

LIBER DMP Catalogue

Eliane Blumer, EPFL, Lausanne, Switzerland
ORCID: 0000-0002-0972-5396



@LIBEREurope



Task force : Data Management Plan Catalogue

Name of the task force

Data Management Plan Catalogue

<https://libereurope.eu/dmpcatalogue/>

Planned deliverables

- Continue to add DMPs

Members of the task force

Eliane Blumer, Mari Elisa Kuusniemi, & Mijke Jetten

In collaboration with the [RDA Exposing DMP Group, DMP Opidor](#)



A LIBER DMP CATALOGUE?!

What it is and what it is not!



What?

- Is a **DMP catalogue** existing **for several years**
- Is the fruit of a project started in **2017** with several members from LIBER partner institutions, **led by Jasmin K. Böhmer**
- Is an **ongoing work**, continued by the already mentioned **taskforce**
- Is a catalogue from **nine DMPs of finished projects** from a variety of European states and universities



What?

- Is open to **all research disciplines**
- Is based on quality reviews of the DMPs with assessments of the quality of the different parts in the **sense of good practices**
- Makes the **DMP citable** via the Zenodo DOI
- Is a **hub** of good examples for further use
- Collects DMPs the **consent of researchers**



How does it work?



@LIBEREurope

How?

- Get **DMPs with researchers consent**
- At least **two working group members review** a DMP **independently** using the performance level structure of the “DCC H2020 DMP Compliance Rubric” and the sections of the “DCC Checklist for a Data Management Plan v4”
- Once reviewed, the researcher **gets feedback and can adapt**
- Final and merged review is displayed in the ‘Review Conclusion’ on the website
- Final DMP is uploaded on the **Zenodo Community** as well as on the **DMP Catalogue** itself



How?

- **Administrative Data** – Basic and contextual project information
- **Data Collection** – Data, code, and methodology characteristics
- **Documentation and Metadata** – Metadata creation, documentation, applied standards
- **Ethics and Legal Compliance** – Consent, legal and ethical considerations, access restriction needs
- **Storage and Backup** – Storing, Backup, Sharing regime and resources
- **Selection and Preservation** – Long-term archiving, digital curation and preservation
- **Data Sharing** – Access control, Licensing, Open Data



How the DMP catalogue could move forward...



Some suggestions from you

- Not machine-actionable, no versioning of DMPs
- No specific criteria for choice of DMPs
- Reviewers are currently not certified
- Review process based on FAIR Principles
- Some design issues, such as navigation and display

Based on a survey in 2019



What the LIBER RDM Group would like to do



Ideas and questions

- Continue to review and add DMPs
- Continue to participate in ongoing discussions and reflexions about maDMPs and how this can develop the catalogue, i.e. become a platform to build knowledge and expertise with maDMPs?
- Who should advocate the need/necessity for a shared approach to create/build a centralised DMP catalogue with an associated ‘platform of expertise‘?
- Should DMPs of EU funded projects by default be eligible for review and audit, to build further knowledge on usefulness and emerging practices for DMPs?



Get in touch!



@LIBEREurope

Send us DMPs!

Let's discuss!

Let's share experience!



We are looking forward!

Don't forget to share
your DMPs!

[https://libereurope.eu/
dmpcatalogue/](https://libereurope.eu/dmpcatalogue/)



@LIBEREurope

Thank you!

eliane.blumer@epfl.ch

Are DMPs outfashioned ?



**Should the catalogue
also be enriched with
other documents?**



**How can such a
catalogue being
“actionabilized”?**

