

# Glass: a multi-platform specimen supporting substrate for precision single molecule studies of membrane proteins

Nagaraju Chada<sup>1</sup>, Krishna P. Sigdel<sup>1</sup>, Raghavendar Reddy Sanganna Gari<sup>1</sup>, Tina R. Matin<sup>1</sup>, Chunfeng Mao<sup>2</sup>, Brendan Marsh<sup>1</sup>, Linda L. Randall<sup>2</sup>, and Gavin M. King<sup>1,2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, <sup>2</sup>Department of Biochemistry, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri, USA 65211

## Abstract

High resolution (~1 nm lateral resolution) biological AFM imaging has been carried out almost exclusively using freshly cleaved mica as a specimen supporting surface, but mica suffers from a fundamental limitation that has hindered AFM's broader integration with many modern optical methods. Mica exhibits biaxial birefringence; indeed, this naturally occurring material is used commercially for constructing optical wave plates. In general, propagation through birefringent material alters the polarization state and bifurcates the propagation direction of light in a manner which varies with thickness. This makes it challenging to incorporate freshly cleaved mica substrates with modern optical methods, many of which employ highly focused and polarized laser beams passing through the specimen plane. Using bacteriorhodopsin from Halobacterium salinarum and the translocon SecYEG from Escherichia coli, we demonstrate that faithful images of 2D crystalline and non-crystalline membrane proteins in lipid bilayers can be obtained on Raw glass vs. KOH treated glass common microscope cover glass following a straight-forward cleaning procedure. Direct comparison between data obtained on glass and on mica show no significant differences in AFM image fidelity. This work opens the door for combining high resolution biological AFM with powerful optical methods that require optically isotropic substrates such as ultra-stable<sup>1</sup> and direct 3D AFM<sup>2</sup>. In turn, this capability should enable long timescale conformational dynamics measurements of membrane proteins in near-native conditions.





# Image credit: Brad Baxley and Greg Kuebler, JILA

# **Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM)**

- Molecular-scale resolution<sup>3</sup>
- Imaging in near native lipid environment<sup>3</sup>
- Minimal concentration requirements<sup>3</sup>
- Single molecule dynamics<sup>3</sup>
- Drift (>1nm/min on each axis) is a common problem with commercial instruments in biological settings<sup>1</sup>

# **Ultra Stable 3D AFM**



# References

1. King, G.M., Carter, A.R., Churnside, A.B., Eberle, L.S. & Perkins, T.T. 2009. Ultrastable atomic force microscopy: atomic-scale stability and registration in ambient conditions. Nano letters 9, 1451-1456 2. Sigdel, K. P., Grayer, J. S., and King, G. M. 2013. Three-dimensional atomic force microscopy: interaction force vector by direct observation of tip trajectory, Nano letters, 13, 5106 - 5111 3. Chada, N., Sigdel, K., Gari, R. et al. Glass is a Viable Substrate for Precision Force Microscopy of Membrane Proteins. Sci Rep 5, 12550 (2015). nttps://doi.org/10.1038/srep1255

4. Rapport T.A., 2007, Protein translocation across the eukaryotic endoplasmic reticulum and bacterial plasma membranes, Nature 450, 663-669

, B. A., Portman, J. J., and Wolynes, P. G., 2000. Speeding molecular recognition by using the folding funnel: The fly-casting mechanism, PNAS 97, 8868-8873 6. Mao, C., et al. Stoichiometry of SecYEG in the active translocase of Escherichia coli varies with precursor species. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 110, 11815-11820 (2013)

# Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (CAREER Award #:1054832), the Burroughs Wellcome Fund (Career Award at the Scientific Interface) and the MU Research Board. We thank the JILA Scientific Communications Office for artwork.

>30% of proteins are transported from the site of synthesis into or through a

In E. coli, the Sec system orchestrates the translocation of polypeptides across membrane before they acquire stable tertiary structure and SecYEG provides the

SecA and SecB act as chaperones to maintain newly synthesized polypeptides in a state compatible with transport<sup>6</sup> Numerous questions remain regarding the mechanistic details of translocation<sup>3,4,5</sup>

- Recent techniques<sup>1,2</sup> employ two additional lasers, one focused on fiducial mark and other focused on AFM-tip for tip-
- sample registration and tip trajectory Drift can be reduced to
- <5pm/min at 25° C Direct access to *F*

# Why use glass as a substrate?

- advanced imaging techniques<sup>3</sup>



KOH cleaned glass vs. raw glass<sup>3</sup> (inset) (bottom right corners).



contrast, mica becomes >4 fold rougher<sup>3</sup>.



Glass treated with KOH (a), HF (b&c), NH<sub>4</sub>F (d&e), KOH followed by HF (f&g) and KOH followed by NH<sub>4</sub>F solution(h). rms roughness indicted at bottom right corner of each image<sup>3</sup>

Typical AFM substrates mica and HOPG exhibit birefringence, complicating optical paths<sup>3</sup> Many well established biological and biochemical assays like FRAP, FRET, Fluorescence Microscopy, FIONA and TIRF require transparent and optically homogeneous substrates<sup>3</sup> Recently developed techniques like US-AFM<sup>1</sup>, 3D AFM<sup>2</sup> and polarization anisotropy methods require transparent substrates and non-birefringence due to polarization based detection



# Heights of SecYEG



glass (a), mica (b), BR lattice FFT(f&h) with

# Probing translocation of SecYEG precursor through Sectranslocase