Host-parasite relationships and life cycles of cuckoo wasps in agro-ecosystems in Argentina (Hymenoptera: Chrysididae: Chrysidini)

Juan Pablo Torretta*

Cátedra de Botánica General. Facultad de Agronomía, UBA, Buenos Aires, Argentina; Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Buenos Aires, Argentina

(Received 8 November 2013; accepted 2 January 2015; first published online 3 March 2015)

Chrysididae is a diverse group of parasitoid/cleptoparasitic wasps; however, hostparasite relationships and life cycles of few species have been studied. Nests of different wasp and bee species were obtained during a trap-nesting programme, in the Pampean region. Some of these nests were parasitised by cuckoo wasps females of *Caenochrysis taschenbergi* (Mocsáry), *Chrysis boutheryi* (Brèthes), *C. saltana* Bohart, *C.* sp. 1 (*ignita*-group), *C.* sp. 2 (*ignita*-group), *Neochrysis lecointei* (Ducke), *Pleurochrysis ancilla* (Buysson) and *P. lynchi* (Bréthes). This paper reports new data about host-parasite relationships and life cycles for these species. Multiple parasites (from one species or from different families of insects) emerged from single cells of some parasitised nests: from each host cell parasitised by species of *Pleurochrysis*, two adults emerged successfully, information previously unknown for the genus; and in three cases of cells parasitised by *C. boutheryi*, two adults successfully emerged from a single cell; in two cases both individuals were chrysidine, and in third one was chrysidine and one *Leucospis pulchripes* (Leucospidae).

Keywords: crabronidae; cuckoo wasps; eumeninae; megachilidae; vespidae

Introduction

The family Chrysididae is a diverse group of parasitic wasps (Kimsey and Bohart 1991). Its 2500–3000 species are arranged in four subfamilies: Amiseginae, Chrysidinae, Cleptinae and Loboscelidiinae. Chrysidinae is the largest subfamily, comprising 80% of the species of this family. Within this subfamily, the tribe Chrysidini, with more than 1200 valid species, is the prevalent group (Kimsey and Bohart 1991). All species treated in this contribution belong to this tribe. In the Neotropical region, Chrysidini are represented by 110 species in nine genera: *Caenochrysis* Kimsey and Bohart, *Ceratochrysis* Cooper, *Chrysis* Linnaeus, *Exochrysis* Bohart, *Gaullea* Buysson, *Ipsiura* Linsenmaier, *Neochrysis* Linsenmaier, *Pleurochrysis* Bohart and *Pseudospinolia* Linsenmaier (Kimsey 2006).

All chrysidids are parasitoids or cleptoparasites, and the nature of the primary food source is practically a subfamilial character in these wasps (Kimsey and Bohart 1991). The chrysidine cuckoo wasps attack aculeate wasp and bee larvae, except for some species of *Praestochrysis* Linsenmaier, which attack moth larvae. In spite of this, the biology of only a small percentage of the species of this tribe has been studied (Kimsey and Bohart 1991).

^{*}Email: torretta@agro.uba.ar

1642 J.P. Torretta

In the Pampas of Argentina, 90% of the original grasslands have been converted into fields used for crops and/or livestock, threatening native animals through habitat destruction, fragmentation and/or loss of original habitat quality, the introduction of competing animals, and direct human impact (Medan et al. 2011). Trap-nests are a good tool to get biological information on bees and wasps that nest in pre-existing cavities, and provide good evidence about parasites and/or cleptoparasites (Krombein 1967). A number of studies have examined the bees and wasps found in trap-nests in agro-ecosystems in the Pampean region, including Torretta and Durante (2011), Torretta et al. (2012) and Torretta et al. (2014), and their host–parasite interactions (Torretta 2014). Adults of different species of cuckoo wasps emerged from nests of different species of bees and wasps during distinct sample periods; therefore, the objectives of this study were: (1) to describe host–parasite relationships and (2) to study life cycles and emergence patterns of the different species. Additionally, sex ratio, associated organisms and parasitism percentage are provided for some cuckoo-wasp species.

Methods

Study sites

The trap-nests were located in four sites of the Pampean region. The sites represent different subdivisions of the Pampean grassland (Figure 1a) and have distinct main economic activities (Table 1). The Toay site is located in a xerophytic open forest system (Caldenal) which is a transitional ecosystem between the Pampas grasslands, to the east, and the dry Monte shrublands, to the west. It is dominated by the *caldén* tree (*Prosopis caldenia* Burkart), with an understory of predominantly perennial grasslands used for livestock grazing. The Pila site is a seasonally flooded grassland dedicated to cattle breeding, and the Colonia Elía site is a mosaic of crop fields and grazing land in similar proportions.

Nest collection

Trap-nests were placed between November and March during three consecutive periods (Table 1), and were visited monthly. In Toay, trap-nests were located in two areas of forest in two (2009–2010) and in four (2010–2011) transects perpendicular to the field margin at heights of 1–2 m on trees. In Hortensia and Pila, trap-nests were placed on fence posts in field margins associated with diverse crops, seminatural grassland and/or sown pasture. In Colonia Elía, they were located on trees and on fence rows (Figure 1b, c). Trap-nests (numbered in each sampled period from #1) were arranged in bundles (numbered with Roman numerals, from I) of 14 canes, and the bundles were placed at intervals of 50–100 m, covering areas from 3–10 ha. The number of trap-nests varied among sites and sampled periods (Table 1).

Trap-nests used in this study consisted of hollow bamboo canes $(5-11 \text{ mm inter$ $nal diameter})$, which were cut so that a nodal septum closed one end of the cane (Aguiar and Garófalo 2004). At each monthly visit, the traps with nests were removed and taken to the laboratory. There, the cells were separated in plastic vials with cotton plugs and numbered from 1 to n (starting from the innermost) and were



Figure 1. (a) Study sites: Toay (inverted triangle), Hortensia (square), Pila (triangle) and Colonia Elía (hexagon), situated in the Pampean region. The area encircled by thick line indicates the location of the Río de la Plata grasslands. Subdivisions are limited by dotted lines and identified by capital letters. A: Rolling Pampa; B: Inland Pampa; C: Southern Pampa; D: Flooding Pampa; E: Mesopotamic Pampa; F: Campos (modified from Medan et al.2011). (b–c) Trap-nests located in one tree and on fence posts.

kept in the laboratory at room temperature (ca. 15–25°C) until adult emergence. Since trap-nests were collected at monthly intervals, development time can only be estimated with an error of \pm 15 days (Thiele 2005).

For each chrysidine species, I calculated the percentage of parasitism as [number of parasitised host(s) cells]/[total number of host(s) cells].

The material studied is deposited in the Entomological Collection of the General Botany Unit (FAUBA), Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina, and at the Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales, Buenos Aires, Argentina (MACN).

Determination of cuckoo wasp species

For determination of species of Chrysididae, I used Kimsey and Bohart (1980, 1991), Bohart (1985), Kimsey (1985, 2006) and Linsenmaier (1985), and I also had the opportunity to compare my specimens with those identified by Bohart deposited at the Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales 'Bernardino Rivadavia' (MACN), with

Sites	Toay	Hortensia	Pila	Colonia Elía
Geographic provinces	La Pampa	Buenos Aires	Buenos Aires	Entre Ríos
Geographic coordinates	S 36°22′24.3″	S 35°56′44.9″	S 36°15'41.7"	S 32°39′58.4″
	W 64°50′29.5″	W 61°11′43.7″	W 58°16′14.2″	W 58°26'42.0″
Phytogeographic provinces	Ecotone Pampean Grasslands and xeric forest	Inland Pampa	Flooding Pampa	Mesopotamic Pampa
Altitude (m above sea level)	310	06	15	24
Sampling dates/number of	November 2009 to March	November 2009 to March	November 2010 to March	November 2011 to March
trap-nests placed	2010/140	2010/140	2011/350	2012/350
	November 2010 to March	November 2010 to March		
Main economic activity	Cattle ranching	Agriculture	Cattle ranching	Cattle ranching/agriculture
Neighbouring crops	Pasture,	Soybean	Pasture	Pasture
	Alfalfa	Wheat, maize	Clovers	Soybean

the kind collaboration of A. Roig Alsina. In general, I followed the taxonomical treatment of Kimsey and Bohart (1991).

Results

During the three sampling periods, a total of 397 traps (n = 69 in 2009–2010, n = 288 in 2010–2011 and n = 40 in 2011–2012) were colonised by different bee species of the family Megachilidae, and wasps of the families Crabronidae and Vespidae (Eumeninae). Of these, 32 nests (n = 7 in 2009–2010, n = 21 in 2010–2011 and n = 4 in 2011–2012; Table 2) were parasitised by eight species of chrysidine cuckoo wasps: *Caenochrysis taschenbergi* (Mocsáry), *Chrysis boutheryi* (Brèthes) (*nisseri* group), *C. saltana* Bohart (*comparata–gibba* group), *C.* sp. 1 (*ignita* group), *C.* sp. 2 (*ignita* group), *Neochrysis lecointei* (Ducke), *Pleurochrysis ancilla* (Buysson) and *P. lynchi* (Brèthes) (Table 2).

For each species, I report the number and sexes of emerged adults, estimated developmental time, host/s, material used by female host for construction of nest, provisions for larvae, the percentage of parasitism and other parasitic species associated (Table 2).

During the 2010–2011 period, I reared 20 individuals of *Chrysis boutheryi* (Brèthes) from 13 nests of vespid wasps, and a megachilid bee. Only one nest was parasitised in each bundle of canes, except one bundle with three parasitised nests. Ten nests were found and removed during early summer (January), and the remaining three during late summer (March). Adult emergence showed a clear bimodal pattern (Table 2; Figure 2a). The sex ratio was strongly female-biased (19/1). In 15 host cells, a single adult chrysidine was developed. However, in three cases, two adults successfully emerged from a single cell (Table 2), two female chrysidines from one cell of *Zethus dicomboda*, a female and a male chrysidine from one cell of one species of *Hypodynerus* de Sausurre, and a female chrysidine and one female of *Leucospis pulchripes* Cameron (Hymenoptera: Leucospidae) from a cell of *Megachile catamarcensis* Schrottky.

During two consecutive years of study in Toay, 19 individuals of *Chrysis saltana* Bohart (n = 13 in 2009–2010 and n = 6 in 2010–2011) were reared from the nine nests of *Anthidium vigintipunctatum* Friese (n = 6 in 2009–2010 and n = 3 in 2010–2011). Seven nests were removed during January and one during February, and the remainder in March. Estimated emergence time showed a unimodal pattern, although one female took nearly 22 months to emerge (Figure 2b). In both sampled periods, the sex ratio was female-biased (1.2/1 and 2/1, respectively; Table 2).

Two specimens of chrysidine successfully emerged for each cell of the two species of *Pleurochrysis*. During 2010–2011, I reared two individuals of *Pleurochrysis ancilla* (Buysson) from a nest of *Hypodynerus* sp. from Toay, and six individuals of *Pleurochrysis lynchi* (Brèthes) from three nests of *Stenodynerus* sp. from Pila (Table 2).

Discussion

This paper is the first report about host-parasite relationships and life-cycle data for these eight species of chrysidine in the highly modified Pampean region.

Four species in the genus *Chrysis* were studied in this work. In two of them, the numbers of emerged adults and attacked nests provide good information about their biological traits. The females of *Chrysis boutheryi* seem to be generalist in the hosts

ucospid : Toay.	Site	CE	Н	Т		(S	ie)	rsis	(ntinued)
dine and one le a, P: Pila, and T	Other parasitic Species associated				Anthrax oedipus	(Dip.: Bombyliidae	Huarpea fallax	(Hym.: Sapygidae)	Leucospis pulchripe	(Hym.: Leucospida	Melittobia hawaiiei	(Hym.: Eulophidae	Pteromalidae sp. (Hym.)				(Con
** one chrysi a, H: Hortensi	Percentage parasitism: Parasitised cells / total cells of host species	2.8 (3/106)	25 (3/12)	4.5 (11/242)													
t single cell, Colonia Elia	Host Provisions	Spiders	Caterpillars	Caterpillars								Caterpillars			Pollen		
optera. CE: 0	Host cell Partition	pnM	Mud	Mud								Mud			Mud/petals		
nd Col.: Čole	Hosts	Trypoxylon sp.	Pachodynerus argentinus	Hypodynerus sp.								Zethus dicomboda			Megachile	catamarcensis	
Dip.: Diptera a	Estimated Developmental Range (months)	9–10 1–2 8–9	8.5–9.5	1	0.5 - 1.5	1	1	1	1	9.5-10.5	8.5-9.5	7-8	11–12	11-12	10-11		
ш. пушенорыа, 1	Date Chrysidine Emerged	 November 2012 March 2012 December 2012 	14-23 December 2011	28-29 December 2010	7 January 2011	29 December 2010	29 December 2010	27 December 2010	31 December 2010	21 November 2011	21 December 2011	25 November 2011	26-28 November 2011	4-7 December 2011	2–3 November 2011		
де сеп. пу	Host cells / Chrysidine emerged (sex)	2/1 (m) 3/1 (m) 4/1 (f)	5/3 (3 f)	1/2* (f, m)	2/1 (f)	4/1 (f)	3/1 (f)	8/1 (f)	5/1 (f)	2/1 (f)	7/1 (f)	2/1 (f)	8/2 (2 f)	7/3* (3 f)	5/2** (2 f)		
rged from a sin	Date Nest Collection	19 January 2012 19 January 2012 25 March 2012	16 March 2011	10 December 2010	10 December 2010	10 December 2010	10 December 2010	11 December 2010	11 December 2010	22 January 2011	4 April 2011	4 April 2011	10 December 2010	10 December 2010	10 December 2010		
fully eme	Nest Number	20 156 610	323	488	540	547	553	583	616	702	732	371	453	464	554		
wasp successi	Species of Chrysididae	Caenochrysis taschenbergi	Chrysis boutheryi														

Downloaded by [University of Cambridge] at 05:09 08 April 2016

20 3 march 2010 31 (1) 2 manay 2010 11 (1) 2 manay 2011 11 (1) 2 manay 2011 2 manay 2012 2 manay 2011 2 manay 2012 2 manay 2011 2 manay 20			.). 73 Marrok 2010	6/1 (A	5 Ionnom: 2011	0 10	Anthidium	Trichomae/	Dollan	76.0137506)	Nemognatha sp	F
14 1anuary 2010 31 (m) 15 January 2011 11-12 14 January 2010 81 (n) 26 December 2010 11-12 14 January 2010 37 (n) 5 January 2011 11-12 14 January 2010 245 (2 f, 3 $3-27$ January 2011 $1-13$ (-22) 14 January 2010 247 (n) 3 January 2011 $1-12$ 16 February 2011 32 (f, m) 25 November 2011 $9-12$ 22 January 2011 97 (f, m) 26 November 2011 $9-10$ 22 January 2011 97 (f, m) 10 November 2011 $9-10$ 22 January 2011 97 (f, m) 10 November 2011 $9-10$ 22 January 2011 31 (n) 12 November 2011 $9-10$ 23 January 2011 31 (n) 12 November 2011 $0.5 -13$ 25 February 2011 10 (n) 16 Aarriary 2012 0.7 ($1/6$ 23 February 2011 10 (n) 16 April 12 November 2010 0.7 ($1/6$	270		25 March 2010 14 January 2010	5/1 (I) 11/4 (2 f, 2	27 December 2010 to	9-10 11-12	Anthidum vigintipunctatum	I richomes/ plant fibers	Pollen	(005/51) 0.2	(Col.: Meloidae)	-
				m)	15 January 2011							
	275		14 January 2010	5/1 (m)	26 December 2010	11–12						
14 January 2010 245 ($2f$, 3 $3-27$ January 2011 to 3 $1-13$ (-22) 14 January 2010 271 (f) 3 January 2011 to 3 $1-13$ (-12) 5 November 2011 to $3-12$ 5 November 2011 to $3/1$ (f) 7 November 2011 to $3/1$ (f) 7 November 2011 to $3/2$ (f , m) 21 December 2011 to $3/2$ (f , m) 21 December 2011 to $3/2$ (f , m) 21 December 2011 to $3/2$ (f , m) 21 December 2011 to $3/2$ (f , m) 21 December 2011 to $3/2$ (f , m) 21 December 2011 to $3/2$ (f , m) 21 December 2011 to $3/2$ (f , m) 21 December 2011 $3/2$ (f , m) 21 December 2010 $3/2$ (f , m) 21 December 2011 $1.5-12.5$ $Hypoxylon sp. Mud 21 Decemplars 0.7 (1/143) 33.3 (1/3) 23 March 2011 1/2^{*} (f, m) 21 December 2011 1.5-12.5 Hypoxylon sp. Mud 21 December 0.7 (1/143) 33.3 (1/3) 33.3 (1/3) 33.3 (1$	278		14 January 2010	18/1 (f)	5 January 2011	11–12						
14 January 2010 $27/1$ (j) 3 January 2011 $11-12$ 16 February 2011 $3/2$ (f, m) 25 November 2011 $9-12$ 5.6 (6/107) $H_{\rm L}$ 22 January 2011 $4/1$ (j) 7 November 2011 $9-10$ -12 1.6 January 2012 5.6 (6/107) 1.6 22 January 2011 $3/1$ (j) 2 November 2011 $9-10$ $0.5-13$ $8-9$ February 2012 9.10 $10.5-13$ 1.6 (1.6) 1.6 22 January 2011 $3/1$ (j) 21 December 2011 $8.5-9.5$ $7rypoxylon sp.$ Mud $Spiders$ 16.7 ($1/6$)23 January 2011 $3/1$ (j) 12 December 2011 $8.5-9.5$ $7rypoxylon sp.$ Mud $Spiders$ 0.9 ($1/106$)28 February 2011 $10/1$ (j) 16 April 2012 $3.5-4.5$ $7rypoxylon sp.$ Mud $Spiders$ 0.9 ($1/106$)28 February 2011 $10/1$ (j) 10 November 2010 $8-9$ $7rypoxylon sp.$ Mud $Spiders$ 0.7 ($1/16$) 10 March 2010 $3/1$ (m) 20 November 2010 $8-9$ $7rypoxylon sp.$ Mud $Spiders$ 0.7 ($1/143$) 3 March 2011 $1/2*$ (f, m) 10 November 2011 $1.5-12.5$ $Hypodynerus sp.$ Mud $Caterpillars 0.7 (1/143)3 March 20111/2* (f, m)10 March 201115rodynerus sp.MudCaterpillars 50 (3/6)3 March 20111/2* (f, m)10 March 2011111.5-12.511-14 March 201113 March 20111/2* (f, m)$	279		14 January 2010	24/5 (2 f, 3 m)	3–27 January 2011 to 15 November 2011	12–13 (– 22)						
16 February 2011 32 (f, m) 25 November 2011 to 16 January 2012 $9-12$ 5.6 (6107) H_1 22 January 2011 $4/1$ (f) 7 November 2011 to 8-9 February 2012 $9-10$ 1.6 1.6 (f) 1.6 22 January 2011 $3/1$ (f) 7 November 2011 to 8-9 February 2012 $9-10$ 1.6 1.6 (f) 1.6 22 January 2011 $3/1$ (f) 1.6 November 2011 to 8-9 February 2012 $8.5-5.5$ $Trypoxylon sp.$ Mud Spiders 16.7 (1/6) 28 February 2011 $10/1$ (f) 16 April 2012 $3.5-4.5$ $Trypoxylon sp.$ Mud Spiders 16.7 (1/6) 28 February 2011 $10/1$ (f) 16 April 2012 $3.5-4.5$ $Trypoxylon sp.$ Mud Spiders 16.7 (1/6) 10 March 2010 $3/1$ (m) 20 November 2010 $8-9$ $Trypoxylon sp.$ Mud Spiders 16.7 (1/6) 11 December 2010 $3/1$ (m) 20 November 2011 $11.5-12.5$ $Hypodynerus sp.$ Mud Caterpillars 0.7 (1/143) 3 March 2011 $1/2^*$ (f, m) 10 Docember 2011 $11.5-12.5$ $Hypodynerus sp.$ Mud Cater	280		14 January 2010	27/1 (f)	3 January 2011	11-12						
22 January 2011 41 (f)7 November 2011 $9-10$ Le22 January 2011 $9/3$ (2 f, m) 21 December 2011 to $10.5 - 13$ $8-9$ February 2012 $10.5 - 13$ 20 January 2011 $3/1$ (f) 1 (f) 12 December 2011 $8.5 - 9.5$ $77ypoxylon$ sp.MudSpiders 16.7 (1/6)28 February 2011 $10/1$ (f) 16 April 2012 $3.5 - 4.5$ $77ypoxylon$ sp.MudSpiders 0.9 (1/166)28 February 2011 $10/1$ (f) 16 April 2012 $3.5 - 4.5$ $77ypoxylon$ sp.MudSpiders 0.9 (1/166)10 March 2010 $3/1$ (m) 20 November 2010 $8 - 9$ $77ypoxylon$ sp.MudSpiders 0.7 (1/143)11 December 2010 42^* (f, m) 12 December 2011 $11.5 - 12.5$ $Hypodynerus$ sp.MudCaterpillars 50 (3/6)3 March 2011 12^* (2 f) $11 - 14$ March 2011 1 3 $3.6 - 0.01$ 1 3 March 2011 12^* (f, m) 10 March 2011 1 1 $5renodynerus sp.Mud3 March 201112^* (f, m)10 March 2011115renodynerus sp.3$	370		16 February 2011	3/2 (f, m)	25 November 2011 to 16 January 2012	9–12				5.6 (6/107)	<i>Huarpea fallax</i> (Hym.: Sapygidae)	
22 January 2011 9/3 (2 f, m) 21 December 2011 to 8-9 February 2012 10.5 -13 11 16 March 2011 3/1 (f) 12 December 2011 8.5 -9.5 <i>Trypoxylon</i> sp. Mud Spiders 16.7 (1/6) 28 February 2011 10/1 (f) 16 April 2012 3.5 -4.5 <i>Trypoxylon</i> sp. Mud Spiders 0.9 (1/106) 28 February 2011 10/1 (f) 16 April 2012 3.5 -4.5 <i>Trypoxylon</i> sp. Mud Spiders 0.9 (1/106) 10 March 2010 3/1 (m) 20 November 2010 8-9 <i>Trypoxylon</i> sp. Mud Spiders 0.7 (1/143) 11 December 2010 4/2* (f, m) 12 December 2011 11.5-12.5 <i>Hypodynerus</i> sp. Mud Caterpillars 50 (3/6) 3 March 2011 1/2* (2 f) 11-14 March 2011 1 Stenodynerus sp. Mud Caterpillars 50 (3/6) 3 March 2011 1/2* (f, m) 10 March 2011 1 1 Stenodynerus sp. Mud Caterpillars 50 (3/6)	384		22 January 2011	4/1 (f)	7 November 2011	9-10					Leucospis pulchripes	
116 March 2011 $3/1$ (f)12 December 2011 $8.5-9.5$ $Trypoxylon$ sp.MudSpiders16.7 (1/6)228 February 2011 $10/1$ (f)16 April 2012 $3.5-4.5$ $Trypoxylon$ sp.MudSpiders 0.9 (1/106)210 March 2010 $3/1$ (m)20 November 2010 $8-9$ $Trypoxylon$ sp.MudSpiders 0.9 (1/106)110 March 2010 $3/1$ (m)20 November 2010 $8-9$ $Trypoxylon$ sp.MudSpiders 0.9 (1/143)111 December 2010 $4/2^*$ (f, m)12 December 2011 $11.5-12.5$ $Hypodynerus$ sp.MudCaterpillars 0.7 (1/143)33 March 2011 $1/2^*$ (2 f) $11-15$ March 20111Stenodynerus sp.MudCaterpillars 50 (3/6)33 March 2011 $1/2^*$ (f, m)10 March 201111Stenodynerus sp.Mud	463		22 January 2011	9/3 (2 f, m)	21 December 2011 to 8-9 February 2012	10.5 –13					(Hym.: Leucospidae) Eupelmidae sp. (Hym.)	
5 28 February 2011 10/1 (f) 16 April 2012 $3.5.4.5$ Trypoxylon sp. Mud Spiders 0.9 (1/106) 7 10 March 2010 $3/1$ (m) 20 November 2010 $8-9$ $Trypoxylon$ sp. Mud Spiders 0.3 (1/143) 0 11 December 2010 $4/2^*$ (f, m) 12 December 2011 $11.5-12.5$ Hypodynerus sp. Mud Caterpillars 0.7 (1/143) 0 $3March 2011$ $1/2^*$ (2 f) $11-15$ March 2011 1 <i>Stenodynerus</i> sp. Mud Caterpillars 50 (3/6) 0 $3March 2011$ $1/2^*$ (2 f) $11-14$ March 2011 1 $3march 2011$ $1/2^*$ (2 f) $11-14$ March 2011 1 1 $3March 2011$ $1/2^*$ (2 f) $11-14$ March 2011 1 $3march 2011$ $2/2^*$ (f, m) 10 March 2011 1	36		16 March 2011	3/1 (f)	12 December 2011	8.5–9.5	Trypoxylon sp.	Muđ	Spiders	16.7 (1/6)		Н
7 10 March 2010 3/1 (m) 20 November 2010 8-9 Trypoxylon sp. Mud Spiders 33.3 (1/3) 9 11 December 2010 4/2* (f, m) 12 December 2011 11.5-12.5 Hypodynerus sp. Mud Caterpillars 0.7 (1/143) 1 3 March 2011 1/2* (2 f) 11-15 March 2011 1 Stenodynerus sp. Mud Caterpillars 50 (3/6) 3 3 March 2011 1/2* (2 f) 11-14 March 2011 1 Stenodynerus sp. Mud Caterpillars 50 (3/6) 5 3 March 2011 2/2* (f, m) 10 March 2011 1 1 Mud Caterpillars 50 (3/6)	586	10	28 February 2011	10/1 (f)	16 April 2012	3.5-4.5	Trypoxylon sp.	Mud	Spiders	0.9 (1/106)		G
0 11 December 2010 4/2* (f, m) 12 December 2011 11.5-12.5 Hypodynerus sp. Mud Caterpillars 0.7 (1/143) 0 3 March 2011 1/2* (2 f) 11-15 March 2011 1 Stenodynerus sp. Mud Caterpillars 50 (3/6) 3 3 March 2011 1/2* (2 f) 11-14 March 2011 1 Stenodynerus sp. Mud Caterpillars 50 (3/6) 3 3 March 2011 1/2* (2 f) 11-14 March 2011 1 3 Much 2011 2/2* (f, m) 10 March 2011 1	11	~	10 March 2010	3/1 (m)	20 November 2010	89	Trypoxylon sp.	Mud	Spiders	33.3 (1/3)		Н
0 3 March 2011 1/2* (2 f) 11–15 March 2011 1 Stenodynerus sp. Mud Caterpillars 50 (3/6) 3 March 2011 1/2* (2 f) 11–14 March 2011 1 3 <td< td=""><td>569</td><td>-</td><td>11 December 2010</td><td>4/2* (f, m)</td><td>12 December 2011</td><td>11.5–12.5</td><td>Hypodynerus sp.</td><td>Mud</td><td>Caterpillars</td><td>0.7 (1/143)</td><td></td><td>Н</td></td<>	569	-	11 December 2010	4/2* (f, m)	12 December 2011	11.5–12.5	Hypodynerus sp.	Mud	Caterpillars	0.7 (1/143)		Н
	760 761 765		3 March 2011 3 March 2011 3 March 2011	1/2* (2 f) 1/2* (2 f) 2/2* (f, m)	11–15 March 2011 11–14 March 2011 10 March 2011	1 1 1	Stenodynerus sp.	buM	Caterpillars	50 (3/6)		Ч

Downloaded by [University of Cambridge] at 05:09 08 April 2016

Table 2. (Continued).



Figure 2. Emergence patterns of (a) *Chrysis boutheryi* (Brèthes) (squares; n = 20) and (b) *C. saltana* Bohart (triangles, n = 19) adults reared from trap-nests in Toay, La Pampa Province.

which they parasitise: eumenine vespid and megachilid bees. However, most parasitised nests were of mud cell-building species of the family Vespidae. Also, *Megachile catamarcensis* used mud (with flower petals and/or leaf pieces) to build their nests (Torretta et al. 2014). These are the first records about host–parasite relationships for a species of the *nisseri* species group. One cell was attacked by *C. boutheryi* and *L. pulchripes*, and both adults successfully emerged. This is an interesting finding given that there are few reported cases where two parasites emerge from a single cell. Rosenheim (1987) reported cells of the ground-nesting *Ammophila dysmica* Menke (Sphecidae) successfully parasitised by the cuckoo wasp *Argochrysis armilla* Bohart and the miltogrammine fly *Hilarella hilarella* (Zetterstedt) (Sarcophagidae). Also, from another cell of this nest of *Hypodynerus* sp., two female of *C. boutheryi* emerged. These facts indicate that in the preimaginal stages of *Hypodynerus* sp., food was sufficient for both individuals to develop and emerge successfully in a single cell.

The bimodal emergence pattern of *Chrysis boutheryi* trait is reflected in the activity pattern of oviposition of females (note dates of nest collection in Table 2). Sex ratio was strongly female-biased. The significance of the female-biased sex ratio of emergence of this cuckoo wasp is unclear.

The females of *Chrysis saltana* seem to be host specific, only parasitising cells of the carder bee Anthidium vigintipunctatum. The only other record for a species of the comparata-gibba group is for Chrysis tripartita Aaron, closely related to saltana (Bohart 1985), which also attacks bees in the genus Anthidium Fabricius (Grigarick and Stange 1968). Chrysis saltana shows a univoltine life cycle; however, one female took about two years to emerge, suggesting parsivoltinism (Torchio and Tepedino 1982). In many species of Apoidea, the duration of the life cycle varies among individuals of the same generation (Torchio and Tepedino 1982; Wcislo and Cane 1996) and may be a bet-hedging strategy related to unpredictable resource availability (Neff and Simpson 1992) or to the abundance of natural enemies (Wcislo and Cane 1996). Parsivoltinism can favour the persistence of some populations by staggering the emergence of sequential generations (Torchio and Tepedino 1982). Chrysis saltana has been recorded from Salta, Santiago del Estero, Catamarca and La Rioja (Bohart 1985). The population studied herein is the southernmost record for this species, and temperature accumulation required for diapause termination possibly takes longer than for other populations. Therefore, at lower latitudes, this species could exhibit a bivoltine life cycle.

Two species of *Pleurochrysis* were reared in this study, both nest parasites of mudpot nesting wasps. The host wasps of *P. ancilla* and *P. lynchi* were *Hypodynerus* sp. and *Stenodynerus* sp., respectively, species that supply their nests with numerous Lepidoptera larvae. There are few host records for other species of this genus. *Pleurochrysis bruchi* (Brèthes) was reported from *Pachodynerus gayi* (Spinola) (Janvier 1933); *P. postica* (Brullé) from *Trypoxylon rogenhoferi* Kohl (Garcia and Adis 1995), *Trypoxylon xanthandrum* Richards (Coville and Griswold 1983) and one species of *Sceliphron* Klug (Kimsey and Bohart 1991); and *P. morosa* (Buysson) from *Trypoxylon rogenhoferi* Kohl (Garcia and Adis 1995). All female wasps of these species of Vespidae: Eumeninae, Sphecidae and Crabronidae, used mud as cell partitions and captured a large number of prey for their offspring.

Possibly, the species of *Pleurochrysis* are cleptoparasitic and their larvae consume host provisions, or they are parasitoids and consume pre-imaginal instars host. The cocoons of chrysidines were formed inside the host cell, and therefore I could not see if these wasps attacked host larvae, their provisions or host prepupae/pupae. For both species of *Pleurochrysis*, two adults emerged successfully from each host cell parasitised. This information is previously unknown for the genus. While the number of obtained parasitised nests was low (n = 4), I suggest that it might be possible that females of this genus lay two eggs in each host cell due to a sufficient provision of caterpillars (*Hypodynerus*, *Pachodynerus* de Saussure and *Stenodynerus* de Saussure) or spiders (*Sceliphron* and *Trypoxylon* Latreille). Another explanation is that more than one female will lay eggs in the same host cell. Rosenheim (1987) found up to six *Argochrysis armilla* Bohart produced from a single cell of *Ammophila dysmica* Menke (Sphecidae).

The other chrysidine species of this study were reared from diverse species of *Trypoxylon*, which build mud nests and capture spiders for larval provision. These results agree with known records for other species of these genera. The *Chrysis ignita* group has numerous species, and a wide range of hosts (Crabronidae and Vespidae: Eumeninae) has been reported (Kimsey and Bohart 1991). At the same time, the few available records about hosts of *Caenochrysis taschenbergi* and *Neochrysis lecointei* indicate a marked specialisation on *Trypoxylon* (Coville 1981; Kimsey and Bohart 1991; Garcia and Adis 1995).

Very little is known about sex ratio in chrysidine species (Yokoi et al. 2012). Highly female-biased sex ratios (as has happened in *Chrysis boutheryi*) for single batch rearing of cuckoo wasps are not uncommon (Medler 1964), and sex ratio may vary between sites and years (Krombein 1967). Therefore, more data should be obtained to clarify sex-ratio dynamics in these species.

Acknowledgements

My thanks to the families Rúgolo de Agrasar (Ea. Anquilóo, Toay) and Pérez (Campo El Pono, Colonia Elía) for permission to conduct this study, H.J. Marrero for his help in the field, A. Roig Alsina for collaboration in the determination of Chrysididae, and A. Torretta and R. Saurral for helping with the English revision. The manuscript benefited from critical reading by Arturo Roig Alsina and Villu Soon, and three anonymous reviewers. Field work was supported by Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (Proyecto de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica 08–12504 and 0851), Argentina. JPT is affiliated with Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas.

References

- Aguiar CML, Garófalo CA. 2004. Nesting biology of *Centris (Hemisiella) tarsata* Smith (Hymenoptera, Apidae, Centridini). Rev Bras Zool. 21:477–486.
- Bohart RM. 1985. The *Chrysis gibba* species group in the New World. (Hymenoptera, Chrysididae). Insecta Mundi. 1:91–97.
- Cabrera AL. 1994. Enciclopedia Argentina de agricultura y jardinería, Tomo II, Fascículo 1: regiones fitogeográficas Argentinas. Buenos Aires: Acme.
- Coville RE. 1981. Biological observations on three *Trypoxylon* wasps in the subgenus *Trypargilum* from Costa Rica: *T. nitidum schultessi*, *T. saussurei* and *T. lactitarse* (Hymenoptera: Sphecidae). Pan-Pac Entomol. 57:332–340.
- Coville RE, Griswold C. 1983. Nesting biology of *Trypoxylon xanthandrum* in Costa Rica with observations on its spider prey (Hymenoptera: Sphecidae; Araneae: Senoculidae). J Kansas Entomol Soc. 56:205–216.
- Garcia MVB, Adis J. 1995. Comportamento de nidificação de *Trypoxylon (Trypargilum) rogenhoferi* Kohl (Hymenoptera, Sphecidae) em uma floresta inundável de várzea na Amazônia Central. Amazoniana. 13:259–282.
- Grigarick AA, Stange LA. 1968. The pollen-collecting bees of the Anthidiini of California (Hymenoptera: Megachilidae). Bull Calif Ins Surv. 9:1–113.
- Janvier H. 1933. Étude biologique de quelques Hyménoptères du Chili. Ann Sci Nat Zool. 16:210–356.
- Kimsey LS. 1985. Distinction of the 'Neochrysis' genera and description of new species (Chrysididae, Hymenoptera). Psyche. 92:269–286.

- Kimsey LS. 2006. Familia Chrysididae. In: Fernandez F, Sharkey MJ, editor. Introducción a los Hymenoptera de la Región Neotropical. Bogotá: Sociedad Colombiana de Entomología y Universidad Nacional de Colombia; p. 419–426.
- Kimsey LS, Bohart R. 1980. A synopsis of the chrysidid genera of Neotropical America (Chrysidoidea, Hymenoptera). Psyche. 87:75–91.
- Kimsey LS, Bohart R. 1991. The chrysidid wasps of the world. New York (NY): Oxford Press.
- Krombein KV. 1967. Trap-nesting wasps and bees: life history, nests, and associates. Washington (DC): Smithsonian Press.
- Linsenmaier W. 1985. Revision des Genus Neochrysis Linsenmaier, 1959 (Hymenoptera, Chrysididae). Entomofauna. 6:425–487.
- Medan D, Torretta JP, Hodara K, De La Fuente EB, Montaldo N. 2011. Effects of agriculture expansion and intensification on the vertebrate and invertebrate diversity in the Pampas of Argentina. Biodiv Conserv. 20:3077–3100.
- Medler JT. 1964. Parasitism of Eumeninae by cuckoo wasps in trap-nests in Wisconsin. Proc Entomol Soc Wash. 72:209–215.
- Neff JL, Simpson BB. 1992. Partial bivoltinism in a ground-nesting bee: the biology of *Diadasia rinconis* in Texas (Hymenoptera, Anthophoridae). J Kansas Entomol Soc. 65:377–392.
- Rosenheim JA. 1987. Nesting behaviour and bionomics of a solitary ground-nesting wasp, *Ammophila dysmica* (Hymenoptera: Sphecidae): influence of parasite pressure. Ann Entomol Soc Am. 80:739–749.
- Thiele R. 2005. Phenology and nest site preferences of wood-nesting bees in a Neotropical lowland rain forest. Stud Neotrop Fauna E. 40:39–48.
- Torchio PF, Tepedino VJ. 1982. Parsivoltinism in three species of *Osmia* bees. Psyche. 89:221–238.
- Torretta JP. 2014. Life cycle of *Huarpea fallax* in a xeric forest in Argentina (Hymenoptera: Sapygidae). J Nat Hist. 48:1125–1134.
- Torretta JP, Durante SP. 2011. Nesting ecology of Megachile (Sayapis) mendozana Cockerell and its synonymy with Megachile (Sayapis) santiaguensis Durante (Hymenoptera: Megachilidae). Zootaxa. 3008:63–68.
- Torretta JP, Durante SP, Basilio AM. 2014. Nesting ecology of *Megachile (Chrysosarus)* catamarcensis Schrottky (Hymenoptera: Megachilidae), a Prosopis-specialist bee. J Apic Res. 53:590–598.
- Torretta JP, Durante SP, Colombo MG, Basilio AM. 2012. Nesting biology of the leafcutting bee *Megachile (Pseudocentron) gomphrenoides* (Hymenoptera: Megachilidae) in an agroecosystem. Apidologie. 43:624–633.
- Wcislo WT, Cane JH. 1996. Floral resource utilization by solitary bees (Hymenoptera: Apoidea) and exploitation of their stored foods by natural enemies. Annu Rev Entomol. 41:257–286.
- Yokoi T, Hirooka T, Terada T, Sugimoto S, Tamaru M, Satoh S, Kandori I. 2012. Strongly biased sex ratio in cuckoo wasp *Chrysura hirsuta* (Hymenoptera: Chrysididae), a parasitoid of the mason bee *Osmia orientalis*. Entomol Sci. 15:250–252.