## FAIR RESEARCH SOFTWARE

Addressing the COVID-19 challenges, and beyond

Fotis E. Psomopoulos

 $(\mathbf{i})$ 

Junior Researcher, Institute of Applied Biosciences, CERTH, Greece



CERTH CENTRE FOR RESEARCH & TECHNOLOGY HELLAS







"Data implies software: it's not much good gathering data if you don't have the ability to analyse it."



TITUS BROWN

http://ivory.idyll.org/blog/2017-data-implies-software.html







# **RESEARCH SOFTWARE IS EVERYWHERE!**









# THE RESEARCH COMMUNITY RELIES ON SOFTWARE

Do you use research software?



What would happen to your research without software



Survey of researchers from 15 UK Russell Group universities conducted by SSI between August - October 2014. 406 respondents covering representative range of funders, discipline and seniority. <u>Slides by SSI / Neil Chue Hong</u>







# BUT, RESEARCH SOFTWARE IS NOT (JUST) DATA

#### **Similarities**

- Commonly not cited
- Multiple **versions** can exist
- Both have licenses (?)



### Differences

- Software commonly have a **larger number** and more **complex dependencies**
- **Reuse** comes in different flavors (rerun/execute, reuse, repeat, reproduce, extend)
- Can be connected via workflows

### In between

- Can be **build on top of**
- Depend on hardware / software

Katz et al., 2016; Lamprecht et al., 2019







# FAIR PRINCIPLES FOR FAIR SOFTWARE



Research software is "**software that is used** to generate, process or analyze results that you intend to appear in a publication" (Hettrick et al., 2014)

- > Many forms.
- Many purposes.
- Many distribution channels.

Towards FAIR principles for research software

#### Article type: Position Paper

Authors: Lamprecht, Anna-Lena<sup>a;\*</sup><sup>(i)</sup> | Garcia, Leyla<sup>b</sup><sup>(i)</sup> | Kuzak, Mateusz<sup>c; d</sup><sup>(i)</sup> | Martinez, Carlos<sup>e</sup><sup>(i)</sup> | Arcila, Ricardo<sup>f</sup><sup>(i)</sup> | Martin Del Pico, Eva<sup>g</sup><sup>(i)</sup> | Dominguez Del Angel, Victoria<sup>h</sup><sup>(i)</sup> | van de Sandt, Stephanie<sup>i</sup><sup>(i)</sup> | Ison, Jon<sup>j</sup><sup>(i)</sup> | Martinez, Paula Andrea<sup>k</sup><sup>(i)</sup> | McQuilton, Peter<sup>l</sup><sup>(i)</sup> | Valencia, Alfonso<sup>m; n</sup> <sup>(i)</sup> | Harrow, Jennifer<sup>o</sup><sup>(i)</sup> | Psomopoulos, Fotis<sup>p</sup><sup>(i)</sup> | Gelpi, Josep LL<sup>q; r</sup><sup>(i)</sup> | Chue Hong, Neil<sup>5; t</sup><sup>(i)</sup> | Goble, Carole<sup>u</sup><sup>(i)</sup> | Capella-Gutierrez, Salvador<sup>v;\*\*</sup><sup>(i)</sup>

- DOI: <u>10.3233/DS-190026</u>
- IOS Press Data Science Journal
- Published 13 November 2019

Traditionally, often created as Free and/or Open Source Software (FOSS).

Clear overlap of objectives between FAIR and FOSS, but not the same.









Two main courses of action:

Offer concrete, easily applicable steps for individual <u>researchers</u>

Setup **policies** to encourage their application



# RDA COVID-19 RECOMMENDATIONS AND GUIDELINES FOR DATA SHARING



- April 1 30 June 2020 continual sprints, consultation, webinars, 6 releases
- 143 pages in the end; 4 page Executive Summary, Infographic

An exhausting and exhilarating process!

RDA COVID-19; Recommendations and Guidelines on Data Sharing,

final release 30 June 2020, DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.15497/rda00052</u>















### What are the Objectives?

1.0
Clearly define detailed guidelines on data and software sharing for
COVID-19 research.



**1.1** Help stakeholders follow best practices to **maximise efficiency**.



Act as a **blueprint** for future emergencies to maximise the efficiency of their work.



2

Develop **recommendations** for funders and policymakers to maximise timely, quality data and software sharing and appropriate responses in health emergencies.



**3 Address interests** of researchers, policymakers, funders, publishers, and providers of data sharing infrastructures.

WITH THANKS TO THE TEAM AT CANARIE AND RESEARCH DATA CANADA FOR THE INFOGRAPHIC



# WHAT IT'S ALL ABOUT?

### Four Research Areas

**SOCIAL SCIENCES** 

CLINICAL CLINICAL COMMUNITY CO

WITH THANKS TO THE TEAM AT CANARIE AND RESEARCH DATA CANADA FOR THE INFOGRAPHIC

Four Cross-cutting Domains



10



#### RESEARCH SOFTWARE

 Software used in data analysis must be able to reproduce results, if necessary Allocate financial resources to support development and maintenance of new research software



# **RESEARCH SOFTWARE SHARING FOR DATA ANALYSIS**

### **Focus**

foundational, clear and practical recommendations around research software principles and practices

### Aim for researchers

follow the principles as thoroughly as possible, because doing so will improve the research environment for themselves and others

> <u>Output</u>: 6 Guidelines for Researchers 3 Guidelines for Publishers

### Aim for **policymakers** and **funders**:

realize the --sometimes behind the scenes-- work around research software

### <u>Output</u>:

4 Recommendations for Policy Makers and Funders





# ALIGNED EFFORTS

There is strong drive towards **making software a first-class citizen in research**:



The Future of Research Communications and e-Scholarshi



Six Recommendations for Implementation of FAIR Practice



The <u>RDA Research Software guidelines</u>, the <u>ELIXIR Best Practices for Research Software</u>, and the <u>FAIR Principles for Software</u> are all included in this EU publication: (released Oct 30<sup>th</sup>, <u>doi: 10.2777/986252</u>)





# WHAT CAN EACH OF US DO?

Scientists typically develop their own software

- Requires substantial domain-specific knowledge
- Software engineers are scarce in the field

90% or more of scientist are primarily self-taught

#### Published: 13 October 2010

Computational science: ... Error

Zeeya Merali

Nature467, 775–777(2010)Cite this article839Accesses120Citations216AltmetricMetrics

Greg Wilson. Best Practices for Scientific Computing. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001745

Low software quality and sustainability

ELIXIR Software development best practices group aims to raise the **quality** and **sustainability** of research software by **producing**, **adopting**, **promoting** and **measuring** information standards and **best practices** applied to software development life cycle

#### PINION ARTICLE

Four simple recommendations to encourage best practices in research software [version 1; referees: awaiting peer review]

Rafael C. Jiménez (1)<sup>1</sup>, Mateusz Kuzak<sup>2</sup>, Monther Alhamdoosh (1)<sup>3</sup>, Michelle Barker<sup>4</sup>, Bérénice Batut<sup>5</sup>, Mikael Borg<sup>6</sup>, Salvador Capella-Gutierrez (1)<sup>5</sup>, Neil Chue Hong<sup>8</sup>, Martin Cook<sup>1</sup>, Manuel Corpas (1)<sup>9</sup>, Madison Flannery<sup>10</sup>, Leyla Garcia<sup>11</sup>, Josep L. Gelpi<sup>(2,13</sup>, Simon Gladman<sup>10</sup>, Carole Goble<sup>14</sup>, Montserrat González Ferreiro<sup>11</sup>, Alejandra Gonzalez-Beltran<sup>15</sup>, Philippa C. Griffin<sup>10</sup>, Björn Grüning (1)<sup>5</sup>, Jonas Hagberg (1)<sup>6</sup>, Petr Holub<sup>16</sup>, Rob Hooft (1)<sup>6</sup>, <sup>17</sup>, Jon Ison<sup>18</sup>, Daniel S. Katz (1)<sup>19-22</sup>, Brane Leskošek<sup>23</sup>, Federico López Gómez<sup>1</sup>, Luis J. Oliveira<sup>24</sup>, David Mellor<sup>25</sup>, Rowland Mosbergen<sup>26</sup>, Nicola Mulder (1)<sup>5</sup>, <sup>27</sup>, Yasset Perez-Riverol (1)<sup>11</sup>, Robert Pergl<sup>28</sup>, Horst Pichler<sup>29</sup>, Bernard Pope<sup>10</sup>, Ferran Sanz<sup>20</sup>, Maria V. Schneider<sup>10</sup>, Victoria Stodden<sup>27</sup>, Radosław Suchecki<sup>31</sup>, Radka Svobodová Vařeková<sup>32,33</sup>, Harry-Anton Talvik<sup>34</sup>, Ilian Todoro<sup>35</sup>, Andrew Treloar<sup>36</sup>, Sonika Tyagi<sup>10,37</sup>, Maarten van Gompel<sup>38</sup>, Daniel Vaughan<sup>11</sup>, Allegra Via<sup>39</sup>, Xiaochuan Wang<sup>40</sup>, Nathan S. Watson-Haigh<sup>31</sup>, Steve Crouch<sup>41</sup>



# SOFTWARE MANAGEMENT PLAN (SMP)

- Similarly to a Data Management Plan, an SMP is an **awareness tool**:
  - Think in advance about the software that will be developed
  - The SMP questions help you think about most important parts
  - Think about roles and responsibilities in software project
  - Use it as a guide for everyone involved in the project

### <u>Motivation</u>:

a unified approach to software development and management under the <u>software best practices group</u> in <u>ELIXIR</u>.













# FROM RECOMMENDATIONS TO POLICY TO ADOPTION

- 1. Endorsement of recommendations, for both Data and Software
- 2. Make recommendations part of policies
  - Institutions, Projects, Organizations, Funders





### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT



#### COVID19 Software subgroup

- Michelle Barker (Research Software Alliance, Australia)
- Hugh Shanahan (Royal Holloway, University of London, UK)
- All 45 subgroup members



### ELIXIR Software Best Practices group

**OPEN FOR DISCUSSION** 

- Mateusz Kuzak (ELIXIR-NL, e-Science Center)
- Allegra Via
   (ELIXIR-IT, CNR)





### FAIR for Research Software (FAIR4RS) WG

- Michelle Barker (ReSA)
- Paula Andrea Martinez (Un. Queensland)
- Leyla Garcia (ZB MED)
- Daniel S. Katz (Un. Illinois)
- Neil Chue Hong (SSI)
- Morane Gruenpeter (Software Heritage)
- Carlos Martinez Ortiz (e-Science Center)

Slides DOI: to be added