so hello everyone um i think i'm on the other sort of edge of the spectrum of the the familiarity with network analysis because i'm just a beginner and this talk is mostly about exploration of network analysis as a tool that is useful and perhaps feasible perhaps not for the study of medieval annotations so it's a sort of experiment i didn't get very far still work in progress

for your comments and recommendations i'll be very glad to hear what you think about it and

as you will see and i have lots of room

from

what are your advice how to go further at the beginning let me thank to my colleague peter boat who is also par participating uh from halcon's institute uh who helped me tremendously with encoding all of the material you're going to see into xml and he was also instrumental in getting it out through his excess service artery so all the data you're going to see in a form of autographs and again visualizations are due to his his skill so thank you for that peter um early medieval annotations um are a very specific kind of medieval text uh uh they differ from standard texts that you were used to in the in that that they have what may be considered low textual coherence uh in standard text we are used to the fact that a text has a certain structure a certain order of words and if the order is disturbed or if it's not there if

the text has different order of words we recognize as a different text but it is not entirely true for glosses and annotations

annotations in general tend to be behave as

self self

coherent entities each annotation on its own even though they are transmitted in layers or

assembled into larger corpora of hundreds or thousands of such annotations

and especially some types of annotations those that will be referring

here to here as glosses are sort of very low on that spectrum and that they are really behaving

as separate lego blocks where the early medieval annotators

basically built each layer of classes to their liking so

it's very difficult to see how relate to manuscripts containing various annotations how related they are and whether perhaps they contain exactly the same or very similar material because it's for us very difficult to analyze that by some of our modern by our standard methods

by our naked eye and this was exactly why i

ran out and tried to use it to disentangle this problem and find some alternative ways how to approach this material uh and how to work with this

or work with it um in my particular case in my project we're talking about glosses to the etymologia of will this text is the most important latin encyclopedia of the middle ages it was extremely important particularly in the earlier period of the middle ages when it became an important receptacle and vehicle of innovations it underwent the process which can be likened to wickedization and one of those innovations that concerned this

text was a

annotation uh these texts began to be annotated already very early after its uh disseminations already in the seventh century

in several different ways uh but i will be talking here specifically about one type of annotations what i will be calling grammar glasses that is the kind of annotations are so very low on that spectrum of textual coherence they are not very coherent in other words grammar glasses are a phenomenon very particularly specific context and that is the curl engine period they are in fact a product of a very specific innovation from carolingian environment and that is a separation of a one part of the encyclopedia the part dedicated to grammar and its separate circulation uh as a school text and this is something that happened in carolingian period and we see that the glosses come into being and begin to circulate and be transmitted in these in the manuscripts connected with this school version of the atomology and they're very closely related in terms of geographical scope chronology and materiality so for example this school version was particularly popular in northern france and this is also where we see most of

grammar glasses to appear unlike in the case of afforda

in the case of other types of annotations from the early middle ages these

grammar glasses for which we do have substantial evidence for their diffusion uh it's very clear that they're circulated it's very clearly ever transmitted but it's very difficult to see how exactly it happened and it is not possible in any way to treat them in sort of a stematic fashion to reconstruct the estimate because that's not really what's happening and we're likely going to see that a particular gloss

recurs in multiple manuscripts and another class occurs in another group of manuscripts it's very difficult to see how these two

or how other glasses connect together um this may have to do with the fact that schools were an

important channel of transmission for this material but there were probably also other kinds of

channels and networks that were involved probably they were also

copied in scriptoria and one of the questions i would like to try to answer to use of network analysis is to see whether we can see some

tangible evidence through the network analysis of which

kinds of channels were used for the transmission

uh for this purpose it's important to distinguish two different types of manuscripts that will feature also in the

uh the graphs and the analysis that will come i will

color code them pink and blue so pink will be always grammatical handbooks and blue will be always encyclopedic copies so these are two forms two types of manuscript early medieval manuscripts in which we find

these grammar glosses to be etymologia the grammatical handbooks can be connected to the schools and the instruction in the classroom there are the manuscripts which contain the oldest layers of glasses also two of the most important manuscripts are grammatical handbooks with most classes but but at the same time there's as many manuscripts of the grammatical handbook type as of encyclopedic copy type that contain glasses so that's quite interesting and the question is what does it mean for the transmission it we can be reasonably sure that the glasses emerge through and because of the instruction in classroom they're sort of a tool for the instruction that's how they begin but their life becomes much more complicated and their transmission is not only governed by schools and the needs of schools so let me tell you a little bit about my corpus first about the manuscripts we're talking about these will be used as notes in the network as you as you can guess perhaps we're talking here about 61 manuscripts so this is quite smaller set as you will guess also that as to say as the data says we have seen before maybe that's also a bit of a problem but we are talking here about 61 manuscripts they can be distinguished into two categories on one hand we have a core consisting of 16 manuscripts that contain at least 40 classes up to 770. you can see them here in this chart uh with roughly the number of glasses they contain uh and then there are 45 additional manuscripts which contain less than 40 glasses these glasses come from the same pool of material but they are much less represented it is clear they are somehow related to the core but it's not entirely how uh some of them are also in destroy the ones that contain the most uh

most glasses and they are actually represented by this kind of uh striped color

uh as you can see from the color coding uh there are some grammatical handbooks and there are also enthalpy copies and they appear in both groups the manuscripts

come from 8th to 10th century but they were annotated in the 9th to 11th century so slightly later after when they come into being and most of them come from northern france but there are also some manuscripts from england and brittany northern italy perhaps

and one manuscript from germany as for the glosses

the entire corpus of the grammatical gloss grammar glasses to the etymology consists of for almost 4 000 glosses to the entire work the work has 44 chapters of together about 13 000 words so that you can

get an idea how heavily or not heavy gloss it is

i will call this a maximum corpus this is the case

when we count every single instance of glossing separately

we can also look at the what is minimum corpus if we count

only the unique instances of glasses so no repetitions

and this corpus is in about 2 500 unique gloss pairs

in this what follows i will be using a smaller set

because i have not completed my analysis yet so i will be using only a maximum corpus of around three

which corresponds to the first 37 chapters because i have not completed encoding yet so it will be smaller about three quarters of the material are there so this is about

slightly smaller than what it will be in the end

these glosses uh can be distinguished it's the two types of glasses on one hand isolated glasses glasses that appear only in a single manuscript and on the other hand what are we calling shared glasses that these glasses that appear at least in two manuscripts and more manuscripts uh there are 1216 glasses in the maximum corpus or it could be 1511 in the minimum corpus so about a one fifth

of the minimum corpus is our shared glasses

and this is what the material will be uh i will be working with

um oops

okay oh yes okay here's one example of how it looks when you see a shared gloss so you would see the same gloss appearing across

multiple manuscripts one big question is what does it mean if you see a glass is exactly the same glass appearing in multiple manuscripts is the source of relationship and what is the nature of the thing with the glasses and this is very particular to this material is that they

can emerge for
the emerge spontaneously and when they
do uh it is possible that they will look
similarly or alike because if you're

similarly or alike because if you're talking about situation in a classroom and you have one particular text and the student asks what does this word mean uh then the teacher there's a likelihood that the teacher will come up independently with a similar very similar answer

and for this reason i engage in sort of what i call non-triviality ranking so i decided to rank the glasses

give them a rank from one to four based

on how trivial or non-trivial they are uh courses with high rankings are the ones that cannot be explained independently and therefore point to some form of transmission circulation uh glasses with low ranking one and two are the ones which are likely to or may occur independently and especially glasses with ranking one may be independent on the contrary glosses with number four cannot be explained in this way and therefore it is very obvious that they are transmitted and they are heavy there are these uh these ranks will be used as weights on the glasses so they'll be adding weights to the material so what can we do or what i'm going to do is very similar to what other people have already done we can start by looking at the relation you can see which classes appear in which manuscript and use it as a source of relationship building them both as known as a sort of bipartite network uh because it will be quite a large network and not very comprehensive we can immediately simplify it instead of individual glasses we can look at gloss clusters a cluster is a group of glasses that always travels together or always appears in manuscripts together and we'll see there are fewer clusters and in the end we can progress one step further and instead of doing a bipartite network we can go we can just use these clusters as edges and give them weight to see the relationship between manuscripts so what you're going to see is a network representation of relationships between manuscripts it is very important we're not going to talk about or

not directly see the transmission pattern we don't know it's very difficult to reconstruct and one reason for that is that we should assume for this type of material that 95 of the manuscripts containing glasses are gone we're looking into into a very sort of very fragmented ecosystem uh where the question is how can we get from this fragmentation into sort of a picture nevertheless uh it needs to be also added many of the sort of manuscripts in which with transmitting losses were transient manuscripts because they were classroom material uh they were there to they were they disappeared within one or two generations so this is this is very clear that there is lots of fragmentation so let's start at the beginning the very first step if we plot a top 33 manuscripts on one side and all 511 unique shared glasses on the other side with their labels this is the kind of bipartite representation you can get we can immediately simplify it because this is quite difficult so we will instead we can look at which classes always travel together and or appear together and say this is going to be a cropping group thing of some sort and instead of 511 unique shirt glasses we can get 275 groupings of losses this is roughly how they are distributed when it comes to how many glasses appear in such groupings you can see there are one or two very interesting groupings quite a few interesting ones and there are many many ones that are

actually very unimportant in fact there are 115 of these 175 groupings consist of only a single glass and moreover many of them have a very low nontriviality ranking so we can immediately forget about them these are not real clusters these are sort of a noise in the background which is likely to emerge in such in this sort of situation there are 20 more one more groupings which consist of two or three glasses with very low rankings and we can also forget about them and in this way we end up with a smaller set of 39 groupings which can be considered clusters they have a weight of six or more weight in this case meaning uh the rank of the glass is non-trivial non-triviality rank combined together and then you can see how many glosses they consist of on one side and also what is the combined weight of these glasses here there are 13 let's say important clusters which can which have a weight of at least 20 and there are two top clusters which uh stand out from among the rest as being extremely clustered that occurs in two manuscripts harley3941 and early on 296 uh consists of 76 glasses with a total weight of 199 another one is a a cluster that occurs in manuscripts only on 296 and vlo41 which has consists of 29 glasses and has a weight of 55 so slightly lower i will return back to the clusters and sort of because there's a lot more interesting to say about them but let me first draw try to do the third step and

draw you a nice sort of network graph where we use these clusters as edges and when the nodes are the manuscripts the nodes are colored according to schema introduced so ping is for grammatical handbooks blue is for insecurity copies the edges are also called a colored along the same principle so a pink edge is an edge between two grammatical handbooks a blue edge is an edge between two encyclopedic copies and sort of a purple edge goes from one type to another this network the representation was laid according to the rough geographical or point of origin of the individual manuscripts in many cases this is also where they were annotated so what you see here this is northern france uh with vlo41 down there that is flurry an important monastery with a school especially active in 10th century beginning of the 11th century rhymes four to six that's rhymes actually a seed of the archbishop and also see a locals of a very important cathedral school and monasteries as well and this sort of um cluster here these are manuscripts which are connected to paris because they were produced there or annotated there and some of them were both produced and annotated there especially in particular early on 296 a manuscript which has most glosses and which has also two very strong connections relations to other manuscripts um here up here we see england in the manuscript paris 7585 which was

produced and annotated in canterbury this is brittany and this is northern italy probably in case of these masks we cannot be sure these are definitely not an italian so you already see some very interesting things here for example you can

hopefully see that these manuscripts from paris are they do not have uh strong strong connections between each other or as strong connection between each other as they have to manuscripts from other places in case of northern france or other regions actually that's quite interesting and you can also notice that uh the grammatical handbooks come from this area and here we have encyclopedic copies but let me get but get back i hope i still have time to some of the facts about the clusters interesting things so i said there are 30 to nine clusters that can be identified and we can reasonably assume are actually real clusters not just a noise or just some aggregation of glasses and what is interesting about them is most of these clusters

represent relationship between it is unique to two manuscripts so we see a group of glasses that appears recurs in two manuscripts only and not not less but not more sorry there are some examples of uh clusters that occur across three manuscripts but very fewer way fewer in this group and in this the top 32 nine and they're only one there's only one cluster of only two glasses moreover but this is shared between five manuscripts and only one cluster

that shows relationship between six manuscripts uh

i don't know exactly why is that this may have have to do with the level of fragmentation of the whole sort of original ecosystem that we are looking actually at uh

small bits and pieces of what would be sort of uh clusters which would be sort of

shared more broadly perhaps but we do not see that because many of the manuscripts are gone

but it also may suggest sort of a low transmission rate for the the grammar glasses

and it is even possible in fact that these clusters are very transient in nature that actually a cluster comes into being

because of transmission needs so there is a circle

or a school or an institution that is sending material to another school an institute or institution

that creates a cluster for this purpose the cluster is transmitted embedded into the different manuscript

embedded into the different manuscript on the other side of manuscripts on the other side

and then it sort of dissipates and if that second institution or circle passes material on

it creates a rather a new cluster than passing on the material that was already available

available to it another interesting thing about the clusters is that actually they come in two different varieties and that becomes quite obvious after sort of a bit of analysis we have what may be called visible and invisible clusters visible clusters are of the kind that sort of good philologists would also notice and they can be seen from collation of the

manuscript
and the main reason for this is that is
that they concern
uh smaller segments of text they appear
close to each other
they are not interspersed by glasses
from other clusters or isolated glasses
and they usually contain a smaller
section such as one or two chapters
but it's also interesting about them
these are these clusters tend to have
relatively high average ranking of about
2.5

and many of them contain a high number of non-trivial glasses with ranks three and four here you see the averages and here you see the six uh visible clusters i was able to identify

you can also notice that they all uh you perhaps could not notice this but these are

many of them are manuscripts of the encyclopedic type on the other hand we have what may be considered invisible clusters and these are these are ones i

did not see before i performed this sort of analysis

this quantitative analysis because they came out of this exercise

them are

so to say it is because the glasses that constitute these clusters do not find do not appear next to each other they are already distributed across the antarctics or most of it not close to each other interspersed by other glasses whether shared or isolated and also it is interesting they tend to have relatively low average rank of under two or two or less and some of

made only of glosses with ranks of one or two for example this cluster which appears which was the second most important cluster in the whole corpus actually is constituted only with glasses of rank one and two and also you can notice or maybe you don't but many of the minus in most of the manuscripts here are of the grammatical type of the grammatical handbook type if you plot it here you can see that two the yellows are here are visible clusters and green are invisible clusters and you can also see that that the yellow ones appear between manuscripts of the encyclopedic copies and the green are either between grammatical manuscripts or my or in one case rhymes 46 is also quite interesting because it contains many of these invisible clusters as well even though it is an in an encyclopedic copy

and there is a good reason for it a small detail here i hope at least those who can read latin can see it here it is because this rhymes manuscript contains uh the oldest layer of glossing there are stylist glosses that these glosses they were not put in by ink but by uh lead stylus uh this is the stylus and this is the instrument with which it is used that is back tablet

so they're probably carried over from avax tablet this is extremely unique this is the

in my corpus and i have not seen it elsewhere yet it is in latin context box tablets were used in schools and it's possible that what we are looking at here is that this enthalpy copy was annotated directly from material that comes

to this transient medium from school environments i think i should end here so i just want to reiterate uh these visible and invisible clusters that was the most surprising thing i've seen

it's very interesting and one are more aligned with encyclopedic copies and the clustering the pattern of clustering is more consistent with copying rather than with let's say instruction in classroom and therefore may be indicative of the

and therefore may be indicative of the work of scriptoria on one hand while the invisible clusters

uh which are more common in grammatical handbooks and also show other patterns for example low ranks of losses may have to do with oral and mnemonic transmission

maybe more more like more connected with schools and the transmission via school uh this is where i want to end for now so this is what where the

analysis so far brought me as you can see i didn't get very far and i would like to get further

uh i it was it helped me to identify several interesting

clusters of glosses including such they would not be able to see otherwise it helped me to see differences between two types of clusters those that i call visible

and invisible and also have a sense many maybe

looking at this visible and invisible cluster it's looking at two different channels of transmission copying in scriptorium on one hand and transmission is called environment in on the other hand yes this is what i will stop for now because i think i'm already speaking for too long and thank you for your attention thank you avina i think you're perfectly in time we even we would have even still have som

even we would have even still have some some more time so we have plenty of time for questions and discussions um it was a very interesting presentation and i'm actually impressed by the amount of them have so i don't think that it's a small corpus to be honest so um other questions remarks sorry can i ask the question okay yeah yeah then uh yeah it's uh me i have my camera turned off um hello uh i just wanted to ask about something at the very beginning where you were talking about the types of glosses and you said there are three types scholarly the insular and the grammatical glosses and i was just wondering what boss