

MERIT RESEARCH JOURNALS

www.meritresearchjournals.org

Merit Research Journal of Agricultural Science and Soil Sciences (ISSN: 2350-2274) Vol. 8(5) pp. 082-099, May, 2020 Available online http://meritresearchjournals.org/asss/index.htm Copyright © 2020 Merit Research Journals

Original Research Article

Physiological role of arginine on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars grown under water drought condition

¹Ahmed M.A., ²Magda A. F. Shalaby and *¹Reda, E.Essa

Abstract

¹Field Crops Research Depart., National Research Centre, Dokki, Giza, Egypt

²Botany Depart., National Research Centre, Dokki, Giza, Egypt

*Corresponding Author's E-mail: mashrera@yahoo.com

Two field experiments were conducted at Agricultural Experimental Station Research Centre of National Research Centre, Nubaria, El-Bahira Governorate, Egypt during two successive seasons 2017/2018 and 2018/2019. Each experiment was arranged in split-split plot design with four replicates. The present study investigated the effect of arginine (Ar) as amino acid priming agent, applied as foliar application spray, on growth, yield and its components, some chemical constituents of yielded grains of two wheat (Triticum aestivum L.,) cv., Gemiza-9 and Misr-2 underdrought. Moreover, the study aims to determine suitable concentrations of (Ar) as exogenous treatment to enhancewheat tolerance to drought. Foliar application spray with three levels of arginine (0, 2 and 4 mM/L) were applied twice after 50 and 60 days from sowing to increase the tolerance drought of wheat cultivars which irrigated with four treatments from water stress (Normal irrigation as a control, Once irrigation at tillering, germination and ripeningstages) on two wheat cultivars (Gemiza-9 and Misr-2). The obtained results clearly indicated the role of Ar increasing the tolerance of wheat plants to drought. The highest rates of arginine led to significant increase in growth, yield and its components as well as chemical constituents of the wheat grains in both growing seasons. The magnitude of increments was pronounced in response to wheat culivar Misr-2 and 4 mM/L of arginine as a foliar spray which led to positive changes in all studied parameters under normal irrigation. All treatmentswere effective in alleviating the harmful effect of drought.

Keywords: Arginine, Cultivars, Drought, Foliar application, Wheat

INTRODUCTION

World agriculture is facing a lot of challenges like producing 70% more food for an additional population of 2.3 billion by 2050, while at the same time fighting with poverty and hunger, consuming scarce natural resources more efficiently and adapting to climate change (FAO, 2009). The importance of wheat for human main food is the well-known fact, overall the world as well as Egypt, extensive. Effects on wheat are continuously paid for

increasing its productivity by means of vertical and/or horizontal planting. In Egypt wheat crop covers about 3.10 million feddan distributed mainly in the old land and partially in the new land (Egypt stat. Agric. Rep. 2011). In the light of present national water policy using wheat cultivars that produce high yielding under suitable water regime. Egyptian wheat plants are sometimes exposed to drought stress at different period of growth. A possible

		Che	mical			Mechanical		
Depth	O.M	P^H	E.C.	CaCO₃	Course	Fine	Silt &	Texture
(cm)	(%)	(1:2.5)	(0.5 m ⁻¹)	(%)	Sand	Sand	Clay	
0-20	0.65	8.70	0.35	7.01	47.46	49.79	2.49	
20-40	0.40	8.80	0.33	2.37	56.72	39.57	3.74	Sandy
40-60	0.25	9.30	0.45	4.71	36.76	59.39	3.85	•
Depth	SP	FC	WP	AW		Hydraulic (conductivity	
(cm)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)		(cn	n/hr)	
0-20	21.00	10.10	4.68	5.41		22	.50	
20-40	19.00	13.50	5.61	7.89		.00		
40-60	22.00	14.49	4.63	7.89		21	.00	

Table 1. Physical and chemical analyses of the experimental site

Notes: the data of two growing seasons were combined

approach to minimize drought stress that induces crop losses is the foliar application with arginine on wheat plant (Abdul Qados, 2010 and Ahmed*et al.*, 2015).

In recent years, growth regulating substances played an important role in controlling seed germination, vegetative growth, flowering and yield of several crop plants (Abd El-Monem, 2007). Arginine is one of the essential amino acids, considered the main precursor of polyamines which produced by decarboxylation of arginine via arginine decarboxylase to form putrescine (Bouchereau *et al.*, 1999).

Polyamines and their precursor arginine have been implicated as vital modulators in a variety of growth, physiological and developmental processes in higher plants (Galston and Kaur Sahney, 1990). Polyamines are involved in the control of cell cycle, cell division, morphogenesis in phytochrome and plant hormone mediated process and the control of plant senescence, as well as in plant response to various stress factors (El-Shintinawy and Hassanein, 2001).

The application of arginine significantly promoted the growth and increased the fresh and dry weights, certain endogenous plant growth regulators, chlorophylls a and b and carotenoids in wheat (Abd El-Monem, 2007 and El-Bassiouny *et al.*, 2008). Moreover Hassanein *et al.*, (2008) showed the positive role of arginine in alleviating the inhibition occurs as the result of exposing plants to stress.

Therefore, theobjective of thephysiological role of foliar treatment with arginine on some wheat cultivars (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under water stress condition in the newly cultivated in sandy soil and to investigate the role of arginine in alleviating the harmful effects ofdrought.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were carried out at Agricultural Experimental Station Research Centre of National Research Centre, Nubaria, El-Bahira Governorate, Egypt, during two successive seasons; 2017/2018 and 2018/2019. Eachexperiment was arranged in split-split

plot design with four replicates. Each experiment was arranged in split-split plotdesign with four replicates. Cultivars of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L) cv., Gemiza-9 and Misr-2, were used which obtained from the Ministry of Egyptian Agriculture.

Foliar application spray with three levels of arginine (0, 2 and 4 mM/L) were applied twice after 50 and 60 days from sowing and irrigated with four treatments from water stress (Normal irrigation as acontrol which irrigated with one-week intervals up to maturity stage (140 days after sowing), Once irrigation at tillering at49 days after sowing, germination at 98 days after sowing and ripening stage at 105 days after sowing.).

Cultivars were allocated to main plots, while the water treatments ones were allocated to sub-plot and arginine application treatments were distributed in sub-sub plots. The chemical used in the present work was arginine (one of the essential amino acids). Thestructure formula of this compound is: $NH_2 - COOH - CH (CH_2) NH_3 - C - NH - NH_2$. This experiment was carried out to investigate the physiological role of foliar application by arginine on alleviating the harmful effect of drought on wheat cultivars. Table (1), presented the physical and chemical analysis of the soil that determined according to Richards (1954).

The area of experimental unit consisted of 10 rows each of 2.5 m in length at 20 cm apart. Grains were sown in November at the last week for both seasons at grain rates of 40 kg/fad. The recommended cultural practices of growing wheat plant were followed by harvest date as recommended by Wheat Research Department, Agricultural Research Center.

Samples of guarded plants were often taken randomly from each plot for the four replications to measure growth parameters after 110 and 120 days of sowing, where, plant height, number and dried weight of each tiller blades, spikes/plant, flag leaf blade area cm², and blade area cm²/plant were determined according to Bremner and Taha (1966). LAI was estimated according to the method described by Watson (1952).

At harvest date, guarded plants were taken randomly from the middle rows of each plot to determine the

number of spikesper plant, dry weight of spike (g/plant), grain index (weightof 1000 grain/g), straw, biological biomass (above ground) and yields per plant.

In addition, a migration coefficient (i.e. dry weight of spikes/plant/above ground biomass per plant) was estimated according to Abdel-Gawad *et al.*, (1987). Moreover, grains(ton/fed); straw (ton/fed) and above ground biomass in ton/fed were collected from the whole area of each experimental unit and then converted to yield per feddan.

Chemical analysis

Samples were chosen for some chemicals composition, photosynthetic pigments contentsin wheat blades (mg/g dry wt.)were extracted by aqueous solution of 85% acetone and calculated using Van Wetistein formula (Van Wettstein, 1957) after 110 and 120 days of sowing. The dried grains were finally grounded and kept for carbohydrates and protein determinations. The total carbohydrates percentages were determined using a phenol sulfuric acid method as described by (Duois et al., 1956) whereas, N % in dry grains was determined according the method of Pregl (1945), also, crude protein percentages in dry grains were calculated by multiplying N content by 5.75. Phosphorus was extracted and using Jackson spectrophotometer according to whereas, potassium was assayed using flamephotometer according to Allen et al., (1984).

Statistical analysis

Data were statistically analyzed by using analysis of variances according to Snedecor and Cochran (1990) and means were grouped by LSD test at the 5% probability level. Furthermore, combined analysis was made for the data of the two growing seasons as the results werefollowed a similar trend.

RESULTS

Effect of cultivars

Results in Table (2), showed that significant differences were found between the two wheat cultivars Gemiza-9 and Misr-2 in all growth parameters after 110- and 120-days of two growing seasons. Gemiza-9 cultivar significantly surpassed Misr-2 cultivar in plant height, number of blades/plant and tillers dry weight/plant after 110 and 120 days of sowing, whereas, Misr-2 cultivar gave the highest significant values at number of tillers/plant, number of spikes/plant, blades dry weight/plant, spikes dry weight/plant, flag leaf blade area, blades area/plant and LAI after 110 and 120 days

compared with Gemiza-9 cultivar.

It is worthy, plant height, number of tillers/plant, the number of blades/plant,dry weight of tillers/plant,dry weight of blades/plant, blades area/plant and LAI were decreased with advancing plant age to 110 and 120 days however, number of spikes/plant, dry weight of spikes/plant and the flag leaf blade area seemed to be increased with advancing plant age to 110 and 120 days after sowing.

Regarding, chemical concentration of wheat plant Gemiza-9 and Misr-2 cultivars, table (3) indicated that the cultivars significantly differed in photosynthetic pigment content per wheat blade (i.e. Chl a, Chl b, Chl (a+b) and carotenoids) as well as, total carbohydrates % and crude protein % per grains were significant. Also, photosynthetic pigment content per green wheat blades was decreased after 110 days from sowing. Also, from table (3) Msir-2 in photosynthetic pigments as compared to other wheat cultivars after 110 and 120 days of sowing and total carbohydrates % per grains at harvest date. On the contrary, Gemiza-9 cultivar produced the greatest mean values from crude protein % per grains at harvest date compared with Misr-2 cultivar.

Table (4) showed clearly that Gemiza-9 and Misr-2 cultivars were significantly differed in yield and its components (i.e. Number of spikes/plant, spikes dry weight/plant, mean of spike length, grain index, grains, straw and above ground biomass yields per plant and/or per fed., migration coefficient, crop index and harvest index. Data in the same table clearly indicated that Misr-2 was significantly out weight of Gemiza-9 cultivar in all previous yield and its components except straw yield per plant and/or per fed where Misr-2 cultivar characterized by significant decrease in straw yield compared with Gemiza-9 cultivar.

It is worthy to mention that the differences between wheat cultivars might be attributed to the differences between wheat genotype for mineral elements concentration and to the differences in photosynthetic partitioning and migration photosynthetic among wheat plant organs. Generally, the significant cultivar differences between wheat cultivars in this study are in full agreement with previous results obtained by Hassanain *et al.*, (2014) and El-Metwally *et al.*, (2015).

Effect of drought

Results in Table (2) showed that skipping irrigation at certain developmental stages of growth forwheat plant caused significant decrement in growth parameters, i.e. plant height, number and dried weight of tillers, blades and spikes/plant, flag leaf blade area, blade area/plant and LAI at 110 and 120 days after sowing date (Table 2), photosynthetic pigment content (i.e ChI a, ChI b, ChI (a+b) and carotenoids) per green blades at 110 and 120 days after sowing. In addition, total carbohydrates % and

Table 2. Effect of cultivars, water stress and arginine concentrations on growth parameters of wheat plant (Average of 2017/2018 and 2018/2109 seasons).

							110 days	after s	owing			
Cultivars	Water	Ar	Plant		No./plan	ıt		eight (g/		Flag	Blades	
	stress	Con	height		•		Tiller +		<u> </u>	leaf	area	LAI
	treats.	cen	(cm)	Tille	Blade	Spike	shoot	Blad	Spike	blade	(cm²)/	
		(mM /I)		r	s	s	s	es	s	area	plant	
Gemiza-9			156.29	6.03	40.86	5.17	8.23	5.64	8.09	35.58	958.73	6.39
Misr-2			151.09	6.80	40.58	5.77	7.82	5.83	8.56	40.68	1005.91	6.71
LSD _{0.05}			1.53	0.29	0.14	0.30	Ns	0.15	0.18	1.27	11.64	0.20
	Control		160.69	6.94	44.33	6.29	8.62	6.02	8.91	43.58	1026.30	6.85
	Tillering		141.35	5.93	36.52	4.78	7.50	5.42	7.50	31.88	932.37	6.22
	Germinati		149.92	6.14	40.14	5.21	7.82	5.67	8.22	36.70	968.31	6.47
	ng											
	Ripening		157.58	6.63	43.11	5.70	8.11	5.83	8.68	40.74	1000.30	6.67
LSD _{0.05}			2.88	0.15	1.51	0.23	0.27	0.13	0.60	0.63	1.46	0.06
		0.00	147.61	6.05	38.17	5.08	7.41	5.50	7.81	34.32	940.50	6.27
		2.00	154.95	6.47	40.60	5.59	8.04	5.70	8.25	38.00	983.73	6.58
		4.00	158.55	6.70	43.39	5.81	8.64	6.00	8.93	42.10	1022.80	6.82
LSD _{0.05}			1.44	0.10	2.01	0.15	0.35	0.16	0.22	2.24	27.46	80.0
120 days at	fter sowing											
Gemiza-9			148.20	5.77	38.70	5.68	7.98	5.08	8.63	38.92	902.30	6.02
Misr-2			141.89	6.36	39.05	6.24	7.38	5.28	9.37	44.32	995.07	6.63
LSD _{0.05}			3.12	0.25	ns	ns	0.22	0.11	0.36	2.03	17.52	0.41
	Control		151.71	6.66	42.40	6.55	8.43	5.58	9.78	46.05	1010.05	6.73
	Tillering		137.00	5.29	34.95	5.03	6.67	4.88	8.44	37.13	893.76	5.96
	Germinati		145.51	6.07	38.05	6.00	7.51	5.12	8.81	39.88	927.38	6.18
	ng Di		4.40.54	0.40	40.46	0.07	7.00	5.05	0.47	40.40	000 50	0.40
LOD	Ripening		148.51	6.40	40.10	6.27	7.93	5.25	9.17	43.46	963.52	6.42
LSD _{0.05}		0.00	1.06	0.08	0.01	0.13	0.08	0.04	0.31	0.82	9.97	0.08
		0.00	140.29	5.70	36.33	5.61	7.11	4.91	8.61	38.20	910.73	6.07
		2.00	142.36	6.00	39.00	5.98	7.67	5.18	8.98	41.67	946.70	6.31
LCD		4.00	149.60	6.48	41.28	6.31	8.27	5.45	9.43	45.00	988.14	6.59
LSD _{0.05}			2.01	0.16	1.64	0.20	0.27	0.11	0.12	1.56	30.61	0.14

Table 3. Effect of cultivars, water stress and arginine concentrations on chemical constituents of wheat plant (Average of 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 seasons).

	Water	Ar	Phot	osynthe	etic pigm		ontent p	er blad	es (mg/g	g) dry	Total Carb.	Crude protein(
Cultivar	stress	Concen	110	days a	fter sow	ing	120	days a	fter sow	/ing	(%)	%)
S	treats.	-	Chl	Chl	Chl	Car.	Chl	Chl	Chl	Car.	_	
		(mM/l)	а	b	(a+b)		а	b	(a+b)			
Gemiza- 9			2.78	1.10	3.88	1.88	2.63	0.96	3.59	1.75	84.79	11.95
Misr-2			2.89	1.22	4.11	1.95	2.72	1.04	3.76	1.84	85.54	11.75
LSD _{0.05}			0.07	0.03	0.09	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.06	0.11	0.10
	Control		3.06	1.35	4.41	2.17	2.89	1.16	4.05	2.01	86.19	11.67
	Tillering		2.61	0.98	3.59	1.70	2.49	0.82	3.31	1.64	83.69	12.31
	Germin ating		2.77	1.12	3.89	1.82	2.61	0.95	3.56	1.72	85.13	11.73
	Ripenin g		2.91	1.19	4.10	1.96	2.73	1.07	3.80	1.83	85.66	11.70
LSD _{0.05}	9		0.11	0.08	0.14	0.09	0.12	0.06	0.17	0.13	0.03	0.21
		0.00	2.70	1.08	3.78	1.83	2.55	0.91	3.46	1.68	84.79	11.48
		2.00	2.82	1.14	3.96	1.93	2.68	0.99	3.67	1.83	85.16	11.80
		4.00	2.95	1.28	4.25	1.98	2.80	1.10	3.90	1.90	85.44	11.89
LSD _{0.05}			0.08	0.07	0.19	0.04	0.10	0.06	0.18	0.04	0.25	0.08

Table 4. Effect of cultivars, water stress and arginine concentrations on yield and its components of wheat plant (Average of 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 seasons).

Cultiva rs	Water stress treats.	Ar Conc en. (mM/ I)	No of spik es/ pla nt	Spi kes dry wei ght (g/p lant	Mai n spik es len gth (cm	Grai n ind ex (g)	Grai n yiel d g/pl ant)	Str aw yie Id g/p lan t)	Abo ve grou nd biom ass (g/pl ant)	Grai n yiel d (ton /fed)	Stra w yiel d (ton /fed)	Abo ve grou nd bio mas s (ton/ fed)	Migr atio n coef ficie nt	Harv est inde x	Cro p inde x
Gemiz			5.79	19.9 7	15.6	32.9	14.4	21.	35.8	2.83	3.34	6.17	0.56	0.85	0.46
a-9 Misr-2			6.44	7 21.7 4	9 17.1 5	3 35.1	0 15.8 1	44 20. 49	4 36.3 0	3.01	3.21	6.22	0.60	0.94	0.48
LSD _{0.05}			0.61	0.16	0.13	0.35	0.30	0.8 6	0.27	0.12	0.07	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.01
	Control		6.68	24.5	24.5	37.5	18.4	24.	42.4	3.20	3.49	6.69	0.58	0.92	0.48
	Tillerin g		5.13	7 17.4 6	7 13.6 9	2 31.3 9	4 12.1 9	01 17. 36	5 29.5 5	2.55	3.15	5.70	0.59	0.81	0.45
	Germin ating		6.12	19.6 6	15.9 2	32.6 6	13.9 7	20. 32	34.2 9	2.90	3.20	6.10	0.57	0.91	0.48
	Ripeni ng		6.37	21.7 5	17.3 8	34.5 2	15.8 3	22. 18	38.0 1	3.04	3.26	6.30	0.57	0.93	0.48
LSD _{0.05}	9		0.13	0.18	0.49	0.85	0.55	0.5 5	0.41	0.03	0.15	0.07	0.01	0.01	ns
		0.00	5.71	18.2 4	14.2 3	31.9 5	13.3 9	19. 11	32.5 0	2.81	3.35	6.16	0.56	0.84	0.46
		2.00	6.08	20.0 3	16.3 9	33.8 7	14.8 3	20. 83	34.8 3	2.96	3.39	6.25	0.58	0.90	0.47
		4.00	6.43	24.3 2	18.6 1	36.2 7	17.1 1	22. 97	40.0 8	3.11	3.44	6.55	0.61	0.90	0.47
LSD _{0.05}			0.31	1.82	1.25	0.26	0.22	0.1 8	0.86	0.09	0.08	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01

crude protein % per dried grains at harvest date (Table 3), as well as, yield and its components,i.e. number of spikes/plant, dry weight of spike per plant, mean of spike length, grain index, grain,straw and above ground biomass, yields per plant and/or per feddan, migration coefficient, harvest index and crop index (Table 4).

Data collected indicated that plant height, number and the dry weight of tillers +sheats and blades, blades area/plant and LAI were decreased with advancing plant age after 110 and 120 days of sowing (Table 2), as well as, photosynthetic pigment content (Table 3). On the contrary, the number and dried weight of spikes/plant, flag leaf blade area was increased with advancing plant age after110- and 120-days. Noticeably, the damaging effect of the harmful effect of drought stress on growth character (Table 2), chemical constituents of wheat plant (Table 3) with yield and its components (Table 4), may be explained on the basis of turgidityloss with effect of cell expansion cuteand ultimate cell size, the loss of turgidity is probably the most sensitive processes to water stress, thus caused decrement in growth rate, stem elongation and enlargement which has been carefully discussed by Kramer and Boyer (1995). As a result, yield and its components were decreased consequently. Our results in Tables (2, 3 and 4) clearly indicated that wheat plants were more sensitive to drought stress at tillering stage, followed by germinating stage, whereas, skipping one irrigation at ripening stage showed thelowest damaging effect on each of growth characters (Tables 2), chemical constituents (Tables 3) and, yield and its components (Tables 4). On the other hand, water stress for wheat plant even water afterward did not recover to their normal behavior to compensate for the adverse effect caused by the exposure to drought conditions (Magdaet al., 2014).

Generally, irrigation is recommended due to the highest effect on tillersurvival and this includedthat development and physiological processes in determining final grain yield and the water stress should be avoided at this growth stage. Therefore, the damage in growth characters (Tables 2), chemical constituents (Tables 3), and yield withits components (Tables 4) attributed to water stress at tillering stagewas more pronounced, because every plant was subjected to soil moisture at tillering stage and their effect might be attributed to the lack of absorbed water, inadequate uptake of chemical elements, inhibition of meristematic activity and/or reduction in photosynthetic capacity under such unfavorable conditions (Magda, et al., 2018).

Moreover, assimilates translocation to the new developing tillers and to the spike primordial were recorded which were not enough to mention or develop these organs from plants (Magda, *et al.*, 2018). Finally, our results are in good harmony with those obtained by Abdel Salam *et al.*, (2016).

Effect of foliar spray by arginine.

Data illustrated in Table (2) indicated that the growth attributes of wheat plants to the concentration of arginine were significant, where; plant height, number and dried weight/plant of each one of tillers + shoots, blades and spikes/plant, flag leaf blade area and blade area/plant and LAI were significantly affected by foliar application with arginine. In addition, foliar spray with 2.0 mM/l caused a significant increment in all growth parameters after 110 and 120 days of sowing compared to control treatment (Tap water treatment). On the other hand, increasing concentration of arginine from 2.0 to 4.0 mM/l caused another significant increase in growth parametersafter 110 and 120 days compared to control treatment and 2.0 mM/l treatment only, respectively.

Furthermore, a significant response of photosynthetic pigmentcontents after 110 and 120 days of sowing were found due to thefoliar application with arginine. Also, carbohydrates % and crude protein % per dry grains at harvest date, where, the application with 2.0 mM/l arginine significantly enhanced the previous chemical composition of wheat plant compared to control plant after 110 and 120 days of sowing, where, increasing arginine concentration from 2.0 to 4.0 mM/l caused further increases in Chl a, Chl b, Chl (a+b) and carotenoidsper wheat blades after 110 and 120 days of sowing plus in carbohydrates and crude protein % per dried grains at harvest date compared to 2.0 mM/l concentration.

On the other hand, each to plant height, number of tillers/plant, number ofblades/plant, tillers + shoots,dry weight/plant, dried weight of blade/plant, blade area/plant and LAI, as well as, Chl a, Chl b, Chl (a+b) and carotenoids at seemed to be decreased with advancing plant age (110 and 120) days, whereas, the number and dry weight of spikes/plant, as well as, flag leaf blade area were increased with advancing plant age (110 and 120) days.

The effect of arginine concentration on yield and its components of wheat plant were respected significantly at level (5%) in Table (4). Results showed clearly that foliar spray with 2.0 mM/l arginine significantly enhanced the number of spikes/plant;dry weight of spike/plant,the mean of spike length, grain index, grain, straw and above ground biomass/plant, above ground biomass/feddan, migration coefficient, harvest index and crop index compared with control treatment, except the increase in grain and straw yield/fedwere not reaching the significant level at 5%.

Moreover, foliar spraying with 4 mM/l arginine on wheat plant caused significant increment in all the yields and its component except the differences in grains and straw yield/feddan, harvest index and crop index between 2 and 4 mM/l arginine that were not in significant level at 5 %.

Table 5. Effect of interaction between cultivars and water stress on growth characters of wheat plant (during 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 seasons).

	Water					110 days	after so	wing			
Cultivar	stress	Plant		No./plant	t		veight (g/	plant)	Flag	Blades	
S	treats.	height (cm)	Tiller	Blade s	Spikes	Tiller + shoots	Blade s	Spikes	leaf blade area	area (cm²)/ plant	LAI
	Control	167.7 7	6.77	44.43	6.00	8.87	5.89	8.75	40.82	1003.2	6.69
Gemiza- 9	Tillering	143.7 7	5.49	36.63	4.56	7.59	5.30	7.11	29.19	921.60	6.14
	Germin ating	153.1 1	5.57	40.58	4.83	8.04	5.58	8.08	34.90	939.35	6.26
	Ripenin g	160.4 3	6.25	41.77	5.28	8.31	5.77	8.36	38.39	970.73	6.47
	Control	153.6 0	7.11	44.22	6.50	8.37	6.14	9.07	46.53	1049.40	7.00
Misr-2	Tillering	138.9 3	6.31	36.40	5.00	7.41	5.54	7.83	34.57	943.14	6.29
	Germin ating	146.7 3	6.64	39.70	5.58	7.60	5.75	8.35	38.90	1000.26	6.67
	Ripenin g	154.7 3	7.00	42.00	6.12	7.91	5.88	9.00	43.09	1029.87	6.87
LSD) _{0.05}	4.55	0.24	2.38	0.37	0.42	0.20	0.10	0.99	23.00	0.10
120 days	after sowing										
	Control	153.6 0	6.42	42.40	6.33	8.69	5.42	9.26	42.40	965.49	6.44
Gemiza- 9	Tillering	140.7 0	5.07	35.20	4.78	6.91	4.73	8.18	34.65	855.39	5.70
	Germin ating	147.9 3	5.84	37.70	5.70	7.75	5.02	8.55	38.50	877.23	5.85
	Ripenin g	150.5 8	6.10	39.60	5.93	8.19	5.15	8.93	40.20	911.04	6.07
	Control	149.8 2	6.90	42.40	6.77	8.16	5.72	10.30	49.70	1054.60	7.04
Misr-2	Tillering	133.2 7	5.50	34.70	5.28	6.42	5.02	8.69	39.60	932.13	6.21
	Germin ating	142.5 6	6.30	38.40	6.30	7.26	5.22	9.06	41.25	977.53	6.52
	Ripenin g	146.4 3	6.70	40.60	6.60	7.67	5.34	9.41	46.72	1016.00	6.37
LSD _{0.05}	ອ 	1.68	0.12	0.02	0.21	0.12	0.06	0.49	1.29	15.75	0.13

These results may be attributed to the role of PAs (the main group of arginine products), application in alleviating the adverse effect of drought and salt stress by increasing the endogenous PAs contents in wheat plants and proved that arginine treatments significantly increased grains weight per plant, the weight of 1000-grain, straw and biological yields per plant and harvest index in wheat plants (EI-Shintinawy and Hassanein, 2001)

It isnotable that our results are confirmed with results obtained by Nasibi*et al.*, (2014); Ahemd*et al.*, (2015); Magda*et al.*, (2018) for theconnection the main role of arginine products (putrescine, spermidine and spermine) in the stressed plantsfor the long run, which was to maintain a cation-anion balance in plant tissue by

stabilizing membrane of high external stress (El-Bassiouny, et al., 2008). Foliar spraying with polyamine (end product of arginine to several plant species have been shown to promoting the cell division, cell differentiation and general growth promotion. The arginine can also help in stabilizingthe membrane and cell properties (Velikova, et al., 2000) and protecting the plant against environmental stress (Mo and Pua, 2002).

Furthermore, the positive effect of arginine on yield and its components may beattributed to the positive effect of arginine on growth characters (Table 2) and photosynthetic pigment content per wheat green blades (Table 3). Thus, application of arginine can be used to decrease harmful effect of drought stress and wear. The arginine treatment can helpin solving the

Table 6. Effect of	interaction between	en cultivars	and wa	ter stress	on	chemical	constituents	of	wheat plar	t (Average	of :
2017/2018 and 201	8/2019 seasons).										

		Pho	tosynthe	tic pigme	nts conte	nt per bla	ades (mg	g) dry we	eight	Total	Crude
Cultivar	Water	1	10 days a	fter sowir	ng	12	20 days a	fter sowii	ng	Carb.	protei
s	stress	Chl	Chl	Chl	Car.	Chl	Chl	Chl	Car.	(%)	n (%)
	treats.	а	b	(a+b)		Α	В	(a+b)			
	Control	3.01	1.31	4.32	2.11	2.84	1.14	3.98	1.96	86.02	11.64
	Tillering	2.55	0.88	3.43	1.67	2.42	0.77	3.19	1.58	82.92	12.80
Gemiza-	Germin	2.68	1.05		1.79	2.55	0.90		1.68	84.79	11.69
9	ating			3.73				3.45			
	Ripenin	2,87	1.17		1.94	2.70	1.02		1.78	85.44	11.66
	g			4.04				3.72			
	Control	3.11	1.38	4.49	2.22	2.94	1.18	4.12	2.05	86.32	11.69
	Tillering	2.66	1.08	3.74	1.73	2.55	0.86	3.41	1.69	84.48	11.82
Misr-2	Germin	2,85	1.19		1.85	2.66	0.99		1.76	85.47	11.77
	ating			4.04				3.65			
	Ripenin	2.95	1.21		1.98	2.75	1.11		1.87	85.87	11.73
	g			4.16				3.86			
LSD _{0.05}	-	0.17	0.13	0.22	0.14	0.19	0.10	0.27	0.20	0.05	ns

Table 7. Effect of interaction between cultivars and water stress on yield and its components of wheat plant (Average of 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 seasons).

Cultiv ars	Water stress treats.	No of spik es/ plan t	Spik es dry weig ht (g/pl ant)	Main spik es leng th (cm)	Grai n inde x (g)	Grai n yield g/pl ant)	Stra w yield g/pl ant)	Abo ve grou nd bio mas s (g/pl ant)	Grai n yield (ton/ fed)	Stra w yield (ton/ fed)	Abo ve grou nd bio mas s (ton/ fed)	Migr atio n coef ficie nt	Harv est inde x	Cro p inde x
Comin	Control	6.45	23.4	18.0	36.3	17.7	24.9	42.6	3.11	3.56	6.67	0.56	0.87	0.47
Gemiz a-9	Tillerin g	4.87	6 16.6 7	5 12.9 8	3 30.4 6	0 11.4 1	2 17.9 4	2 29.3 5	2.45	3.23	5.68	0.57	0.76	0.43
	Germin ating	5.81	18.9 2	14.9 9	31.1 2	13.2 1	20.1 6	33.3 7	2.81	3.26	6.07	0.57	0.86	0.46
	Ripeni ng	6.04	20.8 1	16.7 1	33.7 9	15.2 7	22.7 3	38.0 0	2.95	3.30	6.25	0.55	0.89	0.47
Misr-2	Control	6.90	25.6 7	19.3 1	38.7 0	19.1 7	23.0 9	42.2 6	3.28	3.42	6.70	0.61	0.96	0.49
141101 2	Tillerin g	5.38	18.2 4	14.3 9	32.3 1	12.9 7	16.7 7	29.7 4	2.65	3.06	5.71	0.61	0.87	0.46
	Germin ating	6.42	20.3 9	16.8 5	34.1 9	14.7 2	20.4 8	35.2 0	2.98	3.14	6.12	0.58	0.95	0.49
	Ripeni ng	6.69	22.6 9	18.0 4	35.2 4	16.3 8	21.6 3	38.0 1	3.12	3.22	6.34	0.60	0.97	0.49
LSD _{0.05}	9	0.20	0.28	0.77	1.35	0.87	0.87	0.65	0.04	0.14	0.11	0.03	0.03	0.01

problems produced by environmental drought stress. Thepositive effect of arginine products (putrescine, spermidine and spermine) used in our study is in a good harmony with those obtained by Ahmed *et al.*, (2015); Akladious and Hanafy, (2018).

However, the disappearance of some protein bands under stress may be due to the suppression of the genes responsible for protein synthesis as a result of stress. Then, the developed tissues had lost their ability to synthesize these proteins under stress conditions (Akladious and Abbas, 2014).

Effect of the interaction between cultivars X skipping irrigation

Table (5), indicated that the interaction betweenwheat cultivars X skipping irrigation at certain developmental

Table 8.	Effect of interaction betw	een cultivars	and arginine	concentrations	on growth	characters o	f wheat plant	(Average of
2017/201	8 and 2018/2019 seasons	١.						

			Tiller Blade Spikes Sp												
	Ar	Plant		No./plant	t	Dry w	eight (g/	plant)	Flag	Blades	<u>.</u>				
Cultivar	Concen	height				Tiller +					LAI				
S	(mM/l)	(cm)	Tiller		Spikes	shoots		Spikes							
Gemiza-	0.00	149.7 1		37.94	4.75		5.39	7.42	32.02	918.90					
9	2.00	157.4 0	6.03	40.33	5.25	8.33	5.57	8.11	35.21	957.78	6.39				
	4.00	161.7 5	6.33	44.30	5.50	8.77	5.95	8.75	39.52	999.50	6.66				
Misr-2	0.00	145.6	6.38	38.40	5.40	7.23	5.61	8.20	36.61	962.05	6.41				
WIIOI Z	2.00	152.3	6.90	40.86	5.80	7.74	5.80	8.38	40.76	1009.67	6.73				
	4.00	155.3	7.06	42.48	6.11	8.50	6.08	9.10	44.66	1046.00	6.97				
LSD _{0.05}		2.24	0.16	3.14	0.23	0.55	0.25	0.35	3.50	42.84	0.13				
		120 day	s after s	owing											
Gemiza-	0.00	143.0 0	5.40	36.12	5.29	7.30	4.83	8.25	35.56	878.90	5.86				
9	2.00	148.6 7	5.58	38.64	5.65	7.95	5.08	8.55	38.50	891.70	5.98				
	4.00	152.9 3	6.32	41.35	6.11	8.70	5.33	9.10	42.71	931.30	6.21				
Misr-2	0.00	137.5 7	6.00	36.54	5.92	6.91	4.98	8.96	40.84	942.55	6.28				
141131-7	2.00	141.8 4	6.44	39.40	6.30	7.38	5.28	9.40	44.83	996.70	6.64				
	4.00	146.2 6	6.64	41.20	6.50	7.84	5.57	9.75	47.28	1045.97	6.97				
LSD _{0.05}		3.14	0.25	2.56	0.31	0.42	0.17	0.19	2.44	47.75	0.17				

stages on growth character after 110 and 120 days of sowing (Table 5), chemical constituents except crude protein per dried grain (Table 6), and yieldand its components (Table 7) was significant, where Misr-2 cultivar surpassed Gemiza-9 in all pervious determined charactersexcept plant height after 110 and 120 days of sowing, Gemiza-9 was producing the tallest wheat plant. Furthermore,the collected data indicated that wheat plants were more sensitive to skipping irrigation at tillering stage followed bygerminating stage, while the skipping irrigation at ripening stage wasat the end of list. These results are in agreement with the findings obtained by Magdaet al., (2014).

With respect of the interaction of wheat cultivars X arginine concentration, data observed that the interaction was significant on growth characters (Table 8), on chemical constituents (Table 9) and the yield associated with its components (Table 10). Furthermore, data obtained from the previous table, explained that the most effective treatment was Misr-2 cultivar sprayed with 4 mM/lof arginine.These results are in harmony with those obtained by El-Bassiouny, *et al.*, (2008).

Regarding the interaction between skipping irrigation

at certain developmental stages of growth and arginine concentration treatments on growth characters after 110 and 120 days of sowing (Table 11) chemical constituents of the wheat plant (Table 12) and the yield accompanied with its components except for the crop index and harvest index (Table 13) was significant. Also, the most favorable treatment for all the pervious characters was the traditional irrigation (without skipping) under foliar spraying with 4 mM/l arginine followed by normal irrigationunder spraying with 2 mM/l arginine. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Ahmedet al., (2015) and Magda et al., (2018).

The three-ways interaction among wheat cultivars X drought stress treatment X foliar spraying with arginine clearly showed that theeffecton growth characters (Table 14), chemical constituents of the wheat plant, except crude protein per dried grains (Table 15), as well as, yield and its components, except the crop index and harvest index (Table 16) were significant. Generally, the most effective treatment for increasing wheat plant yield and quality are Misr-2 cultivar under normal irrigationusing the foliar spraying with 4 mM/l arginine followed by Misr-2 under normal using thefoliar spraying with 2 mM/l

Table 9. Effect of interaction between cultivars and arginine concentrations on chemical constituents of wheat plant (Average of 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 seasons).

		Ph	otosynth	etic pigme	ents conte	ent per bl	ades (mg	g) dry we	ight	Total	Crude
	Arginine	1	10 days a	after sowii	ng	1	20 days a	fter sowin	ıg	Carb.	protein
Cultivars	Concen. (mM/l)	Chl a	Chl b	Chl (a+b)	Car.	Chl a	Chl B	Chl (a+b)	Car.	(%)	(%)
	0.00	2.63	1.00	3.63	1.78	2.51	0.87	3.38	1.64	84.32	11.45
Gemiza-9	2.00	2.77	1.08	3.85	1.89	2.64	0.96	3.60	1.76	84.77	11.80
	4.00	2.92	1.22	4.14	1.97	2.72	1.07	3.79	1.85	85.28	11.83
	0.00	2.77	1.16	3.93	1.88	2.59	0.95	3.54	1.71	85.26	11.51
Misr-2	2.00	2.87	1.19	4.06	1.96	2.72	1.02	3.74	1.89	85.55	11.80
	4.00	3.02	1.34	4.36	2.01	2.88	1.13	4.01	1.94	85.79	11.94
LSD _{0.05}		0.12	0.09	0.29	0.04	0.13	0.08	0.24	0.06	0.36	0.10

Table 10. Effect of interaction between cultivars and arginine concentrations on yield and its components of wheat plant (Average of 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 seasons).

Cultiv ars	Ar Conce n. (mM/l)	No of spik es/ plan t	Spik es dry weig ht (g/pl ant)	Main spik es leng th (cm)	Grai n inde x (g)	Grai n yield g/pl ant)	Stra w yield g/pl ant)	Abo ve grou nd bio mas s (g/pl ant)	Grai n yield (ton/ fed)	Stra w yield (ton/ fed)	Abo ve grou nd bio mas s (ton/ fed)	Migr atio n coef ficie nt	Harv est inde x	Cro p inde x
Gemiz	0.00	5.39	17.5	13.2	30.9	12.6	19.3	32.0	2.61	3.19	5.80	0.55	0.82	0.45
a-9			6	2	2	8	9	7						
	2.00	5.75	19.0 7	15,9 3	32.7 5	14.2 9	21.3 2	35.6 1	2.87	3.34	6.21	0.54	0.86	0.46
	4.00	6.23	23.2 7	17.9 1	35.1 6	16.2 3	23.6 1	39.8 4	3.01	3.50	6.51	0.58	0.86	0.46
Misr-2	0.00	6.03	18.9 2	15.2 5	32.9 7	14.0 9	18.8 2	32.9	2.78	3.01	5.79	0.57	0.92	0.48
	2.00	6.41	20.9 8	16.8 4	34.9 9	15.3 6	20.3	35.6 9	3.05	3.23	6.28	0.59	0.94	0.49
	4.00	6.63	25.3 6	19.3 5	37.3 8	17.9 9	22.3 3	40.3 2	3.21	3.38	6.59	0.63	0.95	0.49
LSD 0.05		0.32	2.84	1.95	0.40	0.35	0.28	1.34	0.46	0.11	0.05	0.04	0.01	0.02

Table 11. Effect of interaction between water stress and arginine concentrations on growth characters of wheat plant (Average of 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 seasons).

						110 days	after so	wing			
Cultivars	Ar Conce	Plant height		No./plant	t		veight (g/	plant)	Flag	Blades	LAI
Cultivars	n. (mM/l)	(cm)	Tiller	Blade s	Spikes	Tiller + shoots	Blade s	Spikes	leaf blade area	area (cm²) /plant	LAI
Control Treat.	0.00	159.5 9	6.58	41.04	5.67	8.02	5.62	8.57	39.05	972.73	6.42
(No skipping)	2.00	167.9 4	6.98	44.38	6.31	8.65	5.88	8.72	44.44	1034.60	6.90
stage	4.00	170.4 8	7.33	47.66	6.75	9.21	6.54	9.45	47.25	1086.90	7.25
Skipping one irrig.	0.00	137.0 0	5.67	33.50	4.46	7.00	5.23	6.45	28.71	909.41	6.06
tillering stage	2.00	141.6 7	5.94	36.70	4.75	7.48	5.43	7.18	31.37	931.23	6.21
otago	4.00	145.5 4	6.17	38.83	5.05	8.02	5.60	7.45	35.60	956.26	6.38
Skipping one irrig.	0.00	143.6 0	5.93	37.80	4.96	7.11	5.48	7.40	33.22	939.87	6.27
Germinati ng stage	2.00	151.9 0	6.30	40.30	5.23	7.81	5.63	8.04	35.70	967.14	6.45
ng stags	4.00	154.8 7	6.45	42.50	5.44	8.56	5.88	8.84	41.20	1002.40	6.68
Skipping one irrig.	0.00	150.9 0	6.10	39.78	5.27	7.49	5.64	8.20	37.50	949.86	6.33
Ripening	2.00	158.4 4	6.75	41.20	5.81	8.23	5.80	8.49	40.43	1001.86	6.68
stage	4.00	163.4 3	7.02	44.66	6.04	8.64	6.04	9.23	44.33	1049.17	6.99
LSD _{0.05}	6 1	2.62	0.19	3.69	0.29	0.66	0.29	0.41	4.11	50.40	0.15
120 days a	0.00	ng 146.7	6.12	39.29	6.12	7.83	5.15	9.39	43.24	949.05	6.33
Treat. (No	2.00	5 151.9	6.56	42.23	6.52	8.59	5.43	9.93	45.76	1003.75	6.69
skipping) stage	4.00	0 156.3	6.85	45.25	7.12	8.95	5.82	10.03	50.23	1050.30	7.00
Skipping	0.00	8 129.2	4.93	32.82	4.64	6.24	4.66	7.96	34.68	876.37	5.84
one irrig. tillering stage	2.00	0 134.7 0	5.40	35.10	5.04	6.55	4.91	8.47	36.67	888.00	5.92
stage	4.00	140.6 0	5.67	37.30	5.41	7.21	4.82	8.88	39.38	921.24	6.14
Skipping	0.00	140.8 3	5.50	35.83	5.77	7.05	4.85	8.24	36.80	894.82	5.97
one irrig. germinati	2.00	146.1	5.77	38.18	6.04	7.54	5.12	8.91	39.50	925.02	6.17
ng stage	4.00	0 148.9	6.12	40.18	6.21	7.94	5.40	9.27	43.30	962.31	6.42
Skipping	0.00	0 144.3	5.77	37.70	5.90	7.30	5.02	8.81	39.20	922.56	6.15
one irrig. ripening	2.00	0 149.3 0	6.21	40.50	6.31	8.10	5.28	9.20	44.00	970.00	6.47
stage	4.00	152.5 3	6.58	42.40	6.64	8.42	5.56	9.50	47.10	998.01	6.65
LSD _{0.05}		3.69	0.29	3.00	0.37	0.55	0.20	0.23	2.87	51.62	0.21

Table 12. Effect of interaction between cultivars and water stress on growth and arginine concentrations on chemical constituents of wheat plant (Average of 2017/2018 and 2018/2109 seasons).

1		Phot	osynthet	ic pigme	nts conte	nt per bl	ades (mg	/g) dry w	eight	Total	Crude
Water	Ar	11	l0 days a	fter sowi	ng	12	0 days a	fter sowi	ng	Carb.	protein
stress	Conce	Chl	Chl	Chl	Car.	Chl	Chl	Chl	Car.	(%)	(%)
treats.	n (mM/l)	а	b	(a+b)		Α	b	(a+b)			
Control	0.00	2.90	1.22	4.12	2.10	2.78	1.09	3.87	1.91	82.63	11.90
Treat.	2.00	3.04	1.34	4.38	2.16	2.86	1.17	4.03	2.00	82.87	12.21
(No	4.00	3.25	1.47		2.23	3.04	1.23		2.09	83.17	12.27
skipping)											
stage				4.72				4.27			
Skipping	0.00	2.49	0.89	3.38	1.61	2.35	0.75	3.10	1.45	80.17	12.04
one irrig.	2.00	2.59	0.96	3.55	1.72	2.48	0.80	3.28	1.71	80.62	12.31
tillering	4.00	2.74	1.09		1.78	2.62	0.92		1.76	80.72	12.41
stage				3.83				3.54			
Skipping	0.00	2.66	1.02	3.68	1.76	2.51	0.87	3.38	1.58	81.39	11.94
one irrig.	2.00	2.77	1.08	3.85	1.83	2.62	0.95	3.57	1.79	81.87	12.29
Germinati	4.00	2.85	1.25		1.87	2.69	1.04		1.80	82.41	12.37
ng stage				4.1				3.73			
Skipping	0.00	2.77	1.09	3.86	1.85	2.57	0.93	3.50	1.75	82.04	11.87
one irria.	2.00	2.87	1.16	4.03	1.99	2.75	1.08	3.83	1.83	81.86	12.25
ripening	4.00	3.05	1.32		2.07	2.86	1.21		1.91	82.81	12.32
stage				4.37				4.07			· — · • —
LSD _{0.05}		0.12	0.11	0.31	0.06	0.16	0.09	0.28	0.07	0.40	0.12

Table 13. Effect of interaction between water stress on growth characters and arginine concentrations on yield and its components of wheat plant (Average of 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 seasons).

Ar Conc en(m M/I)	No of spike s/ plant	Spike s dry weig ht (g/pla	Main spike s lengt h	Grai n inde x (g)	Grai n yield g/pla nt)	Stra w yield g/pl ant)	Above ground biomas s (g/plant	Grai n yield (ton/ fed)	Stra w yield (ton/ fed)	Above ground biomas s (ton/fed	Migr atio n coef ficie	Har ves t ind ex	Cr o p in de
0.00	0.04		_ , _	05.4	10.0	01.0)	0.00	0.05)		0.0	X
0.00	6.24	22.47	16.45			_	38.34	2.98	3.35	6.33	0.59		0. 47
2.00	6.68	23.79	19.14	37.5	-	-	41.54	3.23	3.51	6.74	0.57	-	0.
				9	7	7						2	48
4.00	6.97	27.46	20.46	39.5	20.5	26.7	47.20	3.38	3.64	7.02	0.58	0.9	0.
				1	0_	0						3	48
0.00	5.03	14.93	11.68	29.3			26.20	2.43	2.98	5.41	0.55		0.
2.00	5 50	16 70	12 21	/ 21.0	-		20.45	2.57	2 10	5 75	0.57		45 0.
2.00	5.50	10.76	13.31				25.45	2.57	3.10	5.75	0.57	1	45
4.00	5.78	21.21	16.06	-	14.2	-	33.22	2.66	3.27	5.93	0.64	0.8	0.
				6	9	3						1	45
0.00	5.60	16.83	13.76	30.3	12.2	18.6	30.82	2.61	3.07	5.68	0.54	8.0	0.
				6	4	8						5	46
2.00	5.87	18.85	15.51				34.26	2.96	3.19	6.15	0.55		0.
4.00	6.24	22.20	10 51	_	-	_	27.67	2 12	2.26	6 10	0.60		48 0.
4.00	0.24	23.29	10.51	34.9 1			37.07	3.12	3.30	0.40	0.62		0. 48
0.00	5.87	19.25	15.08	32.3	_	-	34.49	2.76	3.02	5.78	0.56	-	0.
				4	1	8				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		1	48
2.00	6.33	20.77	15.78	34.4	15.5	21.5	37.09	3.06	3.26	6.32	0.56	0.9	0.
				6	6	3						4	48
4.00	6.70	25.31	19.47		17.8	_	42.44	3.26	3.50	6.76	0.60		0.
	0.27	2 24	2 20	-	1 0.40	_	0.54	0.22	0.16	0.20	0.01	_	48 ns
	Conc en(m M/l) 0.00 2.00 4.00 0.00 2.00 4.00 0.00 2.00 4.00 0.00	Conce en(m M/I) spike s/ plant 0.00 6.24 2.00 6.68 4.00 6.97 0.00 5.03 2.00 5.50 4.00 5.78 0.00 5.87 4.00 6.24 0.00 5.87 2.00 6.33	Conce en(m M/I) spike s/ plant s/ y weig plant (g/pla nt) s dry weig ht (g/pla nt) 0.00 6.24 22.47 2.00 6.68 23.79 4.00 6.97 27.46 0.00 5.03 14.93 2.00 5.50 16.78 4.00 5.78 21.21 0.00 5.87 18.85 4.00 6.24 23.29 0.00 5.87 19.25 2.00 6.33 20.77 4.00 6.70 25.31	Conce en(m M/l) spike s/s plant spike s/s plant spike s/(g/pla nt) s dry weig spike s lengt (g/pla nt) spike s/(g/pla nt) s dry weig spike spik	Conc en(m en(m M/l) spike plant s dry (g/pla nt) spike (g/pla nt) n inde lengt (g/pla nt) x (g) 0.00 6.24 22.47 16.45 35.4 5 2.00 6.68 23.79 19.14 37.5 9 4.00 6.97 27.46 20.46 39.5 1 0.00 5.03 14.93 11.68 29.3 7 2.00 5.50 16.78 13.31 31.0 3 3 4.00 5.78 21.21 16.06 33.7 6 0.00 5.60 16.83 13.76 30.3 6 2.00 5.87 18.85 15.51 31.9 2 4.00 6.24 23.29 18.51 34.9 1 0.00 5.87 19.25 15.08 32.3 4 2.00 6.33 20.77 15.78 34.4 6 4.00 6.70 25.31 19.47 36.7 6	Conc en(m en(m) M/l) spike plant s/ plant s dry weig (g/pla nt) (g/pla nt) s inde (g/pla nt) (g/pla nt) x g/pla (g/pla nt) 0.00 6.24 22.47 16.45 35.4 16.6 5 2.00 6.68 23.79 19.14 37.5 18.1 9 4.00 6.97 27.46 20.46 39.5 20.5 10 0.00 5.03 14.93 11.68 29.3 10.5 7 2.00 5.50 16.78 13.31 31.0 11.7 3 4.00 5.78 21.21 16.06 33.7 14.2 6 9 9 9 9 14.2 6 9 0.00 5.78 21.21 16.06 33.7 14.2 6 9 0.00 5.87 18.85 15.51 31.9 13.8 2 13.8 2 13.3 3 12.2 3 13.3 3 12.2 3 13.3 3 12.2 3 13.3 3 12.2 3 13.3 3 13.5 3 13.8 3 13.8 3 13.8 3 13.8 3 13.8 3 13.8 3 13.8 3 <td>Conc en(m en(m en(m) M/l) spike plant Plant Plant Plant (g/pla ent) s dry (g/pla ent) s inde (g/pla ent) yield yield g/pla ent) g/pl</td> <td>Conc en(m en(m) M/l) spike plant s dry weig plant (g/pla nt) spike lengt (g/pla nt) n inde (g) nt) n minde g/pla g/pl ant) weig ground biomas g/pl ant) s (g/plant) g/pla nt) s (g/plant) g/plant) s (g/plant) g/plant)</td> <td>Conc en(m M/I) spike s/ plant s dry eng plant s dry (g/pla ht (g/pla nt)) s lengt (g/mat) ht (g/pla nt) n inde g/pla (g/mat) ht (g/plant) mt (g/pla nt) s g/pla g/pla (g/mat) ht (g/plant) s g/pla g/pla (g/pla nt) s g/pla g/pla (g/pla nt) s g/pla (g/pla (g/pla nt) s g/pla (g/pla (g/pla nt) s g/pla (g/pla (g/pla nt) s g/pla (g/</td> <td>Conc en(m M/I) spike plant M/I) s dry plant (g/pla nt) spike lengt (g/pla nt) n inde lengt (g/pla nt) n inde (g/pla nt) n inde (g/pla nt) n inde (g/pla nt) yield g/pla g/pl g/pl (g/plant) s (ton/ (ton/ (ton/ (ton/ (ton/ (ton/ (ton/ (ton/ (ton/ nt))))) 0.00 6.24 22.47 16.45 35.4 16.6 21.6 38.34 2.98 3.35 2.00 6.68 23.79 19.14 37.5 18.1 23.2 41.54 3.23 3.51 4.00 6.97 27.46 20.46 39.5 20.5 26.7 47.20 3.38 3.64 0.00 5.03 14.93 11.68 29.3 10.5 15.6 26.20 2.43 2.98 2.00 5.50 16.78 13.31 31.0 11.7 17.6 29.45 2.57 3.18 4.00 5.78 21.21 16.06 33.7 14.2 18.9 33.22 2.66 3.27 2.00 5.87 18.85 15.51 31.9 13.8</td> <td>Conc en(m) en(m) (m)/l) spike plant (l) s dry weig plant (l) spike (l) n inde so (l) vield g/pla g/pla (l) wield g/pla g/pla g/pla (l) s diomas (l) wield (lon/ ton) (lon/ lon/ lon/ lon/ lon/ lon/ lon/ lon/</td> <td>Conce (m/M/I) spike (s/m) plant (m/m) s dry plant (ht) (gy) plant (ht) (gy) s dry plant (ht) (gy) s dry plant (ht) (gy) x gyled (gy) plant (ht) (gy) yield gyled ant) (gy) plant (ht) (gy) yield (gy) plant (ht) (gy) yield (ton) (ton)</td> <td> Conc Spike Sike Sike </td>	Conc en(m en(m en(m) M/l) spike plant Plant Plant Plant (g/pla ent) s dry (g/pla ent) s inde (g/pla ent) yield yield g/pla ent) g/pl	Conc en(m en(m) M/l) spike plant s dry weig plant (g/pla nt) spike lengt (g/pla nt) n inde (g) nt) n minde g/pla g/pl ant) weig ground biomas g/pl ant) s (g/plant) g/pla nt) s (g/plant) g/plant) s (g/plant) g/plant)	Conc en(m M/I) spike s/ plant s dry eng plant s dry (g/pla ht (g/pla nt)) s lengt (g/mat) ht (g/pla nt) n inde g/pla (g/mat) ht (g/plant) mt (g/pla nt) s g/pla g/pla (g/mat) ht (g/plant) s g/pla g/pla (g/pla nt) s g/pla g/pla (g/pla nt) s g/pla (g/pla (g/pla nt) s g/pla (g/pla (g/pla nt) s g/pla (g/pla (g/pla nt) s g/pla (g/	Conc en(m M/I) spike plant M/I) s dry plant (g/pla nt) spike lengt (g/pla nt) n inde lengt (g/pla nt) n inde (g/pla nt) n inde (g/pla nt) n inde (g/pla nt) yield g/pla g/pl g/pl (g/plant) s (ton/ (ton/ (ton/ (ton/ (ton/ (ton/ (ton/ (ton/ (ton/ nt))))) 0.00 6.24 22.47 16.45 35.4 16.6 21.6 38.34 2.98 3.35 2.00 6.68 23.79 19.14 37.5 18.1 23.2 41.54 3.23 3.51 4.00 6.97 27.46 20.46 39.5 20.5 26.7 47.20 3.38 3.64 0.00 5.03 14.93 11.68 29.3 10.5 15.6 26.20 2.43 2.98 2.00 5.50 16.78 13.31 31.0 11.7 17.6 29.45 2.57 3.18 4.00 5.78 21.21 16.06 33.7 14.2 18.9 33.22 2.66 3.27 2.00 5.87 18.85 15.51 31.9 13.8	Conc en(m) en(m) (m)/l) spike plant (l) s dry weig plant (l) spike (l) n inde so (l) vield g/pla g/pla (l) wield g/pla g/pla g/pla (l) s diomas (l) wield (lon/ ton) (lon/ lon/ lon/ lon/ lon/ lon/ lon/ lon/	Conce (m/M/I) spike (s/m) plant (m/m) s dry plant (ht) (gy) plant (ht) (gy) s dry plant (ht) (gy) s dry plant (ht) (gy) x gyled (gy) plant (ht) (gy) yield gyled ant) (gy) plant (ht) (gy) yield (gy) plant (ht) (gy) yield (ton)	Conc Spike Sike Sike

 $\textbf{Table 14.} \ \, \textbf{Effect of three way interaction cultivars X water stress X arginine concentrations on growth characters of wheat plant (Average of 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 seasons).}$

						11	0 days a	fter sow	ina			
	Water	Ar	Plant		No./plant			eight (g/		Flag	Blade	
Cultivars	stress	Conce	heig			-	Tiller	9 (9	J	leaf	s area	LAI
	treats	n	ht	Tiller	Blade	Spike	+	Blade	Spike	blad	(cm ²)/	
		(mM/l)	(cm)		S	S	shoot	S	S	е	plant	
							s			area		
	Control	0.00	161.2	6.32	40.50	5.40	8.27	5.47	8.40	36.5	932.52	6.21
	Treat.		5							1		
	(No	2.00	159.0	6.66	44.50	6.12	8.94	5.65	8.55	40.7	1005.4	6.71
	skipping)		0							0	8	
	stage	4.00	173.1	7.29	48.33	6.50	9.40	6.55	9.32	44.6	1070.4	7.14
0	011	0.00	0	5.00	04.00	4.00	0.04	5 40	5 00	6	7	0.00
Gemiza-9	Skipping	0.00	138.2	5.30	34.22	4.30	6.81	5.12	5.82	25.9	901.37	6.00
	one irrig.	2.00	5 144.1	5.40	36.90	4.50	7.73	5.29	6.81	6 28.9	926.64	6.18
	tillering	2.00	0	5.40	36.90	4.50	1.13	5.29	0.01	26.9 6	920.04	0.10
	stage	4.00	148.9	5.67	38.70	4.90	7.91	5.49	6.96	32.6	936.36	6.24
		4.00	0	0.07	00.70	4.50	7.51	0.40	0.50	7	300.00	0.24
	Skipping	0.00	146.5	5.60	37.80	4.50	7.24	5.34	6.89	32.3	914.76	6.16
	one irrig.	*****	0							4		
	Germinati	2.00	154.6	5.80	40.00	4.90	8.18	5.57	7.99	33.2	934.20	6.23
	ng stage		0							2		
		4.00	158.2	5.90	44.00	5.17	8.68	5.82	8.55	39.1	949.64	6.46
			0							6		
	Skipping	0.00	152.9	5.90	39.25	4.90	7.67	5.61	7.73	35.6	926.91	6.18
	one irrig.		0				- ·-			7		
	Ripening	2.00	161.8	6.50	40.00	5.42	8.47	5.77	8.14	37.9	963.68	6.43
	stage	4.00	0 166.6	6.80	46.10	5.60	0.01	E 01	0.00	5 41.5	1020.7	6.01
		4.00	0	0.60	46.12	5.60	8.81	5.91	9.20	41.5 8	0	6.81
	Control	0.00	157.9	6.84	41.60	5.95	7.76	5.78	8.75	41.5	992.95	6.62
	Treat.	0.00	0	0.04	41.00	0.00	7.70	0.70	0.75	8	332.33	0.02
	(No	2.00	166.1	7.29	44.30	6.50	8.34	6.11	8.88	48.1	1062.7	7.08
	skipping)		0	0		0.00	0.0 .	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.00	8	2	
	stage	4.00	167.8	7.40	46.80	7.00	9.01	6.53	9.58	49.8	1103.3	7.35
			0							3	3	
Misr-2	Skipping	0.00	135.8	5.90	33.80	4.60	6.88	5.35	7.07	31.4	917.46	6.11
	one irrig.		0							6		
	tillering	2.00	139.2	6.50	36.50	5.04	7.22	5.58	7.55	33.7	935.82	6.24
	stage	4.00	0	0.07	00.00	F 0F	0.40	F 70	7.00	7	070.47	0.54
		4.00	141.8	6.67	38.90	5.25	8.12	5.70	7.93	38.5	976.17	6.51
	Skipping	0.00	120.0	6.25	37.80	5.40	6.06	5.61	7 00	0 34.1	064 09	6.43
	one irrig.	0.00	139.9 0	6.25	37.00	5.40	6.96	5.61	7.90	0	964.98	0.43
	Germinati	2.00	148.8	6.80	40.20	5.60	7.44	5.70	8.08	38.1	1000.0	6.66
	ng stage	2.00	0	0.00	10.20	0.00	7	0.70	0.00	7	8	0.00
	g otago	4.00	151.5	7.00	41.00	5.75	8.41	5.94	9.12	43.2	1035.7	6.90
			0			-		-		3	2	
	Skipping	0.00	148.9	6.50	40.30	5.67	7.31	5.66	8.65	39.2	972.81	6.49
	one irrig.		0							7		
	ripening	2.00	155.1	7.00	42.50	6.20	7.97	5.81	8.84	42.9	1040.0	6.93
	stage		0							0	4	
		4.00	160.2	7.20	44.30	6.50	8.46	6.17	9.26	47.0	1076.7	7.18
LCD			0	0.00	440	0.04	0.74	0.00	0.47	8	6 57.00	0.17
LSD _{0.05}			2.97	0.22	4.18	0.31	0.74	0.32	0.47	4.66	57.02	0.17

Table 14. Continue

120 days a	fter sowing											
,	Control Treat.	0.00	148.4 0	5.80	39.70	5.80	8.10	5.11	8.84	39.1 8	926.32	6.18
	(No skipping)	2.00	154.0 0	6.30	42.30	6.20	8.79	5.37	9.41	41.8 0	949.75	6.33
	stage	4.00	158.5 0	6.70	45.20	7.00	9.18	5.78	9.54	46.2 0	1020.6 0	6.93
Gemiza-9	Skipping one irrig.	0.00	132.6 0	4.70	32.80	4.40	6.33	4.55	7.60	31.9 0	840.24	5.61
	tillering stage	2.00	141.7 0	5.00	35.00	4.70	6.78	4.75	8.40	34.6 7	861.95	5.75
		4.00	147.7 0	5.40	37.80	5.25	7.60	4.88	8.55	37.1 8	872.64	5.82
	Skipping one irrig.	0.00	144.5 0	5.40	34.70	5.40	7.21	4.76	7.91	34.3 2	859.14	5.72
	Germinati ng stage	2.00	147.9 0	5.60	37.80	5.60	7.82	5.01	8.68	37.6 2	868.86	5.79
	0 0	4.00	151.5 0	5.80	40.60	5.90	8.24	5.27	9.04	43.4 5	903.69	5.64
	Skipping one irrig.	0.00	146.6 0	5.60	37.50	5.60	7.53	4.88	8.62	36.8 5	890.11	5.93
	ripening stage	2.00	151.1 0	5.90	39.50	5.90	8.40	5.20	8.91	39.6 0	906.12	6.04
	J	4.00	154.0 0	6.50	41.90	6.25	8.65	5.38	9.25	44.0 0	936.90	6.24
	Control Treat.	0.00	145.0 0	6.50	38.90	6.50	7.54	5.19	9.94	45.1 0	972.00	6.48
	(No skipping)	2.00	150.0 0	6.80	42.10	6.80	8.20	5.50	10.43	49.7 2	1057.7 5	6.84
	stage	4.00	151.0 0	7.00	45.40	7.20	8.71	5.87	10.52	54.2 6	1080.0 0	7.20
Misr-2	Skipping one irrig.	0.00	126.0 0	5.20	32.50	4.90	6.15	4.75	8.31	37.4 0	912.49	6.08
	tillering stage	2.00	128.0 0	5.80	35.10	5.40	6.31	5.06	8.55	39.8 2	914.04	6.09
	J	4.00	135.0 0	5.90	36.70	5.60	6.81	5.70	9.22	41.5 8	969.84	6.47
	Skipping one irrig.	0.00	137.2 0	5.58	36.90	6.17	6.89	4.92	8.55	39.2 7	930.50	6.20
	germinati ng stage	2.00	144.4 0	5.90	38.60	6.30	7.26	5.23	9.13	41.3 6	981.18	6.54
	990	4.00	146.0 0	6.50	40.00	6.50	7.64	5.51	9.50	43.1 2	1020.9 2	6.80
	Skipping one irrig.	0.00	142.0 0	5.90	37.90	6.20	7.06	5.04	9.03	41.5 8	955.00	6.37
	ripening stage	2.00	147.0 0	6.50	41.10	6.67	7.76	5.37	9.48	48.4 0	1033.8 8	6.89
	2.290	4.00	151.0 0	6.70	43.00	7.00	8.18	5.74	9.74	50.1 6	1059.1 0	7.06
LSD _{0.05}			4.18	0.33	3.40	0.41	0.55	0.23	0.26	3.25	63.55	0.24

Table 15. Effect of three way interaction cultivars X water stress X arginine concentrations on chemical constituents of wheat plant (Average of 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 seasons).

			Photo	syntheti	c pigmer	its conte	nt per bl	lades (m	g/g) dry v	weight		
	Water	Ar			fter sowi				fter sowi		Total	Crud
Cultivar	stress	Conc	Chl	Chl	Chl	Car.	Chl	Chl	Chl	Car.	Carb.	е
S	treats.	en	а	b	(a+b)		Α	b	(a+b)		(%)	protei
		(mM/l)										n (%)
	Control	0.00	2.85	1.19	4.04	2.04	2.76	1.07	3.83	1.89	85.70	11.43
	Treat.	2.00	2.99	1.29	4.28	2.08	2.82	1.13	3.95	1.93	86.03	11.73
	(No	4.00	3.20	1.44		2.21	2.91	1.23	4.14	2.05	86.32	11.76
	skipping				4.04							
) stage	0.00	0.44	0.01	4.64	4 50	0.00	0.71	0.00	1 10	00.00	44.50
	Skipping	0.00	2.44	0.81	3.25	1.56	2.29	0.71	3.00	1.42	82.33	11.56
0:	one irrig.	2.00	2.53	0.88	3.41	1.69	2.45	0.77	3.22	1.63	83.13	11.83
Gemiza-	tillering	4.00	2.68	0.96	0.04	1.77	2.53	0.86	3.39	1.69	83.21	11.87
9	stage Skipping	0.00	2.57	0.95	3.64 3.52	1.72	2.47	0.81	3.28	1.56	84.16	11.45
	one irrig.	2.00	2.57	1.00	3.52	1.72	2.47	0.81	3.46	1.74	84.71	11.45
	germinat	4.00	2.75	1.18	3.71	1.77	2.61	0.90	3.40	1.74	85.48	11.82
	ing	4.00	2.75	1.10		1.00	2.01	0.55	3.00	1.75	03.40	11.02
	stage				3.93							
	Skipping	0.00	2.69	1.08	3.77	1.80	2.54	0.89	3.43	1.68	85.05	11.34
	one irrig.	2.00	2.85	1.12	3.97	1.97	2.73	1.02	3.75	1.76	85.18	11.78
	ripening	4.00	3.08	1.30	0.07	2.02	2.81	1.17	3.98	1.89	86.08	11.86
	stage				4.38							
	Control	0.00	2.94	1.25	4.19	2.17	2.79	1.11	3.90	1.94	86.09	11.45
	Treat.	2.00	3.08	1.38	4.46	2.23	2.89	1.19	4.08	2.07	86.27	11.76
	(No	4.00	3.31	1.50		2.26	3.16	1.22	4.38	2.13	86.59	11.86
	skipping											
) stage				4.81							
	Skipping	0.00	2.54	0.99	3.53	1.64	2.42	0.78	3.20	1.49	84.36	11.59
	one irrig.	2.00	2.65	1.02	3.67	1.74	2.51	0.82	3.33	1.77	84.48	11.84
Misr-2	tillering	4.00	2.80	1.21		1.79	2.72	0.97	3.69	1.82	84.61	12.01
	stage				4.01							
	Skipping	0.00	2.76	1.09	3.85	1.80	2.56	0.94	3.50	1.61	85.05	11.51
	one irrig.	2.00	2.84	1.14	3.98	1.85	2.70	0.98	3.68	1.84	85.51	11.82
	germinat	4.00	2.93	1.32		1.88	2.75	1.07	3.82	1.85	85.85	11.97
	ing				4.05							
	stage	0.00	0.05	4.40	4.25	1.00	0.50	0.05	0.54	4.00	05.05	44.47
	Skipping	0.00	2.85	1.10	3.95	1.89	2.59	0.95	3.54	1.80	85.35	11.47
	one irrig.	2.00	2.89	1.18	4.07	2.01	2.76	1.12	3.88	1.88	85.96	11.79
	ripening	4.00	3.01	1.34	4.35	2.10	2.89	1.25	4.14	1.94	86.10	11.92
LSD 0.05	stage		0.16	0.12	0.36	0.06	0.17	0.10	0.31	0.08	0.47	ns
LJD 0.05			0.10	0.12	0.50	0.00	0.17	0.10	0.51	0.00	U.7 <i>1</i>	113

Table 16. Effect of three way interaction cultivars X water stress X arginine concentrations on yield and its components of wheat plant (Average of 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 seasons).

Cultiv ars	Water stresstr eats.	Ar Con cen. (mM /L)	No of spik es/ pla nt	Spi kes dry wei ght (g/p lant	Mai n spik es len gth (cm	Grai n inde x (g)	Grai n yiel d g/pl ant)	Stra w yiel d g/pl ant)	Abo ve gro und bio mas s (g/p	Grai n yiel d (ton /fed)	Stra w yiel d (ton /fed)	Abo ve gro und bio mas s (ton	Mig rati on coe ffici ent	Har vest ind ex	Cro p inde x
									lant)			/fed)			
	Control	0.00	5.86	21.5	15.5	34.2	16.2	22.3	38.5	2.89	3.47	6.36	0.56	0.83	0.45
	Treat. (No	2.00	6.33	7 22.5	4 18.5	4 36.2	1 17.7	7 24.9	8 42.6	3.14	3.55	6.69	0.53	0.88	0.47
Gemi	skipping)	4.00	7 1 5	1	9	2	5	0 27.5	5	2 20		6.07	0.56	0.00	0.47
za-9	stage	4.00	7.15	26.2 0	20.0 2	38.5 2	19.1 4	27.5 0	46.6 4	3.29	3.68	6.97	0.56	0.89	0.47
	Skipping one irrig.	0.00	4.51	13.7 9	11.0 0	28.7 8	9.51	16.1 1	25.6 2	2.34	3.07	5.41	0.54	0.76	0.43
	tillering	2.00	4.76	16.1	13.0	29.9	10.8	18.3	29.2	2.46	3.28	5.72	0.55	0.75	0.43
	stage	4.00	5.34	4 20.0	9 14.8	6 32.6	6 13.8	8 19.3	4 33.2	2.56	3.33	5.86	0.60	0.77	0.44
				2	5	4	8	6	4						
	Skipping one irrig.	0.00	5.50	16.3 1	12.6 5	29.1 5	11.5 3	18.2 5	29.7 8	2.55	3.14	5.69	0.55	0.81	0.45
	germinati	2.00	5.86	17.9	14.7	30.0	13.3	20.1	33.5	2.89	3.21	6.10	0.53	0.90	0.47
	ng stage	4.00	6.05	0 22.5 5	4 17.6 0	3 33.1 7	2 14.7 8	8 22.0 5	0 36.8 3	2.99	3.43	6.42	0.61	0.87	0.47
	Skipping	0.00	5.69	18.4	13.7	31.5	13.4	20.8	34.3	2.67	3.08	6.75	0.54	0.87	0.46
	one irrig. ripening stage	2.00	6.05	3 19.7 6	1 17.2 7	1 33.8 1	7 15.2 3	4 21.8 1	1 37.0 4	2.97	3.28	6.25	0.53	0.91	0.48
	olago	4.00	6.38	24.2 4	19.1 4	36.0 6	17.1 0	25.5 4	42.6 4	3.20	3.54	6.64	0.57	0.90	0.47
	Control Treat.	0.00	6.60	23.3 5	17.3 4	36.6 5	17.0 9	21.0 2	38.1 1	3.07	3.22	6.29	0.61	0.95	0.49
	(No skipping)	2.00	6.96	25.0 7	19.6 9	38.9 5	18.5 7	22.3 7	40.9 4	3.32	3.46	6.78	0.61	0.96	0.49
	stage	4.00	7.34	28.7 0	20.9 0	40.5	21.8	25.8 9	47.7 4	3.46	3.60	7.06	0.60	0.96	0.49
	Skipping one irrig.	0.00	4.95	14.9 7	12.3 5	0 29.9 6	5 11.5 5	9 15.2 3	26.7 8	2.52	2.89	5.41	0.56	0.87	0.47
B4:	tillering	2.00	5.50	17.4	13.5	32.1	12.6		29.6	2.68	3.07	5.75	0.59	0.87	0.47
Misr- 2	stage	4.00	5.69	0 22.3 4	3 17.2 7	0 34.8 3	5 14.7 0	1 18.0 7	6 32.7 7	2.75	3.21	5.96	0.68	0.86	0.46
	Skipping one irrig.	0.00	6.24	17.3 7	14.8 6	32.1 0	12.9 5	19.1 0	32.0 5	2.67	2.98	5.65	0.54	0.90	0.47
	germinati	2.00	6.44	19.8	16.2	33.8	14.3	20.6	35.0	3.02	3.15	6.17	0.56	0.96	0.59
	ng stage	4.00	6.60	0 24.0 1	8 19.4 2	1 36.6 5	3 16.8 8	9 21.6 3	2 38.5 1	3.24	3.28	6.52	0.62	0.99	0.50
	Skipping	0.00	6.33	20.0	16.4	33.1	14.7	19.9	34.6	2.85	2.97	5.82	0.58	0.96	0.49
	one irrig. ripening stage	2.00	6.79	8 21.6 3	5 17.8 8	7 35.1 0	5 15.8 9	1 21.2 5	6 37.1 4	3.14	3.24	6.38	0.58	0.97	0.49
	Stage	4.00	7.15	26.3 8	19.8 0	37.4 5	18.5 0	23.7 3	42.2 3	3.36	3.45	6.81	0.63	0.97	0.49
LSD 0.0	5	0.42	3.78	2.59	0.52	0.45	1.12	0.61	0.37	0.08	0.31	0.05	ns	ns	0.42

arginine. It is evidenced to statethat these results are in harmony with those obtained by Ahmed, *et al.*, (2015). Also, it can be concluded that the damaging of water stressto wheat plants can be alleviated by using foliar application with 4 mM/l arginine.

DISCUSSION

Based on the obtained results, it can be that the differences between the twowheat cultivars (Gemiza-9 and Misr-2) might be due to the differences between wheat genotype their mineral elements concentration and differences in photosynthetic separations and photo-synthetic movement among wheat plant organ.

The effect of arginine concentration on yield and its components of wheat plant was respected and reached the significance level at 5%. Data showed clearly that foliar application with 2.0 mM/larginine was significantly the number of spikes/plant; dried weight of spike per plant, the mean of spike length, grain index, grain, straw and above ground biomass/plant, above ground biomass/fed., moving coefficient, harvest index and crop index compared to the control treatment, except the increase in grain and straw yield/feddan were not achieving the significant level at 5%.

Generally, irrigation is recommended due to the highest effect on the tiller survival and this implies that development and physiological processes are critical in determining final grain yield and the water stress should be avoided at this growth stage.

Moreover, foliar spraying with 4 mM/l arginine on wheat plant caused significant increment in all yield and its component except the differences in grain and straw yield/fed., harvest index and crop index between 2 and 4 mM/l arginine that were not reaching the significant level at 5 %.

CONCLUSION

Drought reduced all yield parameters so, the application of foliar application with arginine (Ar) improved productivity of wheat plants and its components and increasing some chemicals composition of wheat grains under drought stress conditions. The Arginine foliar spray with 4.00 mM is the most effective treatment to enhance yield of wheat cv., Misr-2 plants and improve the grain quality under common irrigation compared with the other treatments. Results also indicated that treatment of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) cv., Misr-2 with foliar plant with arginine as an amino acid the most suitable significant material was used to alleviate the harmful effect under drought conditions.

List of abbreviations

O.M	Organic matter
рН	Acidity
CaCO₃	Calcium Carbonates
EC	Electric Conductivity
WP	Wilting point
AW	Available water
SP	Soil penetration
FC	Field capacity
No	Number
LAI	Leaf area index
Concen.	Concentration
Chl a	Chlorophyll a
Chl b	Chlorophyll b
Car.	Carotenoids
Carb.	Carbohydrates
ns	Non-Significant
Cm	Centimeters
mM/L	Milli Mol/liter
G	Gram
Ar	Argnine
LSD	Least significant degree

Authors' contribution

Mohamed Ahmed	Writing the manuscript Reviewing the manuscript References
Magda Shalaby	Carrying out statistical analysis References Reviewing the manuscript
Reda Essa	Carrying out the experiments Samples analysis Carrying out statistical analysis Writingthe manuscript

Conflict of Interest

The authors declared that present study was performed in absence of any conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author(s) are thankful to the Agricultural Experimental Station of NRC, El-Behaira Governorate, Egypt for providing all the necessary facility to complete the paper work.

Authors contributions

All authors significantly contributed in all parts and aspects of the paper

REFERENCES

- Abd El-Monem AA (2007). Polyamines as modulators of wheat growth, metabolism and reproductive development under high temperature stress. Ph.D. Thesis, Ain Shamas Univ., Cairo, Egypt.155 p.
- Abdel-Gawad A, El-Shouny KA, Saleh SA, Ahmed MA (1987). Partition and migration of dry matter in newly cultivated wheat varieties. Egypt J. Agron., 12(1-2):1-16.
- Abdel-Salam MS, El-Metwally IM, Abdel Lateef EM, Ahmed MA (2016). Effect of weed control and praline treatment on wheat productivity and weed nutrient removal under water stress conditions. Inter. J. of Chem.Tech. Res., 9(7):18-31.
- Abdul-Qados, A.M.S. (2010). Effect of arginine on growth, nutrient composition, yield and nutritional value of mungbean plants grown under salinity stress. Natu. & Sci., 8(7):30-42.
- Ahmed MA, Magda AF, Shalaby and El-komy M.B.A. (2015). Alleviation of water stress effects on corn by polyamine compounds under newly cultivated sandy soil conditions. Int. J. Chemtech Res., 8(12):497-508.
- Akladious SA, Abbas SM (2014). Inter simple sequence repeat (ISSR) markers and some physiological attributes of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) genotypes to drought and potassium nutrition. J. Animal and Plant Sci., 24(2):620-633.
- Akladious SA, Hanafy RS (2018). Alleviation oxidative effect salt stress in white lupine (*lupinue termisl* L.) plants by foliar treatment with arginine. J. Animal and Plant Sci., 23(1):165-176.
- Allen M, Lunine JI, Yung YL (1984). Correction to "The vertical distribution of ozone in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere". J. of Geophysical Res., 89.
- Bouchereau A, Aziz A, Larher F, Murting-Tanguy J (1999). Polyamines and development challenges recent development. Plant Sci., 140:103-125.
- Bremner PM, Taha MA (1966). Studies in potato agronomy: 1- the effect of variety, seed size and spacing on growth, development and yield. J. Agric. Sci., 66:241-252.
- Dubois M, Gilles KA, Hamition JK, Rebers PA, Smith F (1956). Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. Anal. Chem., 28: 350-356.
- El-Bassiouny HM, Mostafa HA, El-Khawas SA, Hassanein RA, Khalil SI, Abd El-Monem AA (2008). Physiological responses of wheat plant to foliar Treatments with Arginine or Putrescine. Austr. J. of Basic and Appl. Sci., 2(4):1390-1403.
- EL-Metwally IM, Abdel-Raouf RE, Ahmed MA, Mounzer O, Al-Arcon JJ, Abdel-Hamed MT (2015). Response of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) crop and broad-leaved weeds to different water requirements and weed management in sandy soil. Agric. (Poľnohospodárstvo), 61(1):22–32.
- El-Shintinawy F, Hassanein RA (2001). The role of polyamine precursors, arginine, ornthine or methionine in ameliorating the inhibitory effect of NaCl on wheat plant. Egypt J. Biotechnol., 9:328–340.

- FAO (2009). High level expert forum-how to feed the world in 2050. Economic and Social Development Department, Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- Galston AW, Kaur-Sahney (1990). Polyamines in plant physiology. Plant Physiol. 94: 406.
- Hassanain MS, Ahmed MA, Magda AF, Shalaby and Amal, G. Ahmed (2014). Partition and migration of dry matter in newly cultivated wheat cultivars in Egypt. Middle East J. of Agric. Res., 3(2):353-362.
- Hassanein RA, Khalil SI, El-Bassiouny HMS, Mostafa HAM, El-Khawas SA, Abd El-Monem AA (2008). Protective role of exogenous arginine or putrescine treatments on heat shocked wheat plant. 1st International Conference on Biological and Environmental Sciences, Hurghada, Egypt, March 13-16.
- Jackson ML (1973). Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice- Hall, Inc. Englewood Cliffs. N.J.
- Kramer PJ, Boyer JS (1995). Water Relations of Plants and Soils. Academic Press, San Diego.
- Magda AF, Shalaby Ahmed MA, Ebtihal M. Abd Elhamid (2014). Effect of the Plant Growth Promoter Brassinolide on Barely Cultivars (*Hordeumvulgare* L.) Grown Under Sandy Soil Conditions.Middle East J. of Agric. Res., 3(2):282-287.
- Magda AF, Shalaby and Salem A.K.M. (2014). Growth and productivity barley cv. (Giza-129) cultivar as affected by putterscine and specmidine under stress conditions. Life Sci, J., 11(95):666-671.
- Magda AF. Shalaby, Ahmed MA, Khater MA (2018). Physiological response of some barley cultivars to foliar treatment with arginine under water stress conditions. Middle East J. of Agric. Res., 7(3):1102-1123.
- Mo H, Pua C (2002). Up-regulation of arginine decarboxylase gene expression and accumulation of polyamines in mustard (*Brassica juncea*) in response to stress. Physiol. Planta., 114(3):439-449.
- Nasibi F, Manouchehri Kalantariand Kh, Barand A (2104). Effect of seed pre-treatment with L-arginine on improvement of seedling growth and alleviation of oxidative damage in canola plants subjected to salt stress.Iranian J. of Plant Physiology 5(1):1217-1224.
- Pregl F (1945). Quantitative Organic Microanalysis. 4th ed. J.A. Churchill Ltd. London, p.126-129.
- Richards LA (1954). Diagnosis and Improvement Salineand Alkaline Soils. U.S. Dep. Agr. Handbook 60.
- Snedecor GW, Cochran WG (1990). Statistical Methods. The Iowa State Univ. 7th Ed. pp. 507.
- Van Wettstein D (1957). Chlorophyll lethal faktoren under submikro skopoch formvechsel der plastiden. Exp. cell. Res., (12): 427-433.
- Velikova V, Yordanov I, Edre va A (2000). Oxidative stress and some antioxidant systems in acid rain-treated bean plants protective role of exogenous polyamines. Plant Sci., (115):59-66.
- Watson DJ (1952). The physiological basis of variation in yield. Advances Agron., (4):101-145.