THE ROBIN'S INEQUALITY

FRANK VEGA

ABSTRACT. In mathematics, the Riemann hypothesis is a conjecture that the Riemann zeta function has its zeros only at the negative even integers and complex numbers with real part $\frac{1}{2}$. Many consider it to be the most important unsolved problem in pure mathematics. The Robin's inequality consists in $\sigma(n) < e^{\gamma} \times n \times \ln \ln n$ where $\sigma(n)$ is the sum-of-divisors function and $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. The Robin's inequality is true for every natural number n > 5040 if and only if the Riemann hypothesis is true. Given a natural number $n = q_1^{a_1} \times q_2^{a_2} \times \cdots \times q_m^{a_m} > 5040$ such that q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_m are prime numbers and a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_m are natural numbers, then the Robin's inequality is true for n when $q_1^{\alpha} \times q_2^{\alpha} \times \cdots \times q_m^{\alpha} \leq n$, where $\alpha = (\ln n')^{\beta}$, $\beta = (\frac{\pi^2}{6} - 1)$ and n' is the squarefree kernel of n. Moreover, we prove the Robin's inequality is true for every natural number $n = 113^k \times n' > 5040$ over an integer $k \geq 1$ when $(\ln n')^{\beta} \leq \ln n$, such that $\beta = \frac{113}{112}$ and n' is not divisible by 113.

1. INTRODUCTION

In mathematics, the Riemann hypothesis is a conjecture that the Riemann zeta function has its zeros only at the negative even integers and complex numbers with real part $\frac{1}{2}$. Many consider it to be the most important unsolved problem in pure mathematics [2]. It is of great interest in number theory because it implies results about the distribution of prime numbers [2]. It was proposed by Bernhard Riemann (1859), after whom it is named [2]. It is one of the seven Millennium Prize Problems selected by the Clay Mathematics Institute to carry a US 1,000,000 prize for the first correct solution [2].

The sum-of-divisors function $\sigma(n)$ for a natural number n is defined as the sum of the powers of the divisors of n

$$\sigma(n) = \sum_{k|n} k$$

where $k \mid n$ means that the natural number k divides n [7]. In 1915, Ramanujan proved that under the assumption of the Riemann hypothesis, the inequality

$$\sigma(n) < e^{\gamma} \times n \times \ln \ln n$$

holds for all sufficiently large n, where $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant [4]. The largest known value that violates the inequality is n = 5040. In 1984, Guy Robin proved that the inequality is true for all n > 5040 if and only if the Riemann hypothesis is true [4]. Using this inequality, we show an interesting result.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11M26; Secondary 11A41.

Key words and phrases. number theory, inequality, sum-of-divisors function, prime.

2. Results

Theorem 2.1. Given a natural number

$$n = q_1^{a_1} \times q_2^{a_2} \times \dots \times q_m^{a_m}$$

such that q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m are prime numbers and a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m are natural numbers, then we obtain the following inequality

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} < \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i+1}{q_i}.$$

Proof. From the article reference [1], we know

(2.1)
$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} < \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}.$$

We can easily prove

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1 - q_i^{-2}} \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}.$$

However, we know

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{1-q_i^{-2}} < \prod_{j=1}^\infty \frac{1}{1-q_j^{-2}}$$

where q_j is the j^{th} prime number and

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q_j^{-2}} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

as a consequence of the result in the Basel problem [7]. Consequently, we obtain

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} < \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i}$$

and thus,

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} < \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i+1}{q_i}.$$

Theorem 2.2. For $x \ge 11$, we have

$$\sum_{q \le x} \frac{1}{q} < \ln \ln x + \gamma - 0.12$$

where $q \leq x$ means all the primes lesser than or equal to x. Proof. For x > 1, we have

$$\sum_{q \le x} \frac{1}{q} < \ln \ln x + B + \frac{1}{\ln^2 x}$$

where

$$B = 0.2614972128 \cdots$$

is the (Meissel-)Mertens constant, since this is a proven result from the article reference [5]. This is the same as

$$\sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q} < \ln \ln x + \gamma - (C - \frac{1}{\ln^2 x})$$

where $\gamma - B = C > 0.31$, because of $\gamma > B$. If we analyze $(C - \frac{1}{\ln^2 x})$, then this complies with

$$(C - \frac{1}{\ln^2 x}) > (0.31 - \frac{1}{\ln^2 11}) > 0.12$$

for $x \ge 11$ and thus, we finally prove

$$\sum_{q \le x} \frac{1}{q} < \ln \ln x + \gamma - (C - \frac{1}{\ln^2 x}) < \ln \ln x + \gamma - 0.12.$$

Definition 2.3. We recall that an integer n is said to be squarefree if for every prime divisor q of n we have $q^2 \nmid n$, where $q^2 \nmid n$ means that q^2 does not divide n [1].

Theorem 2.4. Given a squarefree number

$$n = q_1 \times \cdots \times q_m$$

such that q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m are odd prime numbers, the greatest prime divisor of n is greater than 7 and $3 \nmid n$, then we obtain the following inequality

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times \sigma(n) \le e^{\gamma} \times n \times \ln \ln(2^{19} \times n).$$

Proof. This proof is very similar with the demonstration in Theorem 1.1 from the article reference [1]. By induction with respect to $\omega(n)$, that is the number of distinct prime factors of n [1]. Put $\omega(n) = m$ [1]. We need to prove the assertion for those integers with m = 1. From a squarefree number n, we obtain

(2.2)
$$\sigma(n) = (q_1+1) \times (q_2+1) \times \dots \times (q_m+1)$$

when $n = q_1 \times q_2 \times \cdots \times q_m$ [1]. In this way, for every prime number $q_i \ge 11$, then we need to prove

(2.3)
$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times (1 + \frac{1}{q_i}) \le e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln(2^{19} \times q_i).$$

For $q_i = 11$, we have

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times (1 + \frac{1}{11}) \le e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln(2^{19} \times 11)$$

is actually true. For another prime number $q_i > 11$, we have

$$(1+\frac{1}{q_i}) < (1+\frac{1}{11})$$

and

$$\ln \ln(2^{19} \times 11) < \ln \ln(2^{19} \times q_i)$$

which clearly implies that the inequality (2.3) is true for every prime number $q_i \ge 11$. Now, suppose it is true for m-1, with $m \ge 2$ and let us consider the assertion for those squarefree n with $\omega(n) = m$ [1]. So let $n = q_1 \times \cdots \times q_m$ be a squarefree number and assume that $q_1 < \cdots < q_m$ for $q_m \ge 11$.

FRANK VEGA

Case 1: $q_m \ge \ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) = \ln(2^{19} \times n)$. By the induction hypothesis we have

 $\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times (q_1+1) \times \cdots \times (q_{m-1}+1) \le e^{\gamma} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times \ln \ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1})$ and hence

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times (q_1+1) \times \dots \times (q_{m-1}+1) \times (q_m+1) \le$$

 $e^{\gamma} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times (q_m+1) \times \ln \ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1})$

when we multiply the both sides of the inequality by $(q_m + 1)$. We want to show

$$e^{\gamma} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times (q_m+1) \times \ln \ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}) \le$$

 $e^{\gamma} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m \times \ln \ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) = e^{\gamma} \times n \times \ln \ln(2^{19} \times n).$ Indeed the previous inequality is equivalent with

 $q_m \times \ln \ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) \ge (q_m + 1) \times \ln \ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1})$ or alternatively

$$\frac{q_m \times (\ln \ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \dots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) - \ln \ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \dots \times q_{m-1}))}{\ln q_m} \ge \frac{\ln \ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \dots \times q_{m-1})}{\ln \ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \dots \times q_{m-1})}$$

$$\frac{\ln\ln(2^{10}\times q_1\times\cdots\times q_{m-1})}{\ln q_m}$$

From the reference [1], we have if 0 < a < b, then

(2.4)
$$\frac{\ln b - \ln a}{b - a} = \frac{1}{(b - a)} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{dt}{t} > \frac{1}{b}.$$

We can apply the inequality (2.4) to the previous one just using $b = \ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m)$ and $a = \ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1})$. Certainly, we have

$$\ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \dots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) - \ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \dots \times q_{m-1}) =$$
$$\ln \frac{2^{19} \times q_1 \times \dots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m}{2^{19} \times q_1 \times \dots \times q_{m-1}} = \ln q_m.$$

In this way, we obtain

$$\frac{q_m \times (\ln \ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \dots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) - \ln \ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \dots \times q_{m-1}))}{\ln q_m} > \frac{q_m}{\ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \dots \times q_m)}.$$

Using this result we infer that the original inequality is certainly satisfied if the next inequality is satisfied

$$\frac{q_m}{\ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \dots \times q_m)} \ge \frac{\ln \ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \dots \times q_{m-1})}{\ln q_m}$$

which is trivially true for $q_m \ge \ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m)$ [1]. Case 2: $q_m < \ln(2^{19} \times q_1 \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_m) = \ln(2^{19} \times n)$.

We need to prove

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} \le e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln(2^{19} \times n).$$

We know $\frac{3}{2} < 1.503 < \frac{4}{2.66}$. Nevertheless, we could have

$$\frac{3}{2} \times \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} \times \frac{\pi^2}{6} < \frac{4 \times \sigma(n)}{3 \times n} \times \frac{\pi^2}{2 \times 2.66}$$

and therefore, we only need to prove

$$\frac{\sigma(3\times n)}{3\times n}\times \frac{\pi^2}{5.32} \le e^\gamma \times \ln\ln(2^{19}\times n)$$

where this is possible because of $3 \nmid n$. If we apply the logarithm to the both sides of the inequality, then we obtain

$$\ln(\frac{\pi^2}{5.32}) + (\ln(3+1) - \ln 3) + \sum_{i=1}^m (\ln(q_i+1) - \ln q_i) \le \gamma + \ln \ln \ln(2^{19} \times n).$$

From the reference [1], we note

$$\ln(q_1+1) - \ln q_1 = \int_{q_1}^{q_1+1} \frac{dt}{t} < \frac{1}{q_1}.$$

In addition, note $\ln(\frac{\pi^2}{5.32}) < \frac{1}{2} + 0.12$. However, we know

$$\gamma + \ln \ln q_m < \gamma + \ln \ln \ln (2^{19} \times n)$$

since $q_m < \ln(2^{19} \times n)$ and therefore, it is enough to prove

$$0.12 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{q_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_m} \le 0.12 + \sum_{q \le q_m} \frac{1}{q} \le \gamma + \ln \ln q_m$$

where $q_m \ge 11$. In this way, we only need to prove

$$\sum_{q \le q_m} \frac{1}{q} \le \gamma + \ln \ln q_m - 0.12$$

which is true according to the Theorem 2.2 when $q_m \ge 11$. In this way, we finally show the Theorem is indeed satisfied.

Theorem 2.5. Given a natural number

$$n = 2^{a_1} \times 3^{a_2} \times 5^{a_3} \times 7^{a_4} > 5040$$

such that $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \ge 0$ are integers, then the Robin's inequality is true for n.

Proof. Given a natural number $n = q_1^{a_1} \times q_2^{a_2} \times \cdots \times q_m^{a_m} > 5040$ such that q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_m are prime numbers and a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_m are natural numbers, we need to prove

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln n$$

that is true when

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \le e^\gamma \times \ln \ln n$$

according to the inequality (2.1). Given a natural number $n = 2^{a_1} \times 3^{a_2} \times 5^{a_3} > 5040$ such that $a_1, a_2, a_3 \ge 0$ are integers, we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \le \frac{2 \times 3 \times 5}{1 \times 2 \times 4} = 3.75 < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln(5040) \approx 3.81.5$$

However, we know for n > 5040

$$e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln(5040) < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln n$$

and therefore, the proof is completed for that case. Hence, we only need to prove the Robin's inequality is true for every natural number $n = 2^{a_1} \times 3^{a_2} \times 5^{a_3} \times 7^{a_4} > 5040$ such that $a_1, a_2, a_3 \ge 0$ and $a_4 \ge 1$ are integers. In addition, we know the Robin's inequality is true for every natural number n > 5040 such that $7^k \mid n$ and $7^7 \nmid n$ for some integer $1 \le k \le 6$ [3]. Therefore, we need to prove this case for those natural numbers n > 5040 such that $7^7 \mid n$. In this way, we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \le \frac{2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7}{1 \times 2 \times 4 \times 6} = 4.375 < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln(7^7) \approx 4.65.$$

However, we know for n > 5040 and $7^7 \mid n$ such that

$$e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln(7^7) \le e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln n$$

and as a consequence, the proof is completed.

Theorem 2.6. Given a natural number

$$n = q_1^{a_1} \times q_2^{a_2} \times \dots \times q_m^{a_m} > 5040$$

such that q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m are prime numbers and a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m are natural numbers, then the Robin's inequality is true for n when $q_1^{\alpha} \times q_2^{\alpha} \times \dots \times q_m^{\alpha} \leq n$, where $\alpha = (\ln n')^{\beta}, \beta = (\frac{\pi^2}{6} - 1)$ and n' is the squarefree kernel of n.

Proof. We will check the Robin's inequality for every natural number $n = q_1^{a_1} \times q_2^{a_2} \times \cdots \times q_m^{a_m} > 5040$ such that q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_m are prime numbers and a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_m are natural numbers. We need to prove

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln n$$

that is true when

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{q_i+1}{q_i} \le e^\gamma \times \ln \ln n$$

according to the Theorem 2.1. Using the equation (2.2), we obtain that will be equivalent to

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{\sigma(n')}{n'} \le e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln n$$

where $n' = q_1 \times \cdots \times q_m$ is the squarefree kernel of n [1]. However, the Robin's inequality has been proved for all the squarefree integers $n' \notin \{2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 30\}$ [1]. In addition, due to the Theorem 2.5, the Robin's inequality is true for every natural number n > 5040 when $n' \in \{2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 30\}$, where n' is the squarefree kernel of n. In this way, we have

$$\frac{\sigma(n')}{n'} < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln n'$$

and therefore, it is enough to prove

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln n' \le e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln n$$

which is the same as

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \ln \ln n' \le \ln \ln n$$

6

and

$$\ln(\ln n')^{\frac{\pi^2}{6}} \le \ln \ln n$$

that is true when

$$(\ln n')^{\frac{\pi^2}{6}} \le \ln n$$

is true. Consequently, that would be equivalent to

$$e^{(\ln n')^{\frac{\pi^2}{6}}} \le r$$

which is equal to

that is true when

$$e^{(\ln n') \times (\ln n')^{(\frac{\pi^2}{6} - 1)}} \le n$$

that is

$$n^{\prime(\ln n')^{(\frac{\pi^2}{6}-1)}} \le n$$

and thus, the proof is completed.

Theorem 2.7. The Robin's inequality is true for every natural number n > 5040when $3 \nmid n$. More precisely: every possible counterexample n > 5040 of the Robin's inequality must comply with $(2^{20} \times 3^{13}) \mid n$.

Proof. We will check the Robin's inequality is true for every natural number $n = q_1^{a_1} \times q_2^{a_2} \times \cdots \times q_m^{a_m} > 5040$ such that q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_m are prime numbers, a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_m are natural numbers and $3 \nmid n$. We know this is true when the greatest prime divisor of n > 5040 is lesser than or equal to 7 according to the Theorem 2.5. Therefore, the remaining case is when the greatest prime divisor of n > 5040 is greater than 7. We need to prove

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln n$$

$$\frac{e^{2}}{1} \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i} + 1}{a_{i}} \le e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln n$$

 $rac{\pi^2}{6} imes \prod_{i=1}^m rac{q_i+1}{q_i}$:

according to the Theorem 2.1. Using the equation (2.2), we obtain that will be equivalent to

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{\sigma(n')}{n'} \le e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln n$$

where $n' = q_1 \times \cdots \times q_m$ is the squarefree kernel of n [1]. However, the Robin's inequality has been proved for all integers n not divisible by 2 (which are bigger than 10) [1]. Hence, we only need to prove the Robin's inequality is true when $2 \mid n'$. In addition, we know the Robin's inequality is true for every natural number n > 5040 such that $2^k \mid n$ and $2^{20} \nmid n$ for some integer $1 \le k \le 19$ [3]. Consequently, we only need to prove the Robin's inequality is true for all n > 5040 such that $2^{20} \mid n$ and thus,

$$e^{\gamma} \times n' \times \ln \ln(2^{19} \times \frac{n'}{2}) < e^{\gamma} \times n' \times \ln \ln n$$

because of $2^{19} \times \frac{n'}{2} < n$ when $2^{20} \mid n$ and $2 \mid n'$. In this way, we only need to prove

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \sigma(n') \le e^{\gamma} \times n' \times \ln \ln(2^{19} \times \frac{n'}{2}).$$

According to the equation (2.2) and $2 \mid n'$, we have

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times 3 \times \sigma(\frac{n'}{2}) \le e^\gamma \times 2 \times \frac{n'}{2} \times \ln \ln(2^{19} \times \frac{n'}{2})$$

7

which is the same as

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times \sigma(\frac{n'}{2}) \le e^{\gamma} \times \frac{n'}{2} \times \ln \ln(2^{19} \times \frac{n'}{2})$$

that is true according to the Theorem 2.4 when $3 \nmid \frac{n'}{2}$. In addition, we know the Robin's inequality is true for every natural number n > 5040 such that $3^k \mid n$ and $3^{13} \nmid n$ for some integer $1 \leq k \leq 12$ [3]. Consequently, we only need to prove the Robin's inequality is true for all n > 5040 such that $2^{20} \mid n$ and $3^{13} \mid n$. To sum up, the proof is completed.

Theorem 2.8. The Robin's inequality is true for every natural number n > 5040 when n is not divisible by 5. Moreover, we show the Robin's inequality is true for every natural number n > 5040 when n is not divisible by 7.

Proof. Let's define $s(n) = \frac{\sigma(n)}{n}$ [6]. Hence, we need to prove

$$s(n) < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln n$$

when $(2^{20} \times 3^{13}) \mid n$. Suppose that $n = 2^a \times 3^b \times m$, where $a \ge 20, b \ge 13, 2 \nmid m$, $3 \nmid m$ and $5 \nmid m$ or $7 \nmid m$. Therefore, we need to prove

$$s(2^a \times 3^b \times m) < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln(2^a \times 3^b \times m).$$

We know

$$s(2^a \times 3^b \times m) = s(3^b) \times s(2^a \times m)$$

since s is multiplicative [6]. In addition, we know $s(3^b) < \frac{3}{2}$ for every natural number b [6]. In this way, we have

$$s(3^b) \times s(2^a \times m) < \frac{3}{2} \times s(2^a \times m)$$

Now, consider

$$\frac{3}{2} \times s(2^a \times m) = \frac{9}{8} \times s(3) \times s(2^a \times m) = \frac{9}{8} \times s(2^a \times 3 \times m)$$

where $s(3) = \frac{4}{3}$ since s is multiplicative [6]. Nevertheless, we have

$$\frac{9}{8} \times s(2^a \times 3 \times m) < s(5) \times s(2^a \times 3 \times m) = s(2^a \times 3 \times 5 \times m)$$

and

$$\frac{9}{8} \times s(2^a \times 3 \times m) < s(7) \times s(2^a \times 3 \times m) = s(2^a \times 3 \times 7 \times m)$$

where $5 \nmid m$ or $7 \nmid m$, $s(5) = \frac{6}{5}$ and $s(7) = \frac{8}{7}$. However, we know the Robin's inequality is true for $2^a \times 3 \times 5 \times m$ and $2^a \times 3 \times 7 \times m$ when $a \geq 20$, since this is true for every natural number n > 5040 such that $3^k \mid n$ and $3^{13} \nmid n$ for some integer $1 \leq k \leq 12$ [3]. Hence, we would have

$$s(2^a \times 3 \times 5 \times m) < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln(2^a \times 3 \times 5 \times m) < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln(2^a \times 3^b \times m)$$

and

$$s(2^a \times 3 \times 7 \times m) < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln(2^a \times 3 \times 7 \times m) < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln(2^a \times 3^b \times m)$$

when $b \ge 13$.

Theorem 2.9. The Robin's inequality is true for every natural number n > 5040when n is not divisible by any prime number $11 \le q_m \le 47$. *Proof.* We need to prove

$$s(n) < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln n$$

when $(2^{20} \times 3^{13} \times 7^7) \mid n$. Suppose that $n = 2^a \times 3^b \times 7^c \times m$, where $a \ge 20, b \ge 13$, $c \ge 7, 2 \nmid m, 3 \nmid m, 7 \nmid m, q_m \nmid m$ and $11 \le q_m \le 47$. Therefore, we need to prove

$$s(2^a \times 3^b \times 7^c \times m) < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln(2^a \times 3^b \times 7^c \times m).$$

We know

$$s(2^a \times 3^b \times 7^c \times m) = s(7^c) \times s(2^a \times 3^b \times m)$$

since s is multiplicative [6]. In addition, we know $s(7^c) < \frac{7}{6}$ for every natural number c [6]. In this way, we have

$$s(7^c) \times s(2^a \times 3^b \times m) < \frac{7}{6} \times s(2^a \times 3^b \times m)$$

However, that would be equivalent to

$$\frac{49}{48} \times s(7) \times s(2^a \times 3^b \times m) = \frac{49}{48} \times s(2^a \times 3^b \times 7 \times m)$$

where $s(7) = \frac{8}{7}$. In addition, we know

$$\frac{49}{48} \times s(2^a \times 3^b \times 7 \times m) < s(q_m) \times s(2^a \times 3^b \times 7 \times m) = s(2^a \times 3^b \times 7 \times q_m \times m)$$

where $q_m \nmid m$, $s(q_m) = \frac{q_m+1}{q_m}$ and $11 \leq q_m \leq 47$. Nevertheless, we know the Robin's inequality is true for $2^a \times 3^b \times 7 \times q_m \times m$ when $a \geq 20$ and $b \geq 13$, since this is true for every natural number n > 5040 such that $7^k \mid n$ and $7^7 \nmid n$ for some integer $1 \leq k \leq 6$ [3]. Hence, we would have

$$\begin{split} s(2^a \times 3^b \times 7 \times q_m \times m) &< e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln(2^a \times 3^b \times 7 \times q_m \times m) < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln(2^a \times 3^b \times 7^c \times m) \\ \text{when } c \geq 7 \text{ and } 11 \leq q_m \leq 47. \end{split}$$

Theorem 2.10. The Robin's inequality is true for every natural number n > 5040when n is not divisible by any prime number $53 \le q_m \le 113$.

Proof. We know the Robin's inequality is true for every natural number n > 5040 such that $11^k \mid n$ and $11^6 \nmid n$ for some integer $1 \le k \le 5$ [3]. We need to prove

$$s(n) < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln n$$

when $(2^{20} \times 3^{13} \times 11^6) \mid n$. Suppose that $n = 2^a \times 3^b \times 11^c \times m$, where $a \ge 20$, $b \ge 13$, $c \ge 6$, $2 \nmid m$, $3 \nmid m$, $11 \nmid m$, $q_m \nmid m$ and $53 \le q_m \le 113$. Therefore, we need to prove

$$s(2^a \times 3^b \times 11^c \times m) < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln(2^a \times 3^b \times 11^c \times m).$$

We know

 $s(2^a \times 3^b \times 11^c \times m) = s(11^c) \times s(2^a \times 3^b \times m)$

since s is multiplicative [6]. In addition, we know $s(11^c) < \frac{11}{10}$ for every natural number c [6]. In this way, we have

$$s(11^c) \times s(2^a \times 3^b \times m) < \frac{11}{10} \times s(2^a \times 3^b \times m).$$

However, that would be equivalent to

$$\frac{121}{120} \times s(11) \times s(2^a \times 3^b \times m) = \frac{121}{120} \times s(2^a \times 3^b \times 11 \times m)$$

where $s(11) = \frac{12}{11}$. In addition, we know

 $\frac{121}{120} \times s(2^a \times 3^b \times 11 \times m) < s(q_m) \times s(2^a \times 3^b \times 11 \times m) = s(2^a \times 3^b \times 11 \times q_m \times m)$

where $q_m \nmid m$, $s(q_m) = \frac{q_m+1}{q_m}$ and $53 \leq q_m \leq 113$. Nevertheless, we know the Robin's inequality is true for $2^a \times 3^b \times 11 \times q_m \times m$ when $a \geq 20$ and $b \geq 13$, since this is true for every natural number n > 5040 such that $11^k \mid n$ and $11^6 \nmid n$ for some integer $1 \leq k \leq 5$ [3]. Hence, we would have

$$s(2^a \times 3^b \times 11 \times q_m \times m) < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln(2^a \times 3^b \times 11 \times q_m \times m) < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln(2^a \times 3^b \times 11^c \times m)$$

when $c > 6$ and $53 < q_m < 113$.

Theorem 2.11. The Robin's inequality is true for every natural number n > 5040when n is not divisible by any prime number $q_m \leq 113$.

Proof. This is a compendium of the results from the Theorems 2.7, 2.8, 2.9 and 2.10. $\hfill \Box$

Theorem 2.12. The Robin's inequality is true for every natural number $n = 113^k \times n' > 5040$ over an integer $k \ge 1$ when $(\ln n')^{\beta} \le \ln n$, such that $\beta = \frac{113}{112}$ and $113 \nmid n'$.

Proof. Suppose that $n = 113^k \times n'$ for an integer $k \ge 1$ and a natural number n', where $113 \nmid n'$. Hence, we need to prove

(2.5)
$$s(n) < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln n.$$

Now, consider the case when $n' \leq 5040$. We know

(2.6) $s(113^k \times n') = s(113^k) \times s(n')$

since s is multiplicative [6]. In addition, we know $s(113^k) < \frac{113}{112}$ for every possible integer $k \ge 1$ [6]. In this way, we have

(2.7)
$$s(113^k) \times s(n') < \frac{113}{112} \times s(n').$$

However, we know the maximum value of s(n') is when n' = 5040, where $n' \le 5040$ and s(5040) has the following property of s(5040) < 3.9. Consequently, we have

$$\frac{113}{112} \times s(n') < \frac{113}{112} \times 3.9$$

when $n' \leq 5040$. We know $\frac{113}{112} \times 3.9 < 4 < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln e^{e^4}$. In addition, we know the Robin's inequality is true for every natural number $5040 < n \leq 10^{10^{10}}$ [3]. Therefore, we only need to prove this case for every natural number $n > 10^{10^{10}}$. Nevertheless, for every natural number $n > 10^{10^{10}}$, we will have

$$e^{\gamma} imes \ln \ln e^{e^*} < e^{\gamma} imes \ln \ln n$$

due to $e^{e^4} < 10^{10^{10}}$ and as result, the Theorem is true for this case.

In the case of n' > 5040, we know

$$s(n') < e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln n'$$

because of $113 \nmid n'$ after of applying the result of Theorem 2.11. If we multiply the both sides of the inequality by $\frac{113}{112}$, then we would have

$$\frac{113}{112} \times s(n') < \frac{113}{112} \times e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln n'.$$

10

If we use the inequalities (2.6) and (2.7), then we obtain

$$s(n) < \frac{113}{112} \times e^{\gamma} \times \ln \ln n'.$$

and thus, the inequality (2.5) is true when

$$\frac{113}{112} \times \ln \ln n' \le \ln \ln n$$

is true, that is equivalent to

$$(\ln n')^{\frac{113}{112}} \le \ln n.$$

3. Conclusions

The practical uses of the Riemann hypothesis include many propositions which are known true under the Riemann hypothesis, and some of them can be shown equivalent to the Riemann hypothesis [2]. Certainly, the Riemann hypothesis is close related to various mathematical topics such as the distribution of prime numbers, the growth of arithmetic functions, the Lindelöf hypothesis, the large prime gap conjecture, etc [2]. Indeed, a proof of the Riemann hypothesis could spur considerable advances in many mathematical areas, such as the number theory and pure mathematics [2]. In this way, we made a new step forward in the efforts of trying to prove the Riemann hypothesis.

References

- YoungJu Choie, Nicolas Lichiardopol, Pieter Moree, and Patrick Solé. On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis. Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux, 19(2):357–372, 2007.
- [2] Keith J. Devlin. The Millennium Problems: The Seven Greatest Unsolved Mathematical Puzzles Of Our Time. Basic Books, 2003.
- [3] Alexander Hertlein. Robin's Inequality for New Families of Integers. Integers, 18, 2018.
- [4] Jeffrey C. Lagarias. An Elementary Problem Equivalent to the Riemann Hypothesis. The American Mathematical Monthly, 109(6):534–543, 2002.
- [5] J. Barkley Rosser and Lowell Schoenfeld. Approximate Formulas for Some Functions of Prime Numbers. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 6(1):64–94, 1962.
- [6] Robert Vojak. On numbers satisfying Robin's inequality, properties of the next counterexample and improved specific bounds. arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.09307, 2020.
- [7] David G. Wells. Prime Numbers, The Most Mysterious Figures in Math. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2005.

COPSONIC, 1471 ROUTE DE SAINT-NAUPHARY 82000 MONTAUBAN, FRANCE *E-mail address*: vega.frank@gmail.com