Front page

Title:

A leaf-level biochemical model simulating the introduction of C_2 and C_4 photosynthesis in C_3 rice: gains, losses and metabolite fluxes

Authors and addresses:

Chandra Bellasio^{$1-3^*$} and Graham D Farquhar¹

¹Research School of Biology, Australian National University, Acton, ACT, 2601 Australia;
²University of the Balearic Islands 07122 Palma, Illes Balears, Spain;
³Trees and Timber institute, National Research Council of Italy, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino (Florence).

ORCID:

0000-0002-3865-7521 (CB); 0000-0002-7065-1971 (GDF)

Correspondence details:

chandra.bellasio@anu.edu.au; +61(0)261255071

Word counts:

Summary: 200; Introduction to Conclusion: 6595.

Number of tables:

1

Figures:

6 (5 in colour).

Supporting information:

File 1: 25 pages, 10 notes, 9 figures, and 3 tables.

File 2: Methods S1: The model, coded in Excel, made freely available.

- ¹ New Phytologist, Full paper
- A leaf-level biochemical model simulating the introduction of C_2 and
- ³ C₄ photosynthesis in C₃ rice: gains, losses and metabolite fluxes
- ⁴ Chandra Bellasio^{1–3*} and Graham D Farquhar¹

⁵ ¹Research School of Biology, Australian National University, Acton, ACT, 2601 Australia;

- ⁶ ²University of the Balearic Islands 07122 Palma, Illes Balears, Spain;
- ⁷ ³Trees and Timber institute, National Research Council of Italy, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino (Florence).
- ⁸ *Correspondence: chandra.bellasio@anu.edu.au
- **Summary:**
- This work aims at developing an adequate theoretical basis for comparing assimilation of the ancestral C₃ pathway with CO₂ concentrating mechanisms (CCM) that have evolved to reduce photorespiratory yield losses.
- We present a novel model for C₃, C₂, C₂+C₄ and C₄ photosynthesis simulating assimilatory
 metabolism, energetics, and metabolite traffic at the leaf– level. It integrates a mechanistic
 description of light reactions to simulate ATP and NADPH production, and a variable
 engagement of cyclic electron flow. The analytical solutions are compact and thus suitable for
 larger scale simulations. Inputs were derived with a comprehensive gas exchange experiment.
- We show trade-offs in the operation of C_4 that are in line with ecophysiological data. C_4 has the potential to increase assimilation over C_3 at high temperatures and light intensities, but this benefit is reversed under low temperatures and light.
- We apply the model to simulating the introduction of progressively complex levels of CCM into C_3 rice, which feeds more than 3.5 billion people. Increasing assimilation will require considerable modifications such as expressing the NDH complex and upregulating cyclic electron flow, enlarging the bundle sheath, and expressing suitable transporters to allow adequate metabolite traffic. The simpler C_2 rice may be a desirable alternative.

26 Keywords

²⁷ Stomata, enzyme, light limitation, C_2 shuttle, C_3 – C_4 intermediate, photorespiration, bio– ²⁸ engineering, assimilation.

29 **Running title**

³⁰ Simulating biochemical carbon concentrating mechanisms

31 Introduction

Carbon concentrating mechanisms (CCM; acronyms are listed in Table 1) are co-ordinated suites 32 of structural and biochemical modifications to ancestral C₃ photosynthesis. CCMs evolved to reduce 33 the magnitude of photorespiration, a complex process resulting in the release of previously fixed CO_2 , 34 which incurs substantial energy costs to recycle by-products (Meyer & Griffiths, 2013). In plants, 35 CCMs have the form of biochemical cycles that increase the CO_2/O_2 ratio at the Rubisco catalytic 36 site, and are of two types: the 'C₂ shuttle' and the C₄ cycle. To operate a CCM, the photosynthetic 37 parenchyma is often differentiated into two cell types, although single-celled systems do exist (King 38 et al., 2012): an external layer of mesophyll (M) and an internal layer of bundle sheath (BS) encircling 39 the vasculature (Lundgren et al., 2014). The C2 shuttle consists of the compartmentation of glycine 40 decarboxylase (GDC) activity in the BS, delivering CO₂ around Rubisco in the BS, using the 41 photorespiratory glycine produced in the M (Keerberg et al., 2014). The C₄ cycle represents a further 42 sophistication involving an energy dependent carboxylation–decarboxylation cycle. CO₂ is initially 43 fixed into a four-carbon (C_4) organic acid (OAA) in the M by phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase 44 (PEPC), which after reduction (or transamination) diffuses to the BS where it is decarboxylated. If, on 45 the one hand, the C₄ cycle lowers the photorespiratory ATP demand, on the other it requires a 46 considerable amount of ATP (2 ATP per CO₂ pumped, for the NADP-ME subtype) for the 47 regeneration of phosphoenolpyruvate (Kanai & Edwards, 1999; Evans et al., 2007; Bellasio, 2017). 48 In C_2+C_4 species (Bellasio, 2017) the degree of PEPC engagement, and the extent of Rubisco 49 compartmentation to the BS are intermediate and are species dependent (Monson & Moore, 1989). In 50 C₄ species, PEPC is fully engaged and CO₂ accumulates in the BS at concentrations that are 10- to 51 20-fold greater than ambient, thereby saturating a fully compartmentalised Rubisco in the BS (von 52 Caemmerer & Furbank, 2003). The biochemical functions of the M and BS need to be separated by 53 a suitable distance (Jurić et al., 2017). Across this space large fluxes of metabolites need to be 54 exchanged, both through plasmodesmata (Osmond & Smith, 1976; Danila et al., 2018), and through 55 a suite of chloroplast membrane transporters (Weber & von Caemmerer, 2010; Gowik et al., 2011; 56 Schlüter et al., 2016). 57

Quantifying the potential gains from operating a CCM has challenged physiologists for the last 58 50 years. Simple approaches have compared C₃ and C₄ plants, but the evolutionary traits of 59 unrelated species can differ substantially, preventing the isolation of the effects of CCMs [reviewed 60 in Snaydon (1991) and Christin and Osborne (2014)]. For instance, in a large comparative 61 experiment Atkinson et al. (2016) found C₃ and C₄ grasses mainly differed in terms of leaf mass per 62 area, rather than net assimilation rate per unit leaf area, but Taylor et al. (2010) reported that a more 63 limited set of C₄ grasses had a 45 % higher assimilation rate than C₃ grasses. The comparison is 64 further complicated by the co-occurrence of acclimatory traits: Schmitt and Edwards (1981) 65 reported that the effect of short and long term temperature acclimation was greater than any 66

2

difference in assimilation rate between maize and rice. Even in targeted comparisons between rice and the sympatric weed *Echinochloa glabrescens* or crops such as maize, results were inconclusive (Sheehy, 2007; Covshoff *et al.*, 2016). To quantify the benefit of operating a CCM it is therefore critical to compare two plants in which all traits, other than the strength of the CCM, are equal.

For this hypothetical analysis, mathematical models are in principle the ideal tool. Heckmann et 71 al. (2013) found a smooth monotonic increase in assimilation for increasing levels of C_4 expression 72 in a C₃ background. This finding was directly dependent on the assumption of unlimited ATP, and 73 contrasts with the observation that C₄ plants are favoured only under high temperatures and light 74 intensities (Monteith, 1978; Pearcy & Ehleringer, 1984). Wu et al. (2017) compared predictions of 75 C_3 and C_4 models, but these were parameterised separately by curve fitting on representative C_3 and 76 C₄ crops, thereby replicating the unwanted coexistence of multiple traits present in nature within the 77 models. The light-limited model developed by von Caemmerer (2000) assumed a fixed 78 stoichiometric conversion between electron transport and ATP production and is unsuitable for 79 testing different levels of C₄ engagement because the C₄ cycle requires an increased ratio of ATP to 80 NADPH, which C₄ plants obtain by upregulating cyclic electron flow, CEF (Ishikawa et al., 2016). 81 Recently Yin and Struik (2017) overcame some of these shortcomings, but biochemical processes 82 were relatively schematic, and as a result, metabolite exchange requirements have not been 83 quantified. 84

The aims of this work were three-fold. Firstly, to develop the theoretical underpinnings of the 85 introduction of CCMs into C₃ crops at the leaf level; secondly, quantify the possible benefits and 86 trade-offs of CCMs if they were to be made operational in rice; and, finally, estimate realistic 87 fluxes to help define targets for expression of enzymes and transporters. Light-limited formulations 88 working under the assumption of limiting ATP or NADPH, as well as enzyme-limited 89 formulations, all valid for any photosynthetic type, are developed here. These are integrated with a 90 mechanistic description of photosynthetic light reactions, and with a biochemical and 91 hydromechanical model of stomatal behaviour. A gas-exchange experiment was used to inform the 92 model. The results predict that introducing CCMs in C_3 metabolism under the current ambient CO_2 93 concentration would increase assimilation under full light, but the benefit would be reversed at low 94 light intensity (*PPFD*). For C₄ photosynthesis, achieving this potential will require an appropriate 95 electron transport chain, allowing adequate metabolite traffic, and enlarging the BS to house the 96 biochemical and light harvesting machinery. 97

98

Material and Methods

100 Overview of the modelling approach

The modelling scheme is depicted in Figure 1 to highlight key inputs and outputs, This model 101 was newly derived to allow a seamless transition between all photosynthetic types except CAM, 102 and joins together an electron transport submodel, a biochemical submodel, a stoichiometric 103 submodel (see schematic in Figure S1), and a stomatal submodel. The photosynthetic type is 104 defined by setting the strength of the C₄ cycle [as PEP carboxylation rate $(V_{P(J)})$ in the light–limited 105 model and maximum rate of PEPC, (V_{PMAX}) in the enzyme-limited submodel] together with the 106 location of GDC (χ_{GDC}). The electron transport submodel (Note S1, Figure S2) calculates the flux of 107 ATP and NADPH (J_{ATP} and J_{NADPH}) made available under a given *PPFD*. Here, the limitations of 108 previous modelling approaches using a fixed stoichiometry of the electron transport chain (see 109 Introduction) were resolved by allowing the ratio of ATP/NADPH production to be adjusted 110 through mechanisms that were found to be critical in C₄ plants. These are the regulation of the rate 111 of cyclic electron flow (CEF) through the parameter f_{Cyc} , and inducing the NAD(P)H 112 Dehydrogenase-like (NDH) complex (Ivanov et al., 2005; Friso et al., 2010; Munekage et al., 113 2010) which is characteristic of C4, and not used by C3 plants, operating mainly the PGR5 / PGRL1 114 pathway (Yamori & Shikanai, 2016) by varying f_{NDH} (the fraction of CEF passing through the NDH 115 complex). The reducing power requirements of nitrogen reduction are implicitly accounted for here 116 as pseudocyclic electron flow (lumped with the water-water cycle, and adjusted through $f_{Pseudocyc}$), 117 in line with Yin and Struik (2012). 118

The biochemical submodel has different formulations depending on the limitation, sharing 119 common underpinnings (Note S2). There is a formulation for limitation by Rubisco or PEPC 120 carboxylating capacity (commonly referred to as enzyme limitation, Note S3) and two formulations 121 for light-limited photosynthesis, derived under limiting ATP (Note S4) or NADPH (Note S5). 122 Equations for triose phosphate limited photosynthesis (Busch et al., 2018) were omitted for 123 simplicity as they are relevant under low O₂ or high CO₂ concentrations, or low temperatures 124 (Busch & Sage, 2017), while crops like rice - fertilised and irrigated - generally experience mainly 125 light limitations (Yin & Struik, 2015). Similarly, limitations imposed by the diffusion of 126 metabolites (Retta et al., 2016) were neglected for simplicity, justified by a recent study addressing 127 the introduction of a weak C₄ cycle in C₃ photosynthesis using a reaction diffusion model that found 128 that any reduction of A due to the effect of diffusion processes was limited (Wang et al., 2017). The 129 ATP and NADH produced during respiration were neglected because they are likely to be 130 consumed by basal metabolism, while NADH imbalances are likely to be dissipated by 131 mitochondrial alternative oxidases (Buckley & Adams, 2011). 132

Using dummy values (initial values for a converging iteration) for the CO_2 concentration at the M carboxylating sites (C_M) the light–limited submodel calculates two distinct sets of outputs, under ¹³⁵ NADPH and ATP limitations. Of those, that resulting in the minimum $V_{\rm C}$ is taken as output of the ¹³⁶ light–limited model. Similarly, starting from $C_{\rm M}$, the enzyme–limited submodel calculates a full set ¹³⁷ of outputs using the kinetic characteristics of Rubisco and PEPC as inputs.

Outputs of light-limited and enzyme-limited submodels are joined using a smoothing function 138 to give a continuous output (Note S6), as well as used to calculate τ , a quantity related to the ATP 139 concentration in the M and the BS that acts as the biochemical driver of stomatal response (Note 140 S7). This was included solely to realistically simulate stomatal conductance in a C₃ to C₄ 141 continuum, but we make no claim about whether τ offers a faithful mechanistic description of 142 stomatal behaviour. Hydro-mechanical forcing links guard cell responses to the water status and 143 turgor of the leaf, which relate to soil water status and plant hydraulic conductance. The influence 144 of biochemical factors relative to hydro–mechanical forcing is determined by the parameter β , while 145 stomatal morphology is described by χ_s . The output of the stomatal submodel is stomatal 146 conductance, (g_S) that, together with mesophyll conductance g_M , is used to calculate C_M , which is 147 iterated. Temperature dependence is simulated with empirical functions (Note S8, Table S2). For 148 each combination of inputs, the locality of Rubisco between BS and M ($\chi_{Rubisco}$) together with the 149 rate of flow through CEF (f_{Cyc}) were fitted to maximise A. This resulted in light reactions generating 150 exactly the ATP and NADPH which was consumed by dark reactions, while the ATP-limited 151 model and the NADPH-limited models converged to output the same level of A. The outputs of 152 these submodels (V_{OBS} , V_{CBS} , V_{OM} , and V_{CM}) were inputted to a generalised stoichiometric model of 153 assimilation (Bellasio, 2017), used to calculate reaction rates, and fluxes across the BS and M 154 interface (Figure S1). Here, three additional inputs partition key processes between the BS and M: 155 f_{PR} , for phosphoglycerate reduction; f_{CS} , for carbohydrate synthesis; f_{PPDK} , for pyruvate phosphate 156 dikinase (Table 1). Model parameterisation and sensitivity are described in Notes S9 (coefficients 157 are in Table S3) and S10, respectively. 158

¹⁵⁹ *Plants, gas exchange, and fluorometry*

Plants of Oryza sativa subsp. indica, modern, high-yielding variety Takanari (Taylaran et al., 160 2009) were germinated and grown in 1.5 L pots filled with Martins potting mix (80% composted 161 bark, 10% coir, 10% sand, complete fertiliser), in acrylate greenhouses located in Canberra (35°S, 162 149°E) under natural illumination in April – May 2018. Pots were partially submerged for a third of 163 the depth in polypropylene tubs and watered weekly for six weeks. Gas exchange and fluorescence 164 were measured on a fully expanded leaf with a setup similar to Bellasio and Griffiths (2014b). 165 Briefly, a portable gas exchange system (LI6400XT, Li-Cor, Lincoln, USA) was modified to 166 operate at low CO₂ concentrations (see licor.com) and fitted with a 6400–06 PAM2000 adapter, 167 holding a fibre probe in the upper leaf cuvette distant enough to avoid shading. Light was provided 168 by a bespoke red-blue light source, positioned to illuminate uniformly the leaf. Light intensity was 169 measured through an in-chamber Gallium arsenide photodiode, calibrated using a Li-250 light 170

sensor (Li-Cor). Neoprene gaskets were used on both sides of the cuvette. A mixture of 2 % O₂ was 171 prepared by mixing ambient air and N₂ with a bespoke gas mixing unit (kindly assembled by Suan 172 Chin Wong). This mix or ambient air was CO2-scrubbed with soda lime and humidified to a dew 173 point of 15–17 °C upstream of the inlet to maintain water vapour pressure deficit around 1 kPa. CO₂ 174 was added from a cylinder (Isi, Vienna, Austria), using the CO₂ injection unit of the LI6400XT. 175 PSII yield was measured with a Dual PAM-F (Heinz Walz GmbH, Effeltrich, Germany). Pulse 176 intensity was adjusted to be between 10,000 and 12,000 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ thereby exceeding the 177 requirements of between 6,000 and 8,000 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, depending on CO₂ and *PPFD* levels, to 178 saturate the fluorescence signal. Mass flow leaks (Boesgaard et al., 2013) were monitored with a 179 gas flow meter as detailed in Bellasio, C. et al. (2016), and sealed with a tiny ridge of atoxic 180 gelatine laid between the gaskets and the leaf. Four photosynthetic response curves were measured 181 at 25 °C on n=4 plants as detailed in Bellasio, C. et al. (2016). A/Ci curves were measured under a 182 *PPFD* of 1200 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, light curves were measured under a C_a of 420 μ mol mol⁻¹. Flow rate 183 was 490 μ mol s⁻¹; CO₂ diffusion through the gaskets was compensated by lengthening the tubing of 184 the LI6400XT reference gas. 185

186 **Results**

187 Gas exchange

The operational conditions of rice plants were characterised by a comprehensive gas exchange 188 experiment, which combined measurements under ambient and low O₂. Primary, diffusion leak-189 corrected data appear as symbols in Figure 2, PSII yield is shown in Figure S3. Overall, rice 190 displayed typical C₃ responses. Under high PPFD (Figure 2A), A was lower under ambient O₂ 191 (closed symbols) than under low O₂ (open symbols) because of photorespiration. The quantum yield 192 for assimilation (the initial slope of the curves), was higher under low O_2 (0.0397±0.0002 and 193 0.0512 ± 0.0023 under ambient and low O₂, respectively). Under low C_i (Figure 2B), A was higher 194 under low O₂ than under ambient O₂ because of O₂ competitive inhibition of Rubisco. Assimilation 195 saturated at relatively lower C_i under low O_2 (open symbols) than under ambient O_2 . The stomatal 196 conductance (g_S) measured in A/PPFD curves (Figure 2C) increased monotonically with PPFD 197 showing a saturating response similar to that of the A/PPFD curve. Under varying external CO₂ 198 concentration (C_a), g_s decreased non-linearly with slope depending on the O₂ level. Rice had a 199 slightly higher in vivo S_{C/O} (Table 1) than that found in vitro (Hermida-Carrera et al., 2016) perhaps 200 for the tight association between mitochondria and chloroplasts that evolved to maximise 201 photorespiratory CO₂ recapture (Sage & Sage, 2009; Hatakeyama & Ueno, 2016). Under a PPFD 202 of 500 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, rice operated at a relatively low V_0/V_c of circa 0.3 [Figure S4, compare with 203 Bellasio et al. (2014)]. 204

Simulating assimilation and stomatal conductance of native C_3 rice

 A/C_i and A/PPFD curves responses for rice were simulated in the same conditions used for gas 206 exchange measurements. The model predicted with accuracy A/PPFD (Figure 2A) and A/C_i curves 207 (Figure 2B) measured under ambient O_2 , but overestimated A/PPFD and A/C_i curves under low O_2 208 and high $C_{\rm a}$. We attribute this to triose phosphate limitation, and to the feedbacks regulating the 209 electron transport chain through the quenching of Y(II) under low O₂ (Figure S3) which we have 210 addressed in Bellasio (2018) but not considered in this model, for simplicity. The simulated 211 stomatal behaviour captures very well the shape of the stomatal response, in both A/PPFD and A/ C_i 212 curves and at both O₂ levels. 213

Simulating gas exchange of C_2 , C_2+C_4 and C_4 rice

Here, simulations were intended to capture hypothetical best-case scenario, assuming unlimited 215 phenotypic plasticity whereby Rubisco is optimally distributed and electron transport processes 216 fully accommodate CEF and NDH levels. Conditions and fitting routines were the same as used for 217 the C₃ simulations. The C₂ shuttle and progressive levels of C₄ activity were introduced in native 218 rice by manipulating the activity of PEPC (through the inputs V_{PMAX} and $V_{P(J)}$), the locality of GDC 219 (ξ_{GDC}) , the engagement of the NDH pathway of electron transport (f_{NDH}) and the BS apportioning of 220 light respiration (f_{RLIGHT} , see Table 1 for full details). The levels of the fitted inputs χ_{Rubisco} and f_{Cyc} 221 are shown in Figure S5. These are relevant for bioengineering as they indicate the required physical 222 distribution of Rubisco, and the necessary adjustments to the electron transport chain. A/PPFD 223 curves (Figure 3A) simulated at a C_a of 400 µmol mol⁻¹ intersect around a *PPFD* of 300 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ 224 ¹. Under lower *PPFDs* C_2 A was the highest and C_4 was the lowest. Under higher *PPFDs* A 225 increased proportionally with the level of CCM engagement and was ~22% higher for C4 than C3 at 226 a PPFD of 1500 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. The analysis of A/Ci curves (Figure 3B) revealed expected 227 differences in predicted gas change characteristics between photosynthetic types, with A at C_a lower 228 than ~550 µmol mol⁻¹ being progressively higher for plants operating CCMs at increasing 229 engagement. But the operation of a CCM necessarily sacrifices A under higher C_a . There were 230 striking differences in stomatal conductance, which was around 40% less in C₄ than in C₃ under a 231 *PPFD* of 1500 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ and a C_a of 400 μ mol mol⁻¹ (Figure 3C), indicating that the same level 232 of A was achieved with lower transpiration and higher water use efficiency, in line with differences 233 between extant C₃ and C₄ species (Bellasio et al., 2018; Quirk et al., 2018) although in the field 234 there is some negative feedback on the effect on WUE because of temperature changes. The same 235 differences were maintained in the simulated A/Ci curves (Figure 3D). Notably these differences in 236 gs resulted solely from biochemical differences between photosynthetic types (sensed by the 237 quantity τ) while all other parameters were maintained at C₃ levels. The operation of the CCMs 238 resulted in an increase in the CO₂ concentration in the BS (Figure 3E and 3F) and in the consequent 239 reduction of the ratio between Rubisco oxygenation and carboxylation (Figure 3G and 3H). The 240 output fraction of BS Rubisco carboxylation V_{CBS}/V_C , which depends both on C_{BS} and on $\chi_{Rubisco}$, is 241

²⁴² shown in Figure 3I and 3J. V_{CBS}/V_C was relatively invariant with *PPFD* in all photosynthetic types ²⁴³ except C₄, where it slightly decreased below 500 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Figure 3I). In *A/C*i curves V_{CBS}/V_C ²⁴⁴ increased at low C_a for C₂ and C₂+C₄ types and decreased at high C_a for the C₄ type. Leakiness (the ²⁴⁵ rate of CO₂ retrodiffusion from the BS relative to PEP carboxylation rate), of relevance for isotopic ²⁴⁶ studies, (Cernusak *et al.*, 2013; Bellasio & Griffiths, 2014b) is plotted in Figure S6. To isolate any ²⁴⁷ effect of CO₂ diffusion through the mesophyll and stomata, these simulations were repeated using ²⁴⁸ C_M as input, and are shown in Figure S7.

Assimilatory gain/loss of C_2 , C_2+C_4 and C_4 rice at different temperatures, C_a , and PPFD

This set of simulations explored gains and losses of operating different types of photosynthesis, 250 as compared to C_3 . Three scenarios were simulated: one of unlimited plasticity of the electron 251 transport chain and two in which some elements of the electron transport chain remain in a C₃ 252 configuration. In the best case scenario electron transport processes fully accommodate the ATP 253 demand of different types of CCM through the optimisation of the levels of CEF (f_{Cyc}) and by 254 allowing expression of the NDH complex in C_2+C_4 and C_4 types ($f_{NDH}>0$). Figure 4 shows that 255 operating C₂ was beneficial at all temperatures and PPFDs, but gains were generally lower than 256 10% (Figure 4B), as compared to C_3 (Figure 4A). Operating C_2+C_4 was slightly counterproductive 257 below a *PPFD* of 450 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ and a temperature of 40° C but allowed substantial gains above 258 (Figure 4C). The range in which operating C₄ photosynthesis did not confer net benefits was cutting 259 diagonally below a temperature of 40° C and a *PPFD* of 500 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Figure 4D). The possible 260 gains and losses were much more pronounced for C_4 than for C_2 and C_2+C_4 types. In the operation 261 of the C₄ cycle most of the energy saved by suppressing photorespiration is consumed by the 262 regeneration of PEP; the resulting balance depends on their relative flux, and can be quantified 263 through the quantum efficiency of assimilation $Y(CO_2)$, shown on incident light basis in Figure S8. 264 $Y(CO_2)$ was very similar for C₃ and C₂ types. C₂+C₄ and C₄ had higher $Y(CO_2)$ than C₃ at high 265 *PPFDs*, but lower at low *PPFDs*. Overall, $Y(CO_2)$ was slightly lower than our previous 266 measurements in tobacco and maize (Bellasio, C. et al., 2016; Bellasio, Chandra et al., 2016), 267 which we attribute to slightly lower $Y(H)_{LL}$ and s (Table 1). 268

We then compared CCM types to C_3 assimilation in the temperature and C_a space, under a 269 moderate PPFD of 700 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, meant to capture illumination of an ordinary erect leaf of a 270 modern cultivar in the upper level of the canopy, in the same optimistic scenario of variable CEF 271 and engaged NDH (Figure 4E). C₂ assimilation was beneficial at all temperatures and C_a (Figure 272 4F). Gains were greater than 10% in a relatively broad set of conditions including under ambient $C_{\rm a}$ 273 at high temperatures. The C₄ and C₂+C₄ types were disadvantageous above a C_a of around 450 274 μ mol mol⁻¹ and below 40 °C – a broader range than under higher *PPFD* (Figure 3B). The C₄ and 275 C_2+C_4 types were progressively more advantageous at higher temperature and low C_a . 276

Similar simulations were carried out to represent a less optimistic scenario whereby the activity of the NDH complex remained at C₃ levels ($f_{NDH}=0$) for all photosynthetic types (Figure 5, top row). The marginal gains were maintained for the C₂ type (Figure 5A); however, C₂+C₄ and C₄ types were counterproductive in a broader range of *PPFD*s roughly cutting below a *PPFD* of 700 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ for the C₂+C₄ type and 900 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ for the C₄ type (Figure 5B and 5C).

In a pessimistic scenario, in addition to the incapacity to express sufficient NDH complex ($f_{NDH}=0$), CCM types were unable to modify the flux through CEF, which remained capped at C₃ levels (Figure 5, bottom row). Here, the marginal gains were maintained for C₂ photosynthesis (Figure 5D); however, the C₂+C₄ type was counterproductive below a *PPFD* of 1000 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, while the C₄ type was counterproductive at all *PPFD*s below a temperature of 30°C (Figure 5E and 5F). Severe losses in excess of 40% were predicted for the C₄ type at ordinary temperatures and moderate to low *PPFD*s.

289 Metabolite transport

Two further sets of simulations estimated the metabolite fluxes between the M and the BS by 290 manipulating the level of C_4 engagement through increasing levels of V_P (Figure 6) so as to 291 represent the full C_2+C_4 continuum from C_2 (left of each panel) to C_4 (right of each panel). In a first 292 scenario (Figure 6A), the level of ATP demand in the BS was minimised. In these conditions, 293 phosphoglycerate is not reduced in the BS but diffuses to the M and is reduced therein to 294 dihydroxyacetone phosphate, DHAP. A minimal part of DHAP is used by carbohydrate synthesis, 295 but the majority diffuses back to BS to replenish the sugar phosphates pool. This drives the 296 metabolite exchange between the M and the BS to a maximum. In addition, because 297 phosphoglycerate reduction is the main NADPH sink in the BS, when ATP demand in the BS is 298 minimal, the NADPH demand in the BS is also minimal. This requires by-passing the malate 299 dehydrogenase in the M, and, to maintain the efficiency of the CCM despite the inability to operate 300 the malate shuttle, the CCM works through alanine and aspartate (Bellasio, 2017). This condition is 301 suboptimal because it requires high concentration gradients of aspartate and alanine when 302 malate and pyruvate do not transport CO₂ (Arrivault et al., 2017). At low levels of C₄ engagement, 303 when $V_{\rm P}$ was low, glycine and serine were operating the C₂ shuttle. The model predicts that the 304 reducing power generated in the BS by the decarboxylation of glycine, which could not be used by 305 phosphoglycerate reduction because of the insufficient ATP availability, was returned to the M by 306 the malate and pyruvate shuttle in a 'backward' C₄ cycle. As V_P increased, the flux of glycine and 307 strength of the C_2 cycle [which scales with V_P , see details in Bellasio (2017)] was progressively 308 reduced, diminishing the excess NADPH in BS together with the malate and pyruvate fluxes that 309 decrease to zero with $V_{\rm P}$. With the increase in $V_{\rm P}$, the fitted fraction of Rubisco carboxylation in BS 310 increased linearly, causing the ratio of ATP demand in BS relative to M to increase linearly (Figure 311 6C). 312

An opposite scenario, where fluxes were minimal, was simulated by fitting f_{PR} and f_{CS} to 313 minimise the sum of squared flow rates between BS and M (Figure 6B). In these conditions the 314 increase of phosphoglycerate reduction in the BS drove the ATP demand in the BS to a maximum 315 (Figure 6D). The total fluxes were less than half those of the previous case (54 versus 130 µmol m 316 2 s⁻¹); the main metabolites to be transported in these conditions were malate and pyruvate, which 317 were the sole compounds to support the CCM while the flux of aminoacids was minimal. Despite 318 the malate and pyruvate shuttle working in full, and exporting reducing power from the M to the 319 BS, the NADPH demand in the BS was high (Figure 6D), requiring substantial linear electron flow 320 in the BS (~18 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ of NADPH). 321

322 Discussion

This work set out to study the theoretical underpinnings of the introduction of CCMs into C₃ 323 metabolism. A model of enzyme and light-limited assimilation was newly derived to account for 324 the stoichiometry of Bellasio (2017) (Table S1) augmented to include the explicit mechanistic 325 description of the electron transport chain (Bellasio, 2018), and a hydromechanical and biochemical 326 model of stomatal conductance recently shown to work for C₃ and C₄ plants (Bellasio et al., 2017). 327 We shall stress four points distinguishing the importance of this work. Firstly, by including a 328 hydromechanical submodel we provide a means to connect plant assimilatory biochemistry to plant 329 hydraulics, allowing the concurrent investigation of photosynthesis and water use. Secondly, this is 330 the only study comparing C_2 performance with C_3 , C_2+C_4 and C_4 seamlessly within a single model, 331 offering a further improvement over approaches targeted to specific types. Thirdly, this is the only 332 study estimating the metabolite fluxes necessary to operate the different photosynthetic types. 333 Lastly, the model marries biochemically comprehensiveness (it includes all main reactions of the 334 photosynthetic metabolism) with computational speed, required by larger scale modelling. This 335 model is generally applicable, and will be valuable for ecophysiological and evolutionary studies, 336 but we will address evolution at a later stage. Here, we applied the modelling framework to predict 337 assimilation and metabolite fluxes in a three dimensional environmental landscape (t $\times C_a \times PPFD$) 338 using parameters derived for rice. Next, we make some general considerations on the introduction 339 of a CCM in C₃ metabolism, and we elaborate on the special case of rice. 340

There is a pervasive belief that the introduction of C₄ photosynthesis into C₃ plants will 341 unconditionally increase assimilation, supported by models based on the assumption that ATP and 342 NADPH are unlimited (Heckmann et al., 2013). However, decades of comparison between C4 and 343 C_3 plants have shown that C_3 plants may be advantaged in a range of conditions [e.g. (Ehleringer et 344 al., 1997; Ghannoum et al., 2000; Christin & Osborne, 2014)]. We showed that, when energy 345 budgets were accounted for, C₄ photosynthesis becomes unfavourable at high CO₂ concentrations, 346 low PPFD and low temperatures, and therefore provide a novel theoretical framework to explain 347 such experimental observations. 348

³⁴⁹ Bundle sheath permeability mediates trade–offs imposed by light intensity

Modern crops like rice have typically a LAI (leaf area per ground area) of 5-6, meaning that the 350 majority of leaves are shaded and, importantly, the overall performance of C₄ types will 351 compromise full-light advantages and shade disadvantages. The key parameter governing 352 photosynthetic losses under low *PPFD* in C₄ photosynthesis is BS conductance, g_{BS} (Bellasio & 353 Griffiths, 2014b). g_{BS} controls the flux of CO₂ released in the BS that retrodiffuses to the M, called 354 leakage (Farquhar, 1983). g_{BS} can vary several orders of magnitude in nature and can affect A 355 substantially (Kromdijk et al., 2014; Yin & Struik, 2017), in particular at high levels of CCM 356 engagement (Figure S9). Under high temperature, g_{BS} is reported to increase (Yin et al., 2016), 357 while under low PPFD V_P decreases, driven by a reduced rate of ATP production (Bellasio & 358 Griffiths, 2014b). In these conditions, leakage reduces C_{BS} , and, in C₄ plants, it dissipates energy 359 through the ATP-dependent regeneration of phosphoenolpyruvate required to re-fix the leaked 360 CO₂, making the CCM counterproductive (Tazoe et al., 2008; Ubierna et al., 2011; Ubierna et al., 361 2013; Bellasio & Griffiths, 2014b; Sun et al., 2014; Pignon et al., 2017). In nature, plants minimise 362 the ratio between leakage and metabolite fluxes by preferentially localising plasmodesmata at the 363 interface between M and BS, while apoplastic diffusion is often reduced by the deposition of a gas-364 tight suberized cell wall (Sowinski et al., 2008; Sowiński, 2013; Danila et al., 2016; Danila et al., 365 2018). If low g_{BS} may therefore appear desirable (though perhaps difficult to achieve), high 366 symplastic permeability is required to sustain metabolite diffusion [Figure 6, (Weber & von 367 Caemmerer, 2010)], and this dilemma constitutes an efficiency trade-off that is inherent to the C₄ 368 CCM – and unavoidable (Bellasio & Griffiths, 2014a). Indeed, to attune leakage to PPFD levels, 369 g_{BS} in maize was found to adjust during growth (Bellasio & Griffiths, 2014b) as well as in adult 370 leaves (Bellasio & Griffiths, 2014a). 371

³⁷² Future CO₂ levels

Rising anthropogenic atmospheric CO₂ concentrations will favour C₃ assimilation over C₄. Apart 373 from the difficulties in predicting future CO₂ levels – not addressed here – predicting assimilation 374 under changing CO_2 is very difficult. When plants are exposed to a high CO_2 level for a long time 375 they may downregulate the pool of Rubisco and PEPC (Ghannoum et al., 2000; Leakey et al., 2004; 376 Long et al., 2006; Leakey et al., 2012), at the same time, producing fewer stomata (Way et al., 377 2011; Franks et al., 2012)(Quirk, Bellasio and Beerling, Annals of Botany, in press.). There is a 378 growing body of data gained under controlled conditions [e.g. (Bellasio et al., 2018; Quirk et al., 379 2018)] and in free air experiments [e.g. (Bishop et al., 2015)], yet, responses are species specific 380 and, currently, evidence is not sufficient to generalise acclimation responses of C4 and C3 plants. As 381 a result, it is common practice in climate modelling to take assimilatory responses measured under 382 transient changes in CO_2 levels (A/C_a curves) as predictive of stable responses of plants grown 383 under different CO₂ levels, that is, no large scale models include representation of the physiological 384

acclimation to future CO₂ level (Rogers *et al.*, 2017). With this principle, using simple interpolation of the best case scenario shown in Figure 4H, at 25 °C, C₄ assimilation would equal C₃ assimilation at a C_a of 465 µmol mol⁻¹, a level that would be exceeded in 2036 according to the A2 scenario of carbon emission mitigation (http://www.ipcc-data.org/observ/ddc_co2.html).

389 Strategies for engineering a CCM

In the face of global warming, the introduction of CCMs in a C₃ crop such as rice was proposed 390 as a possible strategy to increase yield (Leegood, 2013; Long et al., 2015). An operational C2 391 shuttle was considered as a first step in bio-engineering, with the final goal of obtaining a fully 392 expressed C₄ type. Of the three biochemical C₄ subtypes (NADP-ME, NAD-ME, PEPCK), the 393 NADP-ME was chosen as the initial target (Kajala et al., 2011), as it is operated by the crops with 394 greatest productivity (Furbank, 2011) and would require introducing a smaller number of enzymes 395 [in M cells carbonic anhydrase, PEPC, malate dehydrogenase, and pyruvate-phosphate dikinase; in 396 BS cells NADP-ME, plus eight transmembrane transporters (Kajala et al., 2011)]. Other subtypes 397 require additional enzymes [aspartate and alanine aminotransferase, PEPCK, NAD-ME (Wang et 398 al., 2014), plus up to three transporters (Schlüter et al.)] and were not considered here, but see 399 Bellasio (2017). Traditionally, strategies for engineering a CCM have emphasized the manipulation 400 of dark reactions and the associated genetics (Kajala et al., 2011; Leegood, 2013). Here we point to 401 two overlooked factors required for the operation of a CCM, namely anatomy and light reactions. 402

Firstly, leaf anatomy needs to be adjusted depending on the level of C₄ cycle expression. 403 Anatomy and biochemistry of the BS are mutually interdependent (Bellasio & Griffiths, 2014c). 404 The requirement in light harvesting optical cross section depends on the ATP demand, and 405 determines the required BS volume, mediated by the size of the ATP-generating light harvesting 406 machinery, plus the volume of the dark reactions machinery (Bellasio & Lundgren, 2016). Minimal 407 ATP demand in the BS may be desirable as it would require the smallest BS, and therefore require 408 minimum modification of the current rice anatomy, but would lead to the unwanted necessity of 409 high gradients and flux rates, and require the expression of high levels of metabolite transporters 410 (Pick et al., 2011). Aiming at a high ATP demand would have the benefit of requiring the minimum 411 expression of transporters but would require the largest electron transport chain, and therefore a 412 more radical modification of the native C₃ anatomy. Identifying a desired anatomical target requires 413 therefore first to identify a biochemical ideotype. Each of the two extreme solutions shown in 414 Figure 6 would entail limited operational robustness (Pick et al., 2011), as there would not be any 415 freedom to accommodate transient environmental change (Bellasio & Griffiths, 2014c). A 'robust 416 flexibility' would be positioned half-way between these two opposite scenarios, for instance where 417 the ATP demand in the BS relative to M is 0.7. The potential ratio of ATP production in the BS 418 relative to M must exceed 0.7 by a considerable safety margin (Bellasio & Lundgren, 2016) to 419 counter changing light conditions (Bellasio & Griffiths, 2014c). To achieve this, the light absorbed 420

in the BS relative to M under white light, must be close to 0.7. Currently, the size and pigmentation 421 of rice BS is insufficient (Bellasio & Lundgren, 2016). A suitable situation was found in maize, 422 which had a BS pigmentation circa twice that of the M, and allocated ~30% of the total leaf section 423 area to the BS (Bellasio & Lundgren, 2016) and should be considered as the target for C₄ rice. 424 Further, reaching the required levels for g_{BS} will require engineering the appropriate density of 425 plasmodesmata (Danila et al., 2016), reducing leakage, and possibly allow for acclimation of g_{BS} 426 during growth (see above). Alternatively, higher efficiency could be reached by operating the C₄ 427 cycle only in those parts of the canopy where the PPFD is higher than a given threshold, but this 428 seems difficult to achieve also because it is adopted neither in mature nor in developing maize 429 leaves (Wang et al., 2013). 430

Secondly, the operation of a C₄ cycle will require important modifications to the electron 431 transport chains. We showed that when cyclic electron flow, CEF (f_{Cyc}) and the NDH pathway 432 (f_{NDH}) were allowed to vary (Figure 4), the performance of C₂+C₄ and C₄ types was maximal. This 433 optimal scenario reflects the idea that electron transport processes may spontaneously adjust in 434 response to the expression of a CCM, responding to an increase in ATP demand, through flexibility 435 mechanisms inherent in native chloroplasts (Takeuchi et al., 2000). Higher levels of f_{NDH} would 436 benefit C₄ assimilation, but may be physiologically implausible, for example because NDH is very 437 expensive to produce and maintain. It is possible, however, that rice does not have the potential to 438 express adequate level of CEF and NDH components. If f_{NDH} is capped at C₃ levels the performance 439 of C₄ rice will be lower (Figure 5 A–C), and if f_{Cyc} is capped at C₃ levels A would be depressed even 440 further (Figure 5 D–F). 441

Considering the complexities and trade-offs of implementing a C₄ cycle, C₂ rice may be a 442 desirable product of bioengineering efforts. Despite the relative operational simplicity, the 443 engagement of a C₂ shuttle always increased assimilation rate, relative to C₃. The assimilation gain 444 was relatively small under ambient C_a , but increased with temperature at low C_a (Figure 4F). 445 Although in water-rich rice paddies plants can maintain stomata open and extreme photorespiratory 446 conditions might not occur at mid-latitudes (where temperatures are milder and the subsp. japonica 447 is favoured), they may occur at low-latitudes (where temperatures are higher and the subsp. indica 448 is favoured), and, particularly, for dryland rice, which would probably be the crop to benefit most 449 from the introduction of a C₂ CCM. In the simulations, the locality of Rubisco activity, as $\chi_{Rubisco}$, 450 was adjusted continuously at varying $C_{\rm M}$ always resulting in optimal Rubisco activity. In nature, 451 however, the proportion of Rubisco in the BS may change only on evolutionary timescales and may 452 be plant-specific. Consequently, there may be a trade-off between optimisation for 453 photorespiratory conditions, by compartmentalising more Rubisco to the BS, or for non-454 photorespiratory conditions by allowing all Rubisco in the M, with easier access to intercellular 455 CO₂. Allocating 10 % of Rubisco in the BS was a good compromise (Figure S5). 456

457 From leaf-level to crop

Upscaling these findings to calculate crop yield will be a challenging task. Firstly, it will require 458 modelling of the canopy light environment (Song et al., 2013), possibly including diel light cycles 459 of fully illuminated leaves (Wu et al., 2017) and the transient illumination in shaded leaves (Pearcy 460 et al., 1997), nitrogen allocation (Buckley et al., 2002; Dewar et al., 2012), the effect of different 461 canopy architectures (Burgess *et al.*, 2017), the response of A and g_S to temperature and humidity 462 (Yin & Struik, 2017). Ideally, the description could consider the potential losses due to suboptimal 463 stomatal aperture (Vialet-Chabrand et al., 2016; Bellasio et al., 2017), and the mid-morning 464 depressions of photosynthetic capacity (Horton & Murchie, 2000). The necessity of translating 465 assimilation into grain yield will add further complexities and require a dedicated crop model 466 accounting for root growth, nitrogen uptake, pathogens, as well as the interactions between cultivars 467 and climate (Li et al., 2015; Paleari et al., 2017). There is an urgent need for addressing some of 468 these challenges. This model offers the necessary underpinnings and can be readily used as a 469 submodel for modelling assimilation at higher spatial level. 470

471 Conclusion

We developed new ATP-limited, and NADPH-limited submodels of assimilation, as well as a 472 light reaction submodel, coupled with a stomatal submodel. The resulting model connects light 473 harvesting to dark assimilatory biochemistry and hydraulics and is valid for any photosynthetic 474 type. The equations were solved analytically and will be valuable for evolutionary as well as 475 ecophysiological studies, and we encourage their use also for larger scale modelling. The model 476 was calibrated and tested on primary gas exchange and fluorescence data measured on rice. By 477 simulating the introduction of CCMs in C₃ metabolism we showed that C₄ photosynthesis becomes 478 disadvantageous under a set of environmental conditions (low light, low temperatures and high 479 CO_2) thus providing theoretical support for decades of ecophysiological observations. For the 480 expression of a CCM to be advantageous, any modifications to dark reactions need to be 481 accompanied by substantial modifications to light reactions. Specifically, engineering an 482 appropriate electron transport chain, with the possibility of expressing the NDH complex and 483 adjusting levels of cyclic electron flow will be required. These will also need to be accompanied by 484 anatomical modifications to accommodate the biochemical and light harvesting machinery and by 485 the expression of suitable levels of transporters to allow adequate metabolite traffic. 486

487 Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Ross Deans, Joe Quirk, Florian Busch, and Pascal–Antoine Christin for
 review, to Alexis Moschopoulos, Emily Beardon, Yuzhen Fan, and Deyun Qiu for plants, to
 Rosario Maggistro for help, to Dean Price for the fluorometer, to Susanne von Caemmerer and Suan

⁴⁹¹ Chin Wong for gas exchange equipment, to Tom Sharkey for initially suggesting to apply the model

to the introduction of the C_4 cycle in rice. CB gratefully acknowledges funding through a H2020

⁴⁹³ Marie Skłodowska–Curie individual fellowship (DILIPHO, ID: 702755). GDF gratefully

acknowledges the ARC Centre of Excellence for Translational Photosynthesis (Grant number

495 CE140100015).

This work was solely driven by the Authors' curiosity, is not part of – and is not funded by – projects aimed at creating C_4 rice; the Authors have no conflict of interest.

498 Author Contributions

CB conceived of the research, performed measurements, developed and coded the models, ran
 simulations. CB and GDF wrote the paper.

501 Availability

The model, coded in Excel, is made freely available in Supporting Information. The model does not include 'live' scripts and is fully operational in the open access suite 'Apache Open Office'.

References

- Arrivault S, Obata T, Szecówka M, Mengin V, Guenther M, Hoehne M, Fernie AR, Stitt M. 2017. Metabolite pools and carbon flow during C₄ photosynthesis in maize: ¹³CO₂ labeling kinetics and cell type fractionation. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 68(2): 283-298.
- Atkinson RRL, Mockford EJ, Bennett C, Christin P-A, Spriggs EL, Freckleton RP, Thompson K, Rees M, Osborne CP. 2016. C₄ photosynthesis boosts growth by altering physiology, allocation and size. *Nature Plants* **2**: 16038.
- **Bellasio C. 2017.** A generalised stoichiometric model of C₃, C₂, C₂+C₄, and C₄ photosynthetic metabolism. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **68**(2): 269-282.
- **Bellasio C. 2018.** A generalised dynamic model of leaf-level C₃ photosynthesis combining light and dark reactions with stomatal behaviour. *Photosynthesis Research* **10.1007/s11120-018-0601-1**.
- **Bellasio C, Beerling DJ, Griffiths H. 2016.** Deriving C₄ photosynthetic parameters from combined gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence using an Excel tool: theory and practice. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **39**(6): 1164-1179.
- Bellasio C, Beerling DJ, Griffiths H. 2016. An Excel tool for deriving key photosynthetic parameters from combined gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence: theory and practice. *Plant Cell and Environment* **39**(6): 1180–1197.
- Bellasio C, Burgess SJ, Griffiths H, Hibberd JM. 2014. A high throughput gas exchange screen for determining rates of photorespiration or regulation of C4 activity. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **65**(13): 3769-3779.
- Bellasio C, Griffiths H. 2014a. Acclimation of C4 metabolism to low light in mature maize leaves could limit energetic losses during progressive shading in a crop canopy. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **65**(13): 3725-3736.
- **Bellasio C, Griffiths H. 2014b.** Acclimation to Low Light by C₄ maize: Implications for Bundle Sheath Leakiness. *Plant Cell and Environment* **37**(5): 1046-1058.
- **Bellasio C, Griffiths H. 2014c.** The operation of two decarboxylases (NADPME and PEPCK), transamination and partitioning of C4 metabolic processes between mesophyll and bundle sheath cells allows light capture to be balanced for the maize C4 pathway. *Plant Physiology* **164**: 466-480.
- **Bellasio C, Lundgren MR. 2016.** Anatomical constraints to C₄ evolution: light harvesting capacity in the bundle sheath. *New Phytologist* **212**(2): 485–496.
- **Bellasio C, Quirk J, Beerling DJ. 2018.** Stomatal and non-stomatal limitations in savanna trees and C₄ grasses grown at low, ambient and high atmospheric CO₂. *Plant Science* **274**: 181-192.
- Bellasio C, Quirk J, Buckley TN, Beerling D. 2017. A dynamic hydro-mechanical and biochemical model of stomatal conductance for C4 photosynthesis. *Plant Physiology*.
- **Bishop KA, Betzelberger AM, Long SP, Ainsworth EA. 2015.** Is there potential to adapt soybean (Glycine max Merr.) to future [CO₂]? An analysis of the yield response of 18 genotypes in free-air CO₂ enrichment. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **38**(9): 1765-1774.
- Boesgaard KS, Mikkelsen TN, Ro-Poulsen H, Ibrom A. 2013. Reduction of molecular gas diffusion through gaskets in leaf gas exchange cuvettes by leaf-mediated pores. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 36(7): 1352-1362.
- **Buckley TN, Adams MA. 2011.** An analytical model of non-photorespiratory CO₂ release in the light and dark in leaves of C₃ species based on stoichiometric flux balance. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **34**(1): 89-112.
- Buckley TN, Miller JM, Farquhar GD. 2002. The mathematics of linked optimisation for water and nitrogen use in a canopy. *Silva Fennica* 36(3): 639-669.
- Buckley TN, Sack L, Farguhar GD. 2016. Optimal plant water economy. Plant, Cell & Environment 40(6): 881-896.
- **Burgess AJ, Retkute R, Herman T, Murchie EH. 2017.** Exploring Relationships between Canopy Architecture, Light Distribution, and Photosynthesis in Contrasting Rice Genotypes Using 3D Canopy Reconstruction. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **8**: 734.
- **Busch FA, Sage RF. 2017.** The sensitivity of photosynthesis to O_2 and CO_2 concentration identifies strong Rubisco control above the thermal optimum. *New Phytologist* **213**(3): 1036-1051.
- Busch FA, Sage RF, Farquhar GD. 2018. Plants increase CO₂ uptake by assimilating nitrogen via the photorespiratory pathway. *Nature Plants* 4: 46–54
- Cernusak LA, Ubierna N, Winter K, Holtum JAM, Marshall JD, Farquhar GD. 2013. Environmental and physiological determinants of carbon isotope discrimination in terrestrial plants. *New Phytologist* 200: 950-965.
- Christin PA, Osborne CP. 2014. The evolutionary ecology of C₄ plants. New Phytologist 204(4): 765-781.
- Covshoff S, Szecowka M, Hughes TE, Smith-Unna R, Kelly S, Bailey KJ, Sage TL, Pachebat JA, Leegood R, Hibberd JM. 2016. C₄ Photosynthesis in the Rice Paddy: Insights from the Noxious Weed Echinochloa glabrescens. *Plant Physiology* **170**(1): 57-73.
- **Danila F, Quick WP, White RG, Furbank RT, von Caemmerer S. 2016.** The Metabolite Pathway between Bundle Sheath and Mesophyll: Quantification of Plasmodesmata in Leaves of C₃ and C₄ Monocots. *The Plant Cell* **28**(6): 1461-1471.
- Danila FR, Quick WP, White RG, Kelly S, von Caemmerer S, Furbank RT. 2018. Multiple mechanisms for enhanced plasmodesmata density in disparate subtypes of C₄ grasses. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 69(5): 1135-1145.
- Dewar RC, Tarvainen L, Parker K, Wallin G, McMurtrie RE. 2012. Why does leaf nitrogen decline within tree canopies less rapidly than light? An explanation from optimization subject to a lower bound on leaf mass per area. *Tree Physiology* **32**(5): 520-534.
- Ehleringer JR, Cerling TE, Helliker BR. 1997. C4 photosynthesis, atmospheric CO₂, and climate. Oecologia 112(3): 285-299.
- **Evans JR, von Caemmerer S, Vogelmann TC 2007.** Balancing light capture with distributed metabolic demand during C₄ photosynthesis. In: J.E. Sheehy, P.L. Mitchell, B. Hardy eds. *Charting new pathways to C*₄ *rice*: IRRI International Rice Research Institute. Word scientific publishing, Singapore.
- Farquhar G, Wong S. 1984. An empirical model of stomatal conductance. Functional Plant Biology 11(3): 191-210.

- **Farquhar GD. 1983.** On the Nature of Carbon Isotope Discrimination in C₄ Species. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* **10**(2): 205-226.
- Franks PJ, Leitch IJ, Ruszala EM, Hetherington AM, Beerling DJ. 2012. Physiological framework for adaptation of stomata to CO₂ from glacial to future concentrations. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 367(1588): 537-546.
- Friso G, Majeran W, Huang MS, Sun Q, van Wijk KJ. 2010. Reconstruction of Metabolic Pathways, Protein Expression, and Homeostasis Machineries across Maize Bundle Sheath and Mesophyll Chloroplasts: Large-Scale Quantitative Proteomics Using the First Maize Genome Assembly. *Plant Physiology* 152(3): 1219-1250.
- **Furbank RT. 2011.** Evolution of the C₄ photosynthetic mechanism: are there really three C4 acid decarboxylation types? *Journal of Experimental Botany* **62**(9): 3103-3108.
- Galmés J, Hermida-Carrera C, Laanisto L, Niinemets Ü. 2016. A compendium of temperature responses of Rubisco kinetic traits: variability among and within photosynthetic groups and impacts on photosynthesis modeling. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 67(17): 5067-5091.
- **Ghannoum O, Caemmerer SV, Ziska LH, Conroy JP. 2000.** The growth response of C₄ plants to rising atmospheric CO₂ partial pressure: a reassessment. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **23**(9): 931-942.
- Gowik U, Bräutigam A, Weber KL, Weber APM, Westhoff P. 2011. Evolution of C₄ Photosynthesis in the Genus Flaveria: How Many and Which Genes Does It Take to Make C₄? *The Plant Cell Online* **23**(6): 2087-2105.
- Hahn A, Vonck J, Mills DJ, Meier T, Kühlbrandt W. 2018. Structure, mechanism, and regulation of the chloroplast ATP synthase. Science 360(6389): eaat4318.
- Hatakeyama Y, Ueno O. 2016. Intracellular position of mitochondria and chloroplasts in bundle sheath and mesophyll cells of C₃ grasses in relation to photorespiratory CO₂ loss. *Plant Production Science* **19**(4): 540-551.
- Heckmann D, Schulze S, Denton A, Gowik U, Westhoff P, Weber Andreas PM, Lercher Martin J. 2013. Predicting C₄ Photosynthesis Evolution: Modular, Individually Adaptive Steps on a Mount Fuji Fitness Landscape. *Cell* **153**(7): 1579-1588.
- Hermida-Carrera C, Kapralov MV, Galmés J. 2016. Rubisco catalytic properties and temperature response in crops. *Plant Physiology*: pp. 01846.02016.
- Horton P, Murchie EH 2000. C4 photosynthesis in rice: some lessons from studies of C₃ photosynthesis in field-grown rice*. In: J.E. Sheehy PLM, Hardy B eds. *Studies in Plant Science*: Elsevier, 127-144.
- **Ishikawa N, Takabayashi A, Sato F, Endo T. 2016.** Accumulation of the components of cyclic electron flow around photosystem I in C₄ plants, with respect to the requirements for ATP. *Photosynthesis Research*: 1-17.
- Ivanov B, Asada K, Kramer DM, Edwards G. 2005. Characterization of photosynthetic electron transport in bundle sheath cells of maize. I. Ascorbate effectively stimulates cyclic electron flow around PSI. *Planta* 220(4): 572-581.
- Jurić I, González-Pérez V, Hibberd JM, Edwards G, Burroughs NJ. 2017. Size matters for single-cell C4 photosynthesis in Bienertia. Journal of Experimental Botany 68(2): 255-267.
- Kajala K, Covshoff S, Karki S, Woodfield H, Tolley BJ, Dionora MJA, Mogul RT, Mabilangan AE, Danila FR, Hibberd JM, et al. 2011. Strategies for engineering a two-celled C4 photosynthetic pathway into rice. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 62(9): 3001-3010.
- Kanai R, Edwards GE 1999. The biochemistry of C₄ photosynthesis. In: Sage RF, Monson RK eds. *C4 plant biology*. San Diego: Academic Press.
- Keerberg O, Pärnik T, Ivanova H, Bassüner B, Bauwe H. 2014. C_2 photosynthesis generates about 3-fold elevated leaf CO_2 levels in the C_3-C_4 intermediate species Flaveria pubescens. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 65(13): 3649-3656.
- King JL, Edwards GE, Cousins AB. 2012. The efficiency of the CO2-concentrating mechanism during single-cell C₄ photosynthesis. Plant, Cell & Environment 35(3): 513-523.
- **Kromdijk J, Ubierna N, Cousins AB, Griffiths H. 2014.** Bundle-sheath leakiness in C₄ photosynthesis: a careful balancing act between CO2 concentration and assimilation. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **65**(13): 3443-3457.
- Leakey ADB, Ainsworth EA, Bernacchi CJ, Zhu X, Long SP, Ort DR 2012. Photosynthesis in a CO₂-Rich Atmosphere. In: Eaton-Rye JJ, Tripathy BC, Sharkey TD eds. *Photosynthesis: Plastid Biology, Energy Conversion and Carbon Assimilation*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 733-768.
- Leakey ADB, Bernacchi CJ, Dohleman FG, Ort DR, Long SP. 2004. Will photosynthesis of maize (Zea mays) in the US Corn Belt increase in future [CO2] rich atmospheres? An analysis of diurnal courses of CO₂ uptake under free-air concentration enrichment (FACE). *Global Change Biology* **10**(6): 951-962.
- Leegood RC. 2013. Strategies for engineering C₄ photosynthesis. Journal of Plant Physiology 170(4): 378-388.
- Li T, Hasegawa T, Yin X, Zhu Y, Boote K, Adam M, Bregaglio S, Buis S, Confalonieri R, Fumoto T. 2015. Uncertainties in predicting rice yield by current crop models under a wide range of climatic conditions. *Global Change Biology* 21(3): 1328-1341.
- Long SP, Ainsworth EA, Leakey ADB, Nösberger J, Ort DR. 2006. Food for thought: Lower-than-expected crop yield stimulation with rising CO₂ concentrations. *Science* **312**(5782): 1918-1921.
- Long Stephen P, Marshall-Colon A, Zhu X-G. 2015. Meeting the Global Food Demand of the Future by Engineering Crop Photosynthesis and Yield Potential. *Cell* 161(1): 56-66.
- Lundgren MR, Osborne CP, Christin P-A. 2014. Deconstructing Kranz anatomy to understand C₄ evolution. *Journal of Experimental* Botany 65(13): 3357-3369.
- Meyer M, Griffiths H. 2013. Origins and diversity of eukaryotic CO₂-concentrating mechanisms: lessons for the future. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 64(3): 769-786.
- Monson RK, Moore Bd. 1989. On the significance of $C_3 C_4$ intermediate photosynthesis to the evolution of C4 photosynthesis. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 12(7): 689-699.

Monteith JL. 1978. Reassessment of Maximum Growth-Rates for C₃ and C₄ Crops. Experimental Agriculture 14(1): 1-5.

- Munekage YN, Eymery F, Rumeau D, Cuine S, Oguri M, Nakamura N, Yokota A, Genty B, Peltier G. 2010. Elevated Expression of PGR5 and NDH-H in Bundle Sheath Chloroplasts in C₄ Flaveria Species. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **51**(4): 664-668.
- **Osmond C, Smith F 1976.** Symplastic transport of metabolites during C₄-photosynthesis. *Intercellular communication in plants: Studies on plasmodesmata*: Springer, 229-241.
- Paleari L, Movedi E, Cappelli G, Wilson LT, Confalonieri R. 2017. Surfing parameter hyperspaces under climate change scenarios to design future rice ideotypes. *Global Change Biology*.
- Pearcy RW, Ehleringer J. 1984. Comparative ecophysiology of C₃ and C₄ plants. Plant, Cell & Environment 7(1): 1-13.
- Pearcy RW, Gross LJ, He D. 1997. An improved dynamic model of photosynthesis for estimation of carbon gain in sunfleck light regimes. *Plant Cell and Environment* 20(4): 411-424.
- Pick TR, Brautigam A, Schluter U, Denton AK, Colmsee C, Scholz U, Fahnenstich H, Pieruschka R, Rascher U, Sonnewald U, et al. 2011. Systems Analysis of a Maize Leaf Developmental Gradient Redefines the Current C₄ Model and Provides Candidates for Regulation. *Plant Cell* 23(12): 4208-4220.
- Pignon CP, Jaiswal D, McGrath JM, Long SP. 2017. Loss of photosynthetic efficiency in the shade. An Achilles heel for the dense modern stands of our most productive C₄ crops? *Journal of Experimental Botany* 68(2): 335-345.
- Quirk J, Bellasio C, Johnson DA, Osborne CP, Beerling DJ. 2018. C₄ savanna grasses fail to maintain assimilation in drying soil under low CO₂ compared with C₃ trees despite lower leaf water demand. *Functional Ecology* **33**(3): 388-398.
- Retta M, Ho QT, Yin X, Verboven P, Berghuijs HNC, Struik PC, Nicolaï BM. 2016. A two-dimensional microscale model of gas exchange during photosynthesis in maize (Zea mays L.) leaves. *Plant Science* 246(Supplement C): 37-51.
- Rogers A, Medlyn BE, Dukes JS, Bonan G, Caemmerer S, Dietze MC, Kattge J, Leakey ADB, Mercado LM, Niinemets Ü, et al. 2017. A roadmap for improving the representation of photosynthesis in Earth system models. *New Phytologist* **213**(1): 22-42.
- Sage RF, Khoshravesh R. 2016. Passive CO₂ concentration in higher plants. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 31: 58-65.
- Sage TL, Sage RF. 2009. The Functional Anatomy of Rice Leaves: Implications for Refixation of Photorespiratory CO₂ and Efforts to Engineer C₄ Photosynthesis into Rice. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **50**(4): 756-772.
- Sander R. 2015. Compilation of Henry's law constants (version 4.0) for water as solvent. Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics 15(8).
- Schlüter U, Bräutigam A, Droz J-M, Schwender J, Weber AP. The role of alanine and aspartate aminotransferases in C4 photosynthesis. *Plant Biology* **0**(ja).
- Schlüter U, Denton AK, Bräutigam A. 2016. Understanding metabolite transport and metabolism in C₄ plants through RNA-seq. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **31**: 83-90.
- Schmitt MR, Edwards GE. 1981. Photosynthetic Capacity and Nitrogen Use Efficiency of Maize, Wheat, and Rice: A Comparison Between C₃ and C₄ Photosynthesis. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **32**(3): 459-466.
- **Sheehy JE, ed. 2007.** *Charting New Pathways to C*⁴ *Rice*. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing.
- Snaydon RW. 1991. The Productivity of C₃ and C₄ Plants a Reassessment. Functional Ecology 5(3): 321-330.
- Song Q, Zhang G, Zhu X-G. 2013. Optimal crop canopy architecture to maximise canopy photosynthetic CO₂ uptake under elevated CO₂ a theoretical study using a mechanistic model of canopy photosynthesis. *Functional Plant Biology* **40**(2): 108-124.
- Sowiński P 2013. Characteristics of Symplasmic Transport. In: Sokołowska K, Sowiński P eds. Symplasmic Transport in Vascular Plants: Springer New York, 1-39.
- **Sowinski P, Szczepanik J, Minchin PEH. 2008.** On the mechanism of C₄ photosynthesis intermediate exchange between Kranz mesophyll and bundle sheath cells in grasses. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **59**(6): 1137-1147.
- Sun W, Ubierna N, Ma J-Y, Walker B, Kramer D, Cousins AB. 2014. The coordination of C₄ photosynthesis and the CO₂ concentrating mechanism in Zea mays and Miscanthus × giganteus in response to transient changes in light quality. *Plant Physiology* 164(3): 1283-1292.
- Takeuchi Y, Akagi H, Kamasawa N, Osumi M, Honda H. 2000. Aberrant chloroplasts in transgenic rice plants expressing a high level of maize NADP-dependent malic enzyme. *Planta* 211(2): 265-274.
- Taylaran RD, Ozawa S, Miyamoto N, Ookawa T, Motobayashi T, Hirasawa T. 2009. Performance of a High-Yielding Modern Rice Cultivar Takanari and Several Old and New Cultivars Grown with and without Chemical Fertilizer in a Submerged Paddy Field. Plant Production Science 12(3): 365-380.
- **Taylor SH, Hulme SP, Rees M, Ripley BS, Ian Woodward F, Osborne CP. 2010.** Ecophysiological traits in C₃ and C₄ grasses: a phylogenetically controlled screening experiment. *New Phytologist* **185**(3): 780-791.
- Tazoe Y, Hanba YT, Furumoto T, Noguchi K, Terashima I. 2008. Relationships between quantum yield for CO₂ assimilation, activity of key enzymes and CO₂ leakiness in Amaranthus cruentus, a C₄ dicot, grown in high or low light. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 49(1): 19-29.
- **Ubierna N, Sun W, Cousins AB. 2011.** The efficiency of C_4 photosynthesis under low light conditions: assumptions and calculations with CO_2 isotope discrimination. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **62**(9): 3119-3134.
- **Ubierna N, Sun W, Kramer DM, Cousins AB. 2013.** The Efficiency Of C₄ Photosynthesis Under Low Light Conditions In Zea mays, Miscanthus X giganteus And Flaveria bidentis. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **36**: 365-381.
- Vialet-Chabrand S, Matthews JSA, Brendel O, Blatt MR, Wang Y, Hills A, Griffiths H, Rogers S, Lawson T. 2016. Modelling water use efficiency in a dynamic environment: An example using Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Science* 251: 65-74.
- Vollmar M, Schlieper D, Winn M, Büchner C, Groth G. 2009. Structure of the c14 Rotor Ring of the Proton Translocating Chloroplast ATP Synthase. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 284(27): 18228-18235.
- von Caemmerer S. 1989. A model of photosynthetic CO_2 assimilation and carbon-isotope discrimination in leaves of certain C_3-C_4 intermediates. *Planta* 178(4): 463-474.
- von Caemmerer S. 2000. Biochemical models of leaf Photosynthesis. Collingwood: CSIRO Publishing.

von Caemmerer S, Furbank RT. 2003. The C₄ pathway: an efficient CO₂ pump. Photosynthesis Research 77(2-3): 191-207.

- Wang P, Kelly S, Fouracre JP, Langdale JA. 2013. Genome-wide transcript analysis of early maize leaf development reveals gene cohorts associated with the differentiation of C₄ Kranz anatomy. *The Plant Journal* **75**(4): 656-670.
- Wang S, Tholen D, Zhu X-G. 2017. C₄ photosynthesis in C₃ rice: a theoretical analysis of biochemical and anatomical factors. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 40(1): 80-94.
- Wang Y, Bräutigam A, Weber APM, Zhu X-G. 2014. Three distinct biochemical subtypes of C₄ photosynthesis? A modelling analysis. *Journal of Experimental Botany*.
- Warneck P, Williams J 2012. Rate Coefficients for Gas-Phase Reactions. The Atmospheric Chemist's Companion: Springer, 227-269.
- Way DA, Oren R, Kim HS, Katul GG. 2011. How well do stomatal conductance models perform on closing plant carbon budgets? A test using seedlings grown under current and elevated air temperatures. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences (2005–2012)* 116(G4).
- Weber APM, von Caemmerer S. 2010. Plastid transport and metabolism of C₃ and C₄ plants comparative analysis and possible biotechnological exploitation. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **13**(3): 256-264.
- Wei J-C, Wang R-L, Cheng G-Y. 1994. Studies on the kinetic properties of ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase from of F1 hybrid rice. Acta Phytophisiologica Sinica 20: 55-60.
- Wu A, Doherty A, Farquhar GD, Hammer GL. 2017. Simulating daily field crop canopy photosynthesis: an integrated software package. *Functional Plant Biology* **45**(3): 362-377.
- Yamori W, Shikanai T. 2016. Physiological Functions of Cyclic Electron Transport Around Photosystem I in Sustaining Photosynthesis and Plant Growth. *Annual review of plant biology* 67(1): 81-106.
- Yin X, Struik PC. 2015. Constraints to the potential efficiency of converting solar radiation into phytoenergy in annual crops: from leaf biochemistry to canopy physiology and crop ecology. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 66(21): 6535-6549.
- Yin X, Struik PC. 2017. Can increased leaf photosynthesis be converted into higher crop mass production? A simulation study for rice using the crop model GECROS. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **68**(9): 2345-2360.
- Yin X, Struik PC, Romero P, Harbinson J, Evers JB, Van Der Putten PEL, Vos JAN. 2009. Using combined measurements of gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence to estimate parameters of a biochemical C₃ photosynthesis model: a critical appraisal and a new integrated approach applied to leaves in a wheat (Triticum aestivum) canopy. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 32(5): 448-464.
- Yin X, van der Putten PEL, Driever SM, Struik PC. 2016. Temperature response of bundle-sheath conductance in maize leaves. Journal of Experimental Botany 67(9): 2699-2714.
- Yin XY, Struik PC. 2012. Mathematical review of the energy transduction stoichiometries of C₄ leaf photosynthesis under limiting light. *Plant Cell and Environment* **35**(7): 1299-1312.

Tables.

 Table 1. Acronyms, definitions, values, and units used.

Symbol /	Definition	Values / Units	Source
Acronym			
A	Net assimilation	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	output
at	Total concentration of adenylates in chloroplast	12.7 mmol m ⁻²	Farquhar and Wong (1984)
BS	Bundle sheath		
C _{BS}	CO ₂ concentration in the BS	µmol mol ⁻¹	output
CCM	Carbon concentrating mechanism		
CEF	Cyclic electron flow		
C_M	CO ₂ concentration in the M	µmol mol ⁻¹	variable, iteratively found
Ds	Leaf to boundary layer water mole fraction gradient in the light (10 × VPD in kPa)	10 mmol H ₂ O mol air ⁻¹	gas exchange
D_{S0}	Leaf to boundary layer water mole fraction gradient in the dark (10 × VPD in kPa)	8.6 mmol H ₂ O mol air ⁻¹	gas exchange
Et	Total concentration of Rubisco sites	6.7 mmol m^{-2}	adjusted from Farquhar and Wong (1984) by fitting
			gas exchange data
f _{C Rubisco}	Parameter defining the fraction of actual Rubisco carboxylation in BS relative to leaf-leve	l dimensionless	set to equal V _{CBS} /V _C output of the enzyme-limited
			model
f _{Cyc}	Fraction of J_1 following CEF	dimensionless	fitted to max A
f _{NDH}	Fraction of CEF through the NDH complex	0 (C_3 and C_2); 0.2 and 0 ⁺ (C_2 + C_4); 0.4 and 0 ⁺ (C_4)	assigned
f _{PPDK}	Parameter defining the fraction of PPDK activity in the BS relative to leaf-level	0	assigned
$f_{\rm PR}, f_{\rm CS},$	Parameter defining the fraction of activity in BS relative to leaf-level, of phosphoglycerate reduction rate, and carbohydrate synthesis	e 0 (variable for the simulations of Figure 6B and 6D)	assigned
f _{Pseudocyc}	Fraction of J ₁ following used by alternative sinks of electrons like nitrate reduction and the water-water cycle	9 0.1	assigned (Yin & Struik, 2012)
fo	Fraction of J_1 going through the Q–Cvcle	1	Yin and Struik (2012)
frught.	parameter defining the fraction of respiration in the light in BS relative to leaf-level	0 (C ₂): 0.2 (C ₂): 0.5 (C ₂ +C ₄ , and C ₄)	(von Caemmerer, 1989; von Caemmerer, 2000)
0 RS	Bundle sheath conductance to CO_2 diffusion	$0.002871 \text{ mol m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$	Yin <i>et al.</i> (2016)
GDC	Glycine decarboxylase		· ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Q _M	Mesophyll conductance to CO ₂ diffusion	0.26† mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	gas exchange
0 50	Stomatal conductance in the dark	$0.047 \text{ mol } \text{CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	gas exchange
ĥ	Stoichiometry of ATP synthase: protons required to synthesize ATP	4.67 protons / ATP	Vollmar et al. (2009); Hahn et al. (2018)
I, I1, I2, I1 0, I2 0	Light absorbed by PSI and PSII, by PSI, by PSII, by PSI when f _{Cyc} =0, by PSII when f _{Cyc} =C respectively	, μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	output
J_1, J_2	Electron flow through PSI, and PSII, respectively	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	output
JATP	Total leaf-level ATP production rate	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	output
JNADPH	Total leaf-level NADPH production rate	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	output
JSAT	PPFD saturated electron transport rate	$310^{+} \mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$	chlorophyll fluorescence
Kc	Rubisco Michaelis–Menten constant for CO_2 in the liquid phase	8† µM	(Wei et al., 1994; von Caemmerer, 2000; Galmés
Kh	Effective hydraulic conductance from the soil to the epidermis	12 mmol H2O m ⁻² s ⁻¹ MPa ⁻¹	er al., 2016; Hermida-Carrera et al., 2016) Bellasio et al. (2017)
KhCO ₂	volatility of CO ₂	30.3† ubar uM ⁻¹	Sander (2015)
KhO ₂	volatility of Q_2	833.3 ⁺ ubar uM ⁻¹	Warneck and Williams (2012)
Ko	Rubisco Michaelis–Menten constant for O_2 in the liquid phase	335† uM	von Caemmerer (2000)
M	Mesophyl		
NDH	NAD(P)H Dehydrogenase–like (complex)		
O _M , O _{BS}	O_2 concentration in M cells or BS cells	$O_{\rm M}$ is 210000 or 21000 µmol mol ⁻¹ $O_{\rm BS}$ is calculated	$O_{\rm M}$ is assigned $O_{\rm BS}$ is output
p	Concentration of photophosphorylation sites	7.5 mmol m ⁻²	adjusted from Farquhar and Wong (1984) by fitting
PEPC	Phospho <i>enol</i> pyruvate carboxylase		<u>g</u>
PEPCK	Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase		
PPDK	Pyruvate phosphate dikinase		

PPFD	Photosynthetic photon flux density	µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	assigned
RLIGHT RLIGHT BS,	Respiration in the light, leaf-level, in the BS or in the M, respectively	R_{LIGHT} is 0.8† µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	gas exchange, 0.5 is the assumed fraction of BS
	input parameter defining the activity of PEPCK relative to V _P	0	assigned
Rubisco	Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase oxygenase	•	400.9.104
RuBP	Ribulose-1.5-bisphosphate		
S	lumped energy conversion coefficient (Yin et al., 2009)	0.38 e ⁻ /quanta	gas exchange
S _{C/O}	Rubisco specificity	2800† µbar/µbar (gas) or 102† mol mol ⁻¹ (liq)	gas exchange
V _{C MAX} , V _C , V _{C BS} , V _{C M}	Rubisco carboxylation rate, CO_2 saturated, leaf-level, in the BS, in the M, respectively	V_{CMAX} is 93† µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	$V_{\rm CMAX}$ by gas exchange, or output
VO, VOBS, VOM	Rubisco oxygenation rate, total, in the BS or in the M, respectively	µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	output
V _{P(J)}	Leaf-level actual phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylation rate, inputs to the light-limited model	0 (C_3 and C_2); 0.075J _{ATP} (C_2+C_4); 0.2J _{ATP} (C_4) µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ (variable for the simulations in Figure 6)	¹ assigned (von Caemmerer, 2000)
V _{P MAX}	CO ₂ saturated phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylation rate	0 (C ₃ and C ₂); $0.1J_{SAT}$ (C ₂ +C ₄); $0.3J_{SAT}$ (C ₄) µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	assigned
Vr	Potential pool size of RuBP	150 mmol m ⁻²	Farguhar and Wong (1984)
Y(I) _{LL} ,	Yield of PSI extrapolated under zero PPFD	1	Yin and Struik (2012)
Y(II) _{LL}	Yield of PSII extrapolated under zero PPFD	0.75	chlorophyll fluorescence
Y(II) _{MOD}	Yield of PSII modelled empirically by a non-rectangular hyperbola	dimensionless	output
α	parameter scaling BS O ₂ evolution to net assimilation attributed to BS activity	1	von Caemmerer (1989)
Υ*	Half the reciprocal Rubisco specificity $\gamma *=\frac{1}{2S_{C/O}}$	dimensionless	output
θ	Curvature of the non-rectangular hyperbola used to model the light-dependence of Y(II)	0.5	gas exchange
θ_{A}	Curvature of the non-rectangular hyperbola used to smooth the combination of light- limited and enzyme-limited models	0.95	Buckley <i>et al.</i> (2016)
ξ _{GDC}	Specifies the fraction of V_{OM} which is decarboxylated in the BS.	0 (C_3) and 1 (C_2 , C_2+C_4 , and C_4)	Sage and Khoshravesh (2016)
Xı	Fraction of / shifting from PSII to PSI upon engagement of CEF	dimensionless	output
XRubisco	parameter defining the fraction of V _{CMAX} in BS relative to leaf–level	dimensionless	fitted to maximise A
χsβ	Parameter lumping turgor to conductance scaling factor and the hydromechanical / biochemical response parameter	0.055 mol air mmol ⁻¹ ATP s ⁻¹ MPa ⁻¹	fitted to gas exchange data
$\psi_{Soil}, \psi_{Soil0}$	Soil water potential in the light or in the dark respectively	0 MPa	assigned
π _e	Epidermal osmotic pressure	1.2 MPa	Bellasio et al. (2017)

† The value shown is at 25 °C but the quantity was made temperature-dependent; ‡ Alternative scenarios in Figure 4

Figures.

Figure 1. Modelling framework. Blue boxes show inputs while orange boxes show outputs; grey boxes represent submodels. Inputs with a thick blue outline are made temperature–dependent. Submodels contoured in red are originally developed for this work. Photosynthetic photon flux density (*PPFD*) is an input to the electron transport submodel to calculate the total ATP production rate (J_{ATP}) and the total NADPH production rate (J_{NADPH}). These and dummy values for CO₂ concentration at the M carboxylating sites (C_M) are fed into the light– and enzyme–limited submodels (Dashed boxes). The outputs from the photosynthesis submodels are used to calculate chloroplastic ATP concentration (τ) and a smoothed combination of the submodel along with inputs for soil water potential (Ψ_{Soil}) and evaporative demand (D_S). The output stomatal conductance (g_S) is used to calculate CO₂ concentration in the sub–stomatal cavity (C_i) from external CO₂ concentration (C_a) and in turn used to calculate C_M , which is iterated. See Table 1 for more abbreviations.



Figure 2. Assimilation and stomatal conductance measured on rice and corresponding simulations for a C₃ photosynthetic type. Panel **A**: light–response curves. Symbols show the response of assimilation (*A*) to decreasing light intensity (*PPFD*) measured under ambient O₂ (closed circles) or 2% O₂ (open circles). Lines show modelled assimilation under ambient O₂ (solid line) or 2% O₂ (dashed line). Panel **B**: *A/C*_i curves. Symbols show the measured *A* at varying levels of CO₂ concentration in the substomatal cavity, *C*_i, under ambient and low O₂. Lines show the corresponding simulations. Panel **C**: measured and simulated response of stomatal conductance (*g*_S) to *PPFD* under ambient and low O₂. Panel **D**: measured and simulated response of stomatal conductance (*g*_S) to external CO₂ concentration, *C*a, under ambient and low O₂. Symbols show mean \pm SE, *n*=4. For simulated *A*/*C*_i curves, *C*_a was set at 16 levels [between 20 and 1000 µmol mol⁻¹] while *PPFD* was set at 1200 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, the same used for gas exchange measurements. For simulated *A*/*PPFD* curves, *PPFD* was set at 18 levels [between 1 and 1500 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹] and *C*_a was set at 400 µmol mol⁻¹. Temperature was 25° C while $\chi_{Rubisco}$ and *f*_{Cyc} were fitted for each combination of inputs.



Figure 3. Simulated *A*-response curves. Compared model output for the four photosynthetic types in response to changes in *PPFD* (Left) or C_a (Right) varied in the same steps of curves above. Four different photosynthetic types were simulated in a best case scenario for bioengineering whereby the NDH complex is expressed (f_{NDH} >0), f_{Cyc} and $\chi_{Rubisco}$ are optimal (fitted to max *A*): C₃ (black solid line), representing the measured plants; C₂ (orange dashed line); C₂+C₄ (red solid line); and C₄ (blue dash-dot line). Panels **A** and **B**: net assimilation. Panels **C** and **D**: stomatal conductance. Panels **E** and **F**: CO₂ concentration in the BS. Panels **G** and **H**: Rubisco rate of oxygenation to carboxylation V_0/V_c . Panels **I** and **J**: fraction of Rubisco carboxylating activity in the BS, relative to total.



Figure 4. Assimilation in the best case scenario. Gains were calculated for 100 combinations of temperature (varied in 10 steps from 16 °C to 43 °C) and *PPFD* (varied in 10 steps from 1 to 1500 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹), under a C_a of 400 μ mol mol⁻¹ (top row), or in 100 combinations of Ca (varied in 10 steps from 150 to 690 μ mol mol⁻¹) and temperature (as above), under a *PPFD* of 700 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (bottom row) in a best case scenario whereby electron transport processes fully accommodate for the presence of different types of CCM (f_{NDH} >0, f_{Cyc} is fitted) and Rubisco is optimally allocated ($\chi_{Rubisco}$ is fitted). The gain was expressed as relative to C₃ assimilation (Panels **A** and **E**), for C₂ (Panel **B** and **F**) C₂+C₄ (panel **C** and **G**) and C₄ (panel **D** and **H**).



Figure 5. Assimilation in alternative scenarios. Gains were calculated in the temperature $\times PPFD$ space, under a C_a of 400 µmol mol⁻¹, expressed as relative to C_3 assimilation (Figure 4). Panels **A**, **B**, and **C** show a less optimistic scenario whereby the activity of the NDH complex remain at C_3 levels, modelled by setting f_{NDH} at zero for all photosynthetic types. Panels **D**, **E** and **F** show a pessimistic scenario whereby in addition to $f_{\text{NDH}}=0$, the fraction of cyclic electron flow (f_{Cyc}) was set at C_3 levels for all photosynthetic types.



Figure 6. Modelled fluxes between the M and the BS at increasing levels of C₄ engagement. In this simulation the C₄ CCM was increasingly upregulated by manipulating PEPC activity ($V_{P(J)}$, µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) to increase from 0 to 0.2 J_{ATP} to represent the C₂ to C₄ continuum (from left to right of each panel). Panel **A** simulates a scenario of minimum ATP demand in BS obtained by setting r_{PEPCK} , f_{PR} , f_{CS} and f_{PPDK} at zero; other inputs represented the operational conditions of *PPFD* 700 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, 25 °C, and C_a =350 µmol mol⁻¹. Panel **B** simulates a scenario of minimum sum of squared flow rates between BS and M obtained by fitting f_{PR} , and f_{CS} . In these conditions the ATP demand in BS increased substantially, and is shown as relative to the ATP demand in the M in panels **C** and **D**. The flux is considered positive when in the M to BS direction for MAL, ASP, DHAP, and GLY, and in the opposite direction for the other metabolites (Figure S1). Note the different scaling of *y*-axes.

