## A strengthened form of the strong Goldbach conjecture

Ralf Wüsthofen <sup>1</sup>

**Abstract.** This paper presents a proof of a strengthened form of the strong Goldbach conjecture. Whereas the traditional approaches focus on the control over the distribution of the prime numbers by means of circle method and sieve theory, we will show that the solution lies in the constructive properties of the primes, reflecting their multiplicative character within the natural numbers.

**Notations.** Let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the natural numbers starting from 1, let  $\mathbb{N}_n$  denote the natural numbers starting from n > 1 and let  $\mathbb{P}_3$  denote the prime numbers starting from 3.

**Theorem** (Strengthened strong Goldbach conjecture (SSGB)). Every even integer greater than 6 can be expressed as the sum of two different primes.

*Proof.* We define the set

 $S_g := \{ (pk, mk, qk) \mid k, m \in \mathbb{N}; p, q \in \mathbb{P}_3, p < q; m = (p + q) / 2 \}.$ 

SSGB is equivalent to saying that every integer  $x \ge 4$  is the arithmetic mean of two different odd primes and so it is equivalent to saying that all integers  $x \ge 4$  appear as m in a middle component mk of S<sub>g</sub>. The negation ¬SSGB means that there is at least one n ≥ 4 such that nk ≠ mk for every (pk, mk, qk)  $\in$  S<sub>g</sub>. Correspondingly, SSGB means there is no such n.

Let us assume  $\neg$ SSGB now and define S<sub>g</sub>- to be S<sub>g</sub>, i.e.  $\neg$ SSGB => S<sub>g</sub> = S<sub>g</sub>-. In the following, we will determine the elements of S<sub>g</sub>-, i.e. the elements of S<sub>g</sub> under the condition of the existing n.

The whole range of  $\mathbb{N}_3$  can be expressed by the triple components of  $S_g$ , since every integer  $x \ge 3$  can be written as some pk with k = 1 when x is prime, as some pk with  $k \ne 1$  when x is composite and not a power of 2, or as (3 + 5)k / 2 when x is a power of 2, where  $p \in \mathbb{P}_3$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

According to the above three kinds of expression by  $S_g$  triple components, for any  $n \ge 4$  given by  $\neg$ SSGB we have the property

(C):  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \exists (pk', mk', qk') \in S_g \quad nk = pk' \lor nk = mk' = 4k'.$ 

So, every nk given by  $\neg$ SSGB equals a component of some Sg triple that exists by definition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> rwuesthofen@gmail.com

Moreover, since all pairs (p, q) of odd primes with p < q are used in S<sub>9</sub> and so all arithmetic means m of two odd primes are generated, we have that an  $n \ge 4$  given by  $\neg$ SSGB cannot be the arithmetic mean of a pair of odd primes not used in S<sub>9</sub>. This results in the property

(M):  $\nexists$  p, q  $\in \mathbb{P}_3$ , p < q n = (p + q) / 2.

Because the properties (C) and (M) hold for any n given by  $\neg$ SSGB, the set S<sub>g</sub>- can be written as the union of the following triples, which would otherwise be impossible.

(i)  $S_g$  triples of the form (pk' = nk, mk', qk') with k' = k in case n is prime, due to (C)

(ii) S<sub>g</sub> triples of the form (pk' = nk, mk', qk') with k'  $\neq$  k in case n is composite and not a power of 2, due to (C)

(iii)  $S_g$  triples of the form (3k', 4k' = nk, 5k') in case n is a power of 2, due to (C)

(iv) all remaining  $S_g$  triples of the form (pk' = nk, mk', qk'), (pk', mk' = nk, qk') or (pk', mk', qk' = nk)

and

(v) S<sub>g</sub> triples of the form (pk'  $\neq$  nk, mk'  $\neq$  nk, qk'  $\neq$  nk), i.e. those S<sub>g</sub> triples where none of the nk's equals a component.

The triples in (iv) comprise all  $S_g$  triples where nk occurs as a component redundantly to the occurrences in (i) - (iii). We can split the triples in (iv) as follows.

(iv, a)  $S_9$  triples of the form (pk', mk', qk' = nk) with k' = k in case n is prime

(iv, b)  $S_9$  triples of the form (pk', mk' = nk, qk') with k' = k in case n is prime

(iv, c) S<sub>g</sub> triples of the form (pk', mk', qk' = nk) with k'  $\neq$  k in case n is composite and not a power of 2

(iv, d) S<sub>g</sub> triples of the form (pk', mk' = nk, qk') with k'  $\neq$  k in case n is composite and not a power of 2

(iv, e)  $S_g$  triples of the form (pk', mk' = nk, qk') with k' = k in case n is composite

(iv, f) S<sub>g</sub> triples of the form (pk' = nk, mk', qk') in case n is a power of 2

(iv, g)  $S_g$  triples of the form (pk', mk', qk' = nk) in case n is a power of 2

(iv, h) S<sub>g</sub> triples of the form (pk', mk' = nk, qk') with  $m \neq 4$  in case n is a power of 2.

The types (iv, a) - (iv, h) are of merely informative character. For the sake of completeness also the triples of type (iv, b) and (iv, e) are listed. Of course, they cannot exist due to (M). Also, depending on n and k the triples of some other types may not exist.

Let  $S_n$  denote the union of the triples of types (i) to (iv), i.e.  $S_n$  is the set of all triples in  $S_g$  such that one of the three components is a multiple of n, and let S denote the union of the triples of type (v), i.e. S is the set of all triples in  $S_g$  such that none of the three components is a multiple of n.

 $S_n = \{ (pk', mk', qk') \in S_g \mid \exists k \in \mathbb{N} | pk' = nk \lor mk' = nk \lor qk' = nk \}$ 

 $S = \{ (pk', mk', qk') \in S_g \mid \forall k \in \mathbb{N} | pk' \neq nk \land mk' \neq nk \land qk' \neq nk \}$ 

Then, as S<sub>g</sub>- consists of all triples of the types (i) to (v) we have S<sub>g</sub>- = S<sub>n</sub>  $\cup$  S. Since S<sub>n</sub> and S are complementary subsets of S<sub>g</sub>, we conclude that S<sub>g</sub>- equals S<sub>g</sub> where an n  $\in$  N<sub>4</sub> different from all m no longer exists.

**Note.** The above splitting of all the  $S_g$  triples into two complementary subsets  $S_n$  and S is independent of our information about  $S_g$  and it is also independent of the property behind n. The splitting works solely on the basis of the existence of n.

Now, let us assume SSGB and let  $S_g$ + be the set such that SSGB =>  $S_g = S_g$ +. When we determine the elements of  $S_g$ +, i.e. the elements of  $S_g$  under the condition of the non-existence of n, we trivially obtain that also  $S_g$ + equals  $S_g$  where an  $n \in \mathbb{N}_4$  different from all m does not exist.

Therefore, all in all, we have proven

 $\neg$ SSGB => S<sub>g</sub> = S<sub>g</sub>- and SSGB => S<sub>g</sub> = S<sub>g</sub>+, where

 $S_{g} = S_{g}$  and  $\{ m \mid (pk, mk, qk) \in S_{g} \} = \{ m \mid (pk, mk, qk) \in S_{g} \} = \mathbb{N}_{4}.$ 

This means that we have  $S_g = S_{g-} = S_{g+}$  which proves SSGB.