1 **ER-embedded UBE2J1/RNF26 ubiquitylation complex in spatio-**

²**temporal control of the endolysosomal pathway**

³³**Abstract**

34

³⁵The endolysosomal system fulfills a wide variety of cellular functions, many of which are ³⁶modulated through interactions with other organelles. In particular, the ER exerts 37 spatiotemporal constraints on the organization and motility of endosomes and lysosomes. We ³⁸have recently described the ER transmembrane E3 ubiquitin ligase RNF26 to control 39 perinuclear positioning and transport dynamics of the endolysosomal vesicular network. We ⁴⁰now report that the ubiquitin conjugating enzyme UBE2J1, also anchored in the ER 41 membrane, collaborates with RNF26 in this context, and that the cellular activity of this
42 E2/E3 pair, localized in a perinuclear ER subdomain, is underpinned by transmembrane E2/E3 pair, localized in a perinuclear ER subdomain, is underpinned by transmembrane 43 interactions. Through modification of its substrate SQSTM1/p62, the ER-embedded
44 UBE2J1/RNF26 ubiquitylation complex recruits endosomal adaptors to immobilize their UBE2J1/RNF26 ubiquitylation complex recruits endosomal adaptors to immobilize their 45 cognate vesicles in the perinuclear region. The resulting spatiotemporal compartmentalization 46 of the endolysosomal system between the perinuclear vesicle cloud and the cell periphery 47 facilitates timely downregulation of endocytosed cargoes, such as EGFR.

48

⁴⁹**Introduction**

50

51 Eukaryotic cells have evolved a complex architecture encompassing the nucleus, cytoplasm ⁵²and various specialized organelles, all confined within a small three-dimensional space. ⁵³While compartmentalization enables cells to maintain order, interactions between 54 compartments in turn offer opportunities for integration and coregulation of essential cellular 55 processes. For instance, the ER, typically the cell's largest organelle, offers an excellent 56 platform for supervision of smaller intracellular structures. In fact, membrane contact sites 57 between the ER and virtually every intracellular organelle have been reported to date, 58 allowing controlled exchanges of information and materials to occur between them (Phillips ⁵⁹& Voeltz, 2016, Wong, Gatta et al., 2019). Uncovering ways in which the ER communicates ⁶⁰with and influences other organelles is crucial to our understanding of how cells coordinate 61 their internal affairs and respond to their environment.

62

63 The endolysosomal system, comprised of a vesicular network whose members are both
64 physically independent and functionally interconnected, presents a unique case in its ⁶⁴physically independent and functionally interconnected, presents a unique case in its 65 relationship with the ER (Raiborg, Wenzel et al., 2015b). The endocytic compartment fulfills

⁶⁶a wide variety of cellular roles, ranging from regulation of signaling and proteostasis (Di 67 Fiore & von Zastrow, 2014, Khaminets, Behl et al., 2016) to control of cell polarity (Jewett $\&$ ⁶⁸Prekeris, 2018), migration (Malinova & Huveneers, 2018, Paul, Jacquemet et al., 2015), 69 defense against pathogenic invaders (Taguchi & Mukai, 2019) and communication between 70 cells (Maas, Breakefield et al., 2017). Once nascent endosomes bud inwards from the plasma 71 membrane, engulfing extracellular milieu, they embark on a journey of maturation, guided in 72 part by the ER (Bakker, Spits et al., 2017). Travelling deeper into the cell interior, endosomes
73 progressively acquire late characteristics of acidity and proteolytic potential (Huotari & 73 progressively acquire late characteristics of acidity and proteolytic potential (Huotari & 74 Helenius, 2011) and engage in more frequent and persistent contacts with the ER membrane ⁷⁴Helenius, 2011) and engage in more frequent and persistent contacts with the ER membrane ⁷⁵(Friedman JR, 2013). These interactions have been shown to influence endosome localization ⁷⁶and motility (Jongsma, Berlin et al., 2016, Raiborg, Wenzel et al., 2015a, Rocha, Kuijl et al., ⁷⁷2009b) and control core processes pertaining to endosome physiology, including cargo 78 sorting (Dong, Saheki et al., 2016, Eden, Sanchez-Heras et al., 2016, Eden, White et al., 79 2010) as well as membrane tethering, fusion and fission events (Hoyer, Chitwood et al., 2018, Levin-Konigsberg, Montano-Rendon et al., 2019, Rowland, Chitwood et al., 2014, van ⁸⁰2018, Levin-Konigsberg, Montano-Rendon et al., 2019, Rowland, Chitwood et al., 2014, van 81 der Kant, Fish et al., 2013, Wijdeven, Janssen et al., 2016). The rapidly growing diversity in
82 ER-endosome contacts underscores both the importance and complexity of the dialogue ER-endosome contacts underscores both the importance and complexity of the dialogue 83 occurring between these organelles.

84

⁸⁵It is becoming increasingly clear that specific functional states of endocytic organelles are 86 connected to their intracellular location (Jia & Bonifacino, 2019, Johnson, Ostrowski et al., 87 2016, Korolchuk, Saiki et al., 2011)—an attribute strongly influenced by ER-endosome 88 interactions (Neefjes, Jongsma et al., 2017). Under normal circumstances, the bulk of
89 endosomes and lysosomes congregates in a perinuclear cloud around the microtubuleendosomes and lysosomes congregates in a perinuclear cloud around the microtubule-90 organizing center (MTOC). While many endosomes and lysosomes participating in this cloud
91 tend to exhibit limited motility (Jongsma et al., 2016), some become subject to fast transport ⁹¹tend to exhibit limited motility (Jongsma et al., 2016), some become subject to fast transport 92 to and from the cell periphery (Bonifacino $\&$ Neefjes, 2017). This bilateral organization
93 between the perinuclear and peripheral regions of the cell appears critical for efficient ⁹³between the perinuclear and peripheral regions of the cell appears critical for efficient ⁹⁴maturation of endosomes and, consequently, timely degradation of cargos, such as EGFR ⁹⁵(Jongsma et al., 2016). Perinuclear retention of early, late, and recycling endosomes, as well 96 as lysosomes and vesicles of the trans-Golgi network (TGN) is governed by the ER-located ⁹⁷ubiquitin ligase RNF26—an integral multimembrane-spanning protein featuring a 98 cytoplasmically exposed RING domain (Jongsma et al., 2016, Qin, Zhou et al., 2014). ⁹⁹RNF26 is concentrated predominantly in the perinuclear segment of the ER membrane,

¹⁰⁰which corresponds with its ability to position all endosomal vesicles near the nucleus ¹⁰¹(Jongsma et al., 2016). Catalytically competent RNF26 attracts and ubiquitylates 102 SQSTM1/p62, a cytosolic ubiquitin adaptor also implicated in selective autophagy (Lamark, 103 Svenning et al., 2017), and the resulting ubiquitin-rich complex then recruits various Svenning et al., 2017), and the resulting ubiquitin-rich complex then recruits various 104 endosomal adaptors capable of ubiquitin recognition to dock at the ER (Jongsma et al., 2016).
105 How RNF26 activity is regulated to fulfill this role is unknown. How RNF26 activity is regulated to fulfill this role is unknown.

107 Ubiquitylation, orchestrated by a hierarchical enzymatic cascade (Pickart, 2001), is pervasive
108 in endosome biology (McCann, Scott et al., 2016, Polo, 2012, Raiborg & Stenmark, 2009). In 108 in endosome biology (McCann, Scott et al., 2016, Polo, 2012, Raiborg & Stenmark, 2009). In
109 order to become biologically useful, ubiquitin must first be activated by an E1 enzyme. Next, 109 order to become biologically useful, ubiquitin must first be activated by an E1 enzyme. Next,
110 an E2 enzyme receives this activated ubiquitin and can either pass it on to an independent E3 an E2 enzyme receives this activated ubiquitin and can either pass it on to an independent E3 111 enzyme (as in the case of the HECT family of E3 ligases) or join forces with a RING-type E3
112 to directly mediate transfer of ubiquitin to a substrate of choice (Stewart, Ritterhoff et al., to directly mediate transfer of ubiquitin to a substrate of choice (Stewart, Ritterhoff et al., 113 2016). While in mammals only 2 E1 enzymes for ubiquitin are known, roughly 40 E2
114 conjugating enzymes and over 600 E3 ligases have been identified (Zheng & Shabek, 2017). conjugating enzymes and over 600 E3 ligases have been identified (Zheng & Shabek, 2017). 115 This implies that E2 enzymes usually support multiple E3 ligases, and a given E2 is likely to
116 be involved in diverse biological processes (Gundogdu & Walden, 2019). Ultimately, the be involved in diverse biological processes (Gundogdu & Walden, 2019). Ultimately, the 117 type and extent of modification produced by a given E2/E3 pair determines the substrate's 118 resulting functional state (Kwon & Ciechanover, 2017).

119

¹²⁰A key missing piece in understanding ubiquitin-regulated positioning of vesicles by the 121 RNF26-associated system is the contribution of a cognate E2 enzyme. Here we identify
122 IJBE2J1 as the conjugating enzyme collaborating with RNF26 in the regulation of the 122 UBE2J1 as the conjugating enzyme collaborating with RNF26 in the regulation of the
123 perinuclear endosome cloud. We find that an intramembrane interaction between RNF26 and ¹²³perinuclear endosome cloud. We find that an intramembrane interaction between RNF26 and 124 UBE2J1 is necessary for successful assembly of this enzyme complex within a perinuclear
125 ER subdomain. Through ubiquitylation of SOSTM1, and consequent vesicle adaptor ER subdomain. Through ubiquitylation of SQSTM1, and consequent vesicle adaptor 126 recruitment onto the positioning complex, UBE2J1 controls the integrity of the
127 endolysosomal cloud. Hence, UBE2J1 function, like that of RNF26, promotes ligandendolysosomal cloud. Hence, UBE2J1 function, like that of RNF26, promotes ligand-128 mediated trafficking of activated receptors towards acidified compartments, ensuring their
129 timely down-regulation. These findings uncover a new role for UBE2J1, an E2 extensively timely down-regulation. These findings uncover a new role for UBE2J1, an E2 extensively 130 implicated in ER-associated protein degradation (ERAD) (Burr, Cano et al., 2011, Hagiwara, 131 Ling et al., 2016, Lenk, Yu et al., 2002), and in this light open doors to possible interplay 132 between ERAD and the perinuclear endolysosomal cloud.

133

¹³⁴**Results**

135

136 Depletion of UBE2J1 scatters the perinuclear cloud
137 Given that the E3 ligase RNF26 employs ubiquity

Given that the E3 ligase RNF26 employs ubiquitylation to position endosomes and ¹³⁸lysosomes, there must also be a collaborating E2 enzyme. To identify E2 ubiquitin 139 conjugating enzyme(s) participating in the formation and maintenance of the perinuclear 140 endosomal cloud, we performed an siRNA-based screen for all known E2 enzymes in the 141 human melanoma MelJuSo cell line. siRNAs inducing dispersion of late endosomes
142 throughout the cytoplasm, and/or accumulation of these organelles at the tips of cells, were 142 throughout the cytoplasm, and/or accumulation of these organelles at the tips of cells, were
143 selected. Silencing of ubiquitin E2 enzymes UBE2G2. UBE2L UBE2L 6, UBE2N, UBE2J1. 143 selected. Silencing of ubiquitin E2 enzymes UBE2G2, UBE2I, UBE2L6, UBE2N, UBE2J1,
144 UBE2R1, UBE2V1, and UBE2Z, as well as ubiquitin-like conjugating enzymes UFC1 and $UBE2R1$, $UBE2V1$, and $UBE2Z$, as well as ubiquitin-like conjugating enzymes UFC1 and 145 ATG3 (Stewart et al., 2016), perturbed perinuclear accumulation of endolysosomes marked
146 by the maior histocompatibility class II (MHC-II) receptor (Fig. S1A). Among the by the major histocompatibility class II (MHC-II) receptor (Fig. S1A). Among the 147 aforementioned E2 hits, silencing of UBE2J1 afforded the most striking relocalization of the
148 MHC-II⁺ compartment (Fig. S1A), and we therefore focused subsequent validation on $MHC-I⁺$ compartment (Fig. S1A), and we therefore focused subsequent validation on 149 UBE2J1 as a candidate E2 for RNF26.
150

150

151 We have previously shown that the perinuclear cloud harbors the entire endosomal pathway,
152 including TGN-derived vesicles, early and late endosomes and lysosomes, and that loss of including TGN-derived vesicles, early and late endosomes and lysosomes, and that loss of ¹⁵³RNF26 disturbs their localization (Jongsma et al., 2016). We therefore examined whether this 154 phenotype extends to other endosomal structures. Depletion of UBE2J1 phenocopied that of 155 RNF26, resulting in scattering of early $(EEA1⁺)$, recycling $(TfR⁺)$ and late endosomes 156 ($CD63^+$), as well as lysosomes (LAMP1⁺) and vesicles of the TGN (M6PR⁺) throughout the 157 cytoplasm (Figs. 1A, B and S1B-E). By contrast, depletion of its closest homologue UBE2J2 158 did not appear to disrupt endosomal organization (Figs. 1A, B and S1F).
159

160 The architecture of the endolysosomal system is intimately connected to the motility of its
161 individual vesicles, and dissociation of the perinuclear cloud leads to disordered vesicle ¹⁶¹individual vesicles, and dissociation of the perinuclear cloud leads to disordered vesicle 162 transport throughout the cell (Jongsma et al., 2016, Sapmaz, Berlin et al., 2019). We therefore 163 tested whether depletion of UBE2J1 would affect not only the position but also movement 164 of endolysosomes. Under control conditions, acidified compartments (marked by ¹⁶⁵Lysotracker) displayed bimodal motility, with the majority of perinuclear (PN) 166 endolysosomes exhibiting restricted movement relative to a smaller pool of their far more 167 dynamic peripheral (PP) counterparts (Fig. 1C, movie 1). Silencing UBE2J1 abrogated this

¹⁶⁸spatiotemporal distinction, releasing vesicles normally retained in the PN cloud for transport ¹⁶⁹(Fig. 1C, movie 1). As a result, an overall increase in vesicle movement was observed, 170 resembling the condition of RNF26 deficiency (Figs. 1D, E and S1G, H). The E2 enzyme
171 UBE2J1 thus recapitulates the phenotype of RNF26 in imposing spatial and temporal UBE2J1 thus recapitulates the phenotype of RNF26 in imposing spatial and temporal 172 constraints on the endolysosomal system.

¹⁷⁴**Transmembrane interactions underpin UBE2J1/RNF26 complex in the juxtanuclear** 175 **ER subdomain**
176 In order for UB

In order for UBE2J1 to act as an E2 enzyme for RNF26, the two proteins must form a 177 complex at the ER membrane. Therefore, we examined whether RNF26 and UBE2J1
178 colocalize and interact. RNF26 is a multipass transmembrane protein (Oin et al., 2014) and colocalize and interact. RNF26 is a multipass transmembrane protein (Qin et al., 2014) and 179 locates in the perinuclear subdomain of the ER in order to restrict the endolysosomal system
180 at the corresponding location in the cvtosol (Jongsma et al., 2016). On the other hand, at the corresponding location in the cytosol (Jongsma et al., 2016). On the other hand, 181 UBE2J1 harbors a single transmembrane domain and distributes all along the ER, as
182 indicated by a high degree of colocalization with the ER protein VAP-A (Fig. 2A, B) (Yang indicated by a high degree of colocalization with the ER protein VAP-A (Fig. 2A, B) (Yang 183 M., 1997). Strikingly, co-expression of RNF26 focused UBE2J1 into the perinuclear ER,
184 resulting in colocalization between the two enzymes (Fig. 2B-D). A similar effect was resulting in colocalization between the two enzymes (Fig. 2B-D). A similar effect was 185 observed in the presence of a catalytically inactive RNF26 point mutant I382R, but not with
186 RNF26 lacking the RING domain (Fig. 2B-D). Hence, the RING domain of RNF26, critical ¹⁸⁶RNF26 lacking the RING domain (Fig. 2B-D). Hence, the RING domain of RNF26, critical 187 for its perinuclear localization (Jongsma et al., 2016), also influences the location of UBE2J1.

189 We further examined the requirements for complex formation between UBE2J1 and RNF26.
190 Endogenous UBE2J1 readily co-precipitated with ectonically expressed wild type RNF26 as 190 Endogenous UBE2J1 readily co-precipitated with ectopically expressed wild type RNF26, as
191 well as its mutants I382R and ARING (Fig. 3A), indicating that the RING domain, while 191 well as its mutants I382R and ΔRING (Fig. 3A), indicating that the RING domain, while
192 important for specifying intracellular location of this enzyme complex, is not necessary for its 192 important for specifying intracellular location of this enzyme complex, is not necessary for its
193 formation. These observations suggested that UBE2J1 and RNF26 may interact via their formation. These observations suggested that UBE2J1 and RNF26 may interact via their 194 respective trans-membrane domains (TMDs) instead. Indeed, the single TMD of UBE2J1,
195 which on its own took residence throughout the ER membrane (Fig. S2A), could be drawn 195 which on its own took residence throughout the ER membrane (Fig. S2A), could be drawn
196 into the perinuclear region by RNF26 (Fig. 2B-D), although to a lesser degree than the full into the perinuclear region by RNF26 (Fig. 2B-D), although to a lesser degree than the full 197 length UBE2J1 (Fig. 2B, C). In contrast, UBE2J1 lacking its TMD did not localize to ¹⁹⁸RNF26, but remained dispersed throughout the cytosol (Fig. 2B-D). In line with these 199 observations, RNF26-ΔRING co-isolated with the TMD of UBE2J1, but not its TMD-
200 deficient soluble fragment (Fig. 3B). This suggests that UBE2J1 binds RNF26 in a deficient soluble fragment (Fig. 3B). This suggests that UBE2J1 binds RNF26 in a 201 perinuclear ER subdomain, and that this interaction relies on the respective transmembrane

202 determinants of these two proteins. In addition to UBE2J1, RNF26 was also found to interact 203 and colocalize with UBE2J2 (S2B, C), loss of which did not influence intracellular 204 localization of the endolysosomal system (Fig. 1A, B). We therefore tested whether
205 UBE2J1—but perhaps not UBE2J2—shares RNF26's substrate SOSTM1, as described ²⁰⁵UBE2J1—but perhaps not UBE2J2—shares RNF26's substrate SQSTM1, as described 206 below.

²⁰⁸**UBE2J1 mediates ubiquitylation of SQSTM1 for recruitment of vesicle adaptors to**

209 **RNF26**
210 While U

210 While UBE2J1 interacts with RNF26, this does not imply that the catalytic activity of the E2
211 enzyme is involved in RNF26-mediated endosome positioning. To this end, we created 211 enzyme is involved in RNF26-mediated endosome positioning. To this end, we created
212 UBE2J1 knockout HeLa cells using CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing and aimed to reverse the UBE2J1 knockout HeLa cells using CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing and aimed to reverse the 213 UBE2J1-depleted endosomal phenotype by reintroducing the enzyme herein. Similar to
214 siRNA mediated depletion (Fig. 1A), UBE2J1 knockout cells featured a dispersed CD63⁺ late siRNA mediated depletion (Fig. 1A), UBE2J1 knockout cells featured a dispersed $CD63⁺$ late 215 endosomal compartment (Fig. 4A, B). Re-expression of wild type UBE2J1 in this setting, but
216 not its catalytically dead mutant UBE2J1-C91S, facilitated centering of late endosomes in the not its catalytically dead mutant UBE2J1-C91S, facilitated centering of late endosomes in the 217 perinuclear area (Fig. 4C, D). This implies that a functional UBE2J1 enzyme is required for
218 perinuclear localization of the late endosomal compartment. We then wondered whether a ²¹⁸perinuclear localization of the late endosomal compartment. We then wondered whether a 219 functional RNF26/UBE2J1 complex would accumulate ubiquitinate species inside the cell.
220 While the combination of RNF26 and catalytically competent UBE2J1 stimulated deposition While the combination of RNF26 and catalytically competent UBE2J1 stimulated deposition 221 of ubiquitylated species onto the E2/E3 complex, co-expression of either inactive UBE2J1 or
222 wild type UBE2J2 nearly abolished ubiquitylation at RNF26-positive sites (Fig. 4E, F). Next. wild type UBE2J2 nearly abolished ubiquitylation at RNF26-positive sites (Fig. 4E, F). Next, 223 we tested whether UBE2J1 can mediate ubiquitin modification of RNF26 substrate,
224 SOSTM1 Ubiquitylation of SOSTM1 was markedly enhanced by overexpression of 224 SQSTM1. Ubiquitylation of SQSTM1 was markedly enhanced by overexpression of 225 catalytically competent UBE2J1, similar to the effect of RNF26 (Fig. 4G). This was not catalytically competent UBE2J1, similar to the effect of RNF26 (Fig. 4G). This was not 226 observed in response to overexpression of UBE2J2 (Fig. 4G), indicating that even though
227 UBE2J2 can interact with RNF26, the two do not share SOSTM1 as a substrate. However, UBE2J2 can interact with RNF26, the two do not share SQSTM1 as a substrate. However, 228 fusing the catalytic domain of UBE2J1 to the TMD of UBE2J2 (2J1(J2TMD)), still produced
229 an ER-located enzyme competent of modifying SOSTM1 (Figs. 4G and S3). On the contrary. 229 an ER-located enzyme competent of modifying SQSTM1 (Figs. 4G and S3). On the contrary,
230 a similar chimera harboring the TMD of MOSPD2 (2J1(MSD2TMD)), an unrelated single-230 a similar chimera harboring the TMD of MOSPD2 (2J1(MSD2TMD)), an unrelated single-
231 spanning protein anchored in the ER membrane, did not afford ubiquitylation of SOSTM1 spanning protein anchored in the ER membrane, did not afford ubiquitylation of SOSTM1 ²³²(Figs. 4G and S3). These results imply that appropriate catalytic and TMD determinants are 233 required on the part of the E2 to make a productive pair with RNF26 and suggest that a high 234 degree of E2 selectivity operates in the pathway(s) responsible for ubiquitylation of 235 SQSTM1.

236

²³⁷Next, having identified UBE2J1 as a compatible partner for RNF26, we set out to examine 238 whether this E2 enzyme plays an active role in the recruitment of vesicle adaptor proteins to
239 the RNF26-positioning complex. As a consequence of RNF26 enzymatic action, SOSTM1 is the RNF26-positioning complex. As a consequence of RNF26 enzymatic action, SQSTM1 is 240 ubiquitinated for recognition by a number of endosomal membrane adaptors that contain a 241 ubiquitin-binding domain. These adaptors include EPS15 and TOLLIP, which link early and 242 late endosomes, respectively, to the ER-embedded positioning complex (Jongsma et al.,
243 2016). Perturbation in the cognate E2 activity should then dissociate such ubiquitin-2016). Perturbation in the cognate E2 activity should then dissociate such ubiquitin-
244 dependent bridges between the ER and endosomes. As expected, ectopic expression of 244 dependent bridges between the ER and endosomes. As expected, ectopic expression of
245 inactive, but not wild-type. UBE2J1 strongly diminished these contacts, as indged by 245 inactive, but not wild-type, UBE2J1 strongly diminished these contacts, as judged by
246 fluorescence signal overlap of either TOLLIP or EPS15 (Fig. 5A-C) with RNF26. These fluorescence signal overlap of either TOLLIP or EPS15 (Fig. 5A-C) with RNF26. These 247 observations further support a pivotal role of the ubiquitin conjugating activity of UBE2J1 in 248 positioning of the endosomal pathway.

249 249

²⁵⁰**UBE2J1 promotes timely downregulation of EGFR**

251 Spatiotemporal organization of the endosomal system translates into timely trafficking of
252 activated EGFR to lysosomes for degradation (Jongsma et al., 2016). If acting upstream of activated EGFR to lysosomes for degradation (Jongsma et al., 2016). If acting upstream of 253 RNF26, UBE2J1 is expected to also facilitate this process. To test this, we followed ligand-
254 mediated trafficking and degradation of EGFR. Maturation of EGF-containing endosomes ²⁵⁴mediated trafficking and degradation of EGFR. Maturation of EGF-containing endosomes 255 into the late compartments marked by CD63 was inhibited in HeLa cells depleted of UBE2J1 ²⁵⁶(Fig. 6A, B). As a consequence of impaired trafficking, these cells exhibited attenuated 257 downregulation of stimulated EGFR, accompanied by a prolongation of the activated receptor
258 state (Fig. 6C, D) Importantly however UBE2J1 silencing did not alter steady state levels of 258 state (Fig. 6C, D). Importantly, however, UBE2J1 silencing did not alter steady state levels of
259 EGFR at the cell surface (Fig. 6E). These results underscore the physiological importance of EGFR at the cell surface (Fig. 6E). These results underscore the physiological importance of 260 UBE2J1 in the regulation of the endosomal system's architecture and dynamics.
261

Discussion Endosomes rely on the ER to facilitate timely processing and selective delivery of cargoes for 264 degradation. One aspect in which this manifests, is the architectural support the ER offers to
265 the perinuclear endosome cloud—the cell's hub for endosomal maturation and proteolysis the perinuclear endosome cloud—the cell's hub for endosomal maturation and proteolysis (Neefjes et al., 2017). In this study, we implicate the ER-associated ubiquitin conjugating 267 enzyme UBE2J1 in the perinuclear positioning of the endolysosomal system. Depletion of UBE2J1 disturbs the perinuclear vesicle cloud, where the bulk of these structures is normally 269 retained in a low motility state. Consequently, motility patterns of endosomes and lysosomes

270 throughout the cell are deregulated, and ligand-mediated trafficking of activated receptors to 271 the proteolytic compartments is delayed. We find that UBE2J1 activity is a prerequisite for 272 the recruitment of specialized endosomal adaptors to the ubiquitin-dependent positioning
273 complex, assembled by the RING E3 ligase RNF26 at the ER membrane (Jongsma et al., complex, assembled by the RING E3 ligase RNF26 at the ER membrane (Jongsma et al., 274 2016). We propose that UBE2J1 serves as an E2 for RNF26, and that endosomal phenotypes
275 associated with UBE2J1 loss-of-function arise due to the inactivity of this perinuclear associated with UBE2J1 loss-of-function arise due to the inactivity of this perinuclear 276 positioning pathway.
277

278 UBE2J1 has been extensively studied in the context of ER-associated degradation (ERAD)
279 and stress recovery (Burr et al., 2011, Elangovan, Chong et al., 2017, Hagiwara et al., 2016, 279 and stress recovery (Burr et al., 2011, Elangovan, Chong et al., 2017, Hagiwara et al., 2016, 280 Lenk et al., 2002. Tiwari & Weissman, 2001), and its physiological roles in viral infection Lenk et al., 2002, Tiwari & Weissman, 2001), and its physiological roles in viral infection 281 (Feng, Deng et al., 2018, Ma, Dang et al., 2015) and spermiogenesis (Koenig, Nicholls et al., 282 2014) are thought to be mediated through ERAD function(s). Our data reveal a new role for ²⁸²2014) are thought to be mediated through ERAD function(s). Our data reveal a new role for 283 UBE2J1, which brings about the possibility that deleterious phenotypes associated with this
284 enzyme's dysfunction may also stem from defects in endosomal trafficking. enzyme's dysfunction may also stem from defects in endosomal trafficking.

285

286 Several studies have shown that the nature of UBE2J1's TMD determines its activity and 287 stability (Claessen, Mueller et al., 2010, Yang M., 1997). Likewise, our data suggest that the 288 interaction of UBE2J1 with RNF26 is stabilized mainly through their respective TMDs. 289 Conversely, localization of the E2/E3 complex to a perinuclear subdomain of the ER is
290 specified by the RING domain of RNF26. The resulting ER-embedded ubiquitylation specified by the RING domain of RNF26. The resulting ER-embedded ubiquitylation 291 complex modifies SQSTM1, thereby enticing ubiquitin-binding vesicle adaptors to dock at
292 the ER membrane (Fig. 7) Thus UBE2J1—an E2 enzyme primarily known for conducting 292 the ER membrane (Fig. 7). Thus, UBE2J1—an E2 enzyme primarily known for conducting
293 poly-ubiquitylation—is now also implicated in mono and/or short-chain modifications by ²⁹³poly-ubiquitylation—is now also implicated in mono and/or short-chain modifications by 294 supporting RNF26 (Jongsma et al., 2016, Qin et al., 2014). In this regard UBE2J1 echoes a
295 similar characteristic to that of its homologue UBE2J2/UBC6p (Weber. Cohen et al., 2016). similar characteristic to that of its homologue UBE2J2/UBC6p (Weber, Cohen et al., 2016). 296 Interestingly, we find that UBE2J2, also residing in the ER membrane (Wang, Herr et al., 2016).
297 2009. Weber et al., 2016), can similarly associate with RNF26, but does not support ubiquitin ²⁹⁷2009, Weber et al., 2016), can similarly associate with RNF26, but does not support ubiquitin 298 transfer to SQSTM1. In fact, overexpression of UBE2J2 appears to act as a dominant-
299 negative for the UBE2J1/RNF26 pair. This pseudo-compatibility of UBE2J2 might relate to negative for the UBE2J1/RNF26 pair. This pseudo-compatibility of UBE2J2 might relate to 300 its cytoplasmic fragment, which is shorter than that of UBE2J1, perhaps making it more 301 difficult for its UBC domain to reach substrates bound by the RING domain of RNF26. ³⁰²Single spanning TMD proteins, such as the aforementioned E2s, may be required for 303 organization of large membrane-embedded protein complexes, as illustrated by interactions

304 within the mitochondrial respiratory chain complex (Zickermann, Angerer et al., 2010). A 305 similar organizational principle could hold for complexes at and/or within the ER membrane.

306

³⁰⁸In recent years, numerous proteins have been identified to participate in the formation and 309 regulation of ER-endosome contact sites, each regulating specific stages or transitions of 310 endosomal trafficking. A maturing endosome can likely engage in multiple tethering
311 interactions, and the composition and duration of its interactions with the ER could be 311 interactions, and the composition and duration of its interactions with the ER could be
312 influenced by maturation. Membrane proximity invoked by dynamic ubiquitin-mediated 312 influenced by maturation. Membrane proximity invoked by dynamic ubiquitin-mediated
313 interactions. as described here, may enhance the strength/duration of ER-endosome 313 interactions, as described here, may enhance the strength/duration of ER-endosome
314 membrane contact sites, providing a fundament for robust vet agile regulatory membrane contact sites, providing a fundament for robust yet agile regulatory 315 interactions. Finally, the UBE2J1/RNF26 endosomal positioning complex locates to defined
316 perinuclear sites in the ER membrane that may be co-occupied by members of the ERAD ³¹⁶perinuclear sites in the ER membrane that may be co-occupied by members of the ERAD 317 family (Leitman et al., 2013). The close proximity of ERAD to lysosomes could then allow 318 for swift alternate degradation of ERAD-resistant substrates.

319

320 ³²¹**Materials & Methods**

323 **Antibodies and reagents:**
324 *(Confocal microscopy)* m

³²⁴(*Confocal microscopy)* mouse anti-EEA1 (1:200, mAb 610457, BD transduction 325 laboratories), mouse anti-CD63 NKI-C3 (1:500, Vennegoor and Rumke, 1986), mouse anti-
326 M6PR (1:100 ab2733 Abcam) mouse anti-TfR (1:100 Invitrogen 905963A) mouse anti-326 M6PR (1:100, ab2733, Abcam), mouse anti-TfR (1:100, Invitrogen 905963A), mouse anti-
327 Ubiquitin (1:25, P4D1, sc-8017, Santa Cruz), rabbit anti-LAMP1 (1:200, Sino Biolocial). Ubiquitin (1:25, P4D1, sc-8017, Santa Cruz), rabbit anti-LAMP1 (1:200, Sino Biolocial), 328 rabbit anti-VAP-A (1:40, 15272-1-AP, Proteintech), rat anti-HA (1:200, 3F10, Roche)
329 followed by anti-Rabbit/Mouse/Rat Alexa-dye coupled antibodies (1:400, Invitrogen). ³²⁹followed by anti-Rabbit/Mouse/Rat Alexa-dye coupled antibodies (1:400, Invitrogen). 330 LysoTracker FarRed was used to visualize lysosomes in live microscopy (1:2000, 331 ThermoFisher). Alexa-568-coupled EGF (100ng/mL, Invitrogen) was used in endocytosis ThermoFisher). Alexa-568-coupled EGF (100ng/mL, Invitrogen) was used in endocytosis 332 assays.

³³³(*Western blotting)* mouse anti-HA (1:1000, HA.11, 16B12), rabbit anti-GFP (1:1000, (Rocha,

³³⁴Kuijl et al., 2009a), rabbit anti-RFP (1:1000, Rocha et al*.,* 2019), mouse anti-RFP (1:1000,

³³⁵6G6, Chromotek), rabbit anti-EGFR (1:1000, 06-847, Millipore), mouse anti-actin (1:20.000,

³³⁶AC15, Sigma), mouse anti-phosphotyrosine (1:1000, pY, 4G10, Millipore) followed by

³³⁷secondary Rabbit anti-mouse-HRP, sheep anti-rabbit-HRP (Invitrogen), or goat anti-rabbit or

338 goat anti-mouse IRdye $680 (1:20.000)$ and IRdye $800 (1:10.000)$ antibodies (LiCor).

339

³⁴⁰**Cell lines and culturing:** MelJuSo cells (human melanoma), kindly provided by Prof. G. 341 Riethmuller (LMU, Munich), were cultured in Iscove's modified Dulbecco's medium ³⁴²(IMDM) medium (Gibco). HeLa cells (CCL-2), and HEK293T cells were sequence verified 343 cultured in DMEM medium (Gibco). All media were supplemented with 8% fetal calf serum
344 (FCS, Sigma). All cell lines were cultured at $37 \square C$ and 5% CO2 and routinely tested 344 (FCS, Sigma). All cell lines were cultured at $37 \square$ C and 5% CO2 and routinely tested ³⁴⁵(negatively) for mycoplasma.

³⁴⁷**Constructs:** RNF26 (and mutants), SQSTM1 and TOLLIP constructs, all expressed from ³⁴⁸C1/N1 vector series (Clontech), as well as HA-Ubiquitin and GFP-EP15 constructs (kind 349 gifts from I. Dikic, Institute for Biochemie II, Frankfurt and O. Bakke, Dept. Bioscience, 350 University of Oslo, respectively) have been previously described (Jongsma et al., 2016).
351 UBE2J1 was subcloned between XhoI and BamHI sites of the C1-RFP and C1-GFP vector UBE2J1 was subcloned between XhoI and BamHI sites of the C1-RFP and C1-GFP vector 352 (Clontech). UBE2J1 truncations and mutants were created by standard (mutagenesis) PCR
353 methods. UBE2J1(MSD2TMD) and UBE2J1(J2TMD) were created by fusing the methods. UBE2J1(MSD2TMD) and UBE2J1(J2TMD) were created by fusing the 354 cytoplasmic tail (aa1-282) of UBE2J1 to the TMD of MOSPD2 or UBE2J2 355 using NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly (NEB). pDEST17-UBE2J2 was a gift from Wade ³⁵⁶Harper (Addgene plasmid #15794) and UBE2J2-C93S was kindly provided by ³⁵⁷E. Wiertz (UMC Utrecht). From these plasmids, UBE2J2 and UBE2J2-C93S were subcloned 358 into C1-RFP and C1-GFP using EcoRI and BamHI sites.

359

³⁶⁰**siRNA transfections:** For the initial E2 screen, pooled siRNAs were bought from 361 Dharmacon (siGenome (M) series). Sequences of the siRNA oligos targeting RNF26 and
362 UBE2J1. bought from Dharmacon, are shown in Table 1. For RNF26 silencing, we used UBE2J1, bought from Dharmacon, are shown in Table 1. For RNF26 silencing, we used 363 siRNF26-1 unless stated otherwise. For UBE2J1 silencing, we used a pool of all three
364 siRNAs unless stated otherwise. Gene silencing was performed in a 48 or 24 well plate (IF) siRNAs unless stated otherwise. Gene silencing was performed in a 48 or 24 well plate (IF) 365 or 12 well plate (WB) - reagent volumes were scaled up accordingly. In a 48 well plate, 25-³⁶⁶32.5µL siRNA (for sequences, see table 1) was mixed with 25uL 1x siRNA buffer (GE ³⁶⁷Healthcare) containing 0.5uL Dharmafect 1 transfection reagent. The mix was incubated on a ³⁶⁸shaker at RT for 40 minutes before the addition of 7.000 HeLa or MelJuSo cells (and 369 coverslips). Cells were cultured for three days before analysis. Non-targeting siRNA or

370 reagent only was used as a negative control. UBE2J2 was silenced using siRNAs from

371 the siGenome SMARTpool library (Dharmacon).

372

-
-
-

376

³⁷⁷**DNA transfections:** Cells were seeded in culture plates to reach approx. 70% confluency on 378 the day of transfection. HeLa were transfected with Effectene (Qiagen) (200ng DNA per well 379 of 24 well plate), according to the manufacturer's protocol. MelJuSo cells were transfected 380 using Extremegene HP (Roche) (500ng DNA per well in 24 well plate), according to 381 manufacturer's protocol. Cells were cultured overnight before analysis. HEK293 cells were 382 transfected with PEI at a ratio of 3μ g PEI per μ g DNA in 200 μ L DMEM medium. After 15-
383 30 min. the mix was added dropwise to the cells which were then cultured overnight before 383 30 min, the mix was added dropwise to the cells which were then cultured overnight before
384 analysis. analysis.

³⁸⁶**CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout:** gRNA sequences targeting the UBE2J1 gene 387 (GGGTCTCCATGGTGGGTCGC) were cloned into the BbsI site of PX440 (containing the
388 Cas9 gene and a puromycin resistance gene). This plasmid was transfected into HeLa cells Cas9 gene and a puromycin resistance gene). This plasmid was transfected into HeLa cells 389 and the next day, cells were selected with 200ug/mL puromycin for 3 days. Then, cells were
390 diluted and cultured in a 15cm dish. allowing well separates colonies to grow. These were ³⁹⁰diluted and cultured in a 15cm dish, allowing well separates colonies to grow. These were 391 isolated, expanded, and analyzed for loss of UBE2J1 by Western blot.
392

 $\overline{}$

³⁹³**EGFR degradation:** Ligand-mediated turnover was assayed as previously described (Berlin, 394 Higginbotham et al., 2010) using 100 ng/mL EGF. Receptor levels were quantified at each
395 time point relative to actin levels and expressed as a fraction of EGFR at $t = 0$ min. Receptor time point relative to actin levels and expressed as a fraction of EGFR at $t = 0$ min. Receptor 396 activation and downstream signaling was expressed relative to the maximum activation ($t =$ 397 15 min).

398

³⁹⁹**Immunofluorescence confocal microscopy:** Cells grown on coverslips (Menzel Gläser) 400 were fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde, washed three times with PBS, permeabilized with
401 0.1%TX100 for 10 min and blocked in 0.5% BSA for one hour. Next, coverslips were 0.1% TX100 for 10 min and blocked in 0.5% BSA for one hour. Next, coverslips were 402 incubated with primary antibodies in 0.5% BSA for 1hr at RT, washed and incubated with
403 Alexa-labeled anti mouse/rabbit/rat secondary antibody or streptavidin. After washing. Alexa-labeled anti mouse/rabbit/rat secondary antibody or streptavidin. After washing, 404 coverslips were mounted on glass slides with ProLong Gold with DAPI (Life Technologies).
405 Samples were imaged with a Leica SP8 confocal microscope equipped with appropriate 405 Samples were imaged with a Leica SP8 confocal microscope equipped with appropriate
406 solid-state lasers. HCX PL 63 times magnification oil emersion objectives and HvD detectors 406 solid-state lasers, HCX PL 63 times magnification oil emersion objectives and HyD detectors
407 (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Data was collected using 2048 x 2048 scanning 407 (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Data was collected using 2048 x 2048 scanning
408 format with line avering without digital zoom, or 1024 x 1024 scanning format with digital format with line avering without digital zoom, or 1024×1024 scanning format with digital 409 zoom in the range of 1.0-2.0 with line averaging. Quantification of endosome positioning was
410 performed as previously described with minor alterations (Jongsma et al., 2016; Sapmaz et ⁴¹⁰performed as previously described with minor alterations (Jongsma et al., 2016; Sapmaz et 411 al., 2019). In short, fluorescence intensities (above automated background threshold) were
412 measured along a straight line ROI (regions of interest) drawn from the border of a cell's 412 measured along a straight line ROI (regions of interest) drawn from the border of a cell's
413 nucleus (fractional distance = 0) to the plasma membrane (fractional distance = 1.0) using the quared 413 nucleus (fractional distance $= 0$) to the plasma membrane (fractional distance $= 1.0$) using the 414 line profile tool in the LAS-AF software, and their absolute distance to the border of the line profile tool in the LAS-AF software, and their absolute distance to the border of the nucleus was expressed relative to the total length of the line. Fractional distances are reported
416 in scatter plots along with the median distance value (red line) within the sample and the total in scatter plots along with the median distance value (red line) within the sample and the total 417 number of cells analysed.

418

Live microscopy: For live microscopy, cells were seeded in 4-chamber live cell dishes and
420 imaged under conditions of 37^oC and 5% CO2 with a Leica SP8 WLL confocal microscope imaged under conditions of 37° C and 5% CO2 with a Leica SP8 WLL confocal microscope.
421 Data was collected using 63x oil immersion objectives and 1.5x magnification in a 1024 x Data was collected using $63x$ oil immersion objectives and $1.5x$ magnification in a $1024 x$ 422 1024 scanning format at 0.58 frames/sec with line averaging. Tracking of lysotracker-
423 positive vesicles was performed using TrackMate for FiJi. FiJi was also used for post-423 positive vesicles was performed using TrackMate for FiJi. FiJi was also used for post-424 collection image processing.
425

⁴²⁶**Co-immunoprecipitations:** HEK293T cells were lysed in 1% DMNG buffer (150mM NaCl, 50mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 5mM MgCl₂, 1% DMNG, protease inhibitors (Roche diagnostics, 428 EDTA free) for 90 min, rotating at 4° C. After 15 min 20,000x *g* centrifugation, post-nuclear 429 lysates were incubated with GFP-TRAP beads (Chromotek) and rotated for 90 min at $4\Box$ C 430 before subsequent immunoisolation with RFP-TRAP beads to acquire input samples. Beads

431 were washed four times in 0.2% DMNG lysis buffer. Samples were boiled for 10 min in 432 2x Laemmli buffer prior to analyses by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

433

⁴³⁴**Ubiquitination assays**: HEK293 T cells were lysed in 0.5% TX-100 lysis buffer (150mM 435 NaCl, 50mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 5mM $MgCl₂$, 0.5% (v/v) TX-100, 20mM NEM, protease 436 inhibitors (Roche diagnostics, EDTA free)). Nuclei were lysed by adding 1:4 SDS buffer (2% ⁴³⁷SDS, EDTA) and samples were sonicated (5x1s pulses, 80% power, Fisher Scientific). 438 Samples were diluted to 0.2% SDS with TX-100 lysis buffer and centrifuged for 20 min at 439 $\,$ 20.000 x g. After spinning, samples were incubated with GFP-TRAP beads (Chromotek) for 20,000 x *g*. After spinning, samples were incubated with GFP-TRAP beads (Chromotek) for
440 3 hrs at 4^oC. Beads were washed 3 times with 8M Urea and 1% SDS in PBS, and 1 time with 3hrs at 4^oC. Beads were washed 3 times with 8M Urea and 1% SDS in PBS, and 1 time with
441 1% SDS in PBS before elution in 2x Laemmli sample buffer by boiling for 10 min. 1% SDS in PBS before elution in 2x Laemmli sample buffer by boiling for 10 min.

442

⁴⁴³**SDS-PAGE and Western blotting:** Samples were separated by 8% (ubiquitination assays) 444 or 10/12% (regular lysates, CoIPs) SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose or PVDF
445 membranes in ethanol-containing transfer buffer at 300mA for 2-3 h. The membranes were 445 membranes in ethanol-containing transfer buffer at 300mA for 2-3 h. The membranes were
446 blocked with 5% milk/PBS before incubation with primary antibody diluted in blocking 446 blocked with 5% milk/PBS before incubation with primary antibody diluted in blocking
447 buffer for 1hr at RT. After washing twice with PBS/0.1% Tween-20, proteins were detected buffer for 1hr at RT. After washing twice with PBS/0.1% Tween-20, proteins were detected 448 with secondary antibodies. Depending on the secondary antibody, detections were performed 449 by incubation with ECL reagent (SuperSignal West Dura Extended Duration, GE Healthcare) 450 or directly imaged with an Odyssey Fx laser scanning fluorescence imager.

452 **Flow cytometry:** For detection of cell surface EGFR, cells were trypsinized and suspended
453 in FACS buffer (2% FCS in PBS) and stained with PE-conjugated anti-EGFR for 30 min on 453 in FACS buffer (2% FCS in PBS) and stained with PE-conjugated anti-EGFR for 30 min on
454 ice. After two washes with ice-cold FACS buffer, cells were fixed in FACS buffer containing ice. After two washes with ice-cold FACS buffer, cells were fixed in FACS buffer containing 455 0.1% PFA until analysis by a FACS Calibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences).
456

⁴⁵⁷**Acknowledgements**

- This work was supported by an ERC Advanced Grant ERCOPE to JN.
-

460 **Author Contributions**
461 Conceptualization T.C. at

- 461 Conceptualization, T.C. and I.B.; Methodology, T.C. and I.B.; Formal Analysis: T.C.; Investigation,
462 T.C. and M.J.: Writing Original Draft. TC and J.N.: Writing Review & Editing. I.B. and J.N.:
- 462 T.C. and M.J.; Writing Original Draft, TC and J.N.; Writing Review & Editing, I.B. and J.N.;
463 Visualization: T.C.; Funding Acquisition, I.B. and J.N.; Supervision, I.B. and J.N.
- 463 Visualization: T.C.; Funding Acquisition, I.B. and J.N.; Supervision, I.B. and J.N.
464

- 493 Eden ER, Sanchez-Heras E, Tsapara A, Sobota A, Levine TP, Futter CE (2016) Annexin A1
- ⁴⁹⁴Tethers Membrane Contact Sites that Mediate ER to Endosome Cholesterol Transport.
- ⁴⁹⁵*Developmental cell* 37: 473-83
- 496 Eden ER, White IJ, Tsapara A, Futter CE (2010) Membrane contacts between endosomes and
- ⁴⁹⁷ER provide sites for PTP1B-epidermal growth factor receptor interaction. *Nat Cell Biol* 12:
- 498 267-72
- 499 Elangovan M, Chong HK, Park JH, Yeo EJ, Yoo YJ (2017) The role of ubiquitin-conjugating
500 enzyme Ube2i1 phosphorvlation and its degradation by proteasome during endoplasmic
- 500 enzyme Ube2j1 phosphorylation and its degradation by proteasome during endoplasmic
501 stress recovery. *J Cell Commun Signal* 11: 265-273
- stress recovery. *J Cell Commun Signal* 11: 265-273
- 502 Feng T, Deng L, Lu X, Pan W, Wu Q, Dai J (2018) Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme UBE2J1
503 negatively modulates interferon pathway and promotes RNA virus infection. *Virol J* 15: 132
- ⁵⁰³negatively modulates interferon pathway and promotes RNA virus infection. *Virol J* 15: 132
- 504 Friedman JR DJ, West M, Rowland AA, Voeltz GK (2013) Endoplasmic reticulum-
505 endosome contact increases as endosomes traffic and mature. Molecular cell
- ⁵⁰⁵endosome contact increases as endosomes traffic and mature. *Molecular cell*
- 506 Gundogdu M, Walden H (2019) Structural basis of generic versus specific E2-RING E3
- 507 interactions in protein ubiquitination. *Protein Sci* 28: 1758-1770
- 508 Hagiwara M, Ling J, Koenig PA, Ploegh HL (2016) Posttranscriptional Regulation of
509 Glycoprotein Ouality Control in the Endoplasmic Reticulum Is Controlled by the E2 U
- 509 Glycoprotein Quality Control in the Endoplasmic Reticulum Is Controlled by the E2 Ub-
- 510 Conjugating Enzyme UBC6e. *Molecular cell* 63: 753-67
- 511 Hoyer MJ, Chitwood PJ, Ebmeier CC, Striepen JF, Qi RZ, Old WM, Voeltz GK (2018) A
512 Novel Class of ER Membrane Proteins Regulates ER-Associated Endosome Fission. Cell
- ⁵¹²Novel Class of ER Membrane Proteins Regulates ER-Associated Endosome Fission. *Cell*
- 513 175: 254-265.e14
- ⁵¹⁴Huotari J, Helenius A (2011) Endosome maturation. *The EMBO journal* 30: 3481-500
- 515 Jewett CE, Prekeris R (2018) Insane in the apical membrane: Trafficking events mediating
- 516 apicobasal epithelial polarity during tube morphogenesis. *Traffic*
- 517 Jia R, Bonifacino JS (2019) Lysosome Positioning Influences mTORC2 and AKT Signaling.
- ⁵¹⁸*Molecular cell* 75: 26-38.e3
- 519 Johnson DE, Ostrowski P, Jaumouille V, Grinstein S (2016) The position of lysosomes
- 520 within the cell determines their luminal pH. *The Journal of cell biology* 212: 677-92
- 521 Jongsma ML, Berlin I, Wijdeven RH, Janssen L, Janssen GM, Garstka MA, Janssen H,
- ⁵²²Mensink M, van Veelen PA, Spaapen RM, Neefjes J (2016) An ER-Associated Pathway
- ⁵²³Defines Endosomal Architecture for Controlled Cargo Transport. *Cell* 166: 152-66
- 524 Khaminets A, Behl C, Dikic I (2016) Ubiquitin-Dependent And Independent Signals In
525 Selective Autophagy. Trends Cell Biol 26: 6-16
- ⁵²⁵Selective Autophagy. *Trends Cell Biol* 26: 6-16
- 526 Koenig PA, Nicholls PK, Schmidt FI, Hagiwara M, Maruyama T, Frydman GH, Watson N, 527 Page DC. Ploegh HL (2014) The E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme UBE2J1 is required for
- Page DC, Ploegh HL (2014) The E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme UBE2J1 is required for
- ⁵²⁸spermiogenesis in mice. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 289: 34490-502
- 529 Korolchuk VI, Saiki S, Lichtenberg M, Siddiqi FH, Roberts EA, Imarisio S, Jahreiss L,
530 Sarkar S, Futter M, Menzies FM, O'Kane CJ, Deretic V, Rubinsztein DC (2011) Lysosc
- 530 Sarkar S, Futter M, Menzies FM, O'Kane CJ, Deretic V, Rubinsztein DC (2011) Lysosomal
531 positioning coordinates cellular nutrient responses. Nature cell biology 13: 453-60
- ⁵³¹positioning coordinates cellular nutrient responses. *Nature cell biology* 13: 453-60
- ⁵³²Kwon YT, Ciechanover A (2017) The Ubiquitin Code in the Ubiquitin-Proteasome System
- 533 and Autophagy. *Trends Biochem Sci* 42: 873-886
- 534 Lamark T, Svenning S, Johansen T (2017) Regulation of selective autophagy: the
- ⁵³⁵p62/SQSTM1 paradigm. *Essays Biochem* 61: 609-624
- 536 Lenk U, Yu H, Walter J, Gelman MS, Hartmann E, Kopito RR, Sommer T (2002) A role for
- ⁵³⁷mammalian Ubc6 homologues in ER-associated protein degradation. *J Cell Sci* 115: 3007-14
- ⁵³⁸Levin-Konigsberg R, Montano-Rendon F, Keren-Kaplan T, Li R, Ego B, Mylvaganam S,
- 539 DiCiccio JE, Trimble WS, Bassik MC, Bonifacino JS, Fairn GD, Grinstein S (2019)
- 540 Phagolysosome resolution requires contacts with the endoplasmic reticulum and
541 phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate signalling. Nature cell biology
- ⁵⁴¹phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate signalling. *Nature cell biology*
- 542 Ma H, Dang Y, Wu Y, Jia G, Anaya E, Zhang J, Abraham S, Choi JG, Shi G, Qi L,
543 Manjunath N, Wu H (2015) A CRISPR-Based Screen Identifies Genes Essential for
- Manjunath N, Wu H (2015) A CRISPR-Based Screen Identifies Genes Essential for West-
- 544 Nile-Virus-Induced Cell Death. *Cell Rep* 12: 673-83
- 545 Maas SLN, Breakefield XO, Weaver AM (2017) Extracellular Vesicles: Unique Intercellular
- 546 Delivery Vehicles. *Trends Cell Biol* 27: 172-188

- 547 Malinova TS, Huveneers S (2018) Sensing of Cytoskeletal Forces by Asymmetric Adherens
- ⁵⁴⁸Junctions. *Trends Cell Biol* 28: 328-341
- ⁵⁴⁹McCann AP, Scott CJ, Van Schaeybroeck S, Burrows JF (2016) Deubiquitylating enzymes in
- ⁵⁵⁰receptor endocytosis and trafficking. *The Biochemical journal* 473: 4507-4525
- 551 Neefjes J, Jongsma MML, Berlin I (2017) Stop or Go? Endosome Positioning in the
552 Establishment of Compartment Architecture. Dynamics. and Function. Trends Cell E
- ⁵⁵²Establishment of Compartment Architecture, Dynamics, and Function. *Trends Cell Biol* 27:
- ⁵⁵³580-594
- 554 Paul NR, Jacquemet G, Caswell PT (2015) Endocytic Trafficking of Integrins in Cell
555 Migration. Current biology: CB 25: R1092-105
- Migration. *Current biology* : CB 25: R1092-105
- 556 Phillips MJ, Voeltz GK (2016) Structure and function of ER membrane contact sites with
- 557 other organelles. *Nature reviews Molecular cell biology* 17: 69-82
- ⁵⁵⁸Pickart CM (2001) Mechanisms underlying ubiquitination. *Annu Rev Biochem* 70: 503-33
- 559 Polo S (2012) Signaling-mediated control of ubiquitin ligases in endocytosis. *BMC Biol* 10: 560 25
- 561 Qin Y, Zhou MT, Hu MM, Hu YH, Zhang J, Guo L, Zhong B, Shu HB (2014) RNF26
562 temporally regulates virus-triggered type I interferon induction by two distinct mechani
- temporally regulates virus-triggered type I interferon induction by two distinct mechanisms.
- ⁵⁶³*PLoS pathogens* 10: e1004358
- 564 Raiborg C, Stenmark H (2009) The ESCRT machinery in endosomal sorting of ubiquitylated
- 565 membrane proteins. *Nature* 458: 445-52
- 566 Raiborg C, Wenzel EM, Pedersen NM, Olsvik H, Schink KO, Schultz SW, Vietri M, Nisi V,
- 567 Bucci C, Brech A, Johansen T, Stenmark H (2015a) Repeated ER-endosome contacts
- 568 promote endosome translocation and neurite outgrowth. *Nature* 520: 234-8
- 569 Raiborg C, Wenzel EM, Stenmark H (2015b) ER-endosome contact sites: molecular
- 570 compositions and functions. *The EMBO journal* 34: 1848-58
- 571 Rocha N, Kuijl C, van der Kant R, Janssen L, Houben D, Janssen H, Zwart W, Neefjes J
- ⁵⁷²(2009a) Cholesterol sensor ORP1L contacts the ER protein VAP to control Rab7-RILP-p150
- ⁵⁷³Glued and late endosome positioning. *J Cell Biol* 185: 1209-25

- 574 Rocha N, Kuijl C, van der Kant R, Janssen L, Houben D, Janssen H, Zwart W, Neefjes J
- ⁵⁷⁵(2009b) Cholesterol sensor ORP1L contacts the ER protein VAP to control Rab7–RILP–
- ⁵⁷⁶p150Gluedand late endosome positioning. *The Journal of cell biology* 185: 1209-1225
- ⁵⁷⁷Rowland AA, Chitwood PJ, Phillips MJ, Voeltz GK (2014) ER contact sites define the
- 578 position and timing of endosome fission. *Cell* 159: 1027-41
- 579 Sapmaz A, Berlin I, Bos E, Wijdeven RH, Janssen H, Konietzny R, Akkermans JJ, Erson-
580 Bensan AE, Koning RI, Kessler BM, Neefies J, Ovaa H (2019) USP32 regulates late
- ⁵⁸⁰Bensan AE, Koning RI, Kessler BM, Neefjes J, Ovaa H (2019) USP32 regulates late
- 581 endosomal transport and recycling through deubiquitylation of Rab7. *Nature communications*
582 10:1454
- 10: 1454
- 583 Stewart MD, Ritterhoff T, Klevit RE, Brzovic PS (2016) E2 enzymes: more than just middle
584 men. *Cell Res* 26: 423-40
- ⁵⁸⁴men. *Cell Res* 26: 423-40
- 585 Taguchi T, Mukai K (2019) Innate immunity signalling and membrane trafficking. *Curr Opin* **Cell Biol 59:** 1-7 ⁵⁸⁶*Cell Biol* 59: 1-7
- 587 Tiwari S, Weissman AM (2001) Endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-associated degradation of T
- 588 cell receptor subunits. Involvement of ER-associated ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes (E2s).
589 The Journal of biological chemistry 276: 16193-200
- ⁵⁸⁹*The Journal of biological chemistry* 276: 16193-200
- 590 van der Kant R, Fish A, Janssen L, Janssen H, Krom S, Ho N, Brummelkamp T, Carette J,
591 Rocha N, Neefies J (2013) Late endosomal transport and tethering are coupled processes
- Rocha N, Neefjes J (2013) Late endosomal transport and tethering are coupled processes
- 592 controlled by RILP and the cholesterol sensor ORP1L. *J Cell Sci* 126: 3462-74
- ⁵⁹³Wang X, Herr RA, Rabelink M, Hoeben RC, Wiertz EJ, Hansen TH (2009) Ube2j2
- ⁵⁹⁴ubiquitinates hydroxylated amino acids on ER-associated degradation substrates. *The Journal*
- ⁵⁹⁵*of cell biology* 187: 655-68
- ⁵⁹⁶Weber A, Cohen I, Popp O, Dittmar G, Reiss Y, Sommer T, Ravid T, Jarosch E (2016)
- ⁵⁹⁷Sequential Poly-ubiquitylation by Specialized Conjugating Enzymes Expands the Versatility
- 598 of a Quality Control Ubiquitin Ligase. *Molecular cell* 63: 827-39
- 599 Wijdeven RH, Janssen H, Nahidiazar L, Janssen L, Jalink K, Berlin I, Neefjes J (2016)
600 Cholesterol and ORP1L-mediated ER contact sites control autophagosome transport and
- ⁶⁰⁰Cholesterol and ORP1L-mediated ER contact sites control autophagosome transport and
- ⁶⁰¹fusion with the endocytic pathway. *Nature communications* 7: 11808

- 602 Wong LH, Gatta AT, Levine TP (2019) Lipid transfer proteins: the lipid commute via
603 shuttles, bridges and tubes. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology 20: 85-101
- ⁶⁰³shuttles, bridges and tubes. *Nature reviews Molecular cell biology* 20: 85-101
- ⁶⁰⁴Yang M. EJ, Bonifacino JS., Weissman AM. (1997) The TMD of a C-terminal anchored
- ⁶⁰⁵protein determines localization to the ER. *272*³
- ⁶⁰⁶Zheng N, Shabek N (2017) Ubiquitin Ligases: Structure, Function, and Regulation. *Annu Rev*
- ⁶⁰⁷*Biochem* 86: 129-157
- 608 Zickermann V, Angerer H, Ding MG, Nubel E, Brandt U (2010) Small single transmembrane
609 domain (STMD) proteins organize the hydrophobic subunits of large membrane protein
- 609 domain (STMD) proteins organize the hydrophobic subunits of large membrane protein
610 complexes. *FEBS Lett* 584: 2516-25
- ⁶¹⁰complexes. *FEBS Lett* 584: 2516-25
- 611

⁶¹³**Figures and Legends**

617 A) Distribution of endosomes and lysosomes in response to depletion of UBE2J1.
618 Representative confocal z-projections of fixed MelJuSo cells transfected with siRNAs 618 Representative confocal z-projections of fixed MelJuSo cells transfected with siRNAs
619 targeting UBE2J1 (siRNA#1), UBE2J2 or RNF26 and immunostained against markers for 619 targeting UBE2J1 (siRNA#1), UBE2J2 or RNF26 and immunostained against markers for
620 lysosomes (LAMP1), early endosomes (EEA1), or late endosomes (CD63) are shown. lysosomes (LAMP1), early endosomes (EEA1), or late endosomes (CD63) are shown.

⁶²¹**B)** Vesicle localization expressed as fractional distance of fluorescent pixels along a straight ⁶²²line drawn through the endosomal cloud from the nuclear edge (0) to the plasma membrane 623 (1.0) analyzed from samples in (1A) and (S1B). UBE2J2 depletion inversely affected TfR
624 and M6PR distribution (** and ***, respectively). Red line: mean, n=2 independent and M6PR distribution (** and ***, respectively). Red line: mean, $n=2$ independent 625 experiments.

⁶²⁶**C)** Organization and dynamics of late compartments as a function of UBE2J1 depletion. Left 627 panels: representative confocal images of live MelJuSo cells transfected with either control 628 siRNA oligo (siControl) or oligo #1 targeting UBE2J1 and treated with LysoTracker FarRed
629 taken at the start of time-lapse, t=0. Original movie is supplemented online. Right panels: 629 taken at the start of time-lapse, $t=0$. Original movie is supplemented online. Right panels:
630 vesicle displacement rates depicted on a rainbow color-scale (blue: immobile: red: maximum 630 vesicle displacement rates depicted on a rainbow color-scale (blue: immobile; red: maximum
631 mobility per time interval) tracked over 171 s at 1.62s per frame using the mobility per time interval) tracked over 171 s at 1.62 s per frame using the 632 TrackMate plugin for Fiji. Cell and nuclear boundaries are depicted using dashed or
633 continuous lines. respectively: boxed zoom-ins highlight select perinuclear (PN) and continuous lines, respectively; boxed zoom-ins highlight select perinuclear (PN) and 634 peripheral (PP) regions.
635 **D-E**) Quantification of

D-E) Quantification of vesicle motility in control MelJuSo cells (siC) or those depleted of 636 either UBE2J1 (si2J1-1) or RNF26 (siR26). Bar graph reports mean percentage of low
637 velocity tracks (as defined in S1G) per cell (D) or total track duration (E). n=2 independent velocity tracks (as defined in S1G) per cell (D) or total track duration (E). $n=2$ independent 638 experiments. Shown is mean+SD.

⁶⁴⁰Cell and nuclear boundaries are demarcated using dashed and continuous lines, 641 respectively. Magnification identical for all images. Number of cells analyzed per condition 642 is given under each bar/scatter. Scale bars = 10µm. All statistical significance tested with
643 Students' T-test ** = $n < 0.01 \cdot$ *** = $n < 0.001 \cdot$ **** = $n < 0.0001$ 643 Students' T-test. ** = p<0,01; *** = p<0,001; **** = p<0.0001.
644

⁶⁴⁵**Supplemental movie 1**

Time lapse of LysoTracker-positive vesicle movement in control vs UBE2J1 depleted

- 647 MelJuSo cells. Cells were transfected with siRNAs three days before imaging at 1.62 seconds 648 per frame for 105 frames.
-
-

- ⁶⁵¹**Fig. S1: Screen for E2 enzymes affecting the architecture of the endolysosomal system**
- ⁶⁵²**and validation of UBE2J1 loss of function phenotype. Related to Fig. 1.**
-

654
655 655 A) Distribution of late endosomal compartments in response to siRNA-mediated depletion of
656 the indicated E2 enzymes or RNF26 (positive control). Representative confocal images of the indicated E2 enzymes or RNF26 (positive control). Representative confocal images of ⁶⁵⁷MelJuSo cells transfected as indicated and stained against late endosomal MHC class II cargo

⁶⁵⁸(HLA-DR, green) and nuclear DAPI (blue). Bar graph reports vesicle distribution quantified

⁶⁵⁹by categorizing cells as harboring a clustered (white), dispersed (light gray), or ''tip'' (dark

660 gray) phenotype, 20-50 cells analyzed per condition. Scale bar = 20μ m; same magnification for all subfigures.

for all subfigures.

⁶⁶²**B)** Western blot analysis of UBE2J1 knockdown efficiency in MelJuSo cells transfected with

663 three different siRNAs. Endogenous UBE2J1 levels are shown with actin as loading control.

664 35kD molecular weight marker indicated.
665 C) Distribution of recveling endosomes (

665 C) Distribution of recycling endosomes (TfR^+) , TGN vesicles $(M6PR^+)$ and MelJuSo cells

666 depleted of RNF26, UBE2J1 and UBE2J2 as assayed by confocal microscopy. Maximum Z-
667 projections are shown with cell boundaries depicted in dashed lines and nucleus (deduced

667 projections are shown with cell boundaries depicted in dashed lines and nucleus (deduced from DAPI stain) with continuous line.

from DAPI stain) with continuous line.

D) Distribution of late endosomes $(CD63⁺)$ in MelJuSo cells in response to depletion of 670 UBE2J1 with two other siRNAs. Representative maximal projection confocal images of UBE2J1 with two other siRNAs. Representative maximal projection confocal images of 671 MelJuSo cells transfected as indicated and stained against CD63.
672 E) Vesicle localization expressed as fractional distance of fluore

⁶⁷²**E)** Vesicle localization expressed as fractional distance of fluorescent pixels along a straight 673 line drawn through the endosomal cloud from the nuclear edge (0) to the plasma membrane (1.0) analyzed from samples in (S1D). Red line: mean, n=2 independent experiments.

 (1.0) analyzed from samples in $(S1D)$. Red line: mean, n=2 independent experiments.

⁶⁷⁵**F)** Western blot analysis of UBE2J2 knockdown efficiency in HeLa cells overexpressing

⁶⁷⁶GFP-UBE2J2. Shown are overexpressed GFP-UBE2J2 levels and endogenous UBE2J1 levels

677 with actin levels as loading control after transfection with pooled siRNAs targeting UBE2J2.

678 Position marker proteins indicated.

679 G) Total track velocity distribution expressed as histogram of pooled samples from (1E) in
680 control cells versus those depleted of either UBE2I1 or RNF26 Red line: cutoff to define 680 control cells versus those depleted of either UBE2J1 or RNF26. Red line: cutoff to define
681 \degree low velocity tracks' in (1D). ⁶⁸¹''low velocity tracks'' in (1D).

682 **H**) Quantification of vesicle displacement in control MelJuSo cells (siC) or those depleted of 683 either UBE2J1 (si2J1-1) or RNF26 (siR26). n=2 independent experiments. Shown is either UBE2J1 (si2J1-1) or RNF26 (siR26). n=2 independent experiments. Shown is 684 mean+SD.
685 Scale bars

Scale bars $= 10 \mu m$. Magnification identical for all images. Cell and nuclear boundaries are ⁶⁸⁶demarcated using dashed and continuous lines, respectively. Number of cells analyzed per 687 condition is given above each bar/scatter. All statistical significance tested with Students' T-688 test. **** $p<0.0001$.

-
- ⁶⁹⁴**A)** Localization of UBE2J1 in the ER. Representative confocal image of MelJuSo cells 695 expressing GFP-UBE2J1, immunostained against VAP-A (ER marker). Rectangular zoom-
696 ins highlight select perinuclear (PN) and peripheral (PP) regions.
- 696 ins highlight select perinuclear (PN) and peripheral (PP) regions.
697 **B**) Colocalization (Manders' overlap) between either GFP-UBE2
- 697 **B**) Colocalization (Manders' overlap) between either GFP-UBE2J1 WT, -TMD or -UBE2J2 and ER marker VAP-A in single transfected cells (EV) or in the presence of RFP-
- 698 and ER marker VAP-A in single transfected cells (EV) or in the presence of RFP-
699 RNF26 WT, inactive mutant I382R or \triangle RING truncation mutant in MelJuSo cells
- 699 RNF26 WT, inactive mutant I382R or \triangle RING truncation mutant in MelJuSo cells, as indicated. Shown is mean + SD.
- indicated. Shown is mean $+$ SD.

- ⁷⁰²-UBE2J2 and RFP-RNF26 WT, -IR or -ΔRING truncation mutant in MelJuSo cells, as
- 703 indicated. Shown is mean + SD.
704 D) Localization of UBE2J1 as a
- **D**) Localization of UBE2J1 as a function of RNF26. Representative single plane confocal
- 705 images of MelJuSo cells co-expressing full length GFP-UBE2J1, its transmembrane domain
706 (TMD), or a mutant lacking the TMD ($\triangle TMD$) with either full length RFP-RNF26 or its
- 706 (TMD), or a mutant lacking the TMD ($ΔTMD$) with either full length RFP-RNF26 or its
707 mutant lacking the RING domain ($ΔRING$). Immunostaining against VAP-A was used as
- 707 mutant lacking the RING domain (ΔRING). Immunostaining against VAP-A was used as an
708 ER marker. Overlavs of either UBE2J1 and VAP-A or RNF26 are shown and schematic
- 708 ER marker. Overlays of either UBE2J1 and VAP-A or RNF26 are shown and schematic
709 representations of the used constructs are depicted on the right. Rectangular zoom-ins
- 709 representations of the used constructs are depicted on the right. Rectangular zoom-ins
710 highlight select regions of indicated channels.
- 710 highlight select regions of indicated channels.
711
-
- 712 Scale bar = 10µm. Zoom scale bar = 1µm. Magnification identical for all images. ImageJ
713 mean filter (1 pxl) was used to smoothen images. Cell and nuclear boundaries are
- mean filter (1 pxl) was used to smoothen images. Cell and nuclear boundaries are
- 714 demarcated using dashed and continuous lines, respectively. Number of cells analyzed per
715 condition is given under each sample group. All statistical significance tested with Students
- 715 condition is given under each sample group. All statistical significance tested with Students'
716 T-test. *** $p < 0.001$: **** $p < 0.0001$.
- 716 T-test. *** p<0.001; **** p<0.0001.
717
- 717

718

⁷¹⁹**Fig. 3: Interaction between RNF26 and UBE2J1 requires their TMDs.**

720

721

⁷²²**A)** Interaction of overexpressed RFP-RNF26, IR mutant, or ΔRING mutant with endogenous

indicated.

⁷²⁵**B)** Schematic representation of the UBE2J1 and RNF26 constructs used in these interaction

studies.

C) Interactions between RNF26, its inactive mutant -I382R (IR), -ΔRING, -RING, or -
728 ΔTMD truncations mutant versus UBE2J1 WT, -TMD, or -ΔTMD as indicated, assayed

728 ΔTMD truncations mutant versus UBE2J1 WT, -TMD, or -ΔTMD as indicated, assayed by

- 729 co-IP in HEK293 cells. For WT and IR sample groups, four times as much sample was
730 loaded and enhanced signal images are also shown (LE). For input samples in RNF26 V
- loaded and enhanced signal images are also shown (LE). For input samples in RNF26 WT, -
- T31 IR and -ΔRING sample groups, post-IP lysate was subjected to a secondary IP with an excess
732 of RFP-TRAP beads, while post-nuclear lysates were used to depict input levels in RNF26
- of RFP-TRAP beads, while post-nuclear lysates were used to depict input levels in RNF26
- ⁷³³RING or -ΔTMD sample groups. A representative result from duplicate experiments. Position

⁷²³ UBE2J1 as assayed by CoIP. Cell lysates were used as input control. Position marker proteins
724 indicated.

- 734 marker proteins indicated.
- 735
- ⁷³⁶**Fig. S2: Localization of UBE2J1 TMD in the ER membrane and interaction and**
-

-
- 738

741 of MelJuSo cell expressing GFP-UBE2J1, with the ER labelled by VAP-A. Rectangular
742 zoom-ins highlight select regions of indicated channels.

IP: GFP

WB: GFP

IP: RFP WB: RFP

742 zoom-ins highlight select regions of indicated channels.
743 **B**) Localization of GFP-UBE2J2 in MelJuSo cells with

35

 $2ⁱ$

55

input

- 743 **B)** Localization of GFP-UBE2J2 in MelJuSo cells with or without co-expression of RFP-
- 744 RNF26. VAP-A staining indicate position of ER. Overlays of either UBE2J2 and VAP-A or
745 RNF26 are shown. Rectangular zoom-ins highlight select regions of indicated channels.
- RNF26 are shown. Rectangular zoom-ins highlight select regions of indicated channels.
- 746 C) Interactions between RFP-RNF26 or its inactive I382R (IR) mutant and GFP-UBE2J1 or -
747 UBE2J2, as assayed by co-IP. For input samples, post-IP lysate was subjected to a
- UBE2J2, as assayed by co-IP. For input samples, post-IP lysate was subjected to a

- 748 second IP with an excess of RFP-TRAP beads. Position of the marker proteins indicated.
- 749 Representative gel out of three independent experiments.
- 750
- 751 Scale bars = 10 μ m. Zoom scale bars = 1 μ m. Cell and nuclear boundaries are
752 demarcated using dashed and continuous lines, respectively. Magnification is
- 752 demarcated using dashed and continuous lines, respectively. Magnification identical for all
753 images.
- images.
-

⁷⁵⁵**Fig. 4: UBE2J1 activity is required for RNF26 function.**

- 756
757
- 757 **A**) Late endosome distribution in WT HeLa cells or two UBE2J1 KO clones. Representative maximum projection confocal images of CD63 immunostaining is shown.
- maximum projection confocal images of CD63 immunostaining is shown.
- 759 **B**) WB validation of UBE2J1 KO in two clonal cell lines created by CRISPR-Cas9 vector
760 transfection and limiting dilution. Actin was used as loading control. Position protein mark
- 760 transfection and limiting dilution. Actin was used as loading control. Position protein marker
761 indicated.
- indicated.

⁷⁶²**C)** Vesicle localization depicted as fractional distance as in Figure 1C of samples in (A) and ⁷⁶³(C). Results from two independent experiments, significance was tested versus WT sample. 764 **D**) Late endosome distribution in HeLa UBE2J1 KO cells expressing either GFP-UBE2J1
765 WT or inactive -CS. Maximum confocal Z-projections of cells immunostained against CD WT or inactive -CS. Maximum confocal Z-projections of cells immunostained against CD63. ⁷⁶⁶**E)** Colocalization (Manders' overlap) between Ubiquitin and HA-RNF26, sampled from (E), $n=3$ independent experiments. ⁷⁶⁸**F)** Ubiquitin recruitment to RNF26/UBE2J1-positive structures as a function of UBE2J1 769 activity. Representative confocal images of HeLa cells expressing HA-RNF26 and either
770 GFP control. GFP-UBE2J1 WT or -CS (green). Cells were immunostained for HA (red) 770 GFP control, GFP-UBE2J1 WT or -CS (green). Cells were immunostained for HA (red) and
771 Ubiquitin (blue). Representative single focal plane fluorescence overlavs and single channel 771 Ubiquitin (blue). Representative single focal plane fluorescence overlays and single channel
772 zooms are shown in black-white and a colored merge panel. zooms are shown in black-white and a colored merge panel. 773 **G**) Ubiquitylation of SQSTM1 as a function of RNF26, UBE2J1 or UBE2J2 activity. GFP-
774 SOSTM1 (green) was immunoprecipitated from cells co-expressing HA-Ubiquitin (red) and SQSTM1 (green) was immunoprecipitated from cells co-expressing HA-Ubiquitin (red) and 775 either RFP-RNF26 versus mutant IR, RFP-UBE2J1 versus mutants CS, MSD2TMD,
776 2J2TMD or RFP-UBE2J2 versus mutant CS or vector control (EV). Input shows total ⁷⁷⁶2J2TMD or RFP-UBE2J2 versus mutant CS or vector control (EV). Input shows total cell 177 lysate. Triangles indicate where lanes were excised from the original scan. GFP-SQSTM1
178 signal was adjusted for comparison. Position of molecular weight standards indicated. signal was adjusted for comparison. Position of molecular weight standards indicated.

779 Relative amounts of HA-Ub conjugated to GFP-SQSTM1 in each condition were quantified
780 and normalized to EV.

and normalized to EV.

- 781
- 782 Scale bars = 10um. Zoom scale bar = 1um. Magnification identical for all images. Cell and
-
- 783 nuclear boundaries are demarcated using dashed and continuous lines, respectively. Number
784 of cells analyzed per condition is given under each sample group. All statistical significance 784 of cells analyzed per condition is given under each sample group. All statistical significance
785 tested with Students' T-test. * $p < 0.05$: ** $p < 0.01$: *** $p < 0.001$: **** $p < 0.0001$. Shown is
- tested with Students' T-test. * p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001; **** p<0.0001. Shown is
- 786 mean + SD from triplicate experiments.
787
-
-
-

790 **Fig. S3: Correct localization of the UBE2J1 TMD mutants in the ER. Related to Fig. 4.** $\frac{200m}{\text{F}}$

791

792 Localization of RFP-UBE2J1 mutants 2J2TMD and MSD2TMD (from Fig. 4G) in the
793 ER. Cells were immunostained from the ER marker VAP-A. Single focal plane images

- 793 ER. Cells were immunostained from the ER marker VAP-A. Single focal plane images are
794 shown. Scale bar = 10um. Zoom scale bar = 1um. Magnification identical for all images.
- shown. Scale bar = 10μ m. Zoom scale bar = 1 μ m. Magnification identical for all images.
- 795

⁷⁹⁶**Fig. 5: UBE2J1 catalytic activity is required for vesicle recruitment to RNF26.**

- 797 **A-B**) Tollip (A) or EPS15 (B) recruitment to RNF26 positive structures as a function of 798 UBE2J1 activity. Confocal microscopy images of HeLa cells overexpressing RFP-TOLI
- UBE2J1 activity. Confocal microscopy images of HeLa cells overexpressing RFP-TOLLIP
- 799 (A), GFP-EPS15 (B), HA-RNF26 and WT or mutant (CS) GFP- (A) or Γ P- (B) UBE2J1.
800 Shown are the separate channels and merged images of UBE2J1 (green). RNF26 (red)
- Shown are the separate channels and merged images of UBE2J1 (green), RNF26 (red)
- 801 and TOLLIP/EPS15 (blue), and select zoom-ins.
- ⁸⁰²**C)** Quantification of overlap between HA-RNF26 and RFP-TOLLIP or GFP-EPS15 signal in
- 803 HeLa cells overexpressing either UBE2J1 WT or mutant UBE2J1 CS, or empty vector (EV)
804 control from (A) and (B).
- 804 control from (A) and (B) .
805
-
- 806 Scale bars = 10 μ m. Zoom scale bar = 1 μ m. Magnification identical for all images. ImageJ
807 mean filter (1 pxl) was used to smoothen images. Cell and nuclear boundaries are
- mean filter (1 pxl) was used to smoothen images. Cell and nuclear boundaries are
- 808 demarcated using dashed and continuous lines, respectively. Number of cells analyzed per
809 condition is given under each sample group. All statistical significance tested with Students
- condition is given under each sample group. All statistical significance tested with Students'
- 810 T-test. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; **** $p < 0.0001$
- 811

⁸¹²**Fig. 6: UBE2J1 is required for timely degradation and inactivation of EGFR.**

813
814 ⁸¹⁴**A)** Trafficking of ligand-stimulated EGFR towards late endosomes in the presence or absence

815 of UBE2J1. Representative confocal images show UBE2J1-1-depleted or control HeLa cells,

- 816 stimulated with Alexa555-labeled EGF (100 μ g/mL) for 15 or 120 min before fixation and
817 immunostaining against CD63. Shown are single focal plane images of EGF (green) and
- immunostaining against CD63. Shown are single focal plane images of EGF (green) and

⁸¹⁸CD63 (magenta) at the indicated time points, and zooms of select perinuclear and peripheral

819 areas.

- 820 **B**) Colocalization (Manders' overlap) of EGF-555 and CD63 in UBE2J1 silenced cells
821 (si2J1-1 and si2J1-2) versus control cells at different time points, sampled from (A. Res
- $(si2J1-1$ and $si2J1-2)$ versus control cells at different time points, sampled from $(A, Results)$
- 822 show mean $+$ SD of two independent experiments.
823 C) Total and activated EGFR levels as a function of
- ⁸²³**C)** Total and activated EGFR levels as a function of time in ligand-stimulated cells either or
- 824 not depleted for UBE2J1-2. Shown are scans of Western blots stained for total and activated
825 (pY) EGFR, as well as actin (loading control) levels at indicated time points following
- 825 (pY) EGFR, as well as actin (loading control) levels at indicated time points following
826 EGF stimulation in UBE2J1-2 silenced or control cells.
- 826 EGF stimulation in UBE2J1-2 silenced or control cells.
827 D) Ouantification of normalized amounts of total and ac
- 827 **D**) Quantification of normalized amounts of total and activated (pY) EGFR at 90 min. after
828 stimulation in (C). Quantification of total (EGFR, relative to t=0) and activated (pY, relative
- stimulation in (C) . Quantification of total (EGFR, relative to t=0) and activated (pY, relative
- 829 to full activation at t=15) EGFR levels at 90 minutes after EGF stimulation, normalized to actin levels. Shown is mean $+$ SD of three independent experiments.
- actin levels. Shown is mean $+$ SD of three independent experiments.
- 831 **E**) EGFR expression as determined by flow cytometry (GeoMean) in cells transfected with
832 siRNA or the control condition from (A). Shown is mean + SD of three independent
- $s\in RNA$ or the control condition from (A). Shown is mean $+$ SD of three independent
- 833 experiments.
834
- 834
- 835 Scale bar = 10 μ m. Zoom scale bar = 1 μ m. Magnification identical for all images. Cell and
836 nuclear boundaries are demarcated using dashed and continuous lines, respectively. Numbe
- nuclear boundaries are demarcated using dashed and continuous lines, respectively. Number
- 837 of cells analyzed per condition is given under each sample group. All statistical significance
- 838 tested with Students' T-test. ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; **** $p < 0.0001$

839

840

⁸⁴¹**Fig. 7: Model of the RNF26/UBE2J1 positioning complex in the ER membrane.**

842
843 843 The TMDs of RNF26 (dark grey) bind the single TMD of UBE2J1 (green) within the ER
844 membrane to mediate close proximity of the RNF26 RING domain to the ubiquitin (light 844 membrane to mediate close proximity of the RNF26 RING domain to the ubiquitin (light
845 grey)-loaded UBE2J1 UBC domain that are both extending from the ER membrane into the 845 grey)-loaded UBE2J1 UBC domain that are both extending from the ER membrane into the
846 cytosol. The activated UBE2J1/RNF26 complex ubiquitinates SQSTM1 (light blue) through cytosol. The activated UBE2J1/RNF26 complex ubiquitinates SQSTM1 (light blue) through 847 mono-/short-chain linkage(s), which in turn serves as a platform for the binding of vesicle
848 adaptors (dark blue) such as EPS15 or TOLLIP via their ubiquitin-binding domains. Hereb 848 adaptors (dark blue) such as EPS15 or TOLLIP via their ubiquitin-binding domains. Hereby,
849 vesicles bound by vesicle adaptors are recruited to the perinuclear endosomal cloud until 849 vesicles bound by vesicle adaptors are recruited to the perinuclear endosomal cloud until
850 release. release.