "Deterministic non-periodic flow," *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, Vol. 20, pp 130-141.
- [3] Lakshmanan, M. & Murali, K. (1996) *Nonlinear Oscillators: Controlling and Synchronization*, World Scientific, Singapore.
- [4] Petrov, V., Gaspar, V., Masere, J. & Showalter, K. (1993) "Controlling chaos in the Belousov-Zhabotinsky reaction," *Nature*, Vol. 361, pp 240-243.
- [5] Kuramoto, Y. (1984) *Chemical Oscillations, Waves and Turbulence*, Springer Verlag, New York.
- [6] Van Wiggeren, G. & Roy, R. (1998) "Communicating with chaotic lasers," *Science*, Vol. 279, pp 1198-1200.

- [7] Garfinkel, A., Spano, M.L., Ditto, W.L. & Weiss, J.A. (1992) "Controlling cardiac chaos," *Science*, Vol. 257, pp 1230-1235.
- [8] Blasius, B., Huppert, A. & Stone, L. (1999) "Complex dynamics and phase synchronization in spatially extended ecological system," *Nature*, Vol. 399, pp 354-359.
- [9] Cuomo, K.M. & Oppenheim, A.V. (1993) "Circuit implementation of synchronized chaos with applications to communications," *Physical Review Letters*, Vol. 71, No. 1, pp 65-68.
- [10] Ott, E., Grebogi, C. & Yorke, J.A. (1990) "Controlling chaos," *Physical Review Letters*, Vol. 64, pp 1196-1199.
- [11] Pecora, L.M. & Carroll, T.L. (1990) "Synchronization in chaotic systems," *Physical Review Letters*, Vol. 64, pp 821-824.
- [12] Chen, H.K. (2005) "Global chaos synchronization of new chaotic systems via nonlinear control," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, Vol. 23, pp 1245-1251.
- [13] Lu, L., Zhang, C. & Guo, Z.A. (2007) "Synchronization between two different chaotic systems with nonlinear feedback control," *Chinese Physics*, Vol. 16, No. 6, pp 1603-1607.
- [14] Sundarapandian, V. (2011) "Global chaos synchronization of Shimizu-Morioka and Liu-Chen chaotic systems by active nonlinear control," *International Journal of Advances in Science and Technology*, Vol. 2, pp 11-20.
- [15] Sundarapandian, V. & Karthikeyan, R. (2011) "Hybrid chaos synchronization of hyperchaotic Lorenz and hyperchaotic Chen systems by active non-linear control," *International Journal of Electrical and Power Engineering,* Vol. 5, No. 5, pp 186-192.
- [16] Chen, S.H. & Lü, J. (2002) "Synchronization of an uncertain unified system via adaptive control," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, Vol. 14, pp 643-647.
- [17] Sundarapandian, V. (2011) "Adaptive synchronization of hyperchaotic Lorenz and hyperchaotic Lü systems," *International Journal of Instrumentation and Control Systems*, Vol. 1, pp 1-18.
- [18] Sundarapandian, V. & Karthikeyan, R. (2011) "Anti-synchronization of Lü and Pan chaotic systems by adaptive nonlinear control," *European Journal of Scientific Research*, Vol. 64, No. 1, pp 94-106.
- [19] Sundarapandian, V. & Pehlivan, I. (2012) "Analysis, control, synchronization and circuit design of a novel chaotic system," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, Vol. 55, pp 1904-1915.
- [20] Sundarapandian, V. & Karthikeyan, R. (2012) "Global chaos synchronization of hyperchaotic Pang and hyperchaotic Wang systems via adaptive control, *International Journal of Soft Computing*, Vol. 7, No. 1, pp 28-37.
- [21] Park, J.H. & Kwon, O.M. (2005) "A novel criterion for delayed feedback control of time-delay chaotic systems," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, Vol. 23, pp 495-501.
- [22] Yang, T. & Chua, L.O. (1999) "Control of chaos using sampled-data feedback control," *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, Vol. 9, pp 215-219.
- [23] Lee, S.H., Kapila, V., Porfiri, M. & Panda, A. (2010) "Master-slave synchronization of continuously and intermittently coupled sampled-data chaotic oscillators," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Simulation*, Vol. 15, pp 4100-4113.
- [24] Yau, H.T. (2008) "Chaos synchronization of two uncertain chaotic nonlinear gyros using fuzzy sliding mode control," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, Vol. 22, No. 2, pp 408-418.
- [25] Noroozi, N., Roopaei, M. & Jahromi, M.Z. (2009) "Adaptive fuzzy sliding mode control scheme for uncertain systems," Vol. 14, No. 11, pp 3978-3992.
- [26] Sundarapandian, V. (2011) "Global chaos synchronization of Pan systems by sliding mode control," *International Journal of Embedded Systems*, Vol. 1, pp 41-50.

- [27] Sundarapandian, V. & Sivaperumal, S. (2012) "Sliding mode controller design for hybrid synchronization of hyperchaotic Chen systems," *International Journal of Computational Sciences and Applications,* Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 35-47.
- [28] Sundarapandian, V. & Sivaperumal, S. (2012) "Sliding mode controller for global chaos synchronization of Coullet systems," *International Journal of Information Science & Techniques*, Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 65-76.
- [29] Sundarapandian, V. & Sivaperumal, S. (2012) "Anti-synchronization of four-wing chaotic systems via sliding mode control," *International Journal of Automation and Computing*, Vol. 9, No. 3, pp. 274-279.
- [30] Sundarapandian, V. (2012) "Sliding controller design for the hybrid chaos synchronization of identical hyperchaotic Xu systems," *International Journal of Instrumentation and Control Systems*, Vol. 2, No. 4, pp 61-71.
- [31] Yu, Y.G. & Zhang, S.C. (2006) "Adaptive backstepping synchronization of uncertain chaotic systems," Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, Vol. 27, pp 1369-1375.
- [32] Suresh, R. & Sundarapandian, V. (2012) "Global chaos synchronization of WINDMI and Coullet chaotic systems by backstepping control," *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 67, No. 2, pp 265-287.
- [33] Suresh, R. & Sundarapandian, V. (2012) "Hybrid synchronization of n-scroll Chua and Lur'e chaotic systems via backstepping control with novel feedback," *Archives of Control Sciences*, Vol. 22, No. 3, pp 255-278.
- [34] Arneodo, A., Coullet, P. & Tresser, C. (1980) "Occurrence of strange attractors in threedimensional Volterra equations," *Physics Letters A*, Vol. 79, pp 259-263.
- [35] Hahn, W. (1967) *The Stability of Motion*, Springer Verlag, New York.

Author

Dr. V. Sundarapandian earned his Doctor of Science degree in Electrical and Systems Engineering from Washington University, St. Louis, USA in May 1996. He is Professor and Dean of the Research and Development Centre at Vel Tech Dr. RR & Dr. SR Technical University, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India. He has published over 290 papers in refereed international journals. He has published over 180 papers in National and International Conferences. He is an Indian Chair of AIRCC. He is the Editor-in-Chief of the AIRCC Journals – IJICS, IJCTCM, IJITCA, IJCCMS and IJITMC. He is the Editor-in-Chief of the Wireilla Journals - IJSCMC, IJCBIC, IJCSITCE, IJACEEE and IJCCSCE. He is an associate editor of many international journals on Computer Science, IT and Control Engineering. His research interests are Linear and Nonlinear Control Systems, Chaos Theory and Control, Soft Computing, Optimal Control, Operations Research, Mathematical Modelling and Scientific Computing. He has delivered several Key Note Lectures on Control Systems, Chaos Theory, Scientific Computing, Mathematical Modelling, MATLAB and SCILAB.

