

# THE RIEMANN HYPOTHESIS

FRANK VEGA

ABSTRACT. In mathematics, the Riemann Hypothesis is a conjecture that the Riemann zeta function has its zeros only at the negative even integers and complex numbers with real part  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Many consider it to be the most important unsolved problem in pure mathematics. It is one of the seven Millennium Prize Problems selected by the Clay Mathematics Institute to carry a US 1,000,000 prize for the first correct solution. In 1915, Ramanujan proved that under the assumption of the Riemann Hypothesis, the inequality  $\sigma(n) < e^\gamma \times n \times \log \log n$  holds for all sufficiently large  $n$ , where  $\sigma(n)$  is the sum-of-divisors function and  $\gamma \approx 0.57721$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. In 1984, Guy Robin proved that the inequality is true for all  $n > 5040$  if and only if the Riemann Hypothesis is true. In 2002, Lagarias proved that if the inequality  $\sigma(n) \leq H_n + \exp(H_n) \times \log H_n$  holds for all  $n \geq 1$ , then the Riemann Hypothesis is true, where  $H_n$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  harmonic number. In this work, we show certain properties of these both inequalities that leave us to a proof of the Riemann Hypothesis.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

As usual  $\sigma(n)$  is the sum-of-divisors function of  $n$  [Cho+07]:

$$\sum_{d|n} d.$$

Define  $f(n)$  to be  $\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}$ . Say Robins( $n$ ) holds provided

$$f(n) < e^\gamma \times \log \log n.$$

The constant  $\gamma \approx 0.57721$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant, and  $\log$  is the natural logarithm. Let  $H_n$  be  $\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j}$ . Say Lagarias( $n$ ) holds provided

$$\sigma(n) \leq H_n + \exp(H_n) \times \log H_n.$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11M26; Secondary 11A41, 26D15.

*Key words and phrases*. number theory, inequality, sum-of-divisors function, harmonic number, prime.

This work was supported by another researcher that shall be included as an author after his approval.

The importance of these properties is:

**Theorem 1.1.** [RH] *If  $\text{Robins}(n)$  holds for all  $n > 5040$ , then the Riemann Hypothesis is true [Lag02]. If  $\text{Lagarias}(n)$  holds for all  $n \geq 1$ , then the Riemann Hypothesis is true [Lag02].*

It is known that  $\text{Robins}(n)$  and  $\text{Lagarias}(n)$  hold for many classes of numbers  $n$ . We know this:

**Lemma 1.2.** [conditionally] *If  $\text{Robins}(n)$  holds for some  $n > 5040$ , then  $\text{Lagarias}(n)$  holds [Lag02].*

**Lemma 1.3.** [not-divisible]  *$\text{Robins}(n)$  holds for all  $n > 5040$  when  $2 \nmid n$  [Cho+07].*

We recall that an integer  $n$  is said to be square free if for every prime divisor  $q$  of  $n$  we have  $q^2 \nmid n$  [Cho+07].  $\text{Robins}(n)$  holds for all  $n > 5040$  that are square free [Cho+07]. Let  $\text{core}(n)$  denotes the square free kernel of a natural number  $n$  [Cho+07]. We can show this:

**Theorem 1.4.** [pi] *Let  $\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \log \log \text{core}(n) \leq \log \log n$  for some  $n > 5040$ . Then  $\text{Robins}(n)$  holds.*

Here, it is our main result:

**Theorem 1.5.** [main] *If  $\text{Lagarias}(4 \times n)$  holds for some  $n > 5040$ , then  $\text{Lagarias}(n)$  holds.*

In this way, we finally conclude that

**Theorem 1.6.** [final]  *$\text{Lagarias}(n)$  holds for all  $n \geq 1$  and thus, the Riemann Hypothesis is true.*

*Proof.* Every possible counterexample in  $\text{Lagarias}(n)$  for some  $n > 5040$  must comply that  $n$  is divisible by 2 because of lemmas 1.2 [conditionally] and 1.3 [not-divisible]. In addition,  $\text{Lagarias}(n)$  has been checked for all  $n \leq 5040$  by computer. Suppose that we need to prove  $\text{Lagarias}(n)$  for some  $n > 5040$  such that  $2^k \parallel n$ . We know by theorem 1.4 [pi], there is some  $k' > 1$  such that  $\text{Robins}(4^{k'} \times n)$  holds because of  $\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \log \log \text{core}(n) \leq \log \log(4^{k'} \times n)$ . Consequently, we can prove with  $4^{k'} \times n$  that  $\text{Lagarias}(n)$  also holds by a descendant argument using the theorem 1.5 [main]. In addition, we know that  $\text{Robins}(n)$  holds for all  $n > 5040$  such that  $2^k \mid n$  and  $2^{20} \nmid n$  for every integer  $1 \leq k \leq 19$  [Her18]:  $\text{Lagarias}(n)$  holds for the same numbers due to lemma 1.2 [conditionally]. In conclusion, we show that  $\text{Lagarias}(n)$  holds for all  $n \geq 1$  and therefore, the Riemann Hypothesis is true.  $\square$

## 2. KNOWN RESULTS

We use that the following are known:

**Lemma 2.1.** [\[sigma-bound\]](#)

$$f(n) < \prod_{p|n} \frac{p}{p-1}. \quad [\text{Cho+07}]$$

**Lemma 2.2.** [\[zeta\]](#)

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q_k^2}} = \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}. \quad [\text{Edw01}]$$

**Lemma 2.3.** [\[log-bound\]](#)

$$\log(e^\gamma \times (n+1)) \geq H_n \geq \log(e^\gamma \times n). \quad [\text{Lag02}]$$

## 3. A CENTRAL LEMMA

The following is a key lemma. It gives an upper bound on  $f(n)$  that holds for all  $n$ . The bound is too weak to prove  $\text{Robins}(n)$  directly, but is critical because it holds for all  $n$ . Further the bound only uses the primes that divide  $n$  and not how many times they divide  $n$ . This is a key insight.

**Lemma 3.1.** [\[pro\]](#) *Let  $n > 1$  and let all its prime divisors be  $q_1 < \dots < q_m$ . Then,*

$$f(n) < \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}.$$

*Proof.* We use that lemma 2.1 [\[sigma-bound\]](#):

$$f(n) < \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}.$$

Now for  $q > 1$ ,

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q^2}} = \frac{q^2}{q^2 - 1}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q^2}} \times \frac{q+1}{q} &= \frac{q^2}{q^2 - 1} \times \frac{q+1}{q} \\ &= \frac{q}{q-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by lemma 2.2 [zeta],

$$\prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q_k^2}} < \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

Putting this together yields the proof:

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &< \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q_i^2}} \times \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i} \\ &< \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}. \end{aligned}$$

□

#### 4. A CONDITION ON $\text{core}(n)$

**4.1. A Particular Case.** We prove the Robin's inequality for this specific case:

**Lemma 4.1.** [case] *Robins( $n$ ) holds for all  $n > 5040$  when  $\text{core}(n) \in \{2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 15, 30\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n > 5040$ . Specifically, let  $\text{core}(n)$  be the product of the primes  $q_1, \dots, q_m$ , such that  $\{q_1, \dots, q_m\} \subseteq \{2, 3, 5\}$ . We need to prove that

$$f(n) < e^\gamma \times \log \log n$$

that is true when

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \leq e^\gamma \times \log \log n$$

is also true, because of lemma 2.1 [sigma-bound]. Then, we have that

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \leq \frac{2 \times 3 \times 5}{1 \times 2 \times 4} = 3.75 < e^\gamma \times \log \log(5040) \approx 3.81.$$

However, for  $n > 5040$

$$e^\gamma \times \log \log(5040) < e^\gamma \times \log \log n$$

and hence, the proof is completed. □

**4.2. Main Insight.** The next theorem is a main insight. It extends the class of  $n$  so that  $\text{Robins}(n)$  holds. The key is that the class on  $n$  depend on how close  $n$  is to  $\text{core}(n)$ . The usual classes of such  $n$  are defined by their prime structure not by an inequality. This is perhaps one of the main insights.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \log \log \text{core}(n) \leq \log \log n$  for some  $n > 5040$ . Then  $\text{Robins}(n)$  holds.*

*Proof.* Let  $n' = \text{core}(n)$ . Let  $n'$  be the product of the distinct primes  $q_1, \dots, q_m$ . By assumption we have that

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \log \log n' \leq \log \log n.$$

When  $n' \leq 5040$ ,  $\text{Robins}(n')$  holds if  $n' \notin \{2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 30\}$  [Cho+07]. However, we can ignore this case, since  $\text{Robins}(n)$  holds for all  $n > 5040$  when  $\text{core}(n) \in \{2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 30\}$  because of lemma 4.1 [case]. When  $n' > 5040$ , we know that  $\text{Robins}(n')$  holds and so

$$f(n') < e^\gamma \times \log \log n'.$$

By previous lemma 3.1 [pro]

$$f(n) < \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}.$$

Suppose by way of contradiction that  $\text{Robins}(n)$  fails. Then

$$f(n) \geq e^\gamma \times \log \log n.$$

We claim that

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i} > e^\gamma \times \log \log n.$$

Since otherwise we would have a contradiction. This shows that

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i} > \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times e^\gamma \times \log \log n'.$$

Thus

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i} > e^\gamma \times \log \log n',$$

and

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i} > f(n'),$$

This is a contradiction since  $f(n')$  is equal to

$$\frac{(q_1 + 1) \times \cdots \times (q_m + 1)}{q_1 \times \cdots \times q_m}.$$

□

## 5. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

**Theorem 5.1.** *If Lagarias( $4 \times n$ ) holds for some  $n > 5040$ , then Lagarias( $n$ ) holds.*

*Proof.* We need to prove

$$\sigma(n) \leq H_n + \exp(H_n) \times \log H_n.$$

If we multiply the both sides of the inequality by 4, then we obtain that

$$4 \times \sigma(n) \leq 4 \times H_n + 4 \times \exp(H_n) \times \log H_n.$$

We know that  $4 \times \sigma(n) < \sigma(4 \times n)$  [Cho+07]. In this way, we have that

$$\sigma(4 \times n) \leq 4 \times H_n + 4 \times \exp(H_n) \times \log H_n.$$

Hence, it is enough to prove that

$$H_{4 \times n} + \exp(H_{4 \times n}) \times \log H_{4 \times n} \leq 4 \times H_n + 4 \times \exp(H_n) \times \log H_n.$$

since Lagarias( $4 \times n$ ) holds. Using the lemma 2.3 [log-bound], we note that will be equivalent to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \log(e^\gamma \times (4 \times n + 1)) + e^\gamma \times (4 \times n + 1) \times \log \log(e^\gamma \times (4 \times n + 1)) \\ & \leq 4 \times \log(e^\gamma \times n) + 4 \times e^\gamma \times n \times \log \log(e^\gamma \times n). \end{aligned}$$

We can check this previous inequality for all  $n > 5040$  and thus, the proof is finished. □

## REFERENCES

- [Cho+07] YoungJu Choie et al. “On Robin’s criterion for the Riemann hypothesis”. In: *Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux* 19.2 (2007), pp. 357–372. DOI: 10.5802/jtnb.591.
- [Edw01] Harold M. Edwards. *Riemann’s Zeta Function*. Dover Publications, 2001. ISBN: 0-486-41740-9.
- [Her18] Alexander Hertlein. “Robin’s Inequality for New Families of Integers”. In: *Integers* 18 (2018).
- [Lag02] Jeffrey C. Lagarias. “An Elementary Problem Equivalent to the Riemann Hypothesis”. In: *The American Mathematical Monthly* 109.6 (2002), pp. 534–543. DOI: 10.2307/2695443.

COPSONIC, 1471 ROUTE DE SAINT-NAUPHARY 82000 MONTAUBAN, FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* [vega.frank@gmail.com](mailto:vega.frank@gmail.com)