## ISic4367

Egadi 6: Latin inscription on a Roman bronze ship's ram

## Language

Latin

Type<br>Construction text (probatio)

## Material

bronze

## Object

rostrum

## Editor

Jonathan Prag
Principal Contributor
Jonathan Prag

## Contributors

Jonathan Prag,James Cummings,James Chartrand,Valeria Vitale,Michael Metcalfe

## Autopsy

2012.06.29

Last Change
2017-08-01 - Jonathan Prag created and edited the file

Place of origin (ancient)
Aegusa
Place of origin (modern)
Favignana

## Provenance

Found on the sea-bed in 2011 at a depth of 72 m in the debris scatter (Egadi Islands survery sector PW-A) c.7km W of Levanzo island, which is thought to mark the

## Coordinates

38.025765, 12.254010

## Current Location

Italy, Sicily, Favignana, Stabilimento Florio delle Tonnare di Favignana e delle Formiche, inventory Egadi 6 ('Cece')

## Physical Description

A bronze rostrum (ram), constructed in a single casting, consisting of a tailpiece, driving head and cowl, designed to be fitted over the wale and keel
timbers at the bow of a warship. Large nails secured the ram to the timbers. The
head of the ram is distinguished by three fins, forming the shape of trident in
relief on the sides of the head. The upper surface of the cowl nosing is
distinguished by a relief of a winged victory at the top, and below that a Latin
inscription in relief letters. The ram weighs
approximately 125 kg .

## Dimensions

Height 54.0 cm
Width 44.5 cm
Depth 100.9 cm

## Layout

The text occupies two lines, left to right, on the upper face of the cowl, and is read from the starboard side. The upper face of the cowl (concave) is 940 mm
long, wider at the top, narrowing towards the head (88 mm wide at the start of
the text, 47 mm wide at the end of the text). As with \#4, on the upper part of
the face is a moulded relief of a winged victory, carrying a laurel crown in
right hand and palm frond in left. In contrast to \#4, there
is a small amount of
blank space above and below the winged victory. As with the Egadi 4 ram, the inscription is moulded and cast, constituting part of the original casting of the ram along with the relief decoration. The letters are in strong relief (3-4 mm high). The text occupies a length of 483 mm ; line 1 is shorter, with a vacat following, but the final two letters of line 2 are significantly larger than those preceding and fill most of the available field. Blank space follows the cleaning the text is text. The surface is in very good condition, and after clear and the letters sharp.

## Execution

Moulded letters, cast in relief

## Letter Forms

The letters of line 1 are between 25 and 36 mm in height, largest at the beginning, and reducing significantly in size after the first six letters: the first six letters are 31-36 mm; the last five letters are $25-27 \mathrm{~mm}$ in height. In line 2, the
initial M is 38 mm high, the remainder, excluding the final two, are between 33 and

25 mm , reducing steadily in height as the line proceeds.
The second $O$ is incomplete,
as its base projected over the edge of the cowl face and was cut off in the making
of the mould. The final two letters ( Q and P ) are 36 and 33 mm in height and sit
higher in the field. They are not therefore the largest letters in the inscription, although they may appear so to the naked eye as a result of the text layout.

Interpuncts are formed by circular dots (also in relief) and are placed between
every word, but not at line end. Letter forms: $M$ is formed by sloping hastae, with
the middle point touching the baseline; P is rectilinear and open, with the right
vertical slightly less than half the length of the left; O fills the full letter
height; $L$ is sharply hooked; $F$ has equal horizontal bars; $Q$ has a near horizontal
tail coming off bottom right; A has broken bar; E has a slightly shorter middle bar;
$R$ has a closed and rounded head, the tail does not extend to the baseline.

## Letter heights:

Line 1: $25-36 \mathrm{~mm}$
Line 2: 25-38 mm

## Text

1. C (aios) • Paperio (s) • Ti (beri) • f (ilios)
2. M (arcos) • Populicio (s) • L (ucii) • f (ilios) • q (uaestores) • p (robaverunt)

## Apparatus

## Translation (en)

Gaius Papirius, son of Tiberius, (and) Marcus Publicius, son of Lucius, quaestors, approved (this ram)

## Commentary

The names are in the abbreviated archaic nominative in -o(s). The names themselves are both well-attested archaic forms: Paperios for classical Papirius, Populicios for classical Publicius. The expansion of the abbreviation Q. P. appears to be straightforward, as suggested by the text of Egadi 7, 8 and 10. It is difficult to imagine in the context that Q can stand for anything other than quaestor (as an abbreviation it is extremely common). On the other hand, it is rare to find the verb probare abbreviated to $p$ alone, and without the parallels of \#7, \#8 and \#10 the resolution might not have been so obvious. It is normal for an office to be listed once to cover a list of multiple individuals, but typically this is done by placing the office at the end of the list of names, and commonly
on a new line. However, parallels for the layout found here can be adduced. Orthographic elements suggest a relatively early date: the second declension nominative form in os, and the archaic forms of the names Publicius and Papirius. Likewise the letter forms are suggestive of a mid-Republican date (third or early second century BC).
M. Publicius L. f. L. n. Malleolus (RE 22) was consul in 232 BC. The omission of the cognomen is unremarkable. Although we know of a number of other Publicii in this period, no other M. Publicius L. f. is attested, and only a dozen Publicii in total are attested holding office under the Republic; a small number of other holders of the name is also known (notably, an M. Populicios M. f. in a third-century dedication from the Tiber island). We know from literary sources that the consul of 232 also held the aedileship alongside his brother L. Publicius in either 241 or 238 BC . The date provides a terminus ante quem for his quaestorship, but greater precision is difficult. It was normal for a year to intervene between the holding of magistracies, even at this relatively early date, but the evidence is almost non-existent for the lesser magistracies such as the quaestorship. There is no basis for reconstructing a 'standard' cursus in this period, as the praetorship was not yet a standard step in the cursus (the second praetorship was only instituted c. 242 BC ), and the aedileship was never compulsory. Third-century evidence for the place of the quaestorship in the cursus is negligible. In the second century we know of 18 individuals whose quaestorship can be dated (sometimes only approximately) and who went on to hold the consulship. In three cases the interval was, or may have been, less than 10 years. Attested intervals otherwise range from 12 to 21 years, with 7 cases of 12-14 years and 8 cases of 16-21 years. It is therefore most likely that the consul of 232 BC held his quaestorship some time in the 240 s, and possible that he held it as early as the late 250s. In this respect, it is relevant to consider that one plausible explanation for the presence of mostly Roman/Latin inscribed rostra on the sea-bed, at the site of what was a Roman victory, is that the rams were all from Roman ships previously captured by the Carthaginians in earlier battles (in particular at the battle of Drepanum in 249 BC, when the Carthaginians seized 93 Roman ships and took them back to Carthage (Polyb. 1.51.12, 53.1)); those ships and rams would then have been in reuse by the Carthaginians as part of their emergency resupply fleet of 241 BC which was sunk by the Romans at the Egadi islands. If that explanation holds truth (and it is attractive for several reasons), then in order to identify the quaestor Publicius of Egadi 4, 6, and 11 with the consul of 232 BC we require a gap of at least 17 years between quaestorship and consulship, since the construction round which he supervised would have to have been in 250/49 BC at the latest, and not that for the new Roman fleet of $242 / 1 \mathrm{BC}$. As we have seen, such an interval does find parallels in the second century, and should therefore be entertained as a possibility. Further support for this possibility comes from the fact that

Publicius, as the plebeian consul of 232 BC, was the only member of his family ever to reach the consulship, and therefore a significant delay in his reaching the top of the cursus is by no means out of the question. The identification of course cannot be proven, however likely it may seem.
C. Papirius Ti. f. cannot be identified. The family of the Papirii (branches bearing the cognomina Cursor and Crassus) held high office in the early Republic, and in the third century the Papirii Masones came to prominence, including the consul of 231 BC, C. Papirius C. f. L. n. Maso (RE 57); several other branches of the family are also attested, including the Carbones of the later Republic. However, the praenomen Tiberius is only attested once in the entire gens, for a military tribune with consular powers of 380 BC, Tiberius Papirius Crassus (RE 50).
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