# Why and What and How of various emerging approaches IATA, NAMS, AOPS, QSARs

Peter Hoet

KU Leuven, Environment & Health

peter.hoet@kuleuven.be



• IATA Integrated assessment and testing approaches are flexible approaches for chemical safety assessment based on the integration and translation of the data derived from multiple methods and sources.

- IATA Integrated assessment and testing approaches are flexible approaches for chemical safety assessment based on the integration and translation of the data derived from multiple methods and sources.
- NAMs New approach methodologies

   a reference to any *non-animal-based* approaches that can be used to provide information in
   the context of chemical hazard and risk assessment.

  NAMs for e.g. *read-across*, screening and prioritisation.

- IATA Integrated assessment and testing approaches are flexible approaches for chemical safety assessment based on the integration and translation of the data derived from multiple methods and sources.
- NAMs New approach methodologies

   a reference to any *non-animal-based* approaches that can be used to provide information in
   the context of chemical hazard and risk assessment.

  NAMs for e.g. *read-across*, screening and prioritisation.
- AOP Adverse outcome pathway model that identifies the sequence of molecular and cellular events required to produce a toxic effect when an organism is exposed to a substance

- IATA Integrated assessment and testing approaches are flexible approaches for chemical safety assessment based on the integration and translation of the data derived from multiple methods and sources.
- NAMs New approach methodologies

   a reference to any *non-animal-based* approaches that can be used to provide information in
   the context of chemical hazard and risk assessment.

  NAMs for e.g. *read-across*, screening and prioritisation.
- AOP Adverse outcome pathway model that identifies the sequence of molecular and cellular events required to produce a toxic effect when an organism is exposed to a substance
- (Q)SAR (Quantitative) structure-activity relationship are mathematical models used to predict the physicochemical, biological and environmental fate properties of compounds from the knowledge of their chemical structure.

- Biological / Toxic effect Hazard assessment (IATA, NAMs, AOPs, QSAR)
  - Test data driven (IATA, NAMs)
  - Key events → predict potential (adverse) outcome (AOPs)
    - Specific compound (initiating event) to generic context (?)
  - Chemical characteristics (moieties) → biological effects (QSAR)
    - From compound specific towards broader context

- Biological / Toxic effect Hazard assessment (IATA, NAMs, AOPs, QSAR)
  - Test data driven (IATA, NAMs)
  - Key events → predict potential (adverse) outcome (AOPs)
    - Specific compound (initiating event) to generic context (?)
  - Chemical characteristics (moieties) → biological effects (QSAR)
    - From compound specific towards broader context
- 3R's (NAMs all)
  - In vitro, in silico, in chemico, ... no lab-animals  $\rightarrow$  towards safety assessment

- Biological / Toxic effect Hazard assessment (IATA, NAMs, AOPs, QSAR)
  - Test data driven (IATA, NAMs)
  - Key events → predict potential (adverse) outcome (AOPs)
    - Specific compound (initiating event) to generic context (?)
  - Chemical characteristics (moieties) → biological effects (QSAR)
    - From compound specific towards broader context
- 3R's (NAMs all)
  - In vitro, in silico, in chemico, ... no lab-animals  $\rightarrow$  towards safety assessment
- Risk assessment (IATA, NAMs, AOPs, QSAR)

- Biological / Toxic effect Hazard assessment (IATA, NAMs, AOPs, QSAR)
  - Test data driven (IATA, NAMs)
  - Key events → predict potential (adverse) outcome (AOPs)
    - Specific compound (initiating event) to generic context (?)
  - Chemical characteristics (moieties) → biological effects (QSAR)
    - From compound specific towards broader context
- 3R's (NAMs all)
  - In vitro, in silico, in chemico, ... no lab-animals  $\rightarrow$  towards safety assessment
- Risk assessment (IATA, NAMs, AOPs, QSAR)

#### Mechanistic understanding – less animals – better safety (IATA, NAMs, AOPs, QSAR)

#### You can find more: Tuesday November 17, 2020

Paper Id	Title/Author
Room 3	Session A1L-03
1141	Development of an <b>Adverse Outcome Pathway</b> for Chronic and Multi-Generational Impacts of Nanomaterials in the Environmental Indicator Species Daphnia Magna <i>Iseult Lynch, Katie Reilly, Mihaela Roxana Cimpan, Anne-Marthe Drønen, Ivan Rios-Mondragon Rios-Mondragon, Sebastien</i>
1125	Nanomaterial-Relevant <b>Adverse Outcome Pathways</b> Developed With Support From SmartNanoTox and PATROLs Sabina Halappanavar, Sybille van Den Brule, Penny Nymark, Laurent Gaté, Carole Seidel, Sarah Valentino, Vadim Zherno.
Room 4	Session A1L-04
1146	Advancing the Development of <b>Adverse Outcome Pathways</b> of Relevance to Nanomaterials: The Opportunities and Limitations of the Present Nanotoxicology Literature Sabina Halappanavar, James D. Ede, Harald F. Krug, Indrani Mahapatra, Eileen D. Kuempel, Rob J. Vandebriel, Iseult Ly
1137	Translating Scientific Advances in <b>the AOP Framework</b> to Decision Making for Nanomaterials <i>James D. Ede, Vladimir</i> Lobaskin, Ulla Vogel, Iseult Lynch, Sabina Halappanavar, Shareen Doak, Megan Roberts, Jo Anne
1130	Generation of Testable <b>Adverse Outcome Pathways</b> (AOPs) for Nanomaterial Human Hazard Assessment <i>Sivakumar</i> <i>Murugadoss, Ivana Vinković Vrček, Mihaela Roxana Cimpan, Marvin Martens, Maciej Gromelski, Tomasz Puzyn,</i>
1075	<b>Decision Support System</b> for Risk Assessment and Management of Nano-Biomaterials Used in Medical Devices and Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products <i>Alex Zabeo, Virginia Cazzagon, Elisa Giubilato, Lisa Pizzol, Danail Hristozov</i>
1057	<b>The Risk Management Framework</b> for Nano-Biomaterials Used in Medical Devices and Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products <i>Elisa Giubilato, Virginia Cazzagon, Antonio Marcomini, Lisa Pizzol, Leagh Powell, Alex Zabeo, Danail Hristozov</i>
1074	Characterisation and Human Health <b>Risk Assessments</b> of Nanomaterials: Are We Ready for the Next (Active) Generation? <i>Petra Krystek, Neeraj Shandilya, Wouter Fransman</i>

# How? -- in the following presentations

#### New developments in human hazard assessment

"The Why and What and How of AOPs and various emerging approaches – QSAR, NAMs, IATA" -- Peter Hoet, KU Leuven

• 9:15-9:30 h

"Beyond chemocentric models: from toxicogenomics to integrated approaches for IATA development" by **Dario Greco**, Uni Tampere

# How? -- in the following presentations

#### New developments in human hazard assessment

"The Why and What and How of AOPs and various emerging approaches – QSAR, NAMs, IATA" -- Peter Hoet, KU Leuven

• 9:15-9:30 h

"Beyond chemocentric models: from toxicogenomics to integrated approaches for IATA development" by **Dario Greco**, Uni Tampere

 9:30-9:45 h
 "AOPs and data integration within Nanosafety" by **Penny Nymark**, Karolisnka Institutet

# How? -- in the following presentations

#### New developments in human hazard assessment

"The Why and What and How of AOPs and various emerging approaches – QSAR, NAMs, IATA" -- Peter Hoet, KU Leuven

• 9:15-9:30 h

"Beyond chemocentric models: from toxicogenomics to integrated approaches for IATA development" by **Dario Greco**, Uni Tampere

- 9:30-9:45 h
  "AOPs and data integration within Nanosafety" by Penny Nymark, Karolisnka Institutet
- 9:45-10:00 h

"Showcasing the AOP-Wiki Resource Description Framework – why nano-AOPs do not exist" by **Marvin Martens**, Uni Maastricht

### **Thank You**

